

**Appeal of Decision and Order on Cost Awards  
(Phase 1)**

**Ontario Energy Board  
EB-2016-0276**

**BY**

**FRANK KEHOE, INTERVENOR**

**February 27, 2018**

Frank Kehoe  
304-95 Matchedash Street North  
Orillia, ON  
L3V 4T9  
(705) 325-6608  
fm.kehoe@rogers.com

February 27<sup>th</sup>, 2018

Ontario Energy Board  
Ms. Kirsten Walli  
Board Secretary  
Ontario Energy Board  
2300 Yonge Street, Suite 2700  
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4  
boardsec@ontarioenergyboard.ca

Dear Ms. Walli,

**RE: DECISION AND ORDER ON COST AWARDS (PHASE 1), EB-2016-0276**

The appeal document sent to the Ontario Energy Board last week and the two copies delivered to the board office on February 26<sup>th</sup>, 2018 was assembled in a great haste and may be considered somewhat disjointed. Here is a condensed version in simple terms.

When a government, be it federal, provincial or municipal, puts – or allows – its citizens to vote in the form of a referendum or plebiscite the referendum must be conducted under the rigid rules in the exact way and process as provincial or municipal elections, i.e. with polling stations identified, poll clerks, ballots and ballot boxes, and a returning officer. The votes are then counted and the results certified by an election officer. The results of the tallied ballots then become entrenched in law. **This process is called democracy and is protected by both Canadian and provincial election laws.**

Orillia, with the authority of the province, had three such referenda related to the citizens' ownership, management and control of the electrical assets and the rejection of a sale of the assets to the Hydro Electric Power Commission.

**Referendum #1**

A referendum on the building of an independent municipally-owned generation, distribution facility including administration and billing (1898);

## Referendum #2

To set in place an elected Board of Directors who would now operate the electrical asset separate and apart from city council involvement (1913);

## Referendum #3

In answer to a newly formed 1906 provincially-owned utility called the Hydro Electric Power Commission, who had made an offer to purchase the Orillia people-owned electricity assets. When this was put to a referendum, the people voted **not to sell**. The referenda, that were legally voted upon by the eligible Orillia electorate, were now made law. The people who voted on these referenda were, of course, all Orillia citizens who the provincial legislature had a duty to represent and protect. Any amending or dissolution legislation of the referendums in place must always involve the Orillia electorate to approve or reject amendments or dissolution (1916).

## The Provincial Public Utilities Act

Months after Bylaw #557 Referendum in 1913, the province set in place the first Public Utilities Act to regulate the numerous municipal utilities that had come on stream after Orillia. This legislation is included in my previously submitted evidence book, pages 2 through 9.

## Inappropriate and Somewhat Illegal Legislation

A senior cabinet minister, I believe acting for the premier, made direct arrangements to introduce a 27-word clause in a somewhat ambiguous 225-page act called the *Savings and Restructuring Act (1996)*:

**SECTION 67(1) A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION MAY PASS A BYLAW TO ELIMINATE THE REQUIREMENT TO OBTAIN THE ASSENT OF THE ELECTORS BEFORE THE CORPORATION EXERCISES A POWER UNDER THIS ACT.**

This clause was added to the Public Utilities Act and gave the misguided impression that it now added "God-like" powers to the Orillia City Council and like municipal governments. This alleged new power gave the impression that it overruled their own citizens in all legal referendums that were voted on and approved by their own citizens.

### Town of Orillia Act (1915)

Section 67(1) could have, of course, not overruled the Town of Orillia Act which was in place for 81 years - (Appendix 1).

### The Public Utilities Act Sections

The citizens of the Province of Ontario would lose their legislative rights and protection entrenched in the Public Utilities Act in existence for 83 years:

- Section 37(4)
- Section 37(5)
- Section 38(3)
- Section 38(5)
- Section 45(1)

- (all contained in Appendix 2)

**Of the many countries that operate under communist rule or operate under a dictatorship or military rule, Section 67(1) might be considered normal. The cabinet - the elected representatives, in a democracy where they were elected to serve their citizens, this has to be labeled a legislated travesty affecting possibly millions of its own citizens. Even to this day this travesty must now be addressed particularly to give protection to binding legal referendums voted upon by its citizens.**

The cabinet minister responsible for the ministerial blunder, to the best of my knowledge, was never impeached nor chastised for this misadventure, nor was there any move to try to correct it.

The Orillia City Council, however, now used the Section 67(1) to say and enact that it had the authority to now initiate a bylaw to override the legal bylaw, passed through the referenda voted on by its own citizens, and to now attempt to usurp the board of directors of the citizen-owned electrical asset.

The Board of Directors, formerly called Commissioners of the existing corporation, were usurped from their right to now form and operate the new corporations operating under the provincial Corporations Act. Their authority is covered under Section 142 of The Electricity Act (1998) - (attached as Appendix 3)

Every situation possible was exercised by city council so as to have revenue flowing from the electrical consumers. Approximately \$44 million has been extracted from electricity consumers in the period from the year 2000 when the city, or someone from inside the commission (not the board of directors) enacted the new corporations. One of the tactics used, although we can't identify who, were now able to write a distorted set of minutes of the former board of

directors (commission members) so as to avoid paying a \$1 million dividend that was authorized, in whole, by the commissioners (board of directors) ahead of the required transfer date.

In the Orillia Water, Light and Power Commission meeting of September 12<sup>th</sup>, 2000, the following paragraph was inserted in the formal minutes on page 1037:

**Moved by F. Kehoe**

**“That, this Commission authorizes the payment of a dividend to all of its customers as of September 12, 2000. The dividend so authorized is to be \$1,000,000.00 (one million dollars) distributed to existing customers based on their consumption of energy (electrical) over the last 12 months. While this Commission recognizes the problems related to an exact calculation, the dividend shall be not less than one million dollars and not more than 1 million and fifty thousand dollars.”**

**Recorded vote:** Frank Kehoe – “yea”  
Ken McLaughlin – “nay”  
Paul Spears – “nay”  
Gord Pye – “nay”

**Defeated. (Appendix 4)**

The motion was rejected because the Commission members wanted a report on its implication or effect the future monies required in the new corporations that were part of the transfer process and on receipt of the report, the motion would be resubmitted.

### Possible Fraud

During the next meeting held October 10, 2000, on page 1038, the motion was reintroduced and to our absolute amazement, non-related minutes were produced that did not represent the meeting that was held. These minutes, in my opinion and in the opinion of the other members of the elected Commissioners, were an absolute fraud. In Motion #4, in a recorded vote, Frank Kehoe did not abstain as indicated.

**On Motion #6, this total paragraph was inserted into the minutes. No member of the elected commission (Board of Directors) ever saw, nor participated in Motion #6’s passage:**

**Moved by K. McLaughlin**

**“Be it resolved that, the signing offices of the Orillia Water, Light and Power Commission be authorized to sign and execute the “General Conveyance, Assignment and Bill of Sale agreement attached.”**

**Carried. (Appendix 4)**

The elected Commissioners are adamant that Motion #6 was never part of this or any other meeting and the adjustment of this commission meeting was possibly an absolute fraud. Each elected member of the commission is prepared to swear an affidavit that this was never part of this or any other meeting.

It is, for this reason, that I request that the Ontario Energy Board order and supply the General Conveyance Agreement and Bill of Sale Agreement referred to in the aforementioned paragraph containing the signatures and printed names of those who signed the alleged agreements.

In Motion #5, the dividend referred to previously, was now approved and should have taken place. This money was owed to Orillia's electrical consumers and they should have been paid. We feel it is the board's purpose to support the electricity consumers from being disadvantaged in the non-payment of this dividend. As the elected board of directors have been denied access to the transfer document or Bill of Sale, if they exist, then we respectfully request information regarding who signed the transfer papers and/or the Bill of Sale. The bylaw that the board used in their Ruling #4 makes mention of this transfer. Hopefully the board can see that the appropriate bylaw was set up using the aforementioned 67(1) clause inserted into the Public Utilities Act.

The aforementioned material, for the most part, all represented legal items. I am not a lawyer but fully recognize that I could not properly present material to the board without having legal representation.

I, Frank Kehoe, as an intervenor, clearly represent myself, my family, and my relative forefathers who played a major part in the establishment of this electricity utility that forms this appeal. In addition, in my elected capacity to the board of directors of said utility, I was elected to represent the residential, commercial and industrial electrical consumers in Orillia and feel I have a fiduciary obligation to see that they are properly and legally represented and treated fairly. I have no personal nor monetary involvement, but I am adamant that, after reading this material, the board of directors of the Ontario Energy Board must make a ruling that would give them the legal opportunity to participate in form of voting, positively or negatively, to sell the distribution arm to Hydro One (a requirement of amending the peoples' referendum).

### *Frank Kehoe and the role of his legal expenses*

In the Board Procedure Order #4 (February 16<sup>th</sup>, 2017), the Ontario Energy Board uses Orillia Council Bylaw 2000-146, which proportionately dissolved the commission as of November 1<sup>st</sup>, 2000. The bylaw is contained in Frank Kehoe's Evidence Book on page 94 which they clearly did not have authority without its citizens passing a bylaw referendum to exercise a change or the courts saying that Section 67(1) applies to the Act that regulates referendum (elections).

In July and August of 2017, after numerous telephone calls to the board representative, my expense account was accepted as conforming to the process outlined by the board. I was of the understanding that since the expense total was placed on your website that it would be Hydro One or the lawyer representing the City of Orillia who would place any of their objections and not the board representatives. My lawyer, Stanley M. Makuch, was hired to represent me and other elected members of the Board of Directors (former Orillia Water, Light and Power Commission) which, at the time, that this hearing was as promised to be an oral hearing. The payment of Mr. Makuch's time was paid for totally by myself. Mr. Makuch, however, gave freely of many hours that were not billed as he took fervent interest in why a municipal council would discard the rules of democracy in this file. It should be noted that his expenses submitted are, by far, fair as well as being the lowest of all other intervenors.

**The legal fees, denied by the Ontario Energy Board, which are minimal compared to those of other intervenors that have spent a fraction of the time to assemble the factual information required of the board, must not be excluded as they are appropriate and necessary.** My legal representation is both extremely qualified as well as being a renowned municipal lawyer. In the board's change from an oral to a written presentation, my lawyer was greatly disadvantaged. I regret that, however, I have not been able to contact Mr. Makuch as he is vacationing on an island in the Caribbean and has not answered messages left for him on his home phone. The original invoices are attached as is the invoice covering this appeal. *(Appendix 5)*

Respectfully submitted,



Frank Kehoe

c.c. [regulatory@hydroone.com](mailto:regulatory@hydroone.com), [ghipgrave@orilliapower.ca](mailto:ghipgrave@orilliapower.ca), [phurley@orilliapower.ca](mailto:phurley@orilliapower.ca),  
[gjackson@orillia.ca](mailto:gjackson@orillia.ca), [jay.shepherd@canadianenergylawyers.com](mailto:jay.shepherd@canadianenergylawyers.com),  
[mengelberg@HydroOne.com](mailto:mengelberg@HydroOne.com), [mrodger@blg.com](mailto:mrodger@blg.com), [wmcnally@opsba.org](mailto:wmcnally@opsba.org),  
[mark.rubenstein@canadianenergylawyers.com](mailto:mark.rubenstein@canadianenergylawyers.com), [mjanigan@piac.ca](mailto:mjanigan@piac.ca),  
[bharper@econalysis.ca](mailto:bharper@econalysis.ca), [jgirvan@uniserve.com](mailto:jgirvan@uniserve.com), [smakuch@makuchlaw.com](mailto:smakuch@makuchlaw.com)

**PLEASE NOTE:**

*As part of this last submission and respectfully submitted to the board, I would like to share my concern with the association of your current Vice Chair and member of the Ontario Energy Board with a lawyer for the City of Orillia during these proceedings. Ms. Long was a former partner of the firm Borden Ladner Gervais and J. Mark Rodger, who represents the City of Orillia, is a current partner of the same law firm. This may be an actual (if not perceived) conflict of interest that I would like to know if it was – or is being addressed. I would also hope that this association would not have had an impact on this case, nor be a reason to launch an appeal down the road.*



# **Appendix 1**



# **Appendix 2**

## Section 37 (4)

When assent  
of electors  
requisite

(4) A corporation shall not sell, lease or otherwise dispose of the whole of a public utility undertaking or the whole of the property acquired, held or used for or in connection with a public utility undertaking **without the assent of the electors** qualified to vote on money by-laws first being obtained thereto in the manner provided by the *Municipal Act* with respect to a money by-law requiring the assent of the electors.

## Section 37 (5)

When  
approval of  
Ontario  
Municipal  
Board requi-  
site for sale

(5) **A corporation shall not sell, lease or otherwise dispose of a portion only of the property acquired or held for or in connection with a public utility undertaking so long as that portion is actually used for the purposes of the undertaking, except with the approval of the Ontario Municipal Board, and on such application the Board may direct that the assent of the electors qualified to vote on money by-laws shall first be obtained in the manner aforesaid.**

## Section 38 (3)

Village  
commissions  
already  
established

(3) Every such commission established by the council of a village before the 12th day of April, 1917 shall be deemed to have been lawfully established, and the by-law establishing the commission shall be deemed to be and to have been legal, valid and binding from the time of the passing thereof, although the by-law was passed and the commission was established without the assent of the electors first having been obtained.

## Section 38 (5)

Assent of  
electors

(5) Where a by-law establishing a commission in a village has been passed with the assent of the electors, the by-law may be repealed with the like assent.

## Section 38 (6)

Effect of  
repeal

(6) Upon the repeal of a by-law establishing a commission under this section, the control and management of the works are vested in the council and the commission ceases to exist. R.S.O. 1980, c. 423, s. 37 (2-6).

## Section 39

Commissions  
established

**39.** A commission established under *The Municipal Light and Heat Act* or *The Municipal Waterworks Act*, being chapters 234 and 235 of the Revised Statutes of Ontario, 1897, or under a special Act for the construction or the control and management of works for the manufacture, production or supply of any public utility shall be deemed to be a commission established under this Part and the provisions of this Part apply to it. R.S.O. 1980, c. 423, s. 38.

## Section 45 (1)

Repeal of  
by-law

**45.—(1)** The council may, by by-law passed with the assent of the municipal electors, repeal any by-law passed under sections 38, 39 and 40.

# Appendix 3

## **Section 142 of The Electricity Act 1998**

### **Incorporation of municipal electricity businesses**

**142. (1)** One or more municipal corporations may cause a corporation to be incorporated under the *Business Corporations Act* for the purpose of generating, transmitting, distributing or retailing electricity. 1998, c. 15, Sched. A, s. 142 (1).

### **Holding companies**

**(1.1)** A corporation that one or more municipal corporations caused to be incorporated under the *Business Corporations Act* after November 6, 1998 and before May 2, 2003 to acquire, hold, dispose of and otherwise deal with shares of a corporation that was incorporated pursuant to this section shall be considered to be a corporation incorporated pursuant to this section. 2004, c. 31, Sched. 11, s. 7.

### **Conversion of existing electricity businesses**

**(2)** Not later than the second anniversary of the day this section comes into force, every municipal corporation that generates, transmits, distributes or retails electricity, directly or indirectly, shall cause a corporation to be incorporated under subsection (1) for the purpose of carrying on those activities. 1998, c. 15, Sched. A, s. 142 (2).

### **Two or more municipal corporations**

**(3)** Two or more municipal corporations may incorporate a single corporation for the purpose of complying with subsection (2). 1998, c. 15, Sched. A, s. 142 (3).

### **Ownership**

**(4)** The municipal corporation or corporations that incorporate a corporation pursuant to this section shall subscribe for all the initial shares issued by the corporation that are voting securities. 1998, c. 15, Sched. A, s. 142 (4).

### **Same**

**(5)** A municipal corporation may acquire, hold, dispose of and otherwise deal with shares of a corporation incorporated pursuant to this section that carries on business in the municipality. 2002, c. 1, Sched. A, s. 30.

### **Not a local board, etc.**

**(6)** A corporation incorporated pursuant to this section shall be deemed not to be a local board, public utilities commission or hydro-electric commission for the purposes of any Act. 1998, c. 15, Sched. A, s. 142 (6).

**(7)** Repealed: 2004, c. 23, Sched. A, s. 57.



# **Appendix 4**

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE ORILLIA WATER, LIGHT AND  
POWER COMMISSION HELD ON TUESDAY, OCTOBER 10<sup>TH</sup>, 2000 AT 5:00 P.M.

1038

Present:      Commission    Gord Pye - Chairman  
   Ken McLaughlin  
   Frank Kehoe  
   Dan Valley  
   Paul Spears

                                 Staff            John Mattinson - General Manager & Secretary  
   Pat Hurley - Treasurer  
   Ritchie Udell – Distribution Superintendent  
   Brian Burnie – Generation Superintendent  
   Helen Tuorila - Recording Secretary

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Pye at 5:10 p.m.

Motion #1

Moved by K. McLaughlin

"That, the minutes of the meeting of September 12<sup>th</sup>, 2000 be adopted as presented."

"Carried"

Motion #2

Moved by F. Kehoe

"That, we approve for payment, accounts for the month of September, 2000 totaling  
CDN \$1,563,449.09."

"Carried"

Motion #3

Moved by K. McLaughlin

"That, the Commission accepts the financial statements for the month of September,  
2000."

"Carried"

Motion #4

Moved by K. McLaughlin

"That, the Commission reconsider Motion #12 of September 12/2000."

Recorded Vote:

Frank Kehoe – abstain

Paul Spears – "yea"

Ken McLaughlin – "yea"

Dan Valley – "yea"

Gord Pye – "yea"

~~FRANK KEHOE VOTED YEA AND ABSTAIN~~

DID NOT OBSTAIN -

"Carried"



Commission Meeting – October 10, 2000

**Noted for these minutes:**

At the September 12<sup>th</sup>, 2000 Commission meeting, the General Manager was asked to prepare a written report on the implications of issuing a dividend. This report was issued prior to this meeting of October 10, 2000. Prior to the passing of Motion #5, the General Manager and Treasurer of the Commission cautioned the Commissioners and did not recommend the passing of this motion for the following reasons:

- The financial model developed by the Transition Committee contemplated surplus cash being left with the new corporation to help stabilize rates into the future. Giving a rebate at this point and then phasing in gradual rate increases over the next three to five years would not seem logical. Every commissioner with the exception of one accepted the transition committee's financial model.
- Giving a rebate now could result in higher rate increases in the future.
- The budget process is not complete, do not know total expenditures for 2001.
- We have not had an opportunity to review the final version of the Rate Handbook.
- In the past, dividends have been paid as a result of excellent power production at our generating stations. A dry fall, which is entirely possible, could mean year-end production may only be average.
- Any payment of dividends is subject to OEB approval.

Motion #5

Moved by K. McLaughlin

"That, the Commission authorize the payment of a dividend to all of its customers as of September 30/2000. The dividend authorized is to be \$1,000,000 – one million dollars distributed to existing customers based on their consumption of energy (electric) over the last 12 months. While the Commission recognizes the problems related to an exact calculation, the dividend shall be no less than one million dollars and not more than 1 million and fifty thousand dollars."

Recorded Vote: Frank Kehoe – "yea"  
 Dan Valley – "yea"  
 Ken McLaughlin – "yea"  
 Paul Spears – "nay" ✗  
 Gord Pye – "yea"

"Carried"

Motion #6

Moved by K. McLaughlin

"Be it resolved that, the signing offices of the Orillia Water Light and Power Commission be authorized to sign and execute the "General Conveyance, Assignment and Bill of Sale" agreement attached."

NOT TRUE  
NEVER PART  
OF MEETING

A motion was put forward to adjourn at 6:25 p.m.

"Carried"

Confirmed

*[Signature]*  
Secretary

Chairman

Motion #9

Moved by K. McLaughlin

"Whereas, it is the wish of this Commission to publish the history of OWLP from its inception up to and including its disposition to a corporate structure,

therefore, be it resolved that reasonable invoices related to the technical editing, layout and typesetting be paid on copy as written by Commissioner Kehoe and reviewed by Management."

"Carried"

Motion #10

Moved by K. McLaughlin

"That, the Commission move to Committee of the Whole to discuss a legal matter."

"Carried"

The Commission moved to Committee of the Whole at 6:25 p.m.

Motion #11

Moved by K. McLaughlin

"That, the Committee rise and report."

"Carried"

The Commission rose to report at 6:35 p.m.

Motion #12

Moved by K. Kehoe

"That, this Commission authorizes the payment of a dividend to all of its customers as of September 12, 2000. The dividend so authorized is to be \$1,000,000.00 one million dollars distributed to existing customers based on their consumption of energy (electrical) over the last 12 months. While this Commission recognizes the problems related to an exact calculation the dividend shall be not less than one million dollars and not more than 1 million and fifty thousand dollars."

Recorded Vote      Frank Kehoe – "yea"  
                             Ken McLaughlin – "nay"  
                             Paul Spears – "nay"  
                             Gord Pye – "nay"

"Defeated"

A motion was put forward to adjourn at 7:10 p.m.

Confirmed



# **Appendix 5**

Frank Kehoe  
304-95 Matchedash Street North  
Orillia, ON  
L3V 4T9  
RES: (705) 325-6608  
CEL: (705) 826-6608  
FAX: (705) 327-2181  
fm.kehoe@rogers.com

August 4, 2017

Ontario Energy Board  
P.O. Box 2319  
2300 Yonge Street, 27<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Toronto, ON  
M4P 1E4  
boardsec@oeb.ca

**ATT: KIRSTEN WALLI, BOARD SECRETARY**

**RE: ENERGY BOARD HEARING, AWARDING OF ASSOCIATED COSTS: EB-2016-0276**

**SUMMARY OF COSTS**

Copy of Invoice from EBS regarding clerical, photocopying and courier costs:	\$481.28
Invoice from Stanley M. Makuch for legal costs:	\$4,750.00
Photocopies of documents purchased from the City of Orillia:	<u>69.30</u>
<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$5,300.58</b>



82 Colborne Street East  
Orillia, Ontario  
L3V 1T7  
Phone: (705) 327-2131  
Fax: (705) 327-2181  
expressbusiness82@gmail.com

August 4, 2017

## INVOICE

Frank Kehoe

The following is a list of clerical, photocopy and binding services provided to you, on an ongoing basis, with regards to your submission to the Ontario Energy Board regarding the application to sell Orillia Generation to Hydro One

Word processing of letters, reports and the submission (\$40 per hour x 7 hours)	240.00
Photocopies: 371 x 4 (1,484 x 0.05)	74.20
Scanning/emailing: 5 @ \$5.00	25.00
Cerlox binding: 4 @ \$3.00	12.00
Sub Total:	351.20
H.S.T.	<u>45.66</u>
Total Clerical:	\$396.86
Purolator Courier: 3 x \$28.14 (including H.S.T.)	<u>84.42</u>
	\$481.28



*Live, work & play ... in the heart of Lake Country*



smakuch@makuchlaw.com

2016-12-29

S

Account from Stanley M. Makuch Re: OWLPC

Details

To: Margaret Kehoe

Dear Mr. Kehoe;

I have the following amount of time on the above file;

November 21, 1 hour - response letter;

December 19, 7 hours -submission regarding Status of OLWPC

December 21, 5 hours- Submission regarding status of OWLPC,

December 21, 1 hour- revising Interrogatories

December27, 3 hours- response to Mark Rodger.

Total Hours: 17 hours

Hourly Rate: \$450.00

Total; \$7650.00

Discount:\$4650.00

Amount Due and Payable: \$3000.00

Stanley M. Makuch

52 Tranby Ave.

Toronto,

ON M5R 1N5

Canada

M: 647-388-9192

smakuch@makuchlaw.com

See More



smakuch@makuchlaw.com

9 14 AM

S

Fwd: Account from Stanley M. Makuch Re: OWLPC

Details





Frank Kehoe - Account Re: Orillia Water Light and Power Commission

September 4, 2016... 1 hour reviewing documents, Tc Frank Kehoe

September 18, 2016...3 hours reviewing additional documents and preparing letter

September 19, 2016...1 hour finalizing draft opinion

Total hours ...5

Hourly Rate...\$350.00

Total amount payable forthwith \$1750.00

Chapter 451  
 USER FEES - GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

Schedule 'A'

Fees will be subject to an automatic 2% increase rounded to the nearest dollar effective January 1<sup>st</sup> of each year, beginning January 1, 2013 (By-law Number 2012-165).

<u>Type of User Fee</u>	<u>2017 Rates</u> <u>(applicable taxes</u> <u>apply)</u>	<u>Other By-law</u>
Commissioning Affidavits	\$34.00	
Documentation Certification	\$34.00	
Parking Ticket Search	\$2.50	
Placement of Garbage receptacles Public/Private Property	\$29.00	Chapter 877
Burial Permits - In Town	\$29.00	
Burial Permits - Out of Town	\$34.00	
Burial Permits - After Hours	\$16.50 Surcharge	
Photocopies, Computer printouts	\$ 30 per page	
Electronic Copies (ie: CD's)	\$12.00 ea.	
Manual record search	\$8.00/15 min.	
Staff time to research and preparation	\$34.00/hr.	
For FOI purposes (FIPPA/MFIPPA Regulations)		
FOI Application	\$5.00 ea.	
Photocopies, Computer printouts	\$.20 per page	
Electronic Copy, ie: CD	\$10.00 ea.	
Manual record search	\$7.50/15 min.	
Preparing a record for disclosure including severing part of a record	\$7.50/15 min.	
Staff time to research and preparation	\$30.00/hr.	
Marriage Licence	\$142.00	
Developing a computer program or other method of producing a record from machine readable record	\$16.00/15 min.	
Costs, including computer costs, that the City incurs in locating, retrieving, processing and copying a record if those costs are specified in an invoice the City has received.	costs incurred	

Done

## Summary of Costs - Kehoe - EB-2016-0276.pdf



Frank Kehoe  
304-95 Matchedash Street North  
Orillia, ON  
L3V 4T9  
RES: (705) 325-6608  
CEL: (705) 826-6608  
FAX: (705) 327-2181  
fm.kehoe@rogers.com

August 4, 2017

Ontario Energy Board  
P.O. Box 2319  
2300 Yonge Street, 27<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Toronto, ON  
M4P 1E4  
boardsec@oeb.ca

ATT: KIRSTEN WALLI, BOARD SECRETARY

RE: ENERGY BOARD HEARING, AWARDING OF ASSOCIATED COSTS: EB-2016-0276

**SUMMARY OF COSTS**

Copy of Invoice from EBS regarding clerical, photocopying and courier costs:	\$481.28
Invoice from Stanley M. Makuch for legal costs:	\$4,750.00
Photocopies of documents purchased from the City of Orillia:	<u>69.30</u>
<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$5,300.58</b>

# Express Business service centr

82 Colborne Street East

Orillia ON L3V 1T7

PH: 705-327-2131 Fax: 705-327-2181

## INVOICE

Number: 124077

Date: February 27, 2018

R126643774

Customer #: 1294

Cash

Orillia ON

Sales Rep: House

Terms: account

#	Item #	Description	Quantity	UM	Price	T1	T2	Amount
1	05	Word Processing ( Per Hour )	<del>1.00</del> 2.5 hrs.		\$40.00	Y	Y	\$100.00

*For Frank Kehal Appeal*

Item Total: \$100.00  
Tax 1: \$5.00  
Tax 2: \$8.00  
Invoice Total: \$113.00

Payment: \$113.00

Balance Owing: \$0.00

THANK YOU FOR YOUR BUSINESS!