

#### **BY EMAIL and RESS**

Jay Shepherd jay@shepherdrubenstein.com Direct: 416-804-2767

June 13, 2019 Our File No. 20170049

Ontario Energy Board 2300 Yonge Street 27<sup>th</sup> Floor Toronto, Ontario M4P 1E4

### Attn: Kirsten Walli, Board Secretary

Dear Ms. Walli:

### Re: EB-2017-0049 – Hydro One 2018-22 Rates – SEC Cost Claim

We are counsel for the School Energy Coalition. We enclose the cost claim of the School Energy Coalition, on the Board's form and enclosing docket details.

SEC is conscious that its cost claim is higher than usual because of the size and complexity of this matter, and for that reason is providing more detailed information than usual to the Board to explain the activities of SEC during the process.

#### The Process

This was a lengthy and complex process, requiring substantial time commitments on the part of both of SEC's counsel. Mark Rubenstein had carriage of the matter, and took the lead on most issues including in particular the capital and operating expenditures issues. Co-counsel Jay Shepherd had responsibility for two main areas, but both were ones with substantial time demands: benchmarking/IRM structure (the expert reports), and acquired utilities, including cost allocation and rate design for all customers.

Covering almost two years, this process did not include an ADR, but did include extensive interrogatories on the pre-filed evidence, updates, and specific subjects, a presentation day, a three-day technical conference, a lengthy issues list, an eleven day oral hearing, and detailed arguments from all parties. The DRO process was also more complex than normal.

# Shepherd Rubenstein

This was all not surprising, given that this was an application by the largest distributor in the province, covering a five year period, and asking for rates to collect a total of \$8 billion from, in the end, just under 1.4 million customers.

Because the Board determined early on that the Hydro One FMV Bump did not need to be covered in this proceeding, as it had already been canvassed in detail in the transmission case, SEC in particular was able to save a lot of time. However, there were still ample issues to keep SEC counsel busy, as detailed below and in our detailed dockets.

Along the way, SEC made a point of co-ordinating with other parties, as can be seen by the dockets. The Board will have seen during the oral hearing that there was virtually no duplication between parties, in part due to that co-ordination effort amongst them.

### The Role of SEC

The Board will be aware that SEC was active in all issues in the proceeding. However, it is appropriate to point out four areas in which SEC took a leading role. In each of these cases the Board will recall the extensive involvement of SEC, for example in thorough discovery questions through interrogatories and/or technical conference, in cross-examining the witnesses, and in detailed final argument, all of which we believe ended up being helpful to the Board.

In considering and testing the capital plan, as well as execution on both the capital and operations sides, SEC took on the time-consuming and very detailed task of leading on the details of those issues.

On the experts reports, SEC took its usual role of being the intervenor that handled the bulk of the discovery and questioning on those issues.

With respect to Bill 2, the Board will be aware that SEC was the intervenor that took on the responsibility for making submissions on those issues.

Finally, on the very difficult issue of the unique cost allocation and rate design proposals, occasioned by the proposed harmonization of the Acquired Utilities, SEC took the lead. This was particularly problematic because the Hydro One proposals kept changing, and all of it was unfolding against the backdrop of other Hydro One cases dealing with related issues and evidence. This was further complicated when, in the draft rate order process, there were very different views of what result should arise out of the Board's Decision.

SEC believes that we picked our spots carefully, to ensure that where we spent additional time, we could be effective in assisting the Board on issues where we could add value.

#### Specific Components of the Claim

SEC notes that the overall hours for Mark Rubenstein are 43% higher than those of Jay Shepherd, because of the breadth of the issues for which Mr. Rubenstein was responsible. This is particularly reflected in the time spent on the Technical Conference, the Interrogatories, and in hearing attendance.

# Shepherd Rubenstein

The nature of the issues assigned to each counsel, on the other hand, meant that the hearing prep/attendance ratio for Mr. Rubenstein was about 1:1, while for Mr. Shepherd it was about 4:1. Preparation for cross-examination of expert witnesses, and for areas such as cost allocation and rate design, often takes more time than issues like OM&A and capital, relative to the time spent in the hearing. In the case of cost allocation and rate design, this was exacerbated in this particular case by the changes to the evidence during the process.

On the argument, while Mr. Shepherd has more hours, about 43 hours were for the DRO process, but are included in this category. The actual hours spent working on the Final Argument per se were about the same, with Mr. Rubenstein writing about 60% and Mr. Shepherd about 40%, but Mr. Shepherd also taking the role of overall editor of the final product.

### Conclusion

SEC reviews every cost claim for reasonableness before submitting, and was perhaps more rigorous in this review given the size of the claim. In our submission, this claim represents the prudently incurred costs of SEC to participate in a manner that was of assistance to the Board, and therefore SEC requests an order approving the claim as filed.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

Yours very truly, JAY SHEPHERD P. C.

Jay Shepherd

CC: Wayne McNally, SEC (email) Interested Parties

# Ontario Energy Board COST CLAIM FOR HEARINGS



### Affidavit and Summary of Fees and Disbursements

This form should be used by a party to a hearing before the Board to identify the fees and disbursements that form the party's cost claim. Paper and electronic copies of this form and itemized receipts must be filed with the Board and served on one or more other parties as directed by the Board in the applicable Board order. Please ensure all required (yellow-shaded) fields are filled in and the Affidavit portion is signed and sworn or affirmed.

#### Instructions

- Required data input is indicated by yellow-shaded fields. Formulas are embedded in the form to assist with calculations.

- All claims must be in Canadian dollars. If applicable, state exchange rate and country of initial currency.

Rate: Country: Country: A separate "Detail of Fees and Disbursements Being Claimed" (comprising a "Statement of Fees Being Claimed" and a "Statement of Disbursements Being Claimed") is required for each lawyer, analyst/consultant and articling student/paralegal.

However, only one "Summary of Fees and Disbursements" covering the whole of the party's cost claim should be provided.

- The cost claim must be supported by a completed Affidavit signed by a representative of the party.

- A CV for each consultant/analyst must be attached unless provided to the Board as prescribed on the Cost Award Tariff.

### Except as provided in section 7.03 of the Practice Direction on Cost Awards, itemized receipts must be provided.

File # EB-	2017	-0049	Process: Hydro One 2018-22 Rates	
Party:	Scho	ol Energy Coalition	Affiant's Name: Jay Shepherd	
HST Numbe	er:	83673-5464-RT0001	HST Rate Ontario:	13.00%
		Full Registrant Unregistered Other	Qualifying Non-Profit Tax Exempt	

Affidavit							
l, Jays	Shepherd	, of the City/Town of	Toronto				
in the Province/State of	<mark>Ontario</mark>		, swear or affirm that:				

1. I am a representative of the above-noted party (the "Party") and as such have knowledge of the matters attested to herein.

2. I have examined all of the documentation in support of this cost claim, including the attached "Summary of Fees and Disbursements Being Claimed", "Statement(s) of Fees Being Claimed" and "Statement(s) of Disbursements Being Claimed".

3. The attached "Summary of Fees and Disbursements Being Claimed", "Statement(s) of Fees Being Claimed" and "Statement(s) of Disbursements Being Claimed" include only costs incurred and time spent directly for the purposes of the Party's participation in the Ontario Energy Board process referred to above.

4. This cost claim does not include any costs for work done, or time spent, by a person that is an employee or officer of the Party as described in sections 6.05 and 6.09 of the Board's Practice Direction on Cost Awards.

### **Signature of Affiant**

Sworn or affirmed before	me at the City/Town of	То	ronto	,
in the Province/State of	Ontario	, on	June 14, 2019	<b>—</b> .
			(date)	

## Ontario Energy Board COST CLAIM FOR HEARINGS Affidavit and Summary of Fees and Disbursements



### File # EB- 2017-0049

Process: Hydro One 2018-22 Rates

Party: School Energy Coalition

Summary of Fees and Disbursements Being Claimed						
Legal/consultant/other fees	\$	200,723.00				
Disbursements	\$	414.43				
HST	\$	26,147.87				
Total Cost Claim	\$	227,285.30				

	Payment Information	
Make cheque payable to:	Shepherd Rubenstein Professional Corporation, in trust	
Send payment to this address:	2200 Yonge Street Suite 1302	
	Toronto, Ontario	
	M4S2C6	

# Ontario Energy Board COST CLAIM FOR HEARINGS



## Detail of Fees and Disbursements Being Claimed

File # EB- <u>2017-0049</u>		Process:	Hy	dro One 201	8-2	2 Rates			
Party: School Energy Coalition			Service P	rov	ider Name:	Jay	Shepherd		
SERVICE PROVIDER TYPE Legal Counsel Articling Student/Paralegal	(check one )	Ye	ar Called to Bar 1980			F	Complet Practising/Yea Exper 3	rs of ience	Relevant
Consultant Analyst							Hourly Rate:		\$330
For Consultant/Analyst:	CV attac		within previc	ous 2		narg	<b>ed</b> ( <i>enter %</i> ):		13.0%
S	tatement o	of Fe	ees Being	Cla	imed				
	Hours	Но	ourly Rate		Subtotal		HST		Total
Pre-hearing Conference									
Preparation		\$	330.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Attendance		\$	330.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Technical Conference									
Preparation	15.9	\$	330.00	\$	5,247.00	\$	682.11	\$	5,929.11
Attendance	9.8	\$	330.00	\$	3,234.00	\$	420.42	\$	3,654.42
Interrogatories									
Preparation	54.9	\$	330.00	\$	18,117.00	\$	2,355.21	\$	20,472.21
Responses	8.8	\$	330.00	\$	2,904.00	\$	377.52	\$	3,281.52
Issues Conference									
Preparation	0.4	\$	330.00	\$	132.00	\$	17.16	\$	149.16
Attendance		\$	330.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
ADR - Settlement Conference									
Preparation		\$	330.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Attendance		\$	330.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Proposal Preparation		\$	330.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Argument									
Preparation	138.7	\$	330.00	\$	45,771.00	\$	5,950.23	\$	51,721.23
Oral Hearing									
Preparation	61.4		330.00		20,262.00	\$	2,634.06	\$	22,896.06
Attendance	15.1	\$	330.00	\$	4,983.00	\$	647.79	\$	5,630.79
Other Conferences									
Preparation		\$	330.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Attendance		\$	330.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Case Management		\$	170.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
TOTAL SERVICE PROVIDER FEES				\$1	L00,650.00	\$	13,084.50	\$	113,734.50

# Ontario Energy Board COST CLAIM FOR HEARINGS Detail of Fees and Disbursements Being Claimed



File # EB- 2017-0049

Process:

Hydro One 2018-22 Rates

 Party:
 School Energy Coalition

Service Provider Name:

Jay Shepherd

Statement of Disbursements Being Claimed							
	Net Cost	HST	Total				
Scanning/Photocopy			\$-				
Printing		\$-	\$-				
Courier			\$-				
Telephone/Fax			\$-				
Transcripts			\$-				
Travel: Air			\$-				
Travel: Car			\$-				
Travel: Rail			\$-				
Travel (Other):			\$-				
Parking			\$-				
Taxi			\$-				
Accommodation			\$-				
Meals			\$-				
Other:			\$-				
Other:			\$-				
Other:			\$-				
TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS:	\$	- \$ -	\$-				

# Ontario Energy Board COST CLAIM FOR HEARINGS



## Detail of Fees and Disbursements Being Claimed

File # EB- <u>2017-0049</u>		Process: Hydro One 2018-22 Rates							
Party: School Energy Coalition			Service P	rov	ider Name:	Ma	ark Rubenst	ein	
SERVICE PROVIDER TYPE Legal Counsel	(check one )	Yea	ar Called to Bar 2011			F	Complet Practising/Yea Exper	rs of	Relevant
Articling Student/Paralegal Consultant Analyst				1			Hourly Rate:		\$230
For Consultant/Analyst:	CV attac		within previc	ous 2		narg	<b>ed</b> ( <i>enter %</i> ):		13.0%
S	tatement o	of Fe	ees Being	Cla	imed				
	Hours	Но	urly Rate		Subtotal		HST		Total
Pre-hearing Conference									
Preparation		\$	230.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Attendance		\$	230.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Technical Conference									
Preparation	28.9	\$	230.00	\$	6,647.00	\$	864.11	\$	7,511.11
Attendance	15.0	\$	230.00	\$	3,450.00	\$	448.50	\$	3,898.50
Interrogatories									
Preparation	92.9	\$	230.00		21,367.00	\$	2,777.71	\$	24,144.71
Responses	26.7	\$	230.00	\$	6,141.00	\$	798.33	\$	6,939.33
Issues Conference									
Preparation	1.6		230.00	\$	368.00	\$	47.84	\$	415.84
Attendance		\$	230.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
ADR - Settlement Conference									
Preparation		\$	230.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Attendance		\$	230.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Proposal Preparation		\$	230.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Argument									
Preparation	97.9	\$	230.00	\$	22,517.00	\$	2,927.21	\$	25,444.21
Oral Hearing									
Preparation	86.7	\$	230.00	\$	19,941.00	\$	2,592.33	\$	22,533.33
Attendance	85.4	\$	230.00	\$	19,642.00	\$	2,553.46	\$	22,195.46
Other Conferences									
Preparation		\$	230.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Attendance		\$	230.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Case Management		\$	170.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
TOTAL SERVICE PROVIDER FEES				\$1	100,073.00	\$	13,009.49	\$	113,082.49

# Ontario Energy Board COST CLAIM FOR HEARINGS Detail of Fees and Disbursements Being Claimed



File # EB- 2017-0049

Process:

Hydro One 2018-22 Rates

Party: School Energy Coalition

Service Provider Name:

Mark Rubenstein

	Statement of Disbursements Being Claimed							
			Ne	t Cost		HST	Total	
Scanning/Photocop	ру						\$	-
Printing			\$	337.89	\$	43.93	\$	381.82
Courier							\$	-
Telephone/Fax			\$	76.54	\$	9.95	\$	86.49
Transcripts							\$	-
Travel: Air							\$	-
Travel: Car							\$	-
Travel: Rail							\$	-
Travel (Other):							\$	-
Parking							\$	-
Taxi							\$	-
Accommodation							\$	-
Meals							\$	-
Other:							\$	-
Other:							\$	-
Other:							\$	-
TOTAL DISBURSEM	ENTS:		\$	414.43	\$	53.88	\$	468.31

Date	Explanation	JCS	MR
	prelim review of application		0.5
	review Notice and LofD		0.1
20170601	preliminary review app/draft and file Nol		0.5
	Many emails, Impact calculations	1.5	5
	start to review evidence and consider issues		4.5
20170627	review application/consider issues		2.0
20170704	p. call w. Harold T/emails		0.2
20170705	review application/drafts IRs		4.5
20170719	review app/draft IRs		5.2
20170720	review materials/draft IRs		0.5
20170724	review application/draft IR/research		1.0
20170725	review application/p.call w J. Girvan		2.0
20170802	review evidence/draft IRs		5.4
20170804	p. call w JG re: Hydro One		0.3
20170817	instructions to SP re: creating various tables		0.3
20170818	prepare tables for IRs		1.2
20170821	emails/review evidence		5.0
20170822	emails		0.1
20170830	review materials/draft IR		2.3
20170830	Review PO #1 and scheduling, Many emails	0.3	3
20170831	review PO/emails		0.5
20170905	review evidence/draft IRs		2.0
20170906	review evidence/conduct analysis/draft IRs		3.6
20170907	review evidence/draft IRs/review community meeting report/emails		2.5
20170908	Review community days/comments report, Many emails	0.7	7
20170911	review draft issues list and consider		0.4
20170912	instructions re: tables		0.1
20170914	emails		0.2
20170914	Review evidence	0.5	5
20170915	review comments on draft issues list		0.3
20170915	Many emails	0.6	6
20170918	review issues list sub/emails		0.2
20170919	review EP corr/emails		0.2
	emails/review evidence and draft IRs/review HO letter/review Bd email/p.		
20170920	call w. H Theisen		4.4
20170920	Review issues list reply, Many emails, Rescheduling	0.4	L .
20170921	emails		0.1
20170921	Review Hydro One Correspondence	0.3	3
20170926	emails		0.2
	review evidence and draft IRs/conduct research and analysis on		
20170927	benchmarking issues		4.0
	emails/review evidence and draft IRs		0.4
20170929	emails		0.1
20171002	Various emails, Scheduling	0.2	2
	emails/review evidence and draft IRs		4.0
	review applications and draft IRs/p. call w. JG		3.5
20171011	preliminary review of new evidence filed re: response to Tx decision		0.3

20171013	Tax Analysis from Tx decision, Review PO, Meet with Mark, Many emails	1.3	
	p. call w. J. Girvan/p. call w. Harold		0.2
	p. call w. Harold		0.1
20171101	Review materials re motions to review, Scheduling, Various emails	0.6	
20171102	Review status decision, Many emails, Initial review of IR responses	2.0	
	p. call w. Harold Theissen	2.0	0.1
20171103			0.1
20171107	p. call w. G. Nettelton re: interim rates issue/many emails/p. call w. Girvan		1.0
	emails re: interim rates issue		0.2
	review Q3 financial statement and investor slides/email/p. call w H.		
20171114			0.7
	Review applicant's letter	0.2	-
	emails/review HONI letter		0.3
	email/p. call w Richard Stephehnson		0.3
	Many emails	0.3	
	emails/p.call w H. Theissen		0.2
	Review Warren letter, Many emails	0.3	
20171130			0.1
	Review issues list decision, Scheduling, Many emails	0.4	
	emails/review PO and decision on issues list and interim rates	_	0.2
	Review presentation, Meet with Mark	1.1	
	attend presentation day/disc w parties in attendance		4.0
	Review new compensation evidence	0.8	
	emails/review Hydro One filing re: compensation		0.7
	consider comp issue		0.2
20171215			0.1
	Review submissions	0.4	
	draft submissions/p. call w. H. Thiessen/emails/edit and file		
	submissions/review Staff submissions		4.2
	Review H1 letter, Review new filing	1.2	
	email/review HO response		0.2
	review evidence update/draft IRs		3.6
	prepare IRs		1.5
	emails/review PO/p. call w. H. Theissen/p. call w. G. Nettleton		1.4
20180112			1.2
	attend evidence meeting at Board/emails/review and confirm list		2.5
	Review evidence, Prepare interrogatories	1.4	
	review corr from Hydro One		0.2
	Review evidence, Prepare interrogatories	4.0	
	review AMPCO tables/emails		0.3
	Review evidence, Prepare interrogatories	7.5	
	Review evidence, Prepare interrogatories, Rate increase analysis	4.7	
	Review evidence, Prepare interrogatories	8.3	
20180122	review JCS IRs/compile IRs		1.5
	edit, finalize and file IRs/finalize spreadsheets		1.0
20180125	review parties sub/p. call w. Girvan/emails		0.7

20180129	emails		0.1
20180125			0.1
	prelim review of IRR		0.1
	Review interrogatory responses	2.4	0.5
20180214		2.4	0.1
		0.3	0.1
	Review interrogatory responses	0.3	
	Review expert letter, Review interrogatories and responses	0.3	4 7
20180221			4.7
	review IRRs		5.6
	review IRRs/emails	5.0	7.0
20180225	Review evidence, Spreadsheets, Many emails	5.2	
	Prep for technical conference, Questions and analysis, Many emails	4.0	
	emails/draft letter re: issues for TC/disc w JCS		0.5
20180227	Prep for technical conference, Many emails, Review panels	1.5	
	review IRR/meeting w. S. Grice/prepare for tech conference/review		
20180227	Rogers Motion Record/review Hydro One correction		5.5
20180228	Prep for technical conference, Many emails	3.6	
20180228	emails/review IRs and prepare for technical conference		8.5
	Attend at technical conference (in person and internet), Many emails,		
20180301	Prep examination	6.6	
20180301	attend technical conference/disc w parties		8.5
20180301	prepare for day 2		0.6
	Attend at technical conference (in person and internet), Many emails,		
20180302	Prep	3.2	
	attend technical conference/disc w parties		7.7
	meeting w SG to prepare for day 3		0.5
	Prep for technical conference, Review transcripts	2.0	
	review Shelley Grice/material/prepare for tech conf day 3/p call w. S.		
20180304			3.0
	Attend at technical conference (in person and internet), Many emails,		
20180305		4.8	
	attend technical conference/disc w parties		9.1
	Many emails	0.3	5.1
20180306		0.5	0.1
20180307			0.1
	Review PO #4 and scheduling	0.3	0.1
20180309		0.3	0.2
20180309			0.2
	Many emails	0.4	0.1
	review HONI and CCC corr	0.4	0.1
20180314			0.1
20100215	Review confidentiality of PSE material and H1 submission, Various emails	0.8	
	emails/review corr	0.8	0.1
			0.1
	Review Board letter, Analysis	0.4	
	review letter from Bd		0.1
	Review interrogatory responses, first batch	3.0	
20180319			0.1
20180321	Meet with Mark re hearing	0.6	

20180329	Review U/T responses	0.5	
	Review undertaking responses	1.0	
	Review PO #5 and scheduling	0.3	
20180406		0.0	0.1
	review decision on confidentiality		0.1
	Review PEG evidence	2.0	0.1
	emails/prelim review of PEG evidence		0.8
	Review letter	0.1	0.0
	review letter	0.12	0.1
20180419			0.1
	emails/prelim review of new evidence		0.4
	Many emails	0.2	
20180424		0.2	0.2
20100121			0.2
20180425	Draft and file interrogatories for PEG, Many emails, Review IRs of others	4.1	
	emails/review intervenor evidence IRs		0.7
	emails/review and draft IR re: new compensation study evidence/p. call		
	w. G. Nettleton/p. call w. S. Grice		3.6
	Review new material, Various emails	0.6	0.0
20180504		0.0	0.2
	emails/draft corr		1.1
20180507	·		0.2
	Review interrogatory responses	1.0	0.2
20180512		1.0	0.1
	Review PO #6 and scheduling, Many emails	0.3	0.1
	review PO/emails	0.0	0.4
10100010			
20180524	draft pole attachment letter/emails/review updated UT responses		0.8
	Review hearing plan, Meet with Mark	0.3	
	emails/review PO and decision		0.4
	finalize ltr/review other parties correspondence re: PO 6		0.5
	Prep for hearing	0.5	
20180529	·		0.3
	Many emails, Meet with Mark, Time estimates	0.6	
20180530			0.2
	Many emails	0.2	
	emails/prepare for conference call		0.6
	Intervenor call re hearing, Prep, Many emails, Review schedule	2.5	
	conference call with intervenors re: hearing coordination/emails/p. call w.		
	S. Grice/p. call w. Martin Davies		2.0
	Many emails	0.3	
	emails/re: hearing plan		0.5
	Many emails, Telephone call with EP, Meet with Mark	3.5	
	emails/review EP material and provide comment/p. call w. BY/review	-	
	materials in prep for hearing		1.7
	emails/review evidence/prepare for hearing		7.0
	Many emails, Prep for hearing	0.8	
	emails/p. call w. B Yauch/p. call w. S. Grice/p. call w. Emma Blanchard/disc		
	w JCS/prepare for hearing/review further IR responses/revi		5.8

20180608	Many emails, Prep for hearing, Meet with Mark	1.1	
	prepare for hearing	1.1	5.2
	emails/prepare for hearing re: panel 1 and 2 cross		10.5
	Prep for cross-examination, Many emails, Prepare compendium	4.0	10.5
	emails/prepare for hearing re: expert and capital	4.0	9.0
20180010			5.0
	Pron for cross avamination Many amails Review schoolula Review		
20100011	Prep for cross-examination, Many emails, Review schedule, Review transcript, Attend at hearing (internet) while in prep, Prepare and fi	7.8	
	attend hearing and disc w parties	7.0	0.2
20180011			8.3
20180611	disc w JCS/revise cross-examination/review transcript/prepare for panel 2		3.0
	Attend at hearing, Cross-examination of expert witness, Prep, Many		5.0
	emails, Review transcript, Review revised schedule	6.5	
	attend hearing/disc w parties	0.5	5.5
		0.2	5.5
20100015	Many emails, Review undertakings	0.2	
	maating w.C. Cries at ANADCO office reveanited panel (amails /review LIT		
	meeting w S. Grice at AMPCO office re: capital panel/emails/review UT		7.0
	responses/review transcript/prepare for panel 2 cross/prepare com	0.4	7.8
	Review schedule, Many emails, Review transcript	0.4	
	attend hearing/disc w parties		7.7
	review transcript/prepare for panel 3 and panel 4 cross		2.5
	Review schedule, Many emails, Review transcript	0.8	
	attend hearing		7.8
	prepare cross-exam on panel 5		8.0
	Many emails, Review new materials	0.5	
	prepare cross-exam on panel 5/emails/prepare compendium		6.7
	Review schedule, Many emails, Review transcript	0.6	
20180618	attend hearing/disc w parties		7.8
	review UT responses/emails/review transcript/prepare for panel 5		4.2
	Review schedule, Many emails, Review transcripts	1.4	
	attend hearing/disc w parties		8.1
	review transcript/review undertaking response		1.0
	Review evidence, Review schedule, Many emails, Review materials from		
20180620	others	2.0	
	emails/prepare for next day/begin preparation for panel 6/disc w JCS/p.		
20180620	call w. J. Girvan/revise portions of remaining panel 5 cross-ex		4.6
	Telephone call with Bill Harper, Attend at hearing (internet), Prep for		
20180621	acquireds, Review schedule, Many emails, Review transcript	7.3	
20180621	attend hearing/disc w parties		8.3
20180621	emails/review transcript/email panel 5 cross parts I did not get to S. Grice		0.6
20180622	Prep for acquireds, Review schedule, Many emails, Review transcript	4.3	
20180622	attend hearing/disc w parties		8.0
20180622	emails/prelim review of UT 7.1		0.4
20180623	Prep for acquireds	5.7	
20180624	Prep for acquireds, Many emails	4.4	

	prepare for hearing day 9/emails/review UT 7.1 and consider prelim		
20180624	issue/prepare panel 6 cross		1.8
20100024	Many emails, Prep for Panel 7, Review transcript, Compile and finalize		1.0
20180625	compendium	6.0	
	prepare for hearing	0.0	0.4
	attend hearing/disc w parties		8.4
20180025	emails/revise cross/review transcript/prepare for next day/prepare		8.4
20120625	compendium		2.8
20180025	Attend at hearing (in person and internet), Prep, Many emails, Review		2.0
20180626	new materials, Review transcript	6.8	
	attend hearing/disc w parties	0.8	4.5
	Prep for cross-examination, Prepare new materials, Many emails	4.5	4.5
20180627		4.5	0.1
20180027	Attend at hearing, Prep, Many emails, Review new materials, Review		0.1
20180628		1.8	
	attend hearing/disc w parties	1.0	8.8
20180028			0.0
20190620	Review transcript, Many emails, Outline of argument and materials	1.4	
		1.4	2.0
	review notes and outline argument Report to Client	0.8	2.0
20180703		0.8	0.1
	Review new materials, Many emails, Scheduling	0.6	0.1
	review PO	0.0	0.1
	Review PO #7 and scheduling	0.3	0.1
	draft argument	0.5	
	draft submissions		5.5
20180709			5.0
	draft argument/review undertaking responses/review memorandum		
20100711			
	between HONI and PWU/emails re: changes to company		5.5
	draft argument/p. call w. J. Girvan/review decision and PO #8	1.0	6.7
20180/12	Many emails, Analysis of position, Review PO #8 draft argument/conf call w. S. Grice and J. Girvan to discuss issues and	1.0	
20100712	positions/p. call w. B. Yauch		6.0
			6.0
	draft argument draft argument		5.7
			7.2
	draft argument/review Bill 2 consider implications/emails draft argument/review Anwaatin UT		4.2
	draft argument		4.2
	emails/draft argument/prepare tables		4.5
		1.2	4.1
	Outline final argument sections emails/revised draft	1.3	0.2
		6.0	0.3
20180721	Review argument in chief, Review Mark's draft, Many emails	6.0	
20100722	Deview events in chief. Notes an issues using d/deflected. Edit sutling	1.4	
	Review argument in chief, Notes on issues raised/deflected, Edit outline	1.4	
	Revisions and issues analysis	2.2	
	Drafting argument and revisions	3.0	
	Drafting argument, Spreadsheets, Revisions	2.0	
	Revisions to argument, Review Board letter	1.6	
	Review transcripts and undertakings, Revising argument	4.6	
20180728	Review transcripts, Revising argument	3.0	

20100720	Drafting argument	4.0	
	Drafting argument	4.8	
	Drafting argument	8.0	
	Drafting argument	2.6	
	Drafting and revising argument, Many emails re SEC positions	2.7	
20180803	Drafting and revising argument	4.0	
20100001	Drafting and revising argument, Review OEB Staff submissions, Review	0.5	
20180804	Board correspondence, Many emails	8.5	
	Drafting and revising argument, Spreadsheets, Research, Review		
	transcripts	13.0	
	Complete revisions and send to Mark	3.0	
	review carriers motion/review VECC IRs/review Staff IRs		0.5
	review Argument-in-Chief/review Board Staff argument/review JCS		
	comments/draft argument/disc w S. Grice		5.0
	Additional editing, Many emails	4.0	
	draft argument/emails/p. call w. Marin Davies/p. call w. D. Lau/p. call w J.		
20180808			7.5
	Additional editing, Many emails	3.8	
20180809	emails/p. call w S. Grice/edit and revise argument		4.7
20180810	Many emails, Review SEC final	2.5	
20180810	edit and finalize argument/review other parties arg		2.5
20180811	Review arguments of others	3.0	
20180813	Review submissions of others, Many emails	1.6	
20180813	review other parties arg		1.2
20180821	review corr		0.2
20180823	preliminary review of pole attachment IRR		0.3
20180827	emails/review BTZ Ltr/review PO		0.1
20180831	Review reply argument	4.0	
20180831	review reply argument		2.0
20180904	review Rogers motion/emails w Bill re: other IRs/consider issues		0.5
20180905	email		0.1
20180907	review HONI corr		0.1
20180926	Review PO #9	0.2	
	review corr		0.1
	review PO 10		0.1
20181017	review materials/determine no attendance required/emails		1.0
	review motion transcript		0.6
	review carrier motion decision/review Bill 2 evidence		0.7
	Review PO #11 and scheduling, Various emails	0.1	
	review Bill 2 evidence and draft IRs/emails		1.0
	finalize and file IRs/review other parties IRs		0.3
	review PO 12/emails		0.1
	prel review of IRR on Bill 2		0.5
	review IRR/draft submission		1.9
20181127			0.2
	emails/edit/finalize and file sub/review other parties sub		0.2
	Review CME sub		0.3
	Review exec comp reply sub		0.2
	Review decision, Reporting	3.5	
	review decision/emails	5.5	
20190301			1.6

	Analysis of acquired cost allocation issue, Draft letter to Board, Many		
20190308		1.6	
20190309		1.0	0.1
	Various emails	0.1	0.1
	emails re: ltr	0.1	0.3
		0.3	0.5
	Meet with Mark re DRO approach	0.3	0.5
	disc w. JCS/draft ISA parts of letter to JCS/emails	0.0	0.5
	Revise and send letter re DRO and cost allocation	0.8	
	review draft of JCS letter and comment		0.2
	Review letter from Nettleton	0.1	
	prel review of DRO/disc w JCS		0.3
	Review DRO, Meet with Mark, Analysis	1.1	
	Review draft DRO	6.0	
	Draft letter to Board, Revisions	4.0	
20190408	disc w JCS/review and provide comments on letter		0.4
	Revise and send letter to Board re DRO information, Meet with Mark,		
20190408	Many emails	4.6	
20190409	Review notices of appeal, Many emails	0.4	
20190410	emails		0.2
20190410	Review H1 letter, Many emails	0.5	
20190411	Review VECC letter, Various emails	0.2	
20190413	DRO analysis	2.0	
20190422	review DRO, analysis on capital and draft comments		2.2
20190422	Many emails	0.2	
20190423	emails/review Bd corr/disc w JCS/revised submissions/p. call w. R. Aiken		1.5
	Review Board letter, Meet with Mark, Many emails, DRO analysis	2.1	
20190424			0.2
	Calculations of costs and revenues, Review evidence, Many emails,		
20190424	Review Mark's draft portion	8.5	
	emails/review full draft DRO sub/disc w JCS/review other parties		
20190425	submissions on DRO		1.0
20130423			1.0
	Drafting, revising and filing submissions, Review OEB Staff submissions,		
20100/25	Review submissions of others, Meeting with Mark, Many emails	6.1	
	review Submissions of others, weeting with Wark, Many emans	0.1	0.2
	Various emails, Review reply	0.2	0.2
	Review DRO reply submissions	0.2	
	review DRO reply submissions	1.1	0.5
			0.5
	review DRO reply		0.4
	Review evidence re C-97, Draft and circulate email, Many emails	1.4	
	Many emails	0.3	
	Review Hydro One letter	0.3	
	review Rate Order		0.2
	Review Board order and reasons	1.0	
20190607	review corr from Hydro One		0.1
		305.0	435.1

\$100,650.00 \$100,073.00

Add Mark	\$100,073.00
Total fees	\$200,723.00
Total Disbursements	\$414.43
HST on fees	\$26,093.99
HST on Disbursements	\$53.88
Total claim	\$227,285.30

EXPENSES	Expense	HST	Total
20180618 Printing	337.89	43.93	381.82
20180713 Bell Conference Call	76.54	9.95	86.49
Total	414.43	53.88	468.31



Bill To: Shepherd Rubenstein Professional Corporation Saba Parkar 2200 Yonge St Suite 1302 Toronto, ON, M4S 2C6

Ship To: SAME

Job Name: SEC HONI Dx AssetPanel

# EB-2017-0049 H1 Asset Mgnt Panel-Compendium Invoice 081061191

Account:	622786
Date:	June 18, 2018
Ordered By:	Saba Parkar
Phone:	(416) 483-3300
E-mail:	saba.parkar@canadianenergylawyers.com

Item 1-Sided	2-Sided	Quantity	Description		HST	Job Price	
A 1	0	1	File processing - pre-flight, virus scan, temp storage	3	x	\$4.89	
File Handli	ng:,	Constant of				and the second second	
<b>B</b> <sup>2</sup>	77	20	B/w Copies/ Cerlox Binding		x	\$333.00	
Digital B&V	V Prints: . B	lack ink: . 8	3.5x11: , Bond: , Card: 65lb buff, Bind: Cerlox,		1		
				Net Sales	\$3	37.89	
Branch Contact:	Christir	na Stark		Shipping		\$0.00	
Address:	2200 Yonge Street 2nd Fir Concourse Level			Sub Total	\$337.89		
				HST	\$	43.93	
hone:	Toronto, ON, M4S 2C6 416-482-2260	Total Price	\$3	81.82			
none.	+10-40/	2-2200		Deposit/Pmt		50.00	
				CAD Amount Due	\$38	1.82	

 REMIT TO:The Printing House Ltd., 1403 Bathurst Street, Toronto, ON M5R 3H8, Tel (416) 536-6113, 1-866-TPH-Direct (866-874-3473)

 TERMS: Payment due within 10 days of invoice date. Interest of 1,5% per month (18% P.A.) will be charged on all overdue accounts.

 H.S.T/G.S.T.#: 105242887RT
 Q.S.T.#: 1202417066

11



#### Page 5 of 5

#### **Jay Shepherd Professional Corporation**

#### Customer # 1614585

#### Bell Conferencing Invoice # 113504291

CONFERENCE DETAIL

#### Mark Rubenstein

Moderator Mark Rubenstein	Te 41	1# 5-483-3300	Customer# 1614585		Contact Saba Parkar		Tel # 415-483-	3300
Date / Time 7/13/18 1:59 PM	Reservation # 1000481128	Billing Reference N/A	Rese	rved Lines 200	Used Lines 3	Service Type Reservationles	Reserved I IS N//	and the set of spinster, it is not
Conference Conn	ection					Lo	ng Distance	
Participant	Start	End	Duration	Descript	ion	Service	/ Toll Free	Amount
4163227936	2:03:30 PM	3:01:30 PM	00:58:00	Local		\$24.94	\$0.00	\$24.94
4164833300	1:59:53 PM	3:01:53 PM	01:02:00	Local		\$26.66	\$0.00	\$26.66
6478809942	2:03:55 PM	3:01:55 PM	00:58:00	Local		\$24.94	\$0.00	\$24.94
				:	Sub-Total	\$76.54	\$0.00	\$76.54
Other Charges								
Туре	De	escription			Quar	ntity		Amount
				;	Sub-Total			
					Sub-Total Reservatio	n# 1000481	128	\$76.54
				1	HST - Ontario 869413	3443		\$9.95
					Grand-Total Reserva	tion 1000481	128	\$86.49
		Su	b-Total for	Mark Rube	nstein			\$76.54
					HST - Ontario 869413	3443		\$9.95
	Total C	harges for Mark R	ubenstein					\$86.49