

October 18, 2019

Kirsten Walli Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board 2300 Yonge Street, Suite 2700 Toronto, Ontario M4P 1E4

To Board Secretary Walli,

Re: Ontario CHP Consortium Submission to Responding to Distributed Energy Resources (DERs) (EB-2018-0288) & Utility Remuneration (EB-2018-0287)

On behalf of QUEST and the Ontario CHP Consortium, thank you for the opportunity to provide written comments to these important proceedings regarding Distributed Energy Resources (DERs). These written comments build upon and re-emphasize principles that were submitted earlier this year in response to the Board Staff recommendations on commercial & industrial rate design.

Properly applied, DER technology, especially Combined Heat and Power, can support the grid, provide value to customers and reduce long term costs of delivering energy to all customers. Furthermore, DER and CHP can reduce GHG emissions by displacing the grid electricity produced from less efficient, thermal gas-fired central power plants.

Board of Directors/ Conseil d'administration

Mike Cleland

Chair Président du conseil

Dr. Karen Farbridge

Vice-Chair Vice-président

Paul Kariya

Member Membre

**Doug Leighton** 

Member Membre

**Dr. Shahrzad Rahbar** *Member* 

Member Membre

Larry Sault Secretary Secrétaire

**Dr. Vicky Sharpe** *Member Membre* 

Executive Director/ Directeur exécutif Tonja Leach

Tel/ Tél : 866-494-2770 Fax/ Téléc : 866-494-2770 Web: www.questcanada.org

Members of the Ontario CHP Consortium encourage the OEB to look to work done by the United States Department of Energy in developing a flexible grid concept<sup>1</sup> that provides benefits to customers and grid operators:

"A cost-effective, flexible CHP system that seamlessly connects to the grid and provides needed grid services would offer a win-win solution for manufacturers and grid operators. For manufacturers, revenue from grid services would provide an attractive return on their investment in CHP systems; for grid operators, partnering with industrial sites would provide cost-effective access to dispatchable generating capacity and other essential services, such as frequency regulation."

Several discussion papers have been published detailing this concept: <u>US DOE flexible CHP paper</u>, US DOE's <u>Study on Flexible CHP on the California Grid</u>,<sup>2</sup> and Navigant studies on the use of <u>Flexible CHP to enhance the grid</u>,<sup>3</sup> and an <u>ICF study on supporting the Grid with flexible CHP systems</u>.<sup>4</sup>

The OEB staff recommendations earlier this year, although suggesting a willingness to work with DER resources, was complicated, costly for customers and in our opinion shifts too much theoretical costs to DER resources.

The proposal did not account for system benefits of DERs and only considered additional costs, which are overstated in many situations, for example, by discounting the diversity of DER resources. Not all DER resources will be simultaneously unavailable for the purposes of reserving system capacity, and these are still typically a small percentage of coincident demand.

Our submission to the Board was to conduct a more rigorous analysis of its proposal to introduce a provincial capacity reserve charge and consider avoiding any standby charge for smaller systems below a certain size, e.g. 250 kW installations. Introducing a capacity reserve charge would undermine the business case for DERs and run counter to many of the objectives put forward by the Board in terms of providing customer

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> United States Department of Energy; Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy. Flexible Combined Heat and Power (CHP) Systems. https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2018/01/f47/Flexible%20CHP%20Comms\_01.18.18\_compliant.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> United States Department of Energy. Modeling the Impact of Flexible CHP on California's Future Electric Grid.

Navigant Research. The Future of CHP is More Flexible and Grid-Interactive.
<a href="https://www.navigantresearch.com/news-and-views/the-future-of-chp-is-more-flexible-and-grid-interactive">https://www.navigantresearch.com/news-and-views/the-future-of-chp-is-more-flexible-and-grid-interactive</a>
ICF. Supporting Grid Modernization with Flexible CHP Systems.
<a href="https://www.icf.com/-/media/files/icf/white-paper/2017/icf-supporting-grid-mod-with-flexible-chp-feb-2018.p">https://www.icf.com/-/media/files/icf/white-paper/2017/icf-supporting-grid-mod-with-flexible-chp-feb-2018.p</a>
df

benefits, choice and promoting innovation that is in the best long-term interests of all customers.

The Board Staff recommendations put forward earlier this year came into focus at the recent DER proceedings, with many presentations highlighting the fact that our current regulatory model incentivizes utilities to make more capital investments, and install more DER remote monitoring and protection equipment, rather than being incentivised to connect DER resources and keep their involvement and costs down.

As a guiding principle, DER costs should be based on coincident peaks as this is a true reflection of their impact on the system and how most other aspects of utility charges are calculated.

Another principle that runs counter to facilitating customer benefits and options for DERs is the move to fixed distribution charges for customers under 10kW. This presents a significant barrier for customers that would otherwise consider how they might use DERs to reduce their consumption and contribution to system peaks. If instead policies encourage RPP customers to adopt DERs, the volume the province needs to subsidize is reduced in direct proportion to the rate of DER adoption.

The Ontario CHP Consortium thanks the Board for considering our comments on the policy proceedings. We look forward to the opportunity to continued engagement in this proceeding.

Yours Sincerely,

Richard Laszlo Senior Associate, CHP, QUEST Ontario CHP Consortium Chair Tonja Leach, Executive Director, QUEST