



BY EMAIL and RESS

Mark Rubenstein
mark@shepherdrubenstein.com
Dir. 647-483-0113

Ontario Energy Board
2300 Yonge Street
27th Floor
Toronto, Ontario
M4P 1E4

September 9, 2019
Our File: EB20190137

Attn: Kirsten Walli, Board Secretary

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re: EB-2019-0137 – Gas Supply Planning Consultation – Written Questions for EGI

We are counsel to the School Energy Coalition ("SEC"). Enclosed please find SEC's written questions for Enbridge Gas Inc. ("EGI"). We apologize for the delay in filing these written questions.

Yours very truly,
Shepherd Rubenstein P.C.

Original signed by

Mark Rubenstein

cc: Wayne McNally, SEC (by email)
EGI and interested parties (by email)

ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*, S.O. 1998, c.15, Schedule B;

AND IN THE MATTER OF the Ontario Energy Board's consultation to Review Natural Gas Supply Plans for Enbridge Gas Inc.

WRITTEN QUESTIONS TO ENBRIDGE GAS INC. ("EGI")

ON BEHALF OF THE

SCHOOL ENERGY COALITION

1. [p.6] With respect to the amalgamation of the two predecessor companies:
 - a. Please explain why the EGI has not amalgamated its annual gas supply planning processes?
 - b. Are the same individuals involved in the gas supply planning of each of the Union and Enbridge rate zones, and just the processes remain separate and distinct?
 - c. Has EGI integrated the operational control of its system yet?
2. [p.8] EGI states that the gas supply plans receive executive approval in the third quarter. SEC seeks to understand what exactly is 'approved'. Please explain what specifically is approved by EGI's executives.
3. For each of the Union and Enbridge zones, what is the impact of the Federal Carbon Pricing Program in its demand forecasts used for its gas supply plan?
4. For each of the Union and Enbridge zones, what assumptions have been for the purposes of its demand forecast for post-2020 impact of DSM?
5. [p.48, 50] EGI states that its preferred option to eliminate its design day asset shortfall for the Enbridge CDA AND EDA is with peaking services for each year over the five year period.
 - a. Please confirm that the peaking service is contracted on annual basis.
 - b. Over the last 5 years, on average how many bidders, who have met any threshold requirements, have bid into an EGI peaking supply RFP.
6. [p.59-60, 97-98] With respect to the Enbridge and Union rate zone scenario analyses:

- a. Is the EGI's view that the changes in portfolio costs as a result of the price and volume changes reasonable?
 - b. [p.60, p.98] Please explain the drivers of the significantly greater variation in portfolio costs relative to the changes in volume for EGI rate zone as compared to the similar analysis undertaken for the Union rate zones.
7. [p.93] With respect to the evaluation matrix (table 35) for supply options for Sarnia. Please explain why Vector and Nexus have positive flexibility scores as compared to the other options which are considered neutral.