

700 University Avenue, H18G02, Toronto, Ontario M5G 1X6

Tel: 416-592-5419 Fax: 416-592-8519  
[barbara.reuber@opg.com](mailto:barbara.reuber@opg.com)

November 15, 2016

**VIA RESS, EMAIL AND COURIER**

Ms. Kirsten Walli  
Board Secretary  
Ontario Energy Board  
P.O. Box 2319  
2300 Yonge Street, 27th Floor  
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

**Re: EB-2016-0152 – Ontario Power Generation Inc. 2017-2021 Payment Amounts –  
Additional Reply Submission of the Applicant re: Prioritization of the Issues List**

Pursuant to the timelines prescribed by the OEB in EB-2016-0152, Procedural Order 1, OPG filed its submissions, and responses to submissions from Board staff and other commenting parties, on prioritization of the issues list on November 9 and 14, respectively (the “OPG Submissions”). AMPCO filed its submissions on prioritization of the issues list on November 14, after the prescribed timelines for both submissions and responses to submissions on prioritization. OPG was therefore unable to address AMPCO’s submissions as part of its response. As a result, OPG is requesting that the OEB consider this additional response to AMPCO’s submissions together with the OPG Submissions.

AMPCO agrees that issues 1.1, 3.2, 6.11, 7.1, 8.1, 9.3, 9.4, and 9.6 should be designated as secondary. AMPCO agrees with designating as primary those issues where there is agreement between Board staff and OPG, and in addition to those primary issues, AMPCO believes that the following issues should also be designated as primary: 6.3, 9.1, 9.2, 9.8, 10.1, 10.4, 11.5 and 11.7.

OPG wishes to address AMPCO’s submissions in relation to issue 6.3.

*6.3 – Is the forecast of nuclear fuel costs appropriate?*

AMPCO believes that this issue should be designated as primary because AMPCO has questions regarding OPG’s uranium cost forecast. OPG disagrees with this designation and notes that its nuclear fuel costs have been thoroughly examined, including by way of an expert report, and decided on by the OEB in previous applications. As shown in Ex. F2-5-1, Table 1 OPG’s nuclear fuel costs are relatively flat throughout the test period. Accordingly, OPG

believes that this issue should be designated as a secondary issue and can be appropriately addressed by way of written hearing. OPG further notes that its suggested designation is consistent with the Board's prioritization of this issue in EB-2013-0321 (see Procedural Order 10, EB-2013-0321, issue 6.5).

All of which is respectfully submitted.

[Original signed by]

Barbara Reuber  
Regulatory Affairs  
Ontario Power Generation

Cc: Carlton Mathias (OPG) via email  
Charles Keizer (Torys LLP) via email  
Crawford Smith (Torys LLP) via email  
Intervenors of Record via email