Filed: 2017-03-01 EB-2016-0152 J2.5 Page **1** of **1** 

1 **UNDERTAKING J2.5** 2 3 4 **Undertaking** 5 6 7 To advise whether the government was provided with a specific Unit 2 number in 8 addition to the total DRP number. 9 10 11 12 Response 13 14 OPG did not provide a specific Unit 2 in-service amount to the Province when it obtained approval for DRP. A breakout of the total Darlington Refurbishment Program 15 Estimate of \$12.8B in terms of expenditures to date, future contract expenditures, future 16 17 OPG expenditures, contingency and interest and escalation (similar to that shown in Ex. 18 L 4.3-1 Staff-055 Attachment 1, p. 7) was provided to the Province.

Filed: 2017-03-01 EB-2016-0152 J2.7 Page **1** of **1** 

1	UNDERTAKING J2.7
2	
3	
4	<u>Undertaking</u>
5 6	Reference: Ex. D2-2-2, Attachment 2, p.21
7	
8	To provide the major scope changes from when scope was finalized to present and any
9	associated cost from these changes.
10	
11	
12	<u>Response</u>
13	
14	There have been no major scope changes since the finalization of the approval of the
15	Release Quality Estimate in November 2015 to the end of January 2017. Please refer
16	to Ex. L-4.3-2 AMPCO-060 which indicates that since RQE to August 1, 2016, the
17	number of Darlington Scope Requests had increased from 340 to 344, with no material
18	impacts on cost and schedule. OPG confirms that since August 1, 2016, there have
19	been no further material changes in scope and the number of DSRs remains at 344.
20	