



**EB-2018-0028**

**Response to Interrogatories**

**Hydro One**

**(HI)**

**September 14, 2018**

**Energy+ Inc.**  
**Response to Interrogatories**  
**Hydro One (H1)**

**Table of Contents**

Ref: Exhibit 7, Page 9 of 105, lines 14 to 22.....	2
--	---

**INTERROGATORY**

**Ref: Exhibit 7, Page 9 of 105, lines 14 to 22**

“...For one of the accounts in the Brant Service territory, Energy+ inadvertently included a value of \$33,555 with respect to Meter Capital in the Cost Allocation Model. As the meter for this account is owned by Hydro One, the value should not have been included. Energy+ agrees with the exception noted by Hydro One. As the item was only identified on April 16, 2018, and given that the item was not considered material to the overall Application, in the interest of meeting the OEB’s filing deadline of April 27, 2018, it is Energy+’s intention to make this correction as part of the Application process.”

As stated in its evidence (referenced above), Energy+ acknowledges that meter capital costs were inadvertently assigned to the Embedded Distributor Hydro One 1 – BCP rate class in Tab I7.1 Meter Capital (Cell AO35:AP35) of the Cost Allocation Model, and intends to correct this as part of the Application process. Please make the correction in the cost allocation model and provide the implications of this correction on rates and bill impacts.

**RESPONSE**

Please see response to 7–Staff-76 b). Eliminating the meter capital costs in the cost allocation model reduces the cost allocated to the Embedded Distributor Hydro One 1 – BCP rate class by about \$6,400 which will directly impact the rates charged to this class.