

April 16, 2018

BY EMAIL, COURIER & RESS

Ms. Kirsten Walli Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board Suite 2700, 2300 Yonge Street Toronto, Ontario M4P 1E4

RE: EB-2017-0255 – Union Gas Limited 2018 Cap-and-Trade Compliance Plan – Technical Conference Undertaking Responses and Transcript Corrections

Dear Ms. Walli,

Please find attached Union Gas Limited's ("Union") responses to the undertakings received during the technical conference held on April 9, 2018. These will be filed on the RESS and copies will be sent to the Ontario Energy Board ("the Board").

Union has adjusted the undertaking wording, as required, to accurately reflect the substance of the requests accepted. A summary of the adjustments is provided in Appendix A.

Union's response to undertaking JT1.12 has been filed with the Board confidentially, consistent with the Board's Practice Guidelines on Confidential Filings and Rule 10 of the Board's Rules of Practice and Procedures, as it contains commercially sensitive information that Union is not authorized to disclose publicly.

Union would also like to submit the following correction to the testimony of Ms. Newbury, found at p.109, lines 22-23 of the transcript, where Ms. Newbury stated: "*I believe it does. But again, it would have to be subject to check.*" Ms. Newbury would like to correct her testimony to state: "*No, it does not*". Further details on this clarification are provided in response to undertaking JT1.23.

In addition, Union's witnesses have reviewed the April 9, 2018 Technical Conference transcript and have noted the corrections listed below.

Page	Line(s)	As Stated	Correction						
Appe	earances	CAITLIN MOUN	ADAM STIERS						
Inc	lex of	UNION GAS – PANEL 1	UNION GAS – PANEL 1						
Proc	eedings	C. Newbury, H. Ginis, S. Flaman, S.	C. Newbury, H. Ginis, S. Flaman, S.						
		Dantzer, F. Trofim, A. Stiers	Dantzer, F. Trofim						
			,						
1	19	MR. GINIS: Harris Ginis	MR. GINIS: Haris Ginis						
2	20	MS. EVERETT: Lucy Everett	MS. EVERETT: Stacy Everett						
3	4	MR JANEESE	MR JANISSE						
5	•								
2	10	MD DADII I.A. Kan Dahilla with	MD DAVI OV. Tim Devley with						
3	12	MR. DADILLA: Kell Daolila, with	MR.PAVLOV: This Pavlov, with						
		Storage LLP.	Torys LLP.						
3	13	MS. MOUN: Caitlin Moun, counsel	MS. MILNE: Caitlin Milne, counsel						
		to Union Gas.	to Union Gas.						
4	24								
4	24	Harris Ginis	Haris Ginis						
4	28	Adam Stiers	[Remove]						
6	9	customers with our own	customers with their own						
Ű		compliance obligations.	compliance obligations.						
7	20	MD DANTZED.	MD DANTZED.						
/ 8	20	MR. FANIZER. Mr. Pantzer	Mr. DAN IZEK: Mr. Dontzor						
13	17	MS NEWBURY	MS FLAMAN:						
13	24-26	the MAC When we looked at	the MACC When we looked at						
14	24-20	facilities abatement we used the long-	facilities abatement we used the long-						
		term carbon price forecast And also	term carbon price forecast And also						
		when we looked at DSM we used the	when we looked at DSM we used the						
		MAC and	MACC and						
16	25	where we have set our line to that.							
			that.						
43	1	states that a single province-wide	states that a single province-wide						
		map will provide the gas	MACC will provide the gas						
54	7	costs in the customer facility	costs in the customer and facility						
		deferral accounts	deferral accounts						
90	13	conservation, is exactly what we	conservation, is exactly what we						
100		did under GIFF where we	did under GIF where we						
100	5	MK. PANTSER:	MK. DANTZER:						
109	25	that compressor fuel, USG, and	\dots that compressor fuel, UFG, and huilding heating?						
105	0	Duilding neating?	Duilding heating?						
125	ð	MIK. IKUFIM-BKEUEK: Yes, so	WIK. IKUFIM-BKEUEK: Yes, so						
		nincro-generation or micro CHB	micro-generation or micro CHP						
		refers	101018						

Page	Line(s)	As Stated	Correction
134	21	MR. TROFIM-BREUER:	MR. DANTZER:
156	7	initiatives specific to cap-and-trade,	initiatives specific to cap-and-trade,
		they are let out of	they are led out of
190	11	MR. BRETT:	MS. NEWBURY:
193	1	discussed is sun of the scenarios	discussed is one of the scenarios
		within that where Ontario	within that where Ontario

Yours truly,

[Original signed by]

Adam Stiers Manager, Regulatory Initiatives

c.c.: EB-2017-0255 Parties (by email) Myriam Seers, Torys (by email) Crawford Smith, Torys (by email) Valerie Bennett, OEB (by email) Ljuba Djurdjevic, OEB (by email) Lawren Murray, OEB (by email)

Undertaking	Per Transcript	Adjusted
JT1.2	TO UPDATE THE LARGE VOLUME	TO UPDATE THE LARGE VOLUME
	PROGRAM TABLE IN ED4 TO	PROGRAM TABLE IN EXHIBIT
	INCLUDE AN ESTIMATE FOR THE	B.ED.24, ATTACHMENT A, TO
	VALUE OF AVOIDED NATURAL	INCLUDE AN ESTIMATE FOR THE
	GAS COSTS	VALUE OF AVOIDED NATURAL
		GAS COSTS.
JT1.3.1	N/A	TO ADD A ROW TO SHOW THE
		COST TO CUSTOMERS OVER TIME,
		AS IF THE PROGRAM
		ADMINISTRATOR COSTS WERE
		ADDED TO KATE BASE IN 2018 SO
		THE DENEEITS, TAVEN UNDED
		ADVISEMENT
IT1 4	TO DISCUSS OPTIONS AVAILABLE	TO DETERMINE WHETHER THERE
511.4	TO UNION TO FINANCE	IS ANYTHING TO ADD TO THE
	CONSERVATION FUNDING OVER	RESPONSE TO EXHIBIT B ED 25/26
	TIME. WHETHER THAT BE	WITH RESPECT TO DISCUSSING
	THROUGH DEBT EQUITY OR	OPTIONS AVAILABLE TO UNION TO
	OTHERWISE; TAKEN UNDER	FINANCE CONSERVATION
	ADVISEMENT	FUNDING OVER TIME, WHETHER
		THAT BE THROUGH DEBT OR
JT1.5	TO DETERMINE WHETHER THERE	EQUITY OR OTHERWISE; TAKEN
	IS ANYTHING TO ADD TO THE	UNDER ADVISEMENT.
	RESPONSE TO EXHIBIT B.ED.25/26;	
	TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT	
JT1.6	TO PROVIDE THE TRC RATIO AND	TO PROVIDE THE TRC RATIO AND
	TRC NET BENEFITS FOR UNION'S	TRC NET BENEFITS FOR UNION'S
	2018 DSM PROGRAMS	2018 DSM PROGRAMS, IF
ITT1 0	TO PROVIDE THE ANALYSIS OF	TO PROVIDE THE END USE
J11.8	CURPENT DSM PROCEAMS THAT	IO PROVIDE THE END USE
	ADDRESSES INDUSTRIAL HVAC	ANALYSIS / FORECAST POTENTIAL
	AND THE FORECASTED POTENTIAL	OF CURRENT DSM PROGRAMS
	TO THE OPPORTUNITY THAT'S	COMPARED TO THE OPPORTUNITY
	BEEN PRESENTED IN THE MACC	THAT'S BEEN PRESENTED IN THE
		MACC AT TABLE 3, p. 15 FOR THE
		FOLLOWINHG END-USE
		CATEGORIES:
		RESEDENTIAL SPACE
		HEATING
		COMMERCIAL SPACE
		HEATING
		INDUSTRIAL HVAC
		INDUSTRIAL DIRECT
		HEATING
		INDUSTRIAL HOT WATER
		SYSTEMS
1		

Appendix A - Undertaking Adjustments

Undertaking	Per Transcript	Adjusted
JT1.11	TO FILE THE COMPLETE VERSION	TO FILE THE COMPLETE VERSION
	OF ATTACHMENT A TO B.ED.21, IF	OF ATTACHMENT A TO EXHIBT
	POSSIBLE	B.ED.21, IF POSSIBLE (SUBJECT TO
		CONFIDENTIALITY).
JT1.12	TO PROVIDE THE COMPLETE	TO FILE THE COMPLETE VERSION
	DOCUMENT IN ATTACHMENT B	OF ATTACHMENT B TO B.ED.21, IF
	INSTEAD OF EXCERPTS.	POSSIBLE (SUBJECT TO
		CONFIDENTIALITY).
JT1.13	TO PROVIDE THE UNDERLYING	TO PROVIDE THE UNDERLYING
	INFORMATION RELATING TO	INFORMATION RELATING TO
	UNION GAS'S ONTARIO EMISSION	UNION GAS' ONTARIO EMISSION
	REDUCTION FORECAST, IF IT	REDUCTION FORECAST, IF IT
	EXISTS AND IF IT IS AVAILABLE,	EXISTS AND IF IT IS AVAILABLE,
	AND TO SUMMARIZE HOW UNION	AND TO SUMMARIZE HOW UNION
	GAS'S ANALYSIS VARIES FROM	GAS' ANALYSIS VARIES FROM THE
	THE ICF ANALYSIS, TO THE	ICF ANALYSIS, TO THE EXTENT
	EXTENT THAT IT SEPARATELY	THAT IT SEPARATELY EXISTS AND
	EXISTS	IS AVAILABLE.
		WITH REFERENCE TO EXHIBIT
		B.ED.21, ATTACHMENT B, PP. 3 OF
		5, SECOND BULLET FROM BOTTOM.
JT1.15	TO PROVIDE THE SUGGESTED	TO PROVIDE THE SUGGESTED
	IDEAS AND ANALYSIS GIVEN TO	IDEAS AND ANALYSIS GIVEN TO
	THE MOE CC AND GREENON.	THE MOECC AND GREENON
		CURRENTLY NOT ON THE RECORD.
JT1.16	TO MAKE BEST EFFORTS TO	TO MAKE BEST EFFORTS TO
	BREAK OUT LIFETIME GHG	BREAK OUT LIFETIME GHG
	EMISSIONS REDUCTIONS FROM	EMISSIONS REDUCTIONS FROM
	2018 DSM PROGRAMS, CAPPED	2018 DSM PROGRAMS, CAPPED
	VERSUS UNCAPPED.	VERSUS UNCAPPED.
		WITH REFERENCE TO THE TABLE
		IN EXHIBIT B.ED.24, ATTACHMENT
		A.
JT1.17	TO PROFIDE THE MATERIAL	TO PROVIDE ANY WORK PLAN
	SHOWING HOW UNION CAME UP	DOCUMENTS FOR ALL STAGE 2
	WITH THE \$535,000 BUDGET WITH	INITIATIVES IN THE FUNNEL.
	RESPECT TO MICRO-GENERATION	
		WITH REFERENCE TO EXHIBIT
		B.STAFF.21.

Undertaking	Per Transcript	Adjusted
JT1.23	TO ADVISE, IF UNION WERE TO	TO ADVISE, IF UNION WERE TO
	PURCHASE 3.4 PETAJOULES FOR	PURCHASE 3.4 PETAJOULES FOR
	ITS FACILITY-RELATED FUEL	ITS FACILITY-RELATED FUEL
	REQUIREMENTS AS PART OF THE	REQUIREMENTS AS PART OF THE
	4.9 PETAJOULES OF RNG THAT IT	4.9 PETAJOULES OF RNG THAT IT
	EXPECTS TO PURCHASE, HOW	EXPECTS TO PURCHASE, HOW
	WOULD THAT IMPACT WHO WAS	WOULD THAT IMPACT WHO WAS
	AT RISK FOR THE VARIANCES IN	AT RISK FOR THE VARIANCES IN
	THE COST OF GAS AND IN THE	THE COST OF GAS AND IN THE
	COST OF CARBON.	COST OF CARBON.
		WITH REFERENCE TO EXHIBIT
		B.LPMA.14.
JT1.24	TO ADVISE WHETHER IT IS	WITH RESPECT TO THE IPCC WG1
	CORRECT THAT WHEN THE	FIFTH ASSESSMENT REPORT, CH. 8,
	EMISSIONS ARE NETTED OUT	P. 714 DATED 2013 REFERRED TO
	OVER TIME DUE TO REGROWTH OF	AT FRPO.1, COMMENT ON
	THE BIOMASS, THAT ABSORBS	WHETHER UNION'S
	CARBON DIOXIDE FROM THE	UNDERSTANDING OF THIS
	ATMOSPHERE; ALSO, TO EXPLAIN	SECTION OF THE REPORT IS THAT
	HOW THIS PHENOMENON OCCURS	THE EMISSIONS ARE NETTED OUT
	FOR HUMAN OR ANIMAL WASTE	OVER TIME DUE TO REGROWTH OF
	BEING CONVERTED TO METHANE	THE BIOMASS THAT ABSORBS
	FOR THE PURPOSES OF RNG.	CARBON DIOXIDE FROM THE
		ATMOSPHERE.
		TO THE EXTENT THE
		INFORMATION EXISTS,
		TO ADVISE WHETHER IT IS
		CORRECT THAT WHEN THE
		EMISSIONS ARE NETTED OUT
		OVER TIME DUE TO REGROWTH OF
		THE BIOMASS, THAT ABSORBS
		CARBON DIOXIDE FROM THE
		ATMOSPHERE; ALSO, TO EXPLAIN
		HOW THIS PHENOMENON OCCURS
		FOR HUMAN OR ANIMAL WASTE
		BEING CONVERTED TO METHANE
		FOR THE PURPOSES OF RNG.
JT1.25	TO ADVISE IF THE RESPONSE IS	TO PROVIDE THE ALL-IN TOTAL
	ANY DIFFERENT IF THE	COST OF RNG ABSENT
	REFERENCE POINT IS SOMETHING	GOVERNMENT FUNDING, BASED
	OTHER THAN THE STAFF.6	ON THE ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE
	EXAMPLE	IN BOARD STAFF 6, AND TO
		ADVISE IF THE RESPONSE IS ANY
		DIFFERENT IF THE REFERENCE
		POINT IS SOMETHING OTHER THAN
		THE STAFF.6 EXAMPLE.

Undertaking	Per Transcript	Adjusted
JT1.26	BASED ON THE EXAMPLE IN	PROVIDE THE CURRENT RANGE OF
	BOARD STAFF 6, TO PROVIDE THE	ALL-IN COST OF RNG SUBMITTED
	CURRENT FORECAST FOR THE	THROUGH THE RFP PROCESS,
	ALL-IN TOTAL COST OF RNG,	SUBJECT TO MAINTAINING
	ABSENT GOVERNMENT FUNDING;	CONFIDENTIALITY.
	IF THERE IS A RANGE, TO PROVIDE	
	THE RANGE OF THE ACTUAL COST;	
	DESTRAINTS AND PROTOCOLS IE	
	ANY	
JT1.28	[NOT USED]	DETERMINE WHETHER A
		CALCULATION CAN BE DONE TO
		DETERMINE HOW MUCH NATURAL
		GAS WOULD NEED TO BE IN THE
		GENERATION STACK TO BE ABLE
		TO MAKE THE STATEMENT THAT
		CHP IS EMISSION-NEUTRAL IN THE
		GENERATION OF HEAT AND
		POWER.
		PROVIDE THE CALCULATION IF IT
		CAN BE DONE: TAKEN UNDER
		ADVISEMENT.
JT1.29	TO PROVIDE THE ACTUAL	TO PROVIDE THE ACTUAL
	VOLUMES BY RATE CLASS FOR	VOLUMES BY RATE CLASS FOR
	THE LAST THREE YEARS AND THE	THE LAST THREE YEARS (2015,2016
	THREE-YEAR AVERAGE AND	AND 2017) AND THE THREE-YEAR
	ADVISE HOW THEY CAME UP WITH	AVERAGE AND ADVISE HOW THEY
	THE FORECAST.	CAME UP WITH THE FORECAST.
JT1.31	TO PROVIDE THE RESEARCH	TO PROVIDE THE RESEARCH
	AND/OR RELATED DATA FOR	AND/OR RELATED DATA FOR
	PHASE 1 AND PHASE 2 MICRO-	PHASE 1 AND PHASE 2 MICRO-
	GENERATION INITIATIVES; AND IF	GENERATION INITIATIVES (EVEN
	NOT, TO ADVISE WHY NOT	IF THEY DO NOT CONTINUE TO
		WHY NOT PROVIDED
JT1.34	TO FILE THE DOCUMENT	TO FILE THE CONCENTRIC ENERGY
511.51	REFERRED TO IN IR BOARD STAFF	ADVISORS DOCUMENT REFERRED
	21f	TO IN IR BOARD STAFF 21 F.
JT1.35	TO CONFIRM WHETHER THE HOME	N/A – Taken subject to check per p.176,
(First instance -	WEATHERIZATION PROGRAM FOR	lines 4 - 25
p. 176)	LOW-INCOME QUALIFIED	
	CUSTOMERS IS AVAILABLE TO	
	BOTH RENTERS AND	
	HOMEOWNERS	

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Mr. Dantzer <u>To Mr. Elson</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.8

TO PROVIDE ANY CARBON PRICE FORECASTS IN UNION'S POSSESSION THAT COVER YEARS BEYOND 2028.

Response:

Union does not have in its possession any publicly available forecast of carbon prices that extends beyond 2028.

Union does have in its possession a California Carbon 2030 Carbon Price Forecast, but does not have permission to disclose the forecast publicly.

Before filing this forecast, Union would need permission from the forecast provider. Union has requested this permission. If permission is granted, Union will update its response to this Undertaking to provide a copy of the forecast as Exhibit JT1.1, Attachment A.

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Mr. Ginis <u>To Mr. Elson</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.11

TO UPDATE THE LARGE VOLUME PROGRAM TABLE IN EXHIBIT B.ED.24, ATTACHMENT A, TO INCLUDE AN ESTIMATE FOR THE VALUE OF AVOIDED NATURAL GAS COSTS.

Response:

Please see Attachment A for an updated version of Union's original response at Exhibit B.ED.24 Attachment A. Union has updated this response by adding the following information:

- Inclusion of estimates for the value of avoided natural gas costs;
- Inclusion of total 2018 DSM costs (program administration); and,
- An estimate of GHG emissions reductions between capped and non-capped customers.

The information has been organized in a manner consistent with Union's original response at Exhibit B.ED.24:

- 2018 DSM Residential Sector Forecasts Residential program and Low Income program (single family only)
- 2018 DSM Commercial/Industrial Sector Forecasts Commercial/Industrial program, Performance-Based program, and Low Income program (multi-family only)
- 2018 DSM Large Volume Sector Forecasts Large Volume program

Notes:

- Union utilized the Mid-Range LTCPF for all tables. The LTCPF is available to 2028 only; for years beyond 2028 Union assumed the 2028 price.
- Union utilized the estimated measure lives below, based on the typical measure life for the measures in the programs within each sector.
 - *Residential sector 25 years*
 - Commercial/Industrial sector 17 years
 - Large Volume sector 12 years
- *Capped vs. non-capped values are estimates only.*
- Avoided natural gas costs are based on draft 2017 DSM avoided costs, and are subject to change.

Filed: 2018-04-16 EB-2017-0255 Exhibit JT1.2 Attachment A Page 1 of 1

												2018 DSM Re	sidential Sector	Forecasts													
		2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	Total Lifetime Savings
Forecast annual gas savings (m3)		7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	184,954,250
Forecast avoided natural gas (Real 2017 CAD)	\$	0.1269 \$	\$ 0.1291	\$ 0.1325	\$ 0.1290	\$ 0.1389	\$ 0.1389	\$ 0.1429	\$ 0.1533	\$ 0.1663	\$ 0.1663	\$ 0.1729	\$ 0.1740 \$	0.1883	\$ 0.1988	\$ 0.2119 \$	\$ 0.2101	\$ 0.2127	\$ 0.2180	\$ 0.2245	\$ 0.2312	\$ 0.2381 \$	0.2453 \$	0.2526	\$ 0.2602 \$	0.2680	Not Applicable
Forecast annual GHG reduction (t co2e)		13,872	13,872	13,872	13,872	13,872	13,872	13,872	13,872	13,872	13,872	13,872	13,872	13,872	13,872	13,872	13,872	13,872	13,872	13,872	13,872	13,872	13,872	13,872	13,872	13,872	346,789
Forecast carbon price (Mid-Range LTCPF)	\$	17 \$	\$ 18	\$ 18	\$ 19	\$ 20	\$ 21	\$ 31	\$ 36	\$ 43	\$ 50	\$ 57	\$ 57 \$	57	\$ 57	\$ 57 5	\$ 57 3	\$ 57	\$ 57	\$ 57	\$ 57	\$ 57 \$	57 \$	57	\$ 57 \$	57	Not Applicable
Value of natural gas reduction	\$	939,133 \$	\$ 955,472	\$ 980,440	\$ 954,705	\$ 1,027,686	\$ 1,027,447	\$ 1,057,153	\$ 1,134,362	\$ 1,230,592	\$ 1,230,531	\$ 1,278,867	\$ 1,287,614 \$	1,392,990	\$ 1,471,029	\$ 1,567,737	\$ 1,554,562	\$ 1,573,512	\$ 1,612,968	\$ 1,661,094	\$ 1,710,698	\$ 1,761,828 \$	1,814,530 \$	1,868,853	\$ 1,924,850 \$	1,982,572	\$ 35,001,223
Value of GHG reduction	\$	235,817 \$	\$ 249,688	\$ 249,688	\$ 263,560	\$ 277,431	\$ 291,303	\$ 430,019	\$ 499,376	\$ 596,477	\$ 693,578	\$ 790,679	\$ 790,679 \$	790,679	\$ 790,679	\$ 790,679	\$ 790,679	\$ 790,679	\$ 790,679	\$ 790,679	\$ 790,679	\$ 790,679 \$	790,679 \$	790,679	\$ 790,679 \$	790,679	\$ 15,647,130
Assumption of volumes from non-capped customer	rs	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	Not Applicable
Value of GHG reduction from non-capped custome	rs \$	235,817 \$	\$ 249,688	\$ 249,688	\$ 263,560	\$ 277,431	\$ 291,303	\$ 430,019	\$ 499,376	\$ 596,477	\$ 693,578	\$ 790,679	\$ 790,679 \$	790,679	\$ 790,679	\$ 790,679	\$ 790,679	\$ 790,679	\$ 790,679	\$ 790,679	\$ 790,679	\$ 790,679 \$	790,679 \$	790,679	\$ 790,679 \$	790,679	\$ 15,647,130
Value of GHG reduction from non-capped custome	rs																										
+ Value of natural gas reduction	\$	1,174,949	\$ 1,205,161	\$ 1,230,129	\$ 1,218,265	\$ 1,305,117	\$ 1,318,750	\$ 1,487,172	\$ 1,633,738	\$ 1,827,070	\$ 1,924,109	\$ 2,069,546	\$ 2,078,293 \$	2,183,669	\$ 2,261,708	\$ 2,358,416 \$	\$ 2,345,242	\$ 2,364,191	\$ 2,403,647	\$ 2,451,773	\$ 2,501,378	\$ 2,552,507 \$	2,605,209 \$	2,659,533	\$ 2,715,529 \$	2,773,251	\$ 50,648,353

											20	018 DSM Comme	cial/Industrial	Sector Forecas	ts												
		2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	Total Lifetime Savings
Forecast annual gas savings (m3)		72,138,369	72,138,369	72,138,369	72,138,369	72,138,369	72,138,369	72,138,369	72,138,369	72,138,369	72,138,369	72,138,369	72,138,369	72,138,369	72,138,369	72,138,369	72,138,369	72,138,369									1,226,352,273
Forecast avoided natural gas (Real 2017 CAD)	\$	0.1269	\$ 0.1291	\$ 0.1325	\$ 0.1290	\$ 0.1389	\$ 0.1389	\$ 0.1429	\$ 0.1533	\$ 0.1663	\$ 0.1663	\$ 0.1729	\$ 0.1740	\$ 0.1883	\$ 0.1988	\$ 0.2119	\$ 0.2101	\$ 0.2127									Not Applicable
Forecast annual GHG reduction (t co2e)		135,259	135,259	135,259	135,259	135,259	135,259	135,259	135,259	135,259	135,259	135,259	135,259	135,259	135,259	135,259	135,259	135,259									2,299,411
Forecast carbon price (Mid-Range LTCPF)	\$	17	\$ 18	\$ 18	\$ 19	\$ 20	\$ 21	\$ 31	\$ 36	\$ 43	\$ 50	\$ 57	\$ 57	\$ 57	\$ 57	\$ 57	\$ 57	\$ 57									Not Applicable
Value of natural gas reduction	\$	9,157,332	\$ 9,316,659	\$ 9,560,117	\$ 9,309,173	\$ 10,020,798	\$ 10,018,470	\$ 10,308,131	\$ 11,060,979	\$ 11,999,310	\$ 11,998,707	\$ 12,470,028	\$ 12,555,314	\$ 13,582,819	\$ 14,343,767	\$ 15,286,748	\$ 15,158,288	\$ 15,343,060									\$ 201,489,701
Value of GHG reduction	\$	2,299,411	\$ 2,434,670	\$ 2,434,670	\$ 2,569,929	\$ 2,705,189	\$ 2,840,448	\$ 4,193,043	\$ 4,869,340	\$ 5,816,156	\$ 6,762,972	\$ 7,709,788	\$ 7,709,788	\$ 7,709,788	\$ 7,709,788	\$ 7,709,788	\$ 7,709,788	\$ 7,709,788									\$ 90,894,345
Assumption of volumes from non-capped customer		65%	65%	65%	65%	65%	65%	65%	65%	65%	65%	65%	65%	65%	65%	65%	65%	65%									Not Applicable
Value of GHG reduction from non-capped customer	\$	1,494,617	\$ 1,582,535	\$ 1,582,535	\$ 1,670,454	\$ 1,758,373	\$ 1,846,291	\$ 2,725,478	\$ 3,165,071	\$ 3,780,501	\$ 4,395,932	\$ 5,011,362	\$ 5,011,362	\$ 5,011,362	\$ 5,011,362	\$ 5,011,362	\$ 5,011,362	\$ 5,011,362									\$ 59,081,324
Value of GHG reduction from non-capped customer	5																										
+ Value of natural gas reduction	\$	10,651,948	\$ 10,899,194	\$ 11,142,653	\$ 10,979,627	\$ 11,779,170	\$ 11,864,762	\$ 13,033,609	\$ 14,226,050	\$ 15,779,811	\$ 16,394,639	\$ 17,481,390	\$ 17,566,677	\$ 18,594,182	\$ 19,355,129	\$ 20,298,110	\$ 20,169,651	\$ 20,354,422									\$ 260,571,025

											2018 DSM La	rge Volume Secto	or Forecasts													
	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	Total Lifetime Savings
Forecast annual gas savings (m3)	83,549,330	83,549,330	83,549,330	83,549,330	83,549,330	83,549,330	83,549,330	83,549,330	83,549,330	83,549,330	83,549,330	83,549,330														1,002,591,963
Forecast avoided natural gas (Real 2017 CAD)	\$ 0.1251	\$ 0.1305	\$ 0.1339	\$ 0.1298	\$ 0.1405	\$ 0.1402	\$ 0.1444	\$ 0.1557	0.1699	\$ 0.1695	\$ 0.1765	\$ 0.1775														Not Applicable
Forecast annual GHG reduction (t co2e)	156,655	156,655	156,655	156,655	156,655	156,655	156,655	156,655	156,655	156,655	156,655	156,655														1,879,860
Forecast carbon price (Mid-Range LTCPF)	\$ 17	\$ 18	\$ 18	\$ 19	\$ 20	\$ 21	\$ 31	\$ 36	\$ 43	\$ 50	\$ 57	\$ 57														Not Applicable
Value of natural gas reduction	\$ 10,455,461	\$ 10,899,285	\$ 11,191,001	\$ 10,846,060	\$ 11,739,381	\$ 11,713,496	\$ 12,062,908	\$ 13,007,919	\$ 14,191,150	\$ 14,165,617	\$ 14,746,896	\$ 14,830,901														\$ 149,850,074
Value of GHG reduction	\$ 2,663,135	\$ 2,819,790	\$ 2,819,790	\$ 2,976,445	\$ 3,133,100	\$ 3,289,755	\$ 4,856,305	\$ 5,639,580	6,736,165	\$ 7,832,750	\$ 8,929,335	\$ 8,929,335														\$ 60,625,483
Assumption of volumes from non-capped customers	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%														Not Applicable
Value of GHG reduction from non-capped customers	\$ 665,784	\$ 704,947	\$ 704,947	\$ 744,111	\$ 783,275	\$ 822,439	\$ 1,214,076	\$ 1,409,895	5 1,684,041	\$ 1,958,187	\$ 2,232,334	\$ 2,232,334														\$ 15,156,371
Value of GHG reduction from non-capped customers																										
+ Value of natural gas reduction	\$ 11,121,245	\$ 11,604,232	\$ 11,895,949	\$ 11,590,171	\$ 12,522,656	\$ 12,535,935	\$ 13,276,984	\$ 14,417,814	\$ 15,875,191	\$ 16,123,804	\$ 16,979,230	\$ 17,063,235														\$ 165,006,445

2018 Total DSM Forecasts																										
	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	Total Lifetime Savings
Forecast annual gas savings (m3)	163,085,869	163,085,869	163,085,869	163,085,869	163,085,869	163,085,869	163,085,869	163,085,869	163,085,869	163,085,869	163,085,869	163,085,869	79,536,539	79,536,539	79,536,539	79,536,539	79,536,539	7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	7,398,170	2,413,898,486
Forecast annual GHG reduction (t co2e)	305,786	305,786	305,786	305,786	305,786	305,786	305,786	305,786	305,786	305,786	305,786	305,786	149,131	149,131	149,131	149,131	149,131	13,872	13,872	13,872	13,872	13,872	13,872	13,872	13,872	4,526,060
Value of natural gas reduction	\$ 20,551,925	\$ 21,171,416	\$ 21,731,559	\$ 21,109,938	\$ 22,787,864	\$ 22,759,413	\$ 23,428,192	\$ 25,203,260	\$ 27,421,052	\$ 27,394,855	\$ 28,495,791	\$ 28,673,830	\$ 14,975,809	\$ 15,814,796	\$ 16,854,485	\$ 16,712,851	\$ 16,916,572	\$ 1,612,968	\$ 1,661,094	\$ 1,710,698	\$ 1,761,828	\$ 1,814,530	\$ 1,868,853	\$ 1,924,850 \$	1,982,572	\$ 386,340,999
Value of GHG reduction	\$ 5,198,362	\$ 5,504,148	\$ 5,504,148	\$ 5,809,934	\$ 6,115,720	\$ 6,421,506	\$ 9,479,366	\$ 11,008,296	\$ 13,148,798	\$ 15,289,300	\$ 17,429,802	\$ 17,429,802	\$ 8,500,468	\$ 8,500,468	\$ 8,500,468	\$ 8,500,468	\$ 8,500,468	\$ 790,679	\$ 790,679	\$ 790,679	\$ 790,679	\$ 790,679	\$ 790,679	\$ 790,679 \$	790,679	\$ 167,166,957
Value of GHG reduction from non-capped customers	\$ 2,396,217	\$ 2,537,171	\$ 2,537,171	\$ 2,678,125	\$ 2,819,079	\$ 2,960,033	\$ 4,369,573	\$ 5,074,342	\$ 6,061,020	\$ 7,047,698	\$ 8,034,375	\$ 8,034,375	\$ 5,802,042	\$ 5,802,042	\$ 5,802,042	\$ 5,802,042	\$ 5,802,042	\$ 790,679	\$ 790,679	\$ 790,679	\$ 790,679	\$ 790,679	\$ 790,679	\$ 790,679	790,679	\$ 89,884,824
Value of GHG reduction from non-capped customers																										
+ Value of natural gas reduction	\$ 22,948,143	\$ 23,708,587	\$ 24,268,730	\$ 23,788,063	\$ 25,606,943	\$ 25,719,446	\$ 27,797,765	\$ 30,277,602	\$ 33,482,072	\$ 34,442,553	\$ 36,530,167	\$ 36,708,205	\$ 20,777,851	\$ 21,616,838	\$ 22,656,526	\$ 22,514,892	\$ 22,718,613	\$ 2,403,647	\$ 2,451,773	\$ 2,501,378	\$ 2,552,507	\$ 2,605,209	\$ 2,659,533	\$ 2,715,529	2,773,251	\$ 476,225,823
Total Forecast DSM Costs	\$ 63,272,305	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ - :	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$ - \$	-	\$ 63,272,305

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Mr. Ginis <u>To Mr. Elson</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.12

TO ADD A ROW TO THE TABLE AT THE BOTTOM OF ATTACHMENT A, EXHIBIT B.ED.24 SHOWING THE PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH CARBON AND GAS REDUCTIONS.

Response:

Please see the response to Exhibit JT1.2.

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Mr. Ginis <u>To Mr. Elson</u>

Reference: Tr.1, pp.12-13

TO ADD A ROW TO SHOW THE COST TO CUSTOMERS OVER TIME, AS IF THE PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR COSTS WERE ADDED TO RATE BASE IN 2018 SO THAT IT WILL FLOW MATCHING THE BENEFITS; TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT.

Response:

Union's treatment of program administrator costs is consistent with its historic practice and with OEB-approved methodologies. Including 2018 DSM program administrator costs in rate base is not an issue within the scope of the EB-2017-0255 2018 Cap-and-Trade Compliance Plan proceeding. Therefore, Union refuses to provide the requested information.

Filed: 2018-04-16 EB-2017-0255 Exhibit JT1.4 and JT1.5

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Ms. Flaman <u>To Mr. Elson</u>

<u>Reference:</u> Tr.1, pp. 20 - 21

TO DETERMINE WHETHER THERE IS ANYTHING TO ADD TO THE RESPONSE TO EXHIBIT B.ED.25/26 WITH RESPECT TO DISCUSSING OPTIONS AVAILABLE TO UNION TO FINANCE CONSERVATION FUNDING OVER TIME, WHETHER THAT BE THROUGH DEBT OR EQUITY OR OTHERWISE; TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT.

Response:

Union has determined that there is nothing to add to its original responses at Exhibit B.ED.25 and at Exhibit B.ED.26. Union has not investigated financing options for conservation funding over time as such an investigation falls outside the scope of Union's 2018 Compliance Plan proceeding. Section 3.1 of the Framework specifies that the OEB's assessment of the reasonableness of Compliance Plan costs is guided in part by the principle of "Cost Recovery: prudently incurred costs related to cap and trade activities are recovered from customers as a cost pass-through".¹

¹ EB-2015-0363, Framework, p.7.

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Mr. Ginis <u>To Mr. Elson</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.31

TO PROVIDE THE TRC RATIO AND TRC NET BENEFITS FOR UNION'S 2018 DSM PROGRAMS, IF AVAILABLE.

Response:

The estimated TRC Plus forecasts for Union's 2018 DSM programs are provided in Table 1 below. Please note that all figures are current estimates only, and that TRC-Plus forecasts are based on draft 2017 DSM avoided costs and as such are subject to change.

Table 1												
Program	Forecast 2018 TRC-Plus	Forecast 2018 TRC-Plus Ratio										
Residential	-\$2.7M	0.9										
Commercial/Industrial	\$36.7M	1.3										
Low Income	-\$6.2M	0.5										
Large Volume	\$74.0M	4.9										
Performance Based Conservation ¹	\$0.1M	1.4										

¹ TRC forecast for the Performance Based Conservation program is only available for the 2017 program year, therefore 2017 figures are provided.

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Mr. Ginis <u>To Mr. Elson</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p. 33

TO LOOK FOR AND PROVIDE IF POSSIBLE THE NET BENEFITS FORECAST OR PLANNED FOR THE GREEN INVESTMENT FUND, AND THE COST/BENEFIT RATIO OF THOSE PROGRAMS.

Response:

Union confirms it has not calculated the Net Benefits forecast or planned for the Green Investment Fund and does not have the cost/benefit ratio of those programs because the programs include homes heated by propane, oil or wood, and Union does not maintain avoided costs for those fuel types. Further, as part of its contract with the Ministry of Energy to provide these programs Union is not required to calculate TRC.

Filed: 2018-04-16 EB-2017-0255 Exhibit JT1.8 Page 1 of 2

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Mr. Ginis To Mr. Wasylyk

Reference: Tr.1, p.53

TO PROVIDE THE END USE ANALYSIS / FORECAST POTENTIAL OF CURRENT DSM PROGRAMS COMPARED TO THE OPPORTUNITY THAT'S BEEN PRESENTED IN THE MACC AT TABLE 3, p. 15 FOR THE FOLLOWINHG END-USE CATEGORIES:

- RESIDENTIAL SPACE HEATING
- COMMERCIAL SPACE HEATING
- INDUSTRIAL HVAC
- INDUSTRIAL DIRECT HEATING
- INDUSTRIAL HOT WATER SYSTEMS

Response:

Residential:

Union is not able to separate residential space heating from residential domestic hot water within its DSM forecasts, as the forecasts from Union's home retrofit programs are determined at a whole-home level and not by measure or end-use. For the purpose of this analysis, Union is comparing the total end-use abatement opportunity identified in the MACC for both residential space heating and residential domestic hot water to Union's residential Home Reno Rebate offering, Low-Income Home Weatherization offering, Furnace End-of-Life offering, and Indigenous offering.

			Table 1			
MACC End- Use Category	MACC Estimated 2018-2020 Abatement (million m3)	MACC Estimated Cost Effective Abatement (%)	MACC 2018-2020 Cost Effective Abatement (million m3)	Net-to-Gross Adjustment	Franchise Area Adjustment	MACC UG- specific 2018-2020 Cost Effective Abatement (million m ³)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d) = (b) x (c)	(e)	(f)	(g) = (d) x (e) x (f)
Residential Space Heating	122	65%	79	0.95	38%	29
Residential Domestic Hot Water	7	57%	4	0.95	38%	1
TOTAL	129	N/A	83	N/A	N/A	30

Filed: 2018-04-16 EB-2017-0255 Exhibit JT1.8 <u>Page 2 of 2</u>

The total Union-specific end-use abatement opportunity identified in the MACC for both residential space heating and residential domestic hot water is 30 million m³ for 2018-2020. This is comparable to Union's 2018-2020 DSM forecast of 20 million m3 for 2018-2020 provided at Exhibit B.Staff.31 c) ii).

It should be noted that for the purpose of this analysis, Union assumed 100% achievement of its DSM forecasts for the residential Home Reno Rebate offering, which was set at 5,000 homes in Union's 2015-2020 DSM Plan (Exhibit A, Tab 3, Appendix A, p. 14, Table 3). Since Union's 2015-2020 DSM Plan was filed:

- Union has been able to drive increased levels of participation, and therefore increased levels of savings, beyond the company's original 2015-2020 DSM Plan forecasts, which is expected to continue into 2018, 2019 and 2020.
- The provincial government is expected to fund energy conservation programs at an estimated value of \$2 billion to \$4 billion from 2017 to 2020, of which at least two programs have already been launched in the residential sector, targeting the residential space heating end-use segment.

Commercial/Industrial:

Approximately 75% of Union's forecasted Commercial/Industrial DSM savings for 2018-2020 is expected to be driven from custom projects, which are not forecasted by measure or end-use. Therefore an analysis by end-use category is not possible for this segment. Please refer to Exhibit B.Staff.31 b) for the overall Commercial/Industrial segment savings comparison, and the Commercial/Industrial measure list comparison.

Filed: 2018-04-16 EB-2017-0255 Exhibit JT1.9 Page 1 of 2

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Ms. Newbury <u>To Ms. Klein</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.60

TO UPDATE THE INTERROGATORY RESPONSE TO EXPLAIN THE ACCOUNTING OF THE FIXED PRICE OF RNG AND HOW THE DIFFERENCES WILL BE TREATED WITH RESPECT TO THE COST OF GAS AND THE COST OF CARBON.

Response:

Union's interrogatory responses related to the RNG funding mechanism do not require updating as they accurately reflect the accounting clarification requested through this undertaking.

As discussed at Exhibit B.Staff.6, the proposed RNG mechanism consists of three cost categories:

- 1. Gas cost forecast
- 2. Carbon cost forecast
- 3. RNG premium

Each of these costs are fixed for the term of the contract and in total equal the RNG contract price which is also fixed for the term of the contract. Fixing the RNG price and each of the cost components will ensure sufficient funding for the full term of the RNG contract.

The gas and carbon costs will be fixed based on the most recent forecast available at the time each RNG contract is finalized and will equal the cost to ratepayers for the term of the contract. The gas cost component of the RNG contract will be included in Union's gas supply costs and the carbon cost component of the RNG contract will be included in Union's actual cost of carbon.

If the gas cost component of the contracted RNG price is different than the Reference Price set in rates each quarter as part of the QRAM process, the difference between the gas cost component of the RNG contracted price and the Reference Price will be recovered or refunded in PGVA deferrals as a prospective adjustment in each QRAM.

The difference between the Cap-and-Trade rate and the carbon cost component of the contracted RNG price will be reflected in the customer and facility-related Cap-and-Trade deferral accounts on an ongoing basis as Union does not make prospective recovery adjustments for these deferral accounts.

Filed: 2018-04-16 EB-2017-0255 Exhibit JT1.9 Page 2 of 2

Finally, the RNG premium will be funded by the government. Union will ensure that the funding is guaranteed prior to entering into RNG purchase agreements with suppliers and will allocate the funding at a contract level to ensure it is sufficient to meet the full term of the contract.

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Ms. Flaman <u>To Mr. Elson</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.65

TO MAKE BEST EFFORTS TO RECALCULATE THE PAC AND TRC NET BENEFITS, ADDING THE LONG-TERM CARBON PRICE FORECAST TO ENSURE THE BENEFITS OF AVOIDED COST OF CARBON IS CAPTURED; IN OTHER WORDS, TO IMPLEMENT THAT SUGGESTION THAT WE HAD DISCUSSED FROM GEC 22.

Response:

The estimated TRC-Plus forecasts for Union's 2018 DSM programs, including the mid-range LTCPF are provided in Table 1 below. Please note that all figures are current estimates only, and that TRC-Plus forecasts are based on draft 2017 DSM avoided costs and as such are subject to change.

	Table 1	
Program	Forecast 2018 TRC-	Forecast 2018 TRC-Plus +
	Plus + LTCPF	LTCPF Ratio
Residential	\$2.2M	1.1
Commercial/Industrial	\$77.2M	1.7
Low Income	-\$4.1M	0.7
Large Volume	\$107.8M	6.7
Performance Based Conservation ¹	\$0.1M	1.7

¹ TRC forecast for the Performance Based Conservation program is only available for the 2017 program year, therefore 2017 figures are provided.

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Ms. Flaman <u>To Mr. Elson</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.66

TO FILE THE COMPLETE VERSION OF ATTACHMENT A TO EXHIBT B.ED.21, IF POSSIBLE (SUBJECT TO CONFIDENTIALITY).

Response:

The complete version of Exhibit B.ED.21 Attachment A was previously filed as part of EB-2016-0004 at Exhibit S3.EGDI.OGA.3.

Please use the follow link to access the document: http://www.rds.oeb.ca/HPECMWebDrawer/Record/526018/File/document

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Ms. Flaman <u>To Mr. Elson</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.67

TO FILE THE COMPLETE VERSION OF ATTACHMENT B TO B.ED.21, IF POSSIBLE (SUBJECT TO CONFIDENTIALITY).

Response:

This presentation was created by a third party consultant ICF. Union has not been granted permission to file this presentation publicly by ICF International as it contains commercially sensitive materials. Accordingly, Union has provided the requested presentation (Exhibit JT1.12, Attachment A) to the Board in confidence under separate cover due to commercial sensitivity in accordance with the Board's Practice Guidelines on Confidential Filings and Rule 10 of the Board's Rules of Practice and Procedures.

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Ms. Flaman <u>To Mr. Elson</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.71

TO PROVIDE THE UNDERLYING INFORMATION RELATING TO UNION GAS' ONTARIO EMISSION REDUCTION FORECAST, IF IT EXISTS AND IF IT IS AVAILABLE, AND TO SUMMARIZE HOW UNION GAS' ANALYSIS VARIES FROM THE ICF ANALYSIS, TO THE EXTENT THAT IT SEPARATELY EXISTS AND IS AVAILABLE.

WITH REFERENCE TO EXHIBIT B.ED.21, ATTACHMENT B, P. 3 OF 5, SECOND BULLET FROM BOTTOM.

Response:

Union notes that the presentations included in Exhibit B. ED. 21 were commissioned for the purpose of obtaining a general understanding of Cap-and-Trade. This included analysis of how the Ontario carbon market could develop, and an estimate of potential emission reductions that that could be required in order to meet climate change objectives. This analysis was completed in the "early days" of the Ontario Cap and Trade environment between 2015 and 2016; at this point in time Cap-and-Trade Regulations were not yet finalized and there was significant uncertainty as to how the Ontario Cap-and-Trade market would function. As a result, these presentations have limited applicability to Union's 2018 Compliance Plan proceeding given the significant developments that have ensued since their conception; including: establishment of Ontario's Cap-and-Trade Framework, the launch of Ontario's Cap-and-Trade program, and OEB-approval of Union's 2017 Compliance Plan.

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Ms. Newbury <u>To Mr. Poch</u>

<u>Reference:</u> Tr.1, pp. 81-82

TO PROVIDE THE ASSUMPTIONS USED IN ESTIMATING THE IMPACT OF GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS ON THE FORECAST OF CUSTOMER GAS USE.

Response:

The forecasting methodology does not include specific assumptions for government-funded energy conservation programs that are not administered by Union. However, the forecasting methodology does incorporate government-funded energy conservation programs that are administered by Union, such as the Green Investment Fund ("GIF"). For the 2018 forecast, 7,035,000 m³ in abatement volumes have been assumed related to the GIF. Please see Exhibit B.LPMA.25 for the specific assumptions used in this calculation.

Please see Union's application at Exhibit 2, p.2 for further detail.

Filed: 2018-04-16 EB-2017-0255 Exhibit JT1.15 Page 1 of 2

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Ms. Flaman <u>To Mr. Poch</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.85

TO PROVIDE THE SUGGESTED IDEAS AND ANALYSIS GIVEN TO THE MOECC AND GREENON CURRENTLY NOT ON THE RECORD.

Response:

Below is a list of meetings that Union, EGD and Enbridge Incorporated ("EI") held with the Ministry of Environment ("MOECC"), the Environmental Commissioner and the Green Ontario Fund ("GreenON").

	Table 1	
Date	Meeting Topic	Meeting Attendees
June 13, 2017	Low carbon/energy conservation discussion item	Assistant Deputy
	included how collaborating with Utility DSM Program	Minister of MOECC, EI
	Design/Delivery can help to maximize incremental	
	GHG reductions	
June 22, 2017	Low carbon/energy conservation discussion item	MOECC, EI
	included how collaborating with Utility DSM Program	
	Design/Delivery can help to maximize incremental	
	GHG reductions	
July 18, 2017	Low carbon/energy conservation discussion item	MOECC, EGD, EI
	included Behavioural Programs	
August 17,	GreenON RFP 128 – Proposal submission for	Union, EGD, GreenON
2017	Residential Smart Thermostat Direct Install	
September 01,	GreenON RFP 129 – Proposal submission for	EGD, Union, GreenON
2017	Residential Home Energy Expert	
September 08,	Low carbon/energy conservation discussion item	MOECC, EI
2017	included how collaborating with Utility DSM Program	
	Design/Delivery can help to maximize incremental	
	GHG reductions	
September 27,	Low carbon/energy conservation discussion item	Meeting with Secretary
2017	included how collaborating with Utility DSM Program	to Cabinet, EGD,
	Design/Delivery can help to maximize incremental	Union, EI
	GHG reductions	
October 23,	Low carbon/energy conservation discussion item	Minister of MOECC,
2017	included how collaborating with Utility DSM Program	EGD, Union, EI
	Design/Delivery can help to maximize incremental	
	GHG reductions	

	Fil	ed: 2018-04-16
	EB	3-2017-0255
	Ex	hibit JT1.15
	Pa	<u>ge 2 of 2</u>
Date	Meeting Topic	Meeting Attendees
November 10,	Low carbon/energy conservation discussion item	Enbridge hosts
2017	focused on Residential New Build Program	MOECC at a DSM
	Design/Delivery and how collaborating with existing	Savings By Design
	Utility DSM New Build Programs could help	Charrette, EGD, EI
	maximize incremental GHG reductions	
December 01,	Low carbon/energy conservation discussion including:	GreenON, IESO,
2017	Residential Building Envelope, Smart Thermostat	MOECC, EGD, Union,
	Direct Install for Low-Income Customers,	EI
	Behavioural, Indigenous, and Residential &	
	Commercial New Construction Programs – and how	
	collaborating with Utility DSM Program	
	Design/Delivery can help to maximize incremental	
	GHG reductions	
December 13,	Low carbon/energy conservation discussion including:	EGD, Union, EI
2017	the continuation of province-wide delivery of the	Presentation to the
	deep-savings home retrofit program and Behavioural	GreenON Board
	programs – and how collaborating with Utility DSM	
	Program Design/Delivery can help to maximize	
	incremental GHG reductions	
December 22,	GreenON/IESO RFP 147- Participation in proposal	Union, EGD, GreenON
2017	submission for Ontario Home Assistance Program	
January 19,	Low carbon/energy conservation discussion including:	EGD, Union, EI
2018	Indigenous and Smart Thermostat Direct Install for	Presentation to
	Low-Income Customers Programs – and how	GreenON and MOECC
	collaborating with Utility DSM Program	
	Design/Delivery can help to maximize incremental	
	GHG reductions	
February 14,	Low carbon/energy conservation discussion including:	EGD, Union, EI,
2018	Indigenous and Small/Medium Enterprise Programs -	GreenON, MOECC
	– and how collaborating with Utility DSM Program	
	Design/Delivery can help to maximize incremental	
	GHG reductions	

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Mr. Ginis <u>To Mr. Poch</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.89

TO MAKE BEST EFFORTS TO BREAK OUT LIFETIME GHG EMISSIONS REDUCTIONS FROM 2018 DSM PROGRAMS, CAPPED VERSUS UNCAPPED.

WITH REFERENCE TO THE TABLE IN EXHIBIT B.ED.24, ATTACHMENT A.

Response:

Please see the response to Exhibit JT1.2.

Filed: 2018-04-16 EB-2017-0255 Exhibit JT1.17 Page 1 of 6

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Mr. Trofim-Breuer <u>To Mr. Rubenstein</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.99

TO PROVIDE ANY WORK PLAN DOCUMENTS FOR ALL STAGE 2 INITIATIVES IN THE FUNNEL.

WITH REFERENCE TO EXHIBIT B.STAFF.21.

Response:

This Undertaking includes the work plans for all Stage 2 Initiatives. Additionally, it also provides insight for all initiatives identified in the 2018 Initiative Funnel described in Exhibit B. Staff 21 in terms of deliverables, costs, year-to-date spend and related schedules. This additional information is requested in Undertaking JT 1.33 and has been consolidated here. Stage 3 Propose and Implementation Stage related initiatives are not funded by the LCIF and therefore are not included.

Filed: 2018-04-16 EB-2017-0255 Exhibit JT1.17 <u>Page 2 of 6</u>

Stage:	Funnel Item:	Task	Workplan Deliverables	Cost	Sub Total	2018 Year To	YTD Subtotal
						Date Spend	
Stage 1	Building Skins	Pilot Project Preparation	Consulting Services, MaRS design workshop preparation	\$100,000		\$35,000	
Customer Abatement			REP preparation & process				
			See schedule for high level timelines		\$100.000		\$35,000
	Micro	Pre-screening and Assessment of new	Consulting Services (Evaluation of micro-generation	\$126.000	\$100,000	\$16,800	\$33,000
	Generation	technologies	technologies)				
			\$65,000		\$0		
			On-going activity		\$191,000		\$16,800
	Biomass	Technology Scan	Consulting Services (Government Research Agency to	\$100,000		\$0	
	Conversion (Thermo-		identify technologies and assess technology readiness levels)				
		Feedstock Study	Research and Demonstration Project (Academia-led	\$10,000		\$0	
			research to evaluate methane potential of a specific				
			biomass feedstock.) Project is pending Government				
			Funding approval.				
			See schedule for high level timelines		\$110,000		\$0
	Automatic Meter	Technology identification and integration	Union Gas employees to identify relevant technologies	\$0			
	Reading	assessment.	and assess potential.				
		Assessment of potential customer abatement	Union Gas employees to assess potential customer	\$0			
		opportunity through technology application.	abatement opportunities of technologies.				
			Schedule under development		\$0		\$0
Stage 1	Portable Blowdown	Assessment of equipment applicability to Union facilities.	Union Gas employees to identify relevant technologies and assess potential.	\$0			
Facilities Abatement	Recovery	According to f GHG omission reductions and	Union Gas amplayoos to assoss amission reductions and	¢Ω			
		project economics.	cost effectiveness.	ŞU			
			See schedule for high level timelines		\$0		\$0
	Federal Methane	Identification and assessment of potential	Union Gas led program to mesaure actual emissions for	\$0			
	Regulations	projects for facilities abatement	specific equipment.				
		Assessment of GHG emission reduction and	Union Gas employees to assess emission reductions and	\$0			
		project economics	cost effectiveness.				
						1	
			See schedule for high level timelines		\$0		\$0
Total Stage 1					\$401,000		\$51,800

Stage 1 Initiatives - Work Plan, Costs, Year-To-Date (YTD) Spend

Filed: 2018-04-16 EB-2017-0255 Exhibit JT1.17 Page 3 of 6

Image: Carbon Capture initiation and Capture initiation and Stage 2 Unchase and technical evaluation of smalliscale carbon Stage 3 Customer Abatement Ground Source GSP Road Map Union Gas employees to consult with Enhight evaluation of smalliscale carbon Stage 2 Stage 2 Ground Source GSP Road Map Union Gas employees to consult with Enhight evaluation of smalliscale carbon Stage 2 Stage 2 Ground Source GSP Road Map Union Gas employees to consult with Enhight evaluation Stage 2 Stage 2 Stage 2 MURB Plot Project Initiation Consultant Services (Geographical, Geological and GitPP Stage 2 Stage 2 Multiple and Monitoring of ENB PIG Plot Union Gas employees to consult with Enhight evaluation of stage 2 Stage 2 Stage 2 Stage 2 Potential Demonstration Concept Studies Stage 2 Stage 2 Stage 2 Stage 2 Stage 2 Nonitoring of ENB PIG Plot Union Gas employees to consult with Enhiftige team Stage 2	Stage:	Funnel Item:	Task	Workplan Deliverables	Cost	Sub Total	2018 Year To	YTD Subtotal
Stage 2 Residential Scale Commercial plot project initiation and carbon Capture Purchase and technical evaluation of small-scale carbon capture unit. S53,000 S50 Customer Abatement Carbon Capture Scenario Capture Scenario Capture unit. Scenario Capture Unit. <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Date Spend</th><th></th></t<>							Date Spend	
Curtomer Abatement Carbon Capture and a set checkle for high level timelines Image: Carbon Capture and a set checkle for high level timelines Image: Carbon Capture and a set checkle for high level timelines S51,000 S0 Stage 2 Ground Source Heat Pump Ground Source Analysis (Geographical, Geological and GHSP Marinet Assamments) S50,000 S0 S0 Customer Abatement MURB Plot Project Initiation Power to Gas Murb Project Scope of Work) S21,000 S0 S0 Stage 2 Murb Power to Gas Power to Gas Power to Gas S0 S0 S0 Stage 2 Power to Gas Potential Demonstration Concept Studies Concept Groundbart Services (Demonstration Consultant Services (Demonstration Consultant Services (Demonstration Consultant Services (Demonstration Consultant Services (Demonstration Power to Gas S0 S0 S0 Stage 2 Micro Reservice (Parinet Hole Reservice) Consultant Services (Demonstration Power to Gas S0 S0 S0 Customer Abatement Micro Reservice (Parinet Hole Reservice) Consultant Services (Demonstration New Build Net Zero Pilot Demonstration Micro Generation Power Conservice) S0 S0 S0 Stage 2 Micro Reserification Project Initiation and Monitoring and Mi	Stage 2	Residential Scale	Commercial pilot project initiation and	Purchase and technical evaluation of small-scale carbon	\$51,000		\$0	
Cutomer Abstement Cutomer Abstement Cutomer Abstement Cutomer Abstement Ground Source Cutomer Abstement Ground Source Field Fund Field F		Carbon Capture	execution	capture unit				
Stage 2 Ground Source GSH PRoad Map Union Gas employees to consult with charing team members. S1,000 S0 Customer Abatement MURB Plot Project Initiation Consultant Services (Geographical, Geological and GHSP Stages) S1,000 S0 S0 Stage 2 MURB Plot Project Initiation Consultant Services (Georgathical, Geological and GHSP Stages) S1,000 S0 S0 Stage 2 MURB Plot Project Initiation Consultant Services (Georgathical Geological and GHSP Stages) S0 S0 S0 Customer Abatement Potential Demonstration Concept Studies Consultant Services (Site locations, plant sizes and consents) S0 S0 S0 S0 Stage 2 Micro Pre-feasibility assessment of Demonstration of Project Initiation and Monitoring and Plot Project Initiation and Monitoring and the set the set the set on set of the set on	Customer Abatement				654.000		60	
Lange 2 Order out of out out out map Distribution in process of out of out of out map Order out of out	Stago 2	Ground Sourco	GSHD Bood Mon	See schedule for high level timelines	0	\$51,000	¢0	ŞU
Customer Abatement Customer Abatement MURB Plot Project Initiation Consultant Services (Geographical, Geological and GHSP S50,000 Market Assessment) Customer Abatement MuRB Plot Project Initiation Customer Abatement Power to Gas Potential Demonstration Concept Studies Consultant Services (Stelections, plant sizes and configurations, identifying and power to Gas Potential Demonstration Concept Studies Consultant Services (Stelections, plant sizes and configurations, identifying and power to Gas Potential Demonstration Concept Studies Consultant Services (Stelections, plant sizes and configurations, identifying and power to Gas Pre-feasibility assessment of Demonstration Concept Pre-feasibility assessment of Demonstration Concept Pre-feasibility assessment of Demonstration Consultant Services (Stelections, plant sizes and consultant Services (Stelections, plant sizes and configurations, identifying and consultant Services (Stelections, plant sizes and configurations, identifying and pres-feasibility assessment of Demonstration Consultant Services (Stelections, plant sizes and consultant Services (Stelections, plant sizes and configurations, identifying and consultant Services (Stelections, plant sizes and configurations, identifying and pres-feasibility assessment of Demonstration concept Pre-feasibility assessment of Demonstration (Micro Generation Verification New Build Netz-Coro Pilot Demonstration New Build Netz-Coro Pilot Demonstration Networks Pre-feasibility Pre-feasibilit	Stage 2	Heat Pump	done Koau Wap	members	0		ŞU	
Market Assessments) Sol Stage 2 Hydrogen and Power to Gas Monitoring of ENB PIG Pilot Union Gas employees to consult with Enbridge team members. Sol Sol Sol Customer Abatement Pre-feasibility assessment of Demonstration Concept Consultant Services (Site locations, plant sizes and configurations: identification concepts) Sol Sol Sol Stage 2 Micro Pre-feasibility assessment of Demonstration Concept Consultant Services (Technical and Economic Assessment of Demonstration Project Concept) Sol Sol Sol Stage 2 Micro Pilot Project Initiation and Monitoring and Generation Existing Net-Zero Pilot Demonstration Concept) SiteSol0 Sol Sol Customer Abatement Micro Generation New Build Net-Zero Pilot Demonstration Micro Generation SiteSol0 Sol Sol Stage 2 Micro Feasibility assessment of Generation - Output my and assess potential applicability. Sol Sol Sol Stage 2 F	Customer Abatement	neacrump		Consultant Services (Geographical, Geological and GHSP	\$50.000			
MURB Pilot Project Initiation Consultant Services (Demonstration Project Scope of Work) \$21,000 \$0 Stage 2 Monitoring of ENB PIG Pilot Union Gas employees to consult with Enhindge team members. \$0 \$0 \$0 Customer Abatement Potential Demonstration Concept Studies Consultant Services (Stele Cacions, plant sizes and demonstration oncepts) \$50,000 \$0 \$0 Stage 2 Micro Generation Pre-feasibility assessment of Demonstration Concept \$50,000 \$0 \$0 Stage 2 Micro Generation Pilot Project Initiation and Monitoring and Concept Existing Wer-Zero Pilot Demonstration (Micro Generation - Bell River) \$165,000 \$55,709 Customer Abatement Pilot Project Initiation and Monitoring and Concept Existing Wer-Zero Pilot Demonstration (Micro Generation - Bell River) \$165,000 \$0 Stage 2 Micro Generation Pilot Project Initiation and Monitoring and Project Initiation and Monitoring and Micro Generation - Challe River) \$165,000 \$0 Stage 2 Micro Generation Pilot Project Initiation and Monitoring and Project Initiation and Monitoring and Project Economics. Sum Project Initiation and Monitoring and Micro Generation - Challe River) \$165,000 \$0 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Market Assessments)</td><td>+/</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>				Market Assessments)	+/			
Image: sec: sec: sec: sec: sec: sec: sec: se			MURB Pilot Project Initiation	Consultant Services (Demonstration Project Scope of	\$21,000		\$0	
Stage 2 Union of the PG Pilot Union See schedule for high level timelines 50 50 Stage 2 Power to Gas Potential Demonstration Concept Studies Consult with Enbridge team members. 50 50 50 Customer Abatement Potential Demonstration Concept Studies Consult with Enbridge team members. 50 50 50 50 Customer Abatement Pre-feasibility assessment of Demonstration Concept Studies Consult with Services (Ste Locations, plant sizes and configurations: identification and evaluation of Demonstration Project Concept) 50 55 50 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Work)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				Work)				
Stage 2 Hydrogen and Power to Gas Monitoring CNN PIG Pilot Union Gas employees to consult with Enbridge team members. S0 S0 S0 Customer Abatement Power to Gas Potential Demonstration Concept Studies Configurations: identification and evaluation of demonstration concept) Consultant Services (Esclocations, plant sizes and configurations: identification and evaluation of demonstration concept) S50,000 S0 S0 Customer Abatement Pre-feasibility assessment of Demonstration Concept Consultant Services (Technical and Economic Assessment of Demonstration Project Concept) S00 S0 S0 Stage 2 Micro Generation Project Initiation and Monitoring and Micro Generation - Belle River) S165,000 S0 S0 S0 Customer Abatement Verification New Build Net-Zero Pilot Demonstration (Micro Generation - Cellingwood) S165,000 S0 S0 S0 Customer Abatement Fugitive Ensistions Assessment for incremental opportunity. Union Gas employees to identify incremental opportunity and assess potential applicability. S0 S0 S0 Stage 2 Fugitive Ensistons Assessment of GHG emission reductions and project economics. Union Gas employees to identify relevant technologies and assess energy efficiency. S0 S0 S0 S0				See schedule for high level timelines		\$71,000		\$0
Customer Abatement Power to Gas Sol S	Stage 2	Hydrogen and	Monitoring of ENB PtG Pilot	Union Gas employees to consult with Enbridge team	\$0		\$0	
Customer Abatement Potential Demonstration Concept Studies Consultant Services (Ste locations, plant sizes and concepts) \$50,000 \$0 Pre-feasibility assessment of Demonstration Consultant Services (Technical and Economic Assessment \$50,000 \$0 \$0 Stage 2 Micro Piol Project initiation and Monitoring and (Micro Generation - Mere Biver) \$165,000 \$55,709 \$50 Customer Abatement Micro Piol Project initiation and Monitoring and (Micro Generation - Colingwood) \$165,000 \$50 \$50 Customer Abatement Micro Piol Project initiation and Monitoring and (Micro Generation - Colingwood) \$165,000 \$0 \$0 Stage 2 Micro Piol Project initiation and Monitoring and (Micro Generation - Colingwood) \$165,000 \$0 \$0 Micro Generation Piol Micro Generation - Colingwood) \$205,000 \$0 \$0 Stage 2 Fuglitive Assessment for incremental opportunity. Union Gas employees to identify incremental opportunity. S0 \$50 \$57,709 Stage 2 Station Heating Station of energy efficiency of newer Union Gas employees to identify incremental opportunity and assess potential applicability. \$0 \$0 \$0 <		Power to Gas		members.				
Stage 2 Micro Generation Profestibility assessment of Demonstration Concept Consultant Services (Technical and Economic Assessment of Demonstration concept) \$50,000 \$00 \$00 Stage 2 Micro Generation Pilot Project initiation and Monitoring and Generation Existing Net-Zero Pilot Demonstration (Micro Generation - Belle River) \$165,000 \$00 \$00 Customer Abatement Pilot Project initiation and Monitoring and Generation Existing Net-Zero Pilot Demonstration (Micro Generation - Chatham) \$165,000 \$00 \$00 Customer Abatement Figlibile See schedule for high level timelines \$205,000 \$00 \$00 Stage 2 Micro Generation Figlibile See schedule for high level timelines \$205,000 \$00 Stage 2 Fuglibile Assessment for incremental opportunity. Project economics. Union Gas employees to identify incremental opportunity and assess potential applicability. \$00 \$00 \$00 Stage 2 Station Heating Equipment Evaluation of energy efficiency of newer technology. Union Gas employees to identify relevant technologies and assess energy efficiency. \$0 \$00 \$00 Station Heating Equipment technology. Assessment of GHG emi	Customer Abatement		Potential Demonstration Concept Studies	Consultant Services (Site locations, plant sizes and	\$50,000		\$0	
Pre-feasibility assessment of Demonstration Concept Consultant Services (Technical and Economic Assessment of Demonstration Project Concept) S50,000 S0 Stage 2 Micro Generation Pre-feasibility assessment of Demonstration Of Demonstration Project Concept) S165,000 S0 S0 Customer Abatement Micro Generation Project Initiation and Monitoring and Micro Generation Existing Net-Zero Pilot Demonstration (Micro Generation - Belle River) S165,000 S0 S0 Customer Abatement Pre-feasibility assessment of OFM emission (Micro Generation - Colingwood) S105,000 S0 S0 Stage 2 Fugitive Emissions Reservation - Colingwood) S205,000 S0 S0 Stage 2 Fugitive Emissions Assessment for incremental opportunity. Union Gas employees to identify incremental opportunity and assess potential applicability. S0 S55,709 Stage 2 Fugitive Emissions Assessment of GHG emission reductions and project economics. Union Gas employees to assess emission reductions and project economics. S0 S0 S0 Stage 2 Station Heating Equipment Gate Station) Evaluation of energy efficiency of newer technology. Union Gas employees to assess emission reductions and project economics.				configurations: identification and evaluation of				
Prefereation wasessment of Demonstration Concept 5000 50 Concept of Demonstration Project Concept) 50000 50 Stage 2 Micro Pilot Project Initiation and Monitoring and Verification Existing Net-Zero Pilot Demonstration (Micro Generation - Belle River) \$165,000 \$55,709 Customer Abatement Pilot Project Initiation and Monitoring and Verification Existing Net-Zero Pilot Demonstration (Micro Generation - Chatham) \$165,000 \$0 Stage 2 Fuglive Emissions Management Assessment for incremental opportunity. Emissions Management See schedule for high level timelines \$205,000 \$0 Facilities Abatement Assessment of GHG emission reductions and project economics. Union Gas employees to identify incremental opportunity and assess potential applicability. \$0 \$0 Stage 2 Station Heating Equipment (London North Gate Station) Station of energy efficiency of newer technology. Union Gas employees to identify relevant technologies and assess emission reductions and project economics. \$0 \$0 Stage 2 Station Heating Equipment technology. Evaluation of energy efficiency of newer technology. Union Gas employees to assess emission reductions and project economics. \$0 \$0 State 2 Station Heating Equipment technology. Ass			Dra feasibility assessment of Domonstration	Genoultant Concepts)	¢E0.000		¢0	
Stage 2 Micro Generation Pilot Project Initiation and Monitoring and Generation See schedule for high level timelines \$105,000 \$50 Customer Abatement Pilot Project Initiation and Monitoring and Generation Pilot Project Initiation and Monitoring and Micro Generation - Belle River) \$165,000 \$0 \$00 Customer Abatement Pilot Project Initiation and Monitoring and Generation New Build Net-Zero Pilot Demonstration (Micro Generation - Colting More Generation - Collingwood) \$00 \$00 Stage 2 Fuglitive Emissions Management Assessment for incremental opportunity. Management Union Gas employees to identify incremental opportunity and assess potential applicability. Management \$0 \$0 \$0 Stage 2 Fuglitive Emissions Assessment of GHG emission reductions and project economics. Union Gas employees to assess emission reductions and project economics. \$0 \$0 \$0 Station Heating Equipment Evaluation of energy efficiency of newer technology. Union Gas employees to assess emission reductions and project economics. \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Facilities Abatement Assessment of GHG emission reductions and project econo			Concent	of Demonstration Project Concent)	\$50,000		ŞU	
Stage 2 Micro Generation Pilot Project Initiation and Monitoring and Generation See schedule for high level timelines \$165,000 \$55,709 Customer Abatement Micro Generation Pilot Project Initiation and Monitoring and Generation Existing Met-Zero Pilot Demonstration (Micro Generation - Belle River) \$165,000 \$50 \$55,709 Customer Abatement Micro Generation New Build Net-Zero Pilot Demonstration (Micro Generation - Collingwood) \$205,000 \$0 \$0 Stage 2 Fugitive Emissions Management Assessment for incremental opportunity. Management Onder Home (VC's era) Pilot Demonstration (Micro Generation - Collingwood) \$0 \$50 \$55,709 Stage 2 Fugitive Emissions Management Assessment for incremental opportunity. Project economics. Union Gas employees to identify incremental opportunity and assess potential applicability. \$0 \$0 \$0 Stage 2 Station Heating Equipment (London North Gate Station) Station of energy efficiency of newer technology. Union Gas employees to identify relevant technologies and assess energy efficiency. \$0 \$0 \$0 Facilities Abatement Assessment of GHG emission reductions and project economics. Union Gas employees to identify relevant technologies and assess energy efficiency.			concept	or bemonstration reject concepty				
Stage 2 Micro Pilot Project Initiation and Monitoring and Existing Net-Zero Pilot Demonstration \$165,000 \$55,709 Customer Abatement Pilot Project Initiation and Monitoring and Verification Existing Net-Zero Pilot Demonstration (Micro Generation - Chatham) \$165,000 \$0 Stage 2 Fugitive Emissions Management Facilities Abatement Sees schedule for high level timelines Assessment of GHG emission reductions and project economics. Union Gas employees to identify incremental opportunity and assess potential applicability. \$0 \$0 Stage 2 Station Heating Equipment Station of energy efficiency of never technology. Union Gas employees to identify relevant technologies and assess energy efficiency. \$0 \$0 Facilities Abatement Assessment of GHG emission reductions and project economics. Union Gas employees to identify relevant technologies and assess energy efficiency. \$0 \$0 Facilities Abatement Assessment of GHG emission reductions and project economics. Union Gas employees to identify relevant technologies and assess energy efficiency. \$0 \$0 Facilities Abatement Assessment of GHG emission reductions and project economics. Union Gas employees to identify relevant technologies and assess energy efficiency. \$0 \$0 Facilities Abatement Assessment of GHG emission reductions and proj				See schedule for high level timelines		\$100.000		\$0
Generation Verification Micro Generation - Belle River) Loc Addition Customer Abatement Generation New Build Net-Zero Pilot Demonstration (Micro Generation - Collingwood) \$165,000 \$0 \$0 Image: Customer Abatement Image: Customer Abatement States and the image: Customer Abatement \$165,000 \$165,000 \$0 Stage 2 Fuglive Emissions: Management Assessment for incremental opportunity. Union Gas employees to identify incremental opportunity and assess potential applicability. \$0 \$535,000 \$555,709 Stage 2 Fuglive Emissions: Management Assessment of GHG emission reductions and project economics. Union Gas employees to assess emission reductions and project economics. \$0	Stage 2	Micro	Pilot Project Initiation and Monitoring and	Existing Net-Zero Pilot Demonstration	\$165,000		\$55,709	
Customer Abatement Image:	, in the second s	Generation	Verification	(Micro Generation - Belle River)				
Image: state of the second state of	Customer Abatement			New Build Net-Zero Pilot Demonstration	\$165,000		\$0	
Image: State 2 Station Heating: Equipment (London North) Gate Station) Station de lengy efficiency of newer technology. Valuation of energy efficiency of newer technologies and assess ments of GHG emission reductions and project economics. Valuation of energy efficiency of newer technologies and assess ments of reductions and project economics. Valuation of energy efficiency of newer technologies and assess ments of reductions and project economics. Valuation of energy efficiency of newer technologies and assess ments of reductions and project economics. Valuation of energy efficiency of newer technologies and assess ments of reductions and project economics. Valuation of energy efficiency of newer technologies and assess ments of GHG emission reductions and project economics. Valuation of energy efficiency of newer technologies and assess ments of reductions and project economics. Valuation of energy efficiency of newer technologies and assess ments of GHG emission reductions and project economics. Valuation of energy efficiency of newer technologies and assess energy efficiency. S0 S0 S0 Facilities Abatement Assessment of GHG emission reductions and project economics. Valuation of energy efficiency of newer technologies and assess energy efficiency. S0 S0 S0 S0 Facilities Abatement Assessment of GHG emission reductions and project economics. Valuation of energy efficiency of newer technologies and assess energy efficiency. S0 S0 S0 S0 Total Stage 2 Assessment of GHG emission reduct				(Micro Generation - Chatham)				
Image: Control of the second secon				Older Home (70's era) Pilot Demonstration	\$205,000		\$0	
See schedule for high level timelines \$535,000 \$555,709 Stage 2 Fugitive Emissions Management Assessment for incremental opportunity. Management Union Gas employees to identify incremental opportunity and assess potential applicability. \$0 Image: Comparison of Comparison of Comparison of Comparison of Comparison of Comparison of Comparison reductions and project economics. Union Gas employees to assess emission reductions and cost effectiveness. \$0 Image: Comparison of				(Micro Generation - Collingwood)				
Stage 2 Fugitive Emissions Assessment for incremental opportunity. Union Gas employees to identify incremental opportunity and assess potential applicability. \$0 \$333,000 \$333,000 Facilities Abatement Assessment of GHG emission reductions and project economics. Union Gas employees to assess emission reductions and cost effectiveness. \$0 \$0 \$0 Stage 2 Station Heating Equipment (London North Gate Station) Evaluation of energy efficiency of newer technology. Union Gas employees to assess emission reductions and cost effectiveness. \$0 \$0 \$0 Facilities Abatement Assessment of GHG emission reductions and project economics. Union Gas employees to identify relevant technologies and assess energy efficiency. \$0 \$0 \$0 Facilities Abatement Assessment of GHG emission reductions and project economics. Union Gas employees to assess emission reductions and project economics. \$0 \$0 Facilities Abatement Assessment of GHG emission reductions and project economics. Union Gas employees to assess emission reductions and project economics. \$0 \$0 Total Stage 2 Vearly Recurring Activities \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Total Stage 1 & 2 Vearly Recurring Activities \$0 \$50 \$0 \$0 \$0				Coo schodulo for high loual timelines		¢525.000		ĆEE 700
Stage 2 Program	Stage 2	Fugitive	Assessment for incremental expertuality	See schedule for high level timelines	¢0	\$535,000		\$55,709
Facilities Abatement Assessment of GHG emission reductions and project non opprotenting pinctumery. S0 S0 S0 Facilities Abatement Assessment of GHG emission reductions and project economics. Union Gas employees to assess emission reductions and cost effectiveness. S0 S0 S0 Station Heating Evaluation of energy efficiency of newer (London North Gate Station) Vearly Recurring Activities S0 S0 S0 Facilities Abatement Equipment (London North Gate Station) Evaluation of GHG emission reductions and project economics. Union Gas employees to assess emission reductions and project economics. S0 S0 S0 Facilities Abatement Assessment of GHG emission reductions and project economics. Union Gas employees to assess emission reductions and project economics. S0 S0 S0 Facilities Abatement Assessment of GHG emission reductions and project economics. Union Gas employees to assess emission reductions and project economics. S0 S0 S0 Total Stage 2 Londomics Yearly Recurring Activities S0 S0 S0 Total Stage 1 & 2 Londomics S1 tas project economics.	Stage 2	Emissions	Assessment for incremental opportunity.	onortunity and assess potential applicability	ŞU			
Facilities Abatement Assessment of GHG emission reductions and project economics. Union Gas employees to assess emission reductions and cost effectiveness. \$0 <td></td> <td>Management</td> <td></td> <td>opportantly and assess potential applicability.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		Management		opportantly and assess potential applicability.				
Project economics. cost effectiveness. Image: Cost of Cost	Facilities Abatement	management	Assessment of GHG emission reductions and	Union Gas employees to assess emission reductions and	\$0			
Stage 2 Station Heating Equipment (London North Gate Station) Evaluation of energy efficiency of newer technology. Union Gas employees to identify relevant technologies and assess energy efficiency. \$0 \$0 \$0 Facilities Abatement Assessment of GHG emission reductions and project economics. Union Gas employees to assess emission reductions and cost effectiveness. \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Total Stage 2 Image: Control of the control of t			project economics.	cost effectiveness.				
Image: Constraint of the station of energy efficiency of new regulation of energy efficiency of new regulation of energy efficiency. Yearly Recurring Activities S0 S0 S0 Station Heating Equipment (London North Gate Station) Equipment technology. Invino Gas employees to identify relevant technologies and assess energy efficiency. S0 <								
Stage 2 Station Heating Equipment (London North Gate Station) Valuation of energy efficiency of newer technology. Union Gas employees to identify relevant technologies and assess energy efficiency. \$0 \$0 \$0 Facilities Abatement Assessment of GHG emission reductions and project economics. Union Gas employees to assess emission reductions and cost effectiveness. \$0 \$0 \$0 Total Stage 2 Interpret the state of the st				Yearly Recurring Activities		\$0		\$0
Equipment (London North Gate Station) technology. and assess energy efficiency. Image: Station of Control of	Stage 2	Station Heating	Evaluation of energy efficiency of newer	Union Gas employees to identify relevant technologies	\$0			
Image: Pacific Station Image: Pacific Station<		Equipment	technology.	and assess energy efficiency.				
Gate Station) Gate Station) Assessment of GHG emission reductions and project economics. Union Gas employees to assess emission reductions and project economics. \$0 \$0 \$0 Total Stage 2 Image: State 1 & 2 S757,000 \$55,709 \$55,709		(London North						
Facilities Abatement Assessment of GHG emission reductions and project economics. Union Gas employees to assess emission reductions and cost effectiveness. S0 S0 Vearly Recurring Activities \$0 \$0 \$0 Total Stage 2 \$2 \$575,000 \$55,709		Gate Station)						
project economics. cost effective/ness. 50 50 Yearly Recurring Activities \$0 <td>Facilities Abatement</td> <td></td> <td>Assessment of GHG emission reductions and</td> <td>Union Gas employees to assess emission reductions and</td> <td>Ş0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Facilities Abatement		Assessment of GHG emission reductions and	Union Gas employees to assess emission reductions and	Ş0			
Yearly Recurring Activities \$0 \$0 Total Stage 2 \$757,000 \$555,709 Total Stage 1 & 2 \$1158,000 \$107,500			project economics.	cost effectiveness.				
Total Stage 2 50 50 50 Total Stage 1 & 2 \$757,000 \$557,09				Yearly Recurring Activities		\$0		ŚO
515,000 55,0000 55,0000 55,0000 55,0000 55,0000 55,0000 55,0000 55,00000000	Total Stage 2			rearry needing Activities		\$757.000		\$55,709
	Total Stage 1 & 2					\$1,158,000		\$107,509

Stage 2 Initiatives - Work Plan, Costs, Year-To-Date (YTD) Spend

Stage 1 Initiatives - Schedules

B	Bui	ld	ling	Enve	lope	Init	iative

Project Name	Building Enveloppe	Status Date	07-Mar-18	Overall Status	Green		
	Schedule Overview			start: 1/0/00	end: 1/0/00		
3/17 4/17 6/17 8/	9/17 11/17 12/17 2/18 4/18 Workshop Planning Design Charrette Workshop Workshop Results Evalu	5/18 7/18 9/18 1 uation Planning RFP Process	10/18 12/18 2/19 3/19	5/19 7/19 8/	19 10/19 12/19		

Biomass Conversion (Thermo-chemical to RNG)

Schedule Overview start: 8/1/18 end: 12/1/18 3/17 4/17 6/17 8/17 9/17 11/17 12/17 2/18 4/18 5/18 7/18 9/18 10/18 12/19 3/19 5/19 7/19 8/19 10/19 12/19 3/17 4/17 6/17 8/17 9/17 11/17 12/17 2/18 4/18 5/18 7/18 9/18 10/18 12/19 3/19 5/19 7/19 8/19 10/19 12/19 50W Development <	Project Name	Biomass Conversion	Status Date 07-Mar-18	Overall Status	Green		
3/17 4/17 6/17 8/17 9/17 11/17 12/17 2/18 4/18 5/18 7/18 9/18 10/18 12/18 2/19 3/19 5/19 7/19 8/19 10/19 12/19 SOW Development Contract Execution Execution Execution Execution Execution Execution		Schedule Overview		start: 8/1/18	end: 12/1/18		
	3/17 4/17 6/17 8/17	9/17 11/17 12/17 2/18 4/18	5/18 7/18 9/18 10/18 12/18 2/19 SOW Development Contract Execution	3/19 5/19 7/19 8	end: 12/1/18		

Filed: 2018-04-16 EB-2017-0255 Exhibit JT1.17 <u>Page 4 of 6</u>

Portable Blowdown Recovery

Project Name Portable Blowdown Recovery Status Date	07-Mar-18	Overall Status	Green		
Schedule Overview		start: 10/11/17	end: 6/30/18		
3/17 4/17 6/17 8/17 9/17 11/17 12/17 2/18 4/18 5/18 7/18 9/18 2 Technology Evaluation Economic Assessment	10/18 12/18 2/19 3,	/19 5/19 7/19 8/1	19 10/19 12/19		

Federal Methane Regulations

	Project Name Federal Methane Regulations							ons		Status Date 07-Mar-18						Overal	l Status		Green		
						Sche	dule Ove	erview								start:	10/11/17		end: 1/0/00		
3/17	4/17	6/17	8/17	9/17	11/17	12/17	2/18	4/18	5/18	7/18	9/18	10/18	12/18	2/19	3/19	5/19	7/19	8/19	10/19	12/19	
						Dependen r	t on federa regulations	al methane s													

Stage 2 Initiatives - Schedules Residential Scale Carbon Capture

	Project	Name			Res.	Carbon C	Capture			Status Date 07-Mar-18				r-18		Overall		Green			
						Scher	dule Ove	erview								start:	1/0/00		end: 1/0/00		
3/17	4/17	6/17	8/17	9/17	11/17	12/17	2/18	4/18	5/18	7/18	9/18	10/18	12/18	2/19	3/19	5/19	7/19	8/19	10/19	12/19	
									L.	nitiation											
											۰L										
											F	Planning									
															Ex	ecution (N	1&V)				
																				ciose or	
														Governanc	e & Repo	rting					

Filed: 2018-04-16 EB-2017-0255 Exhibit JT1.17 Page 5 of 6

Ground Source Heat Pump

	Project	Name			Ground	Source H	leat Pun	np		Status Date 07-Ma			07-Ma	r-18	Overall Status				Green		
						Sche	dule Ove	erview								start:	3/1/18		end: 12/30/18		
3/17	4/17	6/17	8/17	9/17	11/17	12/17	2/18	4/18	5/18	7/18	9/18	10/18	12/18	2/19	3/19	5/19	7/19	8/19	10/19	12/19	
									EN	B Pilot Mo	onitoring										
										so)W Develo	pment Final Re	eport								

Hydrogen and Power to Gas Project Name



Micro Generation



					<u>Page 6 of 6</u>																
Project Name					Micro Generation - Collingwood					Status Date			07-Mar-18			Overall Status			Green		
						Sche	dule Ov	erview								start: 1/0/00			end: 1/0/00		
3/17	4/17	6/17	8/17	9/17	11/17	12/17	2/18	4/18	5/18	7/18	9/18	10/18	12/18	2/19	3/19	5/19	7/19	8/19	10/19	12/19	
							h	nitiation													
									Planning												
											Execution (M&V)										
																			Ξ.		
																			C	Close-Out	
													Governa	nce & Repo	rting						

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Mr. Dantzer <u>To Mr. Rubenstein</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.100

TO EXPLAIN THE SYSTEM LIMITATION DESCRIBED IN APPRO 10.

Response:

Union's billing system does not have the functionality to administer one-time adjustments for general service customers. To add the functionality that would apply a rate rider to the historical year volumes requires a significant system upgrade to Union's billing system, incremental costs and an implementation time of up to one year.

In Union's 2004 Cost of Service proceeding (RP-2003-0063), the OEB approved the implementation of functionality that enabled transparent identification of rate riders for general service customers. Union has applied this disposition methodology to both the QRAM process and the annual deferral disposition process since that time.

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Ms. Flaman <u>To Mr. Rubenstein</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.103

TO PROVIDE THE INTERNAL DOCUMENT SETTING OUT THE ABATEMENT CONSTRUCT, IF IT EXISTS.

Response:

The development of the Abatement Construct ("AC") was the result of a series of discussions in the months following Union's 2017 Compliance Plan proceeding (May 2017) leading up to the completion of Union's 2018 Compliance Plan (August 2017). The filed version of the AC represents the entirety of the AC; there are no other internal documents, meeting minutes or presentations that provide further detail.

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Ms. Flaman <u>To Mr. Quinn</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.104

TO PRODUCE FINAL MEETING MINUTES OF MEETINGS BETWEEN UNION AND ENBRIDGE TO DEVELOP AN ABATEMENT CONSTRUCT, INCLUDING ANY PRESENTATIONS USED TO FACILITATE THE MEETING OR MEETINGS.

Response:

There are no minutes of meetings between Union and EGD related to the development of an Abatement Construct. Please see the response to JT1.19.
UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Ms. Newbury <u>To Mr. Aiken</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.110

TO CONFIRM WHAT TYPES OF NATURAL GAS USE IS INCLUDED IN FACILITY-RELATED GAS; TO PROVIDE WHICH FACILITY-RELATED GAS CHARGES OR GAS COSTS HAVE A VARIANCE ACCOUNT OR A DEFERRAL ACCOUNT ASSOCIATED WITH THEM.

Response:

Union's Cap-and-Trade facility-related obligations are for GHG emissions associated with unaccounted for gas, compressor fuel and blowdowns, and buildings and line heaters.

Union records the variance between actual facility-related obligation costs and the costs recovered in OEB-approved rates in the Greenhouse Gas Emissions Compliance Obligation – Facility-Related Deferral Account (Account No. 179-155).

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Ms. Newbury <u>To Mr. Aiken</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.111

TO ADVISE WHETHER ALL CUSTOMERS, BEING SYSTEM AND DIRECT PURCHASE, LARGE FINAL EMITTERS, VOLUNTARY PARTICIPANTS, AND WHOLESALE CUSTOMERS, ARE PAYING FACILITY-RELATED CHARGES AND WHO PAYS THE CUSTOMER-RELATED CHARGE? IS IT SYSTEM AND DIRECT PURCHASE CUSTOMERS EXCEPT FOR LARGE FINAL EMITTERS, VOLUNTARY PARTICIPANTS, AND WHOLESALE CUSTOMERS?

Response:

Union's Cap-and-Trade facility-related charge is paid by all system sales and direct purchase customers, including large final emitters, voluntary participants and wholesale customers, and is also paid by ex-franchise customers. The facility-related charge is applied to all in-franchise delivery and ex-franchise transportation volumes.

Union's Cap-and-Trade customer-related charge is paid by system sales and direct purchase customers, excluding large final emitters, voluntary participants, wholesale customers, and ex-franchise customers. The customer-related charge is applied to the in-franchise delivery volumes of customers for whom Union is responsible for the GHG emissions obligation.

Filed: 2018-04-16 EB-2017-0255 Exhibit JT1.23 Page 1 of 2

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Ms. Newbury <u>To Mr. Aiken</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.113

TO ADVISE, IF UNION WERE TO PURCHASE 3.4 PETAJOULES FOR ITS FACILITY-RELATED FUEL REQUIREMENTS AS PART OF THE 4.9 PETAJOULES OF RNG THAT IT EXPECTS TO PURCHASE, HOW WOULD THAT IMPACT WHO WAS AT RISK FOR THE VARIANCES IN THE COST OF GAS AND IN THE COST OF CARBON.

WITH REFERENCE TO EXHIBIT B.LPMA.14.

Response:

Through this undertaking, Union identified an error in its response to Exhibit B.LPMA.14. The original response in Exhibit B.LPMA.14 states, "Union estimates that the total RNG to be purchased annually over the coming years is approximately 4.9 PJ".

Exhibit B.Staff.8 correctly indicates that the total RNG to be purchased over a 10 year term is approximately 4.9 PJ (average of 0.49 PJ annually). The following response is in reference to a purchase of 0.49 PJ of RNG as part of the 3.4 PJ facility-related fuel requirements in 2018.

Recognizing that Union's estimated RNG purchase is smaller than Union's facilities requirement, if Union were to allocate RNG purchases first to the facilities-related fuel requirement there would be no RNG remaining to be allocated to customer requirements. This approach would change the allocation of cost and risk for both gas and carbon customer groups.

For gas costs, allocating the entire gas cost component of RNG to Union's fuel requirement will change the proportionate share of these costs borne by customers for which Union provides fuel compared to system sales customers. Using the current Board-approved rate methodologies, Union sets the price for facility-related fuel requirements in delivery rates quarterly based on the Dawn reference price and does not adjust these rates for variances between the Dawn Reference Price and the actual gas purchase costs. To recover actual gas purchase costs associated with RNG in delivery rates, Union would require changes to the QRAM process and internal systems to enable the specific tracking of gas purchases for fuel and customer requirements.

For Cap-and-Trade rates, allocating the entire carbon cost component of RNG to facility-related Cap-and-Trade rates would not be consistent with the Cap-and-Trade Framework. Union notes that the OEB determined that *"For emissions units procurement, the Utilities will be indifferent as to whether they are purchasing emissions units for their customers, their facilities or both.*

Filed: 2018-04-16 EB-2017-0255 Exhibit JT1.23 Page 2 of 2

Consequently, the OEB will expect that the emissions units procurement costs will be a total cost that includes both customer-related and facility-related obligations."¹

¹EB-2015-0363, Cap-and-Trade Framework, p. 29

Filed: 2018-04-16 EB-2017-0255 Exhibit JT1.24 Page 1 of 2

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Ms. Flaman <u>To Mr. Quinn</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.116

WITH RESPECT TO THE IPCC WG1 FIFTH ASSESSMENT REPORT, CH. 8, P. 714 DATED 2013 REFERRED TO AT FRPO.1, COMMENT ON WHETHER UNION'S UNDERSTANDING OF THIS SECTION OF THE REPORT IS THAT THE EMISSIONS ARE NETTED OUT OVER TIME DUE TO REGROWTH OF THE BIOMASS THAT ABSORBS CARBON DIOXIDE FROM THE ATMOSPHERE.

TO THE EXTENT THE INFORMATION EXISTS,

TO ADVISE WHETHER IT IS CORRECT THAT WHEN THE EMISSIONS ARE NETTED OUT OVER TIME DUE TO REGROWTH OF THE BIOMASS, THAT ABSORBS CARBON DIOXIDE FROM THE ATMOSPHERE; ALSO, TO EXPLAIN HOW THIS PHENOMENON OCCURS FOR HUMAN OR ANIMAL WASTE BEING CONVERTED TO METHANE FOR THE PURPOSES OF RNG.

Response:

Union's understanding of this issue has been informed by the following publically available information.

The Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change (MOECC) has considered carbon dioxide emissions from RNG as carbon neutral for the purposes of Ontario greenhouse gas reporting, and therefore, for the purposes of determining Cap-and-Trade compliance obligations. As per the MOECC's standard quantification method (SQM) ON.400 Natural Gas Distribution, any volumes of natural gas derived from biomass are netted out of the carbon dioxide emission calculations. This is based on the assumption that the carbon dioxide from the combustion of biomass is balanced by the carbon dioxide removed from the atmosphere by biomass growth.

In other words, "renewable natural gas is a low-carbon fuel that does not add new carbon to the atmosphere".¹ This is consistent with the British Columbia Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources, which states, in their BC Bioenergy Strategy that "Bioenergy is energy derived from organic biomass sources – such as trees, agricultural crops, food processing and agricultural wastes and manure. Biomass can be generated from logging, agriculture and aquaculture, vegetation clearing and forest fire hazard areas. When used for energy, biomass such as organic waste, wood residues and agricultural fibre, is considered clean or carbon neutral because it releases no more carbon into the atmosphere than it absorbed during its

¹ Ontario's Five Year Climate Change Action Plan 2016-2020, Section 6.1, p.28.

Filed: 2018-04-16 EB-2017-0255 Exhibit JT1.24 Page 2 of 2

lifetime. When used to replace non-renewable sources of energy, bioenergy reduces the amount of greenhouse gases released into the atmosphere."²

² BC Bioenergy Strategy – Growing Our Natural Energy Advantage

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Ms. Newbury <u>To Mr. Quinn</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.119

TO PROVIDE THE ALL-IN TOTAL COST OF RNG ABSENT GOVERNMENT FUNDING, BASED ON THE ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE IN BOARD STAFF 6, AND TO ADVISE IF THE RESPONSE IS ANY DIFFERENT IF THE REFERENCE POINT IS SOMETHING OTHER THAN THE STAFF.6 EXAMPLE.

Response:

Based on the assumed information included in Exhibit B.Staff.6 Attachment 1, the average compliance cost of the assumed 10-year RNG purchase would be $231.07/tCO_2e$. This figure is calculated in Attachment A.

In the event that the cost of RNG is different than the illustrative example (\$16/GJ), the compliance cost of RNG would increase or decrease proportionately in relation to the change in the RNG cost.

Filed: 2018-04-16 EB-2017-0255 Exhibit JT1.25 Attachment A

JT1.25 Attachment A

Renewable Natural Gas Procurement Funding Model

Assumed Heat Conversion Factor M3 to GJ

	Year 1	Year 2	`	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	`	Year 6	Year 7	`	rear 9	``	Year 9	`	Year 10	Average
	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>		<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>	<u>2022</u>		2023	<u>2024</u>		<u>2025</u>		<u>2026</u>		<u>2027</u>	
a) Forecast Gas Cost (\$ / tonne)	\$77.83	\$78.63		\$77.83	\$84.01	\$84.01		\$85.40	\$85.20		\$93.16		\$100.13		\$108.09	\$87.43
b) Forecast Cost of Carbon (\$ / tonne)	\$17.00	\$18.00		\$18.00	\$19.00	\$20.00		\$21.00	\$31.00		\$36.00		\$43.00		\$50.00	\$27.30
(c) = (d)-(a)-(b) Required GreenON Subsidy (\$ / tonne)	\$ 223.67	\$ 221.87	\$	222.67	\$ 215.50	\$ 214.50	\$	212.11	\$ 202.30	\$	189.34	\$	175.37	\$	160.41	\$ 203.77
d) Assumed Cost of RNG (\$ / tonne)	\$ 318.50	\$ 318.50	\$	318.50	\$ 318.50	\$ 318.50	\$	318.50	\$ 318.50	\$	318.50	\$	318.50	\$	318.50	\$ 318.50
(e) = (d)-(a) Compliance cost without government funding	\$240.67	\$239.87		\$240.67	\$234.50	\$234.50		\$233.11	\$233.30		\$225.34		\$218.37		\$210.41	\$231.07

Note:

0.0373

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Ms. Newbury <u>To Mr. Quinn</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.120

PROVIDE THE CURRENT RANGE OF ALL-IN COST OF RNG SUBMITTED THROUGH THE RFP PROCESS, SUBJECT TO MAINTAINING CONFIDENTIALITY.

Response:

The information being requested will not be available during this proceeding.

The RFP is being conducted in multiple stages and will be a discovery mechanism by which Union will gain a better understanding of the Ontario RNG market, including price and quantity of supply available. There are several different types of RNG feedstock which may result in a wide range of prices or quantities available for sale.

The pricing received through the current stage of the RFP process will be preliminary and could be subject to change. Union will only provide pricing information that it believes is accurate, comparable and reflective of the price which Union will pay for the RNG supply. It is also important to note that none of these projects are expected to commence service in 2018 and therefore will not form part of Union's 2018 Compliance Plan.

Union expects to include an update on the RFP process and market, to the extent possible, within its 2019/2020 Cap-and-Trade Compliance plan.

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Mr. Dantzer <u>To Mr. Quinn</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.124

TO BREAK OUT FOR ALL THREE OF THOSE CATEGORIES - BENEFITS LOADING, TOTAL OF GENERAL OVERHEADS, AND INCENTIVE PLAN LOADING - A BETTER BREAKDOWN OF WHERE THE COSTS COME FROM AND THEN SPECIFIC INCENTIVE PLAN LOADING SPECIFIC TO THE RESPONSIBILITIES OF THESE TWELVE-AND-A-HALF PEOPLE IN COMPLIANCE, WHAT ARE THEY BEING INCENTED TO DO, WHAT METRICS ARE THEY BEING MEASURED AGAINST FOR THEIR INCENTIVES.

Response:

Benefits Loading Breakdown (33.37%)

Benefits- Employee	13.53%
Benefits-Legislated	7.84%
Benefits-Pension	12.00%
Total	33.37%

Total General Overhead Breakdown (35.97%)

General use of Assets (2015 actuals)	19.74%
• Use of assets charge – depreciation	
• Use of assets charge – weighted average cost of capital	
General Office Space and Support (2015 actuals)	16.23%
Facilities Charges	
Specific communication charges	
• Associated charges, bank fees, audit and SOX costs, etc.	
Total	35.97%

Incentive Plan Loading (11.67%)

Union's 2018 incentive plan is in accordance with the Enbridge Inc. corporate guidance. Pursuant to the corporate guidance, the incentive plan addresses performance of Cap-and-Trade FTE job accountabilities outlined at Exhibit 3, Tab 5. Individual objectives may include meeting regulatory filing deadlines, as applicable. There are no individual objectives related to procurement pricing.¹

¹ O.Reg. 144/16, s.36 prohibits an employee of a mandatory or voluntary participant from registering as a market participant under subsection 17 (1) of the Climate Change Act

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Mr. Trofim-Breuer <u>To Mr. Quinn</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.129

DETERMINE WHETHER A CALCULATION CAN BE DONE TO DETERMINE HOW MUCH NATURAL GAS WOULD NEED TO BE IN THE GENERATION STACK TO BE ABLE TO MAKE THE STATEMENT THAT CHP IS EMISSION-NEUTRAL IN THE GENERATION OF HEAT AND POWER.

PROVIDE THE CALCULATION, IF IT CAN BE DONE; TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT.

Response:

Union's statement that CHP can be an emission-neutral solution is based on the Fuel and Carbon Dioxide Emissions Savings Calculation Methodology for Combined and Power Systems proposed by the U.S. EPA Combined Heat and Power ("CHP") Partnership.¹ In this methodology CHP at "site" displaces the marginal fuel at the "source" of generation. When considering the electricity generation in Ontario, natural gas is primarily the marginal fuel that could be displaced by CHP, thereby influencing the overall GHG emissions from the electricity grid.

As indicated, Union has launched micro-generation pilot demonstration projects to obtain actual performance data specific to the circumstances of our province. Union believes this practical approach will provide a better understanding of what needs to be done in order to successfully identify micro-generation technology choices for Ontarians. Given the current advancement of its projects (see Union's response to Exhibit JT 1.31 for more details), Union proposes to share the actual data from its projects in future proceedings.

¹ <u>https://www.epa.gov/chp/fuel-and-carbon-dioxide-emissions-savings-calculation-methodology-combined-heat-and-power</u>

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Mr. Dantzer <u>To Mr. Wolnik</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.135

TO PROVIDE THE ACTUAL VOLUMES BY RATE CLASS FOR THE LAST THREE YEARS (2015, 2016 AND 2017) AND THE THREE-YEAR AVERAGE AND ADVISE HOW THEY CAME UP WITH THE FORECAST.

Response:

The forecast volumes provided at Exhibit B.APPrO.10, Attachment 1, column (b) and (c) were developed as part of Union's 2018-2020 budget process. The process to develop the forecast is summarized below:

In-franchise Contract

- The forecast for customers in Rate 20, Rate M4, Rate M5, some Rate M7, and Rate M9, is based upon the most recent years actual volumes at the customer level normalized for weather. Known changes such as growth, closures, changes to a customer's operations and rate switching are reflected in the impacted customer's forecast.
- The forecast for large volume customers (Rate 100, Rate T1, Rate T2, Rate T3, and some Rate M7) is based upon historical results and customer-provided inputs are taken into account by Union's Sales group in determining a customer forecast. Known changes such as growth, closures, changes to a customer's operation and rate switching are reflected in the impacted customer's forecast.

Ex-Franchise

• The forecast for ex-franchise customers is based on a listing of signed contracts and any expected new contracts. Ex-franchise throughput is forecasted using a 4 year simple average of actual throughput, and adjustments are made to account for available capacity that existed on Rate C1 transportation paths. New contracts or contracts with expected throughput changes are forecasted using expected customer behaviour.

Please see Attachment 1 for the 2015, 2016 and 2017 actual volumes and the three year average for in-franchise contract and ex-franchise rate classes.

UNION GAS LIMITED 2015 to 2017 Actual Volumes for Contract and Ex-Franchise Rate Classes

Line No.	Particulars	2015 Actual Volumes (a)	2016 Actual Volumes (b)	2017 Actual Volumes (c)	Three Year Average Volumes (e) = (sum(a:c)/3)		
	Union North (10 ³ m ³)						
1	Rate 20	540,839	564,912	501,499	535,750		
2	Rate 100	1,398,114	1,365,738	1,029,145	1,264,333		
3	Rate 25	144,313	116,847	106,997	122,719		
	Union South (10 ³ m ³)						
4	Rate M4	457,328	471,413	549,760	492,833		
5	Rate M5	208,631	194,162	140,648	181,147		
6	Rate M7	427,707	474,216	507,692	469,872		
7	Rate M9	66,583	72,124	69,174	69,294		
8	Rate M10	300	248	274	274		
9	Rate T1	442,947	447,127	458,243	449,439		
10	Rate T2	4,368,501	4,212,740	3,762,498	4,114,580		
11	Rate T3	263,235	250,167	257,343	256,915		
12	Total In-Franchise (10 ³ m ³)	8,318,496	8,169,694	7,383,273	7,957,154		
	Ex-franchise (GJ)						
13	Rate M12	549,474,335	548,760,121	654,350,941	584,195,132		
14	Rate M13	3,857,198	3,566,839	3,223,313	3,549,117		
15	Rate C1	250,947,069	255,286,332	198,223,777	234,819,059		
16	Rate M16	12,846,844	10,517,032	10,577,103	11,313,660		
17	Total Ex-franchise (GJ)	817,125,446	818,130,324	866,375,134	833,876,968		

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Mr. Dantzer <u>To Mr. Wolnik</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.136

TO EXPLAIN WHY THE DEFERRAL AMOUNTS FOR C1 ARE SO MUCH SMALLER THAN M12.

Response:

In accordance with the OEB Cap-and-Trade framework, Union is proposing to allocate the 2016 GGEIDA balance to rate classes in proportion to Union's 2013 Board-approved Administrative and General O&M expense.

The allocation of the 2016 GGEIDA deferral account balance of \$0.210 million to Rate M12 is significantly greater than the allocation of \$0.005 million to Rate C1 relative to the 2018 forecast transmission volumes for these rate classes. The proposed allocation to rate classes is based on the amount of O&M expense attributable to each rate class from the 2013 Board-approved cost allocation study and does not directly relate to forecast volumes by rate class. The allocation of O&M costs to Rate M12 primarily relates to the Dawn-Parkway system costs. The allocation of O&M costs to Rate C1 primarily relates to the Ojibway/St. Clair system costs, with minimal costs allocation of O&M costs from the Dawn-Parkway system per unit of volume is higher than the Rate C1 allocation of O&M costs from the Ojibway/St. Clair system per unit of volume.

As described in Exhibit 7, Tab 2 of Union's evidence, Union is proposing to dispose of the 2016 Cap-and-Trade deferral balances to ex-franchise rate classes as a one-time adjustment using OEB-approved deferral account allocation methodologies. The use of ex-franchise forecast volumes for prospective recovery of 2016 GGEIDA deferral balances was used for illustrative purposes only in response to Exhibit B.APPrO.10.

Filed: 2018-04-16 EB-2017-0255 Exhibit JT1.31 Page 1 of 3

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Mr. Trofim-Breuer <u>To Mr. Rubenstein</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.144

TO PROVIDE THE RESEARCH AND/OR RELATED DATA FOR PHASE 1 AND PHASE 2 MICRO-GENERATION INITIATIVES (EVEN IF THEY DO NOT CONTINUE TO STAGE 3); AND IF NOT, TO ADVISE WHY NOT PROVIDED.

Response:

Consistent with the description provided in Exhibit B. Staff 21 a), Union has used its selection and project management approach to assess the technology and identify the micro-generation initiatives shown in the 2018 Initiatives Funnel.

As such, during Stage 1 Union has completed the following selection activities:

- Completed numerous research/study scans and meetings with research organizations and industry associations.
 - Organized several meetings with Dr. Evgueniy Entchev who is Senior Scientist and Head Hybrid Energy Systems and Advanced Energy Cycles Integrated Energy Systems Laboratory at the CANMET Energy Research Center of Natural Resources Canada. The purpose of these meetings was to understand the development status of the micro-generation technology and potential applications in Ontario. As a result, Dr. Entchev shared with Union the Annex 54 Integration of Microgeneration and Related Technologies in Buildings report prepared as part of the International Energy Agency (IEA) Energy in Buildings Program. This report has become one of the principal sources of micro-generation related information and is provided as Attachment A.
 - Attended meetings and conference calls with QUEST's Ontario CHP Consortium.¹ This consortium is a working group composed of electrical and natural gas utilities, technology and service providers, municipalities and government organizations. The purpose was to understand the overall Combined Heat and Power ("CHP") market context in Ontario, the role micro combined heat and power ("mCHP")/micro-generation technologies could play in this market and what barriers exist today that would have to be addressed and resolved as part of potential pilot demonstration projects in Union's franchise.
- Conferences, workshops and meetings with manufactures.

¹ http://www.questcanada.org/our-network/caucus/on/on-chp-consortium

Filed: 2018-04-16 EB-2017-0255 Exhibit JT1.31 Page 2 of 3

- Attended the Energy Solutions Center, Inc. ("ESC") mCHP Workshop.² The ESC is a US based non-profit organization of energy utilities and equipment manufacturers that promotes energy efficient natural gas solutions and systems for use by residential, commercial, and industrial energy users. The purpose was to gain understanding regarding examination of the state-of-the-art mCHP products that are currently making their way to the North American marketplace.
- Site visits to view installations.
 - Completed several site visits with manufacturers to review different microgeneration technologies such as Internal Combustion Engines ("ICE"), micro turbine, smart-hybrid and steam generation technologies. The purpose was to understand the status of each technology development and the technical, commercial and operational capability of each manufacturer.

The outcome of these selection activities led to the identification of new micro-generation technologies to be assessed in Stage 1 and of projects to be pursued in Stage 2 of the Initiatives Funnel.

The funds identified in Union's response at Exhibit B.Staff.21 b) p. 4, for Stage 1 are associated with on-going pre-screening and assessment of new micro-generation technologies such as fuel cells.

The funds identified in Union's response at Exhibit B.Staff.21 b) p. 5, are associated with three of the nine projects. Specifically, these three projects utilize ICE technology and one is currently in execution in Belle River, while the other two are in planning mode to be deployed in the Chatham and Collingwood areas respectively. The remaining six projects are in the early stages of project feasibility assessment.

Union is applying the project management approach described in in Exhibit B. Staff 21 a), to the project that is currently in execution in Belle River, Ontario. Consistent with this approach, Union has developed a project description, budget, work plan and schedule. The project description is shown in Figure 1 below, whereas, insights regarding the project budget, deliverables, year-to-date spend and schedule have been provided as part of Union's response to Exhibit JT 1.17.

² https://www.energysolutionscenter.org/about/default.aspx

Filed: 2018-04-16 EB-2017-0255 Exhibit JT1.31 Page 3 of 3

Figure 1: Micro Generation Belle River Project Description



Furthermore, Union will be preparing project reporting on actual performance upon completion of the execution phase of the project when measurement and verification data becomes available.







Energy in Buildings and Communities Programme

Integration of Microgeneration and Related Technologies in Building

Final Report of Annex 54 of the International Energy Agency's Energy in Buildings and Communities Programme

Report Editors & Annex 54 Operating Agents: Evgueniy Entchev (National Resources Canada, Canada) Peter Tzscheutschler (Technische Universität München, Germany)







© Copyright:

Copying with reference to "IEA EBC Annex 54 Integration of Micro-Generation and Related Energy Technologies in Buildings" permitted.

Technische Universität München, Germany 2014

All property rights, including copyright, are vested in Technische Universität München, Operating Agent for EBC Annex 54, on behalf of the Contracting Parties of the International Energy Agency Implementing Agreement for a Programme of Research and Development on Energy in Buildings and Communities.

Disclaimer Notice: This publication has been compiled with reasonable skill and care. However, neither Technische Universität München nor the EBC Contracting Parties (of the International Energy Agency Implementing Agreement for a Programme of Research and Development on Energy in Buildings and Communities) make any representation as to the adequacy or accuracy of the information contained herein, or as to its suitability for any particular application, and accept no responsibility or liability arising out of the use of this publication. The information contained herein does not supersede the requirements given in any national codes, regulations or standards, and should not be regarded as a substitute for the need to obtain specific professional advice for any particular application.

Citation: Evgueniy Entchev, Peter Tzscheutschler. "Integration of Microgeneration and Related Technologies in Building", published by Technische Universität München, Germany, 10/2014

ISBN 978-3-00-047731-7

Participating countries in EBC:

Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, P.R. China, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Republic of Korea, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, United Kingdom and the United States of America.

This document may be downloaded from: <u>www.iea-ebc.org</u>





Integration of Microgeneration and Related Technologies in Building

Final Report of Annex 54 of the International Energy Agency's Energy in Buildings and Communities Programme

Authored by:

Evgueniy Entchev (National Resources Canada, Canada) Peter Tzscheutschler (Technische Universität München, Germany) Ken Darcovich (National Research Council of Canada, Cnada) Maurizio Sasso (Università degli Studi del Sannio, Italy) Adam Hawkes (Imperial College London, UK) Atsushi Akisawa (Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology, Japan) Giovanni Angrisani (Università degli Studi del Sannio, Italy) Per Balslev (Dantherm Power, Denmark) Ian Beausoleil-Morrison (Carleton University, Canada) Caterina Brandoni (Università Politecnica delle Marche) Nick Kelly (University of Strathclyde, UK) Bruno Lee (Technische Universiteit Eindhoven, Netherlands) Euy-Joon Lee (Korea Institute of Energy Research, Korea) Marco Manzan (Università degli Studi di Trieste, Italy) Hajo Ribberink (National Resources Canada, Canada) Antonio Rosato (Seconda Università degli Studi di Napoli Italy) Carlo Roselli (Università degli Studi del Sannio, Italy) Tatsuo Sakonji (Tokyo Gas, Japan) Sergio Sibilio (Seconda Università degli Studi di Napoli Italy) Michael Steck (Enwida, Germany) Juliana Zapata Riveros (Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Netherlands)





Acknowledgements

This report is the result of an international project performed within Annex 54 of the IEA/EBC programme. We would like to express our great appreciation to the participants of Annex 54 for their contribution as well as for the support given by different national bodies.

The guidance of the EBC Executive Committee is gratefully acknowledged.

Evgueniy Entchev, Peter Tzscheutschler (Operating Agents)





Table of Contents

E	cecut	tive Summary	7
1	Int	roduction	9
	1.1	Focus of Annex 54	9
	1.2	Structure of Annex	10
2	Mi	cro-Generation Technologies and Systems	13
	2.1	Combined-Heat-and-Power (CHP) Systems	13
	2.3	1.1 Internal Combustion Engine	13
	2.:	1.2 Stirling Engine	14
	2.:	1.3 Fuel Cell	16
	2.2	Renewable Power and Poly Generation	17
	2.2	2.1 Photovoltaic (PV)	17
	2.2	2.2 Heat Pumps	19
	2.2	2.3 Batteries as Residential Electricity Storage	22
	2.2	2.4 Thermal Storage	23
	2.2	2.5 Chiller	25
3	Sys	stem Modelling and Demand Profiles	27
	3.1	Demand Profiles	28
	3.2	Models for CHP units	30
	3.2	2.1 Calibration and Validation of AISIN SEIKI ICE micro-CHP unit	31
	3.2	2.2 PEM Fuel Cell Model Calibration Using Multiple Regression Method	32
	3.3	Models of System Components	35
	3.4	Modelling of complete systems	35
	3.4	4.1 System Model with Internal Combustion Engine implemented in TRNSYS	36
	3.4	4.2 System Model with Internal Combustion Engine implemented in Matlab/Simulink	39
	3.5	Optimization Tool Using a Unit Commitment Approach	41
4	Pe	rformance Assessment	45
	4.1	Methodology	45
	4.2	Test Procedures	51
	4.3	Review on National Studies	53
	4.3	3.1 Country-Specific Simulations, Experimental and Field Test Studies based on CHP systems	53
	4.3	3.2 Country Specific Simulations, Experimental and Field Test Studies based on CCHP systems	60





5	Drive	ers of Commercialization and Support Mechanisms	69
	5.1 La	andscape of Support Mechanisms	70
	5.1.1	Feed-In Tariffs for Microgeneration	70
	5.1.2	Grants	73
	5.1.3	Building Regulations	74
	5.1.4	Regulation for Smarter Energy Systems	74
	5.1.5	Summary of Support Mechanisms	75
	5.2 M	licro-generation Economics with Support Mechanisms	76
	5.2.1	Economic Performance Assessment with Support Mechanisms	76
	5.2.2	Economic Performance with Support Mechanisms	76
	5.2.3	Advanced Performance Assessment with Support Mechanisms	79
6	Sumr	mary, Conclusion and Outlook	81
	6.1 Su	ummary	81
	6.2 Co	onclusions	82
	6.3 O	utlook to Possible Future Work	85
A	bbrevia	ations	87
Re	eferenc	ces	89
In	nage Sc	ources	96
D	-	und information	07
Di	ackgrou	นกน กกอกกลุ่มอก	





EBC

Executive Summary

Micro-generation is a novel method for producing heat and power on site and near the enduser with high reliability, efficiency, and security of energy supply. The variety of microgeneration technology choices and applications is strongly dependent on a building's electric and thermal load profiles. Building integration of micro-generation systems is challenging because the loads are small and stochastic in nature, and the diversity is high. Given the rapidly increasing numbers of micro-cogeneration installations around the world, there is a pressing need for knowledge to enable informed choices to be made on where and when the installation of micro-cogeneration systems is appropriate. To properly integrate these systems in buildings, a significant number of operational and design issues must be investigated and resolved.

This report contains the research findings of Annex 54 of the International Energy Agency's Energy in Building and Communities Programme (IEA EBC), which was established in 2009 to further develop simulation models and performance assessment techniques affecting the integration and future penetration of micro-generation systems in buildings. The Annex 54 research encompasses the broad range of end-uses of micro-generation and the systems within it that might be deployed. The work reflects the state-of-the-art and future performance in micro-generation, including integration with energy storage and demand-side management technologies (e.g. responsive loads or dynamic demand control), virtual utility, and smart energy networks. Finally, given the ubiquitous nature of this technology and its broad societal impact, the research results are accessible to a broad audience including engineers, policy makers, and businesses.

Annex 54 successfully developed models of micro-generation units and system components, and implemented them into performance simulation platforms for state of the art buildings. A huge amount of country-specific data has been collected from laboratory and field testing, and has been used to determine demand-side profiles and to gain knowledge on micro-generation system integration and performance under variety of real life operating conditions.

The country-specific synthesis analysis performed by Annex 54 revealed generic performance trends and "rules of thumb" for the appropriate deployment of micro-generation technologies. The developed assessment methodology established common





reference points for performance comparison, assessment methodology, and metrics, as well as for the identification of generic not country-specific factors affecting the viability of micro-generation systems and their appropriate deployment in buildings and communities.

A selection of the range of support mechanisms to incentivize the adoption of microgeneration technology has been analysed. It is recognized that the supporting schemes can change quickly and, as such, the country-specific incentives and grants have been examined over the life time of the Annex. As such, the report provides a snapshot of feed-in tariffs, grants, building regulations, and the role of micro-generation and associated technologies in smarter energy systems.





EBC

1 Introduction

Annex 54 of the International Energy Agency's Energy in Buildings and Communities Programme (IEA/EBC) was established in 2009 to examine the "Integration of Microgeneration and Related Technologies in Buildings". The Annex was organized as a task-shared collaborative research project involving 29 organizations from ten countries. The combined on-site generation of electricity, heating, and cooling energy is an emerging technology with significant potential to deliver energy efficiency, as well as environmental benefits, through reduced primary energy (PE) consumption and lower greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions.

Background

The starting point of Annex 54 was the work performed within IEA/ECBCS Annex 42 [1-1]. This Annex focused on the modelling of fuel cells and other micro-cogeneration systems. Some of the major outcomes included: hot water and electrical load data for use in modelling studies; calibrated models of fuel cell, internal combustion (IC) engine and Stirling engine (SE) devices; experimental protocols for the testing of devices; and empirical validation datasets and country-specific performance studies on residential micro-cogeneration systems.

Given the rapidly increasing number of micro-cogeneration installations around the world, there was a pressing need to conduct further research to enable informed choices to be made on where and when the installation of a micro-cogeneration system is appropriate. The research of the proposed follow-on Annex 54 should encompass the broad range of end-uses for micro-generation, and the systems within which it could be deployed. Furthermore, the work should properly reflect the stateof-the-art and future performance in micro-generation, including integration with energy storage and demand-side management technologies (e.g. responsive loads or dynamic demand control). Finally, given the (potentially) ubiquitous nature of this technology and its broad societal impact, the research results from the Annex should be accessible to a broad audience including engineers, policy makers, and businesses.

1.1 Focus of Annex 54

The focus of Annex 54 has been shifted from model development and experimentation (Annex 42) towards a more expansive analysis of micro-cogeneration and associated technologies. The scope of activities encompasses multi-source micro-cogeneration systems, polygeneration systems, and renewable hybrid systems and their integration in supply objects. Additionally, components as energy storage systems and advanced control systems are included, as well as demand-side management technologies.

Performance of integrated systems is analysed, as well as the wider effects of micro-generation on low-voltage power distribution systems.





The work focuses mainly on the simulation of numerical models of integrated micro-generation systems. The data were derived from laboratory experiments and field testing services to calibrate models and derive load profiles. The results are performance studies into the efficiencies, economics, and environmental impact, in terms of primary energy consumption and CO₂-emissions, of micro-generation technologies.

Additionally, diffusion strategies for the mass deployment of micro-generation-related technologies are examined; this activity encompasses a regulatory and market review, along with data emerging from the technical analysis.

1.2 Structure of Annex

To accomplish the aforementioned, the three subtasks have been defined to organize the work of Annex 54, as illustrated in Fig. 1-1:

Subtask A: Technical Development

Subtask A undertakes the model development and data collection activities that underpin the work of Subtasks B and C, with the emphasis on the optimized operation of micro-generation systems. This requires the development of models of contemporary micro-generation devices and controllers to maximize the energy performance for local, and possibly community, energy needs in different operational scenarios.

This work also involves the specification of boundary conditions for the modelling of microgeneration, especially the establishment of appropriate hot water and electrical demand profiles.

Subtask B: Performance Assessment

Subtask B uses simulation as a means to develop an extensive library of performance studies covering different technology types and combinations, including the performance in different countries and with different end-users. The simulation work initially focusses on improving/optimizing the performance of basic but realistic micro-generation system configurations in a limited set of operational contexts. Subsequent work features a wider range of system components (such as electrical storage technologies), system functions, and end-users.

A synthesis analysis is undertaken with a view to identifying generic performance trends and "rules of thumb" regarding the appropriate deployment of micro-generation technologies. This requires the establishment of common reference points for the comparison of performance, requiring agreement on a common assessment methodology and metrics, and the identification of generic demand and supply technology characteristics.

The Subtask will focus on the applications of micro-generation in individual residences, multiresidences, and small commercial buildings. However, the scope of the work also encompasses mobile energy systems such as Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicles (PHEV) and more conventional EVs, as well as demand-side management through other appliances.





Subtask C: Technically Robust Mechanisms for Diffusion

Micro-generation is an emerging class of technologies, and, as such, is only partially or poorly understood in terms of market introduction. For example, early models of micro-generation support in the residential sector are closely tied with energy efficiency; however, important technical and economic tensions/synergies exist between these interventions. Given interactions such as these, there is a risk that commercialization and support strategies devised by decision makers will lead to underperforming installations. To reduce this risk, decision makers require unbiased information regarding the interaction between the technical performance of micro-generation and the mechanisms for the diffusion of these systems.

Given the importance attributed to micro-generation in meeting many countries' climate change targets (i.e. requiring substantial penetration), Subtask C will draw upon micro-scale technical analysis to assess the ability of micro-generation to enter the market, and to deliver on national and international energy policy objectives.

The Subtask begins with an analysis of the technical implications of current regulatory approaches supporting the deployment of micro-generation in OECD countries. Following on from this, case studies of micro-generation commercialization in a variety of OECD countries are developed, drawing on performance assessments emerging from Subtask B. The aforementioned tasks are undertaken with a view to identify the key characteristics of the interactions between technical performance, economic instruments, and commercialization strategies for micro-generation.



Figure 1-1: Structure of Annex 54.



Filed: 2018-04-16 EB-2017-0255 Exhibit JT1.31 Attachment A Page 12 of 99





2 Micro-Generation Technologies and Systems

This chapter delivers a short overview of the micro-generation equipment, also including a balance of plant components, investigated during Annex 54.

2.1 Combined-Heat-and-Power (CHP) Systems

2.1.1 Internal Combustion Engine

The internal combustion engine (ICE), well known from car engines, is presently the most mature technology available for micro-CHP applications on the market because it achieves high thermal efficiency, reduction of noise and vibrations, low maintenance and long life service, while requiring a small installation space.

More specifically, these engines occupy small installation spaces, have high mechanical (25–35%) and thermal (50–65%) efficiencies, produce low noise (<60 dB(A) at 1 m) and vibrations, require little maintenance (one change of spark plugs and oil a year, corresponding to about 4000 h), and have a long life service (40,000–60,000 h, corresponding to about 10 years). Finally, owing to lean burn, NOX emissions are less than 100 ppm with a stable shaft power output at a range of engine speeds between 1200 and 3000 rpm. These features make micro-CHP systems based on ICEs a valid and interesting application that is suitable, above all, for residential and light commercial users [2-1]. Consequently, a number of Reciprocating Internal Combustion (RIC) engine-based cogeneration systems, suitable for the residential sector, are currently available on the market [2-2].

Honda and Osaka Gas have developed the Ecowill model [2-3], presented in Fig. 2-1. This is a 1-kW electrical and 2.80-kW thermal output cogeneration unit, designed for use by a single family, that has



Figure 2-1: Honda MCHP



Figure 2-2: Aisin Seiki MCHP





an overall energy efficiency of 85%. In the period 2003–2009, approximately 86,000 units were sold in Japan. A new model was introduced to the North American market in 2006 that is capable of supplying 1.2 kW of electric power.

In February 2002, Tokyo Gas and Aisin (Toyota group) [2-4] launched an MCHP system in Japan that has also been available on the European market since 2006; the model is presented in Fig. 2-2. The model, based on a 3-cylinder, 952-cm³ ICE, provides an electric output of 6 kW and 11.7 kW of thermal power, with a total efficiency, at full load, equal to 85%.

The German manufacturer [2-5] Senertec., which has presently installed more than 32,000 units in Europe, produces a cogeneration unit with 5.5-kW electric and 12.5-kW thermal power output called Dachs, illustrated in Fig. 2-3. This unit is based on a one-cylinder four-stroke ICE that has a displacement of 579 cm³ and can be fuelled by natural gas, LPG, fuel oil, or biodiesel. The total efficiency at full load is approximately 90%.

Vaillant, with the Ecopower 4.7 module [2-6], produces an MCHP system, based on a Briggs & Stratton 5HP engine, which is fuelled by natural gas or propane. The MCHP system produces 4.7-kW electrical and 12.5-kW thermal outputs for an overall energy efficiency of up to 92%. The cogenerator can modulate the electric power between 2.0 and 4.7 kW_{el}, the range of the corresponding thermal power is 6.0 to 12.5 kW_{th}.

Within the power range of 4 kW_{el} onwards, other manufacturers offer systems with comparable technical characteristics to the systems described above.



Figure 2-3: Senertec MCHP

2.1.2 Stirling Engine

The Stirling engine was invented by Robert Stirling in 1817. It operates through the cyclic expansion and compression of a gas caused by heating and cooling. Mechanical power is captured through the movement of a piston in a cylinder, comparable to other reciprocating engines. One advantage of the process is that, owing to the external combustion outside the cylinder, solid fuels such as wood can also be used as fuel.

In modern micro-CHP units, a fuel is used to heat the process (expansion of the gas). The heat recovered from the cooling site (compression of the gas) can then be used to supply heat to a building.





Aside from prototypes, some developments have been made in pilot manufacturing and wider applications in field tests:

- Solo Stirling (7.5 kW_{el}, 22 kW_{th}, operated with natural gas)
- Sunmachine (3.0 kW_{el}, 10.5 kW_{th}, operated with wooden pellets)
- WhisperGen (1.0 kW_{el}, 7.5 kW_{th}, operated with natural gas)
- Microgen Stirling (1.0 kW_{el}, 6.0 kW_{th}, operated with natural gas)

However, at present only one system, the SE produced by the Microgen Engine Corporation, is manufactured in larger quantities and is available on the market. This system is not sold directly to the final consumer but several European manufacturers of heating appliances have integrated this SE into their heating appliances, usually in combination with a condensing boiler.

Measurements made with the Solo systems demonstrated an electrical efficiency of approximately 25%. However, micro-CHP systems based on the Microgen SE have achieved electrical efficiencies of only 12–15%. In both cases, the overall efficiency, including the use of heat, is in the range of 90 to 94%.

Fig. 2-4 and 2-5 show two Stirling micro-CHP systems. Both contain a condensing boiler to service peaks in the heat demand and a control system. The left engine, produced by Senertec, also comprises a 530 l thermal storage.



Figure 2-4: Stirling micro-CHP system Senertec Dachs Stirling



Figure 2-5: Stirling micro-CHP system Remeha eVita 28c





2.1.3 Fuel Cell

Fuel cells offer the possibility of converting a fuel, usually hydrogen, directly into electricity by a catalytic electrochemical reaction. Heat is a by-product of this process that also can be used.

Two types of fuel cells have been proven to be suitable for cogeneration purposes:

• Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells (PEMFC):

This type of fuel cell uses pure hydrogen and oxygen from the air to generate electricity and heat using a polymer membrane, at temperature level of up to approximately 85°C, with electrical efficiency of approximately 35%. To convert natural gas into hydrogen, a reforming and purification process has to be implemented ahead of the fuel cell.

• Solid Oxide Fuel Cells (SOFC):

SOFC usually utilize a ceramic material as electrolyte and are operated at temperatures typically above 650°C. This high temperature level can be used to develop an internal reforming of fuels, with hydrogen content in the form of natural gas. The electrical efficiency is in the range of approximately 50%.

This technology has reached the highest maturity in Japan. A fuel cell household cogeneration system, powered by natural gas and liquefied petroleum gas, was released in 2009 and has been sold under the Ene-Farm brand [2-7] to a total of 50,000 houses.

The Ene-Farm system generates power on-site to be used within the households; the resultant heat is effectively utilized for the domestic supply of hot water. Consequently, the energy utilization rate is approximately 86%. A learning function records the daily patterns of electricity and hot water use in the household; this allows the system to estimate and determine the best operation plan of when to start/stop the generation for maximum energy efficiency. In comparison with conventional power generation systems, the Ene-Farm system cuts primary energy consumption by approximately 37%, and CO_2 emissions are reduced by approximately 49%.

CALLUX is a field test being performed in Germany since 2008, with the goal of installing 500 fuel cell CHP systems in residential buildings. The target issues are to gain experience in operating fuel cell systems, increasing system reliability, and reducing maintenance costs. One particular focus is on data handling and communication with the fuel cell system. Consequently, the Callux-Box was developed, allowing a scheduled operation of the fuel cell systems [2-8].

A comparable project, conducted at the European level, is Ene.Field, which started in 2012, bringing together more than 50 partners from 12 countries. The aim is to install 1000 fuel-cell CHP units to stimulate cost reductions by transitioning to serial production. Policy mechanisms related to domestic micro-CHP will be analysed and commented on, and business models will be investigated. [2-9]







Figure 2-6: Panasonic Fuel Cell MCHP

2.2 Renewable Power and Poly Generation

2.2.1 Photovoltaic (PV)

Solar energy is presently the most abundant, inexhaustible, and cleanest of all the renewable energy resources. The power from sun, intercepted by the earth, is approximately 1.8 x 10¹¹ MW, which is many times larger than the present rate of all energy consumption. A photovoltaic (PV) system is a device that converts solar energy into electricity directly, without any heat engine to interfere. Typical applications of PV systems in use today are for power sources, water pumping, for use in remote buildings, solar home systems, communications, satellites, and many more; the demand for PV systems is increasing every year. An example of PV application in a building is presented in Fig. 2-7.

PV modules have achieved mass production, with some 40 GW annual production capacity. A typical module has a peak power of 250 W with an efficiency of up to 19%. PV installations are counted in the millions and some installations have already reached capacities of more than 100 MW_p , with capacities of 500 MW_p being projected.







Figure 2-7: Building Integrated Photovoltaic System in Canada by Schletter and SkyFire with "turtle" roof shape [2-10]

PV applications can be classified into on-grid and off-grid applications:

1. On-Grid Applications:

The PV system feeds surplus electrical energy directly into the electricity supply grid. The benefits of this on-grid connected PV power generation are generally evaluated based on its potential to reduce costs for energy production and generator capacity, as well as its environmental benefits.

2. Off-Grid Applications

Currently, PV systems are most competitive at isolated sites, away from the electric grid that require relatively small amounts of power, typically less than 10kWp. In these off-grid applications, PV systems are frequently used in the charging of batteries, thus storing the electrical energy produced by the modules and providing the user with electrical energy on demand.

Concentrating Photovoltaic (CPV) Systems

Most of photovoltaic panels are made of Si in mono-crystalline, poly-crystalline, or amorphous forms. Chemical composite materials (Ga, As, In) are used for very highly efficient solar cells. In order to achieve these high efficiencies of approximately 40%, multi-junction cell technology is employed [2-11]. Multi-junction cells consist of three or more layers of very thin solar cells, each of which absorbs solar irradiation at a different wave range.

Generally, multi-junction cells are installed in concentrating photovoltaic (CPV) systems, which have primary optics such as lenses or mirrors to concentrate solar irradiance onto the cells. Owing to multi-junction cells being very expensive to produce because of the advanced technology involved, it is advantageous that this concentration results in a reduction in the cell size. There are several types of lenses used in this concentration. Flat Fresnel lenses are used commonly in CPV systems. 3Dshaped lenses, for example dome shaped Fresnel lenses with the concentration ratio of 500 suns,





were developed for CPV modules in Japan. CPV modules have to be mounted on sun tracking devices with two axes in order to capture solar incident in a normal direction (Fig. 2-8). While flat PV modules can intake not only direct incident but also diffused radiation from the sun, CPV modules can only utilise direct incident radiation. Consequently, it can be said that CPV modules are suitable for areas with rich direct solar radiation. For example, CPV systems are already employed to supply electricity on a commercial basis in Arizona in the US.



Figure 2-8: CPV with dome shaped lenses

Hybrid Solar Panels

Hybrid solar panels are designed for recovering thermal energy as well as producing electricity using one module. The efficiency of commonly used PV cells is approximately 10–15%, which implies that the rest of solar energy turns into heat. Hybrid solar panels work by collecting such heat to supply domestic hot water. Generally, these panels have a layered structure where PV cells are fixed on a metal plate to integrate the extraction of electricity and thermal energy from the same component. The heat generated in the cells is transferred to fluid flowing just behind the metal plate to produce hot water.

It is advantageous that one device can generate different types of energy at the same time, like cogeneration. Considering that roof areas are a form of restricted resource in urban areas, it is attractive for hybrid solar panels to share solar collecting areas for both PV and solar water heating. Another beneficial point is that the heat recovery from the cells results in decreasing the temperature of the cells and, therefore, improves the efficiency of electricity generation. This technological development is currently ongoing.

2.2.2 Heat Pumps

Heat pumps (HPs) are widespread devices that are able to extract heat from a low-temperature source, making it available on a higher temperature level. The process is performed at the expense of




mechanical or thermal energy. Different classifications are possible by taking into account different features:

- type of energy source (mechanical, electrical, thermal)
- low-temperature source (air, water, ground, waste heat)
- kind of service (heating, domestic hot water (DHW), combined)
- type of fluid (air, or water)

An electrically driven compression HP, the best known system, employs an inverse vapour compression cycle; this extracts thermal energy from the low temperature source by evaporating a fluid. Following this, the vapour is compressed and releases the absorbed heat in a condenser. Afterwards, the condensed liquid is expanded in a valve to restart the cycle.

The efficiency of an electrically driven HP is given by the Coefficient of Performance (COP) and represents the ratio between the energy released to the high temperature source and the energy absorbed by the compressor. Depending on the temperature of the heat source and the heat supply, the COP is typically in the range of 3 to 5. As a HP consumes electricity, the effort required to generate the electricity has to be taken into account.

Absorption heat pumps (AHPs) use thermal energy to drive the process. The refrigerant is absorbed by an absorbing fluid; this forms a liquid solution. Heat provided to the generator separates the refrigerant, which evaporates and follows the same pattern of the vapour compression cycle, with condensation, expansion, and evaporation; however, instead of entering a condenser, the refrigerant is mixed with the absorbing fluid to start the process again. For HPs, the usual combination of refrigerant and absorbing fluid is ammonia and water, or water and lithium bromide.

Presently, AHPs are best suited to heating large buildings because heating capacity reaches a minimum of approximately 35 kW, which is usually higher than the capacity required for single dwelling. The COP for a gas driven AHPs is in the range of 1.5 to 2.0.

Common heat sources used are the external air and the ground:

- Air source heat pumps (ASHPs) extract thermal energy directly from external air and transfer it to internal ambient air (air-to-air HPs) or to a secondary fluid such as water (air-to-water HPs). The benefits of this arrangement are the low cost and easy installation, while the main drawback is the reduction of COP with low external temperatures, an additional problem occurs with frost formation occurring on the condenser.
- Ground source heat pumps (GSHPs) use the ground as a source, which is at a rather constant temperature. Therefore, the capacity of these systems is nearly constant and independent from the external air temperature. Additionally, the COP of a GSHP is constant and usually higher than that of the ASHP at low external temperatures. Heat can be extracted from the ground using horizontal or vertical tubes; Fig. 2-9 illustrates a possible solution for HP installation for heating and DHW production. The requirement of excavation or drilling for GSHPs represents the main drawback of the system, bringing a high initial cost.







Figure 2-9: GSHP with vertical boreholes for heating and DHW

HPs can be used for cooling purposes, too. The COP of GSHPs is higher than when using ASHPs. If the ground temperature is sufficiently low, the HP can be switched off, performing a free cooling of the conditioned spaces. Fig. 2-10 presents a GSHP with a free cooling unit



Figure 2-10: A GSHP, centre, left connections with two boreholes with two tubes each, right the free cooling unit





2.2.3 Batteries as Residential Electricity Storage

Battery energy storage (BES) is becoming relevant at the residential level when transient renewables are employed or with thermally led cogeneration systems that have a significant night time heat demand. A battery system for a single family home would typically include a battery pack with a capacity in the range of 4 to 8 kWh, capable of delivering up to 5 kW of power. In order to connect this battery to the household electrical system, as well as the external power grid, a DC to AC electrical inverter is required, along with a battery-management system in order to ensure safe and sustainable function of the battery pack. At present, there are a number of suitable battery types available, including the long-established and conventional lead-acid systems, batteries based on nickel-cadmium, nickel metal hydride, lithium ion, lithium polymer, sodium sulphur, vanadium redox, zinc bromine, or metal air compositions [2-12].

Depending on battery chemistry, the capital cost for equipment can range from \$65 to \$1560 per kWh, with roundtrip electrical efficiencies from 50 to approximately 95%, and service lifetimes ranging from only a few hundred cycles up to 10,000 cycles [2-12]. The characteristics of lithium ion batteries that make them highly suitable for electrical storage include very high energy density, good power output, good cycle life with a broad cycling range, high coulombic efficiencies, and comparatively low heat output [2-13]. For prolonged intensive use, such as a in a micro-cogeneration system, proper control and management of a lithium ion battery is crucial to ensure high capacity retention and safe operation. Lithium ion batteries at ratings of approximately 2 kW/6 kWh are of a size suitable for development for residential power supply and storage. It has been demonstrated that they can provide the consumer with economic benefit in a residential micro-generation context, as storing energy can reduce peak power demands. Benefits also apply to power utilities through offsetting costly infrastructure upgrades to the power grid [2-14]. Such a system, as illustrated in Fig. 2-11, was built with an integrated inverter and management electronics, at a prototype cost of approximately \$10,000.



Figure 2-11: Residential storage battery built by the Canadian manufacturer Electrovaya





EBC

High capital costs of residential batteries continue to be a concern. Studies have revealed that time shifting of grid power with batteries in time-of-use pricing environments is not a strategy that justifies the large capital expense [2-15]. Peak shaving can occur through widespread use of large residential batteries, but such a scenario would need to be led through the efforts of a coordinating utility, and has yet to be demonstrated. However, at present, some large-scale commercial BES systems have been built and installed [2-16]. At the current adoptive stage, progress towards economy of scale with batteries is occurring. The battery management electronics for smaller storage systems contribute to their current high cost, thus larger BES systems are more economically viable. Very recent initiatives, notably in Japan in response to the Fukushima crisis, are making residential batteries more of a commercial reality. Examples include the Honda Smart Home System [2-17], undergoing field trials in Saitama, which consists of CIGS thin-film solar panels, a home battery unit, a household gas-engine cogeneration unit, a hot water supply system, and control electronics. In November 2012, Toshiba [2-18] launched 6.6-kWh/3.0-kW "eneGoon" rapid-charge Li-ion residential batteries, claiming a 6000-cycle lifetime. US-based Power-One inverters and Japan's Panasonic lithium ion batteries will be integrated into energy storage systems for the residential, commercial, and utility-scale, and grid-connected energy storage markets in 2013 [2-19]. Similarly, in summer 2013, Nichicon Corporation introduced an energy management system that combines a solar power generating system from partner Kyocera, with their long-lasting, high-capacity lithium-ion battery storage units [2-20]. Regulatory assistance in Germany to cover 30% of battery capital costs, in recognition of the value of combining residential battery storage to residential PV systems, was made available in late 2012 [2-21]. Related to this, it was reported that a 5.3-kW solar PV system could provide enough power for 24 hours of household demand, and a 10.6-kWh battery capacity would be suitable to discharge surplus power for use at night [2-22].

2.2.4 Thermal Storage

Thermal storage is a key component for thermal plants, which can be used in heating and cooling applications. The main purpose of thermal storage is to resolve the problem of the shift between energy demand and production, a typical problem encountered if renewable sources are used for energy production. Thermal energy storage can be of sensible or latent type, and various storage materials are employed. In heating plants, the dominant storage material used is water. The amount of energy that can be stored depends on the volume of the tank and the temperature difference between the outlet and return temperatures. Latent thermal storage using phase change materials (PCMs) can also be used to enhance the amount of stored energy. For cooling applications, ice storage is utilised, where ice slurry and ice-on-coil are the solutions employed.

Sensible storage systems

The typical storage medium in heating and cooling plants is water owing to its common availability, low cost, and the high specific heat content. A vertical cylinder tank is the most common solution adopted. Internal coils connected to thermal energy sources, such as boilers, cogenerator systems, or solar collectors can be used to heat the water in the tank. The number of heating coils usually varies between one and three. One may be used to charge the storage from a micro-CHP system, the





second coil can is used to integrate solar heat, while the third heat coil is used to heat domestic hot water.

Thermal storage in domestic plants is typically used for domestic hot water supplies. The stored water guarantees continuity of water service at a constant temperature. Thermal storage is also required in conjunction with solar collectors for collecting energy during sunny hours and to have hot water available when it is required. Fig. 2-12 represents a tank with two coils, the lower is usually connected to the solar collector, while the upper is connected to a boiler for backup heating. Multiple heat generators can be available so the tank can have additional coils; for example, the tank can be connected to a solar collector, and a wood boiler or a cogenerator.



Figure 2-12: A tank with two heat exchangers for Solar Domestic Hot Water Systems

Fig. 2-13 represents a tank-in-tank solution combining domestic hot water storage (inner tank) with a thermal storage for the heating system (outer tank). With this solution, a unique heat generator can provide energy to both the heating system and the domestic hot water system.



Figure 2-13: Tank in Tank plant for Solar Domestic Hot Water System





Latent storage systems

Heat storage can be enhanced by means of substances undergoing a phase change during charge and discharge of the storage, usually from the solid to liquid phase. Consequently, the energy is stored as latent heat. The important parameters in such circumstances are the latent heat content of the material and the phase change temperature. Different materials based on paraffin or salt hydrates are available commercially, with phase change temperatures in the range 30 to 64°C [2-23]. Different approaches have been used to integrate PCMs into thermal storage. Typical methods are PCMs packed in cylinders or their inclusion in spherical containers.

2.2.5 Chiller

Absorption and adsorption chillers

Absorption chillers (Fig. 2-14) represent the most common thermally activated technology applied in existing Combined Cooling, Heating and Power (CCHP) systems (hotels, hospitals, commercial buildings, etc.) [2-24].

Typical absorption chiller installations, with a cooling capacity of 10 to 15 kW producing chilled water at a temperature level of 15 to 18°C, are operated using thermal power at a temperature level of 75 to 90°C, with an COP of approximately 0.65 to 0.78 [2-25,2-26]. Lithium bromide/water and water/ammonia are the working pairs that are typically used in these systems.

Adsorption cooling is a novel technology that incorporates low-grade heat sources [2-24]; however, this technology has the problems of low COP (0.3–0.5), low cooling power per volume, and significant weight. Few systems with a cooling power of 10 to 100 kW are available on the Chinese and American markets, and they have a high investment cost ($600 \notin /kW$ of cooling power installed). In terms of small machines, two new companies are offering novel products: SorTech AG from Germany offers a 8-kW and 15-kW water-silica gel chiller (Fig. 2-13) and Invensor GmbH, also from Germany, offers a 7 kW and a 10 kW water-zeolite chiller.



Figure 2-14: a) absorption chillers; b) Sortech eCoo





Desiccant wheel

A desiccant wheel (DW) (Fig. 2-15) is a rotor filled with a solid desiccant material, which rotates slowly between the process air, to be dehumidified, and the regeneration air. It can be regenerated by heat from a gas-fired boiler or an electric resistance. However, the energy saving and the reduction of the environmental impact that these systems can achieve are higher when the desiccant material is regenerated by means of "free" thermal energy, for example from cogenerators or solar collectors. In these cases, a desiccant material that can be effectively regenerated with low-temperature thermal energy is obviously needed, [2-27].

Desiccant materials that have been applied include silica gel, lithium chloride, or a molecular sieve. The process of regeneration occurs in the range 50:50 to 75:25.



Figure 2-15: The desiccant wheel

Commercial applications of hybrid systems include schools, auditoriums, hospitals, office buildings, supermarkets, and restaurants, among others. However, thanks to its energy and environmental benefits, the use of desiccant technology is also spreading to tertiary and residential buildings.





EBC

3 System Modelling and Demand Profiles

Annex 54 activities on gathering load profile data, and modelling efforts on micro-generation equipment and systems are presented in this chapter. This work represents the main technical basis for the performance assessment work also performed in this Annex.

For all environment energy simulations constructed, it is understood that the basis and validity of any study hinges on the load profile data used as the energy demand input. Recently, micro-generation has witnessed a shift in focus towards system design, integration, and optimization, as the technology and the expertise linked to their implementation has matured. These changes have given rise to gaps in the suitability of existing load profile data as inputs for energy-use simulations. New understanding about system operations has demonstrated the stochastic nature of energy use, and consequently, higher resolution temporal load profile data that properly reflect this are required. These concerns were addressed in the Annex 54 activities on electric and hot water demand profiles that are reported in this chapter.

As Annex 54 has broadened the scope of the equipment and system configurations under consideration, so too have efforts in gathering load profile data broadened in recent years. A variety of building types, some with specialized activities have been studied. As part of the commitment of Annex 54 to contribute to the existing repository of load profile data, new member nations not present during Annex 42 were requested to provide load profile data. The present Annex includes these new load profile data from the new member nations, Japan and South Korea.

Modelling and simulation resides at the heart of the technical analysis performed in Annex 54. The set of established prime movers for micro-CHP systems has not significantly changed in the past few years. Consequently, the updated focus of the present Annex is directed more at auxiliary system components for cooling, humidity control and/or energy storage, and the focus is aimed at more optimal system efficiency, as well as performing investigations into system configurations and control schemes, with a view to overall energy-use savings.

This chapter presents an overview of the research on residential cogeneration systems conducted within Annex 54, reflecting an expanded scope that considered a diverse number of energy-use system configurations; these were conceived and optimized in view of regional climatic factors, local energy contexts, as well as associated impinging policy environments. Considerable attention has been given to energy storage; this is because optimizing efficiency with either a thermally led or electrically led system naturally leads to situations where the temporal load necessitates the generation of excess heat or electricity, which must be managed. As such, the interplay and compatibility of devices has become an important research topic. It has also become evident that the scale of the CHP system is critical in determining its economic viability; consequently, considering only single-residence applications may restrict the benefits of a broader implementation of micro-cogeneration. In addressing questions of scale, a number of systems are considered on the community or shared level, and the logistics and control of such systems have been examined in Annex 54.





In order to conduct performance assessment studies, the necessary inventory has to be developed. Numerical models of micro-generation equipment and whole systems have to be developed and implemented using suitable simulation tools, such as ESPr, TRNSYS or Matlab/Simulink. Demand-profile data have to be investigated for later simulations. Laboratory experiments have to be performed for model calibration and validation purposes (Fig. 3-1).



Figure 3-1: Context of data and models.

3.1 Demand Profiles

Typical reference energy-demand data for simulation purposes are needed for evaluating and comparing the performance of micro-generation equipment and systems.

As presented in Tab. 3-1, several load profiles for electrical, thermal, and cooling demands of different end-users and geographical areas have been provided by Annex participants. From field measurements, data with a sampling rate of up to one second could be collected. However, in most cases, data with time resolution of one or ten minutes are more common.

There were two approaches followed for defining energy-demand profiles:

- Experimental, using data measured from field tests and laboratory experiments
- Theoretical, using validated models of user behaviour and equipment data

Canadian, Japanese, South Korean, and German participants mainly followed an experimental approach.





Geographical area	Electrical	Hea- ting	DHW	Coo- ling	Sector	Note
Ottawa, Canada	x				Residential	1-minute resolution; yearly demand; 12 buildings analysed [3-1]
Bavaria, Germany	х	x	x		Residential Service	1-second time resolution; i) four single families, ii) a health club, and iii) a hotel
Bavaria, Germany		x			Service	1-hour resolution; i) a public bath, ii) a hospital, iii) a hotel, and iv) a greenhouse
26 areas in Japan	x		x		Residential	Electrical load with 1-minute resolution, thermal loads with 10-minute resolution; yearly data measured between 2002 and 2004
Osaka, Japan		x	x		Residential	10-minute resolution; six family apartments, 200 day periods, taken from June 2007 through January 2009
Nagoya, Japan	х		x		Residential	1-second resolution; 50-unit block of bachelor flats
Kumagaya, Yokohama, Japan	x	x	x	x	Service	10-minute resolution; data comprise PV, micro-CHP, and solar thermal generation
υκ	x				Residential	Two models for generating high-resolution electricity- demand profiles, based on experimental data
Daejeon, Korea		x		x	Residential	Two measurement campaigns: i) one residential building, ii) ten apartments
Incheon, Korea		х		х	Service	1,140-m ² office building
Italy	x		x		Residential	Profiles derived by databases of Loughborough university and IEA-Annex 26 [3-2, 3-3]
Rome, Italy	x				Residential	Different types of dwelling (Economy, Luxury, Standard) and number of occupants (single/couple and family)
Rome, Italy	х				Service	4 years of measurement campaigns; office buildings

Table 3-1: Overview of load profiles provided by the Annex 54 participants





Carleton University gathered occupant electricity-consumption data from 12 houses in Ottawa, Canada. Analysing these data led to the following conclusions:

- Occupant tendencies are a strong determining factor for the annual non-HVAC consumption of a building,
- Occupant electricity-consumption profiles vary significantly between buildings.

Japanese Annex members collected several energy-demand profiles of residential and service buildings. Electricity-demand profiles were gathered for 26 different locations in Japan, thanks to measurement campaigns conducted between 2002–2004. More recent campaigns also encompass data of electricity and domestic hot water demand [3-4].

South Korea provided both heating- and cooling-demand data for residential and office buildings located in two different areas, which were also used to define the total demand required to characterize load-sharing applications.

German participants collected electricity- and heat-demand data of different residential and service buildings. It is worthy of note that a one-second acquisition frequency can capture the dynamic behaviour of the implemented energy systems.

The main difficulty in defining reference profiles lies in the high number of cases that need to be studied in order to make the profiles statistically consistent. Nevertheless, some general information could be derived from the experimental campaigns. Analyses of data from Italy show that buildings characterised by a similar specific electrical load (defined in kW/m²) demonstrate a very similar average seasonal load profile [3-5].

On the model-based generation of energy-demand data, two different approaches for generating high-resolution residential electricity-demand data, have been presented by UK participants. A first predictive energy-demand model for domestic electricity consumption was developed to define a one-minute resolution synthetic electricity demand [3-6], while a second model was developed for creating high-resolution electricity-demand data reflecting the effects of applying energy-efficiency improvements in future years [3-7].

Italian participants applied the model mentioned above, starting from the electrical demand of dwellings characterised by a different number of occupants (i.e. single/couple and family) and building typology, to generate single and aggregated electricity-demand profiles [3-8].

3.2 Models for CHP units

The IEA/ECBCS Annex 42 developed two simulation models for accurately determining the thermal and electric performance of residential cogeneration devices [3-9]; the first model was determined for fuel-cell-based cogeneration units (SOFC and PEMFC), while the second was derived for combustion-based systems (SE and ICE). Both models have been implemented within a variety of whole-building modelling platforms.

The Annex 42 models rely extensively on parametric equations describing the relationships between key input and output parameters; each of these parametric equations requires empirical constants that characterize aspects of the performance of specific cogeneration devices. The establishment of





FBC

these empirical constants is known as model calibration and requires data from experimental investigations. Annex 42 developed and used an experimental protocol [3-10] to calibrate cogeneration models. Several experiments with prototype or early-market residential cogeneration devices were conducted, attempting to adhere to the protocol as closely as possible. Three detailed calibration and validation exercises have been undertaken in Annex 42 [1-1]:

- the combustion cogeneration model was calibrated to the WhisperGen Stirling device [3-11]
- the combustion cogeneration model was calibrated to the SenerTec Dachs ICE device [3-12]
- the fuel cell cogeneration model was calibrated to the Fuel Cell Technologies SOFC device

Annex 54 continued the CHP model development and calibration with activities described in the following sections:

3.2.1 Calibration and Validation of AISIN SEIKI ICE micro-CHP unit

During Annex 54, the Annex 42 model was calibrated and validated to the AISIN SEIKI ICE device [3-13], [3-14]. The device was installed inside a fully instrumented test laboratory. Even if the facility was not optimally suited for model calibration owing to the difficulty in imposing steady-state conditions on the cogeneration device, a huge experimental database was collected, providing a rich description of the cogeneration unit's performance. Measurements characterizing the cogeneration device's operation were collected with a sampling time of one second and were applied to the most relevant parameters (fuel flow rate, cooling water flow rate, cooling water inlet and outlet temperatures, and net electrical output from the device). The experiments allowed the calibration of the majority of the inputs (99 out of 103) required by the Annex 42 model, showing that during steady-state operation, both electrical and thermal efficiencies depend on the electrical output with a negligible influence of both coolant temperature and flow rate. However, the experiments did not include sufficient measurements to directly calibrate all of the model inputs and, consequently, the calibration process required a methodology capable of deriving multiple model inputs from a limited set of measurements describing operation under dynamic conditions. The remaining uncalibrated model inputs were determined by adopting an iterative dynamic parameter identification approach with the assistance of the third-party optimization tool GenOpt [3-15].

An empirical validation was used to assess the validity of the calibrated model by comparing the simulation results with measurements collected under electric load-following operation. Fig. 3-2 plots the correlation between the model predictions and the experimental measurements in terms of both primary power q_{gross} and heat recovery q_{HX} . The calibrated model exhibited reasonable agreement when compared with the validation data set; the predicted fuel use, electric output, and heat recovery over the duration of the data set all differed from observed values by -6.1, -0.2, and -1.0%, respectively. Additionally, the performed comparison allowed some week points of the model to be highlighted, mainly related to both cool-down and warm-up periods, to be eventually improved in future model versions.



Filed: 2018-04-16 EB-2017-0255 Exhibit JT1.31 Attachment A Page 32 of 99



Figure 3-2: Comparison of simulation and measured data.

3.2.2 PEM Fuel Cell Model Calibration Using Multiple Regression Method

Both artificial neural network (ANN) and adaptive neuro fuzzy inference system (ANFIS) models can solve highly nonlinear, complex problems without requiring explicit mathematical representations. No clear relationship between the input and output variables needs to exist before the model is applied. These models are known for their robustness (adaptability) owing to the use of generalization techniques instead of memorization. The results revealed that the ANN and ANFIS models could predict the PEMFC performance with a high degree of accuracy. However, a special artificial intelligence (AI) toolbox is required in order to develop the ANN and ANFIS models. TRNSYS do not possess IA modelling capabilities and must be interfaced with Matlab in order to use a multiple regression method to predict the fuel cell performance.

The multiple linear regression (MLR) method was used to predict the PEMFC stack current, stack voltage, fuel cell DC power output, and cooling water temperature from the fuel cell. The inputs used in the MLR method are natural gas flow (sL/min), cooling water flow (L/min), and cooling water temperature (°C) entering the fuel cell. In these simple models, the output is a linear function of the three-input variables.

Three data sets: named as training, checking, and testing data, were randomly selected from the experimental data set with no repetition of any data points. A total of 3260 experimental data points were used. While the training and checking data sets each contains a quarter (1/4) of the total data points, the testing data set contains half (1/2) of the total data points. These sets were initially used in ANFIS models, developed for the PEMFC unit, for training, checking (for testing generalization capability, avoiding over fitting), and testing purposes. The same data sets were used in developing the MLR models. Half of the experiment data (training + checking) were used in the MLR method to calculate the regression coefficients. The testing data were used for model validation.

The measured experimental data and the results obtained from the MLR models are shown in Fig. 3-3 to 3-5. The results show that the simple three-input MLR model can predict the fuel cell stack





EBC

current, stack voltage, and DC power with reasonable accuracy. Larger errors occur during the fuel cell start-up and shut-down owing to the fewer data points collected are not enough for training.

The eight-input MLR was used to predict the cooling water outlet temperature with new nonlinear inputs as functions of the initial set of three variables (MNLR model). The R-square of 0.86 indicates that the model accounts for a greater proportion of variance.

The RMSE and MRE results from the MLR models are compared with those from the ANN and ANFIS models, as shown in Tab. 3-2. The results indicate that the accuracy of the MRL models is lower in comparison with the AI models. More specifically, the MLR models have poorer performance during the fuel cell shut-down period in comparison with the ANN and ANFIS models. However, in general, the MLR models could predict the fuel cell performance with acceptable accuracy. Moreover, owing to their simplicity, the MLR models can be easily integrated into many simulation software platforms or even in spreadsheet models.



Figure 3-3: Predicted vs. Measured Fuel Cell Stack Current, Stack Voltage, Stack Power, and Cooling Water Outlet Temperature. MLR Models with 3-inputs.





Figure 3-4: Predicted vs. Measured Fuel Cell Stack Current, Stack Voltage, Stack Power, and Cooling Water Outlet Temperature Plotted in Time-Series Format. MLR models with 3-inputs.



Figure 3-5: Predicted vs. Measured Fuel Cell Cooling Water Outlet Temperature Plotted in Time-Series Format. MNLR model with 8-inputs.





Table 3-2:	RMSE Values of ANN, ANFIS and MR Models for Predicting Fuel Cell Stack Current, Stack Voltage, and
	Cooling Water Outlet Temperature.

	RMSE (all d	ata)		MRE (all data)		
	MLR or MNLR	ANN (LM)	ANFIS (gbellmf)	MLR or MNLR	ANN (LM)	ANFIS (gbellmf)
Current (A)	1.10	0.74	0.74	3.00%	2.24%	2.25%
Voltage (V)	0.61	031	0.31	0.94%	0.47%	0.30%
Water Outlet Temp. (°C)	0.80	0.50	0.49	0.60%	0.42%	0.36%

3.3 Models of System Components

Besides CHP units, system components have also been modelled within Annex 54, or existing models have been used. Details have been included in [3-16]. These models comprise:

- Auxiliary boilers
- Thermal storage tanks as heating buffers or domestic hot water storage
- Battery storages
- Chillers also including DWs

3.4 Modelling of complete systems

An example of a building-integrated micro-generation system is illustrated in Fig. 3-6. It comprises a heating device to supply space heating and domestic hot water, as well as an electricity generator, e.g. a cogeneration unit or a PV system. Additionally, cooling devices can be taken into account, as well as thermal and electrical storage devices.





Figure 3-6: Scheme of an exemplary building-integrated micro-generation system

The following sections contain examples of system models developed and used for the performance assessment.

3.4.1 System Model with Internal Combustion Engine implemented in TRNSYS

To analyse the performance of a desiccant-based air conditioning system, the University of Sannio designed and built an experimental plant whose main components are an air handling unit (AHU) equipped with a desiccant wheel (DW), a micro-cogenerator (MCHP) fuelled by natural gas, an electric air-cooled water chiller, a natural gas boiler, and a storage tank, Fig. 3-7.

Experimental data were used to calibrate and validate a model for the main components.

A simplified MCHP model was developed, calibrated, and validated by means of the available experimental data on the AISIN Toyota ICE-based MCHP, [3-17, 3-18, 3-19]. It has a gross electric power output of 6 kW, and a thermal power of 11.7 kW.

The TRNSYS reciprocating internal combustion (RIC) engine model has been used, by means of the *"type 907 TESS"* component. It uses a table of performance data to determine the outputs of the engine, given a set of input conditions. The model relies on an external data file that contains efficiency, air-flow rate, and heat-transfer data as a function of the intake temperature and the part-load ratio. The MCHP is modelled by three components, the RIC engine, a plate heat exchanger that is used to transfer the recovered thermal power to a secondary fluid (water), and a three-way valve that mixes the part of solution flow rate that passes through the plate heat exchanger and the part





that is bypassed towards the engine. A control system that manages the thermal-recovery circuit of the micro-cogenerator is also modelled.

The model used to simulate the described storage tank is "*type 60*". The thermal performance of a water-filled sensible energy storage tank, subject to thermal stratification, is modelled by assuming that the tank consists of N fully mixed equal volume segments; for each of them, a uniform temperature is considered. By means of experimental tests conducted in the test facility of University of Sannio, the model was experimentally calibrated and validated, [3-20]. The model has been designed to take into account the three internal heat exchangers, as well as two inlets and two outlets of the stored fluid. To model thermal stratification, 50 nodes were considered.

Experimental data acquired with different plant configurations were compared with the data produced during the corresponding simulation. The comparison was carried out between the temperatures measured by seven sensors installed in the tank, and the temperatures of the nodes of the model located at the same height of the sensors. The results demonstrated a good agreement between the model and the experimental data.

Existing theoretical models for the operation of the main subsystems of a desiccant air-conditioning system were calibrated experimentally before being validated using a wide number of measurements in a typical summer operating range for these systems, [3-21]. The operation of each subsystem is described by a specific efficiency factor. For each subsystem, the average value of the selected efficiency factor and standard deviations were calculated. The validity of the assumed models has been confirmed by the evaluation of the RMSE. The corresponding models were then implemented in TRNSYS, Fig. 3-8.



Figure 3-7: The layout of the test facility



Figure 3-8: The AHU model in TRNSYS

For the DW, the simplified approach of Maclaine-Cross and Banks has been used, on which the "type 1716" of TRNSYS is based, as described in section 3.3. The models of the other AHU components, the types used, and the value of the main parameters are shown in Tab. 3-3.

The natural gas boiler was simulated with the "type 6" of TRNSYS. It represents an auxiliary heater that provides the necessary thermal power to the fluid (water) to reach the desired temperature within the limit of its rated power. The boiler type was characterized with a rated thermal power of 16.5 kW and a nominal thermal efficiency of 90.2%, the same characteristics of the unit installed at the test facility. This peak-load boiler can be used to integrate the thermal power available from the MCHP, for DW regeneration, or space heating/DHW requirements.

Component	Туре	Library	Main parameters	Value	Units
Cross flow heat exchanger	91	Standard	Effectiveness	0.446	-
Humidifier	506c	TESS	Saturation efficiency	0.551	-
Heating coil	670	TECC	Liquid specific heat	4.190	kJ∕(kg∙K)
	070	TE33	ardEffectiveness0.446SSaturation efficiency0.551SLiquid specific heat4.190SEffectiveness0.864SLiquid specific heat4.190SBypass fraction0.177	-	
Cooling coil	E 0.9	TECC	Liquid specific heat	4.190	kJ/(kg·K)
	506	1233	Bypass fraction	0.177	-

Table.	3-3: Main	models used	for t	he simulation	and	their ma	ain pa	rameters.



Filed: 2018-04-16 EB-2017-0255 Exhibit JT1.31 Attachment A Page 39 of 99

FB(

The chiller was simulated by means of "*type 655*", which is a performance map-based model that evaluates the performance of the device (both at full and partial load) in terms of cooling capacity and energy efficiency ratio (*EER*) as a function of chilled water and ambient air dry-bulb temperatures. Data provided by the manufacturer were used to simulate the chillers. In particular, a rated cooling capacity and a nominal *EER* of 8.50 kW and 2.98 were assumed, respectively. This corresponds to the values of the unit installed at the test facility.

3.4.2 System Model with Internal Combustion Engine implemented in Matlab/Simulink

The layout of the cogenerator is reported in Fig. 3-9; the ICE is coupled with an electric generator and is connected to the grid through a rectifier and an AC converter; this arrangement allows the engine to be operated at variable speed while delivering 50 Hz AC to the grid. The thermal power discharged by the engine is recovered thorough an engine-coolant/water heat exchanger and an exhaust/water heat exchanger. A fan-cooled heat exchanger is fitted into the engine-coolant circuit to provide additional cooling in case of low thermal demand. A by-pass valve fitted in the exhaust's circuit permits the decoupling of electric and thermal power production. In order to satisfy the thermal demand when the CHP unit is switched off for technical–economic reasons, or for maintenance, a back-up boiler is added to the cogeneration unit, as shown in Fig. 3-9. The main technical features of the CHP unit are reported in Tab. 3-4. [3-22]

The system can be operated in two different heat-recovery modes:

- "thermal priority" mode: in this mode the requested thermal demand is followed and the electric power is adjusted automatically
- "electric priority" mode: in this mode the electric power demand is followed while the exhaust's by-pass valve may be adjusted to try to maintain the requested water outlet temperature

The ICE has been simulated in a Matlab/Simulink environment by describing all of the subsystems that compose the ICE, such as the engine shaft, the engine, and the heat exchangers (Fig. 3-10). The key assumption made was that the thermo-fluid-dynamic processes of the engine are much faster than the change rate in thermodynamic boundary conditions. Consequently, the ICE has been modelled as a quasi-stationary machine and the ICE performances are simulated on the basis of two look-up tables defined according to the engine-performance maps provided by the manufacturer. Varying the number of cylinders, a 14-kW_{el} (system 1) and 28-kW_{el} (system 2) ICE have been modelled. The main technical parameters are shown in Tab. 3-4.







Figure 3-9: Functional scheme of the system



Figure 3-10: Engine sub-system



FB(

Parameter	System 1	System 2	
Maximum rated electric output	14 kW _{el}	28 kW _{el}	
Maximum rated power output	29 kW _{th}	58 kW _{th}	
Minimum electric power	3 kW _{el}	6 kW _{el}	
Minimum thermal power	9 kW _{th}	18 kW _{th}	
Electrical efficiency	28.5%	28.5%	

Table 3-4: Technical parameters of the ICE under analysis

A control model was developed for both the thermal and electrical priority modes. In electric priority mode, a speed regulator provides the desired electric power output from the system. The regulator is based on an optimization table that, for any given power, helps the system choose the speed that ensures the greatest electric efficiency. Electric efficiency gains can be obtained with the variable speed unit (in comparison with the constant speed machine at 3000 rpm); the lower the electric power setting, the greater the gain.

As typical applications, a ten-apartment building for the residential sector, and an office and a hotel for the service sector were chosen as test cases; the results were discussed in terms of energy performances and profitability. The technical–economic analysis revealed that good energy and economic performance could be achieved both in household and in hotel applications in comparison with a separate generation system, especially with variable speed operation. By contrast, any office application would be scarcely profitable because savings would only be achieved in the winter and would be too low to allow an economic return on the investment in a reasonable time period. The possibility of regulating the rotational speed of the engine enhances energy performance and, although it entails a higher initial investment, the variable speed concept shortens the payback period and increases profits.

3.5 Optimization Tool Using a Unit Commitment Approach

The optimal dispatch of cogeneration devices in a Virtual Power Plant (VPP) can be performed using a Mixed Integer Linear Programming (MILP) model. In order to undertake studies in the field of operating CHPs or other distributed energy devices, the first step is often to build up the model. The following description states the most common equation to build up a MILP model. Aside from this, [3-23] contains a comprehensive list of constraints used for modelling the dispatch of a VPP.

The objective of the optimization algorithm, to minimize the operational cost of the system, is expressed in equation (3-1) and is extended in equation (3-2). The operational cost is the sum of the fuel cost of the CHPs and boilers (C_{CHP} , C_{boiler}). Conversely, the savings include the revenues due to the sale of electricity to the grid (G_{grid}) and the savings owing to the self-consumption of the electricity generated by the CHP (G_{local}):





$$\min objective \ function = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(Cost_{op}(t,n) - Savings(t,n) \right)$$
(3-1)

$$min = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(C_{CHP}(t,n) + C_{boilsr}(t,n) - G_{local}(t,n) - G_{grid}(t,n) \right)$$
(3-2)

The relationship between the electric and the thermal output of the CHP is described in equation (3-3). In a similar manner, equation **Fehler! Verweisquelle konnte nicht gefunden werden.** details the electric output and the primary energy. The parameters a_{th} , b_{th} , a_p , and b_p are calculated from measured data of commercial CHPs provided by the IEA annex 54 and those reported in [3-24]. Figure 3-11 shows shows the linear relationship between electric and primary energy (dashed line) and the electric and thermal energy (full line). The markers correspond to the measured data. Conversely, Υ is a binary variable that indicates the on/off status of the CHP.

$$\dot{Q}_{chp}(t,n) = a_{th}(n) \cdot \dot{E}_{chp}(t,n) + b_{th}(n) \cdot \gamma(t,n)$$
(3-3)

$$P_{chp}(t,n) = a_p(n) \cdot \dot{E}_{chp}(t,n) + b_p(n) \cdot \gamma(t,n)$$
(3-4)



Figure 3-11: Technical characteristics of a Micro-CHP

Constraints

The optimization is constrained by several operational and technical conditions. The operational constraints ensure that the heat demand (\dot{Q}_{demand}) will always be met using the CHP (\dot{Q}_{chp}), the boiler (\dot{Q}_{boiler}), or that the heat that is discharged from the thermal storage buffer (\dot{Q}_{c}), as described in equation (3-5):





$$\dot{Q}_{demand}(t,n) = \dot{Q}_{chp}(t,n) + \dot{Q}_{boiler}(t,n) + \dot{Q}_{c}(t,n)$$
(3-5)

The state of charge of the storage tank (Q_{st}) is calculated using equation (3-6). The efficiency of the storage tank¹ (η_{st}) is assumed to be constant. The time step (Δt) of the analysis is 15 minutes:

$$Q_{st}(t,n) = \eta_{st} * Q_{st}(t-1,n) - \dot{Q}_{c}(t,n) * \Delta t$$
(3-6)

It is assumed that the boiler has a constant efficiency and, therefore, the primary energy can be estimated as in equation (3-7).

$$\dot{P}_{boiler}(t,n) = \frac{\dot{Q}_{boiler}(t,n)}{\eta_{boiler}}$$
(3-7)

The cost of the CHP system (boiler and primary mover) can be calculated by multiplying the primary energy consumption by the gas price P_{ng} . This is illustrated in equation (3-8).

$$C_{chp}(t,n) + C_{boiler}(t,n) = \left(\dot{P}_{CHP}(t,n) + \dot{P}_{boiler}(t,t)\right) \cdot P_{ng}$$
(3-8)

Conversely, the electric demand is the aggregated electric demand of the houses. Therefore, the electricity generated by the linked CHPs (\dot{E}_{chp}) is equal to the sum of the individual production of each CHP device:

$$\dot{E}_{CHP}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \dot{E}_{chp}(t,n)$$
(3-9)

The electricity generated by the CHP (\dot{E}_{chp}) can be used inside the VPP (\dot{E}_{local}) or sold on the electricity market (\dot{E}_{grid}):

$$\dot{E}_{CHP}(t) = \dot{E}_{local}(t) + \dot{E}_{grid}(t)$$
(3-10)

Consequently, the revenues obtained from selling or using the produced electricity are estimated in equation (3-11), where P_{spot} represents the market price and P_{local} the price to buy electricity from the grid.

¹ The efficiency of the storage tank represents the percentage of heat that is preserved from the storage after it has been stored during one time step.





$$G_{LOCAL}(t) + G_{GRID}(t) = P_{local} * \dot{E}_{local}(t) + P_{spot} * \dot{E}_{grid}(t)$$
(3-11)

Conversely, some technical restrictions prevent exceeding the operational limits of the machines when they are turned on. This is expressed in equations (3-12) to (3-15) for the thermal storage, the boiler, and the CHP, respectively:

$$0 \le Q_{st}(t,n) \le Q_{st_{max}}(n) \tag{3-12}$$

$$0 \le \dot{Q}_{boiler}(t,n) \le \dot{Q}_{boiler_max}(n)$$
(3-13)

$$\dot{Q}_{chp_min} \leq \dot{Q}_{chp}(t,n) \leq \dot{Q}_{chp_max}(n)$$
(3-14)

$$\dot{E}_{chp}_{min} \leq \dot{E}_{chp}(t,n) \leq \dot{E}_{chp}_{max}(n)$$
(3-15)

Other technical constraints control the minimum start up time of the CHP device. This is performed as explained in [3-25] and it is important to consider this in order to avoid wearing out the machinery.





4 Performance Assessment

One key goal of Annex 54 is to analyse the benefits micro-generation can yield regarding the energy supplies of buildings. Consequently, Subtask B was dedicated to performance assessment. Aside from investigating performance factors as efficiencies or energetic effort to deliver defined energy services, aspects including primary energy demand, connected greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, and occurring costs have been taken into account.

The method to evaluate the energy, environmental and economic performance is termed 3-E analysis, as illustrated in Fig. 4-1.



Figure 4-1: Scheme of 3E analysis

4.1 Methodology

The performance assessments of Annex 54 are based on Annex 42 methodology definitions. Additions have been made to implement cooling, energy storage, and to be able to assess complete micro-generation supply systems.

An approach in evaluating the energy, environmental, and economic performance of a microgeneration system (alternative system, AS) is to compare its primary energy demand (*PE*), operating costs (*OC*) and equivalent CO₂ emission (m_{CO_2}) with those of a reference system (or conventional system, CS), with respect to a specific time period. The time period used is typically an annual basis [4-1, 4-2, 4-3], Fig. 4-2.



Figure 4-2: Energy flow of alternative and conventional systems

The following systems are suggested as conventional reference systems [4-1]:

- condensing gas boiler, providing heat for space heating and DHW
- electric compression chiller, providing cold for space cooling
- electricity supply from the power system through the electric grid

Energy analysis

The primary energy saving achieved with a polygeneration system can be evaluated, with respect to the reference system, by means of the Fuel Energy Saving Ratio (*FESR*) [4-4]:

$$FESR = \frac{PE_{CS} - PE_{AS}}{PE_{CS}} = I - \frac{PE_{AS}}{PE_{CS}}$$
(4-1)

where PE_{CS} and PE_{AS} are primary energy inputs to CS and AS, respectively.

Environmental analysis

From the different environmental parameters that could be analysed, such as GHG emissions, land use, and acidification potential, GHG emissions have been selected as one of the most relevant parameters for energy supply systems in buildings.

The main pollutant contributing to global warming is carbon dioxide (CO_2), emitted by combustionbased processes. The reduction in CO_2 emissions that an alternative system can guarantee with respect to the conventional one can be evaluated, typically on an annual basis, as:

$$\Delta CO_2 = \frac{m_{CO_2 - CS} - m_{CO_2 - AS}}{m_{CO_2 - CS}} = I - \frac{m_{CO_2 - AS}}{m_{CO_2 - CS}}$$
(4-2)

where $m_{\text{CO2-CS}},\,m_{\text{CO2-AS},}$ are the CO_2 emissions of the systems.

These emissions can be calculated from the applied energy carriers using specific emission factors μ_{CO2}

Aside from CO₂, other greenhouse gases must be taken into account (4-3).





$$\Delta CO_{2,eq} = \frac{m_{CO_{2},eq-CS} - m_{CO_{2},eq-AS}}{m_{CO_{2},eq-CS}} = 1 - \frac{m_{CO_{2},eq-AS}}{m_{CO_{2},eq-CS}}$$
(4-3)

where $m_{CO2,eq-CS}$, and $m_{CO2,eq-AS}$, are the CO_2 equivalent emissions of the systems.

These can be also derived by taking the global warming potential (GWP) of a process into account.

$$\mu_{CO_2,eq} = GWP \cdot \mu \tag{4-4}$$

Economic analysis

In order to evaluate, with a simplified approach, the economic performance of alternative and conventional systems, the commonly used parameters are the Simple Pay Back (SPB), the Net Present Value (*NPV*), the Profitability Index (*PI*), and the Internal Rate of Return (*IRR*).

By means of the SPB method, the number of years required in order to recover the higher investment cost of the alternative system with respect to the conventional system can be evaluated:

$$SPB = \frac{EC}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} F_k}$$
(4-5)

where *EC* is the initial extra cost of AS with respect to CS and F_k is the yearly cash flow for year k, i.e. the difference in operating costs between the conventional and alternative system.

The *NPV* compares the discounted cash flows in a given time period (*n* years) with the initial investment extra cost:

$$NPV = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{F_k}{(1+a)^k} - EC$$
(4-6)

where *a* is the discount rate.

The PI evaluates the ratio between the discounted cash flows and the EC:

$$PI = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{F_k}{(1+a)^k}}{EC}$$
(4-7)

Both in *NPV* and *PI* indices, the discount rate *a* is fixed *a priori*. Instead, the *IRR* method evaluates the value of *a* that annuls the *NPV*:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{F_k}{(1+a)^k} = EC$$
(4-8)





The *IRR* represents the value of the interest rate over which the project is no longer economically profitable in investments for which a banking loan is used.

Frame conditions

One of the most important issues in the 3-E analysis is the characterization of the reference system, in terms of:

- $\eta_{El,ref}$: energy performance factor of reference system for electricity supply (ratio of electric energy output to primary energy input, [kWh_{El}/kWh_{PE}])
- $\mu_{El,ref}$: equivalent carbon dioxide specific emission factors of the reference system for the electricity supply (ratio of equivalent CO₂ emissions to electric energy output, [kg_{CO2,eq}/kWh_{El}])
- $\eta_{Th,ref}$: energy performance factor of the reference system for the heat supply (ratio of thermal energy output to primary energy input, [kWh_{Th}/kWh_{PE} or kWh_{Th}/kWh_{DE}])
- μ_{NG} : equivalent carbon dioxide emission factor of natural gas (ratio of equivalent CO₂ emissions to primary energy input, [kg_{CO2,eq}/kWh_{PE}])
- *EER_{cool,ref}*: energy efficiency ratio of the reference system for the cold supply (ratio of cooling energy output to electric energy input, [kWh_{Cool}/kWh_{El}])

On estimating the energy and environmental performance of the reference system based on separate "production", three different approaches can be used. The first is to use energy performance and CO₂ factors on the basis of a national/regional technological mix. The second is to use the best available and economically justifiable technology (BAT), e.g. a combined cycle natural-gas fired power plant for electricity supply. The third is to use the values provided by some national or international directive, such as European Directive 2004/8/EC [4-5].

Two different approaches can be used when selecting the specific emission factors:

- **'Standard' emission factors,** in line with the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) principles, which cover all the CO₂ emissions that occur owing to energy consumption, either directly due to fuel combustion or indirectly via fuel combustion associated with electricity and heat/cold usage. The standard emission factors are based solely on the carbon content of each fuel. In this approach, CO₂ is the most important GHG. However, other greenhouse gases can also be included in the analysis.
- Life Cycle Assessment emission factors, which take into consideration the overall life cycle of the energy carrier. This approach includes not only the emissions of the final combustion but also all the emissions from the supply chain. It includes emissions from exploitation, transportation, and processing (e.g. refinery) steps, in addition to the final combustion. In this approach, the GHG emissions from the use of biomass/biofuels, as well as emissions of certified green electricity, are higher than zero. If this approach is used, greenhouse gases other than CO₂ may play an important role.





Furthermore, the CO_2 emissions from the sustainable use of biomass/biofuels, as well as emissions of certified green electricity, are considered to be zero.

The following parameters should be defined to perform the economic feasibility analysis:

- UP_{El}^{Grid} : unitary price of electricity from the grid, (ratio of electric energy cost to delivered electric energy, [€/kWh_{DE} or \$/kWh_{DE}])
- UP_{NG}: unitary price of natural gas (ratio of natural gas cost to its standard volume, [€/Sm³, €/Nm³, \$/Sm³ or \$/Nm³]). In order to convert energy quantities to volumetric quantities, a suitable Lower Heating Value of natural gas (LHV_{NG}, [kWh/kg], [kWh/Sm³] or [kWh/Nm³]) must be used

In Tab. 4-1, the parameters for reference system characterization used by some Annex 54 participating groups are shown.

		Germany (TUM,FfE)	USA (NIST)	Italy (Uni Sannio <i>,</i> SUN)	Japan	UK
Heating	Type of device	SB – CB	Natural gas furnace (NC) A/A Heat Pump (SC) ¹	SB CB		
	η _{Th,ref} [-]	SB = 80% CB = 95%	AFUE = 94% (NC) HSPF = 8.5 (SC)	SB = 85–90% CB = 95–102%	73.5% ⁴	
Storage	Type of device		Natural gas (NC) Electric (SC)			
heater	η _{Th,wh,ref} [-]		EF = 0.7 (NC) EF = 0.92 (SC)			
	Type of device		Air conditioner	Air cooled electric chiller		
Cooling	EER _{Cool,ref} [-]		SEER = 13	EER = 3.0 (average) – 3.5 (BAT)		





 Table 4-1b: Parameters for reference system characterization produced by some Annex 54 participating groups

		Germany (TUM,FfE)	USA (NIST)	Italy (Uni Sannio <i>,</i> SUN)	Japan	UK
Electricity	η _{El,ref} [-]	38.5%	Vary by region	42.0% ³ (Italian mix) 54.3% ³ (BAT)	36.1% ⁴ (daytime) 38.8% ⁴ (night time)	
	$\mu_{El,ref}$ 540 [g _{co2} /kWh _{El}]		Vary by region (both marginal and overall)	573 (Italian mix) 400 (BAT)	559	
	T&D losses		7% (US average)	6.23% (average)		
	<i>UP_{El}^{Grid}</i> [€/kWh _{El}]			0.243 peak hours 0.225 off-peak hours	0.1 (industrial) 0.2 (domestic)	0.17
PEF _{NG} [kWh _{PE} /kW UP _{NG} [€/Sr gas μ _{NG} [gco2/kWh _I LHV _{NG} [kWh/Nm ³	<i>PEF_{NG}</i> [kWh _{PE} /kWh _{DE}]	1.1		-		
	<i>UP_{NG}</i> [€/Sm³]			0.941 ² 0.771 for MCHP use	0.5 (industrial) 1.2 (domestic)	0.424 ⁵
	μ_{NG} [gco2/kWhde]	205		207	205	
	<i>LHV_{NG}</i> [kWh/Nm³]			9.52		

¹NC = Northern Climate; SC = Southern Climate;

²a lower value is assumed for MCHP use, depending on its electric efficiency; ³including T&D losses;

⁴based on HHV;

^₅considering a LHV of 9.99 kWh/Sm³;

SB = Standard Boiler; CB = Condensing Boiler; AFUE = Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency; HSPF = Heating Seasonal Performance Factor; SEER = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio





4.2 Test Procedures

Several standard testing procedures were prepared, or are in a developing phase, in many countries in order to provide test methods for determining the performance of CHP and MCHP devices. In fact, it could be useful to define a procedure for testing, *ex-ante*, the energy performance of a device that is representative of a unit type. This procedure is an alternative to the conventional *ex-post* assessment of energy savings achieved by each installed unit, which is not economically justified, especially in the case of a very large number of small sized units. For example, manufacturers or energy service companies that expect to install a large number of identical units in similar applications can use the *ex-ante* method, allowing them to classify the energy performance of the MCHP with tests conducted in a certified test facility by an independent third party.

These standard procedures usually specify the equipment and instrumentation required, the test methods, and the calculation procedures. In particular, they characterize the cogeneration unit both at nominal operating conditions and according to appropriate test cycles, which typically vary with geographical characteristics and meteorological conditions of the installation location.

Examples of such standard procedures for cogeneration devices are as follows:

- UNITED KINGDOM: Publicly Available Specification 67 (PAS 67), [4-6]
- ITALY: prUNI E0204A073 (in stand-by), [4-7]; UNI/TS 11300-4, [4-8]
- EUROPE: prEN 50465, [4-9]
- GERMANY: DIN 4709, [4-10]
- JAPAN: industrial standards for performance and safety testing of CHP

For example, Fig. 4-3 illustrates the thermal load profile for heating purposes, as defined by DIN 4709. Since the return and supply temperatures have to be controlled at constant levels of 30 and 50°C, respectively, the heat rejection can be adjusted by the volume flow rate only in the heating circuit. This thermal profile load does not match the profile of a day in winter for a properly designed CHP plant because the mean ratio of heat demand to nominal thermal power of the CHP unit should be much higher. For similar reasons, the profile is not comparable to a day in summer, where this ratio would be much smaller owing to low heat demands. The profile refers to a day in spring or in autumn or, in other words, to a transition time during the year. In fact, because it is not feasible to test cogeneration units for a period of one full year, any short-cut method should represent the variable heat demands during the year as appropriately as possible. Obviously, neither winter days nor summer days can serve as a meaningful profile to this aim, [4-11].





Figure 4-3: Thermal load profile defined by DIN 4709

From a comparison of the analysed standards [4-12], it was derived that they have many common general elements, for example:

- the MCHP has to be heat-led
- they refer to a control volume that includes the whole heating system, not just the MCHP
- they require only a limited number of tests, both at nominal operating conditions and according to appropriate test cycles
- they specify the equipment and instrumentation required, such as sensors' accuracy
- they define the reference testing conditions (supply and return water temperatures, etc.)

Nevertheless, some major differences can be detected; for example, they differ in terms of:

- the limiting value of power (electric, thermal or primary) in terms of applicability
- the thermal load profiles:
 - the Italian standard defines four day types
 - the German standard defines a single profile
 - in the UK standard, the heat-load profile is represented by the number of days per heating season with 13 part-load bands
- the type of energy performance parameter, that can be either energy based or environmental based

However, in conclusion, the analysed national standard methodologies seem to be based on the same fundamental elements. These elements can be also applied for the performance evaluation of micro-cogeneration systems, either for experimentally based assessment studies, or for experimental calibration and validation of models to be used in simulative analyses.





FBC

4.3 Review on National Studies

In the framework of Subtask B of Annex 54, contributing groups developed (mainly by simulation) a library of, among other variables, country-specific simulations, experimental and field test studies covering several technology types and combinations, climatic conditions, and end-users. These studies evaluated the performance of different micro-generation configurations (hybrid, polygeneration, etc.) within different operational contexts, and produced country-specific performance-related data.

The aim of this section is to highlight the main results of the performance assessment carried out in the country-specific studies, as well as in other analyses developed by Annex 54-participating countries.

4.3.1 Country-Specific Simulations, Experimental and Field Test Studies based on CHP systems

Japan

A performance assessment study was conducted for a number of micro-combined heat and power generation systems in residential buildings in Japan, [4-13]. The authors developed a residential energy end-use simulation model, in which the entire household stock was classified into several categories according to a number of criteria that directly affect energy consumption. This model can estimate all the demand profiles at five-minute intervals. Furthermore, household types are divided into detailed categories in order to account for the variation among households.

The performance in terms of primary energy consumption, CO₂ emissions, and cost was analysed for different cogeneration technologies, namely natural gas-fuelled ICEs (MGE), polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cells (PEFC) and solid oxide fuel cells (SOFC). Conventional systems and a condensing water heater (LHB) were also evaluated as controls.

These systems were examined for 19 household categories (that differ for the number of household members, family composition, and the number of employed household occupants), 12 building types (six categories for detached houses and six categories for apartment houses, according to floor area), and four different thermal insulation levels.

Simulation models for conventional and cogeneration systems were developed. In these models, the efficiency of each system was defined based on the manufacturers' design value.

The rated power generation capacity ranged between 0.5 and 1.5 kW for each household category.

In this study, only domestic hot water preparation was considered to be covered by microcogeneration systems and water heaters. All electricity from micro-cogeneration was assumed to be consumed in the residence. The capacity of MCHP units was optimized for the electricity and heat demands of each house.





Electricity and gas tariffs, weather data, and annual primary energy consumption of the residential sector of Osaka City (population: 2.6 million, households: 1.2 million) were used.



Fig. 4-4 shows the total annual primary energy consumption for a four-member family.

Figure 4-4: Total annual primary energy consumption for a four-member family

Negative values mean power generation by micro-generation. Total energy consumption is shown as a bold line. Since SOFC was operated in response to the electricity demand due to a lower heat-to-power ratio, it generated approximately two-thirds of the total electricity consumption. SOFC also demonstrated the highest energy reduction rate from the baseline, 15–20%.

A further result of the study is that the performance of cogeneration systems strongly depends on the household type (number of household members).

Italy

The performance of a residential building-integrated micro-cogeneration system during the heating season has been investigated using building-simulation software (TRNSYS), [4-14].

The micro-generation system was based on a natural gas-fuelled RIC engine-based cogeneration unit with nominal electric and thermal output rated at 6.0 and 11.7 kW, respectively. Auxiliary thermal energy was supplied by a natural gas-fired boiler; the heat was provided by both the MCHP device and the boiler, and was accumulated within a storage tank. The cogeneration system supplying thermal and electric energy to a multi-family house was compliant with the transmittance values suggested by Italian Law. In order to evaluate the influence of the climatic conditions, the analyses were performed by considering a multi-family house located in four different Italian cities (Palermo, Napoli, Roma, and Milano), representing the different Italian climatic conditions. System operation was also evaluated by considering two different electric-demand profiles; in the first, the operation





FB(

of lighting systems and other domestic appliances was considered, while in the second, the electric consumption associated to the overnight charging of an electric vehicle was added.

The energy, environmental, and economic sensitivity analyses were performed with both electric and thermal load-following control strategies of the MCHP. For each scenario, the simulated performance of the MCHP was compared with the performance of a conventional system consisting of a natural gas-fired boiler and an electricity supply from the national grid.

The cogeneration unit was simulated by using the detailed dynamic model developed within Annex 42. It was calibrated and validated on the basis of laboratory tests performed by the Second University of Naples.

The combined tank for both heating purposes and domestic hot water production was modelled by means of the "Type 60f". The optimal value of the tank volume was determined by carrying out a sensitivity analysis; three different commercially available hot water storage tanks, characterized by different sizes, were considered: TANK1 = 0.855 m^3 ; TANK2 = 0.738 m^3 ; TANK3 = 0.503 m^3 .

The layout of the building is a multiplication of a single-family house-type building geometry. All three floors have the same useable floor area (96.0 m²) while the net height of each floor is 3.0 m. Fan-coils are installed into the building in order to balance the space-heating sensible load.

The quantity of heat originating from occupants, personal computers, and lighting systems was assumed to contribute to the internal gains of the building. The "Type 56a" was used for modelling the building envelope, indoor air set-point temperature, infiltration, and internal gains.

The domestic hot water demand profile, with an average basic load of 200 L/day, was used for estimating the demands of each flat, according to the profiles defined within IEA/SHC Task 26.

The electric consumptions of appliances were derived from a domestic electricity demand model, developed at Loughborough University (UK). The electric consumption associated to the overnight charging of an electric vehicle was derived from data provided by a manufacturer. The electricity consumption of auxiliary appliances (fans and pumps) was also taken into account.

The FESR as a function of the city, the MCHP control logic, and the electric demand profile, are shown in Fig. 4-5. It is worth noting that:

- the values of the FESR are always negative in the case of electric load-following logic and positive in the case of thermal load-following logic
- the overnight electric vehicle charging facilitates a significant increase in FESR only in the case of electric load-following operation
- for thermal load-following operation without the electric vehicle charging, the maximum value of the FESR is obtained when the building is located in Napoli or Milano

The CO₂ equivalent emissions of the alternative system during the complete heating season, as a function of the tank volume (TANK1, TANK2, TANK3), the city, and the MCHP control logic, have been analysed. The electric-demand profile without the overnight charging of the electric vehicle was considered. The tank with the largest volume (TANK1) facilitates minimizing the CO₂ equivalent emissions of the alternative system, while the tank with the intermediate volume (TANK2) provides




the maximum pollutant emissions. In the case of electric load following-operation, the operation with TANK1 facilitates reduction of CO₂ emissions ranging from 3.6 (Palermo) to 4.7% (Milano) in comparison with TANK2. In the case of thermal load following-operation, the reduction in emissions with TANK1 was in the range of 9.1 (Napoli) to 15.7% (Milano) in comparison with TANK2. The thermal load-following logic produces lower values of carbon dioxide-equivalent emissions in comparison with the electric load-following control strategy.



Figure 4-5: Values of FESR as a function of city, MCHP control logic, and electric-demand profile.

Canada

A study has been performed to analyse the hybridization of a SE-based residential cogeneration system with solar thermal systems to meet the space and water heating loads of a typical Canadian family household. Simulation results from four hybrid system configurations applied in various locations in Canada are presented and compared to base case systems without solar input. [4-15]

The hybrid systems were simulated in TRNSYS for various locations in Canada representing different climatic conditions. Performance of the hybrid systems was compared with that of a reference system that did not receive renewable energy input.

A SE-based micro-cogeneration system is the heart of the Base Case system. The SE provides heat to a 284-litre DHW storage tank through an immersed heat exchanger. A second heat exchanger in the tank allows heat to be extracted for space heating through a hot water-fed air handler. The storage tank is equipped with a back-up boiler to provide additional heat in case the SE is not able to keep the DHW water at the desired temperature level.

Solar heat is used in four variants of a hybrid renewable–micro-generation energy system to replace fossil fuel energy for space heating and DHW production. In cases 1 and 2, solar heat is only used to heat DHW; in cases 3 and 4 the output of the solar collectors is used for both DHW and space





heating. Cases 2 and 4 differ from cases 1 and 3 in that they have a preheat tank interacting with the solar circuit, aimed at enhancing the solar yield (Tab. 4-2).

	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4
Solar DHW preparation	Х	х	Х	Х
Solar support to space heating			Х	х
Preheat tank integrated		х		х

Table 4-2: Overview of the different system layouts considered

The micro-cogenerator model was based on the Annex 42 combustion cogeneration model using a model calibration resulting from experimental testing of a modern SE. The SE was operated in an on/off mode and produced approximately 7 kW of heat.

TRNSYS "Type 539" was used to model the thermal performance of flat-plate solar collectors. The solar collector model was calibrated on the basis of manufacturer specifications. The solar collectors were assumed to be installed at the optimum angle for the investigated locations.

Heat storage tanks were modelled as vertical storage tanks with immersed coiled-tube heat exchangers, and with flow streams passing in and out of the tanks (TRNSYS "Type 534"). Each storage tank was divided into ten isothermal temperature nodes to model stratification within the tank.

The house model was based upon the twin research houses of the Canadian Centre for Housing Technology in Ottawa. A daily schedule was applied incorporating six DHW draws, totalling 200 litres of hot water each day. The main results for the simulated cases are presented in Fig. 4-6.

The Base Case system was simulated for four cities in Canada: Vancouver, Calgary, Ottawa, and Halifax. These cities represent different geographical areas and climates. The operational characteristics of the Base Case system were very similar for all locations. Cases 1 and 2 use solar heat for DHW production. The reductions in natural gas consumption and GHG emissions were 9.9% for case 1 and 12.2% for case 2 in comparison with the Base Case.

Solar heat was used in cases 3 and 4 for both space heating and DHW. The reduction in natural gas consumption and GHG emissions was 11.2% for case 3 and 10.4% for case 4, when the location was Ottawa. For both cases 2 and 3, the operation and performance of the hybrid systems were very similar over the range of heating conditions for the analysed cities.

Parameter variation cases were performed in order to investigate the effect of doubling the solar collector area, doubling the volume of the heat storage tanks, and removing the low-flow pump between the two heat storages. Furthermore, a number of simulations were performed for case 3 (Ottawa) with a variation in solar panel angle. A slightly higher angle (50°) than the 37° used in the first round of simulations was found to be favourable towards optimum overall efficiency.

With the solar panel at the optimum angle of 50°, two routes for further optimization were investigated. The first route was focused on maximizing the use and performance of the SE, while also trying to capture the greatest quantity of solar heat. Owing to its similarities to case 1, this case





was called 'Case 1–Optimized'. With a 13.7% reduction in natural gas use and associated emissions; this configuration demonstrated a better performance than both the original case 1 and case 3 with a solar panel angle of 50°.

The second route for optimization was the application of the hybrid system with a low-temperature heating (LTH) system, i.e. a floor heating system. Using a similar configuration to case 3, the results for case 3–LTH clearly demonstrate the positive effect of lower heat storage temperatures on the performance of the SE and the solar panels. The thermal efficiency of the SE increased to 73.6% in comparison with 70.5% for case 3 with solar panels at an angle of 50°. The heat production by the solar panels increased by 6%. Case 3–LTH exhibited the highest reduction in natural gas use and associated GHG emissions of all the evaluated cases: 15.0%.



Figure 4-6: NG savings and GHG emission reduction for simulated cases in comparison with the Base Case for the same location

From this study, it was concluded that:

- adding solar collectors to a residential cogeneration system resulted in a reduction in annual natural gas consumption of 10–15%, which corresponds to a reduction of approximately 700–1200 kg GHG per house per year
- providing low heat-exchange temperatures for both the SE and the solar thermal system, as well as sufficient storage capacity for the collected solar heat is crucial for high system efficiency
- system performance increased with solar collector area
- the angle of solar panels has a limited impact on the overall system performance





• the hybrid systems demonstrated similar operation and performance when applied in four cities across Canada with a broad range of heat demands

Germany

In order to calculate the potential of small-scale cogeneration in Germany, technical data from MCHP systems, and performance data from field tests and laboratory experiments were taken into consideration in [4-16]. The business areas were selected according to a significant heat demand, for example, a butcher shop, a small hotel, and a fitness centre. To derive the economic potential, cost data of the systems, tariffs for electricity and natural gas, and the current regulatory framework have been included.

Fig. 4-7 illustrates a sensitivity analysis of such a system. In addition, the base values for the different parameters are included in the legend. A MCHP system with a maximum electrical output of 50 kW and a thermal output of 120 kW, achieving 5000 full-load operating hours annually, is considered in the analysis.

The profit is very sensible in terms of the utilization time, the electricity purchase costs, and the investment costs. The crucial parameter is the utilization time; a decrease of only 5% would lead to an economic deficit from the CHP system.



Figure 4-7: Influencing factors on annual total profit of MCHP





4.3.2 Country Specific Simulations, Experimental and Field Test Studies based on CCHP systems

Korea/Canada

The CanmetENERGY Research Centre and Korea Institute for Energy Research (KIER) analysed seven systems, incorporating conventional, micro-generation, and renewable energy technologies, for applications in residential and commercial buildings, [4-17]:

- Case 1: conventional set up a boiler and chiller to meet the heating and cooling demands of a single detached house
- Case 2: the same conventional set up as case 1 a boiler and chiller to meet the heating and cooling demands of an office building that has the same layout as the house in case 1
- Case 3: a simple summation of case 1 and case 2 systems and loads
- Case 4: a load-sharing setup featuring a common boiler and chiller being used to meet the combined loads of both the house and the office
- Case 5: a load-sharing case with a GSHP to meet the combined loads
- Case 6: a load-sharing case where a hybrid PEMFC and GSHP system is used to meet the combined loads
- Case 7: a load-sharing case where a photovoltaic-thermal (PVT) and GSHP system is used to meet the combined loads

As an example, the more complex system (case 7) is illustrated in Fig. 4–8.



Figure 4-8: Layout of case 7.

Detailed simulation models were developed using the TRNSYS-17 software platform and were enhanced with latest manufacturers' systems performance data. The models incorporated Ottawa (Canada) weather and the data over the course a year were analysed.





FBC

Both the thermal and non-HVAC electric loads of the two buildings (house and office) were analysed using appropriate time-series methodology. The house and office were assumed to be separate from each other, with no thermal interaction occurring between them. Both buildings were considered to have identical geometries with floor areas of 200 m², and were assumed to have a single interior zone for the purposes of the simulations. Detailed building models were developed using typical Canadian house/office heating and cooling loads.

While significant thermal loads occur in the evening through the early morning in residential houses, the thermal loads in offices take place during the daytime. The two thermal loads have opposite profiles. In general, the separated systems are operated at very low part-load conditions during the day for houses and during the evening for offices. By combining the two opposite loads, the peak thermal demand could be reduced and, consequently, the initial capital cost could be reduced considerably. Moreover, the load-sharing system could be operated at higher part-load ratios.

The energy consumption analyses results from the seven case studies are summarized in Tab. 4-3.

Energy Use (kWh/m²y)		Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6	Case 7
	-			(Reference)				
Space Heating + DHW Heating	Natural Gas	133	97	115	111	5	1	56
	Electricity	-	-	-	-	31	30	28
Space Cooling	Electricity	7	10	8	7	6	6	6
Non HVAC (lighting, equip., etc.)		40	52	46	46	46	46	46
Fans		6	7	7	7	7	7	7
Pumps		3	2	3	2	11	10	6
Electricity Production		0	0	0	0	0	-22	19
Total (Net) End Use		189	168	178	173	106	132	77
Energy Saving					5	72	46	101
Energy Saving (%)					3.0	40.6	25.8	56.6

Table 4-3: Annual energy consumption, production, and savings in kWh for cases 1–7

The following is the summary drawn from the energy analyses and from the comparisons between the three load-sharing, hybrid renewable energy systems and the reference system:

- the consumption of a load-sharing system with separate "production" (case 4) was 3% less than that consumed by reference case 3
- case 5 exhibited an overall energy saving of 40.6% owing to the introduction of a significant renewable component





- case 6 demonstrated an overall energy saving of 25.8%
- case 7 resulted in an overall energy saving of 56.6% in comparison with the conventional system (case 3) owing to the contribution of both geothermal and solar renewable energy
- In comparison with the reference case (case 3), the load-sharing case 4 reduced the boiler and chiller capacities by 18 and 25%, respectively. Consequently, the initial capital cost could be reduced significantly owing to both equipment quantity and capacity reduction.

Italy I

The work of the Università e-Campus and Università Politecnica delle Marche was concerned with a performance assessment of a hybrid micro-combined cooling heat and power (MCCHP) system, consisting of a variable speed ICE cogenerator and a high concentrator photovoltaic (HCPV) system [4-18]. The hybrid system was applied to representative public buildings of a small urban area in central Italy, Corinaldo.

Three building types have been considered in the analysis: office buildings, school buildings, and sports facilities. Numerical simulations were performed in a dynamic state with "EnergyPlus" [4-19]. A virtual model was developed for each building under analysis in order to determine heating and cooling loads. A typical load profile on an hourly basis was determined for each month by simulating one full representative day in terms of climatic conditions and the building operation schedule. Input data included climatic data records of the location on an hourly basis, the geometry of the building, the characteristics of the building envelope and HVAC systems, and the operation schedules. Output hourly profiles of net heating and cooling demands were compared with measured monthly consumption data for each building in order to check the reliability of the simulation tool.

To assess the hybrid system, both HCPV and ICE have been modelled using "Matlab/Simulink".

The complete hybrid system is made up of a MCHP based on an ICE, a HCPV unit, a thermal energy storage system, an additional heating boiler, an absorption chiller, and a vapour compression chiller. Both micro-CHP and HCPV systems are connected in parallel to the grid and to the end-user. The cooling demand can be satisfied either by the vapour compression chiller or by the absorption chiller.

Since energy, economic, and environmental performance of hybrid systems depend on several parameters including fuel tariffs, purchasing and selling price of electricity, ambient conditions, and energy loads, an optimization approach is required to assess the potential of the introduction of hybrid systems correctly.

The algorithm developed follows a multi-objective approach, aiming at minimizing operating costs, primary energy usage, and carbon dioxide emissions. For the calculation, all the three objectives have been expressed on a cost basis, and weighting factors have been defined *a priori*. Finally, a sensitivity analysis has been conducted to understand the influence of the defined weighting factors.

The objective function is given by the yearly weighted sum of operating costs, primary energy consumption cost, and CO_2 emission costs.

In order to assess the system performance and advantages derived from hybrid applications, two other configurations, including the single cogeneration unit and the solar power unit, have been





HCPV Electric Electric Electric Electric user user Grid Grid EHP EHP Cooling Cooling user Iser ABHP ABHP MCHP TES MCHP TES [hermal Thermal user user BOILER BOILER **CONFIGURATION A CONFIGURATION B** HCPV Electric Electric Electric Electric user user Grid Grid EHP EHP Cooling Cooling user user Thermal [herma] user user BOILER BOILER CONFIGURATION C CONFIGURATION D

analysed. Fig. 4-9 summarises the studied configurations, considering both the alternative (A, B, C) and conventional (D) systems.



The main results of this analysis are:

- hybrid system configurations provide energy and environmental benefits of approximately 40% in comparison with conventional systems
- the energy performance of hybrid systems is better than the single use of either MCHP or HCPV; the advantage increases when the number of MCHP operating hours increases
- assuming the same weight to all criteria, the ICE works in thermal priority in order to maximize the primary energy savings, thereby reducing CO₂ emissions
- the economic performance of the system depends heavily on the specific ratio between thermal and electric load, rather than on energy prices. A limited thermal load reduces the number of operating hours of the ICE, which penalizes the return on investment
- with regards to the HCPV, the high investment cost could be better recovered in regions that can be characterized by a higher value of the direct component of solar radiation
- the introduction of the hybrid system strongly cuts the operating cost by a minimum of 35% to a maximum of 60%
- the high capital investment cost can only be recovered in some cases





- in none of the cases studied, the optimal algorithm chooses the absorption chiller,
- the adoption of hybrid MCCHP by public administration provides a reduction in public expenditure and acts as an exemplary action in promoting the reduction of carbon dioxide emissions
- specific financing mechanisms should be promoted in order to overcome the problems related to high investment costs

Italy II

In this work, performed at Università degli Studi del Sannio, a system consisting of a small scale trigeneration system, in which a heat-led micro-cogenerator interacts with a desiccant-based AHU, equipped with a silica-gel DW, is analysed [4-20].

The small-scale tri-generation system, based on the desiccant-based cooling system, provides the airconditioning service to a lecture room (63.5-m² floor area, activation schedule from Monday to Saturday from 09:00 to 19:00) during summer and winter periods.

During the summer season, the AHU operates as a desiccant cooling system; the DW balances the latent load of the process air, while an electric chiller manages the sensible load. The MCHP provides thermal energy to regenerate the DW by means of a thermal storage tank; a peak load boiler, fuelled with natural gas, provides thermal energy integration. Electricity from the cogenerator is used to drive the electric chiller, the auxiliaries of the AHU, and the MCHP itself (fans and pumps), as well as further eventual electric appliances in the lecture room (lights, computers, etc.); this is illustrated in Fig. 4-10.

During the winter season, the MCHP and the boiler provide thermal energy for space heating purposes. Electricity is supplied to auxiliaries and electric appliances. During the intermediate season, the AHU is inactive and cogenerated electricity is supplied to electric appliances of the lecture room. Furthermore, throughout the year, the system provides thermal energy for DHW preparation, to a nearby user (a gym).

This tri-generation system was compared with a reference system (conventional system, CS) that was equipped with a conventional AHU based on cooling dehumidification for summer operation. Electricity to power an electric chiller, the auxiliaries of the AHU, as well as electric appliances, was drawn from the grid. Thermal energy for winter space heating, air post-heating during summer, and DHW purposes was provided by a natural gas boiler.

Experimental data acquired in a test facility of Università degli Studi del Sannio, in Benevento (Southern Italy), as well as data provided by the manufacturer, were used to calibrate and validate models of the main components and energy conversion devices. These models were used to simulate the current MCCHP system by means of TRNSYS software, in order to evaluate operational data and performance parameters.

A simplified MCHP model has been developed, calibrated, and validated by means of the available experimental data on an AISIN Toyota MCHP. The "TRNSYS" RIC engine model was utilised by using the "Type 907". It uses a table of performance data to determine the outputs of the engine, given a set of input conditions.





The model used to simulate the described storage tank is "Type 60", which represents the most detailed model available in TRNSYS, to simulate stratified thermal storage. By means of experimental tests conducted in the test facility of University of Sannio, the model was calibrated and validated experimentally.



Figure 4-10: Main energy flows of the tri-generation system during summer operation

Concerning the DW, the simplified approach of Maclaine-Cross and Banks has been used to model the DW. This approach models the dehumidification process, a combined heat and mass transfer process, in a similar manner to a simple heat-transfer process. Equations for coupled heat and mass transfer were reduced to two uncoupled differential equations of two independent variables (characteristic potentials). The actual outlet conditions were then estimated using two effectiveness indices of the wheel, calculated in a similar manner to the efficiency of a heat exchanger.

The performance of the AS and CS depend heavily on several operating conditions. First, the electric demand profile, which influences the proportion of the generated electricity used on site. The best case is achieved when the maximum value of the proportion of the generated electricity used on site





is assumed; for the analysed case, the maximum value of this ratio means that no electricity is drawn from the grid for electric appliances and auxiliaries, and approximately 10% of the cogenerated electricity is exported to the grid.

The analysed system performs favourably in comparison with the conventional system in terms of energy and environmental performance, achieving a primary energy saving of approximately 7% and a CO₂ emissions saving of approximately 15%. To ensure the economic feasibility of the system (a quite acceptable simple payback period of approximately 9 years), it is necessary that it is possible to access support mechanisms introduced by Italian legislation for small-scale gas-fuelled tri-generation systems. This legislation includes a lower taxation on gas price, the white certificates, an investment subsidy (up to 40% of the investment cost), and the net metering scheme.

United Kingdom

In the UK, HPs are often promoted as a means to provide low-carbon space heating and hot water for future dwellings if the electricity supply decarbonises or if they are activated by micro-cogeneration systems. However, a major issue with the diffusion of HPs powered by the grid is the additional load that this could place on the electrical network. A means to alleviate potential demand problems is to stagger the operating times of HPs by integrating them with thermal buffering. Thermal storage featuring PCM offers the potential of more volumetrically efficient heat buffering, which may be more suitable for integration into domestic heating systems.

In [4-21], the potential to shift the operating time of HPs integrated with PCM-enhanced thermal storage was assessed and compared with conventional hot water storage. In particular, an integrated "ESP-r" model of a conventional UK detached dwelling (usable floor area of 136 m² spread over an upper and ground floor), featuring an 11-kW nominal thermal output ASHP heating system, was used to investigate the potential for PCM thermal storage.

The operating times of the HP were set to off-peak periods (when the house was unoccupied or when the occupants were asleep) whilst the volume of the thermal buffer was varied from 200–1200 L and the percentage of PCM (by volume) was varied from 0 to 70%. The performance of both the buffered systems (with and without PCM) was compared with the system with no load shifting, where the HP was connected directly to the heating circuit and the DHW tank (reference case). In the unbuffered system, the hours of heating operation were set to the periods of active occupancy within the dwelling.

The simulations were performed for winter, spring, and summer weeks for warm (southern England) and cool (north east Scotland) climates. In total, 186 simulations were performed. The results indicated that, in all cases, the size of the PCM-enhanced buffer tank could be reduced by between two—three times in comparison with the system incorporating hot water buffering. However, thermal buffering with load shifting can increase HP energy demand and (at present), in the UK, results in increased emissions and cost penalties for the end user. These results may change in the future as the energy mix of the UK electricity system changes and if tariff structures are revised to encourage load shifting.

Fig. 4-11 illustrates that, in the case of the warm-climate winter week, increasing the buffer size and the addition of PCM to the buffer tank increased the electrical energy consumption of the HP. In the





worst case, the PCM-enhanced buffer resulted in a 38% energy penalty in comparison with the reference case.

The increased HP electrical energy use is attributable to two main causes. First, the addition of the buffering tank introduces extra standing system losses. Second, the COP of the HP is reduced by up to 15% owing to the addition of a heat exchanger in the buffer (it is necessary to supply water to the buffer at a higher temperature) and because the HP operates at off-peak times when ambient temperatures are lower.



Figure 4-11: Electrical energy consumption of a HP with operation shifted to off-peak against % of PCM in a buffer tank for different buffer-tank volumes; plotted data are from the warm UK climate, winter-week.

Conclusions and "rules of thumb"

From the analysed national performance assessment studies, it can be concluded that fossil fuelbased micro-generation systems can achieve primary energy and emissions savings in the range 5– 20%, depending on the type of system and applications. These savings increase up to 40% when renewable energy technologies are involved in hybrid micro-generation systems. A maximum value of 60% was found, in terms of primary energy and emissions savings, when more than one renewable energy source was exploited (e.g. geothermal and solar energy).

In terms of economic performance, significant capital cost savings (approximately 20–30%) can be obtained; however, very often, the initial installation cost is still considerably high, especially for very complex small-scale tri-generation systems, determining quite long periods to recoup the initial financial outlay, even assuming that all the support mechanisms introduced by national legislation are achieved effectively. Consequently, specific financing mechanism should be promoted in order to overcome the problems related to high investment costs. Moreover, a reduction in the installation





costs charged by manufacturers and distributors of high-efficiency energy conversion devices would also be highly desirable.

In conclusion, it should be highlighted that the aforementioned energy, environmental, and cost benefits can be achieved if the following main "rules of thumb" are observed:

- the energy-saving effects differ with household-type; therefore, an optimal solution exists for each household category
- the best energy, environmental, and economic performance are obtained with thermal load-following logic of the MCHP, rather than electric-load following logic
- the benefits increase when the utilization time of the micro-generation system increases
- the performance of the system depends heavily on the ratio between thermal and electric load
- the correct sizing of the storage tank is a crucial issue because it allows the optimization of the performance of the micro-generation system
- the thermal recovery circuit should be properly designed, to maximize the performance of combustion based devices and solar thermal systems
- the performance of micro-generation systems strongly increases with the proportion of the generated electricity used on site
- the inclusion of the overnight charging of an electric vehicle in the demand profile provides better results of the MCHP, if the MCHP unit is operated with electric load-following logic
- higher operational energy savings can be achieved with the load-sharing approach, with optimal system sizing and appropriate control strategies implemented
- presently, an electrically driven cooling device is preferable to a thermally activated device (absorption chiller or desiccant cooling system) in small -scale tri-generation systems; this is because the former allows higher overall efficiency to be achieved
- the economic feasibility of micro-generation systems is very practical in terms of the electricity purchase costs and the investment costs
- with progressing market penetration of micro-generation technologies, lower investment costs and better profitability can be expected





EBC

5 Drivers of Commercialization and Support Mechanisms

A number of countries and regions aspire to improve energy efficiency, strengthen energy system security and resilience, and achieve significant reductions in GHG emissions in the coming decades. Tackling these multiple aims will require a far-reaching overhaul of energy systems in a number of cases. Change is necessitated in all aspects of the energy system, from core infrastructure, through transformation, to changes in technology choice and behaviour in the end-use sectors.

Whilst some measures to improve energy-system performance bring "no regrets" in the sense that they are cost effective, it is clear that interventions will be required that are more expensive than the status-quo if long-term goals are to be achieved. This poses a problem for governments in that it implies that regulations and incentives are required to ensure an appropriate optimum is reached, rather than each individual or company behaving in a self-interested or uninformed fashion, and simply adopting the least expensive and/or most convenient energy solution.

Micro-generation and associated technologies are a good example of a possible solution to the energy challenge. They are often low-carbon technologies, and generally offer a less-attractive economic proposition than current energy provision options. However, they can provide a level of decarbonisation, a reduction in primary energy consumption, and they may even result in improvements in the resilience and security of energy systems in some cases. Technologies such as these are important candidates for government interventions, enabling them to gain a market share commensurate with their system-wide and broader social value.



Figure 5-1: Structure of policy and regulation analysis





Subtask C of Annex 54 has provided an evidence base for decision makers considering support mechanisms for micro-generation (Fig. 5-1). No specific technology or approach is advocated but an independent view of the approaches that have been implemented in various jurisdictions is presented. The potential of support mechanisms or regulations to influence technical performance was also investigated, highlighting that some mechanisms can lead to unintended consequences.

5.1 Landscape of Support Mechanisms

This section presents and discusses a selection of the range of support mechanisms available to incentivize the adoption of micro-generation systems. An important caveat to this material is that the support mechanisms can change quickly. *As such, this report provides a snapshot of approaches over the lifetime of the Annex, which spanned the years 2009 through 2013. The reader should refer to primary sources to obtain information on the current arrangements.*

Feed-in tariffs (FiTs), grants, building regulations, and the role of micro-generation and associated technologies in smarter energy systems are discussed below. Details of further instruments are presented in the Annex 54 Subtask C report, "A Comparative Review Of Microgeneration Policy Instruments In OECD Countries" [5-1].

5.1.1 Feed-In Tariffs for Microgeneration

FiTs have been the support instrument of choice in supporting micro-generation uptake over the past 5–10 years, with prominent examples found in Germany, Spain, Italy, and the UK. However, there is great diversity of arrangements that fall under the FiT category [5-2], with differing set-ups for the significance of rewards (e.g. export tariff versus generation tariff). These include cost containment methods, stated reasoning behind rate decisions, and the presence of underpinning laws to create confidence for the supply chain and relevant beneficiaries that the level of support will not be withdrawn suddenly.

The key benefit of FiT instruments is that most implementations provide for a positive rate of return on the investment in micro-generation systems, meaning that the technologies are almost guaranteed be economically attractive. Additionally, particularly in the case of PV technology, FiTs have proven to be a popular instrument, driving significant uptake in many jurisdictions. A review of the characteristics of each FiT mechanism reviewed is shown in Tab. 5-1, and the observed uptake under the UK FiT program is illustrated in Fig. 5-2.

The key criticism of FiT instruments is that they do not provide direct assistance with capital costs. Even where a consumer has capital available, they may be unwilling to make an investment in an arrangement with a long-term return on the investment (i.e. empirically, the consumer appears to have a high opportunity cost of capital). Proponents of FiTs argue that consumers do have access to financing mechanisms to offset this drawback, and some jurisdictions even provide this access, such





as the Green Deal in the UK², which goes as far as to attach the financing to the property rather than the investor, thereby also circumventing the "split incentives"³ barrier.



Figure 5-2: UK micro-generation installations under a FiT mechanism [5-3]

Overall, the application of FiT instruments up until the time of writing can best be characterized as a "learning experience", with many examples of support leading to unintended consequences, particularly relating to cost containment of the mechanism. In response to these issues, there has been an increasing tendency towards the tuning of FiT mechanisms to encourage or restrain demand for micro-generation installations (by increasing or decreasing tariff rates). Such approaches may better enable cost containment alongside achieving uptake targets.

 $^{^2}$ The Green Deal incorporates a "Golden Rule" whereby loan guarantee support is only available if the technology can pay for itself over its lifetime. For many micro-generation technologies this is a challenging rule to satisfy.

³ Split incentives refers to the principal-agent problem, where the investor in a measure cannot benefit from the savings it provides. This occurs in situations such as a landlord investing in a measure (where tenants receive the energy savings benefit) or householders investing in a measure and then moving house (where the new owner/tenant benefits).





Table 5-1: FiT mechanisms for micro-generation in a selection of OECD countries [5-2]

Country	Fixed or	Generation	Timosnan	Technology	Return on	Tariff change
Country	FiT	Tariff	Timespan	Coverage	Investment	mechanism
Italy	Premium	Generation	15 years	RES	6–12% [4]	Degression Cap on yearly cost of € 6.7 billions
PV under se	parate law	Conto Energia	, 20 years' su	pport. 16 GW	el installed as	of October 2012,
only 0.4 GW kW.	el of this at	residential sc	ale 1–3 kWel	. Net metering	for high effici	ency CHP up to 200
Japan	Fixed	Export	10 years	PV	No data	Review
The Japanes	e governm	ent was consid	lering a "gen	eration" tariff	for all RES at tl	ne time of writing.
Germany	Both	Both	20 years	RES, μ-CHP	5–7%	Degression Cap on installed capacity of 52 GW
Formal price review every 4 years. Priority T&D access. Separate tariffs for grid export and own- use. Cogeneration is also exempt from energy tax for fossil fuels – for natural gas this is 0.00511€/kWh. Hydropower receives 15 years' support. Biomass systems 15-year timespan, 10						d export and own- as this is year timespan, 10
years or 30,	000 hours f	or µ-CHP	20_25			
UK	Fixed	Both	years	RES, μ-CHP	5–8%	Degression
Micro-CHP pilot only for the first 30,000 installations, only <2kWel. Systems receive technology- differentiated reward per kWh generation, plus additional reward for electricity exported (identical across techs).						
Canada (Ontario)	Fixed	Generation	20 years	RES	11%	Price review every 2 years. Inflation adjustment.
Introduced by Green Energy Act, 2009. Priority T&D access, with Economic Connection Test. Re- distributed via utility bills. Hydropower systems receive 40-year support.						
USA (Oregon)	Premium	Generation	15 years	PV	No data	Review by Oregon Public Utility Commission
Capped at "net metering" level (i.e. no aggregate production). Retail electricity rate is subtracted from the FiT payment.						
Spain	Both	Generation	15–25 years	RES	No data	Capacity-related degression. Inflation adjustment
Dynamic between fixed and premium FiT models, where investors can choose between mechanisms. Re-distribution mechanism ineffective as price to final consumers in Spain is regulated.						
Korea	Fixed	Generation	15 years	RES	No data	Review
498 MWel of PV power plant installed by 2011. For PV, in 2012 the system has been replaced by a market mechanism (Renewable Standard Portfolio)						





5.1.2 Grants

Before the introduction of FiTs, grant mechanisms were the most widespread means of incentivising the adoption of micro-generation systems. Indeed, they continue to be an important mechanism, with a range of examples in place throughout the OECD. Even grants that are relatively small with respect to the overall cost of the equipment can be effective in certain circumstances.

The main advantage is that grant mechanisms provide support to cover high-investment and installation costs, or to create the conditions to kick-start a market of low-carbon technologies. Two generic approaches to grant mechanisms can be identified via a review of instruments in place in OECD countries:

- (1) those intended to support commercialisation of a new technology; these are characterised by relatively large grants that decrease over time as the cost of the technology (ideally) decreases
- (2) those intended to support conventional micro-generation systems because they are more efficient, lower carbon, etc.

An example of the first case is that of ENE-FARM in Japan, where grants supported the uptake of fuel cell micro-CHP technologies when their capital cost was very high. This has resulted in a continuous increase in the sales volume concurrent with continuous reductions in capital cost [5-4, 5-5]. Data from the ENE-FARM support programme are presented in Figure 5-3. By the end of 2012, approximately 40,000 fuel cell micro-CHP installations had been completed in Japan. Furthermore, the government proposed support for 36,000 more installations in 2013.



Figure 5-3: Historical selling price, government subsidy, and cumulative number of installations for ENE.FARM microcogeneration systems in Japan based on data from Staffell and Green [5-4, 5-5]





5.1.3 Building Regulations

The relationship between building regulations and micro-generation is often overlooked. Increasingly, the remit of building regulations is extending beyond aspects of a building's shell including such areas as insulation, glazing, and air tightness; regulations are beginning include the technologies within the building as well. This is particularly true of heating and cooling microgeneration systems owing to their inherent relationship with thermal performance and their importance in achieving energy or carbon standards in the built environment.

An area of specific note with respect to micro-generation is net-zero-energy or net-zero-carbon building performance standards. Such standards for new buildings are being proposed for implementation in many OECD countries during the next decade. This development is particularly apparent in Europe, where the recast of the European Performance in Buildings Directive [5-6] (EPBD) asks member states to implement an optimal-cost level of minimum energy performance standards, with all new buildings being net 'zero energy' from 2020 onwards. Net-zero-energy and net-zero-carbon standards are potentially very important for micro-generation because onsite electricity-generating capacity can be installed, and the related electricity export can be used to offset onsite energy use or carbon emissions; this makes it possible to achieve the "net zero" standard. Even where standards do not strive for the "net zero" outcome, micro-generation can be an important part of mitigating building net energy consumption and emissions. The implementation of future building regulations is clearly very important for the future of micro-generation systems. Nevertheless, the fundamental intention of regulations is and will remain related to building shell energy efficiency; however, alongside this, decision makers should consider the impact of proposals on micro-generation technology and allow it to make an appropriate contribution.

5.1.4 Regulation for Smarter Energy Systems

A further potential role of micro-generation systems and associated technologies is in achieving a more integrated and coordinated energy system, also known as a "smart" energy system. Micro-generation systems and associated technologies, such as electricity and heat storage, could be important in this context because they provide a potential demand-side bridge between electricity, gas, heat, and possibly more energy vectors. This would enable support of the broader energy system via arbitrage between these resources. The potential benefits of more integrated and coordinated energy systems are:

- Enabling the generation of a higher portion of large-scale intermittent and/or base-loaded carbon at a lower system cost. This could be achieved by allowing micro-generation and distributed storage to aid the balance of large-scale supply and demand.
- Enabling switching between low-carbon energy vectors according to their availability, cost, and infrastructure constraints. For example, use of bivalent micro-generation heating systems to switch between gas and electricity for final energy consumption.
- Designing integrated packages of decentralised energy resources to defer or avoid infrastructure investment and to support hour-to-hour system operation. For example, installing a micro-CHP in dwellings alongside HPs in nearby dwellings in order to achieve a balance in the aggregate network load.





FBC

• Improved energy system resilience where demand and supply are more controllable and responsive. For example, situations where sets of micro-generation systems can function together, acting as a "virtual power plant".

Furthermore, a smart energy system could integrate micro-generation with other decentralized energy resources such as manipulation of demand and energy storage. This could be useful in balancing intermittent renewable sources, deferring network and generation asset investment, and could help to achieve tighter integration between energy vectors at the point of end-use.

5.1.5 Summary of Support Mechanisms

A wide range of different support mechanisms have been used to encourage the adoption of microgeneration technologies. For the countries reviewed, and within the timeframe of ECB Annex 54, the types of measures employed and the technological coverage are summarised in Tab. 5-2.

Country	Fixed or Premium FiT	Grant and subsidies	Trading mechanism	Tax reduction
UK	RES-E, RES-H	RES-H	μ-CHP, RES-E [#]	RES
Germany	RES-E, μ-CHP	μ-CHP		RES, μ-CHP
Italy	RES-E; RES-H (solar collector, biomass); HP		μ-CHP, RES-E	
Japan	RES-E ^{##}	μ-CHP (fuel cell) RES-E (PV)	RES-E	RES; μ-CHP
Netherlands	RES-E	RES-H (solar collector); HP; µCHP		
Canada	RES-E*	RES-H (solar collector); μ- CHP**		RES
Flanders (Belgium)		RES, μ-CHP***	μ-CHP, RES-E	RES (biogas, biomass, solar, geothermal), μ-CHP
Korea	RES-E	RES		RES

Table 5-2: Support mechanisms for micro-generation systems in a selection of OECD countries

* Available only in some provinces; **concluded in 2012; ***defined for ecological investment including μ -CHP; #CERT and ECO scheme include microgeneration, although rarely used; ##from 2012;





The confluence of net-zero-energy/-emissions building regulations, financial support via FiTs or grants to support the market while it matures, and the longer-term prospect of a more integrated and coordinated energy system all point to a promising future for micro-generation systems and associated technologies. Key challenges remain in overcoming practical barriers, supporting R&D appropriately to drive down costs, and in increasing the acceptance of these alternative technologies in consumer markets.

5.2 Micro-generation Economics with Support Mechanisms

5.2.1 Economic Performance Assessment with Support Mechanisms

The economic aspects of performance assessment in Annex 54 largely followed the Annex 42 performance assessment methodology. The revised performance assessment approach is presented in section 4.1 of this report. Further economic assessment was also performed in order to assess the impact of support mechanisms in contributing countries. To support this analysis, a simple assessment tool was developed to enable the calculation of parameters such as net present value, payback period, CO_2 savings, and primary energy savings. The flowchart for this tool is presented in Fig. 5-4.

5.2.2 Economic Performance with Support Mechanisms

Through the application of the tool presented in Fig. 5-4, the effects of changes in the economic situation on the costs of a range of micro-generation systems were investigated. While direct comparison between technologies, sites, and countries is not usually appropriate, it is possible to draw some crosscutting conclusions.

One important observation is that the level of support offered differs very substantially between countries. For example, support for micro-CHP systems in Germany, Italy, and the UK led to a positive economic situation for the consumer. Support in Belgium and the Netherlands was more modest. However, over the life of the Annex 54, substantial changes in the structure and level of support were observed. A prime example of this was South Korea, where small incentives where replaced by large 50% grants on capital costs. This highlights the rapidly changing nature of recent government interventions. These interventions can be considered positive when support is generally improving, as has been the case in the years up to the time of writing, but can also be cause for concern for supply chain investors; this may result in a perceived risk that support will be withdrawn suddenly.

Three examples of the economic case, from the consumer's perspective, for micro-CHP systems operating in Germany, the UK, and Japan are illustrated in Fig. 5-5, 5-6, and 5-7. While the level of incentives differs in each country, and the aggregate effect differs, the net result in each case is that micro-CHP becomes economically attractive to the consumer after the incentives are taken into consideration. The reader should refer to Annex 54 Subtask C report, "Impact of Support Mechanisms on Microgeneration Performance in OECD Countries" [5-7] for details of each





jurisdiction assessed, details of the technical system and economic characteristics, and details of the support mechanism considered.



Figure 5-4: Flow chart of a simple tool to calculate the economic performance of micro-generation systems with and without support mechanisms







Figure 5-6: Comparison between the micro-CHP case, with and without incentives, and the reference case, in the UK.





5.2.3 Advanced Performance Assessment with Support Mechanisms

In addition to the simple assessment tool applied above, more advanced methods for performance assessment were developed in the Annex. Specifically, optimisation models were applied in the Flanders and Italian case studies. The use of optimisation models facilitates the assessment of the best strategy for the management of the systems, where the incentives created by a support mechanism are considered endogenously. Both investment and operating strategies are optimised to maximise both energy and economic savings for the consumer.

The Flanders study assessed the possibility of integrating a group of micro-CHP technologies in the Belgian balancing market. In order to assess the economic benefits derived from entering the market, a mixed integer linear programming model was applied. It was demonstrated that the real-time profits could be improved for the CHP systems if they *do not* benefit from specific supporting mechanisms (for the Flanders case, the specific mechanism was CHP certificates). This can be explained by the fact that without certificates, the motivation to maximise CHP output is reduced, and, consequently, greater up-regulation is possible. Therefore, the balancing market itself could be an effective support mechanism for CHP, even more so than conventional certificate-based systems.

In the Italian case, a multi-objective optimisation procedure was developed with the aim of studying a hybrid micro-generation system under variable electricity prices. The system consisted of a variable-speed ICE co-generator and a HCPV system. Three main criteria were considered, the minimisation of; i) operating costs, ii) primary energy usage, and iii) carbon dioxide emissions. An





interesting result of this study was that no difference in operating schedule was observed between cases with and without incentives (when the same weight is given to the three criteria). The primary effect of the support mechanisms was a strong reduction in the payback period, sufficient to enable a good economic case for the hybrid renewable micro-generation system. However, when only the cost is minimised, the optimal operation schedule of the system changes in comparison with the case where incentives are offered. Specifically, the micro-CHP unit is only used when the electricity price is high. The net result is that the total site energy bill decreases, but this is accompanied by a slight increase in both carbon dioxide emissions and primary energy consumption.





FBC

6 Summary, Conclusion and Outlook

6.1 Summary

Annex 54 of the IEA/EBC programme was established in 2009 to examine the building integration of micro-generation technologies. The focus was expanded from single cogeneration units towards micro-generation systems that supply buildings with electricity, heating energy, and cooling energy.

Based on the work performed within Annex 42 on the modelling of micro-cogeneration systems, these models should be further developed and expanded. Other energy supply technologies such as PV, HPs, and chillers should be included. Thermal and electrical storage systems should be investigated. State-of-the-art building simulation tools should be used to facilitate an in-line simulation of building thermal behaviour and the technical supply system's operation.

As advanced micro-generation systems have to compete against conventional established technologies, proof is required that micro-generation on the level of a whole building is beneficial in terms of saving primary energy resources, reducing GHG emissions, and is economic reasonable. Consequently, performance assessment of micro-generation systems must be performed. The methodology defined during Annex 42 should be expanded to take into account cooling systems and energy derived from renewable resources. Harmonized frame parameters, such as primary energy and emission factors, have to be defined and applied.

In many countries, support schemes have been initiated to foster the development and deployment of micro-generation technologies. Consequently, one task within Annex 54 was to collect information on support schemes, to deliver an overview, and to analyse their impact.

To manage the targets described above, the work of Annex 54 was divided into three subtasks:

- Subtask A: Technical development
- Subtask B: Performance assessment
- Subtask C: Technically robust mechanisms for diffusion

Annex 54 successfully developed models of micro-generation units and system components, and implemented them into state-of-the-art building performance-simulation tools such as TRNSYS, ESPr, or Matlab/Simulink. Predefined and reproducible laboratory conditions are favourable to determining the necessary model parameters. The objects of these measurements were several commercial available micro-CHP units; prototypes of different technologies including ICEs, SEs, or fuel cells were also included. Huge quantities of data have been collected from field testing performed by Annex 54 participants. These data have been used to determine demand-side profiles and, additionally, to gain knowledge on micro-generation system performance under real operating conditions. The developed models and data collected by Annex 54 are available to the scientific community for further study in this field.





Validated system models were then used to execute performance-assessment analyses of microgeneration systems. Several participants in Annex 54 have performed performance-assessment studies. To obtain comparable results, a common methodology was used to define the frame parameters and reference system of the comparisons. A synthesis of the country-based performance assessment studies then was performed to provide an overview.

As micro-generation on the building level is still an emerging technology, many countries offer support for related technologies; this support is offered according to different mechanisms. Annex 54 participants brought together information on the support schemes of their home country. Following this, the impact of national support mechanisms on the economic impacts of micro-generation systems was analysed.

During the lifetime of Annex 54, the participants contributed to the scientific community with journal publications, three seminars, and presentations at two international conferences.

6.2 Conclusions

The major conclusions of this four-year, multinational project are structured in the categories technology aspects, system performance, and economics.

Technology aspects

The heating or cooling energy demand of a building correlates highly to the outside weather conditions, especially the temperature. DHW demand is driven by the individual occupants; however, charging of the hot-water storage can be influenced to a certain degree. Models to predict the thermal loads of a building demonstrated sufficient accuracy. The measured electricity-demand data of residential consumers demonstrated a highly stochastic behaviour, with peaks that were characterized by high amplitudes and short durations. Consequently, the accuracy of electrical-demand forecasting is very limited.

Since the beginning of Annex 54 in 2009, clear progress in micro-generation systems has been noticeable. Many manufacturers started market deployment of their products. However, some systems have already disappeared owing to funding problems, which can sometimes be caused by delayed market entry or technical problems. During Annex 42, many small technologically driven companies were involved with their micro-cogeneration systems. Now, more established manufacturers of heating appliances have become involved and are bringing micro-generation products to market.

- ICE-driven micro-CHP systems are actually available from many manufacturers in a wide range of sizes.
- SE micro-CHP systems recently entered the market in many European countries. Most of these are based on the Microgen Engine Corporation technology that is implemented by several heating appliance companies into their products.
- Fuel cells have experienced irregular sales levels in the 2000s; however, in the years after 2010, fuel cells have begun selling at markedly increased rates and, as of 2012, became the





EBC

leading technology in terms of volume of units sold among the range of micro-generation prime movers. This was achieved through high numbers of newly installed systems in Japan.

• Regarding tiny micro-CHP systems with approximately 1–2-kW electrical capacity, a trend is visible in integrating the cogenerator into a full-scale heating appliance, with auxiliary burner, pumps, and control systems.

The development of cooling units, namely absorption and adsorption chillers and their integration into micro-CHP systems, offers new possibilities to apply this technology in places that have subdominant heating requirements but significant cooling needs.

System Performance

Annex 54 participants were involved in several field test activities with micro-CHP systems. Energy flows of mainly micro-CHP installations in residential, as well as small commercial, buildings were measured and analysed. The measurements were performed over longer periods, typically one year; consequently, the following can be concluded:

- Electrical conversion efficiencies (based on lower heating value) were in the range of 8–15% for Stirling-driven systems, 20–25% for ICE systems, and up to 55% for systems using fuel cells. Overall (electrical plus thermal) energy conversion efficiencies range from 75% to as high as 95% (based on lower heating value). These efficiencies also consider the auxiliary power needs of the CHP system.
- The layout of the system was revealed to be suboptimal in some cases; in particular, in terms of the sizing of the cogeneration system and/or its integration into the supply system. In many cases, parameters were not properly adjusted to the needs of the consumers and the requirements of the supplied building. This led to lower efficiency of the systems.

Several country-specific studies have been performed during Annex 54. In each case, national characteristics in terms of the provision of fuel and electricity were taken into account, as well as the typical conventional reference systems. Consequently, the following can be concluded:

- Fossil fuel-based micro-generation systems can achieve primary energy and emissions savings in the range of 5–20%, depending on the type of system and application. These savings increase up to 40% when renewable energy technologies are involved in hybrid micro-generation systems. A maximum value of 60% was obtained, in terms of primary energy and emissions savings, when more than one renewable energy source is exploited (e.g. geothermal and solar energy).
- If excess electricity can be delivered into the electricity supply grid, the best energy, environmental, and economic performance is obtained with thermal load-following logic of the micro-CHP, in comparison with electric-load following logic.

Energy storage was revealed to be a very important component on the thermal side but also interesting on the electrical side:





- Thermal storage prevents the micro-generation system from frequent on/off operations, which is profitable over the lifetime and results in the efficient performance of the system.
- A thermal storage that was charged during periods with lower heat demand can reduce the operation of an auxiliary heater during high-load periods. The results in an increase in CHP operation and its profitability.
- For the integration of fluctuating solar thermal resources, a thermal storage is necessary because the period of available energy from the sun does not usually match the occupants' heat requirements.
- Furthermore, electricity from a micro-CHP is preferably generated during periods when it can be used on site. Active management of the thermal storage allows the heat demand to be decoupled from the electricity demand for certain periods.

Economics

In terms of economic performance, significant capital cost savings (approximately 20–30%) can be obtained; however, the initial installation cost is often still considerably high, especially for very complex small-scale tri-generation systems. This can determine quite long pay-back periods, even assuming that all the support mechanisms introduced by national legislation are achieved effectively. Therefore, specific financing mechanisms should be promoted in order to overcome the problems related to high investment costs, such as, third-party financing, and a favoured access to national and international government funding. Moreover, there is the need to further improve the economic performance of micro-generation systems to increase the cost savings in comparison with conventional systems. A reduction of the installation costs performed by manufacturers and distributors of high-efficiency energy-conversion devices would be also highly desirable. From analysing the economic situation and support mechanisms, the following conclusions can be made.

- The benefits increase when the utilization time of the micro-generation system increases; it represents the most crucial parameter.
- The economic performance of micro-generation systems increases significantly with the proportion of the generated electricity that is used on site.
- The economic feasibility of micro-generation systems is very practical in terms of the electricity purchase costs and the investment costs.
- With progressing market entry of micro-generation technologies, lower investment costs and better profitability can be expected.
- Expected increases in prices for fossil energy carriers, together with decreasing system costs for micro-generation systems, will lead to increasing profitability for these new technologies





6.3 Outlook to Possible Future Work

Based on experiences from Annex 42 and Annex 54, clear progress in micro-generation technologies is visible. However, significant research still has to be performed to improve the performance of the systems, to optimize their integration into the building energy supply systems, and to increase the benefits offered by the flexible operation of these systems. Recommendations emanating from the work performed and the experience gained within Annex 54 include the following:

- CHP systems produce electricity and heat concurrently. As one target is to decrease the thermal energy demand of buildings, cogeneration systems with high power-to-heat ratios would be beneficial in the future. Further work should investigate the potential of cogeneration technologies in terms of these requirements.
- While current installations often only involve one micro-generation technology, such as micro-CHP or PV, a combination of different technologies cold become more usual in the future. Research should be performed to optimize the layout and operation of these systems, as well as to develop advanced control schemes.
- The ability to store energy in the thermal, as well as on the electrical form, has been identified as one of the core parameters in optimizing a system's operation, and in enabling the integration of renewable energy resources. Storage technologies and their implementation into building energy supplies should be investigated further, taking into consideration charging of electric vehicles or using second-life batteries within buildings.
- The behaviour of individual users or households demonstrates highly stochastic profiles, making it difficult to optimize micro-generation systems. Widening the scope to include multiple homes within a neighbourhood makes the energy demand more predictable. Crosslinking technologies as load sharing, virtual, or swarm power plants should be included in future work, as well as concepts such as smart energy networks and micro-grids.
- Future work should investigate the impact of a significant number of micro-generation installations on the power supply system. Future work should investigate this because, currently, PV installations influence the power supply grid and the operation of conventional power plants in some regions. Integrated into a smart-grid, micro-generation technologies could support the security of supply by offering system services as demand response.
- Analysing business cases of micro-generation technologies demonstrates high variety and, sometimes, great complexity of parameters influencing the profitability of these systems. Further research should be performed on how commercialization can be increased.







Filed: 2018-04-16 EB-2017-0255 Exhibit JT1.31 Attachment A Page 87 of 99

Abbreviations

AHP	Absorption Heat Pump
ANFIS	Adaptive Neuro Fuzzy Inference System
AHU	Air Handling Unit
ASHP	Air Source Heat Pump
ANN	Artificial Neural Network
BES	Battery Energy Storage
BEMS	Building Energy Management System
СОР	Coefficient of Performance
CCHP	Combined-Cooling-Heating-and-Power
СНР	Combined-Heat-and-Power
CPV	Concentrating Photovoltaic
DR	Demand Response
DW	Desiccant Wheel
DHW	Domestic Hot Water
EV	Electrical Vehicle
EER	Energy Efficiency Ratio
EBC	Energy in Buildings and Communities
ECBCS	Energy in Buildings and Community Systems
EPBD	European Performance in Building Directrive
FiT	Feed-in tariffs
FC	Fuel Cell
FESR	Fuel Energy Saving Ratio
GWP	Global Warming Potential
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
GSHP	Ground Source Heat Pump
HP	Heat Pump
HVAC	Heat, Ventilation, and air conditioning
HCPV	High Concentrator Photovoltaic
HEMS	Home Energy Management System
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IC	Internal Combustion
ICE	Internal Combustion Engine
IRR	Internal Rate of Return
IEA	International Energy Agency
KIER	Korea Institute for Energy Research
LTH	Low-Temperature Heating
MRE	Mean Relative Estimation Error
MCCHP	Micro Combined Cooling Heat and





MCHP	Micro-Combined-Heat-and-Power
MILP	Mixed Integer Linear Programming
MLR	Multiple Linear Regression
NPV	Net Present Value
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
PCM	Phase Change Material
PV	Photovoltaic
PVT	Photovoltaic-Thermal
PHEV	Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicles
PE	Primary Energy
PI	Profitability Index
PEMFC	Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells
RES	Renewable Energy System
RIC	Reciprocating Internal Combustion
R&D	Research and Development
RMSE	Root Mean Square Error
SPB	Simple Pay Back
SOFC	Solid Oxide Fuel Cells
SE	Stirling Engine
TES	Thermal Energy Storage
VPP	Virtual Power Plant

μCHP Micro Combined Heat and Power





References

- [1-1] Beausoleil-Morrison I., Dorer V., Kelly N., Knight I., et.al.: An experimental and Simulation-Based Investigation of the Performance of Small-Scale Fuel cell and Combustion-Based Cogeneration Devices Serving Residential Buildings. Final Report of Annex 42 of the International Energy Agency's Energy Conservation in Buildings and Community Systems Programme. ISBN No. 978-0-662-47923-9; Canada (2008)
- [2-1] Roselli C., Sasso M., Sibilio S., Tzscheutschler P.: Experimental analysis of microcogenerators based on different prime movers. Energy and Buildings 43 (2011) pp. 796–804
- [2-2] Angrisani G., Roselli C., Sasso M.: Distributed microtrigeneration systems, Progress in Energy and Combustion. Science 38 (2012) pp. 502–521
- [2-3] www.honda.com (2014)
- [2-4] www.aisin.com (2014)
- [2-5] www.senertec.de (2014)
- [2-6] www.ecopower.de (2014)
- [2-7] Hosaka Y.: ENE farm fuel cell system for residential use. 5th International Hydrogen & Fuel Cell Expo, Tokyo – Japan (2009)
- [2-8] Callux Project. www.callux.de (2014)
- [2-9] Ene.Field Project. www.enefield.eu (2014)
- [2-10] Clean Energy Project Analysis: RETScreen Engineering & Cases Textbook Photovoltaic Project Analysis Chapter, Minister of Natural Resources Canada; (2001–2005)
- [2-11] Kurtz S.: Opportunities and Challenges for Development of a Mature Concentrating Photovoltaic Power Industry, Technical Report, NREL/TP-520-43208 (2009)
- [2-12] Dusonchet L., Ippolito M.G., Telaretti E., Graditi G.: Economic impact of medium-scale battery storage systems in presence of flexible electricity tariffs for end-user applications. 9th International Conference on the European Energy Market (EEM), May 10–12, 2012, Florence, Italy, publ. IEEE (2012) pp. 1–5
- [2-13] Scrosati B., Garche J.: Lithium batteries: Status, prospects and future. J Power Sources 195 (2010) pp 2419-2430
- [2-14] Darcovich K.: Residential electrical power storage scenario simulations with a large-scale lithium ion battery. Journal of Applied Electrochemistry, 40 (2010) pp. 749–755
- [2-15] Darcovich K., Kenney B., MacNeil D., Armstrong M.: Sizing of Li-ion batteries for microcogeneration systems, MicroGen III, Naples, Italy, April 15–17 (2013)





- [2-16] DasGupta R.: Substation Installations of Electrovaya's MWh-Scale Lithium-Ion SuperPolymer[®]. Batteries for Smart Grid Applications. PRiME 2012, 222nd Meeting of the Electrochemical Society Oct 7–12; Honolulu, Hawaii (2012)
- [2-17] Ito K., Ohkura M.: Experimental Study on Display of Energy-Related Information in Smart Homes Using Virtual Reality. Lecture Notes in Computer Science 8028 (2013) pp. 294–301
- [2-18] Pentland W.: Toshiba's EneGoon Bets on Micro Storage, Forbes, Sept 15 (2012)
- [2-19] Beetz B.: Power-One and Panasonic unveil energy storage collaboration, PV Magazine, Feb. 6 (2013)
- [2-20] Joko S.:. Energy controller, energy control system and energy control method, PCT Patent WO2013080983 A1, June 6 (2013) pp. 33
- [2-21] German Federal Ministry for the Environment. Langfristszenarien und Strategien für den Ausbau der erneuerbaren Energien in Deutschland bei Berücksichtigung der Entwicklung in Europa und global [Long-term Scenarios and Strategies for the Development of Renewable Energy in Germany Considering Development in Europe and Globally. Berlin, Germany (2012)
- [2-22] Parkinson G.: How battery storage will change household energy market, reneweconomy.com.au, March 11 (2013)
- [2-23] Zalba B., Marin J.M., Cabeza L.F., Mehling H.: Review on thermal energy storage with phase change: materials, heat transfer analysis and applications. Appl. Thermal Eng. 23(2003) pp. 251–283
- [2-24] Angrisani G., Roselli C., Sasso M.: Distributed microtrigeneration systems. Progress in Energy and Combustion Science 38 (2012) pp. 502–521
- [2-25] Labus J.M., Bruno J.C., Coronas A.: Review on absorption technology with emphasis on small capacity absorption machines. Thermal Science; OnLine-First Issue 00, (2013) pp. 16 doi:10.2298/TSCI120319016L
- [2-26] Maraver D., Sin A., Royo J., Sebastián F.: Assessment of CCHP systems based on biomass combustion for small-scale applications through a review of the technology and analysis of energy efficiency parameters. Applied Energy 102 (2013) pp. 1303–1313
- [2-27] Angrisani G., Minichiello F., Roselli C., Sasso M.: Experimental analysis on the dehumidification and thermal performance of a desiccant wheel. Applied Energy 92 (2012) pp. 563–572
- [3-1] Saldanha N., Beausoleil-Morrison I.: Measured end-use electric load profiles for 12 Canadian houses at high temporal resolution. Energy and Buildings 49 (2012) pp. 519–530
- [3-2] sel.me.wisc.edu/trnsys/trnlib/library15.htm#IEA26Load (2014)
- [3-3] sel.me.wisc.edu/trnsys/trnlib/iea-shc-task26/iea-shc-task26-load-profiles-descriptionjordan.pdf. (2014)





FBC

- [3-4] Yamaguchi H., Morita H., Asano H., Hisazumi Y.: A Cogeneration System for an Apartment Building Using Distributed Heat Storage Technology, ASME Conf. Proc. 2011, paper no. POWER2011-55366, 409 (2011) pp. 409–416
- [3-5] K. Darcovich et al.: An International Survey of Electrical and DHW Load Profiles for Use in Simulating the Performance of Residential Micro-cogeneration Systems. A report Annex 54 Subtask A activity, paragraph 2.2 (2014)
- [3-6] Richardson I., Thomson M.: Domestic electricity demand model simulation example. https://dspace.lboro.ac.uk/dspace-jspui/handle/2134/5786 (2010)
- [3-7] Darcovich K. *et al.*: An International Survey of Electrical and DHW Load Profiles for Use in Simulating the Performance of Residential Micro-cogeneration Systems. A report Annex 54 Subtask A activity, paragraph 2.5.2 (2014)
- [3-8] www.efficienzaenergetica.enea.it/generazione-distribuita/strumenti/m-energy--sistema-dimonitoraggio-per-l-energia.aspx (2014)
- [3-9] Beausoleil-Morrison I., Kelly N.: Specifications for Modelling Fuel Cell and Combustion-Based Residential Cogeneration Devices within Whole-Building Simulation Programs, ISBN 978-0-662-47116-5, www.iea-annex54.org/annex42/pdfs/ Annex_42_ST_B_Cogen_Model_Specifications.pdf (2013)
- [3-10] Beausoleil-Morrison I. et. Al.: Experimental Investigation of Residential Cogeneration Devices and Calibration of Annex 42 Models, ISBN 978-0-662-47523-1, www.ieaannex54.org/annex42/pdfs/Annex_42_ST_B_Final_report_on_experiments _and_model_calibration.pdf (2013)
- [3-11] WhisperGen: www.whispergen-europe.com (retrieved on 24/10/2013)
- [3-12] SenerTec: www.senertec.de/index.php?id=3&L=1 (retrieved on 24/10/2013)
- [3-13] AISIN SEIKI TECNOCASA CLIMATIZZAZIONE: www.tecno-casa.com/EN/Default.aspx?level0= prodotti&level1=mchp (retrieved on 24/10/2013)
- [3-14] Rosato A., Sibilio S.: Calibration and validation of a model for simulating thermal and electric performance of an internal combustion engine-based micro-cogeneration device. Applied Thermal Engineering 45–46 (2012) pp. 79–98
- [3-15] GenOpt Generic Optimization Program simulationresearch.lbl.gov/GO (retrieved on 24/10/2013)
- [3-16] Darcovich K., et al.: Current Updates on the Development and Implementation of Micro-Cogeneration System Models for Building Simulation Programs. A report of Subtask A of IEA7EBC Annex 54 "Integration of Micro-Generation and Related Energy Technologies in Buildings" (2014)
- [3-17] Angrisani G.: Experimental and simulative analysis of a microtrigeneration system based on an air handling unit with desiccant wheel, Ph.D. thesis, University of Naples (2012) www.fedoa.unina.it/8527/1/angrisani_giovanni_24.pdf




- [3-18] Gonçalves P., Angrisani G., Roselli C., Gaspar A.R., Gameiro da Silva M.: Comparative energy and exergy performance assessments of a microcogenerator unit in different electricity mix scenarios. Energy Conversion and Management 73 (2013) pp. 195–206
- [3-19] Gonçalves P., Angrisani G., Roselli C., Gaspar A.R., Silva M.G. Energy and exergy-based modeling and evaluation of a micro-combined heat and power unit for residential applications, MicrogenIII: Proceedings of The 3rd edition of the International Conference on Microgeneration and Related Technologies, Naples, Italy, April 15–17 (2013) ISBN 9788890848902
- [3-20] Angrisani G., Canelli M., Rosato A., Roselli C., Sasso M., Sibilio S.: Optimal thermo-economic control of a micro-cogeneration system in thermal load sharing approach, Microgen III Conference, Proceedings of The 3rd edition of the International Conference on Microgeneration and Related Technologies Naples, Italy, April 15-17 (2013), ISBN: 9788890848902
- [3-21] Angrisani G., Roselli C., Sasso M.: Experimental validation of constant efficiency models for the subsystems of an unconventional desiccant-based Air Handling Unit and investigation of its performance. Applied Thermal Engineering 33–34 (2012) pp. 100–108
- [3-22] Caresana F., Brandoni C., Feliciotti P., Bartolini C.M.: Energy and economic analysis of an ICE-based variable speed-operated micro-cogenerator. Applied Energy 88 (2013) pp. 659–671.
 ISSN: 0306-2619, doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2010.08.016
- [3-23] Steck M.: Development and Assessment of Dispatching Algorithms of Virtual Power Plants. Dissertation Technische Universität München, mediatum.ub.tum.de/doc/1115636 (2013)
- [3-24] Roselli C., Sasso M., Sibilio S., Tzscheutschler P.: Experimental analysis of microcogenerators based on different prime movers. Energy Build 43 (2011) 4 pp. 796–804
- [3-25] Mehleri E.D., Sarimveis H., Papageorgiou L.G., Markatos N.C.: Model Predictive Control of distributed energy resources. 20th Mediterranean Conference on Control & Automation (MED) (2012) pp. 672–678.
- [4-1] Dorer V., Weber A.: Methodologies for the Performance Assessment of Residential Cogeneration Systems, A Report of Subtask C of FC+COGEN-SIM: The Simulation of Building-Integrated Fuel Cell and Other Cogeneration Systems, Annex 42 of the International Energy Agency Energy Conservation in Buildings and Community Systems Programme (2007)
- [4-2] Angrisani G., Roselli C., Sasso M.: Distributed microtrigeneration systems. Progress in Energy and Combustion Science 38 (2012) pp. 502–521
- [4-3] Roselli C., Sasso M., Sibilio S., Tzscheutschler P.: Experimental analysis of microcogenerators based on different prime movers. Energy and Buildings 43 (2011) pp. 796–804
- [4-4] Feng X., Cai Y.N., Qian L.L.: A new performance criterion for cogeneration system. Energy Convers. Management 39 (1998) pp. 1607–1609





FBC

- [4-5] Directive 2004/8/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of the 11 February 2004 on the promotion of cogeneration based on the useful heat demand in the internal energy market and amending Directive 92/42/EEC. Official Journal of the European Union (2004)
- [4-6] PAS 67, BSI British Standard, Energy Saving Trust, Laboratory tests to determine the heating and electrical performance of heat-led micro-cogeneration packages primarily intended for heating dwellings (2013)
- [4-7] Draft of a proposed UNI standard: microcogeneration devices fuelled by gaseous or liquid fuels – Ex-ante measurement of energy performance; Comitato Termotecnico Italiano, prUNI E0204A073 Cogenerazione - Impianti di piccola cogenerazione alimentati a combustibili liquidi e gassosi - Parte 3: Misurazione ex-ante delle prestazioni energetiche. Italy (2009)
- [4-8] UNI/TS 11300-4: Energy performance of buildings Part 4: Renewable energy and other generation systems for space heating and domestic hot water production. Italy (2102)
- [4-9] prEN 50465: Gas appliances Combined heat and power appliance of nominal heat input inferior or equal to 70 kW; European Standard, (2011)
- [4-10] DIN 4709: Determination of the Standard Efficiency Factor for Micro-CHP-Appliances of Nominal Heat Input not Exceeding 70 kW. German standard (2011-11)
- [4-11] Thomas B.: Results from tests according to the standard DIN 4709 for evaluation of Micro-CHP units, Microgen III: Proceedings of The 3rd edition of the International Conference on Microgeneration and Related Technologies, Naples, Italy, April 15–17 (2013) ISBN 9788890848902
- [4-12] Angrisani G., Marrasso E., Roselli C., Sasso M.: A review on microcogeneration national testing procedures, 68th Conference of the Italian Thermal Machines Engineering Association, ATI 2013, Bologna, Italy, September 11–13 (2013)
- [4-13] Shimoda Y., Aoki T.: Performance assessment of residential cogeneration systems in Japan, A Report of Subtask B of Integration of Micro-Generation and Related Energy Technologies in Buildings, Annex 54 of the International Energy Agency, Energy Conservation in Buildings and Community Systems Programme (2013)
- [4-14] Rosato A., Sibilio S.: Performance Assessment of a Residential Building-Integrated Micro-Cogeneration System in Italy, A Report of Subtask B of Integration of Micro-Generation and Related Energy Technologies in Buildings, Annex 54 of the International Energy Agency, Energy Conservation in Buildings and Community Systems Programme (2013)
- [4-15] Ribberink H., Lombardi K., Yang L., Entchev E.: Investigation of a Hybrid Renewable –
 Microgeneration Energy System for Power and Thermal Generation with Reduced Emissions,
 A Report of Subtask B of Integration of Micro-Generation and Related Energy Technologies in
 Buildings, Annex 54 of the International Energy Agency, Energy Conservation in Buildings and
 Community Systems Programme (2013)





- [4-16] Tzscheutschler P.: Potential of micro-CHP in small business sector in Germany, Proceedings of Microgen III, the 3rd edition of the International Conference on Microgeneration and Related Technologies, Naples, Italy, April 15-17 (2013), ISBN: 9788890848902
- [4-17] Lee E.J., Kang E.C., Cho S., Entchev E., Yang L., Ghorab M.: Performance Assessment and Integral Effect Test of Fuel Cell – Ground Source Heat Pump and Photovoltaic Thermal – Ground Source Heat Pump, A Report of Subtask B of Integration of Micro-Generation and Related Energy Technologies in Buildings, Annex 54 of the International Energy Agency, Energy Conservation in Buildings and Community Systems Programme (2013)
- [4-18] Brandoni C., Renzi M., Mastrucci A., Caresana F., Polonara F.: Performance assessment of hybrid micro-CCHP systems in central Italy, A Report of Subtask B of Integration of Micro-Generation and Related Energy Technologies in Buildings, Annex 54 of the International Energy Agency, Energy Conservation in Buildings and Community Systems Programme (2013)
- [4-19] Energy Plus. United States Department of Energy, Building Technologies Office; apps1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/energyplus (derived 2014)
- [4-20] Angrisani G., Roselli C., Sasso M., Tariello F.: Simulation of a micro-trigeneration system with a desiccant-based air handling unit in Southern Italy climatic conditions, A Report of Subtask B of Integration of Micro-Generation and Related Energy Technologies in Buildings, Annex 54 of the International Energy Agency, Energy Conservation in Buildings and Community Systems Programme (2013)
- [4-21] Kelly N.J., Hawkes A.D.: Load management of heat pumps using phase change heat storage, Proceedings of Microgen III, the 3rd edition of the International Conference on Microgeneration and Related Technologies, Naples, Italy, April 15–17 (2013), ISBN: 9788890848902
- [5-1] Hawkes A.D. et al.: A comparative review of microgeneration policy instruments in OECD countries. A Report of Subtask C of "Integration of Micro-Generation and Related Energy Technologies in Buildings" Annex 54 of the International Energy Agency Energy in Buildings and Communities Programme (2013)
- [5-2] Hawkes A.D.: Comparative review of policy support mechanism for microgeneration.
 Proceedings of the 3rd edition of the International Conference on Microgeneration and Related Technologies, 15–17 April (2013), Naples, Italy, ISBN 9788890848902
- [5-3] OFGEM: Feed-in Tariff Installation Report. 30 September 2013. Office of Gas and Electricity Markets. www.ofgem.gov.uk. (2013), London, UK
- [5-4] Staffell I., Green R.: The cost of domestic fuel cell micro-CHP systems. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy (2012)
- [5-5] Staffell I., Green R.J.: Estimating future prices for stationary fuel cells with empirically derived experience curves. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 34 (2009) pp. 5617–5628





- [5-6] European Commission: Directive 2010/31/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 May 2010 on the energy performance of buildings (recast). Official Journal of the European Union (2009)
- [5-7] Hawkes A.D. *et al.*: Impact of Support Mechanisms on Microgeneration Performance in OECD Countries. A Report of Subtask C of "Integration of Micro-Generation and Related Energy Technologies in Buildings" Annex 54 of the International Energy Agency Energy in Buildings and Communities Programme (2013)





Image Sources

Figure 2-1, 2-2	University of Sannio, Benevento, Italy
Figure 2-3, 2-4	SenerTec Kraft-Wärme-Energiesysteme GmbH, Schweinfurt, Germany
	(www.senertec.de)
Figure 2-5	Remeha GmbH, Emsdetten, Germany (www.remeha.de)
Figure 2-6	Tokyo Gas, Japan
Figure 2-7	Schletter GmbH, Haag, Germany (www.schletter.de)
Figure 2-8	University of Tokyo, Japan
Figure 2-9	Bundesverand Wärmepumpe (BWP) e.V, Berlin, Germany
	(www.waermepumpe.de)
Figure 2-10	National Research Council Canada, Ottawa, Canada
Figure 2-11, 2-12	University of Trieste, Italy
Figure 2-13a, 2-14	University of Sannio, Benevento, Italy
Figure 2-13b	SorTech AG, Halle, Germany (www.sortech.de)



Filed: 2018-04-16 EB-2017-0255 Exhibit JT1.31 Attachment A Page 97 of 99

EBC

Background Information

International Energy Agency

The International Energy Agency (IEA) was established in 1974 within the framework of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) in order to implement an international energy programme. A basic aim of the IEA is to foster international co-operation among the 28 IEA-participating countries, as well as to increase energy security through energy research, development, and demonstration in the fields of technologies for energy efficiency and renewable energy sources.

The IEA Energy in Buildings and Communities Programme

The IEA co-ordinates research and development in a number of areas related to energy. The mission of the Energy in Buildings and Communities (EBC) Programme is to develop and facilitate the integration of technologies and processes for energy efficiency and conservation into healthy, low emission, and sustainable buildings and communities, achieving this through innovation and research. (Until March 2013, the IEA-EBC Programme was known as the Energy in Buildings and Community Systems Programme, ECBCS.)

The research and development strategies of the IEA-EBC Programme are derived from research drivers, national programmes within IEA countries, and the IEA Future Buildings Forum Think Tank Workshops. The research and development (R&D) strategies of IEA-EBC aim to exploit technological opportunities to save energy in the buildings sector, and to remove technical obstacles to market penetration of new energy-efficient technologies. The R&D strategies apply to residential, commercial, office buildings, and community systems, and will impact the building industry in five focus areas for R&D activities:

- Integrated planning and building design
- Building energy systems
- Building envelope
- Community scale methods
- Real building energy use

The Executive Committee

Overall control of the IEA-EBC Programme is maintained by an Executive Committee, which not only monitors existing projects but also identifies new strategic areas in which collaborative efforts may be beneficial. As the programme is based on a contract with the IEA, the projects are legally established as Annexes to the IEA-EBC Implementing Agreement. At the present time, the following projects have been initiated by the IEA-EBC Executive Committee, with completed projects identified by (*):





- Annex 1: Load Energy Determination of Buildings (*)
- Annex 2: Ekistics and Advanced Community Energy Systems (*)
- Annex 3: Energy Conservation in Residential Buildings (*)
- Annex 4: Glasgow Commercial Building Monitoring (*)
- Annex 5: Air Infiltration and Ventilation Centre
- Annex 6: Energy Systems and Design of Communities (*)
- Annex 7: Local Government Energy Planning (*)
- Annex 8: Inhabitants Behaviour with Regard to Ventilation (*)
- Annex 9: Minimum Ventilation Rates (*)
- Annex 10: Building HVAC System Simulation (*)
- Annex 11: Energy Auditing (*)
- Annex 12: Windows and Fenestration (*)
- Annex 13: Energy Management in Hospitals (*)
- Annex 14: Condensation and Energy (*)
- Annex 15: Energy Efficiency in Schools (*)
- Annex 16: BEMS 1- User Interfaces and System Integration (*)
- Annex 17: BEMS 2- Evaluation and Emulation Techniques (*)
- Annex 18: Demand Controlled Ventilation Systems (*)
- Annex 19: Low Slope Roof Systems (*)
- Annex 20: Air Flow Patterns within Buildings (*)
- Annex 21: Thermal Modelling (*)
- Annex 22: Energy Efficient Communities (*)
- Annex 23: Multi Zone Air Flow Modelling (COMIS) (*)
- Annex 24: Heat, Air and Moisture Transfer in Envelopes (*)
- Annex 25: Real time HVAC Simulation (*)
- Annex 26: Energy Efficient Ventilation of Large Enclosures (*)
- Annex 27: Evaluation and Demonstration of Domestic Ventilation Systems (*)
- Annex 28: Low Energy Cooling Systems (*)
- Annex 29: Daylight in Buildings (*)
- Annex 30: Bringing Simulation to Application (*)
- Annex 31: Energy-Related Environmental Impact of Buildings (*)
- Annex 32: Integral Building Envelope Performance Assessment (*)
- Annex 33: Advanced Local Energy Planning (*)
- Annex 34: Computer-Aided Evaluation of HVAC System Performance (*)
- Annex 35: Design of Energy Efficient Hybrid Ventilation (HYBVENT) (*)
- Annex 36: Retrofitting of Educational Buildings (*)
- Annex 37: Low Exergy Systems for Heating and Cooling of Buildings (LowEx) (*)
- Annex 38: Solar Sustainable Housing (*)
- Annex 39: High Performance Insulation Systems (*)
- Annex 40: Building Commissioning to Improve Energy Performance (*)
- Annex 41: Whole Building Heat, Air and Moisture Response (MOIST-ENG) (*)
- Annex 42: The Simulation of Building-Integrated Fuel Cell and Other Cogeneration Systems (FC+COGEN-SIM) (*)





- Annex 43: Testing and Validation of Building Energy Simulation Tools (*)
- Annex 44: Integrating Environmentally Responsive Elements in Buildings (*)
- Annex 45: Energy Efficient Electric Lighting for Buildings (*)
- Annex 46: Holistic Assessment Tool-kit on Energy Efficient Retrofit Measures for Government Buildings (EnERGo) (*)
- Annex 47: Cost-Effective Commissioning for Existing and Low Energy Buildings (*)
- Annex 48: Heat Pumping and Reversible Air Conditioning (*)
- Annex 49: Low Exergy Systems for High Performance Buildings and Communities (*)
- Annex 50: Prefabricated Systems for Low Energy Renovation of Residential Buildings (*)
- Annex 51: Energy Efficient Communities (*)
- Annex 52: Towards Net Zero Energy Solar Buildings (*)
- Annex 53: Total Energy Use in Buildings: Analysis & Evaluation Methods (*)
- Annex 54: Integration of Micro-Generation & Related Energy Technologies in Buildings
- Annex 55: Reliability of Energy Efficient Building Retrofitting Probability Assessment of Performance & Cost (RAP-RETRO)
- Annex 56: Cost Effective Energy & CO2 Emissions Optimization in Building Renovation
- Annex 57: Evaluation of Embodied Energy & CO2 Emissions for Building Construction
- Annex 58: Reliable Building Energy Performance Characterisation Based on Full Scale Dynamic Measurements
- Annex 59: High Temperature Cooling & Low Temperature Heating in Buildings
- Annex 60: New Generation Computational Tools for Building & Community Energy Systems Based on the Modelica & Functional Mockup Unit Standards
- Annex 61: Development & Demonstration of Financial & Technical Concepts for Deep Energy Retrofits of Government / Public Buildings & Building Clusters
- Annex 62: Ventilative Cooling
- Annex 63: Implementation of Energy Strategies in Communities
- Annex 64: LowEx Communities Optimised Performance of Energy Supply Systems with Exergy Principles
- Annex 65: Long-Term Performance of Super-Insulation in Building Components and Systems
- Annex 66: Definition and Simulation of Occupant Behaviour in Buildings

Working Group - Energy Efficiency in Educational Buildings (*)

- Working Group Indicators of Energy Efficiency in Cold Climate Buildings (*)
- Working Group Annex 36 Extension: The Energy Concept Adviser (*)

(*) – Completed

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Mr. Trofim-Breuer <u>To Dr. Higgin</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.145

TO CONFIRM THE POSITION THAT UNION AND/OR A MICRO-GENERATOR RETAINS THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RELATED TO THOSE DEVELOPMENTS AND WILL NOT MAKE THAT AVAILABLE TO OTHERS.

Response:

Union confirms that, as part of its R&D Technology Development Programs, any intellectual property owned by Union or by a trade partner before an agreement is executed, will remain the property of Union or the trade partner, as appropriate following execution of an agreement. The ownership of intellectual property arising from any R&D contract would be governed by the terms of that contract and in cases where Union is not the owner, Union would seek to become a perpetual, royalty-free licensee in order to use the results and reports from any R&D contract for its technology transfer and marketing requirements.

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Mr. Trofim-Breuer <u>To Ms. Girvan</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.153

TO ADVISE WHAT HAS BEEN SPENT TO DATE FOR EACH OF THE CATEGORIES IN THE BREAKDOWN OF PROPOSED LCIF FUNDING FOR 2018 PROVIDED WITH BOARD STAFF 21.

Response:

Please see the response to Exhibit JT1.17 for spend to date, task, work plan deliverables and schedules for the initiatives identified in Stages 1 and 2 of the Initiative Funnel.

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Mr. Dantzer <u>To Dr. Higgin</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.165

TO FILE THE CONCENTRIC ENERGY ADVISORS DOCUMENT REFERRED TO IN IR BOARD STAFF 21 F.

Response:

The link to the Concentric Energy Advisors document referred to in Exhibit B.Staff.21 f) was referenced in footnotes 2 and 3 of that response.

The link has been reproduced below: <u>http://44f0gi3luy7z39sz523bbcjn.wpengine.netdna-cdn.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/CGA_CEA-Report.pdf</u>

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Ms. Flaman <u>To Mr. Brett</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.182

SUBJECT TO CONFIDENTIALITY, TO FILE A COPY OF THE ATTRIBUTION AGREEMENT BETWEEN UNION AND THE GOVERNMENT.

Response:

Before filing this agreement, Union would need permission from the counterparty, the Ministry of Energy ("MOE"). Union has requested this permission. If permission is granted, Union will update its response to this Undertaking to provide a copy of the requested agreement as Exhibit JT1.35, Attachment A.

An unredacted copy of the requested agreement will be provided to the Board in confidence under separate cover due to commercial sensitivity in accordance with the Board's Practice Guidelines on Confidential Filings and Rule 10 of the Board's Rules of Practice and Procedures.

UNION GAS LIMITED

Undertaking of Mr. Ginis <u>To Mr. Brett</u>

Reference: Tr.1, p.184

TO PROVIDE THE FORECAST OF THE NUMBER OF HOMES THAT WILL TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THE ADVANCED RETROFIT IN 2018.

Response:

The total number of homes that are forecast to participate in Union's Home Reno Rebate offering as a result of funding from the Green Investment Fund are 4,000 in 2017 and 6,500 in 2018.

Please also see Union's response at Exhibit B.LPMA.25.