McCarthy Tétrault LLP PO Box 48, Suite 5300 Toronto-Dominion Bank Tower Toronto ON M5K 1E6 Canada Tel: 416-362-1812 Fax: 416-868-0673

Gordon M. Nettleton Partner Email: gnettleton@mccarthy.ca

mccarthy tetrault

November 25, 2016

VIA RESS AND COURIER

Kirsten Walli Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board P.O. Box 2319 2300 Yonge Street, 27th Floor Toronto, Ontario M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

RE: EB-2016-0160 Hydro One Networks Inc. ("Hydro One") Transmission Rates Application – Response to OEB Staff Pre-Hearing Undertakings

Hydro One's response to Board Staff Pre-hearing Undertakings 1-3 is enclosed.

Yours truly,

McCarthy Tétrault LLP Per:

For: Gordon M. Nettleton

GMN

1	<u>Ontario Energy Board (Board Staff) Pre-Hearing UNDERTAKING #1</u>
2	
3	<u>Undertaking</u>
4	
5	The attached document, "Table A - 2016 HONI Projects" lists the capital projects filed in
6	EB-2016-0160 with budgeted expenditures in 2017 & 2018 above \$3 million.
7	
8	a) For each capital project for which the currently budgeted 2017 & 2018 expenditures
9	are significantly different than the forecast 2017 & 2018 expenditures for that project
10	in EB-2014-0140 (e.g.: new project, cost change, scope change or schedule change),
11	identify, as applicable:
12	
13	i. 2017 & 2018 forecast expenditures from EB-2014-0140
14	ii. which of the four reasons for the increased capital expenditures provided in
15	HONI's response to Staff IR 106 (Exhibit I-1-106) by four factors: Reliability
16	Risk Analysis Results, Customer Preference, System needs arising from
17	OPG's planned nuclear refurbishments and retirements, New information that
18	has arisen since the last filing regarding specific asset class needs; is the
19	primary driver of the proposed change, or indicate if the change is driven by a
20	factor other than the four identified.
21	
22	b) The attached document "Table B - 2014 HONI Projects" lists the capital projects filed
23	in EB-2014-0140 with budgeted expenditures in 2015 & 2016 above \$3 million. For
24	each listed project, identify, as applicable:
25	
26	i. the 2017 & 2018 capital expenditure forecast for that project that was included
27	in the overall 2017 & 2018 forecasts in Table 1: Transmission Capital
28	Expenditures (EB-2014-0140, Exhibit A, Tab 16, Schedule 8, Page 3-4)
29	ii. The ISD Reference Number from EB-2016-0160 for that project if it still exists in the 2016 filing, or alternatively, the reason the project was gut since
30	exists in the 2016 filing, or alternatively, the reason the project was cut since 2014.
31	2014.
32	

Filed: 2016-11-25 EB-2016-0160 Response to Board Staff 1 Page 2 of 2

1 **Response**

In response to Pre-Hearing Undertaking No.1, (part a, ii) the references to reliability risk analysis results and system needs arising from OPG's planned nuclear refurbishments and retirements require clarification.

6

2

The reliability risk is an outcome measure to gauge the impact of Hydro One's investment plan on future system reliability performance. It does not determine individual investment, which is determined by asset needs and other factors as described in Exhibit B1, Tab 2, Schedule 5. Therefore, reliability risk analysis is not used as a primary reason to explain the changes between EB-2014-0140 and EB-2016-0160 investments.

12

The notion behind Bruce Power and OPG nuclear refurbishments and retirements affecting Hydro One's investments is that it is not prudent to carry a backlog of sustainment investments into 2022, when a large reduction of based load generation will become unavailable. No investment has been advanced from beyond 2022. The objective is not to further defer sustainment investments and enter 2022 with a backlog. Therefore, nuclear refurbishment is not used as a primary reason to explain the changes between EB-2014-0140 and EB-2016-0160 investments.

20

Please refer to Attachment 1 (Table A - 2016 HONI Projects) and Attachment 2 (Table B
 - 2014 HONI Projects) for the completed tables.

Filed: 2016-11-25 EB-2016-0160 Response to OEB Staff 1 Attachment 1 Page 1 of 10

Ontario Energy Board (Board Staff) Pre-Hearing Undertaking 1 Attachment 1

Table A: EB-2016-0160: List of Capital Investment Programs or Projects Requiring in Excess of \$3 Million in Test Year 2017 or 2018¹

Susta	Sustaining Capital Projects									
m	Ducient	EB-2016-0160		If included in EB-2014-0140:		If project is new, or if planned expenditures in 2017 or 2018 have increased since 2014 application,				
ID	Project	2017	2018	2017	2018	identify which of the four cited reasons drives the				
		Budget	Budget	Forecast	Forecast	change.				
S01	Beck #1 SS	\$5.9	\$12.0	35.00	13.00	Scope Change				
S02	Beck #2 TS	\$29.8	\$14.9	0.00	0.00	Scope Change				
S03	Bruce A TS	\$13.8	\$19.7	17.39	0.00	Scope Change / Schedule Change				
S04	Bruce B SS	\$0.9	\$24.6			New Project				
S05	Cherrywood TS	\$1.4	\$3.8	0.00	20.68	Schedule Change				
S06	Lennox TS	\$26.1	\$16.9	0.16	10.56	Schedule Change				
S07	Richview TS	\$16.9	\$13.5	18.80	0.00	Scope Change / Schedule Change				
S08	Beach TS	\$16.5	\$15.9	0.00	0.49	Scope Change				
S09	Centralia TS	\$12.5	\$6.2	0.94	17.86	Schedule Change				
S10	Dryden TS	\$16.2	\$0.1	0.19	14.10	Schedule Change				
S11	Elgin TS	\$22.6	\$17.8	0.00	0.00	Scope Change / Schedule Change				
S12	Espanola TS	\$3.0	\$0.0	0.00	0.00	Scope Change				
S13	Gage TS	\$1.2	\$12.4	15.59	0.00	Scope Change				
S14	Kenilworth TS	\$5.6	\$11.2	3.29	22.56	Scope Change				
S15	Nelson TS	\$10.9	\$20.2	4.40	12.56	Customer Preference				
S16	Palmerston TS	\$8.8	\$11.6			New Project				

¹ EB-2016-0160, Exhibit B1, Tab 3, Schedule 11

Filed: 2016-11-25 EB-2016-0160 Response to OEB Staff 1 Attachment 1 Page 2 of 10

Susta	aining Capital Projects					
ID	Project	EB-201	EB-2016-0160		uded in 14-0140:	If project is new, or if planned expenditures in 2017 or 2018 have increased since 2014 application,
m	rioject	2017	2018	2017	2018	identify which of the four cited reasons drives the
		Budget	Budget	Forecast	Forecast	change.
S17	Wanstead TS	\$13.7	\$14.3	17.86	0.00	Customer Preference
S18	Alexander SS	\$14.4	\$8.8			New Project
S19	Allanburg TS	\$4.7	\$1.0	0.00	0.65	Scope Change
S20	Aylmer TS	\$3.5	\$0.0	1.99	9.03	Schedule Change
S21	Barrett Chute SS	\$9.3	\$3.9			New Project
S22	Birch TS	\$12.1	\$13.8			New Project
S23	Bronte TS	\$3.7	\$17.1			New Project
S24	Bridgman TS	\$0.2	\$3.3	0.00	1.32	Scope Change
S25	Buchanan TS	\$4.2	\$0.0	0.19	4.70	Scope Change
S26	Cecil TS	\$9.6	\$0.0			New Project
S27	Chenaux TS	\$7.5	\$2.1	0.00	0.00	Schedule Change
S28	Crawford TS	\$4.2	\$0.0			New Project
S29	DeCew Falls SS	\$4.9	\$0.0			New Project
S30	Dufferin TS	\$6.5	\$7.4			New Project
S31	Ear Falls TS	\$10.9	\$0.0	0.00	0.00	Scope Change / Schedule Change
S32	Frontenac TS	\$3.8	\$1.5			New Project
S33	Hanmer TS	\$24.4	\$11.0			New Project
S34	Hawthorne TS	\$1.6	\$4.3	0.14	0.00	Scope Change / Schedule Change
S35	Horning TS	\$14.3	\$14.9			New Project
S36	Leaside TS Bulk	\$5.9	\$5.6			New Project
S37	Leaside TS 27.6 kV	\$6.3	\$6.5	5.45	0.00	Scope Change
S38	Main TS	\$5.4	\$8.4			New Project

Filed: 2016-11-25 EB-2016-0160 Response to OEB Staff 1 Attachment 1 Page 3 of 10

Susta	aining Capital Projects					
ID	Project	EB-201	6-0160		uded in 14-0140:	If project is new, or if planned expenditures in 2017 or 2018 have increased since 2014 application,
ID	Toject	2017	2018	2017	2018	identify which of the four cited reasons drives the
		Budget	Budget	Forecast	Forecast	change.
S39	Manby TS	\$3.1	\$1.8	0.80	0.60	Scope Change
S40	Martindale TS	\$18.6	\$18.6			New Project
S41	Minden TS	\$4.2	\$7.0			New Project
S42	Mohawk TS	\$4.6	\$4.7	0.00	0.96	Scope Change
S43	N.R.C. TS	\$7.1	\$0.7	0.00	0.00	Scope Change / Schedule Change
S44	Pine Portage SS	\$1.9	\$5.9			New Project
S45	Richview TS	\$7.3	\$0.0			New Project
S46	Sheppard TS	\$9.8	\$9.3	5.38	1.90	Scope Change
S47	St. Isidore TS	\$9.1	\$0.0	0.00	0.00	Scope Change
S48	Stanley TS	\$0.5	\$6.1			New Project
S49	Strachan TS	\$5.1	\$2.8			New Project
S50	Strathroy TS	\$5.3	\$0.0	18.80	0.00	Schedule Change
S51	Demand Capital – Power Transformers	\$8.0	\$8.2	6.54	6.68	Ongoing Program
S52	Minor Component Demand Capital	\$4.7	\$4.7			New Ongoing Program
S53	Operating Spare Transformer Purchases	\$8.2	\$8.3	8.56	8.73	Ongoing Program
S54	Transformer Protection Replacement	\$4.6	\$4.6			New Project
S55	Replace Legacy SONET Systems	\$2.1	\$5.3	6.14	7.22	Scope Change
S56	Physical Security for Critical Stations (non CIP-014)	\$5.0	\$5.0	4.50	2.00	Scope Change
S57	CIP V6 Transient Cyber Assets & Removable Media	\$2.0	\$10.0			New Project
S58	PSIT Cyber Equipment EOL	\$5.0	\$6.0			New Ongoing Program
S59	CIP-014 Physical Security Implementation	\$6.0	\$6.0			New Project

Filed: 2016-11-25 EB-2016-0160 Response to OEB Staff 1 Attachment 1 Page 4 of 10

Susta	aining Capital Projects					
ID	Project	EB-2016-0160			uded in 14-0140:	If project is new, or if planned expenditures in 2017 or 2018 have increased since 2014 application,
ID	Project	2017	2018	2017	2018	identify which of the four cited reasons drives the
		Budget	Budget	Forecast	Forecast	change.
S60	NERC CIP V6 CAPEX - Low Impact Facilities	\$5.0	\$5.0			New Project
S61	Transmission Site Facilities	\$6.7	\$6.7	8.60	8.60	Scope Change
S62	Line Refurbishment Project - C22J/C24Z/C21J/C23Z	\$18.5	\$2.5			New Project
S63	Line Refurbishment Project - D2L Dymond x Upper Notch	\$8.4	\$0.0			New Project
S64	Line Refurbishment Project - C1A/C2A/C3A	\$1.8	\$3.5			New Project
S65	Line Refurbishment Project - N21W/N22W	\$4.1	\$11.9			New Project
S66	Line Refurbishment Project - B5G/B6G	\$4.4	\$11.4			New Project
S67	Line Refurbishment Project - D2L Upper Notch x Martin River	\$18.3	\$21.1			New Project
S68	Line Refurbishment Project - B3/B4	\$0.9	\$6.4			New Project
S69	Line Refurbishment Project - A8K/A9K	\$0.4	\$6.6			New Project
S70	Line Refurbishment Project - A7L/R1LB and 57M1	\$0.9	\$20.5			New Project
S71	Line Refurbishment Project - K1/K2	\$0.9	\$7.4			New Project
S72	Line Refurbishment Project - E1C	\$0.9	\$12.8			New Project
S73	Line Refurbishment Project - D6V/D7V	\$2.6	\$5.7			New Project
S74	Line Refurbishment Project - D2H/D3H	\$0.9	\$12.5			New Project
S75	Wood Pole Replacements	\$35.3	\$35.3	28.81	29.38	Improved Forecast

Filed: 2016-11-25 EB-2016-0160 Response to OEB Staff 1 Attachment 1 Page 5 of 10

Susta	Sustaining Capital Projects									
m		EB-2016-0160			uded in 14-0140:	If project is new, or if planned expenditures in 2017 or 2018 have increased since 2014 application,				
ID	Project	2017	2018	2017	2018	identify which of the four cited reasons drives the				
		Budget	Budget	Forecast	Forecast	change.				
S76	Steel Structure Coating	\$42.5	\$54.4	11.79	13.37	New Information				
S77	Steel Structure Foundation Refurbishments	\$7.8	\$7.8	5.55	5.74	Scope Change				
S78	Shieldwire Replacements	\$7.0	\$7.1	4.52	4.61	Scope Change				
S79	Insulator Replacements	\$63.9	\$61.4	3.76	3.84	New Information				
S80	Transmission Lines Emergency Restoration	\$8.7	\$8.8	11.35	11.58	Improved Forecast				
S81	Gordie Howe International Bridge	\$12.7	\$12.5	0.00	0.00	Customer Preference				
	(Recoverable)	φ12. <i>1</i>	ψ12.5	0.00	0.00					
S82	Manvers – Lafarge Aggregate Pit	\$1.0	\$3.8	0.00	0.00	Customer Preference				
	(Recoverable)	\$1.0	ψ3.0	0.00	0.00					
S 83	H7L/H11L Cable Replacement	\$1.3	\$21.1	14.83	15.12	Schedule Change				

Filed: 2016-11-25 EB-2016-0160 Response to OEB Staff 1 Attachment 1 Page 6 of 10

Deve	lopment Capital Projects					
		EB-201	EB-2016-0160		1ded in 4-0140:	If project is new, or if planned expenditures in 2017 or
ID	Project	2017 Budget	2018 Budget	2017 Budget (Gross \$M)	2018 Budget (Gross \$M)	2018 have increased since 2014 application, identify which of the four cited reasons drives the change.
D01	Clarington TS: Build new 500/230kV Station	\$68.6	\$14.8	53.2	0.0	Project schedule delayed to Q4 2018 as described in ISD Ref # D01.
D02	Nanticoke TS: Connect HVDC Lake Erie Circuit	\$5.0	\$13.0	N/A	N/A	New project initiated by customer request.
D03	Merivale TS to Hawthorne TS: 230 kV Conductor Upgrade	\$2.5	\$8.0	N/A	N/A	New project required to address inter-area network transfer capability need.
D04	East-West Tie Expansion: Station Work	\$3.0	\$30.0	N/A	N/A	New project required to address inter-area network transfer capability need. However, was referenced in EB- 2014-0140, Exhibit D1, Tab 3, Schedule 3, page 33 as a major project with limited scope definition and no cash flow projections were provided at the time.
D05	Milton SS: Station Expansion and Connect 230kV Circuits	\$2.0	\$5.0	N/A	N/A	New project per the regional planning report, "Northwest Greater Toronto Area Integrated Regional Resource Plan."
D06	Galt Junction: Install In-Line Switches on M20D/M21D Circuits	\$3.6	\$0.1	N/A	N/A	Alternative project to defer the Preston TS Transformation project (ISD Ref # D06 in EB-2014- 0140) at a reduced cost from \$24.9M to \$4.5M.
D07	York Region: Increase Transmission Capability for B82V/B83V Circuits	\$22.6	\$0.2	7.0	0.0	Original cash flows were based on a preliminary cost estimate. The current cash flows are based on a detailed cost estimate. The project schedule was delayed by 6 months.

Filed: 2016-11-25 EB-2016-0160 Response to OEB Staff 1 Attachment 1 Page 7 of 10

Deve	lopment Capital Projects					
			EB-2016-0160		ıded in 4-0140:	If project is new, or if planned expenditures in 2017 or
ID	Project	2017 Budget	2018 Budget	2017 Budget (Gross \$M)	2018 Budget (Gross \$M)	2018 have increased since 2014 application, identify which of the four cited reasons drives the change.
D08	Hawthorne TS: Autotransformer Upgrades	\$8.0	\$5.8	4.5	0.0	Original cash flows were based on a preliminary cost estimate. The current cash flows are based on a detailed cost estimate. The project schedule was delayed by 1 year.
D09	Brant TS: Install 115kV Switching Facilities	\$5.0	\$6.0	N/A	N/A	New project per the regional planning report, "Brant Area Integrated Regional Resource Plan."
D10	Riverdale Junction to Overbrook TS: Reconfiguration of 115kV Circuits	\$2.4	\$4.2	N/A	N/A	New project per the regional planning report, "Greater Ottawa Area Regional Infrastructure Plan."
D11	Southwest GTA Transmission Reinforcement	\$0.9	\$5.0	N/A	N/A	New project per the regional planning report, "Metro Toronto Regional Infrastructure Plan."
D12	Barrie TS: Upgrade Station and Reconductor E3B/E4B Circuits	\$4.0	\$20.0	N/A	N/A	New project per the IESO regional planning letter. (Refer to Exhibit B1, Tab 2, Schedule 3, Attachment 11.)
D13	Ear Falls TS to Dryden TS: Upgrade 115kV Circuit E4D	\$10.0	\$5.9	N/A	N/A	New project requested by the customer and also per the regional planning report, "North of Dryden Integrated Regional Resource Plan."
D14	Supply to Essex County Transmission Reinforcement	\$33.0	\$31.4	10.0	0.0	Project schedule was delayed by 15 months due to delays in major project approvals.
D15	Horner TS: Build 230/27.6kV Transformer Station	\$16.0	\$13.0	N/A	N/A	New project requested by the customer and also per the regional planning report, "Metro Toronto Regional Infrastructure Plan."
D16	Lisgar TS: Transformer Upgrades	\$10.3	\$2.5	N/A	N/A	New project requested by the customer and also per the regional planning report, "Greater Ottawa Regional

Filed: 2016-11-25 EB-2016-0160 Response to OEB Staff 1 Attachment 1 Page 8 of 10

Deve	lopment Capital Projects					
	Project	EB-2016-0160		If included in EB-2014-0140:		If project is new, or if planned expenditures in 2017 or
ID		2017 Budget	2018 Budget	2017 Budget (Gross \$M)	2018 Budget (Gross \$M)	2018 have increased since 2014 application, identify which of the four cited reasons drives the change.
						Infrastructure Plan." This project was cancelled by the customer on August 16, 2016.
D17	Seaton MTS: Rebuild 230 kV Circuit (Provide 230kV Line Connection)	\$3.3	\$3.0	8.0	0.0	Scope change from building the transformer station and line connection work to only the line connection work.
D18	Hanmer TS: Build 230/44kV Transformer Station	\$9.5	\$18.5	N/A	N/A	New project requested by the customer and also per the regional planning report, "Sudbury Algoma Needs Assessment."
D19	Runnymede TS: Build 115/27.6kV Transformer Station and Reconductor 115kV Circuits	\$23.0	\$17.0	N/A	N/A	New project requested by the customer and also per the regional planning report, "Metro Toronto Regional Infrastructure Plan."
D20	Toyota Woodstock: Upgrade Station	\$3.0	\$2.5	N/A	N/A	New project requested by the customer.
D21	Enfield TS: Build 230/44kV Transformer Station	\$10.0	\$15.0	N/A	N/A	New project requested by the customer and also per the regional planning report, "Oshawa-Clarington Sub- Region Local Plan."
D22	TransCanada: Energy East Pipeline Conversion	\$1.9	\$10.2	N/A	N/A	New project requested by the customer. This project was cancelled by the customer in July 2016.
D23	Protection and Control Modifications for Distributed Generation	\$6.0	\$5.5	6.7	0.1	All 2017/2018 expenditures are recoverable.
D24	Nanticoke TS: New Station Service Supply	\$10.0	\$0.0	N/A	N/A	New project initiated for risk mitigation as a result of OPGI decommissioning the existing Nanticoke station service supply.

Filed: 2016-11-25 EB-2016-0160 Response to OEB Staff 1 Attachment 1 Page 9 of 10

Oper	Operations Capital								
ID	Project	EB-2016-0160		If included in EB-2014-0140:		If project is new, or if planned expenditures in 2017 or 2018 have increased since 2014 application,			
ш		2017	2018	2017	2018	identify which of the four cited reasons drives the			
		Budget	Budget	Budget	Budget	change.			
O01	Integrated System Operations Centre - New Facility Development	\$4.2	\$10.5	\$6.0	\$3.3	New information on asset / facility deficiencies within the Network Operating Backup Control Centre, Security Operations Centre and the Backup Integrated Telecommunication Management Centre.			
O02	Station Local Control Equipment Sustainment	\$3.6	\$3.7	\$0.0	\$0.0	New Information			
O03	Grid Control Network Sustainment	\$5.8	\$3.0	\$2.0	\$2.0	New Information			

Capit	Capital Common Corporate Costs And Other Costs								
ID	Ductor	EB-2016-0160		If included in EB-2014-0140:		If project is new, or if planned expenditures in 2017 or 2018 have increased since 2014 application,			
ш	Project	2017	2017 2018		2018	identify which of the four cited reasons drives the			
		Budget	Budget	Budget	Budget	change.			
IT1	Hardware/Software Refresh and	\$5.1	\$5.1	\$5.4	\$5.4	This is an ongoing program.			
111	Maintenance	φ3.1	\$3.1	<i>ф</i> Ј.4	φ.9.4				
IT2	MFA Servers and Storage	\$4.2	\$2.8	\$4.4	\$2.9	This is an ongoing program.			
IT3	Work Management and Mobility	\$5.0	\$3.0	\$4.3	\$1.1	Scope expanded beyond provincial lines organization to			
						now include provincial lines, stations, and forestry			
						organizations.			

Filed: 2016-11-25 EB-2016-0160 Response to OEB Staff 1 Attachment 1 Page 10 of 10

Capit	Capital Common Corporate Costs And Other Costs								
ID	Project	EB-201	6-0160	If included in EB-2014-0140:		If project is new, or if planned expenditures in 2017 or 2018 have increased since 2014 application,			
ID ID	Toject	2017 2018		2017	2018	identify which of the four cited reasons drives the			
		Budget	Budget	Budget	Budget	change.			
CC1	Real Estate Field Facilities Capital	\$18.4	\$20.9	\$17.2	\$19.9	No material net change.			
CC2	Transport & Work Equipment	\$20.9	\$21.8	\$15.5	\$17.2	New information that contributed to an increased			
						transmission work program. This increased the			
						requirement for fleet assets.			
CC3	Service Equipment	\$3.2	\$3.2	\$4.2	\$3.8	No material net change.			

Filed: 2016-11-25 EB-2016-0160 Response to OEB Staff 1 Attachment 2 Page 1 of 10

Ontario Energy Board (Board Staff) Pre-Hearing Undertaking 1 Attachment 2

Table B: EB-2014-0140 - List of Capital Investment Programs or Projects Requiring in Excess of\$3 Million in Test Year 2015 or 20161

Sustaining Capital Projects					
ID	Project	EB-201	14-0140	ISD Reference Number from EB-2016-0160 if	
ID		2017	2018	project is included in 2016	
		Forecast	Forecast	filing, or reason for deletion	
S01	Oil Circuit Breaker Replacements	10.27	10.48	Consolidated into Station-	
		10.27	10.40	Centric Investments	
S02	D2 SF6 Circuit Breaker Replacements 8.43	0.42	11.05	Consolidated into Station-	
		0.45	11.05	Centric Investments	
S03	GTA Metalclad Switchgear Replacements	6.38	5.28	Consolidated into Station-	
		0.38	3.28	Centric Investments	
S04	Air Blast Circuit Breaker Replacement - Richview TS	18.80	0.00	S07	
S05	Air Blast Circuit Breaker Replacement - Beck #2 TS	0.00	0.00	S02	
S06	Air Blast Circuit Breaker Replacement - Bruce A TS	17.39	0.00	S03	
S07	Air Blast Circuit Breaker Replacement - Burlington	aker Replacement - Burlington		Project is scheduled to be	
	TS	0.00	0.00	completed by year-end 2016	
S08	End of Life Station Reconfiguration - Gage TS	15.59	0.00	S13	

¹ EB-2014-0140, Exhibit I, Tab 10, Schedule 14

Filed: 2016-11-25 EB-2016-0160 Response to OEB Staff 1 Attachment 2 Page 2 of 10

Sustaining Capital Projects						
ID	Project	EB-201	14-0140	ISD Reference Number from EB-2016-0160 if		
ID		2017	2018	project is included in 2016		
		Forecast	Forecast	filing, or reason for deletion		
S09	End of Life Station Reconfiguration – Timmins TS	0.00	0.00	Project Completed		
S10	End of Life Station Reconfiguration - Hanmer TS	0.00	0.00	Project Completed		
S11	Integrated DESN Replacement - Dunnville TS	0.00	0.00	Project Completed		
S12	Integrated DESN Replacement – National Research Council TS	0.00	0.00	S43		
S13	Integrated DESN Replacement - Espanola TS	0.00	0.00	S12		
S14	Integrated DESN Replacement - Strathroy TS	18.80	0.00	S50		
S15	Integrated DESN Replacement - Elgin TS	0.00	0.00	S11		
S16	Integrated DESN Replacement - Gerrard TS	0.00	0.00	Project Completed		
S17	Integrated DESN Replacement – Chenaux TS	0.00	0.00	S27		
S18	Integrated DESN Replacement - Overbrook TS	0.00	0.00	Project Completed		
S19	Integrated DESN Replacement – Ear Falls TS	0.00	0.00	S31		
S20	Integrated DESN Replacement - Wiltshire TS	0.00	0.00	Project Completed		
S21	Integrated DESN Replacement - Bridgman TS	0.00	0.00	Project Completed		
S22	Integrated DESN Replacement – Dundas TS	0.00	0.00	Project Completed		
S23	Integrated DESN Replacement - Goderich TS	6.58	0.00	Project is scheduled to be		
		0.30	0.00	completed by year-end 2017		
S24	Integrated DESN Replacement - Leaside TS	5.45	0.00	S37		

Filed: 2016-11-25 EB-2016-0160 Response to OEB Staff 1 Attachment 2 Page 3 of 10

Sustaining Capital Projects						
ID	Project	EB-201	14-0140	ISD Reference Number from EB-2016-0160 if		
ID		2017	2018	project is included in 2016		
		Forecast	Forecast	filing, or reason for deletion		
S25	Integrated Station Component Replacements	1.84	16.27	Consolidated into Station-		
		1.84	10.27	Centric Investments		
S26	Power Transformer Replacements	21.47	37.12	Consolidated into Station-		
		21.47	57.12	Centric Investments		
S27	Operating Spare Transformer Purchases	8.56	8.73	\$53		
S28	Disconnect Switch Replacements 8.69 8	8.86	Consolidated into Station-			
		8.09	0.00	Centric Investments		
S29	Capacitor Bank Replacements	6.62	6.69	Consolidated into Station-		
		0.02		Centric Investments		
S 30	Instrument Transformer Replacements	3.28	3.35	Consolidated into Station-		
		5.20	5.55	Centric Investments		
S 31	Insulator Replacements	4.61	4.73	Consolidated into Station-		
		4.01	4.75	Centric Investments		
S32	Station Service Replacements	12.61	12.61	Consolidated into Station-		
		12.01	12.01	Centric Investments		
S 33	Spill Containment	10.98	11.20	Consolidated into Station-		
		10.70	11.20	Centric Investments		
S34	Integrated Station P&C Replacements	32.02	18.61	Consolidated into Station-		
		52.02	10.01	Centric Investments		

Filed: 2016-11-25 EB-2016-0160 Response to OEB Staff 1 Attachment 2 Page 4 of 10

Sustaining Capital Projects						
ID	Project	EB-201	14-0140	ISD Reference Number from EB-2016-0160 if		
ID		2017	2018	project is included in 2016		
		Forecast	Forecast	filing, or reason for deletion		
S35	Protection Replacements	22.08	21.24	Consolidated into Station-		
		22.00		Centric Investments		
S36	RTU and SER Replacements	8.34	8.51	Consolidated into Station-		
		0.34	0.51	Centric Investments		
S 37	DC Signaling (Remote Trip) Replacements	1.02	0.00	Consolidated into Station-		
		1.02	0.00	Centric Investments		
S 38	8 Protection Tone Channel Replacements 4.32	4.41	Consolidated into Station-			
		4.32	4.41	Centric Investments		
S39	PLC Device Replacements	4.83	4.92	Consolidated into Station-		
		4.63	4.92	Centric Investments		
S40	Cyber Security NERC CIP V5 Readiness	0.25	0.00	Project Completed		
S41	Cyber Security of Load Stations	4.50	2.00	S56		
S42	Station Building Infrastructure	8.60	8.60	Consolidated into Station-		
		8.00	8.00	Centric Investments		
S43	Station Civil Infrastructure	12.53	12.77	Consolidated into Station-		
		12.35	12.77	Centric Investments		
S44	Wood Pole Replacements	28.81	29.38	\$75		
S45	Steel Structure Coating	11.79	13.37	S76		
S46	Steel Structure Replacements	5.78	5.89	Consolidated into Line		

Filed: 2016-11-25 EB-2016-0160 Response to OEB Staff 1 Attachment 2 Page 5 of 10

Sust	Sustaining Capital Projects						
ID	Project	EB-202	14-0140	ISD Reference Number from EB-2016-0160 if			
ID	rioject	2017	2018	project is included in 2016			
		Forecast	Forecast	filing, or reason for deletion			
				Refurbishment projects			
S47	Steel Structure Foundation Refurbishments	5.55	5.74	S77			
S48	Shieldwire Replacements	4.52	4.61	S78			
S49	Insulator Replacements	3.76	3.84	S79			
S50	Transmission Lines Emergency Restoration	11.35	11.58	S80			
S 51	C25H Line Refurbishment	0.00	0.00	Project Completed			
S52	H24C Line Refurbishment	0.00	0.00	Project is scheduled to be			
		0.00	0.00	completed by year-end 2016			
S53	D10S/D9HS Line Refurbishment	0.00	0.00	Project Completed			
S54	Q11S/Q12S Line Refurbishment	0.00	0.00	Project Completed			
S55	Secondary Land Use and Recoverable Projects	0.00	0.00	S81, S82			
S56	H2JK/K6J Cable Replacement	0.00	0.00	Project Completed			
S57	H7L/H11L Cable Replacement	14.83	15.12	S83			

Filed: 2016-11-25 EB-2016-0160 Response to OEB Staff 1 Attachment 2 Page 6 of 10

Deve	Development Capital Projects ²						
		EB-20	14-0140	ISD Reference Number from EB-			
ID	Project	2017 Budget (\$M)	2018 Budget (\$M)	2016-0160 if project is included in 2016 filing, or reason for deletion			
D01	New 500 kV Bruce to Milton Double Circuit Transmission Line	6.5	0.0	Other Projects <\$3M			
D02	Clarington TS: Build new 500/230kV Station	53.2	0.0	ISD Ref # D01			
D03	Installation of Shunt Capacitor Banks at Cherrywood TS	7.0	3.5	Other Projects <\$3M			
D04	Midtown Transmission Reinforcement Plan	0.0	0.0	Project in-service November 2016			
D05	Guelph Area Transmission Reinforcement	0.0	0.0	Project in-service November 2016			
D06	Preston TS Transformation	10.0	0.0	Project deferred and replaced by Galt Jct. switches. Refer to ISD Ref # D06			
D07	Toronto Area Station Upgrades for Short Circuit Capability: Manby TS Equipment Uprate	0.0	0.0	Expected in-service December 2016.			
D08	Hawthorne TS: Replace two existing Transformers	4.5	0.0	ISD Ref # D08			
D09	York Region – Increase Transmission Capability for B82V/B83V Circuits	7.0	0.0	ISD Ref # D07			
D10	Copeland MTS: Build line connection for Toronto	0.0	0.0	In-service date delayed to Q1 2018 by			

² Some forecast costs were provided in EB-2014-0140 Exhibit 1, Tab 4, Schedule 20, Page 2 of 4

Filed: 2016-11-25 EB-2016-0160 Response to OEB Staff 1 Attachment 2 Page 7 of 10

Deve	Development Capital Projects ²					
	Project	EB-20	14-0140	ISD Reference Number from EB-		
ID		2017 Budget	2018 Budget	2016-0160 if project is included in 2016 filing, or reason for deletion		
		(\$M)	(\$M)			
	Hydro			customer in August 2016. Project is		
				expected to be fully recoverable.		
D11	Seaton TS: Build New 230-28kV Transformer Station	8.0	0.0	ISD Ref # D17		
D12	Supply to Essex County Transmission Reinforcement	10.0	0.0	ISD Ref # D14		
D13	Napanee Gas Generation Connection	0.5	0.0	Other Projects <\$3M		
D14	Transmission Station P&C Upgrades for DG	6.7	0.1	ISD Ref # D23		

Filed: 2016-11-25 EB-2016-0160 Response to OEB Staff 1 Attachment 2 Page 8 of 10

Ope	rations Capital			
		EB-201	14-0140	ISD Reference Number from
ID	Project	2017 Budget	2018 Budget	EB-2016-0160 if project is included in 2016 filing, or reason for deletion
01	NMS Capital Sustainment	0.0	0.0	In-serviced in February 2016. Investment Complete.
02	BUCC New Facility Development	6.0	3.3.	ISD Ref # O01 – Investment name changed from BUCC New Facility Development to Integrated System Operations Centre – New Facility Development (ISD-O01)
03	Wide Area Network Outreach Program	5.0	1.0	Cancelled due to negative test results. No cash flow greater than \$3M in test years
O4	Station LAN Infrastructure Program	5.9	6.0	Majority of the work has been combined with integrated station investment projects. No cash flow greater than \$3M in test years
O5	Fault Locating Program	3.0	0.0	The plan is being re-evaluated and combined with other control infrastructure

Filed: 2016-11-25 EB-2016-0160 Response to OEB Staff 1 Attachment 2 Page 9 of 10

Oper	Operations Capital					
		EB-2014-0140		ISD Reference Number from		
ID	Project	2017 Budget	2018 Budget	EB-2016-0160 if project is included in 2016 filing, or reason for deletion		
				initiatives. No cash flow greater than \$3M in test years.		
06	Grid Control Network Sustainment	2.0	2.0	ISD Ref# O02		
07	Hub Site Management Program	3.9	3.3	No cash flow greater than \$3M in test years.		

Capi	Capital Common Corporate Costs And Other Costs						
	Project	EB-20	14-0140	ISD Reference Number from EB-			
ID		2017	2018	2016-0160 if project is included in			
		Budget	Budget	2016 filing, or reason for deletion			
IT1	Hardware/Software Refresh and Maintenance	\$5.4	\$5.4	ISD Ref #IT1 - Ongoing Capital			
		φ.9.4	9 3.4 9 3.4	Program.			
IT2	MFA Servers and Storage	\$4.4	\$2.9	ISD Ref #IT2 - Ongoing Capital			
				Program.			
IT3	MFA PC and Printer Hardware	\$2.9	\$2.5	No cash flow in excess of \$3M in			

Filed: 2016-11-25 EB-2016-0160 Response to OEB Staff 1 Attachment 2 Page 10 of 10

Capital Common Corporate Costs And Other Costs						
		EB-20	14-0140	ISD Reference Number from EB-		
ID	Project	2017	2018	2016-0160 if project is included in		
		Budget	Budget	2016 filing, or reason for deletion		
				2017 or 2018. Ongoing Capital		
				Program.		
IT4	Field Workforce Optimization and Mobile IT	\$4.3	\$1.1	ISD Ref #IT3		
IT5	Customer Experience	\$0.0	\$0.0	Entire associated costs are allocated to		
				Distribution.		
IT6	Corporate Support Optimization	\$0.0	\$1.6M	No cash flow in excess of \$3M in		
				2017 or 2018. In proceeding EB-		
				2016-0160, this investment is		
				described as specific human resource,		
				environment health and safety		
				functions associated projects.		
C1	Real Estate Head Office & GTA Facilities Capital	0	0	Project completed.		
	for 2015					
C2	Real Estate Field Facilities Capital	\$17.2	\$19.9	ISD Ref #CC1 - Ongoing program.		
C3	Transport & Work Equipment	\$15.5	\$17.2	ISD Ref #C3		
C4	Service Equipment	\$4.2	\$3.8	ISD Ref #C4		

Filed: 2016-11-25 EB-2016-0160 Response to Board Staff 2 Page 1 of 1

```
Ontario Energy Board (Board Staff) Pre-Hearing UNDERTAKING #2
1
2
     Undertaking
3
4
     Please provide the most current business cases supporting the complete rebuild of the
5
     following four substations in the Hamilton area as listed in Exhibit B1, Tab 3, Schedule
6
     11
7
               o #S08 – Station Reinvestment – Beach TS;
8
               o #S11 – Station Reinvestment – Elgin TS;
9
               • #S13 – Station Reinvestment – Gage TS;
10
               • #S14 – Station Reinvestment – Kenilworth TS.
11
12
     Response
13
14
     Attached are business case summary approval documents for Elgin TS(S11) and Beach
15
     TS (S08).
16
17
     Kenilworth TS (S14) and Gage TS (S13) are under detailed estimating. As such, business
18
     case summary documents are not available at this time. Business case summary
19
     documents are produced upon finalizing the cost estimate as the vehicle for seeking
20
     authorization to proceed with expenditure.
21
22
     In recent discussions with the IESO, Hydro One agreed that there is merit in looking at
23
     Gage and Kenilworth from a broader coordinated regional perspective as these projects
24
     have not been committed. We will be reviewing them with the IESO and LDC's as part
25
     of the Burlington to Nanticoke Regional Infrastructure Plan. The review will shape their
26
     final business case documents to achieve the best overall solution for the system and rate
27
               Conducting regional planning review for these and other future major
     payers.
28
     investments will ensure full coordination with the planning activities of the IESO and the
29
     regional planning partners to optimize ratepayer value.
30
```

Filed: 2016-11-25 EB-2016-0160 Response to OEB Staff 2 Attachment 1 Page 1 of 4



Investment Driver: N.T.C.1.08 AR Number: 17148 Date: July 27, 2015 Title: Elgin TS: EOL Replacement Project

Hydro One Networks - Business Case Summary - 50004070

Elgin TS: End of Life (EOL) Replacement Project

Investment Driver:

In-Service date: November 2, 2019

N.T.C.1.08: System Re-investments (2015 - \$226M, 2016 - \$180M, 2017 - \$153M, 2018 - \$154M, 2019 - \$106M) are intended to integrate the replacement of multiple station assets that are approaching end of life.

This Approval: \$58.2M

Previous Approval: \$0.2M

Project Total: \$58.4M

Need:

To replace assets at Elgin TS that are at end of life (EOL) due to their deteriorated condition, obsolescence and high maintenance costs. Not proceeding with this work will increase the risk of further equipment deterioration and result in reduced reliability to Horizon Utilities' customers in downtown City of Hamilton. There is an increased level of urgency to address the risk of equipment failure due to the fact that the station is adjacent to a school and daycare centre.

Investment Summary:

Elgin TS is a transmission station that transforms 115 kV into 13.8 kV, supplying load delivery to customer Horizon Utilities in the downtown core of the City of Hamilton.

The Elgin TS T1/T2 and T3/T4 transformer switchyards were built in 1968. These assets are in degraded condition as verified through visual inspection and diagnostic testing. Further, the equipment within these two switchyards are obsolete and some of the parts are no longer supported by the manufacturer.

This investment will result in the complete rebuild and reconfiguration of the T1/T2 and T3/T4 switchyards, replacing existing EOL and degraded infrastructure, including the T1/T2 and T3/T4 transformers and associated switchgear, with new Medium Voltage Gas Insulated Switchgear (MVGIS) equipment built to current HONI standards. These two existing switchyards will be reconfigured into a single switchyard and avoid the need to procure additional land to expand the station.

Reconfiguration of the station into a single facility will minimize ongoing lifecycle cost and integration of the replacement of multiple end-of-life components into a single station project, allows additional efficiencies to be realized during the design, construction, and commissioning stages of the work.

Results:

Improved reliability, standardized design and reduced ongoing lifecycle costs.

	n					
	2015 M	2016 M	2017 M	2018 M	2019 M	Total M
Capital* and MFA	0.9	7.1	13.4	22.5	13.5	57.4
OM&A and Removals	0.1	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8
Gross Investment Cost*	1.0	7.8	13.4	22.5	13.5	58.2
Recoverable	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Net Investment Cost	1.0	7.8	13.4	22.5	13.5	58.2

Costs:

Cost includes interest and overhead at current rates



Investment Driver: N.T.C.1.08 AR Number: 17148 Date: July 27, 2015 Title: Elgin TS: EOL Replacement Project

Alternatives

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND REJECTED

Status Quo or Do nothing Alternative

The existing Pioneer Electric, Ferranti Packard and English Electric transformers and associated equipment at Elgin TS are EOL, in deteriorated condition and are in need of replacement to maintain the reliability of supply to Horizon Utilities downtown City of Hamilton loads. This status quo option is rejected because it does not address the condition of the assets, reliability of the station and risk of equipment failure which is magnified by the fact that the station is adjacent to a school and daycare centre.

Alternative One

This alternative maintains the existing two switchyard arrangement by replacing like-for-like four transformers and associated low voltage facilities in two switchyards. This alternative was rejected because the existing station property footprint does not provide the necessary space required to meet current HONI switchyard requirements e.g. holding tanks and spill containment facilities required for four transformers.

Alternative Two

Due to the lack of additional space available within the existing station footprint this alternative purchases additional property and reroutes underground 115kV power supply cables. It would also require extensive rerouting and re-termination of the distribution system cables. This alternative was rejected because of the high cost of rebuilding and rerouting the underground cables through City of Hamilton streets and the increased time required for approvals including real estate, environmental and OEB Section 92.

RECOMMENDED ALTERNATIVE AND RATIONALE

Alternative Three

This alternative consists of reconfiguring the station's two switchyards to a single switchyard with MVGIS to reduce station switchgear assemblies supplying Horizon Utilities. Along with the transformer and switchgear upgrades, spill containment, protection, control and telecommunication equipment would also be upgraded to meet current HONI standards. Proceeding with this option consolidates the station facilities into one switchyard within the existing station footprint and improves the reliability of supply to Horizon Utilities in the City of Hamilton.

Alternative three is the recommended alternative because it addresses all deteriorated equipment at Elgin TS, improves the reliability of supply to the customer, addresses the safety concerns and minimizes OM&A costs by reducing the number of DESN's from two to one.



Investment Driver: N.T.C.1.08 AR Number: 17148

Date: July 27, 2015 Title: Elgin TS: EOL Replacement Project

Alternatives Compared

Alternatives Compared	Project	Level Risk	
Business Value	Current Risk	Alt3	Comparison
Reliability	HIGH	LOW	Alternative three will increase reliability of supply by providing MVGIS switchgear facilities to meet customer requirements and HONI standards. This station refurbishment will also aim to reduce the 20 delivery point interruptions since 2005 and improve the 174 deficiency reports related to the station over the last 7 years.
Customer	HIGH	LOW	Alternative three will ensure a robust and reliable supply to Horizon Utilities by consolidating station facilities into one switchyard within the existing station footprint. This avoids the need to expand the station and minimizes the impact to the customer during construction.
Competitiveness	HIGH	LOW	Alternative three will minimize ongoing lifecycle costs by consolidating and reconfiguring the station into one DESN station.
Safety and environment	MED	LOW	The existing transformers T1/T2 have no spill containment facilities, while transformers T3/T4 spill containment facilities are not up to current HONI standard. No noise mitigation or fire barrier facilities exist for T1 and T2.Spill containment, noise mitigation and fire separation for new transformers will mitigate environmental risks and comply with MOE requirements. There is an increased level of urgency to address the risk of equipment failure due to the fact that the station is adjacent to a school and daycare centre.
Regulatory / Legal	MED	LOW	Replacement of EOL facilities will ensure that Hydro One continues to meets its license obligations under the Transmission System Code.
Reputation	N/A	N/A	Not influential in the investment decision.
Initial Cost (\$M)		58	3.4
Financial: PV Cost / NPV (\$M)			NPV costs were not calculated as there is only one viable alternative and the decision was not primarily based on financial factors

Project Risk and Mitigation:

<u>Cost</u>:

Project costs of \$58.4M are based on estimates which have an accuracy of +/- 20% and include interest charges, overheads and an allowance of \$3.9M for contingency.



Investment Driver: N.T.C.1.08 AR Number: 17148 Date: July 27, 2015 Title: Elgin TS: EOL Replacement Project

Business Planning:

This investment was included in the 2015-2019 Business Plan at a cost of \$42.2M. Current estimated costs are \$58.4M. This increase is due to improved project definition as a result of detailed estimate and a site assessment with engineering and field personnel. Additional required funding will be provided through the reprioritization of projects within the Tx capital envelope.

Execution Risks: Approvals - Low S.92 - N/A EA - N/A Outages - Medium (due to coordination with Horizon Utilities required) Resourcing - Low First Nations - N/A Real Estate - Medium (construction staging area requires City of Hamilton approval to use nearby parking lot) Agreements - N/A Technology - N/A

Regulatory Considerations:

This investment was included in Hydro One's 2015/2016 Transmission Rate Filing at a total cost of \$33M (to be spent by 2016) and with an in-service date in 2017. Funding for the additional proposed TX capital expenditures will be redirected from projects that are delayed or through the reprioritization of work within the Transmission capital envelope. Any impact to the total transmission 2015 and 2016 capital in-service additions target, as a result of reprioritization of projects, will be coordinated and managed.

The current capital project's expenditures forecast of \$13.4M, \$22.5M and \$13.5M planned to be spent in 2017, 2018 and 2019 respectively, will be included in HONI's next transmission rates application, as it was outside the window of the previous Transmission rate filing.

No other significant regulatory issues are anticipated other than the standard need and prudence justification.

Funds Included in Business Plan: N	Director: Chong Kiat Ng			Planner: Fred Kouhdani			
This Approval(\$M): 58.2		Previous Appr 0.2	oval(\$M):		Current Est. of Total Cost(\$M): 58.4		
Signature Block:							
Submitted by: Sandy Struthers	Z	2	Title: COO & EVP Str	ategic	Planning	Date: A924/15	
Reviewed by: Michael Vels	N-PF	h C	Title: Chief Financial	Officer		Date: 5/20/12	
Recommended by: Carmine Marcello	Unil	ut.	, Title: President and C	EO		Date:	
Approved by:	×/11	10An-	Title: Beard of Directo	ors Adv	vice	Date: / Que 3/15	

Scientific Research & Experimental Development Tax Credits (SR&ED)

- Do you anticipate that the initiative to meet the set of business requirements in this document will result in a *Technological Advancement*? N

- Do you anticipate that the initiative will resolve a Technological Uncertainty? N

Hydro One Limited / Hydro One Inc. **ADVICE OF DECISION OF BOARD OF DIRECTORS** (excerpt from minutes of Board of Directors) Filed: 2016-11-25 EB-2016-0160 Response to OEB Staff 2 Attachment 2 Page 1 of 1

to		date of meeting	February 2, 2016
copies to:	File	agenda number	7.2
subject:	Capital Projects		
Beach	Transformer Station Upgrade		

After consideration, upon motion duly made, seconded, and unanimously carried, it was RESOLVED:

THAT the Board of Directors approve the investment of \$77.7 million for the Beach Transformer Station Upgrade.

itan

SECRETARY Issued on February 4, 2016

Filed: 2016-11-25 EB-2016-0160 Response to OEB Staff 2 Attachment 3 Page 1 of 7

Hydro One Limited/ Hydro One Inc.

Submission to the Board of Directors

hydro

Date: February 2, 2016

Re: Approval for Beach Transformer Station Integrated Station Upgrade

At the board meeting, I will present a proposal to spend \$77.7 million to replace end of life equipment at Beach Transformer Station. The station serves Hamilton's industrial centre and part of its downtown core. The completed planned in-service date is December 2019.

We are asking for approval of the project, as per the attached board resolution.

Yours sincerely,

Sandy Struthers Chief Operating Officer and Executive Vice President, Strategic Planning

Beach Transformer Station Upgrade

Resolution:

After consideration, upon motion duly made, seconded, and unanimously carried, be it RESOLVED:

THAT the Board of Directors of Hydro One Inc. approve the investment of \$77.7 million for the Beach Transformer Station Upgrade.



Hydro One Board of Directors

Approval – Beach Transformer Station Integrated Station Upgrade

February 2, 2016

February 2, 2016

Strictly Private and Confidential



Overview

We are requesting approval for \$77.7 million to replace end of life equipment by rebuilding the Beach Transformer Station 230 kV switchyard in a greenfield location, on the existing property, consistent with current Hydro One design standards and Northeast Power Coordinating Council requirements. The station has deteriorated assets and conditions that are negatively impacting the reliability of supply to local distribution companies and direct industrial customers in the Hamilton/Niagara and Burlington areas.

The planned completed in-service date is December 2019.

Investment Details

Built in the late 1940's, Beach Transformer Station is located within Hamilton's industrial core. It is connected to Hydro One's networks in the area. Beach Transformer Station directly supplies two major industrial customer stations owned by ArcelorMittal Dofasco and a local distribution company (Horizon Utilities). The station also serves as a primary supply point for twenty other transformer stations within Hamilton-Niagara Region.

Due to the condition of the assets at Beach, since 2008, there have been 20 cooling or oil level/temperature related issues on transformers T3 and T4 and a total of over 280 corrective and emergency work orders. These transformers are located adjacent to administrative buildings and lack the necessary fire protection and separation, resulting in increased safety risk.

Furthermore, spill containment, drainage and oil/water separator facilities currently do not meet current Ministry of Environment and Climate Change requirements and are assessed to be the second greatest spill risk of the 291 Hydro One stations. Separate investments are already underway to correct the deficiency at the highest (Wanstead) and third highest risk (Birch) transformer stations.

We had initially approved the investment in 2014 with an estimated total cost of \$25.4 million based on using a brownfield, like-for-like, in-situ asset replacement, and using unit cost estimates.

Subsequent engineering revealed that the station layout makes in-situ asset replacement unfeasible and confirmed that the only viable approach would be to rebuild the existing 230kV switchyard in a greenfield location, replace and relocate transformers T3 and T4, install spill containment to meet regulatory requirements and upgrade the protection, control and telecommunication facilities.



We have undertaken significant work to date, including the refurbishment of the existing protection, control and telecommunication building, construction of AC/DC station service, and construction of the new switchyard ground grid, foundations, steel structures, and associated buses. These past expenditures were required to support the increased scope of the project and will form part of the useful in-service additions starting in 2016. This approval seeks the remaining funds required to upgrade the station to required standards.

Strictly Private and Confidential



Benefits

Beach Transformer Station is key to the reliable supply to a major distributor and a large industrial customer, and to twenty customer owned and Hydro One stations. As such, any improvements in reliability and reduced interruption cost impact a large number of our customers. The investment will provide the following benefits:

(a) Reduce the risk of customer interruptions and improve reliability of supply by 30%

(b) Reduce outage constraints for improved work execution efficiency and minimize the risk of interruptions to Horizon Utilities and ArcelorMittal Dofasco

(c) Increase station short circuit capability to enable future integration of generation

(d) Address an ongoing safety concern

(e) Reduce loading on the 115kV network in Hamilton and Burlington

(f) Meet current Hydro One design standards and Northeast Power Coordinating Council requirements.

Cost Summary

This is a multi-year project, with expenditures planned over four years. However, we are able to segregate and measure discrete elements of the project to enable capital to be placed into service during the project duration, thus limiting the lag between capital spending and inclusion of the investment in the Company's rate base. The following is the planned schedule of placing asset in-service:

	2016(\$M)	2017(\$M)	2018 (\$M)	2019 (\$M)	Total (\$M)
In-Service \$ Additions	19.1	13.2	38.2	5.5	76.0

The cost breakdown is as follows:

Category	Cost (\$M)
Material	24.3
Construction	24.0
Project Management, Engineering & Commissioning	11.0
Contingency	2.0
Interest & Overhead	16.4
Total	77.7

* \$1.7 million of construction expenditures is OM&A for removal of old assets

Contingency represents only 2.6% of the total project cost as a majority of the materials have already been procured, significant engineering and make ready construction work has been completed and dedicated resources have been allocated to manage outage requirements.

Alternatives Considered

Due to asset condition, performance and safety concerns; there is no other viable alternative.



Regulatory Impacts

The 2015 and 2016 capital spend for this project were not included in Hydro One's approved 2015/16 Transmission Rate Filing. The funding for the project will require redirection from other projects which will be delayed or deferred without impacting committed in-service capital amounts.

The total planned project expenditures and related in-service commitments will be included in the 2017/2018 rate application that will be filed with the Ontario Energy Board in May 2016. We consider the risk of non-recovery of these amounts to be low as this investment is required to address equipment risks that exist at the station, potential significant impact to customers in the network and the clear and supportable benefits to the system of proceeding.

No other significant regulatory issues are anticipated other than the standard need and prudence justification.

Risks and Mitigation

Outages

Obtaining the necessary outages at this station will require outage coordination with ArcelorMittal Dofasco and Horizon Utilities. ArcelorMittal Dofasco has two customer owned stations which are supplied directly from Beach Transformer Station and have limited acceptable outage windows and durations. The customer outage windows are also constrained by distribution system outages that may be required by Horizon Utilities. The risk is considered to be medium. Unforeseen delays in securing the required outages will directly impact the project cost and schedule, until the next outage opportunity becomes available.

These risks are being mitigated by Horizon Utilities cooperating to facilitate load transfers to adjacent stations and working with the two customers to agree upon and complete a detailed outage staging plan

First Nations

The work to be completed will take place within the existing station footprint and a Class Environmental Assessment is not required. However, Hydro One will notify the surrounding First Nations to maintain its ongoing positive relationship. We do not consider this element to be a high risk for this project.



SAP Information & Signature Sheet

Annual Expenditures:

	2015(\$M)	2016(\$M)	2017(\$M)	2018 (\$M)	2019 (\$M)	Total (\$M)
Capital*	28.2	9.7	14.3	18.3	5.5	76.0
OM&A and Removals		0.9	0.8		-	1.7
Gross Investment Cost	28.2	10.6	15.1	18.3	5.5	77.7

* Includes capitalized interest and overheads

Investment Name:	Beach Transformer Station Integrated Station Upgrade					
Final In-service Date:	Decer	December 31, 2019				
Business Case Summary #: 50004331	Acqui 23020	sition Request (AR) #:	Investment Driver: N.T.C.1.10			
Funds Included in Business Plan: Yes	Direct	t or: 9 Kiat Ng	Planner: Nimesh Mistry			
This Approval (\$): \$52.3M	Previo \$25.41	ous Approval (\$): M	Current est. of Total Cost (\$): \$77.7M			
Signature Block:	1		1			
Submitted by: Sandy Struthers		Title: COO & EVP Strategic Planning		Date:		
Reviewed by: Michael Vels		Title: Chief Financial Officer		Date:		
Recommended by: Mayo Schmidt		Title: President and CEO		Date:		
Approved by:		Title: Board of Directors Advice		Date:		

<u>Scientific Research & Experimental Development Tax Credits (SR&ED)</u>: _CONFIRM WITH TAX IF REQUIRED

- Do you anticipate that an initiative to meet the set of business requirements in this document will result in a *Technological Advancement*? No
- Do you anticipate that the initiative will resolve a Technological Uncertainty? No

Filed: 2016-11-25 EB-2016-0160 Response to Board Staff 3 Page 1 of 2

Ontario Energy Board (Board Staff) Pre-Hearing UNDERTAKING #3

1 2

3 **Undertaking**

4

5 OEB staff has compiled the following tables using information in the current EB-2016-

6 0160 application as well as the previous EB-2014-0140 application. Staff requests that

7 Hydro One confirm the calculations, totals and percentages in the tables.

8 9

Table 1- Average Capital Expenditure and Investment Percentageby Category 2012 – 2021 (\$ Million)

	Historic and Expend (2012 –	litures	Test Year an Expend (2017 –	Forecast Increase in Average	
Category	Average Expenditures	% of Total Expenditure	Average Expenditures	% of Total Expenditure	Annual Expenditures vs. Historical Spend by Cost Category
Sustaining	581.84	68%	895.58	73%	54%
Development	192.94	23%	224.58	18%	16%
Operations	21.4	2%	32.16	3%	50%
Common Corp Costs	61.04	7%	77.56	6%	27%
Total Capital	857.2	100%	1,229.86	100%	43%

10

11

Table 2- Forecast Expenditure Increases Compared to 2015/16 COS Filing in 2014 (EB-2014-0140) (\$ Million)

12 COS Filing in 2014 (EB-2014-0140) (\$ Million)									
	EB	-2014-014	40 ¹	EB-2016-0160			Comparison between Filings		
Investment Category	Forecast Years		Test Year 1	Test Year 2	Forecast Year	2017 2018 Increa		2019	
	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019	Increase	e	Increase
Sustaining	597.4	636.7	600.1	776.8	842.1	825.7	30.0%	32.3%	37.6%
Development	148.0	116.4	155.5	196.4	170.2	244.0	32.7%	46.2%	56.9%
Operations	44.4	25.2	18.8	25.4	30.8	58.8	-42.8%	22.2%	212.8%
Common Corp Costs	58.0	60.4	57.0	77.6	79.1	79.1	33.8%	31.0%	38.8%
Total Capital	847.8	838.7	831.4	1,076.1	1,122.2	1,207.5	26.9%	33.8%	45.2%

13

¹ EB-2014-0140, Exhibit A, Tab 16, Schedule 8, Page 3-4: Table 1: Transmission Capital Expenditures

Witness: Bing Young/Chong Kiat Ng/Gary Schneider

Filed: 2016-11-25 EB-2016-0160 Response to Board Staff 3 Page 2 of 2

- 1 **Response**
- 2
- ³ Hydro One has reviewed Table 1 and 2 as provided by the OEB staff and confirms the
- 4 calculations, totals and percentages in the table are correct.