Filed: September 12, 2017 EB-2017-0150 Exhibit I Tab 3.0 Schedule 11.04 OSEA 4 Page 1 of 1

1	OSEA INTERROGATORY 4					
2						
3	3.0 Registration and Application Fees					
4	INTERROGATORY					
5	Reference: Exhibit A, Tab 2, Sched	ule 2, Page 16 of 31				
6 7 8 9	As of December 31, 2016, the IESO was managing more than 27,250 contracts that account for more than 27,250 MW of generation. These include contractors for approximately 24,500 microFIT projects (representing 216 MW) and 3,950 Feed-in Tariff or FIT projects (representing 4,750 MW).					
10 11	a) Please provide a comparison of the MW capacity for renewable energy forecasted by the 2013 LTEP versus the actual capacity to-date (installed or under development).					
12	<u>RESPONSE</u>					
10 11	a) Comparison of December 31, 2016 contracted capacity for renewables compared to LTEP forecast for 2025:					
	In MWs	Q4 2016	Targets in LTEP for 2025			
	Wind	6,055	6,480			
	Solar	2,689	3,479			
	Hydro	2,427	9,300			

16

Bio-energy

17 Note that the latest data on installed generation capacity can be found on the IESO's website

740

18 (http://www.ieso.ca/en/power-data/supply-overview/transmission-connected-generation)

495

19

Page Intentionally Blank

Filed: September 7, 2017 EB-2017-0150 Exhibit I Tab 3.0 Schedule 9.02 VECC 2 Page 1 of 1

VECC INTERROGATORY 2

2 EXHIBIT A

1

3 Issue 3.0

4 **INTERROGATORY**

- 5 Reference: Exhibit A/Tab 1/Schedule 1/Page 4&5 & Exhibit B, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Page 1 of 11
- 6 a) Please provide the calculation/derivation of :
- 7 i. the \$10,000 registration fee;
- 8 ii. the \$1,000 application fee; and
- 9 iii. the FIT application fee (500-5,000).
- 10 b) Have the amount for these fees changed since 2015?

11 <u>RESPONSE</u>

- 12 a) The proposed IESO application and registration fees have not changed from the amounts
- 13 approved previously by the OEB. These fees are consistent with practices in many other
- 14 jurisdictions: they help ensure that IESO resources are focused on participants who are
- 15 committed to the respective process to which they are applying and they offset the
- 16 administrative costs associated with implementing these processes.
- 17 b) No, these fees have not changed since 2015.

Page Intentionally Blank

Filed: September 7, 2017 EB-2017-0150 Exhibit I Tab 3.0 Schedule 9.16 VECC 16 Page 1 of 2

VECC INTERROGATORY 16

- 2 EXHIBIT A
- 3 Issue 3.0
- 4 **INTERROGATORY**
- 5 Reference: Exhibit A-2-2, Page 23 of 31
- a) Please provide a comparison of 2017 actual FIT/micro/FIT procurement costs and the
 projections included in the 2013 LTEP.

8 <u>RESPONSE</u>

9 a) Please refer to the table below for 2017 actual FIT/microFIT procurement costs and the
 10 projections included in the 2013 LTEP.

1

Filed: September 7, 2017 EB-2017-0150 Exhibit I Tab 3.0 Schedule 9.16 VECC 16 Page 2 of 2

F	Feed-in-Tariff/MicroFIT Program Pricing (¢/kWh)				
		microFIT/FIT 5 - LTEP	MicroFIT/ FIT 5*	Note	
Renewable Fuel	Size tranches	2013 LTEP	01-Jan-17		
Solar PV					
	≤6kW	34.0	31.1		
	≤ 10 kW		28.8*	*> 6≤10 kW	
Rooftop	$> 10 \le 100 \text{ kW}$	29.6	22.3		
коопор	> 100 ≤ 250 kW	28.2	20.7		
	> 250 ≤ 500 kW				
	> 500 kW				
Solar PV					
	≤ 10 kW	24.9	21.0		
Non Doofton	> 10 ≤ 500 kW	24.7	19.2		
Non-Rooftop	> 500 kW ≤ 5 MW				
	> 5 MW				
Biogas					
On Form Diagon	≤ 100 kW	26.5	25.8		
On-Farm Biogas	> 100 kW ≤ 250 kW	21.0	20		
	≤ 500 kW	16.4	16.5		
Biogas	>500 kW ≤ 5 MW	16.4			
	> 5 MW				
Biomass					
	≤ 500 kW		17.2		
	≤ 10 MW	15.6			
	> 10 MW				
Landfill Gas					
	≤ 500 kW		16.8		
	≤ 10 MW	7.7			
	> 10 MW				
Wind					
Orahara	≤ 500 kW	11.5	12.5		
Onshore	All sizes				
Waterpower					
	≤ 500 kW		24.1		
	≤ 10 MW	14.8			
	> 10 MW ≤ 50 MW				

*FIT 5 prices provided represent posted prices and do not include voluntary price reductions. Actual contract prices will be lower for some contracts where an Applicant chose a price reduction to obtain Priority Points

MicroFIT prices are those that are <10kW

1