Filed 2017-2-16 EB-2016-0152 Exhibit M2 Tab 11.1 Schedule SEC-001 Page 1 of 3

SEC Interrogatory #1

3 Issue Number: 11.1

- 4 **Issue**: Is OPG's approach to incentive rate-setting for establishing the regulated
- 5 hydroelectric payment amounts appropriate?6

8 Interrogatory:

9 10 **Reference:** Exhibit M2

[p.6 and 64] Please provide an estimate of the appropriate increase in the X factor if the
CRVA is approved as proposed, and the basis for that estimate.

1516 <u>Response (Revised):</u>

18 The following response was provided by PEG:

19

17

1

2

7

11

20 It is difficult for PEG to estimate the appropriate increase in the X factor without more 21 information from OPG concerning the scale of plant additions it expects to address with 22 the CRVA. Pending receipt of further information, PEG has recalculated the MFP trend 23 of its featured large sample peer group excluding 25% and 50% of plant additions to 24 show the directional effect of excluding additions. Results are presented in Attachment 25 M2-11.1-SEC-1. It can be seen that, over the featured 1996-2014 sample period, the 26 average annual MFP growth rate with 25% of plant additions excluded would rise by 32 27 basis points to 0.61% annually. With 50% of plant additions excluded, the average 28 annual growth rate would rise by 66 basis points to 0.95%. 29 30

With receipt of better information from OPG's updated response to SEC-095, PEG 31 prepared Table 1 which shows that approximately 35% of the Company's capital 32 spending during the IR term would be addressed by the CRVA. Table 2 shows that, for 33 PEG's featured "larger" sample over the featured 1996-2014 sample period, removing 34 35% of the capex of sampled utilities while keeping all of the declining cost of older 35 plant would cause the capital quantity index to average a -1.06% annual decline rather 36 than a -0.48% annual decline. The average annual MFP growth rate rises by 45 basis 37 points to 0.74%. Further detail of these calculations is presented in Attachment M2-38 11.1-SEC-1-Updated.

Table 1

Estimate of CRVA Plant Addition Share in Total Plant Additions

	Total CRVA Plant		
	Additions*	Total Plant Additions*	additions
2017	88	182	48%
2018	38	178	21%
2019	72	186	39%
2020	81.5	211	39%
2021	56.5	195	29%
Average for 201	35%		

*As found in OPG's updated response to SEC-095.

1

Table 2

Hydroelectric Generation MFP Growth of US Investor-Owned Electric Utilities¹

(Larger Sample with 35% Capex Reduction for CRVA)

Year	Out	Outputs		Inputs			Multifactor Productivity	
	Capacity	Volume	Capital	0&M	Multifactor	Capacity	Volume	
1996	-1.14%	1.29%	2.96%	6.88%	3.89%	-5.03%	-2.60%	
1997	1.04%	-0.76%	-2.08%	-5.09%	-2.58%	3.61%	1.81%	
1998	0.14%	6.75%	-1.71%	-4.55%	-2.14%	2.28%	8.89%	
1999	-0.60%	-15.88%	-2.08%	8.22%	-0.84%	0.23%	-15.04%	
2000	0.13%	-10.53%	-1.96%	-12.08%	-2.21%	2.34%	-8.32%	
2001	0.38%	-13.21%	-2.02%	5.90%	-1.71%	2.09%	-11.49%	
2002	-0.66%	10.02%	-1.98%	-0.17%	-1.90%	1.24%	11.93%	
2003	0.12%	17.89%	-1.89%	4.68%	-0.98%	1.10%	18.86%	
2004	-0.18%	-9.60%	-2.02%	5.08%	-0.95%	0.76%	-8.65%	
2005	0.44%	5.18%	-1.71%	1.92%	-1.16%	1.61%	6.34%	
2006	0.20%	0.75%	-0.42%	-5.77%	-1.16%	1.37%	1.91%	
2007	1.52%	-32.12%	-1.76%	11.18%	0.71%	0.81%	-32.83%	
2008	-0.11%	3.23%	-1.47%	2.09%	-0.53%	0.42%	3.76%	
2009	0.10%	22.18%	-1.28%	4.65%	0.40%	-0.29%	21.78%	
2010	-0.01%	-2.04%	-1.36%	3.70%	-0.15%	0.14%	-1.88%	
2011	0.09%	2.42%	-0.25%	0.94%	0.26%	-0.17%	2.16%	
2012	-0.05%	-21.05%	-0.43%	0.14%	-0.28%	0.23%	-20.77%	
2013	1.74%	8.48%	0.26%	0.56%	0.37%	1.37%	8.11%	
2014	0.69%	-13.20%	1.16%	0.59%	0.69%	0.00%	-13.89%	
Averages:								
1996-2014	0.20%	-2.11%	-1.06%	1.52%	-0.54%	0.74%	-1.57%	

¹ Growth rates are calculated logarithmically.