

SCOTT POLLOCK
T 613.787.3541
spollock@blg.com

Borden Ladner Gervais LLP
World Exchange Plaza
100 Queen St, Suite 1300
Ottawa, ON, Canada K1P 1J9
T 613.237.5160
F 613.230.8842
F 613.787.3558 (IP)
blg.com



Our File # 339583-000247

By electronic filing

November 3, 2017

Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
2300 Yonge Street, 27th floor
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli

**Re: Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. (EGD)
2018 Rates Application**
Board File #: EB-2017-0086

We are writing on behalf of Canadian Manufacturers & Exporters ("CME"). We attach CME's Interrogatories in this matter.

Yours very truly

Borden Ladner Gervais LLP

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read 'Scott Pollock', is written in black ink.

Scott Pollock

enclosure

c. Andrew Mandyam (EGD)
David Stevens (Aird & Berlis LLP)
EB-2017-0086 Intervenors
Paul Clipsham and Ian Shaw

OTT01: 8534237: v1

ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD

Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc.

**Application for natural gas distribution, transmission
and storage rates commencing January 1, 2018**

**INTERROGATORIES OF
CANADIAN MANUFACTURERS & EXPORTERS ("CME")
TO ENBRIDGE GAS DISTRIBUTION INC. ("EGD")**

CME 1

Ref: Exhibit D2, Tab 2, Schedule 1, page 3 of 5

At page 3, EGD states that "the Company has performed an analysis which shows that the actual Rider D amounts credited to ratepayers to date, plus the forecast amounts expected to be credited to ratepayers for the remainder of 2017, will result in a total of approximately \$383.8 million that will have been returned to ratepayers by the end of 2017."

CME wishes to better understand the analysis that the company performed. If the analysis is already part of the evidence, please provide a reference to where it can be found. If the analysis is not already part of the evidence, please provide it. If possible, CME would like EGD to provide an analysis that will quantify the impact of the individual drivers of the variance between the forecast amount and the actual amount returned, as well as the offsetting factors mentioned by EGD in paragraph 9 at page 3 of 5.

CME 2

Ref: Exhibit D2, Tab 2, Schedule 1

Please confirm whether EGD will be pursuing Board approval for any other tax issues relating to the cancellation of Rider D in this application, aside from recording an \$11.2 million credit in the CDNSADA. For instance, whether EGD will calculate the tax consequences of returning \$4 million more to ratepayers over the period than the amount prescribed by the Board.