**Greater Sudbury Hydro Inc. – 2019 Rates Application (EB-2018-0034)**

**OEB Staff Additional Follow Up Questions**

**March 1, 2019**

**Follow Up Question #1**

**Ref. GA Methodology Description, Question 1**

In the response to the above noted question, Greater Sudbury Hydro indicated that it uses approach B with respect to recording IESO charges CT 148 and CT 1142. Based on the responses provided to questions 5 and 6, OEB staff believes that the utility uses approach A to recording of CT 148 and CT 1142, as opposed to approach B that the utility had originally indicated in its response to Question 1 of the GA Methodology Description. Although Greater Sudbury Hydro initially records the entire CT 148 charge to account 1589, the utility subsequently allocates a portion of the CT 148 balance to account 1588, representing the portion of the total change relating to RPP customers. Then, Greater Sudbury Hydro records the full amount of CT 1142 against the balance in Account 1588.

Please confirm that OEB staff’s understanding is correct, and please also confirm that this would mean that Greater Sudbury Hydro actually applies approach A as described in Question 1 of the GA Methodology Description, not approach B.

**Follow Up Question #2**

**Ref. Response to OEB Staff Question 9c**

Greater Sudbury Hydro indicated that adjustment 9 that it had originally presented in Note 5 of its 2016 GA Analysis Workform was no longer necessary and has removed it from their updated version of that GA Analysis Workfrom.

1. What period was the $440K adjustment between accounts 1588 and 1589 actually recorded in Greater Sudbury Hydro’s G/L, in 2015 or 2016?
2. If the response to the above is 2016, then OEB staff believes that this adjustment would still be necessary in the 2016 GA Analysis Wokform because the adjustment would be picked up in the “Transactions during 2016” of the DVA continuity and as such would still need to be reversed since they have actually been reflected in the 2015 closing balance. Please confirm if this is correct.
3. If this is the case, please update the 2016 GA Analysis Workfrom to include this item as a reconciling item in Note 5, and also please update the DVA continuity schedule to reflect the reversal of that adjustment in the “Principal Adjustment” column of the 2016 DVA continuity schedule.

**Follow Up Question #3**

**Ref. Calculation of Loss Factor Difference**

Greater Sudbury Hydro provided an excel calculation in support of the loss factor difference adjustments that it has recorded in both the 2016 and 2017 GA Analysis Workforms.

1. In the 2017 calculation, please explain for the month of January, cell B9 does not equal cell B14.
2. This same difference exists for all other months in the calculation as well. OEB staff believes that these cells should agree. Please explain why they do not. If they should, then please update accordingly and explain what impact it had on the overall calculation.