Tillsonburg Hydro Inc.
EB-2017-0076
OEB Staff Questions

Questions #1:

Reference: GA Analysis

a) Based on Tillsonburg Hydro's answer to question 3 of the follow-up GA Analysis Workform Questions (OEB sent to Tillsonburg on November 23, 2017 and received responses on November 27, 2017), it is not clear whether or not reconciling item 2b is applicable related to Tillsonburg Hydro's December 2016 GA unbilled revenue estimate. Tillsonburg Hydro indicated that it performed a detailed calculation to estimate its unbilled revenue for December 2016. Please confirm that there was no difference between that estimate and what the applicant had actually billed its customers for December 2016 consumption. If a difference did exist, please quantify and include it as an adjustment in 2b of Note 5 of the GA Analysis Workform.
b) In regards to adjustment 7 ($221,933) that the applicant has proposed in Note 5 of the GA Analysis Workform, please update the DVA continuity schedule to capture this adjustment as a "Principal Adjustment" for 2016. Please note that the applicant must also update their 2017 G/L balances accordingly to reflect the impact of this adjustment. As a result, when the utility seeks the disposition of its 2017 DVA balances, it will need to record a "Principal Adjustment" in the DVA continuity schedule to remove this balance from their 2017 activity (as it has already been disposed as part of the 2016 DVA balance). Please further note, that the applicant is not required to modify its RRR filling for 2016 as a result of this $221,933 adjustment.
c) The calculated value from the GA Analysis Workform V3 for "F59/D26" = 0.93 and Tillsonburg's OEB approved total loss factor is 1.0333. Please explain this difference.
d) In the GA Analysis Workform Note 4, the Non-RPP Class B consumption including unbilled loss adjustment is the same as the consumption before the adjustment. If Tillsonburg performed unbilled calculations through the year-end process, please clarify whether or not there is any adjustment in consumption for December 2015 that should be reflected in January 2016.

Questions #2:

Reference: 2018 IRM Rate Generator Model, Tab 3 Continuity Schedule

Reconciliation with RRR data – please explain why the following 1595 sub-account balances as of December 31, 2016 in the continuity schedule do not agree with RRR data (i.e. column BV):

a) Disposition and Recovery/Refund of Regulatory Balances (2012), with the difference of -$168
b) Disposition and Recovery/Refund of Regulatory Balances (2013), with the difference of -$150
c) Disposition and Recovery/Refund of Regulatory Balances (2014), with the difference of $410

Questions #3:

Reference: 2018 IRM Rate Generator Model, Tab 3 Continuity Schedule

Reconciliation with 2017 approved IRM Rate Generator Model – please explain why the following 1595 sub-account balances as of December 31, 2015 do not agree with the approved 2017 IRM Model. If the 2018 IRM Model is not accurate, please revise the model accordingly.

a) Disposition and Recovery/Refund of Regulatory Balances (2012), with the difference of $155 (principal balance)
b) Disposition and Recovery/Refund of Regulatory Balances (2013), with the difference of $687 (interest amount)
c) Disposition and Recovery/Refund of Regulatory Balances (2014), with the difference of $72 (interest amount)

Questions #4:

Reference: 2018 IRM Rate Generator Model, Tab 6, Class A Consumption Data

a) Please select the Rate Class in the drop-down list in cell D30 in tab 6.

Questions #5:

Reference: 2018 IRM Rate Generator Model, Tab 12, RTSR – Historical Wholesale

a) Please clarify whether Tillsonburg Hydro is partially embedded to Hydro One's distribution system or not?

Questions #6:

Reference: Clarification of certain items in 2018 IRM Rate Generator Model

Please confirm whether or not the following items in the 2018 IRM Model/GA Analysis Workform should be revised, if so, please update the model(s) accordingly; if not, please explain why it should not be revised.

a) Tab 3 Continuity Schedule, cell BQ21:BQ37 and BR21:BR37, the interest rate should apply to Dec 31, 2016 balance adjusted for disposition during 2017 (i.e. values in column BO not BG). The interest rate used in BR21:BR37 should be 0.015/12*4=0.005
b) Tab 3 Continuity Schedule, following question 6(a), after the revision of column BQ and BR, please do the following revisions to the IRM model:
    i. Please check the checkbox in column BT in the Continuity Schedule to indicate which 1595 sub-account that Tillsonburg proposes to dispose.
    ii. Please enter allocators in column Q in tab 4. Billing Det. For Def-Var for allocating 1595 account balance to rate classes.
c) Tab 4 Billing Det. for Def-Var, Cell E17:E24 should be metered Non-RPP Consumption excluding WMP and the total consumption should agree with data in GA Analysis Workform (i.e. cell D24 in the GA Analysis Workform, 142,284,111 kWh). OEB staff understands that Tillsonburg cannot make changes to these cells, please provide the kWh in a table following the format below.

Rate Class	**Metered kWh for Non-RPP Customers (excluding WMP)**
RESIDENTIAL SERVICE CLASSIFICATION	
GENERAL SERVICE LESS THAN 50 KW SERVICE CALSSIFICATION	
GENERAL SERVICE 50 TO 499 KW SERVICE CLASSIFICATION	
GENERAL SERVICE 500 TO 1,499 KW SERVICE CALSSIFICATION	
GENERAL SERVICE EQUAL TO OR GREATER THAN 1,500 KW SERVICE CALSSIFICATION	
UNMETERED SCATTERED LOAD SERVICE CLASSIFICATION	
SENTINEL LIGHTING SERVICE CLASSIFICATION	
STREET LIGHTING SERVICE CLASSIFICATION	

d) Tab 6.1 GA, cell C17:C24 should be metered Non-RPP Consumption excluding WMP and the total consumption should agree with data in GA Analysis Workform (i.e. cell D24 in the GA Analysis, 142,284,111 kWh). Please provide the kWh in a table.
e) Tab 6.1a GA Allocation, cell D20 should be Non-RPP Class B consumption excluding WMP, which is 129,431,968 kWh (agree to cell D26 in GA Analysis Workform).
f) Tab 6.2a CBR B_Allocation, cell D20 should be total Class B consumption excluding WMP (i.e. RPP and Non-RPP Class B), which should be 182,581,213 kWh.