



Fogler, Rubinoff LLP  
Lawyers

77 King Street West  
Suite 3000, PO Box 95  
TD Centre North Tower  
Toronto, ON M5K 1G8  
t: 416.864.9700 | f: 416.941.8852  
foglers.com

November 10, 2017

Reply To: Thomas Brett  
Direct Dial: 416.941.8861  
E-mail: tbrett@foglers.com  
Our File No. 175596

**VIA RESS, EMAIL AND COURIER**

Ontario Energy Board  
2300 Yonge Street  
27th Floor  
Toronto, Ontario  
M4P 1E4

Attention: Kirsten Walli, Board Secretary

Dear Ms. Walli:

**Re: EB-2017-0087: Union Gas Limited, 2018 Rates Application  
– BOMA's Interrogatories**

---

Pursuant to Procedural Order No. 1, please find enclosed herewith BOMA's Interrogatories.

Yours truly,

**FOGLER, RUBINOFF LLP**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Thomas Brett", written over the printed name.

Thomas Brett

TB/dd

Encls.

cc: All Parties (*via email*)

**ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD**

**Union Gas Limited**

**Application for natural gas distribution, transmission  
and storage rates effective January 1, 2018**

---

**Interrogatories of  
Building Owners and Managers Association,  
Greater Toronto ("BOMA")**

---

November 10, 2017

**Tom Brett**  
Fogler, Rubinoff LLP  
77 King Street West, Suite 3000  
P.O. Box 95, TD Centre North Tower  
Toronto, ON M5K 1G8

Counsel for BOMA

## **Interrogatories of BOMA**

1. ***Ref: Exhibit A, Tab 1, Schedule 14***

- (a) What is the current fuel ratio and rate under M12-X service? Please explain the rationale for the increase, and provide the calculation.
- (b) What is the proposed increase in the C1 Kirkwall/Dawn fuel ratio rate? What was in in 2017?
- (c) What is the current C1 and M12 authorized overrun fuel ratio and rate? Why is the fuel ratio higher for authorized overrun than normal service? (our emphasis)

2. ***Ref: Appendix 1***

Please provide the 2018/2017 percentage increase in delivery charges for each rate class.

3. ***Ref: Schedule C, Rate M12 in Rate Order (Appendix A)***

Why is the M12 fuel ratio of 0.158% M12X West much less than the Kirkwall to Parkway fuel ratio (0.49%) and the fuel rate (0.006) (April) for M12X much less than the fuel rate (0.017) (April), for Kirkwall to Parkway, when the distance travelled is much less?

4. ***Ref: Panhandle Reinforcement Project; Exhibit A, Tab 1, pp8-10, Appendix G, p6***

- (a) When did the Panhandle Reinforcement Project commence service? Is it currently in-service over its entire length at forecast volumes?

- (b) What was the actual capital cost of the project?
- (c) Please provide a calculation of the Incremental proposed project revenue amount of \$3,104 million. Please provide a calculation for the forecast 2017 incremental Panhandle Project revenue.

5. **Ref: *Ibid, p2***

- (a) Please provide the calculation which shows the reduction of Panhandle Project by the increased revenue allocated to rate class in proportion to the Panhandle system and St. Clair system design day demand.
- (b) Please show the calculation for, and provide an explanation for, each number shown in column 2, Table 2, entitled "2018 Revenue Requirement Allocation to Rate Classes".

6. **Ref: *Rate Order Appendix F, p38***

Please provide a blacklined copy of Account 179-156 which shows the revisions to the Order noted in Union's evidence at Exhibit A, Tab 1, p11.

7. **Ref: *Working Papers, Schedule 21, p1***

- (a) Please confirm that the 0.001% increase in the 2017 M12-X Westerly Kirkwall/Dawn fuel ratio in column 2 under the heading PCI Adjusted Ratio is determined by applying the Price Cap formula increase to the 2017 fuel ratio (GJs) for that route.

- (b) If so, please explain why the price cap adjustment is applied to the fuel ratio (our emphasis).
- (c) If the 0.001% represents some other charge, please explain fully.