

McCarthy Tétrault LLP
PO Box 48, Suite 5300
Toronto-Dominion Bank Tower
Toronto ON M5K 1E6
Canada
Tel: 416-362-1812
Fax: 416-868-0673

Gordon M. Nettleton
Partner
Email: gnettleton@mccarthy.ca

**mccarthy
tétrault**

December 9, 2016

VIA RESS AND COURIER

Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
P.O. Box 2319
2300 Yonge Street, 27th Floor
Toronto, Ontario M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

**RE: EB-2016-0160 Hydro One Networks Inc. (“Hydro One”) Transmission Rates
Application – Responses to Undertakings J9.7, J9.4 and J9.5**

Hydro One’s responses to Undertakings J9.7, J9.4 and J9.5 are enclosed.

Yours truly,

McCarthy Tétrault LLP

Per:



For: Gordon M. Nettleton

GMN

UNDERTAKING – J9.7

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

Undertaking

To provide a version of undertaking TCJ1.12 that shows overtime hours worked and overtime amount paid just for transmission.

Response

The table below shows the actual overtime hours incurred by Hydro One Transmission over the period 2010 to 2016. Because the overtime premium paid varies between 1.5 to 2.0 times base wage rate, determining the actual overtime dollars paid by Hydro One Transmission would require a review of all individual time sheets submitted during this period. In lieu of such review, to provide this information in a timely manner, Hydro One has applied the Tx: Dx ratio of total overtime hours to its total overtime expenditure to derive Hydro One Transmission’s overtime expenditure.

Year	TX Overtime Hours Worked	Overtime Amount Paid Including Premium
2010	377,326	\$30,816,826
2011	412,798	\$33,938,348
2012	308,982	\$27,852,266
2013	342,388	\$30,406,257
2014	425,975	\$38,982,674
2015	368,440	\$34,066,160
2016	290,326	\$20,955,074

18

1 **UNDERTAKING – J9.4**

2
3 **Undertaking**

4
5 To provide a response to the question at page 11. (Ref.: Exhibit I-1-106)

6
7 **Response**

8
9 The reference is to Hydro One’s business case for a comparable project.

UNDERTAKING – J9.5

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10

Undertaking

To provide the difference in costs for the SAP project forecasted in 2014 and now. (Ref.: Exhibit I-1-106)

Response

Difference in costs are \$1.2 million in 2017 and \$0.3 million in 2018.