

From: tolmie129@rogers.com [mailto:tolmie129@rogers.com]

Sent: March-01-17 11:58 PM

To: OPG REG AFFAIRS; BoardSec; 'AMPCO - David Goldsmith'; 'AMPCO - Ian Mondrow'; 'AMPCO - Raymond Lukosius'; 'AMPCO - Shelley Grice'; 'Candu Energy - Sameer Akhtar'; 'CanSIA - Ben Weir'; 'CanWEA - Brandy Giannetta'; 'CCC - Julie Girvan'; 'CCC - Michael Buonaguro'; 'CME - Emma Blanchard' <eblanchard@blg.com>, 'CME - Paul Clipsham'; 'CME - Vincent DeRose'; 'ED - Jack Gibbons' <jack@cleanairalliance.org>, 'ED - Kent Elson'; 'ED - Murray Klippenstein'; 'EP - Brady Yauch'; 'EP - Lawrence Schwartz'; 'EP - Norman Rubin'; 'GEC - David Poch'; 'GEC - Dr. Frank R. Greening'; 'GEC - Shawn-Patrick Stensil' <shawn.patrick.stensil@greenpeace.org>, 'IESO - Miriam Heinz' <miriam.heinz@ieso.ca>, KELLAR Dan -SOCIETY; 'LOW - Pippa Feinstein'; 'LPMA - Randy Aiken'; 'OAPPA - Bruce Sharp'; 'OAPPA - Scott Walker'; 'PWU - Bayu Kidane'; 'PWU - John Sprckett' <jsprckett@pwu.ca>, 'PWU - Kim McKenzie'; 'PWU - Richard Stephenson'; 'QMA - Michael McLeod'; 'QMA - René Veillette'; 'SEC - Jay Shepherd'; 'SEC - Mark Rubenstein'; 'SEC - Wayne McNally'; 'SEP - Bohdan Dumka'; 'SEP - Colin Fraser' <tresckow44@gmail.com>, 'SEP - Joe Fierro'; 'Shell - Paul Kerr'; 'SNC/Aecon JV - Linda Kardum'; 'SNC/Aecon JV - M. Philip Tunley'; 'SNC/Aecon JV - Niguel A.D. Mousseau'; 'VECC - Mark Garner'; Violet Binette; Michael Millar; Ian Richler; Rudra Mukherji
Cc: BEAUCHAMP John -LAWDIV; 'Keizer, Charles'; 'csmith@torys.com (csmith@torys.com)'
Subject: EB-2016-0152 Sustainability-Journal.ca Compendium for A2

Sustainability-Journal.ca compendium for Panel A2

Question of the day:

If the current news reports on power prices are correct will the suggested changes to the amortization periods affect the values for EB--2016-0152?

Exhibit N1
Tab 1
Schedule 1
Pg. 1

Impact Statement

1.0 Purpose

The purpose of this exhibition is to show the impact of certain material changes that have occurred since OPG submitted its pre-filed evidence in this application on May 27, 2016 consistent with the requirements of paragraph 11.02 of the OEB's Rules of Practice and Procedures.

Question:

If Cabinet should decide to quickly shut down the gas/oil fired generation stations what impact would that have on the DRP?

Ex. D2
Tab 2
Schedule 8
Pg. 1

Cost

1.0 Overview

On November 13, 2015 OPG's Board of Directors approved the Release Quality Estimate (RQE) and Execution Phase Business Case Summary for the Darlington Refurbishment Program (DRP). A copy of the Execution Phase Business Case Summary is provided in Attachment 1.

Question:

The MoE outline of the upcoming 2017 LTEP shows that one of the possibilities is that the demand for power might increase by 50% over a period roughly coinciding with the refurbishment period. Would the refurbishment schedule be shortened in that case or would the supply increase be managed using non-nuclear generation?

Ex. D2
Tab 2
Schedule 7
Pg. 1

Contingency

1.0 Overview

Risk management is a systematic approach for proactively identifying, analyzing, managing and responding to project risks. OPG has implemented a comprehensive and robust risk management system for the Darlington Refurbishment Program (DRP), a key products of which is the contingency that is included in the Release Quality Estimate (RQE). Contingency is an important tool for managing uncertainty and risk throughout the life of a project. The process that OPG has used to develop the DRP contingency is set out in this Ex. D-2-7. The process that OPG will use to manage contingency during the Execution Phase is described in Ex. D2-2-9.

Question:

It is possible that the market share for nuclear power might decline rapidly because of the development of less expensive generation or competition from storage that reduces the power demand. Would such changes be handled via the contingency procedure?

Ex. I1
Tab 3
Schedule 1
Pg. 1

2.0 Payment Amount

OPG is seeking approval of the following payment amounts:

- * \$65.81/MWh for the nuclear facilities effective January 1, 2017
- * \$73.05. 2018
- * \$81.09. 2019
- * \$90.01. 2020
- * \$99.91. 2051

The basis for the requested payment amounts is presented in Ex. 11-3-1 Table 1. This table also presents the proposed nuclear payment rider of \$2.85/MWh effective January 1, 2017 as provided in Ex. H1-2-1 Table 2.

Question:

If all or part of the proposed extensions for Pickering are not approved by the CNRC what change (if any) would be made to the requested payment amounts?

Ron Tolmie
Sustainability-Journal.ca.ca

Sent from my BlackBerry® PlayBook™
www.blackberry.com