From:

To:

Subject:

Date:

FW: Letter of Comment
July 27, 2017 11:21:49 AM

Original Message-----

From: webmaster@ontarioenergyboard.ca [mailto:webmaster@ontarioenergyboard.ca]

Sent: June-07-17 12:15 PM

To: registrar

Subject: Letter of Comment -

The Ontario Energy Board

-- Comment date -- 2017-06-07

-- Case Number --EB-2017-0049

-- Name --Sharon Bulger

-- Phone --

-- Company -n/a

-- Address --

-- Comments --

This is a copy of a letter sent to Hydro One in regard to the OEB application:

Why not take it out of the compensation package of your executives instead? Or out of the fat dividends you pay your investors.

Didn't you rip consumers off long enough with your debt retirement charge (which, by the way, should not have been subject to GST/HST the whole time you were charging it.)?

It's easy enough for you to say "Its only \$2,00 a month". Its not though, is it? Its \$2 next year, then \$4 the year after, accumulating to over \$10/ month by the end of the application period. \$10/month buys the bread for a child's lunches for the month, or it buys 3 or 4 meals' worth of chicken if you can catch it on sale. That's what \$10 a month is to a single mom or a senior on a fixed income, not two lattes at Starbucks!

"Government subsidies will offset it.", you say? The subsidies are being implemented because people can't afford WHAT THEY ALREADY PAY, not so that Hydro One can devise ways to get their hands on it. The subsidies are designed to provide relief on existing rates, not "offset" a cash grab like this.

Each person involved in this decision should have to live on a senior's fixed income in the dead of winter with electric heat, or survive in a stuffy, overheated apartment with their children in the heat of summer. How much of a raise did you give your CEO this year? I bet it was more than \$2 per month. Those who live on fixed incomes are lucky to get \$2 per year, if anything!

Stop lining your own pockets at public expense.

-- Attachment --