FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 1 of 3

1			RESPONSES TO OEB STAFF INTERROGATORIES
2			
3	INTER	ROGATORY	51:
4	Refere	ence(s):	Exhibit 2A, Tab 1, Schedule 1, pp. 1-2
5			Exhibit 1C, Tab 3, Schedule 3, Appendix C, Note 6
6			Exhibit 1C, Tab 3, Schedule 4
7			Chapter 2 Appendices, Appendix 2-BA
8			Exhibit 9, Tab 1, Schedule 1, p. 31
9			
10	a)	Please con	firm that the \$1.4 million of monthly billing-related assets that are
11		added to ra	ate base for 2020 (Exhibit 2A / Tab 1 / Schedule 1 / p. 2) reflect the
12		depreciate	d value of the \$3.3 million capital investment in these assets (Exhibit 9 /
13		Tab 1 / Sch	edule 1 / p. 31).
14			
15	b)	Please con	firm that the variances between closing Property, Plant and Equipment
16		(PP&E) Net	Book Value (NBV) in Table 1 (Exhibit 2A / Tab 1 / Schedule 1 / p. 2) and
17		the closing	balances in Appendix 2-BA are related to the adjustments for assets
18		held for sa	le and monthly billing-related assets.
19			
20	c)	The openir	ng and closing NBV used in 2017 (Exhibit 2A / Tab 1 / Schedule 1 / p. 2 /
21		Table 1) do	oes not reconcile to Note 6 of the December 31, 2017 audited financial
22		statements	s (Exhibit 1C / Tab 3 / Schedule 3 / Appendix C / Note 6), even after both
23		the 2016 c	losing and 2017 closing NBV are adjusted for construction in progress.
24		Please pro	vide a reconciliation between the numbers presented in Table 1 (Exhibit
25		2A / Tab 1	/ Schedule 1 / p. 2 / Table 1) and Note 6 of the 2017 audited financial
26		statements	s (Exhibit 1C / Tab 3 / Schedule 3 / Appendix C / Note 6). Please update
27		the suppor	ting continuity schedules as necessary.

2A-STAFF-51

FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 2 of 3

1 2 d) Please also reconcile the closing 2016 and closing 2017 NBV used in Table 1 3 (Exhibit 2A / Tab 1 / Schedule 1 / p. 2 / Table 1) to Toronto Hydro's RRR filing for 4 each respective year (Exhibit 1C / Tab 3 / Schedule 4). 5 6 e) If the asset continuity schedules provided in Appendix 2-BA are changed as a 7 result of the above, please update Appendix 2-BA accordingly. 8 9 10 **RESPONSE:** 11 a) Toronto Hydro confirms that the capital investment associated with monthly billing is \$3.3 million and the \$1.4 million is the depreciated value added to rate base for 2020. 12 13 14 b) The differences between the PP&E NBV in Table 1 and the closing NBV in Appendix 2-15 BA is related to monthly billing and assets held for sale. Please refer to Exhibit 2A, Tab 16 1, Schedule 1, Table 2 for the reconciliation. 17 18 c) Please see the table below for the reconciliation between 2017 Audited Financial 19 Statements and the opening and closing balances for 2017.

Page 3 of 3

	31-Dec-16	31-Dec-17
2017 Audited Financial Statements Note 6 (Property, Plant & Equip)	3,876.3	4,107.8
2017 Audited Financial Statements Note 7 (Intangible Assets)	217.8	296.2
Total PP&E	4,094.1	4,404.0
Reconciling Items:		
Construction Work In Progress (Property, Plant & Equip)	(447.8)	(369.6)
Construction Work In Progress (Intangible Assets)	(90.1)	(168.1)
Capital Contribution (2A-T1-Sch 2, pg 2-3)	(83.9)	(106.8)
Monthly Billing (2A-T1-Sch 1, pg 5)	(0.6)	(2.3)
Non-Regulated Assets (1C-T3-Sch 4, pg 2)	(9.8)	(10.5)
Other Non-Rate-Regulated Utility Assets (2A-T1-Sch 2, pg 3)	-	(2.0)
Total Exhibit 2A, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Table 1	3,462.0	3,744.7

<sup>\*</sup>Rounding variances may exist

d) With respect to the 2016 and 2017 closing NBV used in Table 1, the table below provides the reconciliation to Toronto Hydro's RRR filing as per Exhibit 1C, Tab 3, Schedule 4, page 1 and Exhibit 1C, Tab 4, Schedule 3, page 1.

	31-Dec-16	31-Dec-17
Property, Plant and Equipment (as per RRR 2.1.13)	3,866.5	4,097.3
Intangible Assets (as per RRR 2.1.13)	217.8	296.2
Subtotal	4,084.3	4,393.5
Construction Work In Progress (A/C 2055)	(537.8)	(537.8)
Deferred Revenue (A/C 2440)	(116.2)	(158.0)
CWIP portion of A/C 2440	32.4	51.3
Other Non-Rate-Regulated Utility Assets A/C 2075 & 2180	-	(2.0)
Monthly Billing	(0.6)	(2.3)
Total Exhibit 2A, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Table 1	3,462.0	3,744.7

<sup>\*</sup>Rounding variances may exist

7 e) There are no changes to Appendix 2-BA as a result of the above responses.

1

2

3

4

5

2A-STAFF-52

FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 1 of 4

### **RESPONSES TO OEB STAFF INTERROGATORIES** 1 2 3 **INTERROGATORY 52:** Reference(s): 4 Exhibit 2A, Tab 1, Schedule 1, p. 2 5 Exhibit 2A, Tab 4, Schedule 1, p. 3 6 Exhibit 1B, Tab 4, p. 9 7 Exhibit 4B, Tab 1, Schedule 1, pp. 3-4 8 9 Preamble: In calculating rate base, Toronto Hydro takes an average of opening and closing PP&E 10 11 NBV and adds the working capital allowance (Exhibit 2A / Tab 1 / Schedule 1 / p. 2). 12 13 In calculating depreciation expense, Toronto Hydro uses the month in which the asset 14 comes into service (as opposed to the half-year rule). Similarly, Toronto Hydro calculates 15 depreciation associated with assets that are retired or fully depreciated within a given 16 year based on the month of transaction (Exhibit 4B / Tab 1 / Schedule 1 / pp. 3-4). 17 a) For the rate base calculation, in terms of capital in-service additions, does Toronto 18 19 Hydro simply add all of the assets that went into service in a given year to the 20 closing PP&E cost amount, with no adjustments to recognize when (which month) 21 the asset came into service within the year? 22 23 b) For the rate base calculation, in terms of depreciation, does Toronto Hydro: (a) use 24 the depreciation expense calculated based on its monthly approach and add that 25 amount to the closing accumulated depreciation; and (b) then average opening and closing PP&E NBV? 26

> 2A-STAFF-52 FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 2 of 4

1 c) Please advise whether Toronto Hydro agrees that there is a disconnect between 2 the manner in which it includes capital in-service additions (annual average of 3 annual capital additions) and depreciation expense (annual average of monthly 4 depreciation expense) in the calculation of rate base. Please provide rationale 5 supporting the current approach. 6 7 d) In the context of the manner that Toronto Hydro calculates depreciation expense, 8 it seems that monthly forecast PP&E NBV data is available (Exhibit 4B / Tab 1 / 9 Schedule 1 / pp. 2-3). Please advise whether this is true. 10 e) If monthly data is available, please provide Toronto Hydro's position on using the 11 12 monthly data to calculate its annual rate base amounts for the 2020-2024 period. 13 14 f) Please provide the rate base amounts (including supporting documentation) for 15 the 2020-2024 period that is based on using monthly data for the calculation of 16 both capital additions and depreciation. 17 18 g) Please provide the rate base amounts (including supporting documentation) for the 2020-2024 period that is based on Toronto Hydro's current approach for 19 20 including capital in-service additions in rate base but instead applying the half-year 21 rule in the calculation of depreciation expense.

2A-STAFF-52

FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 3 of 4

#### **RESPONSE:**

- 2 a) Toronto Hydro follows the OEB prescribed methodology to calculate the rate base.<sup>1</sup>
- The effect of this method is to include the sum of the annual in-service additions to
- 4 the closing PP&E balance used to determine the rate base.

5

1

- 6 b) Except for derecognition,<sup>2</sup> Toronto Hydro calculates depreciation expense monthly
- 7 based on when the assets come into service. Consistent with the OEB prescribed
- 8 methodology noted above, depreciation expense for a given year is added to the
- 9 closing PP&E NBV balance, which is then used in the average rate base calculation.

10

11

- c) Toronto Hydro's approach to calculate rate base is consistent with the OEB prescribed
- methodology as noted above.

13

d) Yes, monthly forecast PP&E NBV data is available.

15

16 e) Please see response to part (c).

17

18

- f) Please refer to Appendix A to this response for the monthly data and annual rate base
- amounts for the 2020-2024 CIR term. Supporting information related to the amounts
- forming part of rate base is included in Exhibit 2A, Tab 1, Schedule 1.

21

- 22 g) Please see table below for the rate base amounts resulting from the application of the
- half-year rule to calculate depreciation (i.e. depreciation at mid-year in the first year

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 2006 Electricity Distribution Rate Handbook, Section 4.0, on page 25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Please refer to Toronto Hydro's response to interrogatory 9-Staff-156 (d) for the process for forecasting derecognition, which is also part of depreciation expenses.

FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 4 of 4

1 of assets placed into service).

2

# **Table 1: Rate Base Amounts**

	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast
	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Opening PP&E NBV	4,270.4	4,488.6	4,686.8	4,979.1	5,257.5
Closing PP&E NBV	4,488.6	4,686.8	4,979.1	5,257.5	5,513.8
Average PP&E NBV	4,379.5	4,587.7	4,832.9	5,118.3	5,385.7
Working Capital Allowance	235.2	239.1	243.6	248.2	254.0
Rate Base	4,614.7	4,826.8	5,076.6	5,366.6	5,639.6

Panel: Distribution System Capital and Maintenance

2A-STAFF-52

Appendix A FILED: January 21, 2019

Page1 of 1

#### Appendix A: 2020-2024 Ratebase

in \$ Millions	Jan-20	Feb-20	Mar-20	Apr-20	May-20	Jun-20	Jul-20	Aug-20	Sep-20	Oct-20	Nov-20	Dec-20	Rate Base	ł
Opening NBV <sup>1</sup>	4,270.4	4,275.2	4,282.8	4,297.9	4,303.1	4,310.9	4,321.4	4,331.6	4,338.1	4,353.4	4,367.1	4,394.3	4,270.4	а
n Service Additions <sup>2</sup>	24.7	28.1	36.3	26.7	30.0	32.8	31.7	29.5	38.2	37.1	51.1	123.8	489.8	ь
Depreciation (excluding allocated transportaion depreciation) <sup>3</sup>	- 20	- 21	- 21	- 22	- 22	- 22	- 21	- 23	- 23	- 23	- 24	- 28	- 270	c
Closing NBV <sup>1</sup>	4,275.2	4,282.8	4,297.9	4,303.1	4,310.9	4,321.4	4,331.6	4,338.1	4,353.4	4,367.1	4,394.3	4,489.8	4,489.8	d=a+b+c
Average NBV	4,272.8	4,279.0	4,290.4	4,300.5	4,307.0	4,316.2	4,326.5	4,334.9	4,345.7	4,360.2	4,380.7	4,442.1	4,380.1	e=(a+d)/2
WCA <sup>1</sup>	n/a	235.2	f											
Rate Base <sup>1</sup>	n/a	4,615.3	g=e+f											

in \$ Millions	Jan-21	Feb-21	Mar-21	Apr-21	May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21	Oct-21	Nov-21	Dec-21	Rate Base	
Opening NBV <sup>1</sup>	4,489.8	4,487.6	4,491.5	4,498.6	4,500.7	4,506.3	4,514.1	4,521.4	4,537.7	4,551.5	4,564.0	4,588.3	4,489.8	а
In Service Additions <sup>2</sup>	19.0	25.7	29.5	25.0	29.1	31.4	29.7	40.3	37.6	36.7	48.8	131.0	483.7	ь
Depreciation (excluding allocated transportaion depreciation) <sup>3</sup>	- 21	- 22	- 22	- 23	- 23	- 24	- 22	- 24	- 24	- 24	- 25	- 29	- 284	С
Closing NBV <sup>1</sup>	4,487.6	4,491.5	4,498.6	4,500.7	4,506.3	4,514.1	4,521.4	4,537.7	4,551.5	4,564.0	4,588.3	4,689.9	4,689.9	d=a+b+c
Average NBV	4,488.7	4,489.6	4,495.0	4,499.6	4,503.5	4,510.2	4,517.8	4,529.6	4,544.6	4,557.8	4,576.2	4,639.1	4,589.9	e=(a+d)/2
WCA <sup>1</sup>	n/a	239.1	f											
Rate Base <sup>1</sup>	n/a	4,828.9	g=e+f											

in \$ Millions	Jan-22	Feb-22	Mar-22	Apr-22	May-22	Jun-22	Jul-22	Aug-22	Sep-22	Oct-22	Nov-22	Dec-22	Rate Base	
Opening NBV <sup>1</sup>	4,689.9	4,690.2	4,696.7	4,706.7	4,711.6	4,719.4	4,730.0	4,739.4	4,745.6	4,758.7	4,772.9	4,825.0	4,689.9	а
In Service Additions <sup>2</sup>	22.1	29.1	33.1	28.4	31.9	34.9	32.8	31.2	38.1	39.5	78.1	191.7	590.9	ь
Depreciation (excluding allocated transportaion depreciation) <sup>3</sup>	- 22	- 23	- 23	- 24	- 24	- 24	- 23	- 25	- 25	- 25	- 26	- 31	- 295	С
Closing NBV <sup>1</sup>	4,690.2	4,696.7	4,706.7	4,711.6	4,719.4	4,730.0	4,739.4	4,745.6	4,758.7	4,772.9	4,825.0	4,986.1	4,986.1	d=a+b+c
Average NBV	4,690.0	4,693.4	4,701.7	4,709.1	4,715.5	4,724.7	4,734.7	4,742.5	4,752.1	4,765.8	4,798.9	4,905.5	4,838.0	e=(a+d)/
WCA <sup>1</sup>	n/a	243.6	f											
Rate Base <sup>1</sup>	n/a	5,081.6	g=e+f											

in \$ Millions	Jan-23	Feb-23	Mar-23	Apr-23	May-23	Jun-23	Jul-23	Aug-23	Sep-23	Oct-23	Nov-23	Dec-23	Rate Base	
Opening NBV <sup>1</sup>	4,986.1	4,990.3	5,001.3	5,016.0	5,024.8	5,036.1	5,051.1	5,065.3	5,075.6	5,093.2	5,112.0	5,145.2	4,986.1	а
In Service Additions <sup>2</sup>	27.3	34.7	39.2	33.7	36.9	40.8	39.1	37.0	44.2	45.7	60.7	153.7	593.0	b
Depreciation (excluding allocated transportaion depreciation) <sup>3</sup>	- 23	- 24	- 24	- 25	- 26	- 26	- 25	- 27	- 27	- 27	- 28	- 32	- 313	с
Closing NBV <sup>1</sup>	4,990.3	5,001.3	5,016.0	5,024.8	5,036.1	5,051.1	5,065.3	5,075.6	5,093.2	5,112.0	5,145.2	5,266.5	5,266.4	d=a+b+
Average NBV	4,988.2	4,995.8	5,008.6	5,020.4	5,030.4	5,043.6	5,058.2	5,070.5	5,084.4	5,102.6	5,128.6	5,205.8	5,126.3	e=(a+d)/
WCA <sup>1</sup>	n/a	248.2	f											
Rate Base <sup>1</sup>	n/a	5,374.5	g=e+f											

														_
in \$ Millions	Jan-24	Feb-24	Mar-24	Apr-24	May-24	Jun-24	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Rate Base	
Opening NBV <sup>1</sup>	5,266.5	5,268.0	5,276.5	5,288.7	5,294.9	5,303.7	5,317.1	5,328.3	5,336.6	5,351.7	5,368.2	5,399.3	5,266.5	а
In Service Additions <sup>2</sup>	25.8	33.4	37.8	32.3	35.7	40.3	37.3	36.1	42.9	44.7	59.9	159.9	586.1	b
Depreciation (excluding allocated transportaion depreciation) <sup>3</sup>	- 24	- 25	- 26	- 26	- 27	- 27	- 26	- 28	- 28	- 28	- 29	- 34	- 327	С
Closing NBV <sup>1</sup>	5,268.0	5,276.5	5,288.7	5,294.9	5,303.7	5,317.1	5,328.3	5,336.6	5,351.7	5,368.2	5,399.3	5,525.5	5,525.5	d=a+b
Average NBV	5,267.2	5,272.2	5,282.6	5,291.8	5,299.3	5,310.4	5,322.7	5,332.5	5,344.2	5,360.0	5,383.8	5,462.4	5,396.0	e=(a+d
WCA <sup>1</sup>	n/a	254.0	f											
Rate Base <sup>1</sup>	n/a	5,650.0	g=e+											

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ EB-2018-0165, Exhibit 2A, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Table 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>EB-2018-0165, Exhibit 2A, Tab 1, Schedule 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>EB-2018-0165, Exhibit 4B, Tab 1, Appendix A

2A-STAFF-53

FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 1 of 2

# DECDONICES TO OFR STAFF INTERDOCATORIES

1			RESPONSES TO DEB STAFF INTERROGATORIES
2			
3	INTER	ROGATORY	53:
4	Refere	ence(s):	Exhibit 2A, Tab 3, Schedule 1, pp. 2-3
5			Chapter 2 Appendices, Appendix 2-Z
6			
7	a)	Please exp	lain the value shown in Table 1 (Exhibit 2A / Tab 3 / Schedule 1 / pp. 2-
8		3) for 2020	) interest on long-term debt.
9			
10	b)	For the co	st of power calculation, please advise whether Toronto Hydro has used
11		the OEB's	generic methodology as set out in Appendix 2-Z of the Chapter 2
12		Appendice	s. If not, please explain the differences in the methodology and provide
13		rationale s	upporting Toronto Hydro's approach.
14			
15	c)	If necessar	ry, please provide a completed Appendix 2-Z and provide an updated
16		working ca	pital allowance that reflects the cost of power amount resulting from
17		Appendix 2	2-Z.
18			
19	d)	Please con	firm that the assumptions used for the cost of power calculation will be
20		updated to	reflect the most up-to-date information available at the time of the
21		draft rate	order.
22			
23			
24	RESDO	NSF.	

#### RESPONSE:

a) The value of \$89.2 million for interest on long-term debt is the forecast for 2020 long-25 term interest expense, as shown in Exhibit 5, Tab 1, Schedule 3 at page 5. 26

2A-STAFF-53

FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 2 of 2

b) Toronto Hydro used the same methodology to forecast Cost of Power for working capital expense as the OEB approved in the utility's last rebasing application (EB-2014-0116). The OEB's 2019 Filing Requirements, which included the new Appendix 2-Z were issued when Toronto Hydro was in the stage of finalizing its evidence in this application. The only significant difference in the two approaches is that Appendix 2-Z more explicitly calculates the impacts of the Fair Hydro Plan Global Adjustment Modifier. Toronto Hydro intends to include the Appendix 2-Z results, as part of the

9

8

10 c) Please see Appendix A to this response.

planned evidence update.

11

12

13

14

d) Yes. Toronto Hydro intends to update the assumptions used for the cost of power calculation with the most up-to-date information available at the time of draft rate order.

# **Commodity Expense**

							555	-	DDD 1	D	0!>	
							non-RPP		RPP	Proportions (I		
	<b>-</b>					non GA mod	GA mod	Total		non-RPP	RPP	
	Customer Class Name		Last Actual kWh's	Class A kWh	Class B kWh					%	%	
	Residential		4,596,035,120		4,596,035,120	-	153,379,968	153,379,968	4,442,655,152	3.34%	96.66%	
	CSMUR		250,485,543		250,485,543	-	1,370,735	1,370,735	249,114,808	0.55%	99.45%	
	General Service < 50 kW		2,374,119,295		2,374,119,295	-	382,633,137	382,633,137	1,991,486,158	16.12%	83.88%	
	General Service 50 to 999 kW		9,957,452,856	204,042,310	9,753,410,546	5,278,034,416	1,390,287,169	6,668,321,585	3,085,088,961	66.97%	30.98%	
	General Service 999-4999 kW		4,744,756,990 2,185,444,006	2,989,812,966	1,754,944,024	1,434,802,250	89,549,718	1,524,351,968 303,970,341	230,592,057	32.13%	4.86%	
	Large User		2,185,444,006 42,416,018	1,881,473,665	303,970,341	160,951,065	143,019,276 128,615		42,287,403	13.91% 0.30%	0.00% 99.70%	
	Unmetered Scattered Load Street Lighting		117,854,519		42,416,018 117,854,519	117,854,519	128,015	128,615 117,854,519	42,287,403	100.00%	0.00%	
	Street Lighting	_	117,034,319		117,654,519	117,854,519	-	117,004,019	U	100.00%	0.00%	
	TOTAL		24,268,564,347	5,075,328,941	19,193,235,406	6,991,642,249	2,160,368,619	9,152,010,868	10,041,224,538			
	%		100.00%	0,010,020,041	100.00%	36.43%	11.26%	3,102,010,000	52.32%	47.68%	52.32%	10
	Forecasted Commodity Price	<u>es</u>										
a:	GA Modifier	(\$/MWh)				non-F		Source:	Table 1: RPP Price	s and GA Modifier: I	May 1, 2018 to Apr	ril 30, 20
	Forecasted Commodity Prices	Table 1: Average RPP S					A mod		RPP			
	HOEP (\$/MWh)	Load-Weighted Price for RI				\$21.57	\$21.57000	<u>-</u>				
	Global Adjustment (\$/MWh)	Impact of the Global Adjust	ment			\$103.80	\$59.42000					
	Adjustments (\$/MWh)					\$1.00	\$1.00000					
	TOTAL (\$/MWh)	Average Supply Cost for	RPP Consumers			\$126.37	\$81.99	_	\$81.99			
	\$/kWh	222 (24				\$0.12637	\$0.08199	_	\$0.08199			
	Percentage shares (%) WEIGHTED AVERAGE PRICE (\$	non-RPP (GA mod/non-0			\$ 0.0982	36.43% <b>\$0.0460</b>	11.26% <b>\$0.0092</b>	_	52.32% <b>\$0.0429</b>			
					0.0002	non-F	RPP		<b>V</b> 0.0.120			
	GA Modifier	(\$/MWh)				\$	(44.38)					
	Forecasted Commodity Prices						A mod		RPP			
	HOEP (\$/MWh)	Load-Weighted Price for RI				\$20.71	\$20.70720					
	Global Adjustment (\$/MWh)	Impact of the Global Adjust	ment			\$107.64	\$63.26060					
	Adjustments (\$/MWh)		DDD 0			\$1.00	\$1.00000		*			
	TOTAL (\$/MWh)	Average Supply Cost for	RPP Consumers			\$129.35	\$84.97		\$84.97			
	\$/kWh	DDD (CA see II)	CA mad) DDD			\$0.12935	\$0.08497		\$0.08497			
	Percentage shares (%)	non-RPP (GA mod/non-0	JA moa), RPP		<b>*</b> 0.4044	36.43%	11.26%		52.32%			
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE PRICE (\$	5/KW			\$ 0.1011	\$0.0471	\$0.0096		\$0.0445			
a:	GA Modifier	(\$/MWh)				\$	(44.38)					
,	Forecasted Commodity Prices					non-F non GA mod G	RPP SA mod		RPP			
	HOEP (\$/MWh)	Load-Weighted Price for RI				\$19.88	\$19.87891	-				
	01 1 1 4 11 1 1 (0 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4	Impact of the Global Adjust	ment			\$111.62	\$67.24330					
	Global Adjustment (\$/MWh)					\$1.00	\$1.00000					
	Adjustments (\$/MWh)					\$132.50	\$88.12		\$88.12			
	Adjustments (\$/MWh) TOTAL (\$/MWh)	Average Supply Cost for	RPP Consumers			4-11						
	Adjustments (\$/MWh) TOTAL (\$/MWh) \$/kWh	0 11				\$0.13250	\$0.08812	_	\$0.08812			
	Adjustments (\$/MWh) TOTAL (\$/MWh)	non-RPP (GA mod/non-0			\$ 0.1043	\$0.13250 36.43% \$0.0483	\$0.08812 11.26% \$0.0099		\$0.08812 52.32% \$0.0461			

#### **Commodity Expense**

#### Step 3: Commodity Expense

(volumes for the bridge and test year are loss adjusted)

Class A						2018					2019					2020		
Customer		Revenue	Expense	kWh Volume	kW Volume	HOEP Rate/kWh	Avg GA/kW	Amount	kWh Volume	kW Volume	HOEP Rate/kWh	Avg GA/kW	Amount	kWh Volume	kW Volume	HOEP Rate/kWh	Avg GA/kW	Amount
General Service 50 to 999 kW		4010	4705	202,436,222	423,145	0.02157	37.73	\$20,330,160	201,080,853	420,312	0.02071	39.12	\$20,607,250	201,040,001	420,227	0.01988	40.57	\$21,044,82
General Service 999-4999 kW		4010	4705	3,009,238,263	5,911,378	0.02157	38.95	\$295,155,787	2,964,730,197	5,823,946	0.02071	40.39	\$296,625,442	2,945,243,609	5,785,666	0.01988	41.89	\$300,882,72
Large User		4020	4705	1,822,257,490	3,401,369	0.02157	35.09	\$158,667,190	1,780,266,457	3,322,989	0.02071	36.39	\$157,789,535	1,752,241,813	3,270,679	0.01988	37.74	\$158,258,07
	•	•	•	5,033,931,974	9,735,892		341,652,699	\$474,153,137					\$475,022,227					\$480,185,63
Class B						2018					2019					2020		
Customer		Revenue	Expense			2016					2019					2020	T T	
Class Name	UoM	USA #	USA #	Volume	rate (\$/kWh):			Amount	Volume	rate (\$/kWh):			Amount	Volume	rate (\$/kWh):			Amount
Residential	kWh	4006	4705	4.715.095.365	\$0.0982	-	H	\$462.817.662	4.665.709.141	\$0.1011	-		\$471.863.627	4.643.700.703	, ,	-	-	\$484.285.97
CSMUR	kWh	4006	4705	263,751,687	\$0.0982	-	i i	\$25,888,965	271,698,316	\$0.1011			\$27,478,042	285,302,456		-		\$29,753,85
General Service < 50 kW	kWh	4035	4705	2,375,448,993	\$0.0982	-		\$233,165,962	2,348,801,828	\$0.1011			\$237,544,630	2,334,534,285		-	-	\$243,465,77
General Service 50 to 999 kW	kWh	4010	4705	9,736,681,303	\$0.0982	-	Ī	\$955,719,392	9,671,491,409	\$0.1011			\$978,120,341	9,669,526,574	\$0.1043	-		\$1,008,423,32
General Service 999-4999 kW	kWh	4010	4705	1,788,892,349	\$0.0982			\$175,591,565	1,762,433,780	\$0.1011			\$178,242,657	1,750,849,649	\$0.1043			\$182,594,00
Large User	kWh	4020	4705	303,930,064	\$0.0982			\$29,832,737	296,926,478	\$0.1011			\$30,029,477	292,252,313	\$0.1043			\$30,478,64
Unmetered Scattered Load	kWh	4035	4705	42,416,018	\$0.0982			\$4,163,412	42,416,018	\$0.1011			\$4,289,718	42,532,226	\$0.1043			\$4,435,63
Street Lighting	kWh	4025	4705	118,016,190	\$0.0982			\$11,584,066	118,208,164	\$0.1011			\$11,954,910	118,794,420	\$0.1043			\$12,388,92
TOTAL				19,344,231,970			-	\$1,898,763,761	19,177,685,135		_		\$1,939,523,402	19,137,492,626		-	-	\$1,995,826,13
•																		
Total						2018					2019					2020		
Customer		Revenue	Expense															
Class Name	UoM	USA #	USA#	Volume	avg rate (\$/kWh):			Amount	Volume	avg rate (\$/kWh):			Amount	Volume	avg rate (\$/kWh):			Amount
Residential	kWh	4006	4705	4,715,095,365	\$0.0982			462,817,662	4,665,709,141	\$0.1011			471,863,627	4,643,700,703	\$0.1043			\$484,285,97
CSMUR	kWh	4006	4705	263,751,687	\$0.0982			25,888,965	271,698,316	\$0.1011			27,478,042	285,302,456	\$0.1043			\$29,753,85
General Service < 50 kW	kWh	4035	4705	2,375,448,993	\$0.0982			233,165,962	2,348,801,828	\$0.1011			237,544,630	2,334,534,285	\$0.1043			\$243,465,77
General Service 50 to 999 kW	kWh	4010	4705	9,939,117,525	\$0.0982			976,049,552	9,872,572,262	\$0.1012			998,727,591	9,870,566,575	\$0.1043			\$1,029,468,14
General Service 999-4999 kW	kWh	4010	4705	4,798,130,612	\$0.0981			470,747,351	4,727,163,977	\$0.1005			474,868,099	4,696,093,258	\$0.1030			\$483,476,73
Large User	kWh	4020	4705	2,126,187,554	\$0.0887			188,499,927	2,077,192,935	\$0.0904			187,819,012	2,044,494,126	\$0.0923			\$188,736,72
Unmetered Scattered Load	kWh	4035	4705	42,416,018	\$0.0982			4,163,412	42,416,018	\$0.1011			4,289,718	42,532,226	\$0.1043			\$4,435,63
Street Lighting	kWh	4025	4705	118,016,190	\$0.0982			11,584,066	118,208,164	\$0.1011			11,954,910	118,794,420	\$0.1043			\$12,388,92
TOTAL				24,378,163,944		-	-	\$2,372,916,898	24,123,762,642		_		\$2.414.545.629	24.036.018.049		-	_	\$2,476,011,77

	тот	AL COP FORECAS	Т			
		2018		<u>2019</u>		<u>2020</u>
Total Energy (From Above)	\$	2,372,916,898	\$	2,414,545,629	\$	2,476,011,773
Transmission	\$	284,446,121	\$	290,274,731	\$	297,625,829
Smart Metering Entity Charge	\$	5,195,067	\$	5,236,050	\$	5,286,155
Wholesale Market Services -2016	\$	87,761,390	\$	86,845,546	\$	86,529,665
Rural Rate Protection Charge - 2008	\$	7,313,449	\$	7,237,129	\$	7,210,805
THESL Own Use Deduction	\$	(2,530,174)	\$	(2,682,764)	\$	(2,747,560)
TOTAL Cost of Power for WCA	¢	2 755 102 752	¢	2 801 456 320	¢	2 860 016 660

- 1) Class A kWh in Step 1 has been normalized to show the number of customer and associated increase from July to December for the entire year 2) HOEP Rates de-escalated at rate 0.96 per year for 2019 and 2020, consistent with RPP Report 3) GA Rates escalated for 2019 and 2020 at rate of 1.037 per year based on historical analysis

- 4) Transmission, SMEC, WMS, RRP, and THESL Own Use Deduction remain the same as originally filed

EB-2018-0165 Interrogatory Responses **2A-STAFF-53** Appendix A FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 3 of 3

Toronto Hydro Electric-System Limited

	2020 Expenses (\$ Millions)	Working Capital Factor		Expenses times Working Factor (\$Millions)
Cost of Power	2,869.9	5.0%		143.5
OM&A	277.9	4.3%		11.9
Interest on Long Term Debt	89.2	7.0%		6.2
Income and Capital Taxes	34.1	16.7%		5.7
Sub-Total Working Capital Requirement				167.3
HST at 13%		Net Lag Days	Expenses * Net Lag Days/365 *13% HST	
Revenue	3,654.2	(10.07)	(13.1)	
Cost of Power	2,869.9	46.03	47.1	
Eligible OM&A expenses	106.4	41.58	1.6	
HST Working Capital Allowance				35.5
Total Working Capital				202.9
Working Capital as % of Cost of Power and Controllable Expenses				6.44%

2A-STAFF-54

FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 1 of 2

# **RESPONSES TO OEB STAFF INTERROGATORIES** 1 2 3 **INTERROGATORY 54:** 4 Reference(s): Exhibit 2A, Tab 4, Schedule 1, p. 1 5 6 Preamble: 7 Toronto Hydro makes capital contributions to HONI to complete certain capital work. 8 These contributions are recognized as intangible assets and amortized on a straight-line 9 basis over 25 years. 10 11 a) Please provide rationale supporting this approach and advise whether this 12 approach has been previously approved by the OEB. 13 14 15 **RESPONSE:** a) The formula for determining the amount of capital contribution required to be made 16 17 to Hydro One incorporates assumptions as to the period of time over which the cost of investment in the PP&E asset would be recovered by them. Since this Net Present 18 19 Value is calculated based on 25 years, Toronto Hydro uses the same number of years 20 to amortize these intangible assets. 21 22 In the Accounting Procedure Handbook (APH) (Issued December 2011), article 410, 23 page 32 defines the amortization of intangibles. The definition as per APH is Intangible Assets (IAS 38) Paragraph 97 states that "...the amortization method used 24 25 shall reflect the pattern in which the asset's future economic benefits are expected to be consumed by the entity. If that pattern cannot be determined reliably, the straight-26 27 line method shall be used..."

> FILED: January 21, 2019 Page 2 of 2

1 Toronto Hydro's approach is aligned with this definition.

Panel: Distribution System Capital and Maintenance

2A-STAFF-55

FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 1 of 2

1			RESPONSES TO OEB STAFF INTERROGATORIES
2			
3	INT	ERROGATOR	<b>7</b> 55:
4	Ref	ference(s):	Exhibit 2A, Tab 4, Schedule 1, p. 2
5			Chapter 2 Appendices, Appendix 2-AA
6			
7	<u>Pre</u>	eamble:	
8	Tor	onto Hydro n	otes that the AFUDC rate applied under MIFRS is based on the weighted
9	ave	erage cost of b	orrowing.
10			
11		a) Please co	nfirm that Toronto Hydro uses its "actual" weighted average cost of
12		borrowing	g for the historical period and its applied-for weighted average cost of
13		borrowing	g for the forecast period (Exhibit 2A / Tab 4 / Schedule 1 / p. 2).
14			
15		b) Please pro	ovide the AFUDC percentages (%) for each year (2015-2024) and the total
16		capital to	which the AFUDC is applied. Please reconcile to the total annual AFUDC
17		amounts	shown in Appendix 2-AA.
18			
19			
20	RES	SPONSE:	
21	a)	Confirmed.	
22			
23	b)	Please see Ta	ble 1 below. Note that the amounts presented are an average of
24		monthly amo	unts for each year. Toronto Hydro confirms that the total annual AFUDC
25		ties back to the	ne amounts shown in Appendix 2-AA.

FILED: January 21, 2019 Page 2 of 2

# 1 Table 1: 2015-2024 AFUDC

Program	2015 Actual	2016 Actual	2017 Actual	2018 Bridge	2019 Bridge	2020 Forecast	2021 Forecast	2022 Forecast	2023 Forecast	2024 Forecast
(\$M)	MIFRS	MIFRS	MIFRS	MIFRS	MIFRS	MIFRS	MIFRS	MIFRS	MIFRS	MIFRS
AFUDC	10.8	12.5	9.8	6.0	4.0	6.0	8.2	8.7	8.9	7.7
Average monthly CWIP	288.9	284.8	254.0	166.6	110.3	142.4	195.2	205.0	210.4	182.4
AFUDC Percentage	3.7%	4.4%	3.9%	3.6%	3.6%	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%

2A-STAFF-56

FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 1 of 2

1			RESPONSES TO OEB STAFF INTERROGATORIES
2			
3	INTE	RROGATOR	Y 56:
4	Refe	erence(s):	Exhibit 2A, Tab 4, Schedule 1, p. 4, Table 1
5			Exhibit 2B, Section A6, p. 33
6			
7	<u>Prea</u>	mble:	
8	Toro	nto Hydro p	rovided a table highlighting the movement between in-service additions
9	and	its CWIP acc	ount for the 2015-2020 period.
10			
11	ā	a) Please ex	plain the differences in the capital expenditures shown in Table 1 (Exhibit
12		2A / Tab 4	4 / Schedule 1 / p. 4) and the capital expenditures shown in Table 7
13		(Exhibit 2	B / Section A6 / p. 33).
14			
15	k	) Please co	nfirm that the line titled "Deductions (In-Service Additions)" in Table 1
16		(Exhibit 2	A / Tab 4 / Schedule 1 / p. 4) are the in-service additions shown in
17		Appendix	2-BA.
18			
19			
20	RESI	PONSE:	
21	a) 1	he differenc	es between Exhibit 2A, Tab 4, Schedule 1, Table 1 and Exhibit 2B, Section
22	A	\6, page 33,	Table 7 are itemized in Table 1 below.

**2A-STAFF-56** FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 2 of 2

### Table 1: Capital Expenditures Reconciliation (S Millions)

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Bridge	Bridge	Test
Exhibit 2A, Tab 4, Schedule 1, page 4	490.6	508.4	496.6	434.7	425.7	514.0
Monthly Billing	0.8	1.2	1.2	-	-	-
EIP	-	-	-	-	4.2	-
REI	-	2.0	-	13.1	5.1	4.4
Exhibit 2B, Section A6, page 33	491.4	511.6	497.8	447.8	434.9	518.4

**Note 1: Externally Initiated Plant Relocations (EIP)** – In the last rebasing application (EB-2014-0116) the OEB approved a variance account to "capture the difference between the amounts included in rates related to capital spending on third party initiated relocation and expansion projects and the amounts actually spent from 2015 to 2019." The amount shown in the table above represents the EIP expenditures above the amounts included in rates, at the end of the 2015 to 2019 rate period.

**Note 2: Renewable Enabling Improvements (REI)** represent the expenditures associated with renewable enabling improvements that are subject to provincial rate protection in accordance with the OEB's decision in EB-2014-0116.<sup>2</sup>

3 b) Confirmed.

2

1

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  EB-2014-0116, OEB Decision and Order (December 29, 2015) at page 50.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ibid at pages 32-33.

2A-STAFF-57

FILED: January 21, 2019 Page 1 of 3

# **RESPONSES TO OEB STAFF INTERROGATORIES**

2			
3	INTER	ROGATO	DRY 57:
4	Refere	ence(s):	Chapter 2 Appendices, Appendix 2-AB
5			Chapter 2 Appendices, Appendix 2-AA
6			Exhibit 2B, Section A5.1, p. 14
7			
8	a)	Please	file an expanded Appendix 2-AB as follows:
9		i)	Includes data for the years 2010-2024
LO		ii)	Expands system OM&A by sub-category
l1		iii)	Provides total system OM&A as a percentage (%) of gross and net capital
12			expenditures.
L3			
L4	b)	Please	explain whether the capital contributions included in Appendix 2-AB are all
<b>L</b> 5		of the o	capital contributions that Toronto Hydro received during the 2015-2019
L6		period	and forecasts to receive during the 2020-2024 period. Please provide your
L7		answer	in the context that the capital contributions shown for the customer
L8		connec	tion program (Exhibit 2B / Section E5.1 / p. 14) are larger than the total
L9		capital	contributions shown at Appendix 2-AB in almost every year.
20			
21	c)	Please	explain the terminology "customer contribution" (Exhibit 2B / Section E5.1
22		/ p. 14)	. Please advise whether this is different from a capital contribution.
23			
24	d)	Please	advise whether for some capital programs Toronto Hydro subtracts the
25		capital	contribution (or customer contribution) before showing the total capital
26		expend	liture amount (instead of showing it as a gross amount with a separate
27		adiustr	ment for the capital contribution). If so, please explain why and provide a

1

**2A-STAFF-57** FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 2 of 3

1		list of all the capital programs where the capital expenditure amount is presented
2		in that manner.
3		
4		e) Please explain the variance in capital contributions (as shown in Appendix 2-AB)
5		between 2017 actual and the 2020 test year. Please advise whether there was a
6		change in what is considered a capital contribution for that line item in Appendix
7		2-AB.
8		
9		
10	RE	SPONSE:
11	a)	Please refer to Appendix A to this response. For 2010, Toronto Hydro is unable to
12		provide the breakdown amounts by the current program classification as the 2010
13		programs were categorized differently. Toronto Hydro notes that providing historical
14		information for years prior to 2013 is beyond the OEB's filing requirements.
15		
16	b)	The capital contributions included in Appendix 2-AB represent contributions paid out
17		and forecast to be paid out to Hydro One Networks Inc. ("Hydro One") over the 2015-
18		2024 period. <sup>1</sup> The capital contributions shown for the Customer Connections
19		program in Exhibit 2B, Section E5.1, page 14 represent the capital contribution from
20		customers received by Toronto Hydro.
21		
22	c)	Customer contributions represent payments received or expected to be received by
23		Toronto Hydro. There is no difference from the "capital contribution" terminology.

Panel: Distribution System Capital and Maintenance

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  As per the OEB Filing Requirements for Electricity Distribution Rate Applications (July 12, 2018), Chapter 2, section 2.2.2.2

**2A-STAFF-57** FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 3 of 3

1	d)	For the purposes of summarizing, Toronto Hydro provides an overall capital program
2		net of capital contribution in Appendix 2-AA. (emphasis added)
3		
4		Where there are capital (i.e. customer) contributions within the program, these are
5		shown at the program level in the following where applicable:
6		<ul> <li>Exhibit 2B, Section E5.1 Customer Connections;</li> </ul>
7		• Exhibit 2B, Section E5.2 Externally Initiated Plant Relocation & Expansion; and
8		• Exhibit 2B, Section E7.2 Energy Storage Systems.
9		
10	e)	The major variances in Hydro One contributions are described in Exhibit 2B, Section
11		E7.4.4.2.
12		
13		No. There was no change in what is considered a capital contribution for that line
14		item in Appendix 2-AB. Within Appendix 2-AB, the capital contribution line represents
15		the Hydro One contributions paid out by Toronto Hydro as stated in response to part
16		(b).

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2018-0165 Interrogatory Responses 2A-STAFF-58 Appendix A FILED: January 21, 2019 Page 1 of 1

# OEB Appendix 2-AB Table 2 - Capital Expenditure Summary from Chapter 5 Consolidated

First year of Forecast Period:

2020

																						Forec	ast Period (plar	ned)	
0.770007	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		2015			2016			2017			2018			2019						<u> </u>
CATEGORY	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	CIR Filing Plan	Actual	Var	CIR Filing Plan	Actual	Var	CIR Filing Plan	Actual	Var	CIR Filing Plan	Bridge	Var	CIR Filing Plan	Bridge <sup>2</sup>	Var	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
		In n	nillions of do	lars		In millions	s of dollars	%	In millions	of dollars	%		In	millions of dollar	3										
System Access	44.4	58.3	53.2	86.6	62.9	86.1	58.3	-32.3%	95.3	79.0	-17.2%	104.9	65.5	-37.6%	95.8	100.8	5.2%	92.3	97.1	5.2%	91.8	93.3	93.9	106.0	116.4
System Renewal	215.0	219.3	157.2	231.1	302.6	251.7	304.1	20.8%	239.6	266.1	11.0%	256.2	250.3	-2.3%	275.9	229.4	-16.9%	287.3	253.4	-11.8%	306.6	325.7	323.1	339.0	325.5
System Service	35.3	75.6	38.4	83.7	103.5	76.5	37.9	-50.4%	70.7	53.3	-24.6%	65.1	72.4	11.3%	52.6	41.4	-21.4%	80.2	41.8	-47.9%	34.2	60.1	71.3	33.6	38.5
General Plant	55.5	67.7	29.3	33.8	107.6	104.6	79.4	-24.1%	101.5	109.5	7.9%	30.3	98.9	226.4%	34.2	70.0	104.6%	30.3	40.2	32.7%	78.8	93.7	89.0	77.7	85.2
Other	50.4	24.6	9.9	10.5	9.0	12.2	11.6	-4.8%	11.6	3.7	-67.9%	10.8	10.7	-1.4%	11.5	6.3	-45.6%	12.1	2.4	-80.2%	7.0	9.0	9.8	9.5	8.7
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	400.6	445.5	288.0	445.7	585.6	531.1	491.4	-7.5%	518.8	511.6	-1.4%	467.4	497.8	6.5%	470.0	447.8	-4.7%	502.2	434.9	-13.4%	518.4	581.8	587.1	565.7	574.4
Capital Contributions	- 0.3	- 15.4	- 33.1	- 37.2	- 25.7	- 6.6	- 4.0	-40.0%	- 29.1	- 16.6	-42.9%	- 48.2	- 37.4	-22.5%	- 32.1	- 22.9	-28.6%	- 30.5	- 28.1	-8.0%	- 12.8	- 16.1	- 15.2	16.8	- 14.6
Net Capital Expenditures	400.3	430.1	254.9	408.5	559.9	524.5	487.5	-7.1%	489.7	495.0	1.1%	419.2	460.5	9.9%	438.0	424.9	-3.0%	471.6	406.8	-13.7%	505.6	565.7	571.9	548.9	559.8
System O&M	114.6	111.9	109.0	119.8	112.1	128.8	116.1	-9.9%		126.5			126.3			126.9			131.0		130.4				
System O&M over Total Expenditure %	29%	25%	38%	27%	19%	24%	24%			25%			25%			28%			30%		25%				
System O&M over Net Capital Expenditures %	29%	26%	43%	29%	20%	25%	24%			26%			27%			30%			32%		26%				

System O&M sub-category

Cystem Cam sub category											
Predictive and Preventative Maintenance		13.7	16.0	12.8	14.9	14.5	15.8	15.5	16.6	17.6	17.0
Corrective Maintenance		25.8	21.5	17.0	16.6	16.1	16.8	20.3	17.0	17.0	17.2
Emergency Response		13.3	13.9	26.3	16.1	16.4	15.2	15.9	16.4	16.5	16.6
Disaster Preparedness Management		-	-	-	0.0	2.3	2.4	2.2	2.6	2.8	2.7
Control Centre Operations		8.4	8.3	8.9	5.5	5.4	5.4	6.3	7.8	8.7	8.7
Customer Driven Work		6.0	5.9	7.0	8.1	10.2	10.0	11.6	9.9	9.6	9.6
Asset and Program Management		14.0	14.5	17.1	13.5	11.2	18.1	11.5	14.8	15.3	13.1
Work Program Execution		14.9	13.8	13.0	16.8	19.5	19.5	20.5	19.1	20.3	21.8
Fleet and Equipment		8.7	8.5	8.7	10.2	10.1	9.8	11.0	10.9	11.0	11.0
Supply Chain		7.1	6.6	9.0	10.4	10.4	13.4	11.4	11.7	12.3	12.6
System O&M	114.6	111.9	109.0	119.8	112.1	116.1	126.5	126.3	126.9	131.0	130.4

**2A-STAFF-58** FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 1 of 1

1		RESPONSES TO OEB STAFF INTERROGATORIES
2		
3	INTERROGATOR	Y 58:
4	Reference(s):	Chapter 2 Appendices, Appendix 2-D
5		
6	a) Please pr	ovide an expanded Appendix 2-D that includes information for 2010
7	2020.	
8		
9		
10	RESPONSE:	
11	a) Please see Ap	ppendix A to this response.

Toronto Hydro Electric-System Limited EB-2018-0165 Interrogatory Responses 2A-STAFF-58 Appendix A

> FILED: January 21, 2019 Page 1 of 1

OEB Appendix 2-D Overhead Expense

Applicants are to provide a breakdown of OM&A before capitalization in the below table. OM&A before capitalization may be broken down by cost center, program, drivers or another format best suited to focus on capitalized vs. uncapitalized OM&A.

OM&A Before Capitalization	CGAAP 2010	CGAAP 2011	USGAAP 2012	USGAAP 2013	USGAAP 2014	MIFRS 2015	MIFRS 2016	MIFRS 2017	MIFRS 2018	MIFRS 2019	MIFRS 2020
	Historical Year	Bridge Year	Bridge Year	Test Year							
Operations	160.3	160.3	140.9	156.6	148.3	146.9	155.1	153.6	159.7	166.7	169.0
Maintenance	47.3	55.5	58.4	70.2	66.0	73.6	65.1	68.5	69.1	71.2	72.0
Billing and Collecting	33.4	42.2	35.3	34.9	35.9	36.8	34.0	35.9	40.8	41.5	45.8
Community Relations	4.2	3.6	3.3	3.4	2.6	3.5	2.5	2.4	2.6	2.7	2.8
Administrative and General	80.9	80.5	74.1	80.7	93.7	90.4	98.2	104.5	103.4	104.9	109.4
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	8.1	5.9	(2.3)	6.4	5.8	5.2	4.6	5.3	5.6	5.4	5.5
Donations	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.9	1.0
Restructuring Costs (OEB Account 6310 -											
Extraordinary Deduction)	-	-	27.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total OM&A Before Capitalization (B)	\$ 334.2	\$ 348.7	\$ 338.1	\$ 353.0	\$ 352.8	\$ 357.4	\$ 360.6	\$ 371.1	\$ 382.0	\$ 393.4	\$ 405.6

Applicants are to provide a breakdown of capitalized OM&A in the below table. Capitalized OM&A may be broken down using the categories listed in the table below if possible. Otherwise, applicants are to provide its own break down of capitalized OM&A.

Capitalized OM&A	2010 Historical Year	2011 Historical Year	2012 Historical Year	2013 Historical Year	2014 Historical Year	2015 Historical Year	2016 Historical Year	2017 Historical Year	2018 Bridge Year	2019 Bridge Year	2020 Test Year	Directly Attributable? (Yes/No)	Explanation for Change in Overhead Capitalized
Labour Capitalization	(104.6)	(95.2)	(79.0)	(89.1)	(91.8)	(99.1)	(95.6)	(101.1)	(105.4)	(109.8)	(112.5)	Yes	
Vehicle Capitalization	(5.1)	(6.5)	(8.4)	(7.2)	(7.5)	(4.2)	(4.3)	(4.5)	(3.8)	(3.9)	(4.1)	Yes	
Material Handling On-cost	(7.8)	(8.5)	(7.3)	(10.2)	(12.3)	(10.2)	(10.8)	(10.3)	(11.6)	(11.6)	(11.5)	Yes	
Total Capitalized OM&A (A)	(117.5)	(110.2)	(94.6)	(106.5)	(111.7)	(113.4)	(110.8)	(115.9)	(120.8)	(125.2)	(128.1)		
% of Capitalized OM&A (=A/B)	-35%	-32%	-28%	-30%	-32%	-32%	-31%	-31%	-32%	-32%	-32%		

2A-STAFF-59

FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 1 of 3

# **RESPONSES TO OEB STAFF INTERROGATORIES** 1 2 3 **INTERROGATORY 59:** Reference(s): 4 Exhibit 2A, Tab 6, Schedule 1, pp. 4-5 5 Exhibit 2A, Tab 6, Schedule 3 6 Exhibit 2A, Tab 6, Schedule 5 7 8 Preamble: 9 Toronto Hydro notes that the opening balances in Appendix 2-FB arise from the Renewable Enabling Improvement (REI) investments approved by the OEB in Toronto 10 11 Hydro's 2015-2019 Custom IR proceeding. Toronto Hydro notes that the balances reflect 12 the current forecast for these previously approved programs. 13 14 a) Please provide specific page references in the DSP that reconcile to the forecasted 15 REI-related costs shown in Table 1 (Exhibit 2A / Tab 6 / Schedule 1 / pp. 4-5). 16 b) Please provide specific references (both evidentiary and in the OEB's decision) to 17 the capital projects that were approved in Toronto Hydro's 2015-2019 Custom IR 18 19 proceeding. 20 21 c) Please expand Appendix 2-FB (for both the energy storage projects and the 22 generation protection projects) to include a continuity of the revenue requirement 23 calculations beginning when the assets first came into service. 24 25 d) Please reconcile the provincial benefit portion of the REI-eligible assets shown in Appendix 2-FB to Appendix 2-BA (socialized REI line item). 26

2A-STAFF-59

FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 2 of 3

# 1 **RESPONSE:** 2 a) Please refer to Exhibit 2B, Section E5.5, Table 7, p. 16 for the forecasted REI-related 3 investments included in the Generation Protection, Monitoring and Control program 4 and to Exhibit 2B, Section E7.2, Table 15, p. 25 for those included in the Energy 5 Storage Systems program. 6 7 b) In Toronto Hydro's 2015-2019 Application (EB-2014-0116), please refer to Exhibit 2B, 8 Section E5.5 for project details included in the Generation Protection, Monitoring and 9 Control program and to Exhibit, 2B, Section E7.11 for those included in the Energy 10 Storage Systems program. This information is also described in Exhibit 2A, Tab 8, Schedule 1 of the 2015-2019 Application evidence. 11 12 13 Please refer to pages 32-33 of the EB-2014-0116, Decision and Order (December 29, 2015), related to approved REI investments. 14 15 c) Upon revisiting the 2-FB schedules, an error in the calculation of average net fixed 16 17 assets for the Generation Protection, Monitoring and Control program was found 18 which caused the net book value in 2020 opening balance to be understated by \$0.8 19 million. The downstream impact to rate base is noted to be an immaterial amount of 20 \$4,000 for each year, as only 6 percent of this value is used in rate base. 21 22 The expanded 2-FB schedules provided, as Appendix A and B to this response, are 23 based on the corrected net book values and will be included as part of the evidence

update in 2019.

24

2A-STAFF-59

FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 3 of 3

- 1 d) The differences are due to the OEB template (2-FB) which uses a formulaic approach
- of using the half year rule for in-service assets, PP&E, and PILS creating a discrepancy
- in Appendix 2-BA, which is based on the actual or forecasted in-service month.

#### Appendix 2-FB Calculation of Renewable Generation Connection Direct Benefits/Provincial Amount: Renewable Enabling Improvement Investments

This table will calculate the distributor/provincial shares of the investments entered in Part A of Appendix 2-FA. Enter values in green shaded cells: WCA percentage, debt percentages, interest rates, kWh, tax rates, amortization period, CCA Class and percentage. For historical investments, enter these variables for your last cost of service test year. For 2020 and beyond, enter variables as in the application. Rate Riders are not calculated for the Test Year as these assets and costs are already in the distributor's rate base/revenue requirement.

			015				016				2017					2018					2019			2020					021			20					2023				2024		
				Provincial		Direct Ber	nefit Pi	rovincial			t Benefit					t Benefit	Provir				t Benefit	Provinc	ial				Provincial			irect Benefit	Provincia			rect Benefit				irect Benefit				Direct Bene	
	Total		6%	94%	Total	6%		94%	Total		6%	94%	6	Total		6%	949		Total		6%	94%		Total		5%	94%		otal	6%	94%		tal	6%	94%		Γotal	6%	94%		Total	6%	94%
Net Fixed Assets (average)	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$-	\$	- \$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	- \$	414,58	37 \$	24,875	\$ 389	9,712 \$	4,570,823	3 \$	274,249	\$ 4,296,	,574 \$	8,507,196	\$ 5	510,432	\$ 7,996,76		863,312	531,799	\$ 8,331,5		,	549,166	\$ 8,603,	595 \$ 9,3	375,543	562,533	\$ 8,813	3,011 \$	9,531,659	\$ 571,90	00 \$ 8,959,760
Incremental OM&A (on-going, N/A for Pro		\$	-		\$0	\$	-		\$0	\$	-			\$0	\$	-			\$0	\$	-			\$0	\$	-	_		\$0 \$	-			50 \$	-	_		\$0	5 -			\$0	\$ -	_
Incremental OM&A (start-up, applicable f	\$0	\$	-	\$ -	\$0	\$	- \$	-	\$0	\$	-	\$	-	\$0	\$	-	•	-	\$0	\$	-	\$	-	\$0	\$	- :	\$ -	,	\$0 \$	-	\$ -	,	60 \$	-	\$		\$0	5 -	•	-	\$0	\$ -	•
WCA 6.4%		\$				7				\$	-	-			\$	-	7	-		\$	-	\$			\$	-	\$ -		_3	-	\$ -	_	\$		\$		-	-	Ψ	-	_	\$ -	- T
Rate Base		\$	-	\$ -		\$	- \$	-		\$	-	\$	-		\$	24,875	\$ 389	9,712		\$	274,249	\$ 4,296,	,574		\$ 5	10,432	\$ 7,996,76	ib	3	531,799	\$ 8,331,5	13	\$	549,166	\$ 8,603,	595		562,533	\$ 8,813	3,011		\$ 571,90	00 \$ 8,959,760
Deemed ST Debt 4%		\$	_	s -		\$	- S	_		s	_	s	_		\$	995	S 15	5,588		s	10,970	\$ 171,	.863		\$	20.417	\$ 319,87	1	9	21,272	\$ 333,2	61	\$	21,967	\$ 344,	144		22,501	\$ 35	2,520		\$ 22.8	6 \$ 358,390
Deemed LT Debt 56%		\$	_	\$ -		\$	- \$	_		\$	_	s	_		\$	13,930		8,239			153,580						\$ 4,478,18		9	297,807				307,533				315,018					64 \$ 5,017,465
Deemed Equity 40%		\$		\$ -		\$	- \$			Š		s			\$	9,950		5,885			109,700						\$ 3,198,70		9	212,719				219,666					\$ 3,52				50 \$ 3,583,904
ST Interest 2.61%		\$	-	\$ -		\$	- \$	-		\$	-	\$	-		\$	26	\$	407		\$	286	\$ 4,	,486		\$	533	\$ 8,34	9	\$	555	\$ 8,6	98	\$	573	\$ 8,	982	:	587	\$ 9	9,201		\$ 59	9,354
LT Interest 3.71%		\$	-	\$ -		\$	- \$	-		\$	-	\$	-		\$	517	\$ 8	8,097		\$	5,698	\$ 89,	,266		\$	10,605	\$ 166,14	1	\$	11,049	\$ 173,0	96	\$	11,409	\$ 178,	748	:	11,687	\$ 183	3,099		\$ 11,88	32 \$ 186,148
ROE 8.82%		\$	-	\$ -	_	\$	- \$	-		\$	-	\$	-		\$	878	\$ 13	3,749		\$	9,676	\$ 151,	,583		\$	18,008	\$ 282,12	:6		18,762	\$ 293,9	36	\$	19,375	\$ 303,	535	<u>:</u>	19,846	\$ 310	0,923	_	\$ 20,17	77 \$ 316,100
Cost of Capital Total		\$	-	\$ -		\$	- \$	-		\$	-	\$			\$	1,420	\$ 22	2,253		\$	15,660	\$ 245,	,334		\$	29,146	\$ 456,61	5	_ 9	30,366	\$ 475,7	29	\$	31,357	\$ 491,	265	<u>.:</u>	32,121	\$ 503	3,223	_	\$ 32,65	55 \$ 511,602
OM&A		\$	-	\$ -		\$	- \$	-		\$	-	\$	-		\$	-	\$	-		\$	-	\$	-		\$	- :	\$ -		\$	-	\$ -		\$	-	\$	-	:	5 -	Ψ.	-		\$ -	\$ -
Amortization	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	- \$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	- \$	28,59	2 \$	1,716			317,201	1 \$	19,032		,	610,551	*	36,633	\$ 573,91		77,218	40,633	\$ 636,5		13,884 \$	44,633			810,551	48,633		,	877,218	\$ 52,63	
Grossed-up PILs		\$	-	\$ -		\$	- \$	-		\$	-	\$	-		-\$	921	-\$ 14	4,423		-\$	9,864	\$ 154,	,540		-\$	15,509 -	\$ 242,97	4	-9	11,080	-\$ 173,5	81	-\$	7,244	-\$ 113,	493	-:	3,894	-\$ 6	1,009	-	\$ 94	13 -\$ 14,768
		_								_		_						. ===		_					_			_	_				_				_				_		
Revenue Requirement		\$	-	\$ -		\$	- \$			\$	-	\$			\$	2,215	\$ 34	4,706		\$	24,827	\$ 388,	,964		\$	50,270	\$ 787,56	0	- 3	59,919	\$ 938,7	33	\$	68,746	\$ 1,077,	)23		76,859	\$ 1,20	4,132	_	\$ 84,34	16 \$ 1,321,419
Provincial Rate Protection				•	_		_					-					¢ 2					¢ 200					¢ 707.50				¢ 020.7	22			\$ 1,077,	222			£ 120				¢ 1 221 410
Provincial Rate Protection				\$ -	_		\$	-				\$					\$ 34	4,706			-	\$ 388,	,904				\$ 787,56	10			\$ 938,7	33			\$ 1,077,	J23			\$ 1,20	4,132			\$ 1,321,419
Monthly Amount Paid by IESO				\$ -	-		•	-				\$					\$ 2	2 892			-	\$ 32,	414			_	\$ 65,63	10			\$ 78,2	28			\$ 89,	752			\$ 10	0 344			\$ 110,118
Monthly Famount 1 and by 1250				Ψ	_							Ψ					Ψ	2,002			=	ψ 52,	, -, -, -			_	ψ 05,05				ψ /0,2	20			ψ 03,	102			Ψ 10	0,044			Ψ 110,110

Note 1: The difference between the actual costs of approved eligible investments and revenue received from the IESO should be recorded in a variance account. The Board may provide regulatory accounting guidance regarding a variance account either in an individual proceeding or on a generic basis.

Note 2: For the 2016 Test Year, Costs and Revenues of the Direct Benefit are to be included in the test year applicant Rate Base and Revenues.

DII	_	0	 104	

Income Tax	2015 Direct Benefit Provincial	2016 Direct Benefit Provincial	2017 Direct Benefit Provincial	2018 Direct Benefit Provincial	2019 Direct Benefit Provincial	2020 Direct Benefit Provincial	2021 Direct Benefit Provincial	2022 Direct Benefit Provincial	2023 Direct Benefit Provincial	2024 Direct Benefit Provincial
Net Income - ROE on Rate Base Amortization (6% DB and 94% P) CCA (6% DB and 94% P) Taxable income	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 878 \$ 13,749 \$ 1,716 \$ 26,877 -\$ 5,147 -\$ 80,630 -\$ 2,553 -\$ 40,004	\$ 9,676 \$ 151,583 \$ 19,032 \$ 298,169 -\$ 56,067 -\$ 878,381 -\$ 27,359 -\$ 428,629	\$ 18,008 \$ 282,126 \$ 36,633 \$ 573,918 -\$ 97,656 -\$ 1,529,952 -\$ 43,015 -\$ 673,908	\$ 18,762 \$ 293,936 \$ 40,633 \$ 636,585 -\$ 90,125 -\$ 1,411,961 -\$ 30,730 -\$ 481,441	\$ 19,375 \$ 303,535 \$ 44,633 \$ 699,251 -\$ 84,100 -\$ 1,317,569 -\$ 20,093 -\$ 314,783	\$ 19,846 \$ 310,923 \$ 48,633 \$ 761,918 -\$ 79,280 -\$ 1,242,055 -\$ 10,801 -\$ 169,214	\$ 20,177 \$ 316,100 \$ 52,633 \$ 824,585 -\$ 75,424 -\$ 1,181,644 -\$ 2,614 -\$ 40,959
Tax Rate (to be entered)	26.50% 26.50%	26.50% 26.50%	26.50% 26.50%	26.50% 26.50%	26.50% 26.50%	26.50% 26.50%	26.50% 26.50%	26.50% 26.50%	26.50% 26.50%	26.50% 26.50%
Income Taxes Payable Gross Up Income Taxes Payable Grossed Up PILs	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ (920.64) \$ (14,423.33) •\$ 921 •\$ 14,423	\$ 7,250.21 -\$ 113,586.64 \$ (9,864.23) \$ (154,539.65) -\$ 9,864 -\$ 154,540	\$ 11,399.08 -\$ 178,585.59 \$ (15,508.95) \$ (242,973.60) -\$ 15,509 -\$ 242,974	-\$ 8,143.52 -\$ 127,581.85 \$ (11,079.62) \$ (173,580.75) -\$ 11,080 -\$ 173,581	\$ 5,324.52 -\$ 83,417.48 \$ (7,244.25) \$ (113,493.18) -\$ 7,244 -\$ 113,493	\$ 2,862.24 -\$ 44,841.79 \$ (3,894.21) \$ (61,009.24) -\$ 3,894 -\$ 61,009	\$ 692.82 \\$ 10,854.21 \$ (942.61) \$ (14,767.63) \\$ 943 \\$ 14,768

moomo raxoo rayabio		<u> </u>		Ψ		<u> </u>		Ÿ				Ψ		_	Ψ.	(020.01)
Grossed Up PILs		\$	-	\$ -		\$	-	\$ -	-	\$	-	\$	-	_	-\$	921 -
		_	2015	2016	2017	Т	2018	2019	2020	ı	2021		2022	2023	Г	2024
Not Final Assets			2013	2010	2017		2010	2019	2020		2021		2022	2023	<u> </u>	2024
Net Fixed Assets  Enter applicable amortization in years:	15															
	15			_	•	•		0.057.700	® 0 050 005	•	0.050.005	•	40.050.005	0.44.050.005	•	10.050.005
Opening Gross Fixed Assets		•	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	057.700	\$ 857,766 \$ 7.800.499	\$ 8,658,265	\$	9,658,265	•	10,658,265	\$ 11,658,265	_	12,658,265
Gross Capital Additions		3	-	-	\$-	\$	857,766	. , ,	\$1,000,000	\$	1,000,000	_	1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$	1,000,000
Closing Gross Fixed Assets		\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	857,766	\$ 8,658,265	\$ 9,658,265	\$	10,658,265	\$	11,658,265	\$ 12,658,265	\$	13,658,265
On the American International				_	•	•		ê 00.500	0.45.700	•	050.044	•	1 000 500	0 0077 110	•	0.107.007
Opening Accumulated Amortization		•	-	\$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$	-	\$ 28,592	\$ 345,793	\$	956,344	\$	1,633,562	\$ 2,377,446	\$	3,187,997
Current Year Amortization (before additions)		\$	-	\$ .	-	\$	-	\$ 57,184	\$ 577,218	\$	643,884	\$	710,551	\$ 777,218	\$	843,884
Additions (half-year)		\$	-	\$ -	\$-	\$	28,592	\$ 260,017	\$ 33,333	\$	33,333	\$	33,333	\$ 33,333	\$	33,333
Closing Accumulated Amortization		\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	28,592	\$ 345,793	\$ 956,344	\$	1,633,562	\$	2,377,446	\$ 3,187,997	\$	4,065,215
					_	_				_		_				
Opening Net Fixed Assets		\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 829,174	\$ 8,312,472	\$	8,701,921	_	9,024,703	\$ 9,280,819	\$	9,470,268
Closing Net Fixed Assets		\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	829,174	\$ 8,312,472	\$ 8,701,921	\$	9,024,703	•	9,280,819	\$ 9,470,268	\$	9,593,050
Average Net Fixed Assets		\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	414,587	\$ 4,570,823	\$ 8,507,196	\$	8,863,312	\$	9,152,761	\$ 9,375,543	\$	9,531,659
UCC for PILs Calculation		_				_										
			2015	2016	2017		2018	2019	2020		2021		2022	2023		2024
Opening UCC		\$	-	\$ -	\$-	\$	-	\$ 771,990	\$ 7,638,041	\$	7,010,433	\$	6,508,346	\$ 6,106,677	\$	5,785,342
Capital Additions (from Appendix 2-FA)		\$	-	\$ -	\$-	\$	857,766	\$ 7,800,499	\$ 1,000,000	\$	1,000,000	\$	1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$	1,000,000
UCC Before Half Year Rule		\$	-	\$ -	\$-	\$	857,766	\$ 8,572,489	\$ 8,638,041	\$	8,010,433	\$	7,508,346	\$ 7,106,677	\$	6,785,342
Half Year Rule (1/2 Additions - Disposals)		\$	-	\$ -	\$-	\$	428,883	\$ 3,900,250	\$ 500,000	\$	500,000	\$	500,000	\$ 500,000	\$	500,000
Reduced UCC		\$	-	\$ -	\$-	\$	428,883	\$ 4,672,239	\$ 8,138,041	\$	7,510,433	\$	7,008,346	\$ 6,606,677	\$	6,285,342
CCA Rate Class (to be entered)	8		8	8	8		8	8	8		8		8	8		8
CCA Rate (to be entered)	20%		20%	20%	20%		20%	20%	20%		20%		20%	20%		20%
CCA		\$	-	\$ -	\$-	\$	85,777	\$ 934,448	\$ 1,627,608	\$	1,502,087	\$	1,401,669	\$ 1,321,335	\$	1,257,068
Closing UCC		\$	-	\$ -	\$-	\$	771,990	\$ 7,638,041	\$ 7,010,433	\$	6,508,346	\$	6,106,677	\$ 5,785,342	\$	5,528,273
=		_									-			•		

# Appendix 2-FB Calculation of Renewable Generation Connection Direct Benefits/Provincial Amount: Renewable Enabling Improvement Investments

This table will calculate the distributor/provincial shares of the investments entered in Part A of Appendix 2-FA.

Enter values in green shaded cells: WCA percentage, debt percentages, interest rates, kWh, tax rates, amortization period, CCA Class and percentage.

For historical investments, enter these variables for your last cost of service test year. For 2020 and beyond, enter variables as in the application.

Rate Riders are not calculated for the Test Year as these assets and costs are already in the distributor's rate base/revenue requirement.

			2015				2	016				2017			2018	3				2019			- 2	2020			2	021			202	2			2023	3			2024		
			ect Bene				Direct	Benefit	Provincial			ct Benefit			Direct B	enefit	Provincial		Direc	t Benefit	Provincial		Direct	Benefit	Provincial		Direct	Benefit	Provincial		Direct B	enefit	Provincial		Direct Be	nefit	Provincial			nefit Provincia	al
		Total	6%	94	4%	Total	6	%	94%	To	····	6%	94%	Total	6%	-	94%	Total		6%	94%	Total		6%	94%	Total		6%	94%	Total	6%	-	94%	Total	6%		94%	Total	6%	94%	
Net Fixed Assets (average)		- \$	-	\$	- :	\$ -	\$	- \$	-	\$ 1,0	45,544 \$	62,733	\$ 982,811	\$ 5,436,77	0 \$ 32	6,206	\$ 5,110,564	\$10,853,64	9 \$	651,219	\$ 10,202,431	\$14,491,28	1 \$	869,477	13,621,804	\$ 16,851,4	134 \$ 1	,011,086	\$ 15,840,348	\$ 18,455,27	8 \$ 1,10	7,317 \$	17,347,961	\$ 20,108,958	3 \$ 1,20	6,537	\$ 18,902,420		\$ 1,309,	378 \$ 20,513,5	,96
Incremental OM&A (on-going, N/A for Provincial Re		\$0 \$	-			\$0	\$	-		\$	0 \$	-		\$0	\$	-		\$0	\$	-		\$0	\$	-		\$0	\$	-		\$0	\$	-		\$0	\$	-		\$0	\$	-	
Incremental OM&A (start-up, applicable for Province		\$0 \$	-	\$	-	\$0	\$	- \$	-	\$	0 \$	-	\$ -	\$0	\$	- :	\$ -	\$0	\$	- 5	-	\$0	\$	- \$	-	\$0	\$	-	\$ -	\$0	\$	- \$	-	\$0	\$	- \$	-	\$0	\$	- \$ -	
WCA 6.4	1%	\$	-	\$	-		\$	- \$	-	_	\$	-	\$ -	_	\$	-	7		\$	- 1	\$ -		\$	- \$			\$	-	\$ -		\$	- \$	-		\$	- \$	-		-	- \$ -	_
Rate Base		\$	-	\$	-		\$	- \$	-		\$	62,733	\$ 982,811		\$ 32	6,206	\$ 5,110,564		\$	651,219	10,202,431		\$	869,477 \$	13,621,804		\$ 1	,011,086	\$ 15,840,348		\$ 1,10	7,317 \$	17,347,961		\$ 1,20	6,537 \$	18,902,420		\$ 1,309,	378 \$ 20,513,5	96
Deemed ST Debt 49		\$	-	\$	-		\$	- \$	-		-	2,509	\$ 39,312				\$ 204,423				408,097		-	34,779 \$	- 1-		\$	-,	\$ 633,614				693,918			.,	756,097			375 \$ 820,5	
Deemed LT Debt 56		\$	-	\$	-		\$	- \$	-			35,130	\$ 550,374				\$ 2,861,916				5,713,361				7,628,210				\$ 8,870,595				9,714,858				10,585,355			252 \$ 11,487,6	
Deemed Equity 40'	%	\$	-	\$	-		\$	- \$	-		\$	25,093	\$ 393,124		\$ 13	0,482	\$ 2,044,226		\$	260,488	4,080,972		\$	347,791 \$	5,448,722		\$	404,434	\$ 6,336,139		\$ 44	2,927 \$	6,939,184		\$ 48	2,615 \$	7,560,968		\$ 523,	751 \$ 8,205,4	.38
ST Interest 2.6		\$	-	\$	-		\$	- \$	-		\$	65				341			\$	680			\$	908 \$			\$	1,056					18,111			1,260 \$				367 \$ 21,4	
LT Interest 3.7		\$	-	\$	-		\$	- \$	-		\$	1,303	\$ 20,419			- /	\$ 106,177			13,530	211,966		\$	18,064 \$	283,007		\$	21,006			\$ 2	3,006 \$	360,421				392,717			204 \$ 426,1	
ROE 8.82	2%	\$	-	\$	-		\$	- \$	-	_	\$	2,213	\$ 34,674	_	\$ 1	1,509	\$ 180,301	-	\$	22,975	359,942		\$	30,675 \$	480,577		\$	35,671	\$ 558,847		\$ 3	9,066 \$	612,036		\$ 4	2,567 \$	666,877		\$ 46,	195 \$ 723,7	20
Cost of Capital Total		\$	-	\$	-		\$	- \$	-	_	\$	3,582	\$ 56,119	_	\$ 1	8,626	\$ 291,813	-	\$	37,185	582,559		\$	49,647 \$	777,805		\$	57,733	\$ 904,484		\$ 6	3,228 \$	990,569		\$ 6	8,893 \$	1,079,328		\$ 74,	766 \$ 1,171,3	26
OM&A		\$	-	\$	-		\$	- \$	-		\$	-	\$ -		\$	- :	\$ -		\$	- 5	-		\$	- \$	-		\$	-	\$ -		\$	- \$	-		\$	- \$	-		\$	- \$ -	
Amortization	\$	- \$	-	\$	- :	\$ -	\$	- \$	-	\$	38,724 \$	2,323	\$ 36,400	\$ 202,79	6 \$ 1	2,168	\$ 190,628	\$ 410,93		24,656	386,276	\$ 67,15	9 \$	4,030 \$	63,130	\$ 41,0	27 \$	2,462	\$ 38,566	\$ 43,15	5 \$	2,589 \$	40,565	\$ 45,99	\$	2,759 \$		\$ 48,691	\$ 2,	921 \$ 45,7	69
Grossed-up PILs		\$	-	\$	-		\$	- \$	-		-\$	207	-\$ 3,247		-\$	968 -	\$ 15,160		-\$	1,476 -	23,127		-\$	11,781 -\$	184,569		-\$	13,750	-\$ 215,422		-\$ 1	4,287 -\$	223,825		-\$ 1	4,861 -\$	232,829		-\$ 15,	505 -\$ 242,9	ر07
										_				_																											
Revenue Requirement		\$	-	\$	-		\$	- \$	-		\$	5,698	\$ 89,272	='	\$ 2	9,826	\$ 467,282		\$	60,364	945,708		\$	41,896 \$	656,366		\$	46,444	\$ 727,628		\$ 5	1,530 \$	807,309		\$ 5	6,791 \$	889,731		\$ 62,	182 \$ 974,1	.89
														_				•																							_
Provincial Rate Protection				\$	-			\$	-				\$ 89,272	_		-	\$ 467,282	-		-	945,708			\$	656,366				\$ 727,628			\$	807,309			\$	889,731			\$ 974,1	89
														_						_																_					
Monthly Amount Paid by IESO				\$	-			\$	-	_			\$ 7,439	-		_	\$ 38,940	•' •		3	78,809			\$	54,697				\$ 60,636			\$	67,276			\$	74,144			\$ 81,1	82

Note 1: The difference between the actual costs of approved eligible investments and revenue received from the IESO should be recorded in a variance account. The Board may provide

regulatory accounting guidance regarding a variance account either in an individual proceeding or on a generic basis.

Note 2: For the 2016 Test Year, Costs and Revenues of the Direct Benefit are to be included in the test year applicant Rate Base and Revenues.

#### PILs Calculation

i ies calculation	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Income Tax	Direct Benefit Provincial	Direct Benefit Provincial	Direct Benefit Provincial	Direct Benefit Provincial	Direct Benefit Provincial	Direct Benefit Provincial	Direct Benefit Provincial	Direct Benefit Provincial	Direct Benefit Provincial	Direct Benefit Provincial
Net Income - ROE on Rate Base Amortization (6% DB and 94% P) CCA (6% DB and 94% P) Taxable income	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 2,213 \$ 34,674 \$ 2,323 \$ 36,400 -\$ 5,112 -\$ 80,081 -\$ 575 -\$ 9,007	\$ 11,509 \$ 180,301 \$ 12,168 \$ 190,628 -\$ 26,360 -\$ 412,976 -\$ 2,684 -\$ 42,047	\$ 22,975 \$ 359,942 \$ 24,656 \$ 386,276 -\$ 51,725 -\$ 810,363 -\$ 4,094 -\$ 64,145	\$ 30,675 \$ 480,577 \$ 4,030 \$ 63,130 -\$ 67,380 -\$ 1,055,624 -\$ 32,676 -\$ 511,917	\$ 35,671 \$ 558,847 \$ 2,462 \$ 38,566 -\$ 76,270 -\$ 1,194,904 -\$ 38,138 -\$ 597,491	\$ 39,066 \$ 612,036 \$ 2,589 \$ 40,565 -\$ 81,281 -\$ 1,273,399 -\$ 39,625 -\$ 620,798	\$ 42,567 \$ 666,877 \$ 2,759 \$ 43,231 -\$ 86,546 -\$ 1,355,880 -\$ 41,219 -\$ 645,771	\$ 46,195 \$ 723,720 \$ 2,921 \$ 45,769 -\$ 92,120 -\$ 1,443,212 -\$ 43,004 -\$ 673,723
Tax Rate (to be entered)	26.50% 26.50%	26.50% 26.50%	26.50% 26.50%	26.50% 26.50%	26.50% 26.50%	26.50% 26.50%	26.50% 26.50%	26.50% 26.50%	26.50% 26.50%	26.50% 26.50%
Income Taxes Payable Gross Up	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	-\$ 152.35 -\$ 2,386.83	-\$ 711.22 -\$ 11,142.40	-\$ 1,085.01 -\$ 16,998.49	-\$ 8,659.03 -\$ 135,658.11	-\$ 10,106.49 -\$ 158,335.00	-\$ 10,500.73 -\$ 164,511.48	-\$ 10,923.15 -\$ 171,129.42	-\$ 11,395.95 -\$ 178,536.51
Income Taxes Payable Grossed Up PILs	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ (207.28) \$ (3,247.39) -\$ 207 -\$ 3,247	\$ (967.64) \$ (15,159.73) -\$ 968 -\$ 15,160	\$ (1,476.20) \$ (23,127.19) -\$ 1,476 -\$ 23,127	\$ (11,780.99) \$(184,568.86) -\$ 11,781 -\$ 184,569	\$ (13,750.33) \$(215,421.77) -\$ 13,750 -\$ 215,422	\$ (14,286.71) \$(223,825.14) -\$ 14,287 -\$ 223,825	\$ (14,861.43) \$(232,829.14) -\$ 14,861 -\$ 232,829	\$ (15,504.69) \$ (242,906.82) -\$ 15,505 -\$ 242,907

				_			-			-	
		2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Net Fixed Assets	_			•			•				
Enter applicable amortization in years: 27.5											
Opening Gross Fixed Assets		-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,129,811	\$ 9,023,973	\$ 13,577,298	\$ 17,271,048	\$ 19,527,548	\$ 21,901,048	\$ 24,430,548
Gross Capital Additions	\$	-	\$ -	\$2,129,811	\$ 6,894,162	\$ 4,553,325	\$ 3,693,750	\$ 2,256,500	\$ 2,373,500	\$ 2,529,500	\$ 2,678,000
Closing Gross Fixed Assets	\$	-	\$ -	\$2,129,811	\$ 9,023,973	\$13,577,298	\$ 17,271,048	\$ 19,527,548	\$ 21,901,048	\$ 24,430,548	\$ 27,108,548
Opening Accumulated Amortization	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 38,724	\$ 241,520	\$ 652,452	\$ 1,213,331	\$ 1,882,397	\$ 2,635,644	\$ 3,478,036
Current Year Amortization (before additions)	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 77,448	\$ 328,144	\$ 493,720	\$ 628,038	\$ 710,093	\$ 796,402	\$ 888,384
Additions (half-year)	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 38,724	\$ 125,348	\$ 82,788	\$ 67,159.09	\$ 41,027	\$ 43,155	\$ 45,991	\$ 48,691
Closing Accumulated Amortization	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 38,724	\$ 241,520	\$ 652,452	\$ 1,213,331	\$ 1,882,397	\$ 2,635,644	\$ 3,478,036	\$ 4,415,111
· ·											
Opening Net Fixed Assets	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,091,087	\$ 8,782,453	\$ 12,924,846	\$ 16,057,717	\$ 17,645,151	\$ 19,265,404	\$ 20,952,512
Closing Net Fixed Assets	\$	-	\$ -	\$2,091,087	\$ 8,782,453	\$12,924,846	\$ 16,057,717	\$ 17,645,151	\$ 19,265,404	\$ 20,952,512	\$ 22,693,437
Average Net Fixed Assets	\$	-	\$ -	\$1,045,544	\$ 5,436,770	\$10,853,649	\$ 14,491,281	\$ 16,851,434	\$ 18,455,278	\$ 20,108,958	\$ 21,822,974
•	_										
UCC for PILs Calculation											
		2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Opening UCC	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,044,619	\$ 8,499,445	\$ 12,190,681	\$ 14,761,427	\$ 15,746,752	\$ 16,765,572	\$ 17,852,646
Capital Additions (from Appendix 2-FA)	\$	-	\$ -	\$2,129,811	\$ 6,894,162	\$ 4,553,325	\$ 3,693,750	\$ 2,256,500	\$ 2,373,500	\$ 2,529,500	\$ 2,678,000
UCC Before Half Year Rule	\$	-	\$ -	\$2,129,811	\$ 8,938,781	\$13,052,769	\$ 15,884,431	\$ 17,017,927	\$ 18,120,252	\$ 19,295,072	\$ 20,530,646
Half Year Rule (1/2 Additions - Disposals)	\$	-	\$ -	\$1,064,906	\$ 3,447,081	\$ 2,276,662	\$ 1,846,875	\$ 1,128,250	\$ 1,186,750	\$ 1,264,750	\$ 1,339,000
Reduced UCC	\$	-	\$ -	\$1,064,906	\$ 5,491,700	\$10,776,107	\$ 14,037,556	\$ 15,889,677	\$ 16,933,502	\$ 18,030,322	\$ 19,191,646
CCA Rate Class (to be entered) 47		47	47	47	47	47	47	47	47	47	47
CCA Rate (to be entered) 8%		8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%
CCA	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 85,192	\$ 439,336	\$ 862,089	\$ 1,123,004	\$ 1,271,174	\$ 1,354,680	\$ 1,442,426	\$ 1,535,332
Closing UCC	\$	-	\$ -	\$2,044,619	\$ 8,499,445	\$12,190,681	\$ 14,761,427	\$ 15,746,752	\$ 16,765,572	\$ 17,852,646	\$ 18,995,315

**2A-AMPCO-12** FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 1 of 1

# RESPONSES TO ASSOCIATION OF MAJOR POWER CONSUMERS IN ONTARIO INTERROGATORIES

3

4

1

2

#### **INTERROGATORY 12:**

5 Reference(s):

Exhibit 2A, Tab 1, Schedule 1, p. 4

6

7 Please provide forecast versus actual depreciation for the years 2015 to 2018.

8

10

#### RESPONSE:

- 11 Please see Table 1 below for forecast versus actual depreciation for the years 2015 to
- 2017. Toronto Hydro expects to provide 2018 actual as part of its planned update to the
- evidence, which is discussed in Exhibit 1A, Tab 3, Schedule 1, Appendix B.

14

15

16

Table 1: Forecast versus actual depreciation expenses for the year 2015 to 2017 (\$ Millions)

	2015	2016	2017	2018
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Bridge
Net Depreciation	190.1	206.1	217.0	231.5
	2015	2016	2017	2018
	Approved	Approved	Approved	Approved
Net Depreciation	206.0	218.7	242.2	257.7
Variance	15.9	12.6	25.2	26.2

# RESPONSES TO ASSOCIATION OF MAJOR POWER CONSUMERS IN ONTARIO

# 2 INTERROGATORIES

3

1

#### 4 INTERROGATORY 13:

5 Reference(s): Exhibit 2A, Tab 4, Schedule 1, p. 3

6

- 7 Please provide the inflationary component amounts for the CIR Filing Plan related to the
- 8 System Access, System Renewal, System Service and General Plant categories included in
- 9 Appendix 2-AB.

10 11

# 12 RESPONSE:

- Please see the table below for the inflationary component amounts related to System
- Access, System Renewal, System Service, General Plant, and Other categories.

15

# 16 Table 1: Inflationary Component Amounts (\$ Millions)

	2020 Inflation	2021 Inflation	2022 Inflation	2023 Inflation	2024 Inflation
System Access	7.2	8.8	10.1	12.7	15.3
System Renewal	24.3	30.5	35.4	42.1	45.6
System Service	1.3	2.1	2.8	3.3	4.6
<b>General Plant</b>	4.2	5.7	6.4	8.5	10.8
Other	0.4	0.7	0.9	1.1	1.1
<b>Grand Total</b>	37.4	47.8	55.7	67.7	77.4

2A-AMPCO-14

FILED: January 21, 2019 Page 1 of 1

. 486 1 0. 1

# RESPONSES TO ASSOCIATION OF MAJOR POWER CONSUMERS IN ONTARIO 1 2 **INTERROGATORIES** 3 4 **INTERROGATORY 14:** 5 Reference(s): Exhibit 2A, Tab 4, Schedule 3, Appendix 2-AB 6 7 Please add 2015 OEB Approved to the table. 8 9 **RESPONSE:** 10 11 Please refer to Toronto Hydro's response to interrogatory 2B-Staff-75(a).

FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 1 of 4

2A-AMPCO-15

# RESPONSES TO ASSOCIATION OF MAJOR POWER CONSUMERS IN ONTARIO

1

**INTERROGATORIES** 2 3 **INTERROGATORY 15:** 4 Exhibit 2A, Tab 4, Schedule 2 Reference(s): 5 EB-2014-0116, Exhibit 2B, Section E6 6 7 a) Please map the Programs at Reference #1 (Appendix 2-AA) to the Program Index 8 and Name at Reference #2. 9 10 b) Please list all programs from EB-2014-0116 that have been deferred or cancelled. 11 12 c) Appendix 2-AA: Please provide the capital contributions forecast versus actual for 13 each of years 2015 to 2018. 14 15 d) Appendix 2-AA: Please provide the forecast capital contributions for each of years 16 2019 to 2024. 17 18 e) Please confirm Appendix 2-AA is net of capital contributions. 19

FILED: January 21, 2019 Page 2 of 4

### 1 **RESPONSE**:

3

2 a) Please see below table as requested:

# 4 Table 1: Program Mapping: EB-2014-0116 to EB-2018-0165

	EB-2014-0116		EB-2018-0165
Program Index	Program Name	Program Index	Program Name
E6.1	Underground Circuit Renewal	E6.2	Underground Renewal - Horseshoe
E6.2	Paper-Insulated Lead-Covered (PILC) Piece-outs and Leakers		
E6.3	Underground Legacy Infrastructure		
E6.4	Overhead Circuit Renewal	E6.5	Overhead System Renewal
E6.5	Overhead Infrastructure Relocation		
E6.6	Rear Lot Conversion	E6.1	Area Conversions
E6.7	Box Construction Conversion	E6.1	Area Conversions
E6.8	SCADAMATE R1 Renewal		
E6.9	Network Vault Renewal	E6.4	Network System Renewal
E6.10	Network Unit Renewal	E6.4	Network System Renewal
E6.11	Legacy Network Equipment Renewal (ATS & RPB)	E6.4	Network System Renewal
E6.12	Network Circuit Reconfiguration	E6.4	Network System Renewal
E6.13	Stations Switchgear Renewal	E6.6	Stations Renewal
E6.14	Stations Power Transformer Renewal	E6.6	Stations Renewal
E6.15	Stations Circuit Breaker Renewal	E6.6	Stations Renewal
E6.16	Stations Control & Monitoring	E6.6	Stations Renewal
E6.17	Stations Ancillary Systems	E6.6	Stations Renewal
E6.18	Station Buildings	E8.2	Facilities Management and Security
E6.19	Stations DC Battery Renewal	E6.6	Stations Renewal
E6.20	Reactive Capital	E6.7	Reactive and Corrective Capital
E6.21	Worst Performing Feeder	E6.7	Reactive and Corrective Capital
E6.22	Distribution System Communication Infrastructure	E8.4	Information Technology and Operational Technology Systems

Note: The 2020-2024 Underground Renewal Downtown program (E6.3) replaces the PILC Leakers and Piece-outs program and is new in the 2020-2024 term.

Panel: Distribution System Capital and Maintenance

Page 3 of 4

1	b)	The following programs included in the 2015-2019 plan are expected to be largely or	
2		entirely complete before 2020-2024:	
3		•	Paper-Insulated Lead-Covered Leakers and Cable ("PILC") Piece-Outs;
4		•	Underground Legacy Infrastructure;
5		•	Overhead Infrastructure Relocation;
6		•	SCADAMate R1 Switch Renewal;
7		•	Design Enhancements;
8		•	Overhead Momentary Reduction;
9		•	Handwell Upgrades;
10		•	Polymer SMD-20 Switch Renewal;
11		•	Downtown Contingency;
12		•	Feeder Automation;
13		•	Operating Centers Consolidation Program; and
14		•	Program Support.
15			
16		For more information, please refer to Exhibit 2B, Section E4.2. The remainder of Toronto Hydro's programs are continuous across the 2015-2024 period (i.e. none of Toronto Hydro's planned 2015-2019 programs have been entirely deferred to the	
17			
18			
19		2020-2024 period).	
20			
21	c)	Please refer to Toronto Hydro's response to 2B-Staff-75 at Appendix C. Toronto Hydro	
22		expects to provide 2018 actuals as part of the planned update to the evidence, which	
23		is discussed in Exhibit 1A, Tab 3, Schedule 1, Appendix B.	
24			
25	d)	Please see Table 2 below.	

FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 4 of 4

# Table 2: 2019-2024 Forecasted Capital Contributions by Program (\$ Millions)

Canital Dragram	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Capital Program	Bridge	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast
<b>Customer Connection</b>	41.0	30.8	31.4	32.0	32.7	33.3
Generation Connection	2.8	2.9	3.5	3.2	4.1	4.5
Externally Initiated Plant	12.9	34.9	61.4	52.2	51.1	53.0
Relocations & Expansion						
Energy Storage Systems	17.7	24.3	12.1	5.8	-	-
Total Capital Contributions	74.4	92.9	108.4	93.2	87.8	90.9

e) Toronto Hydro confirms that Appendix 2-AA is net of capital contributions.

2

Panel: Distribution System Capital and Maintenance

FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 1 of 1

# RESPONSES TO ASSOCIATION OF MAJOR POWER CONSUMERS IN ONTARIO

1 **INTERROGATORIES** 2 3 **INTERROGATORY 16:** 4 Reference(s): Exhibit 2A, Tab 4, Schedule 2 5 6 a) Please identify all programs that are new in EB-2018-0165. 7 8 b) Please provide the priority ranking for each program. 9 10 11 **RESPONSE:** 12 a) The programs in EB-2018-0165 are continuations from Toronto Hydro's 2015-2019 CIR 13 application in EB-2014-0116, with the exception of: 14 The Underground System Renewal - Downtown Program (Exhibit 2B, E6.3) 15 The Network Condition Monitoring and Control (Exhibit 2B, E7.3) 16 The Control Operations Reinforcement Program (Exhibit 2B, E8.1) 17 18

b) The capital investment programs that form part of this application cannot be ranked by priority between them. The investments proposed in this application represent an optimized suite of programs that address distribution system and customer needs and contribute towards Toronto Hydro's outcome objectives. For additional information,

please refer to Toronto Hydro's response to interrogatory 2B-SEC-36.

19

20

21

22

2A-BOMA-47

FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 1 of 1

# RESPONSES TO BUILDING OWNERS AND MANAGERS ASSOCIATION

2 INTERROGATORIES

3

4

1

- **INTERROGATORY 47:**
- 5 Reference(s): Exhibit 2A, Tab 1, Schedule 1

6

- 7 Please confirm that the forecast 2020 rate base is approximately forty percent (40%)
- 8 higher than the 2018 approved rate base.

9

10

#### 11 **RESPONSE:**

- Based on 2018 and 2020 rate base shown in Table 1 of Exhibit 2A, Tab 1, Schedule 1, the
- growth in rate base over that period is calculated as 10%.

14

15

#### Table 1: Growth in Rate Base (\$ Millions)

	2018 Bridge	2020 Forecast	Variance	%	
Rate Base	4,206.3	4,615.3	409.0	10%	

2A-BOMA-48

FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 1 of 2

# RESPONSES TO BUILDING OWNERS AND MANAGERS ASSOCIATION 1 2 **INTERROGATORIES** 3 4 **INTERROGATORY 48:** 5 Exhibit 2A, Tab 1, Schedule 1, p. 4 Reference(s): 6 7 a) Please provide actual year end and actual Closing PP&E for each of 2015, 2016, 8 2017, and 2018 (actual to date). 9 10 b) Please explain the especially large increase in forecast Closing PP&E for 2019 and 2020, of \$219M. 11 12 13 c) Please provide a Table, comparable to Table 2, showing both Assets in Service, and 14 WIP, for each of 2015 to 2019. 15 16 17 **RESPONSE:** a) Please refer to Exhibit 2A, Tab 1, Schedule 2, pages 1-4 for the actual closing (i.e. year-18 19 end) PP&E balances for 2015-2017 and forecasted closing balance for year-end 2018. 20 Toronto Hydro expects to provide 2018 actuals as part of the planned update to the 21 evidence, which is discussed in Exhibit 1A, Tab 3, Schedule 1, Appendix B. 22 b) Please refer to Exhibit 2A, Tab 1, Schedule 1 at page 10 for the variance explanation 23 24 between the 2019 Bridge and 2020 Forecast years.

2A-BOMA-48

FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 2 of 2

1	c)	Please see Exhibit 2A, Tab 1, Schedule 2, Fixed Asset Continuity Schedules for full
2		details on in-service additions (i.e. assets in service) for each year from 2015 to 2019
3		
4		Asset categories are identifiable after capital expenditures are placed in service,
5		therefore Toronto Hydro is unable to report CWIP by asset category. Please refer to
6		Exhibit 2A, Tab4, Schedule1, Table 1 for the CWIP ending balance for each year.

2A-BOMA-49

FILED: January 21, 2019 Page 1 of 1

# RESPONSES TO BUILDING OWNERS AND MANAGERS ASSOCIATION 1 2 **INTERROGATORIES** 3 4 **INTERROGATORY 49:** 5 Reference(s): Exhibit 2A, Tab 2, Schedule 1, Gross Assets 6 7 What accounts for the fact that general plant has increased by a much higher rate than 8 distribution gross plant over the period 2015-2020? 9 10 11 **RESPONSE:** 12 The larger increase in General Plant, relative to distribution gross plant, is primarily due to 13 the implementation of the Enterprise Resource Planning ("ERP") system and the execution of the Operating Center Consolidation Program ("OCCP") over the 2015-2019 14

period. Please see Exhibit 2B, Section E4 for detailed variance analysis.

**2A-BOMA-50** FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 1 of 1

# RESPONSES TO BUILDING OWNERS AND MANAGERS ASSOCIATION 1 2 **INTERROGATORIES** 3 4 **INTERROGATORY 50:** 5 Reference(s): Exhibit 2A, Tab 4, Schedule 1, Capex, p. 3 6 7 What is the system access capital expenditure for 2018 year to date? Is that likely to be 8 the year end amount? On what basis are the 2018 actual numbers calculated for each 9 item? 10 11 12 **RESPONSE:** 13 Toronto Hydro expects to provide 2018 actual capital expenditure (including system 14 access capital expenditure) as part of the planned update to the evidence, which is

discussed in Exhibit 1A, Tab 3, Schedule 1, Appendix B.

FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 1 of 2

# RESPONSES TO BUILDING OWNERS AND MANAGERS ASSOCIATION 1 2 **INTERROGATORIES** 3 4 **INTERROGATORY 51:** 5 Exhibit 2A, Tab 5, Schedule 1 Reference(s): 6 7 a) Please confirm that no changes in the application of capitalization policy will be 8 made over the 2020-2024 period without OEB approval. 9 10 b) What was the MIFRS? What new IFRS issues are THESL investigating at this time? 11 12 c) How many condominium buildings and rental buildings are bulk metered? How 13 many are submetered? 14 d) What are the current legal/regulatory requirements for sub-metering electricity 15 16 supply to various building categories? 17 18 19 **RESPONSE:** 20 a) Toronto Hydro reviews its capitalization policy every two years or more frequently if 21 necessary when there are new standards or guidance issued by the International 22 Accounting Standards Board. Toronto Hydro will review any changes to ensure that it remains compliant with modified IFRS ("MIFRS"). Any significant capital accounting 23 24 policy changes are typically applicable to all regulated utilities reporting under IFRS

and are approved by the OEB.

**2A-BOMA-51** FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 2 of 2

1	b)	The OEB generally requires regulatory filing and reporting under IFRS, modified for
2		regulatory purposes using MIFRS as set out in the Accounting Procedures
3		Handbook. Toronto Hydro assesses as part of its quarterly procedures any new IFRS
4		changes as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board.
5		
6	c)	As of September 2018, Toronto Hydro billed at least 3,340 buildings with more than
7		six units and at least 3,986 buildings with six or less units through a single bulk meter.
8		Toronto Hydro is a unit smart metering provider, not a licensed sub-metering
9		provider. Toronto Hydro does not require bulk metered customers to inform Toronto
10		Hydro if there is a sub-metering provider behind the bulk meter, and therefore
11		Toronto Hydro cannot reliably determine the number of sub-metered buildings.
12		
13	d)	The regulatory requirements for sub-metering are provided under Part III of the
14		Energy Consumer Protection Act 2010, and Part II of Ontario Regulation 389/10.

2A-EP-27

FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 1 of 1

1	RESPONSES TO ENERGY PROBE RESEARCH FOUNDATION	
2	INTERROGATORIES	
3		
4	INTERROGATORY 27:	
5	Reference(s): Exhibit 2A, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Table 2	
6		
7	Preamble:	
8	Land and Buildings gross plant increased from \$76.2 million in 2015 to \$165.4 mil	lion in
9	2018.	
10		
11	Please explain the reasons for this 117% increase in Land and Buildings gross plan	ıt in just
12	three years including the names and amounts of three largest projects that cause	d this
13	increase.	
14		
15		
16	RESPONSE:	
17	The primary reason for the increase in this category between 2015 and 2018 was	the
18	completion of Copeland Transformer Station (\$81.6 million).	

2A-EP-28

FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 1 of 1

1	RESPO	INSES TO ENERGY PROBE RESEARCH FOUNDATION
2		INTERROGATORIES
3		
4	INTERROGATORY	28:
5	Reference(s):	Exhibit 2A, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Table 2
6		
7	<u>Preamble:</u>	
8	TS Primary Above !	50 gross plant increased from \$6 million in 2016 to \$36.9 million in
9	2017.	
10		
11	Please explain the	reasons for this 515% increase in TS Primary Above 50 gross plant in
12	just one year.	
13		
14		
15	RESPONSE:	
16	In-service addition	s related to the Copeland Transformer Station project were the primary
17	driver behind the i	ncrease in TS Primary Above 50 gross plant from 2016 to 2017.

2A-EP-29

FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 1 of 1

# RESPONSES TO ENERGY PROBE RESEARCH FOUNDATION INTERROGATORIES

3

4

2

1

#### **INTERROGATORY 29:**

5 Reference(s): Exhibit 2A, Tab 4, Schedule 1, p. 3, Table 1

6 7

a) Please confirm that the costs of construction of the Copeland Station Project are included in the table.

9 10

11

8

b) Please prepare and file a similar table that only shows the Copeland Station Project costs.

12 13

14

15

16

#### **RESPONSE:**

a) Toronto Hydro confirms that the costs of construction of the Copeland Station Project are included in Exhibit 2A, Tab 4, Schedule 1, p.3, Table 1.

17

18

19

20

b) Please see Table 1 below. This table includes costs related to both the Copeland Phase 1 and Phase 2 projects.

# Table 1: Historical, Bridge and Forecasted Construction Work In Progress – Copeland

#### 21 Station (\$ Millions)

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Bridge	Bridge	Forecast
Opening CWIP	133.2	139.6	111.1	70.1	2.5	10.5
Additions (CAPEX)	25.0	22.6	23.5	8.0	8.0	9.5
Deductions (in Service Additions)	(18.6)	(51.1)	(64.5)	(75.6)	=	-
Closing CWIP	139.6	111.1	70.1	2.5	10.5	20.0

2A-EP-30

FILED: January 21, 2019 Page 1 of 1

# RESPONSES TO ENERGY PROBE RESEARCH FOUNDATION 1 **INTERROGATORIES** 2 3 **INTERROGATORY 30:** 4 Reference(s): Exhibit 2A, Tab 5, Schedule 3, p. 2 5 6 Preamble: 7 "As part of a continuous review process, Toronto Hydro evaluates its policies and practices 8 to incorporate new IFRS pronouncements." 9 10 Has Toronto Hydro incorporated any new IFRS pronouncements since the last rebasing 11 application. If the answer is yes, please provide details. 12 13 14 **RESPONSE:** 15 Please refer to Exhibit 1C, Tab 3, Schedule 1 where new IFRS pronouncements are 16

detailed.

2A-EP-31

FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 1 of 1

# RESPONSES TO ENERGY PROBE RESEARCH FOUNDATION INTERROGATORIES

3

4

2

1

#### **INTERROGATORY 31:**

5 Reference(s): Exhibit 2A, Tab 5, Schedule 1

6 7

a) Please confirm that some capital projects are constructed by Toronto Hydro crews while others are contracted out.

9

10

11

12

8

b) Please explain Toronto Hydro's practice for contracting out capital construction work. If Toronto Hydro has a written policy that deals with contracting out, please file it. If it does not, please explain why.

13 14

### **RESPONSE:**

a) Confirmed.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

15

16

b) As explained in Exhibit 4A, Tab 4, Schedule 3, section 5.4 (Use of Third Party Service Providers), third party service providers are used as a means of cost-effective resource availability to meet peak demands, maintain flexibility in operations and access specialized expertise. Toronto Hydro uses the procedure for competitive procurement outlined in its Procurement Policy, provided at Exhibit 4A, Tab 3, Schedule 1, Appendix A, to select third party service providers.

2A-SEC-31

FILED: January 21, 2019 Page 1 of 2

#### **RESPONSES TO SCHOOL ENERGY COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

1

2 3 **INTERROGATORY 31:** 4 Reference(s): Exhibit 2A 5 6 For each program discussed in Exhibit 2B, please explain how Toronto Hydro converts the 7 annual capital expenditure amount to an in-service addition amount. 8 9 10 **RESPONSE:** 11 Toronto Hydro tracks in-service additions at the asset level (as this is what depreciation is 12 derived from). It does not generally forecast its in-service additions at a capital program 13 level because to do so would involve a complex mapping exercise involving numerous 14 assumptions which Toronto Hydro does not find to be helpful for operational or financial 15 purposes. The complexities involved include mapping asset-level in-service addition 16 information to programs which contain asset mixes, and making assumptions about the 17 rate at which construction work in-progress comes into service. 18 19 Please refer to Exhibit 2A, Tab 1, Schedule 2, Appendix 2-BA for the 2019 and 2020 20 forecasted additions by asset, and to Toronto Hydro's response to interrogatory 1B-Staff-21 22 (b) for the 2021 to 2024 forecasted additions by asset. For the historical years, in-22 service additions are based on the actual attainment project (i.e. date of project 23 completion). This includes capital expenditures in the year of attainment and prior years (i.e. construction work-in-process). 24 25 26 The bridge and test year in-service additions forecast methodology used for 2020-24 is 27 the same as the previous application for 2015-19.

2A-SEC-31

FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 2 of 2

Toronto Hydro has two approaches to forecasting the assets associated with in-serviceadditions:

- For the assets in large discrete distribution systems projects (e.g. Copeland, HONI
   Stations Expansions) and for General Plant investments, Toronto Hydro uses the
   latest projections of expected completion dates to forecast the in-service
   amounts.
  - For the assets in the Distribution System Plan categories of System Access, System
    Renewal, and System Service (e.g. excluding Copeland and HONI station work), inservice additions are calculated based on the historical conversion of capital
    expenditures and CWIP. The in-service additions total is then proportioned across
    relevant asset classes based on historical rates of in-service additions by asset
    class.

7

8

9

10

11

2A-VECC-8

FILED: January 21, 2019 Page 1 of 1

# RESPONSES TO VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION

# 2 INTERROGATORIES

3

4

1

#### **INTERROGATORY 8:**

5 Reference(s): Exhibit 2A, Tab 4, Schedule 1

6

### 7 Table 1: Historical, Bridge and Forecasted Construction Work In Progress (\$ Millions)

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Bridge	Bridge	Forecast
Opening CWIP	522.1	577.7	502.9	485.8	311.5	343.5
Additions (CAPEX)	490.6	508.4	496.6	434.7	425.7	514.0
Deductions (In Service Additions)	(435.3)	(584.3)	(520.3)	(608.9)	(397.8)	(489.8)
Other	0.3	1.1	6.5	-	4.2	-
ClosingCWIP	577.7	502.9	485.8	311.5	343.5	367.7

8

a) Please provide the actual year end-CWIP at the close of 2018.

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

#### RESPONSE:

a) Toronto Hydro is unable to provide the requested information because it has not completed the financial close-out process for 2018. The utility intends to provide 2018 actuals as part of the planned update to the evidence, which is discussed in Exhibit 1A, Tab 3, Schedule 1, Appendix B. Please refer to the response to interrogatory 1A-Staff-1 for a listing of the financial figures that Toronto Hydro plans to update.

Panel: Distribution System Capital and Maintenance

2A-VECC-09

FILED: January 21, 2019

Page 1 of 1

# RESPONSES TO VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES

3

4

2

1

#### **INTERROGATORY 9:**

5 Reference(s): 1B, Tab 5, Schedule 1 & 2A, Tab 4, Schedule 2

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

a) The average capital expenditures during the 2015-2018 or 2019 period was between \$478 and \$465 million. The rate period spending on capital is on average \$565 million. THESL has also been unable to meet its prior capital spending projects. If the Board were to provide for a 10% increase in capital expenditures over the current actuals or \$526 million what adjustments would THESL need to make to its capital budgeting to accommodate this decision?

13

14

15

b) Does THESL anticipate the need for any ICM funding over the course of the IRM plan?

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

#### **RESPONSE:**

a) Toronto Hydro's plan is based on the funding proposed. In the event that the OEB orders rates that provide less funding, then Toronto Hydro would engage in a process to revise the plan. Toronto Hydro expects that just as the revised plan would reduce rates, it would also reduce performance on outcomes.

2324

25

26

27

b) Toronto Hydro's application includes a proposal for rates that would fully fund the 2020-2024 DSP plan based on a cost forecast of that plan for the period. In the event that needs of a different type or magnitude materialize over the period, Toronto Hydro will consider the available options for funding treatment.