

79 Wellington St. W., 30th Floor Box 270, TD South Tower Toronto, Ontario M5K 1N2 Canada P. 416.865.0040 | F. 416.865.7380 www.torys.com

Charles Keizer ckeizer@torys.com P. 416.865.7512

August 16, 2019

Ms. Kirsten Walli Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board PO Box 2319 2300 Yonge Street, 27th Floor Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re: Hydro One Networks Inc. ("Hydro One")

Custom Incentive Rate-setting ("Custom IR") Application for 2020-2022

Transmission Rates (OEB File No. EB-2019-0082)

Request for Corrections to the Technical Conference Transcripts

We are counsel to Hydro One in the above-referenced proceeding. On August 12 and 13, 2019, the Ontario Energy Board held a transcribed technical conference to clarify any matters arising from the interrogatories. Hydro One has reviewed the transcripts and in an effort to ensure an accurate and complete record, requests minor corrections to the transcripts. The requested corrections are provided in **Appendix 'A'** below.

Yours truly,

Charles Keizer

cc: Hydro One All Parties

APPENDIX 'A'

REQUESTED CORRECTION TO THE TRANSCRIPT FOR THE TECHNICAL CONFERENCE HELD ON AUGUST 12 &13, 2019

Day	Page	Line	Transcript Text	Correction
1	45	28	looking at fluorine levels, then I would utilize one	"flourine" should be "furans"
1	46	3	nameplate of the unit. So the fluorine , you would	"flourine" should be "furans"
1	84	6	appropriate ISTs that are in the evidence. If I start 21,	"IST" should be "ISD"
1	129	24	MR. JESUS: The references to ELS is to demonstrate	"ELS" should be "ESL"
1	177	3	loss see equipment, we do quantify those benefits.	"Loss see" should be "lossy"
1	177	17-18	that is higher quality products also tend to be less loss see than lower quality prod	"Loss see" should be "lossy"
1	178	4	using transfer evaluation, yes.	"transfer" should be "transformer"
2	5	1	Could we just ask for the XTR to be	"XTR" should be "Exhibit"
2	10	8	UNDERTAKING NO. JT2.2: TO SHOW THE CALCULATION OF THE \$78 MILLION IN PENSIONS AND TIE IT TO THE REPORT PROVIDED WITH STAFF IR 206 , PART A, ATTACHMENT 1	"STAFF IR 206" should be "STAFF IR 205"
2	32	24	UNDERTAKING NO. JT2.7: (A) TO UPDATE THE STAFF IR 196 TABLE SHOWING FTE CHANGE FROM 2019 TO 202 , TO INCLUDE 2020; (B) TO QUANTIFY THE IMPACTS ON THE 2020 TEST YEAR REQUIREMENTS FOR OM&A AND CAPITAL; (C) TO EXPLAIN THE CHANGES IN TRANSMISSION FTES IN THE TRANSMISSION WORK PROGRAM ROW OF THE SAME TABLE	"202" should say "2020"
2	45	2	ADVISE WHY COMPENSATION IS INCREASING AT A FASTER RATE THAN FTES; (C) TO EXPLAIN WHY TX COMPENSATION FTES ARE INCREASING AT A HIGHER RATE THAN DISTRIBUTION COMPENSATION AND FTES	"COMPENSATION FTES" should say "compensation and FTEs"
2	51	4	But again, I think what we are seeing from the comp table, the years that you're questioning is not consistent with the interrogatory response here. Please feel free to correct me if I am wrong, but that's how I am interpreting it.	"not consistent" should say "not inconsistent"
2	91	12	for instance, product procurement follows the material, so	"product" should be "productivity"
2	113	4	MR. CHHELAVDA: So if you do down to line 35.	"if you do down" should say "if you go down"
2	126	4	a refection of our	"refection" should say "reflection"
2	133	26	DBSS	BESS
2	133	26	DBSS	BESS
2	137	26	depreciation study results in lower deprecation costs in	"deprecation" should say "depreciation"
2	138	11	is for deprecation you use the half-year rule. Do you use	"deprecation" should say "depreciation"
2	145	5	productivity through defined progress , and then there's the	"progress" should say "programs".
2	146	5	It's through this the progress productivity	"progress" should say "programs".
2	146	25	performance. So we've conducted, our PSE has conducted a	"our PSE" should say "or PSE"
п	148	8	Group. I have a coupe of other questions associated with	"coupe" should say "couple"
2	157	22	support that if price the one price increases, the	Change "the one price" to "the own price".
2	190	3	DBSS	BESS