

August 17, 2018

Kirsten Walli Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board P.O. Box 2319, 27th Floor 2300 Yonge Street Toronto, ON, M4P 1E4

Attention: Ms. Walli

Re: PUC Distribution Inc. ("PUC Distribution") Ontario Energy Board File Number EB-2017-0071 Corrected Interrogatory Response

PUC Distribution's writing to notify the board of a correction to its IRRs submitted August 9, 2018 and related models. The correction arises due to an error in the forecast for third party Wireline Pole Attachment Charge (Account 5096) of \$87,800 as a result of OEB Report EB-2015-0304. During the IRR process, PUC made a revision to update the 2018 Test Year Other Operating Revenue (Account 4210) to include increased revenue PUC will receive from third parties with attachments on PUC owned poles due to the OEB's increase in the pole attachment charge. This is noted on the "Tracking sheet" tab of the submitted RRWF. This correction is intended to reflect the exact same revision in the change to the OEB's pole attachment charge on the expense side, by including the expense of \$87,000 to reflect the increased Wireline Pole Attachment Charges PUC Distribution will pay to third parties.

	Current Charge	Proposed Charge	Difference
Preliminary Rate	\$22.35	\$43.63	
Gross Up Factor for LDC on 3 rd Party Poles as per Bell Aliant Joint Use Agreement with PUC Distribution	1.2255	1.2255	
Final Rate	\$27.39	\$53.47	
# Poles	3,365	3,365	
PUC Distribution Annual Expense (rounded) in Account 5096	\$92,150	\$179,950	\$87,800

The calculation of the increase of \$87,800 added to Operations Expense is shown in the chart below:



Details of the corrections are provided below:

IRR/Model	Original (Filed Aug 9, 2018)	Correction
1-Staff-2	Attached an updated RRWF	Attached an updated RRWF
	with IRR adjustments made	including adjustments from
	from the original application.	the IRR's and the correction
		discussed above.
1-Staff-3	Attached an updated Tariff	Attached an updated Tariff
	Schedule and Bill Impact	Schedule and Bill Impact
	Model with IRR adjustments	Model including adjustments
	made from the original	from the IRR's and the
	application.	correction discussed above.
1-SEC-22	Cost per Customer $=$ \$684	Cost per Customer $=$ \$687
	CAGR = 1.79%	CAGR = 1.86%
1-SEC-23	Detailed calculation of \$660	Detailed calculation of the
	2018 Cost per Customer	adjusted \$662 2018 Cost per
	Target	Customer Target
1-SEC-32	Chart 1 – Overhead Lines in	Revised Chart 1 – Overhead
	column Appendix 2-JC has	Lines in column Appendix 2-
	an amount of \$970,784	JC has an amount of
		\$1,058,584
1-CCC-13	Total Cost per Customer:	Total Cost per Customer:
	\$660	\$662
	Total Cost per Km of Line:	Total Cost per Km of Line:
	\$29,904	\$30,014
2-Staff-22	Table Appendix 2-AB - 2018	Table Appendix 2-AB - 2018
	System $O\&M = $6,213,000$	System O&M = \$6,300,000
	(rounded)	(rounded)
4-Staff-50 a)	Chapter 2 Appendices 2-JA,	Updated Chapter 2
	2-JB, 2-JC were filed using	Appendices 2-JA, 2-JB, 2-JC
	2017 actuals.	were filed using 2017 actuals
		and the correction discussed
		above.
4-VECC-32	Updated requested tables	Updated Chapter 2
	(Chapter 2 Appendix 2-JA, 2-	Appendices 2-JA, 2-L and 2-



PUC Distribution Inc. 500 Second Line EAST, P.O. Box 9000 SAULT STE. MARIE, ONTARIO, P6A 4K1

	L and 2-JC) to include 2017 actual results.	JC were filed using 2017 actuals and the correction
		discussed above.
8-Staff-77	GS<50 Fixed Charge of \$114.46 – Variance Charge	GS<50 Fixed Charge of \$114.46 – Variance Charge
	will be \$6.7548 per kW.	will be \$6.7887 per kW.
	USL Fixed Charge of \$12.69	USL Fixed Charge of \$12.69
	– Variable Charge will be	– Variable Charge will be
	\$0.0385 per kWh.	\$0.0387 per kWh.
8-Staff-79 c)	Total bill impact for a	Revised Total bill impact for
	residential customer on RPP	a residential customer on RPP
	using 750 kWh/month is	using 750 kWh/month is
	\$3.10.	\$3.05.
Cost Allocation Model	Revenue to Expense Status	Revenue to Expense Status
	Quo Ratio %:	Quo Ratio %:
	Res – 90.08%	Res – 90.06%
	GS<50 – 116.49%	GS<50 – 116.52%
	GS>50-112.08%	GS>50 – 112.14%
	Street Lights – 274.41%	Street Lights – 274.27%
	Sentinel – 95.64%	Sentinel – 95.58%
	USL - 106.24%	USL – 106.20%
PILs Work form	Tab A. Data Input Sheet -	Tab A. Data Input Sheet -
	Test Year Rate Base -	Test Year Rate Base -
	\$99,899,321	\$99,905,906
	Return on Rate Base -	Return on Rate Base -
	\$5,992,760	\$5,993,155
RRWF	Tab 3. Data Input Sheet:	Tab 3. Data Input Sheet:
	-Controllable Exps -	-Controllable Exps -
	\$11,955,833	\$12,043,633
	-Distribution Revenue at	-Distribution Revenue at
	Proposed Rates - \$19,265,636	Proposed Rates - \$19,353,916
	-OM&A Expenses -	-OM&A Expenses -
	\$11,886,833	\$11,974,633
	-Income Taxes Grossed Up - \$333,200	-Income Taxes Grossed Up - \$333,286
	Tab 4. Rate Base:	Tab 4. Rate Base:
	-Total Rate Base -	-Total Rate Base -
	\$99,899,320	\$99,905,905
	-Working Capital Allowance	-Working Capital Allowance
	- \$6,728,169	- \$6,734,754
	Tab 8. Rev Def Suff:	Tab 8. Rev Def Suff:
	-Grossed up Revenue	-Grossed up Revenue
	Def/Suff - \$3,422,786	Def/Suff - \$3,511,067



PUC Distribution Inc. 500 Second Line EAST, P.O. Box 9000 SAULT STE. MARIE, ONTARIO, P6A 4K1

	Tab 9. Rev Req't:	Tab 9. Rev Req't:
	-Service Revenue Req't -	-Service Revenue Req't -
	\$22,065,750	\$22,154,030
	-Base Revenue Req't -	-Base Revenue Req't -
	\$19,265,636	\$19,353,916
Tariff Schedule and Bill	Tab 5. 2-W Bill Impacts	Tab 5. 2-W Bill Impacts
Impact Model	- RTSR – Network Charge -	- RTSR – Network Charge -
	Res 750kWh - \$0.0062	Res 750kWh - \$0.0060
	GS<50 2000kWh - \$0.0058	GS<50 2000kWh - \$0.0056
	GS>50 145kW – \$2.3597	GS>50 145kW – \$2.2694
	USL 3600kWh - \$0.0058	USL 3600kWh - \$0.0056
	Sentinel - \$1.7887	Sentinel - \$1.7202
	SL 585kW - \$1.7796	SL 585kW - \$1.7116
	- \$ Change Impact –	- \$ Change Impact –
	Res 750kWh - \$3.10	Res 750kWh - \$3.05
	GS<50 2000kWh - \$4.60	GS<50 2000kWh - \$4.47
	GS>50 145kW – (\$55.74)	GS>50 145kW – (\$64.98)
	USL 3600kWh - \$8.32	USL 3600kWh - \$8.36
	Sentinel - \$4.44	Sentinel - \$4.56
	SL 585kW - (\$16,063.96)	SL 585kW - (\$15,982.82)
Chapter 2 Appendices	Tab App.2-AB Cap Exp	Tab App.2-AB Cap Exp
empter 2 rependices	– 2018 System O&M -	– 2018 System O&M -
	\$6,213,000 (rounded)	\$6,300,000 (rounded)
	Tab App.2-JA OM&A	Tab App.2-JA OM&A
	Summary Analys	Summary Analys
	– Operations in 2018 Test	– Operations in 2018 Test
	Year - \$4,026,057	Year - \$4,113,857
	Tab App.2-JB OM&A Cost	Tab App.2-JB OM&A Cost
	Drivers	Drivers
	– 2018 Test Year Outside	– 2018 Test Year Outside
	Services - \$9,834	Services - \$97,784
	Tab App.2-JC OMA	Tab App.2-JC OMA
	Programs	Programs
	– 2018 Test Year –operations	– 2018 Test Year –operations
	Overhead Lines - \$970,784	Overhead Lines - \$1,058,584
	Tab App.2-L OM&A per Cust FTE	Tab App.2-L OM&A per Cust FTE
	- 2018 Test Year O&M -	- 2018 Test Year O&M -
	\$6,212,629	\$6,300,429
	- Total OM&A per customer -	- Total OM&A per customer -
	\$355.79	\$358.40
	- Total OM&A per FTE –	- Total OM&A per FTE –
	\$142,066.67	\$143,109.96



PUC Distribution Inc. 500 Second Line EAST, P.O. Box 9000 SAULT STE. MARIE, ONTARIO, P6A 4K1

Tab App.2-OA Capital	Tab App.2-OA Capital
Structure	Structure
-Rate Base - \$99,603,703	-Rate Base - \$99,905,905
-Return on Rate Base -	-Return on Rate Base -
\$5,975,027	\$5,993,155

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

anchew Belsite

Andrew Belsito, CPA, CMA Rates and Regulatory Affairs Officer PUC Distribution Inc. Sault Ste. Marie Ont. Email: <u>Andrew.Belsito@ssmpuc.com</u> Phone: 705-759-3009

1 1-Staff-2

- 2 <u>Reference:</u> Updated RRWF
- 3 <u>Question:</u>

Upon completing all interrogatories from OEB staff and intervenors, please provide an updated 4 5 RRWF (version 7.02, issued July 14, 2017) in working Microsoft Excel format with any corrections or adjustments that the Applicant wishes to make to the amounts in the populated 6 7 version of the RRWF filed in the initial applications. In completing the updated RRWF, please 8 ensure that sheet 1 is completed. Entries for changes and adjustments should be included in the 9 middle column on sheet 3 Data_Input_Sheet. Sheets 10 (Load Forecast), 11 (Cost Allocation), 12 10 (Residential Rate Design) and 13 (Rate Design) should be updated, as necessary. Please include 11 documentation of the corrections and adjustments, such as a reference to an interrogatory response 12 or an explanatory note. Such notes should be documented on Sheet 14 Tracking Sheet, and mayalso 13 be included on other sheets in the RRWF to assist understanding of changes.

14 <u>Response:</u>

- 15 Please see attached in Microsoft Excel format the updated
- 16 RRFW with corrections and adjustments PUC Distribution
- 17 wishes to make to the amounts in the populated version of
- 18 the RRFW filed in the initial application.

Updated RRWF

The RRWF is being resubmitted with the revision made to increase Operations expenses by \$87,800 to account for the increase in Wireline Pole Attachment Charges charged to PUC by third parties.

1 1-Staff-3

- 2 <u>Reference:</u> Updated Bill Impacts
- 3 <u>Question:</u>
- 4 Upon completing all interrogatories from OEB staff and intervenors, please provide an updated
- 5 Tariff Schedule and Bill Impact model for all classes at the typical consumption / demand
- 6 levels (e.g. 750 kWh for residential, 2,000 kWh for GS<50, etc.).
- 7
- 8 <u>Response:</u>
- 9 Please see attached in Microsoft Excel format, the updated
- 10 Tariff Schedule and Bill Impact Model for all classes at the
- 11 typical consumption/demand levels.

Updated Bill Impacts

ł

The Tariff Schedule and Bill Impact Model is being resubmitted with the revision made to address the bill impacts increasing the Operations expenses bv \$87,800 to account for the increase in Wireline Pole Attachment Charges charged to PUC by third parties. This model will also consider the revised RTSR Model filed the with original interrogatories which was not integrated into the model.

1 1-CCC-13

- 2 <u>Reference</u>: Exhibit 1/Appendix 4
- 3 <u>Question:</u>
- 4 Please provide the 2017 Scorecard results. What are the 2018 Scorecard targets?
- 5 <u>Response:</u>
- 6 Please refer to Attachment 1-Staff-5 2017 PUC Scorecard 1st Draft for the 2017 Scorecard.
- 7 PUC Distribution's targets for the following 2018 measures are:
- 8 New Residential/Small Business Connected on Time: 90%
- 9 Scheduled Appointments Met on Time: 90%
- 10 Telephone Calls Answered on Time: 75%
- 11 First Contact Resolution: 99%
- 12 Billing Accuracy: 98%
- Customer Satisfaction Survey (2019 target): A- or 85%
- Component A Public Safety Awareness: improve each year the
 survey is undertaken
- Component B Regulatory Compliance with Ontario Reg. 22/04: 0
 safety compliance issues
- Component C Serious Electrical Incident Index: 0 serious electrical
 incidents
- SAIDI and SAIFI: SAIDI Lower than 1.42. SAIFI Lower than
 1.52.
- Efficiency Assessment: to improve efficiency performance in order
 to be rated as a Group 3 utility from the PEG calculation.
- Total Cost per Customer: \$660 excluding non-operating costs
- Total Cost per Km of Line: \$29,904 excluding non-operating costs
- Net Cumulative Energy Savings (Percent of Target Achieved): 4,651.8 MWhs which is
 approximately 73% of the Net Cumulative Energy Savings Target
- Renewable Generation Connection Impact Assessments Completed on Time: to complete
 all assessments within the prescribed timelines
- New Micro Embedded Generation Facilities Connected on Time: to connect micro embedded generation facilities within 5 business days of all service connection requirements
 being met
- Liquidity Current Ratio: Maintain the current ratio above 1
- Leverage Total Debt to Equity Ratio: reduce debt to equity to 67%/33%
- Profitability Regulatory Return on Equity Achieved: to have a regulated rate of return
 equal to the deemed ROE.

Total Cost per Customer 1 and Total Cost per Km of Line

The 2018 target of Total Cost per Customer has increased from \$660 to \$662 excluding non-operating costs. The Total Cost per Km of Line has increased from \$29,904 to \$30,014 excluding non-operating costs.

1 **1-SEC-22**

- 2 <u>Reference:</u> Exhibit 1, p. 77
- 3

4 <u>Question:</u>

5

6 Please calculate the 2018 cost per customer on the same basis as the figures of \$615 and \$695, and
7 calculate the compound annual growth rate from 2012 to 2018.

8

9 <u>Response:</u>

10

11 Please see chart below for the 2018 Cost per Customer on

12 the same basis as the figures of \$615 (2012) and \$695

13 (2016). The compound annual growth rate from 2012 to

- 14 2018 is 1.79%.
- 15

16

25

	2018
Actual Total Cost (a)	\$23,065,184
Predicted Total Cost (b)	\$21,212,923
Difference	\$1,852,261
Number of Customers (c)	33,703
Cost per Customer = (a)/(c)	\$684

Cost per Customer and H CAGR

The Cost per Customer on the same basis as the figures of \$615 (2012) and \$695 (2016) has increased from \$684 to \$687. The CAGR has also increased from 1.79% to 1.86% all due to the increase in Operations Expense.

1 **1-SEC-23**

- 2 <u>Reference:</u> Exhibit 1, p. 78
- 3 <u>Question:</u>
- 4 Please provide a detailed calculation of the \$660 target, and the \$670 2016 adjusted actual,
- 5 including the specific adjustments proposed to remove certain costs from the calculation.
- 6 <u>Response:</u>
- 7 Please see the chart below with the detailed calculation of the
- 8 \$660 target and the \$670 2016 adjusted actual.

	2016	2018
Actual Total Cost (a)	\$23,266,331	\$23,065,184
Predicted Total Cost (b)	\$20,222,966	\$21,212,923
Difference	\$3,043,365	\$1,852,261
Number of Customers (c)	33,487	33,703
Change to OM&A Exp - Exluding Cost of		
Capital/Asset Charge	-\$523,233	-\$548,148
Property Taxes	-\$311,235	-\$298,477
Total Adjustments to OM&A (d)	-\$834,468	-\$846,625
Cost per Customer = (a)/(c)	\$695	\$684
Cost per Customer after removal of Cost of		
Capital/Asset Charge & Property Taxes =		
((a)+(d))/(c)	\$670	\$660

Cost	per	Customer	Η
Targets			

The 2018 target of \$660 per customer has been revised to \$662 per customer. The Actual Total Cost in the chart below is revised to \$23,146,860 and the Predicted Total Cost is revised to \$21,219,815. This increases the cost per customer in 2018 from \$684 to \$687.

9 10

1 1-SEC-32

- 2 <u>Reference:</u> Exhibit 1, App. 1
- 3 <u>Question:</u>

4 Please provide a detailed table showing, for each of the expense categories in which there is an 5 allocation to the Applicant on Appendix 2-JC (whether as a direct cost or a shared cost under 6 section 4.1 of the Amended Agreement), the total amount of the forecast expense related to that 7 category by PUC Services Inc. in the Test Year, the amount to be paid by the Applicant, the amount 8 to be paid by the Public Utilities Commission, the amount to be allocated to other customers of 9 PUC Services Inc., and the amount to be absorbed by PUC Services Inc. For each amount allocated 10 to the Applicant, please provide a detailed calculation showing the basis of the calculation. By way of example, and without limiting the generality of the foregoing, if an amount to be paid by 11 the Applicant is made up of some combination of operating costs, and use of capital assets, of PUC 12 13 Services Inc., please show the percentage of each operating cost and the reason for the percentage, 14 the book value of the capital assets and the full calculation of the annualized amount to be paid by 15 the Applicant in respect of each such capital asset. In calculating the amounts to be paid with respect to assets, please ensure that the cost of capital, if any, is completely broken down including 16 17 rates, ratios, calculation methods, and tax impacts (including the cost or benefit, if any, of any 18 timing differences, and how that is accounted for).

- 19 <u>Response:</u>
- 20 The table below sets out the cost categories of Appendix 2-JC with a detailed breakdown of the
- 21 forecast expenses for PUC Services in the test year with the allocation to PUC Distribution, the
- 22 Public Utilities Commission, and PUC Services. By default, costs absorbed by PUC Services are
- 23 in relation to the provision of services to its other customers.
- 24 The operating costs allocated to PUC Distribution are either direct allocation (i.e. 100% allocated)
- 25 or in accordance with the Corporate Cost Allocation percentages shown in Table 4-33 Shared
- 26 Services and Corporate Cost Allocation for 2018 Test Year in Exhibit 4, page 55 of 100. The
- 27 Allocation Methodology is further described in Exhibit 4, pages 56-57 of 100.
- 28 The Allocated Shared Asset Usage costs are for the use of PUC Services capital assets and cover
- 29 the cost of capital, including depreciation. Additional information on Shared Asset Usage charges
- 30 is found in RDI Consulting Inc.'s Full Absorption Cost Allocation Report filed in Exhibit 4, as
- 31 Appendix 9.
- 32
- 33
- 34

Overhead Lines

2 3

1

In the Chart below, the Operations Overhead Lines amount in Appendix 2-JC of \$970,784 has increased to \$1,058,584 to reflect the \$87,800 increase in Operations Expense due to the Wireline Pole Attachment Charge increase.

				Allocated	Operating Costs		PUC Distribution
	Appendix 2-JC	PUC Distribution (Direct)	PUC Services Shared Operating Costs	PUC Distribution Alloc %	PUC Distribution (Allocated OM&A)	Balance Allocated to Public Utilities Commission and PUC Services	(Allocated Shared Asset Usage Charges) *
Operations							
Overhead Lines	\$970,784	\$925,240					\$45,545
Underground Lines	\$204,473	\$194,651					\$9,821
Operations Supervisory	\$646,625	\$615,522					\$31,103
Load Dispatching	\$214,485	\$204,350					\$10,135
Stations	\$930,301	\$886,114					\$44,187
Transformers	\$9,257	\$8,812					\$445
Meters	\$584,371	\$556,213					\$28,158
Transmission	\$83,563	\$82,395					\$1,168
Miscellaneous Operating	\$382,197	\$364,736					\$17,462
Maintenance							
Overhead Lines	\$1,367,903	\$1,304,742					\$63,161
Underground Lines	\$304,847	\$290,598					\$14,248
Stations	\$339,888	\$323,682					\$16,206
Transformers	\$121,563	\$116,066					\$5,497
Meters	\$52,372	\$49,844					\$2,528
Customer Service	1000 000	*****					*** ***
Bad Debt Expense	\$261,613	\$250,000	****			A 4 4 4 9 7 7	\$11,613
Customer Billing	\$962,453	\$396,219	\$934,720	56%	\$523,443	\$411,277	\$42,791
Customer Collections	\$351,309	\$68,317	\$411,167	74%/56%	\$267,118	\$144,049	\$15,874
Community Relations	\$618,800	\$94,264	\$887,101	56%	\$496,777	\$390,325	\$27,759
Administration							
Income Tax	\$45,000	\$45,000					\$0
Insurance	\$127,642	\$95,500	\$57,000	46.45%	\$26,476	\$30,524	\$5,666
LEAP	\$24,000	\$24,000					\$0
Audit, Legal & Consulting	\$209,185	\$6,000	\$469,108	41.31%	\$193,789	\$275,320	\$9,396
Regulatory Affairs	\$405,761	\$387,322					\$18,438
Building	\$741,040	\$800	\$1,522,862	46.45%	\$707,344	\$815,518	\$32,895
Administrative	\$1,996,402	\$402,479	\$3,627,917	41.31%	\$1,498,693	\$2,129,225	\$95,230
	\$11,955,833	\$7,692,866	\$7,909,877		\$3,713,640	\$4,196,237	\$549,328
							* See table below

4

				PUC Distribution		Balance Allocated
PUC Services Shared Assets	NDV	ROE	Annual	Operating Costs (2-JC Expenses)	Capital Costs	to Public Utilities Commission and PUC Services
	NBV	(7.98%)	Depreciation			
Vehicles	\$2,573,527	\$205,367	\$552,005	\$105,605	\$372,476	\$279, 292
Tools and Work Equipment	\$656,688	\$52,404	\$164,721	\$69,345	\$50,835	\$96,944
Communications Equipment	\$85,877	\$6,853	\$15,326	\$6,919	\$3,355	\$11,905
Office Equipment	\$392,782	\$31,344	\$66,353	\$30,146	\$23,662	\$43,889
Computer Hardware	\$741,676	\$59, 186	\$307,210	\$114,645	\$55,582	\$196, 168
Computer Software	\$861,861	\$68,777	\$268,539	\$105,546	\$51,171	\$180,599
Stores equipment	\$210, 115	\$16,767	\$45,401	\$19,452	\$9,431	\$33,285
Buildings	\$160,080	\$12,774	\$302,480	\$97,669	\$49,734	\$167,852
	\$5,682,605	\$453,472	\$1,722,035	\$549,328	\$616,245	\$1,009,934

5

4

1 **2-Staff-22**

- 2 <u>Reference:</u> Exhibit 2, pages 118, 194
- 3 <u>Preamble:</u>

Tables 7 and 26 provide comparisons of Budget to Actual spending for the 2012 – 2016 historical
period.

- 6 <u>Question:</u>
- 7 Please update both tables to show actual capital spending in the 2017 bridge year.
- 8 <u>Response:</u>
- 9 Please see updated tables showing actual 2017 capital spending:

		Та	able 7: Pro	gram Level	Variance -	Budget Vs	Actual Spending	g	
		2012			2013			2014	
	Budget	Actual	Variance	Budget	Actual	Variance	Budget	Actual	Variance
System Access	1,132,235	7,938,036	6,805,801	1,068,766	2,310,000	1,241,234	2,957,353	2,531,753	(425,600)
System Renewal	6,042,853	4,821,060	(1,221,793)	6,525,051	6,082,921	(442,130)	3,813,022	3,753,602	(59,420)
System Service	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
General Plant	17,802,500	23,269,373	5,466,873	1,313,518	2,028,344	714,826	175,445	375,693	200,248
Total Capital Expenditure	24,977,588	36,028,469	11,050,881	8,907,335	10,421,265	1,513,930	6,945,820	6,661,049	(284,771)
System O&M Expenditure	6,259,122	5,852,889	(406,233)	6,153,732	5,992,120	(161,612)	5,529,970	5,773,408	243,438
		2015		2016				2017	
	Budget	Actual	Variance	Budget	Actual	Variance	Budget	Actual	Variance
System Access	1,265,490	1,549,411	283,921	1,214,680	1,211,917	(2,763)	1,271,457	1,383,575	112,118
System Renewal	4,752,934	4,639,948	(112,986)	4,542,992	4,243,808	(299,184)	3,372,227	3,823,783	451,556
System Service	-	-	-	-	-	-	38,236	-	(38,236)
General Plant	68,653	66,532	(2,121)	-	82,630	82,630	-	8,109	8,109
Total Capital Expenditure	6,087,077	6,255,891	168,814	5,757,672	5,538,355	(219,317)	4,681,920	5,215,467	533,547
System O&M Expenditure	5,819,316	5,977,598	158,282	5,955,321	5,977,891	22,570	5,856,582	5,916,615	60,033

11

10

Appendix 2-AB
Table 26 - Capital Expenditure Summary from Chapter 5 Consolidated
Distribution System Plan Filing Requirements

First year of Forecast Period: 2018

								Historical	Period (pr	evious plan ¹ & a	ctual)							
CATECODY		2012		2013				2014			2015			2016		2017		
CATEGORY	Plan Actual Var		Plan	an Actual Var		Plan	Plan Actual		Plan	Plan Actual		Plan	Plan Actual ²		Plan	Actual ²	Var	
	\$ '0	000	%	\$ '(000	%	\$ '00	\$ '000		\$ '000		%	\$ '(000	%	\$	000	%
System Access	1,132	7,938	601.1%	1,069	2,310	116.1%	2,957	2,532	-14.4%	1,265	1,549	22.4%	1,215	1,212	-0.2%	1,271	1,384	8.8%
System Renewal	6,043	4,821	-20.2%	6,525	6,083	-6.8%	3,813	3,754	-1.6%	4,753	4,640	-2.4%	4,543	4,244	-6.6%	3,372	3,824	13.4%
System Service	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-	-	-	-		38	-	-100.0%
General Plant	17,803	23,269	30.7%	1,314	2,028	54.4%	175	376	114.1%	69	67	-3.1%	-	83		-	8	
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	24,978	36,028	44.2%	8,907	10,421	17.0%	6,946	6,661	-4.1%	6,087	6,256	2.8%	5,758	5,538	-3.8%	4,682	5,215	11.4%
System O&M	\$ 6,259	\$ 5,853	-6.5%	\$ 6,154	\$ 5,992	-2.6%	\$ 5,530	\$ 5,773	4.4%	\$ 5,819	\$ 5,978	2.7%	\$ 6,201	\$ 5,978	-3.6%	\$ 5,857	\$ 5,917	1.0%

	Forecast Period (planned)													
CATEGORY	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022									
			\$ '000											
System Access	1,511	1,615	2,086	1,604	1,560									
System Renewal	3,761	6,906	3,296	4,533	7,093									
System Service	-	-	-	-	-									
General Plant	86	55	62	60	55									
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	5,358	8,576	5,445	6,197	8,708									
System O&M	\$ 6,213	\$ 6,306	\$ 6,400	\$ 6,496	\$ 6,594									

2018 System O&M

On Appendix 2-AB Capital Expenditure Summary, the System O&M for 2018 has increases from (rounded in thousands) \$6,213 to \$6,300.

1

ł

ł

ł

1	Exhibit 4 – Operating Expenses
2	4-Staff-50
3	Reference: None
4	Questions:
5 6 7	 a) Please refile Appendices 2-JA, 2-JB, 2-JC, 2-K, and 2-L using 2017 actuals and compare to 2016. b) Please explain any variances between the 2017 actual and forecasted amounts.
8	Response:
9 10	a) Appendices 2-JA, 2-JB, 2-JC, 2-K and 2-L have been refiled using 2017 actual data and can be found in
11 12	Attachment XX- Chapter 2 Appendices.Chapter 2 Appendices 2-JA,b)2-JB, 2-JC and 2-L have
13 14 15 16 17	 <u>Chapter 2 Appendix 2-JA – OM&A Summary Analysis</u> An immaterial variance of \$53,524 (0.46%) in total OM&A between 2017 Actuals and the 2017 Bridge Year. been revised and can be found in the Live Excel Model filed with these corrections PUC_2018_Filing
18 19 20 21 22	 <u>Chapter 2 Appendix 2-JB – OM&A Cost Drivers</u> Salaries and Wages Variance – \$157,473 PUC allocated more salaries and wages to the OM&A accounts as compared to Capital
23 24	 Building Variance / Property Tax Variance – (\$317,917) / \$294,965
25 26 27 28	 Offsetting variances resulting from the change to where property taxes were booked in 2017 versus previous years, including the 2017 Bridge Year.
20 29	<u>Chapter 2 Appendix 2-JC – OM&A Programs</u>
30	Operations/Maintenance Variance - \$171,798 / (\$111,765)
31	 Allocation of salaries and wages from Stations (Maintenance) to
32	Load Dispatching (Operations).
33	
34	Chapter 2 Appendix 2-K – Employee Costs

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Management staff replacements with planned overlap for training purposes did not materialize in 2017; Lines Manager Stations & Metering Manager Reduction in actual 2017 non-management overtime versus planned 2017 bridge year Substation Electrician retirement replacement planned for 2017 was not filled <u>Chapter 2 Appendix 2-L – OM&A Cost per Customer/FTE</u> OM&A cost per customer/FTE variances are immaterial.
	• Owier cost per customen/11E variances are inimaterial.
12 13	
13	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

┥

1 **4-VECC-32**

2	<u>Reference</u> : Exhibit 4, pages, 20, 27 30, Table 4-19/4-10 Table 4-12	2, Table 4-14.
3	Question:	
4	a) Please update Tables 4-19, 4-10, 4-12 and 4-14 to reflect	
5	2017 actual results.	
6	Response:	Table Revisions
7		-
8	PUC assumes that VECC intends for Table 4-9 to be	The revisions made to the
9	completed rather than 4-19.	charts below have been
10		made and refiled in the
11	Please see revised:	attached PUC_2018_Filing
12		_Requirements_Chp2_App_
13	Table 4-9 – Summary of Recoverable OM&A	20180816 as detailed in
14	Expenses	Interrogatory 4-Staff-50.

Appendix 2-JA Summary of <u>Recoverable</u> OM&A Expenses

	Last Rebasing Year (2013 Board- Approved)		ast Rebasing Year (2013 Actuals)	20	014 Actuals	2015 Actuals			016 Actuals	2017 Bridge Year			018 Test Year
Reporting Basis	CGAAP		CGAAP		MIFRS		MIFRS		MIFRS		MIFRS		MIFRS
Operations	\$ 3,560,312	\$	3,667,835	\$	3,558,777	\$	3,702,949	\$	3,771,352	\$	3,924,735	\$	4,026,057
Maintenance	\$ 1,978,405	\$	2,324,284	\$	2,214,631	\$	2,274,649	\$	2,206,518	\$	1,991,880	\$	2,186,573
SubTotal	\$ 5,538,717	\$	5,992,119	\$	5,773,408	\$	5,977,598	\$	5,977,870	\$	5,916,615	\$	6,212,629
%Change (year over year)					-3.6%		3.5%		0.0%		-1.0%		5.0%
%Change (Test Year vs Last Rebasing Year - Actual)													3.7%
Billing and Collecting	\$ 1,163,141	\$	1,274,108	\$	1,373,301	\$	1,417,758	\$	1,572,173	\$	1,682,623	\$	1,575,376
Community Relations	\$ 544,548	\$	501,391	\$	557,701	\$	670,544	\$	626,657	\$	702,566	\$	618,800
Administrative and General	\$ 2,706,539	\$	4,438,267	\$	3,332,931	\$	3,211,923	\$	3,188,235	\$	3,347,960	\$	3,549,028
SubTotal	\$ 4,414,229	\$	6,213,766	\$	5,263,933	\$	5,300,225	\$	5,387,065	\$	5,733,149	\$	5,743,204
%Change (year over year)					-15.3%		0.7%		1.6%		6.4%		0.2%
%Change (Test Year vs Last Rebasing Year - Actual)													-7.6%
Total	\$ 9,952,946	\$	12,205,885	\$	11,037,341	\$	11,277,823	\$	11,364,935	\$	11,649,764	\$	11,955,833
%Change (year over year)					-9.6%		2.2%		0.8%		2.5%		2.6%

	L	Last Rebasing Year (2013 Board- Approved)		Last Rebasing Year (2013 Actuals)		2014 Actuals	2015 Actuals			2016 Actuals	2017 Bridge Year			2018 Test Year
Operations	\$	3,560,312	\$	3,667,835	\$	3,558,777	\$	3,702,949	\$	3,771,352	\$	3,924,735	\$	4,026,057
Maintenance	\$	1,978,405	\$	2,324,284	\$	2,214,631	\$	2,274,649	\$	2,206,518	\$	1,991,880	\$	2,186,573
Billing and Collecting	\$	1,163,141	\$	1,274,108	\$	1,373,301	\$	1,417,758	\$	1,572,173	\$	1,682,623	\$	1,575,376
Community Relations	\$	544,548	\$	501,391	\$	557,701	\$	670,544	\$	626,657	\$	702,566	\$	618,800
Administrative and General	\$	2,706,539	\$	4,438,267	\$	3,332,931	\$	3,211,923	\$	3,188,235	\$	3,347,960	\$	3,549,028
Total	\$	9,952,946	\$	12,205,885	\$	11,037,341	\$	11,277,823	\$	11,364,935	\$	11,649,764	\$	11,955,833
%Change (year over year)	2				1	-9.6%	1	2.2%	r	0.8%		2.5%	r	2.6%

Table 4-10 – Summary of Recoverable OM&A Expenses Continued

	Last Rebasing Year (2013 Board- Approved)	Last Rebasing Year (2013 Actuals)	Variance 2013 Board- approved – 2013 Actuals	2014 Actuals	Variance 2014 vs. 2013 Actuals	2015 Actuals	Variance 2015 vs. 2014 Actuals	2016 Actuals	Variance 2016 Actuals vs. 2015 Actuals	2017 Bridge Year	Variance 2017 Bridge vs. 2016 Actuals	2018 Test Year	Variance 2018 Test vs. 2017 Bridge
Operations	\$ 3,560,312	\$ 3,667,835		\$ 3,558,777		\$ 3,702,949		\$ 3,771,352	\$ 68,403	\$ 3,924,735	\$ 153,383	\$ 4,026,057	\$ 101,32
Maintenance	\$ 1,978,405	\$ 2,324,284	\$ 345,879	\$ 2,214,631	-\$ 109,653	\$ 2,274,649	\$ 60,018	\$ 2,206,518	-\$ 68,131	\$ 1,991,880	-\$ 214,638	\$ 2,186,573	\$ 194,69
Billing and Collecting	\$ 1,163,141	\$ 1,274,108	\$ 110,967	\$ 1,373,301	\$ 99,193	\$ 1,417,758	\$ 44,457	\$ 1,572,173	\$ 154,415	\$ 1,682,623	\$ 110,450	\$ 1,575,376	-\$ 107,24
Community Relations	\$ 544,548	\$ 501,391	-\$ 43,157	\$ 557,701	\$ 56,310	\$ 670,544	\$ 112,843	\$ 626,657	-\$ 43,887	\$ 702,566	\$ 75,909	\$ 618,800	-\$ 83,76
Administrative and General	\$ 2,706,539	\$ 4,438,267	\$ 1,731,728	\$ 3,332,931	-\$ 1,105,336	\$ 3,211,923	-\$ 121,008	\$ 3,188,235	-\$ 23,688	\$ 3,347,960	\$ 159,725	\$ 3,549,028	\$ 201,06
otal OM&A Expenses	\$ 9,952,946	\$ 12,205,885	\$ 2,252,939	\$ 11,037,341	-\$ 1,168,544	\$ 11,277,823	\$ 240,482	\$ 11,364,935	\$ 87,112	\$ 11,649,764	\$ 284,829	\$ 11,955,833	\$ 306,06
Adjustments for Total non- ecoverable items (from Appendices 2-JA and 2-JB)													
Fotal Recoverable OM&A Expenses	\$ 9,952,946	\$ 12,205,885	\$ 2,252,939	\$ 11,037,341	-\$ 1,168,544	\$ 11,277,823	\$ 240,482	\$ 11,364,935	\$ 87,112	\$ 11,649,764	\$ 284,829	\$ 11,955,833	\$ 306,06
ariance from previous year		mmm	www.	-\$ 1,168,544		\$ 240,482	111111	\$ 87,112	1111111	\$ 284,829	111111	\$ 306,069	
Percent change (year over year)				-10%		2%		1%		3%		3%	
Percent Change: Test year vs. Most Current Actual						111111		5.20%		111111			1111
Simple average of % variance for all rears								-2.05%					2
Compound Annual Growth Rate for Il years	*******					111111			111111	111111			-0.4
Compound Growth Rate 2016 Actuals vs. 2013 Actuals)								-2.35%					

Table 4-12 – Recoverable OM&A Cost per Customer and per Full Time

Equivalent

> Appendix 2-L Recoverable OM&A Cost per Customer and per FTE¹

	Last Rebasing Year	Last Rebasing					
	- 2013- Board	Year - 2013-	2014 Actuals	2015 Actuals	2016 Actuals	2017 Bridge	2018 Test Year
	Approved	Actual	20117101000	20107101000	20107101000	Year	2010 1001 100
Reporting Basis	CGAAP	CGAAP	MIFRS	MIFRS	MIFRS	MIFRS	MIFRS
OM&A Costs							
O&M	\$ 5,538,717	\$ 5,992,119	\$ 5,773,408	\$ 5,977,598	\$ 5,977,870	\$ 5,916,615	\$ 6,212,629
Admin Expenses	\$ 4,414,229	\$ 6,213,766	\$ 5,263,933	\$ 5,300,225	\$ 5,387,065	\$ 5,733,149	\$ 5,743,204
Total Recoverable OM&A from							
Appendix 2-JB ⁵	\$ 9,952,946	\$ 12,205,885	\$ 11,037,341	\$ 11,277,823	\$ 11,364,935	\$ 11,649,764	\$ 11,955,833
Number of Customers ^{2,4}	33,071	33,351	33,348	33,370	33,395	33,507	33,604
Number of FTEs ^{3,4}	86.99	87.61	88.22	84.63	84.91	84.77	84.16
Customers/FTEs	380.17	380.66	378.02	394.33	393.28	395.27	399.30
OM&A cost per customer	500.17	500.00	570.02		333.20	555.21	333.30
O&M per customer	167.48	179.67	173.13	179.13	179.00	176.58	184.88
Admin per customer	133.48	186.31	157.85	158.83	161.31	171.10	170.91
Total OM&A per customer	300.96	365.98	330.97	337.96	340.32	347.68	355.79
OM&A cost per FTE							
O&M per FTE	63,670.73	68,391.96	65,445.85	70,635.85	70,398.38	69,796.10	73,822.34
Admin per FTE	50,744.10	70,921.76	59,670.57	62,631.50	63,440.77	67,631.82	68,244.33
Total OM&A per FTE	114,414.83	139,313.71	125,116.42	133,267.35	133,839.15	137,427.91	142,066.67

Table 4-14 – OM&A Programs Table

Appendix 2-JC OM&A Programs Table

Programs	١	st Rebasing /ear (2013 Board- Approved)		st Rebasing Year (2013 Actuals)	20)14 Actuals	20	15 Actuals	20	2016 Actuals		017 Bridge Year	e 2018 Test Year		Variance (Test Year vs. 2016 Actuals)	Variance (Test Year vs. Last Rebasing Year (2013 Board-
Reporting Basis		CGAAP		CGAAP		MIFRS		MIFRS		MIERS		MIFRS		MIFRS	MIFRS	MIFRS
Operations		00/11		00/14					-							
Overhead Lines	\$	891.022	\$	886.027	\$	999,996	\$	833.710	\$	913,151	\$	973.078	\$	970.784	57,634	79.762
Underground Lines	\$	99.541	\$	103.879	\$	204.384	\$	194.355	\$	/ -	\$	172,149	\$	204,473	20,946	- 1 -
Operations Supervisory	\$	575.828	\$	677,616	\$	607.190	\$	661,003	\$	/	\$	1 -	\$	646.625	24,597	70,797
Load Dispatching	\$	255.221	\$	269,912	\$	252,338	\$	223,194	\$		\$		\$	214,485	-17,553	· · · ·
Stations	\$	848.217	\$	905,156	\$	741.856	\$	747.612	\$		\$	808.188	\$	930.301	196,686	
Transformers	\$	14.242	\$	8.202	\$	1.013	\$	3.984	\$	/	\$	11.996	\$	9.257	-6,408	
Meters	\$	423.008	\$	369.650	\$	319,706	\$	485.787	\$		\$	1	\$	584.371	33.742	
Transmission	\$	1.136	\$	43.834	\$	38.620	\$	40,955	\$		\$	/ -	\$	83,563	33,182	82,427
Miscellaneous Operating	\$	452,096	-		\$	397,481	\$	512,349	\$		\$		\$	382,197	-88,122	-69,899
Sub-Total	Ť	3.560.312	Ť	3,667,836	Ŷ	3.562.584	Ť	3,702,949	Ψ	3.771.353	Ť	3.924.735	Ť	4,026,057	254,704	465,744
Maintenance		0,000,012		0,001,000		0,002,001		0,102,010		0,111,000		0,02 1,100		1,020,001	201,101	100,111
Overhead Lines	\$	1.332.909	\$	1.688.546	\$	1.576.853	\$	1.288.038	\$	1.371.983	\$	1.297.624	\$	1.367.903	-4.080	34,994
Underground Lines	\$	258,634	\$	344,540	\$	306,555	\$	342,920	\$		\$	1 - 1 -	\$	304,847	-55,640	
Stations	\$	265,799	\$	190,299	\$	243,581	\$	350,955	\$		\$	275,757	\$	339,888	-5,885	
Transformers	\$	46,920	\$	22.017	\$	27.815	\$	211.054	\$	71,121	\$	64,205	\$	121,563	50,442	74,643
Meters	\$	74,143	\$	78.882	\$	56.018	\$	81,682	\$	57,154	\$	62.357	\$	52,372	-4.782	-21.770
Sub-Total		1,978,405		2,324,284		2,210,823		2,274,649		2,206,518		1,991,880	1	2,186,573	-19,946	208,168
Customer Service																
Bad Debt Expense	\$	107,680	\$	182,025	\$	127,593	\$	181,321	\$	378,852	\$	421,718	\$	261,613	-117,239	153,933
Customer Billing	\$	757,150	\$	811,476	\$	966,425	\$	888,033	\$	851,360	\$	896,854	\$	962,453	111,093	205,303
Customer Collections	\$	298,311	\$	280,607	\$	279,283	\$	348,403	\$	341,961	\$	364,051	\$	351,309	9,348	52,998
Community Relations	\$	544,548	\$	501,391	\$	557,701	\$	670,544	\$	626,657	\$	702,566	\$	618,800	-7,858	74,251
															0	0
Sub-Total		1,707,690		1,775,499		1,931,002		2,088,302		2,198,830		2,385,189		2,194,175	-4,655	486,486
Administration																
Income Tax	\$	50,202	\$	46,062	\$	40,740	\$	36,160	\$	31,755	\$	325,524	\$	45,000	13,245	-5,202
Insurance	\$	61,588	\$	147,363	\$	198,627	\$	205,612	\$	198,796	\$	115,188	\$	127,642	-71,154	66,054
LEAP	\$	19,054	\$	19,873	\$	22,610	\$	22,926	\$	23,270	\$	23,270	\$	24,000	730	4,946
Audit, Legal & Consulting	\$	116,025	\$	134,157	\$	230,840	\$	227,542	\$	139,566	\$	174,485	\$	209,185	69,619	93,160
Regulatory Affairs	\$	206,943	\$	297,503	\$	121,885	\$	149,856	\$	246,739	\$	451,156	\$	405,761	159,021	198,818
Building	\$	512,532	\$	2,005,468	\$	823,330	\$	653,778	\$	699,549	\$	378,662	\$	741,040	41,490	228,508
Administrative	\$	1,740,196	\$	1,787,842	\$	1,894,898	\$	1,916,048	\$	1,848,560	\$	1,879,675	\$	1,996,402	147,842	256,206
Sub-Total	Ĺ	2,706,539	<u> </u>	4,438,267		3,332,931		3,211,923		3,188,235		3,347,960		3,549,028	360,793	842,489
Miscellaneous															0	0
Total	r –	9,952,946		12,205,886		11,037,340		11,277,823		11,364,937		11,649,765		11,955,833	590,896	2,002,887

1	Exhibit 8 – Rate Design											
2 3 4 5	 8-Staff-77 <u>Reference</u>: Exhibit 8, Pages 4, 7, Table 8-5 Tariff Schedule and Bill Impact Model, Tab 5. 2-W Bill In 	npacts										
6	Preamble:											
7	PUC Distribution's states:	PUC Distribution's states:										
8 9 10	Except for the Residential class, PUC Distribution proposes to maintain the fixed/variable proportions assumed in the current rates to design the proposed monthly service charges.											
11	However, the filing requirements state:											
12 13 14 15	If a distributor's current fixed charge for any non-residential calculated ceiling, there is no requirement to lower the fixed ch distributors expected to raise the fixed charge further above residential class.	harge to the ceiling, nor are										
16 17	PUC Distribution has proposed to increase the fixed charge, even whe the Ceiling Fixed Charge from Cost Allocation.	ere it is already above										
18	Question:											
19 20 21	Please provide the variable charges that would result if PUC Distribution provided in filing requirements, and applied a fixed charge of \$114.46 4,999 kW, and a fixed charge of \$12.69 for Unmetered Scattered Load	6 for General Service 50 to										
22	Response:	_										
23 24 25 26 27	When a fixed charge of \$114.46 for General Service 50 to 4,999 kW is used the variable rate is \$6.7548 per kW. When a fixed charge of \$12.69 for Unmetered Scattered Load is the variable rate is \$0.0385 per kWh.	Variable Charges The variable charge for GS>50 to 4,999 customers with a fixed charge of \$114.46 is revised to										
28 29		\$6.7887. The variable charge for a USL customer with a fixed charge of \$12.69										

is revised to \$0.0387.

+

1 8-Staff-79

2	Reference: Exhibit 8, Pages 10-11
3	Tariff Schedule and Bill Impact Model, Tab 5. 2-W Bill Impacts
4	PUC Distribution Website promotion of community meeting,
5	http://www.ssmpuc.com/news/index.cfm?fuseaction=ViewOneBooki
6	<u>ng&id=334</u>
7	Preamble:
8	In Exhibit 8, PUC Distribution's states
9	On June 22, 2017 the Board issued a Decision with Reasons and Rate Order (EB-2017-
10	0234) establishing that the RRRP charge used by rate-regulated distributors to bill their
11	customers shall be \$0.0003 per kilowatt-hour for electricity consumed on or after July 1,
12	2017. This unit rate shall apply to a customer's metered energy consumption adjusted by
13	the distributor's Board-approved Total Loss Factor.
14	On March 1, 2018, the Board issued a Decision and Order (EB-2017-0290) establishing
15	a Smart Metering Entity Charge of \$0.57 per month for Residential and General Service
16	< 50kW customers effective January 1, 2018 to December 31, 2022. PUC Distribution
17	has reflected a Smart Metering Entity Charge of \$0.57 per month in this Application.
18	In the tariff schedule and bill impact model, the Current OEB-Approved Smart Meter Entity
19	Charge is entered as \$0.79, and the Current OEB-Approved Rural and Remote Rate
20	Protection (RRRP) is entered as \$0.0021. The Proposed rates in the same tariff reflect the
21	rates of \$0.57 and \$0.0003. As a result, the bill impact calculation shows a savings as a
22	result of changes in these rates.
23	In promoting the community meeting, PUC Distribution drew attention to the resulting total
24	bill impact.
25	In this application, PUC Distribution is applying to the OEB for approval to increase its
26	distribution rate by \$6.98 per month resulting in a net increase of \$1.94 per month for the
27	average provincial customer consuming 750 kWh per month.
28	Questions:
29	a) Please confirm that customers are already experiencing the savings that result from the
30	changes to the RRRP and Smart Metering Entity Charge.

ł

┥

1 2 3 4 5		Please update the tariff and bill impact model to reflect the charges of \$0.0003 for RRRP, and \$0.57 for Smart Metering Entity Charge as the Current OEB-Approved rates. Please confirm that after making these revisions, the total bill impact for a residential customer on RPP using 750 kWh/month is \$3.65
6	Respon	<u>18e:</u>
7	a)	PUC Distribution confirms that customers are already experiencing the savings that result
8	,	from the changes to the RRRP and Smart Metering Entity Charge.
9	b) '	The tariff and bill impact model has been updated to reflect the charges of \$0.0003 for
10		RRRP, and \$0.57 for Smart Metering Entity Charge as the Current OEB-Approved rates.
11	c)	The tariff and bill impact model has also been updated to reflect the updates resulting
12		from preparing the responses to the interrogatories. An updated model is provided in file
13		named "PUC_2018_Tariff_Schedule_Bill_Impact_Model_20180329_FINAL". In this
14		case the total bill impact for a residential customer on RPP using 750 kWh/month is
15		\$3.10.
16		

Tariff and Bill Impact Model

The Tariff and Bill Impact Model has been revised to reflect the change in Operating Expense an also the change in RTSR rates. In turn, the total bill impact for a residential customer on RPP using 750 kWh/month is revised from \$3.10 to \$3.05.