Filed: August 31, 2018 EB-2018-0143 Exhibit I Tab 1.4 Schedule 1.08 OEB STAFF 8 Page 1 of 1

OEB STAFF INTERROGATORY 8

- 2 1.0 Revenue Requirement, Operating Costs and Capital Spending
- 3 1.4 Is the IESO's Capital Expenditure budget for Fiscal Year 2018 appropriate?
- 4 Staff IR #8

1

5 <u>INTERROGATORY</u>

- 6 Reference: Exhibit A-2-2. Pg. 23
- 7 Preamble:
- 8 Appendix 3 of the IESO's 2018-2020 Business Plan provides a detailed account of all planned
- 9 capital expenditures over the three-year planning period.
- 10 Questions:
- a) Who at the IESO is responsible for approving the capital projects and related project
- budgets listed in Appendix 3?
- i. Please provide a description of the process(es) used by IESO staff to gain approval/sign off for capital projects.
- 15 b) Please provide an update on the capital spending plan in Appendix 3.
- i. Does the IESO still expect to spend an amount of \$26.6 million on capital projects in
 2018, which includes \$4 million for Market Renewal expenditures?

18 <u>RESPONSE</u>

- a) As stated in Exhibit A-2-2, page 17, core operating initiatives with commitments are
- approved individually on an ongoing basis. Capital expenditures are supported by business
- 21 cases which are reviewed by subject matter experts, the Project Management Office and
- 22 project sponsor and approved by the appropriate level of management as per approval
- limits in IESO's Organizational Authority Register (OAR).
- 24 b) The capital envelope for 2018 is \$26.6 million and includes \$4 million for Market Renewal
- related projects. Actual capital spending for YTD June is \$7.2 million and full year spending
- is forecast to be under budget.



Filed: August 31, 2018 EB-2018-0143 Exhibit I Tab 1.4 Schedule 2.08 AMPCO 8 Page 1 of 1

AMPCO INTERROGATORY 8

- 2 1.4 Is the IESO's Capital Expenditure budget for Fiscal Year 2018 appropriate?
- 3 <u>1.4-AMPCO-8</u>

1

- 4 <u>INTERROGATORY</u>
- 5 Ref: Exhibit B-2-1 Page 2
- 6 <u>Preamble:</u> The IESO indicates a summary of capital spending and associated project
- 7 descriptions is included in Appendix 4.
- 8 a) Please provide the project descriptions as per Appendix 4.
- 9 RESPONSE
- a) Please see the project descriptions of the 2018-2020 Capital Plan found in the evidence at
- Exhibit A-2-2, Appendix 3: IESO Capital Spending, page 24.



Filed: August 31, 2018 EB-2018-0143 Exhibit I Tab 1.4 Schedule 2.09 AMPCO 9 Plus Attachment(s) Page 1 of 1

<u>AMPCO INTERROGATORY 9</u>

- 2 1.4 Is the IESO's Capital Expenditure budget for Fiscal Year 2018 appropriate?
- 3 <u>1.4-AMPCO-9</u>

1

- 4 <u>INTERROGATORY</u>
- 5 Ref: Exhibit B Tab 3 Schedule 1 Attachment 1 Appendix 2-AA.
- 6 Please add 2016 Plan, 2016 Actuals and 2017 Plan to the table and provide an excel version of
- 7 Attachment #1.
- 8 RESPONSE
- 9 Please see Attachment 1 to this exhibit for a pdf version of the updated Appendix 2-AA (capital
- projects) with 2016 Plan, 2016 Actuals and 2017 Plan added to the table. As requested, an excel
- version of Attachment 1 is also being provided.



						2018			
Change Initiatives/Projects	2016	2016	2017	2017	2018	YTD	2019	2020	2
(in millions)	Actuals	Plan	Actuals	Plan	Plan	June	Plan	Plan	Keason for Variance
						Actuals			
Operations Readiness Initiatives	0.5	1.0	1.0	2.5	1.8	1.4	•	1	The Operations Readiness Initiative (ORI) is made up of a number of interrelated projects. Initial design work for a number of the projects took longer than anticipated due to overall complexity and need for coordination with neighbouring jurisdictions and market participants. Other projects were delayed due to the availability of critical resources. In addition, overall Capital costs for the Program are coming in under budget. The ORI Interchange Enhacement Project although expected to be substantially complete in 2018 is expected to be completed in Q3 2019 with the devvery of final functionality. The DSA Enhancement and Hardware Refresh Project (formerly the On-Line Limit Derivation Roll Out To Real-Time Operations) is expected to be complete by Q4 2019.
EMS Refresh	2.8	4.7	1	1	1	1	1	ı	The main reasons for under-spent are due to actual cost for some of the vendor change requests and IESO labour cost much lower than was originally estimated.
Capacity Auction & DR Auction	3.0	2.5		1		1	1	ı	
Outage Management System Replacement (OMSR)	1.2	8.0	1	1	1	1	1	ı	
NERC CIP projects	5.0	1.0	ı	1	ı	1	1	ı	
Conservation Demand Management Information System (CDM IS)	0.4	0.7	0.3	1.0	ı	1	1	1	The CDM-IS Project is underway is is expected to be complete in Q1 2019. The variance in spending is largely due to changes in timing of the project delivery.
Consolidated Corporate Website	1.6	0.3	0.5	1.5	1	1	1	1	The variance in spending is largely due to changes in timing of the project delivery.

L Dange Intratives/Projects	2016	2016	2017	2017	2018	2018 YTD	2019	2020	
(in millions)	Actuals	Plan	Actuals	Plan	Plan	June	Plan	Plan	Reason for Variance
						Actuals			
MACD Enforcement Support Tool and related projects		1	1	1.0	1	1	1	-	Work on the tools has been delayed in order to work on
									associated processes. This work continues in 2018
									however we have not established a forecast completion
									tor the project.
Wallboard Refresh	ı	1	ı	1.0	1.5	1	1	1	Gathering of requirements and the RFP process took longer than anticipated for this project. The Business
									Case is close to being finalized and the project is expected to be complete in Q4 2019
Unified Communications	1	1	9.0	1	3.0	0.1	2.0	1	This project is expected to be complete in 2018 with no expenditure planned for 2019.
Enterprise Cyber Security Management Refresh (Advanced Malware)	ı	1.0	2.8	2.0	1.0	0.3	1	1	This project was completed in 2018
Infrastructure Refresh (building services, software licenses & computer hardware)	0.5	2.6	1.5	2.5	2.5	0.2	2.5	2.5	This budget is allocated to support miscellaneous hardware, software and building service upgrades. The variance results in the delays in some unorade work as
									well as the upgrades not being as extensive as anticipated.
CRS Replacement & Migration	1	1	ı	1.0	2.0	1	2.0	1	Work on the project started later than anticipated and initial work to define requirements and develop the RFP for the replacement systems is an operating expense. The RFP is expected to be issued by the end of 2018 however a forecast completion date has not been established.

Change Initiatives/Projects (in millions)	2016 Actuals	2016 Plan	2017 Actuals	2017 Plan	2018 Plan	2018 YTD June Actuals	2019 Plan	2020 Plan	Reason for Variance
On-Line Limit Derivation (OLLD) Roll-out To Realtime Operations	1	1	1	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	This project is part of the Operations Readiness Initiative. Changes in approach to how we derive limits in real-time has resulted in the postponement and re-scoping of this project to upgrade the hardware and enhance the current power system analysis tool. Refer to the description under the Operations Readiness Initiative.
Financial Systems Upgrade	1.4	0.5	0.3	1.0	1.0	0.3	0.5	1	A business case to upgrade the current time & attendance and payroll systems was approved in 2017 and the work is currently underway and forecast to complete in early 2019.
FIT, microFIT and other upgrades	-	-	1.1	1.0	1.0	9.0	1.5	-	
Aspen Refresh	-	-	-	-	1.0	-	0.2	-	
IESO Portal	-	-	-	-	1.0	-	0.5	-	
IESO Simulator Project – Phase 2	-	-	-	-	1.1	-	0.2	-	
Meter Data Management (Upgrade)	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	1.0	-	
Wide Area View Phase 2	-	-	0.1	-	0.2	-	0.2	3.0	
PMU Integration Phase 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.0	
Oracle Archetype Expansion, Oracle batch and Oracle Exadata Refresh	I	1	ı	1.0	ı	ı	1.5	1	Work on the Oracle project has not yet started but is expected to start in 2019.

						2018			
Change Initiatives/Projects	2016	2016	2017	2017	2018	YTD	2019	2020	Possess for Monitories
(in millions)	Actuals	Plan	Actuals	Plan	Plan	June	Plan	Plan	Medson lof Variance
						Actuals			
Tier 1 Storage Refresh	1	-	-	-	-	ı	2.0	1	
ETP Refresh	1	-	-	-	-	ı	1.0	1	
SCADA/EMS Replacement	1	-	-	-	-	ı	5.0	5.0	
Control Room Refresh	1	1	-	1	1.0	1	1	1	The control room refresh business case has been
									prepared and is undergoing final review. The work is expected to be complete in 2019.
IT Service	1	-	-	1	1	1	1	1.0	
Market Information System Referesh	1.9	2.5	2.7	2.0	ı	0.4	,	ı	
Identity Access Management	1	-	2.1	2.1	-	0.3	-	-	
Capital (\$1M & above)	18.4	17.6	13.2	19.6	19.6	3.6	21.1	14.5	
Other Capital Initiatives/Projects	5.4	10.8	3.1	5.4	3.0	3.1	3.0	3.0	
Core Operations Capital	23.8	28.4	16.3	25.0	22.6	6.7	24.1	17.5	
Market Renewal			0.2	-	4.0	0.5	34.0	48.0	
Total Capital including Market Renewal	23.8	28.4	16.5	25.0	26.6	7.2	58.1	65.5	

Filed: August 31, 2018 EB-2018-0143 Exhibit I Tab 1.4 Schedule 2.10 AMPCO 10 Page 1 of 2

AMPCO INTERROGATORY 10

- 2 1.4 Is the IESO's Capital Expenditure budget for Fiscal Year 2018 appropriate?
- 3 <u>1.4-AMPCO-10</u>

1

- 4 <u>INTERROGATORY</u>
- 5 Ref: Exhibit B Tab 3 Schedule 1 Page 2
- 6 <u>Preamble:</u> the IESO indicates the \$1.2 million lower than planned Operating Costs were
- 7 partially offset by increased compensation expenses resulting from slower than anticipated start
- 8 of capital projects as and as a result there were lower capital costs in the year.
- 9 a) Please explain the reasons for slower than anticipated start of capital projects.
- b) Please discuss the IESO's project management process including how the IESO reports
- internally on cost, schedule and work completed for initiatives/projects.
- 12 c) When it is apparent that an investment will have a material change to scope, schedule or cost from the approved plan, please explain how variances are managed.

14 RESPONSE

- 15 (a) Please see Attachment 1 of the response to AMPCO Interrogatory 9 at Exhibit I, Tab 1.4,
- Schedule 2.09. The lower spending is primarily due to a number of the larger projects such
- as CRS Replacement & Migration, Oracle Archetype Expansion, Wallboard Refresh and
- 18 MACD Enforcement Support Tool being in the initial stages of development for longer than
- 19 originally anticipated.
- 20 (b) The IESO establishes a capital envelope that it works within. As stated on page 17 of
- 21 Exhibit A-2-2, core operating initiatives with commitments are approved individually on an
- 22 ongoing basis. Once a project is approved, a Project Steering Committee ("PSC") is
- established. The PSC meets regularly, typically monthly, and provides oversight and
- 24 direction to the project team. The PSC monitors project progress against the approved
- 25 project cost, schedule, scope and project objectives. The Project Management office collects
- project information on a monthly basis and creates a Project Portfolio Dashboard Report
- that is presented monthly to the Executive Leadership Team.
- 28 (c) Where the project manager forecasts a material change that impacts the approved project
- objectives, cost or schedule, the change is reviewed with the Sponsor and Project Steering
- Committee to accept the change or take corrective actions. Where a change to the project
- objectives, cost or schedule is approved by the PSC, the project manager prepares a Project

Filed: August 31, 2018 EB-2018-0143 Exhibit I Tab 1.4 Schedule 2.10 AMPCO 10 Page 2 of 2

Exception Report which is then subject to approval by the appropriate level of management

2 as per IESO's Organizational Authority Register (OAR).

Filed: August 31, 2018 EB-2018-0143 Exhibit I Tab 1.4 Schedule 2.11 AMPCO 11 Page 1 of 1

AMPCO INTERROGATORY 11

- 2 1.4 Is the IESO's Capital Expenditure budget for Fiscal Year 2018 appropriate?
- 3 <u>1.4-AMPCO-11</u>

1

- 4 <u>INTERROGATORY</u>
- 5 Ref: Exhibit B Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page7
- 6 <u>Preamble:</u> The evidence indicates 2016 and 2017 capital spending levels were \$4.6 million and
- 7 \$9.4 million lower than planned, respectively. The lower spending was primarily due to
- 8 changes in timing of the initial stages of development which are treated as expense, and a
- 9 number of minor projects that were not undertaken in year as planned.
- 10 a) For 2017, please provide a breakdown of the capital underspend and explain any key
- 11 variances.
- 12 b) Please provide the number of projects not undertaken as planned and the total value of the
- 13 projects.
- 14 RESPONSE
- a) Please refer to the table provided in response to AMPCO Interrogatory 9 at Exhibit I,
- Tab 1.4, Schedule 2.09, Attachment 1.
- 17 b) As per the table referred to above, the value of miscellaneous projects for 2017 was
- budgeted at \$5.4 million and the actual spending was \$3.1 million. The projects included in
- this category are smaller initiatives intended to support various business operations which
- are prioritized against other work that is underway.



Filed: August 31, 2018 EB-2018-0143 Exhibit I Tab 1.4 Schedule 3.01 CME 1 Page 1 of 1

CME INTERROGATORY 1

- 2 Issue 1.4 Is the IESO's Capital Expenditure budget for Fiscal Year 2018 appropriate?
- 3 **CME # 1**

1

- 4 <u>INTERROGATORY</u>
- 5 Ref: EB-2018-0143 Exhibit A, Tab 2, Schedule 1, pages 1 and 2 of 2
- 6 At Exhibit A, Tab 2, Schedule 1, pages 1 and 2, the Applicant states "Capital projects in 2018 to
- 7 facilitate the delivery of core business functions and priority initiatives are expected to be \$22.6
- 8 million, which includes \$4 million for the next stage of the Market Renewal Project."
- 9 At Exhibit A, Tab 1, Schedule 1 page 2 of 4, the Applicant requests approval for its proposed
- 10 2018 capital expenditure envelope of \$26.6 million for capital projects.
- 11 (a) Please confirm that the total capital expenditure envelope is \$26.6 million, broken out
- between \$22.6 million for capital projects related to core business functions, and \$4 million
- for the Market Renewal Project.

14 <u>RESPONSE</u>

- 15 (a) Yes, the total capital expenditure envelope is \$26.6 million, broken out between
- \$22.6 million for capital projects related to core business functions, and \$4 million for the
- 17 Market Renewal Project.



Filed: August 31, 2018 EB-2018-0143 Exhibit I Tab 1.4 Schedule 3.06 CME 6 Page 1 of 1

CME INTERROGATORY 6

- 2 Issue 1.4 Is the IESO's Capital Expenditure budget for Fiscal Year 2018 appropriate?
- 3 **CME # 6**

1

- 4 <u>INTERROGATORY</u>
- 5 Ref: Exhibit B, Tab 2, Schedule 1 page 3 of 3
- 6 The Applicant states: "2016 and 2017 capital spending levels were \$4.6 million and \$9.4 million
- 7 lower than planned, respectively. The lower spending was primarily due to changes in timing
- 8 of the initial stages of development which are treated as expense, and a number of minor
- 9 projects that were not undertaken in year as planned."
- 10 (a) What projects were not undertaken in the year as planned, and why?
- 11 (b) Have those projects been scheduled? If so, when will they be completed?
- 12 (c) Is the IESO on track to meet its listed 2018 capital envelope of \$26.6 million? If not, why not?

13 RESPONSE

- 14 (a) and (b) Please see the table provided in response to AMPCO 9 at Exhibit I, Tab 1.4,
- 15 Schedule 2.09, Attachment 1.
- 16 (c) The capital envelope for 2018 is \$26.6 million and includes \$4 million for Market Renewal
- 17 related projects. Actual capital spending for year-to-date June is \$7.2 million and full year
- spending is forecast to be under budget. The lower spending is the result of some projects
- being deferred and others still being in the early stages of the project where the work is not
- of a capital nature.
- 21 For Market Renewal, the focus on high-level design in 2018 has resulted in a shift to more
- 22 operating costs in the near term instead of the planned capital work late in the year,
- resulting in the forecast for Market Renewal capital spending to be below budget.



Filed: August 31, 2018 EB-2018-0143 Exhibit I Tab 1.4 Schedule 8.17 SEC 17 Page 1 of 2

SEC INTERROGATORY 17

~	1	1 C	\mathbf{r}_{\prime}	~ 4 5
,		4	н.	' /
_		.T/		C-17

1

3 **INTERROGATORY**

- The IESO states: "2016 and 2017 capital spending levels were \$4.6 million and \$9.4 million lower 4
- than planned, respectively. The lower spending was primarily due to changes in timing of the 5
- 6 initial stages of development which are treated as expense, and a number of minor projects that
- were not undertaken in year as planned". 7
- 8 a. Please provide further details.
- 9 b. Please explain why based on the underspending in 2016 and 2017, the 2018 budget is 10 accurate.

11 RESPONSE

- 12 Please refer to the table provided in response to AMPCO 9 at Exhibit I, Tab 1.4,
- Schedule 2.09, Attachment 1. 13
- The lower spending is primarily due to a number of the larger projects such as CRS 14
- Replacement & Migration, Oracle Archetype Expansion, Wallboard Refresh and MACD 15
- Enforcement Support Tool being in the initial stages of development for longer than 16
- 17 originally anticipated.
- b. The establishment of the capital envelope for the business plan considers a number of 18
- 19 factors including:
- the need to complete in-flight projects from previous years; 20
- 21 the need to undertake refresh projects which sustain and extend the service life of our 22 applications, infrastructure and facilities; and
- 23 the need to undertake initiatives to enhance the business to improve efficiency or provide new or improved services. 24
- In developing the capital envelope, the IESO considers the capacity and availability of 25
- IESO resources to undertake these projects and estimates the timeline and budgets for 26
- the new projects. Oftentimes, the development of the capital envelope occurs far in 27
- 28 advance of doing detailed planning and resource assignment for the projects. As the
- 29 IESO enters each year, the IESO then undertakes detailed planning and resource
- assignment for each of the projects and makes risk-informed trade-offs on the proposed 30

Filed: August 31, 2018 EB-2018-0143 Exhibit I Tab 1.4 Schedule 8.17 SEC 17 Page 2 of 2

projects to ensure the right balance is struck between evolving the business, sustaining the business, and mitigating corporate risk, based on available resources. Recognizing that the IESO has underspent against its capital budgets in previous years, the IESO did reduce its initial estimates based on a level of uncertainty in terms of timing and total capital costs. As a result, the 2018 budget was appropriate at the time in which it was developed.