TransCanada
In business to deliver

450 – 1 Street SW
Calgary, Alberta T2P 5H1

Tel: (403) 920-2563
Fax: (403) 920-2308
Email: matthew_ducharme@transcanada.com

November 3, 2016

Filed Electronically

Original by Courier

Ontario Energy Board
P.O. Box 2319
2300 Yonge Street, 27th Floor
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Attention: Ms. Kirsten Walli, Board Secretary

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re: Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. (Enbridge) – 2017 Rate Adjustment Application
OEB File No. EB-2016-0215
TransCanada PipeLines Limited (TransCanada) Interrogatories

Enclosed are the interrogatories of TransCanada PipeLines Limited. Should you have any questions, please contact the undersigned.

Yours truly,
TransCanada PipeLines Limited

Original signed by

Matthew D. Ducharme
Counsel
Law, Canadian Pipelines

Attachment

cc: Mr. Andrew Mandyam, Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. (electronic only)
Mr. David Stevens, Aird & Berlis LLP (electronic only)
All Intervenors in EB-2016-0215 (electronic only)

IR Number: Interrogatory #1

Reference:

1) EB-2016-0215, Exhibit D1, Tab 2, Schedule 3, Page 5 of 13
2) EB-2016-0215, Exhibit D1, Tab 2, Schedule 9, Page 1 of 2
3) EB-2015-0175, Enbridge Application, Exhibit A, Tab 3, Schedule 1, Appendix C, Page 1 of 1

Preamble: In Reference 1, Enbridge states that it has entered into an agreement effective November 1, 2016 for 40,000 GJ/d of Link Pipeline capacity.

In Reference 2, Enbridge lists its Transportation and Storage contracts, including monthly demand charge information. The Link Pipeline monthly demand charge is listed as “varies”. The total contracted daily volume is listed as 42,202 GJ/d.

In Reference 3, Enbridge provides a landed cost analysis as part of its Application for Pre-Approval of a Long-Term Natural Gas Transportation Contract.

TransCanada notes Enbridge has committed to new upstream transportation contracts and requests further information on these arrangements.

Request:

a) Please clarify Enbridge’s contracted capacity commitment to the Link Pipeline.

b) What is the meaning of the term “varies” in Reference 2? Please explain how the monthly demand charge for Enbridge’s Link Pipeline contract will be calculated.

c) Utilizing the same format as in Reference 3, please provide the landed cost analysis undertaken in support of the contracting decision noted in Reference 1.

IR Number: Interrogatory #2

Reference:

1) EB-2016-0215, Exhibit D1, Tab 2, Schedule 3, Page 5 of 13

2) EB-2016-0215, Exhibit D1, Tab 2, Schedule 9, Page 1 of 2

3) EB-2015-0175, Enbridge Application, Exhibit A, Tab 3, Schedule 1, Appendix C, Page 1 of 1

Preamble:

In Reference 1, Enbridge states that it has entered into an agreement for 50,000 Dth/d of Vector capacity between December 1, 2016 and February 28, 2017.

In Reference 2, Enbridge lists its Transportation and Storage contracts, including monthly demand charge information.

In Reference 3, Enbridge provides a landed cost analysis as part of its Application for Pre-Approval of a Long-Term Natural Gas Transportation Contract.

TransCanada notes Enbridge has committed to new upstream transportation contracts and requests further information on these arrangements.

Request:

a) Please explain why the 50,000 Dth/d Vector contract is not listed in Reference 2.

b) Utilizing the same format as in Reference 3, please provide the landed cost analysis undertaken in support of the contracting decision noted in Reference 1.

IR Number: Interrogatory #3

Reference: 1) EB-2016-0215, Exhibit D1, Tab 2, Schedule 2, Page 22 of 28

Preamble: In Reference 1, Enbridge discusses its long-term contract with the NEXUS Gas Transmission ("NEXUS") Pipeline, commencing November 1, 2017.

Request:

a) Has the Enbridge-NEXUS Precedent Agreement been amended since December 2, 2015? If so, please provide a summary of the changes as well as a blackline version of the updated Precedent Agreement.

b) Please list all regulatory authorizations required for NEXUS and provide an update on the status of each.

IR Number: Interrogatory #4

Reference: 1) EB-2016-0215, Exhibit D1, Tab 2, Schedule 10, Page 1 of 1

Preamble: In Reference 1, Enbridge provides 2017 monthly price forecasts for Empress, NYMEX, and Chicago.

Request:

a) Please provide a forecast of Dawn prices for the same time period, using the same methodology as in Reference 1.