

[REDACTED]

From: registrar
Sent: June 7, 2017 2:50 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Letter of Comment - [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: webmaster@ontarioenergyboard.ca [<mailto:webmaster@ontarioenergyboard.ca>]
Sent: June 4, 2017 10:16 AM
To: registrar
Subject: Letter of Comment - [REDACTED]

The Ontario Energy Board

-- Comment date --
2017-06-04

-- Case Number --
EB-2017-0049

-- Name --
Thomas Andersen

-- Phone --
[REDACTED]

-- Company --
[REDACTED]

-- Address --
[REDACTED]

-- Comments --

Is there a way to find out what proportion of Hydro One Networks expenditures are a result of the Green Energy Act of Ontario?

What percentage of employees at Hydro One Networks work in supporting solar and wind new installations?

What percentage of new work done in the field is to support wind and solar?

e.g. construction of transmission lines for wind & solar, administration costs on solar and wind, interconnection costs for solar and wind and other costs?

Since the cost of wind and solar should be handled equitably by all people in Ontario, the cost of the Green Energy Act implementation for Hydro One Networks should be spread out over all electric accounts in Ontario, and not disproportionately paid for by Hydro One Network's home and small business accounts. Thus instead of a raise to end user rates, Hydro One Networks should vastly increase the cost of delivery to entities such as Toronto Hydro.

The fact that distribution rates have skyrocketed for the past decade, while demand has dropped, is a strong indication that the home and business customers of Hydro One Networks have been paying disproportionately for the implementation of the Green Energy Act of Ontario.

--Tom Andersen, Phd

-- Attachment --