EXHIBIT 2 RATE BASE EB-2017-0073

1 Table of Contents

| 2 3 4 | Exhibit 2: Rate Base 1 2.1 Rate Base 1 2.1.1 Overview 1 |
|-------------|---|
| 5 | 2.1.2 Gross Assets – Property Plant and Equipment and Accumulated Depreciation15 |
| 6 | Variance Analysis on Gross Assets16 |
| 7 | 2.1.3 Allowance for Working Capital19 |
| 8 9 | 2.2 Capital Expenditures |
| 10 | Planning Horizon |
| 11 | Regional Planning25 |
| 12 | 2.2.2 Required Information |
| 13 | 2.2.3 Capitalization Policy |
| 14 | 2.2.4 Capitalization of Overhead |
| 15 | 2.2.5 Costs of Eligible Investments for the Connection of Qualifying Generation Facilities36 |
| 16 | 2.2.6 New Policy Options for the Funding of Capital |
| 17 | 2.2.7 Addition of Previously Approved ACM and ICM Project Assets to Rate Base |
| 18 | 2.2.8 Service Quality and Reliability Performance |
| 19 | Appendix 2A - Distribution System Plan 40 |
| 20 | Appendix 2B – DSP Compliance Letter 41 |
| 21 22 | Appendix 2C: SLHI Capitalization Policy 42 |

Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. EB-2017-0073 Exhibit 2 Page **1** of **44** Filed: August 28, 2017

1 Exhibit 2: Rate Base

2 2.1 Rate Base

3 **2.1.1 Overview**

- 4 The rate base used for the purpose of calculating the revenue requirement used in this Application
- 5 follows *Chapter 2 of the Filing Requirements for Electricity Distribution Applications* issued by the
- 6 Ontario Energy Board ("Board") on July 14, 2016 (the "Filing Requirements"). In accordance with
- 7 the Filing Requirements, SLHI has calculated the rate base as an average of the net capital balances
- 8 at the beginning and the end of the 2018 Test Year plus a working capital allowance, which is 7.5%
- 9 of the sum of the cost of power and controllable expenses. The use of a 7.5% rate is consistent with

10 the Board's letter of June 3, 2015 and the Filing Requirements as issued by the OEB. At this time,

11 SLHI has not completed a lead-lag study or equivalent analysis to support a different rate and has

- 12 submitted this application using the default value of 7.5%.
- 13 SLHI was not previously directed by the OEB to undertake a lead/lag study.

SLHI converted to Modified International Financial Reporting Standards (MIFRS) on January 1,
2015 and has prepared this application under MIFRS.

16 SLHI has reported PP&E under historical acquisition costs for regulatory purposes in accordance 17 with Article 315 in the Accounting Procedures Handbook. SLHI adopted a change in capitalization

- 18 and useful lives policies as described in Exhibit 4 as part of SLHI's 2013 Cost of Service Application
- 19 (EB-2012-0165).

20 Net capital assets include in service assets that are associated with activities that enable the conveyance of electricity for distribution purposes minus accumulated depreciation and 21 22 contributed capital from third parties. For purposes of this Exhibit, distribution assets refer to those 23 assets that are most directly related to the distribution system, such as poles, overhead and 24 underground lines, and transformers. General plant refers to assets that support the operation of 25 the distribution system such, as computer hardware and software, vehicles, buildings, equipment. 26 Capital assets include property, plant and equipment ("PP&E") and intangible assets; these are 27 referred to as "capital" or "fixed" assets throughout this evidence. The rate base calculation

- 1 excludes any non-distribution assets. SLHI has not applied for, nor received, any Incremental
- 2 Capital Module ("**ICM**") adjustments. Controllable expenses include operations and maintenance,
- 3 billing and collecting, and administration expenses.
- 4 This exhibit will compare historical data with the 2017 Bridge Year and 2018 Test Year
- 5 SLHI has calculated its 2018 Test Year rate base to be \$6,171,100. This rate base is also used to
- 6 determine the proposed Revenue Requirement found at Exhibit 6. Table 2-1 illustrates SLHI's Rate
- 7 Base Calculations for the Test Year.

8

| Rate Base | 2018 Test Year |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| Fixed Assets Opening Balance | 5,145,360 |
| Fixed Assets Closing Balance | 5,426,734 |
| Average Fixed Asset Balance | 5,286,047 |
| Working Capital Allowance | 885,053 |
| Total Rate Base | 6,171,100 |
| Working Capital Allowance | |
| Eligible Distribution Expenses | 2018 Test Year |
| Distribution Expenses - Operations | 514,586 |
| Distribution Expenses - Maintanance | 226,447 |
| Billing & Collecting | 355,718 |
| Administrative and General Expenses | 475,341 |
| Donations - LEAP | 2,600 |
| Property Taxes | 5,394 |
| Total Eligible Distribution Expenses | 1,580,086 |
| Power Supply Expenses | 10,220,621 |
| Total Expenses for Working Capital | 11,800,707 |
| Working Capital Factor | 7.5% |
| Total Working Capital Allowance | 885,053 |

Table 2-1: 2018 Test Year Rate Base

9

- 10 SLHI has provided its rate base calculations for the years 2013 Board Approved, 2013 Actual, 2014
- 11 Actual, 2015 Actual, 2016 Actual, 2017 Bridge Year and 2018 Test Year in Table 2-2 below:

| | 2013 Board | | 2014 Actual | 2014 Actual | | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| Particulars | Approved | 2013 Actual | (CGAAP) | (MIFRS) | 2015 Actual | 2016 Actual | 2017 Bridge | 2018 Test |
| Gross Captital Assets in Service | | | | | | | | |
| Opening Balance | 8,391,353 | 8,377,574 | 8,632,144 | 8,632,144 | 8,815,789 | 9,040,878 | 9,291,835 | 9,696,925 |
| Ending Balance | 8,617,293 | 8,632,144 | 8,908,207 | 8,815,789 | 9,040,878 | 9,291,835 | 9,696,925 | 9,989,748 |
| | 8,504,323 | 8,504,859 | 8,770,176 | 8,723,967 | 8,928,334 | 9,166,357 | 9,494,380 | 9,843,337 |
| Accumulated Depreciation | | | | | | | | |
| Opening Balance | 3,443,481 | 3,443,474 | 3,695,258 | 3,695,258 | 3,856,287 | 4,092,145 | 4,307,396 | 4,551,567 |
| Ending Balance | 3,695,577 | 3,695,258 | 3,913,273 | 3,856,287 | 4,092,145 | 4,307,396 | 4,551,567 | 4,563,017 |
| | 3,569,529 | 3,569,366 | 3,804,266 | 3,775,773 | 3,974,216 | 4,199,771 | 4,429,482 | 4,557,292 |
| Net Capital Assets in Service: | | | | | | | | |
| Opening Balance | 4,947,872 | 4,934,100 | 4,936,886 | 4,936,886 | 4,959,502 | 4,948,733 | 4,984,438 | 5,145,358 |
| Ending Balance | 4,921,716 | 4,936,886 | 4,994,934 | 4,959,502 | 4,948,733 | 4,984,438 | 5,145,358 | 5,426,730 |
| Average Balance | 4,934,794 | 4,935,493 | 4,965,910 | 4,948,194 | 4,954,118 | 4,966,586 | 5,064,898 | 5,286,044 |
| Working Capital Allowance | 1,179,422 | 1,161,016 | 1,216,620 | 1,216,620 | 1,245,515 | 1,320,872 | 1,593,591 | 885,053 |
| Total Rate Base | 6,114,216 | 6,096,509 | 6,182,530 | 6,164,814 | 6,199,633 | 6,287,458 | 6,658,489 | 6,171,097 |

Table 2-2: Summary of Rate Base

3 *small difference in Total Rate Base is due to rounding

The Rate Base for the 2018 Test Year has been forecasted to decrease 487,392 (7.3%) over the 2017 Bridge Year. However, the Rate Base for the 2018 Test Year has been forecasted to remain relatively neutral over the last Board Approved Rate Base, increasing by \$56,884 (0.93%). The reasons for the variance between the 2018 Test Year and 2013 last Board Approved is mainly attributed to:

- Annual changes in cost of power and increases in OM&A expenses. SLHI has forecast an
 increase in Power Supply Expenses and eligible distribution expenses since the last Board
 Approved Rate.
- The average net capital asset in service has also increased by \$351,250 since the 2013 COS.
 The main drivers behind this is SLHI's capital investments over the last five years.
- The above is offset by the decrease in the working capital allowance rate has reduced the
 Rate Base from 2017. The decrease is mainly attributed to the decrease in the working
 capital rate of 7.5% from 13% as approved during SLHI's 2013 COS.

SLHI has provided a summary of its calculations of the cost of power and controllable expenses
used in the calculations for determining working capital for the years 2013 Board Approved, 2013
Actual, 2014 Actual, 2015 Actual, 2016 Actual, 2017 Bridge Year and 2018 Test Year in Table 2-3
below. Further details of SLHI's calculation of its cost of power calculations are provided in Table 221 and Table 2-22.

22

1

Table 2-3: Summary of Working Capital Calculation

| | 2013 Board Approved | 2013 Actual | 2014 Actual | 2015 Actual | 2016 Actual | 2017 Bridge | 2018 Test |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Distribution Expenses - Operations | | 535,159 | 581,576 | 526,730 | 574,153 | 540,346 | 514,586 |
| Distribution Expenses - Maintenance | | 215,047 | 190,949 | 159,501 | 194,875 | 236,866 | 226,447 |
| Billing and Collecting | | 296,239 | 296,239 310,022 329,917 351,771 | | 350,791 | 355,718 | |
| Administrative & General Expenses | | 370,323 | 501,286 | 398,869 | 405,987 | 491,972 | 475,341 |
| Donations - LEAP | | 2,130 | 2,340 | 2,340 | 2,340 | 2,340 | 2,600 |
| Property Taxes | | 3,813 | 3,850 | 5,230 | 2,881 | 5,294 | 5,394 |
| Total Eligible Distribution Expenses | 1,421,245 | 1,422,710 | 1,590,024 | 1,422,588 | 1,532,008 | 1,627,609 | 1,580,086 |
| Power Supply Expenses | 7,651,230 | 7,508,181 | 7,768,594 | 8,158,299 | 8,628,548 | 10,630,783 | 10,220,621 |
| Total Working Capital Expenses | 9,072,475 | 8,930,891 | 9,358,618 | 9,580,887 | 10,160,556 | 12,258,392 | 11,800,707 |
| Working Capital Allowance % | 13% | 13% | 13% | 13% | 13% | 13% | 7.5% |
| Working Capital Allowance | 1,179,422 | 1,161,016 | 1,216,620 | 1,245,515 | 1,320,872 | 1,593,591 | 885,053 |

2

1

3 Variance Analysis of Rate Base

- 4 The following Tables 2-4 through 2-9 sets out SLHI's rate base and working capital calculations for
- 5 the 2018 Test Year, 2017 Bridge Year, 2016 Actual, 2015 Actual, 2014 Actual, 2013 Board
- 6 Approved and Actual, and the following variances:
- 7 2018 Test Year against 2017 Bridge Year;
- 8 2017 Bridge Year against 2016 Actual;
- 9 2016 Actual against 2015 Actual;
- 10 2015 Actual against 2014 Actual;
- 11 2014Actual against 2013 Actual; and
- 12 2013 Actual against 2013 Board Approved.
- 13 SLHI's materiality threshold is \$50,000 impact on the revenue requirement.
- 14

Table 2-4: 2018 Test Year vs. 2017 Bridge Year

| Particulars | 2018 Test | 2017 Bridge | Variance | % | |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-------------|----------|------|--|
| Net Capital Assets in Service: | | | | | |
| Opening Balance | 5,145,360 | 4,984,439 | 160,921 | 3% | |
| Ending Balance | 5,426,734 | 5,145,358 | 281,376 | 5% | |
| Average Balance | 5,286,047 | 5,064,899 | 221,149 | 4% | |
| Working Capital Allowance | 885,053 | 1,593,591 | -708,538 | -80% | |
| Tota Rate Base | 6,171,100 | 6,658,490 | -487,390 | -8% | |

15

16 The total projected Rate Base in 2018 of \$6,171,100 is \$487,390 or 8.0% lower than 2017.

The main reason for the difference is the working capital allowance saw a decrease in rate from 13.0% to 7.5%. The average net capital assets in service (including capital contributions) are approximately \$221,149 higher than 2017. This increase demonstrates the utility's investment in its distribution system as required in order to keep the system running in a safe and reliable manner. These projects are discussed further in SLHI's Distribution System Plan found in Appendix 2A. SLHI is also planning significant monies toward the addition of a new fleet vehicle, that being an Altec digger derrick.

8

Table 2-5: 2017 Bridge Year vs. 2016 Actual

| Particulars | 2017 Bridge | 2016 Actual | Variance | % | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|-----|--|
| Net Capital Assets in Service: | | | | | |
| Opening Balance | 4,984,439 | 4,948,733 | 35,706 | 1% | |
| Ending Balance | 5,145,358 | 4,984,439 | 160,919 | 3% | |
| Average Balance | 5,064,899 | 4,966,586 | 98,313 | 2% | |
| Working Capital Allowance | 1,593,591 | 1,320,872 | 272,719 | 17% | |
| Tota Rate Base | 6,658,490 | 6,287,458 | 371,032 | 6% | |

9

10 The total projected Rate Base in 2017 of \$6,658,490 is \$371,032 or 6.0% higher than 2016.

The main reason for the variance is the forecasted working capital for 2017. The cost of power forecast increased from \$8,628,548 actual to a forecast of \$10,630,783 in 2017, equating to an additional \$260,290 in working capital. The balance of the increase can be attributed to regular maintenance of the distribution system.

15

Table 2-6: 2016 Actual vs. 2015 Actual

| Particulars | 2016 Actual | 2015 Actual | Variance | % |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|----|
| Net Capital Assets in Service: | | | | |
| Opening Balance | 4,948,733 | 4,959,502 | -10,769 | 0% |
| Ending Balance | 4,984,439 | 4,948,733 | 35,706 | 1% |
| Average Balance | 4,966,586 | 4,954,118 | 12,469 | 0% |
| Working Capital Allowance | 1,320,872 | 1,245,515 | 75,357 | 6% |
| Tota Rate Base | 6,287,458 | 6,199,633 | 87,826 | 1% |

¹⁶

17 The total actual Rate Base in 2016 of \$6,287,458 is \$87,826 or 1% higher than 2015.

18 The main reason for the difference is the working capital allowance saw an increase due to

19 increased OM&A costs as described in Appendix 4 and an increase Cost of Power expenses.

| Particulars | 2015 Actual | 2014 Actual | Variance | % |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|----|
| Net Capital Assets in Service: | | | | |
| Opening Balance | 4,959,502 | 4,936,886 | 22,616 | 0% |
| Ending Balance | 4,948,733 | 4,959,502 | -10,769 | 0% |
| Average Balance | 4,954,118 | 4,948,194 | 5,924 | 0% |
| Working Capital Allowance | 1,245,515 | 1,216,620 | 28,895 | 2% |
| Tota Rate Base | 6,199,633 | 6,164,814 | 34,819 | 1% |

1 Table 2-7: 2015 Actual vs. 2014 Actual

2

3 The total actual Rate Base in 2015 of \$6,199,633 is \$34,819 or 1% higher than 2014 and not

4 material.

5

Table 2-8: 2014 Actual vs. 2013 Actual

| Particulars | 2014 Actual | 2013 Actual | Variance | % | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|----|--|
| Net Capital Assets in Service: | | | | | |
| Opening Balance | 4,936,886 | 4,934,100 | 2,786 | 0% | |
| Ending Balance | 4,959,502 | 4,936,886 | 22,616 | 0% | |
| Average Balance | 4,948,194 | 4,935,493 | 12,701 | 0% | |
| Working Capital Allowance | 1,216,620 | 1,161,016 | 55,604 | 5% | |
| Tota Rate Base | 6,164,814 | 6,096,509 | 68,305 | 1% | |

. . .

7 The total actual Rate Base in 2014 of \$6,164,814 is \$68,305 or 1% higher than 2013 and not

8 material.

6

Table 2-9: 2013 Actual vs. 2013 Board Approved

| Particulars | 2013 Actual | 2013 Board Approved | Variance | % |
|--------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|----------|-----|
| Net Capital Assets in Service: | | | | |
| Opening Balance | 4,934,100 | 4,934,100 4,947,872 | | 0% |
| Ending Balance | 4,936,886 | 4,921,716 | 15,170 | 0% |
| Average Balance | 4,935,493 | 4,934,794 | 699 | 0% |
| Working Capital Allowance | 1,161,016 | 1,179,422 | -18,406 | -2% |
| Tota Rate Base | 6,096,509 | 6,114,216 | -17,707 | 0% |

10

11 The total actual Rate Base in 2013 of \$6,096,509 is \$17,707 or 0% lower than 2013 Board

12 Approved.

⁹

1 Fixed Asset Continuity Schedules

- 2 Table 2-10 through Table 2-16 are Board Appendix 2-BA and provide the Fixed Asset Continuity
- 3 Schedules, for each of 2013 Actual (CGAAP), 2014 Actual (CGAAP), 2014 Actual (MIFRS), 2015
- 4 Actual (MIFRS), 2016 Actual (MIFRS), 2017 Bridge Year (MIFRS), and 2018 Test Year.
- 5 These schedules present a continuity schedule of its investment in capital assets, the associated
- 6 accumulated amortization and the net book value for each Capital USoA account.

Table 2-10: Fixed Asset Continuity Schedule as at December 31, 2013, CGAAP

Appendix 2-BA

Fixed Asset Continuity Schedule ¹

Accounting Standard CGAAP Year 2013

| | | | | Co | st | | | | | Accumulated | Depreciation | | T | |
|--------------------|----------------------|--|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------|---------------|----------|-----------|-------------|------------------------|---|--------|-----------|
| CCA | OEB | | Opening | | | | Closing | | Opening | | | Closing | | Net Book |
| Class ² | Account ³ | Description ³ | Balance | Additions ⁴ | Disposals ⁶ | E | Balance | | Balance | Additions | Disposals ⁶ | Balance | | Value |
| 12 | 1611 | Computer Software (Formally known as | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Account 1925) | \$ 79,785 | | | \$ | 79,785 | -\$ | 44,206 | -\$ 15,107 | | -\$ 59,313 | \$ | 20,472 |
| CEC | 1612 | Land Rights (Formally known as Account | | | | ¢ | | | | | | e | ¢ | |
| N/A | 1905 | 1906) Land | | | | ¢ | - | | | | | | ¢ | - |
| 17/A | 1909 | Lanu | \$ 01.964 | | | ф ¢ | - 01 964 | | 44 606 | ¢ 2.675 | | - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - | ф ¢ | 42 402 |
| 13 | 1810 | Lessehold Improvements | φ 31,004 | | | ¢ ¢ | 31,004 | -φ | 44,030 | -φ 3,073 | | \$ 40,371 | ¢ ¢ | 43,435 |
| 47 | 1815 | Transformer Station Equipment >50 kV | | | | \$ | - | | | | | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1820 | Distribution Station Equipment <50 kV | | | | \$ | - | | | | | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1825 | Storage Battery Equipment | | | | \$ | - | | | | | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1830 | Poles, Towers & Fixtures | \$ 3.655.776 | \$ 127,423 | | \$ | 3.783.199 | -\$ | 1.241.690 | -\$ 72.738 | | -\$ 1.314.428 | \$ | 2.468.771 |
| 47 | 1835 | Overhead Conductors & Devices | \$ 1.088.277 | \$ 6,124 | | \$ | 1.094.401 | -\$ | 492,762 | -\$ 18.049 | | -\$ 510.812 | \$ | 583,589 |
| 47 | 1840 | Underground Conduit | \$ 178,424 | \$ 6,297 | | \$ | 184,721 | -\$ | 70,500 | -\$ 2,863 | | -\$ 73,364 | \$ | 111,357 |
| 47 | 1845 | Underground Conductors & Devices | \$ 910,424 | \$ 28,049 | | \$ | 938,473 | -\$ | 322,427 | -\$ 20,995 | | -\$ 343,422 | \$ | 595,051 |
| 47 | 1850 | Line Transformers | \$ 1,696,557 | \$ 50,706 | | \$ | 1,747,263 | -\$ | 618,303 | -\$ 38,037 | | -\$ 656,341 | \$ | 1,090,922 |
| 47 | 1855 | Services (Overhead & Underground) | | | | \$ | - | | | | | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1860 | Meters | \$ 167,759 | \$ 1,569 | | \$ | 169,328 | -\$ | 25,457 | -\$ 12,237 | | -\$ 37,694 | \$ | 131,634 |
| 47 | 1860 | Meters (Smart Meters) | \$ 647,486 | \$ 2,963 | -\$ 536 | \$ | 649,913 | -\$ | 147,661 | -\$ 43,265 | \$ 161 | -\$ 190,764 | \$ | 459,149 |
| N/A | 1905 | Land | | | | \$ | - | | | | | \$- | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1908 | Buildings & Fixtures | | | | \$ | - | | | | | \$- | \$ | - |
| 13 | 1910 | Leasehold Improvements | | | | \$ | - | | | | | \$- | \$ | - |
| 8 | 1915 | Office Furniture & Equipment (10 years) | \$ 21,741 | | | \$ | 21,741 | -\$ | 8,176 | -\$ 1,851 | | -\$ 10,026 | \$ | 11,715 |
| 8 | 1915 | Office Furniture & Equipment (5 years) | | | | \$ | - | | | | | \$- | \$ | - |
| 10 | 1920 | Computer Equipment - Hardware | \$ 68,885 | \$ 3,155 | -\$ 880 | \$ | 71,161 | -\$ | 41,287 | -\$ 9,570 | \$ 88 | -\$ 50,769 | \$ | 20,392 |
| 45 | 1920 | Computer EquipHardware(Post Mar. 22/04) | | | | \$ | - | | | | | \$- | \$ | - |
| 45.1 | 1920 | Computer EquipHardware(Post Mar. 19/07) | | | | \$ | - | | | | | s - | \$ | - |
| 10 | 1930 | Transportation Equipment(8 years) | \$ 382,971 | | | \$ | 382,971 | -\$ | 321,541 | -\$ 12,982 | | -\$ 334,523 | \$ | 48,448 |
| 10 | 1930 | Transportation Equipment(5 years) | \$ 90,317 | | | \$ | 90,317 | -\$ | 78,364 | -\$ 6,237 | | -\$ 84,600 | \$ | 5,717 |
| 8 | 1940 | Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment | \$ 84,768 | \$ 1,357 | | \$ | 86,124 | -\$ | 58,692 | -\$ 7,854 | | -\$ 66,547 | \$ | 19,578 |
| 8 | 1945 | Measurement & Testing Equipment | \$ 12,693 | \$ 6,145 | | \$ | 18,838 | -\$ | 10,561 | -\$ 1,251 | | -\$ 11,812 | \$ | 7,026 |
| 8 | 1950 | Power Operated Equipment(8 years) | \$ 37,613 | \$ 85,090 | | \$ | 122,703 | -\$ | 20,307 | -\$ 13,561 | | -\$ 33,868 | \$ | 88,835 |
| 8 | 1950 | Power Operated Equipment(5 years) | \$ 98,908 | | | \$ | 98,908 | -\$ | 96,657 | -\$ 987 | | -\$ 97,644 | \$ | 1,264 |
| 8 | 1955 | Communication Equipment | \$ 38,569 | | | \$ | 38,569 | -\$ | 31,949 | -\$ 1,627 | | -\$ 33,576 | \$ | 4,992 |
| 8 | 1960 | Miscellaneous Equipment | | | | \$ | - | | | | | \$- | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1970 | Load Management Controls Customer Premises | | | | \$ | - | | | | | \$- | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1975 | Load Management Controls Utility Premises | | | | \$ | - | | | | | s - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1980 | System Supervisor Equipment | | | | \$ | - | | | | | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1985 | Miscellaneous Fixed Assets | \$ 29,441 | \$ 1,067 | | \$ | 30,508 | -\$ | 22,198 | -\$ 1,420 | | -\$ 23,618 | \$ | 6,890 |
| 47 | 1990 | Other Tangible Property | | | | \$ | - | | | | | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1995 | Contributions & Grants | -\$ 975,244 | -\$ 62,891 | | -\$ | 1,038,135 | \$ | 231,762 | \$ 30,851 | | \$ 262,614 | -\$ | 775,521 |
| 47 | 2440 | Deferred Revenue ⁵ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | \$ | - | | | | | \$ - | \$ | - |
| | | Sub-Total | \$ 8,407,015 | \$ 257,053 | -\$ 1,416 | \$ | 8,662,652 | -\$ | 3,465,672 | -\$ 253,453 | \$ 249 | -\$ 3,718,876 | \$ | 4,943,776 |
| | | Less Socialized Renewable Energy Generation Investments (input as negative) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Lans Others New Date Description of the Mark | | | | \$ | - | | | | | s - | \$ | - |
| | | Less Ourer Non Rate-Regulated Utility | 20444.45 | ¢ 1007 | | ¢ | 20 500 | | 22.400 | ¢ 1.400 | | ¢ 00.040 | | 6 900 |
| | | Assets (input as negative) | -29441.15 | - 0 1,067 | \$ 1.446 | | 30,008 | \$ | 22,198 | ¢ 1,420 | e 240 | | | 0,890 |
| | | Depresiation Expanse adj from animal and | ψ 0,577,574 | μψ 200,000 | (nool of live | 1 4 | 5,052,144 | <u>-</u> | 5,445,474 | Ψ 232,033 | <i>↓</i> 249 | -ψ 3,033,230 | φ | -,350,000 |
| | | Depreciation Expense acj. from gain or ic | ss on the retire | ment of assets | (pool of like a | assei | is, ir applic | aDIG | | \$ 252.022 | - | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | -φ 202,033 | 1 | | | |

| | 2013 COS Decision EB_2012-0165 | | | | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | Transportation | | | | | |
| 8 | | Tools and Equipment | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Less: Fully Allocated Depreciati | ion | |
|----------------------------------|-----|---------|
| OEB 1576 | -\$ | 24,296 |
| Transportation | -\$ | 19,218 |
| Tools | -\$ | 25,281 |
| Net Depreciation | -\$ | 183.238 |

Table 2-11: Fixed Asset Continuity Schedule as at December 31, 2014, CGAAP

| Accounting Standard | CGAAP |
|---------------------|-------|
| Year | 2014 |

| | | | | Co | st | | | IГ | | í | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--------------------|----------------|------------------------|--------|--------------------|------|--------------------|-------------|------------------------|-----|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| CCA Class ² | OEB Account ³ | Description ³ | Opening Balance | Additions 4 | Disposals ⁶ | | Closing Balance | | Opening Balance | Additions | Disposals ⁶ | | Closing Balance | ٢ | Net Book Value |
| 12 | 1611 | Computer Software (Formally known as | ¢ 70.795 | ¢ 40.950 | | e | 120 625 | | 50.212 | ¢ 16.210 | | e | 75 622 | ¢ | 45 012 |
| CEC | 1612 | Land Rights (Formally known as Account | ¢ 13,105 | φ 40,000 | | ç | 120,000 | | | φ 10,010 | | Ļ | 10,020 | <u>ب</u> | 40,012 |
| N/A | 1905 | 1908) | ф - | | | ¢ ¢ | - | 4 | - | | | 0 | - | <u>ф</u> | - |
| 47 | 1909 | Buildings | ¢ 01.964 | | | ę | 01 964 | 4 | /9.271 | \$ 2,675 | | 9 | 52 045 | ф С | 20.910 |
| 13 | 1810 | Lessehold Improvements | \$ 31,004 | | | ¢ 2 | 31,004 | 4 | 40,371 | -9 3,073 | | \$ | 32,043 | ¢ | 39,019 |
| 47 | 1815 | Transformer Station Equipment >50 kV | \$ - | | | \$ | - | 9 | - | | | ŝ | - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1820 | Distribution Station Equipment <50 kV | \$ - | | | \$ | - | 9 | - | | | ŝ | - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1825 | Storage Battery Equipment | \$ - | | | \$ | - | 9 | - | | | Ŝ | - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1830 | Poles, Towers & Fixtures | \$ 3.783.199 | \$ 174.467 | | \$ | 3.957.666 | -9 | 1.314.428 | -\$ 76.092 | | -\$ | 1.390.519 | \$ | 2.567.146 |
| 47 | 1835 | Overhead Conductors & Devices | \$ 1,094,401 | \$ 5,330 | | \$ | 1,099,731 | -9 | 510,812 | -\$ 18,236 | | -\$ | 529,047 | \$ | 570,684 |
| 47 | 1840 | Underground Conduit | \$ 184,721 | \$ 905 | | \$ | 185,626 | -5 | 73,364 | -\$ 2,935 | | -\$ | 76,299 | \$ | 109,327 |
| 47 | 1845 | Underground Conductors & Devices | \$ 938,473 | \$ 13,197 | | \$ | 951,670 | -9 | 343,422 | -\$ 21,511 | | -\$ | 364,933 | \$ | 586,738 |
| 47 | 1850 | Line Transformers | \$ 1,747,263 | \$ 54,576 | | \$ | 1,801,839 | -5 | 656,341 | -\$ 39,353 | | -\$ | 695,694 | \$ | 1,106,145 |
| 47 | 1855 | Services (Overhead & Underground) | \$- | | | \$ | - | \$ | ; - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1860 | Meters | \$ 169,328 | \$ 5,221 | | \$ | 174,549 | -5 | 37,694 | -\$ 12,372 | | -\$ | 50,066 | \$ | 124,483 |
| 47 | 1860 | Meters (Smart Meters) | \$ 649,913 | \$ 12,125 | -\$ 15,905 | \$ | 646,133 | -9 | 190,764 | -\$ 43,732 | \$ 5,212 | -\$ | 229,284 | \$ | 416,849 |
| N/A | 1905 | Land | \$- | | | \$ | - | 4 | ; - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1908 | Buildings & Fixtures | \$- | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 13 | 1910 | Leasehold Improvements | \$ - | | | \$ | - | \$ | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 8 | 1915 | Office Furniture & Equipment (10 years) | \$ 21,741 | \$ 278 | -\$ 475 | \$ | 21,544 | -5 | 10,026 | -\$ 1,766 | \$ 321 | -\$ | 11,472 | \$ | 10,072 |
| 8 | 1915 | Office Furniture & Equipment (5 years) | \$- | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 10 | 1920 | Computer Equipment - Hardware | \$ 71,161 | \$ 1,000 | | \$ | 72,161 | -\$ | 50,769 | -\$ 4,789 | | -\$ | 55,558 | \$ | 16,603 |
| 45 | 1920 | Computer EquipHardware(Post Mar. 22/04) | \$- | | | \$ | | \$ | ; - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 45.1 | 1920 | Computer EquipHardware(Post Mar. 19/07) | \$- | | | \$ | - | \$ | ; - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 10 | 1930 | Transportation Equipment(8 years) | \$ 382,971 | | | \$ | 382,971 | -9 | 334,523 | -\$ 12,982 | | -\$ | 347,504 | \$ | 35,466 |
| 10 | 1930 | Transportation Equipment(5 years) | \$ 90,317 | \$ 54,539 | -\$ 34,497 | \$ | 110,359 | -\$ | 84,600 | -\$ 11,171 | \$ 34,497 | -\$ | 61,274 | \$ | 49,085 |
| 8 | 1940 | Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment | \$ 86,124 | \$ 3,504 | | \$ | 89,629 | -\$ | 66,547 | -\$ 7,659 | | -\$ | 74,206 | \$ | 15,423 |
| 8 | 1945 | Measurement & Testing Equipment | \$ 18,838 | | | \$ | 18,838 | -\$ | 11,812 | -\$ 1,354 | | -\$ | 13,166 | \$ | 5,672 |
| 8 | 1950 | Power Operated Equipment(8 years) | \$ 122,703 | | | \$ | 122,703 | -5 | 33,868 | -\$ 13,561 | | -\$ | 47,430 | \$ | 75,274 |
| 8 | 1950 | Power Operated Equipment(5 years) | \$ 98,908 | | | \$ | 98,908 | -5 | 97,644 | -\$ 917 | | -\$ | 98,561 | \$ | 347 |
| 8 | 1955 | Communication Equipment | \$ 38,569 | \$ 4,441 | | \$ | 43,010 | -9 | 33,576 | -\$ 1,819 | | -\$ | 35,396 | <u>\$</u> | 7,615 |
| 8 | 1960 | Miscellaneous Equipment | \$ - | | | \$ | - | 3 | - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1970 | Premises | \$- | | | \$ | - | 9 | ; - | | | \$ | | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1975 | Load Management Controls Utility Premises | \$ | | | ¢ | | | | | | ¢ | | ¢ | |
| 47 | 1980 | System Supervisor Equipment | \$ - | | | \$ | | 4 | | | | ŝ | | ŝ | |
| 47 | 1985 | Miscellaneous Fixed Assets | \$ 30.508 | \$ 178 | | \$ | 30.687 | -9 | 23.618 | -\$ 1.314 | | -s | 24,932 | ŝ | 5,755 |
| 47 | 1990 | Other Tangible Property | \$ - | · ···· | | Ś | - | 3 | | ÷ | | Š | | ŝ | - |
| 47 | 1995 | Contributions & Grants | -\$ 1,038,135 | -\$ 43,494 | | -\$ | 1,081,629 | 9 | 262,614 | \$ 32,190 | | \$ | 294,804 | -\$ | 786,825 |
| 47 | 2440 | Deferred Revenue ⁵ | \$ - | | | \$ | - | 9 | ; - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| | | | \$ - | | | \$ | - | 9 | ; - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| | | Sub-Total | \$ 8,662,652 | \$ 327,119 | -\$ 50,878 | \$ | 8,938,894 | -\$ | 3,718,876 | -\$ 259,358 | \$ 40,030 | -\$ | 3,938,205 | \$ | 5,000,689 |
| | | Less Socialized Renewable Energy Generation Investments (input as negative) | | | | \$ | - | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | |
| | | Less Other Non Rate-Regulated Utility | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | ~ | |
| | | Assets (input as negative) | -\$ 30,508 | -178.42 | | -\$ | 30,687 | \$ | 23,618 | 1313.73 | 3 | \$ | 24,932 | -\$ | 5,755 |
| | | Total PP&E | \$ 8,632,144 | \$ 326,941 | -\$ 50,878 | \$ | 8,908,207 | -\$ | 3,695,258 | -\$ 258,045 | \$ 40,030 | -\$ | 3,913,273 | \$ | 4,994,934 |
| | | Depreciation Expense adj. from gain or lo | oss on the retire | ment of assets | (pool of like | asse | ets), if applic | able | e ⁶ | | | | | | |
| | | Total | | | | | | | | -\$ 258,045 | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



| Less: Fully Allocated Depreciati | on | |
|----------------------------------|-----|---------|
| OEB 1576 | -\$ | 24,296 |
| Transportation | -\$ | 24,153 |
| Tools and Equipment | -\$ | 25,311 |
| Net Depreciation | -\$ | 184,285 |

2

| | | Accounting Standard MIFRS Year 2014 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|--|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------|-----------------|------|-----------|-------------|------------------------|--------|-----------------|--------|-----------|--|--|
| | | | | Co | st | | | | | Accumulated | Depreciat | on | | 1 | | | |
| CCA | OEB | | Opening | | | | Closing Opening | | | | | | Closing | | Net Book | | |
| Class ² | Account ³ | Description ³ | Balance | Additions ⁴ | Disposals ⁶ | | Balance | | Balance | Additions | Disposals ⁶ | | Balance | | Value | | |
| 12 | 1611 | Computer Software (Formally known as Account 1925) | \$ 79,785 | \$ 40,850 | | \$ | 120,635 | -\$ | 59,313 | -\$ 16,310 | | | \$ 75,623 | \$ | 45,012 | | |
| CEC | 1612 | Land Rights (Formally known as Account 1906) | s - | | | s | | \$ | | | | | s - | \$ | - | | |
| N/A | 1805 | Land | \$ - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | : | \$- | \$ | - | | |
| 47 | 1808 | Buildings | \$ 91,864 | | | \$ | 91,864 | -\$ | 48,371 | -\$ 3,675 | | | \$ 52,045 | \$ | 39,819 | | |
| 13 | 1810 | Leasehold Improvements | \$- | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | 2 | \$- | \$ | - | | |
| 47 | 1815 | Transformer Station Equipment >50 kV | \$- | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | | \$- | \$ | - | | |
| 47 | 1820 | Distribution Station Equipment <50 kV | \$ - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | | \$- | \$ | - | | |
| 47 | 1825 | Storage Battery Equipment | \$ - | | | \$ | - | \$ | | | | | ş - | \$ | - | | |
| 47 | 1830 | Poles, Towers & Fixtures | \$ 3,783,199 | \$ 174,467 | -\$ 53,473 | \$ | 3,904,193 | -\$ | 1,314,428 | -\$ 75,122 | \$ 36,5 | 66 - | \$ 1,352,984 | \$ | 2,551,209 | | |
| 47 | 1835 | Overhead Conductors & Devices | \$ 1,094,401 | \$ 5,330 | | \$ | 1,099,731 | -\$ | 510,812 | -\$ 18,180 | - | | \$ 528,992 | \$ | 570,739 | | |
| 47 | 1840 | Underground Conduit | \$ 184,721 | \$ 905 | | \$ | 185,626 | -> | 73,364 | -\$ 2,938 | | | \$ 76,302 | ÷> € | 109,325 | | |
| 47 | 1845 | Underground Conductors & Devices | \$ 938,473 | \$ 13,197 | C 00.045 | \$ | 951,670 | -> | 343,422 | -\$ 21,535 | ¢ 40.0 | - | \$ 364,957 | ÷> € | 586,713 | | |
| 47 | 1850 | Line Transformers | \$ 1,747,203 ¢ | \$ 54,576 | -\$ 38,945 | \$ | 1,762,894 | -7 | 000,341 | -\$ 37,959 | \$ 18,0 |)27 -3 | \$ 676,273 ¢ | ъ Ф | 1,086,621 | | |
| 47 | 1000 | Metere | φ - ¢ 160.229 | ¢ 5.001 | | \$ | 174 540 | 9 6 | 27 604 | ¢ 10.070 | - | - | a - | 96 | 104 499 | | |
| 47 | 1960 | Meters Meters (Smart Motors) | \$ 640.012 | \$ 0,221 \$ 12,125 | \$ 15.005 | ې د | 6/6 122 | -9 | 100 764 | - | ¢ 5' | 212 | \$ 30,000 | ф ф | 124,403 | | |
| 4/ N/A | 1000 | Land | ¢ 049,913 | φ 12,123 | -φ 13,303 | ę | 040,133 | -9 | 130,704 | -φ 43,732 | φ <u></u> , | .12 ~ | ¢ 223,204 | φ ¢ | 410,043 | | |
| 47 | 1903 | Buildings & Fixtures | \$. | | | ŝ | - | 9 6 | | | | - | s - | Э ¢ | - | | |
| 13 | 1910 | Lessehold Improvements | \$ - | | - | ŝ | | \$ | - | | | - | \$ | ¢ ¢ | - | | |
| 8 | 1915 | Office Euroiture & Equipment (10 years) | \$ 21.741 | \$ 278 | -\$ 475 | ŝ | 21 544 | -\$ | 10.026 | -\$ 1.766 | \$ 3 | 121 - | \$ 11.472 | \$ | 10 072 | | |
| 8 | 1915 | Office Furniture & Equipment (5 years) | \$ - | ¢ 2.10 | ¢ | ŝ | | \$ | - | φ 1,700 | l , | | \$ - | \$ | - | | |
| 10 | 1920 | Computer Equipment - Hardware | \$ 71.161 | \$ 1.000 | | ŝ | 72.161 | -\$ | 50,769 | -\$ 4.789 | | 1 | \$ 55.558 | \$ | 16.603 | | |
| 45 | 1920 | Computer EquipHardware(Post Mar. 22/04) | \$ - | | | s | | \$ | _ | | | , | s - | \$ | - | | |
| 45.1 | 1920 | Computer EquipHardware(Post Mar. 19/07) | \$ - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | , | s - | \$ | - | | |
| 10 | 1930 | Transportation Equipment(8 years) | \$ 382,971 | | | \$ | 382,971 | -\$ | 334,523 | -\$ 12,982 | | -5 | \$ 347,504 | \$ | 35,466 | | |
| 10 | 1930 | Transportation Equipment(5 years) | \$ 90,317 | \$ 54,539 | -\$ 34,497 | \$ | 110,359 | -\$ | 84,600 | -\$ 11,171 | \$ 34,4 | 197 - | \$ 61,274 | \$ | 49,085 | | |
| 8 | 1940 | Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment | \$ 86,124 | \$ 3,504 | | \$ | 89,629 | -\$ | 66,547 | -\$ 7,659 | | -, | \$ 74,206 | \$ | 15,423 | | |
| 8 | 1945 | Measurement & Testing Equipment | \$ 18,838 | | | \$ | 18,838 | -\$ | 11,812 | -\$ 1,354 | | -, | \$ 13,166 | \$ | 5,672 | | |
| 8 | 1950 | Power Operated Equipment(8 years) | \$ 122,703 | | | \$ | 122,703 | -\$ | 33,868 | -\$ 13,561 | | -1 | \$ 47,430 | \$ | 75,274 | | |
| 8 | 1950 | Power Operated Equipment(5 years) | \$ 98,908 | | | \$ | 98,908 | -\$ | 97,644 | -\$ 917 | | -1 | \$ 98,561 | \$ | 347 | | |
| 8 | 1955 | Communication Equipment | \$ 38,569 | \$ 4,441 | | \$ | 43,010 | -\$ | 33,576 | -\$ 1,819 | | - | \$ 35,396 | \$ | 7,615 | | |
| 8 | 1960 | Miscellaneous Equipment | \$ - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | | \$- | \$ | - | | |
| 47 | 1970 | Load Management Controls Customer Premises | \$- | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | | \$- | \$ | - | | |
| 47 | 1975 | Load Management Controls Utility Premises | \$ - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | | \$- | \$ | - | | |
| 47 | 1980 | System Supervisor Equipment | \$ - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | | s - | \$ | - | | |
| 47 | 1985 | Miscellaneous Fixed Assets | \$ 30,508 | \$ 178 | | \$ | 30,687 | -\$ | 23,618 | -\$ 1,314 | | - | \$ 24,932 | \$ | 5,755 | | |
| 47 | 1990 | Other Tangible Property | \$ - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | | \$ - | \$ | - | | |
| 47 | 1995 | Contributions & Grants | -\$ 1,038,135 | | | -\$ | 1,038,135 | \$ | 262,614 | \$ 30,897 | | | \$ 293,511 | -\$ | 744,624 | | |
| 47 | 2440 | Deferred Revenue ⁵ | \$ - | -\$ 43,494 | | -\$ | 43,494 | \$ | - | \$ 1,293 | | | \$ 1,293 | -\$ | 42,201 | | |
| | | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | | \$- | | | | |
| | | Sub-Total | \$ 8,662,652 | \$ 327,119 | -\$ 143,296 | \$ | 8,846,476 | -\$ | 3,718,876 | -\$ 256,966 | \$ 94,6 | 23 - | \$ 3,881,219 | \$ | 4,965,256 | | |
| | | Less Socialized Renewable Energy Generation Investments (input as negative) | | | | s | - | | | | | | s - | \$ | - | | |
| | | Less Other Non Rate-Regulated Utility | | | | Ť | | | | | | Ť | | Ť | | | |
| | | Assets (input as negative) | -\$ 30,508 | -178.42 | | -\$ | 30,687 | \$ | 23,618 | 1313.73 | 3 | 1 | \$ 24,932 | -\$ | 5,755 | | |
| | | Total PP&E | \$ 8,632,144 | \$ 326,941 | -\$ 143,296 | \$ | 8,815,789 | -\$ | 3,695,258 | -\$ 255,652 | \$ 94,0 | 323 - | \$ 3,856,287 | \$ | 4,959,502 | | |
| | | Depreciation Expense adj. from gain or lo | oss on the retire | ment of assets | (pool of like a | asse | ets), if applic | able | 6 | | | | | | | | |
| | | Total | | | | | | | | -\$ 255,652 | 1 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | | | |

Table 2-12: Fixed Asset Continuity Schedule as at December 31, 2014, MIFRS

 2013 COS Decision EB_2012-0165

 10
 Transportation

 8
 Tools and Equipment

 Less: Fully Allocated Depreciation

 Deferred Revenue
 \$ 1,293

 OEB 1576
 -\$ 24,296

 Transportation
 \$ 24,296

 Tools and Equipment
 \$ 25,311

 Net Depreciation
 \$ 183,185

Table 2-13: Fixed Asset Continuity Schedule as at December 31, 2015, MIFRS

| Accounting Standard | MIFRS |
|---------------------|-------|
| Year | 2015 |

| | | | | Co | st | | | | I | | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|---|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------|--------|-----------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------|-----------|
| CCA | OEB | | Opening | | | Cl | osing | | Opening | | | Closing | | Net Book |
| Class ² | Account ³ | Description ³ | Balance | Additions ⁴ | Disposals ⁶ | Ba | lance | | Balance | Additions | Disposals ⁶ | Balance | | Value |
| 12 | 1611 | Computer Software (Formally known as | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1011 | Account 1925) | \$ 120,635 | \$ 1,163 | | \$ | 121,798 | -\$ | 75,623 | -\$ 13,846 | | -\$ 89,469 | \$ | 32,328 |
| CEC | 1612 | Land Rights (Formally known as Account | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1906) | \$ - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | <u>\$</u> - | \$ | - |
| N/A | 1805 | Land | \$ - | | | \$ | - | \$ | | | | <u>\$</u> - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1808 | Buildings | \$ 91,864 | | | \$ | 91,864 | -\$ | 52,045 | -\$ 3,675 | | -\$ 55,720 | \$ | 36,144 |
| 13 | 1015 | Transformer Station Equipment - 50 kV | ъ - с | | | \$ | - | 9 | - | | | - - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1920 | Distribution Station Equipment <50 kV | ф - | | | ф С | - | 9 | - | | | - - | ф с | - |
| 47 | 1925 | Storage Battony Equipment | ф - | | | ф С | - | 9 | - | | | | ф с | - |
| 47 | 1830 | Poles Towers & Fixtures | \$ 3,004,103 | \$ 170.006 | \$ 15.438 | \$ 4 | - | \$ | 1 352 984 | \$ 79.316 | \$ 8.740 | \$ 1.423.559 | ¢ ¢ | 2 635 202 |
| 47 | 1835 | Overhead Conductors & Devices | \$ 1,000,731 | \$ 26.651 | \$ 10,400 | φ - \$ 1 | 115 963 | ÷ | 528 992 | \$ 18509 | \$ 9.563 | \$ 537.038 | ¢ | 578.025 |
| 47 | 1840 | Underground Conduit | \$ 185.626 | \$ 1,730 | φ 10,415 | \$ | 187 356 | -\$ | 76.302 | -\$ 2.964 | φ 3,000 | -\$ 79,266 | \$ | 108.091 |
| 47 | 1845 | Underground Conductors & Devices | \$ 951.670 | \$ 24 993 | | ŝ | 976 663 | -\$ | 364 957 | -\$ 22,008 | | -\$ 386.965 | \$ | 589 698 |
| 47 | 1850 | Line Transformers | \$ 1,762,894 | \$ 40.013 | -\$ 4.174 | \$ 1 | 798,732 | -\$ | 676.273 | -\$ 39,781 | \$ 2,394 | -\$ 713,660 | \$ | 1.085.072 |
| 47 | 1855 | Services (Overhead & Underground) | \$ - | \$ 10,010 | Q 1,171 | \$ | - | \$ | - | φ 00,101 | \$ 2,001 | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1860 | Meters | \$ 174.549 | \$ 4.025 | | ŝ | 178.575 | -\$ | 50.066 | -\$ 12.557 | | -\$ 62.623 | \$ | 115.951 |
| 47 | 1860 | Meters (Smart Meters) | \$ 646,133 | • .,•=• | -\$ 3.457 | \$ | 642,676 | -\$ | 229,284 | -\$ 43.076 | \$ 1.416 | -\$ 270.944 | \$ | 371.732 |
| N/A | 1905 | Land | \$ - | | • •,••• | \$ | - | \$ | | • | • ., | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1908 | Buildings & Fixtures | \$ - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 13 | 1910 | Leasehold Improvements | \$ - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 8 | 1915 | Office Furniture & Equipment (10 years) | \$ 21,544 | \$ 2,318 | | \$ | 23,862 | -\$ | 11,472 | -\$ 1,785 | | -\$ 13,257 | \$ | 10,605 |
| 8 | 1915 | Office Furniture & Equipment (5 years) | \$ - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 10 | 1920 | Computer Equipment - Hardware | \$ 72,161 | \$ 1,830 | | \$ | 73,991 | -\$ | 55,558 | -\$ 5,101 | | -\$ 60,659 | \$ | 13,332 |
| 45 | 1920 | Computer EquipHardware(Post Mar. 22/04) | s - | | | \$ | | \$ | _ | | | s - | \$ | - |
| 45.1 | 1920 | Computer EquipHardware(Post Mar. 19/07) | ¢ | | | ¢ | | ¢ | | | | <u>,</u> | ¢ | |
| 40 | 4000 | T | \$ - | | | 2 | - | \$ | - | ¢ 40.000 | | - ¢ | \$ | - |
| 10 | 1930 | Transportation Equipment(8 years) | \$ 382,971 | | | \$ | 382,971 | -⊅ | 347,504 | -\$ 12,982 \$ 10,009 | | -\$ 360,486 © 70,190 | \$ | 22,484 |
| 0 | 1930 | Tools Shop & Garage Equipment | \$ 110,339 | ¢ 1.005 | | ф С | 00.634 | -9 | 74 206 | -\$ 10,900 | | -\$ 72,102 \$ 91,602 | ф с | 30,177 |
| 8 | 1940 | Measurement & Testing Equipment | \$ 18.838 | φ 1,005 | | ¢ ¢ | 18 838 | ÷. | 13 166 | \$ 7,330 | | -\$ 01,002 -\$ 14,113 | ¢ ¢ | 4 725 |
| 8 | 1940 | Power Operated Equipment(8 years) | \$ 122,703 | | | ¢ ¢ | 122 703 | ÷. | 47 430 | -\$ 13.561 | | -\$ 60.991 | ¢ ¢ | 61 713 |
| 8 | 1950 | Power Operated Equipment(5 years) | \$ 98,908 | \$ 14.234 | | \$ | 113 142 | -\$ | 98 561 | -\$ 381 | | -\$ 98.942 | \$ | 14 200 |
| 8 | 1955 | Communication Equipment | \$ 43,010 | \$ 11 122 | | \$ | 54 133 | -\$ | 35,396 | -\$ 2,432 | | -\$ 37,828 | \$ | 16,305 |
| 8 | 1960 | Miscellaneous Equipment | \$ - | ψ 11,12L | | \$ | - | \$ | - | φ 2,102 | | \$ - | \$ | - |
| | | Load Management Controls Customer | * | | | Ť | | Ť | | | | * | Ť | |
| 47 | 1970 | Premises | \$ - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1975 | Load Management Controls Utility Premises | e . | | | ¢ | _ | e | _ | | • | e | ¢ | _ |
| 47 | 1090 | System Supervisor Equipment | φ ¢ | | | ¢ | - | 9 | | | | ¢ . | é | |
| 47 | 1085 | | \$ 30.697 | \$ 1.522 | | φ ¢ | 32 210 | 9 | 24 022 | \$ 1.262 | | \$ 26.105 | ŝ | 6.015 |
| 47 | 1990 | Other Tangible Property | \$ | φ 1,525 | | é | 02,210 | ¢ ¢ | 24,302 | φ 1,200 | | \$ 20,100 | ŝ | 0,010 |
| 47 | 1995 | Contributions & Grants | -\$ 1.038.135 | | | -\$ 1 | 038 135 | S | 293 511 | \$ 30,758 | | \$ 324.269 | -\$ | 713 866 |
| 47 | 2440 | Deferred Revenue ⁵ | \$ 43.494 | \$ 40.513 | | ŝ | 84 007 | ŝ | 1 203 | \$ 2,497 | • | \$ 3,789 | ŝ | 80 218 |
| | 2110 | | \$ -0,-0- | φ 40,010 | | ŝ | 04,007 | Ψ | 1,200 | ψ 2,457 | | \$ 0,700 | ŝ | 00,210 |
| | | Sub-Total | \$ 8,846,476 | \$ 260,101 | -\$ 33,489 | \$ 9 | 0.073.088 | -\$ | 3.881.219 | -\$ 259,234 | \$ 22,112 | \$ 4,118,340 | ŝ | 4.954.748 |
| | | Less Socialized Renewable Energy | ÷ 0,010,410 | 200,101 | + 00,400 | | | | 0,001,210 | 200,204 | ÷ 22,12 | + | Ť | ., |
| | | Less Other Non Rate-Regulated Utility | | | | \$ | | | | | | \$- | \$ | - |
| | | Assets (input as negative) | -\$ 30,687 | -1523.16 | | -\$ | 32,210 | \$ | 24,932 | 1263.15 | | \$ 26,195 | -\$ | 6.015 |
| | | Total PP&E | \$ 8.815.789 | \$ 258,578 | -\$ 33,489 | \$ 9 | 0.040.878 | -\$ | 3.856.287 | -\$ 257,970 | \$ 22,112 | -\$ 4.092.145 | Š | 4,948,733 |
| | | Depreciation Expense adi, from gain or lo | oss on the retire | ment of assets | (pool of like a | assets) | , if applic | able | 6 | | | . ,, | • • | ,, |
| | | Total | | | | | | | | \$ 257 970 | 1 | | | |



| Less: Fully Allocated Depreciat | ion | |
|---------------------------------|-----|---------|
| Deferred Revenue | \$ | 2,497 |
| OEB 1576 | -\$ | 24,296 |
| Transportation | -\$ | 23,890 |
| Tools and Equipment | -\$ | 24,717 |
| Net Depreciation | -\$ | 187,564 |

Table 2-14: Fixed Asset Continuity Schedule as at December 31, 2016, MIFRS

| Accounting Standard | MIFRS |
|---------------------|-------|
| Year | 2016 |

| | | | | 0 | -4 | | | | T | | | | | |
|---------|----------------------|--|-------------------|----------------|---------------|--------|--------------|------|-----------|-------------|--------------|---|------------|--------------|
| | | | | C0: | st | 1 | | - | | Accumulated | Depreciation | | - | |
| CCA | OEB | 3 | Opening | | | C | losing | | Opening | | | Closing | | Net Book |
| Class * | Account ³ | Description ³ | Balance | Additions * | Disposals ° | Ba | alance | | Balance | Additions | Disposals ° | Balance | | Value |
| 12 | 1611 | Computer Software (Formally known as | ¢ 101.709 | | | ~ | 101 709 | ¢ | 80.460 | ¢ 10,500 | | ¢ 101.007 | • | 10 901 |
| | | Land Rights (Formally known as Account | φ 121,730 | | | Ş | 121,750 | -φ | 03,403 | -φ 12,320 | | -φ 101,337 | φ | 13,001 |
| CEC | 1612 | 1906) | s - | | | s | - | \$ | - | | | s - | \$ | - |
| N/A | 1805 | Land | \$ - | | | \$ | | \$ | - | | | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1808 | Buildings | \$ 91.864 | | | S | 91.864 | -\$ | 55,720 | -\$ 3.675 | | -\$ 59,395 | \$ | 32.470 |
| 13 | 1810 | Leasehold Improvements | \$ - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1815 | Transformer Station Equipment >50 kV | \$ - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1820 | Distribution Station Equipment <50 kV | \$- | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1825 | Storage Battery Equipment | \$- | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1830 | Poles, Towers & Fixtures | \$ 4,058,761 | \$ 204,059 | -\$ 18,058 | \$ 4 | 4,244,761 | -\$ | 1,423,559 | -\$ 83,343 | \$ 12,896 | -\$ 1,494,006 | \$ | 2,750,755 |
| 47 | 1835 | Overhead Conductors & Devices | \$ 1,115,963 | \$ 28,066 | -\$ 3,436 | \$ 1 | 1,140,593 | -\$ | 537,938 | -\$ 19,109 | \$ 3,003 | -\$ 554,044 | \$ | 586,549 |
| 47 | 1840 | Underground Conduit | \$ 187,356 | \$ 5,827 | | \$ | 193,184 | -\$ | 79,266 | -\$ 3,040 | | -\$ 82,306 | \$ | 110,878 |
| 47 | 1845 | Underground Conductors & Devices | \$ 976,663 | \$ 46,335 | | \$ 1 | 1,022,998 | -\$ | 386,965 | -\$ 22,908 | | -\$ 409,873 | \$ | 613,124 |
| 47 | 1850 | Line Transformers | \$ 1,798,732 | \$ 20,392 | | \$ 1 | 1,819,124 | -\$ | 713,660 | -\$ 40,549 | | -\$ 754,209 | \$ | 1,064,915 |
| 47 | 1855 | Services (Overhead & Underground) | \$ - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | \$- | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1860 | Meters | \$ 178,575 | \$ 799 | | \$ | 179,374 | -\$ | 62,623 | -\$ 12,654 | | -\$ 75,277 | \$ | 104,097 |
| 47 | 1860 | Meters (Smart Meters) | \$ 642,676 | \$ 4,330 | -\$ 3,383 | \$ | 643,623 | -\$ | 270,944 | -\$ 42,989 | \$ 1,603 | -\$ 312,331 | \$ | 331,293 |
| N/A | 1905 | Land | \$ - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | \$- | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1908 | Buildings & Fixtures | \$ - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 13 | 1910 | Leasehold Improvements | \$ - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | \$- | \$ | - |
| 8 | 1915 | Office Furniture & Equipment (10 years) | \$ 23,862 | \$ 299 | -\$ 653 | \$ | 23,508 | -\$ | 13,257 | -\$ 1,916 | \$ 653 | -\$ 14,520 | \$ | 8,988 |
| 8 | 1915 | Office Furniture & Equipment (5 years) | \$ - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | \$- | \$ | - |
| 10 | 1920 | Computer Equipment - Hardware | \$ 73,991 | | | \$ | 73,991 | -\$ | 60,659 | -\$ 5,070 | | -\$ 65,729 | \$ | 8,262 |
| 45 | 1920 | Computer EquipHardware(Post Mar. 22/04) | \$- | | | \$ | - | \$ | | | | \$- | \$ | - |
| 45.1 | 1920 | Computer EquipHardware(Post Mar. 19/07) | \$- | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | \$- | \$ | - |
| 10 | 1930 | Transportation Equipment(8 years) | \$ 382,971 | | | \$ | 382,971 | -\$ | 360,486 | -\$ 5,141 | | -\$ 365,627 | \$ | 17,344 |
| 10 | 1930 | Transportation Equipment(5 years) | \$ 110,359 | | -\$ 24,637 | \$ | 85,722 | -\$ | 72,182 | -\$ 10,908 | \$ 24,637 | -\$ 58,452 | \$ | 27,269 |
| 8 | 1940 | Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment | \$ 90,634 | \$ 3,945 | | \$ | 94,578 | -\$ | 81,602 | -\$ 7,466 | | -\$ 89,068 | \$ | 5,510 |
| 8 | 1945 | Measurement & Testing Equipment | \$ 18,838 | \$ 15,389 | | \$ | 34,227 | -\$ | 14,113 | -\$ 1,343 | | -\$ 15,456 | \$ | 18,771 |
| 8 | 1950 | Power Operated Equipment(8 years) | \$ 122,703 | | | \$ | 122,703 | -\$ | 60,991 | -\$ 13,561 | | -\$ 74,552 | \$ | 48,151 |
| 8 | 1950 | Power Operated Equipment(5 years) | \$ 113,142 | \$ 779 | | \$ | 113,921 | -\$ | 98,942 | -\$ 3,108 | | -\$ 102,050 | \$ | 11,871 |
| 8 | 1955 | Communication Equipment | \$ 54,133 | \$ 599 | | \$ | 54,732 | -\$ | 37,828 | -\$ 2,839 | | -\$ 40,667 | \$ | 14,065 |
| 8 | 1960 | Miscellaneous Equipment | \$ - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1970 | Load Management Controls Customer Premises | \$- | | | \$ | - | \$ | | | | <u>s</u> - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1975 | Load Management Controls Utility Premises | \$- | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | <u>\$</u> - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1980 | System Supervisor Equipment | \$ - | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | \$- | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1985 | Miscellaneous Fixed Assets | \$ 32,210 | \$- | | \$ | 32,210 | -\$ | 26,195 | -\$ 1,240 | | -\$ 27,436 | \$ | 4,774 |
| 47 | 1990 | Other Tangible Property | \$- | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | \$- | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1995 | Contributions & Grants | -\$ 1,038,135 | | | -\$ 1 | 1,038,135 | \$ | 324,269 | \$ 31,626 | | \$ 355,896 | -\$ | 682,239 |
| 47 | 2440 | Deferred Revenue ⁵ | -\$ 84,007 | -\$ 29,696 | | -\$ | 113,703 | \$ | 3,789 | \$ 2,477 | | \$ 6,266 | -\$ | 107,436 |
| | | | | | | \$ | - | | | | | \$- | \$ | - |
| | | Sub-Total | \$ 9,073,088 | \$ 301,123 | -\$ 50,166 | \$ 9 | 9,324,045 | -\$ | 4,118,340 | -\$ 259,283 | \$ 42,791 | -\$ 4,334,832 | \$ | 4,989,213 |
| | | Less Socialized Renewable Energy Generation Investments (input as negative) | | | | 6 | | | | | | s . | ¢ | - |
| | | Less Other Non Rate-Regulated Utility | | | | ř | | - | | | | 7 | Ť | |
| | | Assets (input as negative) | -\$ 32,210 | | | -\$ | 32,210 | \$ | 26,195 | 1240.45 | | \$ 27,436 | -\$ | 4,774 |
| | | Total PP&E | \$ 9.040.878 | \$ 301,123 | -\$ 50,166 | \$ 9 | 9.291.835 | -\$ | 4.092.145 | -\$ 258,042 | \$ 42,791 | -\$ 4.307.396 | Š | 4,984,438 |
| | | Depreciation Expense adi, from gain or lo | ss on the retirer | nent of assets | (pool of like | assets |), if applic | able | 6 | | , | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | <u>، د</u> | ,,. . |
| | | Total | | | | | ,, | | | -\$ 258.042 | 1 | | | |



| Less: Fully Allocated Depreciati | ion | |
|----------------------------------|-----|---------|
| Deferred Revenue | \$ | 2,477 |
| OEB 1576 | -\$ | 24,296 |
| Transportation | -\$ | 16,049 |
| Tools and Equipment | -\$ | 28,317 |
| Net Depreciation | -\$ | 191,858 |

| | - | | | | Co | st | | | | ΙC | | Ac | cumulated I | Depre | eciation | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--------------------|------|------------------------|---------|--------------------|----------|--------------------|-----|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|-------|----------|-------------------|--------------------|----------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| CCA Class ² | OEB Account ³ | Description ³ | Opening Balance | | Additions ⁴ | Dispo | osals ⁶ | | Closing Balance | | Opening Balance | Additions Disposals | | | | | Closing Balance | 1 | Net Book Value | | | |
| 12 | 1611 | Computer Software (Formally known as Account 1925) | \$ 121,7 | '98 | \$ 45,000 | | | \$ | 166,798 | - | \$ 101,997 | -\$ | 8,403 | | | -\$ | 110,400 | \$ | 56,398 | | | |
| CEC | 1612 | Land Rights (Formally known as Account 1906) | s | | | | | s | - | 5 | 6 - | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | |
| N/A | 1805 | Land | \$ | | | | | \$ | - | | 6 - | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | |
| 47 | 1808 | Buildings | \$ 91,8 | 64 | | | | \$ | 91,864 | - | 59,395 | -\$ | 3,675 | | | -\$ | 63,070 | \$ | 28,795 | | | |
| 13 | 1810 | Leasehold Improvements | \$ | | | | | \$ | - | 3 | ş - | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | |
| 47 | 1815 | Transformer Station Equipment >50 kV | \$ | | | | | \$ | - | 3 | ş - | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | |
| 47 | 1820 | Distribution Station Equipment <50 kV | \$ | | | | | \$ | - | 3 | ş - | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | |
| 47 | 1825 | Storage Battery Equipment | \$ | | | | | \$ | - | 3 | 6 - | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | |
| 47 | 1830 | Poles, Towers & Fixtures | \$ 4,244,7 | 61 | \$ 177,309 | -\$ 1 | 17,079 | \$ | 4,404,991 | -0 | \$ 1,494,006 | -\$ | 87,458 | \$ | 12,048 | -\$ | 1,569,416 | \$ | 2,835,575 | | | |
| 47 | 1835 | Overhead Conductors & Devices | \$ 1,140,5 | 93 | \$ 116,126 | -\$ | 3,185 | \$ | 1,253,534 | -0 | \$ 554,044 | -\$ | 20,705 | \$ | 2,778 | -\$ | 571,971 | \$ | 681,563 | | | |
| 47 | 1840 | Underground Conduit | \$ 193,1 | 84 | \$ 2,800 | | | \$ | 195,984 | | \$ 82,306 | -\$ | 3,187 | | | -\$ | 85,493 | \$ | 110,491 | | | |
| 47 | 1845 | Underground Conductors & Devices | \$ 1,022,9 | 98 | \$ 49,012 | | | \$ | 1,072,010 | -3 | 409,873 | -\$ | 24,115 | | | -\$ | 433,988 | \$ | 638,021 | | | |
| 47 | 1850 | Line Transformers | \$ 1,819,1 | 24 | \$ 143,477 | | | \$ | 1,962,601 | -3 | 5 754,209 | -\$ | 42,604 | | | -\$ | 796,813 | \$ | 1,165,788 | | | |
| 47 | 1855 | Services (Overhead & Underground) | \$ | | | | | \$ | - | 3 | 6 - | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | |
| 47 | 1860 | Meters | \$ 179,3 | 74 | \$ 918 | | | \$ | 180,292 | | \$ 75,277 | -\$ | 12,688 | | | -\$ | 87,965 | \$ | 92,327 | | | |
| 47 | 1860 | Meters (Smart Meters) | \$ 643,6 | 23 | \$ 16,712 | | | \$ | 660,335 | | \$ 312,331 | -\$ | 43,465 | | | -\$ | 355,796 | \$ | 304,540 | | | |
| N/A | 1905 | Land | \$ | | | | | \$ | - | 3 | 6 - | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | |
| 47 | 1908 | Buildings & Fixtures | \$ | | | | | \$ | - | 3 | 6 - | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | |
| 13 | 1910 | Leasehold Improvements | \$ | | | | | \$ | - | 3 | 6 - | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | |
| 8 | 1915 | Office Furniture & Equipment (10 years) | \$ 23,5 | 80 | \$ 2,000 | | | \$ | 25,508 | -3 | \$ 14,520 | -\$ | 1,921 | | | -\$ | 16,441 | \$ | 9,067 | | | |
| 8 | 1915 | Office Furniture & Equipment (5 years) | \$ | | | | | \$ | - | 3 | 6 - | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | |
| 10 | 1920 | Computer Equipment - Hardware | \$ 73,9 | 91 | \$ 2,000 | | | \$ | 75,991 | -3 | 65,729 | -\$ | 4,958 | | | -\$ | 70,687 | \$ | 5,304 | | | |
| 45 | 1920 | Computer EquipHardware(Post Mar. 22/04) | \$ | | | | | \$ | - | 4 | 6 - | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | |
| 45.1 | 1920 | Computer EquipHardware(Post Mar. 19/07) | \$ | | | | | \$ | - | 4 | 6 - | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | |
| 10 | 1930 | Transportation Equipment(8 years) | \$ 382,9 | 71 | | | | \$ | 382,971 | -5 | 365,627 | -\$ | 4,428 | | | -\$ | 370,055 | \$ | 12,916 | | | |
| 10 | 1930 | Transportation Equipment(5 years) | \$ 85,7 | 22 | \$ 35,000 | | | \$ | 120,722 | -2 | 58,452 | -\$ | 14,408 | | | -\$ | 72,860 | \$ | 47,861 | | | |
| 8 | 1940 | Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment | \$ 94,5 | 78 | \$ 5,000 | | | \$ | 99,578 | -2 | \$ 89,068 | -\$ | 2,704 | | | -\$ | 91,772 | \$ | 7,806 | | | |
| 8 | 1945 | Measurement & Testing Equipment | \$ 34,2 | 27 | | | | \$ | 34,227 | -2 | 5 15,456 | -\$ | 2,153 | | | -\$ | 17,609 | \$ | 16,618 | | | |
| 8 | 1950 | Power Operated Equipment(8 years) | \$ 122,7 | '03 | | | | \$ | 122,703 | -2 | \$ 74,552 | -\$ | 13,561 | | | -\$ | 88,113 | \$ | 34,590 | | | |
| 8 | 1950 | Power Operated Equipment(5 years) | \$ 113,9 | 21 | | | | \$ | 113,921 | -3 | \$ 102,050 | -\$ | 3,063 | | | -\$ | 105,112 | \$ | 8,809 | | | |
| 8 | 1955 | Communication Equipment | \$ 54,7 | 32 | | | | \$ | 54,732 | -5 | \$ 40,667 | -\$ | 2,082 | | | -\$ | 42,749 | \$ | 11,983 | | | |
| 8 | 1960 | Miscellaneous Equipment | \$ | | | | | \$ | - | 3 | ş - | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | |
| 47 | 1970 | Load Management Controls Customer Premises | \$ | | | | | \$ | - | 4 | 6 - | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | |
| 47 | 1975 | Load Management Controls Utility Premises | \$ | | | | | \$ | - | | ş - | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | |
| 47 | 1980 | System Supervisor Equipment | \$ | | | | | \$ | - | 3 | 6 - | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | |
| 47 | 1985 | Miscellaneous Fixed Assets | \$ 32,2 | 10 | \$ 300 | | | \$ | 32,510 | - | \$ 27,436 | -\$ | 1,033 | | | -\$ | 28,469 | \$ | 4,041 | | | |
| 47 | 1990 | Other Tangible Property | \$ | | | | | \$ | - | 3 | 6 - | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | |
| 47 | 1995 | Contributions & Grants | -\$ 1,038,1 | 35 | | | | -\$ | 1,038,135 | 3 | \$ 355,896 | \$ | 31,626 | | | \$ | 387,522 | -\$ | 650,613 | | | |
| 47 | 2440 | Deferred Revenue ⁵ | -\$ 113,7 | '03 | -\$ 170,000 | | | -\$ | 283,703 | 1 | 6,266 | \$ | 4,955 | | | \$ | 11,221 | -\$ | 272,481 | | | |
| | | Sub-Total | \$ \$ 9.324.0 | 45 | \$ 425.654 | -\$ 2 | 20.264 | \$ \$ | 9.729.435 | -5 | 4.334.832 | -s | 260.030 | ŝ | 14.826 | \$ - \$ | 4.580.036 | \$ \$ | - | | | |
| | | Less Socialized Renewable Energy Generation Investments (input as negative) | | | | | | \$ | | | | | | | | s | | \$ | | | | |
| | Ì | Less Other Non Rate-Regulated Utility | | | | | | r i | | 1 🔽 | | | | | | · · | | Ċ | | | | |
| | | Assets (input as negative) | -\$ 32,2 | 10 | -300 | | | -\$ | 32,510 | | \$ 27,436 | | 1033 | | | \$ | 28,469 | -\$ | 4,041 | | | |
| | | Total PP&E | \$ 9,291,8 | 35 | \$ 425,354 | -\$ 2 | 20,264 | \$ | 9,696,925 | - | 4,307,396 | -\$ | 258,997 | \$ | 14,826 | -\$ | 4,551,567 | \$ | 5,145,358 | | | |
| | | Depreciation Expense adj. from gain or lo | oss on the re | iren | nent of assets | (pool o | of like a | asse | ts), if applic | abl | e ⁶ | | | | | | | • | | | | |
| | | Total | | | | | | | | | | -\$ | 258,997 | l I | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | • • | , | | | | | | | | | |

Table 2-15: Fixed Asset Continuity Schedule as at December 31, 2017, MIFRS

Accounting Standard MIFRS

 10
 Transportation

 8
 Tools and Equipment

Less: Fully Allocated Depreciation Deferred Revenue \$ 4,955 Transportation \$ 18,836 Tools and Equipment \$ 23,563 Net Depreciation \$ 221,553

2

| | | | Accou | nting Standard Year | MIFRS | 3 | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|--|-------------------|------------------------|---------------|--------|-----------------|------|------------------|-------------------------|----------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----|----------------|
| | | | | Co | st | | | Г | | Accumulated | Depre | eciation | | Т | |
| CCA | OEB | | Opening | | | | Closing | | Opening | | 1 | | Closing | | Net Book |
| Class ² | Account ³ | Description ³ | Balance | Additions ⁴ | Disposals 6 | _ | Balance | ╎┝ | Balance | Additions | Dis | posals ⁶ | Balance | _ | Value |
| 12 | 1611 | Computer Software (Formally known as Account 1925) | \$ 166,798 | | | \$ | 166,798 | -9 | 110,400 | -\$ 12,903 | | | \$ 123,303 | \$ | 43,495 |
| CEC | 1612 | Land Rights (Formally known as Account 1906) | \$- | | | \$ | - | 9 | ; - | | | | \$- | \$ | - |
| N/A | 1805 | Land | \$ - | | | \$ | - | 9 | - | | | | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1808 | Buildings | \$ 91,864 \$ | | | \$ | 91,864 | -5 | 63,070 | -\$ 3,675 | - | | \$ 66,745 | \$ | 25,120 |
| 47 | 1815 | Transformer Station Equipment >50 kV | \$ - \$ | | | \$ | - | 4 | , <u>-</u> | | | | ş - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1820 | Distribution Station Equipment <50 kV | \$ - | | | \$ | - | 9 | , ; ; | | | | \$- | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1825 | Storage Battery Equipment | \$ - | | | \$ | - | \$ | ÷ - | | | | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1830 | Poles, Towers & Fixtures | \$ 4,404,991 | \$ 173,504 | -\$ 16,256 | \$ | 4,562,239 | -\$ | 1,569,416 | -\$ 91,299 | \$ | 13,400 | \$ 1,647,315 | \$ | 2,914,924 |
| 47 | 1835 | Overhead Conductors & Devices | \$ 1,253,534 | \$ 3,200 | -\$ 3,185 | \$ | 1,253,549 | -\$ | 571,971 | -\$ 22,046 | \$ | 2,792 | \$ 591,225 | \$ | 662,324 |
| 47 | 1840 | Underground Conduit | \$ 195,984 | \$ 1,200 | | \$ | 197,184 | -3 | <u>6 85,493</u> | -\$ 3,168 | | | \$ 88,661 | \$ | 108,523 |
| 47 | 1845 | Line Transformers | \$ 1,072,010 | \$ 35,000 | - | \$ | 2,004,026 | -3 | 2 706 912 | -\$ 25,181 \$ 44.061 | | | \$ 459,168 \$ 941,774 | \$ | 1 162 252 |
| 47 | 1855 | Services (Overhead & Underground) | \$ 1,302,001 | φ 41,423 | | \$ | 2,004,020 | 9 | 3 730,013 | -9 44,301 | | | <u>s</u> | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1860 | Meters | \$ 180,292 | | | \$ | 180,292 | -9 | 87,965 | -\$ 12,707 | | - | \$ 100,672 | \$ | 79,620 |
| 47 | 1860 | Meters (Smart Meters) | \$ 660,335 | | | \$ | 660,335 | -9 | 355,796 | -\$ 43,797 | | | \$ 399,593 | \$ | 260,743 |
| N/A | 1905 | Land | \$ - | | | \$ | - | 4 | ; - | | | | \$- | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1908 | Buildings & Fixtures | \$ - | | | \$ | - | 9 | - | | | | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 13 | 1910 | Leasehold Improvements | <u>\$</u> - | | - | \$ | - | 9 | <u> </u> | A 0.001 | - | | <u>\$</u> - | \$ | - |
| 8 | 1915 | Office Furniture & Equipment (10 years) | \$ 25,508 | \$ 2,000 | | \$ | 27,508 | -5 | 5 16,441 | -\$ 2,031 | - | | \$ <u>18,472</u> | \$ | 9,036 |
| 8 10 | 1915 | Computer Equipment - Hardware | \$ - \$ 75.001 | \$ 2,000 | | ¢ | - | 4 | - | \$ 4,700 | - | | ۍ د ۲5387 | - C | - 2 604 |
| 45 | 1920 | Computer EquipHardware(Post Mar. 22/04) | <u>\$ 73,331</u> | \$ 2,000 | | ¢ | 11,331 | 4 | <u>, 10,007</u> | -\$ 4,700 | | | s <u>, 13,361</u> | ¢ | 2,004 |
| 45.1 | 1920 | Computer EquipHardware(Post Mar. 19/07) | \$ - | | | \$ | | 4 | , } | | | | s - | \$ | _ |
| 10 | 1930 | Transportation Equipment(8 years) | \$ 382.971 | \$ 355.000 | -\$ 276.065 | \$ | 461,906 | -9 | 370.055 | -\$ 22,188 | \$ | 263.150 | \$ 129.093 | \$ | 332.813 |
| 10 | 1930 | Transportation Equipment(5 years) | \$ 120,722 | | | \$ | 120,722 | -9 | 5 72,860 | -\$ 17,908 | Ľ | | \$ 90,768 | \$ | 29,953 |
| 8 | 1940 | Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment | \$ 99,578 | \$ 5,000 | | \$ | 104,578 | -\$ | 91,772 | -\$ 2,895 | | | \$ 94,667 | \$ | 9,912 |
| 8 | 1945 | Measurement & Testing Equipment | \$ 34,227 | | | \$ | 34,227 | -9 | 5 17,609 | -\$ 2,153 | | | \$ 19,762 | \$ | 14,465 |
| 8 | 1950 | Power Operated Equipment(8 years) | \$ 122,703 | | | \$ | 122,703 | -\$ | 88,113 | -\$ 13,318 | | | \$ 101,431 | \$ | 21,273 |
| 8 | 1950 | Power Operated Equipment(5 years) | \$ 113,921 | | | \$ | 113,921 | -9 | 5 105,112 | -\$ 3,003 | | · | \$ 108,115 | \$ | 5,806 |
| 8 | 1955 | Communication Equipment | \$ 54,732 | | | \$ | 54,732 | -3 | 5 42,749 | -\$ 1,955 | | | \$ 44,704 | \$ | 10,028 |
| 8 | 1960 | Load Management Controls Customer | Ъ - | | - | \$ | - | 4 | - | | | | э - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1970 | Premises | \$- | | | \$ | - | ş | ; - | | | | \$- | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1975 | Load Management Controls Utility Premises | \$ - | | | \$ | - | 9 | ; - | | | | s - | \$ | |
| 47 | 1980 | System Supervisor Equipment | \$ - | | | \$ | - | 9 | s - | | | | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1985 | Miscellaneous Fixed Assets | \$ 32,510 | | | \$ | 32,510 | -9 | 28,469 | -\$ 893 | | | \$ 29,362 | \$ | 3,148 |
| 47 | 1990 | Other Tangible Property | \$ - | | | \$ | - | \$ | 3 - | | | | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 47 | 1995 | Contributions & Grants | -\$ 1,038,135 | | | -\$ | 1,038,135 | \$ | 387,522 | \$ 31,626 | | | \$ 419,148 | -\$ | 618,987 |
| 47 | 2440 | Deferred Revenue ⁵ | -\$ 283,703 | -\$ 30,000 | - | -\$ | 313,703 | 9 | <u>5 11,221</u> | \$ 7,468 | - | | \$ 18,689 | -\$ | 295,013 |
| | | Sub Total | \$ - | £ 599.220 | \$ 205 E06 | \$ | - | 8 | 4 590 026 | ¢ 201.696 | | 270 242 | \$ - € 4.500.070 | \$ | - E 420 970 |
| | | Sub-Total | \$ 9,729,433 | \$ 566,529 | -\$ 295,500 | ş | 10,022,236 | -4 | 4,360,036 | -\$ 291,000 | ş | 2/9,342 | \$ 4,592,578 | - P | 5,429,679 |
| | | Less Socialized Renewable Energy Generation Investments (input as negative) | | | | \$ | - | | | | | | s - | \$ | - |
| | | Less Other Non Rate-Regulated Utility | | | | ľ | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| | | Assets (input as negative) | -\$ 32,510 | | | -\$ | 32,510 | \$ | 28,469 | 893 | | | \$ 29,362 | -\$ | 3,148 |
| | | Total PP&E | \$ 9,696,925 | \$ 588,329 | -\$ 295,506 | \$ | 9,989,748 | -\$ | 4,551,567 | -\$ 290,793 | \$ | 279,342 | \$ 4,563,017 | \$ | 5,426,730 |
| | | Depreciation Expense adj. from gain or lo | ss on the retire | ment of assets | (pool of like | ass | ets), if applic | able | e ^v | | 1 | | | | |
| | | Total | | | | | | | | -\$ 290,793 | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | L | ess: Fully Alloc | ated Depreciatio | on Fe | 7 400 | | | |
| 10 | | Transportation | eleirea Kevenu | e | ð S | 40.096 | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | Tools and Equipment | | | | | | Т | ools and Equip | ment | -\$ | 23.323 | | | |
| I | | | | | | | | N | et Depreciatio | n | -\$ | 234,842 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | |

Table 2-16: Fixed Asset Continuity Schedule as at December 31, 2018, MIFRS

2

1 2.1.2 Gross Assets – Property Plant and Equipment and Accumulated Depreciation

2 Breakdown by Function

- 3 Table 2-17 below categorizes SLHI's assets into three Functions; distribution plant, general plant,
- 4 contributions and grants. In accordance with the Uniform System of Accounts ("USoA"), SLHI has
 5 included gross assets as follows:
- 6 Distribution plant asset accounts include USoA 1805 to 1860 this account includes assets such as
- 7 substation equipment, poles, wires, transformers and meters;
- 8 General plant asset accounts include USoA 1905 to 1990 and USoA 1611 this account includes
- 9 assets such as buildings, computer software and hardware, transportation equipment, and tools;
- 10 Contributions and grants includes USoA account 1995 (pre-IFRS) and 2440 (post-IFRS) this
- 11 account includes all contributions in aid of capital that SLHI has received or forecasted to be
- 12 received as per the Distribution System Code ("DSC") and;
- 13 WIP(Work in Progress)- this account includes all costs related to assets that are not considered in-
- 14 service as of December 31st of the applicable fiscal year. Costs are transferred out of WIP and into
- 15 the appropriate category above once designated in-service.
- 16 Table 2-17 categorizes SLHI's assets into the four functions according to USoA.
- 17

Table 2-17: Gross Asset Breakdown by Function

| | 2013 Board | 2013 | 2014 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 Bridge | 2018 Test |
|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| Description Reporting Basis | Approved | MCGAAP | MCGAAP | MIFRS | MIFRS | MIFRS | MIFRS | MIFRS |
| Distribution System Plant | 8,653,507 | 8,659,162 | 8,909,078 | 8,816,661 | 9,050,591 | 9,335,522 | 9,821,612 | 10,056,500 |
| General Plant | 1,017,251 | 1,011,118 | 1,080,758 | 1,080,758 | 1,112,430 | 1,108,152 | 1,197,152 | 1,285,087 |
| Contributions and Grants/Deferred Revenue | -1,067,244 | -1,038,135 | -1,081,629 | -1,081,629 | -1,122,142 | -1,151,838 | -1,321,838 | -1,351,838 |
| Total | 8,603,514 | 8,632,145 | 8,908,208 | 8,815,790 | 9,040,879 | 9,291,836 | 9,696,926 | 9,989,749 |

18

 $19 \qquad {\rm *small \ differences \ from \ continuity \ schedules \ due \ to \ rounding}$

20 Detailed Breakdown by Major Plant Account

- 21 Table 2-18 below provides a detailed breakdown by major plant account for each functionalized
- 22 plant item. Each plant item is accompanied by a description in accordance with the Board's USoA,
- 23 including the 2018 Test Year. SLHI has also included a breakdown of accumulated amortization in
- the same format in Table 2-19.

| r | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|--|------------------------|---|------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| Function | Description | 2013 Board Approved (MCGAAP) | 2013 Actual (MCGAAP) | Variance from 2013 Board Approved | 2014 Actual (MCGAAP) | Variance -from 2013 Actual | 2014 Actual (MIFRS) | Variance from 2014 (MCGAAP) Actual | 2015 Actual (MIFRS) | Variance from 2014 (MIFRS) Actual | 2016 Actual (MIFRS) | Variance from 2015 Actual | 2017 Bridge (MIFRS) | Variance from 2016 Actual | 2018 Test (MIFRS) | Variance from 2017 Bridge |
| | Land & Buildings (Distribution Plant) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - |
| | 1808 Buildings and Fixtures | 91.864 | 91.864 | 0 | 91.864 | 0 | 91.864 | 0 | 91.864 | 0 | 91.864 | 0 | 91.864 | 0 | 91.864 | 0 |
| | SUBTOTAL LAND & BUILDINGS | 91.864 | 91.864 | 0 | 91.864 | 0 | 91.864 | 0 | 91.864 | 0 | 91.864 | 0 | 91.864 | 0 | 91.864 | 0 |
| | Poles & Wires | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1830 Poles, Towers and Fixtures | 3,704,849 | 3,783,199 | 78,350 | 3,957,666 | 174,467 | 3,904,193 | -53,473 | 4,058,761 | 154,568 | 4,244,761 | 186,000 | 4,404,991 | 160,230 | 4,562,239 | 157,248 |
| | 1835 Overhead Conductors and Devices | 1,100,545 | 1,094,401 | -6,144 | 1,099,731 | 5,330 | 1,099,731 | 0 | 1,115,963 | 16,232 | 1,140,593 | 24,630 | 1,253,534 | 112,941 | 1,253,549 | 15 |
| | 1840 Underground Conduit | 183,423 | 184,721 | 1,298 | 185,626 | 905 | 185,626 | 0 | 187,356 | 1,730 | 193,184 | 5,828 | 195,984 | 2,800 | 197,184 | 1,200 |
| | 1845 Underground Conductors and Devices | 999,577 | 938,473 | -61,104 | 951,670 | 13,197 | 951,670 | 0 | 976,663 | 24,993 | 1,022,998 | 46,335 | 1,072,010 | 49,012 | 1,107,010 | 35,000 |
| Distribution Plan | SUBTOTAL POLES & WIRES | 5,988,394 | 6,000,794 | 12,400 | 6,194,693 | 193,899 | 6,141,220 | -53,473 | 6,338,743 | 197,523 | 6,601,536 | 262,793 | 6,926,519 | 324,983 | 7,119,982 | 193,463 |
| | Line Transformers | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1850 Line Transformers | 1,756,324 | 1,747,263 | -9,061 | 1,801,839 | 54,576 | 1,762,894 | -38,945 | 1,798,732 | 35,838 | 1,819,124 | 20,392 | 1,962,601 | 143,477 | 2,004,026 | 41,425 |
| | SUBTOTAL LINE TRANSFORMERS | 1,756,324 | 1,747,263 | -9,061 | 1,801,839 | 54,576 | 1,762,894 | -38,945 | 1,798,732 | 35,838 | 1,819,124 | 20,392 | 1,962,601 | 143,477 | 2,004,026 | 41,425 |
| | Meters | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1860 Meters | 167,758 | 169,328 | 1,570 | 174,549 | 5,221 | 174,549 | 0 | 178,575 | 4,026 | 179,374 | 799 | 180,292 | 918 | 180,292 | 0 |
| | 1860 Smart Meters | 649,166 | 649,913 | 747 | 646,133 | -3,780 | 646,133 | 0 | 642,676 | -3,457 | 643,623 | 947 | 660,335 | 16,712 | 660,335 | 0 |
| | SUBTOTAL METERS | 816,924 | 819,241 | 2,317 | 820,682 | 1,441 | 820,682 | 0 | 821,251 | 569 | 822,997 | 1,746 | 840,627 | 17,630 | 840,627 | 0 |
| | IT Assets | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1920 Computer Equipement- Hardware | 70,885 | 71,161 | 276 | 72,161 | 1,000 | 72,161 | 0 | 73,991 | 1,830 | 73,991 | 0 | 75,991 | 2,000 | 77,991 | 2,000 |
| | 1611 Computer Equipment - Software | 80,785 | 79,785 | -1,000 | 120,635 | 40,850 | 120,635 | 0 | 121,798 | 1,163 | 121,798 | 0 | 166,798 | 45,000 | 166,798 | 0 |
| | SUBTOTAL IT ASSETS | 151,670 | 150,946 | -724 | 192,796 | 41,850 | 192,796 | 0 | 195,789 | 2,993 | 195,789 | 0 | 242,789 | 47,000 | 244,789 | 2,000 |
| | Equipment | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| General Plant | 1915 Office Furniture and Equipment | 21,741 | 21,741 | 0 | 21,544 | -197 | 21,544 | 0 | 23,862 | 2,318 | 23,508 | -354 | 25,508 | 2,000 | 27,508 | 2,000 |
| General Plan | 1930 Transportation Equipment | 473,288 | 473,288 | 0 | 493,330 | 20,042 | 493,330 | 0 | 493,330 | 0 | 468,693 | -24,637 | 503,693 | 35,000 | 582,628 | 78,935 |
| | 1940 Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | 89,767 | 86,124 | -3,643 | 89,629 | 3,505 | 89,629 | 0 | 90,634 | 1,005 | 94,578 | 3,944 | 99,578 | 5,000 | 104,578 | 5,000 |
| | 1945 Measurement and Testing Equipment | 19,694 | 18,838 | -856 | 18,838 | 0 | 18,838 | 0 | 18,838 | 0 | 34,227 | 15,389 | 34,227 | 0 | 34,227 | 0 |
| | 1950 Power Operated Equipment | 222,522 | 221,611 | -911 | 221,611 | 0 | 221,611 | 0 | 235,845 | 14,234 | 236,624 | 779 | 236,624 | 0 | 236,624 | 0 |
| | 1955 Communication Equipment | 38,569 | 38,569 | 0 | 43,010 | 4,441 | 43,010 | 0 | 54,133 | 11,123 | 54,732 | 599 | 54,732 | 0 | 54,732 | 0 |
| | SUBTOTAL EQUIPMENT | 865,581 | 860,171 | -5,410 | 887,962 | 27,791 | 887,962 | 0 | 916,642 | 28,680 | 912,362 | -4,280 | 954,362 | 42,000 | 1,040,297 | 85,935 |
| Contributions and Grants | 1995 Contributions and Grants | -1,067,244 | -1,038,135 | 29,109 | -1,081,629 | -43,494 | -1,038,135 | 43,494 | -1,038,135 | 0 | -1,038,135 | 0 | -1,038,135 | 0 | -1,038,135 | 0 |
| | 2440 Deferred Revenue | | | | | | -43,494 | -43,494 | -84,007 | -40,513 | -113,703 | -29,696 | -283,703 | -170,000 | -313,703 | -30,000 |
| | SUBTOTAL OTHER DISTRIBUTION ASSETS | -1,067,244 | -1,038,135 | 29,109 | -1,081,629 | -43,494 | -1,081,629 | 0 | -1,122,142 | -40,513 | -1,151,838 | -29,696 | -1,321,838 | -170,000 | -1,351,838 | -30,000 |
| 1 | TOTAL GROSS FIXED ASSETS | 8,603,513 | 8,632,144 | 28,631 | 8,908,207 | 276,063 | 8,815,789 | -92,418 | 9,040,879 | 225,090 | 9,291,834 | 250,955 | 9,696,924 | 405,090 | 9,989,747 | 292,823 |

Table 2-18: Gross Assets - Detailed Breakdown by Major Plant Function

2

3

1

Table 2-19: Accumulated Amortization - Detailed Breakdown by Major Plant Function

| | | 2013 Board | | Variance from | | | | Variance from | | Variance from | | | | | | i l |
|--------------------------|---|------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|
| | | Approved | 2013 Actual | 2013 Board | 2014 Actual | Variance - from | 2014 Actual | 2014 (MCGAAP) | 2015 Actual | 2014 (MIFRS) | 2016 Actual | Variance from | 2017 Bridge | Variance from | 2018 Test | Variance from |
| Function | Description | (WCGAAP) | (WICGAAP) | Approved | (MCGAAP) | 2013 Actual | (MIFK3) | Accuar | (IVIIFICS) | Actual | (WIFK3) | 2015 Actual | (WIFK3) | 2016 Actual | (IVIIFR3) | 2017 Bridge |
| | Land & Buildings (Distribution Plant) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1808 Buildings and Fixtures | 48,374 | 48,371 | -3 | 52,045 | 3,674 | 52,045 | 0 | 55,720 | 3,675 | 59,395 | 3,675 | 63,070 | 3,675 | 66,745 | 3,675 |
| | SUBTOTAL LAND & BUILDINGS | 48,374 | 48,371 | -3 | 52,045 | 3,674 | 52,045 | 0 | 55,720 | 3,675 | 59,395 | 3,675 | 63,070 | 7,350 | 66,745 | 3,675 |
| | Poles & Wires | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1830 Poles, Towers and Fixtures | 1,313,557 | 1,314,428 | 871 | 1,390,519 | 76,091 | 1,352,984 | -37,535 | 1,423,559 | 70,575 | 1,494,006 | 70,447 | 1,569,416 | 75,410 | 1,647,315 | 77,899 |
| | 1835 Overhead Conductors and Devices | 510,879 | 510,812 | -67 | 529,047 | 18,235 | 528,992 | -55 | 537,938 | 8,946 | 554,044 | 16,106 | 571,971 | 17,927 | 591,225 | 19,254 |
| | 1840 Underground Conduit | 73,351 | 73,364 | 13 | 76,299 | 2,935 | 76,302 | 3 | 79,266 | 2,964 | 82,306 | 3,040 | 85,493 | 3,187 | 88,661 | 3,168 |
| Distribution Plant | 1845 Underground Conductors and Devices | 344,186 | 343,422 | -764 | 364,933 | 21,511 | 364,957 | 24 | 386,965 | 22,008 | 409,873 | 22,908 | 433,988 | 24,115 | 459,169 | 25,181 |
| Distribution Plan | SUBTOTAL POLES & WIRES | 2,241,973 | 2,242,026 | 53 | 2,360,798 | 118,772 | 2,323,235 | -37,563 | 2,427,728 | 104,493 | 2,540,229 | 112,501 | 2,660,868 | 120,639 | 2,786,370 | 125,502 |
| | Line Transformers | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1850 Line Transformers | 656,454 | 656,341 | -113 | 695,694 | 39,353 | 676,273 | -19,421 | 713,660 | 37,387 | 754,209 | 40,549 | 796,813 | 42,604 | 841,774 | 44,961 |
| | SUBTOTAL LINE TRANSFORMERS | 656,454 | 656,341 | -113 | 695,694 | 39,353 | 676,273 | -19,421 | 713,660 | 37,387 | 754,209 | 40,549 | 796,813 | 42,604 | 841,774 | 44,961 |
| | Meters | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1860 Meters | 37,664 | 37,694 | 30 | 50,066 | 12,372 | 50,066 | 0 | 62,623 | 12,557 | 75,277 | 12,654 | 87,965 | 12,688 | 100,672 | 12,707 |
| | 1860 Smart Meters | 190,883 | 190,764 | -119 | 229,284 | 38,520 | 229,284 | 0 | 270,944 | 41,660 | 312,331 | 41,387 | 355,796 | 43,465 | 399,593 | 43,797 |
| | SUBTOTAL METERS | 228,547 | 228,458 | -89 | 279,350 | 50,892 | 279,350 | 0 | 333,567 | 54,217 | 387,608 | 54,041 | 443,761 | 56,153 | 500,265 | 56,504 |
| | IT Assets | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1920 Computer Equipement- Hardware | 50,917 | 50,769 | -148 | 55,558 | 4,789 | 55,558 | 0 | 60,659 | 5,101 | 65,729 | 5,070 | 70,687 | 4,958 | 75,387 | 4,700 |
| | 1611 Computer Equipment - Software | 59,413 | 59,313 | -100 | 75,623 | 16,310 | 75,623 | 0 | 89,469 | 13,846 | 101,997 | 12,528 | 110,400 | 8,403 | 123,303 | 12,903 |
| | SUBTOTAL IT ASSETS | 110,330 | 110,082 | -248 | 131,181 | 21,099 | 131,181 | 0 | 150,128 | 18,947 | 167,726 | 17,598 | 181,087 | 13,361 | 198,690 | 17,603 |
| | Equipment | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1915 Office Furniture and Equipment | 10,027 | 10,026 | -1 | 11,472 | 1,446 | 11,472 | 0 | 13,257 | 1,785 | 14,520 | 1,263 | 16,441 | 1,921 | 18,472 | 2,031 |
| General Plant | 1930 Transportation Equipment | 419,125 | 419,123 | -2 | 408,778 | -10,345 | 408,778 | 0 | 432,668 | 23,890 | 424,079 | -8,589 | 442,915 | 18,836 | 219,861 | -223,054 |
| | 1940 Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | 66,728 | 66,547 | -181 | 74,206 | 7,659 | 74,206 | 0 | 81,602 | 7,396 | 89,068 | 7,466 | 91,772 | 2,704 | 94,667 | 2,895 |
| | 1945 Measurement and Testing Equipment | 11,854 | 11,812 | -42 | 13,166 | 1,354 | 13,166 | 0 | 14,113 | 947 | 15,456 | 1,343 | 17,609 | 2,153 | 19,762 | 2,153 |
| | 1950 Power Operated Equipment | 131,627 | 131,512 | -115 | 145,991 | 14,479 | 145,991 | 0 | 159,933 | 13,942 | 176,602 | 16,669 | 193,225 | 16,623 | 209,546 | 16,321 |
| | 1955 Communication Equipment | 33,577 | 33,576 | -1 | 35,396 | 1,820 | 35,396 | 0 | 37,828 | 2,432 | 40,667 | 2,839 | 42,749 | 2,082 | 44,704 | 1,955 |
| | SUBTOTAL EQUIPMENT | 672,938 | 672,596 | -342 | 689,009 | 16,413 | 689,009 | 0 | 739,401 | 50,392 | 760,392 | 20,991 | 804,711 | 44,319 | 607,012 | -197,699 |
| | Other Distribution Assets | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Contributions and Grants | 1995 Contributions and Grants | -263,040 | -262,614 | 426 | -294,804 | -32,190 | -293,511 | 1,293 | -324,269 | -30,758 | -355,896 | -31,627 | -387,522 | -31,626 | -419,148 | -31,626 |
| 1 | 2440 Deferred Revenue | | | | | | -1,293 | -1,293 | -3,789 | -2,496 | -6,266 | -2,477 | -11,221 | -4,955 | -18,689 | -7,468 |
| | SUBTOTAL OTHER DISTRIBUTION ASSETS | -263,040 | -262,614 | 426 | -294,804 | -32,190 | -294,804 | 0 | -328,058 | -33,254 | -362,162 | -34,104 | -398,743 | -36,581 | -437,837 | -39,094 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

4

5

6 Variance Analysis on Gross Assets

7 Table 2-20 below provides the same level of detail as Table 2-18 however, for the purposes of the

8 variance analysis assets are categorized as Distribution Assets and General Plant and explanations

9 on variances over SLHI's materiality threshold are explained following the table. Variances for

10 Contribution and Grants are below the materiality threshold, therefore not explanations are given.

Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. EB-2017-0073 Exhibit 2 Page **17** of **44** Filed: August 28, 2017

Table 2-20: Variance on Gross Assets

| [| 1 | | | | | r | | - | | | | | | | r |
|---|------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------------------|
| | 2013 Board Approved | 2013 Actual | from 2013 Board | 2014 Actual | Variance - from 2013 | 2014 Actual | from 2014 (MCGAAP) | 2015 Actual | from 2014 (MIFRS) | 2016 Actual | Variance from 2015 | 2017 Bridge | Variance from 2016 | 2018 Test | Variance from 2017 |
| Description | (MCGAAP) | (MCGAAP) | Approved | (MCGAAP) | Actual | (MIFRS) | Actual | (MIFRS) | Actual | (MIFRS) | Actual | (MIFRS) | Actual | (MIFRS) | Bridge |
| DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM PLANT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Land & Buildings (Distribution Plant) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1808 Buildings and Fixtures | 91,864 | 91,864 | 0 | 91,864 | 0 | 91,864 | 0 | 91,864 | 0 | 91,864 | 0 | 91,864 | 0 | 91,864 | |
| Poles & Wires | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1830 Poles, Towers and Fixtures | 3,704,849 | 3,783,199 | 78,350 | 3,957,666 | 174,467 | 3,904,193 | -53,473 | 4,058,761 | 154,568 | 4,244,761 | 186,000 | 4,404,991 | 160,230 | 4,562,239 | 157,24 |
| 1835 Overhead Conductors and Devices | 1,100,545 | 1,094,401 | -6,144 | 1,099,731 | 5,330 | 1,099,731 | 0 | 1,115,963 | 16,232 | 1,140,593 | 24,630 | 1,253,534 | 112,941 | 1,253,549 | 1 |
| 1840 Underground Conduit | 183,423 | 184,721 | 1,298 | 185,626 | 905 | 185,626 | 0 | 187,356 | 1,730 | 193,184 | 5,828 | 195,984 | 2,800 | 197,184 | 1,20 |
| 1845 Underground Conductors and Devices | 999,577 | 938,473 | -61,104 | 951,670 | 13,197 | 951,670 | 0 | 976,663 | 24,993 | 1,022,998 | 46,335 | 1,072,010 | 49,012 | 1,107,010 | 35,00 |
| Line Transformers | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1850 Line Transformers | 1,756,324 | 1,747,263 | -9,061 | 1,801,839 | 54,576 | 1,762,894 | -38,945 | 1,798,732 | 35,838 | 1,819,124 | 20,392 | 1,962,601 | 143,477 | 2,004,026 | 41,42 |
| Meters | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1860 Meters | 167,758 | 169,328 | 1,570 | 174,549 | 5,221 | 174,549 | 0 | 178,575 | 4,026 | 179,374 | 799 | 180,292 | 918 | 180,292 | (|
| 1860 Smart Meters | 649,166 | 649,913 | 747 | 646,133 | -3,780 | 646,133 | 0 | 642,676 | -3,457 | 643,623 | 947 | 660,335 | 16,712 | 660,335 | (|
| SUBTOTAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM PLANT | 8,653,506 | 8,659,162 | 5,656 | 8,909,078 | 249,916 | 8,816,660 | -92,418 | 9,050,590 | 233,930 | 9,335,521 | 284,931 | 9,821,611 | 486,090 | 10,056,499 | 234,88 |
| GENERAL PLANT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IT Assets | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1920 Computer Equipement- Hardware | 70,885 | 71,161 | 276 | 72,161 | 1,000 | 72,161 | 0 | 73,991 | 1,830 | 73,991 | 0 | 75,991 | 2,000 | 77,991 | 2,000 |
| 1611 Computer Equipment - Software | 80,785 | 79,785 | -1,000 | 120,635 | 40,850 | 120,635 | 0 | 121,798 | 1,163 | 121,798 | 0 | 166,798 | 45,000 | 166,798 | (|
| Equipment | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1915 Office Furniture and Equipment | 21,741 | 21,741 | 0 | 21,544 | -197 | 21,544 | 0 | 23,862 | 2,318 | 23,508 | -354 | 25,508 | 2,000 | 27,508 | 2,000 |
| 1930 Transportation Equipment | 473,288 | 473,288 | 0 | 493,330 | 20,042 | 493,330 | 0 | 493,330 | 0 | 468,693 | -24,637 | 503,693 | 35,000 | 582,628 | 78,93 |
| 1940 Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | 89,767 | 86,124 | -3,643 | 89,629 | 3,505 | 89,629 | 0 | 90,634 | 1,005 | 94,578 | 3,944 | 99,578 | 5,000 | 104,578 | 5,000 |
| 1945 Measurement and Testing Equipment | 19,694 | 18,838 | -856 | 18,838 | 0 | 18,838 | 0 | 18,838 | 0 | 34,227 | 15,389 | 34,227 | 0 | 34,227 | |
| 1950 Power Operated Equipment | 222,522 | 221,611 | -911 | 221,611 | 0 | 221,611 | 0 | 235,845 | 14,234 | 236,624 | 779 | 236,624 | 0 | 236,624 | |
| 1955 Communication Equipment | 38,569 | 38,569 | 0 | 43,010 | 4,441 | 43,010 | 0 | 54,133 | 11,123 | 54,732 | 599 | 54,732 | 0 | 54,732 | (|
| | | | | | | | - | | | | 4 200 | 4 407 454 | 00.000 | | 07.020 |

3

4 2013 Actual (CGAAP) compared to 2014 Actual (CGAAP)

5 Distribution Assets Variance: \$249,916

- 6 2014 Actual Distribution Assets were higher than the 2013 Actual amounts by \$249,916. The items
- 7 related to this variance are the investment in SLHI's capital programs including pole replacements,
- 8 Winoga Submarine cable replacement, new connections and upgrades.
- 9 <u>General Assets Variance: \$69,941</u>
- 10 2014 Actual General Assets increased over 2013 Actual amounts due to the purchase of a new
- 11 vehicle and mapping software.
- 12 2014 Actual (CGAAP) compared to 2014 Actual (MIFRS)
- 13 <u>Distribution Assets Variance: -\$92,418</u>
- 14 2014 MIFRS Actual Distribution Assets were lower than the 2014 CGAAP actual amounts by
- 15 \$92,418. The reason for the variance is due to recording the retirements of poles, towers and
- 16 fixtures and line transformers, not previously required under CGAAP. These amounts are recorded
- 17 in OEB Account 1575 and included in section 9.2 for disposition.

1

1 2015 Actual compared to 2014 Actual (MIFRS)

2 <u>Distribution Assets Variance: \$233,930</u>

2015 Actual Distribution Assets were higher than the 2014 actual amounts by \$233,930. The items
related to this variance are the investment in SLHI's capital programs including pole replacements,

- 5 new connections and upgrades.
- 6 2016 Actual compared to 2015 Actual
- 7 <u>Distribution Assets Variance: \$284,931</u>

8 2016_ Actual Distribution Assets were higher than the 2015 actual amounts by \$284,931. The 9 items related to this variance are the investment in SLHI's capital programs including pole 10 replacements, new connections and upgrades.

- 11 2017 Bridge compared to 2016 Actual
- 12 <u>Distribution Assets Variance: \$486,090</u>

2017 Bridge Distribution Assets are higher than the 2016 actual amounts by \$486,090. The items
related to this variance are the investment in SLHI's capital programs including pole replacements,
new connections and upgrades. Also, the Long Term Load Transfer Elimination assets equated to
\$147,842.

- 17 <u>General Assets Variance: \$89,000</u>
- 2017 Bridge General Assets are higher than the 2016 Actual by \$89,000. This is made up of
 \$35,000 for the purchase of a new vehicle and the mapping conversion for \$45,000.
- 20 2018 Test compared to 2017 Bridge
- 21 <u>Distribution Assets Variance: \$234,888</u>
- 22 2018 Test Distribution Assets are higher than the 2017 Bridge amounts by \$234,888. The items
- 23 related to this variance are the investment in SLHI's capital programs including pole replacements.
- 24
- 25

1 <u>General Assets Variance: \$87,935</u>

2 The variance from 2018 Test General Assets is mainly due to the purchase of the new Altec Digger3 Derrick.

- 4 Summary of Incremental Capital Module Adjustment
- 5 SLHI confirms that it has not applied for nor received any ICM adjustments as part of a previous6 IRM application.
- 7 Reconciliation of Continuity Statements to Calculated Depreciation Expenses

8 SLHI confirms that the depreciation expenses in the fixed asset continuity statements reconcile to
9 the calculated depreciation expenses under Exhibit 4 – Operating Costs and are presented by
10 account. As such there are no reconciling items between the fixed asset continuity statements in

11 this Exhibit and the calculated depreciation expense in Exhibit 4.

12 2.1.3 Allowance for Working Capital

13 **Overview**

The Filing Requirements permit applicants to take one of two approaches for the calculation of the allowance for working capital; the 7.5% Allowance Approach or the filing of a lead/lag study. Using the 7.5% Allowance Approach, the working capital allowance is calculated to be 7.5% of the sum of Cost of Power ("**COP**") and controllable expenses (Operations, Maintenance, Billing and Collecting, Community Relations, Administration and General). SLHI considered and decided to forego its own lead lag study, and is using the 7.5% Allowance Approach in accordance with the Filing Requirements.

The working capital allowance for the 2018 Test Year is based upon 7.5% of the COP and controllable expenses. In calculating the working capital allowance for 2013 to 2016 actual and for the 2017 Bridge Year, SLHI used the Board's historical 13% Allowance Approach. Table 2-21 provides a summary of SLHI's COP and controllable expenses used to calculate working capital allowance for 2013 Board Approved, 2013 Actual, 2014 Actual, 2015 Actual, 2016 Actual, 2017 Bridge Year and the 2018 Test Year.

13%

1,593,591

514,586

226,447

355,718

475.341 2.600

5,394

7 5%

885,053

2013 Actual 2015 Actual 2013 Board Approved 2014 Actual 2016 Actual 2017 Bridge 2018 Test Distribution Expenses - Operations 535,159 581,576 526,730 574,153 540,346 Distribution Expenses - Maintenance 215,047 190,949 159,501 194,875 236,866 Billing and Collecting 296,239 310,022 329,917 351,771 350,791 Administrative & General Expenses 370,323 501,286 398.869 405,987 491.972 Donations - LEAP 2,130 2,340 2,340 2.340 2,340 3.813 3,850 5,230 2.881 5.294 Property Taxes 1,422,588 **1,532,008** 8,628,548 Total Eligible Distribution Expenses 1,421,245 **1,590,024** 7,768,594 1,627,609 1,580,086 1,422,710 Power Supply Expenses 7,651,230 7,508,181 8,158,299 10,630,783 10,220,621 Total Working Capital Expenses 9,072,475 8,930,891 9,358,618 9,580,887 10,160,556 12,258,392 11,800,707

13%

1,216,620

13%

1,245,515

13%

1,320,872

Table 2-21: Summary of Working Capital Allowance

2

1

3 **Cost of Power Calculations**

Working Capital Allowance %

Working Capital Allowance

SLHI has calculated cost of power for the 2018 Test Year based on the results of the load forecast 4

13%

1,161,016

13%

1,179,422

which is discussed in detail in Exhibit 3. The electricity prices used in the calculation were the 5

published prices in the OEB's Regulated Price Plan Report – May 1, 2017 to April 30, 2018, issued 6

7 April 20, 2017.

The cost of power calculations for the 2018 Test Year and a cost of power summary are provided in 8

9 the following Table 2-22 and Table 2-23.

| Table 2-22: 2018 Test Year Cost of Power Foreca | ast Calculation |
|---|-----------------|
|---|-----------------|

| kWh | kW | 2016 % RPP |
|------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| 32,918,746 | | 98% |
| 11,931,508 | | 91% |
| 27,063,250 | 72183 | 12% |
| 150,597 | 420 | 7% |
| 0 | | 100% |
| 72,064,101 | 72,603 | |
| | kWh 32,918,746 11,931,508 27,063,250 150,597 0 72,064,101 | kWh kW 32,918,746 |

| Electricity - Commodity RPP | 2018 | 2018 Loss | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|--------|-------------|
| Class per Load Forecast RPP | Forecasted | Factor | | 2018 | |
| Residential | 32,260,371 | 1.0892 | 35,137,996 | 0.1149 | \$4,037,356 |
| General Service < 50 kW | 10,857,672 | 1.0892 | 11,826,177 | 0.1149 | \$1,358,828 |
| General Service 50 to 4,999 kW | 3,247,590 | 1.0892 | 3,537,275 | 0.1149 | \$406,433 |
| Street Lighting | 10,542 | 1.0892 | 11,482 | 0.1149 | \$1,319 |
| Unmetered Scattered Load | 0 | 1.0892 | 0 | 0.1149 | \$0 |
| Total | 46,376,175 | | 50,512,930 | | \$5,803,936 |
| | | | | | |

| Electricity - Commodity Non-RP | Ρ | 2018 | 6 | 201 | 8 Loss | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|---------|-------|------|--------|-------|---------|--------|-----|------------|
| Class per Load Forecast RPP | | Forecas | ted | Fa | ctor | | | 2018 | | |
| Residential | | 658 | 3,375 | 1 | 1.0892 | 7 | 717,102 | 0.113 | 5 | \$81,391 |
| General Service < 50 kW | | 1,073 | 3,836 | 1 | 1.0892 | 1,1 | 69,622 | 0.113 | 5 | \$132,752 |
| General Service 50 to 4,999 kW | | 23,815 | 5,660 | 1 | 1.0892 | 25,9 | 940,017 | 0.113 | 5 5 | 52,944,192 |
| Street Lighting | | 140 | 0,055 | 1 | 1.0892 | 1 | 52,548 | 0.113 | 5 | \$17,314 |
| Unmetered Scattered Load | | | 0 | 1 | 1.0892 | | 0 | 0.113 | 5 | \$0 |
| Total | | 25,687 | 7,926 | | | 27,9 | 979,289 | | \$ | 53,175,649 |
| Transmission - Network | | | Volu | ıme | | | | | | |
| Class per Load Forecast RPP | | | Me | tric | | | 2017 | 2018 | 3 | |
| Residential | | | k٧ | ٧h | 35,85 | 5,098 | 0.006 | 64 0.0 | 064 | \$229,473 |
| General Service < 50 kW | | | k٧ | ٧h | 12,99 | 5,799 | 0.005 | 57 0.0 | 057 | \$74,076 |
| General Service 50 to 4,999 kW | | | k١ | N | 7. | 2,183 | 2.312 | 27 2. | 318 | \$167,194 |
| Street Lighting | | | k١ | N | | 420 | 1.744 | 12 1.7 | 482 | \$734 |
| Unmetered Scattered Load | | | k٧ | ٧h | | 0 | 0.006 | 61 | | \$0 |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | \$471,476 |
| Transmission - Connection | | | Volu | ıme | | | | | | |
| Class per Load Forecast RPP | | | Me | tric | | | 2017 | 2018 | 3 | |
| Residential | | | k٧ | ٧h | 35,85 | 5,098 | 0.00 | 17 0.0 | 017 | \$60,954 |
| General Service < 50 kW | | | k٧ | ٧h | 12,99 | 5,799 | 0.00 | 12 0.0 | 012 | \$15,595 |
| General Service 50 to 4,999 kW | | | k١ | N | 7. | 2,183 | 0.542 | 29 0.5 | 462 | \$39,348 |
| Street Lighting | | | k١ | N | | 420 | 0.419 | 98 0.4 | 224 | \$177 |
| Unmetered Scattered Load | | | k٧ | /h | | 0 | 0.00 | 12 | 0 | \$0 |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | \$116,073 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. EB-2017-0073 Exhibit 2 Page **22** of **44** Filed: August 28, 2017

| Wholesale Market Service | | Volume | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|---------------|------------|-----------|
| Class per Load Forecast RPP | | Metric | | 2018 | |
| Residential | | kWh | 35,855,098 | 0.0036 | \$129,078 |
| General Service < 50 kW | | kWh | 12,995,799 | 0.0036 | \$46,785 |
| General Service 50 to 4,999 kW | | kWh | 29,477,292 | 0.0036 | \$106,118 |
| Street Lighting | | kWh | 164,030 | 0.0036 | \$591 |
| Unmetered Scattered Load | | kWh | 0 | 0.0036 | \$0 |
| Total | | | | | \$282,572 |
| | | | | | |
| Rural Rate Assistance | | Volume | | | |
| Class per Load Forecast RPP | | Metric | | 2018 | |
| Residential | | kWh | 35,855,098 | 0.0003 | \$10,757 |
| General Service < 50 kW | | kWh | 12,995,799 | 0.0003 | \$3,899 |
| General Service 50 to 4,999 kW | | kWh | 29,477,292 | 0.0003 | \$8,843 |
| Street Lighting | | kWh | 164,030 | 0.0003 | \$49 |
| Unmetered Scattered Load | | kWh | 0 | 0.0003 | \$0 |
| Total | | | | | \$23,548 |
| | | | | | |
| Data used to calculate forecasted L | V Charges | | | | |
| Number of Monthly Service Charges | 2 | | | | |
| Number of Meter Points | 2 | | | | |
| Forecasted kW based on historical | | | | | |
| 3 year average | 161,234 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Hydro One Sub Transmission Charg | es based on | | Units | Months | |
| Service Charge | \$492.55 | per month | 2 | 12 | \$11,821 |
| Meter Charge | \$764.01 | per meter | 2 | 12 | \$18,336 |
| | | | | | 1 |
| Facility charge for connection to | | | | | l |
| high-voltage (> 13.8 kV secondary) | \$1.809 | per kW | 161,234 | | \$291,640 |
| Total Forecasted 2017 Bridge and 2 | 018 Test Year L | V Costs | | | \$321,797 |
| Source of Rates - Hydro One Approve | ed Rate Order D | ecember 2 | 1, 2016, EB-2 | 2016-0081, | Tariff of |
| ivales and charges - Sub Halistilissi | 011-01 | | | | |

1

2

Table 2-23: SLHI Test Year Cost of Power Summary

| | 2018 |
|----------------------------|--------------|
| 4705-Power Purchased | \$8,979,585 |
| 4708-Charges-WMS | \$282,572 |
| 4714-Charges-NW | \$471,476 |
| 4716-Charges-CN | \$116,073 |
| 4730-Rural Rate Assistance | \$23,548 |
| 4750-LV Charges | \$321,797 |
| Sub-Total | \$10,195,052 |
| Smart Meter Entity Charge | \$25,570 |
| Total Cost of Power | \$10,220,622 |

4

3

1 2.2 Capital Expenditures

2 2.2.1 Planning

The Board's RRFE is designed to support the cost-effective planning and operation of the distribution network and that of LDC distribution systems. The RRFE takes an integrated approach to planning in order to facilitate priorities and pacing of capital expenditures. SLHI developed a Distribution System Plan ("DSP") with the aid of Costello Associates to ensure that the plan meets all of the Chapter 5 requirements. The DSP was reviewed by AESI and SLHI received a Letter of Compliance which is attached as Appendix 2B. In accordance with the filing requirements, SLHI is filing its consolidated DSP as a stand-alone document DSP as Appendix 2A of this Exhibit.

SLHI has organized the information contained in the DSP using the headings indicated in Chapter
Five of the Board's Filing Requirements for Electricity Distribution and Transmission Applications,
Consolidated Distribution System Plan Filing Requirements dated March 28, 2017. The DSP
incorporates matters pertaining to asset management, regional planning, and renewable energy
generation.

The intention underlying DS Planning at SLHI encourages a process of "continuous improvement."
The following steps that have been adapted through the planning process:

17 Establish the objectives and processes necessary to deliver results in accordance with the expected

18 outcomes. Start, on a small scale, to test possible effects and financial feasibility. Develop a DS Plan,

19 prioritizing budgets, resources, and timelines.

Implement the Plan and collect data for analysis. Develop projects' design and execution, preparing
status reports, and implementing planned activities.

Study the actual results and compare against the expected results to ascertain any differences.
Evaluate any deviations in implementation from the Plan, and evaluate the appropriateness and
completeness of the Plan to enable the execution. This Plan elaborates on SLHI's Performance
Outcomes.

Recommend improvements and adjustments to the initial plan; determine the course of correctionsand modifications to the plan.

Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. EB-2017-0073 Exhibit 2 Page **24** of **44** Filed: August 28, 2017

In this DS Plan, SLHI also describes the areas where it has been determined that the asset management process, systems and data need to be improved. SLHI's DS network provides an essential service to the community and needs to be reliable and sustainable. The electricity distribution infrastructure assets are capital-intensive and have a long life. SLHI will continue to monitor and optimize the network performance, further refine effective investment strategies and refocus activities, as needed, to meet established targets.

7 To facilitate better planning, prioritization and pacing of capital expenditures, SLHI is using an 8 integrated approach to planning. This means SLHI's capital expenditure plan consolidates all 9 categories of system investments, including investments to renew and expand the distribution 10 system. Going forward the DSP will be amended, as required, with information about investments 11 that will be identified during the regional planning process, and will include investments to 12 accommodate the connection of renewable generation, if necessary, or to implement a smart grid.

This is the first effort of SLHI to use an integrated framework approach. SLHI first developed an Asset Management Plan (AMP) in 2012. The current plan, however, consolidates information that includes data about renewable generation (REG), smart grid and other components compliant with the requirements of Chapter 5.

17 Planning Horizon

This DSP encompasses projections and forecasts for the 2018 - 2022 timeframe. It is intended that
the DSP will be reviewed on a periodic basis, and amended with new information as it becomes
available.

21 The planning horizon extends to a five (5) year period, (in terms of rate setting 2017 is a bridge 22 year, 2018 is a test year, and 2019 - 2022 represent forecasted years, based on Chapter 5 23 requirements for Consolidated Distribution System Planning. Under the renewed regulatory 24 framework, a planning horizon of five (5) years is required to support integrated planning and 25 better alignment of SLHI's planning cycles with rate-setting cycles. A longer-term approach 26 enhances the predictability necessary to facilitate planning and decision-making by customers and 27 distributors. This also facilitates the cost-effective and efficient implementation of the DSP and 28 meeting of OEB expectations in the areas of performance outcomes. The asset assessments are also

- based on a five (5) year planning period. It is very likely that new developments, not currently
 identified here, will arise at any given time, and will be amended into the plan.
- 3 Regional Planning
- 4 Regional planning is conducted through the Integrated Regional Resource Planning (IRRP) process,
- 5 where local stakeholders collaborate in the development of integrated solutions for maintaining a
- 6 reliable supply of electricity to Ontario communities.
- 7 The objective of the IRRP process is to develop long-term electricity plans that thoughtfully
- 8 integrate all relevant resource options, such as conservation and demand management, distributed
- 9 generation, large-scale generation, transmission and distribution.
- 10 Chapter 5 implements the Board's policy direction on 'an integrated approach to distribution 11 network planning'. Regional planning is conducted through the Integrated Regional Resource 12 Planning (IRRP) process, whereby local stakeholders collaborate in the development of integrated 13 solutions for maintaining a reliable supply of electricity to Ontario communities. The regional 14 planning process begins with a needs assessment performed by the transmitter, which determines 15 whether a regional plan is required or not. If a regional plan is required, the IESO then conducts a 16 scoping assessment to determine whether a more comprehensive Integrated Regional Resource 17 Plan is required (led by the IESO), or a more transmission - and distribution - focused Regional Infrastructure Plan is required (led by the transmitter). 18
- 19 The objective of the IRRP process is to develop long-term electricity plans that thoughtfully 20 integrate all relevant resource options, such as conservation and demand management, distributed 21 generation, large-scale generation, transmission and distribution.
- SLHI is part of the West of Thunder Bay Region planning zone in Northwestern Ontario. The LDCsproviding service to customers in the Northwestern Region include:
- 24 Atikokan Hydro Inc.
- 25 Fort Frances Power Corporation
- 26 Kenora Hydro Electric Corporation Ltd.
- 27 Thunder Bay Hydro

1 Hydro One Networks Inc.

A Regional Infrastructure Plan (RIP) and an Integrated Regional Resource Plan (IRRP) have been
completed for SLHI's service territory. The IRRP is included in SLHI's Distribution System Plan in
Appendix 2A. The RIP was finalized June 9, 2017 and is included in Exhibit 1 as Appendix 1C.

5 Infrastructure planning on a regional basis is required to ensure that regional issues and 6 requirements are effectively integrated into SLHI's planning processes, which will, in turn, help 7 promote the cost-effective development of electricity infrastructure in the Province. The effective 8 use of regional infrastructure planning and the inclusion of regional considerations in SLHI's DSP is 9 the key to ensure coordinated development and implementation of smart grid provincial strategy. It 10 is important that the necessary investments are made in distribution and transmission systems that 11 will best serve the interests and the future of the region.

SLHI's intention is to follow the Board's directions and work to address regional planning issues as they arise. SLHI will assess and amend actions where appropriate. SLHI makes decisions based upon the most cost-effective solutions, and is considering conservation as one of the options to defer the need for infrastructure investments.

16 2.2.2 Required Information

17 SLHI has provided a copy of the Distribution System Plan (DSP) as Appendix 2A to this Exhibit.

18 SLHI has completed Appendix 2-AB Capital Expenditure Summary presenting four historical years,

19 the 2017 Bridge Year and five planned years of capital expenditures. This is the first year for which

20 SLHI has filed a DSP, and as such SLHI has entered the planned total capital budget in the "Plan"

column for each historical year and for the bridge year including the OEB approved amount for the

22 last rebasing year.

23 Appendix 2-AB Capital Expenditure Summary is presented in Table 2-24 below.

Table 2-24: Capital Expenditure Summary

| | | | | | | Hist | orical Period (p | previous plan | 1 & actual) | | | | | | | | Foreca | st Period (p | lanned) | |
|---|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| CATEGORY | | 2013 | | | 2014 | | | 2015 | | | 2016 | | | 2017 | | 2019 | 2010 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| CATEGORI | Plan | Actual | Var | Plan | Actual | Var | Plan | Actual | Var | Plan | Actual | Var | Plan | Actual ² | Var | 2010 | 2013 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| | \$ ï | 000 | % | \$1 | 000 | % | \$ '000 |) | % | \$7 | 000 | % | \$10 | 000 | % | | | \$ '000 | | |
| System Access | 97,818 | 143,384 | 46.6% | 113,000 | 130,459 | 15.5% | 102,700 | 132,809 | 29.3% | 102,700 | 110,154 | 7.3% | 312,842 | | -100.0% | 100,000 | 101,800 | 103,632 | 105,498 | 107,39 |
| System Renewal | 119,122 | 69,491 | -41.7% | 105,325 | 133,306 | 26.6% | 80,000 | 73,400 | -8.3% | 50,000 | 112,481 | 125.0% | 145,812 | | -100.0% | 154,329 | 220,456 | 138,836 | 141,335 | 143,87 |
| System Service | | 10,254 | - | 37,000 | | -100.0% | 116,140 | 95,645 | -17.6% | 48,126 | 52,039 | 8.1% | 48,000 | | -100.0% | | | | | |
| General Plant | 103,000 | 96,814 | -6.0% | 108,500 | 106,668 | -1.7% | 39,000 | 30,554 | -21.7% | 36,900 | 21,011 | -43.1% | 89,000 | | -100.0% | 364,000 | 79,000 | 315,000 | 44,000 | 9,00 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURE | 319,940 | 319,943 | 0.0% | 363,825 | 370,433 | 1.8% | 337,840 | 332,408 | -1.6% | 237,726 | 295,685 | 24.4% | 595,654 | | -100.0% | 618,329 | 401,256 | 557,468 | 290,833 | 260,2 |
| System O&M | | \$750,206 | | | \$772.525 | | | \$686.231 | | | \$769.028 | | | \$777.712 | - | \$742,406 | \$767.525 | \$746.462 | \$752.364 | \$768.23 |
| stem Access is largely new completed until April 2016. The bu | onnections. Idgeted figu nations. | The 2017 pl re does not | anned inclu represent t | udes \$147,0 the findings | 000 for the L of the study | ong Term Load since the budg | Transfer Assets get is developed | acquired. S in Q4 of 201 | System Rer 5 for 2016, | newal - pole therefore m | replacemer nuch more v | nts are maj vas spent o | ority of this I n pole repla | budget. In 2 cements th | 015 SLHI u an was buo | inderwent ar dgeted for af | n asset cond ter the ACA | lition assess was comple | sment which ted. Systen | was no Service |
| e notes on year over year expla | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| otes on year over year expla | . Actual var | iances for 1 | otal Exper | nditures | | monte montion | od above | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| e notes on year over year expla otes on year over year Plan vs le 2016 planned vs. actual variar | Actual var | iances for 1 ly due to the | Total Expen | nditures number of | pole replace | ments mention | ed above. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ee notes on year over year expla otes on year over year Plan vs te 2016 planned vs. actual variar otes on Plan vs. Actual variance | Actual var nce is main te trends fo | riances for 1 | Total Expenses | nditures number of | pole replace ries | ments mention | ed above. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

2

1

3 Capital spending by category is designed to meet both defined customer preferences and4 distribution system requirements.

5 During the five-year period, SLHI is strategically planning to make leveled investments in 6 distribution infrastructure required for system sustainment, and in the short-term, intends to 7 concentrate on investing in general assets that support service reliability and customer 8 preferences. Therefore, the main investment drivers are in the areas of end of useful life of the 9 assets, business operational efficiently, reliability and customer preferences. Capital spending by 10 category is designed to meet both defined customer preferences and distribution system 11 requirements.

System Access investments are planned on historical actual levels required to meet regulatory
obligations for connections, upgrades and plant relocation driven by customers and third parties.
SLHI expects that its system will continue to be able to accommodate the vast majority of requests
for new load connections and for service upgrades.

System Renewal investments are based on the requirements of asset replacement programs, mainly driven by pole replacement. Plans for replacements are based on consideration of age and condition of assets. The proactive replacement of system components prior to failure will reduce costs associated with outage response and reactive replacement. Adjustments to the programs will be completed with gathering more detailed asset condition information and records. The annual
 investments are leveled to ensure consistency throughout the planning process.

System Service spending is focused on system reliability improvement projects, which are based on outage considerations, system impact, smart grid upgrade scenarios and customer preferences. SLHI has not experienced any new connections of microFIT projects in two years with no small FIT projects on its system to date, with no anticipated projects in the current five-year plan, therefore there is no spending allocated to System Service. Furthermore, based on our last customer survey, when asked if there is anything particular customers would like us to do to improve our service to them, they have not indicated an appetite for increased spending on smart grid upgrades.

10 General Plant category is focused on ensuring that adequate tools as well as vehicle fleet 11 requirements are maintained in order to meet the day-to-day operations. Investments include the 12 equipment and physical plant assets that keep the distribution system in service. The largest line 13 items in this category include the purchases of new trucks, such as freightliners and bucket trucks. 14 Items like computer hardware and software, office equipment, and small tools also fall under this 15 category.

16 Drivers by Investment Category

17 System Access

The primary driver of this activity is customer service requests and mandated obligations under the Distribution System Code (DSC). This allows SLHI to satisfy its asset management objective of providing for the needs of customers, as well as meeting regulatory requirements. This program is justified because of customer service requests that are relatively consistent year over year, in terms of both the number of requests, and the investments required to complete the connections.

24 System Renewal

This capital expenditure includes all "like for like" replacement costs related to renewal of major assets (poles, reclosers, switches, etc.) because of failure, serious damage or end of useful life. Major

27 drivers in this category are risk of failure, substandard performance and functional obsolescence.

1 System Services

These projects will improve system reliability, automation and/or contingency performance.
Examples of projects in this category are smart grid development, installation of electronic
reclosers and outage management systems. SLHI does not have any planned investment in system
service for the DSP planning period.

6 General Plant

- 7 The vehicle replacements in this category are driven by SLHI's evolving requirements for capital to
- 8 support day-to- day business and operations activities. The timing of project-related expenditures
- 9 has been determined based on adjustments related to asset condition and to end of useful life of the
- 10 asset. Other investments in this category relate to IT enhancements to meet customer preferences.
- 11 For more detail, please refer to SLHI's DSP in Appendix 2A of this Exhibit.

12 Summary of Capital Projects

- 13 Table 2-25 (Chapter 2 Appendix 2-AA) below presents a summary of all gross capital expenditures
- 14 by project for the historical period 2013-2016, the 2017 Bridge Year and 2018 Test Year.

Table 2-25: Capital Projects Table

Appendix 2-AA Capital Projects Table

| Projects | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 Bridge Year | 2018 Test Year | |
|--------------------------------|---|---------|---------|---------|---------------------|----------------|--|
| Reporting Basis | CGAAP | CGAAP | MIFRS | MIFRS | MIFRS | MIFRS | |
| System Renewal | | | | | | | |
| Pole Replacements | 66,424 | 111,358 | 34,940 | 76,244 | 105,500 | 130,304 | |
| Winoga Submarine Cable | | | 33,317 | | | | |
| Smart Meter Modem Upgrade | | 12,125 | | | | | |
| Sam Lake Modems | | | 1,118 | | | | |
| Cross Arm Replacements | | | | 31,907 | | | |
| Transformer Replacements | | | | | 23,600 | 24,025 | |
| Meter Reverification Program | | | | 4,330 | 16,712 | | |
| Spare Transformers | | 9,823 | 4,025 | | | | |
| Sub-Total | 66,424 | 133,306 | 73,400 | 112,481 | 145,812 | 154,329 | |
| System Access | | | | | | | |
| New Connections | 85,799 | 69,175 | 68,629 | 68,561 | 140,000 | 60,000 | |
| General Upgrades | 57,585 | 61,284 | 64,180 | 41,593 | 25,000 | 40,000 | |
| LTLT Elimination | | | | | 147,842 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Sub-Total | 143,384 | 130,459 | 132,809 | 110,154 | 312,842 | 100,000 | |
| System Service | - , | / | - / | - / | - , | / | |
| South Shore Drive Conversion | 10,254 | | | | | | |
| Rear Front Street | , i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | | 25,353 | | | | |
| F2 Blue Phase Reconductoring | | | 45,184 | 52,039 | 48,000 | | |
| Hudson Upgrade | | | 25,108 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Sub-Total | 10,254 | 0 | 95,645 | 52,039 | 48,000 | 0 | |
| General Plant | | | | | | | |
| Backhoe | 85,090 | | | | | | |
| Amcorder Recording Meter | 6,145 | | | | | | |
| Tools - General | 1,357 | 3,504 | 1,005 | 5,323 | 5,000 | 5,000 | |
| Computers | 3,155 | 1,000 | 1,830 | | 2,000 | 2,000 | |
| Vehicle Replacements | | 54,539 | 14,234 | | 35,000 | 355,000 | |
| Mapping Upgrade | | 33,600 | | | | | |
| Web Presentment | | 7,250 | | | | | |
| Shop internet upgrade | | 4,441 | | | | | |
| Office Equipment | | 278 | 2,318 | 299 | 2,000 | 2,000 | |
| Pole testing equipment | | | | 15,389 | | | |
| Mapping Software Conversion | | | | | 45,000 | | |
| Phone System Upgrade | | | 11,167 | | | | |
| Sub-Total | 95,747 | 104,612 | 30,554 | 21,011 | 89,000 | 364,000 | |
| Miscellaneous | 4,134 | 2,056 | 1,523 | | | | |
| Total | 319,943 | 370,433 | 333,931 | 295,685 | 595,654 | 618,329 | |
| Less Renewable Generation | | | | | | | |
| Facility Assets and Other Non- | | | | | | | |
| Rate-Regulated Utility Assets | | | | | | | |
| (input as negative) | -1,067 | | -1,523 | | | | |
| Total | 318,876 | 370,433 | 332,408 | 295,685 | 595,654 | 618,329 | |

- 1 Capital Expenditure variances on a project-specific basis from 2013 Board Approved versus 2013
- 2 Actual are illustrated in Table 2-26 below.

| 3 | Table 2-26 - Capital Expenditure by Project: 2013 OEB Approved vs 2013 Actual |
|---|---|
| | |

| Capital Expenditures by Project - 2013 OEB Approved vs 2013 Actual | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 2013 OEB | | | | | | | |
| Project Description | Approved | 2013 Actual | Variance | | | | | |
| General Upgrades | 39,380 | 57,585 | 18,205 | | | | | |
| New Connections | 58,438 | 85,799 | 27,361 | | | | | |
| Pole Replacements | 46,922 | 66,424 | 19,502 | | | | | |
| Winoga Submarine Cable | 72,200 | 0 | -72,200 | | | | | |
| Backhoe | 86,000 | 85,090 | -910 | | | | | |
| Miscellaneous | 17,000 | 13,724 | -3,276 | | | | | |
| South Shore Drive Conversion | | 10,254 | 10,254 | | | | | |
| Total | \$319,940 | \$318,876 | -\$1,064 | | | | | |

4

5 2013 Board Approved Capital Expenditures was \$319,940 and the 2013 Actual \$318,876. While

6 the total variance of \$(1,064) is immaterial, below is the explanation for the variance of \$(72,200)

7 for the Winoga Submarine Cable Project.

8 In 2013 \$72,200 was allocated for the replacement of the Winoga Lodge submarine cable and 9 approved in our last Cost of Service application (EB-2012-0165). This amount was also included as 10 capital contributions as an offset to the expenditure. However, there was uncertainty about 11 whether or not the customer could be charged customer contributions since Sioux Lookout Hydro 12 acquired this customer in 1998 when the Municipality amalgamated and took over all Hydro One 13 customers in the new expanded service territory. SLHI deferred the project until it could be 14 determined who would be responsible for the cost of the replacement. SLHI performed an investigation through contact with Hydro One and the customer and determined late in 2014 that 15 16 SLHI would not be able to charge the customer to replace the cable since they had paid Hydro One 17 when the cable was first installed. Therefore, the project was put back on the budget for 2015 and completed at a cost of \$33,317. The reduced cost was largely due to the fact that the customer 18 19 possessed a barge which allowed us to save a significant amount of money on outside contractor 20 costs to provide us with the equipment to run the cable across the lake.

- 1 Once it was determined that the Winoga project would be deferred, more capital was expensed on
- 2 pole replacements, and a project to convert the voltage from 7.2 kV to 14.4 kV on South Shore Drive
- 3 was initiated in order to reduce line loss.

4 Projects With a Life Cycle Greater Than One Year

SLHI's accounting policy is to include projects in Fixed Assets when they are completed and put into
service. Capital projects which are not yet completed are included in Work in Progress ("WIP").
Capital projects with a life cycle greater than one year will be carried over from one year to the next
in WIP. Once completed expenditures are removed from WIP and capitalized to fixed assets at
which point they begin depreciating.

10 Treatment of Cost of Funds

- 11 Borrowing costs on qualifying assets are capitalized as part of the cost of the asset based upon the
- 12 weighted average cost of debt incurred on the Corporation's borrowings. Qualifying assets are
- 13 considered to be those that take in excess of nine months to construct.

14 Non-Distribution Activities

15 SLHI confirms that there are no non-distribution activities in the budget.

16 Efficiencies Realized Due to Deployment of Smart Meters and Related Technologies

- 17 SLHI has made use of the Operational Data Storage (Savage Data) to investigate meter issues as well
- 18 as work and analyze the MDM/R reports on a daily basis. These two tools also allow SLHI's
- 19 customer service representatives to check customer's power on demand. This has resolved some
- 20 customer inquiries immediately instead of requiring a field visit to verify power conditions.

21 Rate-Funded Activities to Defer Distribution Infrastructure

Although SLHI has had some growth in its customer base or service territory, it has not experienced a tremendous material growth, thus, SLHI has not had the need to consider incremental conservation initiatives to defer or otherwise avoid future infrastructure projects. This will likely remain true over the life of this Application. SLHI is not applying for funding through distribution rates to pursue any custom type energy efficiency programs.

1 2.2.3 Capitalization Policy

2 Capitalization Policy Overview

3 Items of property, plant and equipment ("PP&E") used in rate-regulated activities and acquired 4 prior to January 1, 2012 are measured at deemed cost established on the transition date, less 5 accumulated depreciation. All other items of PP&E are measured at cost, or, where the item is 6 contributed by customers, its fair value, less accumulated depreciation.

Cost includes expenditures that are directly attributable to the acquisition of the asset. The cost of
self-constructed assets includes contracted services, materials and transportation costs, direct
labour, overhead costs, borrowing costs and any other costs directly attributable to bringing the

10 asset to a working condition for its intended use.

IFRS requires that borrowing costs related to the construction of the qualifying assets be capitalized. No qualifying assets were identified and therefore no borrowing costs were capitalized for the year ended December 31, 2016. If identified, the corporation will apply IAS 23 to all qualifying assets. When parts of an item of PP&E have different useful lives, they are accounted for as separate items (major components) of PP&E.

When items of PP&E are retired or otherwise disposed of, a gain or loss on disposal is determined by comparing the proceeds from disposal, if any, with the carrying amount of the item and is included in profit or loss.

19 Major spare parts and standby equipment are recognized as items of PP&E.

The cost of replacing a part of an item of PP&E is recognized in the net book value of the item if it is probable that the future economic benefits embodied within the part will flow to the Corporation and its cost can be measured reliably. In this event, the replaced part of PP&E is written off, and the related gain or loss is included in profit or loss. The costs of the day-to-day servicing of PP&E are recognized in profit or loss as incurred.

The need to estimate the decommissioning costs at the end of the useful lives of certain assets is reviewed periodically. The Corporation has concluded it does not have any legal or constructive obligation to remove PP&E.

- 1 SLHI's Capitalization Policy can be found in this exhibit as Appendix 2B. This policy has not changed
- 2 since SLHI last rebased in 2013.
- **3 2.2.4 Capitalization of Overhead**
- 4 **Overview**
- 5 OEB Appendix 2-D below provides a summary of OM&A before capitalization and a breakdown of
- 6 capitalized OM&A.
- 7

Table 2-27: Overhead Expense & Capitalization

| Appendix 2-D | |
|------------------|---|
| Overhead Expense | e |

Applicants are to provide a breakdown of OM&A before capitalization in the below table. OM&A before capitalization may be broken down by cost center, program, drivers or another format best suited to focus on capitalized vs. uncapitalized OM&A.

| OM&A Before Capitalization | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Historical Year | Historical Year | Historical Year | Bridge Year | Test Year |
| Total OM&A Before Capitalization | \$ 1,499,301 | \$ 1,493,964 | \$ 1,622,607 | \$ 1,694,090 | \$ 1,639,469 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Total OM&A Before Capitalization (B) | \$ 1,499,301 | \$ 1,493,964 | \$ 1,622,607 | \$ 1,694,090 | \$ 1,639,469 |

Applicants are to provide a breakdown of capitalized OM&A in the below table. Capitalized OM&A may be broken down using the categories listed in the table below if possible. Otherwise, applicants are to provide its own break down of capitalized OM&A.

| | | | | | | | | | Directly | |
|---|----------|----------|----------------|------------------|-------|-------------|-----|-----------|---------------|--|
| Capitalized OM&A | 20 | 014 | 2015 | 2016 | - r | 2017 | r - | 2018 | Attributable? | |
| | Historic | cal Year | Historical Yea | r Historical Yea | ır | Bridge Year | | Test Year | (Yes/No) | Explanation for Change in Overhead Capitalized |
| employee benefits | \$ | 32,617 | \$ 39,76 | 6 \$ 54,82 | 27 \$ | \$ 35,307 | \$ | 28,652 | Yes | No Changes made to Overhead Capitalized |
| Trucking/Fleet Costs | \$ | 11,908 | \$ 17,02 | 6 \$ 17,87 | 74 \$ | \$ 17,223 | \$ | 17,431 | Yes | No Changes made to Overhead Capitalized |
| Material | \$ | 5,268 | \$ 5,39 | 5 \$ 6,89 | 95 \$ | \$ 4,898 | \$ | 4,986 | Yes | No Changes made to Overhead Capitalized |
| Downtime (Sick time/Vacation etc.) | \$ | 9,608 | \$ 11,53 | 1 \$ 11,56 | 6 5 | \$ 8,553 | \$ | 6,941 | Yes | No Changes made to Overhead Capitalized |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Insert description of additional item(s) and new rows if needed | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Capitalized OM&A (A) | \$ | 59,400 | \$ 73,7 | 8 \$ 91,16 | 52 \$ | \$ 65,981 | \$ | 58,010 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| % of Capitalized OM&A (=A/B) | | 4% | ŧ | % 6 | \$% | 4% | ľ | 4% | | |

8

9 SLHI capitalizes direct costs attributable to bringing the asset to the location and necessary

10 condition. These directly attributable costs include the purchase price, material costs, labour

- 11 including overhead burdens (benefits, employer portion of employee payroll) and trucks and
- 12 equipment used in the construction of assets.

13 Burden Rates

- 14 Canadian GAAP allowed for capitalization of general and administrative overhead, training costs,
- 15 etc. while IFRS does not.
1 The Ontario Energy Board (OEB) requires electricity distributors to be in full compliance with IFRS

2 requirements as applicable to non-regulated enterprises and only where the Board authorizes

3 specific alternative treatment for regulatory purposes is alternative treatment acceptable.

SLHI performed a complete review of its costs included in overheads in 2012 for its 2013 rebasing
application. Since then, SLHI has not changed its policy with respect to capitalizing overhead and

6 confirms that is in compliance with IAS 16 – Property Plant and Equipment.

7 <u>Payroll burden</u>

Payroll burden consists of the following benefits paid to employees: health benefits, prescription drugs, dental vision, long-term disability, bereavement time, OMERS, Workplace Safety and Insurance Board, Employment insurance, CPP and EHT.IAS 16 specifically allows for benefits as defined in IAS 19 to be included as a directly attributable cost. The payroll allocation is allocated to capital based upon labour dollars charged to capital through time sheets. Benefits are accumulated in the general ledger for all employees and allocated based upon where the employees charge their time (capital jobs/maintenance).

15 <u>Truck burden</u>

16 Truck burden consists of fuel, vehicle maintenance, repairs and license renewals. Trucks and 17 company vehicles are used on the job site and are directly related to the construction of an asset as 18 they are required to construct the asset.

Fuel, amortization related to the truck, truck insurance and license renewals can be capitalized because they are costs required to keep the trucks in running order and are directly attributable to constructing the asset and bringing it to its intended use.

21 constructing the asset and bringing it to its interface use.

SLHI is taking the position that repairs and maintenance costs are operating costs of the trucks and
 therefore can be capitalized since they are directly attributable costs meeting IFRS criteria.

24 The truck burden is charged to capital based on the percentage of total labour costs associated with

capital projects for the year from timesheets, consistent with the last rebasing application in 2013.

26 <u>Stores costs</u>

- 1 Included in this burden are purchasing expenses, building and property charges. The purchasing
- 2 activities are directly attributable to the materials used in capital projects and therefore will
- 3 continue to be capitalized as part of the Stores burden. The cost of the building and property
- 4 expenses are allocated to capital based on the percentage of labour costs associated with capital
- 5 projects for the year from timesheets. This is consistent with SLHI's last rebasing application.
- 6 SLHI will continue to capitalize all costs, including the above overheads, when the cost is directly
- 7 attributable to bringing the item of PP&E to the location and condition necessary for it to be capable
- 8 of operating in the manner intended by management.
- 9 General and administrative costs will not be capitalized.
- **10 2.2.5** Costs of Eligible Investments for the Connection of Qualifying Generation Facilities
- 11 SLHI does not have nor is seeking permission for recovery of investments and costs to connect
- 12 Qualifying Generation Facilities in its capital costs or in its Distribution System Plan.
- 13 2.2.6 New Policy Options for the Funding of Capital
- 14 SLHI is not seeking or proposing to utilize the funding of capital under the new policy options The
- 15 Advanced Capital Module.
- 16 2.2.7 Addition of Previously Approved ACM and ICM Project Assets to Rate Base
- 17 SLHI confirms it has not previously applied for nor received any Incremental Capital Module
- 18 ("ICM") adjustments as part of previous OEB application. Therefore, there are no sub-accounts or
- 19 variances to disclose.
- 20 2.2.8 Service Quality and Reliability Performance
- 21 SLHI records and reports annually the following Service Reliability Indices:
- 22 SAIDI = Total Customer-Hours of Interruptions/Total Customers Served
- 23 SAIFI = Total Customer Interruptions/Total Customers Served
- 24 These indices provide SLHI with annual measures of its service performance that are used for
- 25 internal benchmarking purposes when making comparisons with other distribution companies (e.g.
- 26 to better understand the rankings that will support the OEB's Incentive Rate Making Mechanism

and Performance Based Regulation). They are reported below in accordance with Section 7.3.2 of
 the OEB's Electricity Distribution Rate Handbook.

3 SLHI follows the Board's Reporting and Record Keeping Requirements Guideline to report its

4 service quality indicators annually. In accordance with the Filing Requirements, Table 2-28 is

- 5 provided below and is consistent with Board Appendix 2-G, Service Quality Indicators. The table
- 6 provides the performance measurements for the last five (5) historical years 2012 through 2016.
- 7 SLHI's performance results over the 2012 to 2016 period meet or exceed the Board's approved
- 8 standards. SLHI's performance is within the range of acceptable performance over the previous five
- 9 years and no corrective action is required.
- 10

Table 2-28 - Service Quality and Reliability Performance

Appendix 2-G Service Reliability and Quality Indicators 2012 - 2016

Service Reliability

| Index | Including outages caused by loss of supply | | | | Excluding outages caused by loss of supply | | | | Excluding Major Event Days | | | | | | |
|-------|--|-------|-------|--------|--|-------|-------|-------|----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| muex | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| SAIDI | 0.530 | 4.730 | 6.180 | 11.220 | 25.280 | 0.470 | 0.230 | 1.280 | 0.680 | 1.740 | 0.470 | 0.230 | 1.280 | 0.680 | 0.670 |
| SAIFI | 1.180 | 1.280 | 3.690 | 2.360 | 5.180 | 0.170 | 0.280 | 0.740 | 0.360 | 1.180 | 0.170 | 0.280 | 0.740 | 0.360 | 0.570 |

| | 5 Year Historical Average | | |
|-------|---------------------------|-------|-------|
| SAIDI | 9.588 | 0.880 | 0.666 |
| SAIFI | 2.738 | 0.546 | 0.424 |

SAIDI = System Average Interruption Duration Index

SAIFI = System Average Interruption Frequency Index

Service Quality

| Indicator | OEB Minimum Standard | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Low Voltage Connections | 90.0% | 96.4% | 95.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| High Voltage Connections | 90.0% | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Telephone Accessibility | 65.0% | 98.1% | 99.0% | 100.0% | 96.0% | 94.0% |
| Appointments Met | 90.0% | 92.9% | 98.5% | 98.2% | 96.2% | 91.7% |
| Written Response to Enquires | 80.0% | 100.0% | 97.0% | 100.0% | 98.0% | 100.0% |
| Emergency Urban Response | 80.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Emergency Rural Response | 80.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | n/a |
| Telephone Call Abandon Rate | 10.0% | 0.6% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 2.0% | 2.8% |
| Appointment Scheduling | 90.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 98.0% | 100.0% | 93.3% |
| Rescheduling a Missed Appointment | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Reconnection Performance Standard | 85.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

- 1 SLHI has exceeded the OEB Minimum Standard in all categories of Service Quality, therefore no
- 2 actions are necessary.

3 Summary of Major Events

- 4 In 2016 SLHI experienced two major events. The first occurred in July, 2016 and was a result of loss
- 5 of supply from Hydro One due to Hydro One equipment failure. The outage affected 100% of SLHI
- 6 customers and was 5.34 hours in duration. The second outage occurred in December 2016. This
- 7 outage was a result of high winds and heavy snow which caused a tree to fall on a primary line
- 8 connected to the F3 feeder which supplies the urban population of Sioux Lookout affecting 61% of
- 9 SLHI customers. The duration of the outage was 1.75 hours.

10 Underperformance vs Five Year Average

When looking at the performance under events excluding Major Events and Loss of Supply, there
were two years that SLHI's metrics are below the five year average.

- 13 In 2014 the SAIDI was 1.28 compared to the five year average of 0.666 and the SAIFI was 0.740
- 14 compared to the five year average of 0.424. The reason for this was due to increased storm activity
- 15 occurring at the end of June and beginning of July that year increasing the number of outages
- 16 caused by tree contact.
- In 2016 the SAIDI was 0.670 and the SAIFI was 0.570. The reason is similar to 2014 as there werealso more storms which increased the number of outages caused by tree contact.
- SLHI has a robust tree trimming program and endeavors to minimize the number of outages caused by tree contact through regular line patrols and proactive tree trimming. However due to the characteristics of our service territory and the unpredictability of the weather, these measures continue to fluctuate with these events as a principal cause.

23 Interruptions by Cause

- 24 Below Table 2.29 illustrates SLHI Interruptions for the last five historical years by Cause. Please
- 25 note that prior to 2013, tree contact and lightning were included in the Adverse Weather category.

Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. EB-2017-0073 Exhibit 2 Page **39** of **44** Filed: August 28, 2017

| Name of Cause of | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Interruption | | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| | # of Interruptions | 6 | 5 | 5 | 14 | 16 |
| Unknown/Other | # of Customer Interruptions | 73 | 134 | 14 | 230 | 530 |
| | # of customer Hours of Interruptions | 94.94 | 114.50 | 11.23 | 311.87 | 423.31 |
| | # of Interruptions | 9 | 21 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| Scheduled Outage | # of Customer Interruptions | 85 | 124 | 62 | 392 | 190 |
| | # of customer Hours of Interruptions | 565.22 | 298.87 | 104.34 | 783.33 | 469.72 |
| | # of Interruptions | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 5 |
| Loss of Supply | # of Customer Interruptions | 2,777 | 2,769 | 8,203 | 5,581 | 11,176 |
| | # of customer Hours of Interruptions | 185.53 | 12,460.50 | 13,624.44 | 29,356.05 | 65,798.35 |
| | # of Interruptions | - | 6 | 17 | 6 | 15 |
| Tree Contacts | # of Customer Interruptions | - | 208 | 1,490 | 52 | 2,098 |
| | # of customer Hours of Interruptions | - | 156.93 | 2,526.95 | 65.72 | 3,513.30 |
| | # of Interruptions | - | 1 | 2 | 8 | 3 |
| Lightning | # of Customer Interruptions | - | 80 | 73 | 58 | 81 |
| | # of customer Hours of Interruptions | - | 46.67 | 94.75 | 112.30 | 50.70 |
| | # of Interruptions | 8 | 9 | 12 | 7 | 10 |
| Defective Equipment | # of Customer Interruptions | 44 | 28 | 314 | 49 | 32 |
| | # of customer Hours of Interruptions | 95.55 | 128.77 | 577.82 | 54.74 | 92.46 |
| | # of Interruptions | 15 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 1 |
| Adverse Weather | # of Customer Interruptions | 176 | 13 | 32 | 56 | 6 |
| | # of customer Hours of Interruptions | 427.58 | 63.73 | 106.28 | 451.35 | 14.88 |
| | # of Interruptions | - | - | 1 | 3 | - |
| Adverse Environment | # of Customer Interruptions | - | - | 1 | 9 | - |
| | # of customer Hours of Interruptions | - | - | 20.75 | 7.28 | - |
| | # of Interruptions | - | - | - | - | - |
| Human Element | # of Customer Interruptions | - | - | - | - | - |
| | # of customer Hours of Interruptions | - | - | - | - | - |
| | # of Interruptions | 28 | 15 | 16 | 31 | 23 |
| Foreign Interference | # of Customer Interruptions | 96 | 176 | 69 | 159 | 361 |
| | # of customer Hours of Interruptions | 99.08 | 245.17 | 103.46 | 111.61 | 285.54 |

Table 2-20. Int stic nc hv C (2012.2016)

1

2 3

Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. EB-2017-0073 Exhibit 2 Page **40** of **44** Filed: August 28, 2017

Appendix 2A - Distribution System Plan



Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. Distribution System Plan for Plan Period 2018-2022

Table of Contents

| 5.2 Distribution System Plan | 7 |
|---|----|
| 5.2.1 Distribution System Plan Overview | 7 |
| 5.2.1.1 Our Community and History | 7 |
| 5.2.1.2 Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc | 7 |
| 5.2.1.3 Distribution System Plan Objectives | 11 |
| 5.2.1.4 Distribution System Plan Key Elements | 11 |
| 5.2.1.5 Expected Cost Savings | 14 |
| 5.2.1.6 Distribution System Plan Period | 16 |
| 5.2.1.7 Vintage of Information | 16 |
| 5.2.1.8 Asset Management Process Changes | 17 |
| 5.2.1.9 Distribution System Plan Contingencies and Risks | 17 |
| 5.2.2 Coordinated Planning with Third Parties | 18 |
| 5.2.2.1 Regional Planning Consultations | 18 |
| 5.2.2.2 Final Deliverables of the Regional Planning Process | 20 |
| 5.2.2.3 Customer Consultations | 20 |
| 5.2.2.4 IESO Comment Letter | |
| 5.2.3 Performance Measurement for Continuous Improvement | 31 |
| 5.2.3.1 Performance Metrics | 31 |
| 5.2.3.2 Service Quality Performance | 31 |
| 5.2.3.3 Reliability Indices | |
| 5.2.3.4 Financial Performance | |
| 5.3 Asset Management Process | 40 |
| 5.3.1 Asset Management Process Overview | 40 |
| 5.3.1.1 Asset Management Objectives | 41 |
| 5.3.1.2 Asset Management Components | 41 |
| 5.3.2 Overview of Assets Managed | 42 |
| 5.3.2.1 Service Area | 42 |
| 5.3.2.2 System Configuration | 43 |
| 5.3.2.3 Asset Type | 44 |
| 5.3.2.4 Asset Capacity | 45 |
| 5.3.3 Asset Lifecycle Optimization Policies and Practices | 47 |
| 5.3.3.1 Overview | 47 |

| 5.3.3.2 Asset Replacement/Refurbishment Prioritization | 47 |
|--|----|
| 5.3.3.3 Asset Refurbishment Summary | 48 |
| 5.3.3.4 Distribution Class Asset Optimization Policies and Practices | 49 |
| 5.3.3.5 General Plant Asset Optimization Policies and Practices | 49 |
| 5.3.3.6 Asset Lifecycle Risk Management | 49 |
| 5.4 Capital Expenditure Plan | 50 |
| 5.4.1 Summary | 50 |
| 5.4.1.1 System Capability to Connect New Load | 54 |
| 5.4.1.2 Total Annual Capital Expenditures | 54 |
| 5.4.1.3 Investment, Asset Management Output, Planning Effects on Expenditures | 55 |
| 5.4.1.4 Total Capital Cost | 56 |
| 5.4.1.5 Regional Planning Process/Regional Infrastructure Plan Impacts | 57 |
| 5.4.1.6 Customer Engagement Activities | 57 |
| 5.4.1.7 System Development Expectations | 57 |
| 5.4.1.8 Total Capital Costs of Planned Projects | 58 |
| 5.4.2 Capital Expenditure Planning Process Overview | 58 |
| 5.4.2.1 Expenditure Plan Objectives | 58 |
| 5.4.2.2 Non-Distribution system alternatives | 62 |
| 5.4.2.3 Processes, Tools, and Methods | 62 |
| 5.4.2.4 Mechanism for Customer Engagement | 63 |
| 5.4.2.5 REG Investment Method/Criteria | 63 |
| 5.4.3 System Capability Assessment for Renewable Energy Generation | 63 |
| 5.4.3.1 Renewable Energy Applications | 63 |
| 5.4.3.2 Number/Capacity of Renewable Generation Connections Over Forecasted Period | 64 |
| 5.4.3.3 Capacity to Connect to REG | 64 |
| 5.4.3.4 REG Connection Constraints | 65 |
| 5.4.3.5 Embedded Distributor Connection Restraints | 65 |
| 5.4.4 Capital Expenditure Summary | 66 |
| 5.4.4.1 Historical Period Detailed Capital Project Summary | 66 |
| 5.4.4.2 Forecast Period Detailed Capital Project Summary | 69 |
| 5.4.4.3 Budget versus Actual Capital Expenditure of Whole Plan Period | 69 |
| 5.4.5 Justifying Capital Expenditures | 71 |
| 5.4.5.1 Overall Plan | 71 |

| 5.4.5.2 Material Investments | 78 |
|--|----|
| Glossary | 86 |
| Appendix A – Asset Management Plan | 87 |
| Appendix B – West of Thunder Bay IRRP | 88 |
| Appendix C – IESO Letter of Comment | 89 |
| Appendix D – 2014 Customer Survey | 90 |
| Appendix E – 2016 Customer Survey | 91 |
| Appendix F – Reliability Comparator Data | 92 |
| Appendix G – SLHI GEA Plan 2012 | 93 |
| Appendix H – Fleet Information | 94 |

List of Figures

| Figure #1 - Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc.'s Corporate Organization Chart | 8 |
|---|------|
| Figure #2 - Sioux Lookout, Ontario, Canada | 9 |
| Figure #3 - Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. Service Area | 9 |
| Figure #4 - Sioux Lookout | . 10 |
| Figure #5 – 2014 Overall Customer Satisfaction (Number of Customer Responses) | . 20 |
| Figure #6 – 2014 Reliability of Electricity Supplied (Number of Customer Responses) | . 21 |
| Figure #7 – 2014 Support for Additional Technology Expense | . 22 |
| Figure #8 – 2016 Overall Customer Satisfaction by Percentage | .23 |
| Figure #9 – 2016 Reliability Satisfaction by Percentage | 24 |
| Figure #10 – 2016 Power Restoration Satisfaction by Percentage | 24 |
| Figure #11 – 2016 Familiarity of Bill Breakdown by Percentage | .26 |
| Figure #12 – 2016 Satisfaction with Billing Accuracy by Percentage | . 27 |
| Figure #13 – 2016 Satisfaction with Bill Payment Options by Percentage | .27 |
| Figure #14 – 2016 Satisfaction with Bill Receipt Options by Percentage | . 28 |
| Figure #15 – 2016 Frequency of Customer Contact by Percentage | .28 |
| Figure #16 – 2016 Satisfaction with Customer Service by Percentage | . 29 |
| Figure #17 – 2016 Satisfaction with Communications by Percentage | . 29 |
| Figure #18 – SLHI Frequency by OEB Cause Code, 2014 | .35 |
| Figure #19 – SLHI Frequency by OEB Cause Code, 2015 | .35 |
| Figure #20 – SLHI Frequency by OEB Cause Code, 2014 – Excluding LOS | .36 |
| Figure #21 – SLHI Frequency by OEB Cause Code, 2015 – Excluding LOS | .36 |
| Figure #22 – SLHI Outage Duration (in hours) by OEB Cause Code, 2014 | 37 |

| Figure #23 – SLHI Outage Duration (in hours) by OEB Cause Code, 2015 |
|--|
| Figure #24 – SLHI Outage Duration (in hours) by OEB Cause Code, 2014 – Excluding LOS38 |
| Figure #25 – SLHI Outage Duration (in hours) by OEB Cause Code, 2015 – Excluding LOS38 |
| Figure #26 – Asset Management Process40 |
| Figure #27 - Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc.'s Service Area42 |
| Figure #28 – SLHI Installed kVA by Phase by Feeder46 |
| Figure #29 – Connected Transformation (kVA) by Feeder by Percentages of Total |
| Figure #30 – SLHI Forecasted Capital Expenditures by Investment Category by Percentage, 2018 |
| Figure #31 – SLHI Forecasted Capital Expenditures by Investment Category by Percentage, 2019 |
| Figure #32 – SLHI Forecasted Capital Expenditures by Investment Category by Percentage, 202060 |
| Figure #33 – SLHI Forecasted Capital Expenditures by Investment Category by Percentage, 202160 |
| Figure #34 – SLHI Forecasted Capital Expenditures by Investment Category by Percentage, 202261 |
| Figure #35 - Renewable Energy Generation in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region65 |
| Figure #36 - SLHI Budgeted and Actual Expenditures, 201372 |
| Figure #37 - SLHI Budgeted and Actual Expenditures, 201473 |
| Figure #38 - SLHI Budgeted and Actual Expenditures, 201573 |
| Figure #39 - SLHI Budgeted Expenditures, 201674 |
| Figure #40 - SLHI Budgeted Expenditures, 201774 |
| Figure #41 - SLHI Budgeted Expenditures, 2018 and 201975 |
| Figure #42 - SLHI Budgeted Expenditures, 2020 and 202175 |
| Figure #43 - SLHI Budgeted Expenditures, 202275 |
| Figure #44 - SLHI Budgeted Expenditures, Whole Forecast Period76 |

List of Tables

| Table #1 – Capital Expenditures over the Forecast Period | 13 |
|---|----|
| Table #2 – Investment Categories by Year (forecast) | 14 |
| Table #3 – Investment Categories by Percentage by Year (forecast) | 14 |
| Table #4 – Customer Suggestions for Improvements 2014 | 22 |
| Table #5 – Customer Suggestions for Improvements 2016 | |
| Table #6 – Non-financial Service Quality Indicators (2013-2016) | 32 |
| Table #7 – Service Interruption Indices (2013-2016) | 33 |
| Table #8 – SLHI SAIDI vs. Industry Average (Including Loss of Supply) | 34 |
| Table #9 – SLHI SAIFI vs. Industry Average (Including Loss of Supply) | 34 |
| Table #10 – SLHI SAIDI vs. Industry Average (Excluding Loss of Supply) | 34 |
| Table #11 – SLHI SAIFI vs. Industry Average (Excluding Loss of Supply) | 34 |
| Table #12 – Financial Metrics | |
| Table #13 – SLHI General Statistics as of June 2016 | 43 |
| Table #14 – SLHI System Summary Overview (As of 2015) Assets included in Assessment | 44 |
| Table #15 – Feeder Capacity vs. Peak Loading | 45 |
| Table #16 – SLHI Installed kVA by Phase by Feeder | 45 |
| Table #17 – Asset Refurbishment Summary Table | 48 |
| Table #18 – Capital Projects Meeting the Materiality Threshold | 50 |
| Table #19 – Annual Capital Expenditures for Material Projects (2018-2022) | 51 |

| Table #20 – SLHI Forecasted Capital Expenditures (2018-2022) | 51 |
|---|----|
| Table #21 – Capacity vs. Load Demand | 54 |
| Table #22 – Total Capital Expenditures by Investment Category | 54 |
| Table #24 – SLHI Historical Capital Expenditures | 56 |
| Table #25 – SLHI Forecasted Capital Expenditures | 57 |
| Table #26 - SLHI's microFIT Applications and Connections | 63 |
| Table #27 - SLHI Capital Expenditures for the Historical Period, Budget versus Actual | 67 |
| Table #28 - SLHI Capital Expenditures by Investment Category for the Historical Period, Budget versus | i |
| Actual | 68 |
| Table #29 - SLHI Capital Expenditure Plans for the Forecast Period, 2018-2022 | 69 |
| Table #30 - SLHI's Capital Expenditure Plans for the Whole Plan Period | 70 |
| Table #31 - Investment Categories, by Percentage, for the Historical Period | 71 |
| Table #32 - SLHI Capital Expenditures by Investment Category for the Forecast Period | 77 |
| Table #33 – Allocated Funds for Truck Replacement | 78 |
| Table #34 – Annual Vehicle Maintenance Expenses | 79 |
| Table #35 – Allocated Funds for Planned Primary Pole Replacement | 81 |
| Table #36 – Allocated Funds for New Connections | 83 |
| Table #37 – Allocated Funds for Replacement of Underground Cable | 84 |

5.2 Distribution System Plan

5.2.1 Distribution System Plan Overview

Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. has contracted the services of Costello Utility Consultants to assist with writing this Distribution System Plan (DSP). Costello Utility Consultants was also contracted to conduct the Asset Condition Assessment and the Asset Management Plan that feed directly into this DSP. The DSP has a specific set of requirements, as laid out by the Ontario Energy Board (OEB), as part of the Cost of Service filing applications. These requirements are detailed in the "Chapter 5 Consolidated Distribution System Plan Filing Requirements Guide", published by the OEB in March, 2013. This DSP fulfills the Chapter 5 filing requirements. Sioux Lookout Hydro last filed an incentive regulation mechanism (IRM) in 2016. This is the first DSP filed by Sioux Lookout Hydro. An Asset Management Plan was filed as part of the 2013 Cost of Service Rate Application (EB-2012-0165), which has been updated for this Application.

5.2.1.1 Our Community and History

The Town of Sioux Lookout is located in Northwestern Ontario, halfway between Thunder Bay, Ontario and Winnipeg, Manitoba. It was incorporated in 1912. The municipal boundaries were expanded in 1998 to include many of the surrounding townships, including the community of Hudson, Ontario.

Sioux Lookout's name comes from the local First Nations people, as there remains a large Aboriginal population. Sioux Lookout has a population of roughly 5,000 people, which remains fairly stable year to year. There was a 2.9% decrease in population between 2001 and 2006, and yet another decline between 2006 and 2011. However, Sioux Lookout Hydro's customer base and load has remained relatively stable with only a slight increase. Any noticeable fluctuations in system load are due to the intermittent operations of the saw mill.

Over the years, Sioux Lookout has served as a hub for transportation: when the town was incorporated in 1912, it served as the end point for the National Transcontinental Railway; later, during the 1920s and '30s, it was an aviation centre for the gold mining industry; then, during the Cold War, there was a radar base that monitored Russian activity. Today, forestry remains one of the largest industries in Sioux Lookout, which is consistent with the town's history. The service sector, largely including health care, represents the most significant source of employment within the community. Another noteworthy industry in Sioux Lookout is tourism.

Sioux Lookout endures long, cold winters and short, warm summers. Winter temperatures are regularly as low as -18°C, with an extreme low of -40°C. Alternatively, summer can regularly be as warm as 20°C. The highest summer temperature on record was 37.8°C.

5.2.1.2 Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc.

Sioux Lookout Hydro was established in 1940 as a Hydro Electric Commission. In January, 2000, it incorporated as Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. (henceforth known as SLHI). The Municipality of Sioux Lookout owns SLHI, and is its sole shareholder. SLHI is governed by a Board of Directors and is regulated by the OEB. The utility's corporate structure is as below:



Figure #1 - Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc.'s Corporate Organization Chart

SLHI provides electricity delivery and services to the Municipality of Sioux Lookout. The total municipal population served is 5,080 with a total service area of 536 square kilometres. The municipality includes the communities of Sioux Lookout and Hudson. Outside of these two communities are large rural areas which comprise 530 square kilometres, or most of the municipality. The system consists of over 282 kilometres of primary conductor, both overhead and underground, and 887 distribution transformers, supported by 2,427 poles.

SLHI operates from the Municipality of Sioux Lookout. SLHI does not host any utilities and does not have any embedded utilities within its service area. SLHI itself is embedded within Hydro One Networks Inc. (HONI). The Sam Lake distribution station (DS), owned by HONI, supplies SLHI; SLHI does not own any stations.

The OEB has mandated that all long-term load transfer (LTLT) customers, which are HONI customers supplied from the SLHI distribution system, be made SLHI customers by June 2017. These connection arrangements have existed for many years and have been dealt with through billing arrangements and customers have been left confused when trying to enquire about outage durations and other issues to a utility where they are not recognized as customers. HONI and SLHI have come to an agreement to resolve these LTLT connections and these costs are reflected in the capital expenditures for the plan period. According to EB-2015-0006, SLHI has 34 LTLT customers (see letter from Hydro One in Appendix A of the Asset Management Plan, found in DSP Appendix A).

SLHI has allocated capital to buy out the LTLT assets, which include some meters and at least one collector. The utility will be acquiring 56 poles, only three of which are at their end of life, and 26 transformers, none of which are at their end of life. There is no repair work associated with the process of converting the LTLT customers. This buyout is scheduled to happen in 2017.

The current SLHI system was primarily rebuilt in the 1980s and 1990s. This rebuild upgraded the voltage of the system from 4.16 kV to 14.4 kV. Although some pockets of single-phase 7.2 kV remain, this system will not be expanded.

SLHI's service is unique in that its territory is spread out, yet it has a relatively low customer base given is geographic size. The figures below show SLHI's service territory.



Figure #2 - Sioux Lookout, Ontario, Canada



Figure #3 - Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. Service Area



Figure #4 - Sioux Lookout

SLHI's Mission and Vision statements are below:

Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. Mission Statement:

Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. is committed to:

- Ensure that health and safety to employees and the public is a priority;
- Supply safe and reliable electricity to residents and businesses in the Municipality of Sioux Lookout;
- Provide superior customer service; and
- Provide value to our shareholder, the Municipality of Sioux Lookout.

Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. Vision Statement:

To provide the community of Sioux Lookout with superior customer service and local presence while providing safe reliable electricity to all residents and businesses.

5.2.1.3 Distribution System Plan Objectives

SLHI's objectives in creating this DSP are multifaceted. The primary concerns in distribution system planning are safety, reliability, and cost. Through this process, and culminating in this document, SLHI aims to provide the framework to ensure that:

- The safety of employees and customers is paramount;
- As a utility, its knowledge of its asset base (age, location, capacity, attributes, and condition) is continuously being strengthened;
- Whenever possible, SLHI will collaborate with other LDCs, suppliers, and agencies to develop best practices, minimize costs, and find more efficient ways to deliver value to customers while meeting regulatory obligations.
- Reliability of service is provided to customers, and meets community and regulatory expectations;
- The need for future capacity, security, and reliability are adequately planned for, aided by an understanding of what drives these needs;
- Asset management, from installation to replacement, is properly programmed and executed;
- Risks are minimized and mitigated through asset knowledge and sound planning;
- The cost of maintaining assets at their desired performance level is minimized, considering options such as repairing and/or refurbishing to extend the asset life, so long as the long term total cost is in the best interest of our customers;
- The impact of planned spending on the customers' bill is considered and significant expenditures are paced to reduce overall impact to rates.
- Decisions regarding capital planning are made strategically, based on current and comprehensive data; and
- Renewable energy generation is carefully considered, and allocations are made within the distribution system for renewable energy generation capacity.

5.2.1.4 Distribution System Plan Key Elements

The major components within this DSP come out of the Asset Condition Assessment (ACA) and the Asset Management Plan (AMP); these two documents inform SLHI's priorities with respect to capital planning and maintaining the health of the distribution system. By determining the capital expenditure forecast for the life of the plan (2018-2022), the utility is able to delineate asset replacement and refurbishment programs, plan for growth, continue to provide quality service to its customer base, and ensure the safety of its employees and customers. Throughout the processes of creating these documents, SLHI has gathered and analysed data on its asset base, its service quality indicators, and its capacity for renewable energy generation; combined with the coordinated planning with the appropriate third parties, this information has provided the basis for this plan.

In an effort to gather more thorough and comprehensive data on its distribution system assets, SLHI is planning on purchasing and implementing a geographic information system (GIS) in 2017. Some data from the ACA and AMP are outdated or incomplete; a GIS would ensure there are no gaps in future assessments and plans. At this time, asset knowledge is based on testing programs, data extracted from the now obsolete RAMSYS¹ system, and staff and consultant knowledge. Future capital expenditure

¹ RAMSYS was a rudimentary database that stored some asset data. The system is not supported by the vendor and is no longer used.

projections are based on standard typical useful lifespans (TUL), the results of testing programs (such as pole and cable testing), and informed estimates from staff and consultants, all with the intent to maintain the distribution system in a healthy and efficient manner.

There are some key assumptions that have factored into this plan. They function as the foundation for the capital expenditure forecast and all of its programs and activities to replace, maintain, or expand aspects of the distribution system. These assumptions are:

- There are several regulatory standards that the utility must satisfy, specifically regarding health and safety, environmental protections, rates, and filing requirements;
- Infrastructure for Distributed Generation and Smart Grid allocations continue to be driven by customer requests and expectations;
- The residential and commercial customer base in SLHI's service territory relies heavily on the reliable supply of electricity;
- Current distribution service must be maintained and improved upon while demand for new connections must also be satisfied;
- Analysis of customer preferences, system performance (reliability), loading, and potential load growth do not indicate any present or future modifications to the distribution system are necessary to meet operational objectives and customer requirements – therefore, there are no plans for any System Service investments during the period covered by this DSP.
- Shareholder requirements must be met;
- Data has been collected analysed through the Asset Condition Assessment (ACA) process, and the Asset Management Plan (AMP) provides a clear strategy for how the distribution system will be maintained in the forecast period (2018-2022);
- The first two years of the forecast period (2018-2019) are fairly certain, while the final three years (2020-2022) are less certain, and are based on trending;
- SLHI's capital expenditure projections are categorized into the four investment categories (system access, system renewal, system service, and general plant), as mandated by the OEB, and the plans for these categories reflect SLHI's priorities;
- Equipment lifespans will be maximized through comprehensive asset condition assessment, and proactive strategic planning will be executed to prevent unplanned outages;
- SLHI's service territory experiences very little economic growth, and customer base and system load are expected to remain stable in the area;
- The impacts of Conservation and Demand Management (CDM) programs have lowered the usage and demand for electricity; and
- SLHI will treat this DSP as a living document, making continuous revisions, taking into account any changes that occur throughout the life of the plan.

The DSP includes the following aspects:

- Customer experience and third-party consultation;
- System reliability measures;
- Financial allocations; and
- Environmental concerns.

The capital expenditure projections over the forecast period are outlined in the table below.

| Investment Category | Project | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| System Access | New Connections | 60,000 | 61,080 | 62,179 | 63,299 | 64,438 |
| | General Upgrades | 40,000 | 40,720 | 41,453 | 42,199 | 42,959 |
| Total: | | 100,000 | 101,800 | 103,632 | 105,498 | 107,397 |
| System Renewal | Planned Primary Pole Replacements | 91,620 | 93,270 | 94,949 | 96,658 | 98,398 |
| | Planned Secondary Pole Replacements | 20,360 | | | | |
| | Unplanned Pole Replacements | 18,324 | 18,654 | 18,990 | 19,331 | 19,679 |
| | Polemount Transformer Replacements | 24,025 | 24,457 | 24,897 | 25,346 | 25,802 |
| | Planned U/G Cable Replacement | | 62,560 | | | |
| | Meter Reverifications - New Meters | | 21,515 | | | |
| Total: | | 154,329 | 220,456 | 138,836 | 141,335 | 143,879 |
| System Service | | | | | | |
| Total: | | - | - | - | - | - |
| General Plant | Vehicle Replacement | 355,000 | 60,000 | 300,000 | 35,000 | |
| | Office Computer hardware | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| | Office Equipment | 2,000 | 2,000 | 8,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| | General Small Tools | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| | Warehouse - foundation repair | | 10,000 | | | |
| Total: | | 364,000 | 79,000 | 315,000 | 44,000 | 9,000 |
| Total: | | 618,329 | 401,256 | 557,468 | 290,833 | 260,276 |

Table #1 – Capital Expenditures over the Forecast Period

The ACA and the AMP help SLHI create a clear picture of the asset base, both in terms of distribution assets and general plant assets, and an understanding of the costs associated with maintaining this asset base, to continue to provide safe and reliable service to its customers. Informed, strategic planning saves money, as it ensures that the utility takes full advantage of each asset's maximum useful life, rather than replacing assets that are still in good working condition. This, in turn, means that capital is spent wisely, and, ultimately, customers save money. The implementation of a new GIS will allow SLHI to make even better use of its assets, as the utility will be equipped with even more complete asset condition analysis. This way, SLHI can proactively target assets that pose concerns before they materialize into safety and reliability problems. Maintenance, refurbishment, and testing programs are all critical pieces in maximizing asset lifespans. These are important facets of this DSP.

The System Renewal investment category, which includes the refurbishment and maintenance of distribution assets, averages 38 percent of the capital expenditure projections over the forecast period of this plan. In the years of 2018-2022, that equals \$798,835 of the entire plan's \$2,128,162.

As noted above, analysis of customer preferences, system performance (reliability), loading, and potential load growth do not indicate any present or future modifications to the distribution system are necessary to meet operational objectives and customer requirements – therefore, there are no plans for any System Service investments during the period covered by this DSP.

| | Forecast Years | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Investment Category | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| System Access | 100,000 | 101,800 | 103,632 | 105,498 | 107,397 |
| System Renewal | 154,329 | 220,456 | 138,836 | 141,335 | 143,879 |
| System Service | - | - | - | - | - |
| General Plant | 364,000 | 79,000 | 315,000 | 44,000 | 9,000 |
| Total: | 618,329 | 401,256 | 557,468 | 290,833 | 260,276 |

Table #2 – Investment Categories by Year (forecast)

Table #3 – Investment Categories by Percentage by Year (forecast)

| | Forecast Years | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Investment Category | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| System Access | 16.17% | 25.37% | 18.59% | 36.27% | 41.26% |
| System Renewal | 24.96% | 54.94% | 24.90% | 48.60% | 55.28% |
| System Service | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| General Plant | 58.87% | 19.69% | 56.51% | 15.13% | 3.46% |
| Total: | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

The major components, or key elements, in this DSP are:

- The creation of the Asset Management Plan, based on the Asset Condition Assessment Report to which SLHI will adhere;
- The third-party coordination efforts that SLHI has undertaken, including customer surveys, the Integrated Regional Resource Planning (IRRP) process, and consultation with Hydro One Networks Inc. (HONI) and the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO);
- SLHI's service quality indicators, and financial and non-financial performance measures; and
- Justification of the previous five years' capital expenditures (2013-2017), and the forecasted expenditures of the forecasted years of the plan (2018-2022), including explanations of the major capital projects that meet the OEB-designated materiality threshold of \$50,000 in any year of the plan going forward.

5.2.1.5 Expected Cost Savings

There are significant cost saving benefits to following informed asset management and DSPs. Without sound planning, the utility could spend far more capital on replacing and refurbishing its distribution assets than is necessary. Part of the Asset Management Plan is making optimal use of testing programs, like pole testing and transformer testing, to have better knowledge of the working assets. While these programs cost money up front, they save money in the long run. By basing all of its capital programs on current asset condition knowledge and a strategic plan for investment and reinvestment, SLHI can save money, which ultimately affects the rate payers. By replacing and refurbishing assets in a proactive, strategic, and as-needed manner, the utility is preventing unplanned outages, and providing more reliable, cost-effective service, which is evident in customer rates.

In 2015, SLHI conducted an asset condition assessment (ACA), which identified the utility's distribution assets, and assigned them quantitative values based on a health index. These values helped the utility determine the remaining useful lifespans of each of the assets. (The ACA can be found in Appendix B of the Asset Management Plan, found in DSP Appendix A). Through the Asset Management Plan (AMP), SLHI was able to create a sound strategy for maintaining each of the asset classes, based on the asset knowledge provided by the ACA. These plans are tied to the capital expenditure forecasts for the next five years (2018-2022); the capital projections reflect the utility's priorities according to the Asset Management Plan. (The AMP can be found in DSP Appendix A.)

One facet of asset management that SLHI has plans on improving is asset knowledge, which will occur through the purchase and implementation of a new geographic information system (GIS). This project will constitute a substantial capital expenditure over the life of this plan; the benefits of the improved asset knowledge will be clear in the next asset condition assessment, Asset Management Plan, and DSP. The GIS will provide comprehensive mapping of SLHI's very wide-spread service territory, which will allow for strategic asset replacement and refurbishment programs, where assets can be grouped and replaced more efficiently, saving the utility money. SLHI will be implementing an ESRI GIS solution in 2017 at an estimated cost of \$45,000.

Another of SLHI's priorities is the pole testing program that was conducted in the fall of 2016. The ACA found that SLHI needed more data on its distribution poles, so a pole testing program was mandated. A pole testing program provides specific information about which poles need replacing, and how soon. The TUL value for distribution poles is largely based on age, but there can be several other factors in the health of a pole – factors that can affect the pole either positively or negatively. By replacing poles based on age alone, the utility might be spending money prematurely, replacing an asset that may in fact still have some remaining useful life. Alternatively, when weather and wildlife, for example, contribute to the degradation of a pole prior to its industry-established end-of-life, the pole might pose safety and reliability concerns if left in service. Pole testing can help identify which poles need attention, and in what timeframe, which saves in replacement costs, and emergency replacement costs. The utility saves capital, customers save money through stable rates, and the utility consistently provides reliable service, with fewer unplanned outages. SLHI's asset management strategy is to optimize each asset's lifespan through informed replacement and refurbishment planning, which ultimately helps to balance risks and investments.

SLHI also anticipates cost savings in the following endeavors, in addition to the ACA and the AMP:

- Installation and implementation of the GIS for improved asset knowledge and capital project planning;
- Reduced unplanned outages, and fewer/shorter planned outages, resulting from proactive and strategic asset maintenance

The asset management process concludes that the cost of replacing SLHI's total asset base, including all asset types and their populations, would amount to \$20M based on estimated per unit replacement costs multiplied by total number of assets listed². When comparing the industry-established minimum useful life values and maximum useful life values, a clear difference in replacement costs can be seen. In order to maintain the SLHI distribution system based on the minimum useful life values (assets at end of

² Asset Condition Assessment, Table 1-1, page 3, Section 3.3 page 18, 19

life to 10 years) of each asset, the total cost would be \$8M, meaning the annual cost over the five-year plan would be \$1.5M. The total cost of maintaining the distribution system according to maximum useful life values (assets at end of life to less than 5 years) would be \$5M, and the annual cost for each of the five years would be \$1M. This leaves an annual difference of around \$0.5M.

The actual useful life values of the assets generally fall somewhere in between the minimum and maximum. The industry standard is the typical useful life (TUL), and each asset has a respective TUL value. If SLHI maintained its distribution system according to the industry benchmark TUL, the annual cost would be around \$1.2M. The aim of the Asset Management Plan and DSP is to monitor asset health more closely, and have clearer asset knowledge, so that the utility is not merely replacing assets according to industry standards, but doing so according to the actual needs of the distribution system. This should reduce the annual costs of maintaining the distribution system, as some assets' lifespans can be prolonged based on health indices. The capital expenditure projections for the life of this plan indicate that the average annual cost of sustaining the SLHI distribution system is \$425,630 over the five-year plan. Over the five-year life of the plan, this adds up to about \$4M in savings versus the TUL strategy costs, or \$0.8M annually.

5.2.1.6 Distribution System Plan Period

The period covered by this DSP includes a five-year historical period (2013-2017), with the filing year included, serving as the bridging year, and a five-year forecasted period (2018-2022). The filing year (2017) is included in the historical period because its budget has already been approved, and partial spending of that plan will be complete at the time this Cost of Service application is filed. Years prior to the beginning of this plan period (up to and including 2012) were included in previous asset management documents and Cost of Service filings. The forecasted period begins with the first complete year after filing, and extends out five years. Capital expenditures for these forecasted years are included in this plan, as the asset management strategy is applied.

As with any plan for the future, there is a level of uncertainty. The first two years of the forecast period are planned with a higher degree of certainty than the latter three years. While there is always potential for unpredicted circumstances, like storms for example, that may significantly alter SLHI's asset management activities and capital planning, this document outlines the utility's intentions. The maintenance philosophy will remain the same, and the goal of prudent spending will endure. Other factors that may modify SLHI's capital planning include regulatory developments, growth or decline in the customer base, adjustments made by neighbouring utilities taking part in the same Integrated Regional Resource Planning (IRRP) group, environmental issues, and other unforeseen circumstances.

5.2.1.7 Vintage of Information

This DSP is based upon information coming from several different sources. They are current as of January 2017. The information driving this plan includes:

- The Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. Asset Management Plan (January 2017) (Appendix A)
- The Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. Asset Condition Assessment (April 2016) (Appendix B of the AMP)
- Integrated Regional Resource Planning (IRRP) West of Thunder Bay Sub-region planning document (2016) (Appendix B)
- Independent Electricity System Operators (IESO) Letter of Comment (Appendix C)

- Sioux Lookout Hydro 2014 Customer Satisfaction Survey (Appendix D)
- Sioux Lookout Hydro 2016 Customer Satisfaction Survey (Appendix E)

5.2.1.8 Asset Management Process Changes

The asset management philosophy that SLHI follows has remained consistent over previous planning periods. While activities and plans themselves vary, they are based on the same strategies that aim to provide safe and reliable service to the customer base, while saving money through proactive, sound planning.

SLHI's asset management process is discussed in more detail in section 5.3 of this plan. The stages of this process have consistently been to: collect and maintain asset data; analyse the strategies' impacts on the plan; update the Asset Management Plan (AMP); determine the capital expenditure forecasts for the upcoming five years; and execute the Asset Management Plan.

Any improvements to these stages, making this process more efficient, are welcome additions to the plan. SLHI completed an Asset Condition Assessment performed by a third party for the first time in 2015, and included it in its updated Asset Management Plan. This will be updated and included in the AMP going forward. Also, the intended investment in a GIS will allow SLHI to more efficiently gather and analyse asset data. An improved first stage of the process will have consequent benefits to each subsequent stage, thereby enhancing the process as a whole.

5.2.1.9 Distribution System Plan Contingencies and Risks

There are some aspects of the DSP that are contingent on aspects beyond the control of SLHI. SLHI puts forth its best plans for the next five years (2018-2022) within this document, taking into account its historical capital investment trends, the health of each of its asset classes, the information provided within the IRRP process, its customer feedback, and several other factors. Yet, there are always risks that an unforeseeable event might affect the life of this plan. Some reasonable risks that can be expected include:

• Growth and Decline of Customer Base

The economy is an external factor to SLHI's customer count, and it is beyond SLHI's control. While the customer base has remained very stable over the past five years, there is always the potential that the utility will need to provide for higher numbers of new customers, or that the customer base may decrease.

• Weather

Severe weather can be hazardous to an electrical distribution system. SLHI is prepared to deal with possible severe weather conditions by maintaining back-up equipment and keeping mutual assistance agreements in place with neighbouring distributors, to mitigate the effects of the damage as quickly and efficiently as possible, so as to limit the duration of the unplanned outage. The forecast spending for capital and O&M related to weather damage is based on the average of the past five years. There are no projects specifically aimed at "hardening" the distribution system so it can withstand more frequent severe weather conditions often associated with climate change. SLHI will monitor the performance of the distribution system as well as steps taken by other LDCs to upgrade standards to have a more robust system, and will consider adopting these changes if deemed applicable to SLHI.

• Renewable Energy Generation Connections

As renewable energy is gaining popularity, it is possible that SLHI will see an increase in renewable energy generation (REG) connection applications. Past applications do not necessarily set a precedent of what to expect in the future. This DSP does not include any investments in the distribution system to accommodate REG projects.

5.2.2 Coordinated Planning with Third Parties

As part of the distribution system planning process, SLHI has consulted with key stakeholders. These consultations consist of meetings and communications that ensure that stakeholder interests are taken into consideration as the utility proceeds in its forecasted planning. Input has been gathered from the following stakeholders:

- Hydro One Networks Inc. (HONI both local transmission and distribution);
- West of Thunder Bay Sub-Region Working Group;
- Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO, and the former OPA);
- Towns, Municipalities, and Developers; and
- Customers.

The following sections discuss the information collected from each of these groups, and what bearing it has on the SLHI DSP.

5.2.2.1 Regional Planning Consultations *5.2.2.1.1 Hydro One Consultation*

SLHI is supplied by Hydro One transmission and distribution. Along with the other local distribution companies (LDCs) in the Northwest Region's West of Thunder Bay Sub-region, SLHI participated in an Integrated Regional Resource Planning (IRRP) process that assessed the needs of the region and consulted on matters of generation, transmission, conservation, and distribution. The following members were a part of the West of Thunder Bay planning group:

- Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO);
- Hydro One Networks Inc. (Distribution);
- Hydro One Networks Inc. (Transmission);
- Atikokan Hydro Inc.;
- Fort Frances Power Corporation;
- Kenora Hydro Electric Corporation Ltd.; and
- Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc.

The IRRP is further discussed in sections 5.2.2.1.4 and 5.2.2.2.

SLHI meets with Hydro One representatives as needed to discuss operational issues and to update each other on any proposed work that may impact the other distributor.

Impact on DSP

The consultations with Hydro One did not impact SLHI's DSP.

5.2.2.1.2 Independent Electricity System Operator Consultation

SLHI met with the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) as part of the Integrated Regional Resource Planning (IRRP) process and with regards to Conservation and Demand Management (CDM). The IRRP determined that the forecasted growth in the sub-region is uncertain, and therefore the demand is also hard to predict. The major components to future planning for load growth include a combination of generation, transmission, distribution, and conservation.

• Conservation and Demand Management

Conservation of energy happens in two ways: through customer behavioural changes, and improved standards in equipment, such as household appliances, and building codes, as mandated across the province by the IESO.

The West of Thunder Bay IRRP report concluded that since the larger portion of forecasted load growth will be industrial, the required higher-efficiency equipment will be inherent in the process of the growth, as these improvements save businesses money in other ways aside from CDM efforts.

Impact on DSP

Since CDM efforts have been implemented for some time now, and the anticipated growth in the subregion is predicted to be of an industrial nature, CDM opportunities will have no impact to SLHI's DSP.

5.2.2.1.3 Town & Developer Meetings

SLHI has regular meetings with the town and meets with potential developers when required to discuss future developments.

Impact on DSP

As a result of these meetings, SLHI is not aware of any potential developments that would affect the DSP.

5.2.2.1.4 West of Thunder Bay Regional Planning Process

In addition to the groups presented above in section 5.2.2.1.1, the IRRP working group represented the City of Kenora on the west, and the Municipality of Sioux Lookout and the City of Dryden on the northern boundary, as well as 16 municipal and 25 First Nations communities. This sub-region is bordered by Manitoba to the west and Minnesota to the south, and stretches east toward (but not including) the City of Thunder Bay. The final deliverables of this process are discussed further in section 5.2.2.2.

SLHI was invited to participate in this planning process. The purpose of this process was to create a "flexible, comprehensive, integrated plan that considers opportunities for coordination in anticipation of potential demand growth scenarios and varying supply conditions in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region" (Integrated Regional Resource Plan, West of Thunder Bay, Appendix B). This process concluded in early 2016 and the final report was issued in July 2016.

Impact on DSP

The West of Thunder Bay sub-region's IRRP process does not impact the asset management and capital planning for SLHI's forecasted five years. The report itself mentions SLHI very little, as it is primarily concerned with activities happening with the other members' service areas. SLHI will continue to manage its assets and plan its capital according to the steady growth it has observed over the previous five years, and in accordance with its asset management strategy.

5.2.2.2 Final Deliverables of the Regional Planning Process

SLHI was an invited participant in the Integrated Regional Resource Planning (IRRP) process. The final deliverable of the West of Thunder Bay regional planning process is the report entitled, "Integrated Regional Resource Plan, West of Thunder Bay". The final version of this report was made available in July 2016. (Appendix B)

5.2.2.3 Customer Consultations

In addition to the informal, day-to-day interactions with customers, SLHI has initiated contact with customers through the use of surveys which have been developed and administered by SLHI. The survey questions were based on ones issued by other LDCs.

5.2.2.3.1 2014 Customer Satisfaction Survey

SLHI conducted its first customer satisfaction survey in October of 2014. The survey was distributed as a bill insert throughout the month of October; for customers who receive e-bills, a downloadable version of the survey was made available online. SLHI was pleased with the engagement level that the survey elicited: 5.17% of the LDC's customer base completed the survey. This amount totaled 144 individuals out of October 2014's customer count of 2,785. The major highlights of this survey are provided in the subsequent sections below. For the complete customer survey report, see Appendix D. (Note that in some categories, not all 144 customers responded.)

5.2.2.3.1.1 2014 Overall Customer Satisfaction

The majority of SLHI customers are either satisfied or extremely satisfied with the utility. When asked, "Overall, how satisfied are you with the services provided by SLH?" 60 percent were satisfied and 29 percent were extremely satisfied.

Figure #5 – 2014 Overall Customer Satisfaction (Number of Customer Responses)



5.2.2.3.1.2 2014 Reliability of Electricity Supplied

Sixty-one percent of respondents were satisfied, and nearly 32 percent were extremely satisfied, with the reliability of the electricity supplied.



Figure #6 – 2014 Reliability of Electricity Supplied (Number of Customer Responses)

5.2.2.3.1.3 2014 Automated Communication Technology Expense

Consistent with most customer survey results, this survey demonstrated that individual customers are not interested in paying higher premiums for additional communication services. The survey posed this question: "SLH is contemplating investing in technology that will give us the ability to contact you automatically by telephone or email, to alert you of important events such as power outages. Is this an expense that would be of value to your needs?" The majority of respondents answered that it would not be a valuable expense.



Figure #7 – 2014 Support for Additional Technology Expense

The lack of interest in such a service might be related to the fact that SLHI already communicates so well with its customer base that the customers do not feel the need to pay for additional communications services. SLHI continues to research how it can improve its outage management system and customer service.

5.2.2.3.1.4 2014 Customer Suggestions for Improvements

The customer satisfaction survey gave customers the opportunity to suggest ways the utility could improve its service. The response from 35 of the 88 customers surveyed indicated there was nothing that needed improvement; 22 customers did not answer the question, meaning that essentially 65% of respondents did not suggest a way for SLHI to improve its service.

| Are there any specific things that SLH could improve on to serve you | # of | % of |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| better? | respondents | respondents |
| Nothing / Can't think of anything | 35 | 40 |
| Lower Costs | 20 | 23 |
| Check or improve street lights | 5 | 6 |
| Explain costs to customers | 2 | 2 |
| Twin E1C/backup powerline | 2 | 2 |
| Planned outages should be shorter | 2 | 2 |
| Did not answer the question | 22 | 25 |
| Total Respondents | 88 | 100 |

| Table #4 – | Customer | Suggestions | for | Improvements | 2014 |
|------------|-----------|---|-----|--------------|------|
| rable ii i | Castonner | 000000000000000000000000000000000000000 | | mprovenienco | |

5.2.2.3.1.5 2014 General Comments Received

The survey elicited general comments from 56 respondents: 32 were positive comments; 12 were suggestions; and 12 were negative. Some of the positive comments were:

"Very satisfied considering extreme weather"

"By and large service is excellent"

"SLH always provides quick and courteous service"

Some of the negative comments were:

"Delivery charges and HST are too high"

"Don't waste money on technology, focus on lowering costs"

5.2.2.3.1.6 2014 Summary

In general, SLHI is very pleased with the feedback it received from the 2014 customer survey. The survey prompted plans to continue to work on improving its online tools, to lower costs and increase communication with customers. Negative comments and feedback are taken as starting points for improvement, and the utility is content to learn about the ways it can improve.

SLHI completed another customer satisfaction survey in late 2016 in order to keep up-to-date on customer satisfaction and preferences, to be used in the development of this DSP.

5.2.2.3.2 2016 Customer Satisfaction Survey

SLHI conducted a second customer satisfaction survey in July 2016. All residential and small business customers were given the opportunity to comment on SLH's performance, voice concerns and present their opinion on present and future services. SLH performed the survey via telephone calls and customers were also given the option to complete the survey online through a link on the SLH website until September 30, 2016. SLHI was pleased with the engagement level that the survey elicited: 8% of the low volume customer base completed the survey. This amount totaled 216 individuals out of a possible 2, 740 low volume customers. The major highlights of this survey are provided in the subsequent sections below. For the complete customer survey report, see Appendix E. (Note that in some categories, not all 216 customers responded.)

5.2.2.3.2.1 2016 Overall Customer Satisfaction

The majority of SLHI customers are either somewhat satisfied or very satisfied with the utility. The total Low Volume Customer Satisfaction Index Score was 82.99%. This consisted of 194 responses from Residential customers with a Customer Satisfaction Score of 82.54%, and 22 responses from Small Business customers with a Customer Satisfaction Score of 86.96%. While this is slightly lower than the response from the 2014 Survey, SLHI still considers this to be a positive result considering that reliability was much worse in 2015 (due to Loss of Supply issues).

Figure #8 – 2016 Overall Customer Satisfaction by Percentage



5.2.2.3.2.2 2016 Power Quality and Reliability

Eighty four percent of low volume customers were satisfied with SLHI's power quality and reliability. This result is less than the 93% rating received in 2014, but not unexpected as the reliability in 2015 was worse than previous years due to Loss of Supply issues.





Figure #10 – 2016 Power Restoration Satisfaction by Percentage



5.2.2.3.2.3 2016 Price

A new series of questions relating to the breakdown of the electricity bill and overall cost were introduced in the 2016 survey. Consistent with other areas of the province, most customers were not aware that SLHI's portion of the monthly bill is less than one third.



Customer satisfaction with price was the lowest with a score of 59.7%. It was discovered during the survey that there was some confusion as to the intent of the answers. Some customers indicated an answer of "Very unreasonable" when asked whether or not the portion of the bill that went to SLH was reasonable or unreasonable. Then stated that they felt it was not enough. Therefore, it can be concluded that this question is somewhat misleading as there are two interpretations, one favourable and one unfavourable, of the meaning. The question will be revised for future surveys to ensure the context of the meaning is clear.

Figure #11 – 2016 Familiarity of Bill Breakdown by Percentage

5.2.2.3.2.4 2016 Billing and Payment

The overall satisfaction score for Billing and Payment was 91.4%. Customers were mostly very satisfied with the accuracy and convenient options to pay and receive their bills.



Figure #12 – 2016 Satisfaction with Billing Accuracy by Percentage

Figure #13 – 2016 Satisfaction with Bill Payment Options by Percentage





Figure #14 – 2016 Satisfaction with Bill Receipt Options by Percentage

5.2.2.3.2.5 2016 Customer Service Experience

SLHI scored exceptionally high in this area. The overall score was 93.8%. SLHI feels that our ability to connect with our customers due to our small size is a great advantage over other large LDCs.







Figure #16 – 2016 Satisfaction with Customer Service by Percentage

5.2.2.3.2.6 2016 Communications

Overall SLHI's customers were very satisfied with the communications they receive with a score of 89.9%.





5.2.2.3.2.7 2016 Customer Suggestions for Improvement

When asked about specific things that SLHI could improve on to serve them better, the top answer was costs/prices/lower rates. This is similar to the 2014 results. Below is a table outlining comments received more than once.

| Is there anything in particular you would like Sioux Lookout Hydro to do to improve | % (#) of |
|---|-----------|
| its service to you? | responses |
| | |
| Lower Costs/prices/lower rates/Delivery too high | (77) |
| Reduce outages | (20) |
| Disagreed with paying charges when no hydro is used | (2) |
| Timing of Scheduled Outages (i.e. not on weekends, shorter, less frequent) | (5) |

| Table #5 – Customer | ⁻ Suggestions fo | r Improvements 2016 |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|

5.2.2.3.2.8 2016 Summary

SLH is very pleased with the customer feedback received. We take pride in our customer service. As a small community, we are able to connect with people much better than in large communities with larger customer bases. Price and reliability continue to be our customers' highest concern.

Impact on DSP

The results of the Customer Consultations in 2014 and 2016 have made an impact on the DSP. The main concerns expressed by customers were price and reliability. Therefore, SLH will continue to strive to increase operational efficiencies in its control in order to minimize distribution rate increases. One of the top challenges SLH faces due to its size and remote location is attracting businesses and contractors to the area in order to provide specialized services. However, SLH is committed to further pursue smart grid options which will improve reliability while at the same time provide our customers added benefits at little or no additional cost to them. Customers were also clear they would not support an increase in rates to have improved communication options during outages, so SLHI will not be pursuing these options within this DSP period. Future customer consultations may indicate a change in this preference so SLHI will continue to monitor the options available to LDCs for outage notification and outage maps so they could be implemented when customers' expectations change.

5.2.2.4 IESO Comment Letter

SLHI's involvement in the IRRP, and its commitment to facilitating FIT and microFIT projects has been confirmed by the IESO. SLHI received a letter of comment from the IESO on February 22, 2017 indicating that SLHI participated in the West of Thunder Bay sub-region IRRP. This letter can be found in Appendix C. SLHI was not requested to provide a response and is in agreement with the contents of the IESO letter.
5.2.3 Performance Measurement for Continuous Improvement

SLHI is looking to improve its service to stakeholders and customers alike on an on-going basis. In order to do so, it measures various performance aspects, such as service reliability, financial ratios, and customer satisfaction.

5.2.3.1 Performance Metrics

SLHI tracks its service quality indicators (SQIs), in accordance with the OEB, the Reporting and Record Keeping Requirements (RRR), and the scorecard process. The main indicators that SLHI monitors for continuous improvement are:

- Service Quality Performance (customer oriented);
- Reliability Indices (asset and system operations performance);
- Financial Performance (cost efficiency); and
- Customer Satisfaction.

5.2.3.2 Service Quality Performance

The following tables show how SLHI performs in non-financial categories. These metrics are the service quality indicators (SQI's) filed with the OEB. The focus of these metrics is to ensure the level of service provided to customers is consistently at or better than the minimum benchmarks.

| | | | | | Min |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|------|-------------|
| Service Quality Indicators | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | Standard |
| Connection of New Services | | | | | |
| Number of LV Services connected within five days (annually) | 19 | 24 | 25 | | |
| Number of LV services requested (annually) | 20 | 24 | 25 | | |
| Percentage of LV services connected within five days (annually) | 95% | 100% | 100% | | 90% |
| number of HV services connected within ten days (annually) | | | | | |
| number of HV services requested (annually) | | | | | |
| Precentage of HV services connected within ten days (annually) | n/a | n/a | n/a | | 90% |
| Appointment Scheduling | | | | | |
| annual number of appointment requests Rec'd | 132 | 112 | 130 | | |
| annual number of appointments scheduled and completed | 132 | 110 | 130 | | |
| precentage of appointments scheduled and completed | 100% | 98% | 100% | | 90% |
| Appointments Met | 100/0 | 50/0 | 100/0 | | 50/0 |
| annual number of annointments scheduled with customer Ren | 132 | 111 | 130 | | |
| annual number of appointments scheduled and completed | 130 | 109 | 125 | | |
| nrecentage of annointments met | 98.5% | 98.2% | 96.2% | | 90% |
| | 50.570 | 50.270 | 50.270 | | 50/0 |
| annual number of qualifying calls | 6.042 | / 779 | 1 5/13 | | |
| annual number of calls answered | 6.023 | 4,775 | 4,343 | | |
| annual number of calls answered within 20 sec | 50 557 | 4,770 | 4,405 | | |
| precentage of qualifying calls answered | 100% | 4,775 | 4,372 | | |
| precentage of qualifying calls answered within 20 sec | 0.00/ | 100% | 06% | | 65% |
| Precentage of qualitying cans answered within 50 sec | 99% | 100% | 90% | | 03/6 |
| Rescrieduling of Appointments Missed | | 2 | | | |
| annual number of appointments missed | 2 | 2 | 5 | | |
| annual number of appointments rescheduled | 2 100% | 2 100% | 5 100% | | 100% |
| Telenhone Abarden Pete | 100% | 100% | 100% | | 100% |
| annual number of qualifying calls | 6.042 | 4 770 | 4 5 4 2 | | |
| annual number of calls abandoned after 20 cas | 0,042 | 4,779 | 4,545 | | |
| allitudi humber of causifying calls abandoned after 20 sec. (rate) | 19 | 2 0% | 70 | | 10% or loss |
| Written Bespense To Enguiries | 0/0 | 0/0 | 270 | | 10% 01 less |
| annual number of qualifying enquiries | 26 | 25 | 57 | | |
| annual number of qualitying enquines | 30 | 35 | 57 | | |
| annual number of written responses provided within 10 days | 070/ | 100% | 000/ | | 0.09/ |
| Freereners Berneners (Urban) | 97% | 100% | 98% | | 90% |
| | | 4 | 20 | | |
| annual number of emergency calls | 2 | 1 | 29 | | |
| annual number of emergency calls responded to within 60 min | 2 | 1 | 29 | | 00% |
| precentage of emergency caus responded to within 60 min | 100% | 100% | 100% | | 90% |
| Emergency Response (Rural) | 2 | 2 | 45 | | |
| annual number of emergency calls | 3 | 2 | 45 | | |
| annual number of emergency calls responded to within 120 min | 3 | 2 | 45 | | 000/ |
| precentage of emergency calls responded to within 120 min | 100% | 100 | 100 | | 90% |
| Reconnection Performance Standard | | 47 | 45 | | |
| annual number of reconnections for customers disconnected for non-payment | 20 | 1/ | 15 | | |
| annual number of reconnections completed within 2 business days | 20 | 1/ | 15 | | |
| precentage of reconnections completed within 2 business days | 100% | 100% | 100% | | 85% |
| Nicro Embedded Generation facilities | | | | | |
| annual number of micro generation facilities | 0 | 1 | 1 | | |
| annual number of micro generation facilities for which service reliability was met | 0 | 1 | 1 | | |
| precentage of micro generation facilities for which service reliability was met | 100% | 100% | 100% | | 90% |

Table #6 – Non-financial Service Quality Indicators (2013-2016)

Impact on DSP

The data in this table demonstrate that SLHI meets and exceeds all of the benchmarks for these required customer service indices. As a result, there was no impact to the DSP. SLHI determined it would not be practical to reduce staff levels (and cost) and still meet the minimum benchmarks due to the already small staff size and the expectations from customers that SLHI offer customer service during typical business hours.

5.2.3.3 Reliability Indices

The asset and system performance is monitored primarily through the reliability indices. The performance of the system under normal and extreme conditions will generally be revealed through outages that result from asset failures.

The following table summarizes the interruptions to service.

| Service Interruption Indices | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
|---|------|------|-------|-------|
| Including Loss of Supply | | | | |
| System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI) (hours annually) | 4.73 | 6.18 | 11.22 | 25.28 |
| System Average Interruption Frequency Index (SAIFI) (# per year) | 1.28 | 3.69 | 2.36 | 5.18 |
| Customer Average Interruption Duration (CAIDI) (hours) | 3.70 | - | - | |
| Excluding Loss of Supply | | | | |
| Adjusted System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI) | 0.23 | 1.28 | 0.68 | 1.74 |
| Adjusted System Average Interruption Frequency Index (SAIFI) | 0.28 | 0.74 | 0.36 | 1.18 |
| Adjusted Customer Average Interruption Duration Index (CAIDI) | 0.81 | - | - | |

Table #7 – Service Interruption Indices (2013-2016)

This data identifies that Loss of Supply from HONI is responsible for a significant amount of the service interruptions. Note that the CAIDI category was discontinued in by the OEB Yearbook in 2014, so those values are no longer tracked.

SLHI endured two summer storms in 2014 – one at the end of June, one in mid-July – that included high winds, causing a great deal of damage, including downed trees. These inclement weather episodes negatively affected SLHI's reliability statistics for the year, which can be seen in the SAIDI and SAIFI categories under "Excluding Loss of Supply" section. The spikes in these categories are direct results of the long unplanned outages caused by those storms.

For 2016 there was one major event outage that occurred in December as a result of tree contact due to high winds and heavy snow. Excluding the major event the SAIDI and SAIFI for 2016 are 0.67 and 0.57 respectively.

The subsequent tables demonstrate SLHI's system reliability performance in SAIDI, SAIFI, and CAIDI, as compared to the industry averages and comparable LDCs based on the OEB Yearbook of Electricity Distributors (2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015 Editions). While other tables in this DSP compare figures within the years specific to the historical period of the plan (2013-2016, excluding 2017, the bridge year), the figures for the comparable LDCs will not be made available by the time of filing this DSP, nor will the industry average figures, as these data all become available upon the release of the OEB Electricity Distributors Yearbook. For this reason, figures for 2012-2015 are presented in order to give a breadth of

comparable figures in the absence of the 2016 data. Note that the CAIDI category was discontinued in by the OEB Yearbook in 2014, so those values are not present here. For each category, tables are present for the values both including and excluding loss of supply from HONI. (For details of comparator averages, see Appendix F.)

The aforementioned comparable LDCs include Atikokan Hydro, Fort Frances Power, Kenora Hydro, Chapleau Public Utilities, and Espanola Regional Hydro. They were selected as comparators based on customer base, geographic area location, and size of annual budgets. The pertinent data from the OEB Yearbooks was used to develop the values presented in this category in the tables below.

| | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|------------------------|------|------|------|-------|
| SLHI SAIDI | 0.53 | 4.73 | 6.18 | 11.22 |
| Industry Average | 4.00 | 13.2 | 3.73 | 4.64 |
| Comparable LDC Average | 1.38 | 3.92 | 1.69 | 6.25 |

Table #8 – SLHI SAIDI vs. Industry Average (Including Loss of Supply)

| Table #0 CLUICAITING | Inductor A | vorage (In | | acc of | Cupple A |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|----------|
| Table #9 - SLEI SAIFI VS. | muustry A | werage (m | iciuuing L | .055.01.3 | suppiy) |

| | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| SLHI SAIFI | 1.18 | 1.28 | 3.69 | 2.36 |
| Industry Average | 2.27 | 2.99 | 2.13 | 2.15 |
| Comparable LDC Average | 0.71 | 1.73 | 1.21 | 2.44 |

Table #10 – SLHI SAIDI vs. Industry Average (Excluding Loss of Supply)

| | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| SLHI SAIDI | 0.47 | 0.23 | 1.28 | 0.68 |
| Industry Average | 1.56 | 8.42 | 1.60 | 1.77 |
| Comparable LDC Average | 0.53 | 1.42 | 0.53 | 1.36 |

Table #11 – SLHI SAIFI vs. Industry Average (Excluding Loss of Supply)

| | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| SLHI SAIFI | 0.17 | 0.28 | 0.74 | 0.36 |
| Industry Average | 1.80 | 2.44 | 1.64 | 1.65 |
| Comparable LDC Average | 0.40 | 0.87 | 0.42 | 0.54 |

The numbers and causes of services outages to customers in the SLHI distribution system are demonstrated in Figures #18-21 below.



Figure #18 – SLHI Frequency by OEB Cause Code, 2014





The 2014 and 2015 Figures demonstrate that the majority of outages resulted from Loss of Supply from HONI. This, for the most part, is due to scheduled outages performed by Hydro One to complete maintenance and upgrades to the transmission line into Sioux Lookout which is a radial feed. In 2014, SLHI had 10,258 service interruptions to customers; 8,203 of these interruptions were due to Loss of Supply. Service in 2015 saw 6,586 customer interruptions, and 5,581 of them were from Loss of Supply.

To clarify the contributions of the interruptions that were not due to Loss of Supply, Figures were created that specifically exclude Loss of Supply.





Figure #21 – SLHI Frequency by OEB Cause Code, 2015 – Excluding LOS



After Loss of Supply, the next-most significant cause of outages in 2014 was Tree Contact followed by Defective Equipment. The data from 2015 shows a distinct reduction in Tree Contact related outages and Defective Equipment outages. The main reason for these reductions is that there were fewer storms in 2015.

The following Figures highlight the duration of service outages to customers, in hours.



Figure #22 – SLHI Outage Duration (in hours) by OEB Cause Code, 2014

Figure #23 – SLHI Outage Duration (in hours) by OEB Cause Code, 2015



Loss of Supply is responsible for the majority of the outage hours to customers in 2014 and 2015. In 2014, the total customer interruption hours totaled 17,170, of which 13,624 were due to Loss of Supply. In 2015, SLHI customers experienced 31,254 interruption hours, which is a significant increase, yet Loss of Supply from HONI was responsible for 29,356 (94%) of those hours.

Similar to the outage frequency analysis, additional Figures have been created that exclude Loss of Supply to clarify the outage hours caused by aspects within the control of SLHI.



Figure #24 – SLHI Outage Duration (in hours) by OEB Cause Code, 2014 – Excluding LOS





Consistent with outage frequency, these Figures demonstrate that Tree Contact was a noteworthy issue in 2014, causing 2,527 interruption hours, but in 2015 only cause 66 interruption hours.

• Impact on DSP

Overall, SLHI has not identified any trends or specific outage causes that would warrant a change in the Asset Management Process or impact this DSP. There are no specific programs or projects that target

reliability improvements. Continued emphasis on asset management and system renewal will ensure the reliability of the SLHI will remain consistent with previous years.

5.2.3.4 Financial Performance

SLHI's historical financial performance measures that impact customers and this DSP are summarized below.

| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Efficiency | | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Assessment | | | | | |
| Total Cost Per | \$742 | \$814 | \$802 | \$869 | \$818 |
| Customer | | | | | |
| Total Cost per | \$7,219 | \$7,928 | \$7,845 | \$8,445 | \$8,273 |
| km of Line | | | | | |

| Table #12 – Financial Metrie | cs |
|------------------------------|----|
|------------------------------|----|

The Efficiency Assessment is determined by third party consultant (PEG) who provide a ranking from 1 (best) to 5 (worst). An assessment of 3 is considered average with costs within +/- 10% of predicted.

The Total Cost per Customer is the sum of capital and operating costs divided by the number of customers.

The Total Cost per km of Line is the sum of capital and operating costs divided by the total km of line that is used to supply SLHI customers.

Impact on DSP

SLHI's performance in all three financial metrics has been very stable, with most of the variations due to one-time costs in some years. SLHI's goal for each of these metrics is to continue to be stable and show gradual improvements year over year. These metrics provide an overall spending envelope that encompasses all costs including the projects and programs identified within this DSP. These financial constraints provide SLHI with a top down approach that is balanced with the bottom up budgeting approach conducted through the AMP.

5.3 Asset Management Process

5.3.1 Asset Management Process Overview

SLHI's asset management process is demonstrated in the flowchart below.



Figure #26 – Asset Management Process

5.3.1.1 Asset Management Objectives

The objectives of this process are to:

- Gather accurate and comprehensive asset data;
- Provide thorough analysis of asset conditions;
- Assess the impacts and risks of the strategy;
- Create cost-effective asset refurbishment and replacement plans;
- Positively impact outage statistics; and
- Deliver safe and reliable service to customers, and optimal value to stakeholders.

5.3.1.2 Asset Management Components

The first step of the asset management process is gathering information from all pertinent and available sources. All of the data is compiled to create a comprehensive picture of the distribution system.

The second step feeds this data into the Asset Condition Assessment (ACA) and Asset Management Plan (AMP) reports. Previous versions of these documents, from preceding plan periods, are updated with the current asset knowledge. Any adjustments that need to be made, such as adding new assets or populations of assets, or removing asset counts, will be factored in to bring the reports up-to-date. The ACA will demonstrate the effectiveness of the actions within the previous planning period, and justify previous capital expenditures. The updated reports will provide the basis for the future capital projects.

The third step determines the priorities for the forecast portion of the plan period. The operational considerations include:

- Environmental concerns;
- Health and safety;
- Comparisons against typical useful life (TUL) measures;
- Legal and regulatory impacts;
- Asset locations;
- Regional planning and political ramifications;
- Run-to-failure options;
- Outage statistics; and
- Efficiencies to be gained by grouping asset replacement projects.

The fourth step includes the creation of a prioritized list of projects to be conducted within the planning period. These projects reflect the priorities of the utility and will be budgeted for within the capital spending envelop dictated by the top down budget provided by the CEO.

The fifth step is to create the capital expenditure projections by estimating the costs of each of the projects. These engineering estimates define costs at:

- +/- 10% for projects in the first two years of the plan (in this case, 2018-2019); and
- +/- 25% for projects in the latter three years of the plan (in this case, 2020-2022).

Moving between steps four and five may be necessary to ensure the total capital expenditure of the selected project list meets the budget constraints.

5.3.2 Overview of Assets Managed

5.3.2.1 Service Area

SLHI's distribution system covers a total of 536 square kilometers in the District of Kenora in Northwestern Ontario, serving the communities of Sioux Lookout and Hudson. The municipal population that the utility serves is 5,080 people. Most of this territory is rural. The area is riddled with lakes, and the distribution system crosses them to provide service to customers.



Figure #27 - Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc.'s Service Area

Derived from the Sioux Lookout Hydro Green Energy Act Plan, September 2012.

The population in this service territory is spread out over a large area, creating a very low population density.

The utility itself is based in the Municipality of Sioux Lookout. SLHI's service area is embedded within Hydro One territory; there are no LDCs embedded within SLHI's territory. There are currently 34 LTLT customers.

Sioux Lookout and Hudson endure a continental climate, which usually entails short, hot summers and long, cold winters. As with most LDCs in northern Ontario, SLHI is a winter-peaking utility, meaning that its highest load demands occur in the winter, due to the use of electric heat.

| | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|---------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Population Served | 5,037 | 5037 | 5080 | 5,080 |
| Municipal Population | 5,037 | 5037 | 5080 | 5080 |
| Seasonal Population | | | | |
| Total Customers | 2,755 | 2,767 | 2,779 | 2,779 |
| Residential Customers | 2,312 | 2,326 | 2,335 | 2,339 |
| General Service <50 kW Customers | 391 | 390 | 395 | 391 |
| General Service >50 kW Customers | 52 | 51 | 49 | 50 |
| Total Service Area (km ²) | 536 | 536 | 536 | 536 |
| Rural Service Area (km ²) | 530 | 530 | 530 | 530 |
| Urban Service Area (km) | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Total kWh Sold (Excluding Losses) | 71,922,866 | 83,168,942 | 85,561,762 | 79,373,806 |
| Total Distribution Losses (kWh) | 3,739,756 | 4,592,139 | 3,481,779 | 3,711,607 |
| Total kWh Purchased | 75,662,622 | 87,761,081 | 89,582,951 | 83,393,450 |
| Winter Peak (kW) | 18,063 | 20,657 | 20,858 | 21,167 |
| Summer Peak (kW) | 12,044 | 14,659 | 13,582 | 10,244 |
| Average Peak (kW) | 12,301 | 14,192 | 13,951 | 13,827 |

Table #13 – SLHI General Statistics as of June 2016

5.3.2.2 System Configuration

SLHI does not own any transformer stations. SLHI's distribution system is supplied by the Hydro One Networks Inc. (HONI) -owned Sam Lake DS, and is made up of over 282 kilometers of primary conductor, including underground, overhead, and submarine cables. The system is predominantly overhead with 256km of overhead line and only 19km of underground. There are 882 distribution transformers, and 2,427 wood poles. The system was rebuilt in the 1980s and 1990s after the utility was established in 1940. Originally, Sioux Lookout Hydro was a Hydro Electric Commission; it incorporated in January 2000.

SLHI is fed by four feeders from the Sam Lake DS:

- Feeder 1 stretches west from the station to the town of Hudson, where most of the load on this feeder is created. Some additional load exists between the community and the station where small pockets of residences are found. This feeder also supplies a HONI transfer to Frenchman's Head, a small community across the lake from Hudson. Submarine cable is used for this load transfer. This is the most lightly loaded feeder currently in service.
- Feeder 2 extends south-east of the station to provide power for the southern half of Sioux Lookout, including the south shore of Abram Lake. The blue phase of this feeder branches south into rural areas on Highway 72. This section consists of a large number of single-phase 25 kVA and 50 kVA transformers. These transformers are lightly loaded. The phase balancing and conductor loading are within acceptable levels. This feeder makes up approximately 38% of the system load.
- Feeder 3 travels east of the station to supply the northern part of Sioux Lookout. This stretch includes most of the heavier loads in the municipality, including the airport and hospital. The blue phase of this feeder continues east of the town to supply a rural area on Highway 642, and

a load transfer for HONI. The phase loading on Feeder 3 represents the majority of the entire load at approximately 68%.

• Feeder 4 does not currently carry any load. It had previously been a dedicated feeder for the Hudson Saw Mill. Upon the mill's closure, the load was removed.

The low population density throughout most of SLHI's distribution system does not allow for effective switching of loads between all three active feeders. F2 and F3 are interconnected in the southern half of the community of Sioux Lookout. This provides switching between feeders in the more densely populated community. In the rural areas, where only a single-phase is required to supply long stretches of light load, it is not practical or cost-effective, at this time, to provide switching between feeders. There is more information on SLHI's feeders in section 5.3.2.4.

5.3.2.3 Asset Type

Maintaining a healthy asset base is crucial to the DSP. SLHI conducted an Asset Condition Assessment (ACA) in 2015, and completed an Asset Management Plan (AMP) in 2016. The purpose of the ACA is to establish an understanding of the health of each of the assets in the system; the AMP is then written to initiate a plan for caring for those assets, extracting the maximum useful life from them, and spending money wisely in maintaining and replacing them.

One asset that will be beneficial to the knowledge surrounding the other assets is the geographic information system (GIS), in which SLHI has plans to invest. The GIS will aide in gathering more comprehensive, thorough, and consistent data about each of the assets in the distribution system, and therefore, subsequent ACAs and AMPs will have more precise asset information. (The SLHI ACA and AMP can be found in Appendix A.)

As of the ACA in 2015, the assets in the SLHI distribution system and their assessed condition are as follows:

| Asset Group | | Asse | et Conditior | 1 | | Total | EOL within 10 |
|---------------------------|-----------|------|--------------|------|------|------------|---------------|
| | Very Good | Good | Fair | Poor | Very | Population | years |
| | | | | | Poor | | Units (%) |
| Distribution Poles | 762 | 638 | 384 | 395 | 248 | 2427 | 42.3% |
| Secondary Poles | 85 | 21 | 70 | 29 | 72 | 277 | 61.7% |
| Guy Poles | 8 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 13 | 30.7% |
| Pole mount | 331 | 161 | 141 | 121 | 36 | 785 | 36.8% |
| Transformers | | | | | | | |
| Pad mount | 65 | 21 | 5 | 4 | 23 | 97 | 32.9% |
| Transformers | | | | | | | |
| Switches – 1 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0% |
| Phase Air Break | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0% |
| Primary U/G | 11,672 | 772 | 518 | 138 | 584 | 13,684 | 9% |
| Cables (m) | | | | | | | |
| Primary | 2,840 | 201 | 2400 | 460 | 0 | 6,201 | 46.1% |
| Submarine | | | | | | | |
| Cables (m) | | | | | | | |
| Line Reclosers | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 50% |

5.3.2.4 Asset Capacity

In terms of capacity, SLHI is limited to the capacity of the Sam Lake DS, which is owned by HONI.

There are four feeders supplying SLHI with power from the DS. Feeder F4 was originally installed to supply a single industrial customer which is no longer in service. This feeder is not currently loaded but could be returned to service if the customer resumes operation or the feeder is needed to supply other loads. However, the capacity of the three feeders in operation is 805 A. The peak loading of the three feeders is 530 A, which demonstrates that there is available capacity on the feeders for increased demand. Therefore, there are no plans in the near future to add capacity to the SLHI distribution system.

Table #15 below shows each feeder and its peak loading, compared to its total capacity. It also highlights that February is the month that sees peak loading; this is common among utilities in northern Ontario, due to the use of electrical heat.

| Feeder | Peak (A) | Month | Capacity (A) |
|--------|----------|----------|--------------|
| F1 | 80 | February | 140 |
| F2 | 125 | February | 280 |
| F3 | 325 | February | 385 |
| F4 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

| Table #15 – Feede | r Capacity vs. | Peak Loading |
|-------------------|----------------|--------------|
|-------------------|----------------|--------------|

Table #16 below demonstrates the loading on each of the feeders supplying SLHI's distribution system from the Sam Lake DS.

| Phase | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | Total |
|----------------|-------|----------|--------|----|----------|
| Blue | 1,160 | 5,175 | 5,299 | 0 | 11,634 |
| Red | 570 | 4,425 | 3,006 | 0 | 8,301 |
| White | 310 | 4,509.5 | 4,203 | 0 | 9,022.5 |
| Red/White/Blue | 300 | 2,735 | 28,245 | 0 | 31,280 |
| Total | 2,640 | 16,844.5 | 40,753 | 0 | 60,237.5 |
| % Total | 4.38 | 27.96 | 67.65 | 0 | 100 |

Table #16 – SLHI Installed kVA by Phase by Feeder

Figures #28 and #29 further demonstrate the feeder loading.



Figure #28 – SLHI Installed kVA by Phase by Feeder

Figure #29 – Connected Transformation (kVA) by Feeder by Percentages of Total



5.3.3 Asset Lifecycle Optimization Policies and Practices

5.3.3.1 Overview

SLHI manages its assets by initiating replacements and refurbishments proactively, rather than on an asneeded basis³. The Asset Condition Assessment (ACA), along with its health indices, allows the utility to know in advance when assets are at risk, and need attention. The added information from the new GIS will increase the asset knowledge, and improve SLHI's ability to proactively provide asset maintenance.

The asset health indices that have been applied through the ACA and Asset Management Plan (AMP) provide quantifiers that point to asset health. The most vulnerable assets, evaluated as "Very Poor", are considered to be at the end of their useful life, and need immediate attention. When the utility can apply a comprehensive health index, it can isolate exactly which assets need replacing or refurbishing in a holistic way, meaning that it can provide attention to assets in a grouped and efficient manner. For example, instead of replacing several wood poles, and then a few months later replacing cross arms on those same poles, with the proper knowledge ahead of time, the replacement projects can be grouped together, saving time and money. Targeting multiple assets in a small service area reduces costs.

Asset age is one of the most important factors in assessing the asset health. The more information available about each asset, the more accurate the assessment will be. Other trends such as historical faulting, material composition, climate and weather, and wildlife issues are also considered in the asset assessment. The goal is to maximize the useful life of each asset, in a reasonable and practical way, while replacing assets when necessary, keeping safety and reliability as top priorities.

The distribution system has been assessed according to the four feeders that service the area. While one of these feeders is not currently in service, the other three carry the entire load of both communities of Sioux Lookout and Hudson, as they are supplied by the HONI-owned Sam Lake DS. The implementation of the new GIS will provide improved asset knowledge, which will help the utility maintain the distribution system more effectively and efficiently. Testing programs also assist in developing the utility's asset knowledge. For more information on distribution system inspection, see the SLHI Maintenance Inspection Program (Appendix C of the AMP, found in DSP Appendix A).

5.3.3.2 Asset Replacement/Refurbishment Prioritization

The process of prioritizing the replacement and refurbishment of assets involves analyzing the risks of failure. A failed asset may cause safety and service reliability concerns. Since employee and public safety are the utility's first priority, and service reliability is a close second, it is in SLHI's best interest to avoid allowing assets to fail in service. Upon asset inspection, a priority matrix is applied to determine the timeliness of asset replacement or refurbishment. The priority matrix is as follows:

- 1: Requires immediate attention
- 2: Replace/refurbish within the week
- 3: Replace/refurbish within three months
- 4: Replace/refurbish within the year
- 5: Replace/refurbish after one year, in accordance with the Asset Management Plan

³ Replacing assets "as needed" is often referred to as "run to failure". SLHI's approach to asset management is to inspect and assess the condition of all assets on a regular basis, then schedule a replacement or refurbishment before the asset fails. The only asset class that is technically "run to failure" is secondary service conductor – these are repaired when they fail, or replaced if multiple failures occur in the same section.

5.3.3.3 Asset Refurbishment Summary

Some assets are conducive to refurbishment as a way to prolong their useful life. In many cases, refurbishing assets rather than replacing them can save the utility money. However, there are some assets that are not conducive to refurbishment, and in those cases, replacement is the best option for the utility. It is important that SLHI to discern which assets should be refurbished and which should be replaced. The following table demonstrates which assets SLHI has deemed appropriate for refurbishment.

| | | Asset Deta | Conducive to Refurbish | |
|---------------|-------|----------------------------|------------------------|--------|
| Category | Asset | Δςςρ | t Type | Yes/No |
| Category | # | | 103/110 | |
| | 1 | Distribution Poles (Wood | d) | No |
| | 2 | Secondary Poles (Wood) | | No |
| | 3 | Guy Poles (Wood) | | No |
| | 4 | Cross Arms | | No |
| | 5 | Pole Mounted Transform | ners | No |
| Overhead | 6 | Switches – 3Ph load brea | ak | Yes |
| Overneau | 7 | Switches – 3Ph air break | | No |
| | 8 | Switches – Fused | | No |
| | 9 | Switches – Inline | | No |
| | 10 | Switches – Switching Cul | No | |
| | 11 | Protective Line Relays | No | |
| | 12 | Line Circuit Breakers/Re | No | |
| | 13 | Pad Mounted Transform | ners | No |
| Underground | 14 | Primary Underground Ca | Yes ⁴ | |
| | 15 | Primary Submarine Cables | | Yes |
| | 16 | Office Building/Garage | | Yes |
| | 17 | Computer Hardware/So | ftware | No |
| | 10 | Vehicles | Trucks | Yes |
| | 10 | | Trailers | Yes |
| Conoral Plant | 19 | Meters | | No |
| General Plant | 20 | Backup Generators | | Yes |
| | 21 | Office Equipment/Furniture | | No |
| | 22 | Test/Measurement Equi | pment | No |
| | 23 | Garage Equipment/Tool | S | Yes |
| | 24 | | | |

Any individual assets that have been refurbished will likely not be refurbished a second time; a refurbished asset that is nearing the end of its useful life, or approaching failure, should be replaced. Rarely is it found effective to refurbish an asset again.

⁴ Silicone cable injection is considered on a case by case basis to extend the life of the cable, and compared with the cost of replacing the cable.

5.3.3.4 Distribution Class Asset Optimization Policies and Practices

Due to the extensive wilderness area covered by SLHI lines, tree trimming is consistently one of the largest costs associated with maintaining system reliability. As part of the regular maintenance plan for the conductor assets, SLHI schedules regular tree-trimming activities, as described below.

Vegetation and Right of Way control is a requirement under the Minimum Inspection Requirements of the DSC and good utility practice. SLHI distribution area includes some tourist areas and therefore can be sensitive to tree trimming activities. SLHI has a relatively heavy mature tree cover where overhead hydro lines are in proximity to trees. Tree contact with energized lines can cause the following:

- Interruption of power due to short circuit to ground or between phases;
- Damage to conductors, hardware, and poles;
- Danger to persons and property within the vicinity due to falling conductors, hardware, poles, and trees; and
- Danger of electric shock potential from electricity energizing vegetation.

Care must be taken to balance the requirements of customers and stakeholders, and the safe and reliable operation of the distribution system. In general, the three-phase circuit sections require higher reliability and are therefore trimmed on a more frequent basis than the single-phase circuit sections.

Tree trimming inspections have been incorporated into the other inspection programs included in this plan, and additional checks will be performed by work crews in the areas in which regular work is performed.

SLHI performs line clearing in accordance with the SLHI Line Clearing Program. Maintenance work orders are issued as a result of field observations and inspections. All work is scheduled accordingly.

To mitigate direct contact between trees and distribution assets, SLHI conducts tree trimming in accordance with the SLHI Procedures. Depending on the size, shape, and growth aspect of each tree species, the tree trimmers (SLHI employees) remove sufficient material from the tree to limit the possibility of contact during high wind situations.

All debris is removed and the site is returned to as-found condition. Any pole line damage or anomaly noticed by the tree trimming crew is reported to the Operation Manager of SLHI for remedial action.

5.3.3.5 General Plant Asset Optimization Policies and Practices

The decision to refurbish or replace general plant assets is usually based on cost. Larger vehicles and equipment are refurbished and repaired whenever possible; only when they require extensive or costly repairs are they replaced. Activities like re-paving parking lots and repairing building roofs are considered refurbishments, and happen when necessary.

Smaller, less costly tools and hardware items are used until their end of life and then replaced.

5.3.3.6 Asset Lifecycle Risk Management

The timing of replacing or refurbishing an asset is determined in relation to safety concerns in most cases. There are many assets that can remain in service until the end of their useful life, but when a failure raises a safety concern for the general public and/or utility employees, its maintenance is

scheduled in anticipation of the failure. Poles, for example, can pose safety risks when they are in danger of falling, so poles are replaced in a pre-emptive manner, so to prevent accidents.

Comprehensive asset health knowledge is key to mitigating the risks associated with asset lifecycles. SLHI conducts asset tests (like pole testing), has programs implemented (like the tree trimming program), and uses technology (like the GIS) to alleviate the risks of failed assets.

5.4 Capital Expenditure Plan

5.4.1 Summary

This DSP has been generated out of the Asset Condition Assessment (ACA) and the Asset Management Plan (AMP), along with the utility's historical capital expenditures and the capital expenditure forecast for the plan period (2018-2022). The goal of coordinating these resources is to produce a comprehensive and strategic plan for the utility to deliver the best possible service to its customer base, in accordance with the OEB's renewed regulatory framework.

SLHI has considered its financial performance measures, outage statistics and service quality indicators, customer satisfaction, and regulatory requirements in formulating this plan. Continued attention to these factors, in conjunction with thorough asset health knowledge and asset management strategies (like implementing a new GIS for optimal asset knowledge), will help the utility to improve its quality of service supplied to customers. SLHI is responsible to ratepayers to deliver safe and reliable service while being financially conscious. The capital expenditure plans are derived from a comprehensive knowledge of the asset base and the remaining useful life of each asset in the distribution system; they demonstrate the priorities of the utility in maintaining the distribution system.

Highlighted within the capital expenditure forecast for the future portion of the plan period are the capital projects that meet the annual materiality threshold of \$50,000 within any given year. These projects are considered noteworthy, as they represent significant percentages of each annual budget. The total capital allocated to each project is identified in Table #18. As with every activity in the capital plans, these projects are each associated with one of the four investment categories (System Access, System Renewal, System Service, and General Plant), and therefore are linked to the priorities and objectives of their respective investment categories. (The objectives of each investment category are highlighted within section 5.4.2.1.) The capital projects meeting the materiality threshold are shown in Table #18 below.

| Capital Project | Investment Category | Total Capital | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| | | Expenditure Over the | | |
| | | Plan Period (\$) | | |
| Truck Replacements | General Plant | 750,000 | | |
| Planned Primary Pole Replacements | System Renewal | 474,895 | | |
| New Connections | System Access | 310,996 | | |
| Planned U/G Cable Replacement | System Renewal | 62,560 | | |

Table #18 – Capital Projects Meeting the Materiality Threshold

Table #19 below demonstrates how each of these material projects add up over the plan period to total the amounts shown in Table #18 above.

| | | | Forecast Years | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|----------------|---------|--------|--------|---------|
| Investment Category | Project | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | Total |
| System Access | New Connections | 60,000 | 61,080 | 62,179 | 63,299 | 64,438 | 310,996 |
| System Renewal | Planned Primary Pole Replacements | 91,620 | 93,270 | 94,949 | 96,658 | 98,398 | 474,895 |
| System Renewal | Planned U/G Cable Replacement | | 62,560 | | | | 62,560 |
| General Plant | Vehicle Replacement | 355,000 | 60,000 | 300,000 | 35,000 | | 750,000 |

Table #19 – Annual Capital Expenditures for Material Projects (2018-2022)

The following discussion provides a detailed description of each of these projects, justifying the capital allocations throughout the forecast period.

Below in Table #20 is the utility's overall capital expenditure forecast, showing how these material projects are more significant line items than others not highlighted in the material projects discussion.

| | | | | Forecast Years | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|----------------|---------|---------|
| Investment Category | Project | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| System Access | New Connections | 60,000 | 61,080 | 62,179 | 63,299 | 64,438 |
| | General Upgrades | 40,000 | 40,720 | 41,453 | 42,199 | 42,959 |
| Total: | | 100,000 | 101,800 | 103,632 | 105,498 | 107,397 |
| System Renewal | Planned Primary Pole Replacements | 91,620 | 93,270 | 94,949 | 96,658 | 98,398 |
| | Planned Secondary Pole Replacements | 20,360 | | | | |
| | Unplanned Pole Replacements | 18,324 | 18,654 | 18,990 | 19,331 | 19,679 |
| | Polemount Transformer Replacements | 24,025 | 24,457 | 24,897 | 25,346 | 25,802 |
| | Planned U/G Cable Replacement | | 62,560 | | | |
| | Meter Reverifications - New Meters | | 21,515 | | | |
| Total: | | 154,329 | 220,456 | 138,836 | 141,335 | 143,879 |
| System Service | | | | | | |
| Total: | | - | - | - | - | - |
| General Plant | Vehicle Replacement | 355,000 | 60,000 | 300,000 | 35,000 | |
| | Office Computer hardware | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| | Office Equipment | 2,000 | 2,000 | 8,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| | General Small Tools | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| | Warehouse - foundation repair | | 10,000 | | | |
| Total: | | 364,000 | 79,000 | 315,000 | 44,000 | 9,000 |
| Total: | | 618,329 | 401,256 | 557,468 | 290,833 | 260,276 |

Table #20 – SLHI Forecasted Capital Expenditures (2018-2022)

1. Truck Replacement

As part of SLHI's general plant assets, it owns various vehicles, including backhoes, trucks, diggers, and so on. These vehicles are used for servicing the distribution assets and keeping the distribution system in operation. Because some of these vehicles are very costly, this activity meets the materiality threshold in certain years; planning the purchase of one of these trucks is a major line item within the utility's capital expenditure plan. These vehicles are refurbished and maintained, just as the other distribution assets are, in order to extract the maximum useful life from them. Eventually, they do need to be replaced. (SLHI's general plant truck fleet listing is in Appendix H.)

As general plant assets, trucks and vehicles are important to maintaining the operation of the distribution system assets. Utility staff refurbish, repair, and replace distribution assets with the help of

the general plant vehicles. The trucks are also used to respond to emergencies. These vehicles need to be in good working condition in order to properly, and safely, help the utility to provide reliable service to the customers. The capital expenditures for the forecasted years of this plan include replacing a 2001 freightliner truck in 2018, a 2008 Ford 1 ton truck in 2019, a 2013 Altec bucket truck in 2020, and a 2010 Chevrolet ½ ton truck in 2021. In 2021, this line item does not meet the materiality threshold, but is noteworthy because the threshold is met in the preceding years. There is no budgeted vehicle purchase in 2022.

2. Planned Primary Pole Replacements

Replacing primary distribution poles is a significant system renewal project for the utility. The primary poles support the distribution equipment that provides service to the customers. All of SLHI's primary poles are wood, and wood poles are subject to rot and decay, animal and pest interference, and deterioration due to the elements. It is important for the safety of the general public and utility staff that poles are replaced before they pose risk of falling down. Additionally, any pole health issues that threaten the maintenance of the equipment they uphold threaten the reliability of the distribution service. A falling pole can cause disturbance in the form of power outage.

The utility has allocated capital in each year of the plan period to replace poles in a proactive manner. The recent Asset Condition Assessment (ACA) demonstrates that 248 primary poles are at their end of life, and another 395 will reach their end of life within the coming five years. SLHI's capital plan shows that in 2017, it will more than double its expenditures on replacing these poles, which reflects a more aggressive approach. The poles rated "Poor" and "Very Poor" in the ACA will need attention in this plan period. (The ACA can be found in Appendix B of the AMP, found in DSP Appendix A.)

3. New Connections

Utilities are required to provide service to new customers in their service territories. Residential subdivisions usually have underground cables and pad mount transformers installed to provide service. New development is what drives the design and installation of the assets required for this activity. Despite SLHI's customer growth remaining very stable over the past years, the capital expenditures in the historical period of this plan demonstrate that a significant amount of capital must be allocated to the new connections category. This amount is consistent over the forecasted years of the plan.

4. Planned U/G Cable Replacement

Primary underground cables are an essential component of the distribution system and failures tend to be more challenging to locate, isolate, and repair which can lead to lengthy outages to customers. In 2016, SLHI used the services of Energy Ottawa to conduct testing on seven cables that the ACA had identified as near end of life (based on age) and critical in terms of delivering reliable service. The submarine cable tests indicated they were in "Good" condition while the cables that supply the Birchwood Crescent and Atwood Street areas were in "Fair" condition. To avoid outages associated with cable failures, the sections that were in found to be in "Fair" condition (441 metres) have been scheduled for replacement in 2019.

Additional Projects:

There are some projects in SLHI's capital expenditure plans for the forecast period that do not meet the materiality threshold, but are significant enough that they warrant explanation.

• Meter Reverifications – New Meters

Meter reverifications, and the purchase of new meters for the process, has been allotted \$21,515 in 2019. This project falls under the system renewal category, as it allows the utility to provide continuous service to customers.

The smart meters used by SLHI were installed as mandated by the provincial government, replacing the electromechanical billing meters. These new meters use Advanced Meter Infrastructure ("AMI") two-way communication system. The Electricity and Gas Inspection Act, which is enforced by Measurement Canada, requires that meters are re-verified in order to ensure they meet accuracy and operational standards. Meters installed as part of the provincial government's mandate come due for re-verification in 2019, and thus, will need to be accounted for in the asset management and capital expenditure plans of this plan period.

SLHI has allotted capital to plan for the purchasing of new meters in order for the existing meters to be evaluated and resealed within the plan period. SLHI plans to begin sample testing it's R2S meters in 2017 in order to obtain seal extensions for the majority of its meters. This will require that SLHI purchase an inventory of smart meters to facilitate the meter removal/replacement plans for the sampling program. Pre-sampling will be utilized in order to increase confidence levels when determining the seal extension period applied for in the final testing performed by Measurement Canada. Given that most of the smart meters were installed around the same time, as mandated by the province, the number of meters to be verified will be significant. Sampling will allow SLHI to reduce costs by eliminating the need to re-verify all 2,600 of the R2S meters and thereby reducing costs. SLHI's commercial meters will be re-verified in 2019 as the number of meters is small. This will be done in small groups to eliminate the need to purchase all new meters and will be re-verified on a rotating schedule.

• Mapping Software Conversions

SLHI plans to convert its current mapping software from AutoCAD to ESRI in 2017, to address some issues with technical support and functionality limitations. ESRI will be more compatible with other addons we are contemplating for the future, such as Work Force Management, outage management etc. The Municipality also uses ESRI which should streamline data exchange and could reduce costs by sharing resources.

• Polemount Transformer Replacements

Polemount transformer replacements are scheduled for every year of the forecasted plan period, with a steady amount of \$24,000 per year, adjusting for inflation for a total of \$124,527 in the plan. This allows for the replacement of twenty polemount transformers over the life of the plan.

These transformers step the voltage down from the primary distribution level to the secondary utilization level. They are mounted above ground on poles, and are filled with liquid mineral oil, which is sealed in a tank inside the transformer. The industry expected useful life for these assets is 40 years.

SLHI's asset management strategy for polemount transformers is to run them to failure⁵, and then replace them.

• General Upgrades

Each year, SLHI replaces computers, office equipment, and small tools as they become obsolete or wear out. These are typically replaced as needed due to their limited quantities. Buildings are inspected on a regular basis and upgrades / major repairs are planned to address deficiencies.

5.4.1.1 System Capability to Connect New Load

Table #21 below identifies the current system capacities and peak loading for each of the feeders in SLHI's distribution system.

| Feeder | Peak (A) | Month | Capacity (A) |
|--------|----------|----------|--------------|
| F1 | 80 | February | 140 |
| F2 | 125 | February | 280 |
| F3 | 325 | February | 385 |
| F4 | F4 N/A | | N/A |

As mentioned before in section 5.2.3.4 on asset capacity, this table shows that there is available capacity remaining on each of the three feeders in service. The capacity of F4, which is not currently loaded, is unknown but could be used in the future if needed. There is, however, room to connect new load to the feeders that supply SLHI's distribution system from the HONI-owned Sam Lake DS. The total capacity of the three in-use feeders is 805 A; the peak loading on these feeders is 530 A, leaving 275 A of unused capacity even when the feeders are reaching their peak loading in February of each year. Consistent with other northern Ontario utilities, SLHI sees its peak loading in the coldest of the winter months, as natural gas is not available in the area therefore most homes make use of electrical heat.

5.4.1.2 Total Annual Capital Expenditures

Table #22 below shows the capital expenditures for the forecast period of the plan, broken into the four investment categories, along with each category's totals. Table #3

| | Forecast Years | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|--|
| Investment Category | 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 | | | | | | |
| System Access | 100,000 | 101,800 | 103,632 | 105,498 | 107,397 | 518,327 | |
| System Renewal | 154,329 | 220,456 | 138,836 | 141,335 | 143,879 | 798,835 | |
| System Service | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| General Plant | 364,000 | 79,000 | 315,000 | 44,000 | 9,000 | 811,000 | |
| Total: | 618,329 | 401,256 | 557,468 | 290,833 | 260,276 | 2,128,162 | |

Table #22 – Total Capital Expenditures by Investment Category

⁵ "Run to failure" includes replacing units identified as in very poor or damaged condition during regular inspections or line patrols after outages.

| | Forecast Years | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--|
| Investment Category 2018 2019 2020 2021 | | | | | 2022 | Total | |
| System Access | 16.17% | 25.37% | 18.59% | 36.27% | 41.26% | 24.36% | |
| System Renewal | 24.96% | 54.94% | 24.90% | 48.60% | 55.28% | 37.54% | |
| System Service | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | |
| General Plant | 58.87% | 19.69% | 56.51% | 15.13% | 3.46% | 38.11% | |
| Total: | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | |

Table #23 – Total Capital Expenditures by Investment Category in Percentages

5.4.1.3 Investment, Asset Management Output, Planning Effects on Expenditures

1. System Access investments are driven by obligation to customer needs, and primarily involve providing distribution service to new customers. This would include the development of new subdivisions, or a new industrial building, for example. The demand on system access is beyond the control of the utility, as it is based on customer need.

Throughout the forecasted plan period, system access investments account for 25 percent of budgeted expenditures. The actual amount budgeted is consistent over the five years, at \$100,000 every year adjusted for inflation; the total amount is \$518,327.

2. System Renewal is the largest investment category in SLHI's forecasted expenditures. This category is concerned with refurbishing and replacing the distribution assets to keep the system working effectively. Some of the most significant projects and activities within this category are planned primary pole replacements, unplanned primary pole replacements, polemount transformer replacements, meter reverification, and the replacement of underground cable.

This category makes up 39 percent of the total investments in the five-year forecast period, for a total of \$798,835.

- **3. System Service** activities are associated with the reliability measures for the utility's distribution service. SLHI has not planned any activities within this category for the forecast period.
- 4. General Plant investments include the equipment and physical plant assets that keep the distribution system in service. The largest line items in this category include the purchases of new trucks, such as freightliners and bucket trucks. Items like computer hardware and software, office equipment, and small tools also fall under this category.

The capital allotted to this category varies greatly in the years of the forecast plan, largely contingent on the purchase of the aforementioned large trucks. In the years SLHI plans on purchasing trucks, the general plant category makes up a much more significant portion of the annual budget than in the years it does not. Overall, this category makes up 36 percent of the total budget for the forecast period, at \$811,000, but the category varies from a maximum amount at 59 percent of the budget in 2020 to a minimum number of 3 percent of the budget in 2022. Because of the relatively low annual expenditures of this utility, a \$355,000 bucket truck becomes a major portion of the annual budget.

5.4.1.4 Total Capital Cost

The comprehensive list of capital expenditure projects, and their associated categories, can be best understood through the tables provided below.

| | | Historical Years | | | | | Bridging Year | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Investment Category | Project | 2013 Budget | 2013 Actual | 2014 Budget | 2014 Actual | 2015 Budget | 2015 Actual | 2016 Budget | 2016 Actual | 2017 Budget |
| System Access | New Connections | 58,438 | 85,799 | 65,000 | 69,175 | 87,700 | 68,629 | 87,700 | 68,561 | 140,000 |
| | General Upgrades | 39,380 | 57,585 | 48,000 | 61,284 | 15,000 | 64,180 | 15,000 | 41,593 | 25,000 |
| | LTLT Elimination Activities | | | | | | | | | 147,842 |
| Total: | | 97,818 | 143,384 | 113,000 | 130,459 | 102,700 | 132,809 | 102,700 | 110,154 | 312,842 |
| System Renewal | Pole Replacement | 46,922 | 66,424 | 90,325 | 111,358 | 25,000 | 34,940 | 25,000 | 76,244 | 105,500 |
| | Winoga Submarine Cable | 72,200 | - | | | 55,000 | 33,317 | | | |
| | Smart Grid (Trip Saver) | - | 3,067 | - | 2,056 | | | | | |
| | Smart Meter Upgrade | | | 15,000 | 12,125 | | | | | |
| | Spares | | | | 9,823 | - | 4,025 | | | |
| | Cross Arm Replacements | | | | | | | 25,000 | 31,907 | |
| | Modems for Sam Lake | | | | | - | 1,118 | | | |
| | Polemount Transformer Replacements | | | | | | | | | 23,600 |
| | Meter Replacements | | | | | | | | 4,330 | |
| | Meter Reverification Program | | | | | | | | | 16,712 |
| Total: | | 119,122 | 69,491 | 105,325 | 135,362 | 80,000 | 73,400 | 50,000 | 112,481 | 145,812 |
| System Service | Southshore Drive Conversion | - | 10,254 | 12,000 | - | | | | | |
| | Highway 72 Primary Underground | | | 25,000 | - | | | | | |
| | Rear Front Street | | | | | 42,140 | 25,353 | | | |
| | Hudson Upgrade | | | | | 16,000 | 25,108 | | | |
| | F2 Blue Phase Reconductoring | | | | | 58,000 | 45,184 | 48,126 | 52,039 | 48,000 |
| Total: | | - | 10,254 | 37,000 | - | 116,140 | 95,645 | 48,126 | 52,039 | 48,000 |
| General Plant | Amcorder Recording Meter | 7,000 | 6,145 | | | | | | | |
| | General Small Tools | 5,000 | 1,357 | 10,000 | 3,504 | 10,000 | 1,005 | 10,000 | 5,323 | 5,000 |
| | Office Computer Hardware | 3,000 | 3,155 | 3,000 | 1,000 | 1,500 | 1,830 | 1,500 | | 2,000 |
| | Vehicle Replacement | 86,000 | 85,090 | 55,000 | 54,539 | 15,000 | 14,234 | | | 35,000 |
| | Mapping Upgrade | | | 30,000 | 33,600 | | | | | |
| | Web Presentment | | | 8,000 | 7,250 | | | | | |
| | Shop Internet Upgrade | | | 2,500 | 4,441 | | | | | |
| | Sentinel Lights | | 1,067 | | | - | 1,523 | | | |
| | Office Equipment | | | - | 278 | 2,000 | 2,318 | 2,000 | 299 | 2,000 |
| | Web Site Redevelopment | | | | | | | 5,400 | | |
| | Phone System Upgrade | | | | | 10,500 | 11,167 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Pole testing equipment | | | | | | | 18,000 | 15,389 | |
| | Mapping Upgrade | | | | | | | | | 45,000 |
| Total: | | 101,000 | 96,814 | 108,500 | 104,612 | 39,000 | 32,077 | 36,900 | 21,011 | 89,000 |
| Totals | | 317,940 | 319,943 | 363,825 | 370,433 | 337,840 | 333,931 | 237,726 | 295,685 | 595,654 |

Table #24 – SLHI Historical Capital Expenditures

| | | | Forecast Years | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|----------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Investment Category | Project | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| System Access | New Connections | 60,000 | 61,080 | 62,179 | 63,299 | 64,438 |
| | General Upgrades | 40,000 | 40,720 | 41,453 | 42,199 | 42,959 |
| Total: | | 100,000 | 101,800 | 103,632 | 105,498 | 107,397 |
| System Renewal | Planned Primary Pole Replacements | 91,620 | 93,270 | 94,949 | 96,658 | 98,398 |
| | Planned Secondary Pole Replacements | 20,360 | | | | |
| | Unplanned Pole Replacements | 18,324 | 18,654 | 18,990 | 19,331 | 19,679 |
| | Polemount Transformer Replacements | 24,025 | 24,457 | 24,897 | 25,346 | 25,802 |
| | Planned U/G Cable Replacement | | 62,560 | | | |
| | Meter Reverifications - New Meters | | 21,515 | | | |
| Total: | | 154,329 | 220,456 | 138,836 | 141,335 | 143,879 |
| System Service | | | | | | |
| Total: | | - | - | - | - | - |
| General Plant | Vehicle Replacement | 355,000 | 60,000 | 300,000 | 35,000 | |
| | Office Computer hardware | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| | Office Equipment | 2,000 | 2,000 | 8,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| | General Small Tools | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| | Warehouse - foundation repair | | 10,000 | | | |
| Total: | | 364,000 | 79,000 | 315,000 | 44,000 | 9,000 |
| Total: | | 618,329 | 401,256 | 557,468 | 290,833 | 260,276 |

Table #25 – SLHI Forecasted Capital Expenditures

5.4.1.5 Regional Planning Process/Regional Infrastructure Plan Impacts

The conclusions of the West of Thunder Bay Integrated Regional Resource Plan (IRRP) have little bearing on SLHI's DSP. The utility's capacity to connect renewable energy generation (REG) projects is largely dependent on the capacity of the Sam Lake distribution station (DS), which is owned by Hydro One; it is also dependent on the capacity of the feeders that supply SLHI territory. While SLHI was fully participatory in the IRRP process, and takes the planning group's recommendations into consideration, it has based its infrastructural plans more substantially on its own asset management strategies.

5.4.1.6 Customer Engagement Activities

SLHI conducted a Customer Satisfaction Survey in October 2014, and another in June 2016. The 2014 survey was distributed through bill inserts to customers receiving hardcopy bills; there was an online version of the survey for customers who receive bills electronically. In 2016 the survey was conducted via telephone to all residential and small general service customers.

5.4.1.7 System Development Expectations

Historically, growth in terms of customer count, load, the distribution system itself, and REG connections has been minimal. Based on these trends, SLHI does not anticipate any uncharacteristic growth; the system and its services have remained stable with regards to size and supply, and the utility expects consistency on this front.

As discussed in section 5.4.3, there is the potential for more REG project applications, as renewable energy is becoming more popular and feasible. However, in light of the fact that SLHI has received so few applications in the historical portion of this plan period (2013-2016), it does not anticipate receiving applications in amounts inconsistent with this trend.

5.4.1.8 Total Capital Costs of Planned Projects

5.4.1.8.1 Customer Preferences

The SLHI Customer Satisfaction Survey (October 2014) asked the question of whether customers would be willing to pay an additional cost for an outage management system, and the overwhelming response was negative. Of the customers who responded to the survey, 63.9 percent said no, and 13.2 percent said they did not know. Only 22.8 percent of respondents said they would be willing to pay, and that this system would be of value to them. SLHI is, however, still interested in improving its outage management system, and has been investigating options for doing so without incurring any addition cost to customers.

5.4.1.8.2 Technology-Based Opportunities

One technology-based capital project within this DSP and this planning period is the implementation of a new geographic information system (GIS). The GIS requires capital for implementation, but in the long run will save the utility money by providing more comprehensive asset knowledge, allowing for more strategic and effective asset maintenance. This will have a positive impact on the capital planning in future asset management plans and DSPs.

5.4.1.8.3 Innovation Projects

There are no innovation driven projects within this DSP.

5.4.2 Capital Expenditure Planning Process Overview

5.4.2.1 Expenditure Plan Objectives

SLHI's Mission and Vision Statements, form a key part of capital planning. The utility has a responsibility to the Municipality of Sioux Lookout, as the shareholder, and to the customers to whom it provides service. Safe, reliable service through efficient and informed planning offer the best value to rate payers. SLHI's priorities and objectives are set out in its mission and vision statements, which are as follows:

Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc.'s Mission Statement

Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. is committed to:

- Ensure that health and safety to employees and the public is a priority;
- Supply safe and reliable electricity to residents and businesses in the Municipality of Sioux Lookout;
- Provide superior customer service; and
- Provide value to our shareholder, the Municipality of Sioux Lookout.

Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc.'s Vision Statement

To provide the community of Sioux Lookout with superior customer service and local presence while providing safe reliable electricity to all residents and businesses.

SLHI's capital expenditure objectives are aligned with the OEB-mandated investment categories. Each of the capital investments can be placed in one of the four categories: System Access, System Renewal, System Service, and General Plant. The following Figures detail how the investment categories compare, percentage-wise, over the forecasted years of the plan.



Figure #30 – SLHI Forecasted Capital Expenditures by Investment Category by Percentage, 2018







Figure #32 – SLHI Forecasted Capital Expenditures by Investment Category by Percentage, 2020









The priorities of each of the four investment categories are explained below.

5.4.2.1.1 System Access Investments

The system access category is concerned with providing service to newly connected customers. The utility has an obligation to provide service to those in its service territory. Funds allocated to the system access category facilitate the connections of new customers within the existing distribution system area, as well as new connections being made that expand the distribution system area, such as new subdivisions. The extent to which SLHI is required to allocate funds to this category is connected to the rates of growth within the service territory, and is largely beyond the control of the utility: SLHI must make room for system access ability, but does not regulate the growth it may need to account for. The system access investment category serves the AMP's objective of addressing growth in the utility's territory. This category comprises 24 percent of the total forecasted expenditures for the plan period, although it ranges from 17 percent to 41 percent in 2018 and 2022 respectively. The dollar amount allocated here is consistent; the amounts allotted to the other investment categories in any given year cause this category to become more, and less, significant in each annual budget.

5.4.2.1.2 System Renewal Investments

Distribution system maintenance, such as asset replacement and refurbishment, happen through the allocation of funds to the system renewal category. The main objective of this category is to keep the distribution system working as safely and reliably as possible. Allocating of funds to this category is highly contingent on the ACA and the AMP. The stronger the data and strategies are for assessing and addressing asset health, the more stringent SLHI can be with its system renewal expenditures. This is the largest investment category overall, with \$798,835 in total investments throughout the life of the plan. The capital budgeted to this category is relatively stable over the five-year plan, although it ranges in percentage allocated from 25 percent to 55 percent, given the differing amounts of the general plant category, with which it is tied at 38 percent total investments. Mitigating outages to customers, both by reducing the length of time of planned outages through efficient maintenance strategies, and by

reducing the number of unplanned outages through reliable distribution system equipment, is a key priority of System Renewal. The goal is to provide safe and reliable service to customers through an optimally maintained system, with minimal service disruptions.

5.4.2.1.3 System Service Investments

The system service category is concerned with the ability of the distribution system to meet existing and future service obligations in a reliable manner. Capital expenditure items that are related to following regulatory requirements, usage metering, and asset knowledge technologies all fall into the category of System Service. These activities allow the utility to track its reliability statistics and ensure that its distribution system is effective. The recent customer engagement efforts have confirmed that the SLHI system continues to perform at a level expected by customers, therefore, SLHI has not allocated any capital to projects fitting this investment category within the forecasted period of 2018-2022.

5.4.2.1.4 General Plant Investments

The general plant investments allow the utility to function on a daily basis through facilities, equipment, and technology. The other three investment categories are contingent on the general plant category providing the necessary tools to get the work done. Items such as trucks, computer hardware and software, office building maintenance, and so on, are all part of general plant investments. Investing into the general plant category supports the main objective of providing safe and reliable service to the customer base as it supports the other investment categories. This category sees the most variance in allotted capital, based on the need in certain years to replace large trucks. The total budgeted capital for this category comprises 38 percent of overall budget for 2018-2022. In 2018, however, general plant investments make up 59 percent of the annual budget; in 2022, general plant represents three percent of the annual budget. This category has the most range in budget significance.

5.4.2.2 Non-Distribution system alternatives

There are no projects within this DSP where non-distribution system alternatives were considered. Essentially all projects deal with assets that are replaced due to condition, or the connection of new customers.

5.4.2.3 Processes, Tools, and Methods

The priorities of the capital expenditure plans come out of a number of sources. Again, the first priority is always safety: the safety of the employees working on the distribution system, and the safety of the general public, including the customer base. The next priority is reliability of service to the customer base. All activities flow out of these two concerns.

The sources that coordinate to determine how capital expenditures should be allocated include:

- The ACA and the AMP;
- SLHI's reliability statistics and quality of supply;
- Customer feedback through surveys;
- The IRRP process;
- Regulatory requirements as mandated by the OEB, IESO, and other governing bodies;
- SLHI's financial performance measures; and
- Compliance.

All of these sources help to determine how capital is allocated over the forecast period.

5.4.2.4 Mechanism for Customer Engagement

SLHI maintains excellent communication with its customer base. This is in part because it has made effective use of communications technologies, and in part because its customer base remains fairly small. The mechanisms the utility uses to engage with its customers include:

- Customer survey in the form of a bill stuffer, and online downloadable option, for October 2014 Customer Satisfaction Survey (Appendix D);
- Customer survey via telephone and available online, for July 2016 Customer Satisfaction Survey (Appendix E);
- 24-hour call line for reporting outages and safety concerns;
- Facebook page
- Website

5.4.2.5 REG Investment Method/Criteria

As a utility, SLHI prioritizes the capability of its distribution system to connect renewable energy generation (REG) projects.

SLHI evaluates REG connection applications on an individual basis, as they are received. The applications that SLHI has received have all been under 10 kW, and are therefore considered microFIT projects. Section 5.4.3.1 elaborates on the applications and connections made to SLHI's distribution system.

SLHI has not received any applications for FIT projects, which are connections over 10 kW. If it were to receive any such applications, they would need to be considered with Hydro One Networks Inc. (HONI) because SLHI is an embedded distributor, connected to a HONI-owned distribution station. Section 5.4.3.5 expands on this further.

5.4.3 System Capability Assessment for Renewable Energy Generation

5.4.3.1 Renewable Energy Applications

When businesses, organizations, or individuals want to connect renewable energy generation (REG) projects to the distribution grid, they must apply with the local utility so the application may be properly assessed. These projects are known as microFIT and FIT connections, depending on their size. MicroFIT projects are up to 10 kW in size; projects larger than 10 kW are known as FIT.

Since 2010, SLHI has received 31 applications to connect microFIT REG projects and 2 applications to reassign contracts. Of these applications, 21 were issued offers to connect. The following table shows the number of offers to connect that were issued, by year, and the sizes of the offers issued, as well as the size of the actual connections made, both in kW.

| Year | Offers to Connect Issued | Size of Offers (kW) | Completed | Total Size of Connections (kW) |
|---------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|
| 2010 | 7 | 89.41 | 4 | 39.41 |
| 2011 | 10 | 100 | 3 | 30 |
| 2012 | 1 | 5.5 | 0 | 0 |
| 2013 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 2014 | 1 | 6.75 | 1 | 6.75 |
| 2015 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 10 |
| Totals: | 21 | 221.66 | 9 | 86.16 |

Table #26 - SLHI's microFIT Applications and Connections

Of the 33 applications, two were re-assigned contracts, 21 were issued offers to connect, and ten were not completed. The collective size of the projects issued offers to connect totaled 221.66 kW. Ten applications were terminated before the offer to connect stage; these applications totaled 99.41 kW.

Once the 21 offers were issued, only nine projects reached completion, for various reasons. The total collective size of the microFIT projects connected to SLHI's distribution system since 2010 is 86.16 kW.

SLHI has no FIT projects, or REG connections individually sized over 10kW, nor has it received any applications for such connections.

5.4.3.2 Number/Capacity of Renewable Generation Connections Over Forecasted Period

SLHI has adequate capacity to connect an additional 2 MW microFIT projects at a minimum. Based on the past precedent of applications, the local distribution company (LDC) does not see any need to invest in any additional infrastructure to allow for REG connections.

Since SLHI has no FIT projects, and has received no FIT project applications in the past five years, predicting the forecasted number and size of future applications is a difficult task. Although it could be expected that SLHI should not receive any FIT applications within the forecasted five-year period, based on past precedent, there is always the possibility for it.

Within the Integrated Regional Resource Planning (IRRP) working group, the West of Thunder Bay subregion (of which SLHI is a part), there is a 205 MW biomass-driven generation station in Atikokan, Ontario, which is a former coal plant (see the IRRP report in Appendix B). Because this region of Northern Ontario provides ample space and opportunity for such generation facilities, there is the possibility that a similar FIT project arises in SLHI's distribution territory. Therefore, SLHI cannot rule out the prospect of receiving a large REG application.

5.4.3.3 Capacity to Connect to REG

According to the IRRP report for the sub-region of West of Thunder Bay, there are approximately 491 MW of renewable energy generation connected to the distribution system (section 4.2.1 of the IRRP in Appendix B). The Figure below shows the breakdown of the types of generation and their respective amounts.



Figure #35 - Renewable Energy Generation in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region

Since SLHI has capacity for at least 2 MW of additional microFIT connections, the applications it receives for microFIT projects are not denied based on size. SLHI considers applications on a case-by-case basis to decide whether or not they are feasible. When a project is deemed feasible, an offer to connect is issued.

5.4.3.4 REG Connection Constraints

The constraints that would negatively affect an application's probability of approval include the LDC's transformer capacity, the capacity of the transmission line connecting the generation project to the transformer station, and the security of the transmission of the generated power. These factors are all discussed within the West of Thunder Bay IRRP report.

5.4.3.5 Embedded Distributor Connection Restraints

As mentioned previously, SLHI is responsible to coordinate with HONI regarding any FIT connections, which are renewable connections over 10 kW. The Sioux Lookout Hydro Green Energy Act Plan, September 2012 (Appendix G) quotes the OPA in saying:

"For Sam Lake DS, this station has 16 MW of station availability to accommodate additional renewable generation. Please note this availability is based on the station's ability to connect. For a project to be issued a FIT contract, the project must be accommodated at all levels, including distribution system, station, local transmission circuits, and area transmission...

Currently the OPA is actively participating in the OEB's Transmission Designation Process to designate a transmitter to develop the East-West Tie expansion. The project has a planned in-service date of 2017. You can find further information on the OEB's web site. There is also an on-going effort for transmission system expansion to accommodate additional load increases in the area North of Dryden."

Data derived from the IRRP report, Appendix B.

SLHI continues to evaluate microFIT project applications as they are received. Since FIT projects have a larger bearing on the distribution system and associated stations, they would need to be considered in coordination with HONI, which owns the Sam Lake DS that feeds SLHI.

5.4.4 Capital Expenditure Summary

5.4.4.1 Historical Period Detailed Capital Project Summary

The capital expenditures of the plan's historical period (2013-2016; 2017 as the bridging year) are included in the table below. This table provides a snapshot of SLHI's expenditures, both as they were planned for, and as they were realised. The table also shows the variance between the budgeted expenditures and the actual expenditures. The four investment categories have been applied to the capital projects here.
| | | | | | Histori | cal Years | | | | Bridging Year |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| Investment Category | Project | 2013 Budget | 2013 Actual | 2014 Budget | 2014 Actual | 2015 Budget | 2015 Actual | 2016 Budget | 2016 Actual | 2017 Budget |
| System Access | New Connections | 58,438 | 85,799 | 65,000 | 69,175 | 87,700 | 68,629 | 87,700 | 68,561 | 140,000 |
| | General Upgrades | 39,380 | 57,585 | 48,000 | 61,284 | 15,000 | 64,180 | 15,000 | 41,593 | 25,000 |
| | LTLT Elimination Activities | | | | | | | | | 147,842 |
| Total: | | 97,818 | 143,384 | 113,000 | 130,459 | 102,700 | 132,809 | 102,700 | 110,154 | 312,842 |
| System Renewal | Pole Replacement | 46,922 | 66,424 | 90,325 | 111,358 | 25,000 | 34,940 | 25,000 | 76,244 | 105,500 |
| | Winoga Submarine Cable | 72,200 | - | | | 55,000 | 33,317 | | | |
| | Smart Grid (Trip Saver) | - | 3,067 | - | 2,056 | | | | | |
| | Smart Meter Upgrade | | | 15,000 | 12,125 | | | | | |
| | Spares | | | | 9,823 | - | 4,025 | | | |
| | Cross Arm Replacements | | | | | | | 25,000 | 31,907 | |
| | Modems for Sam Lake | | | | | - | 1,118 | | | |
| | Polemount Transformer Replacements | | | | | | | | | 23,600 |
| | Meter Replacements | | | | | | | | 4,330 | |
| | Meter Reverification Program | | | | | | | | | 16,712 |
| Total: | | 119,122 | 69,491 | 105,325 | 135,362 | 80,000 | 73,400 | 50,000 | 112,481 | 145,812 |
| System Service | Southshore Drive Conversion | - | 10,254 | 12,000 | - | | | | | |
| | Highway 72 Primary Underground | | | 25,000 | - | | | | | |
| | Rear Front Street | | | | | 42,140 | 25,353 | | | |
| | Hudson Upgrade | | | | | 16,000 | 25,108 | | | |
| | F2 Blue Phase Reconductoring | | | | | 58,000 | 45,184 | 48,126 | 52,039 | 48,000 |
| Total: | | - | 10,254 | 37,000 | - | 116,140 | 95,645 | 48,126 | 52,039 | 48,000 |
| General Plant | Amcorder Recording Meter | 7,000 | 6,145 | | | | | | | |
| | General Small Tools | 5,000 | 1,357 | 10,000 | 3,504 | 10,000 | 1,005 | 10,000 | 5,323 | 5,000 |
| | Office Computer Hardware | 3,000 | 3,155 | 3,000 | 1,000 | 1,500 | 1,830 | 1,500 | | 2,000 |
| | Vehicle Replacement | 86,000 | 85,090 | 55,000 | 54,539 | 15,000 | 14,234 | | | 35,000 |
| | Mapping Upgrade | | | 30,000 | 33,600 | | | | | |
| | Web Presentment | | | 8,000 | 7,250 | | | | | |
| | Shop Internet Upgrade | | | 2,500 | 4,441 | | | | | |
| | Sentinel Lights | | 1,067 | | | - | 1,523 | | | |
| | Office Equipment | | | - | 278 | 2,000 | 2,318 | 2,000 | 299 | 2,000 |
| | Web Site Redevelopment | | | | | | | 5,400 | | |
| | Phone System Upgrade | | | | | 10,500 | 11,167 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Pole testing equipment | | | | | | | 18,000 | 15,389 | |
| | Mapping Upgrade | | | | | | | | | 45,000 |
| Total: | | 101,000 | 96,814 | 108,500 | 104,612 | 39,000 | 32,077 | 36,900 | 21,011 | 89,000 |
| Totals | | 317,940 | 319,943 | 363,825 | 370,433 | 337,840 | 333,931 | 237,726 | 295,685 | 595,654 |

Table #27 - SLHI Capital Expenditures for the Historical Period, Budget versus Actual

| | | | | | | Historic | al Years | | | | | | Bridging Year |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-------------|----------|---------------|
| Investment Category | 2013 Budget | 2013 Actual | Variance | 2014 Budget | 2014 Actual | Variance | 2015 Budget | 2015 Actual | Variance | 2016 Budget | 2016 Actual | Variance | 2017 Budget |
| System Access | 97,818 | 143,384 | 45,566 | 113,000 | 130,459 | 17,459 | 102,700 | 132,809 | 30,109 | 102,700 | 110,154 | 7,454 | 312,842 |
| System Renewal | 119,122 | 69,491 | (49,631) | 105,325 | 135,362 | 30,037 | 80,000 | 73,400 | (6,600) | 50,000 | 112,481 | 62,481 | 145,812 |
| System Service | - | 10,254 | 10,254 | 37,000 | - | (37,000) | 116,140 | 95,645 | (20,495) | 48,126 | 52,039 | 3,913 | 48,000 |
| General Plant | 101,000 | 96,814 | (4,186) | 108,500 | 104,612 | (3,888) | 39,000 | 32,077 | (6,923) | 36,900 | 21,011 | (15,889) | 89,000 |
| Totals | 317,940 | 319,943 | 2,003 | 363,825 | 370,433 | 6,608 | 337,840 | 333,931 | (3,909) | 237,726 | 295,685 | 57,959 | 595,654 |

Table #28 - SLHI Capital Expenditures by Investment Category for the Historical Period, Budget versus Actual

5.4.4.2 Forecast Period Detailed Capital Project Summary

The OEB Chapter 5 filing requirements delineate that the capital projections for the forecasted five-year plan are to be included in this DSP. The following table outlines the capital expenditure budgets for the future portion of the plan period, 2018-2022, separated into the four investment categories.

| | | | | Forecast Years | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|----------------|---------|---------|
| Investment Category | Project | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| System Access | New Connections | 60,000 | 61,080 | 62,179 | 63,299 | 64,438 |
| | General Upgrades | 40,000 | 40,720 | 41,453 | 42,199 | 42,959 |
| Total: | | 100,000 | 101,800 | 103,632 | 105,498 | 107,397 |
| System Renewal | Planned Primary Pole Replacements | 91,620 | 93,270 | 94,949 | 96,658 | 98,398 |
| | Planned Secondary Pole Replacements | 20,360 | | | | |
| | Unplanned Pole Replacements | 18,324 | 18,654 | 18,990 | 19,331 | 19,679 |
| | Polemount Transformer Replacements | 24,025 | 24,457 | 24,897 | 25,346 | 25,802 |
| | Planned U/G Cable Replacement | | 62,560 | | | |
| | Meter Reverifications - New Meters | | 21,515 | | | |
| Total: | | 154,329 | 220,456 | 138,836 | 141,335 | 143,879 |
| System Service | | | | | | |
| Total: | | - | - | - | - | - |
| General Plant | Vehicle Replacement | 355,000 | 60,000 | 300,000 | 35,000 | |
| | Office Computer hardware | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| | Office Equipment | 2,000 | 2,000 | 8,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| | General Small Tools | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| | Warehouse - foundation repair | | 10,000 | | | |
| Total: | | 364,000 | 79,000 | 315,000 | 44,000 | 9,000 |
| Total: | | 618,329 | 401,256 | 557,468 | 290,833 | 260,276 |

Table #29 - SLHI Capital Expenditure Plans for the Forecast Period, 2018-2022

As much as possible, SLHI expects to adhere to these projections. There is always a degree of uncertainty when planning for the future: 2018 and 2019 are planned with a higher degree of certainty than 2020-2022. There are many factors that may alter the utility's ability to operate precisely within these budgets (see section 5.2.1.9 The Distribution Plan's Contingencies and Risks). These plans, however, are created on the basis of informed asset condition knowledge, sound asset management planning and asset management strategies, and past years' experiences.

5.4.4.3 Budget versus Actual Capital Expenditure of Whole Plan Period

SLHI's capital expenditures for the whole plan period (2013-2022) can be found within Table #30. These include both budgeted and actual for the historical period of the plan (2013-2016), budgeted for the bridging or filing year (2017), and budgeted for the forecasted period of the plan (2018-2022).

| | | | | | Historio | al Years | | | | Bridging Year | | | Forecast Year | 5 | |
|---------------------|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| Investment Category | Project | 2013 Budget | 2013 Actual | 2014 Budget | 2014 Actual | 2015 Budget | 2015 Actual | 2016 Budget | 2016 Actual | 2017 Budget | 2018 Budget | 2019 Budget | 2020 Budget | 2021 Budget | 2022 Budget |
| System Access | New Connections | 58,438 | 85,799 | 65,000 | 69,175 | 87,700 | 68,629 | 87,700 | 68,561 | 140,000 | 60,000 | 61,080 | 62,179 | 63,299 | 64,438 |
| | General Upgrades | 39,380 | 57,585 | 48,000 | 61,284 | 15,000 | 64,180 | 15,000 | 41,593 | 25,000 | 40,000 | 40,720 | 41,453 | 42,199 | 42,959 |
| | LTLT Elimination Activities | | | | | | | | | 147,842 | | | | | |
| Total: | | 97,818 | 143,384 | 113,000 | 130,459 | 102,700 | 132,809 | 102,700 | 110,154 | 312,842 | 100,000 | 101,800 | 103,632 | 105,498 | 107,397 |
| System Renewal | Pole Replacement | 46,922 | 66,424 | 90,325 | 111,358 | 25,000 | 34,940 | 25,000 | 76,244 | 67,500 | 91,620 | 93,270 | 94,949 | 96,658 | 98,398 |
| | Winoga Submarine Cable | 72,200 | - | | | 55,000 | 33,317 | | | | | | | | |
| | Smart Grid (Trip Saver) | - | 3,067 | - | 2,056 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Smart Meter Upgrade | | | 15,000 | 12,125 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Spares | | | | 9,823 | - | 4,025 | | | | | | | | |
| | Cross Arm Replacements | | | | | | | 25,000 | 31,907 | | | | | | |
| | Modems for Sam Lake | | | | | - | 1,118 | | | | | | | | |
| | Planned Secondary Pole Replacements | | | | | | | | | 20,000 | 20,360 | | | | |
| | Unplanned Pole Replacements | | | | | | | | | 18,000 | 18,324 | 18,654 | 18,990 | 19,331 | 19,679 |
| | Meter Replacements | | | | | | | | 4330 | | | | · · · · · | | |
| | Meter Reverifications - New Meters | | | | | | | | | 16,712 | | 21,515 | | | |
| | Planned U/G Cable Replacement | | | | | | | | | | | 62,560 | | | |
| | Polemount Transformer Replacements | | | | | | | | | 23,600 | 24,025 | 24,457 | 24,897 | 25,346 | 25,802 |
| Total: | | 119,122 | 69,491 | 105,325 | 135,362 | 80,000 | 73,400 | 50,000 | 112,481 | 145,812 | 154,329 | 220,456 | 138,836 | 141,335 | 143,879 |
| System Service | Southshore Drive Conversion | - | 10,254 | 12,000 | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Highway 72 Primary Underground | | | 25,000 | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Rear Front Street | | | | | 42,140 | 25,353 | | | | | | | | |
| | Hudson Upgrade | | | | | 16,000 | 25,108 | | | | | | | | |
| | F2 Blue Phase Reconductoring | | | | | 58,000 | 45,184 | 48,126 | 52,039 | 48,000 | | | 1 | | |
| Total: | , The second sec | - | 10,254 | 37,000 | - | 116,140 | 95,645 | 48,126 | 52,039 | 48,000 | - | - | - | - | - |
| General Plant | Amcorder Recording Meter | 7.000 | 6.145 | , | | , | | | | | | | | | |
| | General Small Tools | 5,000 | 1,357 | 10,000 | 3,504 | 10,000 | 1,005 | 10,000 | 5,323 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| | Office Computer Hardware | 3,000 | 3,155 | 3,000 | 1,000 | 1,500 | 1,830 | 1,500 | | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| | Vehicle Replacement | 86,000 | 85,090 | 55,000 | 54,539 | 15,000 | 14,234 | | | 35,000 | 355,000 | 60,000 | 300,000 | 35,000 | , |
| | Mapping Upgrade | | | 30,000 | 33,600 | , í | | | | | | | | | |
| | Web Presentment | | | 8,000 | 7,250 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Shop Internet Upgrade | | | 2,500 | 4,441 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Sentinel Lights | | 1,067 | | | - | 1,523 | | | | | | | | |
| | Office Equipment/Improvements | | | - | 278 | 2,000 | 2,318 | 2,000 | 299 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 8,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| | Web Site Redevelopment | | | | | | | 5,400 | | | | | | | |
| | Phone System Upgrade | | | | | 10,500 | 11,167 | | | | | | | | |
| | Pole Testing Equipment | | | | | | | 18,000 | 15,389 | | | | | | |
| | Mapping Software Conversion | | | | | | | | -, | 45,000 | | | | | |
| | Warehouse - foundation repair | | | | | | | | | | | 10,000 | | | |
| Total: | | 101,000 | 96,814 | 108,500 | 104,612 | 39,000 | 32,077 | 36,900 | 21,011 | 89,000 | 364,000 | 79,000 | 315,000 | 44,000 | 9,000 |
| Totals | | 317,940 | 319,943 | 363,825 | 370,433 | 337,840 | 333,931 | 237,726 | 295,685 | 595,654 | 618,329 | 401,256 | 557,468 | 290,833 | 260,276 |

Table #30 - SLHI's Capital Expenditure Plans for the Whole Plan Period

5.4.5 Justifying Capital Expenditures

5.4.5.1 Overall Plan

SLHI's capital expenditure plans, both historical and forecasted are divided into the four OEB-mandated investment categories (System Access, System Renewal, System Service, and General Plant), and are determined by the priorities outlined in the Asset Condition Assessment (ACA), the Asset Management Plan (AMP), and the third-party consultations.

5.4.5.1.1 Comparative Expenditures by Category

The capital expenditures of the historical portion of the plan period have been compiled and analysed for consistencies. They have been divided into the four investment categories. The total annual budgets, and actual expenditures, are consistently within the \$300,000 range for SLHI. The historical expenditures, budgeted versus actual appear in the table below.

| | | | | Historic | al Years | | | | Bridging Year |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| Investment Category | 2013 Budget | 2013 Actual | 2014 Budget | 2014 Actual | 2015 Budget | 2015 Actual | 2016 Budget | 2016 Actual | 2017 Budget |
| System Access | 30.8% | 44.8% | 31.1% | 35.2% | 30.4% | 39.8% | 43.2% | 37.3% | 52.5% |
| System Renewal | 37.5% | 21.7% | 28.9% | 36.5% | 23.7% | 22.0% | 21.0% | 38.0% | 24.5% |
| System Service | 0.0% | 3.2% | 10.2% | 0.0% | 34.4% | 28.6% | 20.2% | 17.6% | 8.1% |
| General Plant | 31.8% | 30.3% | 29.8% | 28.2% | 11.5% | 9.6% | 15.5% | 7.1% | 14.9% |
| Totals | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

| Table #31 - Investment Categories, by Percentag | ge, for the Historical Period |
|---|-------------------------------|
|---|-------------------------------|

The System Access category consistently makes up a significant portion of the allocated expenditures, ranging from 30 percent to 45 percent of each annual budget, both in projected and actual figures. This category is concerned with providing service to new customers, growth, and development in the service territory. The line items included in this category are New Connections and General Upgrades. These activities are subject to economic growth and change within the community, and are not within the utility's control.

System Renewal activities keep the utility running effectively. Some of the line items in this category include: pole replacements, cross arm replacements, Smart Meter upgrades, and cable maintenance. This category consistently equates to roughly one-quarter to one-third of the budget each year.

The category of System Service deals with the regulatory and metric aspects of the utility's service. This generally includes converting system assets to OEB-approved assets for the purposes of staying within the regulatory frameworks. The trends in SLHI's budgets and expenditures demonstrate that this category did not demand significant funds, and therefore was not allocated significant funds. In the latter years of the historical period, this category took on a more substantial portion of the budgets.

The activities in the General Plant category include providing and sustaining the distribution system through tools, equipment, and proper facilities. Office equipment and computers, as well as trucks and vehicles, are included in this category. Contrary to the System Service category, this investment category represented a larger quotient of the budget in the earlier years of the plan, and has decreased in more recent years.

The Figures below show a comparison of the figures, budget versus actual, in each year of the historical period (2013-2016), divided into the four investment categories. There were noteworthy variances in planned vs actual spending in 2013 and 2014, and these are explained below the respective Figures.



Figure #36 - SLHI Budgeted and Actual Expenditures, 2013

In 2013 \$72,200 was allocated for the replacement of the Winoga Lodge submarine cable and approved in our last Cost of Service application (EB-2012-0165). This amount was also included as capital contributions as an offset to the expenditure. However, there was uncertainty about whether or not the customer could be charged customer contributions since Sioux Lookout Hydro acquired this customer in 1998 when the Municipality amalgamated and took over all Hydro One customers in the new expanded service territory. SLHI deferred the project until it could be determined who would be responsible for the cost of the replacement. SLHI performed an investigation through contact with Hydro One and the customer and determined late in 2014 that SLHI would not be able to charge the customer to replace the cable since they had paid Hydro One when the cable was first installed. Therefore, the project was put back on the budget for 2015 and completed at a cost of \$33,317. The reduced cost was largely due to the fact that the customer possessed a barge which allowed us to save a significant amount of money on outside contractor costs to provide us with the equipment to run the cable across the lake.

Once it was determined that the Winoga project would be deferred, more capital was expensed on pole replacements, and a project to convert the voltage from 7.2 kV to 14.4 kV on South Shore Drive was initiated in order to reduce line loss. There was also increased cost for new connections in 2013 of approximately \$30,000 which was offset by customer contributions.



Figure #37 - SLHI Budgeted and Actual Expenditures, 2014

In 2014 SLHI budgeted \$37,000 for System Service to complete the South Shore Drive conversion and replace some overhead primary with underground in a heavily wooded area on Hwy 72. However, the South Shore Drive project was cancelled since there was uncertainty about the primary submarine cable feeding the area as it was installed by Hydro One. There was a risk that the cable would blow if the voltage was doubled, and there was no way to measure the capacity at that time. Also, it was determined that the Hwy 72 Primary U/G project was not feasible after determining that the existing poles were still in good condition and the bobcat was used to clear the line sufficient to reduce the risk of power outages. Therefore, there was no capital spent on System Service in 2014, with more capital allocated to pole replacements.







Figure #39 - SLHI Budgeted Expenditures, 2016

In 2016 SLHI budgeted \$25,000 for pole replacements, however once the pole testing equipment and program was implemented in response to the ACA, SLHI identified a number of poles which required replacement in a timely manner. Therefore the actual amount spent on pole replacements was \$76,244 and increased the amount spent on System Renewal.

For the capital projects of the bridging year, in which this DSP is being filed, see Figure #40 below.





For the forecasted portion of the plan, the division of projected fund allocations is demonstrated in the Figures below:





Figure #42 - SLHI Budgeted Expenditures, 2020 and 2021



Figure #43 - SLHI Budgeted Expenditures, 2022



The breakdown of the investment categories by percentage for the whole forecasted plan period, 2018-2022, can be seen in Figure #44 below.



Figure #44 - SLHI Budgeted Expenditures, Whole Forecast Period

5.4.5.1.2 Forecast impact of system investment on O&M costs

SLHI expects to keep O&M costs comparable to previous years by proactively replacing assets at risk of failure, and utilizing inspection and testing methods to identify potential failures before they become problems and require unplanned work which is inherently costlier. Due to the general nature of this expectation it is not possible to quantify the impact to O&M costs by taking a proactive approach to asset management, instead of letting all assets run to failure.

| | | | | Forecast Years | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|----------------|---------|---------|
| Investment Category | Project | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| System Access | New Connections | 60,000 | 61,080 | 62,179 | 63,299 | 64,438 |
| | General Upgrades | 40,000 | 40,720 | 41,453 | 42,199 | 42,959 |
| Total: | | 100,000 | 101,800 | 103,632 | 105,498 | 107,397 |
| System Renewal | Planned Primary Pole Replacements | 91,620 | 93,270 | 94,949 | 96,658 | 98,398 |
| | Planned Secondary Pole Replacements | 20,360 | | | | |
| | Unplanned Pole Replacements | 18,324 | 18,654 | 18,990 | 19,331 | 19,679 |
| | Polemount Transformer Replacements | 24,025 | 24,457 | 24,897 | 25,346 | 25,802 |
| | Planned U/G Cable Replacement | | 62,560 | | | |
| | Meter Reverifications - New Meters | | 21,515 | | | |
| Total: | | 154,329 | 220,456 | 138,836 | 141,335 | 143,879 |
| System Service | | | | | | |
| Total: | | - | - | - | - | - |
| General Plant | Vehicle Replacement | 355,000 | 60,000 | 300,000 | 35,000 | |
| | Office Computer hardware | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| | Office Equipment | 2,000 | 2,000 | 8,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| | General Small Tools | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| | Warehouse - foundation repair | | 10,000 | | | |
| Total: | | 364,000 | 79,000 | 315,000 | 44,000 | 9,000 |
| Total: | | 618,329 | 401,256 | 557,468 | 290,833 | 260,276 |

Table #32 - SLHI Capital Expenditures by Investment Category for the Forecast Period

5.4.5.1.3 Justification of investment drivers

The OEB-mandated investment categories each serve unique purposes in the utility's task of characterizing, prioritizing, and projecting capital expenditures over the life of the plan. The four categories have their own motivations and functions that allow the utility to continue to provide effective distribution operations to the customer-base. The general plant category is unique as it provides the infrastructure that facilitates the other categories' functions. General plant generally includes the equipment and tools that allow the utility to distribute service to its customers.

5.4.5.1.4 Information Related to Distributor's System Capability Assessment

As noted in previous sections, the existing SLHI distribution system has the capability to connect reasonably foreseeable load and REG customers without the need for additional investments.

5.4.5.2 Material Investments

Project Information: Truck Replacement

Investment Category: General Plant Capital Project Name: Truck Replacement Drivers: Service Quality, Reliability Safety, End of Life Asset Type(s): Vehicles Total Capital Cost (2018-2022): \$750,000 Average Annual Capital Cost: \$187,500 (4-year project period) Start Date: January 1, 2018 (on-going, pre-dating this plan period) End Date: December 31, 2021

A. General information of the project

As part of SLHI's general plant assets, it owns various vehicles, including backhoes, trucks, diggers, and so on. These vehicles are used for servicing the distribution assets and keeping the distribution system in operation. Because some of these vehicles are very costly, this activity meets the materiality threshold in certain years; planning the purchase of one of these trucks is a major line item within the utility's capital expenditure plan. These vehicles are refurbished and maintained, just as the other distribution assets are, in order to extract the maximum useful life from them. Eventually, they do need to be replaced. (Appendix H contains the most current fleet inventory, vehicle replacement assessments, and the planned replacements, along with a letter from the chief mechanic recommending that the 2001 Freightliner be replaced.)

As general plant assets, trucks and vehicles are important to maintaining the operation of the distribution system assets. Utility staff refurbish, repair, and replace distribution assets with the help of the general plant vehicles. The trucks are also used to respond to emergencies. These vehicles need to be in good working condition in order to properly, and safely, help the utility to provide reliable service to the customers. The capital expenditures for the forecasted years of this plan include replacing a 2001 freightliner truck in 2018, a 2008 Ford 1 ton truck in 2019, a 2013 Altec bucket truck in 2020, and a 2010 Chevrolet ½ ton truck in 2021. In 2021, this line item does not meet the materiality threshold, but is noteworthy because the threshold is met in the preceding years. There is no budgeted vehicle purchase in 2022. Table #33 below shows the annual expenditures allotted to truck replacement.

| Table #33 – | Allocated | Funds | for [·] | Truck | Repl | acement |
|-------------|-----------|--------|------------------|-------|-----------|-----------|
| rabie noo | / | i anao | | | i i C p i | accincite |

| Category | Project Activity | Budget 2018 | Budget 2019 | Budget 2020 | Budget 2021 | Budget 2022 | Totals |
|------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| General Plant | Truck Replacement | 355,000 | 60,000 | 300,000 | 35,000 | | 750,000 |

B. Evaluation criteria and information requirements for each project 1. Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability

The replacement of general plant vehicles and trucks is driven by each assets' end of life and its operational effectiveness. Trucks generally have a useful life of ten-to-fifteen years; this useful life is extended by proper maintenance and parts replacement, but at some point, the vehicles need to be

replaced in order to ensure proper working condition, as well as safety to employees and customers. SLHI performs regular maintenance inspections on its vehicles to keep them operationally effective. Servicing the distribution assets depends on these trucks working properly. Investing in general plant vehicles is a priority, because the health of all other assets is contingent on the adequate effectiveness of the service trucks.

| Vehicle | Hours | Mileage (kms) | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | Total Expenses LTD |
|--------------------------|-------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|
| 2001 Freightliner | 2,418 | 68,209 | \$6,720.17 | \$5,642.76 | \$8,889.81 | \$10,471.02 | \$18,485.68 | \$22,001.24 | \$155,968.03 |
| 2008 Ford F350 | | 122,160 | \$2,492.03 | \$3,183.50 | \$2,058.77 | \$1,606.12 | \$3,434.50 | \$6,525.42 | \$19,300.34 |
| 2010 Chevy Silverado 4x4 | | 104,580 | \$395.30 | \$355.58 | \$361.16 | \$208.15 | \$708.39 | \$2,281.73 | \$4,310.31 |
| 2013 International 7400 | 1,285 | 40,836 | | | \$5,418.29 | \$5,662.54 | \$8,634.88 | \$13,000.74 | \$32,228.52 |
| 2015 GMC Sierra 4x4 | | 38,946 | | | | | \$530.42 | \$114.11 | \$644.53 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 2012 Bobcat E50 | | | | | \$4,891.57 | \$3,159.40 | \$3,312.75 | \$2,367.79 | \$13,731.51 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 2005 Polaris Ranger 6x6 | | | \$940.89 | \$1,574.95 | | | | \$1,213.75 | \$5,141.38 |
| 2016 Ski-Doo Skandic SWT | | | | | | | | | \$0.00 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Expenses | | | \$10,548.39 | \$10,756.79 | \$21,619.60 | \$21,107.23 | \$35,106.62 | \$47,504.78 | |

Table #34 – Annual Vehicle Maintenance Expenses

Table #34 above shows the vehicle maintenance expenses over the past five years, and the life to date costs to the end of 2016. These values are used in the process of deciding when a vehicle should be replaced. The kilometers of usage is not the only factor to consider for large trucks used for overhead electrical work. These units tend to gather many more hours of service than the mileage would otherwise indicate.

2. Safety

Safety is a top priority for SLHI. Ensuring that the trucks and vehicles are in good working condition ensures the safety of the utility staff operating them, as well as the general public and customers who may come into close proximity with the vehicles. Operating the vehicles on the roads and servicing the distribution assets require the trucks to be working safely and effectively. Also, when an emergency, after-hours service call is made, public safety could be at risk, so the safe and reliable service of the trucks in responding to that call is paramount.

3. Cyber-security, Privacy

Not applicable.

4. Co-ordination, Interoperability

SLHI has its trucks and vehicles inspected regularly to ensure they are safe and meet reliability standards.

5. Economic Development

Not applicable.

6. Environmental Benefits

There are environmental benefits to operating newer vehicles, as older vehicles often offer less fuel efficiency and are not equipped with the same emissions controls as newer vehicles.

C. Category-specific requirements for each project

General Plant:

General plant assets work to support the distribution system assets. The decision to purchase new vehicles is important because it allows the daily operations of the utility to continue, efficiently and effectively. Unreliable vehicles contribute to OM&A costs, wasting time and money in unnecessary repairs.

Reliability of distribution service to customers is connected to the reliability of the general plant vehicles in that outage calls and asset failures are mitigated with the use of the service trucks. Worker safety depends on the good working order of the vehicles.

Project Information: Planned Primary Pole Replacements

Investment Category: System Renewal Capital Project Name: Planned Primary Pole Replacements Drivers: Safety, Reliability, End of Life Asset Type(s): Distribution Poles (wood) Total Capital Cost (2018-2022): \$474,895 Average Annual Capital Cost: \$94,979 Start Date: January 1, 2018 (on-going, pre-dating this plan period) End Date: December 31, 2022

A. General information of the project

Replacing primary distribution poles is a significant system renewal project for the utility. The primary poles support the distribution equipment that provides service to the customers. All of SLHI's primary poles are wood, and wood poles are subject to rot and decay, animal and pest interference, and deterioration due to the elements. It is important for the safety of the general public and utility staff that poles are replaced before they pose risk of falling down. Additionally, any pole health issues that threaten the maintenance of the equipment they uphold threaten the reliability of the distribution service. A falling pole can cause disturbance in the form of power outage.

The utility has allocated capital in each year of the plan period to replace poles in a proactive manner. The recent Asset Condition Assessment (ACA) demonstrates that 248 primary poles are at their end of life, and another 395 will reach their end of life within the coming five years. SLHI's capital plan shows that in 2019, it will double its expenditures on replacing these poles, which reflects a more aggressive approach. The poles rated "Poor" and "Very Poor" in the ACA will need attention in this plan period. (The ACA can be found in Appendix B of the AMP in DSP Appendix A.)

Table #35 – Allocated Funds for Planned Primary Pole Replacement

| Category | Project Activity | Budget 2018 | Budget 2019 | Budget 2020 | Budget 2021 | Budget 2022 | Totals |
|----------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| System | Planned | 91,620 | 93,270 | 94,949 | 96,658 | 98,398 | 474,895 |
| Renewal | Primary Pole | | | | | | |
| | Replacement | | | | | | |

B. Evaluation criteria and information requirements for each project 1. Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability

The plan to replace primary poles that are reaching their end of life is driven by concerns for reliability, safety, and the risk of failure. The replacement program will target poles that are at significantly higher risk of failure, since a failed pole poses safety concerns for staff, customers, and the general public; failed poles also lead to power outages, which affect reliability statistics and customer satisfaction. There is a benefit to implementing a planned outage to replace a near-failing pole, rather than allowing the pole to fail in service.

This project is of high priority, which is demonstrated by the capital projections on 2018 onward. The ACA highlighted that SLHI had several poles in need of replacement in the coming years, and this program is aggressively, proactively dealing with that.

The pole testing program allowed SLHI to target failing poles in a more informed manner, rather than simply basing the replacement program on age alone.

2. Safety

Poles that are failing in service pose serious safety threats to the general public and to the workers who are servicing the distribution system. Workers and nearby customers may face electrocution if a pole falls Investing in the proactive replacement of failing poles mitigates these risks.

3. Cyber-security, Privacy

Not applicable.

4. Co-ordination, Interoperability

SLHI's distribution pole line design meets the Utility Standards Forum (USF) and Ontario Regulations 22/04 requirements. These standards ensure that the hydro pole framing is safely constructed. The utility also takes into account the requirements of existing and potential third-party service providers that may impact the loading of its distribution poles.

5. Economic Development

The materials used in replacing primary distribution poles are supplied from Ontario companies. The additional services needed for pole installation are contracted from local businesses. Using local resources allows the investment to stay within the local economy.

6. Environmental Benefits

There are environmental benefits to removing a primary pole reaching its end of life, including the prevention of forest fires and transformer oil spills that can occur when a pole falls. These types of crises pose environmental consequences, like damage to vegetation and harm to wildlife. The proactive replacement of poles prevents environmental safety hazards.

C. Category-specific requirements for each project

System Renewal:

Investments in the System Renewal category keep the distribution system in working order. Targeting near-failing and failing poles is a strategic move to maintain the condition of all of the other distribution assets. Of the 2427 primary poles, nearly 27% are "Poor" or "Very Poor", meaning they will reach their end of life within the life of this plan. As SLHI conducts its pole testing program to further assess the poles in these conditions, the utility may determine that some of these poles' lives can be extended. At this time, SLHI has planned on replacing 20 poles per year, which is more aggressive than in previous years, yet takes into account that some poles may be left in service for some more time.

When a pole fails in service, it can have catastrophic ramifications for a few different reasons. There are safety concerns connected with a pole falling, including safety to the general public, customers, and utility staff who service the assets. There is danger associated with staff working on a failing pole, or in a section of pole line where one or more poles have failed. Inclement weather can also have adverse effects on poles, especially when they are already experiencing rot and compromised structure. Harsh weather can cause failing poles to pose safety risks to workers. When a storm, for example, causes a pole to fail, it can lengthen the unplanned service outage, because it is increasingly difficult for workers to reinstate the service, as working conditions are poor.

Refurbishing primary poles is rare. A pole that is decrepit will need to be inspected, as will all of the equipment on it. Most times, the pole and the equipment are of the same vintage, so most of the equipment will need replacing at the same time. There are some assets, however, like stand-off brackets and down guys, which may be considered for a second lifecycle, or reuse, if they are in good condition, which minimizes replacement costs.

The risk of not replacing near-failing poles is absorbing the replacement costs in OM&A. There is a significant advantage to replace poles through planned outages and within regular working hours, rather than waiting until a failure, which often includes lengthy unplanned outages, after-hours service, and damage to other assets, property, and vegetation. Replacing poles proactively saves the life of the assets on the poles.

Project Information: New Connections

Investment Category: System Access Capital Project Name: New Connections Drivers: Customer Service Requests Asset Type(s): Cables, Transformers, Poles, Conductor Total Capital Cost (2018-2022): \$310,996 Average Annual Capital Cost: \$62,199 Start Date: January 1, 2018 End Date: December 31, 2022

A. General information of the project

Utilities are required to provide service to new customers in their service territories. Residential subdivisions usually have underground cables and pad mount transformers installed to provide service. New development is what drives the design and installation of the assets required for this activity. Despite SLHI's customer growth remaining very stable over the past years, the capital expenditures in the historical period of this plan demonstrate that a significant amount of capital must be allocated to the new connections category; this amount is consistent over the forecasted years of the plan. The reason for the seemingly large amount of capital for such little growth is the geographic nature of the utility, as it spans such large territory. Accommodating new connections generally involves installing new poles and transformers, especially in rural areas where the new connection occurs so far away from any neighbouring connections. This often results in a pole and a 25 kVA transformer feeding a single customer. Because this growth is customer-driven, it is difficult for the utility to predict future needs, but based on years past, SLHI has determined that \$60,000 annually is required for this activity.

|--|

| Category | Project Activity | Budget 2018 | Budget 2019 | Budget 2020 | Budget 2021 | Budget 2022 | Totals |
|----------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| System | New | 60,000 | 61,080 | 62,179 | 63,299 | 64,438 | 310,996 |
| Access | Connections | | | | | | |

B. Evaluation criteria and information requirements for each project 1. Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability

Customer service requests drive this project, as the utility must provide service, and therefore distribution system expansion, to accommodate the growth. This item remains a significant line item, as it meets the materiality threshold in every year of the forecasted plan period.

2. Safety

Safety is not a driver for this project, but it is certainly taken into consideration as the project is executed. All new installations and expansions are completed with strict adherence to safety regulations and standards.

3. Cyber-security, Privacy

Not applicable.

4. Co-ordination, Interoperability

All new connections are established consistent with the USF standards, adhering to the Ontario Regulations 22/04.

5. Economic Development

The services associated with accommodating new connections are usually contracted from local businesses. The materials used are procured from local and provincial suppliers, allowing the economic investment to stay within Ontario.

6. Environmental Benefits

This project is not specifically linked to any environmental benefits, however, SLHI maintains environmental standards and follows regulations when providing new connections.

C. Category-specific requirements for each project

System Access:

System access is a small, yet consistent, investment category for SLHI. The utility does not see many new connections, and does not have to provide much expansion to its service territory for development, but it has allotted steady amounts of capital to this category over the forecast period of the plan. The amounts are informed by previous years' needs. New growth can be somewhat unpredictable, and is outside of the utility's control when it occurs.

Project Information: U/G Cable Replacement

Investment Category: System Renewal Capital Project Name: U/G cable replacement Drivers: Service Quality, Reliability, Power Quality, End of Life, Safety Asset Type(s): Underground cable Total Capital Cost (2018-2022): \$62,560 Average Annual Capital Cost: \$62,560 (One year project) Start Date: January 1, 2019 End Date: December 31, 2019

A. General information of the project

The underground cable testing identified by the Energy Ottawa report indicates that the F3 submarine cables are in good condition and therefore do not need to be replaced during the plan period. However, other cables tested that supply the Birchwood Cres and Atwood St areas, should be a concern. These cables (441m total) have been slated for replacement in 2019.

| Category | Project Activity | Budget 2018 | Budget 2019 | Budget 2020 | Budget 2021 | Budget 2022 | Totals |
|----------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|
| System | Planned U/G | | 62,560 | | | | 62,560 |
| Renewal | Cable | | | | | | |
| | Replacement | | | | | | |

Table #37 – Allocated Funds for Replacement of Underground Cable

B. Evaluation criteria and information requirements for each project

1. Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability

As stated above, replacing this cable prior to its failure is paramount for the customers supplied by it, especially if it were to fail in the winter time. Ensuring its good working condition is essential to those customers, as well as to the utility's reliability of service.

2. Safety

If this cable failed in service, especially in the winter months, there would be indirect safety concerns cause by the outage.

3. Cyber-security, Privacy

Not applicable.

4. Co-ordination, Interoperability

Not applicable.

5. Economic Development

The equipment and labour associated with replacing this cable would be procured from local sources, allowing the investment to stay within the local economy.

6. Environmental Benefits

There are no direct environmental benefits to replacing the cable, but the utility always maintains the proper environmental standards in conducting this type of work.

C. Category-specific requirements for each project

System Renewal:

As system renewal is concerned with the operational effectiveness of the distribution system, this activity is important to maintaining the service supplied by these underground cables. The customers in this area rely on its safe, reliable, and quality service, so the maintenance and eventual replacement of this cable is essential to meeting those needs.

Glossary

| Acronym | Term | Definition |
|---------|--------------------------|------------|
| ACA | Asset Condition | |
| | Assessment | |
| AMP | Asset Management Plan | |
| DSC | Distribution System Code | |
| | | |
| IRRP | Integrated Regional | |
| | Resource Planning | |

Appendix A – Asset Management Plan

Includes the Asset Condition Assessment (ACA) – See Appendix B of the AMP

Project # 16157

Asset Management Plan

Prepared for:

Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc.

COSTE O Utility Consultants

January 25, 2017



Reviewed By: ______

y: <u>Ahmed Almadhoun. P.Eng.</u>

Approved By:

Ron LaPier. P.Eng.

Written Document Control

| Version/ Revision | Prepared By/ Date | Reviewed By/ Date | Senior Reviewed By/ Date | Comments |
|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| Final | Diane W. | Ahmed A. 25.01.2017 | Ron L. 25.01.2017 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |



Contents

| 1.0 | Introduction | 1 |
|---------|--------------------------------------|----|
| 2.0 | SLHI Distribution System Overview | 3 |
| 2.1 | Inspection | 4 |
| 2.2 | Maintenance and Operating Activities | 6 |
| 2.3 | Capital | 9 |
| 3.0 Su | ubstations | 9 |
| 3.1 | Feeder 1 (F1) | 9 |
| 3.2 | Feeder 2 (F2) | 9 |
| 3.3 | Feeder 3 (F3) | 10 |
| 3.4 | Feeder 4 (F4) | 10 |
| 4.0 Po | bles | 12 |
| 4.1 | Inspection | 14 |
| 4.2 | Pole Replacements | 15 |
| 5.0 Tra | ansformers | 15 |
| 5.1 | Pole Mount Transformers | 15 |
| 5.2 | Pad Mount Transformers | 16 |
| 5.3 | Inspection | 17 |
| 5.4 | Transformer Replacement | |
| 6.0 Sw | vitches and Protection | |
| 6.1 | Air Break Switches | |
| 6.2 | Inspection | |
| 6.3 | Switches and Protection Replacement | |
| 7.0 Dis | istribution Cables | 20 |
| 7.1 | Submarine Cables | 20 |
| 7.2 | Underground Cables | 21 |
| 7.3 | Inspection | 22 |
| 7.4 | Distribution Cable Replacement | 22 |
| 8.0 Wo | /ood Crossarms | 23 |
| 9.0 Me | letering and Monitoring | 23 |
| 9.1 | Wholesale | 23 |
| 9.2 | Retail Metering | 23 |



| 9.3 | Inspection23 | | | | |
|-----------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 9.4 | Implementation | | | | |
| 9.5 | Metering Replacement24 | | | | |
| 10.0 Con | ductor24 | | | | |
| 10.1 | Primary24 | | | | |
| 10.2 | Secondary25 | | | | |
| 10.3 | Inspection25 | | | | |
| 10.4 | Maintenance | | | | |
| 10.5 | Conductor Capital | | | | |
| 11.0 Cap | ital Forecast Plan | | | | |
| 11.0 Cap | ital Expenditure Plan31 | | | | |
| 11.1 | Truck Replacement | | | | |
| 11.2 | Planned Primary Pole Replacements | | | | |
| 11.3 | New Connections | | | | |
| 11.4 | Replacement of F3 Submarine Cable | | | | |
| 12.0 Info | rmation Systems | | | | |
| 13.0 Sum | 1mary | | | | |
| Appendix | A: Hydro One Networks Inc. EB-2015-0006 Letter41 | | | | |
| Appendix | Appendix B: Asset Condition Assessment42 | | | | |
| Appendix | Appendix C: SLHI Maintenance Inspection Program43 | | | | |
| Appendix | Appendix D: Service Quality Indicators Comparators Calculations44 | | | | |

Charts

| 11 |
|----|
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 13 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| |



Figures

| Figure #1 – Sioux Lookout | |
|---|---|
| Figure #2 – Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. Service Area | 2 |

Tables

| Table #1 – SLHI General Statistics as of June 2016 | 3 |
|---|----|
| Table #2 – SLHI System Summary Overview (As of 2015): Assets included in Assessment | 4 |
| Table #3 – General Health Index Categories | 6 |
| Table #4 – Five Year Locates and Connections Summary | 7 |
| Table #5 – Five Year System Performance Summary | 7 |
| Table #6 – SLHI SAIDI vs. Industry Average (Including Loss of Supply) | 8 |
| Table #7 – SLHI SAIFI vs. Industry Average (Including Loss of Supply) | 8 |
| Table #8 – SLHI CAIDI vs. Industry Average (Including Loss of Supply) | 8 |
| Table #9 – SLHI SAIDI vs. Industry Average (Excluding Loss of Supply) | 8 |
| Table #10 – SLHI SAIFI vs. Industry Average (Excluding Loss of Supply) | 8 |
| Table #11 – SLHI CAIDI vs. Industry Average (Excluding Loss of Supply) | 8 |
| Table #12 – SLHI Installed kvA by Phase by Feeder | 10 |
| Table #13 – SLHI Capacity vs. Load Demand by Feeder | 10 |
| Table #14 – Wood Pole Health Index | 12 |
| Table #15 – Pole Mount Transformer Health Index | 15 |
| Table #16 – Pad Mount Transformer Health Index | 17 |
| Table #17 – Air Break Switch Health Index | 19 |
| Table #18 – Submarine Cables Health Index | 20 |
| Table #19 – Distribution Underground Cable Health Index | 21 |
| Table #20 – SLHI Historical Capital Expenditures (2013-2017) Budget vs. Actual | 28 |
| Table #21 – SLHI Historical Operation and Maintenance Expenses | 29 |
| Table #22 – SLHI Forecasted Capital Expenditures (2018-2022) | 29 |
| Table #23 – SLHI Forecasted Operation and Maintenance Expenses | 30 |
| Table #24 - SLHI Whole Plan Period System Operations and Maintenance Expenses | 30 |
| Table #25 – Major Capital Projects: Investment Drivers and Forecasted Capital | 31 |
| Table #26 – Annual Capital Expenditures for Material Projects (2018-2022) | 31 |
| Table #27 – SLHI Forecasted Capital Expenditures (2018-2022) | 31 |
| Table #28 – Allocated funds for Truck Replacement | 32 |
| Table #29 – Annual Vehicle Maintenance Expenses | 33 |
| Table #30 – Allocated funds for Planned Primary Pole Replacement | 35 |
| Table #31 – Allocated funds for New Connections | 37 |
| Table #32 – Allocated funds for Replacement of F3 Submarine Cable | 38 |
| Table #33 – Summary of Asset Conditions / Health Indices | 40 |



1.0 Introduction

This document outlines the Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. (henceforth, SLHI) Asset Management Plan for the period of 2017 to 2022. The report also identifies recommendations to improve on the available asset data and potential to implement a more structured and analytical asset management strategy. This report will focus on asset inspection and maintenance, capital expenditure planning, and the required supporting information management systems.

In developing this asset management plan, the following factors were considered:

- Available asset inventory;
- Asset condition based on the current inspection processes; and
- Current capital expense programs, as identified by SLHI staff.

Observations for improvements in inspection, data collection, supporting systems, and related asset management processes were also made.

Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. contracted the services of Costello Utility Consultants to assist with the process of producing as the Asset Condition Assessment, the Asset Management Plan, and the Distribution System Plan. The two entities have worked in conjunction to produce this report.

Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. provides electricity delivery and services to the Municipality of Sioux Lookout. The total municipal population served is 5,080 with a total service area of 536 square kilometers. The municipality includes the communities of Sioux Lookout and Hudson. Outside of these two communities are large rural areas which comprise 530 square kilometers, or most of the municipality. The system consists of over 282 kilometers of primary conductor, both overhead and underground, and 887 distribution transformers, supported by 2,427 poles. Sioux Lookout Hydro does not own any stations.

Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. operates from the Municipality of Sioux Lookout. SLHI does not host any utilities and does not have any embedded utilities within its service area. SLHI itself is embedded within Hydro One.

The Ontario Energy Board (OEB) has mandated that all long-term load transfer (LTLT) customers, which are Hydro One Network Inc. (HONI) customers supplied from the SLHI distribution system, be made SLHI customers by June 2017. These connection arrangements have existed for many years and have been dealt with through billing arrangements and customers have been left confused when trying to enquire about outage durations and other issues to a utility where they are not recognized as customers. HONI and SLHI have come to an agreement to resolve these LTLT connections and these costs are reflected in the capital expenditures for the plan period. Together with Hydro One, SLHI submitted their joint application to eliminate the Load Transfer customers in EB-2016-0249 on August 11, 2016. SLHI has 38 LTLT customers (Appendix A).

SLHI has allocated capital to buy out the LTLT assets. The utility will be acquiring 56 poles, only three of which are at their end of life, and 26 transformers, none of which are at their end of life. There is no repair work associated with the process of converting the LTLT customers. This buyout is scheduled to happen in 2017, although it may occur at least in part in 2016.



The current SLHI system was primarily rebuilt in the 1980s and 1990s. This rebuild upgraded the voltage of the system from 4.16 kV to 26.4 kV. Although some pockets of single-phase 7.2 kV remain, this system will not be expanded.

SLHI's service is unique in that its territory is spread out, yet it has a relatively low customer base given is geographic size. The figures below show SLHI's service territory.



Figure #1 – Sioux Lookout

Figure #2 – Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. Service Area



Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc.



Table #1 below shows the most recent five year customer statistics, showing stability and maturity in the municipality. The following observations can be made:

• Over the past five years, the number of customers serviced by SLHI has been very stable, with very little year-to-year change

| | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|---------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Population Served | 5,037 | 5037 | 5080 | 5,080 |
| Municipal Population | 5,037 | 5037 | 5080 | 5080 |
| Seasonal Population | | | | |
| Total Customers | 2,755 | 2,767 | 2,779 | 2,779 |
| Residential Customers | 2,312 | 2,326 | 2,335 | 2,339 |
| General Service <50 kW Customers | 391 | 390 | 395 | 391 |
| General Service >50 kW Customers | 52 | 51 | 49 | 50 |
| Total Service Area (km ²) | 536 | 536 | 536 | 536 |
| Rural Service Area (km ²) | 530 | 530 | 530 | 530 |
| Urban Service Area (km) | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Total kWh Sold (Excluding Losses) | 71,922,866 | 83,168,942 | 85,561,762 | 79,373,806 |
| Total Distribution Losses (kWh) | 3,739,756 | 4,592,139 | 3,481,779 | 3,711,607 |
| Total kWh Purchased | 75,662,622 | 87,761,081 | 89,582,951 | 83,393,450 |
| Winter Peak (kW) | 18,063 | 20,657 | 20,858 | 21,167 |
| Summer Peak (kW) | 12,044 | 14,659 | 13,582 | 10,244 |
| Average Peak (kW) | 12,301 | 14,192 | 13,951 | 13,827 |

Table #1 – SLHI General Statistics as of June 2016

The capital expenditure program presented later in this document consists of projects driven by factors such as safety, system reliability, customer demand, and system loss reduction. These projects are categorized into the four investment drivers: system renewal, system service, system access, and general plant. SLHI will be developing a capital expenditure model based on a set of consistent criteria, with weight factors that will be applied. Direction was provided by the SLHI Board of Directors, the Municipality Official Plan, Costello Utility Consultants, and developers. The web links below provide a reference to the official plan documents. Each project identified by SLHI is supported by the appropriate documentation in Section 9 of this document.

The official plan for the communities serviced by SLHI is linked here:

http://www.siouxlookout.ca/en/invest-grow/official-plan.asp .

In addition, the Ministry of Energy and Infrastructure has a strategic vision for growth for the SLHI area and can be found here: <u>https://www.placestogrow.ca/images/pdfs/GPNO-final.pdf</u>.

The Asset Management Plan is a 'living document', and will be reviewed on an on-going basis.

2.0 SLHI Distribution System Overview

The SLHI Asset Management Plan primarily focuses on the assets summarized in the table below. These assets represent the major equipment as defined by the Electrical Safety Authority (ESA) *Equipment and*



Material Guideline. The subsequent sections of the report provide further detail and assessment of each asset type, as per the Asset Condition Assessment (Appendix B). Table #2 also identifies some key system indicators.

| Asset Class | Population |
|----------------------------------|------------|
| Distribution poles (Wood) | 2,427 |
| Distribution Poles (Steel) | 0 |
| Secondary Poles (Wood) | 277 |
| Guy Poles (Wood) | 13 |
| Cross arms | 487 |
| Pole mounted transformers | 785 |
| Pad mounted transformers | 97 |
| Switches – 3Ph load break | 0 |
| Switches – 3Ph air break | 0 |
| Switches – Fused | 122 |
| Switches – Inline | 24 |
| Switches – Switching Cubicles | 0 |
| Primary U/G cables | 13,684m |
| Primary Submarine Cables | 6,201m |
| Protective line relays | 0 |
| Line circuit breakers/ reclosers | 4 |

2.1 Inspection

Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. has implemented, and follows, inspection and maintenance procedures, in accordance with the Distribution System Code (DSC), Regulation 22/04, Sections 4 and 5, and ESA Guidelines.

These procedures were implemented in February 2007, and are defined by the document entitled, "Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. Maintenance Inspection Program". This document contains three supporting tables, namely:

- 1. Table C-1 Electric Utility System Inspection Cycles (Maximum intervals in Years)
- 2. Table C-2 Sample Line Patrol Inspection Checklist Poles
- 3. Table C-3 Sample Line Patrol Inspection Checklist Overhead and Padmount Transformers

SLHI refers to the SLHI Maintenance Inspection Program when dangerous situations do or could exist. This includes an indication of the appropriate response to any hazard by identifying its priority as "high", "low", or "requiring an outage".

For the purpose of this report, these documents collectively will be referred to as the SLHI Maintenance Inspection Program, and are included in Appendix C. These procedures generate a number of forms and checklists, which will be referred to as Records.



All line patrols and inspections are documented using the above Records. The asset inspection data and available device information are used to support maintenance activities and capital expense planning. Specific inspection and testing processes are dependent on the asset type.

SLHI recognizes an opportunity to better manage its assets using a longer term plan. The integration of an asset management system with all data linked to a Geographic Information System (GIS) will facilitate the interpretation of data and allow for better planning of construction, inspection, and maintenance work.

With the implementation of an asset management system, SLHI can correlate asset condition data, asset maintenance, replacement expenditures, and the resulting system performance indicators. These systems and their information collaborate and support the experience of SLHI staff.

In order to perform an accurate condition assessment of the Sioux Lookout Hydro system assets, the most up to date information was necessary. Asset information was utilized from an information database, personal knowledge of SLHI staff, and written records. Most asset information at SLHI is currently contained in a custom goAsset software system.

This goAsset database contains a variety of distribution asset information, such as asset IDs, ages, material types, and asset locations. Unfortunately, not much condition information is contained in the database and therefore assessments were based solely on asset ages.

Costello Utility Consultants staff spent one week on site in October 2015 to meet with SLHI staff and to view database information. An escorted tour of the SLHI system was also conducted at that time. Some assumptions had to be made where there was information missing from the database.

The purpose of the asset condition assessment is to evaluate the current condition of the asset and to assess where the asset lies along the expected useful life cycle. Other factors, such as visual inspections, damage reports, and testing data also contribute to the evaluation of the asset condition. This was important in order to properly plan for major capital expenditures, and for the replacement or refurbishment of the equipment. All of these factors are important in identifying which assets require attention or replacement to improve customer reliability, ensure better public safety, and provide ongoing worker and environmental safety.

Given the evaluation of the SLHI's distribution assets, it is evident that many of the distribution assets are aging, with wood poles, pole mount transformers, and submarine cables indicating the most potential work required.

This knowledge can now be used to develop a detailed asset replacement plan that is targeted at those assets most in need of work.

This replacement strategy needs to take account of the impacts on customer and system reliability from a "run to failure" operating strategy for any assets supplying a small number of customers. An example of this is transformers supplying one or two customers, or submarine cables supplying only a single customer. Therefore adding the number of customers served by each asset to the databases would facilitate adding the reliability impact to the decision making process.

This report is based on all available information from all sources at SLHI's disposal. For the purposes of the Asset Condition Assessment, an asset health index was applied. The health index is as follows:



| Health Index | Condition | Description | Expected Lifetime | Age (yrs) | Requirements |
|-----------------|--------------|--|----------------------|---------------|--|
| 85-100 | Very Good | At worse, some aging or deterioration of a limited number of components | 15+yrs | 25 or younger | Normal inspection and maintenance |
| 70-85 | Good | Deteriorating of some components | 10-15yrs | 25-29 | Normal inspection and maintenance |
| 50-70 | Fair | Noticeable deterioration or serious deterioration of specific components | 5-10yrs | 30-34 | Increase diagnostic testing, possible replacements needed before 5 years depending on criticality |
| 0-50 | Poor | Widespread serious deterioration or significant deterioration of a dominant component | 1-5 yrs | 35-40 | Start planning process to replace, considering risk and consequences of failure |
| 0-30 | Very Poor | Extensiveseriousdeteriorationorseriousseriousdeteriorationofadominant component | 0-1 yr | 41-50+ | At end-of –life, immediately assess risk; replace based of assessment |

Table #3 – General Health Index Categories

Where data other than age of the asset is available (such as inspection reports, test records) these factors are added to the health index evaluation. In the absence of additional supporting data, the Health Index evaluation is based on asset age.

2.2 Maintenance and Operating Activities

SLHI performs a number of maintenance and operating activities to ensure a safe and reliable operation of the distribution system. These activities are budgeted on an annual basis. The five year plan is presented in Table 21 in Section 9 of this report.

2.2.1 Locates and Connections

SLHI provides locating services for the residents served by SLHI, and in response to contractors performing work on and around the SLHI underground system. Table 4 indicates the number of locates per year has varied over the past four years as a results of variance in construction activity. (The period shown here includes the four previous years, and excludes the current year, as statistics are not yet available for 2016. The 2016 figures will appear in the Distribution System Plan, to be filed in 2017, as the data for 2016 will be ready by that time. The implementation of ON1Call in 2013 explains the significant increase of locates in that year, while 2014 and 2015 somewhat level off. The new connection activity is relatively stable – with a decrease in 2013, and some increase in 2014 and 2015 that brings the figures back toward the 2012 figures – this is indicated by the numbers over the last four years, as shown in Table #4 below.



Service layouts are prepared for any new home construction (in-fill) or service upgrade, commercial or residential, due to the expansions of the loads on existing lots. As indicated by Table #4, the application for new services and service upgrades has been minimal.

| | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Average Customer Count | 2,763 | 2,765 | 2,780 | 2,779 |
| Number of Locates | 70 | 132 | 110 | 114 |
| Number of New Connections | 27 | 19 | 24 | 25 |

Table #4 – Five Year Locates and Connections Summary

2.2.2 System Performance

SLHI measures system performance indicators in accordance with the DSC. The following is a summary of the key system performance indicators for the past five years:

| | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|--|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| Average Customer Count | 2,755 | 2,767 | 2,779 | 2,779 |
| Including loss of service from Hydro One | | | | |
| Number of Customer Interruptions | 3,249 | 3,535 | 10,258 | 6,586 |
| Total Customer Hours of Interruptions | 1,476 | 13,084 | 17,171 | 31,255 |
| SAIDI | 0.53 | 4.73 | 6.18 | 11.22 |
| SAIFI | 1.18 | 1.28 | 3.69 | 2.36 |
| CAIDI | 0.45 | 3.70 | n/a | n/a |
| Excluding loss of service from Hydro One | | | | |
| Number of Customer Interruptions | 466 | 766 | 2,055 | 1,005 |
| Total Customer Hours of Interruptions | 1,290 | 624 | 3,548 | 1,899 |
| SAIDI | 0.47 | 0.23 | 1.28 | 0.68 |
| SAIFI | 0.17 | 0.28 | 0.74 | 0.36 |
| CAIDI | 2.77 | 0.81 | n/a | n/a |

Table #5 – Five Year System Performance Summary

"n/a" indicates that information was not available

SLHI's true system performance is indicated by removing the effect of the supplier's outages. Since SLHI is an embedded utility, it has no direct control over the supplier's outages. Table #5 shows that SLHI's statistics are significantly better when loss of service from Hydro One is excluded. Generally the numbers are stable; 2014 shows a spike that can be accounted for by the two summer storms that occurred in 2014. One happened at the end of June, the other in the second week of July; the two storms caused a great deal of damage due to high winds, resulting in downed trees. (Note that the OEB stopped reporting on CAIDI in 2014, therefore data in that category is not available thereafter.)

The highest number of unscheduled outages is caused by bird and animal contact with SLHI equipment. Ravens in particular disable many transformers every year. May and June see the largest number of outages, as young ravens attempt to use the tops of transformers as flight platforms. SLHI implemented guards on transformer equipment to mitigate the frequency of these outages and protect wildlife.

Another way to evaluate SLHI's performance is to compare its performances to other utilities of similar geographic region and size. Some of SLHI's comparators include Atikokan Hydro Inc., Fort Frances Power



Corporation, Kenora Hydro Electric Corporation Ltd., Chapleau Public Utilities Corporation, and Espanola Regional Hydro Distribution Corporation. (Note that the 2015 Electricity Distributors Yearbook has not been released as of the date this report is being written, so 2015 figures from other utilities are not yet available.) Tables #6-11 show SLHI's performance against these other utilities and the industry. (For detailed calculations of comparator averages, see Appendix D.)

| 10010110 | | | | | | |
|------------------------|------|------|------|-------|--|--|
| | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | | |
| SLHI SAIDI | 0.53 | 4.73 | 6.18 | 11.21 | | |
| Industry Average | 4.00 | 13.2 | 3.73 | 4.64 | | |
| Comparable LDC Average | 1.38 | 3.92 | 1.69 | 6.28 | | |

Table #6 – SLHI SAIDI vs. Industry Average (Including Loss of Supply)

Table #7 – SLHI SAIFI vs. Industry Average (Including Loss of Supply)

| | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| SLHI SAIFI | 1.18 | 1.28 | 3.69 | 2.36 |
| Industry Average | 2.27 | 2.99 | 2.13 | 2.15 |
| Comparable LDC Average | 0.71 | 1.73 | 1.21 | 2.44 |

Table #8 – SLHI CAIDI vs. Industry Average (Including Loss of Supply)

| | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| SLHI CAIDI | 0.45 | 3.70 | - | - |
| Industry Average | 1.76 | 4.42 | - | - |
| Comparable LDC Average | 1.64 | 2.28 | - | - |

Table #9 – SLHI SAIDI vs. Industry Average (Excluding Loss of Supply)

| | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| SLHI SAIDI | 0.47 | 0.23 | 1.28 | 0.68 |
| Industry Average | 1.56 | 8.42 | 1.60 | 1.77 |
| Comparable LDC Average | 0.53 | 1.42 | 0.53 | 1.36 |

Table #10 – SLHI SAIFI vs. Industry Average (Excluding Loss of Supply)

| | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| SLHI SAIFI | 0.17 | 0.28 | 0.74 | 0.36 |
| Industry Average | 1.80 | 2.44 | 1.64 | 1.65 |
| Comparable LDC Average | 0.40 | 0.87 | 0.42 | 0.54 |

Table #11 – SLHI CAIDI vs. Industry Average (Excluding Loss of Supply)

| | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| SLHI CAIDI | 2.77 | 0.81 | - | - |
| Industry Average | 0.87 | 3.46 | - | - |
| Comparable LDC Average | 1.28 | 2.01 | - | - |



2.3 Capital

It is important that assets are replaced as close to the end of their useful life as possible. It is generally accepted in industry that, rather than age, the asset 'stress' is a more important factor in determining asset life, and an indicator for the required maintenance or replacement of the asset. It therefore stands to reason that assets under greater stress should be monitored more closely and maintained more than those under less stress. This ensures a wise use of limited capital and maintenance expenditures. The aforementioned asset health index provides an efficient way to assess the health of the assets based on more factors than age alone.

It is important to note that the asset classes have been generalized and do not represent a certain set of identical equipment. Assets such as distribution poles vary in height and class, and transformers vary in manufacturer, types, ratings, installation methods, and locations. Ultimately, these variances may cause differences in replacement costs, but on average, should provide a valid evaluation of the Capital Cost Estimates for replacement or upgrades.

While estimated costs for replacement of individual devices may seem to make replacing many assets of the distribution system very expensive, costs can be reduced by strategically replacing multiple assets on a work site or in a specified area within one project. Costs for mobilizing the crews and equipment and the cost of work site setup can be shared between assets if multiple items are replaced at once. It is therefore recommended that multiple assets be considered simultaneously when planning replacement work.

SLHI has implemented an asset management system, supported by engineering analysis tools, to provide additional information for future asset assessments and determine which assets are under more stress and therefore require replacement or additional maintenance.

3.0 Substations

SLHI does not own any municipal substations (MS). Hydro One Networks Inc. (HONI) owns and operates the Sam Lake Distribution Station (DS), which supplies SLHI with four feeders at 25 kV.

During the site visit, SLHI staff indicated that there have been issues of reliability with some of the line reclosers at the station. The operational events related to these units should be recorded in detail by SLHI. This will allow SLHI to determine their impact on reliability and to provide data for any future discussions with HONI.

3.1 Feeder 1 (F1)

This feeder stretches west from the station to the town of Hudson. Hudson represents most of the load on this feeder. Some additional load exists between the community and the station where small pockets of residences are found. This feeder also supplies a Hydro One transfer to Frenchman's Head, Kejick Bay and Whitefish Bay small communities across the lake from Hudson. Submarine cable is used for this load transfer. This is the most lightly loaded feeder currently in service.

3.2 Feeder 2 (F2)

This feeder extends south-east of the station to provide power for the southern half of Sioux Lookout, including the south shore of Abram lake. The blue phase of this feeder branches south into rural areas



on Highway 72. This section consists of a large number of single-phase 25 kVA and 50 kVA transformers. These transformers are lightly loaded. Based on experience, phase balancing and conductor loading are within acceptable levels. This feeder makes up approximately 38% of the system load.

3.3 Feeder 3 (F3)

This feeder travels east of the station to supply the northern part of Sioux Lookout. This stretch includes most of the heavier loads in the municipality, including the airport and hospital. This is shown in Table 12 and Charts 1 and 2. The blue phase of this feeder continues east of the town to supply a rural area on Highway 642. The phase loading on Feeder 3 represents the majority of the entire load at approximately 68%.

3.4 Feeder 4 (F4)

This feeder does not currently carry any load. Previously, it had been a dedicated feeder for the Hudson Saw Mill. With the mill's closure, the load has been removed.

| Phase | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | Total |
|----------------|-------|----------|--------|----|----------|
| Blue | 1,160 | 5,175 | 5,299 | 0 | 11,634 |
| Red | 570 | 4,425 | 3,006 | 0 | 8,301 |
| White | 310 | 4,509.5 | 4,203 | 0 | 9,022.5 |
| Red/White/Blue | 300 | 2,735 | 28,245 | 0 | 31,280 |
| Total | 2,640 | 16,844.5 | 40,753 | 0 | 60,237.5 |
| % Total | 4.38 | 27.96 | 67.65 | 0 | 100 |

Table #13 below shows the peak loading on each of the feeders from the Sam Lake DS, as well as the total capacity of each of the feeders. This table is consistent with Table #12 showing that F4 is not currently loaded; the protection study completed by Costello Utility Consultants did not yield any capacity information for F4 because it is not in use. As is common with utilities in northern Ontario, SLHI's four feeders experience peak loading in February because of the use of electrical heat.

Table #13 – SLHI Capacity vs. Load Demand by Feeder

| Feeder | Peak (A) | Month | Capacity (A) |
|--------|----------|----------|--------------|
| F1 | 80 | February | 140 |
| F2 | 125 | February | 280 |
| F3 | 325 | February | 385 |
| F4 | N/A | N/A | N/A |




Chart #1 – SLHI Installed kVA by Phase by Feeder

Chart #2 – Connected Transformation (kVA) by Feeder by Percentages of Total



The low population density throughout most of SLHI's distribution system does not allow for effective switching of loads between all three active feeders. F2 and F3 are interconnected in the southern half of the community of Sioux Lookout. This provides switching between feeders in the more densely populated community. In the rural areas, where only a single-phase is required to supply long stretches of light load, it is not practical or cost-effective, at this time, to provide switching between feeders.



4.0 Poles

The SLHI overhead distribution system is supported by 2,427 distribution poles, which are exclusively wood. Distribution poles are also SLHI's single form of support for low- and medium-voltage overhead feeders and distribution equipment. It should be noted that of the poles assessed, there is a wide variation in the height and class.

The SLHI pole database categorized poles into "distribution", "secondary", and "guy poles". A summary and chart of the developed health index for the Sioux Lookout Hydro distribution poles is shown below, while the entire health index along with the condition assessment results can be found in The Asset Condition Assessment (Appendix B).

| Wood Pole H | lealth Index | | | |
|-------------|--------------------|--------------|------------|----------|
| | | Distribution | Secondary | Guy Pole |
| Condition | Expected Life | Pole Count | Pole Count | Count |
| Very Good | More than 15 years | 762 | 85 | 8 |
| Good | More than 10 years | 638 | 21 | 0 |
| Fair | From 5-10 years | 384 | 70 | 0 |
| Poor | less than 5 years | 395 | 29 | 3 |
| Very Poor | At end of life | 248 | 72 | 1 |
| | Total | 2,427 | 277 | 12 |

| Table #14 – | Mood | Pole | Health | Index |
|-------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|
| | vv 00u | I UIC | ncann | IIIUCA |

Chart #3 – Distribution Pole Health Index







Chart #4 – Secondary Pole Health Index

Chart #5 – Guy Pole Health Index



As can be seen from the data above:

- 11.8 % of the poles have been classified as having reached their end-of-life; and
- 32.4% are estimated to have no more than 10 years of useful life remaining.

While older poles may still be in good physical and structural condition, the assessment methods only took into consideration the pole age due to their being no other available data.



With the implementation of the asset management system in 2012, and the asset condition assessment complete in 2016, SLHI has been able to determine which poles are under more stress and therefore require more frequent inspection, testing, maintenance, and ultimately, replacement.

Currently, poles that are identified as potential health and safety hazards to the public and staff are replaced on a high priority basis. Prioritization of pole replacement is based on the number of customers that will be interrupted if a pole fails. This places poles carrying three-phase primary circuits as the highest priority. Also, any road authority or private development projects may require pole replacement not specifically identified by inspection and testing. SLHI is working towards a pole replacement cycle of no less than 40 years, in line with industry norms. Poles older than 40 years that are lightly loaded (low stress) and in good condition will be maintained in service.

SLHI also recognizes that an appropriate replacement program must consider the relationship of the pole asset with other assets in its proximity and within the network system. As mentioned previously, combining refurbishment and replacement efforts across multiple asset classes is more efficient than replacing one-off assets.

4.1 Inspection

Line patrols, conducted in accordance with the requirements of the DSC and SLHI Maintenance Inspection Program, include a visual inspection of poles for the following:

- Bent, cracked, or broken poles;
- Excessive surface wear or scaling;
- Loose, cracked, or broken cross arms and brackets;
- Woodpecker or insect damage, bird nests;
- Loose or unattached guy wires or stubs;
- Guy strain insulators pulled apart or broken;
- Guy guards out of position or missing;
- Grading changes, or washouts; and
- Indications of burning.

Woodpeckers may cause severe damage to poles to the point where the poles must be replaced prior to the end of their expected life. SLHI is aware of this reality in Northern Ontario and identifies woodpecker damage during patrols. Where woodpecker damage is minimal, and may be mitigated by repair procedures, the pole may not be immediately replaced.

SLHI initiated a pole testing program in late 2016. Such a program allows the local distribution company (LDC) to have more specific knowledge of the asset class in order to make more informed and strategic decisions about pole replacements. The program will assess pole strength, relative to new poles, using a percentage. A comparison is then drawn on the remaining strength of the pole versus the actual loading on the pole. If the required structural support of the pole exceeds the Canadian Standards Associates (CSA) standards for allowable safety margins, then the pole is prioritized for replacement. This process gives the LDC the ability to identify the older poles that have not exceeded the safety margins for load and are structurally sound. This way, poles are not replaced based on age alone, but can be left in service until they are deemed inadequate.



4.2 Pole Replacements

Results of the pole testing program will be complied and poles that have failed will be placed on a prioritization spectrum. Replacement is then scheduled in correlation to capital planning, ensuring that the least sound poles are replaced first, and poles that can remain in service longer are left until it is necessary they be replaced. Replacing poles in groups, or clusters, helps to reduce the per-pole cost of replacement. Whenever possible, reusing components such as down guys, metal stand-off brackets, and steel crossarms allows for lowering the cost of pole replacements as well.

Based on the age criteria alone, it is evident that almost half of the wood poles in the system have already reached their industry accepted end of life, or will have reached it within the next 5-10 years. A comprehensive pole testing program targeted at this aged population, as previously explained, helps to further assess the condition of this asset group, and allows capital expenditures related to pole replacements to be smoothed out over the planning period to support efficiency

The pole testing of the oldest group of poles concluded that approximately 51 poles of the group failed based on the strength comparison to new poles. This indicates that roughly 20% of this group is actually a concern and further investigation into the actual loading requirements may reduce this further. This process will prove to be a valuable resource for Sioux Lookout Hydro in order to justify the poles being replaced and reduce unnecessary expenditures by replacing old poles that are still in good condition. This along with regular inspections will allow SLHI to keep more accurate track of the number of poles that should be replaced in any given fiscal year.

5.0 Transformers

Both pole mount and pad mount transformers were assessed based on age alone.

Based on the age data for SLHI transformers, the health index was applied on the same general categories as for distribution poles.

5.1 Pole Mount Transformers

Pole mounted transformers are used to step down voltage from a primary level to a secondary utilization level on the overhead distribution system. These transformers are mounted above ground on poles and are liquid-filled with mineral oil in a sealed tank. An industry standard for the life expectancy for pole mounted transformers is 40 years. The ACA indexed 790 pole mount transformers.

| Pole Mount Tx Health Index | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Condition | Expected Life | Tx Count | | | | | | | |
| Very Good | More than 15 years | 331 | | | | | | | |
| Good | More than 10 years | 161 | | | | | | | |
| Fair | From 5-10 years | 141 | | | | | | | |
| Poor | Less than 5 years | 121 | | | | | | | |
| Very Poor | At end of life | 36 | | | | | | | |
| | Total | 790 | | | | | | | |





Chart #6 – Pole Mount Transformer Health Index

The pole mount transformers classified as being in "very poor" condition are ones that are listed in the database as being 40+ years of age or those that have no age listed in the database.

Of the remaining transformers, the categories of "fair", "good", and "very good" are based on the listed age and the indication of not containing PCBs.

It should be noted that there are a number of inconsistencies found in the transformer database that should be clarified through further investigation. These are:

- Transformer age information is not complete for seven units; and
- The "date" field is assumed to be the manufacture date and is generally populated. However, the "installed date" is not generally filled, and where it has been populated, it is inconsistent with the "date".

Another factor that can be taken into consideration is the "run to failure" option for transformers that serve a low number of customers, and therefore have a much lower impact on the reliability statistics if they fail. The transformer database in the goAsset system has the capability of determining the number of customers on a specific transformer. This information will be used to support the "run to failure" option.

5.2 Pad Mount Transformers

Pad mount transformers are typically used for commercial services in urbanized areas and are also used extensively in residential developments. The ACA counted 97 pad mount transformers.



| Pad Mount Tx Health Index | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Condition | Expected Life | Tx Count | | | | | |
| Very Good | More than 15 years | 65 | | | | | |
| Good | More than 10 years | 21 | | | | | |
| Fair | From 5-10 years | 5 | | | | | |
| Poor | less than 5 years | 4 | | | | | |
| Very Poor | 2 | | | | | | |
| | Total | | | | | | |

Table #16 – Pad Mount Transformer Health Index

Chart #7 – Pad Mount Transformer Health Index



Since the majority of SLHI's underground infrastructure is relatively new, most of the pad mounted transformers were assessed to be in excellent condition.

The same considerations as mentioned above for pole mount transformers related to a "run to failure" replacement plan also apply to pad mount transformers.

5.3 Inspection

SLHI visually inspects transformers every three years under the Maintenance Inspection Program and record and follow up on any complaints received from customers. The inspection of transformers is in accordance with the requirements of the DSC and SLHI Maintenance Inspection Program, and it includes:

- Paint condition and corrosion;
- Placement on pad or vault;
- Check for lock and penta bolt in place;
- Grading changes;



- Access changes (shrubs, trees, etc.);
- Phase indicators and unit numbers match operating map (where used);
- Leaking oil;
- Flashed or cracked insulators;
- Pad mount lid damage, missing bolts, cabinet damage, public security lock damage;
- Contamination/discoloration of bushings;
- Ground lead attachment;
- Bird or animal nests;
- Vines or brush growth interference;
- Evidence of bushing flashover;
- Accessibility compromised;
- Vegetation right of way;
- Unapproved/unsafe occupation or secondary use;
- Cable connections;
- Ground connections;
- Nomenclature; and
- General condition.

5.4 Transformer Replacement

The health index analysis revealed that almost 37% of the population of pole mount transformers will reach their statistical end of life with in the next 5-10 years; almost 33% of the population of pad mount transformers will reach their statistical end of life with in the next 5-10 years. These analyses could not take into account any "run to failure" transformers within this group due to the lack of customer connection information.

If the additional factor of number of customer connections is added to the assessment criteria, some of the transformers the fell into the "very poor" category could possibly be moved into less urgent levels of the assessment.

6.0 Switches and Protection

Sioux Lookout Hydro's distribution air break switches are devices that are mounted either on the distribution poles or in line with the conductors and are made to open a circuit, **while not under load**. All of SLHI's air break switches are manually operated and can only be opened or closed one phase at a time. The air break switches may be either solid blade devices, which offer no protection, or fused to offer a level of overload or fault protection.

6.1 Air Break Switches

Air break switches are not inventoried in the SLHI database, but a count based on information gathered by SLHI staff and the operating maps indicates that there are 24 in-line switch installations in the SLHI system.

An analysis of this data indicates that the majority of these installations occurred between 1995 and 2000. This places their maximum age at around 21 years and based on a normal life expectancy, this asset can be considered to be in "very good" condition.



| Air Break Switch Health Index | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| Condition | Expected Life Count | | | | | | |
| Very Good | More than 15 years | 24 | | | | | |
| Good | More than 10 years | 0 | | | | | |
| Fair | From 5-10 years | 0 | | | | | |
| Poor | less than 5 years | 0 | | | | | |
| Very Poor | 0 | | | | | | |
| | Total | | | | | | |

Table #17 – Air Break Switch Health Index

Chart #8 – Air Break Switch Health Index



6.2 Inspection

Visual inspections are carried out on all switches as part of the Maintenance Inspection Program. These visual inspections occur once every three years in accordance with the requirements of the DSC and SLHI Maintenance Inspection Program, and include:

- Bent, broken bushings and cutouts;
- Damaged lightning arresters; and
- Ground wire on arresters unattached.

A switch that fails the inspection process would be replaced on a priority basis.

6.3 Switches and Protection Replacement

The data indicate that the population of air break switches in the SLHI system is not a concern.



7.0 Distribution Cables

SLHI collected cable information based on staff knowledge and installation records. This age data was used to formulate health index results.

While this is limited information to provide an accurate health index, an attempt to evaluate the general health index was made.

7.1 Submarine Cables

Like many of its neighbouring utilities, SLHI has an inventory of submarine cables in service to provide electrical power to island settlements. These applications often serve a small number of customers, but given the geographical realities of the region, SLHI also operates submarine cables for main feeder applications between Sam Lake DS and the town of Sioux Lookout. There are 5901km of submarine cable accounted for here.

| Submarine Cables Health Index | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Condition | Expected Life | km Count | | | | | |
| Very Good | More than 15 years | 2840 | | | | | |
| Good | More than 10 years | 201 | | | | | |
| Fair | From 5-10 years | 2400 | | | | | |
| Poor | less than 5 years | 460 | | | | | |
| Very Poor | At end of life | 0 | | | | | |
| | 5901 | | | | | | |

Chart #9 – Submarine Cable Health Index





The information above reveals that nearly 50% of the submarine cables will be at the end of their expected life within 10 years. The largest portion of this is the F3 Main Feeder cable that supplies the Town of Sioux Lookout.

Based on a high level review of the peak feeder loading information for the F2 and F3 feeders, it is evident that the combined loading for the Town of Sioux Lookout would exceed the rating for F2 feeder on its own. A failure of this F3 cable would result in extended or rotating outages until repairs/replacement of the F3 cables could be made.

7.2 Underground Cables

An inventory of underground cables and the estimated age were provided by SLHI staff based on staff knowledge and what records could be found. There are 13,684m of underground cable in the distribution system. Based on this information, an assessment was made on age alone. Further evaluation can be made once the number of customers served by each section of cable is determined, which can allow a review of replacement strategies and reliability impacts that can be specific for each section.

| Distribution Underground Cable Health Index | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Condition | Expected Life | Length (m) | | | | | | | |
| Very Good | More than 15 years | 11,672 | | | | | | | |
| Good | More than 10 years | 772 | | | | | | | |
| Fair | From 5-10 years | 518 | | | | | | | |
| Poor | less than 5 years | 138 | | | | | | | |
| Very Poor | At end of life | 584 | | | | | | | |
| | 13,684 | | | | | | | | |

Chart #10 – Distribution Underground Cable Health Index





The majority of the underground primary cables have been installed since 1990, and as such are considered to be in very good condition based on age. Approximately 4% of the cables in the SLHI system are currently beyond their expected useful life and are represented by only three older installations. About 5% of the asset will reach its expected life span within the next 5-10 years, and are represented by only three installations.

7.3 Inspection

Typically underground cables for residential subdivisions are loop-fed and therefore isolation for inspection and testing is possible under planned outage conditions. Inspection and testing of radialsupplied underground cables is not possible without a planned outage of more duration. SLHI was part of a pilot project performed in August 2016 by Energy Ottawa where the underground cables were tested for water trees within the polymeric insulation, which have been found to be a major cause of power interruption in these types of cables. The report is attached as Appendix F. Age is an important factor in the life expectancy of a cable, but it is not the only factor. By testing the quality of the cables, SLHI can plan more effectively for its replacement strategy of underground cables, rather than basing this strategy solely on age. Replacing cable based on actual knowledge of health can save money; the alternative – replacing based on age alone – can lead to spending money in advance of when it needs to be spent, replacing assets far before they need replacing, and therefore not extracting the full useful life out of an asset.

By their nature, submarine cables are not able to be inspected. However, the F3 Submarine cables were tested under the pilot project by Energy Ottawa. The results were favorable, and indicated that all four cables (three phases and a backup cable) are in good condition. The backup cable is not energized and is in place should one cable fail. However, the three active cables cannot be taken out of service for testing or replacing in the winter because the customer base it supplies relies on it for electrical heat. It can, however, be out of service in the summer time, when the load is lower.

7.4 Distribution Cable Replacement

The analysis indicates that almost half of the submarine cables in the SLHI system are aging, however the majority of this quantity is the F3 feeder that is one of the two main feeders supplying the Town of Sioux Lookout.

A high level load review of the F2 and F3 feeders reveals that the F2 feeder could not carry all of the winter peak demand on its own. Therefore, a failure of the F3 cables in the winter would result in the need for load shedding or rotating blackouts in the town during the replacement period for the F3 cables.

The F3 submarine cables were tested to provide an assessment of their current status and indicated that they were in good condition. In addition to the cable replacement with a "like for like" strategy, this strategy could also include plans for building a land based feeder from the Sam Lake DS to town. This could ultimately remove the need for the reliance on the submarine feeder. This decision could be supported by the fact that land based feeder repairs can be made much more quickly than a submarine cable. However, due to the high cost of such a project, a feasibility study would have to be performed.



The data suggest that the majority of the underground primary cables are new and expected to be in good condition. The cables needing attention within the next ten years are all represented by only six separate installations. Three of these cables were tested to confirm whether they are in fact a concern, and the results indicated that they were in "Fair" condition which will require additional testing and/or replacement in 3 to 5 years.

8.0 Wood Crossarms

Although crossarms are not tracked in a database at SLHI, it was acknowledged that many of the wood crossarms in the SLHI system appear to have potential moss and mould build up and may be degrading prematurely. Subsequent to the draft report, SLHI staff performed a crossarm count and a visual inspection from the bucket truck and reported the following information:

- 487 wood crossarms in the system; and
- Evidence of moss build up, but no mould present.

The crossarms in the distribution system are assumed to be the same ages as the poles they are on. Therefore, their health is indexed as consistent with their corresponding poles. The health of the wooden crossarms in the system can have a significant impact on the system reliability if crossarm failures occur, and therefore should be monitored during regular inspection cycles.

SLHI staff plan to continue the program to inspect and replace wood crossarms throughout the system, based on the main feeders as first priority. Also any pole slated for replacement will provide for a replacement of any crossarm present. Since SLHI does not have current knowledge on the division of crossarms as single-phase and three-phase, the implementation of the GIS will be specifically helpful here.

The only way to avoid the early degradation of the crossarms is to switch to the use of steel arms in the future.

9.0 Metering and Monitoring

SLHI currently bills all customers monthly on a true monthly schedule. Billing occurs on the third Monday of each month.

9.1 Wholesale

SLHI receives its power from a single DS location in the center of its territory.

SLHI currently possesses six primary metering units, which provide metering to large customers.

9.2 Retail Metering

The SLHI customer information is summarized in Table 1. All smart meter Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and associated information for SLHI is located within the Thunder Bay Hydro servers, located in Thunder Bay.

9.3 Inspection

All maintenance activities related to meters follow the requirements of Measurement Canada guidelines.



9.4 Implementation

Smart meters were implemented in SLHI territory under the Ontario government mandate to replace the electromechanical billing meters with smart meters and Advanced Meter Infrastructure (AMI) two-way communication systems in 2009.

9.5 Metering Replacement

The Electricity and Gas Inspection Act, enforced by Measurement Canada, requires that meters be reverified to ensure that all meters meet the operational standards over their lifespans. In order to be reverified, meters are removed and tested. Meters installed as part of the provincial government's mandate come due for re-verification in 2019, and thus, will need to be accounted for in the asset management and capital expenditure plans of this plan period.

SLHI has allotted capital to plan for the purchasing of new meters in order for the existing meters to be evaluated and resealed within the plan period. SLHI plans to sample test it's R2S meters in order to obtain seal extensions for the majority of its meters. This will require that SLHI purchase an inventory of smart meters to facilitate the meter removal/replacement plans for the sampling program. Pre-sampling will be utilized in order to increase confidence levels when determining the seal extension period applied for in the final testing performed by Measurement Canada. Given that most of the smart meters were installed around the same time, as mandated by the province, the number of meters to be verified will be significant. Sampling will allow SLHI to reduce costs by eliminating the need to re-verify all 2,600 of the R2S meters and thereby reducing costs. SLHI's commercial meters will be re-verified in 2019 as the number of meters is small. This will be done in small groups to eliminate the need to purchase all new meters and will be re-verified on a rotating schedule.

10.0 Conductor

SLHI maintains lightly loaded distribution lines over comparatively long distances throughout its system. Past installation practices have varied, which has resulted in a mixture of different conductor sizes throughout the system. However SLHI replaced six blocks of #2 and #4 copper from First Avenue to Sixth Avenue in the community of Sioux Lookout in 2015 with 1/0 ACSR At this time all conductors installed are capable of carrying the required load and as such will not be replaced until their end of life.

Current practice is to use a more uniform approach to conductor sizing. For primary circuits, SLHI now installs 1/0 ACSR for single phase lines and 3/0 ACSR for three phase lines. Over time these conductors will replace the older, more varied sizes.

10.1 Primary

The majority of the SLHI distribution system is operated at 14.4 kV, and was upgraded in the 1980s from a 4.16 kV system to reduce losses, in line with industry practices.

Some portions of the current system were originally owned by Hydro One Networks Inc. (HONI), or Ontario Hydro at the time. This is the reason for the existence of the 7.2 kV pockets which exist throughout the system. Where economical, these pockets will be replaced or converted over time to 14.4 kV, as other assets in proximity, such as poles, must also be replaced.



10.2 Secondary

The conductor type used for secondary circuits in the past has varied throughout the SLHI system, depending on ownership and year of installation. HONI construction practices for secondary and services are different from current SLHI standards. SLHI now uses NS 750 #2 ACSR for 100A services, and NS 750 1/0 ACSR for 200A services for any new overhead installations. For underground services, 3/0 cable is used for most applications. However, over longer distances, 250 MCM may be substituted.

Although the secondary bus is not always replaced when one customer upgrades their service, should a number of customers supplied by the same transformer upgrade, the secondary would be assessed and replaced based on current standards.

10.3 Inspection

Line patrols are conducted annually in accordance with the requirements of the DSC and the Sioux Lookout Hydro Maintenance Inspection Program (Appendix C). The line patrols include a visual inspection of the following:

- Conductors and Cables
 - Low conductor clearance
 - Broken/frayed conductors or tie wires
 - Exposed broken ground conductors
 - Broken strands, bird caging, and excessive or inadequate sag
 - Insulation fraying on secondary
- Hardware and Attachments
 - Loose or missing hardware
 - Insulators unattached from pines
 - o Conductor unattached from insulators
 - o Insulators flashed over or obviously contaminated (difficult to see)
 - Tie wires unraveled
 - Ground wire broken or removed
 - Ground wire guards broken or removed
- General Conditions and Vegetation
 - Leaning or broken "danger" trees
 - Growth into line of "climbing" plants
 - Accessibility compromised
 - Vines or bush growth interference (line clearance)
 - Bird or animal nests
- Vegetation and Right of Way
 - Accessibility compromised
 - o Grade changes that could expose cable
 - Excessive vegetation on right of way

SLHI patrols its entire distribution system every three years, and are tracked using the "Line Patrol Inspection Checklists" (see SLHI Maintenance Inspection Program, Appendix C).



10.4 Maintenance

Due to the extensive wilderness area covered by SLHI lines, tree trimming is consistently one of the largest costs associated with maintaining system reliability. As part of the regular maintenance plan for the conductor assets, SLHI schedules regular tree-trimming activities, as described below.

Vegetation and Right of Way control is a requirement under the Minimum Inspection Requirements of the DSC and good utility practice. SLHI distribution area includes some tourist areas and therefore can be sensitive to tree trimming activities. SLHI has a relatively heavy mature tree cover where overhead hydro lines are in proximity to trees. Tree contact with energized lines can cause the following:

- Interruption of power due to short circuit to ground or between phases;
- Damage to conductors, hardware, and poles;
- Danger to persons and property within the vicinity due to falling conductors, hardware, poles, and trees; and
- Danger of electric shock potential from electricity energizing vegetation.

Care must be taken to balance the requirements of customers and stakeholders, and the safe and reliable operation of the distribution system. In general, the three-phase circuit sections require higher reliability and are therefore trimmed on a more frequent basis than the single-phase circuit sections.

Tree trimming inspections have been incorporated into the other inspection programs included in this plan, and additional checks will be performed by work crews in the areas in which regular work is performed.

SLHI performs line clearing in accordance with the SLHI Line Clearing Program. Maintenance work orders are issued as a result of field observations and inspections. All work is scheduled accordingly.

To mitigate direct contact between trees and distribution assets, SLHI conducts tree trimming in accordance with the SLHI Procedures. Depending on the size, shape, and growth aspect of each tree species, the tree trimmers remove sufficient material from the tree to limit the possibility of contact during high wind situations.

All debris is removed and the site is returned to as-found condition. Any pole line damage or anomaly noticed by the tree trimming crew is reported to the Operation Manager of SLHI for remedial action.

10.5 Conductor Capital

In a recent report released by ESA, concerns have been raised with the possibility of failure of older small conductors, due to aging, stretching, and a general weakening, under certain installation conditions. The report does not identify these conditions; however, it does recommend the elimination of #6 copper as a primary conductor and suggests replacement of other small conductors, such as #4 ACSR and #2 ACSR.

SLHI does not have any #6 copper, and replaced the small pockets of #2 and #4 copper found, primarily in the Sioux Lookout community.

11.0 Capital Forecast Plan

SLHI recognizes the need to address the aging assets. With intentional asset management planning, a sound capital expenditure plan has been prepared. Close monitoring and coordination with the



municipality and local agencies regarding expansion plans has allowed SLHI to effectively track asset replacement requirements.

The capital projects that SLHI has planned for can be categorized into four investment drivers, as mandated by the OEB. They are:

- System access;
- System renewal;
- System service; and
- General plant.

These categories are associated with the purpose of the capital allocation, and as such, allow for sound planning according to the needs of the utility's distribution system. The following tables summarize the previous five years' capital expenditures, comparing budgeted funds and actual expenditures, and the next five years' capital budgets.



Table #20 – SLHI Historical Capital Expenditures (2013-2017) Budget vs. Actual

| | | Historical Years F | | | | | | | | Bridging Year |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| Investment Driver | Project | 2013 Budget | 2013 Actual | 2014 Budget | 2014 Actual | 2015 Budget | 2015 Actual | 2016 Budget | 2016 Actual | 2017 Budget |
| System Access | New Connections | 58,438 | 85,799 | 65,000 | 69,175 | 87,700 | 68,629 | 87,700 | | 140,000 |
| | General Upgrades | 39,380 | 57,585 | 48,000 | 61,284 | 15,000 | 64,180 | 15,000 | | 25,000 |
| | LTLT Elimination Activities | | | | | | | | | 147,842 |
| Total: | | 97,818 | 143,384 | 113,000 | 130,459 | 102,700 | 132,809 | 102,700 | - | 312,842 |
| System Renewal | Pole Replacement | 46,922 | 66,424 | 90,325 | 111,358 | 25,000 | 34,940 | 25,000 | | 105,500 |
| | Winoga Submarine Cable | 72,200 | - | | | 55,000 | 33,317 | | | |
| | Smart Grid (Trip Saver) | - | 3,067 | - | 2,056 | | | | | |
| | Smart Meter Upgrade | | | 15,000 | 12,125 | | | | | |
| | Spares | | | | 9,823 | - | 4,025 | | | |
| | Cross Arm Replacements | | | | | | | 25,000 | | |
| | Modems for Sam Lake | | | | | - | 1,118 | | | |
| | Polemount Transformer Replacements | | | | | | | | | 23,600 |
| | Meter Reverification Program | | | | | | | | | 16,712 |
| Total: | | 119,122 | 69,491 | 105,325 | 135,362 | 80,000 | 73,400 | 50,000 | - | 145,812 |
| System Service | Southshore Drive Conversion | - | 10,254 | 12,000 | - | | | | | |
| | Highway 72 Primary Underground | | | 25,000 | - | | | | | |
| | Rear Front Street | | | | | 42,140 | 25,353 | | | |
| | Hudson Upgrade | | | | | 16,000 | 25,108 | | | |
| | F2 Blue Phase Reconductoring | | | | | 58,000 | 45,184 | 48,126 | | 48,000 |
| Total: | | - | 10,254 | 37,000 | - | 116,140 | 95,645 | 48,126 | - | 48,000 |
| General Plant | Amcorder Recording Meter | 7,000 | 6,145 | | | | | | | |
| | General Small Tools | 5,000 | 1,357 | 10,000 | 3,504 | 10,000 | 1,005 | 10,000 | | 5,000 |
| | Office Computer Hardware | 3,000 | 3,155 | 3,000 | 1,000 | 1,500 | 1,830 | 1,500 | | 2,000 |
| | Vehicle Replacement | 86,000 | 85,090 | 55,000 | 54,539 | 15,000 | 14,234 | | | 35,000 |
| | Mapping Upgrade | | | 30,000 | 33,600 | | | | | |
| | Web Presentment | | | 8,000 | 7,250 | | | | | |
| | Shop Internet Upgrade | | | 2,500 | 4,441 | | | | | |
| | Sentinel Lights | | 1,067 | | | - | 1,523 | | | |
| | Office Equipment | | | - | 278 | 2,000 | 2,318 | 2,000 | | 2,000 |
| | Web Site Redevelopment | | | | | | | 5,400 | | |
| | Phone System Upgrade | | | | | 10,500 | 11,167 | | | |
| | Pole testing equipment | | | | | | | 18,000 | | |
| Total: | | 101,000 | 96,814 | 108,500 | 104,612 | 39,000 | 32,077 | 36,900 | | 44,000 |
| Totals | | 317,940 | 319,943 | 363,825 | 370,433 | 337,840 | 333,931 | 237,726 | - | 550,654 |



Table #21 – SLHI Historical Operation and Maintenance Expenses

| | | Historical (previous plan & actual) | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------|-------------------------------------|------|---------|-----------|------|---------|-----------|------|-----------|--------|-----|
| | | 2013 | | 2014 | | | 2015 | | | 2016 | | |
| | Plan | Actual | Var | Plan | Actual | Var | Plan | Actual | Var | Plan | Actual | Var |
| CATEGORY | U, | \$ | % | | 5 | % | | \$ | % | | 5 | % |
| System Renewal | 119,122 | 69,491 | 59% | 105,325 | 135,362 | 129% | 80,000 | 73,400 | 92% | 25,000 | | |
| System Service | - | 10,254 | - | 37,000 | - | - | 116,140 | 95,645 | 82% | 48,126 | | |
| System Access | 97,818 | 143,384 | 147% | 113,000 | 130,459 | 115% | 102,700 | 132,809 | 129% | 102,700 | | |
| General Plant | 101,000 | 96,814 | 96% | 108,500 | 104,612 | 104% | 39,000 | 32,077 | 82% | 13,500 | | |
| Total | 317,940 | 319,943 | 101% | 363,825 | 370,433 | 102% | 337,840 | 333,931 | 99% | 219,726 | | |
| System OM&A | | 1,752,408 | | | 1,902,863 | | | 1,687,062 | | 1,866,085 | | |

Table #22 – SLHI Forecasted Capital Expenditures (2018-2022)

| | | | | Forecast Years | | |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|----------------|---------|---------|
| Investment Driver | Project | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| System Access | New Connections | 60,000 | 61,080 | 62,179 | 63,299 | 64,438 |
| | General Upgrades | 40,000 | 40,720 | 41,453 | 42,199 | 42,959 |
| Total: | | 100,000 | 101,800 | 103,632 | 105,498 | 107,397 |
| System Renewal | Planned Primary Pole Replacements | 91,620 | 93,270 | 94,949 | 96,658 | 98,398 |
| | Planned Secondary Pole Replacements | 20,360 | | | | |
| | Unplanned Pole Replacements | 18,324 | 18,654 | 18,990 | 19,331 | 19,679 |
| | Polemount Transformer Replacements | 24,025 | 24,457 | 24,897 | 25,346 | 25,802 |
| | Planned U/G Cable Replacement | | 62,560 | | | |
| | Meter Reverifications - New Meters | | 21,515 | | | |
| Total: | | 154,329 | 220,456 | 138,836 | 141,335 | 143,879 |
| System Service | | | | | | |
| Total: | | - | - | - | - | - |
| General Plant | Vehicle Replacement | 300,000 | 60,000 | 300,000 | 35,000 | |
| | Office Computer hardware | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| | Office Equipment | 2,000 | 2,000 | 8,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| | General Small Tools | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| | Mapping software conversion | 45,000 | | | | |
| | Warehouse - foundation repair | | 10,000 | | | |
| Total: | | 354,000 | 79,000 | 315,000 | 44,000 | 9,000 |
| Total: | | 608,329 | 401,256 | 557,468 | 290,833 | 260,276 |



| | | | Forecast (| planned) | | |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | Bridge 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| CATEGORY | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| System Renewal | 312,842 | 100,000 | 101,800 | 103,632 | 105,498 | 107,397 |
| System Service | 145,812 | 154,329 | 220,456 | 138,836 | 141,335 | 143,879 |
| System Access | 48,000 | - | - | - | - | - |
| General Plant | 44,000 | 354,000 | 79,000 | 315,000 | 44,000 | 9,000 |
| Total | 550,654 | 608,329 | 401,256 | 557,468 | 290,833 | 260,276 |
| System OM&A | | 1,939,207 | 1,893,002 | 1,890,434 | 1,902,896 | 1,916,794 |

Table #23 – SLHI Forecasted Operation and Maintenance Expenses

Table #24 - SLHI Whole Plan Period System Operations and Maintenance Expenses

| | Historical (previous plan & actual) | | | | | | | | | | | | Forecast (| planned) | | | | |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|------|---------|-----------|------|---------|-----------|------|-----------|--------|-------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | 2013 | | | 2014 | | | 2015 | | 2016 | | Pridgo 2017 | 2019 | 2010 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | |
| | Plan | Actual | Var | Plan | Actual | Var | Plan | Actual | Var | Plan | Actual | Var | 5110gc 2017 2010 | 2010 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| CATEGORY | | \$ | % | | 5 | % | | \$ | % | | \$ | % | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| System Access | 97,818 | 143,384 | 147% | 113,000 | 130,459 | 115% | 102,700 | 132,809 | 129% | 102,700 | | | 312,842 | 100,000 | 101,800 | 103,632 | 105,498 | 107,397 |
| System Renewal | 119,122 | 69,491 | 59% | 105,325 | 135,362 | 129% | 80,000 | 73,400 | 92% | 50,000 | | | 145,812 | 154,329 | 220,456 | 138,836 | 141,335 | 143,879 |
| System Service | - | 10,254 | - | 37,000 | - | - | 116,140 | 95,645 | 82% | 48,126 | | | 48,000 | - | - | - | - | - |
| General Plant | 101,000 | 96,814 | 96% | 108,500 | 104,612 | 104% | 39,000 | 32,077 | 82% | 36,900 | | | 44,000 | 354,000 | 79,000 | 315,000 | 44,000 | 9,000 |
| Total | 317,940 | 319,943 | 101% | 363,825 | 370,433 | 102% | 337,840 | 333,931 | 99% | 237,726 | | | 550,654 | 608,329 | 401,256 | 557,468 | 290,833 | 260,276 |
| System OM&A | | 1,752,408 | | | 1,902,863 | | | 1,687,062 | | 1,815,823 | | | | 1,939,207 | 1,893,002 | 1,890,434 | 1,902,896 | 1,916,794 |



11.0 Capital Expenditure Plan

Table #24 highlights the major capital projects that meet the materiality threshold of \$50,000 annually. Any project that meets the materiality threshold in any given year of the plan is discussed in detail here. Each project is associated with one of the four investment drivers and allotted a certain amount of capital in each year of the forecasted plan period (2018-2022). The projects are listed here in descending order of total expenditures over the life of the forecast plan period.

| Table #25 - Major Capital | Projects: Investm | ont Drivers and | Enrocasted Capital |
|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Table #25 – Major Capital | Projects. investi | ient Drivers and | FOI ECASIEU Capital |

| Capital Project | Investment Driver | Capital Expenditure (\$) | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Truck Replacement | General Plant | 695,000 | | |
| Planned Primary Pole Replacements | System Renewal | 474,895 | | |
| New Connections | System Access | 310,996 | | |
| Planned U/G Cable Replacement | System Renewal | 62,560 | | |

Table #25 below demonstrates how each of these material projects add up over the plan period to total the amounts shown in Table 24 above.

Table #26 – Annual Capital Expenditures for Material Projects (2018-2022)

| | | | | Forecast Years | | | |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|--------|----------------|--------|--------|---------|
| Investment Driver | Project | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | Total |
| System Access | New Connections | 60,000 | 61,080 | 62,179 | 63,299 | 64,438 | 310,996 |
| System Renewal | Planned Primary Pole Replacements | 91,620 | 93,270 | 94,949 | 96,658 | 98,398 | 474,895 |
| System Renewal | Planned U/G Cable Replacement | | 62,560 | | | | 62,560 |
| General Plant | Vehicle Replacement | 300,000 | 60,000 | 300,000 | 35,000 | | 695,000 |

Below in Table #26 is the utility's overall capital expenditure forecast, showing how these material projects are more significant line items than others not highlighted in the material projects discussion.

| Table #27 – SLHI Forecaste | d Capital | Expenditures | (2018-2022) |
|----------------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|
|----------------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|

| | | | | Forecast Years | | |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|----------------|---------|---------|
| Investment Driver | Project | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| System Access | New Connections | 60,000 | 61,080 | 62,179 | 63,299 | 64,438 |
| | General Upgrades | 40,000 | 40,720 | 41,453 | 42,199 | 42,959 |
| Total: | | 100,000 | 101,800 | 103,632 | 105,498 | 107,397 |
| System Renewal | Planned Primary Pole Replacements | 91,620 | 93,270 | 94,949 | 96,658 | 98,398 |
| | Planned Secondary Pole Replacements | 20,360 | | | | |
| | Unplanned Pole Replacements | 18,324 | 18,654 | 18,990 | 19,331 | 19,679 |
| | Polemount Transformer Replacements | 24,025 | 24,457 | 24,897 | 25,346 | 25,802 |
| | Planned U/G Cable Replacement | | 62,560 | | | |
| | Meter Reverifications - New Meters | | 21,515 | | | |
| Total: | | 154,329 | 220,456 | 138,836 | 141,335 | 143,879 |
| System Service | | | | | | |
| Total: | | - | - | - | - | - |
| General Plant | Vehicle Replacement | 300,000 | 60,000 | 300,000 | 35,000 | |
| | Office Computer hardware | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| | Office Equipment | 2,000 | 2,000 | 8,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| | General Small Tools | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| | Mapping software conversion | 45,000 | | | | |
| | Warehouse - foundation repair | | 10,000 | | | |
| Total: | | 354,000 | 79,000 | 315,000 | 44,000 | 9,000 |
| Total: | | 608,329 | 401,256 | 557,468 | 290,833 | 260,276 |



The following sections provide discussions to elaborate on each of the capital projects that meet the materiality threshold in the forecast period.

11.1 Truck Replacement **Project Information**

Investment Driver: General Plant Capital Project Name: Truck Replacement Drivers: Service Quality, Reliability Safety, End of Life Asset Type(s): Vehicles Total Capital Cost (2018-2022): \$695,000 Average Annual Capital Cost: \$173,750 (4 year project period) Start Date: January 1, 2018 (on-going, pre-dating this plan period) End Date: December 31, 2021

A. General information of the project

As part of SLHI's general plant assets, it owns various vehicles, including backhoes, trucks, diggers, and so on. These vehicles are used for servicing the distribution assets and keeping the distribution system in operation. Because some of these vehicles are very costly, this activity meets the materiality threshold in certain years; planning the purchase of one of these trucks is a major line item within the utility's capital expenditure plan. These vehicles are refurbished and maintained, just as the other distribution assets are, in order to extract the maximum useful life from them. Eventually, they do need to be replaced. Appendix E contains the most current fleet inventory and the planned replacements.

As general plant assets, trucks and vehicles are important to maintaining the operation of the distribution system assets. Utility staff refurbish, repair, and replace distribution assets with the help of the general plant vehicles. The trucks are also used to respond to emergencies. These vehicles need to be in good working condition in order to properly, and safely, help the utility to provide reliable service to the customers. The capital expenditures for the forecasted years of this plan include replacing a 2001 freightliner truck in 2018, a 2008 Ford 1 ton truck in 2019, a 2013 Altec bucket truck in 2020, and a 2010 Chevrolet ½ ton truck in 2021. In 2021, this line item does not meet the materiality threshold, but is noteworthy because the threshold is met in the preceding years. There is no budgeted vehicle purchase in 2022. Table #27 below shows the annual expenditures allotted to truck replacement.

| Category | Project | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Totals | | | | | | |
|----------|-------------|---------|--------|---------|--------|--------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Activity | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | | | | | | | |
| General | Truck | 300,000 | 60,000 | 300,000 | 35,000 | | 695,000 | | | | | | |
| Plant | Replacement | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table #28 – Allocated funds for Truck Replacement

B. Evaluation criteria and information requirements for each project 1. Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability

The replacement of general plant vehicles and trucks is driven by each assets' end of life and its operational effectiveness. Trucks generally have a useful life of ten-to-fifteen years; this useful life is extended by proper maintenance and parts replacement, but at some point, the vehicles need to be



replaced in order to ensure proper working condition, as well as safety to employees and customers. SLHI performs regular maintenance inspections on its vehicles to keep them operationally effective. Servicing the distribution assets depends on these trucks working properly. Investing in general plant vehicles is a priority, because the health of all other assets is contingent on the adequate effectiveness of the service trucks.

| Vehicle | Hours | Mileage (kms) | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | Total |
|--------------------------|-------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 2001 Freightliner | 2,418 | 68,209 | \$6,720.17 | \$5,642.76 | \$8,889.81 | \$10,471.02 | \$18,485.68 | \$22,001.24 | \$72,210.68 |
| 2008 Ford F350 | | 122,160 | \$2,492.03 | \$3,183.50 | \$2,058.77 | \$1,606.12 | \$3,434.50 | \$6,525.42 | \$19,300.34 |
| 2010 Chevy Silverado 4x4 | | 104,580 | \$395.30 | \$355.58 | \$361.16 | \$208.15 | \$708.39 | \$2,281.73 | \$4,310.31 |
| 2013 International 7400 | 1,285 | 40,836 | | | \$5,418.29 | \$5,662.54 | \$8,634.88 | \$13,000.74 | \$32,716.45 |
| 2015 GMC Sierra 4x4 | | 38,946 | | | | | \$530.42 | \$114.11 | \$644.53 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 2012 Bobcat E50 | | | | | \$4,891.57 | \$3,159.40 | \$3,312.75 | \$2,367.79 | \$13,731.51 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 2005 Polaris Ranger 6x6 | | | \$940.89 | \$1,574.95 | | | | \$1,213.75 | \$3,729.59 |
| 2016 Ski-Doo Skandic SWT | | | | | | | | | \$0.00 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Expenses | | | \$10,548.39 | \$10,756.79 | \$21,619.60 | \$21,107.23 | \$35,106.62 | \$47,504.78 | |

Table #29 – Annual Vehicle Maintenance Expenses

Table #29 above shows the vehicle maintenance expenses over the past five years. These values are used in the process of deciding when a vehicle should be replaced. The kilometers of usage is not the only factor to consider for large trucks used for overhead electrical work. These units tend to gather many more hours of service than the mileage would otherwise indicate.

2. Safety

Safety is a top priority for SLHI. Ensuring that the trucks and vehicles are in good working condition ensures the safety of the utility staff operating them, as well as the general public and customers who may come into close proximity with the vehicles. Operating the vehicles on the roads and servicing the distribution assets require the trucks to be working safely and effectively. Also, when an emergency, after-hours service call is made, public safety could be at risk, so the safe and reliable service of the trucks in responding to that call is paramount.

3. Cyber-security, Privacy

Not applicable.

4. Co-ordination, Interoperability

SLHI has its trucks and vehicles inspected regularly to ensure they are safe and meet reliability standards.

5. Economic Development

Not applicable.

6. Environmental Benefits



There are environmental benefits to operating newer vehicles, as older vehicles often offer less fuel efficiency and are not equipped with the same emissions controls as newer vehicles.

C. Category-specific requirements for each project

General Plant:

General plant assets work to support the distribution system assets. The decision to purchase new vehicles is important because it allows the daily operations of the utility to continue, efficiently and effectively. Unreliable vehicles contribute to OM&A costs, wasting time and money in unnecessary repairs.

Reliability of distribution service to customers is connected to the reliability of the general plant vehicles in that outage calls and asset failures are mitigated with the use of the service trucks. Worker safety depends on the good working order of the vehicles.

11.2 Planned Primary Pole Replacements

Project Information

Investment Driver: System Renewal Capital Project Name: Planned Primary Pole Replacements Drivers: Safety, Reliability, End of Life Asset Type(s): Distribution Poles (wood) Total Capital Cost (2018-2022): \$474,895 Average Annual Capital Cost: \$94,979 Start Date: January 1, 2018 (on-going, pre-dating this plan period) End Date: December 31, 2022

A. General information of the project

Replacing primary distribution poles is a significant system renewal project for the utility. The primary poles support the distribution equipment that provides service to the customers. All of SLHI's primary poles are wood, and wood poles are subject to rot and decay, animal and pest interference, and deterioration due to the elements. It is important for the safety of the general public and utility staff that poles are replaced before they pose risk of falling down. Additionally, any pole health issues that threaten the maintenance of the equipment they uphold threaten the reliability of the distribution service. A falling pole can cause disturbance in the form of power outage.

The utility has allocated capital in each year of the plan period to replace poles in a proactive manner. The recent Asset Condition Assessment (ACA) demonstrates that 248 primary poles are at their end of life, and another 395 will reach their end of life within the coming five years. SLHI's capital plan shows that in 2019, it will double its expenditures on replacing these poles, which reflects a more aggressive approach. The poles rated "Poor" and "Very Poor" in the ACA will need attention in this plan period. (The ACA can be found in Appendix B.) The budgeted capital for the 2018 primary pole replacements does not meet the materiality threshold of \$50,000 within a single year, but the project does meet the threshold in the remaining years of the plan.



| Category | Project Activity | Budget 2018 | Budget 2019 | Budget 2020 | Budget 2021 | Budget 2022 | Totals |
|----------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| System | Planned | 91,620 | 93,270 | 94,949 | 96,658 | 98,398 | 474,895 |
| Renewal | Primary Pole | | | | | | |
| | Replacement | | | | | | |

Table #30 – Allocated funds for Planned Primary Pole Replacement

B. Evaluation criteria and information requirements for each project 1. Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability

The plan to replace primary poles that are reaching their end of life is driven by concerns for reliability, safety, and the risk of failure. The replacement program will target poles that are at significantly higher risk of failure, since a failed pole poses safety concerns for staff, customers, and the general public; failed poles also lead to power outages, which affect reliability statistics and customer satisfaction. There is a benefit to implementing a planned outage to replace a near-failing pole, rather than allowing the pole to fail in service.

This project is of high priority, which is demonstrated by the capital projections on 2019 onward. The ACA highlighted that SLHI had several poles in need of replacement in the coming years, and this program is aggressively, proactively dealing with that.

The pole testing program allowed SLHI to target failing poles in a more informed manner, rather than simply basing the replacement program on age alone.

2. Safety

Poles that are failing in service pose serious safety threats to the general public and to the workers who are servicing the distribution system. Workers and nearby customers may face electrocution if a pole falls Investing in the proactive replacement of failing poles mitigates these risks.

3. Cyber-security, Privacy

Not applicable.

4. Co-ordination, Interoperability

SLHI's distribution pole line design meets the Utility Standards Forum (USF) and Ontario Regulations 22/04 requirements. These standards ensure that the hydro pole framing is safely constructed. The utility also takes into account the requirements of existing and potential third-party service providers that may impact the loading of its distribution poles.

5. Economic Development

The materials used in replacing primary distribution poles are supplied from Ontario companies. The additional services needed for pole installation are contracted from local businesses. Using local resources allows the investment to stay within the local economy.

6. Environmental Benefits



There are environmental benefits to removing a primary pole reaching its end of life, including the prevention of forest fires and transformer oil spills that can occur when a pole falls. These types of crises pose environmental consequences, like damage to vegetation and harm to wildlife. The proactive replacement of poles prevents environmental safety hazards.

C. Category-specific requirements for each project

System Renewal:

Investments in the System Renewal category keep the distribution system in working order. Targeting near-failing and failing poles is a strategic move to maintain the condition of all of the other distribution assets. Of the 2427 primary poles, nearly 27% are "Poor" or "Very Poor", meaning they will reach their end of life within the life of this plan. As SLHI conducts its pole testing program to further assess the poles in these conditions, the utility may determine that some of these poles' lives can be extended. At this time, SLHI has planned on replacing 20 poles per year, which is more aggressive than in previous years, yet takes into account that some poles may be left in service for some more time.

When a pole fails in service, it can have catastrophic ramifications for a few different reasons. There are safety concerns connected with a pole falling, including safety to the general public, customers, and utility staff who service the assets. There is danger associated with staff working on a failing pole, or in a section of pole line where one or more poles have failed. Inclement weather can also have adverse effects on poles, especially when they are already experiencing rot and compromised structure. Harsh weather can cause failing poles to pose safety risks to workers. When a storm, for example, causes a pole to fail, it can lengthen the unplanned service outage, because it is increasingly difficult for workers to reinstate the service, as working conditions are poor.

Refurbishing primary poles is rare. A pole that is decrepit will need to be inspected, as will all of the equipment on it. Most times, the pole and the equipment are of the same vintage, so most of the equipment will need replacing at the same time. There are some assets, however, like stand-off brackets and down guys, which may be considered for a second lifecycle, or reuse, if they are in good condition, which minimizes replacement costs.

The risk of not replacing near-failing poles is absorbing the replacement costs in OM&A. There is a significant advantage to replace poles through planned outages and within regular working hours, rather than waiting until a failure, which often includes lengthy unplanned outages, after-hours service, and damage to other assets, property, and vegetation. Replacing poles proactively saves the life of the assets on the poles.

11.3 New Connections

Project Information

Investment Driver: System Access Capital Project Name: New Connections Drivers: Customer Service Requests Asset Type(s): Cables, Transformers, Poles, Conductor Total Capital Cost (2018-2022): \$310,996 Average Annual Capital Cost: \$62,199 Start Date: January 1, 2018



End Date: December 31, 2022

A. General information of the project

Utility are required to provide service to new customers in their service territories. Residential subdivisions usually have underground cables and pad mount transformers installed to provide service. New development is what drives the design and installation of the assets required for this activity. Despite SLHI's customer growth remaining very stable over the past years, the capital expenditures in the historical period of this plan demonstrate that a significant amount of capital must be allocated to the new connections category; this amount is consistent over the forecasted years of the plan. The reason for the seemingly large amount of capital for such little growth is the geographic nature of the utility, as it spans such large territory. Accommodating new connections general involves installing new poles and transformers, especially in rural areas where the new connection occurs so far away from any neighbouring connections. This often results in a pole and a 25 kVA transformer feeding a single customer. Because this growth is customer-driven, it is difficult for the utility to predict future needs, but based on years past, SLHI has determined that \$60,000 annually is required for this activity.

| Category | Project Activity | Budget 2018 | Budget 2019 | Budget 2020 | Budget 2021 | Budget 2022 | Totals |
|----------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| System | New | 60,000 | 61,080 | 62,179 | 63,299 | 64,438 | 310,996 |
| Access | Connections | | | | | | |

B. Evaluation criteria and information requirements for each project 1. Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability

Customer service requests drive this project, as the utility must provide service, and therefore distribution system expansion, to accommodate the growth. This item remains a significant line item, as it meets the materiality threshold in every year of the forecasted plan period.

2. Safety

Safety is not a driver for this project, but it is certainly taken into consideration as the project is executed. All new installations and expansions are completed with strict adherence to safety regulations and standards.

3. Cyber-security, Privacy

Not applicable.

4. Co-ordination, Interoperability

All new connections are established consistent with the USF standards, adhering to the Ontario Regulations 22/04.

5. Economic Development



The services associated with accommodating new connections are usually contracted from local businesses. The materials used are procured from local and provincial suppliers, allowing the economic investment to stay within Ontario.

6. Environmental Benefits

This project is not specifically linked to any environmental benefits, however, SLHI maintains environmental standards and follows regulations when providing new connections.

C. Category-specific requirements for each project

System Access:

System access is a small, yet consistent, investment category for SLHI. The utility does not see many new connections, and does not have to provide much expansion to its service territory for development, but it has allotted steady amounts of capital to this category over the forecast period of the plan. The amounts are informed by previous years' needs. New growth can be somewhat unpredictable, and is outside of the utility's control when it occurs.

11.4 U/G Cable Replacement

Project Information

Investment Driver: System Renewal Capital Project Name: U/G cable replacement Drivers: Service Quality, Reliability, Power Quality, End of Life, Safety Asset Type(s): Underground cable Total Capital Cost (2018-2022): \$62,560 Average Annual Capital Cost: \$62,560 (One year project) Start Date: January 1, 2019 End Date: December 31, 2019

A. General information of the project

The underground cable testing identified by the Energy Ottawa report indicates that the F3 submarine cables are in good condition and therefore do not need to be replaced during the plan period. However, other cables tested that supply the Birchwood Cres and Atwood St areas, should be a concern. These cables have been slated for replacement in 2019.

| Category | Project Activity | Budget 2018 | Budget 2019 | Budget 2020 | Budget 2021 | Budget 2022 | Totals |
|----------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|
| System | Planned U/G | | 62,560 | | | | 62,560 |
| Renewal | Cable | | | | | | |
| | Replacement | | | | | | |

Table #32 – Allocated funds for Replacement of F3 Submarine Cable



B. Evaluation criteria and information requirements for each project

1. Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability

As stated above, replacing this cable prior to its failure is paramount for the customers supplied by it, especially if it were to fail in the winter time. Ensuring its good working condition is essential to those customers, as well as to the utility's reliability of service.

2. Safety

If this cable failed in service, especially in the winter months, there would be indirect safety concerns cause by the outage.

3. Cyber-security, Privacy

Not applicable.

4. Co-ordination, Interoperability

Not applicable.

5. Economic Development

The equipment and labour associated with replacing this submarine cable would be procured from local sources, allowing the investment to stay within the local economy.

6. Environmental Benefits

There are no direct environmental benefits to replacing the submarine cable, but the utility always maintains the proper environmental standards in conducting this type of work.

C. Category-specific requirements for each project

System Renewal:

As system renewal is concerned with the operational effectiveness of the distribution system, this activity is important to maintaining the service supplied by F3. The customers on Highway 642 rely on its safe, reliable, and quality service, so the maintenance and eventual replacement of this cable is essential to meeting those needs.

12.0 Information Systems

SLHI is in the process of converting their current GIS system from an AutoCAD platform to an ESRI platform in order to implement a more effective asset management solution to their current system. SLHI plans to add additional functionality to the system in order to perform asset inspections and create a paperless work order system that will satisfy OReg 22/04 requirements. Such a purchase would fall into the System Service investment driver category, and would allow the LDC to collect, record, and manage comprehensive information about its distribution assets. This will increase efficiency and afford SLHI better capital planning strategies based on more inclusive and thorough data about each asset class.

Especially given the size of SLHI's service territory, and how spread out its distribution system is, making use of a GIS to better keep track of its assets makes sense. While a GIS is an expensive purchase up front, and implementing it can take time, it saves utilities money in the long run, and allows them to track, maintain, refurbish, and replace assets much more efficiently than operating without one.



13.0 Summary

A summary of the results of the asset condition assessment and health indices are listed in Table #33 below. The summary lists the estimated total number of each asset class and how many fall into the condition categories varying from "very good" to "very poor". Table #33 also lists the percentages of each asset class which have been estimated to likely require replacement within the next ten years.

| Asset Class | Population | Very | Good | Fair | Poor | Very | % at End of |
|---------------------------|------------|--------|------|------|------|------|----------------|
| | | Good | | | | Poor | Life within 10 |
| | | | | | | | years |
| Distribution poles (Wood) | 2,427 | 762 | 638 | 384 | 395 | 248 | 42.3% |
| Secondary Poles (Wood) | 277 | 85 | 21 | 70 | 29 | 72 | 61.7% |
| Guy Poles (Wood) | 13 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 30.7% |
| Cross arms | 487 | 153 | 128 | 77 | 79 | 50 | 42.3% |
| Pole mounted transformers | 785 | 331 | 161 | 141 | 121 | 36 | 36.8% |
| Pad mounted transformers | 97 | 65 | 21 | 5 | 4 | 23 | 32.9% |
| Switches – Inline | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Primary U/G cables | 13,684 | 11,672 | 772 | 518 | 138 | 584 | 9.0% |
| Primary Submarine Cables | 6,201 | 2840 | 201 | 2400 | 460 | 0 | 46.1% |
| Line Reclosers | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 50% |

| Table #33 - | - Summary | of Asset | Conditions | / Health | Indices |
|-------------|-----------|----------|------------|----------|---------|
|-------------|-----------|----------|------------|----------|---------|



Appendix A: Hydro One Networks Inc. EB-2015-0006 Letter

Hydro One Networks Inc. 7th Floor, South Tower 483 Bay Street Toronto, Ontario M5G 2P5 www.HydroOne.com

Tel: (416) 345-5393 Fax: (416) 345-6833 Joanne.Richardson@HydroOne.com

Joanne Richardson Director – Major Projects and Partnerships Regulatory Affairs



BY COURIER

January 21, 2016

Ms. Kirsten Walli Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board Suite 2700, 2300 Yonge Street P.O. Box 2319 Toronto, ON, M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

EB-2015-0006 – Revised Proposal to Amend the Distribution System Code – Hydro One's Implementation Plan to Eliminate Long-Term Load Transfers

In accordance with the Ontario Energy Board's Notice of Amendments to the Distribution System Code ("DSC"), issued on December 21, 2015 Hydro One is providing its Implementation Plan ("Plan") to Eliminate Long-Term Load Transfers ("LTLTs") as Attachment 1.

The aim of this Plan is to mitigate both geographic and physical distributor costs while maintaining a seamless experience for transferred customers. The Plan segments the 47 LDCs with which Hydro One has LTLT agreements into geographically-zoned sub-groups. As this work will be undertaken by Hydro One crews throughout the province, Hydro One wants to ensure efficient, economical processes while not putting too much burden on crews in one area at any given time. This approach allows Hydro One the ability to concentrate on eliminating small pockets of LTLTs at a time and provides some flexibility in managing schedules if there are delays in LDC negotiations.

Hydro One understands that the Board will be providing streamlined filing guidelines for combined service area amendment and asset transfer applications involving LTLTs. Hydro One has further reviewed the draft application form and would like to propose the following additional changes from what was provided to the working group on July 21, 2015. See Attachment 2.

The attached changes, captured in tracked changes, attempt to streamline the guidelines and eliminate sections of the form that Hydro One believes are no longer required as a result of the



Board's decision in this proceeding. Additionally, Hydro One notes that Hydro One and Hydro Ottawa have jointly been working on a customer and asset data spreadsheet. The current draft of this spreadsheet is attached and Hydro One intends to utilize this spreadsheet throughout its LTLT elimination efforts.

Lastly, Hydro One requests, with respect to customer transfers, that any billing arrears and/or other outstanding costs up until the transition date will be the responsibility of the geographic distributor.

Conclusion

Hydro One is concerned over the LTLT elimination date of June 21, 2017 in section 6.5.3 of the DSC. Currently, there are a number of outstanding requirements (e.g. Application form, consensus on asset valuation) that have to be in place prior to applying to the OEB for the appropriate approval. Hydro One also notes that once the streamlined asset sale and SAA approval is attained there will be a further 1 to 6 weeks to transition the customers to the physical distributor. Achieving the attached implementation schedule will require coordinated efforts by all parties.

Hydro One looks forward to working with all distributors to eliminate the cross-subsidization that currently exists and will ensure that doing so results in no negative impact to the transferred customers.

An electronic copy of this correspondence has been filed using the Board's Regulatory Electronic Submission System.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY JOANNE RICHARDSON

Joanne Richardson

Attach.

LTLT Proposed Project Timeline

| Zone | Month | LDC | Customer Transfer to HONI (Physical Distributor) | Customer Transfer from HONI (Geographic Distributor) |
|---------|----------|--|--|--|
| 4 | Month 1 | Hydro Ottawa | 45 + 1 ST | 290 |
| 4 | Month 1 | Hydro 2000 | 1 | 13 |
| 5 | Month 2 | Wasaga Distribution | 3 | 10 |
| 5 | Month 2 | Orillia Power | 1 | 0 |
| 5 | Month 2 | Collus PowerStream | 1 | 5 |
| 1A | Month 3 | ELK Energy Inc. | 41 + 1 ST | 1 |
| 1A | Month 3 | Entegrus | 78 | 28 |
| 1A | Month 3 | EnWin | 14 | 0 |
| 1B | Month 4 | Westario Power Inc. | 44 + 3 ST | 32 |
| 1B | Month 4 | Wellington North Power Inc. | 2 | 2 |
| 1B | Month 4 | Waterloo North Hydro | 50 | 139 |
| 1A & 2 | Month 5 | Brant County Power | 25 | 91 |
| 2 | Month 5 | Cambridge North Dumfries | 28 | 18 |
| 2 | Month 5 | Horizon Utilities | 160 | 117 |
| 7 | Month 6 | Thunder Bay Hydro | 55 | 23 |
| 7 | Month 6 | Sioux Lookout Hydro | 0 | 34 |
| 7 | Month 6 | Atikokan Hydro | 1 | 0 |
| 6 | Month 7 | Espanola Regional Distribution Company | 8 | 20 |
| 6 | Month 7 | Hearst Power Distribution Company | 5 | 9 |
| 6 | Month 7 | Greater Sudbury Power | 5 + 1 ST | 19 |
| 6 | Month 7 | Chapleau PUC | 1 ST | 0 |
| 4 | Month 7 | Rideau St. Lawrence | 16+7 ST | 21 |
| 4 | Month 7 | Hydro Hawkesbury | 4 ST | 0 |
| 2 | Month 8 | Niagara Peninsula Energy | 111 | 178 |
| 2 | Month 8 | Canadian Niagara Power | 0 | 5 |
| 2 | Month 8 | Centre Wellington Hydro | 6 | 2 |
| 2 | Month 9 | Orangeville Hydro | 1 | 18 |
| 2 | Month 9 | Milton Hydro | 103 | 64 |
| 2 | Month 9 | Welland Hydro-Electric System Corp. | 0 | 3 |
| 1A | Month 10 | Essex Powerlines | 44 + 1 ST | 14 |
| 1A | Month 10 | Festival Hydro | 20 | 18 |
| 1A | Month 10 | Kitchener Wilmot Hydro | 0 | 81 |
| 5 | Month 11 | Parry Sound Power | 31 | 0 |
| 5 | Month 11 | Midland PUC | 6 + 3 ST | 4 |
| 5 | Month 11 | Lakeland Power | 10 + 8 ST | 44 |
| 3B | Month 12 | Ottawa River Power | 11 | 4 |
| 3A & 3B | Month 12 | Veridian Connections | 50 + 4 ST | 14 |
| 3A | Month 12 | Oshawa PUC | 8 | 0 |
| 2 | Month 13 | Burlington Hydro | 32 | 0 |
| 2 | Month 13 | Hydro One Brampton | 7 | 21 |
| 2 | Month 13 | Halton Hills Hydro | 50 | 77 |
| 1A | Month 14 | Tilsonburg Hydro | 5 | 8 |

LTLT Proposed Project Timeline

| Zone | Month | LDC | Customer Transfer to HONI (Physical Distributor) | Customer Transfer from HONI (Geographic Distributor) |
|-------|----------|------------------------|--|--|
| 1A | Month 14 | London Hydro | 6 | 6 |
| 1A | Month 14 | Bluewater Power | 16 | 32 |
| 1A | Month 15 | St. Thomas Energy | 12 | 3 |
| 1A | Month 15 | Erie Thames Powerlines | 7 + 2 ST | 67 |
| 2&5 | Month 16 | Innpower | 1 ST | 62 |
| 2 & 5 | Month 16 | PowerStream | 16 + 3 ST | 79 |
| 3A | Month 17 | Newmarket-Tay Power | 7 | 0 |
| 3A | Month 17 | Lakefront Utilities | 10 | 12 |
| 3A | Month 17 | Whitby Hydro | 1 ST | 0 |

Attachment 2 Page 1 of 10



Ontario Energy Board

Elimination of Long Term Load Transfers

Between

LDC #1

<u>And</u>

LDC #2

Combined Service Area Amendment and Asset Transfer Application



For Working Group Comment
Attachment 2 Page 2 of 10

1

<u>2016</u>

<u>DRAFT</u>

For LDC & Board Staff Comment

PART I: SERVICE AREA AMENDMENT

1.1 Basic Facts

Provide a brief description of the service area amendment Hydro One suggests this is a template introduction written by Board Staff that discusses the results of EB-2015-0006. It will be included in every application. Distributors will indicate the number of LTLTs that will be eliminated by this application

1.2 Identification of the Parties

1.2.1 <u>Co-</u> Applicant <u>1 ("LDC#1)</u>

| Name of Applicant LDC #1 | Licence Number |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| LDC #1 Address of Head Office | Telephone Number |
| | Facsimile Number |
| | E-mail Address |
| Name of Individual to Contact Person | Telephone Number |
| | Facsimile Number |
| | E-mail Address |

1.2.2 Co-Applicant 2 ("LDC#2) Applicant

| Name of Other PartyLDC #2 | Licence Number | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| LDC #2 Address of Head Office | Telephone Number | | | | |
| | Facsimile Number | | | | |

| | E-mail Address |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| Name of Individual to Contact Person | Telephone Number |
| | Facsimile Number |
| | E-mail Address |

1.3 Description of Proposed Service Area (List of Affected LTLT Customers – –Customer listing to be attached – to include customer address, name, billing address, rate class and meter number)

1.3.1 Provide a detailed service area description to be included in Schedule 1 of each physicaldistributor's licence. Total Number of LTLTs between distributors:

Number of LTLTs eliminated in this application.

Number of customers to be transferred from LDC #1 (Geographical Distributor) to LDC #2(Physical) Distributor) :

Number of customers to be transferred from LDC #2(Geographical Distributor) to LDC #1 (Physical Distributor) :

1.3.2 Provide maps or diagrams of the area(s) that is the subject of the SAA application. <u>LDC #1 as</u> <u>Geographical Distributor is to insert a detailed Excel list of customer info, that will be</u> <u>transferred</u> { EMBED HERE }

LDC #1 as Geographical Distributor is to insert detail. Word Doc with pictures/Maps of location

of customers being transferred { EMBED HERE }

1.3.3 Provide a description of the type of physical connection(s) (i.e., individual customer; residential subdivision, commercial or industrial customer). LDC #2 as Geographical Distributor is to insert a detailed Excel list of customer info, that will be transferred { EMBED HERE }

LDC #2 as Geographical Distributor is to insert detail Word Doc with pictures/Maps of location

1.4 Impacts Arising from the Amendment

| 1.4.1 | Provide a list of affected LTLT customers. Provide written confirmation that all affected persons have been provided with specific and factual information about the service area amendment(s). |
|---------------------|--|
| 1.4. 2 1 | Use the table below to describe the impact on customers' total bill that arises as a result of the service area amendment(s). Exclude any rate riders from the distribution and delivery charges of each distributor as well as from the calculation of the total bill impact (before and after rate mitigation is applied). |
| 1.4.3 | Provide a description of any assets which may be stranded or become redundant after- completion of the service area amendment(s). Please explain why these assets could not be transferred to the physical distributor. |

| 1.4.4 | Identify costs for stranded equipment that would need to be de-energized or removed. |
|-------------------------------|--|
| 1.4. 5 <u>2</u> | Identify any assets that will be transferred to or from the applicant. If an asset transfer is required, please complete Part II of the application form. See 2.1.1 below. |
| 1.4. 6 3 | Identify any need for a rate mitigation and describe the rate mitigation being implemented. Please include an estimated total bill rate impact for each customer. |

Impacts Resulting From SAA 1 from customers of LDC #1 (Geographical Distributor) being transferred to LDC #2(Physical Distributor)

| | <u>Geographic</u> Distributor | <u>Physical</u> Distributor |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Fixed Customer Charge (\$ / month) | | |
| Variable Distribution Charge (cents / kWh) | | |
| Delivery Charge (cents / kWh) | | |
| Total annual bill impact (\$ / year) | <u>n/a</u> | |
| Total annual bill impact (during year 1 of mitigation, if applicable) | <u>n/a</u> | |

<u>Note: In calculating the total bill impact(s), please assume consumption of 800 kWh / month.</u> <u>Impacts Resulting From customers of LDC #2 (Geographical Distributor) being transferred to LDC #1(Physical Distributor)</u>

| | Geographic Distributor | Physical Distributor |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| Fixed Customer Charge (\$ / month) | | |
| Variable Distribution Charge (cents / kWh) | | |
| Delivery Charge (cents / kWh) | | |
| Total annual bill impact (\$ / year) | n/a | |
| Total annual bill impact (during year 1 of mitigation, if applicable) | n/a | |
| | | |

Note: In calculating the total bill impact(s), please assume consumption of 800 kWh / month.

Impacts Resulting From SAA 2

| | Geographic | Physical |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|
| | Distributor | Distributor |
| Fixed Custemer Charge (\$ / month) | | |
| Variable Distribution Charge (cents / kWh) | | |
| Delivery Charge (cents / kWh) | | |
| Total annual bill impact (\$ / year) | n/a | |
| Total annual bill impact (during year 1 of mitigation, if | n/a | |
| applicable) | | |
| | | |

Note: In calculating the total bill impact(s), please assume consumption of 800 kWh / month.

PART II: TRANSFER OF ASSETS (S. 86(1)(b))

- 2.1 Description of the Assets to Be Transferred
- 2.1.1 Provide a description of the assets that are the subject of the transaction. LDC #1 as Geographical Distributor is to insert detailed Excel list of asset sale and value of asset info here that will be sold to LDC #2 { EMBED HERE }

LDC #2 as Geographical Distributor is to insert detail Excel list of asset sale and value of asset info here, that will be sold to LDC #1 { EMBED HERE }

2.1.2 Indicate where the assets are located – whether in the applicant's service territory or in the recipient's service territory (if applicable). Please include a map of the location.

Refer to 1.3.2 and 1.3.3 above for the maps

2.1.3 Indicate which utility's customers are currently served by the assets.

Refer to 1.3.2 and 1.3.3 above for customer list

2.2 Description of the Sale Transaction

| 2.2.1 | The value of the assets to be transferred shall be determined based on Net Book Value. Attach the details of the associated cash consideration to be given and received by each of the parties to the transaction. Refer to 2.1.1 for the asset values being sold |
|-------|---|
| 2.2.2 | Will the transfer impact any other parties (e.g. joint users of poles) including any agreements with third parties? If yes, please specify how. |

PART III: CERTIFICATION AND ACNOWLEDGEMENT

Co- Applicant: 1 ("LDC#1)

I certify that the information contained in this application and in the documents provided are true and accurate.

| Name and Title of Key Individual | Date |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | |
| | |
| IN | ame and Title of Key mulviqual |

(Must be signed by a key individual. A key individual is one that is responsible for executing the following functions for the applicant: matters related to regulatory requirements and conduct, financial matters and technical matters. These key individuals may include the chief executive officer, the chief financial officer, other officers, directors or proprietors.)

Co-Applicant 2 (LDC#2)

I certify that the information contained in this application and in the documents provided are true and accurate.

| Signature of Key Individual | Name and Title of Key Individual | Date |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

(Must be signed by a key individual. A key individual is one that is responsible for executing the following functions for the applicant: matters related to regulatory requirements and conduct, financial matters and technical matters. These key individuals may include the chief executive officer, the chief financial officer, other officers, directors or proprietors.)

Attachment 2 Page 8 of 10

Geographical Distributor LTLT Customer and Asset Transfer Information

| | l'anser E. | Number Trieser | Street | Ch | Postal Code | Property. | VIII) - Icentification Optional If available | Street | City | Postel Co. | Meler ID. | No. | Prand Cr. | Classifican. | Poad Coc | Poad Crossing Pole (VN) | Poad Crossing | JI q ^{(a)qe} llene | Poad Con | Road Crossin- | Pole Laure fr. | Value Table |
|-----------------------------|------------|----------------|--------|------------|-------------|-----------|---|--------------|---------------|------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|--------------|----------|-------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|----------|---------------|--|-------------|
| Primary Customer Name | | | Pr | emise Addı | ress | | м | ailing Addro | ess if Differ | ent | Me | ter Informa | ition | | | | Po | ole Informat | tion | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | † – † | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | ├ ──┤ | 1 |
| | | | | | + | + | | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | | <u> </u> | ─── | ─── | ┝──── | ──┤ | |
| | | | | | + | + | | | | | | | | | | | | ─── | ┝─── | ─── | ───┤ | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | <u> </u> | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | 1 | <u> </u> | | | <u> </u> | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ┼──── | | | ┥───┤ | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | ─── | ─── | ─── | <u> </u> | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | 1 | 1 | <u>├</u> ──┤ | |
| | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | | | <u> </u> | 1 |
| | | | | | - | - | 1 | - | | | | - | 1 | | | | | ╂───── | ł | | ┥───┤ | 1 |
| | | | | | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | ─── | ─── | l | <u> </u> | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | L | \square | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | |
| | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | <u>├</u> | 1 |
| L | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | L | L | L | 1 | |

Attachment 2 Page 9 of 10

Geographical Distributor LTLT Customer and Asset Transfer Information



Attachment 2 Page 10 of 10

Geographical Distributor LTLT Customer and Asset Transfer Information

| | * | reount no. | Dictors Class | Countrating Series | Orso Service | Super States | Pulsatus | Certificate of his | Vial Services C. | Multi-uni desig | #oresidential | Dectemping | Lage Volume F | Peraller Fingles | (and on the second seco | Olspecturente | Active Compasi | range p. | Cost estimates | Burger Build | Billing Frac | Meter Read 2 | head End Sycs | use. | outemoint heuling | Setting Legin (1998) |
|-----------------------------|---|------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|----------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|------------------|--|---------------|----------------|----------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Primary Customer Name | | | | | | | | | | | Custor | ner care Bil | ling Inform | ation | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | l | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | l | | | | | |
| | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | | İ | | 1 | | | |
| | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | _ |
| | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | l | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | |
| | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | 1 | 1 | | l | l | 1 | 1 | | - | |
| <u> </u> | | | | | <u> </u> | + | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | | + | + | | | | | | | | | | | | + | | | | | | | | — |
| | | | | | + | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | | | | | | | | — |
| <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | L | | | | L | | | | ļ | ļ | ļ | | ļ | <u> </u> | | l | | | | | | ļ | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 7 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | l | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | 1 | |
| | | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | | |
| | | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | |



Appendix B: Asset Condition Assessment

Project # 15199

Asset Condition Assessment

Prepared for:

Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc.

COSTE O Utility Consultants

April 7, 2016

R.E.C.a.P.a.



Approved By:

Ron LaPier. P.Eng

Contents

| Executive Summary |
|---|
| Introduction |
| Study Methodology5 |
| 2.0 Data Sources |
| 2.1 Asset Classes Assessed |
| 2.2 General Health Index Classifications |
| 2.3 Asset Health Index Assessment Methods |
| 2.3.1 Municipal Substations7 |
| 2.3.2 Distribution Poles |
| 2.3.3 Transformers |
| 2.3.4 Switches |
| 2.3.5 Underground and Submarine Cables |
| 2.3.6 Protective Relays and Line Circuit Reclosers8 |
| Analysis and Results9 |
| 3.1 Distribution System Assets |
| 3.1.1 Distribution Transformers |
| 3.1.2 Distribution Switches11 |
| Chart 3-4 Air Break Switch Health Index12 |
| 3.1.3 Distribution Poles |
| 3.1.4 Underground Distribution Cables14 |
| 3.1.5 Wood Crossarms |
| 3.2 Summary of Results |
| 3.3 Estimated Cost of Replacement |
| 4.0 Recommendations |
| 4.1 Development of Asset Replacement Plan20 |
| 4.1.1 Pole Replacements |
| 4.1.2 Pole Mount Transformer Replacements |
| 4.1.3 Pad Mount Transformer Replacements20 |
| 4.1.4 Air Break Switch Replacements |
| 4.1.5 Submarine Cable Replacements |
| 4.1.6 Underground Cable Replacements |



| 4.2 Combining Asset Replacement Work to Reduce Costs | 21 |
|--|----|
| Appendix A - Health Index Results | 22 |

Tables & Charts

| Table 1-1 Summary of Asset Conditions/ Health Indices | 3 |
|--|----|
| Table 2-1 General Health Index Categories | 6 |
| Table 2-2 Assets Included in the Condition Assessment (As of 2015) | 7 |
| Table 3-1 Pole Mount Transformer Health Index | 9 |
| Chart 3-1 Pole Mount Transformer Health Index | 9 |
| Table 3-2 Pad Mount Transformer Health Index | 10 |
| Chart 3-2 Pad Mount Transformer Health Index | 11 |
| Table 3-3 Air Break Switch Health Index | 12 |
| Table 3-4 Wood Pole Health Index | 13 |
| Chart 3-4 Distribution Pole Health Index | 13 |
| Chart 3-5 Secondary Pole Health Index | 13 |
| Chart 3-6 Guy Pole Health Index | 14 |
| Table 3-5 Submarine Cable Health Index | 14 |
| Chart 3-7 Submarine Cable Health Index | 15 |
| Table 3-6 Distribution Underground Cable Health Index | 15 |
| Chart 3-8 Submarine Cable Health Index | 16 |
| Table 3-7 Summary of Asset Conditions/ Health Indices | 17 |



Executive Summary

Sioux Lookout Hydro (SLHI) enlisted the assistance of Costello Associates to perform an Asset Condition Assessment of the SLHI distribution system and to render a general "Health Index" for each asset type. This assessment would then be used as the basis for capital planning in future years, and in support of the Distribution System Plan for the Cost of Service application to the Ontario Energy Board (OEB).

Table 1-1 below provides a summary of the findings of the Asset Condition Assessment performed for SLHI.

The assessment indicates that many of wooden distribution poles are aging and will reach their typical end of life within the next 10 years. A pole testing program on this aging population would allow a better assessment of the actual condition and along with a risk assessment based on the number of customers impacted, would reveal the basis for a targeted replacement plan.

The analysis also indicates that approximately 30% of the transformers in the SLHI system will reach their typical end of life within the next ten years. Going forward, tracking the number of customers connected to each unit will allow a better assessment of the impact of a failure on the system reliability statistics. In some cases, a "run to failure" situation may be warranted if the number of customers affected is low.

Submarine cables are shown to be aging, and the largest portion of this is the F3 Main Feeder cable that supplies the Town of Sioux Lookout. Based on a high level review of the peak feeder loading information for the F2 and F3 feeders, it is evident that the combined loading for the Town of Sioux Lookout would exceed the rating for F2 feeder on its own. A failure of this F3 cable would result in extended or rotating outages until repairs/replacement of the F3 cables could be made.

| Asset Group | | Ass | Total | EOL within 10 | | | |
|---------------------------|--------|------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----------|
| | Very | Good | Fair | Poor | Very | Population | years |
| | Good | | | | Poor | | Units (%) |
| Distribution Poles | 762 | 638 | 384 | 395 | 248 | 2427 | 42.3% |
| Secondary Poles | 85 | 21 | 70 | 29 | 72 | 277 | 61.7% |
| Guy Poles | 8 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 13 | 30.7% |
| Pole mount | 331 | 161 | 141 | 121 | 36 | 785 | 36.8% |
| Transformers | | | | | | | |
| Pad mount | 65 | 21 | 5 | 4 | 23 | 97 | 32.9% |
| Transformers | | | | | | | |
| Switches – 1 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0% |
| Phase Air Break | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0% |
| Primary U/G | 11,672 | 772 | 518 | 138 | 584 | 13,684 | 9% |
| Cables (m) | | | | | | | |
| Primary | 2,840 | 201 | 2400 | 460 | 0 | 6,201 | 46.1% |
| Submarine | | | | | | | |
| Cables (m) | | | | | | | |
| Line Reclosers | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 50% |

| Table 1-1 | Summary of Asset Conditions/ Health Indices | 5 |
|-----------|---|---|
|-----------|---|---|



Introduction

Costello Associates has been retained by Sioux Lookout Hydro (SLHI) to provide assistance with their Distribution System Plan (DSP). The OEB now requires that asset condition assessments are to be included in local utilities (LDC) Distribution System Plan. Sioux Lookout Hydro requested that Costello Associates complete a report containing an asset condition assessment and asset health index rating for their DSP.

The purpose of the asset condition assessment is to evaluate the current condition of the asset and to assess where the asset lies along the expected useful life cycle. Other factors, such as visual inspections, damage reports, and testing data also contribute to the evaluation of the asset condition in order to properly plan for replacement or refurbishment of the equipment and plan for major capital. All of these factors are important to identify which assets require attention or replacement to improve customer reliability, ensure better public safety, and provide on-going worker and environmental safety.

This report is based on all available information from all sources at Sioux Lookout Hydro's disposal. The methods used in determining the health index for each asset class are detailed in this document, along with a discussion of the findings and appendices containing all the results of the asset condition assessment.

The final section of the report outlines recommendations for the urgency and the recommended rate of replacement for each of the asset types.

Study Methodology

2.0 Data Sources

In order to perform an accurate condition assessment of the Sioux Lookout Hydro system assets, the most up to date information was necessary. Asset information was utilized from an information database, personal knowledge of SLHI staff, and written records. Most asset information at SLHI is currently contained in a custom RAMSYS software system.

This RAMSYS database contains a variety of distribution asset information, such as asset ID's, ages, material types, and asset locations. Unfortunately, not much condition information is contained in the database and therefore assessments were based solely on asset ages.

Costello staff spent one week on site in October 2015 to meet with SLHI staff and to view database information. An escorted tour of the SLHI system was also conducted at that time. Some assumptions had to be made where there was information missing from the database.

2.1 Asset Classes Assessed

Sioux Lookout Hydro's assets are comprised of the distribution systems for the Town of Sioux Lookout and the Town of Hudson. The most critical of the assets, and their approximate population contained in the distribution system are listed below in Table 2-1.

It is important to note that the asset classes have been generalized and do not represent a certain set of identical equipment. Assets such as distribution poles vary in height and class, and transformers vary in manufacturer, types, ratings, installation methods, and locations. Ultimately, these variances may cause differences in replacement costs, but on average, should provide a valid evaluation of the Capital Cost Estimates for replacement or upgrades.

2.2 General Health Index Classifications

In general, the Health Index categories used for this report are as follows:

| Health | Condition | Description | Expected | Age | Requirements |
|--------|-----------|------------------------------|----------|---------------|----------------------|
| Index | | | Lifetime | (yrs) | |
| 85-100 | Very | At worse, some aging or | 15+yrs | | Normal inspection |
| | Good | deterioration of a limited | | 25 or younger | and maintenance |
| | | number of components | | | |
| 70-85 | Good | Deteriorating of some | 10-15yrs | 25.20 | Normal inspection |
| | | components | | 25-29 | and maintenance |
| 50-70 | Fair | Noticeable deterioration or | 5-10yrs | | Increase diagnostic |
| | | serious deterioration of | | | testing, possible |
| | | specific components | | 20.24 | replacements |
| | | | | 50-54 | needed before 5 |
| | | | | | years depending on |
| | | | | | criticality |
| 0-50 | Poor | Widespread serious | 1-5 yrs | | Start planning |
| | | deterioration or significant | | | process to replace, |
| | | deterioration of a | | 35-40 | considering risk and |
| | | dominant component | | | consequences of |
| | | | | | failure |
| 0-30 | Very Poor | Extensive serious | 0-1 yr | | At end-of –life, |
| | | deterioration or serious | | 41 EQ. | immediately assess |
| | | deterioration of a | | 41-20+ | risk; replace based |
| | | dominant component | | | of assessment |

Table 2-1 General Health Index Categories

Where data other than age of the asset is available (such as inspection reports, test records) these factors are added to the Health Index evaluation. In the absence of additional supporting data, the Health Index evaluation is based on asset age.

Table 2-2 below lists a general summary of the asset inventory reviewed as part of this Asset Condition Assessment.



| Asset Class | Population |
|----------------------------------|------------|
| Distribution poles (Wood) | 2427 |
| Distribution Poles (Steel) | 0 |
| Secondary Poles (Wood) | 277 |
| Guy Poles (Wood) | 13 |
| Cross arms | n/a |
| Pole mounted transformers | 785 |
| Pad mounted transformers | 97 |
| Switches – 3Ph load break | 0 |
| Switches – 3Ph air break | 0 |
| Asset Class | Population |
| Switches – Fused | 122 |
| Switches – Inline | 24 |
| Switches – Switching Cubicles | 0 |
| Primary U/G cables | 13684m |
| Primary Submarine Cables | 6,201m |
| Protective line relays | 0 |
| Line circuit breakers/ reclosers | 4 |

Table 2-2Assets Included in the Condition Assessment (As of 2015)

"n/a" indicates "Not Available" . "N/A" indicates "Not Applicable"

2.3 Asset Health Index Assessment Methods

2.3.1 Municipal Substations

Sioux Lookout Hydro does not own any Municipal Substations (MS). Hydro One Networks (HONI) owns and operates the Sam Lake Distribution Station, which supplies SLHI with multiple feeders at 25kV.

During the site visit, Sioux Lookout Hydro staff indicated that there have been issues of reliability with some of the line reclosers at the station. The operational events related to these units should be recorded in detail by SLHI. This will allow SLHI to determine their impact on reliability and to provide data for any future discussions with HONI.

2.3.2 Distribution Poles

SLHI's distribution system contains only wood poles. Data on these poles was extracted from the RAMSYS system and included installation dates.

Even though SLHI had not made its own condition rating of poles in the RAMSYS database, age is one of the most important factors when assessing distribution poles since it gives a good indication of where it lies along its life expectancy. The SLHI distribution poles were assessed based on the following Health Index categories:



2.3.3 Transformers

Both pole mount and pad mount transformers were assessed based on age. While the RAMSYS database did contain a PCB level field for transformers, the majority were populated with a Yes/No response. Those transformers listed as "NO" in the "Non-PCB" were ranked lower on the Health Index Scale.

Based on the age data for SLHI transformers, the Health Index was created on the same general categories as for distribution poles.

2.3.4 Switches

Sioux Lookout Hydro's distribution system includes only in-line switches. Sioux Lookout Hydro staff performed an assessment of age based on the knowledge of senior staff and also noted the type of insulators used in the units. Other than these chracteristics, very little information was available for these switches, therefore a health index assessment was made based the data available.

2.3.5 Underground and Submarine Cables

Sioux Lookout Hydro collected cable information based on staff knowledge and installation records. This age data was used to formulate health index results.

While this is limited information to provide and accurate health index, an attempt to evaluate the general Health Index was made.

2.3.6 Protective Relays and Line Circuit Reclosers

Sioux Lookout Hydro has four single phase recloser units in its system. Two of these are recently S&C Tripsaver units, and the remaining units are oil filled units.

The S&C units are new and therefore the Health Index is assumed to be excellent. The age information for the oils recloser units was not available.



Analysis and Results

3.1 Distribution System Assets

3.1.1 Distribution Transformers

3.1.1.1 Pole Mounted Transformers

Pole mounted transformers are used to step down voltage from a primary level to a secondary utilization level on the overhead distribution system. These transformers are mounted above ground on a pole and are liquid filled with mineral oil in a sealed tank. An industry standard for the life expectancy for pole mounted transformers is 40 years.

Based on the evaluation criteria described in section 2.3.3 above, a summary and chart of the developed health index for the Sioux Lookout Hydro pole mounted transformers are shown below, while the entire health index evaluation along with the condition assessment results can be found in Appendix A.

| Pole Mount Tx Health Index | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Condition | Expected Life | Tx Count | | | | |
| Very Good | More than 15 years | 331 | | | | |
| Good | More than 10 years | 161 | | | | |
| Fair | From 5-10 years | 141 | | | | |
| Poor | less than 5 years | 121 | | | | |
| Very Poor | At end of life | 36 | | | | |

Table 3-1 Pole Mount Transformer Health Index

Chart 3-1 Pole Mount Transformer Health Index



The pole mount transformers classified as being in "very poor" condition are ones that are listed in the database as being 40+ years of age or those that have no age listed in the database.



Those categorized in the "poor" condition are estimated to be between 35-40 years old in the database.

Of the remaining transformers, the categories of Fair, Good, and Very Good are based on the listed age and the indication of not containing PCBs.

It should be noted that there are a number of inconsistencies found in the transformer database that should be clarified through further investigation. These are:

- Transformer age information is not complete for seven units
- The "date" field is assumed to be the manufacture date and is generally populated. However, the "Installed date" is not generally filled, and where it has been populated, it is inconsistent with the "Date"

Another factor that can be taken into consideration is the "Run-To-Failure" option for transformers that serve a low number of customers, and therefore have a much lower impact on the reliability statistics if they fail. The transformer database does not indicate the number of customers connected to each transformer, but this is worthwhile information to consider gathering for future analysis would greatly assist in the development of future replacement strategies.

3.1.1.2 Pad Mounted Transformers

Padmounted transformers are typically used for commercial services in urbanized areas and are also used extensively in residential developments.

A summary and chart of the developed health index for the Sioux Lookout Hydro pad mounted transformers are shown below, while the entire health index along with the condition assessment results can be found in Appendix A.

| Pad Mount Tx Health Index | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|----|--|--|--|--|
| Condition | Tx Count | | | | | |
| Very Good | More than 15 years | 65 | | | | |
| Good | More than 10 years | 21 | | | | |
| Fair | From 5-10 years | 5 | | | | |
| Poor | less than 5 years | 4 | | | | |
| Very Poor | At end of life | 2 | | | | |

| Table | 3-2 | Pad | Mount | Transformer | Health | Index |
|-------|-----|------|-------|---------------|--------|-------|
| Table | 3-2 | i au | Mount | 11 ansior mer | ncann | mucz |







Since the majority of Sioux Lookout Hydro's underground infrastructure is relatively new, most of the pad mounted transformers were assessed to be in excellent condition.

The same considerations as mentioned above for pole mount transformers related to a "run to failure" replacement plan also apply to padmounted transformers.

3.1.2 Distribution Switches

3.1.2.1 Air Break Switches (1 Phase)

Sioux Lookout Hydro's distribution air break switches are devices which are mounted either on the distribution poles or in line with the conductors and are made to open a circuit, **while not under load**. All of Sioux Lookout Hydro's air break switches are manually operated and can only be opened or closed one phase at a time. The air break switches may be either solid blade devices, which offer no protection, or fused to offer a level of overload or fault protection.

Air break switches are not inventoried in the SLHI database, but a count based on information gathered by SLHI staff and the operating maps indicates that there are 24 in-line switch installations in the SLHI system.

An analysis of this data indicates that the majority of these installations occurred between 1995 and 2000. This places their maximum age at around 21 years and based on a normal life expectancy, this asset can be considered to be in "very good" condition.



Table 3-3 Air Break Switch Health Index

| Air Break Switch Health Index | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Condition | Expected Life | Count | | | | |
| Very Good | More than 15 years | 24 | | | | |
| Good | More than 10 years | 0 | | | | |
| Fair | From 5-10 years | 0 | | | | |
| Poor | less than 5 years | 0 | | | | |
| Very Poor | At end of life | 0 | | | | |

Chart 3-4 Air Break Switch Health Index



3.1.3 Distribution Poles

Distribution poles are also Sioux Lookout Hydro's single form of support for low and medium voltage overhead feeders and distribution equipment. It should be noted that of the poles assessed, there is a wide variation in the height and class.

The Sioux Lookout Hydro pole database categorized poles into "Distribution", "Secondary", and "Guy Poles". A summary and chart of the developed health index for the Sioux Lookout Hydro distribution poles is shown below, while the entire health index along with the condition assessment results can be found in Appendix A.

| | Wood Pole Health Index | | | |
|-----------|------------------------|--------------|------------|----------|
| | | Distribution | Secondary | Guy Pole |
| Condition | Expected Life | Pole Count | Pole Count | Count |
| Very Good | More than 15 years | 762 | 85 | 8 |
| Good | More than 10 years | 638 | 21 | 0 |
| Fair | From 5-10 years | 384 | 70 | 0 |
| Poor | less than 5 years | 395 | 29 | 3 |
| Very Poor | At end of life | 248 | 72 | 1 |

Table 3-4 Wood Pole Health Index

Chart 3-4 Distribution Pole Health Index









Chart 3-6 Guy Pole Health Index



As can be seen from the data above:

- 11.8 percent of the poles have been classified as having reached their end-of-life.
- 32.4% are estimated to have no more than 10 years of useful life remaining

Although older poles may still be in good physical and structural condition, the assessment methods only took into consideration the pole age due to their being no other available data.

3.1.4 Underground Distribution Cables

3.1.4.1 Submarine Cables

Like many of its neighbouring utilities, Sioux Lookout Hydro has an inventory of submarine cables in service to provide electrical power to island settlements. These applications often serve a small number of customers, but given the geographical realities of the region, SLHI also operates submarine cables for main feeder applications between Sam Lake DS and the town of Sioux Lookout.

| Submarine Cables Health Index | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Condition | km Count | | | | | | |
| Very Good | More than 15 years | 2840 | | | | | |
| Good | More than 10 years | 201 | | | | | |
| Fair | From 5-10 years | 2400 | | | | | |
| Poor | less than 5 years | 460 | | | | | |
| Very Poor | At end of life | 0 | | | | | |

Table 3-5 Submarine Cable Health Index



Chart 3-7 Submarine Cable Health Index



The information above reveals that nearly 50% of the submarine cables will be at the end of their expected life within 10 years. The largest portion of this is the F3 Main Feeder cable that supplies the Town of Sioux Lookout.

Based on a high level review of the peak feeder loading information for the F2 and F3 feeders, it is evident that the combined loading for the Town of Sioux Lookout would exceed the rating for F2 feeder on its own. A failure of this F3 cable would result in extended or rotating outages until repairs/replacement of the F3 cables could be made.

3.1.4.2 Underground Cables

An inventory of underground cables and the estimated age was provided by SLHI staff based on what records could be found and staff knowledge. Based on this information, an assessment was made on age alone. Further evaluation can be made once the number of customers served by each section of cable, which can allow a review of replacement strategies and reliability impacts that can be specific for each section.

| Distribution Underground Cable Health Index | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Condition | Length (m) | | | | | | | |
| Very Good | More than 15 years | 11,672 | | | | | | |
| Good | More than 10 years | 772 518 | | | | | | |
| Fair | From 5-10 years | | | | | | | |
| Poor | less than 5 years | 138 | | | | | | |
| Very Poor | At end of life | 584 | | | | | | |

Table 3-6 Distribution Underground Cable Health Index





Chart 3-8 Submarine Cable Health Index

The majority of the underground primary cables have been installed since 1990, and as such are considered to be in very good condition based on age. Approximately 4% of the cables in the Sioux Lookout Hydro system are currently beyond their expected useful life and are represented by only three older installations. About 5% of the asset will reach its expected life span within the next 5-10 years, and are represented by only three installations.

3.1.5 Wood Crossarms

Although crossarms are not tracked in a database at SLHI, it was acknowledged that many of the wood crossarms in the SLHI system appear to have potential moss and mould build up and may be degrading prematurely. Subsequent to the draft report, SLHI staff performed a crossarm count and a visual inspection from the bucket truck and reported the following information:

- 487 wood crossarms in the system
- Evidence of moss build up, but no mould present

The health of the wooden crossarms in the system can have a significant impact on the system reliability if crossarm failures occur, and therefore should be monitored during regular inspection cycles.

SLHI staff plan to continue the program to inspect and replace wood crossarms throughout the system, based on the main feeders as first priority. Also any pole slated for replacement will also provide for a replacement of any crossarm present.

The only way to avoid the early degradation of the crossarms is to switch to the use of steel arms in the future.



3.2 Summary of Results

A summary of the results of the asset condition assessment and health indices are listed in Table 3-7 below. The summary lists the estimated total number of each asset class and how many fall into the condition categories varying from "very good" to "very poor". Table 3-7 also lists the percentages of each asset class which have been estimated to likely require replacement within the next 10 years. The following relates the suggested replacement timeframes to the condition assessment of the asset:

| Very Poor | = Replacement within the next year |
|-----------|--|
| Poor | = Replacement within the next 5 years |
| Fair | = Replacement within the next 10 years |
| Good | = Replacement within the 10-15 year period |
| Very Good | = More than 15 years of service life remaining |

Table 3-7Summary of Asset Conditions/ Health Indices

| Asset Class | Population | Very | Good | Fair | Poor | Very | % at End of Life |
|---------------------------|------------|--------|------|------|------|------|------------------|
| | | Good | | | | Poor | within 10 years |
| Distribution poles (Wood) | 2427 | 762 | 638 | 384 | 395 | 248 | 42.3% |
| Secondary Poles (Wood) | 277 | 85 | 21 | 70 | 29 | 72 | 61.7% |
| Guy Poles (Wood) | 13 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 30.7% |
| Cross arms | n/a | | | | | | |
| Pole mounted transformers | 785 | 331 | 161 | 141 | 121 | 36 | 36.8% |
| Pad mounted transformers | 97 | 65 | 21 | 5 | 4 | 23 | 32.9% |
| Switches – Inline | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Primary U/G cables | 13,684 | 11,672 | 772 | 518 | 138 | 584 | 9.0% |
| Primary Submarine Cables | 6,201 | 2840 | 201 | 2400 | 460 | 0 | 46.1% |
| Line Reclosers | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 50% |



3.3 Estimated Cost of Replacement

The following construction cost estimates are based on "one-off" replacements for each asset type. Some effort was made to identify the locations of assets that were recommended for replacement to group them into projects in order to gain efficiencies of scale.

Hourly rates used in the Replacement Cost Estimates:

4 man crew, bucket truck, RBD = \$250/hr Average Cost per Pole= \$1,700 Average Cost of Framing Hardware =\$500 (three phase construction) 50kVA Pole Mount Transformer =\$3,300 each Three Phase Bank (3x50kVA) =\$10,000

1. Wood poles:

Pole and hardware: \$2,200 Average labour to frame and change a single pole = 8hrs x \$287.50/hr Total estimated cost per typical pole: **\$4,500**

- Pole Mount Transformer (Single Phase): Transformer and hardware: \$3,900 Average labour to frame and change transformer= 8hrs x \$250/hr Total estimated cost per typical single phase transformer: \$5,900
- Pole Mount Transformer (Three Phase): Transformer and hardware: \$11,800 Average labour to frame and change transformer= 8hrs x \$250/hr Total estimated cost per typical three phase transformer: \$13,800
- Pad Mount Transformer (Single Phase): Transformer, hardware and civil materials: \$7,500
 Total estimated cost per typical single phase transformer: \$9,900
- Pad Mount Transformer (Three Phase): Transformer, hardware and civil materials: \$10,500 Total estimated cost per typical three phase transformer: \$12,900
- 6. Three Phase Load Break Switches: Switch and hardware: \$7,000 Average labour to frame and change switch= 8hrs x \$250/hr Total estimated cost per typical single phase transformer: \$9,000



- 7. Single Phase Switches:
 Switch and hardware: \$1,200
 Average labour to frame and change switch= 4hrs x \$250/hr
 Total estimated cost per typical single phase transformer: \$2,200
- 8. Crossarms:-Expectation to change these with the pole
- 9. Underground Cable Replacement:
 -Estimated cost per typical meter of underground cable direct buried in duct (per Ø): \$97.50/m



4.0 **Recommendations**

4.1 Development of Asset Replacement Plan

Given the above evaluation of the Sioux Lookout Hydro's distribution assets, it is evident that much of the distribution assets are aging, with wood poles, pole mount transformers, and submarine cables indicating the most work.

This knowledge can now be used to develop a detailed asset replacement plan that is targeted at those assets needing the most work.

This replacement strategy needs to take account of the impacts on customer and system reliability from a "run-to-failure" operating strategy for any assets supplying a small number of customers. An example of this is transformers supplying one or two customers, or submarine cables supplying only a single customer. Therefore adding the number of customers served to the databases for an asset would facilitate adding the reliability impact to the decision making process.

4.1.1 Pole Replacements

Based on the age criteria alone, it is evident that almost half of the wood poles in the system have already reached, or will have reached, their "industry accepted" end of life within the next 5-10 years. A comprehensive pole testing program, targeted at this aged population will help to further assess the condition of this asset group.

It can be expected that many of the old poles in the system are still in good condition, but pole testing is the only way to determine the actual extent of the group to be replaced. This along with regular inspections will allow Sioux Lookout Hydro to keep more accurate track of the number of poles that should be replaced in any given fiscal year.

4.1.2 Pole Mount Transformer Replacements

The Health Index analysis also revealed that almost 37% of the population of pole mount transformers will reach their statistical end of life with in the next 5-10 years. This analysis could not take into account any "run-to-failure" transformers within this group due to the lack of customer connection information.

If the additional factor of number of customer connections is added to the assessment criteria, some of the transformers the fell into the "Vary Poor" category could possibly be moved into less urgent levels of the assessment.

4.1.3 Pad Mount Transformer Replacements

The Health Index analysis revealed that almost 33% of the population of pad mount transformers will reach their statistical end of life with in the next 5-10 years. This analysis could not take into account any "run-to-failure" transformers within this group due to the lack of customer connection information. The PCB issue identified for the pole mount transformers was not a factor for the pad mount transformer population.

4.1.4 Air Break Switch Replacements

The data indicates that the population of air break switches in the SLHI system are not a concern.



4.1.5 Submarine Cable Replacements

The analysis indicates that almost half of the submarine cables in the SLHI system are aging, however the majority of this quantity is the F3 feeder that is one of the two main feeders supplying the Town of Sioux Lookout.

A high level load review of the F2 and F3 feeders reveals that the F2 feeder could not carry all of the winter peak demand on its own. Therefore, a failure of the F3 cables in the winter would result in the need for load shedding or rotating blackouts in the town during the replacement period for the F3 cables.

It is suggested that the F3 submarine cables be tested to provide an assessment of their current status and that a replacement strategy be developed for the replacement of these cables at any time of the year. In addition to the cable replacement with a Like-for-Like strategy, this strategy could also include plans for building a land based feeder from the Sam Lake DS to town. This could ultimately remove the need for the reliance on the submarine feeder. This decision could be supported by the fact that land based feeder repairs can be made much quicker than a submarine cable.

4.1.6 Underground Cable Replacements

The data suggests that the majority of the underground primary cables are new and expected to be in good condition. The cables needing attention within the next 10 years are all represented by only six separate installations. These cables might be tested to confirm if they are in fact a concern, and therefore could be moved into a lesser level of urgency.

4.2 Combining Asset Replacement Work to Reduce Costs

While estimated costs for replacement of individual devices may seem to make replacing many assets of the distribution system very expensive, costs can be reduced by strategically replacing multiple assets on a work site or in a specified area within one project. Costs for mobilizing the crews and equipment and the cost of work site setup can be shared between assets if multiple items are replaced at once. It is therefore recommended that multiple assets be considered simultaneously when planning replacement work.



Appendix A - Health Index Results

SIOUX LOOKOUT HYDRO Pole Mount Transformers Health Index Ranking

| Location | Street Address | Year | K.V.A | Install Date | Non-Pcb | NON-PCB | Pole/Pad | Age (yrs) | Health Index Ranking |
|----------|---------------------------|------|----------|--------------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|----------------------|
| 4040 | 127Abram lake road | 1990 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 2005 | 57 HYW664 | | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | ? | |
| 2261 | 161 Moosehorn road | ??? | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | ? | |
| 8027 | 6 East road | 1970 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | pole | 45 | |
| 8082 | 24 Wren Way road Big V | 1970 | 15 | | Yes | Yes | pole | 45 | |
| 3311 | 67 Abram lake road | 1970 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 45 | |
| 987 | 41 Forest drive | 1970 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 45 | |
| 3428 | 651 HYW 72 | 1970 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 45 | |
| 3341 | 21 Tower Hill road | 1971 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 44 | |
| 1481 | 173 Forest drive | 1971 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 44 | |
| 539 | 80 5th Ave | 1972 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 43 | |
| 3075 | 76 Wren Way road Big V | 1972 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | pole | 43 | |
| 899 | Street Lite TX 651Drayton | 1972 | 10 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 43 | |
| 3076 | 21 Grand Trunk Pacific tr | 1973 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 42 | |
| 3501 | 724 Drayton road | 1974 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 41 | |
| 751 | 89 Prince Street | 1975 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 40 | |
| 477 | 123-4th Ave | 1975 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 40 | |
| 608R | Sioux Hydro Shop | 1975 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 40 | |
| 608W | Sioux Hydro Shop | 1975 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 40 | |
| 608B | Sioux Hydro Shop | 1975 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 40 | |
| 979 | 712 Drayton road | 1975 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 40 | |
| 3024 | 15 Ogden drive | 1975 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 40 | |
| 2491 | 264 Abram lake road | 1975 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 40 | |
| 3336 | 21 Sun&Sand road | 1975 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 40 | |
| 476W | 54 Princess Street | 1976 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 39 | |
| 117 | 990 Sturgeon river road | 1978 | 25 dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 37 | |
| 603 | Street lite | 1978 | 10 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 37 | |
| 3487 | 8 Ogden drive | 1978 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 37 | |
| 1949 | 434 HYW 72 | 1978 | 50dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 37 | |
| 1523 | 572 HYW 72 | 1978 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 37 | |
| 236 | Cook's Mile 5 | 1978 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 37 | |
| 4056 | Pelican School road | 1979 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 446 | Back Lane 5th Ave S | 1979 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 440 | 4 Government Row | 1979 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 444 B | 5th Ave S Wellington Inn | 1979 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 444R | 5th Ave S Wellington Inn | 1979 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 444W | 5th Ave S Wellington Inn | 1979 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 467 | 14 Wellington Street | 1979 | 100 dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 380 | 17 Westpoint Cove | 1979 | 50dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 483 | 54 Queen Street | 1979 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 418 | 46-7th Ave | 1979 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 420 | 42 7th Ave | 1979 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 501 | Rear 76 Front Street | 1979 | 100 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 1318 | 2200 HYW 664 | 1979 | 10 | | Yes | Yes | pole | 36 | |
| 8016 | 3rd street & 5th ave | 1979 | 50dual | | Yes | Yes | pole | 36 | |
| 8014 | 6 3rd street | 1979 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | pole | 36 | |
| 8001 | 30 3rd street | 1979 | 100 | 0 4 00 | Yes | Yes | pole | 36 | |
| 3500 | 909 Drayton road | 1979 | 50dual | Oct-08 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 1003 | by Drayton road | 1979 | TUdual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 984 | 103 Drayton road | 1979 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 924 | 98 HYW 72 | 1979 | 50dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |

COMMENTS

| 281 pole mount units listed as NO or | r unknow | n PCB levels |
|--------------------------------------|----------|--------------|
| Average Age of this group | 32.58 | years |
| | | |

Max age of this group 45 years

Data Changed as per Email Confirmation from Tom Sayers

Health Index Ranking By Colour



SIOUX LOOKOUT HYDRO Pole Mount Transformers Health Index Ranking

| Location | Street Address | Year | K.V.A | Install Date | Non-Pcb | NON-PCB | Pole/Pad | Age (yrs) | Health Index Ranking |
|----------|---------------------------|------|---------|--------------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|----------------------|
| 1185 | 3 Loon lake road | 1979 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 4124 | 17 Forest drive | 1979 | 50dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 4119 | 15 Forest drive | 1979 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 978 | 83 Forest drive | 1979 | 10dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 976 | 157 Forest drive | 1979 | 50dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 3021 | 166 Forest drive | 1979 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 2572 | 141 Forest drive | 1979 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 1043 | 626 HYW 72 | 1979 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 1566 | 74 Cedar Point drive | 1979 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 1707 | 139 Cedar Point drive | 1979 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 1710 | 55Cedar Point drive West | 1979 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 992 | 947 HYW 72 | 1979 | 50dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 1479 | 527 Moosehorn road | 1979 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 640 | 471 Moosehorn road | 1979 | 25 Dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 970 | 1284 HYW 72 | 1979 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 997 | 2621 HYW 72 | 1979 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 2240 | 464 Indian trail | 1979 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 2033 | 3923 Butterfly lake road | 1979 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 2086 | Abram lake street lite | 1979 | 10dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 3079 | 380 Goretzki drive | 1980 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 613 | CNR East yard bank | 1980 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 613 | CNR East yard bank | 1980 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 612 | Bunkhouse HYW642 | 1980 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 533 | May Street | 1980 | 167 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 535 | 33 May Street | 1980 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 532 B | Forrest Inn May Street | 1980 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 532 W | Forrest Inn May Street | 1980 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 532 R | Forrest Inn May Street | 1980 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 531 | Hill Crest Cemetery RD | 1980 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 468 | 6 Wellington ST backlane | 1980 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 453 W | 60 4th Ave S Lift Station | 1980 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 453 B | 60 4th Ave S Lift Station | 1980 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 453 R | 60 4th Ave S Lift Station | 1980 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 485 | 56 Rear King Street | 1980 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 398 | 132 Front Street | 1980 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 424 | 8-7th Ave | 1980 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 421 | 11-7th Ave | 1980 | 100 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 580 | Bumper to Bumper | 1980 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 581 | Black Bear drive | 1980 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 579 | 101 Alcona Drive | 1980 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 586R | Buchanan Garage | 1980 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 586W | Buchanan Garage | 1980 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 586B | Buchanan Garage | 1980 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 609 | Hoey's CM service | 1980 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 604 | 21 Airport Road | 1980 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 602 | Allan Airways | 1980 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 590 | Old Airport Fuel Office | 1980 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 1327 | 2195 HYW 664 | 1980 | 50dual | | Yes | Yes | pole | 35 | |
| 8022 | 13 4th street | 1980 | 50dual | | Yes | Yes | pole | 35 | |
| 8019 | 15 4th street | 1980 | 10dual | | Yes | Yes | pole | 35 | |
| 3377 | 8 vvren vvay road Big V | 1980 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | pole | 35 | |
| 3474 | 613 Sturgeon river road | 1980 | 10 dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 927 | 98 Boy Scout Road | 1980 | 25 dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |

Health Index Ranking By Colour Very Poor Poor Fair Good Very Good

COMMENTS

SIOUX LOOKOUT HYDRO Pole Mount Transformers Health Index Ranking

| Location | Street Address | Year | K.V.A | Install Date | Non-Pcb | NON-PCB | Pole/Pad | Age (yrs) | Health Index Ranking |
|----------|--------------------------|------|----------------|--------------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|----------------------|
| 3502 | 601 Drayton road | 1980 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 85 | 478 Drayton road | 1980 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 2149 | 9 Delseg drive | 1980 | 50dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 945 | 170MoonShadow Drive | 1980 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 903 | 50 Drayton road | 1980 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 578 | 26 Drayton road | 1980 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 3239 | 8 Fanning ave | 1980 | 50dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 1019 | 238 Abram lake road | 1980 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 2166 | 36 Horizon drive | 1980 | 10 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 2111 | 112 Forest drive | 1980 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 1692 | 326 HYW 72 Lamplighter | 1980 | 167 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 921 | 525 HYW 72 | 1980 | 100 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 1791 | 537 HYW 72 | 1980 | 50dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 926 | 641 HYW 72 | 1980 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 3522 | 16 Whispering Pines | 1980 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 4079 | 888 Hyw 72 G Bower | 1980 | 25 | 2009 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 2762 | 2547 HYW 72 | 1980 | 10dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 2180 | 143 Voyageur's North rd | 1980 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 2098 | Voyageur's N Street lite | 1980 | 10 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 2233 | 3951 Butterfly lake road | 1980 | 10dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 1396 | 4103 Timber Edge road | 1980 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 4137 | 12 Queen Street | 1981 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 34 | |
| 511 | 38 Prince Street | 1981 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 34 | |
| 510 B | 40 Prince Street | 1981 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 34 | |
| 510 W | 40 Prince Street | 1981 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 34 | |
| 510 R | 40 Prince Street | 1981 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 34 | |
| 526 | 11 Prince Street | 1981 | 100 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 34 | |
| 472 | 35 5th Ave | 1981 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 34 | |
| 545 | 17 Rear Cenntenial | 1981 | 50dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 34 | |
| 584 | Buchanan Office | 1981 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 34 | |
| 2247 | 2000 HYW 664 | 1981 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | pole | 34 | |
| 931 | 812 Sturgeon river road | 1981 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 34 | |
| 2215 | 576 Sturgeon river road | 1981 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 34 | |
| 929 | 36 Penny Lane | 1981 | 50 dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 34 | |
| 2218 | 10 Penny Lane | 1981 | 25 dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 34 | |
| 2928 | 385 Sturgeon river road | 1981 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 34 | |
| 953 | 771Drayton road | 1981 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 34 | |
| 2236 | 286 Abram lake road | 1981 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 34 | |
| 2207 | 14 Cedar Point drive W | 1981 | 50dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 34 | |
| 3520 | 12 Whispering Pines | 1981 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 34 | |
| 2584 | 707 HYW 72 | 1981 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 34 | |
| 430 | 103 Moosenorn road | 1981 | 25duai | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 34 | |
| 8043 | Bell Tower HYW 664 | 1982 | 25tri | | Yes | Yes | pole | 33 | |
| 8009 | 3302 HYV 664 | 1982 | 100tri | | Yes | Yes | pole | 33 | |
| 8006 | 1st Street | 1982 | 10 | | Yes | Yes | pole | 33 | |
| 8011 | 4th & Mill road | 1982 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | pole | 33 | |
| 0023 | 7 Bernier Cres. | 1902 | 05 tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 33 | |
| 934 | 602 Drayton road | 1982 | ∠O UI 50tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 33 22 | |
| 1361 | 600 Drayton road | 1002 | 50tri | | Vos | Vos | Pole | 33 | |
| 2402 | 532 Drayton road | 1002 | 25tri | | Yes | Vec | Pole | 33 | |
| 999 | 503 Drayton road | 1082 | 20tri | | Ves | Ves | Pole | 33 | |
| 1636 | 93 Sturgeon Meadows | 1082 | 50tri | | Ves | Ves | Pole | 33 | |
| 1000 | ss stargoon moduoms | 1002 | 5000 | | 100 | 103 | 1 010 | 55 | |

Health Index Ranking By Colour Very Poor Poor Fair Good Very Good

COMMENTS
| Location | Street Address | Year | K.V.A | Install Date | Non-Pcb | NON-PCB | Pole/Pad | Age (yrs) | Health Index Ranking |
|----------|-------------------------|------|--------|--------------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|----------------------|
| 940 | 365 Drayton road | 1982 | 100tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 33 | |
| 2113 | 304 Drayton road | 1982 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 33 | |
| 2026 | 224 Drayton road | 1982 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 33 | |
| 4125 | 20 Fanning ave | 1982 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 33 | |
| 717 | 92 Abram lake road | 1982 | 10tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 33 | |
| 48 | 52 Loon lake road | 1982 | 10tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 33 | |
| 2421 | 55 Sun&Sand road | 1982 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 33 | |
| 2473 | 91 Cedar Point drive | 1982 | 25tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 33 | |
| 1818 | 127 Cedar Point drive | 1982 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 33 | |
| 1434 | 401 Moosehorn road | 1982 | 25tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 33 | |
| 998 | 225 Moosehorn road | 1982 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 33 | |
| 2357 | 183? Moosehorn road | 1982 | 25tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 33 | |
| 918 | 135 Moosehorn road | 1982 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 33 | |
| 2140 | 62 Moosehorn road | 1982 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 33 | |
| 2461 | 42 Moosehorn road | 1982 | 25tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 33 | |
| 973 | 2099 HYW 72 | 1982 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 33 | |
| 2458 | 2236 HYW 72 | 1982 | 25tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 33 | |
| 965 | 2385 HYW 72 | 1982 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 33 | |
| 4045 | 3951Butterfly lake road | 1982 | 25tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 33 | |
| 2224 | 311 HYW 664 MTO | 1982 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 33 | |
| 2479 | 2004 HYW664 | 1983 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | pole | 32 | |
| 8015 | 4th ave | 1983 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | pole | 32 | |
| 8007 | 53 West street | 1983 | 25tri | | Yes | Yes | pole | 32 | |
| 8012 | 52 3rd street | 1983 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | pole | 32 | |
| 8013 | 12 Mill road | 1983 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | pole | 32 | |
| 8024 | 13 Bernier Cres. | 1983 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | pole | 32 | |
| 8032 | 19 Bernier Cres | 1983 | 25tri | | Yes | Yes | pole | 32 | |
| 1616 | 976 Sturgeon river road | 1983 | 50 tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 32 | |
| 982 | 406 Sturgeon river road | 1983 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 32 | |
| 4140 | 798 Drayton road | 1983 | 25tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 32 | |
| 1798 | 38 Fanning ave | 1983 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 32 | |
| 2452 | 402 HYW 72 | 1983 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 32 | |
| 2167 | 299 Ogemah road | 1983 | 25tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 32 | |
| 1324 | 458 Indian trail | 1983 | 25tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 32 | |
| 2014 | 97 Hyw 664 | 1983 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 32 | |
| 611 | 9 Creosote road | 1984 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 31 | |
| 954 | 778 Drayton road | 1984 | 25tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 31 | |
| 2573 | 246 Abram lake road | 1984 | 25tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 31 | |
| 2566 | 1800 South Shore Drive | 1984 | 25tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 31 | |
| 454 | 4th Ave S Carroll's car | 1985 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 457 | 48 Wellington Street | 1985 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 451 | 67 Wellington Street | 1985 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 4053 | Rear 49 5th | 1985 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 417 | 41-7th Ave | 1985 | 75 | 2009 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 2759 | 3312 HYW 664 | 1985 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | pole | 30 | |
| 2759 | 3312 HYW 664 | 1985 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | pole | 30 | |
| 8003 | 6th ave Hudson | 1985 | 100tri | | Yes | Yes | pole | 30 | |
| 8017 | Fire Hall | 1985 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | pole | 30 | |
| 8028 | Strarratt road | 1985 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | pole | 30 | |
| 8020 | Strarratt road | 1985 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | pole | 30 | |
| 939 | 478 Sturgeon river road | 1985 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 106 | 171 Sturgeon river road | 1985 | 25tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 2459 | 653 Drayton road | 1985 | 50 tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 30 | |

Health Index Ranking By Colour Very Poor Poor Fair Good Very Good

| Location | Street Address | Year | K.V.A | Install Date | Non-Pcb | NON-PCB | Pole/Pad | Age (yrs) | Health Index Ranking |
|----------|--------------------------|------|----------------|--------------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|----------------------|
| 3504 | 262 Dravton road | 1985 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 30 | J |
| 3425 | 69 Abram lake road | 1985 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 2422 | 91 Sun&Sand road | 1985 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 600 | | 1095 | 50tri | | Voc | Voc | Polo | 20 | |
| 2742 | | 1900 | 50tri | | Voc | Voc | Polo | 20 | |
| 2750 | 10// HTW /2 | 1900 | 30th | | Vee | Yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 2750 | 317 Moosehom road | 1900 | 2011 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 2301 | 81 Woosenom road | 1985 | SUTI | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 30 | |
| /0/ | 231 Ogeman road | 1985 | SUTI | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 2595 | 331 Ogemah road | 1985 | 25tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 969 | 1997 HYW 72 | 1985 | 25tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 2184 | 2201 HYW 72 | 1985 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 2577 | 169 Voyageur's North rd | 1985 | 25tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 390B | Sacred Heart Church | 1986 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 29 | |
| 390R | Sacred Heart Church | 1986 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 29 | |
| 390W | Sacred Heart Church | 1986 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 29 | |
| 547R | Moran Lift Station | 1986 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 29 | |
| 2759 | 3312 HYW 664 | 1986 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | pole | 29 | |
| 8021 | 15- 5th ave | 1986 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | pole | 29 | |
| 8002 | 24 2nd street | 1986 | 100tri | | Yes | Yes | pole | 29 | |
| 8008 | 100 3rd street | 1986 | 100tri | | Yes | Yes | pole | 29 | |
| 2037 | 835 Drayton road | 1986 | 25tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 29 | |
| 4123 | 364 Abram lake road | 1986 | 50tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 29 | |
| 1009 | 1041 HYW72 | 1986 | 100tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 29 | |
| 1497 | 2025 HYW72 | 1986 | 25tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 29 | |
| 975 | Pelican School road | 1987 | 100tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 28 | |
| 384 | 144 Queen Street | 1987 | 100tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 28 | |
| 403 | 116 Queen Street | 1987 | 100tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 28 | |
| 470 | 80 Queen Street | 1987 | 100tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 28 | |
| 386 | 123 Prince Street | 1987 | 100tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 28 | |
| 387 | 105 Prince Street | 1987 | 100tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 28 | |
| 576 | Rear 12 Meadwell | 1987 | 100tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 28 | |
| 302 | 123 King Street | 1087 | 100tri | | Yes | Ves | Pole | 28 | |
| 575 | 37 2nd Ave N | 1007 | 100tri | | Yes | Ves | Polo | 28 | |
| 478 | 110 Meadwell | 1007 | 100 | | Vos | Vec | Polo | 28 | |
| 4/0 | 81-7th Ave | 1087 | 100tri | | Vec | Voc | Polo | 20 | |
| 403 | Poor Front & 5th Avo | 1007 | 50 | | Voc | Voc | Polo | 20 | |
| 9004 | Real FIOII & Still Ave | 1007 | 30 100tri | | Voc | Voc | Polo | 20 | |
| 0004 | Post Office 2nd ave | 1907 | 254= | | Vee | Vec | Pole | 20 | |
| 950 | 62 Eapping ave | 1907 | 2011 100tri | | Vee | Yes | Pole | 20 | |
| 920 | 62 Familing ave | 1907 | 25 Duel | | Vee | Yes | Pole | 20 | |
| 1454 | 429 Moosenon Toad | 1907 | 25 Duai | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 20 | |
| 2963 | Woon Shadow drive | 1987 | 25tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 28 | |
| 4139 | 774 Sturgeon river road | 1988 | 25 tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 27 | |
| 1276 | 885 Drayton road | 1988 | 10tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 27 | |
| 449 | 8 - 6 th Ave S | 1989 | 100tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 448 | 71 Ethel Street | 1989 | 100tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 447 | 61 Etnel Street | 1989 | 100tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 445 | 64 Bay Street | 1989 | 100tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 3162 | Bell Site HYW72 mile 11 | 1990 | 25tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 1461 | 1044 Sturgeon river road | 1991 | 50 tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 24 | |
| 935 | 560 Sturgeon river road | 1991 | 25tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 24 | |
| 1574 | 497 Moosehorn road | 1993 | 25dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 22 | |
| 4132 | 10 Corner Stone road | 1996 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 19 | |
| 4121 | 43 Mill road | 1998 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 17 | |

Health Index Ranking By Colour Very Poor Poor Fair Good Very Good

| Location | Street Address | Year | KVA | Install Date | Non-Pcb | NON-PCB | Pole/Pad | Age (vrs) | Health Index Ranking |
|-----------------|---------------------------|------|---------|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|----------------------|
| 972 | Forest drive street lite | 1998 | 10 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 17 | |
| 950 | 8 Cedar Point drive | 2001 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 14 | |
| 4063 | 415 Sturgeon River road | 2007 | 25 | 2008 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 8 | |
| 4005 | 20 Autumwood drivo | 2007 | 25 | 11 10 | Vee | Vee | Dele | 4 | |
| 4095 | 20 Autumwood unve | 2011 | 25 | i i-Jui | Vee | Yes | Pole | 4 | |
| 3321 | 14 Whispening Pines | 2011 | 25 | 2012 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 4 | |
| 4111 | 97 Frieson Biva | 2011 | 25 | 2012 | res | Yes | Pole | 4 | |
| 4110 | 109 Frieson Bivd | 2011 | 25 | 2012 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 4 | |
| 4129 | 564 drayton road | 2012 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 3 | |
| 2169 | 417 Moosehorn road | | | | Yes | Yes | | ? | |
| 2764 | 1408 HYW 664 Deer path | 1972 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | pole | 43 | |
| 8025 | 3260 HYW 664 | 1979 | 10 | | Yes | Yes | pole | 36 | |
| 4165 | 10 1st Ave | 1979 | 50 | 2014 | Yes | Yes | pole | 36 | |
| 4115 | pole F2/BRD02 Boat Lauch | 1980 | 10 | 2012 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 1006 | 1900 South Shore Drive | 1980 | 10dual | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 959 | 1920 South Shore Drive | 1980 | 10 | 2012 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 1321 | 2100 HYW 664 | 1982 | 10 | | Yes | Yes | pole | 33 | |
| 4045 | 3951 Butterfly lake road | 1982 | 25tri | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 33 | |
| 4167 | HYW664 | 1984 | 25 | 2014 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 31 | |
| 980 | 351 Moosenorn road | 1987 | | 25 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 28 | |
| 4047 | Boulder drive street lite | 1987 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 28 | |
| 4112 | Goretzki drive | 1988 | 10 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 27 | |
| 527 | 3 Queen Street | 1991 | 100 |) | Yes | Yes | Pole | 24 | |
| 546 | Rear 21 Centennial Dr | 2011 | 50 | Jun-11 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 4 | |
| 4071 | Airport Right- Away | 1980 | 10 | Julv/19/11 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 547W | Moran Lift Station | 1984 | 25 | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | Yes | Yes | Pole | 31 | |
| 2865 | 32 Cedar Point drive W | 1988 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 27 | |
| 464 R | Wellington Street | 1990 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 464 W | Wellington Street | 1990 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 464 B | Wellington Street | 1990 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 431 R | 80 Front Street | 1990 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 431W | 80 Front Street | 1990 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 431B | 80 Front Street | 1000 | 50 | | Ves | Yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 502B | Rear 46 Front Street | 1000 | 167 | | Ves | Ves | Pole | 25 | |
| 502D | Rear 46 Front Street | 1000 | 167 | | Voc | Ves | Pole | 25 | |
| 5021 | Rear 46 Front Street | 1001 | 167 | | Voc | Vec | Polo | 20 | |
| 2255 | Real 40 Front Street | 1002 | 107 | | Voc | Voc | Polo | 24 | |
| 33333 459 M/ | Pohin's Doputs | 1992 | 20 | | Voc | Voc | Polo | 20 | |
| 450 W | Robin's Donuts | 1995 | 20 | | Vee | Vee | Pole | 20 | |
| 400 K | Robin's Donuis | 1995 | 25 | | Vee | Yes | Pole | 20 | |
| 400 D | Robin's Donuis | 1995 | 25 | | Vee | Yes | Pole | 20 | |
| 2/// | | 1995 | 20 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 20 | |
| 8044 | | 1996 | 25 duai | | Yes | Yes | pole | 19 | |
| 3432 | 832 H 1 W004 | 1996 | 25 | | res | Yes | Pole | 19 | |
| 3432 | 832 HYW664 | 1996 | 25 | 0000 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 19 | |
| 405770 | HYW 72& Beech ave | 1998 | 15 | 2008 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 17 | |
| 4057R | HYW/2 & Beech ave | 1998 | 15 | 2008 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 17 | |
| 9025 | 17 Bernier Cres | 1998 | 25 | D 40/44 | Yes | Yes | pole | 1/ | |
| 3155 | 16 Wren Way road Big V | 1998 | 25 | Dec-19/11 | Yes | Yes | pole | 17 | |
| 4073 | 810 Sturgeon river road | 1998 | 25 | 9-Jul | Yes | Yes | Pole | 17 | |
| 1794 | 161 Cedar Point drive | 1998 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 17 | |
| 4057B | HYW 72& Beech ave | 1998 | 15 | 2008 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 17 | |
| 4096 | 111 Prince Str | 2004 | 50 | May-11 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 11 | |
| 4060 | 2-1st Ave South | 2007 | 50 | Aug 1-2008 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 8 | |
| 520 | 24 Front Street | 2007 | 50 | Aug 17-2008 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 8 | |

Health Index Ranking By Colour Very Poor Poor Fair Good Very Good

| Location | Street Address | Year | K.V.A | Install Date | Non-Pcb | NON-PCB | Pole/Pad | Age (yrs) | Health Index Ranking |
|----------|-------------------------|------|--------|-----------------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|----------------------|
| 415 | 61-7th Ave | 2007 | 50 | Jan-28/09 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 8 | |
| 4061 | 26 Drayton Road | 2007 | 25 | 2008 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 8 | |
| 4065 | 16 Horizon Drive | 2007 | 25 | 2008 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 8 | |
| 2427 | 83 Sun&Sand road | 2007 | 50 | 2008 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 8 | |
| 4135 | 143 Cedar Point drive | 2007 | 50 | 2008 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 8 | |
| 4062 | 35 Frieson Blvd | 2007 | 25 | 2008 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 8 | |
| 4059 | 43 Friesen Blvd | 2007 | 25 | 2008 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 8 | |
| 4086 | Goverment Row | 2008 | 25 | April-21/10 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 7 | |
| 4127 | 22-Wellington Street | 2008 | 50 | Oct-20/08 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 7 | |
| 4109 | 118 Front Street | 2008 | 50 | Jul-12 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 7 | |
| 4018 | 860 Drayton road | 2008 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 7 | |
| 4085 | 11 Autumwood drive | 2008 | 25 | 10-Apr | Yes | Yes | Pole | 7 | |
| 4074 | 4 Aspen drive | 2008 | 25 | 2009/Jul | Yes | Yes | Pole | 7 | |
| 4087 | Evergreen Drive | 2008 | 25 | 06/16/10 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 7 | |
| 766 | 131 Ogemah road | 2008 | 50 | Oct-08 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 7 | |
| 1607 | 379 Ogemah road | 2008 | 50 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 7 | |
| 1771 | 1324 HYW 72 | 2008 | 25 | 10/5/2010 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 7 | |
| 4105 | 34 Front Street | 2008 | 50 | Nov-29/11 <2PPM | Yes | Yes | Pole | 7 | |
| 2990 | 715 Drayton road | 2010 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 5 | |
| 4088 | Blue Heron Drive | 2010 | 25 | 6/24/2010 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 5 | |
| 2112 | Step down Fireside | 2010 | 167 | 2010 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 5 | |
| 4102 | Timber Edge Road | 2010 | 250 | 2011 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 5 | |
| 4101 | 463 Legros road | 2011 | 25 | 2011 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 4 | |
| 4103 | 40 Goretzki drive | 2011 | 25 | 2011 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 4 | |
| 4038 | Boat Launch | 2011 | 25 | June-16/12 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 4 | |
| 3188 | 66 Forest drive | 2011 | 25 | 2012 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 4 | |
| 1565 | 9 Cedar Point drive | 2011 | 25 | 2011 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 4 | |
| 4107 | 45 Blue Heron Drive | 2011 | 25 | 2011 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 4 | |
| 4098 | Boat Lanch Rd | 2011 | 25 | 2011 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 4 | |
| 4130 | 10 Aspen drive | 2012 | 25 | | Yes | Yes | Pole | 3 | |
| 4072 | 130 Prince street | 2013 | 167 | Nov,18.2013 | Yes | Yes | Pole | 2 | |
| 459 | 32 Robert St | 19?? | 50tri | 2014 | Yes | Yes | Pole | ? | |
| 603R | 21 Airport Road | 19?? | 25 | | У | yes | Pole | ? | |
| 603W | 21 Airport Road | 19?? | 25 | | У | yes | Pole | ? | |
| 603B | 21 Airport Road | 19?? | 25 | | У | yes | Pole | ? | |
| 4036 | | | | | У | yes | Pole | ? | |
| 4078 | Indian Trail collector | | 3 | 2009 | У | yes | Pole | ? | |
| 2535 | 319 Abram lake road | 1970 | 37 | | У | yes | Pole | 45 | |
| 461 | 41 York Back Lane | 1973 | 100 | | У | yes | Pole | 42 | |
| 396 | 110 Front Street | 1974 | 100 | | У | yes | Pole | 41 | |
| 3310 | 283 Abram lake road | 1975 | 25 | | У | yes | Pole | 40 | |
| 2143 | 330 Abram lake road | 1975 | 25 | | У | yes | Pole | 40 | |
| 406W | 97 Front Street | 1979 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 577 | 3 Hannah Cres. | 1979 | 50tri | | У | yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 991 | 911Drayton road | 1979 | 50dual | | У | yes | Pole | 36 | |
| 610 | HYW 642 | 1980 | 10 | | non | yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 4022 | 8th Ave School Portable | 1980 | 25 | July,15.2013 | non | yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 463 | 34 Wellington Street | 1980 | 25 | | У | yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 463 | 34 vvellington Street | 1980 | 25 | | У | yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 512 | 3rd Ave | 1980 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 516 | 2nd Ave | 1980 | 25 | | У | yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 552 | 10 Rear Fair Street | 1980 | 100 | | У | yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 528 | ISLAVE | 1980 | 100 | | V | yes | Pole | 35 | |

Health Index Ranking By Colour Very Poor Poor Fair Good Very Good

| Location | Street Address | Year | K.V.A | Install Date | Non-Pcb | NON-PCB | Pole/Pad | Age (yrs) | Health Index Ranking |
|-------------|----------------------------|------|--------------|--------------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|----------------------|
| 600 | Airport Office | 1980 | 50 | | у | yes | Pole | 35 | Ĭ |
| 4077 | 63 Autumwood drive | 1980 | 25 | 9-Aug | ý | yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 4089 | Fireside road street lite | 1980 | 10 | • | ý | yes | Pole | 35 | |
| 495R | Rear 61 Front Street | 1981 | 100 | | v | ves | Pole | 34 | |
| 495W | Rear 61 Front Street | 1981 | 100 | | y y | ves | Pole | 34 | |
| 495B | Rear 61 Front Street | 1981 | 100 | | y y | ves | Pole | 34 | |
| 2981 | 277 Sturgeon river road | 1981 | 50 | | y | ves | Pole | 34 | |
| 4041 | Airport Tower | 1982 | 10tri | | y | ves | Pole | 33 | |
| 902 | 172 Sturgeon river road | 1082 | 50 | | y | Ves | Pole | 33 | |
| 907 | 634 Drayton road | 1982 | 50 tri | | y V | ves | Pole | 33 | |
| 1202 | 39 Cedar Point drive | 1082 | 50tri | | y | Ves | Pole | 33 | |
| 1559 | 549 Mooseborn road | 1082 | 50 | | y | Ves | Pole | 33 | |
| 4052 | 1742 South Shore Drive | 1082 | 25tri | | y | yes | Polo | 33 | |
| 4002 | Rear 00 Front Street | 1083 | 50 | | y | yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 423 406P | Rear 62 Front Street | 1083 | 100 | | y | yes | Polo | 32 | |
| 4060 | Rear 62 Front Street | 1000 | 100 | | y | yes | Polo | 22 | |
| 4900 | Real 02 Front Street | 1000 | 100 | | У | yes | Pole | 32 | |
| 49000 | 1956 South Shore Drive | 1903 | 100 25tri | | У | yes | Pole | 32 | |
| 2009 | 1656 Soull Shole Drive | 1903 | 2011 | | У | yes | Pole | 32 | |
| 4120 | 68 Lakeshore Drive | 1984 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 31 | |
| 521 407D | Rear 18 Prince Street | 1985 | 50 | 40/7/0040 | non | yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 427R | Legion | 1985 | 100 | 12/7/2010 | У | yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 3006 | 121 Legros road | 1985 | 25dual | | У | yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 3252 | 1st tower HYW 642 | 1985 | 25tri | | У | yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 452 R | 4th Ave S Custom Collision | 1985 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 452 | 4th Ave S Custom Collision | 1985 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 452 | 4th Ave S Custom Collision | 1985 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 549R | 52 King Strreet | 1985 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 549B | 52 King Strreet | 1985 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 549W | 52 King Strreet | 1985 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 517 | 16 King Street | 1985 | 75 | | У | yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 518 | 20 King Street | 1985 | 75 | | У | yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 490 | 54 King Street | 1985 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 519 | 14 Front Street | 1985 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 473 | 5th Ave Fire Hall | 1985 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 541 | 54-5th Ave | 1985 | 75 | | У | yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 540 | 70 5th Ave | 1985 | 75 | | У | yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 430 | Rear 80 Front Street | 1985 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 427W | Legion | 1985 | 100 | 12/7/2010 | У | yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 427B | Legion | 1985 | 100 | 12/7/2010 | У | yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 4044 | 22 Aspen drive | 1985 | 75 | | У | yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 3401 | 148 Abram lake road | 1985 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 2799 | 2201 HYW 72 | 1985 | 25tri | | У | yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 4064 | 1780 South Shore Drive | 1985 | 50tri | 2008 | У | yes | Pole | 30 | |
| 466 | 17 2nd Ave S | 1986 | 75 | | У | yes | Pole | 29 | |
| 383 | 162 Queen Street | 1986 | 167 | | У | yes | Pole | 29 | |
| 484 | 50 Queen Street | 1986 | 75 | | у | yes | Pole | 29 | |
| 547B | Moran Lift Station | 1986 | 25 | | у | yes | Pole | 29 | |
| 968 | 2401 HYW 72 | 1986 | 25tri | | у | yes | Pole | 29 | |
| 2692 | 1700 South Shore Drive | 1986 | 25tri | | У | yes | Pole | 29 | |
| 524 | Rear 38 3rd Ave | 1987 | 50 | | non | yes | Pole | 28 | |
| 422 | 36-7th Ave | 1987 | 100 | | non | yes | Pole | 28 | |
| 465 | Wellington Street | 1987 | 75 | | у | yes | Pole | 28 | |
| 385 | 134 Queen Street | 1987 | 50 | | ý | yes | Pole | 28 | |

Health Index Ranking By Colour Very Poor Poor Fair Good Very Good

| I | Location | Street Address | Year | K.V.A | Install Date | Non-Pcb | NON-PCB | Pole/Pad | Age (vrs) | Health Index | Rankin |
|---|-------------|--------------------------|------|----------------|--------------|----------|---------|----------|-----------|--------------|--------|
| i | 514 | 32 Queen Street | 1987 | 100 | 2011 | v | ves | Pole | 28 | | |
| | 513 | 27 Prince Street | 1987 | 75tri | 2011 | y | ves | Pole | 28 | | |
| | 523 | 38 3rd Ave | 1987 | 100 | | y | Ves | Polo | 28 | | |
| | 5020 | | 1007 | 100 | | y | yee | Polo | 20 | | |
| | 523 | | 1907 | 100 | | У | yes | Pole | 20 | | |
| | 523 474D | So Siu Ave | 1907 | 100 | | У | yes | Pole | 20 | | |
| | 474B | Sth Ave Town Garage | 1987 | 100 | | У | yes | Pole | 28 | | |
| | 47400 | Sth Ave Town Garage | 1987 | 100 | | У | yes | Pole | 28 | | |
| | 474R | Stn Ave Town Garage | 1987 | 100 | | У | yes | Pole | 28 | | |
| | 572 | 80 Rear 2nd Ave N | 1987 | 100tri | | У | yes | Pole | 28 | | |
| | 538 | 15 Rear Meadwell | 1987 | 100 | | У | yes | Pole | 28 | | |
| | 500R | Rear 68 Front Street | 1987 | 75 | | У | yes | Pole | 28 | | |
| | 500W | Rear 68 Front Street | 1987 | 75 | | У | yes | Pole | 28 | | |
| | 500B | Rear 68 Front Street | 1987 | 75 | | У | yes | Pole | 28 | | |
| | 493 | Rear 56 Front Street | 1987 | 75 | | У | yes | Pole | 28 | | |
| | 508 | Rear 2 Front Street | 1987 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 28 | | |
| | 583R | Windigo First Nation 642 | 1987 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 28 | | |
| | 583W | Windigo First Nation 642 | 1987 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 28 | | |
| | 583B | Windigo First Nation 642 | 1987 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 28 | | |
| | 585 | Buchanan Plugs | 1987 | 50 | | ý | yes | Pole | 28 | | |
| | 556 | 84-1st Ave | 1987 | 75 | | v | ves | Pole | 28 | | |
| | 557 | 90 1st Ave | 1987 | 75 | | ý | ves | Pole | 28 | | |
| | 435W | CNR 3 Phase bank | 1987 | 100 | | ý | ves | Pole | 28 | | |
| | 8005 | 21 1st ave | 1987 | 100tri | | v | ves | pole | 28 | | |
| | 1244 | 144 Tower Hill road | 1987 | 50 | | y | ves | Pole | 28 | | |
| | 4134 | 330 Sanders road w | 1087 | 50 | | , , | Ves | Pole | 28 | | |
| | 296 | 62 Cedar Point drive | 1087 | 25tri | | y | Ves | Pole | 28 | | |
| | 3206 | 362 Boulder drive | 1087 | 25tri | | y | yes | Polo | 20 | | |
| | 2086 | 60 Legros road | 1088 | 25tri | | y non | yes | Polo | 20 | | |
| | 2001 | 22 Corotzki drivo | 1000 | 25tri | | non | yes | Polo | 27 | | |
| | 2042 | 556 Sturgoon river road | 1000 | Z0III 50tri | | non | yes | Polo | 27 | | |
| | 2942 | 2065 Butterfly loke read | 1900 | OUII OEtri | | non | yes | Pole | 27 | | |
| | 0505 | 1700 Courth Chang Drive | 1900 | 2001 | | non | yes | Pole | 27 | | |
| | 2000 | 1786 South Shore Drive | 1988 | ZOTI | | non | yes | Pole | 27 | | |
| | 3113 | 185 Legros road | 1988 | 2511 | | У | yes | Pole | 27 | | |
| | 3010 | 383 Legros road | 1988 | 25tri | | У | yes | Pole | 27 | | |
| | 2998 | 62 Goretzki drive | 1988 | 25tri | | У | yes | Pole | 27 | | |
| | 3002 | 58 Goretzki drive | 1988 | 50tri | | У | yes | Pole | 27 | | |
| | 455 | 52 Bay Street | 1988 | 50tri | | У | yes | Pole | 27 | | |
| | 456 | 40 Bay Street | 1988 | 50tri | | У | yes | Pole | 27 | | |
| | 476R | 54 Princess Street | 1988 | 25 | | У | yes | Pole | 27 | | |
| | 476B | 54 Princess Street | 1988 | 25 | | У | yes | Pole | 27 | | |
| | 410R | 75- 7th Ave | 1988 | 50tri | | У | yes | Pole | 27 | | |
| | 410W | 75- 7th Ave | 1988 | 50tri | | У | yes | Pole | 27 | | |
| | 410B | 75- 7th Ave | 1988 | 50tri | | У | yes | Pole | 27 | | |
| | 548R | 3rd Ave Golf Course | 1988 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 27 | | |
| | 548W | 3rd Ave Golf Course | 1988 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 27 | | |
| | 548B | 3rd Ave Golf Course | 1988 | 50 | | ý | yes | Pole | 27 | | |
| | 543 | 1 Rear Cenntenial | 1988 | 50tri | | ý | ves | Pole | 27 | | |
| | 558 | 73 Atwood | 1988 | 50tri | | ý | ves | Pole | 27 | | |
| | 435R | CNR 3 Phase bank | 1988 | 100 | | v | ves | Pole | 27 | | |
| | 435B | CNR 3 Phase bank | 1988 | 100 | | v | Ves | Pole | 27 | | |
| | 2936 | 40 Mill road | 1988 | 25tri | | y V | ves | pole | 27 | | |
| | 3228 | 54 Wren Way road Big V | 1088 | 10 | | у 1/ | Vec | nola | 27 | | |
| | 3147 | 30 Wren Way road Big V | 1089 | 10tri | | У | ves | nole | 27 | | |
| | 5147 | JU WIGH WAY IDAU DIG V | 1300 | 1001 | | у | yes | poie | 21 | | |

Health Index Ranking By Colour Very Poor Poor Fair Good Very Good

| SIC | וכ | JX | L | 0 | O | K | D | J٦ | ΓI | H | YC | DF | 20 | F | o | е | N | lo | ur | nt ' | Trans | sfc | orr | ne | rs | Н | ea | lt | h | Inc | le) | (F | Ran | ki | n | g |
|-----|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|---|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|------|-------|-----|-----|----|----|---|----|----|---|-----|-----|----|-----|----|---|---|
|-----|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|---|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|------|-------|-----|-----|----|----|---|----|----|---|-----|-----|----|-----|----|---|---|

| Location | Street Address | Year | K.V.A | Install Date | Non-Pcb | NON-PCB | Pole/Pad | Age (yrs) | Health Index Ranking |
|----------|--------------------------|------|---------------|--------------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|----------------------|
| 3149 | 20 Sunrise Drive Big V | 1988 | 25tri | | v | ves | pole | 27 | Ŭ |
| 1907 | 46 Sunrise Drive Big V | 1988 | 25 | | ý | ves | pole | 27 | |
| 1372 | 960 Sturgeon river road | 1988 | 25 tri | | v | ves | Pole | 27 | |
| 2972 | 12 Sturgeon Meadows N | 1988 | 25tri | | ý | ves | Pole | 27 | |
| 1021 | 651 Dravton road | 1988 | 25tri | | v | Ves | Pole | 27 | |
| 915 | 23 BoyScout road | 1988 | 25tri | | y | Ves | Pole | 27 | |
| 2033 | 93 Mill road | 1088 | 50tri | | y | Ves | Pole | 27 | |
| 2087 | 48 Abram lake road | 1088 | 50tri | | y | Ves | Pole | 27 | |
| 0/7 | 308 Abram lake road | 1088 | 50tri | | y | yes | Polo | 27 | |
| 0/0 | | 1088 | 25tri | | y | yes | Polo | 27 | |
| 1061 | 217 Maasabara rood | 1000 | 20tri | | y | yes | Polo | 27 | |
| 4020 | 246 Poulder drive | 1000 | 25tri | | У | yes | Polo | 27 | |
| 4020 | | 1000 | 2501 | | У | yes | Pole | 27 | |
| 1242 | 2000 11 11 12 | 1900 | 2501 25tri | | У | yes | Pole | 27 | |
| 2142 | 2401 01 00 72 | 1900 | 2501 25tri | | У | yes | Pole | 27 | |
| 2142 | 2740 11 1072 | 1900 | 2501 | | У | yes | Pole | 27 | |
| 4012 | 3913 HYW72 | 1988 | 25 | | У | yes | Pole | 27 | |
| 2132 | 3939 Butterily lake road | 1988 | 25tri | | У | yes | Pole | 27 | |
| 2567 | 1844 South Shore Drive | 1988 | 25tri | 0000 | У | yes | Pole | 27 | |
| 4069 | South Shore Drive | 1988 | 25 tri | 2008 | У | yes | Pole | 27 | |
| 184 | Palmer's Island | 1988 | 25tri | 2008 | У | yes | Pole | 27 | |
| 3081 | 3485 HYW642 | 1989 | 25tri | | non | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 3026 | 3464 HYW 642 | 1989 | 25tri | | non | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 345 | 924 Sturgeon river road | 1989 | 25tri | | non | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 3193 | 28 Penny Lane | 1989 | 25tri | | non | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 2997 | 285 Legros road | 1989 | 25tri | | У | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 3074 | 281 Legros road | 1989 | 25 | | У | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 3133 | 48 Legros road | 1989 | 25tri | | У | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 3103 | 3561 HYW642 | 1989 | 25tri | | У | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 3052 | 3480 HYW642 | 1989 | 25tri | | У | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 450 | 78 Lake Street | 1989 | 100tri | | У | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 382 | 3 Love Cove | 1989 | 50tri | | У | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 407 | 92 Prince Street | 1989 | 50tri | | У | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 393 | 134 King Street | 1989 | 50tri | | У | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 404 | 85 King Street | 1989 | 50tri | | У | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 426 | 70 King Street | 1989 | 100tri | | У | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 488 | 42 Rear King Street | 1989 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 574 | 72-2nd Ave N | 1989 | 100tri | | У | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 405 | 96 Front Street | 1989 | 50tri | | У | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 412 | 73- 7th Ave | 1989 | 50tri | | У | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 414R | 71-7th Ave Nurses Res. | 1989 | 50tri | | У | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 414W | 71-7th Ave Nurses Res. | 1989 | 50tri | | У | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 414B | 71-7th Ave Nurses Res. | 1989 | 50tri | | У | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 419 | 25 7th Ave | 1989 | 50 dual | | У | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 573 | Curtis Street mail boxes | 1989 | 100tri | | У | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 494R | Rear 58 Front Street | 1989 | 100 | | У | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 494W | Rear 58 Front Street | 1989 | 100 | | ý | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 494B | Rear 58 Front Street | 1989 | 100 | | y | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 504 | Rear 38 Front Street | 1989 | 50tri | | y | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 504 | Rear 38 Front Street | 1989 | 50tri | | ý | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 3061 | 70 Wren Way road Big V | 1989 | 25tri | | y. | yes | pole | 26 | |
| 4017 | 651 Drayton road | 1989 | 250 | | ý | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 3094 | 42 Desson road | 1989 | 25tri | | y. | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 2542 | 113Mill road | 1989 | 25tri | | ý | yes | Pole | 26 | |

| | | | | | | | | / | | |
|---------|---------------------------|------|--------|--------------|--------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|----------------------|
| ocation | Street Address | Year | K.V.A | Install Date | | Non-Pcb | NON-PCB | Pole/Pad | Age (yrs) | Health Index Ranking |
| 1085 | 226 Abram lake road | 1989 | 50tri | | | у | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 944 | 458 HYW 72 | 1989 | 50tri | | | у | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 1941 | 161 Cedar Point drive | 1989 | 25tri | | | у | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 1591 | 109 Moosehorn road | 1989 | 25tri | | | У | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 4046 | 109 Moosehorn road | 1989 | 25tri | | | У | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 3194 | 3995 Butterfly lake road | 1989 | 50dual | | | У | yes | Pole | 26 | |
| 3066 | 280 Goretzki drive | 1990 | 25tri | | | non | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 4166 | 140 Queen Str | 1990 | 50 | | Jul-14 | non | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 480 | Sioux Towers 3rd Ave | 1990 | 100 | | | non | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 480 | Sioux Towers 3rd Ave | 1990 | 100 | | | non | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 480 | Sioux Towers 3rd Ave | 1990 | 100 | | | non | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 3356 | Pelican School road | 1990 | 100tri | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 2987 | 40 Legros road | 1990 | 25tri | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 3151 | 3224 HYW642 | 1990 | 25tri | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 460 | 42 Robert St | 1990 | 100tri | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 462 | 31 York St | 1990 | 100tri | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 443 | 5th Ave S | 1990 | 50tri | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 438 | Honey Drive | 1990 | 50tri | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 437 | 22 Lakeshore Drive | 1990 | 50tri | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 401 | 60 Lakeshore Drive | 1990 | 50tri | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 3187 | 47 Grand Trunk Pacific TR | 1990 | 25tri | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 381 | 4 Westpoint Cove | 1990 | 50tri | | | у | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 408 | 82 Prince Street | 1990 | 167 | 2010 | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 425 R | 21 6th Ave Church | 1990 | 25 | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 425 B | 21 6th Ave Church | 1990 | 25 | | | у | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 425W | 21 6th Ave Church | 1990 | 25 | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 479 | 57 Prince Street | 1990 | 100 | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 486R | 55 Queen Street back lane | 1990 | 50 | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 486W | 55 Queen Street back lane | 1990 | 50 | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 486B | 55 Queen Street back lane | 1990 | 50 | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 433R | 81 Front Sreet | 1990 | 50tri | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 433B | 81 Front Sreet | 1990 | 50tri | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 433W | 81 Front Sreet | 1990 | 50tri | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 432 | 4th Ave Al's Sports | 1990 | 50tri | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 2810 | 4th street | 1990 | 50tri | | | У | yes | pole | 25 | |
| 923 | 130 Sturgeon river road | 1990 | 25tri | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 3271 | 689 drayton road | 1990 | 50tri | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 1020 | 44 Boy Scout Road | 1990 | 25 tri | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 2846 | 117 Tower Hill road | 1990 | 25tri | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 4117 | 55 Sturgeon Meadows | 1990 | 50tri | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 4138 | 46Sturgeon Meadows | 1990 | 25 | | | у | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 894 | 70 Drayton road | 1990 | 50tri | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 3217 | 9 Forest drive | 1990 | 50tri | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 1184 | Bell Site HYW72 | 1990 | 10dual | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 916 | 183 Moosehorn road | 1990 | 50tri | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 1297 | 3/1 Moosehorn road | 1990 | 25tri | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 996 | 343 Fireside road | 1990 | 5Utri | | | У | yes | Pole | 25 | |
| 4118 | 433.5 Sturgeon river road | 1991 | 25 | | | non | yes | Pole | 24 | |
| 3347 | 3581 HYW642 | 1991 | 25tri | | | У | yes | Pole | 24 | |
| 3313 | 3461 HYW642 | 1991 | 25tri | | | У | yes | Pole | 24 | |
| 197 | 320 GORETZKI DRIVE | 1991 | 25 | | | У | yes | Pole | 24 | |
| 402 | 54 Lakeshore Drive | 1991 | 50 | | | У | yes | Pole | 24 | |
| 481 | Real 30 Prince | 1991 | 50 | | | У | yes | Pole | 24 | |

COMMENTS

SIOUX LOOKOUT HYDRO Pole Mount Transformers Health Index Ranking

| SI | O | U | х | L | o | C |)ł | ((| C | U | Ţ | ŀ | ľ | Y | D | R | 0 | | Po | le | N | Λc | วม | IN | t ' | Tra | ans | sfo | ٥r | m | ers | s ł | lea | alt | h | lr | ۱d | ex | F | Ra | nk | in | g | |
|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|----|----|---|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|----|----|---|----|----|----|---|--|
|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|----|----|---|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|----|----|---|----|----|----|---|--|

| Location | Street Address | Year | K.V.A | Install Date | Non-Pcb | NON-PC | B Pole/Pad | Age (yrs) | Health Index Ranking |
|----------|-------------------------|------|--------|--------------|---------|--------|------------|-----------|----------------------|
| 487R | 50 King Street | 1991 | 25 | | У | yes | Pole | 24 | |
| 487W | 50 King Street | 1991 | 25 | | у | yes | Pole | 24 | |
| 487B | 50 King Street | 1991 | 25 | | У | yes | Pole | 24 | |
| 397 | 124 Front Street | 1991 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 24 | |
| 3034 | Bernier's Camp Big V | 1991 | 25 | | у | yes | pole | 24 | |
| 930 | 610 Sturgeon river road | 1991 | 25tri | | ý | yes | Pole | 24 | |
| 3244 | 517 Sturgeon river road | 1991 | 25tri | | v | ves | Pole | 24 | |
| 1004 | 432 Drayton road | 1991 | 50tri | | ý | yes | Pole | 24 | |
| 3337 | 419 Drayton road | 1991 | 25 | | v | ves | Pole | 24 | |
| 3343 | 5 Ogden drive | 1991 | 25 | | ý | yes | Pole | 24 | |
| 1463 | 332 Drayton road | 1991 | 50 | | v | ves | Pole | 24 | |
| 3290 | 114 Mill road | 1991 | 25 | | ý | yes | Pole | 24 | |
| 952 | 35 Mill road | 1991 | 25 | | v | ves | Pole | 24 | |
| 942 | 168 Drayon road | 1991 | 50tri | | ý | yes | Pole | 24 | |
| 2755 | 10 Drayton road | 1991 | 50dual | | ý | yes | Pole | 24 | |
| 2329 | 188 Abram lake road | 1991 | 25 | | ý | yes | Pole | 24 | |
| 4015 | 29 Evergreen drive | 1991 | 25 | | ý | yes | Pole | 24 | |
| 3444 | 71 Sun&Sand Road | 1991 | 25 | 1994 | v | ves | Pole | 24 | |
| 3346 | 31 Sun &Sand road | 1991 | 25tri | | ý | ves | Pole | 24 | |
| 1671 | 41 Forest drive | 1991 | 25 | | ý | ves | Pole | 24 | |
| 909 | 556 HYW 72 | 1991 | 50tri | | ý | ves | Pole | 24 | |
| 4116 | 636 HYW 72 | 1991 | 25 | | v | ves | Pole | 24 | |
| 3526 | 29 Whispering Pines | 1991 | 50tri | | ý | ves | Pole | 24 | |
| 3294 | 314 Boulder drive | 1991 | 25tri | | ý | ves | Pole | 24 | |
| 966 | 89 Vovageur's North rd | 1991 | 50 | 2010 | ý | ves | Pole | 24 | |
| 4067 | 2547 HYW 72 | 1991 | 25tri | 2008 | ý | ves | Pole | 24 | |
| 2560 | Cook;s Cement Plant | 1991 | 25 | | v | ves | Pole | 24 | |
| 3325 | 1760 South Shore Drive | 1991 | 50tri | | ý | yes | Pole | 24 | |
| 933 | 776 Sturgeon river road | 1992 | 10 tri | | non | ves | Pole | 23 | |
| 613 | CNR East yard bank | 1992 | 50 | | у | yes | Pole | 23 | |
| 491 | 14 -4th Ave | 1992 | 167 | | ý | yes | Pole | 23 | |
| 607 | 33 Airport Road | 1992 | 25 | | ý | yes | Pole | 23 | |
| 1001 | 331 Sturgeon river road | 1992 | 25tri | | ý | yes | Pole | 23 | |
| 3399 | 17 Moosehorn road | 1992 | 25tri | | ý | yes | Pole | 23 | |
| 4008 | 364 Fireside road | 1992 | 25tri | | ý | yes | Pole | 23 | |
| 1480 | 373 Fireside road | 1992 | 25tri | | ý | yes | Pole | 23 | |
| 989 | 379 Fireside road | 1992 | 10tri | | ý | yes | Pole | 23 | |
| 2005 | 57 HYW664 | 1992 | 25 | | ý | yes | Pole | 23 | |
| 937 | 348 Sturgeon river road | 1993 | 25tri | | ý | yes | Pole | 22 | |
| 3403 | 37 Tower Hill road | 1993 | 10tri | | ý | yes | Pole | 22 | |
| 3455 | 188 Drayton road | 1993 | 25 | | у | yes | Pole | 22 | |
| 3531 | 326 HYW 72 streetlite | 1993 | 10tri | | ý | yes | Pole | 22 | |
| 4037 | 2029 HYW 72 | 1993 | 10 | | У | yes | Pole | 22 | |
| 170 | 604 Sturgeon river road | 1994 | 25 | | ý | yes | Pole | 21 | |
| 3443 | 1624 HYW 72 | 1994 | 10tri | | У | yes | Pole | 21 | |
| 4120 | Pelican School road | 1995 | 15 | July-15/10 | ý | yes | Pole | 20 | |
| 4010B | 5th Ave Booster Pump | 1995 | 15 | | у | yes | Pole | 20 | |
| 505W | Rear 38 Front & 3rd Ave | 1995 | 25 | | У | yes | Pole | 20 | |
| 505B | Rear 38 Front & 3rd Ave | 1995 | 25 | | У | yes | Pole | 20 | |
| 505R | Rear 38 Front & 3rd Ave | 1995 | 25 | | У | yes | Pole | 20 | |
| 1525 | 942 Sturgeon river road | 1995 | 10 tri | | У | yes | Pole | 20 | |
| 938 | 410 Sturgeon river road | 1995 | 10tri | | У | yes | Pole | 20 | |
| 1282 | 905 Drayton road | 1995 | 25 | | У | yes | Pole | 20 | |

| Location | Street Address | Year | K.V.A | Install Date | Non-Pcb | NON-PCE | Pole/Pad | Age (yrs) | Health Index Ranking |
|----------|----------------------------|------|-------|--------------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|----------------------|
| 2581 | 3979 Butterfly lake road | 1995 | 25 | | у | yes | Pole | 20 | |
| 4136 | 39 Lakeshore Drive | 1996 | 50 | | ý | yes | Pole | 19 | |
| 423 | 106 Queen Street | 1996 | 167 | 2007 | У | yes | Pole | 19 | |
| 394 | 140 King Street | 1996 | 50 | | v | ves | Pole | 19 | |
| 391 | 110 King Strreet | 1996 | 167 | | ý | yes | Pole | 19 | |
| 489 | 32 Rear King Street | 1996 | 50 | | ý | yes | Pole | 19 | |
| 571 | 56 Rear 2nd Ave N | 1996 | 167 | | ý | yes | Pole | 19 | |
| 4050 | 16 4th Ave | 1996 | 50 | | ý | yes | Pole | 19 | |
| 582R | Esso Bulk Plant | 1996 | 25 | | ý | yes | Pole | 19 | |
| 582W | Esso Bulk Plant | 1996 | 25 | | ý | yes | Pole | 19 | |
| 582B | Esso Bulk Plant | 1996 | 25 | | y | yes | Pole | 19 | |
| 703 | 1st ave | 1996 | 25 | | ý | yes | pole | 19 | |
| 2628 | 57 Millroad | 1996 | 25 | | ý | yes | Pole | 19 | |
| 4083 | 68 Autumwood | 1996 | 50 | | ý | yes | Pole | 19 | |
| 4028 | 1624 HYW72 | 1996 | 15 | | ý | yes | Pole | 19 | |
| 2005 | 57 HYW664 | 1996 | 25 | | y | yes | Pole | 19 | |
| 3432 | 832 HYW664 | 1996 | 25 | | ý | yes | Pole | 19 | |
| 4010R | 5th Ave Booster Pump | 1997 | 15 | | v | ves | Pole | 18 | |
| 4010W | 5th Ave Booster Pump | 1997 | 15 | | ý | ves | Pole | 18 | |
| 2950 | 353 Sturgeon river road | 1997 | 25 | | ý | ves | Pole | 18 | |
| 2902 | 80 Sturgeon Meadows N | 1997 | 25 | | ý | ves | Pole | 18 | |
| 946 | 59 Horizon drive | 1997 | 50 | | v | ves | Pole | 18 | |
| 1393 | 121Forest drive | 1997 | 25 | | v | ves | Pole | 18 | |
| 4120 | Pelican School road | 1998 | 15 | | ý | ves | Pole | 17 | |
| 4120 | Pelican School road | 1998 | 15 | | v | ves | Pole | 17 | |
| 4091 | Pelican School road | 1998 | 10 | Oct-19/10 | ý | ves | Pole | 17 | |
| 2999 | 301 Legros road | 1998 | 25 | | v | ves | Pole | 17 | |
| 3533 | Goretzki drive street lite | 1998 | 10 | | ý | ves | Pole | 17 | |
| 4006 | Landfill Site street lite | 1998 | 10 | | v | ves | Pole | 17 | |
| 463 | 34 Wellington Street | 1998 | 10 | | v | ves | Pole | 17 | |
| 506 | 35 Front Street | 1998 | 50 | | ý | ves | Pole | 17 | |
| 1014 | 902 Sturgeon river road | 1998 | 25 | | v | ves | Pole | 17 | |
| 1205 | 442 Sturgeon river road | 1998 | 25 | | ý | ves | Pole | 17 | |
| 1024 | 89 Mill road | 1998 | 25 | | v | ves | Pole | 17 | |
| 3506 | 318 Sanders road w | 1998 | 25 | | ý | ves | Pole | 17 | |
| 4009 | MTO Street lite | 1998 | 10 | | v | ves | Pole | 17 | |
| 2570 | 1860 South Shore Drive | 1998 | 25tri | | ý | ves | Pole | 17 | |
| 4122 | Alcona Drive | 1999 | 50 | | non | ves | Pole | 16 | |
| 4122 | 20 Alcona Drive | 1999 | 50 | | v | ves | Pole | 16 | |
| 752 | 47 Front Street | 1999 | 50 | | ý | ves | Pole | 16 | |
| 752 | 47 Front Street | 1999 | 50 | | v | ves | Pole | 16 | |
| 752 | 47 Front Street | 1999 | 50 | | ý | ves | Pole | 16 | |
| 750 | Rear 46 5th | 1999 | 50 | | ý | ves | Pole | 16 | |
| 416 | 52 7th Ave | 1999 | 100 | | ý | ves | Pole | 16 | |
| 555 | 12 Fuller Street | 1999 | 100 | | v | ves | Pole | 16 | |
| 615R | HomeHardware | 1999 | 25 | | ý | ves | Pole | 16 | |
| 615W | HomeHardware | 1999 | 25 | | ý | yes | Pole | 16 | |
| 615B | HomeHardware | 1999 | 25 | | ý | ves | Pole | 16 | |
| 8033 | Water Front | 1999 | 25 | | ý | yes | pole | 16 | |
| 2925 | 34 Sturgeon meadows N | 1999 | 50 | | v | ves | Pole | 16 | |
| 3185 | 48 Sturgeon Meadows N | 1999 | 25 | | ý | ves | Pole | 16 | |
| 2862 | 62 Sturgeon Meadows N | 1999 | 25 | | v | ves | Pole | 16 | |
| 981 | 255 Sturgeon river road | 1999 | 50 | | ý | yes | Pole | 16 | |

Health Index Ranking By Colour Very Poor Poor Fair Good Very Good

| SIO | UΧ | LOO | KOU. | T HYDRO | Pole Mount | Transformers | Health | Index | Ranking |
|-----|----|-----|------|---------|------------|--------------|--------|-------|---------|
|-----|----|-----|------|---------|------------|--------------|--------|-------|---------|

| Location | Street Address | Year | K.V.A | Install Date | Non-Pcb | NON-PCB | Pole/Pad | Age (yrs) | Health Index Ranking |
|----------|-------------------------|------|-----------|--------------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|----------------------|
| 1002 | 151 Sturgeon river road | 1999 | 25 | | у | yes | Pole | 16 | |
| 3514 | Step Down Moosehorn | 1999 | 250 | | ý | yes | Pole | 16 | |
| 3357 | Pelican School road | 2000 | 75 | | у | yes | Pole | 15 | |
| 3357 | Pelican School road | 2000 | 75 | | ý | yes | Pole | 15 | |
| 3357 | Pelican School road | 2000 | 75 | | v | ves | Pole | 15 | |
| 4054 | Rear 44 5th | 2000 | 50 | | ý | ves | Pole | 15 | |
| 1206 | 488 Sturgeon river road | 2000 | 25 | | v | ves | Pole | 15 | |
| 3503 | 570 Drayton road | 2000 | 25 | | ý | ves | Pole | 15 | |
| 4133 | 148 Sturgeon Meadows | 2000 | 25 | | v | ves | Pole | 15 | |
| 2107 | 924 Sturgeon river road | 2001 | 100stepdn | | non | yes | Pole | 14 | |
| 4025 | 31 5th Ave | 2001 | 50 | | v | ves | Pole | 14 | |
| 4048R | Rear 42 Front Street | 2001 | 50 | | ý | yes | Pole | 14 | |
| 2543 | 442 Drayton road | 2001 | 25 | | v | ves | Pole | 14 | |
| 1444 | 648 HYŴ 72 | 2001 | 50 | | ý | yes | Pole | 14 | |
| 4090 | Step Down Ojibway Park | 2001 | 100 | 2011 | ý | yes | Pole | 14 | |
| 441 R | 5th Ave S Con College | 2002 | 25 | | ý | yes | Pole | 13 | |
| 441 B | 5th Ave S Con College | 2002 | 25 | | ý | yes | Pole | 13 | |
| 441 W | 5th Ave S Con College | 2002 | 25 | | ý | yes | Pole | 13 | |
| 503R | Rear 40 Front Street | 2002 | 50 | | ý | yes | Pole | 13 | |
| 503W | Rear 40 Front Street | 2002 | 50 | | ý | yes | Pole | 13 | |
| 503B | Rear 40 Front Street | 2002 | 50 | | ý | yes | Pole | 13 | |
| 1443 | 880 Sturgeon river road | 2002 | 25 | | ý | yes | Pole | 13 | |
| 986 | 373 Drayton road | 2002 | 25 | | ý | yes | Pole | 13 | |
| 2464 | 65 Mill road | 2002 | 25 | | у | yes | Pole | 13 | |
| 3508 | 47 Autumwood drive | 2002 | 25 | | у | yes | Pole | 13 | |
| 914 | 101 Forest drive | 2002 | 25 | | У | yes | Pole | 13 | |
| 3530 | 1441 HYW 72 | 2002 | 25 | | У | yes | Pole | 13 | |
| 4039 | 532 Drayton road | 2002 | 25 | 2007 | У | yes | Pole | 13 | |
| 3535 | 311 Goretzki drive | 2003 | 25 | | non | yes | Pole | 12 | |
| 4005 | Landfill Site TX | 2003 | 25 | | non | yes | Pole | 12 | |
| 3534 | T Bay Tel tower HYW642 | 2003 | 25 | | У | yes | Pole | 12 | |
| 4004 | 1380 HYW 642 | 2003 | 25 | | У | yes | Pole | 12 | |
| 4023 | 147 King Street | 2003 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 12 | |
| 4024 | 1st Ave | 2003 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 12 | |
| 4024 | 1st Ave | 2003 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 12 | |
| 4024 | 1st Ave | 2003 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 12 | |
| 4048W | Rear 42 Front Street | 2003 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 12 | |
| 4048B | Rear 42 Front Street | 2003 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 12 | |
| 8018 | Grants Store 2nd ave | 2003 | 50 | | У | yes | pole | 12 | |
| 3499 | 626 Sturgeon river road | 2003 | 50 | | У | yes | Pole | 12 | |
| 1200 | 433 Sturgeon river road | 2003 | 20 | | у | yes | Pole | 12 | |
| 2046 | 434 Sturgeon river road | 2003 | 25 | | у | yes | Pole | 12 | |
| 2420 | 202 Sturgeon river road | 2003 | 25 | | У | yes | Pole | 12 | |
| 2409 | Town Lift Station | 2003 | 25 dual | | у | yes | Polo | 12 | |
| 3490 | Town Lift Station | 2003 | 25dual | | У | yes | Polo | 12 | |
| 3490 | Town Lift Station | 2003 | 25dual | | y V | yes | Pole | 12 | |
| 1847 | 856 Drayton road | 2003 | 2500001 | 2010 | y V | Ves | Pole | 12 | |
| 904 | 30 Desson road | 2003 | 50 | 2010 | y V | ves | Pole | 12 | |
| 3512 | 82 Evergreen drive | 2003 | 25 | | y V | Ves | Pole | 12 | |
| 3510 | 8 Evergreen drive | 2003 | 25 | | y V | ves | Pole | 12 | |
| 4131 | 40 Sun &Sand road | 2003 | 25 | | v | ves | Pole | 12 | |
| 3525 | 18 Whispering Pines | 2003 | 50 | | ý | yes | Pole | 12 | |

| | | | | | | | | - | |
|----------|--------------------------|------|-------|--------------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|----------------------|
| _ocation | Street Address | Year | K.V.A | Install Date | Non-Pcb | NON-PCB | Pole/Pad | Age (yrs) | Health Index Ranking |
| 3515 | 2 Whispering Pines | 2003 | 25 | | У | yes | Pole | 12 | |
| 2432 | 1423 HYW 72 | 2003 | 25 | | У | yes | Pole | 12 | |
| 3529 | 40 Frieson's Blvd | 2003 | 25 | | У | yes | Pole | 12 | |
| 4001 | 305 Goretzki drive | 2004 | 2 | 25 | У | yes | Pole | 11 | |
| 4002 | 295 Goretzki drive | 2004 | 2 | 25 | У | yes | Pole | 11 | |
| 400 | 78 Lakeshore Drive | 2004 | 5 | 50 | ý | yes | Pole | 11 | |
| 389 | Cedar Bay Riding Compx | 2004 | 2 | 25 | y | yes | Pole | 11 | |
| 4026 | Water Tower hill | 2004 | 5 | 50 | ý | yes | Pole | 11 | |
| 428R | Rec Center Bank | 2004 | 167 | | v | ves | Pole | 11 | |
| 428B | Rec Center Bank | 2004 | 167 | | ý | yes | Pole | 11 | |
| 428W | Rec Center Bank | 2004 | 167 | | v | ves | Pole | 11 | |
| 3511 | 64 Evergreen drive | 2004 | 25 | | ý | ves | Pole | 11 | |
| 4014 | 30 Evergreen drive | 2004 | 25 | | ý | ves | Pole | 11 | |
| 3524 | 21 Whispering Pines | 2004 | 25 | | ý | ves | Pole | 11 | |
| 3523 | 19 Whispering Pines | 2004 | 25 | | ý | ves | Pole | 11 | |
| 3516 | 4 Whispering Pines | 2004 | 25 | | ý | ves | Pole | 11 | |
| 3517 | 9 Whispering Pines | 2004 | 25 | | ý | ves | Pole | 11 | |
| 4019 | 728 HYW 72 | 2004 | 50 | | ý | ves | Pole | 11 | |
| 4034 | 331 Goretzki drive | 2005 | 2 | 25 | non | ves | Pole | 10 | |
| 406R | 97 Front Street | 2005 | 5 | 50 | v | ves | Pole | 10 | |
| 406B | 97 Front Street | 2005 | 5 | 50 | v | ves | Pole | 10 | |
| 3505 | 297 Sanders road w | 2005 | 50 | 2007 | v | ves | Pole | 10 | |
| 2890 | 108 Abram lake road | 2005 | 50 | 2001 | v | ves | Pole | 10 | |
| 4031 | 21 Evergreen drive | 2005 | 25 | | v | ves | Pole | 10 | |
| 4016 | 73 Evergreen drive | 2005 | 25 | | ý | ves | Pole | 10 | |
| 3190 | 16 Birch ave | 2005 | 25 | | v | ves | Pole | 10 | |
| 3519 | 10 Whispering Pines | 2005 | 50 | | ý | ves | Pole | 10 | |
| 3528 | 23 Frieson's Blvd | 2005 | 50 | 2007 | v | ves | Pole | 10 | |
| 3527 | 3 Frieson's Blvd | 2005 | 50 | 2007 | ý | ves | Pole | 10 | |
| 4042R | Northern Airborne Hanger | 2006 | 25 | 2007 | v | ves | Pole | 9 | |
| 4042B | Northern Airborne Hanger | 2006 | 25 | 2007 | v | ves | Pole | 9 | |
| 4042W | Northern Airborne Hanger | 2006 | 25 | 2007 | ý | ves | Pole | 9 | |
| 4043 | Rear 46 Prince | 2007 | 5 | 50 | ý | ves | pole | 8 | |
| 1005 | 856 Sturgeon river road | 2007 | 50 | nov.15/07 | ý | ves | Pole | 8 | |
| 3497 | 816 Sturgeon river road | 2007 | 25 | , | ý | ves | Pole | 8 | |
| 1893 | 247Drayton road | 2008 | 50 | April-4/11 | ý | ves | Pole | 7 | |
| 4092 | 458 Dravton Road | 2010 | 25 | 8/29/2010 | v | ves | Pole | 5 | |
| 4099 | 21 Autumwood drive | 2011 | 25 | 2011/Jul | ý | ves | Pole | 4 | |
| 4027R | Bearskin's Bank | 2011 | 50 | 2011 | v | ves | Pole | 4 | |
| 4027W | Bearskin's Bank | 2011 | 50 | 2011 | ý | ves | Pole | 4 | |
| 4027B | Bearskin's Bank | 2011 | 50 | 2011 | ý | ves | Pole | 4 | |
| 4097 | 604 Sturgeon river road | 2011 | 25 | 2012 | ý | ves | Pole | 4 | |
| 3461 | 80 Sturgeon Meadows N | 2011 | 25 | Feb-14/12 | ý | ves | Pole | 4 | |
| 2462 | 82 Sturgeon Meadows | 2011 | 25 | Mar-12 | v | ves | Pole | 4 | |
| 3509 | 21 Autumwood drive | 2011 | 25 | | v | ves | Pole | 4 | |
| 2385 | 102 Moosehorn road | 2011 | 25 | Aug-9/12 | v | ves | Pole | 4 | |
| 4114 | 3180 HWY 642 | 2012 | - 2 | 25 | non | ves | Pole | 3 | |
| 4076 | 18 Aspen drive | 2012 | 50 - | Nov.18.2013 | v | ves | Pole | 3 | |
| 3040 | Bernier's Beach Road | 2012 | 25 | Aug-25/10 | v | ves | pole | 3 | |
| 1844 | 202 Tower Hill road | 2014 | 25 | Oct-14 | non | ves | Pole | 1 | |



| Health Index Ranking By Colour | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Very Poor | | | | | | | |
| | Poor | | | | | | |
| | Fair | | | | | | |
| | Good | | | | | | |
| | Very Good | | | | | | |

| ocation | Street Address | Year K.V.A | Install Date | Non-Pcb | NON-PCB | Pole/Pad | Age (yrs) |
|---------|--------------------------|-------------|--------------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|
| 4164 | Days Inn- Strugeon River | 2014 500 | 2014 | >2PPM | no | Pad | 1 |
| 509 | 49 Prince Street | 1984 300 | | 2PPM | 2PPM | Pad | 31 |
| 542 | Extended Care 5th Ave | 1990 750 | | 2PPM | 2PPM | Pad | 25 |
| 601 | Air Ambulance Hanger | 1991 75 | | 2PPM | 2PPM | Pad | 24 |
| 522 | 40 &42 3rd Ave PLAZA | 1993 500 | | 2PPM | 2PPM | Pad | 22 |
| | 10&20 unit Finway sub | 2012 300 | 2013 | 2PPM | 2PPM | Pad | 3 |
| 4100 | High Landpark | 2009 37.5 | 2011 | <2PPM | <2PPM | Pad | 6 |
| 515 | Pelican School | 2010 50 | 2011 | <2PPM | <2PPM | Pad | 5 |
| 2635 | 614 Drayton Road | 1974 50 | | У | yes | pad | 41 |
| 3373 | Abram Lake Park | 1975 50 | | ý | yes | pad | 40 |
| 498 | C.N.R.Bunkhouse Front St | 1978 300 | | ý | yes | Pad | 37 |
| 475 | 62 Princess Street | 1979 50 | | ý | yes | Pad | 36 |
| 1323 | 2395 Hyw 664 | 1979 50 | | ý | yes | Pad | 36 |
| 4174 | Dairy Cow Road | 1979 50 | | ý | yes | pad | 36 |
| 561 | 82 Atwood Street | 1981 100 | | ý | yes | Pad | 34 |
| 537 | 6 Mitchell Drive | 1982 50 | | ý | yes | Pad | 33 |
| 3479 | 2385 Anderson Camp | 1982 50 | | ý | yes | pad | 33 |
| 471 | 25 5th Ave Town Office | 1984 150 | 2008 | ý | yes | Pad | 31 |
| 2633 | 1024 Sturgeon River rd | 1985 25 | | ý | yes | pad | 30 |
| 2724 | 137 Forrest Drive | 1985 25 | | ý | yes | pad | 30 |
| 554 | 72 1st Ave | 1986 75 | | ý | yes | Pad | 29 |
| 4032 | Water Treatment Plant | 1986 300 | | ý | yes | Pad | 29 |
| 2735 | 1640 South Shore Drive | 1986 25dual | | ý | yes | pad | 29 |
| 529 | Sunset Hotel | 1986 300 | | ý | yes | pad | 29 |
| 550 | 58 1st Ave | 1987 50 | | ý | yes | Pad | 28 |
| 553 | 70 1st Ave | 1987 75 | | У | yes | Pad | 28 |
| 560 | 29 Cedar Cres. | 1987 75 | | У | yes | Pad | 28 |
| 525 | High School Fair Street | 1987 750 | | У | yes | Pad | 28 |
| 2977 | South Shore Drive | 1987 25 | | У | yes | pad | 28 |
| 2916 | 913 Drayton Road | 1987 25dual | | У | yes | pad | 28 |
| 2841 | 37 Dalseg Drive | 1987 25 | | У | yes | pad | 28 |
| 3116 | 13 Abram Lake Road | 1987 25dual | | У | yes | pad | 28 |
| 3435 | Abram Lake Park | 1987 100 | | У | yes | pad | 28 |
| 507 | 21 King Street NNEC | 1988 75 | | У | yes | Pad | 27 |
| 3044 | North Land Lodge | 1988 25 | | У | yes | Pad | 27 |
| 3048 | North Land Lodge | 1988 25 | | У | yes | Pad | 27 |
| 2956 | 108 Tower Hill Road | 1988 25dual | | У | yes | pad | 27 |
| 3176 | Selby's Island | 1988 25dual | | У | yes | pad | 27 |
| 2934 | 135 Voyaguer North Road | 1988 50 | | У | yes | pad | 27 |
| 413 | 70.5 7th Ave | 1989 167 | | У | yes | Pad | 26 |
| 3242 | 420 Pelican Road | 1990 25 | | У | yes | Pad | 25 |
| 551 | 64 1st Ave | 1990 100 | | У | yes | Pad | 25 |
| 566 | 6 Montello | 1990 100 | | У | yes | Pad | 25 |
| 411 | 7th Ave Zone Hosp. | 1990 300 | | У | yes | Pad | 25 |
| 3374 | 6 Pelto Road | 1990 50dual | | У | yes | pad | 25 |
| 3345 | 488 Indian Trail | 1990 25dual | | У | yes | pad | 25 |
| 3400 | 13 Pelto Road | 1991 25dual | | У | yes | pad | 24 |
| 3421 | 1 Pelto Road | 1991 25dual | | У | yes | pad | 24 |
| 3413 | 682 Drayton Road | 1991 25 | | У | yes | pad | 24 |
| 1922 | Lincoin Trialer Park | 1991 50 | | У | yes | pad | 24 |
| 1635 | Lincoin Trialer Park | 1991 100 | | У | yes | pad | 24 |

| Health Index Ranking By Colour | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Very Poor | | | | | | |
| | Poor | | | | | |
| | Fair | | | | | |
| | Good | | | | | |
| Very Good | | | | | | |

| ocation | Street Address | Year | K.V.A | Install Date | Non-Pcb | NON-PCB | Pole/Pad | Age (yrs) |
|---------|---------------------------|------|--------|--------------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|
| 3398 | 623 HYW 72 | 1991 | 25dual | | У | yes | pad | 24 |
| 3416 | 145 Cedar Point Drive | 1991 | 25dual | | У | yes | pad | 24 |
| 350 | 200 Boat Launch Road | 1991 | 25 | | У | yes | pad | 24 |
| 559 | 15 Cedar Cres. | 1992 | 75 | Aug-25/10 | v | ves | Pad | 23 |
| 606 | NAPS Police Airport | 1992 | 225 | 0 | ý | ves | Pad | 23 |
| 395 | S.T.PLANT King Street | 1993 | 500 | | ý | ves | Pad | 22 |
| 3462 | 9 Pelto Road | 1993 | 25dual | | ý | ves | pad | 22 |
| 492 | 54 Front Str Youth Center | 1994 | 300 | | ý | yes | Pad | 21 |
| 833 | 41-8th Ave Sacred Heart | 1994 | 225 | | ý | yes | Pad | 21 |
| 3363 | 1000 Sturgeon River rd | 1994 | 25 | | У | yes | pad | 21 |
| 2300 | 789 Drayton Road | 1994 | 25 | | У | yes | pad | 21 |
| 315 | 222 Sturgeon Meadows | 1994 | 25 | | У | yes | pad | 21 |
| 564 | 23 Montello Pl | 1995 | 75 | | У | yes | Pad | 20 |
| 3375 | 4 Pelto Road | 1995 | 50 | | У | yes | pad | 20 |
| 1425 | 51 Boy Scout Road | 1995 | 75dual | | У | yes | pad | 20 |
| 3293 | 60 Desson Road | 1995 | 25 | | У | yes | pad | 20 |
| 536 | 9 Mitchell Drive | 1996 | 100 | | У | yes | Pad | 19 |
| 8067 | 28 Mill Road | 1996 | 25 | | У | yes | Pad | 19 |
| 563 | 92 Atwood Street | 1997 | 100 | | У | yes | Pad | 18 |
| 1174 | 176 Sturgoen River Rd | 1997 | 50 | | У | yes | pad | 18 |
| 2606 | 93 Moosehorn Road | 1997 | 50 | | У | yes | pad | 18 |
| 565 | 18 Montello | 1998 | 167 | | У | yes | Pad | 17 |
| 439 | 79 Wellington water plant | 1998 | 300 | | У | yes | Pad | 17 |
| 2734 | 1730 South Shore Drive | 1998 | 50 | 2014 | У | yes | pad | 17 |
| 4030 | 33 Cedar Cres. | 1999 | 25 | _ | У | yes | Pad | 16 |
| 4066 | 31 Boy Scout Road | 1999 | 25 | Sep-08 | У | yes | pad | 16 |
| 2690 | 618 HYW 72 | 1999 | 25 | | У | yes | pad | 16 |
| 3011 | 460 Legros Road | 2000 | 25 | | У | yes | Pad | 15 |
| 3358 | Pelican School | 2000 | 1000 | | У | yes | Pad | 15 |
| 4081 | Court House | 2000 | 300 | 2009 | У | yes | Pad | 15 |
| 955 | 555HYW 72 | 2000 | 25 | | У | yes | pad | 15 |
| 2526 | May Street Hoey's Sub. | 2001 | 75 | | У | yes | Pad | 14 |
| 4051 | 1st Ave Sloux Mountain | 2001 | 750 | | У | yes | Pad | 14 |
| 996 | 300 Boulder Drive | 2001 | 75 | | У | yes | pad | 14 |
| 4035 | 79-5th Ave Clinic | 2002 | 300 | | У | yes | Pad | 13 |
| 482 | O.P.P Station Queen St | 2003 | 300 | | У | yes | Pad | 12 |
| 469 | 57-King Str Johnnys | 2003 | 750 | | У | yes | Pad | 12 |
| 530 | Dick&Nellies | 2003 | 75 | | У | yes | pad | 12 |
| 5021 | Sunset Suites | 2003 | 500 | | У | yes | pad | 12 |
| 5031 | Best Western Sturgeon | 2003 | 300 | | У | yes | pad | 12 |
| 588 | Airport Terminal | 2004 | 300 | | У | yes | Pad | 11 |
| 4011 | 12-5th Ave S Rona | 2004 | 300 | N 0/40 | У | yes | Pad | 11 |
| 4093 | Ball Diamonds | 2006 | 37.5 | INOV-2/10 | У | yes | Pad | 9 |
| 960 | KISS'S ISIANO | 2006 | 31.5 | July 2007 | У | yes | pad | 9 |
| 4070 | | 2008 | 500 | 2008 | У | yes | Pad | / |
| 4106 | | 2012 | 225 | 2012 | У | yes | Pad | 3 |
| 4128 | TIM Horton-5th ave s | 2013 | 225 | 2013 | У | yes | Pad | 2 |
| 562 | / BIRCHWOOD Cres. | 2014 | 75 | Sep-14 | non | yes | Pad | 1 |

| Health Index Ranking By Colour | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Very Poor | | | | | | | |
| | Poor | | | | | | |
| | Fair | | | | | | |
| | Good | | | | | | |
| | Very Good | | | | | | |

SIOUX LOOKOUT HYDRO Submarine Cable Health Index Assessment

| SUBMARINE PRIMARY CABLES | | | | | | Age (2015) | |
|--------------------------|-------|-----------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------|------|
| Address/Location | Phase | Year of Install | Length(m) | Total Installed Length | # of Customers | | |
| Frying Pan Island | 1 | 1979 | 460 | 460 | 1 | 36 | 460 |
| F3 Feeder | 3 | 1981 | 900 | 2700 | Town of Sioux Lookout | 34 | 2700 |
| Salby's Island | 1 | 1988 | 201 | 201 | | 27 | 201 |
| South Shore Drive | 1 | 1990 | 720 | 720 | 30 | 25 | |
| Sturgeon River Crossing | 1 | 1991 | 200 | 200 | 30 | 24 | |
| Habinski | 1 | 2000 | 350 | 350 | 1 | 15 | |
| Bernier Beach | 1 | 2000 | 570 | 570 | 3 | 15 | |
| Maxwell Island | 1 | 2010 | 300 | 300 | 1 | 5 | |
| Winoga Lodge | 1 | 2015 | 700 | 700 | 1 | 0 | 2840 |
| | | | | 0 | | | |
| | | | | 0 | | | |
| | | | | 0 | | | |
| | | | | 0 | | | |
| | | | | 6201 | _ | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| UNDERGROUND PRIMARY CABLES | | | | | Health Index |
|-------------------------------|-------|-----------------|-----------|------------------------|--------------|
| Address/Location | Phase | Year of Install | Length(m) | Total Installed Length | Age (2015) |
| High School Fair St. | 3 | 1973 | 100 | 300 | 42 |
| 614 Drayton Road | 1 | 1974 | 192 | 192 | 41 |
| 31 Boy Scout Road | 1 | 1974 | 92 | 92 | 41 |
| Town of SLKT Airport | 3 | 1980 | 46 | 138 | 35 |
| Atwood-Biechwood-Atwood | 1 | 1981 | 422 | 422 | 34 |
| 2385 HWY 72 (Andersons Lodge) | 1 | 1982 | 96 | 96 | 33 |
| 1024 Sturgeons River Road | 1 | 1985 | 90 | 90 | 30 |
| 121 Forest Drive | 1 | 1985 | 68 | 68 | 30 |
| 911 Drayton Road | 1 | 1987 | 136 | 136 | 28 |
| 13 Abram Lake Road | 1 | 1987 | 74 | 74 | 28 |
| 29 Dalseg Road | 1 | 1987 | 98 | 98 | 28 |
| 115 Voyageur North Road | 1 | 1988 | 55 | 55 | 27 |
| 445 Berniers Beach (161,90) | 1 | 1988 | 251 | 251 | 27 |
| 72-74 1st Ave (Hakala Place) | 1 | 1990 | 38 | 38 | 25 |
| 70 1st Ave Apartments | 1 | 1990 | 50 | 50 | 25 |
| 64 1st Ave | 1 | 1990 | 32 | 32 | 25 |
| 50 1st Ave | 1 | 1990 | 8 | 8 | 25 |
| Zadanac CV Front St. | 3 | 1990 | 66 | 198 | 25 |
| NNEC King St. | 3 | 1990 | 30 | 90 | 25 |
| Mitchell Drive | 1 | 1990 | 245 | 245 | 25 |
| 1730 South Shore Drive | 1 | 1990 | 76 | 76 | 25 |
| 1680 South Shore Drive | 1 | 1990 | 67 | 67 | 25 |
| 1660 South Shore Drive | 1 | 1990 | 51 | 51 | 25 |

SIOUX LOOKOUT HYDRO Underground Primary Cable Health Index Assessment

| UNDERGROUND PRIMARY CABLES | 0 | | | | Health Index |
|----------------------------------|---|------|-----|-----|--------------|
| 488 Indian Trail Road | 1 | 1990 | 102 | 102 | 25 |
| 419 Pelican Falls School Road | 1 | 1990 | 119 | 119 | 25 |
| 2395 HWY 664 (Wildcat Lodge) | 1 | 1990 | 286 | 286 | 25 |
| Atwood-Cedar Cres | 1 | 1990 | 456 | 456 | 25 |
| Ornge Airport Hanger | 3 | 1991 | 62 | 186 | 24 |
| 145 Cedar Point Drive | 1 | 1991 | 92 | 92 | 24 |
| Boat Launch Road | 1 | 1991 | 455 | 455 | 24 |
| NAPS Airport Hanger | 3 | 1992 | 62 | 186 | 23 |
| 40 & 42 Third Ave Apartments | 3 | 1993 | 54 | 162 | 22 |
| Sewage Plant King St. | 3 | 1993 | 107 | 321 | 22 |
| Pelto Road (103,257,179,73,72) | 1 | 1993 | 684 | 684 | 22 |
| 998 Sturgeon River Road | 1 | 1994 | 74 | 74 | 21 |
| Drayton Road (Raricks Old House) | 1 | 1994 | 92 | 92 | 21 |
| 789 Drayton Road | 1 | 1994 | 74 | 74 | 21 |
| Town Office/Library | 3 | 1995 | 123 | 369 | 20 |
| 51 Boy Scout Road | 1 | 1995 | 107 | 107 | 20 |
| 698 Drayton Road | 1 | 1995 | 144 | 144 | 20 |
| 60 Dessen Road | 1 | 1995 | 124 | 124 | 20 |
| Ball Diamond | 1 | 1995 | 354 | 354 | 20 |
| Atwood-Highland Park | 1 | 1995 | 816 | 816 | 20 |
| 103 Moosehorn Road | 1 | 1997 | 110 | 110 | 18 |
| SLKT Water Treatment Plant | 3 | 1998 | 34 | 102 | 17 |
| 672 HWY 72 | 1 | 1999 | 206 | 206 | 16 |
| 600 HWY 72 | 1 | 1999 | 253 | 253 | 16 |
| 555 HWY 72 | 1 | 2000 | 138 | 138 | 15 |
| 650 Pelican Falls Road (School) | 3 | 2000 | 71 | 213 | 15 |
| Noey Drive | 1 | 2001 | 43 | 43 | 14 |

SIOUX LOOKOUT HYDRO Underground Primary Cable Health Index Assessment

| | YDRO Undergrou | nd Primary Car | ple Health Index | Assessment | |
|----------------------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|------------|--------------|
| UNDERGROUND PRIMARY CABLES | | | | | Health Index |
| 300 Boulder Drive | 1 | 2001 | 183 | 183 | 14 |
| Sioux Mountain | 3 | 2001 | 192 | 576 | 14 |
| Hugh Allen Clinic | 3 | 2002 | 48 | 144 | 13 |
| Fresh Market Foods | 3 | 2003 | 40 | 120 | 12 |
| Sunset Suites | 3 | 2003 | 74 | 222 | 12 |
| Dick/Nellie Sunset Inn | 3 | 2003 | 193 | 579 | 12 |
| Best Western | 3 | 2003 | 85 | 255 | 12 |
| Giant Tiger | 3 | 2004 | 27 | 81 | 11 |
| 86 Fanning Drive | 1 | 2008 | 63 | 63 | 7 |
| Hostel | 3 | 2008 | 121 | 363 | 7 |
| Fairview Subdivision | 1 | 2011 | 1242 | 1242 | 4 |
| Tim Hortons | 3 | 2013 | 70 | 210 | 2 |
| Days Inn | 3 | 2014 | 11 | 33 | 1 |
| Abram Lake Park | 1 | 2014 | 420 | 420 | 1 |
| 4 Fuller St (4 Plex) | 1 | 2015 | 58 | 58 | 0 |
| | | Total Length of U | /G Primary Cable | 13684 | |

SIGUR LOOKOUT HYDRO Underground Primary, Cable Health Index Assessment

| -Pole Number: | -Wood Type: | -Height: | -Class: | -Pole Usage: | -Pole Treatment: | -Manufacturer: | -Pole Year: | Pole Age (2015) |
|---------------|---------------|----------|---------|--------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|
| F2/72-059 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1948 | 67 |
| F2/72-060 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1948 | 67 |
| F2/72-061 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1948 | 67 |
| F2/72-228 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1948 | 67 |
| F2/72-246 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1948 | 67 |
| F2/72-251 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1948 | 67 |
| F2/72-252 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1948 | 67 |
| F2/SR-008 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1948 | 67 |
| F2/SR-009 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1948 | 67 |
| F2/FD-18 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1948 | 67 |
| F2/SM-01 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1948 | 67 |
| F2/SM-02 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1948 | 67 |
| F2/SM-14 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1948 | 67 |
| F2/SM-54 | EASTERN WHITE | 40 | 5 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1948 | 67 |
| F2/FS-34 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1949 | 66 |
| F2/SM-24 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1949 | 66 |
| F2/SR-023 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1949 | 66 |
| F2/72-272 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1949 | 66 |
| F2/72-275 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1949 | 66 |
| F2/FD-17 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1949 | 66 |
| F2/72-292 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1949 | 66 |
| F2/72-293 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1949 | 66 |
| F2/72-294 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1949 | 66 |
| F2/72-296 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1949 | 66 |
| F4-017 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1949 | 66 |
| F2/D-151 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1949 | 66 |
| F2/D-152 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1949 | 66 |
| F2/72-276 | | 35 | H5 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1949 | 66 |
| 4TH.AVE-01 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1950 | 65 |
| 4TH.AVE-02 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1950 | 65 |
| 4TH.AVE-03 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1952 | 63 |
| F4-082 | | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1953 | 62 |
| F4-083 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1953 | 62 |
| F4-084 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1953 | 62 |
| F4-085 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | North West Pine | 1953 | 62 |
| F4-086 | | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1953 | 62 |
| 1ST.ST-04 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1954 | 61 |
| 1ST.ST-08 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1954 | 61 |
| W.LANE-01 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1954 | 61 |
| W.LANE-02 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1954 | 61 |

| 3RD.ST-17 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1954 | 61 |
|-----------|----|----|--------------|----------|--------------------|--------|----|
| 3RD.ST-18 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1954 | 61 |
| F4/F1-07 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1954 | 61 |
| F2/FS-10 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1954 | 61 |
| F2/FS-11 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1954 | 61 |
| F2/FS-03 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1954 | 61 |
| F2/FS-04 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1954 | 61 |
| F2/FS-12 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1954 | 61 |
| F2/FS-13 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1954 | 61 |
| F2/FS-07 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1954 | 61 |
| F2/FS-08 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1954 | 61 |
| F2/FS-09 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1954 | 61 |
| F2/FS-15 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1954 | 61 |
| F2/FS-16 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1954 | 61 |
| F2/FS-17 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1954 | 61 |
| F2/FS-18 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1954 | 61 |
| F2/FS-19 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1954 | 61 |
| F2/FS-21 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1954 | 61 |
| F2/FS-22 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | e 1954 | 61 |
| F2/FS-23 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1954 | 61 |
| F2/FS-26 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1954 | 61 |
| F2/SR-089 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1954 | 61 |
| F2/SR-094 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1954 | 61 |
| F4-003 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1954 | 61 |
| F2/D-161 | 35 | H5 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1954 | 61 |
| F2/SM-10 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1955 | 60 |
| F2/72-230 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1957 | 58 |
| F2/72-312 | 45 | H5 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1957 | 58 |
| F2/72-231 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1958 | 57 |
| F2/72-250 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1958 | 57 |
| F3-261 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1958 | 57 |
| F1-145 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1959 | 56 |
| F3-390 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1959 | 56 |
| F3-392 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1959 | 56 |
| F3-393 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1959 | 56 |
| F3-395 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1959 | 56 |
| F3-396 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1959 | 56 |
| F1-147 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1959 | 56 |
| F4-088 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1959 | 56 |
| F4-089 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1959 | 56 |
| F4-094 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1959 | 56 |

| F4-097 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1959 | 56 |
|-----------|----|----|--------------|----------|-----------------|------|----|
| F4-087 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1959 | 56 |
| F4-098 | 55 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1959 | 56 |
| F2/72-234 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1960 | 55 |
| F4-010 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1960 | 55 |
| F4-011 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1960 | 55 |
| F4-020 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1960 | 55 |
| F4-008 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1963 | 52 |
| F4-012 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1963 | 52 |
| F4-013 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1963 | 52 |
| F4-014 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1963 | 52 |
| F4/F1-08 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1965 | 50 |
| F2/MH-39 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1965 | 50 |
| F4-004 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1965 | 50 |
| W.LANE-03 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1966 | 49 |
| F2/72-232 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1966 | 49 |
| F2/72-233 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1966 | 49 |
| F2/72-235 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1966 | 49 |
| F2/72-236 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1966 | 49 |
| F2/72-237 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1966 | 49 |
| F2/72-238 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1966 | 49 |
| F2/72-239 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1966 | 49 |
| F2/72-240 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1966 | 49 |
| F2/72-241 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1966 | 49 |
| F2/72-242 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1966 | 49 |
| F2/72-243 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1966 | 49 |
| F2/72-244 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1966 | 49 |
| F2/72-245 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1966 | 49 |
| F2/72-249 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1966 | 49 |
| F2/72-253 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1966 | 49 |
| F2/72-257 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1966 | 49 |
| F2/72-259 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1966 | 49 |
| F2/SR-133 | 35 | H5 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1966 | 49 |
| F2/72-225 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1967 | 48 |
| F2/72-226 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1967 | 48 |
| F2/FAN-02 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1967 | 48 |
| F2/AL-76 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1967 | 48 |
| F2/AL-77 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1967 | 48 |
| F2/MH-24 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1968 | 47 |
| F4-005 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1968 | 47 |
| F4-006 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1968 | 47 |

| 1ST.ST-07 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1969 | 46 |
|-----------|------------------|---|-------------|-------------|-----------------|------|----|
| F4-13 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1969 | 46 |
| F1-141 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1969 | 46 |
| F1-142 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1969 | 46 |
| F1-146 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1969 | 46 |
| F1-148 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1969 | 46 |
| F1-149 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1969 | 46 |
| F1-150 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1969 | 46 |
| F2/D-222 | EASTERN WHITE 35 | 5 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1969 | 46 |
| F4/F1-03 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F4/F1-05 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F4/F1-11 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F4-15 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F4-16 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F4-20 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F4/F1-04 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F4/F1-06 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON CREOSOTE | | 1970 | 45 |
| F2/72-254 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F3-608 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON CREOSOTE | Carney | 1970 | 45 |
| F3-647 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON CREOSOTE | Carney | 1970 | 45 |
| F2/AL-41 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F2/AL-43 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F1-176 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON CREOSOTE | | 1970 | 45 |
| F1-177 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON CREOSOTE | | 1970 | 45 |
| F1-178 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON CREOSOTE | | 1970 | 45 |
| F1-181 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON CREOSOTE | Carney | 1970 | 45 |
| F3-887 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON CREOSOTE | Carney | 1970 | 45 |
| F1-184 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F1-185 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F1-186 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F1-188 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F1-189 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F1-190 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F1-191 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F1-192 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F1-194 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F1-195 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F1-196 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON CREOSOTE | Carney | 1970 | 45 |
| F1-197 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F4-007 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON CREOSOTE | Carney | 1970 | 45 |
| F4-022 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTIO | ON CREOSOTE | Carney | 1970 | 45 |

| F4-024 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1970 | 45 |
|------------|----|---|--------------|----------|-----------------|------|----|
| F4-026 | 55 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1970 | 45 |
| F4-027 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1970 | 45 |
| F4-028 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F4-029 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F4-030 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F4-031 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F4-034 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1970 | 45 |
| F1-208 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F1-210 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F1-216 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F1-217 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F1-218 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F2/D-143 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F2/D-142 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F2/D-144 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F2/D-145 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F2/72-315 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F2/FD-10 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1971 | 44 |
| F2/AL-39 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1971 | 44 |
| F4-021 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1971 | 44 |
| F4-032 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1971 | 44 |
| F4-033 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | North West Pine | 1971 | 44 |
| F4-035 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1971 | 44 |
| F4-036 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1971 | 44 |
| F4-037 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1971 | 44 |
| F4-038 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1971 | 44 |
| F4-039 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1971 | 44 |
| F4-040 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1971 | 44 |
| F4-078 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | North West Pine | 1971 | 44 |
| F4-079 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1971 | 44 |
| F4-076 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1971 | 44 |
| F4-077 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1971 | 44 |
| F4-081 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1971 | 44 |
| F2/O.RD-01 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F2/O.RD-02 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F2/O.RD-03 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F2/O.RD-04 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F2/O.RD-05 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F2/O.RD-08 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F2/O.RD-10 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |

| F2/O.RD-16 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
|------------|------------------|---|----|--------------|----------|-------------------|--------|----|
| F2/O.RD-17 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F2/O.RD-18 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F2/O.RD-19 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F2/O.RD-22 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F2/O.RD-23 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F2/O.RD-24 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F2/CP-06 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F2/CP-08 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F2/CP-11 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-565 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-609 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-610 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-612 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-614 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-671 | 40 |) | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-672 | 40 |) | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-675 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-676 | 40 |) | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-677 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-678 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-679 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-680 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-682 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-683 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-685 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-684 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-686 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F2/D-205 | EASTERN WHITE 40 |) | | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F2/D-196 | EASTERN WHITE 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-633 | 45 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1973 | 42 |
| F3-872 | 45 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | North West Pine | 1973 | 42 |
| F3-908 | 45 | 5 | H5 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1973 | 42 |
| F1/EAST-1 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1974 | 41 |
| F1/EAST-2 | 40 |) | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pol | e 1974 | 41 |
| F1/EAST-3 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1974 | 41 |
| F1-136 | 45 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1974 | 41 |
| B.CRES-01 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1974 | 41 |
| B.CRES-03 | 35 | 5 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1974 | 41 |
| STAR.RD-01 | 40 |) | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1974 | 41 |
| STAR.RD-02 | 40 |) | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1974 | 41 |

| STAR.RD-03 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1974 | 41 |
|------------|----|---|--------------|-----|-----------------|------|----|
| 1ST.ST-03 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1974 | 41 |
| 1ST.ST-05 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1974 | 41 |
| F2/SM-28 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1974 | 41 |
| F2-01 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-02 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-03 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-04 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-05 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-06 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-07 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-09 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-10 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-11 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-12 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-13 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-14 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-15 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-16 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-17 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-19 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-18 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-20 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-21 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-22 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-23 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-24 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-25 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-26 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-27 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-28 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-29 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-30 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-31 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-32 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-33 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-34 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-35 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-36 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-37 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-38 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |

| F2-39 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
|-------|----|---|--------------|----------|-------------------------|----|
| F2-41 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F2-42 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F2-43 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F2-44 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F2-45 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F2-46 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F2-47 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F2-48 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-19 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-20 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-21 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-22 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-23 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-24 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-25 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-26 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-31 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-32 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-33 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-34 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-35 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-36 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-37 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-38 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-55 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-56 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-57 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-58 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-59 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-60 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 1975 | 40 |
| F1-61 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-62 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-63 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-64 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-65 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-66 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-67 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-68 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-07 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-08 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |

| F1-09 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
|-------|----|---|--------------|----------|-------------------------|----|
| F1-10 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-11 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-12 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-13 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-14 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-15 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-16 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-17 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-18 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-39 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-40 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-41 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-42 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-43 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-44 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-45 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-46 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-47 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-48 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-49 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-50 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-51 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-53 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-54 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-69 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-70 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-71 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-72 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-73 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-74 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-75 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-76 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-77 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-78 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-79 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-80 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-81 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-82 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-84 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-85 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |

| F1-86 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
|---------|----|---|--------------|----------|-------------------------|----|
| F1-87 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-88 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-89 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-90 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-91 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-92 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-93 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-94 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-95 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-107 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-109 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-110 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| 2960-01 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| 2960-02 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-111 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-112 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-113 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-114 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-116 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-115 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-117 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-118 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-119 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-120 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-121 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-122 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-123 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-124 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-125 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-126 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-96 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-97 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-98 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-99 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-100 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1975 | 40 |
| F1-101 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-102 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-104 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-105 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |
| F1-106 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1975 | 40 |

| F1-127 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
|-----------|----|---|--------------|----------|-----------------|------|----|
| F1-128 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F1-129 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F1-130 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F1-133 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F1-134 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F1-135 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F1/2-02 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1975 | 40 |
| F1/2-09 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1975 | 40 |
| F1/1-002 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F1/1-003 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F1/1-004 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F1/1-005 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F1/1-006 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1975 | 40 |
| F1/1-007 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F1/1-008 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F1/1-009 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F1/2-11 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| 4TH.ST-01 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F1/1-010 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1975 | 40 |
| F1/1-011 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1975 | 40 |
| F1/1-012 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1975 | 40 |
| F1/1-013 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1975 | 40 |
| F1/1-015 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F1/1-016 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1975 | 40 |
| F1/1-017 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1975 | 40 |
| F1/1-018 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1975 | 40 |
| 1ST.ST-06 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| 3RD.ST-03 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2/SR-112 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-50 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F3-743 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1975 | 40 |
| F3-747 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1975 | 40 |
| F3-775 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F2/D-094 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1975 | 40 |
| F2/D-095 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F3/WP-020 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1975 | 40 |
| F3/WP-021 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1975 | 40 |
| F3-280 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1975 | 40 |
| F3-281 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1975 | 40 |
| F2-40 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |

| F1-41 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
|-----------|---------------|----|----|--------------|-----------|--------------------|------|----|
| F3-909 | | 45 | H5 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1975 | 40 |
| F3-801 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1976 | 39 |
| F3-438 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1976 | 39 |
| F3-446 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1976 | 39 |
| F2/D-149 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | 1976 | 39 |
| F2/D-204 | EASTERN WHITE | 35 | | DISTRIBUTION | BUTT ONLY | Bell Lumber & Pole | 1976 | 39 |
| F3-669 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-670 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-871 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-065 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-066 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-067 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-068 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-069 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-070 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-072 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-073 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-075 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-077 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-078 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-080 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-081 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-082 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-084 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-086 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-090 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-103 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3/WP-013 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1977 | 38 |
| F3/WP-014 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1977 | 38 |
| F3/WP-015 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1977 | 38 |
| F3/WP-017 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1977 | 38 |
| F3/WP-018 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1977 | 38 |
| F3/WP-019 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3/WP-024 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1977 | 38 |
| F3/WP-025 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1977 | 38 |
| F3/WP-026 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-380 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-382 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-457 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-458 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1977 | 38 |

| | | | | | - | | |
|-----------|------------------|----|--------------|----------|---------------------|--------|----|
| F3-459 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-459 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1977 | 38 |
| F3/WP-016 | 40 | H5 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1977 | 38 |
| F2/72-220 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | e 1978 | 37 |
| F2/72-224 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | North West Pine | 1978 | 37 |
| F2/72-227 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | e 1978 | 37 |
| F2/72-229 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1978 | 37 |
| F2/72-255 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 1978 | 37 |
| F2/72-261 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1978 | 37 |
| F2/72-274 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1978 | 37 |
| F2/72-287 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1978 | 37 |
| F2/72-288 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1978 | 37 |
| F2/72-289 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1978 | 37 |
| F2/72-290 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1978 | 37 |
| F2/72-291 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1978 | 37 |
| F2/72-277 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1978 | 37 |
| F2/AL-07 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1978 | 37 |
| F2/AL-44 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1978 | 37 |
| F2/AL-47 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1978 | 37 |
| F2/AL-48 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1978 | 37 |
| F2/SR-116 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | e 1978 | 37 |
| F3-254 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carnev | 1978 | 37 |
| F3-255 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1978 | 37 |
| F3-437 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1978 | 37 |
| F3-427 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | e 1978 | 37 |
| F3-428 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | e 1978 | 37 |
| F3-449 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carnev | 1978 | 37 |
| F3-450 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carnev | 1978 | 37 |
| F3-453 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1978 | 37 |
| F1-204 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1978 | 37 |
| F1-206 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1978 | 37 |
| F1-209 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1978 | 37 |
| F1-212 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1978 | 37 |
| F1-214 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1978 | 37 |
| F1-215 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1978 | 37 |
| F1-219 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1978 | 37 |
| F1-220 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1978 | 37 |
| F1-221 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1978 | 37 |
| F3-901 | 40 | H5 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1978 | 37 |
| F3-640 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/72-247 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | , | 1979 | 36 |

| F2/72-260 | 45 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1979 | 36 |
|-----------|------------------|---|-----|------------|----------|-----------------|------|----|
| F2/CP-05 | 45 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/CP-07 | 45 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/CP-10 | 45 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/CP-13 | 40 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/CP-14 | 35 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/CP-15 | 45 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/CP-19 | 45 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/CP-21 | 40 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | PCP | | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/CP-22 | 35 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | PCP | | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/CP-23 | 35 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | PCP | | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/72-262 | 40 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/72-264 | 40 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/72-267 | 40 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/72-268 | 40 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/72-269 | 40 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/SM-37 | 40 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/SM-38 | 40 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/SM-39 | 40 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/SR-069 | 45 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | PCP | | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/72-311 | 45 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-001 | 45 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | PCP | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-002 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-003 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-004 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-005 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-006 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-007 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-638 | 45 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-639 | 45 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-641 | 45 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-642 | 45 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-643 | 45 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-765 | 50 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-691 | 45 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-692 | 45 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-693 | 45 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-694 | 45 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-695 | 45 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-697 | 45 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-698 | 45 | 4 | DIS | STRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |

| F3-696 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
|-----------|------------------|---|--------------|----------|-----------------|------|----|
| F3-724 | 55 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-727 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-728 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-730 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-780 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-781 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-782 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/72-270 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/72-273 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/72-284 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/72-285 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/FD-03 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/FD-05 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/72-302 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/FAN-01 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/FAN-03 | WESTERN RED CI40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/72-278 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/72-279 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/72-280 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/72-281 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/72-282 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/72-283 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/FD-09 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/FD-12 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/FD-15 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/FD-19 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/72-295 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/AL-02 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/AL-05 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/AL-08 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/D-011 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/72-305 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-014 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-015 | WESTERN RED CI40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-016 | WESTERN RED CI40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-017 | WESTERN RED CI40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-018 | WESTERN RED CI40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-052 | WESTERN RED CI40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-051 | WESTERN RED CI40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-053 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |

| F3-009 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
|----------|------------------|----|--------------|----------|--------------------|--------|----|
| F3-048 | WESTERN RED CI40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-049 | WESTERN RED CI40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-050 | WESTERN RED CI40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-183 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-185 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-187 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-010 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-011 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-012 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-013 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-019 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-020 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-022 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-023 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-208 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-818 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-283 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F4-069 | 55 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1979 | 36 |
| F4-070 | 55 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1979 | 36 |
| F4-099 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F4-100 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F4-101 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F4-102 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F4-103 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F4-104 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F4-105 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F1/F2-2 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/FD-02 | 45 | H5 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1979 | 36 |
| F1/F2-10 | 40 | H5 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F1/F3-3 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1980 | 35 |
| F1/F2-15 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1980 | 35 |
| F1/F2-16 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1980 | 35 |
| F1/F2-17 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1980 | 35 |
| F1/F2-18 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1980 | 35 |
| F1/F2-19 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1980 | 35 |
| F1/F2-20 | 55 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1980 | 35 |
| F1-01 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1980 | 35 |
| F1-02 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1980 | 35 |
| F1-03 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | e 1980 | 35 |
| F1-04 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1980 | 35 |

| F1-05 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1980 | 3 | 5 |
|------------|----|---|--------------|----------|-------------------------|---|---|
| F1-06 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F1/2-01 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F1/2-03 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F1/2-04 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F1/2-05 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F1/2-06 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F1/2-07 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F1/2-08 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| B.CRES-05 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F4-14 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F2/72-062 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F2/72-063 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F2/72-064 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F2/72-096 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F2/72-097 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F2/VN.RD-3 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F2/72-104 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F2/FS-01 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F2/FS-31 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F2/FS-32 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F2/FS-36 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F2/MH-07 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F2/CP-01 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F2/CP-02 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F2/CP-03 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F2/SR-045 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F2/SR-056 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F3-486 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F3-498 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F3-553 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F3-554 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F3-557 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F3-626 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F3-627 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F3-628 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F3-629 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F3-632 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F3-635 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F3-636 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 | 3 | 5 |
| F3-645 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 | 3 | 5 |

| F3-673 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
|-----------|------------------|---|--------------|----------|-------------------|--------|----|
| F3-674 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-646 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-656 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-761 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-762 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-763 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-764 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-766 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-767 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-770 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-771 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-772 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carnev | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-699 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carnev | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-700 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-702 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-707 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-733 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-735 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-739 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-741 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-742 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-746 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-749 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-753 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-754 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-755 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-756 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-773 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-774 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-785 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-800 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F2/FD-04 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1980 | 35 |
| F2/72-297 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1980 | 35 |
| F2/AL-09 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1980 | 35 |
| F2/AL-10 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1980 | 35 |
| F2/AL-37 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pol | e 1980 | 35 |
| F2/AL-38 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1980 | 35 |
| F2/AL-69 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1980 | 35 |
| F2/AL-70 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1980 | 35 |
| F2/AL-71 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Bell Lumber & Pol | e 1980 | 35 |
| F2/AL-74 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Bell Lumber & Pole 1980 |) 35 |
|----------|-------------------|---|--------------|----------|-------------------------|------|
| F2/AL-78 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Bell Lumber & Pole 1980 |) 35 |
| F2/AL-79 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1980 |) 35 |
| F2/D-001 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1980 |) 35 |
| F2/D-002 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1980 |) 35 |
| F2/D-004 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Bell Lumber & Pole 1980 |) 35 |
| F2/D-012 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Bell Lumber & Pole 1980 |) 35 |
| F2/D-017 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Bell Lumber & Pole 1980 |) 35 |
| F2/D-024 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Bell Lumber & Pole 1980 |) 35 |
| F2/D-027 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1980 |) 35 |
| F2/D-042 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1980 |) 35 |
| F2/D-043 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1980 |) 35 |
| F2/D-044 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1980 |) 35 |
| F2/D-045 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1980 |) 35 |
| F2/D-048 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 |) 35 |
| F2/D-007 | WESTERN RED CI40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1980 |) 35 |
| F2/D-008 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Bell Lumber & Pole 1980 |) 35 |
| F2/D-010 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1980 |) 35 |
| F2/D-028 | WESTERN RED CI 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 1980 |) 35 |
| F3-076 | WESTERN RED CI 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 |) 35 |
| F3-079 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1980 |) 35 |
| F3-088 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 |) 35 |
| F3-089 | WESTERN RED CI 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 |) 35 |
| F3-091 | WESTERN RED CI 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 |) 35 |
| F3-195 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 |) 35 |
| F3-197 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 |) 35 |
| F3-201 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 |) 35 |
| F3-202 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 |) 35 |
| F3-204 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 |) 35 |
| F3-206 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 |) 35 |
| F3-284 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 |) 35 |
| F3-213 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 |) 35 |
| F3-214 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 |) 35 |
| F3-215 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 |) 35 |
| F3-216 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 |) 35 |
| F3-226 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 |) 35 |
| F3-228 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 |) 35 |
| F3-232 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 |) 35 |
| F3-236 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 |) 35 |
| F3-244 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 |) 35 |
| F3-248 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1980 |) 35 |

| F3-249 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 198 | 0 35 |
|------------|----|----|--------------|----------|------------------------|------|
| F3-250 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 198 | 0 35 |
| F3-251 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 198 | 0 35 |
| F3-253 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 198 | 0 35 |
| F3-260 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 198 | 0 35 |
| F3-265 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 198 | 0 35 |
| F3-266 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 198 | 0 35 |
| F3-267 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 198 | 0 35 |
| F3-268 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 198 | 0 35 |
| F3-269 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 198 | 0 35 |
| F3-425 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 198 | 0 35 |
| F3-433 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 198 | 0 35 |
| F3-462 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 198 | 0 35 |
| F1-193 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 198 | 0 35 |
| F4-009 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 198 | 0 35 |
| F4-015 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 198 | 0 35 |
| F4-016 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 198 | 0 35 |
| F4-018 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 198 | 0 35 |
| F4-023 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 198 | 0 35 |
| F4-025 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 198 | 0 35 |
| F4-042 | 55 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 198 | 0 35 |
| F4-045 | 55 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 198 | 0 35 |
| F2/D-155 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 198 | 0 35 |
| F1/F2-14 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 198 | 0 35 |
| F1/F2-13 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 198 | 0 35 |
| F1/F2-12 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 198 | 0 35 |
| F1/F2-11 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 198 | 0 35 |
| F1/F2-9 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 198 | 0 35 |
| F1/F2-7 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 198 | 0 35 |
| F1/F2-8 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 198 | 0 35 |
| F1/F2-3 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 198 | 0 35 |
| F1/F2-4 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 198 | 0 35 |
| F1/F2-5 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 198 | 0 35 |
| F2/D-156 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 198 | 0 35 |
| F3-230 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 198 | 0 35 |
| F1/F2-6 | 45 | H5 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 198 | 0 35 |
| MILL.RD-02 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 198 | 1 34 |
| MILL.RD-04 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 198 | 1 34 |
| 4TH.AVE-04 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 198 | 1 34 |
| 1ST.ST-10 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 198 | 1 34 |
| 3RD.ST-14 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 198 | 1 34 |

| 1ST.ST-11 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1981 | 34 |
|------------|-------------------|---|--------------|----------|--------------------|-------|----|
| 3RD.ST-19 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1981 | 34 |
| 3RD.ST-13 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1981 | 34 |
| 2ND.AVE-01 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/FS-05 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/FS-06 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/FS-20 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/FS-29 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/FS-30 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/FS-33 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/BFLY-02 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/BFLY-03 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/BFLY-05 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/BFLY-07 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/72-258 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1981 | 34 |
| F3-768 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1981 | 34 |
| F3-769 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1981 | 34 |
| F3-723 | 55 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1981 | 34 |
| F3-726 | 55 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1981 | 34 |
| F3-799 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/AL-51 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/AL-52 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Bell Lumber & Pole | 91981 | 34 |
| F2/AL-53 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Bell Lumber & Pole | 91981 | 34 |
| F2/SS-02 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/SS-03 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/SS-04 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/AL-42 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/AL-72 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/AL-66 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/AL-67 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/AL-68 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/D-013 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/D-014 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/D-015 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/D-023 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/D-025 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/D-026 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/D-046 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Bell Lumber & Pole | 91981 | 34 |
| F2/D-051 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Bell Lumber & Pole | 91981 | 34 |
| F2/D-074 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Bell Lumber & Pole | 91981 | 34 |
| F2/D-009 | WESTERN RED CI 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1981 | 34 |

| F2/D-018 | 40 | 4 | Γ | JISTRIBUTION | PCP | Bell Lumber & Pole | e 1981 | 34 |
|-----------|-------------------|---|---|--------------|----------|--------------------|--------|----|
| F2/D-019 | 45 | 4 | [| JISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/D-020 | 45 | 4 | Γ | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/D-021 | 45 | 4 | Γ | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/D-038 | 45 | 4 | Γ | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/D-040 | WESTERN RED CI 45 | 4 | Γ | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/SR-049 | 45 | 4 | Γ | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/SR-050 | 40 | 4 | Γ | JISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1981 | 34 |
| F3-811 | 45 | 4 | Γ | JISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1981 | 34 |
| F3-233 | 50 | 4 | Γ | JISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1981 | 34 |
| F3-234 | 50 | 4 | [| JISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | North West Pine | 1981 | 34 |
| F3-238 | 50 | 4 | [| JISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1981 | 34 |
| F3-240 | 50 | 4 | [| JISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1981 | 34 |
| F3-241 | 50 | 4 | [| DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1981 | 34 |
| F3-242 | 50 | 4 | [| DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1981 | 34 |
| F3-246 | 50 | 4 | Γ | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1981 | 34 |
| F3-391 | 35 | 4 | Γ | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1981 | 34 |
| F3-426 | 50 | 4 | Ε | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1981 | 34 |
| F3-430 | 45 | 4 | Ε | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1981 | 34 |
| F3-456 | 35 | 4 | [| DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1981 | 34 |
| F4-044 | 55 | 4 | Ε | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1981 | 34 |
| F4-066 | 50 | 4 | Γ | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1981 | 34 |
| F4-067 | 45 | 4 | Ε | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1981 | 34 |
| F4-068 | 40 | 4 | Ε | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1981 | 34 |
| F4-073 | 45 | 4 | Ε | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1981 | 34 |
| F4-074 | 35 | 4 | Ε | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1981 | 34 |
| F4-075 | 45 | 4 | Ε | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1981 | 34 |
| F1/F2-1 | 45 | 4 | Γ | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1981 | 34 |
| F2/D-150 | 45 | 4 | [| DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | e 1981 | 34 |
| F2/72-320 | 40 | 4 | Ε | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1981 | 34 |
| B.CRES-02 | 40 | 4 | [| JISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1982 | 33 |
| B.CRES-04 | 40 | 4 | [| DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1982 | 33 |
| B.CRES-06 | 45 | 4 | [| DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1982 | 33 |
| F1/1-001 | 45 | 4 | [| JISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1982 | 33 |
| 3RD.ST-07 | 40 | 4 | [| DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1982 | 33 |
| F2/FS-35 | 45 | 4 | Ε | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1982 | 33 |
| F2/MH-09 | 45 | 4 | [| DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1982 | 33 |
| F2/MH-11 | 45 | 4 | Ε | JISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1982 | 33 |
| F2/MH-25 | 45 | 4 | Γ | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1982 | 33 |
| F2/MH-35 | 45 | 4 | Ε | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-467 | 50 | 4 | Γ | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |

| F3-490 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
|----------|------------------|---|--------------|----------|---------------------|------|----|
| F3-559 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-560 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-701 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-708 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-709 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-716 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-717 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-719 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-720 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-721 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-722 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-737 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-738 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F2/AL-35 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 1982 | 33 |
| F2/AL-75 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F2/D-050 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F2/D-052 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F2/D-037 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1982 | 33 |
| F2/D-058 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F2/D-063 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F2/D-108 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1982 | 33 |
| F2/SM-03 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-189 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3/PF-06 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1982 | 33 |
| F3/PF-08 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-108 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-191 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-192 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-193 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-194 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-211 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-217 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-218 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-219 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-220 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-221 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-222 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-355 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-356 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-465 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |

| F4-001 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | 91982 | 33 |
|------------|------------------|---|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------|----|
| F4-002 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F4-019 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F4-041 | 55 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N PCP | North West Pine | 1982 | 33 |
| F2/72-321 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F2/AL-65 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F4/F3-1 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | 91982 | 33 |
| MILL.RD-06 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N CREOSOTE | | 1983 | 32 |
| MILL.RD-05 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N CREOSOTE | | 1983 | 32 |
| F2/MH-05 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N PCP | | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-468 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-483 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-484 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-485 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-556 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-591 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-592 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-593 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-624 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-625 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-663 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-657 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-658 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-659 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-776 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-795 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F2/AL-63 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N PCP | North West Pine | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-111 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N PCP | North West Pine | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-112 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N PCP | North West Pine | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-113 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N PCP | North West Pine | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-114 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N PCP | North West Pine | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-115 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N PCP | North West Pine | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-116 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N PCP | North West Pine | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-117 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N PCP | North West Pine | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-118 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N PCP | North West Pine | 1983 | 32 |
| F3/PF-02 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N PCP | North West Pine | 1983 | 32 |
| F3/PF-05 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N PCP | North West Pine | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-109 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N PCP | | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-104 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N PCP | | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-105 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N PCP | | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-107 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | N PCP | | 1983 | 32 |

| | | | | | _ | | |
|------------|------------------|---|--------------|----------|-----------------|------|----|
| F3-198 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-200 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-294 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-256 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-258 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-274 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-275 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-276 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-277 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-328 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-110 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-341 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-292 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-293 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-377 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-431 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-463 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F4/F1-17 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1983 | 32 |
| F2/D-173 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3/PF-01 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1983 | 32 |
| F3/PF-03 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1983 | 32 |
| F3/PF-04 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1983 | 32 |
| F1/DEER-16 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1984 | 31 |
| 3RD.ST-15 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1984 | 31 |
| 3RD.ST-16 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1984 | 31 |
| F2/MH-15 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Carney | 1984 | 31 |
| F2/CP-09 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1984 | 31 |
| F2/CP-12 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1984 | 31 |
| F3-661 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1984 | 31 |
| F2/AL-61 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | • | 1984 | 31 |
| F2/FD-06 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1984 | 31 |
| F2/FD-07 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1984 | 31 |
| F2/D-124 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1984 | 31 |
| F2/D-125 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1984 | 31 |
| F2/D-126 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1984 | 31 |
| F2/D-127 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1984 | 31 |
| F2/D-129 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1984 | 31 |
| F3-119 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1984 | 31 |
| F3-120 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1984 | 31 |
| F3/PF-09 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1984 | 31 |
| F3/PF-10 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1984 | 31 |

| F3-106 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1984 | 31 |
|------------|------------------|---|--------------|----------|---------------------|------|----|
| F3/PF-11 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1984 | 31 |
| F3/PF-12 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1984 | 31 |
| F3/PF-13 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1984 | 31 |
| F1/DEER-09 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Carney | 1985 | 30 |
| 5TH.AVE-01 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1985 | 30 |
| 5TH.AVE-02 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/O.RD-06 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/O.RD-07 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/O.RD-11 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Carney | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/O.RD-12 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/O.RD-15 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/72-065 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/O.RD-20 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | North West Pine | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/O.RD-21 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/VN.RD-4 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/VN.RD-5 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/BH-01 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | North West Pine | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/SR-071 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole | 1985 | 30 |
| F3-489 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1985 | 30 |
| F3-561 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1985 | 30 |
| F3-562 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/1PH-137 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/1PH-138 | 55 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Carney | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/1PH-139 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Carney | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/L.RD-23 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/L.RD-27 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1985 | 30 |
| F3-662 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1985 | 30 |
| F3-660 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/AL-64 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/D-101 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/D-102 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/D-103 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/D-109 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/D-110 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/D-111 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/D-112 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/D-113 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/D-114 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/D-116 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1985 | 30 |
| F2/SR-055 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1985 | 30 |

| F3-121 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1985 | 30 |
|------------|----|---|--------------|----------|-------------------------|----|
| F3-036 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1985 | 30 |
| F3-123 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1985 | 30 |
| F3-124 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1985 | 30 |
| F3-125 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1985 | 30 |
| F3-126 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1985 | 30 |
| F3-127 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1985 | 30 |
| F3-128 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1985 | 30 |
| F3-273 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1985 | 30 |
| F3-415 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1985 | 30 |
| F3-417 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1985 | 30 |
| F3-418 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1985 | 30 |
| F3-122 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1985 | 30 |
| F1-52 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Bell Lumber & Pole 1986 | 29 |
| F1/DEER-01 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Carney 1986 | 29 |
| F1/DEER-02 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Carney 1986 | 29 |
| F1/DEER-03 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Carney 1986 | 29 |
| F1/DEER-04 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Carney 1986 | 29 |
| F1/DEER-05 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Carney 1986 | 29 |
| F1/DEER-06 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1986 | 29 |
| F1/DEER-07 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1986 | 29 |
| F1/DEER-08 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 1986 | 29 |
| F1/DEER-10 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Carney 1986 | 29 |
| F1/DEER-11 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1986 | 29 |
| F1/DEER-12 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1986 | 29 |
| F1/DEER-13 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1986 | 29 |
| F1/DEER-14 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 1986 | 29 |
| F1/DEER-15 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/1PH-34 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1986 | 29 |
| F2/1PH-53 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1986 | 29 |
| F2/1PH-74 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1986 | 29 |
| F2/1PH-76 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1986 | 29 |
| F2/1PH-102 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1986 | 29 |
| F2/1PH-103 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1986 | 29 |
| F2/1PH-104 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1986 | 29 |
| F2/1PH-109 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1986 | 29 |
| F2/1PH-111 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1986 | 29 |
| F2/72-054 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1986 | 29 |
| F2/72-089 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1986 | 29 |
| F2/72-090 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1986 | 29 |
| F2/72-091 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1986 | 29 |

| F2/72-098 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
|------------|------------------|---|--------------|----------|-----------------|------|----|
| F2/72-099 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/72-100 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/72-101 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/72-102 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/72-106 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/1PH-52 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/1PH-73 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/1PH-75 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/72-265 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/72-266 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/SR-020 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/SR-021 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/SR-073 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F3-466 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1986 | 29 |
| F3-487 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1986 | 29 |
| F3-488 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/1PH-110 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/1PH-150 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/1PH-140 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/1PH-178 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/1PH-183 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Carney | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/1PH-185 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/L.RD-09 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/L.RD-19 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/L.RD-20 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/L.RD-22 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/1PH-218 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/1PH-227 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F3-866 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/SS-07 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/SS-08 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/AL-62 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-069 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-078 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-080 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-081 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-057 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-086 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-089 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-090 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |

| F2/D-092 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
|----------|------------------|---|--------------|----------|-----------------|------|----|
| F2/D-093 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-082 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-083 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-084 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-085 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-105 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-106 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-107 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-117 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-118 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-119 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-120 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-121 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-122 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-123 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-128 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-131 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/G-01 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-096 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1986 | 29 |
| F3-157 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1986 | 29 |
| F3-160 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F3-161 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1986 | 29 |
| F3-162 | 55 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1986 | 29 |
| F3-178 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F3-179 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F3/PF-07 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1986 | 29 |
| F3-144 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1986 | 29 |
| F3-145 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1986 | 29 |
| F3-148 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F3-149 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F3-150 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F3-153 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F3-154 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F3-155 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F3-163 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F3-164 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F3-165 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F3-166 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F3-168 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F3-169 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |

| F3-247 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1986 | 29 |
|-----------|------------------|----|--------------|----------|-----------------|------|----|
| F3-419 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1986 | 29 |
| F4/F1-16 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F4-072 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-146 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-147 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-157 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-158 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-159 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/FD-26 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F3-174 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-192 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-193 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/72-342 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/D-076 | 40 | H5 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1986 | 29 |
| F3-910 | 40 | H5 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/1PH-35 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-36 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-37 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-38 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-40 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-42 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-44 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-46 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-48 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-49 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-51 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-54 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-56 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-58 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-59 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-60 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-61 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-63 | WESTERN RED CI40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-64 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-66 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-67 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-68 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-69 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-70 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-71 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |

| F2/1PH-72 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
|------------|------------------|---|--------------|-----|-----------------|------|----|
| F2/1PH-78 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-79 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-80 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-81 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-82 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-83 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-84 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-86 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-88 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-90 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-91 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-92 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-97 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-99 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-101 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-105 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-106 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-107 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-114 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/72-049 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/72-052 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/72-053 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/72-055 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/72-056 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/72-057 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-39 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-41 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-43 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-45 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-47 | WESTERN RED CI40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-50 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-55 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-57 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-62 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-65 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-116 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-118 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-124 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-127 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-132 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |

| F2/1PH-85 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
|------------|----|---|--------------|-----|-----------------|------|----|
| F2/1PH-87 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-96 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-98 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-100 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-108 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-115 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-117 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-123 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-126 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-143 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-144 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-146 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-155 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-157 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-159 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-160 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-162 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-164 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-166 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-168 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-170 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-171 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-172 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-176 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-133 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-134 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-145 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-149 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-151 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-154 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-156 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-158 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-161 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-163 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-165 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-167 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-169 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-174 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-175 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-177 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |

| F2/1PH-184 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
|------------|----|---|--------------|-----|-----------------|------|----|
| F2/1PH-190 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-191 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-192 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/L.RD-01 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/L.RD-02 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/L.RD-03 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/L.RD-04 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-179 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/L.RD-07 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/L.RD-11 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/L.RD-12 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/L.RD-13 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/L.RD-15 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/L.RD-26 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-202 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-208 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-209 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-210 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-211 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-212 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-213 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-214 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-215 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-216 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-217 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-219 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-220 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-228 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/AL-50 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/FD-08 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/FD-14 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/AL-19 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/AL-20 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/AL-21 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/D-033 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/D-034 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/D-035 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/G-03 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/G-04 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/G-05 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |

| F2/G-06 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
|-----------|----|---|--------------|-------|-----------------|------|----|
| F2/G-08 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/G-09 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/G-10 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/G-11 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/G-12 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/G-13 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/G-14 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/G-15 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/G-16 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/G-17 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/G-18 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/G-19 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/G-20 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/G-22 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/G-23 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/G-26 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/G-30 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/G-31 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/SR-103 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/SR-118 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F3/WP-001 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1987 | 28 |
| F3/WP-002 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PAINT | | 1987 | 28 |
| F3/WP-003 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1987 | 28 |
| F3/WP-004 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1987 | 28 |
| F3/WP-006 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1987 | 28 |
| F3/WP-007 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1987 | 28 |
| F3-175 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F3-176 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F3-177 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F3-170 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F3-171 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F3-172 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F1-144 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F1-161 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| F1-162 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1987 | 28 |
| F1-167 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1987 | 28 |
| F1-151 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1987 | 28 |
| F1-153 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1987 | 28 |
| F1-154 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1987 | 28 |
| F1-155 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1987 | 28 |

| F1-156 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1987 | 28 |
|------------|----|---|--------------|----------|-----------------|------|----|
| F1-157 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PAINT | | 1987 | 28 |
| F1-158 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1987 | 28 |
| F1-159 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1987 | 28 |
| F1-160 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1987 | 28 |
| F4-107 | 55 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1987 | 28 |
| F2/1PH-232 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1987 | 28 |
| MILL.RD-07 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F1/1-014 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F4-18 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F4-19 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-93 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-94 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-112 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/VN.RD-2 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/72-105 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-77 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-120 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-122 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-129 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-130 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-131 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/SR-022 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/SR-066 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/SR-110 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-546 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-547 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-548 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-549 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-550 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-551 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-563 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-564 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-566 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-574 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-575 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-576 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-577 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-578 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-580 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-581 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1988 | 27 |

| F3-582 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1988 | 27 |
|------------|----|---|--------------|----------|---------------------|------|----|
| F3-583 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-584 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-594 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-595 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-596 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-89 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-95 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-119 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-121 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-125 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-128 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-136 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-141 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-148 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-152 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-153 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-135 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-142 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-147 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-180 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-182 | 55 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-186 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-187 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-188 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-189 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-193 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-194 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-195 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-196 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-197 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/L.RD-14 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/L.RD-16 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/L.RD-17 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/L.RD-21 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/L.RD-25 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-198 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-199 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-200 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-201 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-203 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |

| F2/1PH-205 | 40 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
|------------|------------------|---|--------|----------------|-----------------|------|----|
| F2/1PH-206 | 45 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-207 | 45 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-221 | 40 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/L.RD-05 | 45 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/L.RD-06 | 45 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/L.RD-18 | 45 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/L.RD-24 | 40 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-222 | 40 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-223 | 40 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-224 | 40 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-225 | 50 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/1PH-226 | 40 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-644 | 45 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION CREOSOT | E Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-758 | 50 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION CREOSOT | E Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-718 | 45 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION CREOSOT | E Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-729 | 50 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION CREOSOT | E Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-757 | 40 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-784 | 45 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION CREOSOT | E Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/FAN-04 | 45 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/AL-58 | 40 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/AL-60 | 40 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/AL-16 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION CREOSOT | E Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/AL-33 | 45 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION CREOSOT | E Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/G-07 | 40 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/G-21 | 40 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/SR-024 | 40 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/SR-101 | 45 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F2/SR-102 | 45 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-133 | 40 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-134 | 40 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-135 | 45 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION CREOSOT | E Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-136 | 45 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-137 | 50 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION CREOSOT | E Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-138 | 45 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-139 | 45 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-158 | 45 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-159 | 45 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F3/WP-005 | 45 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | | 1988 | 27 |
| F3/WP-008 | 45 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | | 1988 | 27 |
| F3/WP-009 | 45 | 4 | DISTRI | BUTION PCP | | 1988 | 27 |

| F3-047 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION CREOSC | DTE Carney | 1988 | 27 |
|-----------|------------------|---|------|-----------------|-----------------------|--------|----|
| F3-129 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-130 | 40 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-131 | 40 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-132 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-140 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-141 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-142 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-143 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-146 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-147 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-151 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-152 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-156 | 50 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION CREOSC | DTE Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-167 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-209 | 50 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION CREOSC | DTE Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-285 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION CREOSC | DTE Bell Lumber & Pol | e 1988 | 27 |
| F3-286 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION CREOSC | DTE Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3/WP-010 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION PCP | | 1988 | 27 |
| F3/WP-011 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION PCP | | 1988 | 27 |
| F3/WP-012 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION PCP | | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-173 | 50 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION CREOSC | DTE Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-311 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION CREOSC | DTE Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-313 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION CREOSC | DTE Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-315 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION CREOSC | DTE Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-319 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION CREOSC | DTE Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-321 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION CREOSC | DTE Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-323 | 35 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION CREOSC | DTE Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-325 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION CREOSC | DTE Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-815 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION CREOSC | DTE Carney | 1988 | 27 |
| F1-138 | 40 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F1-139 | 40 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F1-140 | 40 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F1-143 | 40 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION PCP | North West Pine | 1988 | 27 |
| F1-163 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION PCP | | 1988 | 27 |
| F1-164 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION PCP | | 1988 | 27 |
| F1-165 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION PCP | | 1988 | 27 |
| F1-166 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION PCP | | 1988 | 27 |
| F1-168 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION PCP | | 1988 | 27 |
| F1-169 | 50 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION PCP | | 1988 | 27 |
| F1-171 | 45 | 4 | DIST | RIBUTION PCP | | 1988 | 27 |

| F1-173 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 1988 | 27 |
|------------|----|---|--------------|----------|-------------------------|----|
| F1-174 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1988 | 27 |
| F1-175 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-329 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1988 | 27 |
| F3-331 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1988 | 27 |
| F3-423 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1988 | 27 |
| F3-852 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1988 | 27 |
| F3-877 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-886 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1988 | 27 |
| F1-152 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1988 | 27 |
| F4-071 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1988 | 27 |
| F4/F1-10 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1989 | 26 |
| F2/72-048 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1989 | 26 |
| F2/72-085 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1989 | 26 |
| F2/72-107 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1989 | 26 |
| F2/72-108 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1989 | 26 |
| F2/FS-02 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 1989 | 26 |
| F2/BFLY-06 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1989 | 26 |
| F2/MH-27 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1989 | 26 |
| F2/CP-04 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Carney 1989 | 26 |
| F2/SR-114 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1989 | 26 |
| F3-474 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1989 | 26 |
| F3-494 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-495 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-496 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-497 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-499 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-503 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-504 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-505 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-506 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-507 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-491 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-492 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-493 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-500 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-501 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-502 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-567 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1989 | 26 |
| F3-568 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1989 | 26 |
| F3-572 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |

| F3-573 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
|------------|------------------|---|--------------|----------|-------------------------|----|
| F2/L.RD-29 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1989 | 26 |
| F2/L.RD-31 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1989 | 26 |
| F2/L.RD-33 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1989 | 26 |
| F2/1PH-204 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1989 | 26 |
| F2/L.RD-28 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1989 | 26 |
| F2/L.RD-30 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1989 | 26 |
| F2/L.RD-32 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1989 | 26 |
| F3-681 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1989 | 26 |
| F3-687 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1989 | 26 |
| F3-690 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1989 | 26 |
| F3-826 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-751 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-752 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-779 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1989 | 26 |
| F3-802 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-808 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F2/SS-05 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 1989 | 26 |
| F2/SS-06 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 1989 | 26 |
| F2/AL-03 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1989 | 26 |
| F2/AL-04 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1989 | 26 |
| F2/D-059 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1989 | 26 |
| F2/D-060 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1989 | 26 |
| F2/G-27 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1989 | 26 |
| F2/G-28 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1989 | 26 |
| F2/G-33 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1989 | 26 |
| F3/PF-64 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1989 | 26 |
| F3-817 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-820 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-822 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F1-137 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1989 | 26 |
| F3-335 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1989 | 26 |
| F3-336 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1989 | 26 |
| F3-410 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1989 | 26 |
| F3-411 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1989 | 26 |
| F3-420 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-421 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-422 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-399 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-825 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-827 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |

| F3-828 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
|------------|------------------|----|--------------|----------|-------------------------|----|
| F3-829 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-846 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-400 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-402 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-405 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-406 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-429 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1989 | 26 |
| F1-203 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1989 | 26 |
| F1-198 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1989 | 26 |
| F1-199 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1989 | 26 |
| F1-200 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1989 | 26 |
| F1-201 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1989 | 26 |
| F1-202 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1989 | 26 |
| F1-205 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1989 | 26 |
| F1-207 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1989 | 26 |
| F1-211 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1989 | 26 |
| F4-090 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1989 | 26 |
| F4-091 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1989 | 26 |
| F4-092 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1989 | 26 |
| F4-093 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1989 | 26 |
| F4-095 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1989 | 26 |
| F4-096 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PAINT | North West Pine 1989 | 26 |
| F2/1PH-229 | 45 | H5 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1989 | 26 |
| F3-403 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-914 | EASTERN WHITE 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1989 | 26 |
| F2/AL-89 | EASTERN WHITE 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CCA/PEG | 1989 | 26 |
| F2/72-103 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/BFLY-08 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/BFLY-09 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/72-218 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/MH-01 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/MH-03 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/MH-04 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/MH-08 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1990 | 25 |
| F2/MH-10 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/MH-33 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/MH-38 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1990 | 25 |
| F2/MH-20 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/SR-046 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/SR-047 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |

| F2/SR-048 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
|-----------|------------------|---|--------------|----------|-------------------------|----|
| F2/SR-059 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/SR-078 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/SR-079 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/SR-080 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/SR-093 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/SR-111 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1990 | 25 |
| F3-476 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1990 | 25 |
| F3-477 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
| F3-478 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 1990 | 25 |
| F3-481 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 1990 | 25 |
| F3-552 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1990 | 25 |
| F3-598 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1990 | 25 |
| F3-599 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1990 | 25 |
| F3-601 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1990 | 25 |
| F3-630 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1990 | 25 |
| F3-631 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1990 | 25 |
| F3-856 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1990 | 25 |
| F3-857 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1990 | 25 |
| F3-858 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1990 | 25 |
| F3-860 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1990 | 25 |
| F3-861 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1990 | 25 |
| F3-862 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1990 | 25 |
| F3-731 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1990 | 25 |
| F3-732 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1990 | 25 |
| F3-734 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1990 | 25 |
| F3-744 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 1990 | 25 |
| F3-748 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1990 | 25 |
| F3-750 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1990 | 25 |
| F2/FD-01 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/FD-20 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/AL-36 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/AL-45 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/AL-73 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/72-303 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/D-077 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/D-061 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/SM-05 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/SM-06 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/SM-09 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1990 | 25 |
| F2/SM-15 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1990 | 25 |

| F2/SM-16 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1990 | 25 |
|------------|---------------|----|----|--------------|----------|---------------------|------|----|
| F2/SR-095 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/SR-117 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/SR-119 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1990 | 25 |
| F3-063 | WESTERN RED C | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1990 | 25 |
| F3-287 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | 1990 | 25 |
| F3-288 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | 1990 | 25 |
| F3-278 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | 1990 | 25 |
| F3-338 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | 1990 | 25 |
| F3-416 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | 1990 | 25 |
| F3-440 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 1990 | 25 |
| F3-441 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 1990 | 25 |
| F3-289 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | 1990 | 25 |
| F3-290 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | 1990 | 25 |
| F3-291 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | 1990 | 25 |
| F3-307 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | 1990 | 25 |
| F3-308 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | 1990 | 25 |
| F3-369 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | 1990 | 25 |
| F3-370 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | 1990 | 25 |
| F3-840 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | 1990 | 25 |
| F3-841 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | 1990 | 25 |
| F3-842 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | 1990 | 25 |
| F3-843 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | 1990 | 25 |
| F3-844 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | 1990 | 25 |
| F3-434 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | 1990 | 25 |
| F3-435 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | 1990 | 25 |
| F3-436 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1990 | 25 |
| F3-451 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | 1990 | 25 |
| F2-51 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/72-317 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/72-318 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/SR-138 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1990 | 25 |
| F3-903 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/72-325 | | 35 | H5 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/D-162 | | 40 | H4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/D-164 | | 40 | H4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/D-166 | | 40 | H5 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/D-167 | | 45 | H5 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/D-226 | EASTERN WHITE | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1990 | 25 |
| F1-132 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1991 | 24 |
| MILL.RD-01 | | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1991 | 24 |

| MILL.RD-03 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1991 | 24 |
|------------|------------------|---|--------------|----------|--------------------|------|----|
| F2/72-071 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1991 | 24 |
| F2/72-078 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1991 | 24 |
| F2/72-079 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1991 | 24 |
| F2/72-081 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1991 | 24 |
| F2/FS-24 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1991 | 24 |
| F2/FS-25 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1991 | 24 |
| F2/FS-27 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1991 | 24 |
| F2/FS-28 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1991 | 24 |
| F2/FS-37 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1991 | 24 |
| F4/F1-01 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1991 | 24 |
| F4/F1-02 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1991 | 24 |
| F2/SM-35 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1991 | 24 |
| F2/SM-40 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1991 | 24 |
| F2/SM-41 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1991 | 24 |
| F2/SM-42 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1991 | 24 |
| F2/SR-063 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1991 | 24 |
| F2/SR-076 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1991 | 24 |
| F2/SR-077 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1991 | 24 |
| F2/AL-40 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1991 | 24 |
| F2/D-064 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1991 | 24 |
| F2/D-072 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1991 | 24 |
| F2/D-022 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1991 | 24 |
| F2/D-091 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1991 | 24 |
| F2/SR-098 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1991 | 24 |
| F3-041 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole | 1991 | 24 |
| F2/AL-81 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1991 | 24 |
| F2/72-331 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1991 | 24 |
| F2/72-332 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1991 | 24 |
| F3-904 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1991 | 24 |
| F3-905 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1991 | 24 |
| F3-906 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1991 | 24 |
| F3-907 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1991 | 24 |
| F2/72-036 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1992 | 23 |
| F2/MH-12 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1992 | 23 |
| F2/CP-16 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1992 | 23 |
| F2/SM-32 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1992 | 23 |
| F2/SM-33 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1992 | 23 |
| F2/SR-016 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1992 | 23 |
| F2/SR-072 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1992 | 23 |
| F2/SR-074 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1992 | 23 |

| F2/SR-075 | 45 | 2 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1992 | 23 |
|-----------|-------------------|---|---|--------------|----------|---------------------|------|----|
| F2/72-001 | 50 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1992 | 23 |
| F2/SS-09 | 45 | 2 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1992 | 23 |
| F2/AL-06 | 45 | 2 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1992 | 23 |
| F2/AL-22 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 2 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1992 | 23 |
| F2/AL-23 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1992 | 23 |
| F2/AL-24 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1992 | 23 |
| F2/AL-32 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1992 | 23 |
| F2/D-070 | 40 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1992 | 23 |
| F2/SR-086 | 40 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1992 | 23 |
| F2/SR-087 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1992 | 23 |
| F2/SR-088 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1992 | 23 |
| F2/SR-090 | 40 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1992 | 23 |
| F2/SR-079 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1992 | 23 |
| F3-046 | WESTERN RED CI 40 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1992 | 23 |
| F3/PF-45 | 40 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1992 | 23 |
| F3/PF-46 | 40 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1992 | 23 |
| F3/PF-47 | 40 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1992 | 23 |
| F2/72-323 | 40 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1992 | 23 |
| F4-17 | 45 | 2 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1993 | 22 |
| F2/SM-25 | 40 | 2 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1993 | 22 |
| F2/SM-26 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1993 | 22 |
| F2/SM-27 | 45 | 2 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1993 | 22 |
| F2/SM-31 | 40 | 2 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1993 | 22 |
| F2/SM-36 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1993 | 22 |
| F2/SR-012 | 45 | 2 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1993 | 22 |
| F2/SR-013 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1993 | 22 |
| F2/SR-014 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1993 | 22 |
| F2/SR-015 | 45 | 2 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1993 | 22 |
| F2/SR-081 | 45 | 2 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole | 1993 | 22 |
| F2/SR-092 | 40 | 2 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1993 | 22 |
| F3-597 | 45 | 2 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1993 | 22 |
| F3-602 | 40 | 2 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1993 | 22 |
| F3-603 | 45 | 2 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1993 | 22 |
| F3-604 | 40 | 2 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1993 | 22 |
| F3-605 | 40 | 2 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1993 | 22 |
| F3-606 | 40 | 2 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1993 | 22 |
| F3-607 | 45 | 2 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1993 | 22 |
| F3-759 | 45 | 2 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1993 | 22 |
| F3-760 | 45 | 2 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1993 | 22 |
| F3-788 | 40 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1993 | 22 |

| F3-789 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION CREOSOTE | Carney | 1993 | 22 |
|------------|-------------------|---|-----------|--------------|---------------------|------|----|
| F3-790 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION CREOSOTE | Carney | 1993 | 22 |
| F2/D-016 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 1993 | 22 |
| F2/SM-20 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION PCP | North West Pine | 1993 | 22 |
| F2/SM-21 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION PCP | North West Pine | 1993 | 22 |
| F2/SM-22 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION PCP | North West Pine | 1993 | 22 |
| F2/SM-23 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION PCP | North West Pine | 1993 | 22 |
| F2/SM-17 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION PCP | North West Pine | 1993 | 22 |
| F2/SM-18 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION PCP | North West Pine | 1993 | 22 |
| F2/SM-19 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION PCP | North West Pine | 1993 | 22 |
| F3-054 | WESTERN RED CI 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION CREOSOTE | Carney | 1993 | 22 |
| F3-055 | WESTERN RED CI 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION CREOSOTE | Carney | 1993 | 22 |
| F3-056 | WESTERN RED CI 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION CREOSOTE | Carney | 1993 | 22 |
| F3-057 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION CREOSOTE | Carney | 1993 | 22 |
| F3-058 | WESTERN RED CI 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION CREOSOTE | Carney | 1993 | 22 |
| F3-059 | WESTERN RED CI 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION CREOSOTE | Carney | 1993 | 22 |
| F3-060 | WESTERN RED CI 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION CREOSOTE | Carney | 1993 | 22 |
| F3-062 | WESTERN RED CI 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION CREOSOTE | Carney | 1993 | 22 |
| F3-064 | WESTERN RED CI 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION CREOSOTE | Carney | 1993 | 22 |
| F3-083 | WESTERN RED CI 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION CREOSOTE | Carney | 1993 | 22 |
| F3-087 | WESTERN RED CI 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION CREOSOTE | Carney | 1993 | 22 |
| F3-326 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION CREOSOTE | Carney | 1993 | 22 |
| F3-879 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION CREOSOTE | Carney | 1993 | 22 |
| F2/SM-44 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION PCP | North West Pine | 1993 | 22 |
| F2/SM-45 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION PCP | North West Pine | 1993 | 22 |
| F2/72-051 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION PCP | North West Pine | 1994 | 21 |
| F2/72-219 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION CREOSOTE | | 1994 | 21 |
| F2/SM-29 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION PCP | North West Pine | 1994 | 21 |
| F4/F1-14 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION PCP | | 1994 | 21 |
| F4/F1-15 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION PCP | | 1994 | 21 |
| F2/1PH-231 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION PCP | North West Pine | 1994 | 21 |
| 1ST.AVE-01 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION PCP | North West Pine | 1995 | 20 |
| F2/72-080 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 1995 | 20 |
| F2/SR-004 | WESTERN RED CI 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION CREOSOTE | Carney | 1995 | 20 |
| F2/SR-010 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION PCP | North West Pine | 1995 | 20 |
| F2/SR-011 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION PCP | North West Pine | 1995 | 20 |
| F2/SR-017 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION CREOSOTE | | 1995 | 20 |
| F2/SR-018 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION PCP | North West Pine | 1995 | 20 |
| F2/SR-019 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION PCP | North West Pine | 1995 | 20 |
| F2/SR-058 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION PCP | Guelph Utility Pole | 1995 | 20 |
| F2/SR-082 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUT | ION PCP | North West Pine | 1995 | 20 |

| F2/SR-091 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole | 1995 | 20 |
|------------|------------------|---|--------------|----------|---------------------|------|----|
| F2/SR-115 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole | 1995 | 20 |
| F3-613 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1995 | 20 |
| F3-855 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1995 | 20 |
| F3-736 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1995 | 20 |
| F2/AL-01 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1995 | 20 |
| F2/AL-11 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1995 | 20 |
| F2/AL-13 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1995 | 20 |
| F2/D-115 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 1995 | 20 |
| F2/SM-07 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1995 | 20 |
| F2/SM-11 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1995 | 20 |
| F2/SM-12 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1995 | 20 |
| F2/SM-13 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1995 | 20 |
| F2/SR-051 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole | 1995 | 20 |
| F2/SR-052 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1995 | 20 |
| F2/SR-053 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | North West Pine | 1995 | 20 |
| F2/SR-084 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1995 | 20 |
| F2/SR-085 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole | 1995 | 20 |
| F2/SR-096 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole | 1995 | 20 |
| F2/SR-097 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole | 1995 | 20 |
| F2/SR-099 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole | 1995 | 20 |
| F2/SR-100 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole | 1995 | 20 |
| F2/SR-120 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 1995 | 20 |
| F3-037 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1995 | 20 |
| F3-038 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1995 | 20 |
| F3-039 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1995 | 20 |
| F3-042 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1995 | 20 |
| F3-043 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1995 | 20 |
| F3-044 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1995 | 20 |
| F3-074 | WESTERN RED CI35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1995 | 20 |
| F3-045 | WESTERN RED CI40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1995 | 20 |
| F3/PF-52 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1995 | 20 |
| F3-378 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1995 | 20 |
| F1-131 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | | 1996 | 19 |
| 1ST.AVE-02 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1996 | 19 |
| F2/CP-17 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1996 | 19 |
| F2/CP-18 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1996 | 19 |
| F2/72-263 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1996 | 19 |
| F2/SR-113 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1996 | 19 |
| F3-511 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1996 | 19 |
| F2/AL-12 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1996 | 19 |

| F2/AL-14 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1996 | 19 |
|------------|------------------|---|---|--------------|-----------|---------------------|-------|----|
| F2/AL-15 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1996 | 19 |
| F2/CP-20 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1997 | 18 |
| F2/SM-30 | 40 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1997 | 18 |
| F3-480 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 1997 | 18 |
| F2/AL-59 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1997 | 18 |
| F2/G-02 | 40 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1997 | 18 |
| F3/PF-56 | 50 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1997 | 18 |
| F3/PF-57 | 50 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1997 | 18 |
| F2/FD-27 | 40 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1997 | 18 |
| F2/SR-147 | EASTERN WHITE 40 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | BUTT ONLY | | 1997 | 18 |
| F2/SR-148 | EASTERN WHITE 40 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | BUTT ONLY | | 1997 | 18 |
| F2/1PH-113 | 50 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1998 | 17 |
| F3-570 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1998 | 17 |
| F3-787 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1998 | 17 |
| F2/AL-29 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1998 | 17 |
| F2/D-079 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1998 | 17 |
| F2/G-24 | WESTERN RED CI40 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1998 | 17 |
| F3-061 | 55 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Bell Lumber & Pole | 91998 | 17 |
| F3-180 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1998 | 17 |
| F3/PF-19 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1998 | 17 |
| F1/2-17 | 55 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1999 | 16 |
| F4/F1-09 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-12 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-13 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-14 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-15 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-16 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-17 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-18 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-19 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-20 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-21 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-22 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-23 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-24 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-26 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-27 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-29 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-31 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-32 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 1999 | 16 |

| F2/1PH-33 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
|------------|----|---|--------------|----------|-------------------------|----|
| F2/O.RD-25 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-01 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-02 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-03 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-04 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-05 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-06 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-07 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-08 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-09 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-10 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-11 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-25 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-28 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F2/1PH-30 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F2/SR-065 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F2/72-024 | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1999 | 16 |
| F2/72-271 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 1999 | 16 |
| F2/AL-25 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F2/AL-27 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F2/AL-31 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-63 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-65 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-68 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-69 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-70 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-71 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-72 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-73 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-74 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-75 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-30 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-31 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-32 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-33 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-34 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-36 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-37 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-38 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-39 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |

| F3/PF-40 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
|-----------|----|---|--------------|----------|--------------------------|----|
| F3/PF-41 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-42 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-43 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-44 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-48 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-60 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-61 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-62 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-14 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-15 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-16 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-17 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-18 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-20 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-21 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-22 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-23 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-24 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-25 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-26 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-27 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-28 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-29 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-50 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-53 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-54 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-55 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-58 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3/PF-59 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 1999 | 16 |
| F3-279 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1999 | 16 |
| F1/2-10 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2000 | 15 |
| 3RD.ST-20 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2000 | 15 |
| F2/72-050 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Bell Lumber & Pole 2000 | 15 |
| F2/72-093 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 2000 | 15 |
| F2/72-094 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 2000 | 15 |
| F2/72-092 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 2000 | 15 |
| F2/72-034 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole 2000 | 15 |
| F2/FS-38 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2000 | 15 |
| F2/FS-39 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2000 | 15 |
| F2/72-221 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2000 | 15 |

| F2/72-222 | 40 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2000 | 15 |
|------------|-------------------|---|---|--------------|----------|-------------------------|----|
| F2/72-223 | 40 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2000 | 15 |
| F2/MH-26 | 40 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 2000 | 15 |
| F2/SM-34 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2000 | 15 |
| F2/SR-068 | WESTERN RED CI 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2000 | 15 |
| F3-545 | 50 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2000 | 15 |
| F3-555 | 40 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 2000 | 15 |
| F3-867 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2000 | 15 |
| F2/FD-21 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2000 | 15 |
| F2/D-005 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2000 | 15 |
| F2/AW-02 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2000 | 15 |
| F2/AW-03 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2000 | 15 |
| F2/D-130 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2000 | 15 |
| F2/SM-04 | WESTERN RED CI40 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2000 | 15 |
| F3-464 | 50 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2000 | 15 |
| F4-043 | 55 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 2000 | 15 |
| F4-046 | 55 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 2000 | 15 |
| F1/1-022 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F1/1-023 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F1/1-024 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F1/1-020 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F1/1-021 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F1/2-12 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F1/2-13 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F1/2-14 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F1/2-15 | 50 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F1/2-16 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F1/1-019 | 50 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F4/F1-12 | 50 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F2/B.RD-01 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F2/B.RD-02 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F2/B.RD-03 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F2/B.RD-04 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F2/B.RD-05 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F2/F.BL-06 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F2/F.BL-07 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F2/F.BL-09 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F2/F.BL-10 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F2/72-058 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F2/72-067 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F2/72-068 | 45 | 4 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |

| F2/DUMP-22 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
|------------|------------------|---|----|--------------|----------|--------------------------|----|
| F2/MH-13 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F2/MH-14 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F2/MH-16 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F2/WP-06 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F2/WP-10 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F2/SR-001 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F2/SR-002 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F3-544 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F3-569 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F3-637 | 50 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F3-725 | 55 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F2/AW-01 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F2/AW-04 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F2/AW-05 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F2/AW-06 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F2/AW-07 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F2/AW-08 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F2/AW-14 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F3-040 | WESTERN RED CI55 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F3/PF-35 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F2/D-137 | 45 | F | 15 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2001 | 14 |
| F1-103 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/O.RD-13 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/72-066 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/FS-14 | 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/MH-06 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/MH-17 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/MH-18 | 50 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/WP-01 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/WP-02 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/WP-03 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/WP-04 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/WP-05 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/DUMP-06 | 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | North West Pine 2002 | 13 |
| F2/WP-07 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/WP-08 | 50 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/WP-09 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/WP-11 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/WP-12 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/WP-13 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |

| F2/WP-14 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
|------------|-------------------|---|---|--------------|----------|--------------------------|----|
| F2/WP-15 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/WP-16 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/MH-19 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/MH-21 | 50 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F3-571 | 50 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/DUMP-18 | WESTERN RED CI 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | North West Pine 2002 | 13 |
| F2/DUMP-19 | WESTERN RED CI 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | North West Pine 2002 | 13 |
| F2/DUMP-17 | WESTERN RED CI 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | North West Pine 2002 | 13 |
| F3-740 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/D-087 | 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine 2002 | 13 |
| F2/D-088 | WESTERN RED CI 50 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/D-104 | WESTERN RED CI 50 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/D-132 | WESTERN RED CI 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/D-133 | WESTERN RED CI 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/D-134 | WESTERN RED CI 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/D-135 | WESTERN RED CI 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/D-136 | WESTERN RED CI 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F3-071 | WESTERN RED CI 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F3-340 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F3-409 | 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F4-080 | 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/D-141 | 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/D-139 | 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/D-172 | 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | 2002 | 13 |
| F2/DUMP-13 | WESTERN RED CI 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | North West Pine 2002 | 13 |
| F2/72-335 | 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 2002 | 13 |
| F2/D-138 | 45 | H | 5 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Bell Lumber & Pole 2002 | 13 |
| F2/D-140 | 40 | H | 5 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2002 | 13 |
| 3RD.ST-05 | 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 2003 | 12 |
| 3RD.ST-06 | 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| 3RD.ST-04 | 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/DUMP-01 | 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/DUMP-02 | 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/DUMP-08 | 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/DUMP-10 | 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/72-256 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/SR-003 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/SR-005 | 50 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/SR-006 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/SR-007 | WESTERN RED CI 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |

| F2/DUMP-03 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
|------------|-------------------|---|--------------|----------|--------------------------|----|
| F2/DUMP-04 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/DUMP-05 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/DUMP-07 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/DUMP-09 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/DUMP-11 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/DUMP-15 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/DUMP-12 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/DUMP-14 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/DUMP-16 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/DUMP-21 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/DUMP-20 | WESTERN RED CI 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | North West Pine 2003 | 12 |
| F2/1PH-181 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/L.RD-08 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F3-777 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F3-778 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F3-786 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/FAN-05 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/SS-01 | WESTERN RED CI 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 2003 | 12 |
| F2/AL-17 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/AL-18 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/AL-28 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/AL-30 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/D-003 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/AW-09 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/AW-11 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/AW-13 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/AW-15 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/D-097 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/D-098 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/D-099 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/72-306 | WESTERN RED CI 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/72-307 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/72-308 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/72-309 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/72-310 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F3-085 | WESTERN RED CI 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F3-092 | WESTERN RED CI 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F3-093 | WESTERN RED CI 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F3-094 | WESTERN RED CI 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F3-095 | WESTERN RED CI 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F3-096 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
|------------|------------------|----|--------------|----------|--------------------------|----|
| F3-097 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F3-098 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F3/WP-022 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 2003 | 12 |
| F3/WP-023 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F1-180 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F1-182 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F1-183 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F3-432 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F3-461 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 2003 | 12 |
| F4-21 | 55 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F4-057 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F4-058 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F4-051 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F4-063 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F4-064 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F4-060 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/D-176 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/D-175 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/72-324 | 50 | H5 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 2003 | 12 |
| 2ND.ST-04 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| 2ND.ST-05 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 2004 | 11 |
| F2/72-035 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F2/BFLY-01 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F3-745 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F2/AW-12 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F2/D-100 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F2/G-25 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F2/G-29 | WESTERN RED CI40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F2/G-32 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F3-099 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 2004 | 11 |
| F3-100 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 2004 | 11 |
| F3-101 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 2004 | 11 |
| F3-102 | WESTERN RED CI50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F1-172 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F4-047 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F4-048 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F4-049 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F4-050 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F4-056 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F4-052 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 2004 | 11 |

| F4-053 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
|------------|------------------|---|--------------|----------|--------------------------|----|
| F4-054 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F4-055 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F4-061 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F4-062 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F4-065 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F4-059 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F2/AL-84 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F3-587 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2005 | 10 |
| F3-588 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2005 | 10 |
| F3-589 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2005 | 10 |
| F3-590 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2005 | 10 |
| F2/AW-10 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2006 | 9 |
| F2/O.RD-09 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/72-047 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/72-073 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/72-074 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/72-075 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/72-076 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/72-077 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/72-037 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/72-038 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/72-039 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/72-040 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/72-041 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/72-042 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/72-043 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/72-044 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/72-045 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/72-046 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/72-069 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/72-070 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/BFLY-04 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F3-585 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F3-586 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F3-804 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F3-805 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F3-806 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F3-807 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/72-286 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/AL-34 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |

| F2/D-047 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
|------------|------------------|----|--------------|----------|--------------------------|---|
| F2/D-053 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F3/PF-51 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F3-270 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 2007 | 8 |
| F3-412 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney 2007 | 8 |
| F3-414 | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/F.BL-17 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/F.BL-18 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/F.BL-19 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/F.BL-20 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/F.BL-21 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/FD-28 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F3-510 | 50 | H5 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F1-83 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F1-108 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| 1ST.ST-09 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F2/F.BL-08 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F2/72-082 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F2/72-083 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F2/72-084 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F2/72-086 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F2/72-087 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F2/72-088 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F2/72-095 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | 2008 | 7 |
| F2/VN.RD-7 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F2/MH-48 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F2/BH-02 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F2/BH-03 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F2/BH-04 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F2/BH-05 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F2/BH-06 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F2/BH-07 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F2/BH-08 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F2/BH-09 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F2/BH-10 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F2/72-248 | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F3-859 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F2/AL-49 | WESTERN RED CI40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F2/FD-13 | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F2/AL-26 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F2/AL-46 | WESTERN RED CI45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |

| F1-170 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2008 | 7 |
|------------|------------------|---|----|--------------|-----------|----------------------------|------|---|
| F3-272 | 50 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2008 | 7 |
| F3-387 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2008 | 7 |
| F3-388 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2008 | 7 |
| F3-389 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2008 | 7 |
| F1-187 | 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2008 | 7 |
| F2/B.RD-06 | 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2008 | 7 |
| F2/AW-24 | 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2008 | 7 |
| F3-271 | 50 | Н | 15 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2008 | 7 |
| F2/72-313 | 40 | Н | 15 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2008 | 7 |
| F2/D-227 | EASTERN WHITE 45 | | | DISTRIBUTION | BUTT ONLY | Guelph Utility Pole | 2008 | 7 |
| F2/D-041 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 2010 | 5 |
| F2/O.RD-14 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole | 2011 | 4 |
| F2/MH-28 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2011 | 4 |
| F2/MH-29 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2011 | 4 |
| F2/MH-30 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2011 | 4 |
| F2/MH-31 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2011 | 4 |
| F2/MH-32 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2011 | 4 |
| F2/MH-34 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2011 | 4 |
| F2/MH-36 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2011 | 4 |
| F2/MH-37 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2011 | 4 |
| F2/MH-40 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2011 | 4 |
| F2/MH-41 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2011 | 4 |
| F2/MH-22 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2011 | 4 |
| F2/MH-23 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2011 | 4 |
| F2/MH-42 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2011 | 4 |
| F2/MH-43 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2011 | 4 |
| F2/MH-44 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2011 | 4 |
| F2/MH-45 | 50 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2011 | 4 |
| F2/MH-46 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2011 | 4 |
| F2/MH-47 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2011 | 4 |
| F2/MH-49 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2011 | 4 |
| F3-579 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2011 | 4 |
| F3-600 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | 2011 | 4 |
| F3-634 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2011 | 4 |
| F2/D-006 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2011 | 4 |
| F2/SM-08 | 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | 2011 | 4 |
| F1-179 | 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2011 | 4 |
| F3-394 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2011 | 4 |
| F1-213 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2011 | 4 |
| F2/SR-121 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2011 | 4 |

| F2/SR-122 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2011 | 4 |
|------------|---------------|----|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------------|---|
| F2/SR-123 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2011 | 4 |
| F2/SR-124 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2011 | 4 |
| F2/SR-125 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2011 | 4 |
| F2/SR-126 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2011 | 4 |
| F2/SR-128 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2011 | 4 |
| F2/SR-129 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2011 | 4 |
| F2/SR-130 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2011 | 4 |
| F2/F.BL-11 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2011 | 4 |
| F2/F.BL-12 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2011 | 4 |
| F2/F.BL-13 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2011 | 4 |
| F2/L.RD-34 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2011 | 4 |
| F2/MH-50 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2011 | 4 |
| F2-49 | EASTERN WHITE | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| 1ST.ST-01 | EASTERN WHITE | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| 1ST.ST-02 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F2/VN.RD-1 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F2/MH-02 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F2/1PH-173 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F3-783 | | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F2/FD-11 | EASTERN WHITE | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | BUTT ONLY | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F2/FD-16 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F2/72-298 | EASTERN WHITE | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | BUTT ONLY | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F2/72-299 | EASTERN WHITE | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | BUTT ONLY | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F2/72-300 | EASTERN WHITE | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | BUTT ONLY | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F2/72-301 | EASTERN WHITE | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | BUTT ONLY | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F2/D-049 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F2/D-065 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F2/D-066 | | 50 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F2/D-067 | | 40 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | BUTT ONLY | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F2/D-068 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | North West Pine 2012 | 3 |
| F2/D-071 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F2/D-073 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F2/D-075 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F2/D-039 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F2/D-054 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F2/D-055 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F2/D-056 | | 35 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F2/D-062 | | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F3/PF-66 | EASTERN WHITE | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | BUTT ONLY | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F3/PF-67 | EASTERN WHITE | 45 | 4 | DISTRIBUTION | BUTT ONLY | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |

| F3-021 | 50 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2012 | 3 |
|------------|-------------------|---|---|--------------|-----------|---------------------|------|---------|
| F3/PF-49 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2012 | 3 |
| F2/D-225 | EASTERN WHITE 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | BUTT ONLY | Guelph Utility Pole | 2012 | 3 |
| F2/D-160 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2012 | 3 |
| F2/SM-51 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2012 | 3 |
| F2/D-208 | EASTERN WHITE 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | BUTT ONLY | Guelph Utility Pole | 2012 | 3 |
| F2/72-304 | 50 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2015 | 0 |
| F3-008 | WESTERN RED CI 50 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole | 2015 | 0 |
| F3-897 | 50 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2015 | 0 |
| F3-997 | YELLOW CEDAR 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | BUTT ONLY | Guelph Utility Pole | 2015 | 0 |
| F2/VN.RD-6 | 35 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | | #VALUE! |
| F2/72-2185 | 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | | #VALUE! |
| F2/AL-54 | 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | | #VALUE! |
| F2/AL-55 | 35 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | | #VALUE! |
| F2/AL-56 | 35 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | | #VALUE! |
| F2/AL-57 | 35 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | | | #VALUE! |
| F2/SR-054 | 35 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | | #VALUE! |
| F2/SR-057 | 45 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | | #VALUE! |
| F2/72-334 | 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | | #VALUE! |
| F2/D-197 | 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | | #VALUE! |
| F2/FD-30 | 40 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | CREOSOTE | Carney | | #VALUE! |
| F2/D-209 | EASTERN WHITE 35 | 4 | | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | | #VALUE! |
| F2/D-202 | EASTERN WHITE 40 | | | DISTRIBUTION | | | | #VALUE! |
| F2/D-203 | EASTERN WHITE 40 | | | DISTRIBUTION | | | | #VALUE! |
| F2/AL-89 | EASTERN WHITE 45 | | | DISTRIBUTION | PCP | North West Pine | | #VALUE! |
| | | | | | | | | |
| F2/BFLY-10 | 40 | Н | 5 | GUY | PCP | North West Pine | 1948 | 67 |
| F2/D-148 | 35 | 4 | | GUY | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/BFLY-11 | 35 | Н | 5 | GUY | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/BFLY-12 | 35 | Н | 5 | GUY | PCP | North West Pine | 1979 | 36 |
| F2/D-163 | 35 | Н | 4 | GUY | PCP | | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/D-165 | 35 | Н | 5 | GUY | PCP | | 1990 | 25 |
| F2/SR-083 | 45 | 4 | | GUY | PCP | North West Pine | 1994 | 21 |
| F2/AW-18 | 40 | 4 | | GUY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2003 | 12 |
| F2/BH-11 | 35 | 4 | | GUY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2008 | 7 |
| F2/BH-12 | 35 | 4 | | GUY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2008 | 7 |
| F2/BH-13 | 35 | 4 | | GUY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2008 | 7 |
| F2/SR-127 | 45 | 4 | | GUY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole | 2011 | 4 |
| F3-339 | 35 | 4 | | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1949 | 66 |
| F3-878 | 35 | 4 | | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carnev | 1949 | 66 |

| F3-880 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1949 | 66 |
|------------|-----------------|---|----|-----------|----------|-----------------|------|----|
| F3-894 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1949 | 66 |
| F2/BFLY-13 | 3 | 5 | H5 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1949 | 66 |
| F2/BFLY-14 | 3 | 5 | H5 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1949 | 66 |
| F2-210 | EASTERN WHITE 3 | 5 | | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1949 | 66 |
| 3RD.ST-02 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1955 | 60 |
| 3RD.ST-01 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | | 1955 | 60 |
| BAY.CR01 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1955 | 60 |
| BAY.CR02 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1955 | 60 |
| F3-318 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1956 | 59 |
| F3-333 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1957 | 58 |
| F3-366 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1957 | 58 |
| F3-848 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1957 | 58 |
| F3-849 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1957 | 58 |
| F3-923 | EASTERN WHITE 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | | 1957 | 58 |
| F3-924 | EASTERN WHITE 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | | | 1957 | 58 |
| F3-938 | EASTERN WHITE 3 | 0 | 6 | SECONDARY | | North West Pine | 1957 | 58 |
| F3-398 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1959 | 56 |
| F3-408 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1960 | 55 |
| F3-831 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1963 | 52 |
| F3-832 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1963 | 52 |
| F3-833 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1963 | 52 |
| W.LANE-04 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1966 | 49 |
| W.LANE-05 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1966 | 49 |
| F3-367 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1966 | 49 |
| F3-883 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1966 | 49 |
| F3-884 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1966 | 49 |
| F3-611 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1967 | 48 |
| F3-346 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1970 | 45 |
| F2/SR-150 | EASTERN WHITE 3 | 5 | 5 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1970 | 45 |
| F3-617 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1971 | 44 |
| F3-619 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1971 | 44 |
| F3-615 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-616 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-620 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-621 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-623 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-664 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-665 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-666 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-667 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1972 | 43 |

| F3-668 | | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1972 | 43 |
|------------|---------------|----|---|-----------|-----------|-----------------|------|----|
| F3-869 | | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-188 | | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-199 | | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-207 | | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-327 | | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-960 | EASTERN WHITE | 35 | | SECONDARY | BUTT ONLY | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-976 | EASTERN WHITE | 20 | | SECONDARY | PCP | UNKNOWN | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-977 | EASTERN WHITE | 20 | | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-979 | EASTERN WHITE | 20 | | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-980 | EASTERN WHITE | 20 | | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-981 | EASTERN WHITE | 20 | | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F3-982 | EASTERN WHITE | 20 | | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| F2/CP-20 | EASTERN WHITE | 35 | 3 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1972 | 43 |
| Р | EASTERN WHITE | 35 | | SECONDARY | | | 1972 | 43 |
| 6TH.AVE-03 | | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1973 | 42 |
| 6TH.AVE-04 | | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1973 | 42 |
| F3-314 | | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1973 | 42 |
| F3-401 | | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1973 | 42 |
| F3-404 | | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1973 | 42 |
| F3-371 | | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1974 | 41 |
| F3-372 | | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1974 | 41 |
| F3-373 | | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1974 | 41 |
| F3-376 | | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1974 | 41 |
| F3-837 | | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1974 | 41 |
| F3-838 | | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1974 | 41 |
| F3-839 | | 45 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1974 | 41 |
| F3-931 | EASTERN WHITE | 30 | 6 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1974 | 41 |
| F2/SS-10 | EASTERN WHITE | 35 | | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1974 | 41 |
| 2ND.ST-01 | | 40 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| 2ND.ST-03 | | 45 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| 5TH.AVE-04 | | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | | 1975 | 40 |
| 2ND.ST-02 | | 40 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| 3RD.ST-09 | | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| 4TH.AVE-05 | | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1975 | 40 |
| F3-252 | | 40 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | | 1975 | 40 |
| F3-854 | | 40 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1976 | 39 |
| F3-365 | | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1976 | 39 |
| F3-978 | EASTERN WHITE | 25 | | SECONDARY | PCP | | 1976 | 39 |
| F3-710 | | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-711 | | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |

| F3-713 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
|--------|------------------|---|-------------|-----------|-----------------|------|----|
| F3-714 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-322 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-330 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-332 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-337 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-381 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-873 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-874 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-359 | 40 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-892 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-962 | EASTERN WHITE 45 | 4 | SECONDARY | BUTT ONLY | | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-875 | 35 | 4 | STREETLIGHT | T PCP | North West Pine | 1977 | 38 |
| F3-452 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1978 | 37 |
| F3-186 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-819 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-448 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1979 | 36 |
| F3-622 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-648 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-649 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-650 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-651 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-652 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-653 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-654 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-655 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-863 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-864 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-870 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-703 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-704 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-705 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-715 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-803 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-203 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-205 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-227 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-229 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-231 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-235 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-237 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | | 1980 | 35 |

| F3-239 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | | 1980 | 35 |
|------------|-----------------|---|---|-----------|-----------|--------------------|--------|----|
| F3-259 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-824 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-830 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-834 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-835 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-836 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-845 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-881 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-882 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-888 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-889 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-890 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-891 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1980 | 35 |
| F2/72-314 | 4 | 0 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1980 | 35 |
| F3-961 | EASTERN WHITE 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | BUTT ONLY | Bell Lumber & Pole | e 1980 | 35 |
| F2/72-351 | EASTERN WHITE 3 | 5 | | SECONDARY | CCA/PEG | | 1980 | 35 |
| 3RD.ST-12 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1981 | 34 |
| 1ST.ST-12 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1981 | 34 |
| 1ST.ST-13 | 4 | 0 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1981 | 34 |
| 1ST.ST-14 | 4 | 0 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1981 | 34 |
| 1ST.ST-15 | 4 | 0 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1981 | 34 |
| W.LANE-06 | 4 | 0 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1981 | 34 |
| W.LANE-07 | 4 | 0 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1981 | 34 |
| F3-812 | 4 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1981 | 34 |
| F3-813 | 4 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1981 | 34 |
| F3-814 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1981 | 34 |
| F3-243 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | | 1981 | 34 |
| 3RD.AVE-01 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-190 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-212 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-350 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-354 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-357 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-982 | EASTERN WHITE 2 | 0 | | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | 1982 | 33 |
| F3-794 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-312 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-320 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-262 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-263 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |
| F3-264 | 3 | 5 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney | 1983 | 32 |

| F3-342 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney 1983 | 32 |
|------------|------------------|---|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|----|
| F3-361 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1983 | 32 |
| F3-362 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine 1983 | 32 |
| F3-363 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1983 | 32 |
| F3-386 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | 1984 | 31 |
| 5TH.AVE-03 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | 1985 | 30 |
| F3-424 | 40 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | 1985 | 30 |
| F3-184 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | 1986 | 29 |
| F3-920 | EASTERN WHITE 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | BUTT ONLY | 1986 | 29 |
| F2/L.RD-10 | 40 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine 1987 | 28 |
| F3-618 | 45 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney 1988 | 27 |
| F3-868 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney 1988 | 27 |
| F3-181 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-182 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | 1988 | 27 |
| F3-223 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1988 | 27 |
| F3-225 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1988 | 27 |
| F3-316 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine 1988 | 27 |
| F3-324 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney 1988 | 27 |
| F3-816 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney 1988 | 27 |
| F3-257 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1988 | 27 |
| F3-447 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney 1988 | 27 |
| F3-454 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1988 | 27 |
| F3-921 | EASTERN WHITE 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | BUTT ONLY | Bell Lumber & Pole 1988 | 27 |
| F3-706 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney 1989 | 26 |
| F3-364 | 50 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney 1989 | 26 |
| F3-823 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 1989 | 26 |
| F3-309 | 40 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney 1990 | 25 |
| F3-310 | 40 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | Carney 1990 | 25 |
| F3-360 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1990 | 25 |
| F2/FD-23 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | 1990 | 25 |
| 6TH.AVE-05 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | 1991 | 24 |
| 2ND.ST-07 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | 1991 | 24 |
| F2/SM-43 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine 1992 | 23 |
| F3-379 | 45 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1993 | 22 |
| F2/FAN-07 | EASTERN WHITE 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine 1993 | 22 |
| F3-304 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | 1994 | 21 |
| F3-347 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney 1995 | 20 |
| F3-348 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney 1995 | 20 |
| F3-349 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney 1995 | 20 |
| F3-351 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney 1995 | 20 |
| F3-352 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney 1995 | 20 |

| F3-353 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney 1995 | 20 |
|------------|------------------|----|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|----|
| F3-368 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 1995 | 20 |
| F2/FAN-06 | EASTERN WHITE 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | BUTT ONLY | Guelph Utility Pole 1995 | 20 |
| F3-358 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 1996 | 19 |
| F3/SR-140 | EASTERN WHITE 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | BUTT ONLY | 1996 | 19 |
| F2/SR-143 | EASTERN WHITE 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | BUTT ONLY | 1996 | 19 |
| F2/AL-83 | EASTERN WHITE 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CCA/PEG | 1996 | 19 |
| F3-245 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney 1997 | 18 |
| F3-334 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney 1997 | 18 |
| F3-343 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney 1997 | 18 |
| F3-344 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney 1997 | 18 |
| F3-345 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney 1997 | 18 |
| F3-306 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney 1997 | 18 |
| F3-374 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney 1997 | 18 |
| F3-375 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney 1997 | 18 |
| F3-383 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney 1997 | 18 |
| F3-384 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney 1997 | 18 |
| F3-385 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Carney 1997 | 18 |
| F2/D-223 | EASTERN WHITE 30 | | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine 1997 | 18 |
| F2/AL-80 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | 1998 | 17 |
| F3-407 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2000 | 15 |
| F2/AW-22 | 40 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2000 | 15 |
| F2/FD-25 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2000 | 15 |
| F2/SM-47 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Bell Lumber & Pole 2000 | 15 |
| F2/D-232 | EASTERN WHITE 35 | | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine 2000 | 15 |
| F2/D-239 | EASTERN WHITE 35 | | SECONDARY | BUTT ONLY | Guelph Utility Pole 2002 | 13 |
| 6TH.AVE-06 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| 3RD.ST-08 | 40 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| 3RD.ST-10 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| 3RD.ST-11 | 40 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F3-821 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/AW-19 | 40 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/AW-20 | 40 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/WP-20 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/WP-24 | 35 | H5 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2003 | 12 |
| F2/SR-143 | EASTERN WHITE 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | BUTT ONLY | 2003 | 12 |
| F2/AL-86 | EASTERN WHITE 45 | 4 | SECONDARY | BUTT ONLY | Bell Lumber & Pole 2003 | 12 |
| 2ND.ST-06 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F3-688 | 40 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F3-689 | 40 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F3-196 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |

| F3-455 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
|------------|------------------|---|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| F2/AW-16 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F2/AW-17 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F2/AW-21 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F2/AW-26 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F2/D-218 | EASTERN WHITE 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | BUTT ONLY | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F2/AL-80 | EASTERN WHITE 40 | 4 | SECONDARY | BUTT ONLY | Guelph Utility Pole 2004 | 11 |
| F3-210 | 40 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/F.BL-15 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F3-932 | EASTERN WHITE 35 | | SECONDARY | BUTT ONLY | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/D-231 | EASTERN WHITE 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | BUTT ONLY | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| F2/AL-81 | EASTERN WHITE 40 | | SECONDARY | BUTT ONLY | Guelph Utility Pole 2007 | 8 |
| 4TH.AVE-06 | 40 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | 2008 | 7 |
| 4TH.AVE-07 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| 4TH.AVE-08 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F3-865 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F3-876 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F3-885 | 40 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F3-893 | 40 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2008 | 7 |
| F3-397 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2011 | 4 |
| F2/AW-23 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2011 | 4 |
| F3-895 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2011 | 4 |
| F3-896 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2011 | 4 |
| F2/AW-25 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2011 | 4 |
| F3-712 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F3-224 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | CREOSOTE | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F3-935 | EASTERN WHITE 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | BUTT ONLY | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F3-953 | EASTERN WHITE 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | BUTT ONLY | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F3-956 | EASTERN WHITE 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | BUTT ONLY | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F3-987 | EASTERN WHITE 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | BUTT ONLY | Guelph Utility Pole 2012 | 3 |
| F2/SM-46 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | #VALUE! |
| F2/72-336 | 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | #VALUE! |
| F2/CP-24 | EASTERN WHITE 30 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | #VALUE! |
| F3-912 | EASTERN WHITE 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | | Guelph Utility Pole | #VALUE! |
| F3-913 | EASTERN WHITE 25 | 4 | SECONDARY | | | #VALUE! |
| F3-922 | EASTERN WHITE 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | | #VALUE! |
| F3-925 | EASTERN WHITE 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | BUTT ONLY | | #VALUE! |
| F3-926 | EASTERN WHITE 25 | 6 | SECONDARY | PCP | | #VALUE! |
| F3-927 | EASTERN WHITE 30 | 6 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | #VALUE! |
| F3-929 | EASTERN WHITE 25 | | SECONDARY | PCP | | #VALUE! |
| F3-933 | EASTERN WHITE 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | #VALUE! |

| EASTERN WHITE 30 | 4 | SECONDARY | BUTT ONLY | | #VALUE! |
|------------------|--|--|---|---|--|
| EASTERN WHITE 35 | 4 | SECONDARY | | | #VALUE! |
| EASTERN WHITE 40 | 4 | SECONDARY | | | #VALUE! |
| EASTERN WHITE 25 | | SECONDARY | BUTT ONLY | North West Pine | #VALUE! |
| EASTERN WHITE 35 | | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | #VALUE! |
| EASTERN WHITE 20 | 6 | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | #VALUE! |
| EASTERN WHITE 35 | | SECONDARY | BUTT ONLY | | #VALUE! |
| 35 | | SECONDARY | BUTT ONLY | | #VALUE! |
| EASTERN WHITE 35 | | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | #VALUE! |
| EASTERN WHITE 35 | | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | #VALUE! |
| EASTERN WHITE 35 | | SECONDARY | PCP | | #VALUE! |
| EASTERN WHITE 35 | | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | #VALUE! |
| EASTERN WHITE 35 | | SECONDARY | BUTT ONLY | | #VALUE! |
| EASTERN WHITE 35 | | SECONDARY | PCP | | #VALUE! |
| EASTERN WHITE 25 | | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | #VALUE! |
| EASTERN WHITE 25 | | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | #VALUE! |
| EASTERN WHITE 35 | | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | #VALUE! |
| EASTERN WHITE 35 | | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | #VALUE! |
| EASTERN WHITE 30 | | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | #VALUE! |
| EASTERN WHITE 40 | | SECONDARY | BUTT ONLY | | #VALUE! |
| EASTERN WHITE 30 | | SECONDARY | PCP | North West Pine | #VALUE! |
| | EASTERN WHITE 30 EASTERN WHITE 35 EASTERN WHITE 40 EASTERN WHITE 25 EASTERN WHITE 35 EASTERN WHITE 25 EASTERN WHITE 25 EASTERN WHITE 35 EASTERN WHITE 35 EASTERN WHITE 35 EASTERN WHITE 35 EASTERN WHITE 30 EASTERN WHITE 30 | EASTERN WHITE 30 4 EASTERN WHITE 35 4 EASTERN WHITE 40 4 EASTERN WHITE 25 EASTERN WHITE 35 EASTERN WHITE 25 EASTERN WHITE 25 EASTERN WHITE 35 EASTERN WHITE 30 EASTERN WHITE 30 | EASTERN WHITE 304SECONDARYEASTERN WHITE 354SECONDARYEASTERN WHITE 404SECONDARYEASTERN WHITE 25SECONDARYEASTERN WHITE 35SECONDARYEASTERN WHITE 35SECONDARYEASTERN WHITE 35SECONDARYBASTERN WHITE 35SECONDARYEASTERN WHITE 30SECONDARYEASTERN WHITE 30SECONDARYEASTERN WHITE 30SECONDARY | EASTERN WHITE 304SECONDARYBUTT ONLYEASTERN WHITE 354SECONDARYEASTERN WHITE 404SECONDARYEASTERN WHITE 25SECONDARYBUTT ONLYEASTERN WHITE 35SECONDARYPCPEASTERN WHITE 35SECONDARYPCPEASTERN WHITE 35SECONDARYBUTT ONLY35SECONDARYBUTT ONLY35SECONDARYBUTT ONLY8SECONDARYBUTT ONLY35SECONDARYBUTT ONLY8SECONDARYPCPEASTERN WHITE 35SECONDARYPCPEASTERN WHITE 25SECONDARYPCPEASTERN WHITE 35SECONDARYPCPEASTERN WHITE 35SECONDARYPCPEASTERN WHITE 35SECONDARYPCPEASTERN WHITE 35SECONDARYPCPEASTERN WHITE 30SECONDARYPCPEASTERN WHITE 30SECONDARYPCPEASTERN WHITE 30SECONDARYPCP | EASTERN WHITE 304SECONDARY SECONDARYBUTT ONLYEASTERN WHITE 354SECONDARYEASTERN WHITE 25SECONDARYBUTT ONLY North West PineEASTERN WHITE 35SECONDARYPCPEASTERN WHITE 206SECONDARY PCPNorth West PineEASTERN WHITE 35SECONDARY SECONDARYPCPBUTT ONLYNorth West PineEASTERN WHITE 35SECONDARY SECONDARYBUTT ONLY35SECONDARY SECONDARYBUTT ONLYEASTERN WHITE 35SECONDARY SECONDARYPCPEASTERN WHITE 36SECONDARY SECONDARYPCPEASTERN WHITE 30SECONDARY SECONDARYPCPEASTERN WHITE 30SECONDARY SECONDARYPCPEASTERN WHITE 30SECONDARY SECONDARYPCPEASTERN WHITE 30SECONDARY SECONDARYPCPEASTERN WHITE 30< |



Appendix C: SLHI Maintenance Inspection Program



Maintenance Inspection Program In Accordance to the Requirements of:

Ontario Regulation 22/04 Sections 4 & 5

"Safety Standard/When Safety Standards Met"

And

Ontario Energy Board Electrical Distribution System Code Appendix C "Minimum Inspection Requirements" Intentionally blank

Purpose:

The intent of this document is to establish the processes and guidelines to meet the requirements of Ontario Regulation 22/04 Section 4, "Safety Standards", and section 5, "When Safety Standards met" and of the Ontario Energy Board's Distribution System Code Appendix C, "Minimum Inspection Requirements". In addition, this document describes the formal process and protocol that governs the maintenance for overhead, underground and transformer station maintenance.

Inspection Cycles for Overhead and Underground

Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. (SLHI) ensures that only persons qualified under the Occupation of Health and Safety Act are involved in inspection activities. Since some inspections can expose inspectors to energized lines or high voltage circuits and equipment, and may include inspection and repair, a qualified person is assigned to this work. This assumes that they are both properly trained to protect both themselves and the public, and to respond to those emergencies, which may arise during inspections.

The patrol inspection is defined as follows:

Patrol or simple visual inspections consisting of walking, driving or flying by equipment to identify obvious structural problems and hazards such as leaning power poles, damaged equipment enclosures, and vandalism. In cases where a patrol notices that a problem exists or identifies a condition that warrants a more thorough or rigorous inspection, patrol may then include situations where structures are opened as necessary, and individual pieces of equipment carefully observed and their condition noted and recorded. The specifics of these inspections would be recorded, and a summary document prepared in the distributor's annual reports as part of their rates or licensing submissions.

In all cases, SLHI ensures that appropriate follow up and corrective action is taken regarding problems identified during a patrol.

SLHI will file both annual summary reports of detailed patrol inspection activities that have taken place during the previous year as well as an outline of inspection plans ("compliance plans") for the forthcoming year.

Inspection cycles are categorized by SLHI's major distribution facilities:

Distribution Transformers Switching and Protective Devices Regulators Capacitors Conductors and Cables Vegetation Poles/Supports Civil Infrastructure

For each of these facilities SLHI will further distinguish between overhead facilities and underground facilities. SLHI will also separate according to the facilities' location and the relative population density in the locale.

- Rural means those areas that are less populous suburban areas and are outside of a standard metropolitan area. Generally, rural will be defined on a circuit or subcircuit basis by each utility, as areas with a line density of less than 60 customers per kilometer of line. It is recognized that there may be circumstances where the utility might want to treat something as urban thought it would otherwise be defined as "rural" according to this definition.
- Urban, means areas with higher density and, by definition pose safety and reliability consequences to greater numbers of people.

Line Patrol Inspection Checklist:

Transformers and switching kiosks: Paint condition and corrosion Placement on pad or vault Check for lock and penta bolt in place Grading changes Access changes (Shrubs, trees, etc.) Phase indicators and unit numbers match operating map(where used) Leaking oil Flashed or cracked insulators Pad mounted – lid damage, missing bolts, cabinet damage, public security lock damage Switching/Protective Devices

Overhead

Bent, broken bushings and cutouts,

Damaged lightning arresters, control boxes, current and potential transformers

Underground

Security and structural condition of enclosure

Pad mounted

Security and structural condition of enclosure

Regulators

Condition of bushings

Tank corrosion/leaks

Damaged disconnect switches or lightning arresters

Capacitors

Conditions of bushings

Tank corrosion/leaks

Damaged cutouts, disconnects or control cabinet

Conductors and Cables

Low conductor clearance

Broken/frayed conductors or tie wires

Tree Conditions, exposed broken ground conductors

Broken strands, bird caging, and excessive or inadequate sag

Insulation fraying on secondary especially open wire

Pole/Supports

Bent, cracked or broken poles

Excessive surface wear or scaling

Loose, cracked or broken cross arms and brackets

Wood pecker or insect damage, bird nests

Loose or unattached guy wires or stubs

Guy strain insulators pulled apart or broken

Guy guards out of position or missing

Grading changes, or washouts

Indications of burning

Hardware and attachments

Loose or missing hardware

Insulators unattached from pins

Conductor unattached from insulators

Insulators flashed over or obviously contaminated (difficult to see) Tie wires unraveled

Ground wire broken or removed Ground wire guards removed or broken Equipment Installations (includes transformers) Contamination/discoloration of bushings **Oil Leaks** Rust Ground lead attachments Ground wires on arrestors unattached Bird or animal nests Vines or brush growth interference Evidence of bushing flashover Accessibility compromised Vegetation and Right of Way Leaning or broken "danger" trees Growth into line of "climbing" trees Unapproved/unsafe occupation or secondary use Civil Infrastructure – For example, buildings that house the equipment may need attention (cracking, fire hazard, etc.). In addition, cable chambers, underground vaults and tunnels crossing the rail track or water are also included in this category. These inspections will be conducted in the patrol of the equipment with which they are "associated".

Underground Systems:

With respect to underground systems, riser poles will be checked as with an overhead patrol, with a visual check of cable, cable guards, terminators and arrestors. Since underground cable is difficult to check, the system will be checked for exposed cable and/or grading changes that may indicate that the cable or wire has been brought too close to the surface.

Table C-1 below outlines SLHI's inspection cycles in years. Table C-2 is the inspection report to be completed on an annual basis. Finally Table C-3 is a sample of the patrol deficiency record to be used to document areas of concern.

| Major or Substantial Distribution Facility* | Patrol | | Patrol | | | |
|--|---------|----------|----------|-------------|----------|----------|
| | | | | | | |
| Distribution Transformers | | Urban | | | Rural | |
| Overhead | | 3 | | | 3 | |
| Submersible | | 3 | | | 3 | |
| Vault | | 3 | | | 3 | |
| Pad Mounted | | 3 | | | 3 | |
| Stations (see note below) | Outdoor | Outdoor | Indoor | Outdoo r | Outdoor | Indoor |
| | Open | Enclosed | Enclosed | Open | Enclosed | Enclosed |
| Transformer Station | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Distribution Station | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Customer Specific Substation | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Lines and Associated Equipment | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Regulators | | 3 | | | 3 | |
| Quitabian and Dratastive Devices | | 0 | | | 0 | |
| Switching and Protective Devices | | 3 | | | 3 | |
| Capacitors | | 3 | | | 3 | |
| | | Ū | | | 0 | |
| Conductors and Cables | | | | | | |
| Overhead | | 3 | | | 3 | |
| Underground | | 3 | | | 3 | |
| Submarine | | 3 | | | 3 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Vegetation | | 3 | | | 3 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Poles | | 3 | | | 3 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Civil Infrastructure | | 3 | | | 3 | |

TABLE C-1 Electric Utility System Inspection Cycles (Maximum Intervals in Years)

TABLE C-2 Sample Line Patrol Inspection Checklist – Poles

| Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. | Line Patrol | l - Inspecti | on Checklist | |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------|---|
| Line Patrolled: | From: To: | | | |
| Date: | Location: | | | |
| Feeder: | | Pole #: | | _ |
| | | | Bell Canada: Hydro: | |

GOOD - Inspection required in three (3) years FAIR - Inspection required in one (1) year

BAD - Replace within six (6) months

| CONDITION DESCRIPTION | GOOD | FAIR | BAD |
|-------------------------------------|------|------|-----|
| Leaning Pole | | | |
| Cracked Pole | | | |
| Broken Pole | | | |
| Excessive Surface Wear, Scaling | | | |
| Wood Pecker Holes | | | |
| Grading Changes or Washouts | | | |
| Loose, Cracked, Broken Cross Arms | | | |
| Johnny Balls - Cracked, Broken | | | |
| Guy Guards Out of Position, Missing | | | |
| Insulators Detached From Pins | | | |
| Damaged Dead End Insulator | | | |
| Conductor Detached From Insulators | | | |
| Tie Wire Unravelled | | | |
| Conductor Clearance | | | |
| Broken Strands, Birdcaging | | | |
| Clearance to Trees | | | |

| Patrolled By: |
|---------------|
|---------------|

Signature: _____

TABLE C-3 Sample Line Patrol Inspection Checklist – Overhead and Padmount Transformers

| SIOUX LOOKOUT HYDRO INC. | | | |
|---|----------|-------|-----|
| | | | |
| LINE PATROL INSPECTION CHECKLIST | | | |
| OVERHEAD AND PADMOUNT TRANSFORMERS | | | |
| | DATE | | |
| | | | |
| LOCATION: | YEAR: | | |
| FEEDER: | KVA: | | |
| TRANSFORMER #: | SERIAL # | : | |
| | IMPEDA | NCE Z | |
| | | | |
| GOOD - Inspection required in three (3) years | | | |
| FAIR - Inspection required in one (1) year | | | |
| BAD - Replace within six (6) months | | | |
| CONDITION DESCRIPTION: | GOOD | FAIR | BAD |
| PAINT CONDITION | | | |
| CORROSION | | | |
| PLACEMENT ON PAD | | | |
| PENTA BOLT IN PLACE | | | |
| LOCK IN PLACE AND IN WORKING CONDITION | | | |
| GRADING CHANGES, WASHOUTS | | | |
| ACCESS TO CHANGE | | | |
| ACCESS TO SWITCHING | | | |
| CONDITION OF SECONDARY CABLES (HEAT, LOOSE) | | | |
| CONDITION OF PRIMARY ELBOW AND CABLE | | | |
| PRIMARY CABLE NUMBERS | | | |
| SECONDARY CABLE NUMBERS | | | |
| LEAKING OIL | | | |
| VEGETATION OR TREES | | | |
| PAD CONDITION/CABINET DAMAGED | | | |
| GROUND WIRE BROKEN OR DISCONNECTED | | | |
| STAPLES MISSING ON GROUND WIRE | | | |
| MOULDING MISSING ON GROUND WIRE | | | |
| CRACKED INSULATORS ON PRIMARY OR SECONDARY BUSSIN | ١G | | |
| CUT OUT CONDITION (LOOSE) | | | |
| ARRESTOR - POLYMOR | | | |
| ARRESTOR - PORCELAIN | | | |
| 15 KV CHANCE CUTOUT - YES 🗆 NO 🗆 | | | |



Appendix D: Service Quality Indicators Comparators Calculations

| Service | Quality | [,] Indicators | - SLHI's | Com | parator | LDCs |
|---------|---------|-------------------------|-----------|-------|---------|------|
| 0011100 | | | 0 21 11 0 | 00111 | 001000 | |

| 2012 | Atikokan | Fort Frances | Kenora | Chapleau | Espanola |
|---------------|----------|--------------|--------|----------|----------|
| Including LOS | | | | | |
| SAIDI | 4.31 | 0.3 | 0.73 | 0.44 | 1.13 |
| SAIFI | 1.47 | 0.3 | 1.46 | 0.28 | 0.5 |
| CAIDI | 2.92 | 1.02 | 0.5 | 1.54 | 2.24 |
| | | | | | |
| Excluding LOS | | | | | |
| SAIDI | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 1.13 |
| SAIFI | 0.47 | 0.3 | 0.46 | 0.28 | 0.5 |
| CAIDI | 0.64 | 1.02 | 0.94 | 1.54 | 2.25 |

| 2013 | Atikokan | Fort Frances | Kenora | Chapleau | Espanola |
|---------------|----------|--------------|--------|----------|----------|
| Including LOS | | | | | |
| SAIDI | 3.43 | 11.37 | 1.42 | 2.32 | 1.05 |
| SAIFI | 1.12 | 3.19 | 1.11 | 2.85 | 0.4 |
| CAIDI | 3.07 | 3.56 | 1.28 | 0.81 | 2.66 |
| | | | | | |
| Excluding LOS | | | | | |
| SAIDI | 3.43 | 0.1 | 0.36 | 2.18 | 1.05 |
| SAIFI | 1.12 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 2.58 | 0.4 |
| CAIDI | 3.07 | 0.74 | 3.12 | 0.85 | 2.66 |

| 2014 | Atikokan | Fort Frances | Kenora | Chapleau | Espanola |
|---------------|----------|--------------|--------|----------|----------|
| Including LOS | | | | | |
| SAIDI | 0.37 | 1.18 | 0.53 | 5.09 | 1.2 |
| SAIFI | 0.09 | 1.17 | 0.29 | 2.46 | 2.2 |
| CAIDI | - | - | - | - | - |
| Excluding LOS | | | | | |
| SAIDI | 0.37 | 1.18 | 0.53 | 0.28 | 0.2 |
| SAIFI | 0.09 | 1.17 | 0.29 | 0.38 | 0.1 |
| CAIDI | - | - | - | - | - |

| SAIDI Inc LOS | Atikokan | Fort Frances | Kenora | Chapleau | Espanola | Total | Average |
|---------------|----------|--------------|--------|----------|----------|-------|---------|
| 2012 | 4.31 | 0.3 | 0.73 | 0.44 | 1.13 | 6.91 | 1.382 |
| 2013 | 3.43 | 11.37 | 1.42 | 2.32 | 1.05 | 19.59 | 3.918 |
| 2014 | 0.37 | 1.18 | 0.53 | 5.09 | 1.27 | 8.44 | 1.688 |

| SAIFI Inc LOS | Atikokan Fort Frances | | Kenora | Chapleau | Espanola | Total | Average |
|---------------|-----------------------|------|--------|----------|----------|-------|---------|
| 2012 | 1.47 | 0.3 | 1.46 | 0.28 | 0.05 | 3.56 | 0.712 |
| 2013 | 1.12 | 3.19 | 1.11 | 2.85 | 0.4 | 8.67 | 1.734 |
| 2014 | 0.09 | 1.17 | 0.029 | 2.46 | 2.29 | 6.039 | 1.2078 |

| CAIDI Inc LOS | Atikokan Fort Frances | | Kenora | Chapleau | Espanola | Total | Average |
|---------------|-----------------------|------|--------|----------|----------|-------|---------|
| 2012 | 2.92 | 1.02 | 0.5 | 1.54 | 2.24 | 8.22 | 1.644 |
| 2013 | 3.07 | 3.56 | 1.28 | 0.81 | 2.66 | 11.38 | 2.276 |
| 2014 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

| SAIDI Exc LOS Atikokan Fort Frances | | Fort Frances | Kenora | Chapleau | Espanola | Total | Average |
|-------------------------------------|------|--------------|--------|----------|----------|-------|---------|
| 2012 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 1.18 | 2.65 | 0.53 |
| 2013 | 3.43 | 0.1 | 0.36 | 2.18 | 1.05 | 7.12 | 1.424 |
| 2014 | 0.37 | 1.18 | 0.53 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 2.65 | 0.53 |

| AIFI Exc LOS Atikokan | | Fort Frances | Kenora | Chapleau | Espanola | Total | Average |
|-----------------------|------|--------------|--------|----------|----------|-------|---------|
| 2012 | 0.47 | 0.3 | 0.46 | 0.28 | 0.5 | 2.01 | 0.402 |
| 2013 | 1.12 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 2.58 | 0.4 | 4.35 | 0.87 |
| 2014 | 0.09 | 1.17 | 0.29 | 0.38 | 0.15 | 2.08 | 0.416 |

| CAIDI Exc LOS | Atikokan | Fort Frances | Kenora | Chapleau | Espanola | Total | Average |
|---------------|----------|--------------|--------|----------|----------|-------|---------|
| 2012 | 0.64 | 1.02 | 0.94 | 1.54 | 2.25 | 6.39 | 1.278 |
| 2013 | 3.07 | 0.74 | 3.12 | 0.85 | 2.66 | 10.44 | 2.088 |
| 2014 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

All data derived from the OEB Electricity Distributors Yearbooks



Appendix E: Vehicle Fleet Inventory and Replacement Planning

Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. General Plant Fleet Listing

| Private Passenger | Light Truck | Heavy Truck | Other | Make | Model | Year |
|----------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------|-------|
| | | | | Chevrolet | CK10753 4x4 EC | 2010 |
| | | | | International | AM55E | 2012* |
| | | | | Freightliner | FL80 | 2001 |
| | | \boxtimes | | Ford | F-S/Duty | 2008 |
| | \boxtimes | | | GMC | Sierra 4x4 2500 | 2015 |
| | | | \boxtimes | Polaris | Snowmobile | 1996 |
| | | | \boxtimes | Polaris | Ranger 6x6 | 2005 |
| | | | \boxtimes | EZ Loader | EZKU3750 | 2005 |
| | | | \boxtimes | Kiefer | Cable Trailer | 1996 |
| | | | \boxtimes | Bandit Chipper | CB90-XP | 1999 |
| | | | \boxtimes | Pole Trailer | TJWL | 1988 |
| | | | \boxtimes | BWS Eze-2-Load | 25BWS3X | 2011 |
| | | | \boxtimes | Bobcat | BackHoe Excavator | 2012 |
| | | | \boxtimes | Ski-Doo | Skandic | 2015 |

*2012 International Bucket Truck lease ends in 2020.



Appendix F: Underground Cable Testing Report



TITLE:

Condition Assessment of Medium Voltage XLPE Underground Cables in Sioux Lookout Hydro's Distribution Network

September 22nd, 2016

Alana Jones, EIT Testing & Instrumentation Engineer

Philippe Malone, M.Eng., EIT Testing & Instrumentation Engineer

1. Introduction

Since the deregulation of the power system, the electrical energy market has become more competitive. Utility companies have been continually looking for ways to improve their system while focusing on improved reliability and preserving capital investments. With an increased population of aged infrastructure, one of the main issues that utility companies are consistently facing is ways to assess the true condition of their critical assets.

The underground system across North America has been densely populated with electric power distribution conductors that are insulated with cross-linked polyethylene (XLPE) since the 1960s. A substantial amount of these cables are approaching and even exceeding their nominal life expectancy and thus, a strategic asset management plan to properly manage cable assets must be a priority.

To protect capital investment, an asset management program must address the following issues:

- 1. <u>Replacement of cables after they reach their end-of-life (wear-out) stage</u> Although this option seems logical, the premature replacement of cables without knowing their true condition can result in unnecessary capital loss and loss of serviceyears.
- 2. <u>Rejuvenation of cables</u> Depending of the health index of the cable, rejuvenation with a dielectric liquid could help to preserve or even improve the dielectric strength of the cable.
- 3. <u>Maintaining cables in service until failure</u> Simply replacing a cable as it fails, causes unplanned outages that result in substantial operational and financial costs and poorer reliability.

In order to maintain a reliable system, utility engineers must keep track of which cables are in good standing and which ones are approaching their end-of-life stage. A condition based asset management approach is achieved by diagnosing the health of XLPE and TR-XLPE underground power cables in the system.

One major cause of power distribution XLPE and TR-XLPE cable failures is the development of water trees within the polymeric insulation. Water trees are small tree shaped channels that develop within the insulation of a cable and propagate in the presence of moisture and impurities under the effect of electric field, making their way into the cable's insulation. With time, water trees can grow and degrade the quality of the insulation. The dielectric strength of the cable can be reduced due to the presence of water trees in the insulation layer of the cable, leading to unexpected failures under switching and lightning surges.

Aging of XLPE and TR-XLPE cables due to water trees have been thoroughly investigated at National Research Council Canada (NRC). An efficient method was developed accordingly to diagnose the quality of the cables and determine the extent to which water trees have deteriorated the dielectric withstand capability of the XLPE and TR-XLPE cables, namely the NRC

Polarization/Depolarization Current Measurement technique. This technique, with its truly nondestructive approach, supports a reliable asset management decision to prioritize replacement projects and get a better understanding of the population of the cable assets. A diagnostic indicator Q_{Dep} was developed to assess the health index of the cable. A higher value of Q_{Dep} has shown a consistent correlation with the length and density of water trees found within the insulation. This report provides a condition assessment for XLPE distribution cables in the Sioux Lookout Hydro network, based on the NRC Polarization/Depolarization Current Measurement technique.

2. Description of The Measurement System

The Polarization/Depolarization Current Measurement technique uses three modules to complete the cable testing; the Depolarization Current Measurement Module (DCM), the Instrumentation Module (IM), and the Software Module (SM). A voltage of either 1kV or 3kV, depending on the rating of the tested cable, is applied through the bushing mounted on the DCM during the polarization stage of the testing. The voltage is then switched off and the depolarization current is measured. An oscilloscope, found in the IM, is used to capture the High Frequency (HF) component of the depolarization current waveform. A Keithley electrometer can be used to capture the Low Frequency (LF) component of the waveform but for the purpose of this analysis, only the HF data is used. All of the captured data is sent to the SM through a USB hub for analysis.

The novelty of the NRC on-site cable testing system is in the integration of the fast digitizer and the noise-free solid state switch. This switching technology allows a measurement to be conducted with high signal-to-noise (SNR) ratio, thereby facilitating the detection of the depolarization current components that appear when water trees exist within the cable's insulation.

3. Condition Assessment of the Tested Cables

Seven aged cables in the Sioux Lookout Hydro distribution network were tested with the NRC Polarization/Depolarization Current Measurement method. All cables were approaching vintages of 29 to 35 years with four being unjacketed. As for the diagnostics of the tested cable condition, the determined value of $\&Q_{Dep}$ can be compared to the diagnostic criteria found in Table 1. The diagnostic criteria have been developed by the NRC based on their extensive research and development of this testing method. The tested cables were assessed accordingly as shown in Table 2 and illustrated in Figure 1.

| | Diagnostic Parameter Value |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Cable Insulation Condition | XLPE Insulation |
| Good | $%Q_{Dep} < 15$ |
| Fair | $15 \leq \ensuremath{\%}Q_{Dep} < 43$ |
| Poor | $%Q_{Dep} \ge 43$ |

Table 1 – Limits of the % Q_{Dep} Parameter for Various Cable Insulation Conditions

As such, asset management decisions can be taken with respect to the diagnosis determined as the following,

<u>Good Condition</u>: Failure probability due to water treeing is low but could increase and result in insulation failure if voltage transients due to switching operation or lightening surges are not properly controlled. Cable could be repaired and returned to service after failure. If cable failure occurs, it could be due to other causes such as local defects, mechanical damage or termination failure. Cable should be repaired and returned to service.

<u>Fair Condition</u>: Failure probability due to water treeing is moderate and could increase and result in insulation failure if voltage transients due to switching operation or lightening surges are not properly controlled. Cable could be repaired and returned to service after failure. However, the cable should be re-tested every 3 to 5 years to keep track of the on-going water tree deterioration.

<u>Poor Condition</u>: Failure probability due to water treeing is extremely high and any repair to the cable would be short lived. The cables in this category should be scheduled for early replacement.

| Cable | Device 1 | Device 2 | Phase | Conductor Material | Rating (kV) | System Voltage (kV) | Size | Length (m) | Jacketed | Commissioning Year | %Q _{Dep} | Diagnostic Test Result |
|-------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------------------|------|---------------|----------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| 1 | TO-563 | TO-562 | W | Al | 25 | 25 | 1/0 | 207 | No | Early 1980's | 30 | Fair |
| 2 | TO-562 | TO-561 | W | Al | 25 | 25 | 1/0 | 122 | No | Early 1980's | 28 | Fair |
| 3 | TO-561 | S-537 | W | Al | 25 | 25 | 1/0 | 112 | No | Early 1980's | 28 | Fair |
| 4 | Submarine – W – Spare | Submarine – W – Spare | W | Cu | 25 | 25 | 4/0 | 860 | No | 1987 | 0 | Good |
| 5 | Submarine – R | Submarine – R | R | Cu | 25 | 25 | 4/0 | 875 | Yes | 1987 | 7 | Good |
| 6 | Submarine – W | Submarine – W | W | Cu | 25 | 25 | 4/0 | 952 | Yes | 1987 | 6 | Good |
| 7 | Submarine – B | Submarine – B | В | Cu | 25 | 25 | 4/0 | 960 | Yes | 1987 | 6 | Good |

Table 2 – Summary of Calculated 2016 Cable Testing Results for Sioux Lookout Hydro



Figure 1 – Health Index Assessment of XLPE Cable in Sioux Lookout Hydro's Network

Based on the results shown in Table 2, the cables tested were 29 to 34 years of age and approaching their nominal end of life. Four cable segments were diagnosed in Good and three in Fair condition using NRC's Polarization/Depolarization Current Measurement method, giving 57% of cables in Good condition, 43% in Fair condition and no cables in Poor condition. This indicates that there is not always a direct correlation between cable age and its insulation condition. In addition, the assessed cable conditions will allow the asset manager to prioritize wisely and use capital and operational budgets on cable replacement or rejuvenation activities, rather than just solely depending on the nominal age.

4. Conclusions and Recommendations

Four of the cables tested in Sioux Lookout were found in Good condition and three in Fair condition when diagnosed using the NRC's Polarization/Depolarization Current Measurement method. Accordingly, the following general recommendations can be made;

<u>Good Condition</u>: Failure probability due to water treeing is low but could increase and result in insulation failure if voltage transients due to switching operation or lightening surges are not properly controlled. Cable could be repaired and returned to service after failure. If cable failure occurs, it could be due to other causes such as local defects, mechanical damage or termination failure. Cable should be repaired and returned to service.

<u>Fair Condition</u>: Failure probability due to water treeing is moderate and could increase and result in insulation failure if voltage transients due to switching operation or lightening surges are not properly controlled. Cable could be repaired and returned to service after failure. However, the cable should be re-tested every 3 to 5 years to keep track of the on-going water tree deterioration.
Appendix B – West of Thunder Bay IRRP

WEST OF THUNDER BAY SUB-REGION INTEGRATED REGIONAL RESOURCE PLAN

Part of the Northwest Ontario Planning Region | July 27, 2016





Integrated Regional Resource Plan

West of Thunder Bay

This Integrated Regional Resource Plan ("IRRP") was prepared by the Independent Electricity System Operator ("IESO") pursuant to the terms of its Ontario Energy Board electricity licence, EI-2013-0066.

This IRRP was prepared on behalf of the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region Working Group (the "Working Group"), which included the following members:

- Independent Electricity System Operator
- Hydro One Networks Inc. (Distribution)
- Hydro One Networks Inc. (Transmission)
- Fort Frances Power Corporation
- Atikokan Hydro Inc.
- Kenora Hydro Electric Corporation Ltd.
- Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc.

The Working Group assessed the reliability of electricity supply to customers in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region over a 20-year period; developed a flexible, comprehensive, integrated plan that considers opportunities for coordination in anticipation of potential demand growth scenarios and varying supply conditions in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region; and developed an implementation plan for the recommended options, while maintaining flexibility in order to accommodate changes in key assumptions over time.

The Working Group members agree with the IRRP's recommendations and support implementation of the plan, subject to obtaining necessary regulatory approvals. Where growth in the sub-region is directly related to potential large industrial developments, the onus lies with those developers to initiate the implementation of the plan.

Copyright © 2016 Independent Electricity System Operator. All rights reserved.

Table of Contents

| 1. | Introduction1 | | | |
|----|--|---------|---|------|
| 2. | The Integrated Regional Resource Plan4 | | | 4 |
| | The | e 20-Ye | ar Plan (2015-2034) | 4 |
| 3. | Development of the Integrated Regional Resource Plan | | | 7 |
| | 3.1 | Th | e Regional Planning Process | 7 |
| | 3.2 | Th | e IESO's Approach to Integrated Regional Resource Planning | 10 |
| | 3.3 | W | est of Thunder Bay Sub-region Working Group and IRRP Development | 11 |
| 4. | 4. Background and Study Scope | | 13 | |
| | 4.1 | W | est of Thunder Bay - Study Scope | 13 |
| | 4.2 | W | est of Thunder Bay Electricity System | 15 |
| | | 4.2.1 | Local Generation Resources | 16 |
| | | 4.2.2 | Transmission System | 18 |
| | | 4.2.3 | Distribution System | 20 |
| 5. | De | mand | Forecast | 22 |
| | 5.1 | Hi | storical Electricity Demand 2004-2014 | 22 |
| | 5.2 | M | ethodology for Establishing Planning Forecast Scenarios | 23 |
| | 5.3 | De | evelopment of Planning Forecast | 24 |
| | | 5.3.1 | Gross Demand Forecast Scenarios | 24 |
| | | 5.3.2 | Expected Peak Demand Savings from Provincial Conservation Targets | 25 |
| | | 5.3.3 | Expected Peak Demand Contribution of Existing and Contracted Distribution | uted |
| | | | Generation | 27 |
| | | 5.3.4 | Planning Forecast | 27 |
| | 5.4 | Po | tential Growth in the North of Dryden Sub-region | 29 |
| 6. | Ne | eds | | 30 |
| | 6.1 | Ne | eeds Assessment Methodology | 30 |
| | 6.2 | Re | gional Electricity Reliability Needs | 31 |
| | | 6.2.1 | Potential Supply Capacity Need on the Dryden 115 kV Sub-system | 31 |
| | | 6.2.2 | Transformer Station Capacity Needs in the Kenora area | 32 |
| | | 6.2.3 | Transmission End-of-Life Replacements and Sustainment Activities | 33 |
| | | 6.2.4 | Transmission Service Reliability and Performance | 33 |

| | 6.3 | Ot | her Electricity Needs and Considerations | 34 |
|--|--|--|--|----|
| | 6.3 | 5.1 | 230 kV Bulk System Needs | 35 |
| | 6.3 | 5.2 | Distribution System Needs | 37 |
| | 6.3 | 5.3 | Community Energy Planning | 38 |
| | 6.4 | Ne | eds Summary | 39 |
| 7. Options to Address Potential Regional and Local Needs | | o Address Potential Regional and Local Needs | 42 | |
| | 7.1 Options to Address Supply Capacity Needs on Dryden 115 kV Sub-system | | | |
| | | un | der the High Scenario | 43 |
| | 7.1 | .1 | Conservation and Distributed Energy Resources | 43 |
| | 7.1.2 | | Large, Localized Generation Resources | 44 |
| | 7.1 | .3 | Delivering Provincial Resources ("Wires" Planning) | 45 |
| 7.2 Opportunities to Further Improve Service Reliability | | portunities to Further Improve Service Reliability | 46 | |
| | 7.3 | Ро | tential Areas for Coordination: Community Energy Planning and Regional | |
| | | Pla | anning Activities | 47 |
| 8. | Recon | nme | nded Actions | 48 |
| 9. | Community and Stakeholder Engagement50 | | 50 | |
| | 9.1 Creating Transparency | | eating Transparency | 51 |
| | 9.2 Engage Early and Often | | gage Early and Often | 52 |
| | 9.2 | 2.1 | Northwest Ontario Scoping Assessment Outcome Report | 52 |
| | 9.2 | 2.2 | First Nation and Métis Community Meetings | 52 |
| | 9.2 | 2.3 | Municipal Meetings | 53 |
| | 9.3 | Bri | inging Communities to the Table | 53 |
| | 9.4 | Ac | Iditional Meetings and Presentations | 54 |
| 10 | Conclu | usic | on | 56 |

List of Figures

| Figure 3-1: Levels of Electricity System Planning | 9 |
|--|----|
| Figure 3-2: Steps in the IRRP Process | 11 |
| Figure 3-3: Northwest Ontario Region and Sub-regions | 12 |
| Figure 4-1: Geographical Boundaries of the West of Thunder Sub-region | 13 |
| Figure 4-2: Installed Capacity of Generation Resources in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region | ı |
| (MW) | 16 |
| Figure 4-3: West of Thunder Bay Sub-region – Transmission System | 18 |
| Figure 4-4: Regional 115 kV Sub-systems in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region | 19 |
| Figure 4-5: Local Distribution Companies (LDCs) Service Area | 21 |
| Figure 5-1: West of Thunder Bay Sub-region Historical Peak Demand (2004-2014) | 23 |
| Figure 5-2: Categories of Conservation Savings | 26 |
| Figure 5-3: Planning Forecast Scenarios | 28 |
| Figure 6-1: Electricity Planning at the Bulk, Regional, Distribution and Community Levels | 35 |
| Figure 6-2: 230 kV Supply into West of Thunder Bay and North of Dryden Sub-regions | 36 |

List of Tables

| Table 6-1: Summary of Regional Supply and Reliability Needs | 39 |
|---|----|
| Table 6-2: Other Electricity Needs and Considerations in the area | 40 |
| Table 8-1: Recommended Actions | 49 |

List of Appendices

| Appendix A: Demand Forecasting – Methodology and Assumptions |
|---|
| Appendix B: Needs Assessment |
| Appendix C: K3D and F2B Reliability Performances |
| Appendix D: Distribution Reliability Performances |
| Appendix E: Moose Lake Transformer Station End-of-Life Replacements |
| Appendix F: Local Advisory Committee Meeting Summaries |

List of Abbreviations

| Atikokan Hydro | Atikokan Hydro Inc. |
|------------------------|---|
| CDM or Conservation | Conservation and Demand Management |
| CEP | Community Energy Plan(s) |
| CFF | Conservation First Framework |
| СНР | Combined Heat and Power |
| C&S | Codes and Standards |
| DR | Demand Response |
| DG | Distributed Generation |
| EA | Environmental Assessment |
| EE | Energy Efficiency |
| Fort Frances Power | Fort Frances Power Corporation |
| GHG | Greenhouse Gas |
| Hydro One | Hydro One Networks Inc. |
| IAP | Industrial Accelerator Program |
| ICI | Industrial Conservation Initiative |
| IESO | Independent Electricity System Operator |
| IRRP | Integrated Regional Resource Plan |
| Kenora Hydro | Kenora Hydro Electric Corporation Ltd. |
| kV | Kilovolt |
| kW | Kilowatt |
| LAC or Committee | Local Advisory Committee |
| LDC | Local Distribution Company |
| LMC | Load Meeting Capability |
| LTEP | Long-Term Energy Plan |
| MW | Megawatt |
| NERC | North American Electric Reliability Corporation |
| NPCC | Northeast Power Coordinating Council |
| OEB or Board | Ontario Energy Board |

| OPA | Ontario Power Authority |
|------------------------|--|
| ORTAC | Ontario Resource and Transmission Assessment Criteria |
| PPWG | Planning Process Working Group |
| PPWG Report | Planning Process Working Group Report to the Board |
| RIP | Regional Infrastructure Plan |
| SAIDI | System Average Interruption Duration Index |
| SAIFI | System Average Interruption Frequency Index |
| Sioux Lookout Hydro | Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. |
| TOU | Time-of-Use |
| TS | Transformer Station |
| TWh | Terawatt Hours |
| Working Group | Technical Working Group for the West of Thunder Bay IRRP |
| QUEST | Quality Urban Energy Systems of Tomorrow |

1. Introduction

This Integrated Regional Resource Plan ("IRRP") for the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region addresses the electricity needs for the sub-region over the next 20 years ("study period") from 2015-2034. The IRRP was prepared by the Independent Electricity System Operator ("IESO") on behalf of the Technical Working Group (the "Working Group") for the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region composed of the IESO, Hydro One Networks Inc. (Hydro One Distribution and Hydro One Transmission¹), Atikokan Hydro Inc. ("Atikokan Hydro"), Kenora Hydro Electric Corporation Ltd. ("Kenora Hydro"), Fort Frances Power Corporation ("Fort Frances Power"), and Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. ("Sioux Lookout Hydro").

The area covered by the West of Thunder Bay IRRP is a sub-region of the Northwest Ontario Region identified through the Ontario Energy Board ("OEB" or "Board") regional planning process. This sub-region is defined as the area bordered to the south and west by the United States and Manitoba borders respectively, and extending north to include the City of Kenora, the City of Dryden and the Municipality of Sioux Lookout, and east as far as (but not including) the City of Thunder Bay, and does not include the area North of Dryden. This sub-region is characterized by:

- Diverse communities: In addition to the "unorganized areas"² in the Kenora and Rainy River Districts, there are 26 First Nation communities and 16 municipalities included in this sub-region, all of which are listed in Section 4.1. Each community has local priorities and distinct electricity needs. Many of these communities are engaging in community energy planning activities.
- Mining, pulp and paper and other industrial developments: Industrial customers are major electricity consumers in this sub-region and are sensitive to varying economic conditions, such as commodity price and changes in economic growth. Often these factors can lead to material changes in their annual electricity demand and uncertainty in the sub-region's electricity demand forecast.
- Large geographical area: Long and expansive transmission and distribution infrastructure is required to bring electricity supply to the various communities and customers across this sub-region. The geography and sparsely populated areas make it challenging and costly to develop and maintain infrastructure.

¹ For the purpose of this report, "Hydro One Transmission" and "Hydro One Distribution" are used to differentiate the transmission and distribution accountabilities of Hydro One Networks Inc., respectively.

² Unorganized areas are parts of the province where there is no municipal level of government. Services in these unorganized districts are typically administered by local service boards.

• **Complex electricity infrastructure network:** The sub-region's electricity system is comprised of a 230 kilovolt ("kV") bulk system, 115 kV regional system, local distribution networks and variable, local generation resources. The system is interconnected with Manitoba and Minnesota. This system not only supplies the communities and customers in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region, it also provides an important source of supply to the North of Dryden Sub-region. The interactions between these interconnections and the bulk, regional and distribution network will have an impact on the reliability of supply for the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region.

This IRRP took into consideration the characteristics discussed above. Given the uncertainties associated with the timing and magnitude of potential industrial developments, the Working Group identified regional electricity needs and solutions under three demand forecast scenarios (Reference, High and Low) as described in Section 5.3.4., and developed a flexible, comprehensive, integrated plan to accommodate these potential scenarios. The challenges, costs and lead times required to develop and maintain infrastructure in this sub-region were also taken into consideration in the development of the plan.

The primary focus of this IRRP is to identify and address electricity reliability needs on the 115 kV regional transmission systems in the sub-region. Given the complex nature of the electricity system and the diverse needs in this sub-region, bulk, distribution and community energy planning activities are also underway. To facilitate coordination of the various electricity planning activities in this sub-region, this IRRP also documents and considers bulk and distribution system needs and community planning activities. Section 3 describes the types of electricity planning in Ontario and the linkages between them, as well as, how important it is to coordinate regional planning with bulk and distribution system and community energy planning.

This IRRP fulfills the requirements for the sub-region as required by the IESO's OEB electricity licence. IRRPs are required to be reviewed on a 5-year cycle so that plans can be updated to reflect the changing electricity outlook. This IRRP will be revisited in 2021, or earlier if significant changes occur relative to the current forecast.

This IRRP report is organized as follows:

- A summary of the recommended plan for the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region is provided in Section 2;
- The process used to develop the plan is discussed in Section 3;

- The context for electricity planning in the West of Thunder Bay and the study scope are discussed in Section 4;
- Demand forecast scenarios, and conservation and demand management ("CDM" or "conservation") and distributed generation ("DG") assumptions are described in Section 5;
- Needs in West of Thunder Bay are presented in Section 6;
- Options to address regional and local needs are addressed in Section 7;
- Recommended actions are set out in Section 8;
- A summary of community, indigenous and stakeholder engagement to date is provided in Section 9; and
- A conclusion is provided in Section 10.

2. The Integrated Regional Resource Plan

The West of Thunder Bay IRRP addresses the sub-region's electricity needs over the next 20 years, based on application of the IESO's Ontario Resource and Transmission Assessment Criteria ("ORTAC"). The IRRP was developed in consideration of a number of factors, including reliability, cost, technical feasibility and also the diverse needs and unique characteristics of the sub-region. Given the uncertainty associated with the demand forecast, the Working Group identified regional electricity needs and solutions under various demand scenarios and developed a flexible, comprehensive, integrated plan for these varying conditions.

In addition to regional planning, bulk, distribution and community energy planning activities are also underway in the sub-region. While these activities are beyond the scope of the regional planning process, they were identified and taken in consideration in the development of this IRRP.

The needs and recommended actions are summarized below.

The 20-Year Plan (2015-2034)

Aside from the potential need for additional supply on the 230 kV bulk transmission system, the Working Group did not identify any major regional 115 kV supply and reliability needs in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region under Low and Reference scenarios. Under the High scenario, there is the potential need for an additional 50 MW of supply on the Dryden 115 kV sub-system.

Given the uncertainty with the location, timing and magnitude of demand growth, early development work for major infrastructure projects is not required at this time. Instead, the Working Group has sought to lay the ground work for the next planning cycle by exploring potential options for the Dryden 115 kV sub-system and monitoring demand growth closely to determine if and when an investment decision on the Dryden 115 kV sub-system would be required. End-of-life replacements/sustainment activities and transformer station capacity needs were also identified in this area, but these are not expected to have regional implications. Options to address the 230 kV bulk transmission system needs are being considered as part of the bulk system planning process led by the IESO.

In this sub-region, many communities and customers are supplied by long transmission and distribution networks and rely on a single supply source. They are concerned about service

reliability and performance. The transmission and distribution service reliability performances of the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region are within the provincial service reliability and performance standards. Communities and customers may consider working with Hydro One Transmission and local distribution companies ("LDCs") to explore opportunities to further improve transmission and distribution service reliability and performance. Cost-benefit and cost-responsibility for investments will need to be considered.

A number of communities in this sub-region are also in the process of developing communityenergy plans ("CEPs"). While regional planning focuses on maintaining reliability of electricity supply, CEPs takes into consideration other energy uses, such as transportation, natural gas and electricity. CEPs also have different goals, including net zero energy, electrification, and reducing emissions. Since CEP and regional planning processes have different objectives and scope, greater coordination between community energy planning and regional planning processes is required to help provincial system and municipal planners develop a common understanding of growth and local developments and to identify opportunities to develop community-based energy solutions.

Recommended Actions

1. Monitor electricity demand growth closely to determine if and when an investment decision for the Dryden 115 kV sub-system is required

On an annual basis, the Working Group will review electricity demand growth in the West of Thunder Bay and the North of Dryden Sub-regions with the members of the Local Advisory Committees ("LACs"). This information will be used to determine if and when an investment decision for the Dryden 115 kV sub-system is required.

2. Ensure communities are informed of bulk and distribution planning activities in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region

The Working Group will provide a status update at LAC meetings on bulk and distribution planning activities and associated projects.

3. Explore opportunities to further improve service reliability and power quality in consideration of cost-benefit and cost allocations

Communities and customers who are looking to further improve service reliability and performance may work with Hydro One Transmission and LDCs to develop transmission,

distribution and community energy solutions. The cost and benefit of improvements and how costs would be allocated will need to be considered.

4. Coordinate regional and community energy planning activities

Greater coordination between community energy planning and regional planning processes can inform dialogue on energy issues and can assist provincial system planners and local communities in developing a common understanding of the growth and local developments and in identifying opportunities to develop community-based energy solutions. Going forward, LAC meetings can be used as an opportunity to facilitate discussions on: (1) status of local growth and developments, (2) local planning priorities, (3) energy planning activities, (4) impact of supply interruptions, and (5) the potential, feasibility and challenges of implementing community-based energy solutions. Due to the unique energy planning challenges in northwestern Ontario, it would be helpful to identify initiatives to facilitate knowledge sharing and coordinate community energy planning activities in northern Ontario (e.g., a community energy planning webinar or workshop for communities in northern Ontario).

3. Development of the Integrated Regional Resource Plan

3.1 The Regional Planning Process

In Ontario, planning to meet the electricity needs of customers at a regional level is done through regional planning. Regional planning assesses the interrelated needs of a region defined by common electricity supply infrastructure—over the near, medium, and long term and develops a plan to ensure cost-effective, reliable electricity supply. Regional plans consider the existing electricity infrastructure in an area, forecast growth and customer reliability, evaluate options for addressing needs, and recommend actions.

Regional planning has been conducted on an as needed basis in Ontario for many years. Most recently, the former Ontario Power Authority ("OPA") carried out planning activities to address regional electricity supply needs. The OPA conducted joint regional planning studies with distributors, transmitters, the IESO and other stakeholders in regions where a need for coordinated regional planning had been identified.

In the fall of 2012, the Board convened a Planning Process Working Group ("PPWG") to develop a more structured, transparent, and systematic regional planning process. This group was composed of industry stakeholders including electricity agencies, utilities, and stakeholders, and in May 2013, the PPWG released its report to the Board³ ("PPWG Report"), setting out the new regional planning process. Twenty-one electricity planning regions were identified in the PPWG Report, and a phased schedule for completion was outlined. The Board endorsed the PPWG Report and formalized the process timelines through changes to the Transmission System Code and Distribution System Code in August 2013, as well as through changes to the OPA's licence in October 2013. The OPA's licence changes required it to lead a number of aspects of regional planning. After the merger of the IESO and the OPA on January 1, 2015, the regional planning responsibilities identified in the OPA's licence were transferred to the IESO.

The regional planning process begins with a Needs Screening performed by the transmitter, which determines whether there are needs requiring regional coordination. If regional planning is required, the IESO then conducts a Scoping Assessment to determine whether a comprehensive IRRP is required, which considers conservation, generation, transmission, and

³ http://www.ontarioenergyboard.ca/OEB/ Documents/EB-2011-0043/PPWG Regional Planning Report to the Board App.pdf

distribution solutions, or whether a more limited "wires" solution is the only option such that a transmission and distribution focused Regional Infrastructure Plan ("RIP") can be undertaken instead. The Scoping Assessment assesses what type of planning is required for each region. There may also be regions where infrastructure investments do not require regional coordination and so can be planned directly by the distributor and transmitter outside of the regional planning process. At the conclusion of the Scoping Assessment, the IESO produces a report that includes the results of the Needs Screening process and a preliminary Terms of Reference. If an IRRP is the identified outcome, the IESO is required to complete the IRRP within 18 months. If an RIP is the identified outcome, the transmitter takes the lead and has six months to complete it. It should be noted that a RIP may be initiated after the Scoping Assessment or after the completion of all IRRPs within a planning region; the transmitter may also initiate and produce a RIP report for every region. Both RIPs and IRRPs are to be updated at least every five years. The draft Scoping Assessment Outcome Report is posted to the IESO's website for a 2-week comment period prior to finalization.

The final IRRPs and RIPs are posted on the IESO's and relevant transmitter's websites, and may be referenced and submitted to the Board as supporting evidence in rate or "Leave to Construct" applications for specific infrastructure investments. These documents are also useful for municipalities, First Nations communities and Métis community councils for planning, conservation and energy management purposes, as information for individual large customers that may be involved in the region, and for other parties seeking an understanding of local electricity growth, CDM and infrastructure requirements. Regional planning is not the only type of electricity planning that is undertaken in Ontario. As shown in Figure 3-1, there are three levels of planning that are carried out for the electricity system in Ontario:

- Bulk system planning
- Regional system planning
- Distribution system planning

Planning at the bulk system level typically considers the 230 kV and 500 kV network and examines province-wide system issues. Bulk system planning considers not only the major transmission facilities or "wires", but it also assesses the resources needed to adequately supply the province. This type of planning is typically carried out by the IESO pursuant to government policy. Distribution planning, which is carried out by LDCs, considers specific investments in an LDC's territory at distribution level voltages.

Regional planning can overlap with bulk system planning. For example, overlaps can occur at interface points where there may be regional resource options to address a bulk system issue. Similarly, regional planning can overlap with the distribution planning of LDCs. For example, overlaps can occur when a distribution solution addresses the needs of the broader local area or region. Therefore, it is important for regional planning to be coordinated with both bulk and distribution system planning as it is the link between all levels of planning.



Figure 3-1: Levels of Electricity System Planning

By recognizing the linkages with bulk and distribution system planning, and coordinating multiple needs identified within a region over the long term, the regional planning process provides a comprehensive assessment of a region's electricity needs. Regional planning aligns near- and long-term solutions and puts specific investments and recommendations coming out of the plan in perspective. Furthermore, regional planning optimizes ratepayer interests by avoiding piecemeal planning and asset duplication, and allows Ontario ratepayer interests to be represented along with the interests of LDC ratepayers, and individual large customers. IRRPs evaluate the multiple options that are available to meet the needs, including conservation, generation, and "wires" solutions. Regional plans also provide greater transparency through engagement in the planning process, and by making plans available to the public.

3.2 The IESO's Approach to Integrated Regional Resource Planning

IRRPs assess electricity system needs for a region over a 20-year period. The 20-year outlook anticipates long-term trends in a region, so that near-term actions are developed within the context of a longer-term vision. This enables coordination and consistency with the long-term plan, rather than simply reacting to immediate needs.

Planning in northwestern Ontario requires a unique approach. In southern Ontario, most of the forecast load growth is driven by growth in the LDC customer base. In northwestern Ontario the majority of the forecast load growth is driven by new or expanding large transmission-connected industrial customers, the majority of which are in the resource sector or are unique development projects. Therefore, when establishing the need for electricity enhancements and developing integrated alternatives, industrial customers generally drive the nature and magnitude of the electrical demand requirements.

The IRRP describes the Working Group's recommendations for system enhancements based on different scenarios. The Working Group also recommends staging options to mitigate reliability and cost risks related to demand forecast uncertainty associated with individual large customers. The recommendations of the IRRP seek to ensure flexibility is maintained such that changing long-term conditions may be accommodated.

In developing this IRRP, the Working Group followed a number of steps. These steps included: data gathering, including development of electricity demand forecasts; technical studies to determine electricity needs and the timing of these needs; the development of potential options; and, preparation of a recommended plan including actions for the near and longer term. Throughout this process, engagement was carried out with local municipalities, First Nation communities, Métis community councils and local stakeholders. These steps are illustrated in Figure 3-2 below.

Figure 3-2: Steps in the IRRP Process



This IRRP documents the inputs, findings, and recommendations developed through the process described above, and provides recommended actions for the various entities responsible for plan implementation.

3.3 West of Thunder Bay Sub-region Working Group and IRRP Development

In 2014, the lead transmitter – Hydro One Transmission– initiated a Needs Screening process for the Northwest Ontario Region. The North of Dryden IRRP⁴ and Remote Community Connection Plan⁵ were already underway prior to the formalization of the regional planning process and were therefore not included within the scope of the Needs Screening process. The Northwest Ontario Region Needs Screening study team determined that the need for coordinated regional planning had already been established, and that a formal Needs Screening process was not required for the Northwest Ontario Region. A Scoping Assessment was then initiated to identify new planning sub-regions within the Northwest Ontario Region that were not already identified in previous planning studies.

⁴ http://www.ieso.ca/Pages/Ontario's-Power-System/Regional-Planning/Northwest-Ontario/North-of-Dryden.aspx

⁵ <u>http://www.ieso.ca/Pages/Ontario's-Power-System/Regional-Planning/Northwest-Ontario/Remote-Community-</u> <u>Connection-Plan.aspx</u>

On December 12, 2014, a draft Scoping Assessment Outcome Report ("Scoping Report") was posted for public comment. The Scoping Report was finalized on January 28, 2015, and it incorporated feedback from community, stakeholder, and First Nation and Métis community meetings. The Scoping Report identified the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region as one of three new planning sub-regions for coordinated regional planning, as illustrated in Figure 3-3.



Figure 3-3: Northwest Ontario Region and Sub-regions

Subsequently, the Working Group was formed to carry out the IRRP for the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region.

For the purpose of regional planning, two LACs have been established for this sub-region: a General LAC and a First Nation LAC. The LACs were informed of the planning activities in the area and provided their input on the status of local growth and developments, local planning priorities, energy planning activities (e.g., community energy planning), local electricity concerns, and opportunities to implement community-based energy solutions. Greater detail regarding community and stakeholder engagement activities is provided in Section 9 of this report.

4. Background and Study Scope

The sub-region and the scope of the IRRP are described in Section 4.1. Section 4.2 details the electricity system supplying the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region.

4.1 West of Thunder Bay - Study Scope

The West of Thunder Bay IRRP assesses the reliability of the regional electricity system supplying the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region and identifies integrated solutions for the 20-year period from 2015 to 2034.

The West of Thunder Bay Sub-region is defined as the area bordered to the south and west by the United States and Manitoba borders; it extends north to include Kenora, Dryden and Sioux Lookout, and east as far as (but not including) the City of Thunder Bay; the study area does not include the area north of Dryden⁶. The approximate geographical boundaries of the sub-region are shown in Figure 4-1.





⁶ The North of Dryden IRRP published in 2015 addresses the reliability of the electricity system supplying the North of Dryden sub-region (see <u>http://www.ieso.ca/Documents/Regional-</u><u>Planning/Northwest_Ontario/North_of_Dryden/North-Dryden-Report-2015-01-27.pdf</u>)</u>

The West of Thunder Bay Sub-region includes the following First Nations:

- Anishinabe of Wauzhushk Onigum
- Anishinaabeg of Naongashiing
- Big Grassy
- Couchiching
- Eagle Lake
- Grassy Narrows
- Iskatewizaagegan #39
- Lac Des Mille Lacs
- Lac La Croix
- Lac Seul
- Mitaanjigamiing
- Naicatchewenin
- Naotkamegwanning
- Nigigoonsiminikaaning
- Northwest Angle #33
- Northwest Angle #37
- Obashkaandagaang
- Ochiichagwe'Babigo'Ining
- Ojibway Nation of Saugeen
- Ojibways of Onigaming
- Rainy River
- Seine River
- Shoal Lake #40
- Wabaseemoong
- Wabauskang
- Wabigoon Lake Ojibway

The sub-region also includes the following municipalities:

- Township of Alberton
- Town of Atikokan
- Township of Chapple
- Township of Dawson
- Township of Emo
- Town of Fort Frances
- Township of Lake of the Woods
- Township of La Vallee
- Township of Morley

- Town of Rainy River
- City of Dryden
- City of Kenora
- Municipality of Machin
- Municipality of Sioux Lookout
- Township of Ignace
- Township of Sioux Narrows-Nestor Falls

In addition, there are a number of unorganized areas⁷ in the Rainy River and Kenora Districts.

This IRRP addresses the reliability of the 115 kV regional transmission systems. The reliability of the 230 kV bulk transmission system and distribution systems supplying the area is beyond the scope of the regional planning process and this IRRP. 230 kV Bulk system and distribution system related concerns are for context referenced in Section 6.3, but they will be formally addressed through the bulk system and distribution systems planning processes.

It is important to note that connection assessment of generation resources for procurement programs, such as the Feed-in-Tariff and, the Large Renewable Procurement, are beyond the scope of this IRRP. Generation projects participating in procurement programs will be assessed according the rules and specifications of the procurement programs.

4.2 West of Thunder Bay Electricity System

The West of Thunder Bay electricity system consists of local generation resources, 230 kV bulk transmission, 115 kV regional transmission and low voltage distribution networks. Local generation resources provide important sources of electricity supply to the communities and customers in this sub-region. However, under certain system conditions (e.g., generation outages or if electricity demand exceeds the capability of local generation), local generation sources would need to be supplemented with power delivered to the sub-region from the rest of the province through the 230 kV bulk transmission system. From the 230 kV bulk transmission system, power is then delivered to various communities and customers through the regional 115 kV and low-voltage distribution networks. The following sub-sections discuss these components in more details.

⁷ Unorganized areas are parts of the province where there is no municipal level of government. Services in these unorganized districts are typically administered by local service boards.

4.2.1 Local Generation Resources

There are three types of generation resources totaling to about 491 Megawatts ("MW") in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region: hydroelectric (water), biomass and solar, as shown in Figure 4-2.



Figure 4-2: Installed Capacity of Generation Resources in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region (MW)

In Ontario, the electricity system is designed to meet regional coincident peak demand – i.e., the 1-hour period each year when total demand for electricity in the region (or sub-region) is the highest. While hydroelectric, biomass and solar resources are a potential source of energy, only a certain amount of power can be relied upon at the time of peak due to the variable nature of these resources. In the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region, electricity demand typically peaks during the evening in the winter season. For the purposes of infrastructure planning, the installed capacity of distributed and variable generation is adjusted to reflect the reliable power output at the time of the local winter peak.

Below is a description of local generation resources in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region.

Hydroelectric (Water): Hydroelectric resources account for almost 50 percent of the installed capacity in the sub-region (about 235 MW). While there are a number of small scale hydroelectric generators, the major facilities, Caribou and Whitedog Generating Stations, are situated in the Kenora area. All hydroelectric resources in this sub-region are run-of-river facilities and have limited storage capability. As such, hydroelectric

output is highly variable and is dependent on water conditions. During drought and low water conditions, power output is reduced to less than a third of the installed capacity. In some cases, high waters and flooding conditions may also reduce the power output from these facilities.

- Biomass: In 2014, the coal-fired generation facility at Atikokan was converted to burn biomass (wood pellets). This facility currently is contracted with the IESO until 2024 and has the capacity to generate up to 200 MW. Based on the current contract terms, the facility purchases up to 90,000 tonnes of biomass fuel annually. The forecast fuel availability will limit energy production to 140 GWh per year and may limit the amount of hours it can operate at the maximum capacity. For the purpose of this IRRP, it is assumed that Atikokan facility may operate as a merchant facility upon expiration of the contract. There are currently two merchant biomass generation facilities near Dryden and Fort Frances.
- Solar: A 25 MW transmission-connected solar facility is in operation in the Rainy River area. Many communities have also installed small-scale, distribution-connected solar facilities. Today, solar resources account for a small portion of the local, installed capacity. Solar is an intermittent resource and power output can vary depending on factors such as cloud cover, location, time of day, and season. As the local peak typically occurs during the evening in the winter, solar resources are not expected to contribute to the reduction of the local peak demand.

4.2.2 Transmission System

The transmission system in the sub-region consists of 230 kV and 115 kV lines and stations, as shown in Figure 4-3.



Figure 4-3: West of Thunder Bay Sub-region – Transmission System

The West of Thunder Bay transmission system is interconnected with Manitoba at Kenora and with Minnesota at Fort Frances. The interconnections with Manitoba and Minnesota handle transfers scheduled on an economic basis to address provincial needs and are not relied upon for maintaining local reliability. As the electricity system in this area is a source of supply to the North of Dryden Sub-region, its electricity requirements are affected by the potential growth in the area north of Dryden.

The West of Thunder Bay transmission system can be broken down into two components: 230 kV bulk transmission system and 115 kV regional sub-systems. These components are described in more detail below.

230 kV Bulk Transmission System

The bulk transmission system consists of a double circuit 230 kV line and a single-circuit 115 kV line between Thunder Bay and Atikokan. These lines bring power into the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region to supplement local generation resources. To the west of Atikokan, a diamond-

shaped, 230 kV bulk transmission network connects to Fort Frances, Dryden and Kenora. There are step-down stations that connect to local 115 kV networks at Kenora, Fort Frances, Dryden and Atikokan. Issues related to the bulk system are for context discussed in this IRRP, but these issues will be addressed as part of bulk transmission system planning.

Regional 115 kV Sub-systems



Figure 4-4: Regional 115 kV Sub-systems in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region

The regional 115 kV sub-systems (as shown in Figure 4-4) enable power to be delivered to communities and customers in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region. There are four 115 kV sub-systems in the sub-region:

Dryden 115 kV sub-system: Today, this sub-system provides up to 65 MW of power to customers and communities in the Dryden and surrounding areas and supplies up to 68 MW to the North of Dryden Sub-region through the 115 kV line from Dryden to

Ear Falls. The two 230 kV/115 kV autotransformers at Dryden are the primary sources of supply into this sub-system. This sub-system also includes 115 kV connection lines to the Kenora and Atikokan areas.

- Kenora 115 kV sub-system: The Kenora and surrounding areas are supplied by this 115 kV sub-system. Today, this sub-system has a winter peak demand of about 60 MW. In addition to the 230 kV/115 kV autotransformer at Kenora, this sub-system relies on local hydroelectric facilities, including Norman, Caribou and Whitedog, as the main sources of electricity supply. This sub-system also has 115 kV connections to Fort Frances and Dryden.
- Fort Frances 115 kV sub-system: During the winter season, this sub-system provides up to 75 MW of supply to customers and communities in the Fort Frances and surrounding areas. This sub-system is supplied by local hydroelectric facilities and the two 230 kV/115 kV autotransformers at Fort Frances and has 115 kV connections to Kenora and Minnesota.
- Moose Lake 115 kV sub-system: Today, this sub-system provides up to 13 MW of electricity supply to customers and communities in the Atikokan and surrounding areas. While this sub-system is primarily supplied by the two 230 kV/115 kV autotransformers near Atikokan, the 115 kV connections to Dryden and Thunder Bay and the small hydroelectric facilities also provide electricity supply.

The focus of this IRRP will be on the reliability of the 115 kV regional sub-systems in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region.

4.2.3 Distribution System

From the regional 115 kV sub-systems, power is delivered through transformer stations to the low-voltage distribution systems. There are 36 customer and utility-owned transformer stations that service the various communities and industrial customers in this sub-region. Given the large geographic and sparsely populated areas, many communities and customers in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region are supplied by long distribution lines and a single source of supply.

The low-voltage distribution system is managed and operated by five LDCs: Atikokan Hydro, Fort Frances Power Corporation, Kenora Hydro, Sioux Lookout Hydro, and Hydro One Networks (Distribution), as shown in Figure 4-5.



Figure 4-5: Local Distribution Companies (LDCs) Service Area

Distribution system planning is beyond the scope of the regional planning process. Issues related to the distribution system may for context be discussed in this IRRP, but they will be addressed as part of the distribution planning process led by the LDCs.

The details regarding the characteristics of the LDC service areas can be found in Appendix A.

5. Demand Forecast

Regional electricity systems in Ontario are designed to meet regional coincident peak demand – the one-hour period each year when total regional demand for electricity is the highest.

This section describes the development of the regional electricity demand forecast for the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region. Section 5.1 describes electricity demand trends in the sub-region from 2004 to 2014. Section 5.2 provides an overview of the demand forecast methodology used in this study, and Section 5.3 summarizes the various demand scenarios.

5.1 Historical Electricity Demand 2004-2014

The West of Thunder Bay Sub-region's peak electrical demand typically occurs during the evening in the winter. This is driven by a large electrical heating demand in the residential sector as access to natural gas in the area is limited.

In addition to the heating requirements from the residential sector, there are a number of large industrial customers in the pulp and paper and forestry sectors. These industrial customers consume a large amount of energy on a continuous basis; however, they are sensitive to changing economic conditions (e.g., commodity prices, changes in economic growth) which can have material impacts on annual energy demand. As shown in Figure 5-1, historical winter peak demand in the sub-region has decreased from a high of 335 MW in 2005 to a low of 210 MW in 2014. This decline in electrical load is primarily due to the closure of numerous large industrial customers in the pulp and paper sectors.



Figure 5-1: West of Thunder Bay Sub-region Historical Peak Demand (2004-2014)

5.2 Methodology for Establishing Planning Forecast Scenarios

Demand forecast scenarios were developed to assess reliability of the West of Thunder Bay electricity system over the planning period. For the purpose of regional planning, these demand scenarios take into consideration a number of components:

- Gross winter demand forecast scenarios for distribution-connected and transmissionconnected customers,
- Estimated peak demand savings from meeting provincial energy conservation targets, and
- Expected peak capacity contribution from DG.

Gross demand forecast scenarios were developed based on the expected peak demand projections for distribution-connected and transmission-connected customers in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region. For each scenario, these growth projections are modified to reflect the estimated peak demand savings from meeting provincial energy conservation targets and from existing and contracted DG.

Using a planning forecast that is net of provincial conservation targets is consistent with the province's Conservation First policy. However, this assumes that the targets will be met and that the targets, which are energy-based, will produce the expected local peak demand impacts.

An important aspect of plan implementation will be monitoring the actual peak demand impacts of conservation programs delivered by the local LDCs and, as necessary, adapting the plan accordingly.

The methodology and assumptions used for the development of the demand forecast scenarios are described in detail in Appendix A.

5.3 Development of Planning Forecast

5.3.1 Gross Demand Forecast Scenarios

The gross demand forecast is based on the gross electricity requirements for distributionconnected customers and transmission-connected customers in the sub-region.

Distribution-Connected Customers

The gross demand forecast for distribution-connected customers is provided by the five LDCs in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region. Overall, the growth in electricity demand forecast from distribution-connected customers is expected to remain relatively modest. Most of the growth is attributed to requirements from small industrial customers, such as biomass pellet plants and saw mills, community development associated with the new gold mine near Rainy River and population growth in First Nations communities. Descriptions of the LDCs' forecast assumptions and methodology can be found in Appendix A.

Transmission-Connected Customers

The gross demand forecast for transmission-connected customers is developed based on information gathered from transmission-connected industrial customers. The IESO and Hydro One Transmission regularly communicate with existing and potential transmission-connected industrial customers to understand their electricity demand requirements and their operation and development status.

Over the planning period, the demand growth in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region will be primarily driven by large, transmission-connected industrial customers, including gold mines near Rainy River and Dryden, and the proposed gas to oil pipeline development. New transmission-connected industrial customers could potentially add up to 300 MW of incremental electricity demand by 2034. As discussed, industrial customers are particularly sensitive to the changes in economic conditions. The timing, location and scale of industrial

developments is uncertain and will depend on a number of external factors, such as the commodity price of the resource, the economic viability of the industrial project, and the ability to secure capital. Often these factors can lead to material increases or decreases in annual demand. For example, due to declining gold prices, the development of a prospective, large gold mine near Atikokan, with peak demand requirements of up to 125 MW, was suspended in 2014. Other developments, by contrast, are proceeding. For example, a new gold mine near Rainy River, with a peak demand requirement of up to 60 MW, is currently under construction and should be in operation by 2017.

Since these changes are often difficult to anticipate, a scenario based approach was used to ensure the sub-region's electricity system is able to adequately supply electricity to industries and communities under various assumptions and conditions. Three scenarios (Reference, High and Low) are described in Section 5.3.4.

The specific forecasting methodology and assumptions for the gross demand forecast scenarios can be found in Appendix A.

5.3.2 Expected Peak Demand Savings from Provincial Conservation Targets

Conservation is the first resource considered in planning, approval and procurement processes. It plays a key role in maximizing the utilization of existing infrastructure and maintaining reliable supply by keeping demand within equipment capability. Conservation is achieved through a mix of program-related activities, rate structures, and mandated efficiencies from building codes and equipment standards. The conservation savings forecast for the sub-region have been applied to the gross peak demand forecast, along with DG resources (described in Section 5.2), to determine the planning forecast for the sub-region.

In December 2013 the Ministry of Energy released a revised Long-Term Energy Plan ("LTEP") that outlined a provincial conservation target of 30 terawatt-hours ("TWh") of energy savings by 2032. A portion of this province-wide energy conservation target was allocated to the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region, and, as further described below, it was further converted to an estimated peak demand reduction for the sub-region. To estimate the impact of the conservation savings in the area, the forecast provincial savings were divided into three main categories, as shown in Figure 5-2:

Figure 5-2: Categories of Conservation Savings



- 1. Savings due to Building Codes & Equipment Standards
- 2. Savings due to Time-of-Use Rate structures
- 3. Savings due to the delivery of Conservation Programs

The 2013 LTEP committed to establishing a new 6-year Conservation First Framework ("CFF") beginning in January 2015 to enable the achievement of all cost-effective conservation. In the near term, Ontario's LDCs have an aggregate energy reduction target of 7 TWh, as well as individual LDC specific targets. These targets are to be achieved between 2015 and the end of 2020 through LDC conservation programs enabled by the CFF. In 2015, each LDC submitted a CDM plan to the IESO describing how the targets will be achieved. LDCs are also required to provide updates to their CDM plans.

As part of the Conservation First policy, the provincial government has adopted a broad definition of conservation that includes various types of customer action and behind-the-meter generation. This means that conservation includes any programs or mechanisms that reduce the amount of energy consumed from the provincial electricity grid. Conservation initiatives, including behind-the-meter generation projects and on-site generation, are expected to reduce customers' reliance on the provincial electricity grid and contribute to peak demand savings in the sub-region. Conservation initiatives, including behind-the-meter generation projects and on-site generation, are expected to reduce customers' reliance on the provincial electricity grid and contribute to peak demand savings in the sub-region.

For the purpose of this IRRP, the allocation of the 7 TWh of provincial energy savings target to the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region is estimated to offset approximately 14 MW of the forecast peak demand between 2015 and 2034. Savings from potential future demand response ("DR") resources are not included in the forecast. Instead, the development of locally targeted DR projects may be considered as potential solutions to address future needs.

The estimated annual peak demand savings from the provincial energy conservation targets in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region are summarized in Appendix A.

5.3.3 Expected Peak Demand Contribution of Existing and Contracted Distributed Generation

As of 2015, about 38 MW of DG was contracted in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region. For the purpose of developing the planning forecast, contracted DG is expected to reduce the regional peak demand by about 1.5 MW over the next 20 years. Future DG uptake was, as noted, not included in the planning forecast and is instead considered as an option for meeting identified needs.

The expected annual peak demand contribution of contracted DG in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region can be found in Appendix A.

5.3.4 Planning Forecast

A scenario-based approach was used to account for the uncertainty in the demand forecast. Figure 5-3 shows planning demand scenarios for the West of Thunder Bay Sub-Region (2015 to 2034, using a base year of 2014). The scenarios represent plausible outcomes that must be considered in planning for the electricity needs of the sub-region. The demand forecast scenarios shown below take into consideration the gross demand forecast scenarios, estimated peak demand savings from provincial energy conservation targets, and existing and contracted DG.




Reference scenario

Under the Reference scenario, the winter peak electricity demand in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region is expected to increase to 330 MW over the planning period. As shown in Figure 5-3, by the mid-2020s, the peak demand will be similar to 2004 levels. The growth includes two transmission-connected mining developments near the Dryden and Rainy River areas. Together, these developments could increase regional electricity demand by up to 70 MW.

For the purpose of regional planning, it is also assumed that the proposed gas to oil pipeline development will be approved and that four oil pumping stations will be supplied from the West of Thunder Bay transmission system under the Reference scenario. The pumping stations would each require approximately 15 to 18 MW of electricity supply by 2020.

High scenario

In addition to the growth identified in the Reference scenario, the High scenario assumes more transmission-connected mining developments and the recovery of the local pulp and paper industry, for example the restart of the mill in the Fort Frances area. The electricity demand from the proposed gas to oil pipeline development is expected to increase as a total of six oil pumping stations will be supplied from the West of Thunder Bay transmission system under

⁸ West of Thunder Bay Sub-region demand forecast does not include growth in the North of Dryden Sub-region. The demand forecast for the North of Dryden Sub-region is discussed in Section 5.4.

this scenario. With these additional developments, the total demand could grow to 540 MW by the end of the study period.

Low scenario

Aside from the above-mentioned mining development in the River Rainy area, no additional mining development is expected to materialize under the Low scenario. It is assumed that the proposed gas to oil pipeline development will not proceed. This scenario results in a relatively flat electricity demand growth over the planning period.

Further details related to the demand forecast scenarios can be found in Appendix A.

5.4 Potential Growth in the North of Dryden Sub-region

The West of Thunder Bay electricity system is a major source of supply to the North of Dryden Sub-region, capable of transferring up to 85 MW via through the 115 kV line from Dryden to Ear Falls. In 2015, the winter peak demand in the North of Dryden area was about 68 MW.

Based on the North of Dryden IRRP published in 2015⁹, up to 170 MW of additional demand growth could materialize in the North of Dryden Sub-region and would require supply from the West of Thunder Bay 230 kV bulk transmission system. Depending on the location, magnitude and timing of these potential developments in the North of Dryden Sub-region, this could have an impact on the 115 kV Dryden regional sub-system.

The North of Dryden IRRP recommends building a new 230 kV line to Pickle Lake to support the potential developments in the North of Dryden Sub-region including connection of 21 remote First Nation communities. With the new 230 kV line to Pickle Lake, up to 120 MW of incremental demand from new mining developments and remote communities north and northeast of Pickle Lake would be supplied directly from the 230 kV West of Thunder Bay bulk transmission system. The remaining growth in the Red Lake and Ear Falls area (up to 50 MW of incremental demand), which includes the remote communities north of Red Lake, would be supplied directly from the Dryden 115 kV sub-system. To ensure that the West of Thunder Bay electricity system has sufficient capacity to serve growth in the West of Thunder Bay and North of Dryden Sub-regions, the potential growth and development in the area north of Dryden is taken in to consideration in the development of this IRRP.

⁹ <u>http://www.ieso.ca/Documents/Regional-Planning/Northwest_Ontario/North_of_Dryden/North-Dryden-Report-2015-01-27.pdf</u>

6. Needs

This section outlines the needs assessment methodology and identifies regional electricity supply and reliability needs over the 20-year planning period. In addition, other electricity needs and considerations at the bulk, distribution and community levels are also discussed in this section.

6.1 Needs Assessment Methodology

The IESO's ORTAC,¹⁰ the provincial standard for assessing the reliability of the transmission system, was applied to assess supply capacity and reliability needs. ORTAC includes criteria related to the assessment of the bulk transmission system, as well as the assessment of local or regional reliability requirements (see Appendix B for more details).

Through the application of these criteria, three broad categories of needs can be identified:

- **Transformer Station Capacity** is the electricity system's ability to deliver power to the local distribution network through the regional transformer stations. This is limited by the load meeting capability ("LMC") of the step-down transformer stations in the local area, which is the maximum demand that can be supplied from the transformer stations based on their combined transformer station ratings.
- **Supply Capacity** is the electricity system's ability to provide continuous supply to a local area. This is limited by the LMC of the transmission line or sub-system, which is the maximum demand that can be supplied on a transmission line or sub-system under applicable transmission and generation outage scenarios as prescribed by ORTAC; it is determined through power system simulations analysis (See Appendix B for more details). Supply capacity needs are identified when peak demand on a transmission line or sub-system exceeds its LMC.
- Load Security and Restoration is the electricity system's ability to minimize the impacts of potential supply interruptions to customers in the event of a major transmission outage, such as an outage on a double-circuit tower line resulting in the loss of both circuits. Load security describes the amount of load susceptible to supply interruptions in the event of a major transmission outage. Load restoration describes the electricity system's ability to restore power to those affected by a major transmission outage within

¹⁰ <u>http://www.ieso.ca/imoweb/pubs/marketadmin/imo_req_0041_transmissionassessmentcriteria.pdf</u>

reasonable timeframes. The specific load security and restoration requirements prescribed by ORTAC are described in Appendix B.

In addition, the needs assessment may also identify needs related to transmission service reliability performance, equipment end-of-life and planned sustainment activities. Service reliability performance describes the frequency and probability of major outages on an electricity system, which can be affected by various factors such as exposure to elements, age and maintenance of equipment, and length and configuration of the transmission or distribution networks. Equipment reaching the end of its life and planned sustainment activities may have an impact on the needs assessment and options development. Transmission assets reaching end-of-life are typically replaced with assets of equivalent capacity and specification. The need to replace aging transmission assets may present opportunities to better align investments with evolving power system priorities. This may involve up-sizing equipment in areas with capacity needs, or downsizing or even removing equipment that is no longer considered useful. Such instances may also present opportunities to enhance or reconfigure assets for infrastructure hardening to improve system resilience.

6.2 Regional Electricity Reliability Needs

For the purpose of regional planning, this IRRP focuses on identifying and addressing needs on the regional 115 kV sub-systems, as defined in Section 4.2.2. It is important to note that there may be a potential need for additional supply on the West of Thunder Bay 230 kV bulk system. This bulk system need is not within the scope of this IRRP, but for contextual reasons is discussed in Section 6.3.1.

Results from the needs assessment show all the regional 115 kV sub-systems are adequate over the planning period under Reference and Low scenarios. Under the High scenario, strong growth in the Dryden and North of Dryden Sub-region may exceed the Dryden 115 kV subsystem capacity over the planning period. End-of-life replacements, transmission service reliability and transformer station capacity needs were also identified in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region. The following section describes these needs in more detail.

6.2.1 Potential Supply Capacity Need on the Dryden 115 kV Sub-system

The Dryden 115 kV sub-system can provide up to 240 MW of continuous supply to the Dryden area and North of Dryden Sub-region (Dryden 115 kV System LMC = 240 MW). Today, the Dryden 115 kV sub-system supplies 130 MW to the Dryden area and North of Dryden Sub-

region. Under the Reference scenario, electricity demand supplied by the Dryden 115 kV subsystem is expected to grow to about 240 MW by 2034. There will be sufficient capacity on the existing system to support this growth over the planning period.

Under the High scenario, however, the electricity demand on Dryden 115 kV sub-system can potentially increase to about 290 MW. The existing Dryden 115 kV sub-system therefore does not meet the ORTAC supply capacity under this particular scenario. If the forecast demand growth materializes under the High scenario, 50 MW of additional supply capacity may be required on the Dryden 115 kV sub-system in the mid-2020s. Given that the timing, magnitude and location associated with potential developments in the Dryden area are uncertain, it is important to monitor these potential developments before proceeding with an investment decision. Section 7.1 will provide a high-level discussion of potential options to address these concerns under the High scenario.

Details related to the assessment can be found in Appendix B.

6.2.2 Transformer Station Capacity Needs in the Kenora area

The transformer station supplying the City of Kenora and surrounding areas ("Kenora MTS") can supply up to 25 MW at the time of peak. Today, this transformer station currently supplies up to 20 MW. There is therefore about 5 MW of supply margin remaining on the transformer station. Since the residential and commercial growth in the Kenora area is forecast to be modest over the planning period, the remaining margin will be adequate to support commercial and residential developments in the area.

Recently, a large industrial customer in the Kenora area that has historically been supplied from a local dam is looking to Kenora MTS for alternative supply. Depending on the needs of the industrial customer, the requirement for additional transformer station capacity may be triggered in Kenora over the next few years. Potential developments at the former Abitibi mill site may also require additional transformer station capacity in the Kenora area. However, the timing and magnitude of these developments are uncertain at this time. Kenora Hydro will monitor these developments closely to determine if and when a new transformer station will be required. If a new transformer station is required to supply the industrial customers, it may potentially provide a second source of supply to the City of Kenora and surrounding areas. As this is a customer-driven need, it is not expected to have major regional implications.

6.2.3 Transmission End-of-Life Replacements and Sustainment Activities

The Dryden TS 115 kV/44 kV transformers and Moose Lake 115 kV/44 kV transformers are due for end-of-life replacements within the next five years. The Dryden 115 kV/44 kV transformers are scheduled to be replaced in 2016, with assets of equivalent capacity and specification based on current standards. This sustainment decision was made prior to the initiation of this IRRP.

The Moose Lake 115 kV/44 kV transformers and associated 44 kV distribution lines are scheduled to be replaced in the early 2020s. The refurbished transformer station, with equally sized equipment and station reconfiguration, will improve the supply security to the customers and communities in Atikokan and the surrounding areas. As part of the IRRP, Atikokan Hydro and Hydro One Transmission examined potential sustainment options, including potential relocation of the transformer station, based on cost-benefit and cost allocation considerations. The details related to the end-of-life replacements for the Moose Lake 115 kV/44 kV transformers can be found in Appendix E.

Hydro One Transmission will be replacing wood pole structures on a number of aging 115 kV transmission lines in the Kenora, Sioux Lookout and Dryden areas and the 230 kV transmission lines in the Fort Frances and Atikokan areas. During the wood pole structure replacements, the electricity supply to local communities will be temporarily rerouted to other circuits. As a result, no service interruption is expected during construction. This sustainment decision was made prior to the initiation of this IRRP.

Going forward, the Working Group will need to better understand the timing and scope of upcoming sustainment activities in this sub-region, as sustainment activities may provide opportunities to replace these aging assets in a manner that also addresses broader regional needs.

6.2.4 Transmission Service Reliability and Performance

Many communities and customers in the sub-region are supplied by long transmission lines and rely on a single supply source. A few customers have expressed concerns regarding service reliability and performance. Service reliability and performance is measured based on customers' exposure to power outages on the distribution and transmission system, which is expressed in terms of *frequency* (i.e., number of outages a year) and *duration* (e.g., length of time before the power is restored). Transmission customer delivery point standards are used to measure the service reliability and performance of the electricity system in Ontario. In response to service reliability and performance concerns raised by communities and LDCs, the Working Group assessed the reliability performance of the transmission system in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region, in particular, the 115 kV sub-systems supplying Town of Sioux Lookout and Town of Fort Frances. These sub-systems are supplied by a single transmission supply and have recently experienced outages. Based on historical reliability performance statistics, the 115 kV transmission system supplying Sioux Lookout and Fort Frances is within the provincial service reliability and performance standards. However, Hydro One Transmission indicated that during a recent maintenance outage, switching equipment failure resulted in a prolonged outage for customers in the Fort Frances area. Customers and communities may work with Hydro One Transmission to explore options to avoid similar incidents in the future.

A summary of transmission reliability performance assessment can be found in Appendix C. Section 7.2 will discuss the potential opportunities to further improve transmission service reliability and the associated cost implications.

6.3 Other Electricity Needs and Considerations

As discussed in Section 3, electricity planning is conducted at various levels: bulk, regional, local, and community (Figure 6-1). In addition to regional planning, bulk, distribution and community energy planning activities are also underway in the West of Thunder Bay Subregion. While these needs are beyond the scope of regional planning process, bulk, distribution and community energy needs were taken into consideration in the development of the plan.



Figure 6-1: Electricity Planning at the Bulk, Regional, Distribution and Community Levels

To provide the broader context, issues and considerations related to 230 kV bulk transmission system, the local distribution systems, and community energy planning activities and their implications on the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region will be discussed in the following sections.

6.3.1 230 kV Bulk System Needs

The 230 kV bulk transmission system supplying the West of Thunder Bay and North of Dryden Sub-regions is adequate today. As a result of potential industrial developments and remote community connections in the West of Thunder Bay and North of Dryden Sub-regions, the West of Thunder Bay 230 kV bulk transmission system may need to serve up to 500 MW of additional electricity demand over the planning period. The 230 kV bulk transmission system will require sufficient supply capacity to deliver power into the West of Thunder Bay and North of Dryden Sub-regions as shown in Figure 6-2.





Given the limited supply margin remaining on the 230 kV bulk transmission system, potential demand growth and changes in the regional supply mix may lead to bulk system reliability needs in the sub-region. These needs are discussed below:

- 230 kV supply into the Dryden area: The existing 230 kV bulk transmission system can supply a total of 175 MW of load in Dryden area and North of Dryden Sub-region. There is 50-100 MW of additional capacity remaining to support growth in the Dryden area and North of Dryden Sub-region.
- 230 kV supply into the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region: The existing 230 kV bulk transmission system is adequate today, assuming generation at Atikokan is available. Currently, there is approximately 150 MW of supply margin remaining to support growth in the West of Thunder Bay and North of Dryden Sub-regions. If the Atikokan generation is unavailable, either because of biomass fuel limitations or contract termination (in 2024), the supply margin may be further reduced.

A bulk transmission system study is currently underway to assess the reliability of the 230 kV bulk transmission system supplying the West of Thunder Bay and North of Dryden Subregions. As part of the study, the IESO is exploring potential supply options including generation, transmission and firm imports from Manitoba. In order to maintain the viability of the transmission option, the IESO has issued a hand-off letter to Hydro One to undertake early development work. To facilitate the development work, Hydro One has been engaging Infrastructure Ontario in exploring ways to ensure that the project is developed and delivered in a cost-effective manner and results in value for Ontario electricity customers. The preliminary scope of the transmission option ("Northwest Bulk Transmission Line Project"¹¹) consists of a new double-circuit 230 kV line between Thunder Bay and Atikokan and a single-circuit 230 kV line from Atikokan to Dryden. However, alternate routes may be considered as part of the development work.

6.3.2 Distribution System Needs

A number of distribution system needs were identified through engagement with communities and LDCs, including issues related to service reliability and performance, power quality and end-of-life replacements and sustainment activities. A summary of these issues is provided below. However, these needs will be formally addressed as part of the distribution planning process carried out by LDCs.

Distribution Service Reliability

In response to the service reliability and performance concerns raised by communities and LDCs, the Working Group assessed the reliability performance of the distribution systems in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region. Results from the assessment show that the majority of distribution lines in this area perform well relative to other distribution lines in the province. However, there are two distribution lines supplying electricity to areas near Shabaqua and Margach that are performing below the provincial distribution system average. These distribution lines are three to four times longer than other distribution lines across the province. Long distribution lines typically exhibit lower levels of reliability because they are more exposed to tree and wildlife contact, and they sustain more damage from poor weather. Outages in rural areas with difficult terrain, also limits access by repair crews leading to increased restoration time. A summary of distribution reliability performance assessment can be found in Appendix D.

Section 7.2 will discuss the potential opportunities to further improve distribution service reliability and the associated cost implications.

¹¹ For more information on Northwest Bulk Transmission Line: <u>http://www.ieso.ca/Pages/Ontario's-Power-System/Regional-Planning/Northwest-Ontario/Bulk-Planning-Initiatives.aspx</u>

Power Quality

Some industrial customers in the sub-region are experiencing issues related to power quality. Power quality issues are defined as disturbances to the customer's supply as a result of voltagerelated issues. These voltage issues can be driven by a combination of customers' equipment and/or system voltage performances. The solutions and the cost responsibility of investments to address power quality issues may vary depending on the root causes of the problem. The Working Group agreed that there needs to be a better understanding of power quality issues in this sub-region and that they should be examined on a case-by-case basis by the LDCs, transmitter and customers.

End-of-Life Replacement and Sustainment Activities

Based on information provided by Hydro One Distribution, three distribution stations ("DS") were refurbished over the last couple of years: Nestor DS, Sioux Narrows DS, and Burleigh DS.

6.3.3 Community Energy Planning

A number of communities in the sub-region are in the process of developing community energy plans. At the time of this report, 16 of the 26 First Nations communities have received funding from the IESO through the Aboriginal Community Energy Plan program to develop community energy plans. The City of Kenora, City of Dryden and Town of Sioux Lookout have also expressed interest in developing community energy plans and some plans are in the early stages of development. The Municipal Energy Plan Program¹² administrated by the Provincial government supports municipalities in their efforts to develop a community energy plan.

Through community energy planning activities, communities will have a better understanding of their local energy needs and emissions footprint, will identify opportunities for energy efficiency and emissions reduction, and will develop plans to meet their goals in consideration of local economic development. These community energy plans examine broader energy needs, such as transportation, natural gas and electricity, and consider other objectives including net

¹² For more information on the Ministry of Energy MEP Program: <u>http://www.energy.gov.on.ca/en/municipal-energy/</u>

zero energy, electrification, and emissions reductions. The development of these plans is being led by communities.

Given the growing concern with climate change and the move toward a low carbon economy, a CEP may include recommendations to promote electrification and other forms of fuel switching, such as shifting from natural gas to electric-power heat pumps, to achieve a goal of reducing greenhouse gas ("GHG") emissions. As such, the outcomes from CEPs may drive additional requirements on the electricity system and should be monitored closely as part of the regional planning process. Furthermore, with the increased access to distributed energy resources, community energy plans may identify opportunities for community-based energy solutions, such as district energy, combined heat and power ("CHP"), or microgrids. Depending on the timing, location and magnitude of the needs, community-based energy solutions can be considered as potential options to address regional electricity needs.

6.4 Needs Summary

Table 6-1 provides a summary of the regional supply and reliability needs in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region. These needs are within the scope of the regional planning process.

| Regional Electricity | Components | Status |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Reliability Needs | | |
| Supply Capacity | Dryden 115 kV sub- system | 50 MW of additional supply may be required around the mid-2020s under the High scenario |
| Transformer Station Capacity | The transformer station supplying the City of Kenora and surrounding areas (Kenora MTS) | Limited supply margin remaining on the transformer station. Additional capacity may be required in the next few years as a result of a distribution connection-request from industrial customers in the Kenora area. |

| Table 6-1: Summar | v of Regional | Supply and | Reliability Needs |
|-------------------|---------------|------------|--------------------------|
| | | | |

| Transmission Service Reliability | Transmission supply to Town of Sioux Lookout and Town of Fort Frances | Based on historical outage statistics, the regional transmission system is within provincial service reliability and performance standards. During a recent maintenance outage, switching equipment failure resulted in a prolonged outage for customers in the Fort Frances area. |
|--|---|---|
| | Dryden 44 kV/115 kV transformers | Scheduled to be replaced in 2016 |
| End-of-Life Replacements and Sustainment | Moose Lake 44 kV/115 kV transformers | Due for end-of-life replacements in early 2020s |
| Activities | Aging 115 kV structures in Kenora, Fort Frances and Dryden area | These structures will be replaced within the next five years |

Table 6-2 provides a summary of the issues and considerations related to 230 kV bulk transmission system, local distribution systems, and community energy planning activities in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region. Although these issues are beyond the scope of the regional planning study, the Working Group will continue to monitor these needs closely and keep LAC members informed of bulk, distribution and community planning activities in the sub-region.

Table 6-2: Other Electricity Needs and Considerations in the area

| Туре | Needs | Status |
|------|---|--|
| Bulk | A potential need for additional supply on the 230 kV bulk system supplying the West of Thunder Bay and North of Dryden Sub-regions | Potential growth in the North of Dryden and West of Thunder Bay Sub-regions may exceed capability on the 230 kV bulk transmission system |

| Distribution | Reliability Performance | Majority of distribution lines in this area perform well |
|--------------|----------------------------------|--|
| | | relative to other lines in the province, with the |
| | | exception of the two distribution lines supplying to |
| | | areas near Shabaqua and Margach. |
| | | Some industrial customers are experiencing power |
| | Power Quality | quality issues, which could be driven by a |
| | | combination of customers' equipment and/or system |
| | | voltage performances. This will need to be |
| | | investigated on a case-by-case basis. |
| | End-of-Life and Sustainment | Nestor DS, Sioux Narrows DS, and Burleigh DS were |
| | Activities | refurbished over the last couple of years |
| Community | Greater coordination is required | A number of communities have expressed interest |
| | | and some plans are in the early stages of |
| | | development. |

7. Options to Address Potential Regional and Local Needs

In developing the 20-year plan, the Working Group considered a range of integrated solutions for addressing needs, including a mix of conservation, generation, transmission and distribution facilities, and other electricity system initiatives. When evaluating alternatives, the Working Group considers a number of factors, including technical feasibility, cost, flexibility, alignment with planning policies and priorities and consistency with long-term needs and options. Solutions that maximized the use of existing infrastructure were given priority, where they were otherwise determined to be cost effective.

Although investing in new electricity infrastructure, such as a new transmission line or a generation facility, can be a potential solution to address the electricity needs within a community, it requires substantial capital investment, has environmental/land-use impact and has a long-service life. As such, it is important to take into the consideration the longer-term cost implications, value and potential risks (e.g., stranded or underutilized assets) when recommending investment. Furthermore, these facilities typically require a long lead time to complete development, obtain approvals and complete construction. For this reason, commitment of these facilities must be made with sufficient lead time to ensure they are available when needed. When assessing the need for infrastructure investments, it is important to strike a balance between overbuilding infrastructure (e.g., committing to infrastructure when there is insufficient demand to justify the investment) and under-investing (e.g., avoiding or deferring investment despite insufficient infrastructure to support growth in the region). Typically, conservation solutions can be implemented within six months, or up to two years for larger projects, whereas transmission and distribution facilities can take five to seven years to come into service. The lead time for generation development is typically two to three years, but could be longer depending on the size and technology type.

Given the uncertainty with the location, timing and magnitude of the electricity demand growth in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region, as discussed in Section 5, it is important to monitor development closely and create a flexible, comprehensive, integrated plan in anticipation of potential demand growth scenarios and varying supply conditions in the sub-region. At this time, early development work for major electricity infrastructure projects to address potential regional needs is not required. However, to lay the ground work for the next planning cycle, the Working Group has explored potential options to address the potential supply capacity needs on the 115 kV Dryden sub-system under the High scenario. There are opportunities for communities and customers to work with LDCs and Hydro One Transmission to explore opportunities to further improve transmission and distribution service reliability and to assess the associated cost implications. Finally, the Working Group, with input from the LACs, has identified areas to facilitate greater coordination between community energy planning activities and regional planning.

These options and the opportunities to address these local and regional needs are discussed in the following section.

7.1 Options to Address Supply Capacity Needs on Dryden 115 kV Sub-system under the High Scenario

As discussed in Section 6.2.1, about 50 MW of additional supply capacity will be required on the Dryden 115 kV sub-system under the High scenario. Given the uncertainty with the demand growth, early development work for major electricity infrastructure projects is not required at this time. However, it is important to continue to monitor demand closely to determine if and when an investment decision for the Dryden 115 kV sub-system is required.

To lay the groundwork for the next planning cycle, the Working Group examined three conceptual approaches to address potential supply capacity needs on the Dryden 115 kV subsystem: conservation and distributed energy resources, delivering provincial resources ("wires" planning); and, large localized generation. In practice, certain elements of electricity plans will be common to all three approaches, and some overlap may be necessary. It is likely that all plans will contain some combination of conservation, local generation, transmission, and distribution elements. The following section describes the attributes, benefits, risks and implementation requirements associated with each of the three approaches.

As discussed in Section 6.3.1, additional reinforcements may be required to address the 230 kV bulk transmission needs in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region and will be addressed separately as part of the bulk transmission planning process.

7.1.1 Conservation and Distributed Energy Resources

Conservation is important in managing demand in Ontario and plays a key role in maximizing the useful life of existing infrastructure and maintaining reliable supply. Conservation is achieved through a mix of program-related activities including behavioural changes by customers and mandated efficiencies from building codes and equipment standards. These approaches complement each other to maximize conservation results.

However, within West of Thunder Bay Sub-region, the majority of the forecast load growth is anticipated to be driven by new industrial development, which is assumed to include relatively efficient equipment given the inherent economic benefits and the latest codes and standards. Conservation expected to be achieved through provincial targets, including time-of-use, codes and standards, and program delivery, has already been included in the planning forecast scenarios. Therefore, the potential for an additional amount of significant conservation that could address needs is limited.

Two of the available programs that transmission-connected industrial customers could be eligible for are the Industrial Conservation Initiative ("ICI") and the Industrial Accelerator Program ("IAP"). The ICI encourages Class A customers to reduce their peak demand contributions, by providing a means to reduce their Global Adjustment charges.¹³ IAP is geared to reducing electricity consumption on the provincial system, and to helping companies become more competitive by providing financial incentives that encourage investment in innovative process changes and equipment retrofits.¹⁴ Opportunities for energy savings will continue to be explored for new and existing transmission-connected customers in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region.

7.1.2 Large, Localized Generation Resources

Siting localized generation based on the size and location of the electricity requirements can be an effective means for addressing major regional supply and reliability needs over the long term. While this approach is similar to distributed energy resources in that it shares the goal of providing supply locally, the emphasis is on large, transmission-connected generation facilities rather than smaller, distributed resources. In the context of the West of Thunder Bay Subregion, a 50 MW generation facility connected to the Dryden 115 kV sub-system can address the potential supply capacity needs under the High scenario.

There are a number of factors that need to be considered when siting localized generation, and any decisions would need to align with the recommendations found in the August 2013 report

¹³ More information on how Global Adjustment is calculated for Class A customers is available at <u>http://www.ieso.ca/Pages/Participate/Settlements/Global-Adjustment-for-Class-A.aspx</u>

¹⁴ More information on IAP is available at: <u>http://www.ieso.ca/Pages/Participate/Industrial-Accelerator-Program/default.aspx</u>

entitled "Engaging Local Communities in Ontario's Electricity Planning Continuum"¹⁵ that was prepared for the Minister of Energy by the OPA and the IESO.

As the requirements in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region are for additional capacity during times of peak demand, a large, transmission-connected generation solution would need to be capable of being dispatched when needed, and operate at an appropriate capacity factor. In some cases, additional transmission reinforcements may also be required. In addition, siting may be a challenge if the generation is to be located in populated or environmentally sensitive areas.

The cost of a large, localized generation resource depends on the size, fuel type, technology and the degree to which it can contribute to the local and provincial system capacity or energy needs. The fuel availability will also need to be taken in consideration. For example, there is limited natural gas storage capacity in northern Ontario, and the commitment timeframes for gas and electricity are not aligned. As such, procuring "firm" service in the northwest is expected to be more costly than in southern Ontario. The lead time for generation development is typically two to three years, but it could be longer depending on the size and technology type.

7.1.3 Delivering Provincial Resources ("Wires" Planning)

Delivering provincial resources, or "wires" planning, reflects the traditional regional electricity planning approach associated with the development of centralized electric power systems. This approach involves using transmission and distribution infrastructure to supply a region's electricity needs by taking power from the provincial electricity system. This model takes advantage of generation that is planned at the provincial level, along with generation sources typically located remotely from the region. Utilities, both transmitters and distributors, play a lead role in the development of this approach.

Installing an additional 115/230 kV autotransformer in the Dryden and surrounding area can enable more power to be delivered from the 230 kV bulk transmission system to the 115 kV Dryden sub-system. A 115/230 kV autotransformer typically costs in the range of \$15 million to \$20 million and the lead time to develop a transformer is typically three to five years. These enhancements may be subject to regulatory approvals, such as a Class Environmental Assessment and utilities' rate filings. The costs of "wires" solutions would depend not only on

¹⁵ <u>http://www.ieso.ca/Pages/Participate/Regional-Planning/Local-Advisory-Committees.aspx</u>

the specific infrastructure involved, but also on the cost of providing energy at the provincial system level. Cost responsibility for the transmission and distribution infrastructure would be determined as part of the regulatory application review process.

7.2 Opportunities to Further Improve Service Reliability

As discussed in Section 6.2.4 and Section 6.3.2, the reliability performance of the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region is generally within the provincial service reliability and performance standards. Communities and customers may consider working with LDCs and transmitter to explore opportunities to improve transmission and distribution service reliability and performance. Cost-benefit and cost allocation for investments will need to be considered.

At the distribution level, communities and customers may work with LDCs to identify mitigation measures to improve distribution service reliability, where applicable. Similarly, at the transmission-level, LDCs or transmission-connected customers may work with Hydro One Transmission to look at potential transmission improvements (e.g., switching facilities) to reduce the risk and impact of supply interruptions, especially during maintenance outages. Furthermore, many communities are interested in developing distributed energy resources. Communities may wish to explore opportunities for community-based solutions and emerging technologies, such as on-site generation and storage facilities, to minimize the impact of potential power outages.

Whether customers are looking at incremental distribution, transmission or community–based energy solutions to improve service reliability, consideration must be given to the cost–benefits and cost responsibility issues. According to the OEB's proposed "beneficiary pays" principle for cost-allocation, the responsibility to pay for higher reliability would likely be borne by the customers in the area. The issue of how much is appropriate to invest and who pays for the investments will need to be addressed.

The cost of improving service reliability varies depending on geography, the nature of the issue and the local system configuration. In the case of the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region, given the large geographical area and sparse population, solutions for improving system reliability performance may be very costly (e.g., a transmission line covering hundreds of kilometers), while the benefiting customer base may be relatively small. The Working Group has heard from communities and customers in this sub-region that below-average reliability is an impediment to economic development, while the investments necessary to improve the situation are not affordable. However, minor improvements, such as switches and outage mitigation and maintenance measures (e.g., tree trimming and relocations of off-road distribution lines), and distributed energy resources, may be more cost-effective alternatives. In any case, the cost-benefit and responsibility of investments to further improve service reliability will need to be examined on a case-by-case basis.

7.3 Potential Areas for Coordination: Community Energy Planning and Regional Planning Activities

As discussed in Section 6.3.3, a number of communities are currently in the process of developing community energy plans. Greater coordination between community energy planning and regional planning processes can help provincial system planners and local communities develop a common understanding of the growth and local developments, identify opportunities to develop community-based energy solutions and have an informed dialogue on related energy issues.

With the input from the LACs, the Working Group identified potential areas for greater coordination:

- Status of local growth and developments
- Local planning priorities
- Local energy planning activities (e.g., community energy plan)
- Impact of potential supply interruptions or outages
- Potential, feasibility and challenges of implementing community-based energy solutions in consideration of cost-benefit and cost responsibility

LAC meetings can be used as a forum to facilitate the discussion on these energy and planning issues at the community, distribution, regional and bulk system levels. More importantly, these meetings can provide an opportunity for communities to share lessons learned and best practices from community energy planning activities across a region.

A number of coordination efforts are underway in Ontario to facilitate the development of community energy planning, such as the Quality Urban Energy Systems of Tomorrow ("QUEST") initiative. Due to the unique energy planning challenges in the northwest, it would be helpful to identify initiatives to facilitate knowledge sharing and coordinate community energy planning activities in northern Ontario (e.g., a community energy planning webinar or workshop for communities in northern Ontario).

8. Recommended Actions

While specific solutions do not need to be committed to today, it is appropriate to begin work to gather information, monitor developments, continue to engage communities and develop alternatives to support decision-making for the next iteration of the IRRP for this sub-region. The plan sets out the actions required to ensure that options remain available to address future needs, if and when they arise.

Supply capacity needs on the Dryden 115 kV sub-system may emerge under the High scenario, but these potential needs do not require any immediate action. The Working Group will monitor demand growth closely to determine if and when an investment decision for the Dryden 115 kV sub-system is required. In the meantime, the Working Group will keep the communities informed about any developments at the bulk, regional and distribution levels. For communities and customers who are looking to further improve service reliability, they may consider working with LDCs and Hydro One Transmission to develop transmission, distribution and community-based solutions. However, cost-benefit and responsibilities will need to be taken into consideration. Communities in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region have become increasingly involved in community energy planning activities. The results of early community energy planning initiatives, energy conservation initiatives, and achievable potential studies will be an important input to the next iteration of the plan for the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region. The LAC meetings can be an opportunity to help facilitate greater coordination between the local and regional electricity planning activities.

The recommended actions and deliverables for the plan are outlined in Table 8-1, along with the proposed timing and the parties assigned lead responsibility for implementation. The West of Thunder Bay Working Group will continue to meet regularly during the implementation phase of this IRRP to monitor developments in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region and track progress of these deliverables.

Table 8-1: Recommended Actions

| Recommendations | | Action(s)/Deliverable(s) | Lead | Timeframe |
|-----------------|---|--|---|-----------|
| | | | Responsibility | |
| 1 | Monitor electricity demand growth closely to determine if and when a decision on Dryden 115 kV sub- system is required | Review electricity demand growth in the West of Thunder Bay and the North of Dryden Sub-regions with the members of the LACs | Working Group | Annually |
| 2 | Ensure communities are informed of bulk and distribution planning activities in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region | Provide a status update on bulk and distribution planning activities at LAC meetings | Working Group | On-going |
| 3 | Explore opportunities to further improve service reliability and power quality in consideration of cost-benefit and cost allocations | Examine cost benefit and cost responsibility of distribution, transmission and/or community-based energy solutions | Customers, local distribution companies, and transmitter | On-going |
| 4 | Coordinate regional and community energy planning activities | Use LAC meetings as an opportunity to share best practices and to coordinate regional and local energy planning activities Identify opportunities to facilitate knowledge sharing and to coordinate community energy planning activities in northern Ontario, such as webinars on community energy planning in northern Ontario | Working Group and Communities | On-going |

9. Community and Stakeholder Engagement

Community engagement is an important aspect of the regional planning process. Providing opportunities for input in the regional planning process enables the views and preferences of the community to be considered in the development of the plan, and helps lay the foundation for successful implementation. This section outlines the engagement principles as well as the engagement activities undertaken to date and next steps for the West of Thunder Bay IRRP.

A phased community engagement approach was undertaken for the West of Thunder Bay IRRP based on the core principles of creating transparency, engaging early and often, and bringing communities to the table. These principles were established as a result of the former OPA and the IESO's outreach with Ontarians in 2013 to determine how to improve the regional planning and siting process, and they now guide IRRP outreach with communities and will ensure this dialogue continues as the plan moves forward.

Summary of the West of Thunder Bay Community Engagement Process



9.1 Creating Transparency

To start the dialogue on the West of Thunder Bay IRRP and build transparency in the planning process, a number of information resources were created for the plan. A dedicated web page was created on the IESO website including a map of the regional planning area, information on why an IRRP was being developed for the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region, the IRRP Terms of Reference and a listing of the organizations involved. A dedicated email subscription service

was also established for the broader Northwest Ontario planning region where communities and stakeholders could subscribe to receive email updates about the IRRP.

9.2 Engage Early and Often

Early communication and engagement activities for the West of Thunder Bay IRRP were initiated in October 2014 as part of a series of meetings with communities and stakeholders to discuss electricity planning initiatives across northwest Ontario. The main objective of the meetings from a regional planning perspective was to introduce attendees to the regional planning process. This included the Northwest Ontario Scoping Assessment process for the regional planning studies being initiated in the area, as well as discussions of upcoming engagement activities. Various meetings were held with a broad range of attendees including municipal representatives, First Nation community members, Métis council members, federal and provincial representatives, electricity customers, Common Voice Northwest, transmission and generation project developers, and others.

9.2.1 Northwest Ontario Scoping Assessment Outcome Report

The draft Northwest Ontario Scoping Report was posted to the IESO website in December 2014 for comment. Following this comment period, the final scoping report was posted on January 27, 2015.

9.2.2 First Nation and Métis Community Meetings

Meetings with First Nation communities are one of the first steps in engagement for all regional plans. Initial meetings were held in Dryden, Fort Frances and Kenora in June and July 2015. The purpose of these meetings was to discuss the development of the IRRP and share the initial findings. During these meetings, community members indicated their participation in community energy planning as well as interest in local small renewable projects. Communities also gave information about developments in their community and the growing population. Concern was also raised about service outages and the cost of electricity.

On April 18, 2016, the IESO met with Dalles (Ochiichagwe'Babigo'Ining) Ojibway Nation to discuss the status of planning and the identified needs in the West of Thunder Bay area. The community also raised concerns about high electricity costs and the impact of hydroelectric power and other electricity infrastructure on their community.

The IESO invited all other local First Nations communities and Métis councils to similar meetings and remains open to further engagement on the plan.

9.2.3 Municipal Meetings

Meetings with area municipalities are also one of the first steps in engagement for all regional plans. In June and July 2015, the Working Group held group municipal meetings in Dryden, Fort Frances and Kenora to discuss the development of the IRRP as well as the findings to date. Attendees were generally pleased with the meetings and the opportunity to offer a local perspective, and they looked forward to the development of the LACs. During these meetings, many communities also indicated they were interested in developing community energy plans and wanted to find out more about how these plans and the IRRP could work together.

9.3 Bringing Communities to the Table

To continue the dialogue on regional planning, two LACs – a general LAC and a First Nations LAC - were established for the West of Thunder Bay regional planning area in fall 2015. The role of LACs is to provide advice and recommendations on the development of the regional plan as well as to provide input on broader community engagement. General LACs are comprised of Indigenous, municipal, environmental, business, sustainability and community representatives. First Nations LACs are comprised of representatives from the First Nation communities in the planning area. All general LAC meetings are open to the public and meeting information is posted on the dedicated engagement webpage, which in this case is the IESO's West of Thunder Bay engagement web page¹⁶. The general LAC meetings are also broadcast as live webinars to enable participation from across the planning region.

Development of the West of Thunder Bay general LAC was completed through a request for nominations process promoted by the following activities in July/August 2015: advertisements in local newspapers across the planning area and in Thunder Bay newspapers; localized digital advertising; emails sent to municipal representatives across the region; and an e-blast sent to the IESO's Northwest Ontario subscribers list. Two Métis Councils in the West of Thunder Bay area appointed a member to the general LAC. The development of the West of Thunder Bay First Nations LAC was established through a letter to the leadership of each First Nation in the

¹⁶ http://www.ieso.ca/Pages/Participate/Regional-Planning/Northwest-Ontario/West-of-Thunder-Bay.aspx

West of Thunder Bay area inviting them to appoint a representative to the First Nations LAC. The First Nations LAC then appointed members to the general LAC.

The first meetings of the West of Thunder Bay LACs were held on November 18-19, 2015 in Dryden. The focus of these meetings was to introduce the regional planning process to the newly formed LACs, highlight key electricity supply issues and considerations in the West of Thunder Bay area, and determine the purpose and scope of the LACs. Material from the two LAC meetings and a web archive of the general LAC meeting can be accessed online.¹⁷

On April 19-20, 2016, the second general and First Nation LAC meetings were held in Dryden. The focus of these meetings was to provide an update on electricity planning activities in the area, review the draft outcomes of the West of Thunder Bay IRRP and determine key areas of focus for future LAC meetings. Material from the two LAC meetings and a web archive of the general LAC meeting can be accessed online.

Copies of the meeting summaries from the West of Thunder Bay general LAC meetings can be found in Appendix F.

Moving forward, the Working Group will present the final IRRP to both of the West of Thunder Bay LACs and discuss with members how they would like to continue the dialogue on regional planning in the area, as well as other electricity issues brought up by the LAC members, but that are outside the scope of regional planning.

The IESO is committed to undertaking early and sustained engagement to enhance regional electricity planning. Further information on the IESO's regional planning processes is available on the IESO website. Additional information on outreach activities for the West of Thunder Bay IRRP can be found on the IESO webpage and updates will continue to be sent to all Northwest Ontario Region email subscribers.

9.4 Additional Meetings and Presentations

The IESO recognizes Common Voice Northwest's unique mandate that includes investigating and making recommendations to the Northwest Ontario Municipal Association ("NOMA") on issues related to energy in the Northwest Ontario Region. The IESO continues to meet regularly

¹⁷ http://www.ieso.ca/Pages/Participate/Regional-Planning/Northwest-Ontario/West-of-Thunder-Bay.aspx

with Common Voice Northwest to discuss the status of electricity planning for northwestern Ontario.

The IESO also presents regularly at the NOMA Spring Annual General Meeting and Fall Regional Conference, the Association of Municipalities of Ontario conference, as well as the Ontario Mining Association conference, among others. These presentations have included high-level status updates on the development of the West of Thunder Bay IRRP, along with other electricity topics.

10. Conclusion

This report documents the IRRP that has been carried out for the West of Thunder Bay Subregion and fulfills the OEB's regional planning requirement for the sub-region. The IRRP identifies electricity needs in this sub-region over the 20-year period from 2015 to 2034.

Aside from the potential need for additional supply on the 230 kV bulk transmission system, there are no major regional needs identified in the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region under the Low and Reference scenarios. An additional 50 MW of supply may be required on the Dryden 115 kV sub-system under the High scenario. However, early development work for major electricity infrastructure projects is not required at this time given the uncertainty with the demand forecast. The Working Group will monitor demand growth closely to determine if and when an investment decision for the Dryden 115 kV sub-system is required. Although the transmission and distribution reliability performance of the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region is within the provincial service reliability and performance standards, communities and customers may consider working with LDCs and Hydro One to explore opportunities to further improve transmission and distribution service reliability with consideration given to costbenefits and responsibility for investments. In the meantime, a number of communities in this sub-region are currently developing community energy plans. LAC meetings can be used as an opportunity to share best practices and to coordinate regional and local energy planning activities.

The West of Thunder Bay Working Group will continue to meet regularly throughout the implementation of the plan to monitor progress and developments in the sub-region, and will produce annual update reports that will be posted on the IESO website. To support development of the plan, a number of actions have been identified to develop alternatives, engage with the community, and monitor growth in the area, and responsibility has been assigned to appropriate members of the Working Group for these actions. Information gathered and lessons learned from these activities will inform development of the next iteration of the IRRP for the West of Thunder Bay Sub-region. The plan will be revisited according to the OEB-mandated 5-year schedule.

Appendix C – IESO Letter of Comment

IESO Letter of Comment Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. Renewable Energy Generation Plan

February 22, 2017



Introduction

On March 28, 2013, the Ontario Energy Board ("the OEB" or "Board") issued its Filing Requirements for Electricity Transmission and Distribution Applications; Chapter 5 – Consolidated Distribution System Plan Filing Requirements (EB-2010-0377). Chapter 5 implements the Board's policy direction on 'an integrated approach to distribution network planning', outlined in the Board's October 18, 2012 Report of the Board - A Renewed Regulatory Framework for Electricity Distributors: A Performance Based Approach.

As outlined in the Chapter 5 filing requirements, the Board expects that the Ontario Power Authority¹ ("OPA") comment letter will include:

- the applications it has received from renewable generators through the FIT program for connection in the distributor's service area;
- whether the distributor has consulted with the OPA, or participated in planning meetings with the OPA;
- the potential need for co-ordination with other distributors and/or transmitters or others on implementing elements of the Renewable Energy Generation ("REG") investments; and
- whether the REG investments proposed in the DS Plan are consistent with any Regional Infrastructure Plan.

Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. – Renewable Energy Generation Plan

On February 9, 2017, the IESO received Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc.'s ("SLHI") Renewable Energy Generation Investments Information ("Plan") as part of its 5-year Distribution System Plan. The IESO has reviewed the Plan and provides the following comments.

OPA FIT/microFIT Applications Received

The Plan indicates that SLHI has no FIT projects, and 9 microFIT projects totalling 86.16 kW connected to its distribution system.

According to the IESO's information, as of December 31, 2016, the IESO has offered contracts to 9 microFIT projects totalling 70.74 kW of capacity. The renewable energy generation connections information in SLHI's Plan is therefore substantially consistent with that of the IESO.

¹ On January 1, 2015, the Ontario Power Authority ("OPA") merged with the Independent Electricity System Operator ("IESO") to create a new organization that will combine the OPA and IESO mandates. The new organization is called the Independent Electricity System Operator.

Consultation / Participation in Planning Meetings; Coordination with Distributors / Transmitters / Others; Consistency with Regional Plans

For regional planning purposes, SLHI is part of the "Group 1" Northwest Ontario Region, which contains the West of Thunder Bay sub-region.

On January 28, 2015, the IESO posted the Northwest Region <u>Scoping Assessment Outcome Report</u> and subsequently kicked off the Integrated Regional Resource Planning ("IRRP") process for the West of Thunder Bay sub-region.

As a Technical Working Group member for the West of Thunder Bay sub-region, SLHI has been actively involved in the development of the IRRP along with the IESO, Hydro One Networks Inc. (Transmission and Distribution) Atikokan Hydro Inc., Kenora Hydro Electric Corporation Ltd., and Fort Frances Power Corporation. The IRRP was prepared by the IESO on behalf of the Technical Working Group and published on July 27, 2016.²

SLHI's Plan indicates that there is sufficient capacity to connect at least 2 MW of renewable generation facilities. Based on modest uptake of FIT and microFIT projects over the last couple of years, SLHI does not expect substantial interest in renewable generation facilities development in its service area over the planning period. As such, no investments are planned to enable renewable energy generation connections at this time.

The IESO looks forward to working further with Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. on regional planning for the Northwest Ontario Region, and appreciates the opportunity to comment on the information it received as part of SLHI's Distribution System Plan.

² West of Thunder Bay sub-region IRRP, July 27, 2016, <u>http://www.ieso.ca/Documents/Regional-</u> <u>Planning/Northwest_Ontario/West_of_Thunder_Bay/2016-West-of-Thunder-Bay-IRRP.pdf</u>

Appendix D – 2014 Customer Survey



2014 Customer Satisfaction Survey

October 2014

SIOUX LOOKOUT HYDRO INCORPORATED 25 FIFTH AVENUE, P.O. BOX 908 SIOUX LOOKOUT, ON P8T 1B3

Management Response

Approach:

In October of 2014, Sioux Lookout Hydro (SLH) conducted a customer satisfaction survey. All customers were given the opportunity to comment on SLH's performance, voice concerns and present their opinion on present and future services. SLH distributed the survey as a bill stuffer commencing October 6, 2014 and finishing October 27, 2014. Also, a message was included on the bills for e-billing customers, who could download the survey from our website. SLH is pleased with the results of the survey based on 144 out of a possible 2,785 (October customer count) responses which is 5.17% of its entire customer base.

Overall Customer Satisfaction:



Overall, customers were either satisfied (60.14%) or extremely satisfied (29.37%) with the services provided by SLH. Out of the 144 respondents only 1 was not satisfied at all.
Reliability of Electricity Supplied:

Customers overall were satisfied (61.11%) and extremely satisfied (31.94%) with the reliability of the electricity supplied.



Conservation Programs Offered:

Over half (52.17%) of the respondents were either satisfied or extremely satisfied with the portfolio of conservation programs offered. However, 39.13% of the respondents were neither satisfied or dissatisfied. SLH will be implementing its 2015-2020 Conservation First Plan in 2015 and plans to increase awareness of the programs offered through the use of a roving energy manager shared amongst the Northwest District Local Distribution Companies.



Planned Outage Communication:

A very high percentage of respondents were Satisfied (49.65%) and Extremely Satisfied (35.66%) with how SLH communicates planned outages. Due to our small customer base our process on communicating planned outages is to hand deliver notices of any planned outages that affect a small number of customers as well as post a notice on our website. For larger planned outages which are usually pre-empted by Hydro One performing maintenance on the sole transmission line which feeds Sioux Lookout, SLH advertises in the local newspaper and on our website well in advance of the scheduled outage, and distributes notices by email and fax to all businesses in the area. Management recommends continuing with its current practice of communicating planned outages.



Satisfaction with Unplanned Outages:

Overall customers were satisfied (66.91%) with SLH's response to unplanned outages. Specifically, 71.11% of respondents were satisfied with the amount of time taken to restore power, 11.11% were extremely satisfied. When asked about SLH's ability to answer questions regarding unplanned outages, 58.65% were satisfied, 12.78 % were extremely satisfied, and 25.56% were neither satisfied or dissatisfied. This could mean that these people do not call in when there is a power outage, and simply wait for the power to be restored. The numbers were similar for communication on when the power will be restored and why an outage occurred. The specific questions and results are shown in the tables below.



5. Now thinking specifically about Unplanned outages, how satisfied are you with SLH:









Experience with Power Outages:

Out of the 144 respondents, 58 (40%)supplied a number for how may minutes power outages last. 74 customers did not know how long outages last, and 12 customers left the answer blank. Of the 40% that supplied a response, the average number of minutes a power outage lasted was 161. This seems high, however, SLH is subject to scheduled Hydro One outages which result in a loss of supply to the entire area for periods up to 8 hours. This survey was conducted for the most part in October 2014, and Hydro One had just completed a scheduled outage that lasted 8 hours on September 28, 2014.

Customer Perception of Causes of Power Outages:

The number one answer for the cause of power outages was weather, lightning and tree contact. The second was due to maintenance. When comparing each cause to the number of minutes a customer experienced a power outage, it should be noted that the numbers were much higher when the respondent reported the cause was due to maintenance (360 to 480 minutes). Sioux Lookout Hydro will continue to implement its maintenance program in order to reduce the number of outages due to defective equipment and tree contact.



Impact of Power Outages to Customers:

The most common concern reported was not being able to cook, clean or use their appliances. It is worth noting that 58% of the respondents indicated "none", "minimal" or minor inconvenience" in their comments.



Overall 46% of respondents were not concerned about the impact power outages would have in their lives, 42% were mildly concerned, and 11% were extremely concerned. Specifically 20% of respondents were extremely concerned about the temperature of their home during a power outage, and 54% were mildly concerned. Due to the extremely cold temperatures in the winter months in the Northwest and the fact that a large percentage of SLH customers heat their homes by

electricity, this is not unexpected. Thirteen percent (13%) were concerned about how long their food would last, 11% were extremely concerned about their children's and family's safety, 8% about the safety of their home, and only 2% were extremely concerned about their ability to attend events. The responses are detailed in the graphs below:





Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. 2014 Customer Satisfaction Survey: Prepared by D Kulchyski, President/CEO







Customer Expectations – Unplanned Outages:

Of the number of responses received, customers on average expect to experience 3 power outages per year. The average number of hours customers expect to be without power was 9.66 hours. SLH will use these customer expectations to establish customer driven reliability targets, and will commit to achieve the targets of 3 or fewer unplanned outages per customer per year as a result of SLH's distribution system and lasting no longer than 9.66 hours.





Customer Needs:

Electrical Vehicles

When asked whether or not SLH customers intended to purchase an electric car in the next five years, a very low percentage (2%) of respondents indicated their intent to purchase one. Given the low level of interest, SLH does not plan to undertake related activities or expenditures in the next five years.

Renewable Generation

Currently SLH has 8 microFit customers with solar generation. Only 7.9% of respondents indicated they were planning on installing small scale renewable generation systems for their home. This amounts to around eleven customers. Due to the low level of interest from customers and the fact that SLH has sufficient capacity to connect a minimum of 2 MW of additional renewable generation no additional plans are being made to invest in additional infrastructure for renewable generation.

Energy Storage System

Respondents indicated a relatively low desire to install an energy storage system in the next five years. Overall, only 5% of respondents answered yes to this question. SLH does not foresee any major change in its business needs in order to be able to accommodate home energy storage systems. However, SLH does not have any practical experience to date with these systems.

Outage Management System

When asked if an outage management system would be of value to their needs, 63.9% percent indicated that they would not want this if there would be an additional cost to them. Only 22.8% indicated that "yes" the expense would be of value to their needs and 13.2% indicated they didn't know. SLH plans to do some preliminary research on how to improve their outage management system as there may be opportunities within the current rate base/revenue requirement in order to improve reliability and response times as well as diagnostic services which could be utilized in order to reduce the length of outages. This would allow SLH to improve on customer service through better communication and response times at no extra cost to the customer.



Online Account Management

SLH launched its online management tool in June 2014. By the time the survey was sent out in October 2014 29.2% of the respondents were aware of the option. Of the customers who were aware of the option, 15% had created an account. All of these customers were either satisfied or extremely satisfied with the sign-up process and the website. All (100%) of the respondents thought that the website made them more aware of their energy usage. And 83% felt that it helped them to conserve energy. Going forward, SLH will continue to promote the website to encourage customers to take advantage of this valuable tool.

Customer Identified Energy or Electricity Related Issues:

When asked what the most important energy or electricity related issue facing Sioux Lookout was, the number one answer was the cost of energy or high rates. Of the 121 customers who provided a response to this question, 66 indicated that the cost is the most important. The second most important issue identified was concerns regarding only one transmission line into Sioux Lookout, reliability of power and frequent power outages. Eleven respondents indicated they were concerned that there was only one source of power into town. Also, 11 customers indicated that reliability, power outages and continuous supply were the most important issues.

Other issues identified were weather and climate change, better street lighting and conservation.

Given that the most important issue identified was the cost, SLH will stay committed to managing the costs in its control and creating efficiencies through sharing costs with the other Northwest District Local Distribution Companies. Also, pursuing an outage management system as discussed above will address the concerns about the reliability of power and frequent power outages.

Customer Suggestions for Improvements:

When asked about specific things that SLH could improve on to serve them better, 35 of the 88 customers who provided a response indicated that there was nothing. A summary of the most frequent responses is listed below:

| Are there any specific things that SLH could improve on to serve you better? | % (#) of |
|--|-------------|
| | respondents |
| Nothing/Can't think of anything | 40% (35) |
| Lower Costs | 23% (20) |
| Check or improve street lights | 6%(5) |
| Explain costs to customers | 2% (2) |
| Twin E1C/backup powerline | 2% (2) |
| Planned outages should be shorter | 2% (2) |

General Comments Received:

Overall 56 general comments were received. Of these, 32 contained positive comments, 12 were suggestions and 12 were negative comments.

Some of the positive comments were:

"Very friendly, efficient office staff"

"Very satisfied considering extreme weather"

"staff are wonderful, friendly & knowledgeable"

"By and large service is excellent"

"SLH always provides quick and courteous service"

"We think you do a fantastic job!"

"The negative comments were:

"High cost of electricity rapidly rising"

"Delivery Charges & HST are too high"

"Don't waste money on technology, focus on lowering costs"

"Pricing & what we pay for (the extras) are not part of the energy we use."

Summary:

SLH is very pleased with the customer feedback received. SLH will continue to promote its online management tool in order to increase the awareness of this option which will aid our customers in conservation as well as decrease costs through providing e-billing. We take pride in our customer service. As a small community we are able to connect with people much better than in large communities with larger customer bases, and through the general comments were told that our efforts are very much appreciated.

SLH is committed to further pursue smart grid options which will improve reliability while at the same time provide our customers added benefits at little or no additional cost to them. The issue of cost was the most important issue facing our customers, therefore we strive to increase operational efficiencies in order to minimize distribution rate increases.

Appendix E – 2016 Customer Survey

Management Response

Approach:

In July of 2016, Sioux Lookout Hydro (SLH) conducted a customer satisfaction survey. The survey used was developed by Innovative Research Group for the EDA in January 2016. Overall Customer satisfaction and five key areas were addressed. They were: Power Quality and Reliability, Price, Billing and Payment, Communications and the Customer Service Experience. A Customer Satisfaction Index Score was determined based on the results from each area.

All residential and small business customers were given the opportunity to comment on SLH's performance, voice concerns and present their opinion on present and future services. SLH performed the survey via telephone calls and customers were also given the option to complete the survey online through a link on the SLH website until September 30, 2016. SLH is pleased with the results of the survey based on 216 out of a possible 2,740 low volume customers or 8%.

Total Low Volume Customer Satisfaction Index Score: 82.99%

Consisting of:

Residential: 82.54% (194 responses)

Small Business (General Service < 50 kW): 86.96% (22 responses)

Overall Customer Satisfaction:

Overall, customers were either somewhat satisfied (30%) or very satisfied (52%) with the services provided by SLH. The score in this area was 79.3%.



Power Quality and Reliability:

Customers overall were satisfied (61.1%) and extremely satisfied (31.9%) with the reliability of the electricity supplied. The total score in this area was 83.9%.







Price:

Customer Satisfaction with price was the lowest at a score of 59.7%. It was discovered during the survey that there was some confusion as to the intent of the answers. Some customers indicated an answer of "Very unreasonable" when asked whether or not the portion of the bill that went to SLH was reasonable or unreasonable. Then stated that they felt it was not enough. Therefore it can be concluded that this question is somewhat misleading as there are two interpretations, one favourable and one unfavourable, of the meaning.





Billing and Payment:

SLH received very positive feedback on their Billing and Payment options. Overall the satisfaction for this area was 91.4%.





Customer Service Experience:

SLH scored exceptionally high in the Customer Service area. The overall score in this area was 93.8%. SLH feels that our ability to connect with our customers due to our small size it a great advantage over other larger LDCs.





Communications:

Overall SLH's customers were very satisfied with the communications they receive. The overall score for this area was 89.9%.



Environmental Controls:

Although this area was not a part of the overall score, customers were asked how the cost of their electricity bill impacted them, as well as whether or not they felt that they were well served by the electricity system in Ontario. 78% of respondents felt that the cost of electricity had an impact on their spending priorities and investments.





Customer Suggestions for Improvements:

When asked about specific things that SLH could improve on to serve them better, the top answer was costs/prices/lower rates. Below is a table outlining comments received more than once.

| Is there anything in particular you would like Sioux Lookout Hydro to do to improve its service to you? | % (#) of responses |
|--|-----------------------|
| | |
| Lower Costs/prices/lower rates/Delivery too high | (77) |
| Reduce outages | (20) |
| Disagreed with paying charges when no hydro is used | (2) |
| Timing of Scheduled Outages (i.e. not on weekends, shorter, less frequent) | (5) |

As demonstrated cost is by far SLH's customers' top concern. And when referring back to the question on price, 76% of the customers surveyed were not very familiar or not familiar at all with the percentage of the electricity bill that Sioux Lookout Hydro receives, and has control over. However, SLH will continue to look for ways to decrease their costs through efficiencies and taking advantage of new technologies and maintaining our partnerships with bordering local distribution companies.

A few customers commented that they would like to see a fewer number of scheduled and shorter scheduled outages in Sioux Lookout. Sioux Lookout Hydro experienced three long outages in 2016 scheduled by our host distributor, Hydro One. Since we are supplied by one radial feed into the community these outages affected the entire town. Hydro One was performing maintenance and upgrades to the transmission line and station which required these outages scheduled for 5, 6 and 6 hours, each on a Sunday. In prior years, there have been at least one such outage either/and in the spring and/or fall each year. This was the first year that Hydro One required three, and was fresh in our customers' minds since they occurred just before the survey was conducted.

Conclusion:

SLH is very pleased with the customer feedback received. We take pride in our customer service. As a small community we are able to connect with people much better than in large communities with larger customer bases. Price and reliability continue to be our customers' highest concern. Therefore SLH will continue to strive to increase operational efficiencies in its control in order to minimize distribution rate increases. One of the top challenges SLH faces due to its size and remote location is attracting businesses and contractors to the area in order to provide specialized services. However, SLH is committed to further pursue smart grid options which will improve reliability while at the same time provide our customers added benefits at little or no additional cost to them. Appendix F – Reliability Comparator Data

| 2012 | Atikakan | Fort Frances | Kanara | Charlesu | Fananala |
|---------------|----------|--------------|---------|----------|----------|
| 2012 | AUKOKali | Fort Frances | Kellola | Chapieau | Espanola |
| Including LOS | | | | | |
| SAIDI | 4.31 | 0.3 | 0.73 | 0.44 | 1.13 |
| SAIFI | 1.47 | 0.3 | 1.46 | 0.28 | 0.5 |
| CAIDI | 2.92 | 1.02 | 0.5 | 1.54 | 2.24 |
| | | | | | |
| Excluding LOS | | | | | |
| SAIDI | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 1.13 |
| SAIFI | 0.47 | 0.3 | 0.46 | 0.28 | 0.5 |
| CAIDI | 0.64 | 1.02 | 0.94 | 1.54 | 2.25 |

Service Quality Indicators – SLHI's Comparator LDCs

| 2013 | Atikokan | Fort Frances | Kenora | Chapleau | Espanola |
|---------------|----------|--------------|--------|----------|----------|
| Including LOS | | | | | |
| SAIDI | 3.43 | 11.37 | 1.42 | 2.32 | 1.05 |
| SAIFI | 1.12 | 3.19 | 1.11 | 2.85 | 0.4 |
| CAIDI | 3.07 | 3.56 | 1.28 | 0.81 | 2.66 |
| | | | | | |
| Excluding LOS | | | | | |
| SAIDI | 3.43 | 0.1 | 0.36 | 2.18 | 1.05 |
| SAIFI | 1.12 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 2.58 | 0.4 |
| CAIDI | 3.07 | 0.74 | 3.12 | 0.85 | 2.66 |

| 20: | L4 | Atikokan | Fort Frances | Kenora | Chapleau | Espanola |
|---------------|----|----------|--------------|--------|----------|----------|
| Including LOS | | | | | | |
| SAIDI | | 0.37 | 1.18 | 0.53 | 5.09 | 1.27 |
| SAIFI | | 0.09 | 1.17 | 0.29 | 2.46 | 2.29 |
| CAIDI | | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | | | | | |
| Excluding LOS | | | | | | |
| SAIDI | | 0.37 | 1.18 | 0.53 | 0.28 | 0.29 |
| SAIFI | | 0.09 | 1.17 | 0.29 | 0.38 | 0.15 |
| CAIDI | | - | - | - | - | |

| 2015 | Atikokan | Fort Frances | Kenora | Chapleau | Espanola |
|---------------|----------|--------------|--------|----------|----------|
| Including LOS | | | | | |
| SAIDI | 4.15 | 10.27 | 1.34 | 14.72 | 0.9 |
| SAIFI | 1.04 | 2.67 | 4.35 | 3.98 | 0.18 |
| CAIDI | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Excluding LOS | | | | | |
| SAIDI | 0.13 | 1.02 | 0.61 | 4.75 | 0.28 |
| SAIFI | 0.03 | 1.21 | 0.35 | 1.07 | 0.03 |
| CAIDI | | | | | |

| SAIFI Inc LOS | Atikokan | Fort Frances | Kenora | Chapleau | Espanola | Total | Average |
|---------------|----------|--------------|--------|----------|----------|-------|---------|
| 2012 | 1.47 | 0.3 | 1.46 | 0.28 | 0.05 | 3.56 | 0.712 |
| 2013 | 1.12 | 3.19 | 1.11 | 2.85 | 0.4 | 8.67 | 1.734 |
| 2014 | 0.09 | 1.17 | 0.029 | 2.46 | 2.29 | 6.039 | 1.2078 |
| 2015 | 1.04 | 2.67 | 4.35 | 3.98 | 0.18 | 12.22 | 2.444 |

| SAIDI Inc LOS | Atikokan | Fort Frances | Kenora | Chapleau | Espanola | Total | Average |
|---------------|----------|--------------|--------|----------|----------|-------|---------|
| 2012 | 4.31 | 0.3 | 0.73 | 0.44 | 1.13 | 6.91 | 1.382 |
| 2013 | 3.43 | 11.37 | 1.42 | 2.32 | 1.05 | 19.59 | 3.918 |
| 2014 | 0.37 | 1.18 | 0.53 | 5.09 | 1.27 | 8.44 | 1.688 |
| 2015 | 4.15 | 10.27 | 1.34 | 14.72 | 0.9 | 31.38 | 6.276 |

| CAIDI Inc LOS | Atikokan | Fort Frances | Kenora | Chapleau | Espanola | Total | Average |
|---------------|----------|--------------|--------|----------|----------|-------|---------|
| 2012 | 2.92 | 1.02 | 0.5 | 1.54 | 2.24 | 8.22 | 1.644 |
| 2013 | 3.07 | 3.56 | 1.28 | 0.81 | 2.66 | 11.38 | 2.276 |
| 2014 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2015 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

| SAIDI Exc LOS | Atikokan | Fort Frances | Kenora | Chapleau | Espanola | Total | Average |
|---------------|----------|--------------|--------|----------|----------|-------|---------|
| 2012 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 1.18 | 2.65 | 0.53 |
| 2013 | 3.43 | 0.1 | 0.36 | 2.18 | 1.05 | 7.12 | 1.424 |
| 2014 | 0.37 | 1.18 | 0.53 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 2.65 | 0.53 |
| 2015 | 0.13 | 1.02 | 0.61 | 4.75 | 0.28 | 6.79 | 1.358 |

| SAIFI Exc LOS | Atikokan | Fort Frances | Kenora | Chapleau | Espanola | Total | Average |
|---------------|----------|--------------|--------|----------|----------|-------|---------|
| 2012 | 0.47 | 0.3 | 0.46 | 0.28 | 0.5 | 2.01 | 0.402 |
| 2013 | 1.12 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 2.58 | 0.4 | 4.35 | 0.87 |
| 2014 | 0.09 | 1.17 | 0.29 | 0.38 | 0.15 | 2.08 | 0.416 |
| 2015 | 0.03 | 1.21 | 0.35 | 1.07 | 0.03 | 2.69 | 0.538 |

| CAIDI Exc LOS | Atikokan | Fort Frances | Kenora | Chapleau | Espanola | Total | Average |
|---------------|----------|--------------|--------|----------|----------|-------|---------|
| 2012 | 0.64 | 1.02 | 0.94 | 1.54 | 2.25 | 6.39 | 1.278 |
| 2013 | 3.07 | 0.74 | 3.12 | 0.85 | 2.66 | 10.44 | 2.088 |
| 2014 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2015 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

All data derived from the OEB Electricity Distributors Yearbooks

Appendix G – SLHI GEA Plan 2012



GREEN ENERGY ACT PLAN

SEPTEMBER 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| INTRODUCTION1 |
|--|
| OVERVIEW OF SIOUX LOOKOUT HYDRO'S DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM2 |
| APPLICATIONS FROM RENEWABLE GENERATORS OVER 10 KW3 |
| OVERALL POTENTIAL FOR DEVELOPING RENEWABLE GENERATION3 |
| PLANNED DEVELOPMENT AND CONSTRAINTS WITHIN THE |
| DISTRIBUTOR'S SYSTEM RELATED TO THE CONNECTION OF |
| RENEWABLE GENERATION4 |
| CONCLUSION5 |

INTRODUCTION

Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. (SLHI) is a licensed electricity distributor for the Municipality of Sioux Lookout servicing approximately 2,750 customers. As a condition of license and in accordance with the Ontario Energy Board's (OEB) filing requirements of EB-2009-0397, Distribution System Plans – Filing under Deemed Conditions of License, SLHI has prepared a basic Green Energy Act Plan (GEA Plan) for its franchise area for the 2013 to 2017 period.

The GEA Plan is intended to provide information to the OEB and interested stakeholders regarding the preparedness of SLHI's distribution system to accommodate the connection of renewable generation and the expansion or reinforcement necessary to accommodate renewable generation and the development of the smart grid.

Sioux Lookout is located in northwestern Ontario as shown in Figure 1. Below. The service territory for SLHI is the municipal boundaries of the town and is shown in figure 2.







In preparing this document SLHI consulted with Hydro One Networks Inc. (HONI) & the Ontario Power Authority.

OVERVIEW OF SIOUX LOOKOUT HYDRO'S DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM

SLHI operated a 25 kV distribution system connected to the Sam Lake DS. The Sam Lake DS is owned and operated by Hydro One Networks Inc.

Description of Sioux Lookout Hydro's Feeders

The Sam Lake DS provides four feeders to the SLHI system. Their usage is explained below:

F1: This feeder stretches West from the station to the town of Hudson. This community represents most of the load on this feeder. Some additional load exists between the community and station where small pockets of residences are found. This feeder also supplies a Hydro One load transfer to Frenchman's Head, a small community across the lake from Hudson. Submarine cable is used for this load Transfer.

F2: This feeder extends South East of the station to provide power for the South half of Sioux Lookout including the South Shore of Sturgeon River. The blue phase of this feeder branches South into rural areas on Highway 72.

F3: This feeder travels East of the station to the community of Sioux lookout where it feeds the upper half of the community. This stretch includes some of the heavier loads in the town including the airport and hospital. This blue phase of this feeder continues East of the town to provide a rural area on Highway 642 and load transfer for Hydro One.

F4: This feeder does not currently carry any load. Previously it had been a dedicated feeder for the Hudson Saw Mill. With the mill's closure the load has been removed.

APPLICATIONS FROM RENEWABLE GENERATORS OVER 10 KW

SLHI does not have any applications from renewable generators over 10 kW for connection, and the OPA has not received any applications for renewable generators over 10 kW for the FIT Program for SLHI's service territory. See Figure 3 below taken from the OPA Fame website September 10, 2012.

Figure 3

| | FIT Applications for Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. | | | |
|---------|--|------|--|--|
| Applica | tions by Stage | | | |
| | Applications in your service area which require you to perform DAT testing | None | | |
| | Applications in your service area which have completed DAT testing | None | | |
| | Applications in your service area which are undergoing TAT | None | | |
| | Applications in your service area which require an ECT | None | | |
| | Applications in your service area for which a Connection Assessment has been requested | None | | |
| | Applications in your service area that have been issued contracts | None | | |
| | Applications in your service area which are Capacity Allocation Exempt | None | | |
| Export | All applications in your region, including those in your service area (Export only) | 62 | | |
| | | | | |
| Search | Tools | | | |
| By Tran | nsformer Station Search | | | |
| By Appl | ication Id Search | | | |

OVERALL POTENTIAL FOR DEVELOPING RENEWABLE GENERATION

Currently SLHI has 6 solar MicroFit projects connected, consisting of one (1) ground mount and five (5) rooftop mounted, for a total capacity of 59.41 kW. There are two (2) applications in pending connection status as well as one (1) in submitted status.

PLANNED DEVELOPMENT AND CONSTRAINTS WITHIN THE DISTRIBUTOR'S SYSTEM RELATED TO THE CONNECTION OF RENEWABLE GENERATION

The Sam Lake DS currently has 16MW of station availability to accommodate additional renewable generation. As an embedded distributor to Hydro One, SLHI will have to communicate and receive authorization from Hydro One before undertaking any FIT projects.

The following information was received from the OPA regarding SLHI's ability to connect FIT projects:

"For Sam Lake DS, this station has 16 MW of station availability to accommodate additional renewable generation. Please note this availability is based only on the station's ability to connect. For a project to be issued a FIT contract, the project must be accommodated at all levels, including distribution system, station, local transmission circuits, and area transmission. As you notice the TAT Table also list a Northwest area availability of 0 MW. This means the Northwest is fully subscribed and no FIT project will be offered a contract due to limitations on the bulk transmission system (the East-West Tie).

Currently the OPA is actively participating in the OEB's Transmission Designation Process to designate a transmitter to develop the East-West Tie expansion. The project has a planned inservice date of 2017. You can find further information on OEB's web site. There is also an ongoing effort for transmission system expansion to accommodate additional load increases in the area North of Dryden."

See Table 1 below for an excerpt of the OPA's Transmission Availability Table for small FIT 2012:

| | | (| | | | | |
|-------------------|-------------|--|---|---|-----------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Station Name | Bus Name | Thermal Capacity (MW) (See note 1) | Short Circuit Capacity (MVA) (See note 1) | Limited by Known Upstream Transmission | Area | Area Availability (MW) | Station Owner |
| SAM LAKE DS | Total | 16 | 92 | | Northwest | 0 | HYDRO ONE NETWORKS INC. |

Table 1

Note 1: Capability values indicated reflect the reservation of 2 MW of capacity for microFIT projects at each station (2 MW per bus at stations with more than one bus).

The full Transmission Availability Table can be found using the link below:

http://fit.powerauthority.on.ca/sites/default/files/TAT%20Table%20Final%20-%20April%205%20for%20posting.pdf

CONCLUSION

Sioux Lookout Hydro will continue to monitor the capacity for the Northwest Region. Given the OPA's information regarding the Northwest's limitations, SLHI will not be applying for rates to support investments for FIT installations for at least another 5 years. However, SLHI will continue to assist and work with MicroFit applicants to ensure timely connections.

Appendix H – Fleet Information
Planned Replacements - 2018-2022

| | | | Planned |
|---------------|-----------------|------|--------------|
| Make | Model | Year | Replacements |
| | | | |
| Chevrolet | CK10753 4x4 EC | 2010 | 2021 |
| International | AM55E | 2013 | 2020 |
| Freightliner | FL80 | 2001 | 2018 |
| Ford | F-S/Duty | 2008 | 2019 |
| GMC | Sierra 4x4 2500 | 2015 | |
| Ski-Doo | Skandic SWT | 2016 | |
| Polaris | Ranger 6x6 | 2005 | |
| Bobcat | E50 | 2012 | |

SLHI Vehicle Replacement Assessment Guidelines

| Assessment Year | 2016 | |
|-----------------|--------------|----------------|
| Unit # | H-3 | |
| Year | 2001 | |
| Description | Freightliner | |
| Classification | Heavy | Light or Heavy |
| Original Cost | \$228,000 | |
| | | |

| Variable | Point Allocation | Performance | Deinte |
|--|---|-------------|--------|
| variable | Foint Anocation | lacions | Points |
| Age | 1 point for each year of age | 15 years | 15 |
| Kilometers | 1 point for each 25,000 km of use | 68,209 km | 2.73 |
| Engine Hours | 1 point for each equivalent 25,000km of use (1 engine hour ~ 50km) | 2,418 hrs | 4.84 |
| Type of Service (duties or driving conditions) | 1, 3 or 5 points based on type of service (ie harsh/offroad = 5; paved/daily use = 3; paved/non-daily use = 1) | | 3 |
| Reliability | 1, 3 or 5 points depending on frequency that vehicle is in shops for repair (ie. 2-3x/month = 5; 1x/3 months = 1) | | 1 |
| Maintenance and Repair Costs | 1, 3 or 5 points based on total life costs. (ie. lifetime costs > original vehicle cost = 5; lifetime costs <20% original vehicle cost = 1) | 70% | 4 |
| Condition | 1, 3 or 5 points based on body condition, rust, interior condition, accident history, anticipated repairs, etc. (ie. | | 5 |
| Other | 1 - 5 points for any other condition criteria not covered above | | |
| | Total Points | | 35.57 |

| Points evaluation | Light | Heavy |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Very Good Condition | <20 pts | <18 pts |
| Good Condition | 20 - 24 pts | 18 - 22 pts |
| Fair Condition | 24 - 29 pts | 23 - 28 pts |
| Replacement condition | 30+ points | 29+ points |

Condition Assessment

Note 2

Notes

Received Memo from mechanic that the vehicle is recommended for replacement due to rust issues.

Assessed by: Terry Baker, Accounting & Regulatory Clerk

CORPORATION OF THE MUNICIPALITY OF SIOUX LOOKOUT PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

MEMORANDUM

TO: Deane Kulchyski, CEO – Sioux Lookout Hydro

FROM: Jeff Farliner, Chief Mechanic

DATE: March 15, 2017

SUBJECT: Condition Report – 2000 Freightliner (Auger) – Hydro Unit H-3

On performing the safety inspection on January 27, 2017, I noted a great deal of rust and scale on the frame rails (cab back) and auger deck underside. It is to the point that the metal is coming off in pieces. The deck underside support beams are getting thin. Possibly by next year, there could be perforation through them. I had to change one brake air reservoir because of the rust, as part of the safety.

The recommendation for this unit is replacement.

Regards, Jeff Farlinger Chief Mechanic

ref Janling

SLHI Vehicle Replacement Assessment Guidelines¹

| Unit # H-5 Year 2008 Description Ford F350 | Assessment Year | 2016 | |
|--|-----------------|-----------|----------------|
| Year 2008 Description Ford F350 | Unit # | H-5 | |
| Description Ford F350 | Year | 2008 | |
| | Description | Ford F350 | |
| Classification Heavy Light or Heavy | Classification | Heavy | Light or Heavy |
| Original Cost \$68,430 | Original Cost | \$68,430 | |

| Variable | Point Allocation | Performance factors | Points |
|--------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------|
| Valiable | | 1401010 | 1 01113 |
| Age | 1 point for each year of age | 8 years | 8 |
| | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | - |
| Kilometers | 1 point for each 25,000 km of use | | |
| | | 122,160 kms | 4.89 |
| Engine Hours | 1 point for each equivalent 25,000km of use (1 engine | | |
| | hour ~ 50km) | n/a | |
| Type of Service | 1, 3 or 5 points based on type of service (ie | | |
| (duties or driving | harsh/offroad = 5; paved/daily use = 3; paved/non-daily | | 3 |
| conditions) | use = 1) | | |
| Reliability | 1, 3 or 5 points depending on frequency that vehicle is in $(i_1, 0, 0)$ | | 2 |
| | shops for repair (ie. $2-3x/month = 5$; $1x/3 months = 1$) | | 3 |
| Maintenance and | 1, 3 or 5 points based on total life costs. (ie. lifetime | | |
| Repair Costs | costs > original vehicle cost = 5; lifetime costs <20% original vehicle cost = 1) | | 3 |
| Condition | 1, 3 or 5 points based on body condition, rust, interior | | |
| | condition, accident history , anticipated repairs,etc. (ie. | | 3 |
| Other | 1 - 5 points for any other condition criteria not covered | | |
| | above | | |
| L | Total Pointa | 1 | 24 90 |
| | Total Points | | Z4.09 |

| Points evaluation | Light | Heavy |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Very Good Condition | <20 pts | <18 pts |
| Good Condition | 20 - 24 pts | 18 - 22 pts |
| Fair Condition | 24 - 29 pts | 23 - 28 pts |
| Replacement condition | 30+ points | 29+ points |

Condition Assessment

Note 2

Notes

Assessed by: Terry Baker, Accounting & Regulatory Clerk

SLHI Vehicle Replacement Assessment Guidelines¹

| Assessment Year | 2016 | |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Unit # | | |
| Year | 2010 | |
| Description | Chev Silverado | |
| Classification | Light | Light or Heavy |
| Original Cost | \$31,183 | |
| Original Cost | \$31,183 | |

| Variable | Point Allocation | Performance factors | Points |
|--|---|------------------------|--------|
| Age | 1 point for each year of age | 6 years | 6 |
| Kilometers | 1 point for each 25,000 km of use | 104,580 kms | 4.18 |
| Engine Hours | 1 point for each equivalent 25,000km of use (1 engine hour ~ 50km) | n/a | |
| Type of Service (duties or driving conditions) | 1, 3 or 5 points based on type of service (ie harsh/offroad = 5; paved/daily use = 3; paved/non-daily use = 1) | | 3 |
| Reliability | 1, 3 or 5 points depending on frequency that vehicle is in shops for repair (ie. 2-3x/month = 5; 1x/3 months = 1) | | 1 |
| Maintenance and Repair Costs | 1, 3 or 5 points based on total life costs. (ie. lifetime costs > original vehicle cost = 5; lifetime costs <20% original vehicle cost = 1) | | 1 |
| Condition | 1, 3 or 5 points based on body condition, rust, interior condition, accident history, anticipated repairs, etc. (ie. | | 3 |
| Other | 1 - 5 points for any other condition criteria not covered above | | |
| | Total Points | | 18.18 |

| Points evaluation | Light | Heavy |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Very Good Condition | <20 pts | <18 pts |
| Good Condition | 20 - 24 pts | 18 - 22 pts |
| Fair Condition | 24 - 29 pts | 23 - 28 pts |
| Replacement condition | 30+ points | 29+ points |

Condition Assessment

Note 2

Notes

Assessed by: xxxxxxx,Title

SLHI Vehicle Replacement Assessment Guidelines¹

| Assessment Year | 2016 | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------------|
| Unit # | | |
| Year | 2013 | |
| Description | International 7400 Bucket Truck | |
| Classification | Heavy | Light or Heavy |
| Original Cost | \$274,855 | |
| | | |

| Variable | Point Allocation | Performance factors | Points |
|--|---|------------------------|--------|
| Age | 1 point for each year of age | 3 years | 3 |
| Kilometers | 1 point for each 25,000 km of use | 40,836 Km | 1.63 |
| Engine Hours | 1 point for each equivalent 25,000km of use (1 engine hour ~ 50km) | 1.285 hrs | 2.57 |
| Type of Service (duties or driving conditions) | 1, 3 or 5 points based on type of service (ie harsh/offroad = 5; paved/daily use = 3; paved/non-daily use = 1) | | 5 |
| Reliability | 1, 3 or 5 points depending on frequency that vehicle is in shops for repair (ie. $2-3x/month = 5$; $1x/3 months = 1$) | | 3 |
| Maintenance and Repair Costs | 1, 3 or 5 points based on total life costs. (ie. lifetime costs > original vehicle cost = 5; lifetime costs <20% original vehicle cost = 1) | | 1 |
| Condition | 1, 3 or 5 points based on body condition, rust, interior condition, accident history , anticipated repairs,etc. (ie. | | 3 |
| Other | 5 points for any other condition criteria not covered above | | |
| | Total Points | | 19.2 |

| Points evaluation | Light | Heavy |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Very Good Condition | <20 pts | <18 pts |
| Good Condition | 20 - 24 pts | 18 - 22 pts |
| Fair Condition | 24 - 29 pts | 23 - 28 pts |
| Replacement condition | 30+ points | 29+ points |

Condition Assessment

Note 2

Notes

Assessed by: Terry Baker, Accounting & Regulatory Clerk

Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. EB-2017-0073 Exhibit 2 Page **41** of **44** Filed: August 28, 2017

Appendix 2B – DSP Compliance Letter





June 26, 2017

Deanne Kulchyski, CPA, CGA President/CEO Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. 25 5th Ave, Sioux Lookout, ON P8T 1B3

Dear Ms. Kulchyski

Re: Consolidated Distribution System Plan

As part of the filing requirements set out by the Ontario Energy Board (OEB) for Distributor's, Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. has prepared the attached Consolidated Distribution System Plan. The Plan was prepared in accordance with Good Asset Management Practice, Good Utility Practice and the current Chapter 5 Filing Requirements. Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc., with the assistance of Costello Utility Consultants, prepared the data and furnished the information contained in the plan.

AESI critiqued this plan and confirms that it addresses the goals and achieves the purpose of the OEB *Chapter 5 Consolidated Distribution System Plan Filing Requirements* dated March 28, 2013.

Sincerely,

- Sallord

Neil J. Sandford, P. Eng. Senior Vice President

775 Main Street E Suite 1B Milton, Ontario Canada L9T 3Z3 P • 905.875.2075 F • 905.875.2062

1990 Lakeside Pkwy Suite 250 Tucker, Georgia USA 30084 P • 770.870.1630 F • 770.870.1629

Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. EB-2017-0073 Exhibit 2 Page **42** of **44** Filed: August 28, 2017

Appendix 2C: SLHI Capitalization Policy

7 General

7.1 Capitalization

Effective January 1, 2012 Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. will account for the investment in its property, plant and equipment and the changes in such investments in accordance with Canadian Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (CGAAP) and International Financial Reporting Standard (IFRS), auditor requirements and Ontario Energy Board's Accounting Procedures Handbook (APH).

7.1.1 Overview

Effective January 1, 2012, SLHI has adopted a change in accounting estimate for capitalization based on a study of useful lives performed by an independent third party. With respect to capitalization of certain expenses, SLHI follows the guidance provided by IAS 16.16, whereby capital cost includes the following:

- Purchase price, including duties and non-refundable taxes and excluding trade discounts and rebates
- All expenditures directly attributable to bringing the asset to a working condition for its "intended use"
 - Directly attributable need not be incremental or external
 - Capable of being operated in the manner intended by management
- Cost of the obligation of its dismantlement, removal or restoration

A capital asset is broadly defined as being one that will provide future economic benefits to the organization. The definition in the OEB APH includes items which:

- 1. are held for use in the production or supply of goods and services, for rental to others, for administrative purposes or for the development, construction, maintenance or repair of other capital assets
- 2. have been acquired, constructed or developed with the intention of being used on a continuing basis, **and**
- 3. are not intended for sale in the ordinary course of business.

7.1.2 Capitalization Policy

SLHI capitalizes directly attributable expenses related to the construction of distribution system assets comprising of material, direct labour, engineering and vehicle costs. In determining which expenses are eligible for capitalization, SLHI uses the following guidelines:

Directly attributable:

- Employee costs and benefits incurred by employees working directly on construction or acquisition of asset
- Major Equipment as defined in SLHI 's Equipment Approval Process
- Cost of site preparation

- Initial delivery and assembly
- Testing costs
- Professional fees

General

Not directly attributable

- Administrative and other general overhead costs
- Non-major equipment
- Feasibility studies
- Start-up or pre-opening costs
- Training costs
- Abnormal waste
- Costs incurred when construction is interrupted, unless certain criteria are met

7

- Cost of opening a new facility
- Relocation costs
- Costs incurred in using or redeploying an item

Other guidelines are as follows:

- Fixed assets have a useful life of more than one year and are subject to depreciation. Any directly attributable expenditures to acquire, construct or better that asset should therefore be capitalized. All other expenditures should be expensed as a period expense in the year they occur.
- Professional judgment must be used to determine when an expense is classified as capital or an operating expense. A betterment (capitalized) will enhance the service potential of an existing asset by increasing its service capacity, lowering the operational costs associated with the asset, extend the useful life of the asset, or improving the output of that asset. If the expenditure does not meet these tests, it will likely be considered an expense. Period expenses generally do not result in an improvement to the existing asset. The expense would have been required to keep the asset operating in the same capacity as it was originally.
- In order to be capitalized, an item must meet the minimum threshold requirement of two hundred dollars (<u>\$200.00</u>).

7.1.3 Major Spare Parts and Stand-by Equipment

Major Spare Parts and Stand-by Equipment will be accounted for as property, plant and equipment capital assets, as per Article 410 of the OEB APH as follows:

- Major Spare Parts and Stand-by Equipment will be accounted for as property, plant and equipment capital assets.
- Depreciation of the Major Spare Parts and Stand-by Equipment will begin when the equipment is capable of operating in the manner that is intended by management, which will usually be when the major spare parts are installed and brought into service.

7.1.4 Residual Value & Useful Life

Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. will review at least annually the residual value and useful life of each asset. Reviews ensure that the carrying amount does not differ materially from what would be determined using fair value at the balance sheet date.

Increases and decreases in capital assets during reviews will be reported as a profit or loss in equity. If expectations differ from previous estimates the changes shall be accounted for as a change in estimate and be applied prospectively.

The following factors will be considered when determining the useful life of an asset:

- a) Expected usage of the asset. Usage is assessed by reference to the asset's expected capacity or physical output.
- b) Expected physical wear and tear, which depends on operational factors such as the number of shifts for which the asset is to be used and the repair and maintenance program, and the care and maintenance of the asset while idle.
- c) Technical or commercial obsolescence arising from changes or improvements in production, or from a change in the market demand for the product or service output of the asset.
- d) Legal or similar limits on the use of the asset, such as the expiry dates of related leases.

If the expected life of a specific asset differs significantly, the useful life can be modified.

| PARENT | OEB Account # | ASSET DETAILS Category/Component/Type | USEFUL LIFE(years) | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|--|-----------------------|------|
| Overhead Lines | 1830 | Poles* | 45 | |
| | 1835 | OH Conductors & Devices | | 45 |
| | 1850 | OH Transformer & Voltage Regulators | | 40 |
| Underground | 1845 | Conductors and Devices | | 40 |
| | 1840 | Conduit | | 50 |
| | 1850 | Pad Mount Transformers | | 40 |
| Non- Distribution Assets | 1915 | Office Equipment | | 5-15 |
| | 1930 | Vehicles | Trucks & Trailers | 5-15 |
| | 1930 | | Trailers | 5-20 |
| | 1920 | Computer Equipment | Hardware | 3-5 |
| | 1925 | | Software | 2-5 |
| | 1950 | Equipment | Power operated | 5-10 |
| | 1955 | | Communicatio n | 5-10 |
| | 1940 | | Tools, Shop & | 5-10 |

The standard useful lives of capital assets are:

| | | Garage | |
|------|--|---|-------|
| 1945 | | Measurement Equipment and Testing | 5-10 |
| 1985 | Sentinel Lights | | 10-15 |
| 1860 | Industrial/Commercial Energy Meters | | 25-30 |
| 1860 | Current & Potential Transformer (CT & PT) | | 25-50 |
| 1860 | Smart Meters | | 5-15 |
| 1860 | Repeaters – Smart Metering | | 10-15 |
| 1860 | Data Collectors – Smart Metering | | 15-20 |

*All poles are classified in one pool, but certain factors contribute to the useful life of any given pole, such as stress on the pole and the geographical area in which the pole is situated. The average useful life will be used for all poles.

Approved: October 25, 2012 Ref: 34-12