E C O N A L Y S I S   C O N S U L T I N G   S E R V I C E S

3 4   K I N G   S T R E E T   E A S T ,   S U I T E   6 3 0 ,   T O R O N T O ,

O N T A R I O   M 5 C   2 X 8

www.econalysis.ca

November 3, 2017

VIA E-MAIL

Ms. Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
P.O. Box 2319
2300 Yonge St.
Toronto, ON
M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re: EB-2017-0086 – Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. – 2018 Rate Application
Interrogatories of the Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition (VECC)

Please find enclosed the Notice of Intervention of VECC in the above-noted proceeding. We have also directed a copy of the same to the Applicant.

Yours truly,

Mark Garner

Consultant for VECC

Email copy:
Mr. Andrew Mandyam
EGDRegulatoryProceedings@enbridge.com

REQUESTOR NAME	**VECC**
TO:	**Enbridge Gas Distribution ("EGD")**
DATE:	**Nov 3, 2017**
CASE NO:	**EB-2017-0086**
APPLICATION NAME	**2018 Rate Application**

VECC-1
Reference: Exhibit C1/T2/S1/pg. 8

a) How was the general service forecast methodology adjusted for the known migration of the Rate 125 power generation customer (8.1 $10^6 m^3$) to General Service?

VECC-2
Reference: Exhibit C1/T2/S1/pg. 13

a) How is normalized average use for Rate 6 adjusted for the forecast migration of Contract customers?

VECC - 3
Reference: Exhibit C1/T2/S1/pg. 14

a) In what year was the EBO 487 decision on using "balance point" adjustment to degree days?
b) Directionally what is effect on normalized average use of this adjustment as compared to using 18^o degrees?

VECC-4
Reference: Exhibit C2/T1/S1/pg.1

Preamble: The actual Canadian inflation rate for 2017 as measured either monthly (January-Sept) or annual Sept 2016 –Sept 2017 is between 1.54% and 1.55% (see for example http://www.inflation.eu/inflation-rates/canada/historic-inflation/cpi-inflation-canada-2017.aspx). This would appear to make the forecast of 2017 inflation rate (shown in table) of 2.1% highly improbable.

a) If EGD were to assume an inflation factor of 1.6% for 2017 and 2018 what difference would this make to the 2018 rate proposal?
b) What would be impact on average use based on the conversion of nominal to real prices in the average use modelling (see E2/T2/S1/pg.20/par 19) if the lower inflation figure were adopted?

VECC - 5

Reference: Exhibit E1/T3/S1/pg.2

a) Have the two $150 debt issuances listed in Table 2 been executed? If yes please confirm the effective costs remain as per Table 2.
b) What was the Canada 10 and 30 yield in August when the original issuances were planned?
c) What were the reasons for delaying the debt issuances?
d) The current Canada 10 year yield as of October 31 was 2.30% (http://www.bankofcanada.ca/rates/interest-rates/canadian-bonds/). Please explain how the 2.40% for Canada Long-term was established.

VECC - 6

Reference: Exhibit E1/T3/S1/pg.2

a) Please provide the source of the Canada yield 10 and 30 year forecast for the August 2018 debt issuances.
b) Please explain the reason for the increase in the corporate spread as between November 2017 and August 2018.
c) What would be impact on the revenue requirement if the August 2018 issuances had the same effective costs as the November 2017 issuances?

End of document