

[REDACTED]

From: BoardSec
Sent: December 12, 2016 10:10 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Letter of Comment Submitted: EB-2016-0296, EB-2016-0300, EB-2016-0330

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: webmaster@ontarioenergyboard.ca [<mailto:webmaster@ontarioenergyboard.ca>]
Sent: December-10-16 5:32 PM
To: BoardSec
Subject: Letter of Comment Submitted: EB-2016-0296, EB-2016-0300, EB-2016-0330

LETTER OF COMMENT

Comments:

I do not see why as an energy saving residential customer I should be penalised for the company passing on their cap & trade costs to me. My efforts to reduce usage for the past 30 years comprise of a set back thermostat where the temperature is set at 16 degrees during the night and week days and 21 degrees on weekends. Of course my usage is based on the weather temperatures over which I have no control. I also have a high efficiency gas furnace which is well maintained and helps to contribute to keeping my costs down. In these times of continual rising energy (hydro) costs, Enbridge should look internally to other sources such as effective cost cutting to offset their cap & trade costs without passing it onto the backs of their residential customers, who live on fixed incomes.

Name: Edward Da Silva

Address: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

Company: