## **Tamara Collins**

registrar

Thursday, February 7, 2019 2:54 PM

FW: Letter of Comment - EB-2018-0305

From:

Sent:

**Subject:** 

To:

Follow Up Flag: Flag Status:	Follow up Flagged
Original Message From: Webmaster < Webmaster @ Sent: Friday, January 25, 2019 8:0 To: registrar < registrar @oeb.ca> Subject: Letter of Comment -	
The Ontario Energy Board	
Comment date 2019-01-25	
Case Number EB-2018-0305	
Name Valeria Huber	
Phone	
Company	
Address	
changed to natural gas some year gas and oil. In the past years I say just one consumer, but I am sure another company should reduce eduplications in both or all comparmore. Of course, we ordinary peolittle people do not have the monindividuals. It would be nice to be earnings to help us "the little people	heating, I will find it very difficult to pay more, and more later, I am sure. I have is ago, and specifically to Enbridge, because of the great difference between cost of the red money, but finding that raise in costs will be very difficult for me. I know that I am there are hundreds of thousand in the same position. In my opinion, joining with expenses and not raise them. Why does not both, or all companies, reduce hies and not raise prices. Clean house instead of just tax ordinary people more and ople cannot expect to be listened to, does not matter how many hearings there are. Us ear, that most of the CEOs, COOs, higher ups in the chain, would give up part of their ole" to keep our money to give our children or our family a better life. In my case, and a Ontario, \$5+ does not seem much per year, but just multiply that with hundreds of

thousands \$5+, and you see a staggering amount to go to the company. We are told of research, new equipment, etc,

that the money is going for, but where is the proof? I know that this tirade against the raise will not do any good, but I
thought I just get it off my chest.
Thank you.

-- Attachment --