

March 22, 2017

Ontario Energy Board
P.O. Box 2319
2300 Young Street, 27th Floor
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4
Attention: Board Secretary

Subject: EB-2016-0310
Henvey Inlet Wind GP Inc.
Decision and Procedural Order No. 2

Dear Kirsten Walli,

We appreciate this opportunity to respond to procedural Order No. 2

We are pleased to offer additional information to support our claim that the proposed Henvey Inlet Wind GP Inc (applicant) power transmission corridor does in fact affect our property at Pointe au Baril ON.

On the attached copy of survey [REDACTED] are all owned by the undersigned. They have been circled in red for your convenience.

The attached drawing excerpt is taken from Henvey Inlet Wind Project Leave to Construct Drawing [REDACTED] dated September 2016, found on page 61 of the applicant's Leave to Construct Application. The sections owned by the undersigned have been marked with the same numbering sequence as on the survey noted above and are circled in red for your convenience.

If one compares the survey and the drawing excerpt as noted above, it appears to the undersigned that the applicant's power transmission corridor extends over essentially all of [REDACTED], which have been highlighted in pink for your convenience. It is therefore difficult for the undersigned to understand how "the Proposed Transmission Line as described in Henvey Inlet Wind's application (and as confirmed in Henvey Inlet Wind's correspondence of February 7, 2017) does not cross over, under or through the property of (either) the Kastars...." as stated in Procedural Order NO. 1 dated February 16, 2017.

Further, it is also our understanding that the orange markings on the applicant's drawing [REDACTED] as noted above and attached, indicate "Directly Affected Land – Permanent", per the drawing legend. That being the case, the orange marking runs directly through [REDACTED], which are all owned by the undersigned.

Lastly, it must be commented that it is very disturbing to observe that the 150 foot wide corridor can be conveniently narrowed to circumvent the subject property, when it favours the applicant to do so. This can be seen on the applicant's drawing [REDACTED] where the corridor width narrows to avoid [REDACTED] which are owned by the undersigned. It then widens to the full 150 foot dimension as it passes the southern edge of the subject property. This makes one wonder why a corridor is specified at all.

Sincerely,


Richard Kaster


Anne Kaster