



August 20, 2018

Sent Via E-Mail and RESS

Ms. Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board

Dear Ms. Walli,

RE: Interrogatories for Energy+ 2019 Cost of Service (OEB Case No. EB-2018-0028)

In accordance with Procedural Order #1 in the above noted case, I am attaching interrogatories from Brantford Power Inc. An email copy of the interrogatories has been provided to Energy+ and all intervenors in this case.

Sincerely,

Oana Stefan

Oana Stefan
Manager of Regulatory Affairs (Interim)
Brantford Power Inc.
Box 308, Brantford, Ontario N3T 5N8
Phone 519-751-3522 ext. 5477
www.brantfordpower.com



EXHIBIT 7- COST ALLOCATION

7-BPI-1

Ref: Appendix 2-Q_COS Emb Dx BPI BCP

Table 3-31: Summary of Total Load Forecast (Continued) Exhibit 3, page 29 of 98

In its Appendix 2-Q entry for Brantford Power, Energy+ has used the value of 1,313 kW as the “annual billed Embedded Distributor Demand on Station/line providing LV Services”. Energy+’s forecast kW for the Embedded Distributor- Brantford Power, BCP is 1,075 kW for 2019.

a) Please confirm the value of 1,313 kW was the actual demand value for 2016 for the Embedded Distributor- BPI class.

b) Please update Appendix 2-Q for Brantford Power using the 2019 Load forecast kW.

7- BPI-2

Ref: Appendix 2-Q_COS Emb Dx BPI BCP

Table 7-6: Allocated Cost, Exhibit 7, Page 17 of 105

In Appendix 2-Q, the Embedded Distributor –Brantford Power rate classification is allocated \$8,385 in total annual cost associated assets used to provide LV services. In Table 7-6, there are \$15,196 allocated to this service classification. Please identify and quantify the additional costs included in the cost allocation model above and beyond the \$8,385 in LV services.

7- BPI- 3

In each instance of Appendix 2-Q (for each embedded distributor), the total Low Voltage Line NBV of \$88,563,462 is constant, the total line length or station capacity in asset class is constant at 1,486, however the annual total demand on station/line providing LV services differs (from 162,952 to 120,942 to 33,094 to 22,960).

a) Are each of these values for “annual total demand on station/line” related to different stations or lines? If not, what explains the difference from one version of 2-Q to another?

b) If so, please explain why station- or line- specific demand values (for a subset of stations or lines) are an appropriate allocator to use for the total asset pool.

c) Please explain what assets are included in the Low Voltage Line pool of assets with a NBV of \$88,563,462.

EXHIBIT 8 – RATE DESIGN

8-BPI-4

Ref: Embedded Distributor Service Classification- Brantford Hydro, Exhibit 8, page 106 of 157

Please confirm this Service Classification should be titled “Embedded Distributor Service Classification- Brantford Power”.