J1.1

Reference:

To produce a list of projects previously turned down by the Board in previous decisions which are now included in the M-factor application for 194 projects.

Response:

- 1 Alectra Utilities provides Table 1 below which identifies the two projects for which ICM funding
- 2 was previously sought in Alectra Utilities' 2018 EDR Application (EB-2017-0024), but not
- 3 approved by the OEB, and which are included in the M-factor project list.

4

5 Table 1 - M-factor Projects previously requested for incremental funding

| Project | |
|---------|---|
| Code | Project Name |
| 101480 | Build Double Circuit 27.6kV Pole Line on 19 th Ave between Leslie St and Bayview Ave |
| 150047 | Rear Lot Supply Remediation - Royal Orchard - North |

6

J1.2

Reference:

To provide the cable replacement and cable rehabilitation project information that's in the plan. Also to indicate which ones are designated in the M-Factor component as opposed to the overall DSP in base rates. Also to check against Exhibit JT2.2, Question One, SEC 2, and CCC-9 and provide a consolidated list of underground cables.

Response:

The attached Excel file J1.2_All Cable Projects, provides a listing of all cable renewal projects (injection and replacement) contained within the Distribution System Plan ("DSP"). Alectra Utilities has identified the projects which are included in the M-Factor. Alectra Utilities has also validated against other responses, and there are no discrepancies with respect to CCC-9. However, in response to JT2.2 and SEC-2, Alectra Utilities provided cable projects exceeding \$1MM. In response to this undertaking, Alectra Utilities has provided all cable projects included in the DSP.

8

9 Alectra Utilities submits that, as provided in response to AMPCO Technical Conference
10 Undertaking JT2.2, Question 7, performing a summation of the benefits is not a reflection of
11 realized reliability improvement. As identified in JT2.2, there are two types of reliability benefits:
12 one time benefits versus perpetual benefits.

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities 2020 EDR Application Responses to Oral Hearing Undertakings Delivered: October 23, 2019

J1.2

ATTACHMENT 1 – All Cable Projects

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | SAIDI (hrs) | SAIFI |
|-------------------|------------------|--|-------------|----------|
| 151301 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (HAM) - Rymal - Mud - Upper Centennial - Upper Red Hill Valley | 0.087073 | 0.029024 |
| 150134 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V37) - Langstaff and Weston, Vaughan | 0.019906 | 0.009953 |
| 151363 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M25) - 14th - McCowan - Steeles - Old Kennedy, Markham | 0.008758 | 0.004379 |
| 151362 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M39) - 16th - Warden - Hwy 7 - Woodbine, Markham | 0.004999 | 0.002499 |
| 151360 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M31) - 14th - Old Kennedy - Steeles - Warden, Markham | 0.009024 | 0.004512 |
| 151303 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (HAM) - Stone Church - Garth - Lincoln M. Alexander | 0.003080 | 0.001027 |
| 151367 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M21) - Hwy 7 - Markham - 16th - McCowan, Markham | 0.001858 | 0.000929 |
| 151300 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M19) - Markham - Steeles - McCowan - 14th, Markham Cable Injection Project - (I3) -Boyaird - Dixie - Queen - Hwy 410. Brampton | 0.002389 | 0.001194 |
| 151460 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (V17) - Langstaff - Keele - Rutherford - Dufferin, Vaughan | 0.003229 | 0.001615 |
| 150025 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V18) - Major Mackenzie and Keele, Vaughan | 0.004379 | 0.002190 |
| 151066 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - Hamilton Mountain URD | 0.015170 | 0.003792 |
| 151302 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (VOT) - Tonge - Steeles - Bathdist - Centen, Vaugnan Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Rymal - Mud - Upper Centennial - Upper Red Hill Valley | 0.042829 | 0.021415 |
| 151314 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (G2) -Wanless - Kennedy - Bovaird - Main, Brampton | 0.003096 | 0.001548 |
| 151409 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Central Parkway & Bloor (29), Mississauga | 0.003480 | 0.001832 |
| 151307 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Upper Sherman - Stone Church - Nebo - Rymal | 0.005420 | 0.002710 |
| 151429 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (HAM) - Millen - Barton - Fruitland | 0.015670 | 0.000755 |
| 151402 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Montevideo & Treviso (19a), Mississauga | 0.006079 | 0.003200 |
| 151315 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (G5) - Steeles - Kennedy - Hwy 407 - Main, Brampton | 0.002743 | 0.001371 |
| 151456 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (V50) - Hwy 7 - Kipling - Steeles - Hwy 27, Vaughan | 0.006547 | 0.003273 |
| 150201 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V36) - Kuthenord and Weston, Vaugnan Cable Injection Project - (SCH) - QEW - Highway 406 - Martindale Road | 0.001858 | 0.000929 |
| 151298 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Govenors - Old Ancaster | 0.014934 | 0.007467 |
| 151300 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Millen - Barton - Fruitland | 0.031330 | 0.015665 |
| 151424 | Base | Cable Replacement Project-Miss. Valley & Bloor (15) Mississauga | 0.002458 | 0.002065 |
| 151349 | Base | Cable Injection Froject - (Fo) - Steeles - Main - Hwy 407 - McLaughlin, Brampton Cable Injection Project - (V16) - Lanostaff - Dufferin - Steeles - Jane Vaughan | 0.004822 | 0.002411 |
| 151352 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M38) - Hwy 7 - Warden - 14th - Woodbine, Markham | 0.001681 | 0.000840 |
| 151062 | Base | Cable Replacement - (279) - 201 Silvercreek Pky N Subdivision, Guelph | 0.000801 | 0.000100 |
| 151361 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V26) - Teston - Keele - Major Mackenzie - Jane, Vaughan | 0.002079 | 0.001040 |
| 151325 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (M31) - 14th - Old Kennedv - Steeles - Warden. Markham | 0.005669 | 0.002984 |
| 151457 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (V25) - Major Mackenzie - Keele - Rutherford - Jane, Vaughan | 0.003802 | 0.001901 |
| 151339 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BA19) - Letitia - Anne - Edgehill - Ferndale, Barrie | 0.006104 | 0.003052 |
| 151316 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (H2) - Wanless - Heart Lake - Bovaird - Kennedy, Brampton | 0.003229 | 0.001615 |
| 151351 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (W32) - Hwy 7 and Pine Valley Dr, Vaugnan Cable Injection Project - (M32) - Hwy 7 - Main - 14th - Warden. Markham | 0.001327 | 0.000664 |
| 150026 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M43) - John and Woodbine, Markham | 0.001371 | 0.000686 |
| 150021 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V36) - Steeles and Pine Valley, Vaughan | 0.002322 | 0.001161 |
| 151355 | Base M Footor | Cable Injection Project - (M26) - Hwy 7 -McCowan - 14th - Old Kennedy, Markham | 0.001637 | 0.000818 |
| 151459 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- (v24) - Langstall - Jane - Kuthenord - Keele, Vaugnan Cable Replacement Project- Innovator & Courtney Park E (4). Mississaura | 0.000929 | 0.000464 |
| 151310 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (E4) - Queen - McLaughlin - Steeles - Chinguacousy, Brampton | 0.002964 | 0.001482 |
| 151336 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BA22) - Sunnidale and Anne, Barrie | 0.004247 | 0.002123 |
| 151305 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Stone Church - Upper Sherman - Rymal - Upper Wellington | 0.024269 | 0.012134 |
| 151404 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - MS Argentia distribution reeder(s) upgrade | 0.003034 | 0.000758 |
| 151463 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (F4-G4) - Main - Steeles - Chinguacousy - Queen, Brampton | 0.000619 | 0.000310 |
| 151306 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Upper Wentworth - Lincoln M. Alexander - Upper Ottawa - Stone Church | 0.001837 | 0.000919 |
| 151432 | Base | Cable Injection- 007- AREA 43 & 51- Hurontario & Derry Rd W, Mississauga | 0.000962 | 0.000507 |
| 151403 | ⊎ase Base | uable κeplacement Project- Montevideo & Battleford (19b), Mississauga Cable Injection Project - (M16) - Major Mackenzie - 9th - 16th - Hwy 48, Markham | 0.000756 | 0.000398 |
| 151464 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (F3-G3-H3) - Phase 2, Brampton | 0.002123 | 0.001062 |
| 151328 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- (21a) Darcel & Brandon Gate, Mississauga | 0.000764 | 0.000402 |
| 151461 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (V51) - Langstaff - Kipling - Hwy 7 - Hwy 27, Vaughan | 0.001194 | 0.000597 |
| 151420 | Base Base | Cable Replacement Project-Eglinton & Credit Valley (5), Mississauga | 0.0011163 | 0.009381 |
| 151364 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V23) - Hwy 7 - Keele - Langstaff - Jane, Vaughan | 0.001150 | 0.000575 |
| 151401 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- (21b) Sigsbee & Morning Star, Mississauga | 0.000844 | 0.000444 |
| 151348 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M20) - Hwy 7 - Markham - 14th - McCowan, Markham | 0.000752 | 0.000376 |
| 151430 | Base | Cable Injection- 005- AREA 38- Bristol & Creditview, Mississauga | 0.000945 | 0.000497 |
| 151413 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Queensway & Mavis (31). Mississauga | 0.000543 | 0.000286 |
| 151319 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (I4) -Queen - Dixie - Steeles - Hwy 410, Brampton | 0.000752 | 0.000376 |
| 151428 | Base | Cable Injection- 002- AREA 30- Eglinton Ave W & Miss Rd, Mississauga | 0.000907 | 0.000478 |
| 151276 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (SCH) - Vansickle | 0.016071 | 0.008035 |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | SAIDI (hrs) | SAIFI |
|-------------------|------------------|--|-------------|----------|
| 151304 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Stone Church - Garth - Lincoln M. Alexander | 0.007137 | 0.003568 |
| 151462 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (G1) - Hwy 410 - Kennedy - Wanless - Main, Brampton | 0.001504 | 0.000752 |
| 151344 151458 | Base M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (K16) - Major Mackenzie - Bayview - 16th - Yonge, Richmond Hill Cable Injection Project - (V31) - Langstaff - Weston - Rutherford - Jane, Vaughan | 0.000619 | 0.000310 |
| 150263 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - East Left Behind Cable | 0.006104 | 0.003052 |
| 151416 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Woodchester & Thorn Lodge (34), Mississauga | 0.000569 | 0.000478 |
| 151356 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V44) - Langstaff - Pine Valley - Hwy 7 - Kipling, Vaughan | 0.000708 | 0.000354 |
| 151297 151317 | Base Base | Cable Replacement Project - (HAM) - Govenors - Old Ancaster Cable Injection Project - (H4) - Queen - Hwy 410 - Steeles - Kennedy, Brampton | 0.004399 | 0.001466 |
| 151426 | Base | Cable Replacement Project-Southdown & Lakeshore (35), Mississauga | 0.002663 | 0.002238 |
| 151417 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Rathburn & Cawthra (27), Mississauga | 0.000135 | 0.000114 |
| 151286 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (H2) - Wanless - Heart Lake - Bovaird - Kennedy, Brampton | 0.001858 | 0.000929 |
| 151107 | Base Base | Cable Replacement Project - 7143 Main Feeder Cable Replacement Project-Oueen St W & Paisley (30) Mississaura | 0.003034 | 0.001517 |
| 150141 | Base | Cable Replacement Project – (M49) - Steeles and Fairway Heights, Markham | 0.000398 | 0.000199 |
| 150572 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (J4) - Queen - Clark - Bramalea - Kensington - Knightsbridge, Brampton | 0.000575 | 0.000288 |
| 151311 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (E5) - Steeles - Mclaughlin - Hwy 407 - Chinguacousy, Brampton | 0.000531 | 0.000265 |
| 151365 | Base Base | Cable Injection Project - (VU2) - Atkinson and Worth, Vaughan Cable Replacement Project and Transformers Replacement - Rathburn Rd, W. Mississauga | 0.000664 | 0.000332 |
| 151346 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M45) - Hwy 7 and Woodbine, Markham | 0.000442 | 0.000221 |
| 151340 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (V29) - Hwy 7 - Jane - Steeles - Weston, Vaughan | 0.001371 | 0.000686 |
| 151427 | Base | Cable Injection- 001- AREA 11- Truscott & Southdown, Mississauga | 0.000692 | 0.000364 |
| 151421 151342 | Base Base | Cable Replacement Project-Rathkeale Rd & Edenrose St (6), Mississauga | 0.001047 | 0.000880 |
| 151419 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Thomas St & Hillside (24), Mississauga | 0.000659 | 0.000554 |
| 151435 | Base | Cable Injection- 010 - Area 56- Derry Rd W & Ninth Line, Mississauga | 0.000652 | 0.000343 |
| 151425 | Base | Cable Replacement Project-Rathburn Rd E & Tomken (10), Mississauga | 0.000298 | 0.000250 |
| 151290 151347 | Base Base | Cable Replacement Project - (I3) - Bovaird - Dixie - Queen - Hwy 410, Brampton Cable Injection Project - (V40) - Teston - Weston - Major Mackenzie - Pine Valley, Vaughan | 0.002212 | 0.001106 |
| 151281 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (SCH) - Lake - Linwell - Geneva - Scott | 0.000962 | 0.000321 |
| 151181 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - Left Behind Cable, Brampton | 0.001687 | 0.000843 |
| 151423 | Base | Cable Replacement Project-Old Carriage Road (33), Mississauga | 0.000205 | 0.000173 |
| 151341 | Base Base | Cable Injection Project - (M15) - 16th - Markham - 9th - Hwy 7, Markham Cable Injection Project - (M51) - 14th - Bayview - Steeles - Yonge Markham | 0.000310 | 0.000155 |
| 151343 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M14) - Hwy 7 - Markham - 9th - 14th, Markham | 0.000310 | 0.000155 |
| 151338 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- (BA15) - Burton - Huronia - Little - Bayview, Barrie | 0.001017 | 0.000509 |
| 151329 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (V51) - Langstaff - Kipling - Hwy 7 - Hwy 27, Vaughan | 0.000664 | 0.000332 |
| 151335 | Base Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BA14) - 1 Imm and Hwy 400, Barne Cable Injection Project - (M3) - Castlemore - Goreway - Queen - Airport Brampton | 0.000840 | 0.000420 |
| 151350 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M22) - Major Machenzie - Hwy 48 - 16th - McCowan, Markham | 0.000310 | 0.000155 |
| 151330 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (A01) - Henderson - Yonge - Bloomington - Bathurst, Aurora | 0.000575 | 0.000288 |
| 151321 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (J5) - Steeles - Bramalea - Hwy 407 - Dixie, Brampton | 0.000265 | 0.000133 |
| 151224 | Base Base | Cable Replacement - (920) - Scottsdale Drive Subdivision, Guelph Cable Replacement Project - Main Fedeer(s) upgrade - 68E2 68E4 68E7 83E5 83E3 | 0.000455 | 0.000169 |
| 151323 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (L4) - Queen - Airport - Steeles - Torbram, Brampton | 0.000310 | 0.000155 |
| 151283 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (HAM) - Mohawk | 0.001469 | 0.000490 |
| 151333 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BA9) - Little - Fairview - Harvie - Ferndale, Barrie | 0.000575 | 0.000288 |
| 151295 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (SCH) - Welland - Bunting - Carlton - Cushman | 0.000455 | 0.000169 |
| 151436 | Base | Cable Injection-011 - Area 58 & 59- Winston Churchill & The Collegeway, Mississauga | 0.000009 | 0.000005 |
| 151331 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (V41) - Stephanie Blvd, Vaughan | 0.000442 | 0.000221 |
| 151386 | Base | Cable Replacement - (278) - 31 Greengate Rd Subdivision, Guelph | 0.000455 | 0.000169 |
| 151292 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V41) - Gueen - Tororam - Steeles - Bramalea | 0.000398 | 0.000199 |
| 151332 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BA20) - Bayfield and Simcoe, Barrie | 0.000664 | 0.000332 |
| 151309 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (E3) - Bovaird - McLaughlin - Queen - Chinguacousy, Brampton | 0.000221 | 0.000111 |
| 151354 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V52) - Rutherford - Kipling - Langstaff - Hwy 27, Vaughan | 0.000221 | 0.000111 |
| 151372 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - Winston Churchill consolidation - 49F6 and 49F4 | 0.000169 | 0.000084 |
| 151408 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Burnhamthorpe & Miss. Road (13), Mississauga | 0.001187 | 0.000625 |
| 151320 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (I5) - Steeles - Dixie - Hwy 407 - Hwy 410, Brampton | 0.000221 | 0.000111 |
| 151371 | Base | Cable Replacement - (87) - Marksam Rd/ Rhonda Rd Subdivision, Guelph | 0.000629 | 0.000273 |
| 151410 | Base | Cable Replacement Project-Roselle & Priority Cres (2) Mississaura | 0.000133 | 0.000066 |
| 151308 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Hollybush - Parkside - Dundas - Spring Creek | 0.002057 | 0.001029 |
| 151288 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (H4) - Queen - Hwy 410 - Steeles - Kennedy, Brampton | 0.000177 | 0.000088 |
| 151296 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (SCH) - Welland - Bunting - Carlton - Cushman | 0.001212 | 0.000606 |
| 151357 | ⊎ase Base | Cable Injection Project - (V34) - Kirby - Jane - Teston - Weston, Vaughan Cable Replacement - (893) - Janefield Ave Subdivision, Guelob | 0.000133 | 0.000066 |
| | -400 | case replacement (000) canonola no cabanioni, caopi | 0.000231 | 5.000007 |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | SAIDI (hrs) | SAIFI |
|-------------------|----------|--|-------------|----------|
| 151387 | Base | Cable Replacement - (833) - 295 Water St Subdivision, Guelph | 0.000184 | 0.000068 |
| 151337 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BA18) - Ferndale and Benson, Barrie | 0.000310 | 0.000155 |
| 151326 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (V44) - Hayhoe - Islington - Hwy 7, Vaughan | 0.000265 | 0.000133 |
| 151388 | Base | Cable Replacement - (724) - 30 Hadati Rd, Guelph | 0.000455 | 0.000169 |
| 151291 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (I4) - Queen - Dixie - Steeles - Hwy 410, Brampton | 0.000487 | 0.000243 |
| 151358 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V62) - Kirby - Hwy 27 - Nashville - Huntington, Vaughan | 0.000177 | 0.000088 |
| 151146 | Base | Cable Replacement and Transformers Replacement - Project - Folkway, Mississauga | 0.010922 | 0.002731 |
| 151282 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (SCH) - Weiden | 0.000047 | 0.000016 |
| 151312 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (F2) - Wanless - Main - Bovaird - McLaughlin, Brampton | 0.000088 | 0.000044 |
| 150254 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - (A02) - Steeplechase Ave, Aurora | 0.000840 | 0.000420 |
| 151322 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (K4) - Queen - Torbram - Steeles - Bramalea, Brampton | 0.000088 | 0.000044 |
| 151405 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Erin Mills & N.Sheridan (16), Mississauga | 0.000329 | 0.000173 |
| 150139 | Base | Cable Replacement Project – (B19) - Donald St and Simcoe Terrace, Barrie | 0.000133 | 0.000066 |
| 151434 | Base | Cable Injection- 009- AREA 54- Highway 401 & Argentia, Mississauga | 0.000424 | 0.000223 |
| 151465 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement - Mississauga Left Behind Cable | 0.002533 | 0.001267 |
| 151284 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (E3) - Bovaird - McLaughlin - Queen - Chinguacousy, Brampton | 0.000221 | 0.000111 |
| 151293 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (SCH) - Lakeshore - Stanley - Parnell - Chancery | 0.000563 | 0.000188 |
| 151327 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BR6) - 8th and Dissette, Bradford | 0.000133 | 0.000066 |
| 151373 | Base | Cable Replacement - (923) - Scottsdale Drive Subdivision, Guelph | 0.000119 | 0.000059 |
| 150257 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - (V15) - Jardin Dr, Vaughan | 0.001416 | 0.000708 |
| 151406 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Rathburn Rd W & Queenbridge (8), Mississauga | 0.000065 | 0.000034 |
| 151334 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BA13) - Dunlop and Miller, Barrie | 0.000133 | 0.000066 |
| 151294 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (SCH) - Lakeshore - Stanley - Parnell - Chancery | 0.000138 | 0.000069 |
| 151287 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (SCH) - Bolger - Elma - Dorothy - The Meadows | 0.000157 | 0.000052 |
| 151280 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (SCH) - Millward - Jeanette Drive - Trevor | 0.000014 | 0.000007 |
| 151279 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (SCH) - Jacobson - Chestnut - Woodcrest | 0.000055 | 0.000027 |
| 151285 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (G2) - Wanless - Kennedy - Bovaird - Main, Brampton | 0.000221 | 0.000111 |
| 150262 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - (M33) - 16th Avenue and Village Parkway, Markham | 0.000487 | 0.000243 |
| 151469 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - (F4-G4) - Main - Steeles - Chinguacousy - Queen, Brampton | 0.000310 | 0.000155 |
| 151466 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - (V24) - Langstaff - Jane - Rutherford - Keele, Vaughan | 0.000310 | 0.000155 |
| 151468 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - (V51) - Langstaff - Kipling - Hwy 7 - Hwy 27, Vaughan | 0.000310 | 0.000155 |
| 151178 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - Mason Heights | 0.001365 | 0.000341 |
| 151431 | Base | Cable Injection- 006- AREA 39- Erin Mills Pkway & Thomas St, Mississauga | 0.000467 | 0.000246 |
| 151467 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - (V17) - Langstaff - Keele - Rutherford - Dufferin, Vaughan | 0.000756 | 0.000378 |
| 151179 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - Area of Erin Mills Parkway and South Millway | 0.001653 | 0.000413 |
| 151141 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement and Transformers replacement - Project - Windjammer, Mississauga | 0.006530 | 0.001632 |
| 150138 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project – (BA23-BA24) - Cook St and Steel St, Barrie | 0.000267 | 0.000133 |
| 151145 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - Bough Beeches Blvd. | 0.001866 | 0.000466 |
| 151143 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement and Transformers Replacement -Project - Shelter Bay Rd. Mississauga | 0.002457 | 0.000614 |
| 150255 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - (B23) - Cundles Rd and Janine St, Barrie | 0.000155 | 0.000077 |

J1.3

Reference:

To provide a breakdown of the M-factor investments described in Staff-4 by project categories, System Access, Renewal, Service, and General Plant.

Response:

- 1 Tables 1 4 include all the capital investments proposed for M-Factor funding provided by
- 2 investment categories. This listing contains 203 projects consistent with what was provided in
- 3 CCC-9. There were 9 projects that were inadvertently removed from the G-Staff-4 list as they
- 4 rounded to \$0.0MM.
- 5

6 Table 1 – System Access Projects in M-Factor (\$MM)

| Project Number | Project Name | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) |
|-------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| 150605 | Residential "ICON F" Meter Replacement - PowerStream RZ | 7.3 |
| 150343 | Bathurst Street Widening | 3.4 |
| | Total System Access | 10.7 |

7

8 Table 2 – System Renewal Projects in M-Factor (\$MM)

| Project Number | Project Name | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) |
|-------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| 150317 | Voltage Conversion - Deerhurst MS, Hamilton | 7.8 |
| 151139 | Voltage Conversion - MS-12 Hansen Rd, Brampton | 5.5 |
| 151138 | Voltage Conversion - MS-2 Church St, Brampton | 4.4 |
| 150320 | Voltage Conversion - Dewitt MS, Hamilton | 4.1 |
| 150047 | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Royal Orchard - North | 4.0 |
| 150354 | Voltage Conversion - Eastmount MS, Hamilton | 3.8 |
| 150351 | Voltage Conversion - Aberdeen MS, Hamilton | 3.3 |
| 150321 | Voltage Conversion - Galbraith MS, Hamilton | 3.3 |
| 150330 | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Marsdale, St.Catharines | 3.1 |
| 150257 | Cable Replacement Project - (V15) - Jardin Dr, Vaughan | 2.9 |
| 150254 | Cable Replacement Project - (A02) - Steeplechase Ave, Aurora | 2.9 |

| 151460 | Cable Injection Project - (V17) - Langstaff - Keele - Rutherford - Dufferin, Vaughan | 2.8 |
|--------|--|-----|
| 150355 | Voltage Conversion - Elmwood MS, Hamilton | 2.8 |
| 151465 | Cable Replacement - Mississauga Left Behind Cable | 2.7 |
| 150356 | Voltage Conversion - Clarkson Area, Mississauga | 2.7 |
| 151141 | Cable Replacement and Transformers replacement - Project - Windjammer, Mississauga | 2.7 |
| 150043 | Rear Lot Renewal Project - East of Queen St. to Eastern Ave./North of Greenway St. | 2.6 |
| 150329 | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Main Street / Unionville / Carlton | 2.5 |
| 151467 | Cable Replacement Project - (V17) - Langstaff - Keele - Rutherford - Dufferin, Vaughan | 2.4 |
| 150399 | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Richlieu Dr and Trelawne Dr, St.Catharines | 2.4 |
| 150262 | Cable Replacement Project - (M33) - 16th Avenue and Village Parkway, Markham | 2.1 |
| 150377 | Voltage Conversion and Rear Lot - Montgomery Dr, Hamilton | 1.8 |
| 150380 | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Gunn/Oakley Park/St.Vincent | 1.8 |
| 150378 | Rear Lot Renewal Project - East of Queen Street/North of Mill Street | 1.8 |
| 150138 | Cable Replacement Project – (BA23-BA24) - Cook St and Steel St, Barrie | 1.7 |
| 100319 | Radial Supply Remediation/Conversion - 13.8 kV to 27.6 kV on Miller Ave | 1.5 |
| 151456 | Cable Injection Project - (V50) - Hwy 7 - Kipling - Steeles - Hwy 27, Vaughan | 1.5 |
| 151457 | Cable Injection Project - (V25) - Major Mackenzie - Keele - Rutherford - Jane, Vaughan | 1.3 |
| 151459 | Cable Injection Project - (V24) - Langstaff - Jane - Rutherford - Keele, Vaughan | 1.3 |
| 151143 | Cable Replacement and Transformers Replacement -Project - Shelter Bay Rd. Mississauga | 1.1 |
| 151463 | Cable Injection Project - (F4-G4) - Main - Steeles - Chinguacousy - Queen, Brampton | 1.1 |
| 150255 | Cable Replacement Project - (B23) - Cundles Rd and Janine St, Barrie | 1.1 |
| 151468 | Cable Replacement Project - (V51) - Langstaff - Kipling - Hwy 7 - Hwy 27, Vaughan | 1.0 |
| 151466 | Cable Replacement Project - (V24) - Langstaff - Jane - Rutherford - Keele, Vaughan | 1.0 |
| 151469 | Cable Replacement Project - (F4-G4) - Main - Steeles - Chinguacousy - Queen, Brampton | 1.0 |
| 150398 | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Strathcona Dr | 0.9 |
| 151132 | MS Transformer & HV Switchgear Replacement - Munden MS35 T1 & HV1 | 0.9 |
| 151464 | Cable Injection Project - (F3-G3-H3) - Phase 2, Brampton | 0.8 |
| 151128 | MS Transformer & HV Switchgear Replacement - Western MS36 T1 & HV1 | 0.8 |
| 151178 | Cable Replacement Project - Mason Heights | 0.7 |
| 151145 | Cable Replacement Project - Bough Beeches Blvd. | 0.7 |
| 150323 | Station Switchgear Replacement - Bloor MS38 LV1 | 0.7 |
| 151461 | Cable Injection Project - (V51) - Langstaff - Kipling - Hwy 7 - Hwy 27, Vaughan | 0.7 |

| 151458 | Cable Injection Project - (V31) - Langstaff - Weston - Rutherford - Jane, Vaughan | 0.6 |
|--------|---|-------|
| 151085 | Rear Lot Conversions | 0.6 |
| 150421 | 2D7X Pimlico Dr - Voltage Conversion and Rear Lot | 0.6 |
| 151462 | Cable Injection Project - (G1) - Hwy 410 - Kennedy - Wanless - Main, Brampton | 0.6 |
| 151179 | Cable Replacement Project - Area of Erin Mills Parkway and South Millway | 0.5 |
| 150097 | Line Protections and HMI Upgrade - KDU-10 Replacement - Markham TS#2 | 0.5 |
| 150362 | Voltage Conversion - Dufferin St S, between MS431 and Albert St S, Alliston | 0.4 |
| 150394 | King St. Voltage Conversion & Loop (LRT Betterment) | 0.3 |
| 150044 | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Blake/Kempenfelt | 0.3 |
| 150607 | Station LED Lighting Upgrades - Central | 0.1 |
| 150606 | Station LED Lighting Upgrades - EAST | 0.1 |
| 150519 | Upgrade to Station Facilities (Building / Civil work) MultiYear - East | 0.1 |
| 151072 | Station Service Transfer Upgrade - Vaughan TS#3 | 0.1 |
| 150878 | Bus & Main Breaker Protections Replacement - Jim Yarrow TS | 0.1 |
| 150610 | Driveway Paving - Various Stations - Multi-year initiative - Central | 0.1 |
| 150609 | Driveway Paving - Various Stations - Multi-year initiative - East | 0.1 |
| 150612 | Driveway Paving - Various Stations - Multi-year initiative - West | 0.1 |
| 150608 | Station LED Lighting Upgrades - West | 0.1 |
| 151212 | Driveway Paving Multi-year initiative - South West | 0.0 |
| 151209 | Station LED Lighting Upgrades - South West | 0.0 |
| | Total System Renewal | 101.4 |

1 2

3 Table 3 – System Service Projects in M-Factor (\$MM)

| Project Number | Project Name | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) |
|-------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| 100340 | Vaughan TS#4 Feeder Integration - Part 3 | 8.8 |
| 150360 | New build - Extend 44kV feeder Centre View Dr, Mississauga | 6.5 |
| 150319 | New MS - Duke MS 20 MVA Substation, Mississauga | 6.2 |
| 150371 | New build - 27.6kV Feeder Extension Traders, Mississauga | 5.5 |
| 103633 | Install Two 27.6kV Ccts on 16th Ave from Hwy 404 to Woodbine Ave | 5.5 |
| 100337 | Markham TS #4 Feeder Egress Part 3 | 4.9 |
| 150342 | HaLRT_New Stirton Feeder for TPSS#4 and 8852X load shedding, Hamilton | 4.8 |
| 150364 | New build - Port Credit Village East (Marina) 27.6kV Feeders, Mississauga | 4.4 |
| 150332 | Non-Wires Alternative Pilot | 4.0 |

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities 2020 EDR Application Responses to Oral Hearing Undertakings Delivered: October 23, 2019 Page 4 of 7

| 100904 | Install Double Cct Pole Line on Major Mackenzie - Hwy 27 to Huntington Rd | 3.7 |
|--------|---|-----|
| 150680 | Alectra Drive at Home | 2.7 |
| 100924 | Install two additional 27.6 kV ccts on Hwy 7 from Jane St to Weston Rd | 2.6 |
| 150693 | Blockchain | 2.4 |
| 151233 | New Construction - Campbell TS 36M63 Feeder PHASE 1 & 2, Guelph | 2.3 |
| 101542 | New Barrie 20MVA Substation - Harvie | 2.2 |
| 100909 | Rebuild 27.6 kV pole line for 4 Ccts on Warden Ave from Major Mack to Elgin Mills | 2.2 |
| 150367 | Mini-Orlando MS 27.6kV Land Purchase, Mississauga | 2.2 |
| 100632 | 27.6 kV Pole Line on 14th Ave from Hwy 48 to 9th Line | 2.0 |
| 150368 | New build - North Central feeders capacity (Carlton TS to Linwell Rd/Lake St) relief, St.Catharines | 2.0 |
| 102128 | Aurora MS6 Expansion | 2.0 |
| 101569 | New Alliston 10MVA Substation - Industrial Parkway | 1.9 |
| 150370 | New build - 27.6kV New Feeders Lakeview Development, Mississauga | 1.9 |
| 150369 | New build - 44kV Feeder Extension York/Meadowpine, Mississauga | 1.8 |
| 150390 | New build - Waterdown 3rd Feeder, Hamilton | 1.7 |
| 150747 | Net Zero Energy Emissions | 1.6 |
| 102547 | Two Ccts on Birchmount Rd from ROW to 14th Ave | 1.6 |
| 100913 | Pole Line Installation Double Cct on Major Mack - Huntington Rd to Hwy 50 | 1.4 |
| 101036 | Install a new 4 ccts CNR yard overhead crossing on the south side of Hwy 7 | 1.4 |
| 101487 | Add one Additional 27.6 kV Cct on Major Mack Dr and 9th Line | 1.3 |
| 101480 | Build double ccts 27.6kV pole line on 19th Ave between Leslie St and Bayview Ave | 1.3 |
| 150374 | New build - 13.8kV Feeder Extension 9th Line, Derry to Argentia, Mississauga | 1.2 |
| 150716 | New build - 42M69 Feeder Extension Williams Pkwy - Main St to Kennedy Rd, Brampton | 1.1 |
| 150358 | New build - QEW Dixie West New OH Circuits, Mississauga | 1.1 |
| 102387 | Install 44kV & 13.8kV Bryne Drive | 1.1 |
| 150353 | Truscott Plaza - Additional capacity, Mississauga | 1.0 |
| 150401 | 136M6 Goreway TS Extensions | 1.0 |
| 150679 | Alectra Drive for the Workplace | 0.8 |
| 100919 | Install 2nd 27.6 kV Cct on Woodbine Ave from Elgin Mills Rd to 19th Ave | 0.6 |
| 151240 | Southgate Dr to Maltby Rd O/H Extension | 0.6 |
| 150361 | Airport 88M5 & 88M7 HONI Purchase | 0.5 |
| 100159 | Hydro One Asset Purchase - Alliston | 0.5 |
| 101393 | Redundant Fibre Path to Aurora MS#4 Sub-Station | 0.5 |
| 150785 | New WiMAX Communications System - West | 0.5 |
| 150576 | Split the 1/0 loop on Cityview Blvd into two loops | 0.5 |
| 151241 | Arlen MTS - New Feeder | 0.5 |
| 150073 | Vaughan TS#1 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades | 0.4 |

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities 2020 EDR Application Responses to Oral Hearing Undertakings Delivered: October 23, 2019 Page 5 of 7

| 150749 | New WiMAX Communication Network - Central South | 0.4 |
|--------|--|-------|
| 150070 | Markham TS#1 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades | 0.4 |
| 150072 | Markham TS#3 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades | 0.3 |
| 150071 | Markham TS#2 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades | 0.3 |
| 150079 | Markham TS#1 T1/T2 "B" Overcurrent Protections and HMI Upgrade | 0.3 |
| 150074 | Vaughan TS#2 Bus Differential and Overcurrent Protections Upgrade | 0.3 |
| 150773 | New WiMAX Communications System - Central North | 0.3 |
| 150084 | Markham TS#2 T1/T2 "B" Differential Protections Upgrade | 0.2 |
| 150095 | Vaughan TS#1 T1/T2 "B" Differential Protections Upgrade | 0.2 |
| 150089 | Markham TS#3 T1/T2 "B" Differential Protections Upgrade | 0.2 |
| 150512 | Installation of SWI Video security system at 4 MS stations per year - Annual Multi-year initiative-CENTRAL | 0.2 |
| 150511 | Installation of SWI Video security system at 4 MS stations per year - Annual Multi-year initiative-WEST | 0.2 |
| 101003 | Richmond Hill TS#2 Upgrade Bus, Line & Transformer Protections | 0.1 |
| 151245 | Capacitor Bank Installations | 0.1 |
| 150422 | 136M9 Feeder Extension Castlemore Rd, Goreway Dr to McVean Dr | 0.1 |
| 150125 | Aurora MS6 (AMS6) Transformer and Bus Protection Upgrade | 0.1 |
| 150410 | 42M66 OH Feeder Egress Mississauga Rd, Bovaird to CNR | 0.1 |
| 151022 | New Three Sector WiMAX Node - MS305 | 0.1 |
| 150411 | 42M64 Feeder Extension Mississauga Rd, Williams Pkwy to Queen / Embleton | 0.1 |
| 150694 | Cityview microgrid enhancements | 0.1 |
| 150096 | Vaughan TS#2 T1/T2 "B" Differential Protections Upgrade | 0.1 |
| 150235 | Greenwood Expansion Station Service Supply Backup | 0.0 |
| | Total System Service | 109.3 |

1

2

3 Table 4 – General Plant Projects in M-Factor (\$MM)

| Project Number | Project Name | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) |
|-------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| 150467 | CIS CC&B upgrade | 13.3 |
| 151124 | Goreway TS Expansion (CCRA) - 10 Yr True-Up Payment, Brampton | 5.6 |
| 102263 | Work Force Management / Mobile Dispatch | 4.7 |
| 151125 | Connection Cost Recovery Agreement (CCRA) – Midhurst TS – 15th Anniversary True-up | 3.2 |
| 151117 | Vansickle TS True-up Payment (CCRA), St.Catharines | 1.6 |
| 150978 | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Cube Vans | 0.7 |
| 151168 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Step Vans | 0.7 |
| 150975 | Fleet East Unit # 75 83' Double Bucket | 0.7 |

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities 2020 EDR Application Responses to Oral Hearing Undertakings Delivered: October 23, 2019 Page 6 of 7

| 150945 | 945 Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_Reel Carriers | | | | | | |
|--------|---|-----|--|--|--|--|--|
| 150967 | 67 Fleet East Unit # 125, 83' Double Bucket | | | | | | |
| 150758 | Facilities_Reno_Staff Relocation from Jane St | 0.6 | | | | | |
| 150803 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- Material Handler | 0.6 | | | | | |
| 151118 | 18 Nebo TS 27.6kV True-up Payment (CCRA) | | | | | | |
| 150942 | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_S/Bucket | 0.5 | | | | | |
| 150807 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-209-09 S/bucket | 0.5 | | | | | |
| 150896 | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement S/Bucket 8910 | 0.5 | | | | | |
| 150818 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-236-10 S/bucket | 0.5 | | | | | |
| 150793 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-210-09 S/bucket | 0.5 | | | | | |
| 150962 | Fleet East Unit # 61 Digger truck replacement | 0.4 | | | | | |
| 150666 | Facilities_John_Roof Deck – Rooftop Renovation | 0.4 | | | | | |
| 151013 | Fleet_West_Vehicle_Replacement_Bucket Truck_1-354 | 0.4 | | | | | |
| 150846 | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Step Vans | 0.4 | | | | | |
| 151200 | Alectra Single Platform Website ongoing | 0.3 | | | | | |
| 150464 | Fieldworker Upgrade - IT/OT Infrastructure | 0.3 | | | | | |
| 150868 | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement-180 Loader | 0.3 | | | | | |
| 150938 | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_Stake Trucks | 0.3 | | | | | |
| 150876 | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_ Step Vans 6310 | 0.3 | | | | | |
| 150979 | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Extended Vans | 0.2 | | | | | |
| 150810 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Step Vans | 0.2 | | | | | |
| 150853 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Vans | 0.2 | | | | | |
| 150582 | Back-end Automation (Orchestration Tool\Setup) | 0.2 | | | | | |
| 150871 | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement-Step Van 8108 | 0.2 | | | | | |
| 150782 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Step Van | 0.2 | | | | | |
| 150796 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- Vans | 0.2 | | | | | |
| 150547 | Business Support | 0.2 | | | | | |
| 150854 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Trailers | 0.2 | | | | | |
| 151029 | Fleet_West_Vehicle_Replacement_Pickups | 0.2 | | | | | |
| 151167 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Pick ups | 0.2 | | | | | |
| 150811 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Pick ups | 0.2 | | | | | |
| 150831 | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_SUVs_1-268,1-226,1-227 | 0.1 | | | | | |
| 150944 | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_Trailer | 0.1 | | | | | |
| 150812 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Vans | 0.1 | | | | | |
| 151018 | Fleet_West_Vehicle_Replacement_Trailer | 0.1 | | | | | |
| 151016 | Fleet_West_Vehicle_Replacement_Pickups | 0.1 | | | | | |
| 150873 | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_Vans | 0.1 | | | | | |
| 150813 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-SUV | 0.1 | | | | | |
| 150797 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- SUV | 0.1 | | | | | |

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities 2020 EDR Application Responses to Oral Hearing Undertakings Delivered: October 23, 2019 Page 7 of 7

| 150958 | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Forklift | 0.1 | | | | |
|--------|---|------|--|--|--|--|
| 151158 | Fleet_Central South_Vehicle Replacement -Vans | 0.1 | | | | |
| 151160 | 60 Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_ Pole Trailer_1-405 | | | | | |
| 150980 | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Work Van | 0.1 | | | | |
| 151155 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Pick ups | 0.1 | | | | |
| 151007 | Fleet_West_Vehicle_Replacement_Trailers | 0.1 | | | | |
| 150968 | Fleet East Vehicle replacement Pickup truck 2500 | 0.1 | | | | |
| 150821 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Van | 0.1 | | | | |
| 151166 | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement pick ups | 0.1 | | | | |
| 150897 | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement pick ups | 0.1 | | | | |
| 150884 | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement Pick up 9514 | 0.1 | | | | |
| 150870 | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement-Van 5910 | 0.1 | | | | |
| 150787 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- Van | 0.1 | | | | |
| 150951 | Fleet East Vehicle addition - Van pool van | 0.0 | | | | |
| 150920 | Fleet East Vehicle addition - Van pool van | 0.0 | | | | |
| 151150 | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - SUV | 0.0 | | | | |
| 150953 | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_Trailer 11510 | 0.0 | | | | |
| 150800 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- trailer | 0.0 | | | | |
| 150888 | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement SUVs | 0.0 | | | | |
| 150891 | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement Car | 0.0 | | | | |
| 150786 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-SUV | 0.0 | | | | |
| 150843 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Bocat | 0.0 | | | | |
| 150798 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- Arrowboard | 0.0 | | | | |
| | Total General Plant | 43.6 | | | | |

1

J2.1

Reference:

To provide a blue-page update with respect to the particular table, as well as to the appendix on G-Staff-8. Also to provide a copy of the excel spreadsheet G-Staff-8.

Response:

Further to the oral update included in the preliminary matter on Day 2 of the Oral Hearing (Transcript, October 17, 2019, pp.2-6) please find attached an update to G-Staff-8 Attachment 5, which is the ICM Model that includes the threshold calculation for the Guelph Rate Zone. Further, in response to J3.2, Alectra Utilities provided an updated ICM Model for the Horizon Utilities and PowerStream Rate Zones, and in response to J3.1, Alectra Utilities proposed the use of a 5-year historical inflation factor to be used in the calculation of the materiality threshold. The update to the Guelph RZ ICM model is provided as Attachment 1.

8

9 Based on the above updates, Alectra Utilities provides a blue-page update of the relief sought

10 with respect its M-factor request. This is provided as Attachment 2.

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities 2020 EDR Application Responses to Oral Hearing Undertakings Delivered: October 23, 2019

J2.1

ATTACHMENT 1 – G-Staff-8 2020 ACM ICM Model GRZ - Revised

Contario Energy Board

Capital Module Applicable to ACM and ICM

Note: Depending on the selections made below, certain worksheets in this workbook will be hidden.

Version 5.00

| Utility Name | Alectra Utilities Corporation-Guelph Rate Zone | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|------|
| Assigned EB Number | | | |
| Name of Contact and Title | | | |
| Phone Number | | | |
| Email Address | | | |
| Is this Capital Module being filed in a CoS or Price-Cap IR Application? | Price-Cap IR | Rate Year | 2020 |
| Indicate the Price-Cap IR Year (1, 2, 3, 4, etc) in which Alectra Utilities Corporation-Guelph Rate Zone is applying: | 4 | Next OEB Scheduled Rebasing Year | 2027 |
| Alectra Utilities Corporation-Guelph Rate Zone is applying for: | ICM Approval | | |
| Last Rebasing Year: | 2016 | | |
| The most recent complete year for which actual billing and load data exists | 2018 | | |
| Current IPI | 1.50% | | |
| Strech Factor Assigned to Middle Cohort* | III | | |
| Stretch Factor Value | 0.30% | | |
| Price Cap Index | 1.20% | | |
| Based on the inputs above, the growth factor utilized in the Materiality Threshold Calculation will be determined by: | Revenues Based on 2018 Actual Distribution Demand | | |
| | Revenues Based on 2016 Board-Approved Distribution Demand | | |
| Notes | | | |

Pale green cells represent input cells.

Pale blue cells represent drop-down lists. The applicant should select the appropriate item from the drop-down list.

White cells contain fixed values, automatically generated values or formulae.

This Workbook Model is protected by copyright and is being made available to you solely for the purpose of filing your ICM application. You may use and copy this model for that purpose, and provide a copy of this model to any person that is advising or assisting you in that regard. Except as indicated above, any copying, reproduction, publication, sale, adaptation, translation, modification, reverse engineering or other use or dissemination of this model without the express written consent of the Ontario Energy Board is prohibited. If you provide a copy of this model to a person that is advising or assisting you in preparing the application or reviewing your draft rate order, you must ensure that the person understands and agrees to the restrictions noted above.

While this model has been provided in Excel format and is required to be filed with the applications, the onus remains on the applicant to ensure the accuracy of the data and the results.

*As per ACM/ICM policy, the middle cohort stretch factor is applied to all ACM/ICM applications.

l r

OEB policies regarding rate-setting and rebasing following distributor consolidations could allow a distributor to not rebase rates for up to ten years. A distributor could also apply for and receive OEB approval to defer rebasing. If a distributor is under Price Cap IR for more than four years after rebasing and applies for an ICM, this spreadsheet will need to be adapted to accommodate those circumstances. The distributor should contact OEB staff to discuss the circumstances so that a customized model can be provided.



Select the appropriate rate classes as they appear on your most recent Board-Approved Tariff of Rates and Charges, excluding the MicroFit Class.

How many classes are on your most recent Board-Approved Tariff of Rates and Charges?

8

Select Your Rate Classes from the **Blue Cells** below. Please ensure that a rate class is assigned to **each shaded cell**.

| | Rate Class Classification |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| 1 | RESIDENTIAL |
| 2 | GENERAL SERVICE LESS THAN 50 kW |
| 3 | GENERAL SERVICE 50 TO 999 kW |
| 4 | GENERAL SERVICE 1,000 TO 4,999 kW |
| 5 | LARGE USE |
| 6 | UNMETERED SCATTERED LOAD |
| 7 | SENTINEL LIGHTING |
| 8 | STREET LIGHTING |
| | |

Capital Module Applicable to ACM and ICM Alectra Utilities Corporation-Guelph Rate Zone

Input the billing determinants associated with Alectra Utilities Corporation-Guelph Rate Zone's Revenues Based on 2018 Actual Distribution Demand. Input the current approved distribution rates. Sheets 4 & 5 calculate the NUMERATOR portion of the growth factor calculation.

| | | 2018 Actual Distribution Demand | | | Current Approved Distribution Rates | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Rate Class | Units | Billed Customers or Connections | Billed kWh | Billed kW (if applicable) | Monthly Service Charge | Distribution Volumetric Rate kWh | Distribution Volumetric Rate kW | | | |
| RESIDENTIAL | \$/kWh | 50,914 | 384,041,745 | | 29.22 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | |
| GENERAL SERVICE LESS THAN 50 kW | \$/kWh | 4,134 | 142,209,076 | | 16.94 | 0.0142 | 0.0000 | | | |
| GENERAL SERVICE 50 TO 999 kW | \$/kW | 578 | 402,350,218 | 1,097,499 | 183.66 | 0.0000 | 2.7982 | | | |
| GENERAL SERVICE 1,000 TO 4,999 kW | \$/kW | 43 | 540,417,878 | 1,135,425 | 580.97 | 0.0000 | 3.1063 | | | |
| LARGE USE | \$/kW | 4 | 197,428,962 | 423,180 | 1116.83 | 0.0000 | 2.7908 | | | |
| UNMETERED SCATTERED LOAD | \$/kWh | 559 | 1,810,678 | | 4.96 | 0.0226 | 0.0000 | | | |
| SENTINEL LIGHTING | \$/kW | 35 | 18,189 | 51 | 7.67 | 0.0000 | 8.4893 | | | |
| STREET LIGHTING | \$/kW | 14,152 | 10,182,750 | 28,425 | 0.44 | 0.0000 | 10.4080 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Capital Module Applicable to ACM and ICM Actra Utilities Corporation-Guelph Rate Zone

Calculation of pro forma 2016 Revenues. No input required.

| | 2018 A | ctual Distribution | Demand | Current A | Approved Distribu | tion Rates | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|-----------------|
| Rate Class | Billed Customers or Connections | Billed kWh | Billed kW (if applicable) | Monthly Service Charge | Distribution Volumetric Rate kWh | Distribution Volumetric Rate kW | Service Charge Revenue | Distribution Volumetric Rate Revenue kWh | Distribution Volumetric Rate Revenue kW | Revenues from Rates | Service Charge % Revenue | Distribution Volumetric Rate % Revenue kWh | Distribution Volumetric Rate % Revenue kW | Total % Revenue |
| | Α | в | с | D | E | F | G | н | 1 | J | K = G / J | L = H / J | M = I / J | N |
| RESIDENTIAL | 50,914 | 384,041,745 | | 29.22 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 17,852,485 | 0 | 0 | 17,852,485 | 100.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 58.4% |
| GENERAL SERVICE LESS THAN 50 kW | 4,134 | 142,209,076 | | 16.94 | 0.0142 | 0.0000 | 840,360 | 2,019,369 | 0 | 2,859,728 | 29.4% | 70.6% | 0.0% | 9.4% |
| GENERAL SERVICE 50 TO 999 kW | 578 | 402,350,218 | 1,097,499 | 183.66 | 0.0000 | 2.7982 | 1,273,866 | 0 | 3,071,022 | 4,344,887 | 29.3% | 0.0% | 70.7% | 14.2% |
| GENERAL SERVICE 1,000 TO 4,999 kW | 43 | 540,417,878 | 1,135,425 | 580.97 | 0.0000 | 3.1063 | 299,781 | 0 | 3,526,971 | 3,826,751 | 7.8% | 0.0% | 92.2% | 12.5% |
| LARGE USE | 4 | 197,428,962 | 423,180 | 1,116.83 | 0.0000 | 2.7908 | 53,608 | 0 | 1,181,011 | 1,234,619 | 4.3% | 0.0% | 95.7% | 4.0% |
| UNMETERED SCATTERED LOAD | 559 | 1,810,678 | | 4.96 | 0.0226 | 0.0000 | 33,272 | 40,921 | 0 | 74,193 | 44.8% | 55.2% | 0.0% | 0.2% |
| SENTINEL LIGHTING | 35 | 18,189 | 51 | 7.67 | 0.0000 | 8.4893 | 3,221 | 0 | 433 | 3,654 | 88.2% | 0.0% | 11.8% | 0.0% |
| STREET LIGHTING | 14,152 | 10,182,750 | 28,425 | 0.44 | 0.0000 | 10.4080 | 74,723 | 0 | 295,847 | 370,570 | 20.2% | 0.0% | 79.8% | 1.2% |
| Total | 70,419 | 1,678,459,496 | 2,684,580 | | | | 20,431,314 | 2,060,290 | 8,075,283 | 30,566,888 | | | | 100.0% |

Capital Module Applicable to ACM and ICM

| Applicants Rate Base | | 1 | ast | COS Rebasing: 20 | 16 |
|--|----------|-------------------------|--------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Average Net Fixed Assets | | | | | |
| Gross Fixed Assets - Re-based Opening | \$ | 163,625,735 | А | | |
| Add: CWIP Re-based Opening | | | В | | |
| Re-based Capital Additions | \$ | 11,363,000 | C | | |
| Re-based Capital Disposals | | | | | |
| Re-based Capital Relifements | | | 5 | | |
| Gross Fixed Assets - Re-based Closing | \$ | 174 988 735 | G | | |
| Average Gross Fixed Assets | Ŷ | | | \$ 169,307,235 | H = (A + G) / 2 |
| Accumulated Depreciation - Re-based Opening Re-based Depreciation Expense Re-based Disposals | \$ \$ | 32,529,814 6,295,624 | К Ј | | |
| Re-based Retirements | | | ï | | |
| Accumulated Depreciation - Re-based Closing | \$ | 38,825,438 | M | | |
| Average Accumulated Depreciation | Ť | ,, | 5 | \$ 35,677,626 | N = (I + M) / 2 |
| Average Net Fixed Assets | | | : | \$ 133,629,609 | O = H - N |
| Working Capital Allowance | | | | | |
| Working Capital Allowance Base | \$ | 236,828,275 | Р | | |
| Working Capital Allowance Rate | | 7.5% | Q | | |
| Working Capital Allowance | | | 9 | \$ 17,762,121 | R = P * Q |
| Rate Base | | | - | \$ 151,391,730 | S = O + R |
| Return on Rate Base | | | | | |
| Deemed ShortTerm Debt % | | 4.00% | Т | \$ 6,055,669 | W = S * T |
| Deemed Long Term Debt % | | 56.00% | US | \$ 84,779,369 | X = S * U |
| Deemed Equity % | | 40.00% | V S | \$ 60,556,692 | Y = S * V |
| Short Term Interest | | 1.65% | ZS | \$ 99,919 | AC = W * Z |
| Long Term Interest | | 4.91% | AA S | \$ 4,166,751 | AD = X * AA |
| Return on Equity Return on Rate Base | | 9.19% | AB | 5,565,160 9,831,829 | $AE = Y^AB$ AF = AC + AD + AE |
| Distribution Exponses | | | - | | |
| OM&A Expenses | \$ | 15 137 002 | ٨G | | |
| Amortization | \$ | 5 745 184 | AH | | |
| Ontario Capital Tax | Š | 335,074 | AI | | |
| Grossed Up Taxes/PILs | \$ | 692,577 | AJ | | |
| Low Voltage | \$ | 29,301 | AK | | |
| Transformer Allowance | \$ | 64,558 | AL | | |
| | | | AM | | |
| | | | AN | | |
| | | | AO | \$ 22,003,696 | AP = SUM (AG : AO) |
| Revenue Offsets | | | | | |
| Specific Service Charges | -\$ | 426,370 | AQ | | |
| Late Payment Charges | -\$ | 120,000 | AR | | |
| Other Distribution Income | -\$ | 710,833 | AS | | |
| Uther Income and Deductions | -\$ | 1,049,998 | AT - | ¢ 2,307,201 | AU = SUM (AQ : AT) |
| Revenue Requirement from Distribution Rates | | | - | \$ 29,528,324 | AV = AF + AP + AU |
| Rate Classes Revenue | | | | | |
| Rate Classes Revenue - Total (Sheet 4) | | | 9 | \$ 30,566,888 | AW |

5. Rev_Requ_Check

Ontario Energy Board

Capital Module Applicable to ACM and ICM Alectre Utilities Corporation-Guelph Rate Zone

Input the billing determinants associated with Alectra Utilities Corporation-Guelph Rate Zone's Revenues Based on 2016 Board-Approved Distribution Demand. This sheet calculates the DENOMINATOR portion of the growth factor calculation. Pro forma Revenue Calculation.

| | 2016 Board-Ap | proved Distribut | tion Demand | Current Approved Distribution Rates | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|-----------------|
| Rate Class | Billed Customers or Connections | Billed kWh | Billed kW | Monthly Service Charge | Distribution Volumetric Rate kWh | Distribution Volumetric Rate kW | Service Charge Revenue | Distribution Volumetric Rate Revenue kWh | Distribution Volumetric Rate Revenue kW | Total Revenue By Rate Class | Service Charge % Revenue | Distribution Volumetric Rate % Revenue kWh | Distribution Volumetric Rate % Revenue kW | Total % Revenue |
| | Α | В | с | D | E | F | G | н | 1 | J | $K = G / J_{total}$ | $L = H / J_{total}$ | M = I / J _{total} | N |
| RESIDENTIAL | 50,242 | 386,643,528 | | 29.22 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 17,616,855 | 0 | 0 | 17,616,855 | 57.4% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 57.4% |
| GENERAL SERVICE LESS THAN 50 kW | 4,101 | 152,157,763 | | 16.94 | 0.0142 | 0.0000 | 833,651 | 2,160,640 | 0 | 2,994,292 | 2.7% | 7.0% | 0.0% | 9.8% |
| GENERAL SERVICE 50 TO 999 kW | 567 | 401,243,062 | 1,046,605 | 183.66 | 0.0000 | 2.7982 | 1,249,623 | 0 | 2,928,610 | 4,178,233 | 4.1% | 0.0% | 9.5% | 13.6% |
| GENERAL SERVICE 1,000 TO 4,999 kW | 42 | 564,253,257 | 1,196,727 | 580.97 | 0.0000 | 3.1063 | 292,809 | 0 | 3,717,393 | 4,010,202 | 1.0% | 0.0% | 12.1% | 13.1% |
| LARGE USE | 5 | 278,656,832 | 499,880 | 1,116.83 | 0.0000 | 2.7908 | 67,010 | 0 | 1,395,065 | 1,462,075 | 0.2% | 0.0% | 4.5% | 4.8% |
| UNMETERED SCATTERED LOAD | 545 | 1,700,939 | | 4.96 | 0.0226 | 0.0000 | 32,438 | 38,441 | 0 | 70,880 | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.2% |
| SENTINEL LIGHTING | 6 | 21,457 | 60 | 7.67 | 0.0000 | 8.4893 | 552 | 0 | 509 | 1,062 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| STREET LIGHTING | 14,172 | 9,628,070 | 26,693 | 0.44 | 0.0000 | 10.4080 | 74,828 | 0 | 277,821 | 352,649 | 0.2% | 0.0% | 0.9% | 1.1% |
| Total | 69,680 | 1,794,304,908 | 2,769,965 | | | | 20,167,766 | 2,199,081 | 8,319,398 | 30,686,246 | | | | 100.0% |

Ontario Energy Board

Capital Module Applicable to ACM and ICM Actra Utilities Corporation-Gueiph Rate Zone

Current Revenue from Rates

This sheet is used to determine the applicant's most current allocation of revenues (after the most recent revenue to cost ratio adjustment, if applicable) to appropriately allocate the incremental revenue requirement to the classes.

Current OEB-Approved Base Rates 2018 Actual Distribution Demand

| Rate Class | Monthly Service Charge | Distribution Volumetric Rate kWh | Distribution Volumetric Rate kW | Re-based Billed Customers or Connections | Re-based Billed kWh | Re-based Billed kW | Current Base Service Charge Revenue | Current Base Distribution Volumetric Rate kWh Revenue | Current Base Distribution Volumetric Rate kW Revenue | Total Current Base Revenue | Service Charge % Total Revenue | Distribution Volumetric Rate % Total Revenue | Distribution Volumetric Rate % Total Revenue | Total % Revenue |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|-----------------|
| | Α | В | с | D | E | F | G | н | 1 | 1 | $L = G / J_{total}$ | $M = H / J_{total}$ | $N = I / J_{total}$ | 0 |
| RESIDENTIAL | 29.22 | 0 | 0 | 50,914 | 384,041,745 | 0 | 17,852,485 | 0 | 0 | 17,852,485 | 58.40% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 58.4% |
| GENERAL SERVICE LESS THAN 50 kW | 16.94 | 0.0142 | 0 | 4,134 | 142,209,076 | 0 | 840,360 | 2,019,369 | 0 | 2,859,728 | 2.75% | 6.61% | 0.00% | 9.4% |
| GENERAL SERVICE 50 TO 999 kW | 183.66 | 0 | 2.7982 | 578 | 402,350,218 | 1,097,499 | 1,273,866 | 0 | 3,071,022 | 4,344,887 | 4.17% | 0.00% | 10.05% | 14.2% |
| GENERAL SERVICE 1,000 TO 4,999 kW | 580.97 | 0 | 3.1063 | 43 | 540,417,878 | 1,135,425 | 299,781 | 0 | 3,526,971 | 3,826,751 | 0.98% | 0.00% | 11.54% | 12.5% |
| LARGE USE | 1116.83 | 0 | 2.7908 | 4 | 197,428,962 | 423,180 | 53,608 | 0 | 1,181,011 | 1,234,619 | 0.18% | 0.00% | 3.86% | 4.0% |
| UNMETERED SCATTERED LOAD | 4.96 | 0.0226 | 0 | 559 | 1,810,678 | 0 | 33,272 | 40,921 | 0 | 74,193 | 0.11% | 0.13% | 0.00% | 0.2% |
| SENTINEL LIGHTING | 7.67 | 0 | 8.4893 | 35 | 18,189 | 51 | 3,221 | 0 | 433 | 3,654 | 0.01% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.0% |
| STREET LIGHTING | 0.44 | 0 | 10.408 | 14,152 | 10,182,750 | 28,425 | 74,723 | 0 | 295,847 | 370,570 | 0.24% | 0.00% | 0.97% | 1.2% |
| Total | | | | | | | 20,431,314 | 2,060,290 | 8,075,283 | 30,566,888 | | | | 100.0% |



Capital Module Applicable to ACM and ICM

Alectra Utilities Corporation-Guelph Rate Zone

No Input Required.

Final Materiality Threshold Calculation

| | | 2016 | _ |
|--|-------------------------|--|----------------|
| Price Cap IR Year in which Application is made | | 4 | n |
| Price Cap Index | | 1.20% | PCI |
| Growth Factor Calculation | | | |
| Revenues Based on 2018 Actual Distribution Demand | | \$30,566,888 | |
| Revenues Based on 2016 Board-Approved Distribution Demand | | \$30,686,246 | |
| Growth Factor | | -0.19% | g (Note |
| Dead Band | | 10% | |
| Average Net Fixed Assets | | | |
| Gross Fixed Assets Opening | ¢ | 163 625 735 | |
| Add: CW/IP Opening | ¢ ¢ | 100,020,700 | |
| Capital Additions | ¢ ¢ | 11 363 000 | |
| Capital Disposale | φ ¢ | 11,303,000 | |
| Capital Disposais | φ ¢ | _ | |
| Deduct: CWIP Closing | ¢ ¢ | _ | |
| Gross Fixed Assets - Closing | φ Φ | 174 099 725 | |
| Gloss I heu Assels - Closing | φ | 174,900,755 | |
| Average Gross Fixed Assets | \$ | 169,307,235 | |
| Accumulated Depreciation - Opening | \$ | 32 529 814 | |
| Denreciation Expense | Ψ ¢ | 6 205 624 | |
| Disposale | ¢ ¢ | 0,230,024 | |
| Disposais Retirements | φ φ | _ | |
| Accumulated Depreciation - Closing | ¢ ¢ | 38 825 /38 | |
| Accumulated Depreciation - Closing | Ψ | 30,020,400 | |
| Average Accumulated Depreciation | \$ | 35,677,626 | |
| Average Net Fixed Assets | \$ | 133,629,609 | |
| | | | |
| Working Capital Allowance | ¢ | 000 000 075 | |
| Working Capital Allowance Base | \$ | 236,828,275 | |
| Working Capital Allowance Rate | • | 8% | |
| working Capital Allowance | \$ | 17,762,121 | |
| Rate Base | \$ | 151,391,730 | RB |
| | | | |
| Depreciation | \$ | 6,295,624 | d |
| Depreciation Threshold Value (varies by Price Cap IR Year subsequent to (| \$ CoS <u>reba</u> : | 6,295,624 sing) | d |
| Depreciation Threshold Value (varies by Price Cap IR Year subsequent to 0 Price Cap IR Year 2017 | \$ CoS reba | 6,295,624 sing) 134% | d |
| Depreciation Threshold Value (varies by Price Cap IR Year subsequent to 0 Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 | \$ CoS reba | 6,295,624 sing) <u>134%</u> 134% | d |
| Depreciation Threshold Value (varies by Price Cap IR Year subsequent to 0 Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2019 | \$ CoS reba: | 6,295,624 sing) <u>134%</u> 134% 135% | d |
| Depreciation Threshold Value (varies by Price Cap IR Year subsequent to C Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2019 Price Cap IR Year 2020 | \$ CoS rebas | 6,295,624 sing) 134% 135% 135% | d |
| Depreciation Threshold Value (varies by Price Cap IR Year subsequent to C Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2019 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2021 | \$ | 6,295,624 sing) 134% 135% 135% 135% | d |
| Depreciation Threshold Value (varies by Price Cap IR Year subsequent to 0 Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2019 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2021 Price Cap IR Year 2022 | \$ | 6,295,624 sing) 134% 134% 135% 135% 135% | d |
| Depreciation Threshold Value (varies by Price Cap IR Year subsequent to C Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2019 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2021 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2023 | \$ | 6,295,624 sing) 134% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% | d |
| Depreciation Threshold Value (varies by Price Cap IR Year subsequent to C Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2019 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2021 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2023 Price Cap IR Year 2023 Price Cap IR Year 2024 | \$ | 6,295,624 sing) 134% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 136% 136% | d |
| Depreciation Threshold Value (varies by Price Cap IR Year subsequent to 0 Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2019 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2021 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2023 Price Cap IR Year 2023 Price Cap IR Year 2024 Price Cap IR Year 2024 Price Cap IR Year 2024 | \$ | 6,295,624 sing) 134% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 136% 136% 136% | d |
| Depreciation Threshold Value (varies by Price Cap IR Year subsequent to (Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2019 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2021 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2023 Price Cap IR Year 2024 Price Cap IR Year 2025 Price Cap IR Year 2026 | \$ | 6,295,624 sing) 134% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 136% 136% 136% 136% | d |
| Depreciation Threshold Value (varies by Price Cap IR Year subsequent to (Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2023 Price Cap IR Year 2024 Price Cap IR Year 2025 Price Cap IR Year 2026 | \$ | 6,295,624 sing) 134% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 136% 136% 136% 136% | d |
| Depreciation Threshold Value (varies by Price Cap IR Year subsequent to (Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2021 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2023 Price Cap IR Year 2024 Price Cap IR Year 2025 Price Cap IR Year 2026 Threshold CAPEX | \$ | 6,295,624 sing) 134% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 136% 136% 136% | d Threshold |
| Depreciation Threshold Value (varies by Price Cap IR Year subsequent to (Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2023 Price Cap IR Year 2024 Price Cap IR Year 2025 Price Cap IR Year 2026 Threshold CAPEX Price Cap IR Year 2017 | \$ | 6,295,624 sing) 134% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 136% 136% 136% 136% 8,443,925 | d Threshold |
| Depreciation Threshold Value (varies by Price Cap IR Year subsequent to (Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2019 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2021 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2023 Price Cap IR Year 2023 Price Cap IR Year 2025 Price Cap IR Year 2026 Threshold CAPEX Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 | \$ CoS reba: | 6,295,624 sing) 134% 135% 135% 135% 135% 136% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 136% | d Threshold |
| Depreciation Threshold Value (varies by Price Cap IR Year subsequent to (Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2019 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2021 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2023 Price Cap IR Year 2024 Price Cap IR Year 2025 Price Cap IR Year 2026 Threshold CAPEX Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2019 | \$ CoS reba: | 6,295,624 sing) 134% 135% 135% 135% 135% 136% | d Threshold |
| Depreciation Threshold Value (varies by Price Cap IR Year subsequent to (Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2023 Price Cap IR Year 2024 Price Cap IR Year 2025 Price Cap IR Year 2026 Threshold CAPEX Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2019 Price Cap IR Year 2020 | \$ CoS rebax | 6,295,624 sing) 134% 135% 135% 135% 135% 136% 136% 136% 136% 136% 8,443,925 8,459,160 8,474,549 8,490,092 | d Threshold |
| Depreciation Threshold Value (varies by Price Cap IR Year subsequent to (Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2023 Price Cap IR Year 2024 Price Cap IR Year 2025 Price Cap IR Year 2026 Threshold CAPEX Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2019 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2021 | \$ CoS reba: | 6,295,624 sing) 134% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 136% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 136% | d Threshold |
| Depreciation Threshold Value (varies by Price Cap IR Year subsequent to (Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2023 Price Cap IR Year 2025 Price Cap IR Year 2026 Threshold CAPEX Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2019 Price Cap IR Year 2019 Price Cap IR Year 2019 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2019 Price Cap IR Year 2019 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2021 | \$ CoS reba: | 6,295,624 sing) 134% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 136% 135% 136% | d Threshold |
| Depreciation Threshold Value (varies by Price Cap IR Year subsequent to (Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2019 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2021 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2023 Price Cap IR Year 2025 Price Cap IR Year 2026 Threshold CAPEX Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2019 Price Cap IR Year 2019 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2021 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2021 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2023 | \$ CoS reba: | 6,295,624 sing) 134% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 136% 135% 136% | d Threshold |
| Depreciation Threshold Value (varies by Price Cap IR Year subsequent to (Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2019 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2021 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2023 Price Cap IR Year 2025 Price Cap IR Year 2026 Threshold CAPEX Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2019 Price Cap IR Year 2019 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2019 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2019 Price Cap IR Year 2019 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2021 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2021 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2024 | \$ CoS reba: | 6,295,624 sing) 134% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 136% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 135% 136% | d Threshola |

Note 1: The growth factor *g* is annualized, depending on the number of years between the numerator and denominator for the calculation. Typically, for ACM review in a cost of service and in the fourth year of Price Cap IR, the ratio is divided by 2 to annualize it. No division is normally required for the first three years under Price Cap IR.

J2.1

ATTACHMENT 2 – M-factor Blue Page Update

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities Corporation 2020 EDR Application Exhibit 2 Tab 1 Schedule 3 Page 1 of 21

1 CAPITAL FUNDING MECHANISM ("M-factor")

2 Alectra Utilities is requesting approval for capital funding based on a rate-adjustment 3 mechanism that reconciles the capital needs set out in the DSP with the capital-related revenue

4 in rates, and associated 2020 to 2024 capital riders for each RZ, as follows.

5 Overview

6 Underlying the OEB MAADs Policy and Handbook is the notion that amalgamations are in the
7 public interest because they lead to efficiencies and future rates that are lower than otherwise
8 would occur with no amalgamation. The OEB has expressed that it is in the public interest to
9 have amalgamated utilities operate as one as soon as possible:

"The OEB remains of the view that having consolidating entities operate as one
entity as soon as possible after the transaction is in the best interest of
consumers." [Handbook, p. 13]

Having amalgamated in 2017, Alectra Utilities is in transition and moving from individual utilities
to an integrated utility operating as one company both from an OM&A and capital planning
basis.

Through the rebasing deferral period, there is an integration of operations to achieve efficiencies and OM&A savings, which is part of the underlying regulatory and policy rationale for consolidation and the deferred rebasing period of 10 years. The other key element of the transition from separate utilities to consolidated operations is capital planning integration. Alectra Utilities, as a newly formed company, has moved to integrate capital planning across its company and service territory, to use one planning platform and to allocate resources and personnel in the execution of the capital plan across the company.

- 23 Alectra Utilities is in the unique circumstance of being the first utility arising from a consolidation
- 24 of multiple utilities to file a five-year DSP in the midst of its rebasing deferral period rather than
- 25 at its conclusion, as required by the OEB in Alectra Utilities' 2019 EDR Application Decision.¹
- 26 This circumstance is unique not just because Alectra Utilities is the first utility to do so, but also

¹ Decision and Order, April 6, 2018, EB-2017-0024, p. 2.

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities Corporation 2020 EDR Application Exhibit 2 Tab 1 Schedule 3 Page 2 of 21

because of the rate making implications of presenting such a plan during the rebasing deferral 1 period. While the DSP is based on a system wide consideration of Alectra Utilities' capital 2 investment needs, rates during the rebasing deferral period are set on an individual rate zone 3 basis. Also, whereas typically a DSP is filed as part of a rebasing enabling capital needs to form 4 part of the rebasing year and potentially the IRM years as part of a custom approach, these 5 aspects are not readily apparent in the filing of a DSP in the midst of the rebasing deferral 6 period. It is in this unique circumstance that Alectra Utilities has proposed the rate approach 7 below in order to fund the five-year capital plan contemplated in its consolidated DSP. 8

9 In order to address the factors described above, and to reconcile the investments set out in its
10 DSP with the funding available in rates, Alectra Utilities has developed a new capital funding
11 mechanism for post-merger utilities, which it calls an "M-factor."

12 The M-factor

13 Consistent with the Chapter 5 Filing Requirements, Alectra Utilities' DSP considers customer 14 needs, priorities and preferences, system reliability, capital expenditures and resource 15 deployment on a system-wide basis. This is in contrast with the previous plans filed by the 16 company and the predecessor utilities. For example, Alectra Utilities' filing in EB-2017-0024 17 included the Enersource RZ DSP as a stand-alone plan, based on the needs of that operating 18 area and the historically invested capital in that region. By definition, such stand-alone planning 19 cannot be the planning basis of a consolidated DSP.

The M-factor complements the objectives and the capital funding mechanisms that are 20 contemplated by the OEB in the 2015 Report, specifically the availability of capital funding of 21 normal, expected investments during the rebasing deferral period. The M-factor also offers an 22 envelope of capital funding that is substantiated by a five-year DSP. The ICM does not provide 23 the flexibility or the longer-term availability of funding needed to execute a DSP. The DSP in 24 this application spans Alectra Utilities' entire service territory and was developed on that basis. 25 26 Accordingly, the investments in the DSP must be reviewed as a whole; it would not be meaningful for the OEB to review them in "slices" based on the historical zones on which the 27 IRM rates and ICM riders are set. The OEB's Advanced Capital Module ("ACM") does not 28 address this because of the need for flexibility between years and within years to execute a 29

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities Corporation 2020 EDR Application Exhibit 2 Tab 1 Schedule 3 Page 3 of 21

comprehensive capital plan. In addition, distributors may request ACM within the context of a
 cost of service application filing²; this is incongruent with Alectra Utilities' current circumstances.

3 The nature of the investments set out in the DSP has informed Alectra Utilities' request for 4 capital funding in this Application. As identified above, Alectra Utilities consulted with customers 5 in order to understand their needs and priorities. The five-year DSP was developed to be 6 responsive to the views of Alectra Utilities' customers. Alectra Utilities assessed customers' 7 preferences between specific capital investment options and incorporated that feedback into the 8 final DSP. In order for Alectra Utilities to deliver the outcomes that customers expect from the 9 DSP, Alectra Utilities requires the flexibility to potentially accelerate some projects from later to 10 earlier years or defer projects or split new projects into segments. As a result, Alectra Utilities 11 proposes a capital rider based on an "M-factor", as described below, in every year of the five 12 year planning period to reflect the execution of the entire consolidated DSP.

13 The purpose of the M-factor is to bridge the gap, during Alectra Utilities' rebasing deferral 14 period, between the level of investment funded through base rates and the level of investment 15 that needs to be funded to address system priorities and outcomes consistent with customer 16 needs and preferences, and which thereby enables Alectra Utilities to fully execute its DSP. The 17 utility's base rates will support an average annual capital expenditure of approximately \$236MM 18 during the DSP period. The DSP contemplates annual expenditures of approximately \$291MM. 19 Therefore, Alectra Utilities cannot execute \$55MM of unfunded capital expenditures in each 20 year, for a total of approximately \$275MM of unfunded capital expenditures over the five-year 21 DSP period. Without the funding provided by the M-factor, Alectra Utilities will not be able to 22 execute the DSP and will not be able to achieve the outcomes that its customers expect.

If Alectra Utilities is unable to execute a capital plan at the level contemplated in the DSP, there
will be significant, long-term negative consequences for the utility's distribution system and its
customers. As summarized above and demonstrated in detail in the DSP, significant
investments are needed to address declining reliability that is largely driven by deteriorated
assets. The single largest example of this trend is the large population of direct-buried cable. As

² EB-2014-0219 Report of the Board - New Policy Options for the Funding of Capital Investments: the Advanced Capital Module, September 18, 2014, p.3

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities Corporation 2020 EDR Application Exhibit 2 Tab 1 Schedule 3 Page 4 of 21

- 1 shown in Figure 5 below, there is currently a large population of deteriorated underground in the
- 2 system, but there is a much larger wave of cable that will deteriorate over the next twenty years
- 3 (highlighted in the red box).

4

Figure 5: XLPE Cable by Condition



5

Failing underground cable has been a major driver of declining reliability for Alectra Utilities
customers. The significant expenditures in Underground Asset Renewal during the DSP period
are intended to maintain reliability by addressing cable that will be in very poor condition during
the 2020-2024 period.³

While the potential backlog in underground cable is significant, it is only a component of a larger capital investment backlog that Alectra Utilities forecasts to develop if it is unable to execute the level of system of renewal investment set out in the DSP. If Alectra Utilities is unable to invest in system renewal at the level set out in the DSP, the result will be an increasing population of deteriorated assets, leading to a "snowplow" of capital costs for future customers. Figure 2 in the Executive Summary to the Application (Exhibit 1, Tab 1, Schedule 1) identifies Alectra Utilities' proposed system renewal investment in the DSP, as compared to the significant

³ Planned Underground Asset Renewal investments are filed in DSP Appendix A10.

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities Corporation 2020 EDR Application Exhibit 2 Tab 1 Schedule 3 Page 5 of 21

increase in renewal investments required over the long term particularly if the proposed
 investment continues to go unfunded. The outcome is very likely a continued decline in reliability
 and an increase in expensive reactive capital expenditure.

The M-factor will provide Alectra Utilities with multi-year funding intended to address its planned capital expenditure for the next five years corresponding to its DSP, at a stable and predictable rate pursuant to a framework that adheres as closely as possible to OEB-policy and accords with past precedent. As elaborated in further detail in the section entitled Regulatory- and Cost-Efficiency, below, the M-Factor will also create significant efficiencies and avoid material costs for Alectra Utilities and the OEB over the five-year term. Further, it will allow Alectra Utilities to focus resources on executing the DSP and delivering the outcomes that customers expect.

11 Alectra Utilities has capital expenditure needs materially in excess of the level that which is 12 presently funded in existing rates. As described above, the DSP identifies capital funding needs 13 that exceed base rates by approximately \$55MM per year. These spending levels are the 14 product of the extensive asset management and investment planning processes described in 15 the DSP, which align with the OEB's principles and expectations. In the OEB's Renewed 16 Regulatory Framework for Electricity Distributors: a Performance Based Approach (the "RRF") 17 released on October 18, 2012, the OEB set out alternative forms of rate making "to 18 accommodate differences in the operations of distributors, some of which have capital programs 19 that are expected to be significant." The OEB noted that the custom option in particular "will be 20 most appropriate for distributors with significant large multi-year ... investment commitments that 21 exceed historical levels," whereas 4th Generation IR is more suitable for utilities with "some" 22 incremental needs.

23 Custom IR is not a rate setting option available to Alectra Utilities during the rebasing deferral 24 period. Further, the RRF framework was set several years prior to the update to the MAADs 25 framework and related rate making in that context. However, the company's evolving capital 26 needs are analogous to those distributors whose capital programs have been funded through 27 Custom IR frameworks, accepted by the OEB. Like those other distributors, Alectra Utilities has 28 significant, multi-year investment requirements supported by a five-year DSP. The fact that 29 Alectra Utilities is operating during a rebasing deferral period does not vary this core fact. The 30 OEB's MAADs policy recognizes that to promote consolidation distributors could elect a longer 31 rebasing deferral period of up to 10 years and must also have access to capital funding that

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities Corporation 2020 EDR Application Exhibit 2 Tab 1 Schedule 3 Page 6 of 21

includes normal and expected capital investments.⁴ Alectra Utilities' customers expect it to invest in capital during the rebasing deferral period, like other distributors. The 2015 Report does not exclude the possibility of the implementation of capital funding mechanisms other than ICM in order to permit prudent investments during the rebasing deferral period. Recognizing the unique circumstance presented by Alectra Utilities, the proposed M-factor is limited in scope to apply only to post-consolidation utilities that must execute a consolidated DSP during a rebasing deferral period.

Accordingly, Alectra Utilities applies for capital funding for all of its rate zones in the form of an
annual rider calculated based on the M-factor. Alectra Utilities makes this request in accordance
with:

- the OEB's Filing Requirements for Electricity Distribution Rate Applications Chapter 3
 Incentive Rate-Setting Applications issued July 12, 2018 ("Chapter 3 Filing
 Requirements");
- 14 the MAADs Handbook;
- the OEB's Handbook for Utility Rate Applications (the "Rate Handbook"), dated October
 13, 2016; and
- the Decisions and Orders of the OEB in Alectra Utilities' 2018 and 2019 EDR
 Applications (EB-2017-0024 and EB-2018-0016).
- 19 Summary of the M-factor Approach
- 20 Table 1 below summarizes the main elements of the M-factor and the purpose of each.

⁴ EB-2014-0138 Report of the Board – Rate Making Associated with Distributor Consolidation, March 26, 2015, p.9.

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities Corporation 2020 EDR Application Exhibit 2 Tab 1 Schedule 3 Page 7 of 21

1 Table 1: M-factor Elements

| M-Factor Element | Purpose | Comparison to ICM |
|--|---|--|
| Materiality The M-factor includes a materiality | To ensure that the M-factor only provides funding for capital investments that are materially | Dead band is consistent with ICM methodology. |
| threshold and 10% dead band, consistent with the OEB's ICM materiality threshold. | above the level funded in base rates. | By calculating maximum M-factor eligible capital on a five-year basis, the M-factor reflects the material |
| The M-Factor would not include a project-specific materiality | As shown in Table 3, the maximum M-factor eligible capital is calculated on a five-year basis, | cost of recurring, moderate-scale projects across the longer timeframe of the deferred rebasing |
| Threshold. | spanning the entire DSP period. | penoa. |
| Capital investments are funded on an envelope basis, allowing specific projects to be replaced, modified or shifted between years depending on system needs and priorities. | Flexibility is critical to allow Alectra Utilities to address evolving needs and priorities over the course of the DSP period. | ICM funding is typically tied to specific projects and years, making it poorly suited to a capital plan spanning multiple years and investments. |
| Capital Investment Variance | The second distance with a | |
| Account As set out further below in the Section titled "Proposed Variance Accounts", funding provided through the M-factor is subject to reconciliation through a symmetric variance account. | To ensure that any under- investment relative to the level of capital funded through the M-factor is refunded to customers, and any prudent spending above those levels will be recovered by the utility. | Consistent with the function of the ICM true-up process, where any over- or under-collection may be refunded or recovered from a distributor's ratepayers. |
| RIders by Rate Zone Consistent with the OEB's decision in the MAADs Application, a rate rider will be established for each RZ, based on the investments planned in each of Alectra Utilities' operational areas. | Setting rate riders by rate zone is consistent with the MAADs Application. The MAADs Application confirmed that the rates will not be harmonized until rate differences are immaterial. | No change. |
| Means Test The M-factor includes a Means Test consistent with the OEB's ICM | The means test ensures that Alectra Utilities would not receive M factor funding for a year in which its regulated return exceeds its deemed return on equity by 300 | No change. |
| poncy. | basis points. | |

²

3

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities Corporation 2020 EDR Application Exhibit 2 Tab 1 Schedule 3 Page 8 of 21

1 Advantages of the M-factor Approach to Post-Merger Capital Funding

2 The proposed M-factor is a well-reasoned mechanism for funding Alectra Utilities' capital 3 expenditures in the 2020-2024 period in a manner that aligns to its corresponding DSP. In this 4 regard, the M-factor has several advantages:

5 1. Consistency with Capital Planning Basis

The M-factor provides funding consistent with the consolidated basis on which Alectra Utilities' 6 capital work is planned and on which the DSP has been prepared. The MAADs Handbook 7 states that "having consolidated entities operate as one entity as soon as possible after the 8 [MAADs] transaction is in the best interest of consumers."5 Planning capital work on a 9 consolidated basis is an important milestone in the utility's progress toward operating as a 10 single entity. However, unless funding is available on a basis that is consistent with that 11 consolidated investment plan, Alectra Utilities will be increasingly challenged to operate on that 12 basis or deliver the outcomes that could otherwise result from the work set out in the DSP. 13

As described above and in Section 5.2.1 of the DSP, as of 2020, Alectra Utilities plans and prioritizes capital investments across the entirety of its service territory. The CopperLeaf C55 process prioritizes the projects that deliver the best value for Alectra Utilities' system, not for individual rate zones. The M-factor is consistent with this unified approach to investment planning. Rather than planning around eligibility for funding based on the historic investments of utilities that no longer exist, the M-factor would allow Alectra Utilities to invest in the equipment that delivers the best value for its customers, as a whole.

Under the MAADs policy, the default capital funding mechanism for post-merger utilities is the ICM. However, the OEB's prior decisions on Alectra Utilities' ICM requests have confirmed that the ICM is not able to accommodate many of the investments that Alectra Utilities must make during the DSP period. In its Decision and Order on Alectra Utilities' first ICM application (EB-2017-0024), the OEB found as follows:

26 27 The OEB agrees that it is important for a distributor to have programs to address aging infrastructure to ensure assets are replaced on a paced and prioritized

⁵ Handbook to Electricity Distributor and Transmitter Consolidations, January 19, 2016, p. 13.

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities Corporation 2020 EDR Application Exhibit 2 Tab 1 Schedule 3 Page 9 of 21

schedule. Nevertheless, this application is about whether incremental funding for
 capital will be provided during the IRM term. ICM funding is not available for
 typical annual capital programs. It is also not available for projects that are not
 significant to the operations of the distributor. Where the OEB has not approved
 a project for incremental funding, this should not be interpreted as the OEB
 saying that it is not prudent to complete the project.⁶

7 Over the five-year term of the DSP, Alectra Utilities plans to invest approximately \$768MM in 8 System Renewal. These investments are needed to be responsive to customer expectations 9 that Alectra Utilities maintain the reliability of its system. The DSP provides detailed evidence on 10 the prudence of the planned investments, including the need to execute them over the 2020 to 11 2024 period, in order to prevent reliability from declining further. These investments cannot be 12 funded under the current ICM. The funding deficiency is not sustainable over time and is to the 13 detriment of Alectra Utilities' customers.

14 In recent years, Alectra Utilities has been required to defer a significant amount of System 15 Renewal investments to accommodate other mandatory expenditures. In particular, the utility 16 has been required to defer renewal investments to accommodate large System Access projects. 17 In 2015, System Access investments comprised 18% of the overall capital investments made by 18 the company's predecessor utilities. This increased to 30% as of 2019 as a result of significant 19 investments required in road authority projects. Decreasing reliability in that same period is due 20 in part to the deferral of renewal investments. The M-factor will provide Alectra Utilities with the 21 flexible funding basis necessary to execute both mandatory work and critical system renewal 22 during the 2020 to 2024 period. The M-factor will allow Alectra Utilities to renew the assets that 23 are leading to declining reliability, safety and other performance issues, while continuing to 24 provide the utility with a reasonable opportunity to realize the synergies that underpinned its 25 creation.

26 2. Regulatory- and Cost-Efficiency

Funding capital investments through the M-factor creates significant efficiencies for the OEB and for the utility. By establishing a mechanism to fund prudent capital expenditures based on a DSP over a five-year period, annual incremental capital proceedings are avoided. There would

⁶ EB-2017-0024, Decision and Order, April 6, 2018, p. 30.

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities Corporation 2020 EDR Application Exhibit 2 Tab 1 Schedule 3 Page 10 of 21

be a cost saving and OEB resources could be redirected to address other matters before the
Board. Without an M-factor, Alectra Utilities will need to continue to file significant applications
with the OEB each year. The cost of these annual applications and the time they consume both

4 in development and adjudication is substantial for Alectra Utilities and the regulator.

5 Table 2 below provides the cost of Alectra Utilities past two applications as well as the forecast 6 costs of this application.

7 Table 2 – Alectra Utilities Annual Rate Application Costs

| Annliestion Voor | Costs |
|-----------------------------------|-------|
| Application rear | \$MM |
| 2018 EDR Application | \$1.4 |
| 2019 EDR Application | \$0.5 |
| 2020 EDR Application (forecasted) | \$2.2 |
| Total Application Costs | \$4.1 |

8

9 A significant proportion of the past two ICM applications focused on different phases of the 10 same projects. In effect, parties were required to re-litigate the same issues on the same 11 projects, one year apart. The cost of filing and adjudicating these additional serial applications 12 will be significant, without contributing additional value for customers or for the OEB. Over a 13 five-year period, this approach could result in the OEB spending more time and resources on 14 Alectra Utilities' recurring ICM applications than it would on a single Custom IR application for 15 another utility.

16 The regulatory efficiency gains produced by the M-factor are also consistent with public policy.

17 In particular, section 4.3(11) of the recently enacted Fixing the Hydro Mess Act, 2019 requires

18 that the chief commissioner "ensure the efficiency, timeliness and dependability of the hearing

19 and determination of matters over which the Board has jurisdiction."

The M-factor would enable Alectra Utilities to focus its resources on delivering the outcomes that customers expect. The cost and resources required to prepare and support a rate-setting application are significant. By providing a reliable level of funding over a multi-year period and avoiding annual rate-setting applications, Alectra Utilities will be able to focus its resources on delivering the investments that customers need, and executing that work in an effective, costefficient manner.

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities Corporation 2020 EDR Application Exhibit 2 Tab 1 Schedule 3 Page 11 of 21

1 3. Rate certainty

The M-factor would provide the benefit of rate-certainty over the 2020-2024 period. Customers would be aware of bill impacts over a five-year period. Commercial and industrial customers in particular would benefit from the ability to budget and plan their operations in a longer period of relative rate-certainty. Alectra Utilities would benefit from the ability to plan its capital work based on the optimal pacing of the investments, rather than the outcomes of a series of annual rate-setting applications.

8 **Objective of the M-factor Approach**

9 The objective of the M-factor is to provide Alectra Utilities with capital funding for prudent capital 10 investments on a basis that is consistent with the capital-related revenue requirement 11 associated with the 2020-2024 DSP in the same period. Accordingly, Alectra Utilities sought to 12 develop a mechanism that satisfies three criteria:

- 13 1. Consistency with existing OEB policy;
- 14 2. Provides flexible funding for prudent capital investments across the DSP period; and
- 15 3. Protects customers from potential under-investment relative to funding in rates.
- 16 Each criterion is discussed below, followed by the proposed calculation of the M-factor.

17

1. Consistency with Existing OEB Policy

18 While the M-factor is a new proposal based on Alectra Utilities' specific circumstances, the 19 utility's goal is that the M-factor should reflect and augment existing OEB rate-setting 20 mechanisms to the greatest extent possible (while providing sufficient funding to enable the 21 investments and outcomes in the DSP). In this regard, the utility proposes that (i) the M-factor 22 riders be calculated based on the materiality threshold calculation (including the dead band) 23 from the OEB's ICM methodology, and (ii) that the need for M-factor funding be assessed 24 relative to the means test set out in the OEB's ICM policy. Both of these elements of the M-25 factor are described below.

26 i. Materiality

As described above, the annual nature of the ICM does not address the needs of AlectraUtilities' distribution system or its customers in the context of a five-year DSP. However, the

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities Corporation 2020 EDR Application Exhibit 2 Tab 1 Schedule 3 Page 12 of 21

ICM materiality threshold remains an appropriate method to calculate the level of capital funding 1 that a utility should be expected to absorb within its funding from base rates outside of a 2 rebasing application. Accordingly, Alectra Utilities proposes to adopt the materiality threshold in 3 the M-factor to determine the level of funding that is provided by base rates, including a 4 deadband of 10%. Alectra Utilities would only be eligible for funding through the M-factor to the 5 extent that its capital expenditures in a given year fit within the total eligible capital envelope 6 derived from the materiality threshold for that year (i.e., the difference between the total capital 7 budget for the year and the materiality threshold calculation). 8

9 Accordingly, Alectra Utilities proposes that the M-factor materiality threshold be calculated as
 10 follows:⁷

11 Threshold Value (%) = 1 + $[(\frac{RB}{d}) \times (g + PCI \times (1 + g))]) \times ((1 + g) \times (1 + PCI)^{n} + 10\%)$

- 12 RB = rate base from the distributor's last cost of service
- 13 d = depreciation from the distributor's last cost of service
- 14g = growth calculated based on the percentage difference in distribution revenues between the most recent15complete year and the distribution revenues from the most recent approved test year in a cost of service16application
- 17PCI = Price Cap Index (IPI-stretch factor) from the distributor's most recent Price Cap IR application as a
placeholder for the initial application filing to be updated when new information becomes available
- 19 n = number of years since the last rebasing

As the threshold value is anchored on each predecessor utility's last rebasing application, the materiality threshold for Alectra Utilities has been calculated as the sum of the threshold values for each predecessor utility.

23 The PCI of 1.2% is a placeholder to be updated with the OEB's approved PCI for 2020 when it

24 is available. Alectra Utilities has used a PCI of 1.36%. It is based on a five-year historical

25 average inflation growth rate of 1.66% inflation of 1.50% less a productivity factor of 0.00% and

26 a stretch factor of 0.30% as identified in Table 3 below.

⁷ Consistent with the methodology set out in the *Report of the Board – New Policy Options for the Funding of Capital Investments: The Advanced Capital Module* (EB-2014-0219) issued on September 18, 2014 ("the ACM Report").
EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities Corporation 2020 EDR Application Exhibit 2 Tab 1 Schedule 3 Page 13 of 21

1 The growth rates have been calculated in accordance with the ACM Report and are equal to the 2 change in revenue based on each predecessor's last OEB approved billing determinants 3 divided by 2018 actual billing determinants, using 2019 approved rates. The growth rate 4 calculation is identified in Table 3 below.

Table 3 below summarizes the calculation of the threshold capital expenditure amount using the
Board's formula approved in the ACM Report. The threshold capital expenditure value over the
2020 to 2024 DSP period is \$1.086B.\$1.182B

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities Corporation 2020 EDR Application Exhibit 2 Tab 1 Schedule 3 Page 14 of 21

Table 3 – Threshold Capital Expenditure Calculation (\$MM)

| Description | ERZ | BRZ | GRZ | PRZ | HRZ | ALECTRA |
|---|---------|---------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| Inflation | 1.66% | 1.66% | 1.66% | 1.66% | 1.66% | 1.66% |
| Less: Productivity Factor | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Less: Stretch Factor | 0.30% | 0.30% | 0.30% | 0.30% | 0.30% | 0.30% |
| Price Cap Index | 1.36% | 1.36% | 1.36% | 1.36% | 1.36% | 1.36% |
| | | | | | | |
| Growth Factor | -0.06% | 1.84% | -0.19% | 0.89% | 2.93% | |
| | | | | | | |
| Rebasing Year | 2013 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2019 | |
| # Years since rebasing | 7 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 | |
| Price Cap Index | 1.36% | 1.36% | 1.36% | 1.36% | 1.36% | |
| Growth Factor | -0.06% | 1.84% | -0.19% | 0.89% | 2.93% | |
| Dead Band | 10% | 10% | 10% | 10% | 10% | |
| Rate Base | \$610.5 | \$404.6 | \$151.4 | \$1,082.8 | \$555.7 | \$2,805.0 |
| Depreciation | \$28.7 | \$15.2 | \$6.3 | \$52.3 | \$22.7 | \$125.2 |
| | | | | | | |
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2020 | \$40.18 | \$31.59 | \$8.75 | \$83.06 | \$49.02 | \$212.6 |
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2021 | \$40.29 | \$32.07 | \$8.77 | \$83.64 | \$50.06 | \$214.8 |
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2022 | \$40.41 | \$32.56 | \$8.79 | \$84.23 | \$51.15 | \$217.1 |
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2023 | \$40.52 | \$33.07 | \$8.81 | \$84.83 | \$52.29 | \$219.5 |
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2024 | \$40.64 | \$33.60 | \$8.83 | \$85.45 | \$53.47 | \$222.0 |
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2020-2024 | \$202.0 | \$162.9 | \$44.0 | \$421.2 | \$256.0 | \$1,086.1 |

2

| Description | ERZ | BRZ | GRZ | PRZ | HRZ | ALECTRA |
|---|---------|---------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| Inflation | 1.50% | 1.50% | 1.50% | 1.50% | 1.50% | 1.50% |
| Less: Productivity Factor | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Less: Stretch Factor | 0.30% | 0.30% | 0.30% | 0.30% | 0.30% | 0.30% |
| Price Cap Index | 1.20% | 1.20% | 1.20% | 1.20% | 1.20% | 1.20% |
| | | | | | | |
| Growth Factor | -0.05% | 1.84% | 1.60% | 2.31% | 3.04% | |
| | | | | | | |
| Rebasing Year | 2013 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2019 | |
| # Years since rebasing | 7 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 | |
| Price Cap Index | 1.20% | 1.20% | 1.20% | 1.20% | 1.20% | |
| Growth Factor | -0.05% | 1.84% | 1.60% | 2.31% | 3.04% | |
| Dead Band | 10% | 10% | 10% | 10% | 10% | |
| Rate Base | \$610.5 | \$404.6 | \$151.4 | \$1,082.8 | \$555.7 | \$2,805.0 |
| Depreciation | \$28.7 | \$15.2 | \$6.3 | \$52.3 | \$23.9 | \$126.4 |
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2020 | \$39.1 | \$30.7 | \$11.6 | \$98.5 | \$50.0 | \$230.0 |
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2021 | \$39.2 | \$31.2 | \$11.7 | \$100.0 | \$51.1 | \$233.1 |
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2022 | \$39.3 | \$31.6 | \$11.8 | \$101.5 | \$52.1 | \$236.3 |
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2023 | \$39.4 | \$32.1 | \$12.0 | \$103.0 | \$53.2 | \$239.7 |
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2024 | \$39.4 | \$32.5 | \$12.1 | \$104.7 | \$54.4 | \$243.1 |
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2020-2024 | \$196.3 | \$158.2 | \$59.2 | \$507.7 | \$260.9 | \$1,182.2 |

3

4 Table 4 below compares the 2020 to 2024 capital forecast for Alectra Utilities to the Threshold

5 Capital Expenditure to calculate the maximum M-factor eligible capital of \$370.4MM.\$274MM.

6

 Table 4 – M-factor Maximum Eligible Incremental Capital (\$MM)

1

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities Corporation 2020 EDR Application Exhibit 2 Tab 1 Schedule 3 Page 15 of 21

| Eligible Incremental Capital | Capital Expenditures |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2020 - 2024 DSP Capital Forecast | \$1,456.5 |
| Less: Materiality Threshold | \$1,086.1 |
| Maximum M-factor Eligible Capital | \$370.4 |
| | |
| Eligible Incremental Capital | Capital Expenditures |
| 2020 - 2024 DSP Capital Forecast | \$1,456.5 |
| Less: Materiality Threshold | \$1,182.2 |
| Maximum M-factor Eligible Capital | \$274.3 |

1

2

3 Table 5 below presents the M-factor capital investments, after Customer Engagement, based on the priority needs of Alectra Utilities, as identified in the DSP. The second phase of the 4 5 customer engagement process focused on projects where Alectra Utilities would be more likely 6 be able to make changes in response to customer preferences. Specifically, the engagement 7 focused on a subset of projects that offered greater potential for pacing adjustments in response 8 to customer preferences, alongside some exceptional projects that are distinct from the utility's 9 typical capital investment categories. Although all of the projects included in the asset 10 management process are necessary and provide value. Alectra Utilities generally has a greater 11 ability to control the pace of the projects included in the second phase of customer engagement. 12 The projects addressed in the second phase of customer engagement were the same projects

on which Alectra Utilities proposes to calculate the M-factor. By aligning customer engagement with the proposed capital funding mechanism, any changes to the proposed expenditures in response to customer preferences would be directly captured by the M-factor and, ultimately, reflected in customer bill impacts. In effect, this approach allowed Alectra Utilities to direct customer attention investments with a greater potential to present meaningful "trade-offs" between outcomes that matter to customers.

19 Table 5 – 2020 - 2024 M-factor Capital Projects by Investment Need (\$MM)

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities Corporation 2020 EDR Application Exhibit 2 Tab 1 Schedule 3 Page 16 of 21

| DSP Priority Needs | 2020-2024 M-Factor Capital Expenditures |
|--|---|
| Enhancing the resilience of its overhead system to adverse weather events | \$62.4 |
| Mitigating the need to rebuild or construct new stations by enhancing the use of monitoring technologies, investing in environmental protection measures and strategically managing inventory on a consolidated basis | \$43.9 |
| Preventing further decline in reliability due to deteriorating underground assets | \$35.2 |
| Responding to anticipated needs in areas of new greenfield development and urban redevelopment/intensification | \$123.6 |
| Total M-factor Capital Expenditure | \$265.0 |

2 *ii*.

Need

1

In addition to the materiality criteria, Alectra Utilities proposes that the M-factor include a Means Test consistent with the calculation defined in the ACM Report. Alectra Utilities must satisfy this Means Test in order to qualify for funding through the M-factor.

3 If Alectra Utilities' regulated return, as calculated in its most recent calculation (Reporting and 4 Record Keeping Requirements ("RRR") 2.1.5.6), exceeds 300 basis points above the deemed 5 return on equity ("ROE") embedded in its rates, M-factor funding will not be available in that 6 year.

7 Alectra Utilities filed its 2018 annual Reporting and Record Keeping Requirements ("RRRs") on 8 April 30, 2019. RRR data for all measures were filed for Alectra Utilities, and not individually, by rate zone. The 2018 RRR filing excludes the Guelph RZ which became part of Alectra Utilities 9 effective January 1, 2019. Alectra Utilities' 2018 ROE was calculated to be 7.66%, 128 basis 10 11 points below a calculated ROE for Alectra of 8.94%. Alectra Utilities calculated a consolidated deemed ROE percentage using the weighted average of the OEB-approved rate base amounts 12 for each rate zone, from the most recent OEB-approved rebasing application for each of the 13 predecessor companies. Alectra Utilities' ROE calculation for 2018, filed in RRR 2.1.5.6, is filed 14 15 as Attachment 1.

The 2018 ROE for Alectra Utilities' predecessor, Guelph Hydro, was calculated to be 8.18%, 17 101 basis points below its approved 2018 ROE of 9.19%. The ROE calculation for Guelph 18 Hydro, included in RRR 2.1.5.6, is filed as Attachment 2.

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities Corporation 2020 EDR Application Exhibit 2 Tab 1 Schedule 3 Page 17 of 21

Alectra Utilities, including in respect of its predecessor Guelph Hydro, therefore satisfies the
 Means Test for 2020.

3 2. Provides flexible funding for prudent capital investments

4 As set out above, Alectra Utilities 2020-2024 DSP is a single, harmonized plan comprised of a 5 wide range of different investments. The DSP is not organized around RZs, nor is it driven by 6 specific large projects. In order to effectively implement this plan, and achieve the outcomes 7 that customers require and expect, Alectra Utilities must be able to execute all of the work in the 8 DSP, while simultaneously accommodating changing circumstances that may require 9 acceleration of some work and deferral of other work. Accordingly, the M-factor must be able to 10 fund the range of capital work that comprises the DSP, not just a particular large project or 11 subset of projects.

While the M-factor riders are calculated based on the specific investments contemplated by the DSP, they are not tied to those specific investments. Unlike other funding mechanisms during an IRM term, the M-factor provides an envelope of capital funding to fund prudent investments during the 2020-2024 period and is comparable in its approach to Custom IR treatment made in conjunction with a five year DSP.

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities Corporation 2020 EDR Application Exhibit 2 Tab 1 Schedule 3 Page 18 of 21

1 3. Protects Customers from Under-Investment

Alectra Utilities understands that customers need to know that any additional capital funding 2 3 provided in rates is, in fact, invested in the distribution system. Accordingly, Alectra Utilities proposes that the funding provided through M-factor riders be subject to reconciliation with 4 actual capital investments during the DSP period. As set out below, at Exhibit 2, Tab 1, 5 Schedule 4, the utility has proposed that a Capital Investment Variance Account ("CIVA") be 6 established to track the difference between the capital funding provided through M-factor riders 7 and the utility's actual capital investments during the term of the DSP. This account will operate 8 symmetrically, such that customers will be refunded for overall under-investment and any 9 prudent spending above the level funded through M-factor riders will be recovered by Alectra 10 Utilities. Such a mechanism was previously implemented for the first time by an Alectra Utilities' 11 predecessor, Horizon Utilities, with support from intervenors and the OEB. Further details on the 12 CIVA are provided in the Proposed Variance Accounts section, below. 13

14 Calculation of M-Factor Funding and Riders

- 15 This section sets out Alectra Utilities' proposal for how the M-factor and resulting riders should
- 16 be calculated during the 2020-2024 DSP period.

21

- 17 The cumulative 5-year capital revenue requirement associated with the M-factor funding request
- 18 of \$265.0MM is \$21.8MM.\$286,036,835 is \$27,891,068. Table 6 below summarizes the M-
- 19 factor capital revenue requirement for 2020 through 2024.

20 Table 6 – M-factor Capital Revenue Requirement (\$MM)

| M-factor Revenue Requirement | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | Total |
|------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Return on Rate base - Total | \$3.2 | \$2.6 | \$3.2 | \$3.0 | \$3.9 | \$15.8 |
| Amortization | \$1.9 | \$2.0 | \$2.1 | \$2.8 | \$2.4 | \$11.2 |
| Incremental Grossed Up PILs | (\$0.4) | (\$2.3) | (\$1.3) | (\$0.3) | (\$0.9) | (\$5.1) |
| Total | \$4.7 | \$2.3 | \$3.9 | \$5.6 | \$5.4 | \$21.8 |

Alectra Utilities has calculated capital revenue requirement by rate zone based on the projects to be completed in each of the service areas. In the MAADs Application, Alectra Utilities identified that rates will not be harmonized until rate differences are immaterial.

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities Corporation 2020 EDR Application Exhibit 2 Tab 1 Schedule 3 Page 19 of 21

- The Rate of Return has been calculated using the cost of capital parameters approved by the
 OEB in the predecessor utility's last rebasing application⁸.
- 3 A full year of depreciation has been recovered which is consistent with the OEB's policy in the
- 4 Report of the Board: New Policy Options for the Funding of Capital Investments: The Advanced
- 5 Capital Module (EB-2014-0219), issued September 18, 2014. Similarly, PILs have been
- 6 calculated using a full year of Capital Cost Allowance ("CCA").
- 7 The detailed calculation of M-factor capital revenue requirement is filed as Attachment 3.

8 Rate Riders

9 Alectra Utilities is seeking OEB approval for the M-factor rate riders identified in Attachment 3. 10 The M-factor capital revenue requirement has been allocated to rate classes based on the 11 current allocation of revenue using the current Revenue Proportions for each rate zone as 12 identified in the M-factor Model, filed as Attachment 3. The M-factor capital revenue requirement 13 for the residential class will be recovered via a fixed rate rider as directed by the OEB at p.8 of 14 the Filing Requirements for Electricity Distribution Rate Applications - Chapter 3 Incentive Rate-15 Setting Applications, issued July 12, 2018 (the "Chapter 3 Filing Requirements). Rate riders for 16 all other rate classes are based on the current fixed/variable revenue split identified in the M-17 factor Model. Tables 7 to 11 identify the M-factor rider, inclusive of HST, based on the average 18 consumption and demand billing determinants for each rate zone.

⁸ The exception to this is the HRZ-related cost of capital parameters that were updated in 2019, per the Horizon Utilities Settlement Agreement (EB-2014-0002) and as approved by the OEB in the Decision and Order in Alectra Utilities 2019 EDR Application (EB-2018-0016)

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities Corporation 2020 EDR Application Exhibit 2 Tab 1 Schedule 3 Page 18 of 21

1 Table 7 – M-factor Capital Funding Rate Riders, Including HST - ERZ

| ERZ - M-factor Rate Rider Incl HST | Unit | kWh | kW | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | Total |
|------------------------------------|------|-----------|-------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| Residential | kWh | 750 | | \$ 0.13 | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.39 | \$ 0.95 |
| General Service < 50 kW | kWh | 2,000 | | \$ 0.37 | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.50 | \$ 0.59 | \$ 1.15 | \$ 2.77 |
| General Service 50 to 499 kW | kW | 100,000 | 230 | \$ 6.53 | \$ 3.01 | \$ 8.83 | \$ 10.48 | \$ 20.38 | \$ 49.23 |
| General Service 500 to 4999 kW | kW | 400,000 | 2,250 | \$ 40.70 | \$ 18.74 | \$ 54.98 | \$ 65.30 | \$ 126.93 | \$ 306.65 |
| Large Use | kW | 3,000,000 | 5,000 | \$ 163.63 | \$ 75.35 | \$ 221.08 | \$ 262.57 | \$ 510.39 | \$ 1,233.03 |
| Unmetered | kWh | 300 | | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.13 | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.60 |
| Street Lighting | kW | 33 | 0 | \$ 0.02 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.02 | \$ 0.02 | \$ 0.05 | \$ 0.12 |

3 Table 8 – M-factor Capital Funding Rate Riders, Including HST – BRZ

2

4

6

| BRZ - M-factor Rate Rider Incl HST | Unit | kWh | kW | 2020 | 2021 | | 2022 | 2023 | | 2024 | Total |
|------------------------------------|------|------------|--------|----------------|------|--------|--------------|--------------|----|--------|----------------|
| Residential | kWh | 750 | | \$ 0.32 | \$ | 0.04 | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.20 | \$ | 0.12 | \$ 0.92 |
| General Service < 50 kW | kWh | 2,000 | | \$ 0.80 | \$ | 0.11 | \$ 0.56 | \$ 0.50 | \$ | 0.30 | \$ 2.26 |
| General Service 50 to 699 kW | kW | 182,500 | 500 | \$ 22.58 | \$ | 3.02 | \$ 15.88 | \$ 14.16 | \$ | 8.46 | \$ 64.10 |
| General Service 700 to 4999 kW | kW | 627,216 | 1,432 | \$ 85.50 | \$ | 11.45 | \$ 60.12 | \$ 53.63 | \$ | 32.03 | \$ 242.74 |
| Large Use | kW | 10,220,000 | 20,000 | \$ 798.09 | \$ | 106.92 | \$ 561.20 | \$ 500.59 | \$ | 299.01 | \$ 2,265.82 |
| Unmetered | kWh | 21,296 | | \$ 6.17 | \$ | 0.83 | \$ 4.34 | \$ 3.87 | \$ | 2.31 | \$ 17.53 |
| Street Lighting | kW | 2,787,508 | 7,922 | \$ 1,336.07 | \$ | 178.99 | \$ 939.50 | \$ 838.03 | \$ | 500.57 | \$ 3,793.17 |
| Embedded Distributor | kWh | 1,417,701 | 4,000 | \$ 60.80 | \$ | 8.15 | \$ 42.75 | \$ 38.14 | \$ | 22.78 | \$ 172,61 |
| Distributed Generation | kWh | 156 | | \$ 1.52 | \$ | 0.20 | \$ 1.07 | \$ 0.95 | \$ | 0.57 | \$ 4.31 |

5 Table 9 – M-factor Capital Funding Rate Riders, Including HST – HRZ

| HRZ - M-factor Rate Rider Incl HST | Unit | kWh | kW | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2 | 2024 | Total |
|------------------------------------|------|------------|--------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----|--------|----------------|
| Residential | kWh | 750 | | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.19 | \$ 0.15 | \$ | 0.23 | \$ 0.98 |
| General Service Less Than 50 Kw | kWh | 2,000 | | \$ 0.56 | \$ 0.39 | \$ 0.47 | \$ 0.36 | \$ | 0.56 | \$ 2.34 |
| General Service 50 To 4,999 Kw | kW | 110,000 | 250 | \$ 9.76 | \$ 6.91 | \$ 8.16 | \$ 6.35 | \$ | 9.83 | \$ 41.01 |
| Large Use | kW | 2,555,000 | 5,000 | \$ 294.17 | \$ 208.28 | \$ 245.81 | \$ 191.47 | \$2 | 96.35 | \$ 1,236.09 |
| Large Use With Dedicated Assets | kW | 10,220,000 | 20,000 | \$ 117.40 | \$ 83.12 | \$ 98.10 | \$ 76.41 | \$1 | 18.27 | \$ 493.30 |
| Unmetered Scattered Load | kWh | 250 | | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.07 | \$ | 0.11 | \$ 0.47 |
| Sentinel Lighting | kW | 97,008 | 216 | \$ 31.26 | \$ 22.13 | \$ 26.12 | \$ 20.35 | \$ | 31.49 | \$ 131.35 |
| Street Lighting | kW | 1,782,038 | 4,974 | \$ 240.86 | \$ 170.54 | \$ 201.26 | \$ 156.77 | \$2 | 242.64 | \$ 1,012.07 |

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities Corporation 2020 EDR Application Exhibit 2 Tab 1 Schedule 3 Page 19 of 21

1 Table 10 – M-factor Capital Funding Rate Riders, Including HST – PRZ

| PRZ - M-factor Rate Rider Incl HST | Unit | kWh | kW | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | Total |
|------------------------------------|------|-----------|-------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| Residential | kWh | 750 | | \$ 0.32 | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.22 | \$ 0.49 | \$ 0.29 | \$ 1.50 |
| General Service Less Than 50 Kw | kWh | 2,000 | | \$ 0.68 | \$ 0.38 | \$ 0.46 | \$ 1.04 | \$ 0.62 | \$ 3.19 |
| General Service 50 To 4,999 Kw | kW | 80,000 | 250 | \$ 13.34 | \$ 7.42 | \$ 9.05 | \$ 20.47 | \$ 12.21 | \$ 62.50 |
| Large Use | kW | 2,800,000 | 7,350 | \$ 252.45 | \$ 140.45 | \$ 171.34 | \$ 387.40 | \$ 231.07 | \$ 1,182.70 |
| Unmetered Scattered Load | kWh | 150 | 0 | \$ 0.13 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.60 |
| Sentinel Lighting | kW | 180 | 1 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.24 | \$ 0.14 | \$ 0.74 |
| Street Lighting | kW | 280 | 1 | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.05 | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.13 | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.39 |

3 Table 11 – M-factor Capital Funding Rate Riders, Including HST – GRZ

| GRZ - M-factor Rate Rider Incl HST | Unit | kWh | kW | 2020 | 2021 | | 2022 | 2023 | | 2024 | Total |
|------------------------------------|------|-----------|-------|-------------|------|-------|--------------------|--------------|-----|-------|--------------|
| Residential | kWh | 750 | | \$ 0.03 | \$ | 0.07 | \$ 0.15 | \$ 0.15 | \$ | 0.09 | \$ 0.49 |
| General Service Less Than 50 Kw | kWh | 2,000 | | \$ 0.05 | \$ | 0.11 | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.24 | \$ | 0.14 | \$ 0.76 |
| General Service 50 To 999 Kw | kW | 189,800 | 500 | \$ 1.85 | \$ | 4.02 | \$ 8.54 | \$ 8.89 | -\$ | 5.11 | \$ 28.39 |
| General Service 1,000 To 4,999 Kw | kW | 489,100 | 1,000 | \$ 4.31 | \$ | 9.35 | \$ <u>19.89</u> | \$ 20.70 | \$ | 11.89 | \$ 66.14 |
| Large Use | kW | 4,215,750 | 7,500 | \$ 25.76 | \$ | 55.93 | \$ 118.91 | \$ 123.79 | \$ | 71.12 | \$ 395.51 |
| Unmetered Scattered Load | kWh | 750 | | \$ 0.03 | \$ | 0.06 | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.12 | \$ | 0.07 | \$ 0.39 |
| Sentinel Lighting | kW | 140 | 2 | \$ 0.03 | \$ | 0.06 | \$ 0.13 | \$ 0.14 | \$ | 0.08 | \$ 0.44 |
| Street Lighting | kW | 800,000 | 2,200 | \$ 26.75 | \$ | 58.09 | \$ 123.49 | \$ 128.56 | \$ | 73.86 | \$ 410.76 |

4

2

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities Corporation 2020 EDR Application Exhibit 2 Tab 1 Schedule 3 Page 20 of 21

1 Impact of the M-factor

The following tables provide the average annual bill impact of the M-factor, for each rate class in each of the rate zones. The average annual total bill impact for a typical residential customer ranges from 0.09% to 0.28%. The bill impacts are indeed minimal and but provide customers with the assurance that necessary investments are funded, while providing customers with both certainty and stability. The annual bill impacts for each rate class in each of the rate zones is included in the M-factor model, filed as Attachment 3.

8 Bill Impacts

9 Tables 12 to 16 below identify the average annual bill impact by rate class as a result of the 10 addition of the 2020 to 2024 M-factor rate riders.

11 Table 12- M-factor Bill Impacts (Total Bill) - ERZ

| ERZ - M-factor bill impact | Unit | kWh | kW | A٧ | g. Annual Rider | Avg. Annual % Increase vs. Total Bill |
|--------------------------------|------|-----------|-------|----|--------------------|---|
| Residential | kWh | 750 | | \$ | 0.19 | 0.18% |
| General Service < 50 kW | kWh | 2,000 | | \$ | 0.55 | 0.19% |
| General Service 50 to 499 kW | kW | 100,000 | 230 | \$ | 9.85 | 0.06% |
| General Service 500 to 4999 kW | kW | 400,000 | 2,250 | \$ | 61.33 | 0.08% |
| Large Use | kW | 3,000,000 | 5,000 | \$ | 246.61 | 0.05% |
| Unmetered | kWh | 300 | | \$ | 0.12 | 0.23% |
| Street Lighting | kW | 33 | 0 | \$ | 0.02 | 0.57% |

12

13 Table 13 – M-factor Bill Impacts (Total Bill) – BRZ

| BRZ - M-factor bill impact | Unit | kWh | kW | Av | g. Annual Rider | Avg. Annual % Increase vs. Total Bill |
|--------------------------------|------|------------|--------|----|--------------------|---|
| Residential | kWh | 750 | | \$ | 0.18 | 0.17% |
| General Service < 50 kW | kWh | 2,000 | | \$ | 0.45 | 0.17% |
| General Service 50 to 699 kW | kW | 182,500 | 500 | \$ | 12.82 | 0.05% |
| General Service 700 to 4999 kW | kW | 627,216 | 1,432 | \$ | 48.55 | 0.05% |
| Large Use | kW | 10,220,000 | 20,000 | \$ | 453,16 | 0.03% |
| Unmetered | kWh | 21,296 | | \$ | 3.51 | 0.09% |
| Street Lighting | kW | 2,787,508 | 7,922 | \$ | 758.63 | 0.14% |
| Embedded Distributor | kWh | 1,417,701 | 4,000 | \$ | 34.52 | 0.02% |
| Distributed Generation | kWh | 156 | | \$ | 0.86 | 0.60% |

14

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities Corporation 2020 EDR Application Exhibit 2 Tab 1 Schedule 4 Page 21 of 21

1 Table 14 – M-factor Bill Impacts (Total Bill) – HRZ

| HRZ - M-factor bill impact | Unit | kWh | kW | Av | g. Annual Rider | Avg. Annual % Increase vs. Total Bill |
|---------------------------------|------|------------|--------|----|--------------------|---|
| Residential | kWh | 750 | | \$ | 0.20 | 0.18% |
| General Service Less Than 50 Kw | kWh | 2,000 | | \$ | 0.47 | 0.17% |
| General Service 50 To 4,999 Kw | kW | 110,000 | 250 | \$ | 8.20 | 0.05% |
| Large Use | kW | 2,555,000 | 5,000 | \$ | 247.22 | 0.06% |
| Large Use With Dedicated Assets | kW | 10,220,000 | 20,000 | \$ | 98.66 | 0.01% |
| Unmetered Scattered Load | kWh | 250 | | \$ | 0.09 | 0.24% |
| Sentinel Lighting | kW | 97,008 | 216 | \$ | 26.27 | 0.12% |
| Street Lighting | kW | 1,782,038 | 4,974 | \$ | 202.41 | 0.05% |

3 Table 15 - M-factor Bill Impacts (Total Bill) - PRZ

| PRZ - M-factor bill impact | Unit | kWb | кW | Avi | g. Annual Rider | Avg. Annual % Increase vs. Total Bill |
|---------------------------------|------|-----------|-------|-----|--------------------|---|
| Residential | kWh | 750 | | \$ | 0.30 | 0.28% |
| General Service Less Than 50 Kw | kWh | 2,000 | | \$ | 0.64 | 0.23% |
| General Service 50 To 4,999 Kw | kW | 80,000 | 250 | \$ | 12.50 | 0.10% |
| Large Use | kW | 2,800,000 | 7,350 | \$ | 236.54 | 0.06% |
| Unmetered Scattered Load | kWh | 150 | 0 | \$ | 0.12 | 0.41% |
| Sentinel Lighting | kW | 180 | 1 | \$ | 0.15 | 0.41% |
| Street Lighting | kW | 280 | 1 | \$ | 0.08 | 0.15% |

4 Stree

6

2

5 Table 16 – M-factor Bill Impacts (Total Bill) – GRZ

| GRZ - M-factor bill impact | Unit | kWh | kW | Av | g. Annual Rider | Avg. Annual % Increase vs. Total Bill |
|-----------------------------------|------|-----------|-------|----|--------------------|---|
| Residential | kWh | 750 | | \$ | 0.10 | 0.09% |
| General Service Less Than 50 Kw | kWh | 2,000 | | \$ | 0.15 | 0.06% |
| General Service 50 To 999 Kw | kW | 189,800 | 500 | \$ | 5.68 | 0.02% |
| General Service 1,000 To 4,999 Kw | kW | 489,100 | 1,000 | \$ | 13.23 | 0.02% |
| Large Use | kW | 4,215,750 | 7,500 | \$ | 79.10 | 0.01% |
| Unmetered Scattered Load | kWh | 750 | | \$ | 0.08 | 0.04% |
| Sentinel Lighting | kW | 140 | 2 | \$ | 0.09 | 0.13% |
| Street Lighting | kW | 800,000 | 2,200 | \$ | 82.15 | 0.05% |

J2.2

Reference:

To update Tables 1 and 2 by taking out Major Event Days.

Response:

- 1 Alectra Utilities has updated Tables 1 and 2 initially provided in response to JT2.6, as Table 1a
- 2 and Table 2a, below. Table 1a provides Defective Equipment in Customer Hours of Interruption
- 3 and Table 2a provides Defective Equipment in Customer Interruptions both with Major Event
- 4 Days ("MEDs") included and excluded.
- 5

6 Table 1a - Defective Equipment - Customer Hours of Interruption (2014-2018)

| Defective Equipment – Customer Hours of Interruption | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| With MEDs | 455,522 | 447675 | 456,610 | 387,250 | 531,219 |
| Without MEDs | 395,473 | 439,620 | 383,898 | 377,280 | 523,816 |

7

8 Table 2a - Defective Equipment - Customer Interruptions (2014-2018)

| Defective Equipment – | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Customer Interruptions | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| With MEDs | 490,089 | 389,254 | 434,934 | 422,621 | 518,395 |
| Without MEDs | 464,003 | 388,392 | 398,365 | 419,701 | 510,399 |

9

J2.3

Reference:

To file the background of the derivation of the \$38.4 million.

Response:

1 On October 16, 2019, Alectra Utilities filed a redacted version of its 2019-2023 Financial Plan,

2 including a summary Report to the Board of Directors and a PowerPoint presentation to the

3 Board of Directors, dated December 14, 2018. At slide 8 of the presentation, Alectra Utilities

4 identified that key assumptions/risks in the 2019 Plan included ICM revenue of \$6.9MM in 2019

- 5 and \$38.4MM from 2019 to 2023 based on 50% recovery of eligible ICM projects consistent with
- 6 the 2018 ICM decision.
- 7

8 Table 4 of the 2019-2023 Financial Plan provides a summary of ICM revenue. Table 4 is

- 9 reproduced, below. Alectra Utilities clarifies that total ICM revenue in the 2019 Plan of \$38.4MM
- 10 is comprised of \$6.9MM in 2019; \$8.7MM in 2020; \$10.5MM in 2021; and \$12.3MM in 2022, as
- 11 provided in the 'Total ICM 2019 Plan' line item in the table below.

2021 ICM Revenue 2018 2019 2020 2022 Total 2023 Prior Year ICM Recovery 4.9 5.7 8.1 11.7 15.3 45.7 18.9 Incremental ICM Relief Sought: PowerStream RZ 1.2 1.2 _ 1.5 1.2 5.1 1.2 Enersource RZ 0.9 1.2 1.2 1.2 4.5 1.2 Horizon Utilities RZ 1.2 1.2 1.2 3.6 1.2 _ Brampton Hydro RZ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ Total Incremental Relief 2.4 3.6 3.6 3.6 13.2 3.6 Total ICM Revenue 8.1 11.7 15.3 18.9 58.9 22.5 4.9 (6.6)Less: 50% Recovery Assumption (1.2)(3.0)(4.8)(15.6)(8.4)_ Total ICM - 2019 Plan 4.9 6.9 8.7 10.5 12.3 43.3 14.1 Total ICM - 2018 Plan 10.3 20.3 7.0 16.0 23.6 77.2 N/A Difference (2.1)(3.4)(7.3)(9.8)(11.3)(33.9)N/A

Table 4: ICM per Rate Zone by Year (\$MMs)

¹²

¹³ The \$38.4MM of ICM revenue over the 2019 to 2022 period is derived as follows:

- \$22.8MM of ICMs previously approved in Enersource's 2016 Electricity Distribution
 Rate ("EDR") Application (\$3.3MM) and Alectra Utilities' 2018 EDR Application
 (\$2.4MM). The \$22.8MM is calculated as follows: (\$3.3MM+\$2.4MM) * 4 = \$22.8MM;
- \$4.8MM of ICM based on 50% recovery of ICM revenue included in Alectra Utilities'
 2019 EDR Application. Alectra Utilities' 2019 EDR Application included \$2.4MM of ICM
 revenue. The 2019 Financial Plan assumes that 50% or \$1.2MM of 2019 ICM revenue
 over the 2019 to 2022 period (i.e. \$1.2MM * 4 = \$4.8MM);
- \$10.8MM of ICM revenue over the 2019 to 2022 period based on a 50% recovery
 assumption.
- 10
- 11 The calculation of the \$38.4MM is summarized in Table 1, below.
- 12

13 Table 1 – 2019-2022 ICM Revenue (2019 Financial Plan)

| 2019-2022 ICM Revenue (2019 Financial Plan) | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2019-2022 |
|---|-------|-------|--------|--------|-----------|
| ICM Revenue - Prior Approved Decisions | \$5.7 | \$5.7 | \$5.7 | \$5.7 | \$22.8 |
| ICM Revenue_2019 Incremental @ 50% recovery | \$1.2 | \$1.2 | \$1.2 | \$1.2 | \$4.8 |
| ICM Revenue_2020 Incremental @ 50% recovery | | \$1.8 | \$1.8 | \$1.8 | \$5.4 |
| ICM Revenue_2021 Incremental @ 50% recovery | | | \$1.8 | \$1.8 | \$3.6 |
| ICM Revenue_2022 Incremental @ 50% recovery | | | | \$1.8 | \$1.8 |
| Total 2019-2022 | \$6.9 | \$8.7 | \$10.5 | \$12.3 | \$38.4 |

14

As identified above, 2019 ICM revenue was based on Alectra Utilities' 2019 EDR Application. In that application, Alectra Utilities requested ICM funding for 5 projects in the Enersource and PowerStream rate zones, in the amount of \$31.6MM, with a corresponding revenue requirement of \$2.4MM. As the OEB's Decision in the 2019 Application was subsequent to the Financial Plan, Alectra Utilities relied on the 2018 Decision as the basis for the 50% recovery assumption. As a result, the 2019 ICM projection in the Financial Plan was based on 50% of the as-filed ICM revenue of \$2.4MM, or \$1.2MM.

22

For the 2020 to 2022 period, Alectra Utilities determined ICM revenue based on the level of capital and corresponding revenue included in the 2019 Application. For example, the 2019 Application included ICM revenue of \$2.4MM across 2 rate zones, or approximately \$1.2MM per rate zone. This was the basis for the determination of ICM revenue in the Financial Plan.

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities 2020 EDR Application Responses to Oral Hearing Undertakings Delivered: October 23, 2019 Page 3 of 3

- 1 Therefore, as identified in the extract of Table 4, above, Alectra Utilities assumed ICM revenue
- 2 of \$1.2MM in 3 rate zones for the 2020 to 2022 period.

J2.4

Reference:

To provide a list of the 884 projects that comprise the distribution system plan in that list; to include a short comment to provide some context of what the investment is expected; to provide clarification as to whether it is included in base rates or incremental; to sort the list by the score and by the year.

Response:

1 Alectra Utilities provides a spreadsheet of projects as J2.4 Attachment 1. The spreadsheet 2 contains a list of 884 projects including a brief comment for context, and the score for each 3 project. This list can be filtered by funding (M-Factor versus Base), rate zone, or investment 4 category as required. In addition, there is a tab to show the spending in each year sorted by the 5 ranking within that year. The list has been provided by year to identify that if a project has a 6 lower value when compared to all 884 projects across the five years, it may have a higher value 7 in the year that the investment occurs. Further, projects which span over multiple years will 8 appear in multiple tabs.

J2.4

ATTACHMENT 1 – DSP Project Listing

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|---------|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150404 | Base | Kenilworth TS Power Factor Correction | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.6 | - | - | 1.6 | 184,357 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of capacitor bank installation at Kenilworth TS to improve PF and to comply with IESO market rules. |
| 150725 | Base | Lines Central-North - Reactive Renewal | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 6.2 | 181,454 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Brampton | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 150690 | Base | Lines Central-South - Reactive Renewal | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 16.0 | 171,818 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Enersource | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 150682 | Base | Remote Fault Indicator Deployment | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 164,221 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Multiple | This project consists of remote fault indictor deployment which will result improved outage response, operational efficiency, and reliability |
| 150217 | Base | Build double 27.6kV ccts on Teston Rd and Pine Valley Dr to supply Block 40/47 | 1.4 | - | - | - | - | 1.4 | 151,265 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is to rebuild existing 8.32 kV poleline on teston road (Pine Valley drive to Weston road) as it does not have sufficent capacity for new developments. |
| 150587 | Base | Kenilworth TS Upgrade | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | 0.6 | 134,984 | System Access | Transmitter Related Upgrades | Horizon | HONI will be replacing transformers at Kenilworth TS which is at physical end-of-life, as well as switchgear. Alectra Utilities role in the project will be to coordinate planned outages and disconnect and reconnect feeder egress connections |
| 150259 | Base | Barrie TS Upgrade Feeders and Metering | - | 2.2 | - | - | - | 2.2 | 127,868 | System Access | Transmitter Related Upgrades | Powerstream | Hydro One will be rebuilding and reconfiguring its Barrie TS. As part of this upgrade, Hydro One plans to increase the capacity from 55/92 MVA to 75/125MVA and upgrade the E/48 transmission line from 115KV to 230KV. The feeder egress relocation and additional feeder will require integration reconfiguration for the six Alectra Utilities feeders (13M3 to 13M8) emanating from the station |
| 102247 | Base | Interest Capitalization | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 5.9 | 104,304 | General Plant | Other General Plant | Powerstream | Multi-year project to renew existing residential (except suite) |
| 150596 | Base | Meter Renewal - all types but Suite - PowerStream RZ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 94,470 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | metering equipment. |
| 101871 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East North - Commercial Services | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 94,398 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151180 | Base | Central-North - Capitalization of Locates | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 94,387 | System Access | Customer Connections | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150600 | Base | Firmware Upgrades for Smart Meters - East | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 94,363 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | improve communication performance. |
| 151169 | Base | Central-South - Capitalization of Locates | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 94,345 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151174 | Dase | West Lines - Capitalization locates | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 94,319 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew wholesale metering |
| 150631 | Base | Transformer Station Metering - Central North | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 94,246 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | equipment. |
| 101696 | Base | Final Close out and Inspection. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 94,197 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 103381 | Base | East Lines - Capitalization locates | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 94,145 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101870 | Base | Services (New and Opgrades) - Layouts – East South - Commercial Services | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 94,022 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101869 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East North - New Residential | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 93,989 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150650 | Base | Replace PCB Risk PT's - Enersource RZ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 93,977 | System Access | Metering | Enersource | Multi-year project to replace metering transformers identified with unacceptable levels of PCB's, to prevent hazardous spills. |
| 101919 | Base | New Services (new and upgrades) - Commercial, Industrial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - NORTH | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 93,955 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150647 | Base | Transformer Station Metering - Enersource RZ | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 93,884 | System Access | Metering | Enersource | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew wholesale metering equipment. |
| 151049 | Base | Commercial, Industrial, Institutional, Apartment Connections | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 93,830 | System Access | Customer Connections | Guelph | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101685 | Base | Subdivision - South Underground Residential Distribution System Final Close out and Inspection. | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 93,778 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150457 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts - St Catharines | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 93,519 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151162 | Base | Non Recoverable replacement of Distribution Equipment due to accident/vandalism | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 93,457 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Horizon | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover costs for (i.e. pole hit no vehicle at scene of accicent) |
| 101924 | Base | Mulit Unit Metering for New Buildings NORTH - PowerStream RZ | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 93,421 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to purchase and install suite metering in new buildings in northern area of territory. |
| 150588 | Base | New Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra West | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 93,266 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101791 | Base | New Services - new and upgrades - COMMERCIAL, INDUSTRIAL, INSTITUTIONAL (ICI) SERVICES - SOUTH | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 92,878 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101812 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - LIS | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 92,710 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires |
| 150754 | Base | Lines Central-North - Non-Recoverable Replacements | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 92,705 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Brampton | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover costs for (i.e. pole hit no vehicle at scene of accicent) |
| 150726 | Base | Lines Central-South - Non-Recoverable Replacements | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 92,705 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Enersource | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover costs for (i.e. pole hit no vehicle at scene of accicent) |
| 101820 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Non-Recoverable Replacement | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 92,583 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover costs for (i.e. pole hit no vehicle at scene of accicent) |
| 150389 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts - Central North | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 92,563 | System Access | Customer Connections | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 100001 | Dase | C & I metering - Renewal- Brampton RZ | 0.6 | 0.1 | U.1 | U.6 | U.8 | 2.2 | 92,561 | System Access | wietering | Diampton | multi-year project to renew existing ICI metering equipment. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 150386 | Base | New Service (new and upgrades) - Commercial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - Central North | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 92,424 | System Access | Customer Connections | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150453 | Base | CIS CC&B Modifications(Regulatory Enhancements) | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 5.5 | 92,261 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Enhancements to the CIS (CC&B) application needed to meet any regulatory requirements Such requirements in the past have been Ontario Energy Savings Program (OESP) as well as the Monthly Billing projects. |
| 150649 | Base | Suite Metering - Enersource RZ | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 91,838 | System Access | Metering | Enersource | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew suite metering equipment. |
| 150654 | Base | C & I Metering - New Services - Brampton RZ | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 2.9 | 91,553 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to purchase and install ICI metering equipment on new services. |
| 150595 | Base | C & I and Wholesale Metering - PowerStream RZ | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 91,530 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to purchase, install, and renew ICI and wholesale metering equipment. |
| 101868 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East South - New Residential | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 91,488 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101795 | Base | Multi Unit Metering for New Buildings SOUTH - PowerStream RZ | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 2.5 | 91,273 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to purchase and install suite metering in new buildings in southern area of territory. |
| 101873 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East North - Residential Upgrades | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 91,023 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151051 | Base | System Relocations Road Authorities | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 3.8 | 91,002 | System Access | Road Authority | Guelph | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150652 | Base | New Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra Central South | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 3.7 | 90,948 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150388 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts - Central South | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 3.8 | 90,774 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150692 | Base | New Feeder in Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra Central North | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 3.9 | 90,609 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Brampton | This expenditure is required to meet the needs of the development community that construct municipal approved residential subdivisions in Alectra Utilities' Central North service territory |
| 150599 | Base | Suite Meter - Reverification - PowerStream RZ | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 90,368 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to renew Measurement Canada seal dates on existing suite metering equipment. |
| 150455 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Commercial, Industrial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - St Catharines | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 4.4 | 90,201 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150659 | Base | Residential Meters - by Metering - Brampton RZ | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 4.5 | 90,096 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew residential metering equipment. Work carried out by Metering. |
| 150456 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – Hamilton | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 4.5 | 89,962 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150630 | Base | New Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra Central North | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 4.9 | 89,952 | System Access | Customer Connections | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101828 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Recoverable Replacement | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 3.7 | 89,766 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover some costs for (i.e. pole hit, which cat scene of accicent, Alectra Utilities obtains most of costs (near \$0 impact too budget)) |
| 101896 | Base | New Institutional/Commercial/Industrial Subdivision Development - Alectra East | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 4.7 | 89,347 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101872 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East South - Residential Upgrades | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 4.1 | 89,345 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101800 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Storm Damage | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 6.2 | 86,549 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 151047 | Base | Subdivisions | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.7 | 85,393 | System Access | Customer Connections | Guelph | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150620 | Base | Metering Renewal - all types - Horizon RZ | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 10.3 | 84,064 | System Access | Metering | Horizon | Multi-year project to purchase, install, and renew residential, ICI and wholesale metering equipment. |
| 101808 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Switchgears | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 8.8 | 83,304 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 150648 | Base | Metering Renewal - all types but Suite - Enersource RZ | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 11.6 | 82,569 | System Access | Metering | Enersource | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew residential (except suite) and ICI metering equipment. |
| 101892 | Base | New Subdivision Development - Secondary Service Lateral - Alectra East | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 14.1 | 80,776 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151125 | M-Factor | Connection Cost Recovery Agreement (CCRA) – Midhurst TS – 15th Anniversary True-up | 3.2 | - | - | - | - | 3.2 | 78,172 | General Plant | Connection and Cost Recovery Agreements | Powerstream | In accordance with the Connection Cost Recovery Agreement, a 15- year true-up is required for Midhurst TS. |
| 150384 | Base | New Service (new and upgrades) - Commercial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - Central South | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 17.0 | 77,904 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151124 | M-Factor | Goreway TS Expansion (CCRA) - 10 Yr True-Up Payment, Brampton | 5.6 | - | - | - | - | 5.6 | 76,026 | General Plant | Connection and Cost Recovery Agreements | Brampton | In accordance with the Connection Cost Recovery Agreement, a 10- year true-up is required for Goreway TS. |
| 150449 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Commercial, Industrial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - Hamilton | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 26.0 | 69,328 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151095 | Base | Reactive Restoration | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 5.2 | 63,547 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Guelph | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires |
| 151301 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (HAM) - Rymal - Mud - Upper Centennial - Upper Red Hill Valley | - | - | - | - | 3.3 | 3.3 | 61,747 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | Form 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 8 failures, or 14 failures per 100km. This area includes the 331X & 341X feeders which were identified as a Worst Performing Feeder in 2018. The average cable installation year is 1968 in this project scope. |
| 150465 | Base | LPSS Upgrade - IT Infrastructure | 0.5 | - | - | - | - | 0.5 | 59,940 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Enhancement to LPSS Alectra market settlement system LPSS is the Alectra settlement system. This is one of the core applications of the organization, operational enhancements come in from a number of venues and thereby feedback into the other downstream systems. These enhancements are considered for the overall customer and organizational benefits. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 101824 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Distribution Equipment | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 27.1 | 58,190 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 151161 | Base | Joint Use Pole Removal | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 57,200 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | This project is for the removal of poles in the field after third party (joint use) attachements have been removed or transferred for projects already closed by Alectra Utilities. |
| 150463 | Base | Customer Self Service Portal Enhancements | 0.9 | 0.3 | - | - | - | 1.1 | 56,341 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Enhancement to CIS (CC&B) self service portal application to support process improvement requirements. The self service portal is the means by which Alecta customers can obtain their customer related information, interact with a CSR, post questions. The enhancements will allow Alectra customers a better experience when interacting with Alectra through the web portal channel. |
| 151050 | Base | Metering - all types - Guelph RZ | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 55,986 | System Access | Metering | Guelph | Multi-year project to purchase, install, and renew residential, ICI and wholesale metering equipment in Guelph RZ. |
| 150469 | Base | ERP JD Edwards Enhancements | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 8.2 | 55,715 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Allocation of capital funds to provide 3rd party assistance on capital work, along with creating system enhancements to the JD Edwards ERP and supporting Systems. |
| 150325 | Base | CIS CC&B Enhancements | 1.3 | 0.8 | - | 1.3 | 1.6 | 4.9 | 55,520 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Enhancement to CIS (CC&B) application to support process improvement requirements.As the CIS (CC&B) system is one of the core applications of the organization, operational enhancements come in from a number of venues and thereby feedback into the other downstream systems. These enhancements are considered for the overall customer and organizational benefits. |
| 103637 | Base | GS>50 MIST Meter Multi-year initiative Implementation | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | 0.6 | 55,000 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to install MIST meters on GS>50 accounts, as required by the Distribution System Code. |
| 101832 | Base | Joint Use Pole Removal | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 2.9 | 54,024 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | This project is for the removal of poles in the field after third party (joint use) attachements have been removed or transferred for projects already closed by Alectra Utilities. |
| 100159 | M-Factor | Hydro One Asset Purchase - Alliston | - | - | 0.5 | - | - | 0.5 | 52,601 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | Purchase the 44 kV pole line along Industrial Parkway (approx 4.5 km's). The pole line is required for the new Alliston 10MVA substation 13.8kV integration (101571). |
| 101570 | Base | New Alliston 10MVA Substation - 44 kV Supply | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 50,413 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Powerstream | (101569) |
| 101571 | Base | New Alliston 10MVA Substation - 13.8 kV Feeder Integration | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 50,184 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Powerstream | This project is the 13.8KV feeder integration for Alliston MS (101569) |
| 150344 | Base | HaLRT_New Lake Feeder for TPSS#8 area capacity | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | 0.6 | 48,091 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Horizon | The TPS5#8 location has supply constraints on the 13.8kV system. This project is to bring a new feeder out of Lake TS to the area to reinforce the supply and allow for switching to free up capacity to accommodate the new load request. |
| 151236 | Base | Edinburgh Rd (Paisley to Willow) Extension | 0.7 | - | - | - | - | 0.7 | 48,011 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Guelph | Replace existing pole line to accommodate two 13.8kV circuits in order to provide capacity and contingency for the new developments on Edinburgh Road North and also act as an inter-tie between Campbell TS and Cedar TS |
| 101887 | Base | New Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra East | 9.0 | 9.4 | 10.2 | 11.0 | 11.4 | 51.0 | 44,864 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101569 | M-Factor | New Alliston 10MVA Substation - Industrial Parkway | - | - | - | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 44,830 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Powerstream | The project entails the purchase of a station site in the vicinity of Dufferin St and Industrial Pkwy in Alliston, and constructing a new 10MVA, 44/13.8 kV, dual-stage fan, 4-feeder municipal substation |
| 100859 | Base | Switchgear Renewal - East | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 14.1 | 43,705 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 151117 | M-Factor | Vansickle TS True-up Payment (CCRA), St.Catharines | - | 1.6 | - | - | - | 1.6 | 43,573 | General Plant | Connection and Cost Recovery Agreements | Horizon | In accordance with the Connection Cost Recovery Agreement, a 10 year true-up is required for Vansickle TS. |
| 151089 | Base | Overhead Rebuilds | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 6.2 | 39,246 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Guelph | or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |
| 150471 | Base | ERP JD Edwards Hardware Upgrades | - | - | 0.6 | - | - | 0.6 | 38,646 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Hardware upgrade to support ERP refresh cycle. |
| 151241 | M-Factor | Arlen MTS - New Feeder | - | - | - | 0.5 | - | 0.5 | 37,817 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Guelph | in the south Guelph and to meet continengency requirement. |
| 150369 | M-Factor | New build - 44kV Feeder Extension York/Meadowpine, Mississauga | - | 1.8 | - | - | - | 1.8 | 37,795 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Enersource | There is no 44KV circuit on Meadowpine Blvd. and it is expected that the large customers will be connecting to the 44KV circuit. In addition 16MVA of connected load is on radial supply. This project will address the capacity constraints as well as eliminate the current radial supply configuration for 16MVA of load. |
| 151118 | M-Factor | Nebo TS 27.6kV True-up Payment (CCRA) | - | - | - | 0.5 | - | 0.5 | 37,750 | General Plant | Connection and Cost Recovery Agreements | Horizon | In accordance with the Connection Cost Recovery Agreement, a 10 year true-up is required for Nebo TS. |
| 101508 | Base | Transformer Renewal - East | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 13.9 | 37,474 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Powerstream | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental, (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsoletive initage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 101003 | M-Factor | Richmond Hill TS#2 Upgrade Bus, Line & Transformer Protections | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 36,020 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project entails upgrade of Bus, Line and Transformer Protection relays at Richmond Hill TS#2 (Lazenby TS#2) with new relays having fault recording capabilities. This project will also address the communications protocol at this station which is obsolete and is incompatible with that of the rest of the system. |
| 150673 | Base | Road Authority Central (Mississauga) | 3.7 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 21.0 | 35,001 | System Access | Road Authority | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150134 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V37) - Langstaff and Weston, Vaughan | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 | - | - | 4.8 | 34,877 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 20 failures. |
| 151240 | M-Factor | Southgate Dr to Maltby Rd O/H Extension | - | - | - | - | 0.6 | 0.6 | 34,668 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Guelph | The road extension from COG and potential developments on Southgate requires two 13.8kV circuit expanded in order to provide capacity and contingency supply. |
| 102387 | M-Factor | Install 44kV & 13.8kV Bryne Drive | - | 1.1 | - | - | - | 1.1 | 34,654 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | The City of Barrie has identified 64 acres north of Harvie Road along Bryne Drive for development of industrial/commercial/residential. Another 34 acres south of Harvie Road along Bryne Drive has been identified for industrial/commercial development. The developable areas will result in 4MVA of new load north of Harvie Road and 2.2MVA of load south of Harvie Road. There is currently no existing 44kV or 13.8kV supply along Bryne Drive between P6231 and SC13487. |
| 151238 | Base | New Feeder Maltby Rd W (Crawley to Gordon) | - | - | - | - | 0.9 | 0.9 | 34,423 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Guelph | Build new pole line on Maltby Rd between Crawley Rd and Gordon St to back-up radially fed loads on Gordon St south of Clair Rd/Gordon St intersection with 556ASC OH Conductor to replace 3/0ACSR to act a feeder inter-tie. |
| 150073 | M-Factor | Vaughan TS#1 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades | - | - | 0.3 | 0.2 | - | 0.4 | 34,014 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to upgrade the Vaughan TS#1 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades with new relays having fault recording capabilities. |
| 150405 | Base | 25M9 Jim Yarrow TS Extensions | 0.3 | 0.3 | - | - | - | 0.6 | 33,741 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Brampton | Jim Yarrow TS extension with new underground line extending 25M9 from Winston Churchill Blvd utility corridor to Steeles Ave in order to back up 25M8 & 25M10 to accomodate future load growth in Churchill Business Community Park and residential developments between Steeles Ave & Embleton Rd |
| 150645 | Base | Road Authority Central (Brampton) | 4.4 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 24.9 | 31,814 | System Access | Road Authority | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150828 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects- West | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 4.6 | 31,592 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 151452 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-West | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 4.6 | 31,590 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 101762 | Base | Road Authority Expenditure PS South | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 20.6 | 31,544 | System Access | Road Authority | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101355 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects- East | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 4.8 | 31,425 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 151450 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-East | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 4.8 | 31,425 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 103633 | M-Factor | Install Two 27.6kV Ccts on 16th Ave from Hwy 404 to Woodbine Ave | - | 5.5 | - | - | - | 5.5 | 30,531 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is to reroute two 27.6kV feeders along 16th Ave from Woodbine Ave in Markham to Leslie St Richmond Hill to supply new load in Richmond Hill. The customers on Leslie St north of 16th Ave in Richmond Hill are supplied by feeder 12M5, 12M7, and 12M12. There is only 290A or 14 MVA capacity left on these feeders for future development. |
| 150074 | M-Factor | Vaughan TS#2 Bus Differential and Overcurrent Protections Upgrade | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 0.3 | 28,628 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to upgrade the Vaughan TS#2 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades with new relays having fault recording capabilities. |
| 100340 | M-Factor | Vaughan TS#4 Feeder Integration - Part 3 | - | - | - | 5.2 | 3.6 | 8.8 | 28,489 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is the third part of a multi-part project to construct and integrate new feeders from VTS#4. This project is to integrate four 27.6KV feeders (25M5/25M6/25M7/25M8) from VTS4 to the distribution system. |
| 151363 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M25) - 14th - McCowan - Steeles - Old Kennedy, Markham | - | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 4.1 | 27,519 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 14 failures. |
| 150072 | M-Factor | Markham TS#3 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades | 0.2 | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.3 | 27,028 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to upgrade the Markham TS#3 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades with new relays having fault recording capabilities. |
| 150364 | M-Factor | New build - Port Credit Village East (Marina) 27.6kV Feeders, Mississauga | - | - | 4.4 | - | - | 4.4 | 25,989 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Enersource | The Port Credit East development requires a 27.6kV circuit expansion in order to provide capacity and contingency for the Mid- rise mixed residential/commercial development. |
| 100867 | Base | Pole Renewal - East | 4.4 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 25.9 | 25,595 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Inis project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |
| 151074 | Base | Reactive renewal | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 16.7 | 25,136 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Horizon | Replacement or assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 150571 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (J3-K3-N2-O2), Brampton | 2.3 | - | - | - | - | 2.3 | 24,484 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 32 outages due to cable failures from 2007 to 2018 with average duration of 104 minutes. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 151362 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M39) - 16th - Warden - Hwy 7 - Woodbine, Markham | - | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 4.2 | 23,714 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 8 failures. |
| 151360 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M31) - 14th - Old Kennedy - Steeles - Warden, Markham | - | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 3.4 | 23,641 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 38 failures. |
| 150070 | M-Factor | Markham TS#1 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades | - | 0.2 | 0.1 | - | - | 0.4 | 22,500 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to upgrade the Markham TS#1 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades with new relays having fault recording capabilities. |
| 150375 | Base | New Build - 136M10 Goreway TS Extensions, Brampton | 1.6 | - | - | - | - | 1.6 | 22,413 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Brampton | The 136M10 feeder egress project will install a new feeder from the Goreway TS to provide relief to the 136M44 circuit which is over the planning limit |
| 100015 | Base | Transformer Temperature Monitoring - Aurora MS, King & Concord | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 22,040 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consist of transformer temperature monitoring and telemetry at Aurora MS - King and Concord which will improve system reliability and enables controlled emergency loading beyond the nameplate rating. |
| 151043 | Base | Transformer Renewal - Central South | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 9.7 | 21,870 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Enersource | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |
| 102545 | Base | Install a New 27.6kV Pole Line on 19th Ave from Leslie St to Woodbine Ave | 1.4 | - | - | - | - | 1.4 | 21,590 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This is to remediate radial supply on Leslie St north of Elgin Mills Rd (supplying Leslie North development in Richmond Hill) and radial supply on Woodbine Ave north of Elgin Mills Rd (supplying future urban area in Markham). |
| 150467 | M-Factor | CIS CC&B upgrade | - | 6.5 | 6.8 | - | - | 13.3 | 21,392 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Aligns with Alectra customer experience plan requirements and enhanced services and emerging channels. Comprised of third party integration support, hardware, resources, testing to support existing Alectra platform as the current version 2.5 support ends in 2022. Project ensures enhanced functionality, compatibility with ancillary systems, security upgrades, automation and rate billing engine to support future utility DER integration. |
| 150071 | M-Factor | Markham TS#2 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades | - | - | - | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 21,087 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to upgrade the Markham TS#2 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades with new relays having fault recording capabilities. |
| 101480 | M-Factor | Build double ccts 27.6kV pole line on 19th Ave between Leslie St and Bayview Ave | - | - | 1.3 | - | - | 1.3 | 20,987 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is to build 2 ccts pole line on 19th Ave from Leslie St to Bayview Ave to supply the new subdivision in Leslie North that is bounded by Elgin Mills Rd, Leslie St., 19th Avenue and Bayview Avenue. There will be new houses along 19th Ave between Bayview Ave and Leslie St as the subdivision secondary plan, however, there is no pole line now. |
| 150688 | Base | Station Sustainment & Protection & Control CASCADE Expansion | 0.1 | 0.0 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 20,717 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Expand the use of the CASCADE system to be used by the Protection and Control asset in addition to the Station assets |
| 101593 | Base | Station Ground Grid Refurbishments | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 20,602 | System Service | Safety & Security | Powerstream | This project consists of refurbishment of station ground grid in East. The project would be to design and implement ground grid improvements to meet step and touch potential requirements. |
| 150371 | M-Factor | New build - 27.6kV Feeder Extension Traders, Mississauga | - | 2.8 | 2.8 | - | - | 5.5 | 19,792 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Enersource | Install new feeders in the Traders area between Hurontario St and Kennedy Rd from Matheson Blvd to Britannia Rd required to meet demand due to intensification and to ensure the reliability of current customers. |
| 151303 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (HAM) - Stone Church - Garth - Lincoln M. Alexander | - | - | 2.4 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 6.6 | 19,665 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 5 failures, or 18 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1976 in this project scope. |
| 150664 | Base | Residential Meters - by Lines - Brampton RZ | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 18,167 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew residential metering equipment. Work carried out by Lines. |
| 151109 | Base | Switch Replacement | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 18,145 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacment units |
| 102455 | Base | Melbourne MS322 Land Purchase & TX Upgrade - Bradford | - | - | - | 0.4 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 17,824 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Powerstream | This project consists of transformer upgrade to increase the capacity at Melbourne MS to meet the load growth the contingency condition for Bradford area. |
| 151367 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M21) - Hwy 7 - Markham - 16th - McCowan, Markham | - | - | - | 1.1 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 17,675 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 6 failures. |
| 150319 | M-Factor | New MS - Duke MS 20 MVA Substation, Mississauga | - | - | - | 2.0 | 4.2 | 6.2 | 17,541 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Enersource | The Downtown21 plan forecasts a total population of 56,565 residents and 34,247 jobs in the Mississauga downtown core. The current capacity available for the downtown core is approximately 140 MVA ONAN rating. Based on growth projected and the land parcels available. Alectra Utilities estimates that, upon completion of Downtown21 the combined transformation load requirement will increase approximately by 300 MVA. This station will provide 20MVA ONAN capacity. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150458 | Base | MAS upgrade - IT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | - | 0.2 | 17,426 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Metering Automation System for smart grid Upgrade to be implemented in a phased approach over the next 4 years as smart grid continues to evolve work in conjunction with the metering team to analyze and implement leverage new technologies to provide better more accurate meter readings be responsive to the issues first not reactive when a customer calls |
| 151104 | Base | Distribution Automation - Central North | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 17,389 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Brampton | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 151366 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M19) - Markham - Steeles - McCowan - 14th, Markham | - | - | - | 1.4 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 17,259 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 7 failures. |
| 102352 | Base | Vaughan TS#4 Feeder Integration - Part 2 | 4.0 | - | - | - | - | 4.0 | 16,373 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is the second part of VTS4 feeder integration plan. The purposes is to integrate two new 27.6kV feeders (25M9 to 25M10) from VTS4 into Alectra's distribution system to increase distribution capacity by 40 MVA, off load existing feeders and stations with the new feeders and increase supply capacity to Vaughan West. |
| 151318 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (I3) -Bovaird - Dixie - Queen - Hwy 410, Brampton | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 16,119 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 6 outages due to cable failures from 2014 to 2015 with average duration of 71 minutes. |
| 151046 | Base | Addiscott Court Security Fence Improvement | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 16,097 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Powerstream | To improve the fencing to secure the capital inventory within the operational yard in Markham |
| 151216 | Base | Purchase & Installation of Station DC System Monitoring - South West | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 15,958 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Guelph | This project involves the installation of real-time monitoring at Arlen TS in the South West Operating Area (Guelph) that eliminates required on-site maintenance activities such as routine manual battery testing. By the addition of DC System monitoring, it will ensure that any potential problems will be immediately reported via SCADA/PI System Notification and help to avoid a station outage due to DC system failure as occurred at Vaughan TS#3. It will also reduce the human interaction with the dangerous DC Equipment and dramatically reduce the hazard/risk to the worker by eliminating the need to perform battery testing. |
| 150639 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 4 Station DC System Monitoring-Multi- year initiative-CENTRAL | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 15,736 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of installation of DC charging system for Central South Sations. A better real-time assessment of Station batteries will lower the risk of battery failure/fire/explosion |
| 150638 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 4 Station DC System Monitoring-Multi- year initiative-East | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 15,736 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project consists of installation of DC charging system for Central North Sations. A better real-time assessment of Station batteries will lower the risk of battery failure/fire/explosion |
| 150636 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 4 Station DC System Monitoring-Multi- year initiative-North & TS | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 15,736 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of installation of DC charging system for East Sations. A better real-time assessment of Station batteries will lower the risk of battery failure/fire/explosion |
| 150640 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 4 Station DC System Monitoring-Multi- year initiative-WEST | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 15,736 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of installation of DC charging system for West Sations. A better real-time assessment of Station batteries will lower the risk of battery failure/fire/explosion |
| 151460 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (V17) - Langstaff - Keele - Rutherford - Dufferin, Vaughan | - | - | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 15,317 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2017-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 3 failures. From 2015-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 4 failures. |
| 150749 | M-Factor | New WiMAX Communication Network - Central South | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | 0.4 | 15,089 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project is required to implement the WiMax Network for Central South for secure data transfer of monitoring sensors. |
| 100337 | M-Factor | Markham TS #4 Feeder Egress Part 3 | - | - | - | 4.9 | - | 4.9 | 14,795 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is to install four 27.6kV feeders from MTS4 along Rodick Rd to 14th Ave. These feeders will be connected to existing feeders on 14th Ave and Miller Ave. It is MTS4 Feeder Integration Plan Part 3. This project will increase supply capacity by 80 MVA to support growth and development in Markham |
| 150285 | Base | Transformer Renewal - Central North | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 5.1 | 14,492 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Brampton | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental, (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |
| 150025 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V18) - Major Mackenzie and Keele, Vaughan | 1.1 | - | - | - | - | 1.1 | 14,485 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2017 customers in this area experienced 2 failures. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150559 | Base | Rathburn Rd Duct Extension (LRT Betterment) | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | 0.4 | 14,448 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Enersource | A duct installation to connect existing ducts west and east on Rathburn Rd. A 5 year moratorium is proposed due to streetscaping and this project should be completed with other work. |
| 101027 | Base | Switch Renewal - East | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 3.4 | 14,309 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacment units |
| 150505 | Base | Purchase and Install 11 Self Recharging Transformer Air Breathers TS and MS - Multi-year initiative - EAST | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 14,277 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project consists of installation of transformer air breather at TS and MS stations in West. Moisture in transformer oil is a major cause of acceleration of transformer ageing and internal failure of transformers. By elimination of moisture ingress through the transformer breather, and having the breather perform this task automatically without human intervention ensures that the transformer oil moisture is minimal. |
| 100913 | M-Factor | Pole Line Installation Double Cct on Major Mack - Huntington Rd to Hwy 50 | - | - | - | - | 1.4 | 1.4 | 14,223 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is to build two ccts on Major Mack Dr between Huntington Rd and Hwy 50 in conjunction with the road widening project to supply new customers on both side of Major Mack, as well as customers along Hwy 50. |
| 102062 | Base | Purchase & Installation of 10 Self Recharging Transformer Air Breathers on TS transformers-Multi-year initiative-North & TS | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 14,211 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of installation of transformer air breather at TS and MS stations in East. Molisture in transformer oil is a major cause of acceleration of transformer ageing and internal failure of transformers. By elimination of moisture ingress through the transformer breather, and having the breather perform this task automatically without human intervention ensures that the transformer oil moisture is minimal. |
| 150506 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 15 Self Recharging Transformer Air Breathers on MS Transformers - Multi-year initiative-CENTRAL | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 14,155 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of installation of transformer air breather at TS and MS stations in Central South. Moisture in transformer oil is a major cause of acceleration of transformer ageing and internal failure of transformers. By elimination of moisture ingress through the transformer breather, and having the breather perform this task automatically without human intervention ensures that the transformer oil moisture is minimal. |
| 150504 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 10 Self Recharging Transformer Air Breathers on TS and MS Transformers - Multi-year initiative-WEST | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | - | 0.2 | 14,105 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of installation of transformer air breather at TS and MS stations in East. Moisture in transformer oil is a major cause of acceleration of transformer ageing and internal failure of transformers. By elimination of moisture ingress through the transformer breather, and having the breather perform this task automatically without human intervention ensures that the transformer oil moisture is minimal. |
| 151066 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - Hamilton Mountain URD | 3.8 | - | - | - | - | 3.8 | 14,040 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | Recent underground cable failures in this area are unknown at this time. The average cable installation year is 1971 in this project scope. The cables are 23 years over the TUL. |
| 102098 | Base | Client - IT Infrastructure | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 5.4 | 13,761 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | To upgrade desktop/laptop/mobile devices that are 5 years or older (Approximately 20% of our equipment). Net new equipment for mobile computing as well as upgrades and replacements for damaged devices. Request made by various business units. This budget also includes RSA tokens\Licenses, monitors, mice, keyboards, docking stations, tablets and small non-MFP printers. Out of Scope: Large MFP Printers |
| 150014 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V01) - Yonge - Steeles - Bathurst - Center, Vaughan | 0.8 | - | - | - | - | 0.8 | 13,574 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2014-2016 customers in this area experienced 13 failures. |
| 151214 | Base | Purchase & Install Self Re-Charging Transformer Air Breathers | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 13,524 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Guelph | This project consists of installation of transformer air breather at TS and MS stations in Guelph. Moisture in transformer oil is a major cause of acceleration of transformer ageing and internal failure of transformers. By elimination of moisture ingress through the transformer breather, and having the breather perform this task automatically without human intervention ensures that the transformer oil moisture is minimal. |
| 151247 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects- Guelph | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 3.0 | 13,414 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Guelph | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 102232 | Base | Capital Funds for Emergency P&C Purchases - East | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 13,271 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project provides funds for the emergency procurement of Intelligent Electronic Devices (ED), communications equipment, protective relays, and other critical spare parts for the municipal/ransformer substations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream). These parts are critical to maintaining the viability of key operations' systems and the conformance to the ESA Regulation 2204. Failure or underperformance of this equipment could cause a catastrophic failure of a key component of the distribution grid. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|--|
| 150683 | Base | Capital Funds for Emergency P&C Purchases - Central North | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 13,185 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project provides funds for the emergency procurement of Intelligent Electronic Devices (IED), communications equipment, protective relays, and other critical spare parts for the municipal/transformer substations in the Central North Operating Area (Brampton). These parts are critical to maintaining the viability of key operations' systems and the conformance to the ESA Regulation 22/04. Failure or underperformance of this equipment could cause a catastrophic failure of a key component of the distribution grid. |
| 150685 | Base | Capital Funds for Emergency P&C Purchases - West | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 13,185 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | This project provides funds for the emergency procurement of Intelligent Electronic Devices (IED), communications equipment, protective relays, and other critical spare parts for the municipal/transformer substations in the West Operating Area (former Horizon). These parts are critical to maintaining the viability of key operations' systems and the conformance to the ESA Regulation 22/04. Failure or underperformance of this equipment could cause a catastrophic failure of a key component of the distribution grid. |
| 150684 | Base | Capital Funds for Emergency P&C Purchases - Central South | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 13,185 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | This project provides funds for the emergency procurement of Intelligent Electronic Devices (IED), communications equipment, protective relays, and other critical spare parts for the municipal/transformer substations in the Central South Operating Area (former Mississauga). These parts are critical to maintaining the viability of key operations' systems and the conformance to the ESA Regulation 22/04. Failure or underperformance of this equipment could cause a catastrophic failure of a key component of the distribution grid. |
| 150360 | M-Factor | New build - Extend 44kV feeder Centre View Dr, Mississauga | - | - | - | 0.9 | 5.6 | 6.5 | 13,005 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Enersource | A new 44 kV overhead/underground feeder extension is needed to provide supply to downtown Mississauga area on Centre View Drive as well as provide primary supply for Duke Municipal Station (MS). |
| 150784 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects Central North | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 3.5 | 12,968 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 151453 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-Guelph | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 3.5 | 12,922 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 150823 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects Central South | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 12,655 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 102099 | Base | Printer & Copier Fleet Replacement | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 12,636 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Replacement of existing fleet of printers/copiers that are 5 or more years old. This will include non-MFP printers. Replacement to be determined by an evaluation of evolving needs and corporate requirements as well as age of equipment. |
| 151449 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-Central North | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 4.4 | 12,176 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outgaes, duration or both. |
| 103211 | Base | Misc Software Upgrades (FormScape, AutoCAD, etc.) - IT/OT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 12,021 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Upgrade/ acquire software as required / requested by business. |
| 151451 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-Central South | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 4.5 | 11,936 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 151233 | M-Factor | New Construction - Campbell TS 36M63 Feeder PHASE 1 & 2, Guelph | - | 1.2 | 1.2 | - | - | 2.3 | 11,851 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Guelph | This project identifies a new 13.8kV feeder required from Campbell TS to bring additional load support to NW section of the city as the existing 13.8kV feeders in the area are unable to accommodate the additional load growth |
| 150007 | Base | Extend 153M10 to Transfer MS322 | 1.7 | - | - | - | - | 1.7 | 11,505 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project consists of extension of 153M10 to transfer MS322. Back-up capability lines project extending the 153M10 circuit along Holland Street from Bridge Street, south along Morris Road, west along Centre Street to Thomas Street, north on Drury Street, west along Holland Street to Miller Park Avenue (approximately 2 km's) |
| 150579 | Base | New build - Extend Bunting M81 Feeder, St.Catharines | 1.5 | 1.5 | - | - | - | 3.1 | 11,461 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Horizon | This project is to extend M81 feeder to supply new development and meet contigency condition in St. Catharines. This project will deal with ongoing capacity constraints in the North end of St.Catharines by bringing available supply from Bunting and Carton TS's |
| 103180 | Base | Citrix Xen Virtualization Expansion - IT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 11,299 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Client computing virtualization (Clirix) is currently the standard delivery method for applications and desktops at Alectra. This system has had a tremendous uptake in the organization and requires an upgrade in back-end infrastructure to support the additional demand from the business. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|---|
| 150693 | M-Factor | Blockchain | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 11,192 | System Service | Distributed Energy Resources (DER) | Multiple | The project will prepare Alectra Utilities to engage with customers in a real-time and transparent process to record the flow of electricity to and from DERs, enabling the efficient procurement of distribution benefits, such as demand response and frequency regulation. The project will provide a robust settlement mechanism between Alectra and customers, backed by timely and efficient financial transactions, to enable overall trust and customer value delivery and leading to increased customer satisfaction. |
| 151302 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Rymal - Mud - Upper Centennial - Upper Red Hill Valley | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.5 | - | - | 2.0 | 11,132 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 8 failures, or 14 failures per 100km. This area includes the 331X & 341X feeders which were identified as a Worst Performing Feeder in 2018. The average cable installation year is 1989 in this project scope. |
| 151314 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (G2) -Wanless - Kennedy - Bovaird - Main, Brampton | - | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 11,046 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 4 outages due to cable failures from 2013 to 2017 with average duration of 125 minutes. |
| 151409 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Central Parkway & Bloor (29), Mississauga | - | - | 10.9 | - | - | 10.9 | 10,937 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there are 9 outages, the length is 12,462 m, and the average cable age is 48 years old. There are 35 backlot transformers that we are also replacing. |
| 150740 | Base | Facilities_Nebo_ Roof Replacement | - | - | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 10,933 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Horizon | 2019 Project and to be completed by Dec 2019. Not sure why we have \$9K in 2022. |
| 150342 | M-Factor | HaLRT_New Stirton Feeder for TPSS#4 and 8852X load shedding, Hamilton | 4.8 | - | - | - | - | 4.8 | 10,907 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Horizon | The 8852X is the only 13.8kV feeder in the vicinity of the HaLRT TPSSH4 location and is at its utilization limit. To accommodate the new TPSS a new feeder from Sitton is to be built, and part of the 8852X load will also be transferred to this new feeder |
| 150665 | Base | Emerging Customer Initiated Work (West) | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 8.2 | 10,905 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151465 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement - Mississauga Left Behind Cable | - | 0.4 | - | 0.6 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 10,857 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | This project is to address cables which were part of a cable injection project but were ultimately not injectable at the time of project execution. These 'left behind' segments must be addressed, if they are left in the system they could cause a failure. Customers would not only experience an outage, but question the utilities work practices as we would have addressed only a portion of the cables instead of all the cables which could cause an outage. |
| 101562 | Base | Arc Flash Mitigation Projects | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 10,619 | System Service | Safety & Security | Powerstream | This project is to mitigate arc flash risk at East stations. |
| 151307 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Upper Sherman - Stone Church - Nebo - Rymal | - | - | - | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 10,595 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YID customers in this area experienced 2 failures, or 5 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1989 in this project scope. |
| 151429 | Base | Cable Injection- 003- AREA36 -Matheson & Kennedy, Mississauga | - | - | - | - | 1.3 | 1.3 | 10,395 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | There are 2 outages, we are injecting a total of 14,907 m of cable for this project, and the average age of the cable is 31 years. |
| 151299 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (HAM) - Millen - Barton - Fruitland | - | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.3 | - | 4.0 | 10,342 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 16 failures, or 44 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1970 in this project scope. |
| 150653 | Base | Road Authority West (St. Catharines) | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 4.8 | 10,217 | System Access | Road Authority | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150615 | Base | Metering Tools & Equipment - Central North | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 9,947 | General Plant | Equipment | Brampton | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150616 | Base | Metering Tools & Equipment - Central South | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 9,947 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Enersource | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150613 | Base | Metering Tools & Equipment - East | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 9,947 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150614 | Base | Metering Tools & Equipment - West | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 9,947 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Horizon | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 151402 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Montevideo & Treviso (19a), Mississauga | - | 5.2 | - | - | - | 5.2 | 9,918 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 10 outages, the length is 14,151 m, and the average cable age is 34 years old. |
| 102263 | M-Factor | Work Force Management / Mobile Dispatch | - | - | 2.4 | 2.4 | - | 4.7 | 9,762 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Enterprise wide field crew management system that will enable more efficient use of crews and improve visibility to job progress enabling better communication of expectations to customers |
| 151315 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (G5) - Steeles - Kennedy - Hwy 407 - Main, Brampton | - | - | - | - | 1.6 | 1.6 | 9,695 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 7 outages due to cable failures from 2010 to 2019 with average duration of 109 minutes. |
| 151439 | Base | 2020 GUELPH - Remotely Controlled Switches | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | 0.4 | 9,307 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Guelph | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 151456 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (V50) - Hwy 7 - Kipling - Steeles - Hwy 27, Vaughan | - | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.2 | - | 1.5 | 9,188 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 3 failures in 2018. |
| 150261 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V38) - Rutherford and Weston, Vaughan | 1.4 | - | - | - | - | 1.4 | 9,110 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2015-2018 customers in this area experienced 5 failures. |
| 151063 | Base | Pole Renewal - Central South | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 17.0 | 9,065 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | This project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 100886 | Base | Distribution Automation - East | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 7.3 | 9,041 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Powerstream | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will diredly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 151275 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (SCH) - QEW - Highway 406 - Martindale Road | - | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | - | 2.1 | 8,905 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 3 failures, or 11 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1988 in this project scope. |
| 151298 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Govenors - Old Ancaster | - | - | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 8,842 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 3 failures, or 10 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1989 in this project scope. |
| 150808 | Base | Implementation of Doble - Enoserv PowerBase and Enoserv RTS applications for Protection and Control Department | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 8,798 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | PowerBase is the premier application to manage and report on protection assets maintenance and engineering records. It manages workflow and connect with maintenance management systems such as Cascade. RTS is a vendor neutral software which allows connection to a variety of test sets. It offers an extensive relay test plan library. |
| 151300 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Millen - Barton - Fruitland | 0.9 | 0.7 | - | - | - | 1.6 | 8,690 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 16 failures, or 44 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1987 in this project scope. |
| 151389 | Base | 2021 GUELPH - Remotely Controlled Switches | - | 0.4 | - | - | - | 0.4 | 8,628 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Guelph | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 150097 | M-Factor | Line Protections and HMI Upgrade - KDU-10 Replacement - Markham TS#2 | 0.5 | - | - | - | - | 0.5 | 8,506 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | KDU-10 protection relay malfunction can cause an outage on the 230 kV supply of the station, resulting in a major power interruption. Replacement of this obsolete equipment is expected to provide improved reliability and will provide fault and event record information which will enable accurate diagnosis of system events and outage duration reductions. |
| 150418 | Base | 42M62 Extension Bovaird Dr, Mississauga Rd to Heritage Rd | - | 0.4 | - | - | - | 0.4 | 8,351 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Brampton | This project consists of 42M62 extension on Bovaird drive from Mississauga Road to Heritage Road. The 42M62 circuit extension will supply industrial on Heritage Road, as well as new residential developments |
| 101804 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 8,158 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 151424 | Base | Cable Replacement Project-Miss. Valley & Bloor (15) Mississauga | - | - | - | - | 9.9 | 9.9 | 8,141 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 6 outages, the length is 10,780 m, and the average cable age is 34 years old. There are 32 backlot transformers that we are also replacing. |
| 101488 | Base | Markham TS #5 | - | - | - | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 8,117 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Powerstream | This project is to perform Class EA and purchase land for Markham TS#5 which has been recommended as per the York Region IRRP |
| 151390 | Base | 2022 GUELPH - Remotely Controlled Switches | - | - | 0.4 | - | - | 0.4 | 8,052 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Guelph | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 151213 | Base | Installation of Transformer Bushing Monitoring | - | - | 0.1 | - | - | 0.1 | 8,001 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Guelph | This project consists of installation of Transformer bushing monitoring system in Guelph. bushing monitoring unit provides real time condition monitoring of the transformer bushings. |
| 150773 | M-Factor | New WiMAX Communications System - Central North | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 8,000 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project is to provide WiMax for Central North for secure data transfer of monitoring devices. |
| 150509 | Base | Installation of Transformer Bushing Monitoring Yarrow TS and MS txmrs-Multi Year -EAST | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 7,885 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project consists of installation of Transformer bushing monitoring system in Central North. Bushing monitoring unit provides real time condition monitoring of the transformer bushings. |
| 150709 | Base | Spare Station Transformer Bushing Conversion from PILC to XLPE West | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 7,848 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | This project involves converting the spare station transformer primary and secondary bushings/compartments from PILC to XLPE in the West Operating Area (former Horizon). The spare transformers are used when a failure occurs on a station transformer. The PILC cable will be replaced with XLPE at the station in order to improve station reliability and worker and equipment safety. The conversion of bushings is critical as primary bushing compartments have ruptured on multiple occasions in 2017 in the West Operating Area - causing oil spills larger than 200 liters. |
| 151313 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (F5) - Steeles - Main - Hwy 407 - McLaughlin, Brampton | - | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 7,751 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 5 outages due to cable failures from 2007 to 2016 with average duration of 80 minutes. |
| 102038 | Base | Installation of Transformer Bushing Monitoring on TS and MS txmrs- Multi Year | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 7,735 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of installation of Transformer bushing monitoring system in East. bushing monitoring unit provides real time condition monitoring of the transformer bushings. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 150785 | M-Factor | New WiMAX Communications System - West | 0.5 | - | - | - | - | 0.5 | 7,655 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project is to provide WiMax for West for secure data transfer of monitoring device. |
| 151349 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V16) - Langstaff - Dufferin - Steeles - Jane, Vaughan | - | 0.9 | - | - | - | 0.9 | 7,572 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 23 failures. |
| 151352 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M38) - Hwy 7 - Warden - 14th - Woodbine, Markham | - | - | 1.0 | - | - | 1.0 | 7,548 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 18 failures. |
| 150282 | Base | Switchgear Renewal - Central North | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 7,521 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 150508 | Base | Installation of Transformer Bushing Monitoring on MS txmrs-Multi Year -CENTRAL | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | - | 0.7 | 7,509 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of installation of Transformer bushing monitoring system in Central South. bushing monitoring unit provides real time condition monitoring of the transformer bushings. |
| 151062 | Base | Cable Replacement - (279) - 201 Silvercreek Pky N Subdivision, Gueloh | 0.7 | - | - | - | - | 0.7 | 7,505 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | |
| 151391 | Base | 2023 GUELPH - Remotely Controlled Switches | - | - | - | 0.4 | - | 0.4 | 7,488 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Guelph | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 151361 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V26) - Teston - Keele - Major Mackenzie - Jane, Vaughan | - | - | - | - | 1.2 | 1.2 | 7,473 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 8 failures. |
| 151407 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Glen Erin & Burnhamthorpe (12), Mississauga | - | - | 7.3 | - | - | 7.3 | 7,414 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 10 outages, the length is 22,109 m, and the average cable age is 34 years old. |
| 151325 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (M31) - 14th - Old Kennedy - Steeles - Warden, Markham | - | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 1.2 | 11.7 | 7,412 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 38 failures. |
| 151457 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (V25) - Major Mackenzie - Keele - Rutherford - Jane, Vaughan | - | - | 0.9 | 0.4 | - | 1.3 | 7,410 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2017-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 2 failures. From 2015-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 3 failures. |
| 151339 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BA19) - Letitia - Anne - Edgehill - Ferndale, Barrie | - | - | 7.3 | 4.4 | - | 11.8 | 7,397 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 2 failures in 2018. |
| 150370 | M-Factor | New build - 27.6kV New Feeders Lakeview Development, Mississauga | - | - | - | 1.9 | - | 1.9 | 7,391 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Enersource | Two 27.6kV feeders are to be extended in order to provide for additional capacity in the Lakeview area for the brownfield development and intesificiation |
| 151316 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (H2) - Wanless - Heart Lake - Bovaird - Kennedy, Brampton | - | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | - | 0.9 | 7,371 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 4 outages due to cable failures from 2009 to 2017 with average duration of 107 minutes. |
| 151121 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V43) - Hwy 7 and Pine Valley Dr, Vaughan | 1.0 | - | - | - | - | 1.0 | 7,357 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2015-2016 customers in this area experienced 3 failures. |
| 150284 | Base | Pole Renewal - Central North | 0.9 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 11.7 | 7,305 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | This project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |
| 150484 | Base | 25M8 27.6kV Feeder Extension Heritage Rd, South of Embleton Rd | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | 0.4 | 7,282 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Brampton | This project consists of 25M8 feeder extension to Hertitage road to supply new developments |
| 102017 | Base | Sorbweb Oil Containment Systems - 4 Transformers -Multiyear initiative-North & TS | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 7,275 | System Service | Safety & Security | Powerstream | This project consists of Sorbweb Oil containment system in East. Protects the environment in the event of a transformer tank rupture |
| 100924 | M-Factor | Install two additional 27.6 kV ccts on Hwy 7 from Jane St to Weston Rd | - | - | - | 2.6 | - | 2.6 | 7,256 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is to reroute two 27.6kV feeders (21M3 & 21M4) to supply new load in Vaughan Metro Center (VMC). Vaughan Metro Center (VMC) is supplied by two feeders 21M5 and 21M11 from VTS2. There is limited capacity on VTS2 left before it reaches the 10 day Limited Time Rating (LTR) of 153 MW. VTS4 was completed in 2017 and is in service and will off load VTS2 so that will have extra capacity to supply new loads in the VMC development (approx. 80MW). |
| 151351 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M32) - Hwy 7 - Main - 14th - Warden, Markham | - | - | 0.9 | - | - | 0.9 | 6,971 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 17 failures. |
| 151392 | Base | 2024 GUELPH - Remotely Controlled Switches | - | - | - | - | 0.4 | 0.4 | 6,957 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Guelph | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 150026 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M43) - John and Woodbine, Markham | 1.0 | - | - | - | - | 1.0 | 6,955 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 0 failures to date. Cable is 38 years old and will be 39 when project starts (2020). |
| 150343 | M-Factor | Bathurst Street Widening | 3.4 | - | - | - | - | 3.4 | 6,905 | System Access | Road Authority | Powerstream | 2020 portion of scope of the Bathurst Street road widening as per requirements of PSWHA. |
| 150021 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V36) - Steeles and Pine Valley, Vaughan | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | 0.6 | 6,822 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2017 customers in this area experienced 9 failures. |
| 150714 | Base | MS Transformer Tank and Radiator Reconditioning- Multi-year initiative - Central | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 6,819 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | This project is intended for corrosion mitigation of station power transformer main tanks and cooling radiators in the Central South Operating Area (former Enersource). It also prevents oil from leaking out of failed tanks and radiators due to corrosion. Preventing the main tank and radiators from corrosion extends the useful life of the unit and improves the reliability to customers. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150713 | Base | MS Transformer Tank and Radiator Reconditioning- Multi-year initiative - East | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 6,819 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project is intended for corrosion mitigation of station power transformer main tanks and cooling radiators in the Central North Operating Area (Brampton). It also prevents oil from leaking out of failed tanks and radiators due to corrosion. Preventing the main tank and radiators from corrosion extends the useful life of the unit and improves the reliability to customers. |
| 150715 | Base | MS Transformer Tank and Radiator Reconditioning- Multi-year initiative - West | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 6,819 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | This project is intended for corrosion mitigation of station power transformer main tanks and cooling radiators in the West Operating Area (former Horizon). It also prevents oil from leaking out of failed tanks and radiators due to corrosion. Preventing the main tank and radiators from corrosion extends the useful life of the unit and improves the reliability to customers. |
| 150644 | Base | Road Authority West (Hamilton) | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 8.7 | 6,689 | System Access | Road Authority | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151355 | Base | Kennedy, Markham | - | - | - | 0.9 | - | 0.9 | 6,566 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 14 failures. |
| 150507 | Base | 230kV TS Transformer Primary Bushing Monitoring Enablement- BPD Elimination - 4 TS Transformers-Multi-year initiative-TS | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | - | 1.7 | 6,521 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to install primary bushing monitoring at TS in EAST |
| 151459 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (V24) - Langstaff - Jane - Rutherford - Keele, Vaughan | - | - | - | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 6,509 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2015-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 0 failures. From 2013-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 2 failures. |
| 150352 | Base | Voltage Conversion - Central MS, Hamilton | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.8 | - | - | 5.0 | 6,498 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 23 outages and had 1,060,300 customer minutes of interruption (75 mins per customer per year). The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. Specifically Central MS is the only station remaining in service with oil breakers which are functionally obsolete with no spare parts readily available. |
| 150468 | Base | Meter to Cash auxiliary systems ongoing upgrades - IT/OT Infrastructure | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 6,495 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Enhancement to CIS (CC&B) Meter to Cash ancillary systems to support process improvement requirements. Ancillary systems but not limited to upgrading FW, MV90, LPSS. These enhancements are considered for the overall customer and organizational benefits. |
| 151418 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Innovator & Courtney Park E (4), Mississauga | - | - | - | 2.9 | - | 2.9 | 6,467 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 7 outages, the length is 7,263 m, and the average cable age is 22 years old. This is a commerical/industrial (3-phase) area. |
| 151310 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (E4) - Queen - McLaughlin - Steeles - Chinguacousy, Brampton | - | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | - | 0.8 | 6,421 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 4 outages due to cable failures from 2008 to 2016 with average duration of 104 minutes. |
| 150357 | Base | New build - 25M9 Extension to Derry Rd, Mississauga | 0.5 | 1.7 | - | - | - | 2.1 | 6,401 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Enersource | This project consists of 25M9 extension into Mississauga to provide additional 27.6KV capacity. It will offload Erindale TS in Mississauga and avoid building Mini-Britannia MS and also provides capacity back to Brampton using a link along Derry Rd. |
| 151044 | Base | Switch Renewal - Central South | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 6,319 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacement units |
| 150065 | Base | Basement Flood Risk Mitigation - Richmond Hill TS#2 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 6,280 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves mitigating the flood risk at the Richmond Hill TS#2 where there is equipment installed in the basement by relocating or repositioning this equipment. This critical equipment includes DC system, AC and DC panels, feeder switches, UPS, ATS located in the basement of this station. Damage to this equipment due to flooding would cause extensive power interruptions to many customers. |
| 103659 | Base | Storm Hardening - Four-Circuit Poles | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 9.9 | 6,255 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | |
| 100459 | Base | New Barrie 20MVA Substation - Harvie - 44 kV Supply | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6,215 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Powerstream | This project consists of 44KV feeder extension to supply for Harvie MS project (101452) |
| 150514 | Base | Sorbweb Oil Containment Systems - 4 Transformers -Multiyear Initiative-WEST | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 6,213 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project consists of Sorbweb Oil containment system in West. Protects the environment in the event of a transformer tank rupture |
| 151336 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BA22) - Sunnidale and Anne, Barrie | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 3.9 | 9.8 | 6,208 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 2 failures in 2019. |
| 151305 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Stone Church - Upper Sherman - Rymal - Upper Wellington | - | - | - | - | 0.7 | 0.7 | 6,019 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 1 failure, or 3 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1989 in this project scope. |
| 151086 | Base | Insulator Replacements | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 5,949 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of non-polymer insulators (Areas are prioritized by insulators showing signs of defects), with modern polymer style insulators, we reduce pole fires and insulator flashovers. this will also drive efficiencies as insulator washing will no longer be required once all non-polymer insulators are replaced. |
| 102128 | M-Factor | Aurora MS6 Expansion | - | 0.8 | 1.1 | - | - | 2.0 | 5,910 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | 12C land is currently supplied by a 13.8kV feeder 5F2. It had a peak of 269A in 2018 and does not have sufficient capacity to supply future growth in the 2C lands. New feeder capacity is required to supply the additional load. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|
| 150066 | Base | Basement Flood Risk Mitigation - Vaughan TS#2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 5,867 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves mitigating the flood risk at the Vaughan T5#2 where there is equipment installed in the basement by relocating or repositioning this equipment. This critical equipment includes DC system, AC and DC panels, feeder switches, UPS, ATS located in the basement of this station. Damage to this equipment due to flooding would cause extensive power interruptions to many customers. |
| 100461 | Base | New Barrie 20MVA Substation - Harvie - 13.8kV Feeder Integration | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5,856 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Powerstream | This project consist of 13.8KV feeder integration for Harvie MS project (101542) |
| 151176 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - MS Argentia distribution feeder(s) | 1.6 | - | - | - | - | 1.6 | 5,705 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 11 outages, the length is 1,587 m, and |
| 150351 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion - Aberdeen MS, Hamilton | - | 2.1 | 1.3 | - | - | 3.3 | 5,675 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 16 outages and had 500,475 minutes of interruption. The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. |
| 151404 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Central Pk E & Miss. Valley (28) | - | 8.4 | - | - | - | 8.4 | 5,611 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 11 outages, the length is 7,100 m, and the average cable age is 42 years old. There are 27 backlot transformers that we are also replacing. |
| 151463 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (F4-G4) - Main - Steeles - Chinguacousy - Queen, Brampton | - | - | - | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 5,560 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | From 2000-2018 customers in this area experienced 86 failures. Exact number of failures in recent years is unknown at this moment. |
| 150637 | Base | Station Switchgear Replacement - MS10 | 2.6 | - | - | - | - | 2.6 | 5,499 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | The existing switchgear at MS10 in Brampton is no longer suitable for service. The main project driver for replacement is the condition of the metal-clad switchgear housing the feeder breakers and bus- tie breakers. The manufacturer no longer supports this type of equipment and the design of the switchgear does not meet Alectra Utilities' current safety standards and presents a potential risk to its employees. An increasing failure risk will have a negative impact on Alectra Utilities' customers, and safety. Additionally, failure of the existing switchgear would warrant emergency replacement resulting in non-budgeted funding requirements and could result in lengthy customer interruptions. |
| 150337 | Base | Switch Renewal - West | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 5,476 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacement units |
| 151055 | Base | Major Tools | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 5,432 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage | Guelph | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150067 | Base | Basement Flood Risk Mitigation - Markham TS#4 | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | - | 0.3 | 5,410 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves mitigating the flood risk at the Markham TS#4 where there is equipment installed in the basement by relocating or repositioning this equipment. This critical equipment includes DC system, AC and DC panels, feeder switches, UPS, ATS located in the basement of this station. Damage to this equipment due to flooding would cause extensive power interruptions to many customers. |
| 150598 | Base | Suite Metering - Renewals & Retrofits - PowerStream RZ | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 5,394 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to purchase and install suite metering equipment in existing buildings upgraded from bulk metering. |
| 150515 | Base | Sorbweb Oil Containment Systems - 4 Transformers -Multiyear initiative-CENTRAL | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 5,352 | System Service | Safety & Security | Enersource | This project consists of Sorbweb Oil containment system in Central South. Protects the environment in the event of a transformer tank rupture |
| 150516 | Base | Sorbweb Oil Containment Systems - 4 Transformers -Multiyear initiative-EAST | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 5,352 | System Service | Safety & Security | Brampton | This project consists of Sorbweb Oil containment system in Central North. Protects the environment in the event of a transformer tank rupture |
| 150744 | Base | John Street underground parking renewal | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 5,343 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Horizon | Repairs to the undergrown parking level that is in poor condition impacting builsing system below that support the building operations and craeting saftey risks. The building was built in 1950 and many of the building infrastructure is still original. |
| 150336 | Base | Transformer Renewal - West | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 3.3 | 5,294 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Horizon | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |
| 151306 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Upper Wentworth - Lincoln M. Alexander - Upper Ottawa - Stone Church | - | - | - | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 5,237 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 2 failures, or 8 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1988 in this project scope. |
| 150750 | Base | Lines Central-North - Major Tools | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 5,234 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Brampton | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 151432 | Base | Cable Injection- 007- AREA 43 & 51- Hurontario & Derry Rd W, Mississauga | - | - | - | - | 1.4 | 1.4 | 5,149 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | There are 3 outages, we are injecting a total of 16,419 m of cable for this project, and the average age of the cable is 28 years. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 150009 | Base | Insulator Renewal - East | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 5,108 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement of non-polymer insulators (Areas are prioritized by insulators showing signs of defects), with modern polymer style insulators, we reduce pole fires and insulator flashovers, this will also drive efficiencies as insulator washing will no longer be required once all non-polymer insulators are replaced. |
| 100909 | M-Factor | Rebuild 27.6 kV pole line for 4 Ccts on Warden Ave from Major Mack to Elgin Mills | - | - | 2.2 | - | - | 2.2 | 4,927 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is the third part of a multiple year project of rerouting two feeders 12M10/12M11 to Markham Future Urban Area. This project provide 40 MVA capacity. |
| 151203 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - Stations South West | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 4,921 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Guelph | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the South West Operating Area (Guelph) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have sparse readily available for der to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |
| 102042 | Base | Purchase and Installation of Animal Guards at Various Stations- Annual Multi-year initiative-North & TS | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 4,894 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of animal guard installation in East |
| 150716 | M-Factor | New build - 42M69 Feeder Extension Williams Pkwy - Main St to Kennedy Rd, Brampton | - | - | 1.1 | - | - | 1.1 | 4,866 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Brampton | 27.6kV UG Feeder Extension along Williams Pkwy from Main St to Kennedy Rd OH to meet contingency conditions |
| 150605 | M-Factor | Residential "ICON F" Meter Replacement - PowerStream RZ | 3.0 | 4.2 | - | - | - | 7.3 | 4,853 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to replace Icon-F smart meters with secure encryption, last gasp and tamper detection capabilities. |
| 151403 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Montevideo & Battleford (19b), Mississauga | - | 1.7 | - | - | - | 1.7 | 4,849 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 12 outages, the length is 5,013 m, and the average cable age is 39 years old. |
| 151359 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M16) - Major Mackenzie - 9th - 16th - Hwy 48, Markham | - | - | - | 0.7 | - | 0.7 | 4,782 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 7 failures. |
| 151464 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (F3-G3-H3) - Phase 2, Brampton | - | - | 0.4 | 0.5 | - | 0.8 | 4,697 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | From 2000-2018 customers in this area experienced 103 failures. Exact number of failures in recent years is unknown at this moment. |
| 151328 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- (21a) Darcel & Brandon Gate, Mississauga | - | 1.4 | - | - | - | 1.4 | 4,689 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 6 outages, the length is 4,087 m, and the average cable age is 46 years old. |
| 151083 | Base | Switchgear Renewal - West | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2.2 | 4,684 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 151010 | Base | Switch Renewal - Central North | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 3.3 | 4,604 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacement units |
| 150720 | Base | Lines Central-South - Major Tools | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 4,591 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Enersource | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 151461 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (V51) - Langstaff - Kipling - Hwy 7 - Hwy 27, Vaughan | - | - | - | - | 0.7 | 0.7 | 4,537 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2017-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 1 failure. From 2015-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 5 failures. |
| 151022 | M-Factor | New Three Sector WiMAX Node - MS305 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 4,525 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to install three sector WiMax node at MS305. The node would provide last mile broadband connectivity to field devices such as intelligent overhead reclosers and padmounted switchgears, FIT monitoring data concentrators and Ethernet enabled revenue meters. The node would provide Transfer Trip capability to FIT generators. |
| 151420 | Base | Cable Replacement Project-Eglinton & Credit Valley (5), Mississauga | - | - | - | 10.2 | - | 10.2 | 4,486 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 6 outages, the length is 27,821 m, and the average cable age is 35 years old. |
| 150411 | M-Factor | 42M64 Feeder Extension Mississauga Rd, Williams Pkwy to Queen / Embleton | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 4,471 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Brampton | 42M64 Feeder Extension from Mississauga Rd from Queen St. to Embleton Rd to supply new developments on Embleton and provide relief to the existing feeder 25M8 |
| 151433 | Base | Cable Injection- 008- AREA46 - Glen Erin & Aquitane, Mississauga | 0.6 | 0.6 | - | - | - | 1.2 | 4,471 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | There are 6 outages, we are injecting a total of 19,827 m of cable for this project, and the average age of the cable is 33 years. |
| 150677 | Base | Station Switchgear Replacement - Aquitaine MS59 LV1 | 1.7 | - | - | - | - | 1.7 | 4,470 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | The existing LV1 switchgear at Aquitaine MS59 station houses gas circuit breakers that utilize vintage technology to provide short circuit protection against faults. These circuit breakers are technically obsolete and are prone to failure. Also the switchgear is non-arc-resistant and poses a potential safety hazard to Alectra personnel and even to the general public who happen to be in the vicinity of the station during a failure event. Failure of the existing switchgear and breakers would warrant emergency replacement resulting in non-budgeted funding requirements and could result in lengthy customer interruptions. Therefore, proactive replacement the existing switchgear and associated equipment is a prudent strategy as the restoration of the system could take 8-10 months due to long equipment lead times in the event of a failure. |
| 151364 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V23) - Hwy 7 - Keele - Langstaff - Jane, Vaughan | - | - | - | - | 0.7 | 0.7 | 4,381 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 2 failures. |
| 151401 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- (21b) Sigsbee & Morning Star, Mississauga | - | 1.0 | - | - | - | 1.0 | 4,296 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 7 outages, the length is 2,956 m, and the average cable age is 46 years old. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 151021 | Base | Insulator Renewal - Central North | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 4,236 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | Replacement of non-polymer insulators (Areas are prioritiezed by insulators showing signs of defects), with modern polymer style insulators, we reduce pole fires and insulator flashovers. this will also drive efficiencies as insulator washing will no longer be required once all non-polymer insulators are replaced. |
| 150536 | Base | Implement database security - IT Infrastructure | - | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 4,195 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | This project will address security risks related to data-at-rest. |
| 150674 | Base | Emerging Customer Initiated Work Central (Brampton) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 4,184 | System Access | Customer Connections | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101763 | Base | Unforeseen Projects Initiated by the customer PS North | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 4,161 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150125 | M-Factor | Aurora MS6 (AMS6) Transformer and Bus Protection Upgrade | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 4,156 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to upgrade transformer and bus protection at Aurora MS6 station from the current electromechnical KBCH relay. |
| 150745 | Base | John Street VAV Updating - Facilities | 0.5 | - | - | - | - | 0.5 | 4,034 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Horizon | Update main building ventilation systems that have surpass end of life and replacement apsrt ca no longer be obatined that support the main Alectra control room, customer services group and communications systesm. |
| 150520 | Base | Security Additions & Enhancements | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 4,024 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | As per Alectra Security roadmap to key IT systems. |
| 150541 | Base | Business Support | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 4,022 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | The project will encompass a variety of sub projects for the purpose of investigating new and emerging technologies. Building a culture of innovation Alectra will need a continued effort in remaining ahead of the trends and implementing new and more efficient ways of doing business. The projects that are stated for this years budget are room Artificial Intelligence and Wearable Technology |
| 150679 | M-Factor | Alectra Drive for the Workplace | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 3,997 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Multiple | Alectra Drive for the Workplace will demonstrate the value of integrating smart electric vehicle (EV) charging system at workplaces into the distribution grid such that mass uptake of electric vehicles can be managed in a safe and reliable manner. The planned investment will help manage the flow of electricity needed to serve the building and EV charging stations, so that electricity costs are minimized for commercial customers while EV drivers have an easy and accessible charging solution. |
| 150320 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion - Dewitt MS , Hamilton | 2.6 | 1.0 | 0.5 | - | - | 4.1 | 3,972 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 12 outages and had 888,434 minutes of interruption. The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. |
| 151348 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M20) - Hwy 7 - Markham - 14th - McCowan, Markham | - | 0.4 | - | - | - | 0.4 | 3,971 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 14 failures. |
| 150358 | M-Factor | New build - QEW Dixie West New OH Circuits, Mississauga | - | - | 1.1 | - | - | 1.1 | 3,907 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Enersource | This project is an to added betterment coordinated with the MTO's |
| 150466 | Base | Atomic Scheduler Upgrade - IT Infrastructure | - | - | 0.3 | - | - | 0.3 | 3,883 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Earth accement to Atomic application scheduler to support process improvement requirements. Atomic is the application scheduler in use at Alectra. This application will be used to support application and report scheduling for both CC&B and JDE. These enhancements are considered for the overall customer and organizational benefits. |
| 150540 | Base | Implement application security - IT Infrastructure | - | - | 0.1 | - | - | 0.1 | 3,861 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Ongoing application security enhancements and additions to meet vendor requirements. |
| 150408 | Base | 42M71 Feeder Egress Salvation Rd, West, Creditview, Wanless | - | 0.4 | - | - | - | 0.4 | 3,769 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Brampton | This project consists of 42M71 feeder egress and ties to 42M61 and 42M43 will provide contingency to circuits nearing planning limits. |
| 151430 | Base | Cable Injection- 005- AREA 38- Bristol & Creditview, Mississauga | - | 0.9 | - | - | - | 0.9 | 3,746 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | There is 1 outage, we are injecting a total of 11,193 m of cable for this project, and the average age of the cable is 32 years |
| 151413 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Rathburn Rd W & Elora Dr (9), | - | - | - | 1.6 | - | 1.6 | 3,744 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 8 outages, the length is 3,270 m, and |
| 150367 | M-Factor | Mini-Orlando MS 27.6kV Land Purchase, Mississauga | - | - | - | - | 2.2 | 2.2 | 3,727 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Enersource | Mini-Orlando MS is situated on leased land in the area of Mavis Road, south of Highway 401, provides capacity for the commercial and indistrial customers in the Heartland area |
| 150043 | M-Factor | Rear Lot Renewal Project - East of Queen St. to Eastern | 2.6 | - | - | - | - | 2.6 | 3,714 | System Renewal | Rear Lot Conversion | Powerstream | This area has had an average of 1.7 outages lasting 9.7hrs per year |
| 102075 | Base | Major repairs, refurbishment, or modifications to | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 3.702 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Switchgear and Switches in stores that repair/refurbishment of the |
| 150521 | Base | switches/switchgear | | 0.2 | - | - | | 0.2 | 3 700 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | units can allow them to be returned to service |
| 150376 | Base | New build - Hamilton South Mountain feeders capacity relief, Hamilton | - | 1.1 | 1.1 | - | - | 2.2 | 3,694 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Horizon | This project is build new feeder to alleviate capacity in South Hamilton and to alleviate on the four feeders which are over the |
| 151411 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Queensway & Mavis (31), Mississauga | - | - | - | 3.6 | - | 3.6 | 3,694 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | pianning limit Since 2005, there have been 8 outages, the length is 8,488 m, and the average cable age is 42 years old. |
| 151319 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (I4) -Queen - Dixie - Steeles - Hwy 410, Brampton | - | - | 0.4 | - | - | 0.4 | 3,650 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 0 outage due to cable failures to date. Cables are 31 years old and will be at the injection eligibility threshold by the time of project execution in 2022. |
| 150415 | Base | 42M65 Feeder Egress Pleasant TS to SG20-1438 | - | 0.5 | - | - | - | 0.5 | 3,641 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Brampton | This project consists of 42M65 feeder egress out of Pleasant TS |
| 150535 | Base | Network Segmentation - IT Infrastructure | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 3,636 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | As per Alectra Security roadmap to key IT systems with focus on patient, compatible for corporate any patients |
| L | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | L | | network segmentation for corporate environments. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|---|
| 151428 | Base | Cable Injection- 002- AREA 30- Eglinton Ave W & Miss Rd, Mississauga | - | 0.6 | 0.6 | - | - | 1.2 | 3,632 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | There are 2 outages, we are injecting a total of 14,541 m of cable for this project, and the average age of the cable is 31 years. |
| 150329 | M-Factor | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Main Street / Unionville / Carlton | - | - | - | - | 2.5 | 2.5 | 3,599 | System Renewal | Rear Lot Conversion | Powerstream | This area has had an average of 1 outages lasting an 1hr 40 minutes per year based on a 3 year average |
| 151276 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (SCH) - Vansickle | - | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 | - | 1.5 | 3,556 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 2 failures, or 11 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1990 in this project scope. |
| 151200 | M-Factor | Alectra Single Platform Website ongoing | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | - | 0.3 | 3,548 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Ongoing enhancements to the Alectra platform to improve functionality for customers |
| 150498 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - Stations Central South | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 3,535 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the Central South Operating Area (former Enersource) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have spares readily available for der to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |
| 150499 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - Stations Central North | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 3,535 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the Central North Operating Area (Brampton) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have spares readily available for der to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |
| 150497 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - Stations West | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 3,535 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the West Operating Area (former Horizon) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have spares readily available for der to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |
| 151304 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Stone Church - Garth - Lincoln M. Alexander | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | 0.6 | 3,492 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 5 failures, or 18 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1986 in this project scope. |
| 150047 | M-Factor | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Royal Orchard - North | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.1 | - | - | 4.0 | 3,478 | System Renewal | Rear Lot Conversion | Powerstream | This area has had an average of 3 failures per year lasting 4 hours per year based on 3 year average. |
| 101542 | M-Factor | New Barrie 20MVA Substation - Harvie | - | - | - | 0.8 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 3,447 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Powerstream | The project entails the purchase of a station site in the vicinity of Harvie Road and Veterans Drive in Barrie and constructing a new 44/13.8kV, 20MVA, 4-feeder municipal substation. |
| 151462 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (G1) - Hwy 410 - Kennedy - Wanless - Main, Brampton | - | 0.3 | 0.2 | - | - | 0.6 | 3,438 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | From 2000-2018 customers in this area experienced 2 failures. Exact number of failures in recent years is unknown at this moment. |
| 150774 | Base | Lines Central-North - Site Restorations | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | 0.4 | 3,434 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | |
| 150721 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (R16) - Major Mackenzie - Bayview - 16th - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | 0.4 | 3,434 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | |
| 150522 | Base | Yonge, Richmond Hill | - | 0.5 | - | - | - | 0.3 | 2 205 | System Renewal | Information Tachaology | Multiple | As per Alextra Security readman to key IT systems |
| 150353 | M-Factor | Truscott Plaza - Additional capacity, Mississauga | - | - | - | - | 1.0 | 1.0 | 3,353 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Enersource | This project is to provide 27.6 KV capacity to supply developments in Truscott areas as the existing 4.16kV does not provide service |
| 150672 | Base | Burden Allocation - System Service | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 3,353 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Horizon | 320 |
| 150537 | Base | Cyber Security - Upgrade access management controls | - | 0.3 | - | - | - | 0.3 | 3,321 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | As per Alectra Security roadmap to key IT systems with focus on and user access management controls |
| 150662 | Base | Station Sustainment PI System Expansion for CASCADE CARE | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 3,302 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | End user access management of the PT System to enable more efficient interfaces with other enterprise systems such as OMS and SCADA; Cascade is used to drive maintenance and generate work orders for maintenance of grid equipment |
| 151458 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (V31) - Langstaff - Weston - Rutherford - | - | - | - | 0.6 | - | 0.6 | 3,280 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 1 failure in 2017. |
| 150390 | M-Factor | New build - Waterdown 3rd Feeder, Hamilton | - | 1.7 | - | - | - | 1.7 | 3,248 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Horizon | This project is to alleviate capacity issues in the Waterdown area of Hamilton, primarily served by two Dundas 27.6kV feeders |
| 150263 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - East Left Behind Cable | 1.3 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 1.6 | 10.5 | 3,237 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in these areas have experienced 11 failures. |
| 150345 | Base | Overhead Conductor Replacement - #6 Copper - Feeder WT10 | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | 0.4 | 3,210 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project consists of overhead conductor replacement to mitigate safety risk and provide additional capacity. |
| 151416 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Woodchester & Thorn Lodge (34), Mississaura | - | - | - | 2.4 | - | 2.4 | 3,184 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 7 outages, the length is 6,669 m, and the average cable age is 49 years old |
| 151356 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V44) - Langstaff - Pine Valley - Hwy 7 - Kipling, Vaughan | - | - | - | 0.4 | - | 0.4 | 3,176 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 6 failures. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 150601 | Base | Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) Security Audit - East | 0.1 | - | - | 0.1 | - | 0.1 | 3,171 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to renew meter data security to address emerging cyber threats. |
| 151440 | Base | 2020 GUELPH - Transite Underground Duct Replacement | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 3,168 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of Duct Structure which contains asbestoes a known carcinogen and impacts worker safety. |
| 102065 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - East | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 3,161 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream area) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have spares readily available for order to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |
| 151297 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (HAM) - Govenors - Old Ancaster | - | - | - | - | 1.0 | 1.0 | 3,148 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 3 failures, or 10 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1957 in this project scope. |
| 150611 | Base | Transformer Tank and Radiator Reconditioning- Multi-year initiative East | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 3,117 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project is intended for corrosion mitigation of station power transformer main tanks and cooling radiators in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream). It also prevents oil from leaking out of failed tanks and radiators due to corrosion. Preventing the main tank and radiators from corrosion extends the useful life of the unit and improves the reliability to customers. |
| 150524 | Base | Security Additions & Enhancements | - | - | - | 0.2 | - | 0.2 | 3,110 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | details refer to PWC doc |
| 150623 | Base | Purchase and Installation of Animal Guarding-Annual Multi-year initiative-WEST | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3,095 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of animal guard installation in West |
| 150622 | Base | Purchase and Installation of Animal Guarding-Annual Multi-year initiative-CENTRAL | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3,093 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of installation of Animal guard at Central South Stations |
| 150621 | Base | Purchase and Installation of Animal Guarding-Annual Multi-year initiative-EAST | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3,082 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project consists of installation of Animal guard at East Stations |
| 151317 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (H4) - Queen - Hwy 410 - Steeles - Kennedy, Brampton | - | - | - | 0.4 | - | 0.4 | 3,033 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 2 outages due to cable failures in 2007 and 2012 with average duration of 72 minutes. |
| 151426 | Base | Cable Replacement Project-Southdown & Lakeshore (35), Mississauga | - | - | - | - | 6.8 | 6.8 | 3,020 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 3 outages, the length is 8,126 m, and the average cable age is 48 years old. There are 62 backlot transformers that we are also replacing. |
| 150348 | Base | Overhead Conductor Replacement - #6 Copper - Feeder OT2 | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | 0.4 | 3,018 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project consists of overhead conductor replacement to mitigate safety risk and provide additional capacity |
| 151417 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Rathburn & Cawthra (27), Mississauga | - | - | - | 0.6 | - | 0.6 | 2,968 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 8 outages, the length is 1,103 m, and the average cable age is 38 years old. |
| 150089 | M-Factor | Markham TS#3 T1/T2 "B" Differential Protections Upgrade | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 2,935 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to replace the T1/T2 GEC solid state Transformer "B" Differential Protection relays at Markham TS#3 with new relays having fault recording capabilities |
| 100632 | M-Factor | 27.6 kV Pole Line on 14th Ave from Hwy 48 to 9th Line | - | - | - | 2.0 | - | 2.0 | 2,933 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project consists of building new 27.6 KV pole line on 14th Avenue from HWY 48 to 9th Line. Feeders in this area are over the planning limit and existing load over planning limit and this project will redirected to alternate supply as well as supply new development. |
| 101036 | M-Factor | Install a new 4 ccts CNR yard overhead crossing on the south side of Hwy 7 | - | - | 1.4 | - | - | 1.4 | 2,919 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is to increase supply capacity to Vaughan Metro Center and Vaughan Mills. It will also reduce outage impact in case of pole failure on the north side of Hwy 7 crossing CN yard. |
| 151393 | Base | 2021 GUELPH - Transite Underground Duct Replacement | - | 0.3 | - | - | - | 0.3 | 2,918 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of Duct Structure which contains asbestoes a known carcinogen and impacts worker safety |
| 151208 | Base | Station Equipment Temperature Monitoring - South West | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 2,911 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Guelph | MULTI ANSWER |
| 150699 | Base | Station Switchgear Replacement - Shawson MS43 LV1 | 1.1 | - | - | - | - | 1.1 | 2,878 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | The existing LV1 switchgear at Shawson MS43 station houses gas circuit breakers that utilize vintage technology to provide short circuit protection against faults. These circuit breakers are technically obsolete and are prone to failure. Also the switchgear is non-arc-resistant and poses a potential safety hazard to Alectra personnel and even to the general public who happen to be in the vicinity of the station during a failure event. Failure of the existing equipment would warrant emergency replacement resulting in non- budgeted funding requirements and could result in lengthy customer interruptions. Therefore, procedive replacement of the existing switchgear and associated equipment is a prudent strategy as the restoration of the system could take 8-10 months due to long equipment lead times in the event of a failure. |
| 151286 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (H2) - Wanless - Heart Lake - Bovaird Kennedy, Brampton | - | 0.4 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 3.7 | 2,868 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 6 outages due to cable failures from 2011 to 2017 with an average duration of 126 minutes |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|------------------|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|---|
| 101625 | Base | Cyber Security Audit & Upgrades | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 2,865 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | This project would fund various activities and countermeasures to improve the cyber security posture of the Operations' Network. This will be a mandate for compliance to Ontario Cyber Security framework. The counter measures include: - an annual audit of the cyber security countermeasures in place; - implementation of new technologies to seal identified compromises; - replacement of deployed cyber security equipment deemed end of life or not able to deliver an expected level of service. |
| 150783 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Pick ups | 0.5 | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.7 | 2,848 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150525 | Base | Security Additions & Enhancements | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 0.3 | 2,842 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | details refer to PWC doc |
| 150671 | Base | Burden Allocation - System Renewal | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 9.0 | 2,831 | System Renewal | Other System Renewal | Horizon | Since 2005 there have been 4 outages the length is 1 325 m and |
| 151107 | Base M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - 7143 Main Feeder 136M6 Goreway TS Extensions | - | - | - 1.0 | - | - | 0.9 | 2,822 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal Capacity (Lines) | Enersource Brampton | This feeder will supply all future residential & small commercial loads around the Gore RA, Ebenezer RA, & Castlemore RA, 136M6 will off load 136M4 on the south section of the area mentioned. 136M6 will off load and provide contingency for 136M4 on the south section of this area. |
| 150632 | Base | AMI Gatekeeper Expansion - Brampton BZ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 2.820 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to expand and upgrade AMI field communication |
| 151422 | Base | Cable Replacement Project-Queen St W & Paisley (30), | _ | _ | _ | _ | 15 | 15 | 2 820 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 6 outages, the length is 3,992 m, and |
| 101422 | Dase | Mississauga | | | | | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2,020 | System Kenewar | Underground Asset hene war | Ellersource | the average cable age is 36 years old. |
| 150141 | Base | Markham | 2.9 | - | - | - | - | 2.9 | 2,807 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | 37 years old and will be 38 when project starts (2020). |
| 150572 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (J4) - Queen - Clark - Bramalea - Kensington - Knightsbridge, Brampton | 1.1 | - | - | - | - | 1.1 | 2,794 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 9 outages due to cable failures from 2007 to 2017 with average duration of 127 minutes. |
| 151311 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (E5) - Steeles - Mclaughlin - Hwy 407 - Chinguacousy, Brampton | - | - | 0.3 | - | - | 0.3 | 2,785 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 2 outages due to cable failures in 2007 and 2012 with average duration of 70 minutes |
| 151365 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V02) - Atkinson and Worth, Vaughan | - | - | - | - | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2,765 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 1 failure. |
| 151394 | Base | 2022 GUELPH - Transite Underground Duct Replacement | - | - | 0.3 | - | - | 0.3 | 2,683 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of Duct Structure which contains asbestoes a known |
| 151144 | Base | Cable Replacement Project and Transformers Replacement - Rathburn Rd. W, Mississauga | 1.2 | - | - | - | - | 1.2 | 2,658 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | This project is split into 3 phases. 2 phases are being completed in 2019, the duct structure for third phase is expected to be completed in 2019 and the final phase is will be done in 2020. |
| 151346 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M45) - Hwy 7 and Woodbine, Markham | - | 0.3 | - | - | - | 0.3 | 2,650 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 9 failures. |
| 150666 | M-Factor | Facilities_John_Roof Deck - Rooftop Renovation | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | 0.4 | 2,610 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Horizon | Removal of cooling tower, chillers and lines that contain R-22 refrigerant (hazardous substance), replace emergency fire exit pathway on the roof from the Hughson St to the John St buildings to ensure employee safety and meet with City Bylaws. Repair roof as a result of the equipment removals. |
| 150079 | M-Factor | Markham TS#1 T1/T2 "B" Overcurrent Protections and HMI Upgrade | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | - | - | 0.3 | 2,596 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to upgrade Markham TS#1 T1/T2 "B" Overcurrent Protections with fault recording ability and HMI Upgrade |
| 151340 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (V29) - Hwy 7 - Jane - Steeles - Weston, Vaughan | - | - | - | - | 4.3 | 4.3 | 2,594 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 5 failures. |
| 150374 | M-Factor | New build - 13.8kV Feeder Extension 9th Line, Derry to Argentia, Mississauga | - | - | - | 1.2 | - | 1.2 | 2,571 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Enersource | 13.8kV OH feeder extension 9th Line from Derry Rd W to Argentia Rd in Mississauga. This will provide additional capacity for growth along 9th Line. |
| 151427 | Base | Cable Injection- 001- AREA 11- Truscott & Southdown, Mississauga | - | 0.4 | 1.3 | - | - | 1.7 | 2,550 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | There are 2 outages, we are injecting a total of 21,576 m of cable for this project, and the average age of the cable is 32 years. |
| 150332 | M-Factor | Non-Wires Alternative Pilot | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 4.0 | 2,537 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Powerstream | The project will provide customers with increased flexibility to make decisions about their electricity consumption, generation, and costs; it leads to more efficient integration of DERs which yields greater benefits to customer, system reliability, and power quality. This project will also provide the opportunity to increase operational efficiency and improved asset management to enhance service to customer and defer and/or reduce infrastructure investment needs in York Region. |
| 151042 | Base | Markham TS1 Firewall Upgrade | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 2,531 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | Markham TS1 Firewall Upgrade |
| 150681 | Base | Data Analytics - IT/OT Infrastructure | 0.3 | 0.4 | - | - | - | 0.7 | 2,528 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | This project will develop a data analytics platform to provide enhanced customer value by implementing preventative measures to improve overall outage detection and prediction with use-cases focusing on Distributed Energy Resource (DER) monitoring, integration and optimization. |
| 151421 | Base | Cable Replacement Project-Rathkeale Rd & Edenrose St (6), Mississauga | - | - | - | | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2,502 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 6 outages, the length is 8,577 m, and the average cable age is 31 years old. |
| 150580 | Base | West Region Tools and Test Equipment | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 2,494 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Horizon | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150627 | Base | Station Equipment Temperature Monitoring-EAST | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 2,479 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project consists of Station Temperature Monitoring system in Central North and it enables controlled emergency loading beyond the nameplate rating. |
| 150629 | Base | Station Equipment Temperature Monitoring-WEST | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 2,479 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of Station Temperature Monitoring system in West and it enables controlled emergency loading beyond the nameplate rating. |
| 150095 | M-Factor | Vaughan TS#1 T1/T2 "B" Differential Protections Upgrade | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | • | 0.2 | 2,478 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to upgrade the current T1/T2 ASEA solid state Transformer "B" Differential Protection relays at Vaughan TS#1 with new relays having fault recording capabilities |
| 151202 | Base | Purchase of Major Station Tools - Multi-year | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2,473 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Guelph | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 151342 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M40) - Major Mackenzie - Warden - 16th - Woodbine, Markham | - | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 2,470 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 16 failures. |
| 151419 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Thomas St & Hillside (24), Mississauga | - | - | - | 1.8 | - | 1.8 | 2,467 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 6 outages, the length is 4,089 m, and the average cable age is 30 years old. |
| 151395 | Base | 2023 GUELPH - Transite Underground Duct Replacement | - | - | - | 0.3 | - | 0.3 | 2,461 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of Duct Structure which contains asbestoes a known carcinogen and impacts worker safety. |
| 150625 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools - Muliti Year-CENTRAL | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2,395 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Enersource | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150624 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools - Muliti Year-EAST | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2,395 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Brampton | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150626 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools - Muliti Year-WEST | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2,395 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Horizon | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 151221 | Base | Metering - AMI Hardware Upgrade | - | 0.4 | 0.3 | - | - | 0.7 | 2,384 | System Access | Metering | Guelph | Multi-year project to expand and upgrade AMI field communication equipment to service new connections, in Guelph RZ. |
| 150707 | Base | Alectra Station Access Harmonization - Abloy CLIQ system expansion-CENTRAL | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 2,376 | System Service | Safety & Security | Enersource | This project consists of upgrade to station access in Central South. Provides added security and risk mitigation for keys that are lost or stolen and provides a system that tracks key and lock cylinder usage as well provides the ability to activate and deactivate keys from an application |
| 150705 | Base | Alectra Station Access Harmonization - Abloy CLIQ system expansion-EAST | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 2,371 | System Service | Safety & Security | Brampton | This project consists of upgrade to station access in Central North. Provides added security and risk mitigation for keys that are lost or stolen and provides a system that tracks key and lock cylinder usage as well provides the ability to activate and deactivate keys from an application |
| 101761 | Base | Unforeseen Projects Initiated by the customer PS South | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 2,365 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151061 | Base | Markham TS2 Firewall Upgrade | 0.0 | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.3 | 2,335 | System Service | Performance | Powerstream | Markham TS2 Firewall Upgrade |
| 151435 | Base | Cable Injection- 010 - Area 56- Derry Rd W & Ninth Line, Mississauga | - | - | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 3.8 | 2,307 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | There are 3 outages, we are injecting a total of 45,837 m of cable for this project, and the average age of the cable is 30 years. |
| 151098 | Base | Station Switchgear Replacement - Battleford MS54 LV1 | 1.3 | - | - | - | - | 1.3 | 2,297 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | The existing LV1 switchgear at Battleford MS54 station houses gas circuit breakers that utilize vintage technology to provide short circuit protection against faults. These circuit breakers are technically obsolete and are prone to failure. Also the switchgear is non-arc-resistant and poses a potential safety hazard to Alectra personnel and even to the general public who happen to be in the vicinity of the station during a failure event. Failure of the existing equipment would warrant emergency replacement resulting in non- budgeted funding requirements and could result in lengthy customer interruptions. Therefore, procedive replacement of the existing switchgear and associated equipment is a prudent strategy as the restoration of the system could take 8-10 months due to long equipment lead times in the event of a failure. |
| 151425 | Base | Cable Replacement Project-Rathburn Rd E & Tomken (10), Mississauga | - | - | - | - | 1.4 | 1.4 | 2,295 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 10 outages, the length is 3,180 m, and the average cable age is 38 years old. |
| 151290 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (I3) - Bovaird - Dixie - Queen - Hwy 410, Brampton | - | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 2.9 | 2,280 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 8 outages due to cable failures from 2007 to 2018 with average duration of 133 minutes. |
| 151347 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V40) - Teston - Weston - Major Mackenzie - Pine Valley, Vaughan | - | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 2,274 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 7 failures. |
| 150084 | M-Factor | Markham TS#2 T1/T2 "B" Differential Protections Upgrade | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 2,270 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to upgrade the T1/T2 GEC solid state Transformer "B" Differential Protection relays at Markham TS#3 with new relays having fault recording capabilities |
| 151396 | Base | 2024 GUELPH - Transite Underground Duct Replacement | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 0.3 | 2,252 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of Duct Structure which contains asbestoes a known carcinogen and impacts worker safety. |
| 151244 | Base | Fault Indicators | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2,243 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Guelph | The scope of this project is to install Fault Indicators in Guelph. The project would include the fault indicators communicating to the existing SCADA and will improve the fault locating and improve SAIDI |
| 150708 | Base | Alectra Station Access Harmonization - Abloy CLIQ system expansion-North&TS | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 2,235 | System Service | Safety & Security | Powerstream | This project consists of upgrade to station access in East. Provides added security and risk mitigation for keys that are lost or stolen and provides a system that tracks key and lock cylinder usage as well provides the ability to activate and deactivate keys from an application |
| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 151281 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (SCH) - Lake - Linwell - Geneva - Scott | - | 1.0 | - | - | - | 1.0 | 2,227 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 6 failures, or 189 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1976 in this project scope. |
| 150542 | Base | Meeting Room Transformation - IT/OT Infrastructure | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 2,223 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | The meeting room transformation project aim to leverage and deliver automation technology for better use of the meeting space; centralized control; consistent experiences across the enterprise; and standard design of the physical space. Efficiencies will be met when meeting rooms can start on time due to the ease of use and collaboration efforts will reduce travel and health and safety concerns. With Alectra being so geographically diverse, it imperative to keep the employees off the road as much as possible to reduce the risk of injury. |
| 151181 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - Left Behind Cable, Brampton | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 2,163 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | This project is to address cables which were part of a cable injection project but were ultimately not injectable at the time of project execution. These 'left behind' segments must be addressed, if they are left in the system they could cause a failure. Customers would not only experience an outage, but question the utilities work practices as we would have addressed only a portion of the cables instead of all the cables which could cause an outage. |
| 151070 | Base | Markham TS3 Firewall Upgrade | - | 0.0 | 0.2 | - | - | 0.3 | 2,149 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | Markham TS3 Firewall Upgrade |
| 150321 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion - Galbraith MS, Hamilton | - | 1.0 | 2.3 | - | - | 3.3 | 2,140 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 4 outages and had 77,467 minutes of interruption. The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. |
| 151423 | Base | Cable Replacement Project-Old Carriage Road (33), Mississauga | - | - | - | - | 1.4 | 1.4 | 2,104 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 5 outages, the length is 2,229 m, and the average cable age is 45 years old. |
| 151192 | Base | Asset Register | 0.5 | - | - | - | - | 0.5 | 2,082 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Develop an enterprise asset register application to support Asset Management requirements to manage and track movement of assets, asset demographic, equipment failures, and support asset condition assessments and business case modelling. |
| 151341 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M15) - 16th - Markham - 9th - Hwy 7, Markham | - | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 2,076 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 30 failures. |
| 150096 | M-Factor | Vaughan TS#2 T1/T2 "B" Differential Protections Upgrade | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2,074 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | Vaughan TS#2 T1/T2 "B" Differential Protections Upgrade |
| 151345 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M51) - 14th - Bayview - Steeles - Yonge, Markham | - | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 2,071 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 9 failures. |
| 151343 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M14) - Hwy 7 - Markham - 9th - 14th, Markham | - | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 2,070 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 10 failures. |
| 151338 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- (BA15) - Burton - Huronia - Little - Bayview, Barrie | - | - | - | - | 3.2 | 3.2 | 2,063 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 0 failures to date. Cable is 41 years old and will be 46 when project starts (2024). |
| 150661 | Base | SS2019-Purchase and Installation of Online Transformer tap Changer Filtration Systems - CENTRAL | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 2,056 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of transformer tap changer filtration system in Central South |
| 150663 | Base | Technology Changes in Control Room | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 2,052 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Multiple | Replace aging equipment within the Control room to ensure optimal performance in managing the distribution assets |
| 102728 | Base | Station Switchgear Replacement - Big Bay Point MS304 | 0.2 | 0.8 | - | - | - | 1.1 | 2,051 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This substation project consists of replacing the existing switchgear and associated equipment, as well as the primary circuit switcher at Big Bay Point MS304. The current line-up is technically obsolete, in poor condition, and is prone to failure. A failure could affect a large number of customers and potentially result in complete loss of supply from the station, requiring load transfer to another station. Proactive replacement of the existing equipment is a prudent strategy as system reliability would be greatly affected in the event of a failure. Additionally, the restoration of the system to normal conditions could take 8-10 months due to long equipment lead times. |
| 150675 | Base | Emerging Customer Inititated Work Central (Mississauga) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 2,049 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150558 | Base | OT Hardware Refresh | - | 0.7 | - | - | - | 0.7 | 2,049 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Current routers in PS backbone are limited to 100Mbps ports. Backbone with new hardware is operating at 1gbps. New routers need to operate at that capacity. Equipment in Barrie office is at end of life and hasn't been upgraded since before BHDI merger with PS in 2008. Equipment in Sandalwood is consumer grade unmanaged switches Mavis brocade switches will be nearing end of life. Replace unmanaged Linksys switches in LegHZ datacentres |
| 151329 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (V51) - Langstaff - Kipling - Hwy 7 - Hwy 27, Vaughan | - | 2.2 | - | - | - | 2.2 | 2,049 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 5 failures. |
| 101764 | Base | Road Authority Expenditure PS North | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 7.4 | 2,038 | System Access | Road Authority | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150604 | Base | Smart Meter Network Expansion - PowerStream RZ | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 2,036 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | equipment to service new connections. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 151092 | Base | Distribution Automation - Central South | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 2,014 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Enersource | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 151030 | Base | Addition of Sensors to SCADA Controllable 44kV LISs in Brampton | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 2,013 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project is to add sensors to the 44KV switches in Central North |
| 151068 | Base | Return to Service of Smart Fault Indicators - East | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 1,998 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves making necessary modifications to 50 previously installed SMART Fault Indicators in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream) so as to return them to service. These devices will greatly improve monitoring capability and facilitate prompt decision making during emergency situations, thus reducing outage durations. |
| 150694 | M-Factor | Cityview microgrid enhancements | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1,985 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | The project is to understand how microgrids can be integrated into the distribution grid in a safe and reliable manner. The microgrid is used to evaluate integration and connection to the distribution grid, while reducing the building's load, greenhouse gas emissions, and increasing its resiliency to grid outages. |
| 150538 | Base | Cyber Security Devices Upgrades | - | - | - | 0.6 | - | 0.6 | 1,985 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | This project upgrades security tools to maintain compliance with OEB Cyber Security Framework |
| 151335 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BA14) - Tifffin and Hwy 400, Barrie | - | - | - | 2.7 | - | 2.7 | 1,976 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 0 failures to date. Cable is 43 years old and will be 47 when project starts (2023). |
| 102547 | M-Factor | Two Ccts on Birchmount Rd from ROW to 14th Ave | - | - | 1.6 | - | - | 1.6 | 1,969 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is to build 2 ccts pole line on Birchmount Rd from the Right of Way (ROW) to 14th Ave. This will extend 2 feeders 26M17 and 26M18 to 14th Ave to tie with feeder 22M7/22M8 for reliability |
| 151324 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M3) - Castlemore - Goreway - Queen - Airport, Brampton | - | - | 0.2 | - | - | 0.2 | 1,916 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 1 outage due to cable failure in 2008 with average duration of 61 minutes. The cables are 31 years old and will be at the injection eligibility threshold by the time of project execution in 2022. |
| 151350 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M22) - Major Machenzie - Hwy 48 - 16th - McCowan, Markham | - | - | 0.2 | - | - | 0.2 | 1,915 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 4 failures. |
| 151330 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (A01) - Henderson - Yonge - Bloomington - Bathurst, Aurora | - | 1.8 | - | - | - | 1.8 | 1,905 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 3 failures. |
| 151321 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (J5) - Steeles - Bramalea - Hwy 407 - Dixie Brampton | - | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 1,903 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 0 outage due to cable failures to date. Cables are 31 to 33 years old and will be at the injection eligibility threshold by the time of project execution in 2021. |
| 100268 | Base | Low Voltage Transformer Bushing Replacement - Markham TS#3 - T1/T2 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 1,883 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves replacing end-of-life low-voltage bushings on Markham TS#3 power transformers. Bushing failure would result in environmental risk due to spilled oil and in transformer failure causing an extended power interruption. |
| 100904 | M-Factor | Install Double Cct Pole Line on Major Mackenzie - Hwy 27 to Huntington Rd | - | - | - | 3.7 | - | 3.7 | 1,874 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is to build two 27.6kV ccts on Major Mackenzie - Hwy 27 to Huntington Rd to supply new customers on Major Dr and existing customers. There is one 4.8kV single phase cct on the west half of the section and there is one 27.6kV cct ($1/0$ AL) on the east half the section. They don't have sufficient capacity for future developments in the area. |
| 101013 | Base | Planned Circuit Breaker Replacement Markham TS#3 - E & Z Buses | 0.5 | 0.5 | - | - | - | 1.0 | 1,832 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | The existing 27.6 kV circuit breakers at Markham TS#3 have been identified in the Asset Condition Assessment (ACA) Model as requiring replacement due to obsolesconce and historical failures. Failure of the existing equipment would warrant emergency replacement resulting in non-budgeted funding requirements and could result in lengthy customer interruptions. |
| 151224 | Base | Cable Replacement - (920) - Scottsdale Drive Subdivision, Guelph | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | 0.6 | 1,808 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | |
| 150801 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Bucket Truck 1-286 | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | 0.4 | 1,804 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150670 | Base | SCADA FDIR | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1,799 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Multiple | Fault Detection, Isolation, and Restoration implementation, next generation of distribution automation technology. This utilizes devices which are already automated and integrates them into the OMS system such that devices perform self healing after a fault. in some cases reducing the outage time to less then 1 minute. |
| 150539 | Base | Cyber Security Devices Upgrades | - | - | - | - | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1,789 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | This project upgrades security tools to maintain compliance with OEB Cyber Security Framework |
| 150764 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Bucket Truck 1-285 | - | 0.4 | - | - | - | 0.4 | 1,780 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151323 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (L4) - Queen - Airport - Steeles - Torbram, Brampton | - | - | - | 0.2 | - | 0.2 | 1,746 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 0 outage due to cable failures to date. Cables are 31 years old and will be at the injection eligibility threshold by the time of project execution in 2023. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|--|
| 151283 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (HAM) - Mohawk | - | 0.8 | 0.8 | - | - | 1.6 | 1,740 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 1 failure, or 20 failures per 100km. This area includes the 2D2X feeder which was identified as a Worst Performing Feeder in 2018. The average cable installation year is 1953 in this project scope. |
| 150680 | M-Factor | Alectra Drive at Home | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 2.7 | 1,731 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Multiple | Alectra Drive at Home will demonstrate the value of integrating smart electric vehicle (EV) charging system in multi rise buildings as well as residential homes into the distribution grid such that mass uptake of electric vehicles can be managed in a safe and reliable manner. It will also provide insight into the characteristics of EV Charging, how EVs can be aggregated and controlled to provide the benefit at the local, regional and provincial system levels, and customer response to these control and optimization strategies. |
| 151201 | Base | Purchase of New Critical Spare Parts - Guelph | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1,728 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Guelph | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for stations in the South West Operating Area (Guelph) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |
| 151333 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BA9) - Little - Fairview - Harvie - Ferndale, Barrie | - | - | 1.8 | - | - | 1.8 | 1,718 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2014-2018 customers in this area experienced 6 failures. |
| 150378 | M-Factor | Rear Lot Renewal Project - East of Queen Street/North of Mill Street | - | - | - | 1.8 | - | 1.8 | 1,699 | System Renewal | Rear Lot Conversion | Powerstream | This area has had an average of 1 outage lasting 8.6hrs per year based on 3 a year average |
| 150108 | Base | Low Voltage Transformer Bushing Replacement - Vaughan TS#3 - T1/T2 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 1,677 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves replacing end-of-life low-voltage bushings on Vaughan TS#3 power transformers. Bushing failure would result in environmental risk due to spilled oil and in transformer failure causing an extended power interruption. |
| 150912 | Base | Unit # 362 Palfinger crane truck | - | 0.5 | - | - | - | 0.5 | 1,660 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | |
| 150619 | Base | Purchase of Critical Spare Parts - West | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1,649 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for stations in the West Operating Area (former Horizon) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |
| 150839 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Bucket Truck 1-346 | - | 0.6 | - | - | - | 0.6 | 1,642 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150844 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Bucket Truck 1-270 | - | - | 0.4 | - | - | 0.4 | 1,636 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151295 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (SCH) - Welland - Bunting - Carlton - Cushman | - | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 1,635 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 2 failures, or 48 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1975 in this project scope. |
| 151385 | Base | Cable Replacement - (892) - 30-250 Janefield Ave Subdivision, Guelph | - | 0.7 | - | - | - | 0.7 | 1,630 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | |
| 151136 | Base | C55 Alectra: Optimization of Business Practices | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 1,625 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Optimizing the current software to communicate with other systems such as the ERP will allow for more efficient and effective budgeting processes and consolidation of information allowing for consistent reporting of the information from one system. |
| 150885 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Bucket Truck 1-361 | - | - | 0.4 | - | - | 0.4 | 1,624 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150893 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Digger Derrick 1-342 | - | - | 0.4 | - | - | 0.4 | 1,616 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151137 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - Main Fedeer(s) upgrade - 68F2, 68F4, 68F7, 83F5, 83F3 | 0.8 | - | - | • | - | 0.8 | 1,614 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Only 2 outages on all of the feeders combined. If we can replace it with feeders with 4-5 failures, we can show better reliability analysis. The combined length of these feeders is 3,885 m. |
| 151436 | Base | Cable Injection-011 - Area 58 & 59- Winston Churchill & The Collegeway, Mississauga | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 | - | - | 5.5 | 1,614 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | There are 14 outages, we are injecting a total of 69,795 m of cable for this project, and the average age of the cable is 30 years. |
| 150333 | Base | Overhead Conductor Replacement - #6 Copper - Feeder OT8 | 0.7 | - | - | - | - | 0.7 | 1,611 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project consists of overhead conductor replacement to mitigate safety risk and provide additional capacity. |
| 151331 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (V41) - Stephanie Blvd, Vaughan | - | 1.5 | - | - | - | 1.5 | 1,604 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 2 failures. |
| 151139 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion - MS-12 Hansen Rd, Brampton | - | - | 3.1 | 2.4 | - | 5.5 | 1,599 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 29 outages and had 94.267 minutes of interruption. The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. |
| 151386 | Base | Cable Replacement - (278) - 31 Greengate Rd Subdivision, Guelph | - | - | 0.6 | - | - | 0.6 | 1,596 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | |
| 150902 | Base | Unit # 396 Backhoe replacement | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 1,592 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | |
| 150842 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Bucket Truck 1-365 | - | 0.4 | - | - | - | 0.4 | 1,585 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|--|
| 150642 | Base | Proactive Replacement of Remote Terminal Units - Central North | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 1,582 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project involves replacement of end-of-life remote terminal units and communications equipment at stations in the Central- North Operating Area (Brampton). Replacement equipment can be leveraged to allow for Smart Grid initiatives such as quicker fault detection and automatic isolation and restoration. If existing equipment were to fail, the ability to remotely monitor and control switching devices would be lost, thus risking longer interruptions. |
| 150617 | Base | Purchase of Critical Spare Parts - Central North | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1,569 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for municipal stations in the Central North Operating Area (Brampton) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |
| 151292 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- (K4) - Queen - Torbram - Steeles - Bramalea | - | 1.3 | - | - | - | 1.3 | 1,568 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 0 cable failure to date. Cables are 41 to 50 years old and beyond EUL. |
| 151353 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V41) - Kirby - Weston - Teston - Pine Valley, Vaughan | - | - | 0.1 | - | - | 0.1 | 1,566 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 2 failures. |
| 151332 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BA20) - Bayfield and Simcoe, Barrie | - | - | | - | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1,565 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 0 failures to date. Cable is 43 years old and will be 48 when project starts (2024). |
| 151309 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (E3) - Bovaird - McLaughlin - Queen - Chinguacousy, Brampton | - | - | 0.1 | - | - | 0.1 | 1,560 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 1 outage due to cable failure in 2015 with duration of 86 minutes. |
| 151087 | Base | Switchgear Replacement | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 1,559 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 150618 | Base | Purchase of Critical Spare Parts - Central South | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1,557 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for stations in the Central South Operating Area (former Enersource) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |
| 151354 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V52) - Rutherford - Kipling - Langstaff - Hwy 27, Vaughan | - | - | 0.1 | - | - | 0.1 | 1,553 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 2 failures. |
| 102077 | Base | Major repair, refurbishment, or conversions of distribution transformers | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1,541 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Powerstream | Transformers returned or in stores where repair/refurbishment of the unit can return it to service at a lower cost then purchase of a new unit |
| 150883 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Bucket Truck 1-374 | - | - | 0.4 | - | - | 0.4 | 1,540 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150398 | M-Factor | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Strathcona Dr | - | - | - | - | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1,537 | System Renewal | Rear Lot Conversion | Horizon | This area has had an average of 44 outages lasting 0.35hrs per year based on 3 year average. |
| 150964 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Bucket Truck 1-337 | - | - | | 0.4 | - | 0.4 | 1,531 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150989 | Base | Fleet West_Vehicle Replacement_ Underground Reel Tensioner Truck 1-351 | - | - | | 0.4 | - | 0.4 | 1,519 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150887 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Bucket Truck 1-379 | - | - | - | 0.4 | - | 0.4 | 1,502 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151372 | Base | Cable Replacement - (451) - Country Club Dr Subdivision, Guelph | - | - | 0.4 | - | - | 0.4 | 1,482 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | |
| 150368 | M-Factor | New build - North Central feeders capacity (Carlton TS to Linwell Rd/Lake St) relief, St.Catharines | - | 1.0 | 1.0 | - | - | 2.0 | 1,481 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Horizon | This project is to alleviate capacity issues in the North and Central section of St.Catharines, primarily served by Carlton BY bus feeders which are over the planning limit. |
| 151222 | Base | Concrete Structures | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1,469 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of lids on civil structures to avoid public risk Repairs to building envelop/walls to prevent further damage to the |
| 150763 | Base | Facilities_Reno_Addiscott - Service Centre Upgrades | - | - | 0.1 | - | - | 0.1 | 1,462 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Powerstream | build infrastructure and systems that are supporting critical operational systems such as control rooms, server rooms, customer services groups, etc. |
| 150410 | M-Factor | 42M66 OH Feeder Egress Mississauga Rd, Bovaird to CNR | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 1,458 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Brampton | 42M66 OH Feeder extension from Mississauga Rd from Bovaird Dr. to CNR Tracks to feed new developments in the area of Bovaird drive and Mississauga road. |
| 151408 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Burnhamthorpe & Miss. Road (13), Mississauga | - | - | 2.4 | - | - | 2.4 | 1,429 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 11 outages, the length is 7,311 m, and the average cable age is 30 years old. |
| 151320 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (I5) - Steeles - Dixie - Hwy 407 - Hwy 410, Brampton | - | - | - | 0.1 | - | 0.1 | 1,426 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 0 outage due to cable failures to date. Cables are 31 years old and will be at injection eligibility threshold by the time of project execution in 2023. |
| 150858 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_SUVs | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 1,426 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150334 | Base | Distribution Automation - West | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 3.7 | 1,424 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Horizon | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 151371 | Base | Cable Replacement - (87) - Marksam Rd/ Rhonda Rd Subdivision, Guelph | - | 0.6 | 0.4 | - | - | 1.0 | 1,423 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150889 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_ Digger Derrick 1-333 | - | - | 0.4 | - | - | 0.4 | 1,415 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150019 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M41) - Woodbine and Elgin Mills, Markham | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 1,414 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 0 failures to date. Cable is 40 years old and will be 41 when project starts (2020). |
| 151052 | Base | Emerging Customer Initiated Work - Relocations | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1,412 | System Access | Customer Connections | Guelph | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150941 | Base | Unit # 300 Dump truck replacement | - | - | 0.1 | - | - | 0.1 | 1,400 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Since 2005 there have been 7 outgres, the length is 3 006 m, and |
| 151410 | Base | Cable Replacement Project-Roselle & Priority Cres (2), Mississauga | - | - | 1.0 | - | - | 1.0 | 1,391 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | the average cable age is 43 years old. |
| 151308 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Hollybush - Parkside - Dundas - Spring Creek | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1,389 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 1 failure, or 5 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1988 in this project scope. |
| 151207 | Base | On-line Dissolved Gas Monitoring | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 1,386 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Guelph | This project consists of installation of Online DGA monitoring system at MS in Guelph. Online DGA has proven to be invaluable in diagnosing a potential transformer failure as well as assessing the health of a transformer |
| 150751 | Base | Facilities_East_Capital Replacement Investment Support | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 1,374 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Powerstream | Projects planned to maintain the buildings, assets and systems in a condition that contributes to maintaining efficiencies, business operations and to alleviate pressure on the operating expenditures. Planned expenditures are based on the condition and/or lifecycle of a given building or component/asset and is scheduled for replacement (e.g. condenser, furnace, windows, roofing). |
| 150641 | Base | Replace 230kV Primary Switches - Jim Yarrow TS | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 1,373 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | The primary switches at Jim Yarrow TS are non-standard, have a history of problems, particularly with alignment issues, and have no spare parts on hand. Failure of one of these switches could result in loss of supply to a 125 MVA power transformer. |
| 150965 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Bucket Truck 1-256 | - | - | - | - | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1,371 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150982 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Bucket Truck 1-299 | - | - | - | - | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1,371 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151134 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - Winston Churchill consolidation - 49F6 and 49F4 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 1,358 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | This project is already with design. We are not doing this like-for- like. Miron has completed D10-111-001 for removal of the bad feeders. |
| 151288 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (H4) - Queen - Hwy 410 - Steeles - Kennedy, Brampton | - | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | - | 1.8 | 1,354 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 4 outages due to cable failures from 2009 to 2018 with average duration of 189 minutes. |
| 150349 | Base | Overhead Conductor Replacement - #6 Copper - Feeder WL1 | 0.7 | - | - | - | - | 0.7 | 1,347 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project consists of overhead conductor replacement to mitigate |
| 150830 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Dump Truck_1-330 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 1,345 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151296 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (SCH) - Welland - Bunting - Carlton - Cushman | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 1,345 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 2 failures, or 48 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1989 in this project scope. |
| 151357 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V34) - Kirby - Jane - Teston - Weston, | - | - | - | 0.1 | - | 0.1 | 1,343 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 1 failure. |
| 150335 | Base | Pole Renewal - West | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 13.5 | 1,338 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | This project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |
| 150795 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- 244-08 S Bucket | - | 0.5 | - | - | - | 0.5 | 1,329 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150362 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion - Dufferin St S, between MS431 and Albert St S, Alliston | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | 0.4 | 1,328 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area experienced 11 outages and had 15,031,879 minutes of interruption. The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. |
| 151374 | Base | Cable Replacement - (893) - Janefield Ave Subdivision, Guelph | - | - | - | - | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1,325 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | |
| 151387 | Base | Cable Replacement - (833) - 295 Water St Subdivision, Guelph | - | - | | 0.6 | - | 0.6 | 1,320 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | Customers in this area have experienced 0 failures to date. Cable is |
| 151337 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BA18) - Ferndale and Benson, Barrie | - | - | - | 1.0 | - | 1.0 | 1,318 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | 41 years old and will be 45 when project starts (2023). |
| 151326 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (V44) - Hayhoe - Islington - Hwy 7, Vaughan | - | 0.8 | - | - | - | 0.8 | 1,312 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2016-2019YTD customers in this area experienced 6 failures. |
| 102102 | Base | Enterprise File Synchronization and Sharing Platform | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.1 | 1,308 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Alectra is looking for a secure Enterprise File Synchronization and Sharing solution that will increase productivity of internal and external teams by facilitating seamless collaboration of corporate data anywhere, anytime, and on any device without the restrictions of having to connect to the Alectra network. The overarching goal of this implementation will be to provide a balance between delivering business productivity and securing enterprise information. |
| 151388 | Base | Cable Replacement - (724) - 30 Hadati Rd, Guelph | - | - | - | - | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1,303 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 151291 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (I4) - Queen - Dixie - Steeles - Hwy 410, Brampton | - | - | - | - | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1,302 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 0 cable failure to date. Cables are 41 to 42 years old and beyond EUL. |
| 150963 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Bucket Truck 1-224 | - | - | - | - | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1,299 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150502 | Base | On-Line Dissolved Gas Oil Monitoring of 10 MS Transformers - EAST | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 1,293 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project consists of installation of Online DGA monitoring system at MS in East. Online DGA has proven to be invaluable in diagnosing a potential transformer failure as well as assessing the health of a transformer |
| 150738 | Base | Facilities_West_Capital Replacement Investment Support | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 3.6 | 1,289 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Horizon | Projects planned to maintain the buildings, assets and systems in a condition that contributes to maintaining efficiencies, business operations and to alleviate pressure on the operating expenditures. Planned expenditures are based on the condition and/or lifecycle of a given building or component/asset and is scheduled refraction replacement (e.g. condenser, furnace, windows, roofing). |
| 150330 | M-Factor | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Marsdale, St.Catharines | - | - | - | 1.1 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 1,284 | System Renewal | Rear Lot Conversion | Horizon | This area has had an average of 19 outages lasting 1hr per year based on 3 year average. |
| 150355 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion - Elmwood MS, Hamilton | - | - | - | - | 2.8 | 2.8 | 1,275 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 23 outages and had 251,178 minutes of interruption. The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. |
| 150573 | Base | Oracle ULA Extension | 1.5 | 1.5 | - | - | - | 3.0 | 1,265 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | MULTI ANSWER |
| 151358 | Base | Huntington, Vaughan | - | - | - | 0.1 | - | 0.1 | 1,265 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 1 failure. |
| 150790 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Puller/Tensioner | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | - | 0.6 | 1,265 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150350 | Base | Overhead Conductor Replacement - #6 Copper - Feeder WL4 | 0.8 | - | - | - | - | 0.8 | 1,261 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project consists of overhead conductor replacement to mitigate safety risk and provide additional capacity |
| 151138 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion - MS-2 Church St, Brampton | 3.0 | 1.4 | - | - | - | 4.4 | 1,255 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 11 outages and had 20,372 minutes of interruption. The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. |
| 102241 | Base | Proactive Replacement of Remote Terminal Units - East | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1,240 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves replacement of end-of-life remote terminal units and communications equipment at stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream). Replacement equipment can be leveraged to allow for Smart Grid initiatives such as quicker fault detection and automatic isolation and restoration. If existing equipment were to fail, the ability to remotely monitor and control switching devices would be lost, thus risking longer interruptions. |
| 151183 | Base | OH Rebuild Project - Church Street | 0.8 | - | - | - | - | 0.8 | 1,239 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | This project involves the replacment of 55 poles, 35 which are in very poor and poor condition. Due to the significantly high population of poor poles this area is a candidate for multi-pole line failure under high winds. |
| 150422 | M-Factor | 136M9 Feeder Extension Castlemore Rd, Goreway Dr to McVean Dr | - | - | 0.1 | - | - | 0.1 | 1,233 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Brampton | This project provides 136M9 capacity along Castlemore Dr from Goreway Dr to McVean Dr., and McVean will bring greenfield capacity up to Mayfield Rd to service north east Brampton. 136M9 in future will connect to and provide offloading and contingency for 136M8 and 136M42 which are at approching planning limit. |
| 151146 | Base | Cable Replacement and Transformers Replacement - Project - Folkway, Mississauga | 4.0 | - | - | - | - | 4.0 | 1,229 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 34 outages, the length is 10,432 m |
| 150323 | M-Factor | Station Switchgear Replacement - Bloor MS38 LV1 | - | - | - | - | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1,228 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | Bloor MS is centrally located, supplying a large number of customers, and reliability is very important. This switchgear is non- arc-resistant and poses a potential safety hazard to Alectra personnel and even to the general public who happen to be in the vicinity of the station during a failure event. The circuit breakers that are housed in the switchgear prone to failure and are considered obsolete (no longer supported by the manufacturer and parts are difficult to come by). Obsolescence translates into more frequent and more lengthy maintenance requirements and longer outage duration in the event of a failure. Failure of the existing equipment would warrant emergency replacement resulting in non- budgeted reactive capital expenditure. |
| 150877 | Base | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement S/Bucket 7109 | - | - | 0.5 | - | - | 0.5 | 1,222 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151282 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (SCH) - Weiden | - | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 1,218 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 6 failures, or 962 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1978 in this project scope. |
| 150529 | Base | OT GIS & OMS Software Upgrade | - | - | - | 0.3 | - | 0.3 | 1,210 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Required upgrades to our customer facing GIS and OMS systems to ensure product support and security patches. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150814 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Step Vans 1-279 & 1-281 | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | 0.4 | 1,179 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151064 | Base | Secondary Pedestals -St. Catharines | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1,154 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project involves the replacment of above grade steel secondary pedestals which pose a safety risk to the general public |
| 150044 | M-Factor | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Blake/Kempenfelt | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 1,144 | System Renewal | Rear Lot Conversion | Powerstream | This area has had an average of 0.66 outages lasting 2.2hrs per vear based on a 3 year average. |
| 151312 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (F2) - Wanless - Main - Bovaird - McLaughlin, Brampton | - | - | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 1,140 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 0 cable failure to date. Cables will be at the injection eligibility threshold by the time of project execution in 2022. |
| 150254 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - (A02) - Steeplechase Ave, Aurora | 2.9 | - | - | - | - | 2.9 | 1,133 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 0 outages to date. Cable is 45 years old and beyond EUI |
| 150862 | Base | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement-RBD 57 | - | 0.6 | - | - | - | 0.6 | 1,125 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151322 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (K4) - Queen - Torbram - Steeles - Bramalea, Brampton | - | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 1,125 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 1 outage due to cable failure in 2007. |
| 101125 | Base | Lines Mobile Equipment - IT/OT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1,119 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | This budget covers the deployment of mobile technology - laptops/lield tablets and accessories - within the Lines Department to gain efficiencies in field operations. By deploying this technology, the Lines area can move away from a paper-based to a more electronic environment. In future, it is envisioned that, for example, mobile applications will facilitate the electonic transmission of work orders and electronic record keeping (asset tracking, tailboards, switching orders, timesheets). This will allow for streamlined operational processes and record-keeping (asset tracking, tailboards, switching orders, timesheets). This will allow for streamlined operational processes and record-keeping, thereby supporting corporate technological initiatives such as the GIS, Outage Management System, and future Workforce Management system. At present, all Lines Management personnel and Subformen have laptops, facilitating activities such as viewing of the GIS, electronic Asset Tracking Forms, and use of OMS Responder Mobile. Expenditures in this area will allow for more deployment of field devices among Lines crews and for the implementation of more computerized processes. |
| 150500 | Base | On-Line Dissolved Gas Oil Monitoring of 10 MS Transformers - WEST | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | - | - | 0.4 | 1,117 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of installation of Transformer bushing monitoring system in West |
| 150856 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Dump/Cargo Truck | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 1,115 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150908 | Base | Fleet East Unit # 103 Bucket truck replacement | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 1,107 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151405 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Erin Mills & N.Sheridan (16), Mississauga | - | 1.2 | - | - | - | 1.2 | 1,105 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 8 outages, the length is 3,326 m, and the average cable age is 45 years old. |
| 150139 | Base | Cable Replacement Project – (B19) - Donald St and Simcoe Terrace, Barrie | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | 0.6 | 1,102 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 0 failures to date. Cable is 44 years old and will be 45 when project starts (2020). |
| 150570 | Base | Alectra West Substation Ground Grid Installations | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | - | 1.2 | 1,091 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project is to install ground grid at East Stations |
| 151204 | Base | Upgrade to Station Facilities (Buildings/Civil work) Multi-year | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1,089 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Guelph | Inis project involves work involving structural components of stations, including windows, brickwork, roofs, foundations, drainage, doors, etc. at stations in the SouthWest Operational Area. Not keeping up with this work would result in advanced deterioration resulting in even greater maintenance costs, potential safety concerns and potential failure of the electrical equipment in the building causing power interruptions. |
| 150879 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Pickup 2500 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 1,087 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 103198 | Base | BizTalk Upgrade - IT Infrastructure | - | - | 0.3 | 0.3 | - | 0.5 | 1,085 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Upgrade existing BizTalk to latest version. We currently have a development, test, and Production environment. |
| 151434 | Base | Cable Injection- 009- AREA 54- Highway 401 & Argentia, Mississauga | - | - | - | 2.5 | - | 2.5 | 1,085 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | There are 0 outages, we are injecting a total of 30,642 m of cable for this project, and the average age of the cable is 30 years. |
| 151284 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (E3) - Bovaird - McLaughlin - Queen - Chinguacousy, Brampton | - | - | - | 0.7 | - | 0.7 | 1,082 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 0 cable failure to date. Cables will be at the injection eligibility threshold by the time of project execution in 2023. |
| 151293 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (SCH) - Lakeshore - Stanley - Parnell - Chancery | - | 0.9 | - | - | - | 0.9 | 1,081 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 2 failures, or 55 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1978 in this project scope. |
| 151327 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BR6) - 8th and Dissette, Bradford | - | 0.4 | - | - | - | 0.4 | 1,077 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 6 failures. |
| 150752 | Base | Cityview back up generator replacement | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | 0.4 | 1,072 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Powerstream | |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 150794 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- 229-09 S/Bucket | - | - | 0.5 | - | - | 0.5 | 1,071 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150806 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-207-09 S/bucket | - | - | - | 0.5 | - | 0.5 | 1,069 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151041 | Base | Protection Logic Upgrades - East MSs (North) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | 0.3 | 1,061 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | |
| 151373 | Base | Cable Replacement - (923) - Scottsdale Drive Subdivision, Guelph | - | - | - | 0.8 | - | 0.8 | 1,060 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | |
| 150758 | M-Factor | Facilities_Reno_Staff Relocation from Jane St | - | - | 0.6 | - | - | 0.6 | 1,060 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Brampton | Required renovations to the Cityview building to accommodate employees from various Alectra groups currently at Jane St, reducing the need to continue the office space lease at Jane St. The Jane St office lease expires in 2021 and would not be renewed, reducing operating expenditures. |
| 150859 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Cargo Vans | - | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 1,060 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150865 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Pickups | - | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 1,055 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151406 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Rathburn Rd W & Queenbridge (8), Mississauga | - | 0.3 | - | - | - | 0.3 | 1,053 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 4 outages, the length is 857 m, and the average cable age is 40 years old. |
| 151003 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Pickups | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1,042 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150762 | Base | Facilities_Reno_Patterson - Service Centre Upgrades | - | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 1,036 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Powerstream | Repairs to building envelop/walls to prevent further damage to the build infrastructure and systems that are supporting critical operational systems such as control rooms, server rooms, customer services groups, etc. |
| 100919 | M-Factor | Install 2nd 27.6 kV Cct on Woodbine Ave from Elgin Mills Rd to 19th Ave | - | 0.6 | - | - | - | 0.6 | 1,028 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is to add one additional 27.6kV cct on the existing pole line from Eigin Mills Rd to 19th Ave that has provision for 2nd cct to increase capacity in the 19th and woodbine area as well as the Future Urban area |
| 151157 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Dump/Cargo Truck | - | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 1,025 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150354 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion - Eastmount MS, Hamilton | - | - | - | - | 3.8 | 3.8 | 1,022 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 43 outages and had 2,261.630 minutes of interruption. The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. |
| 150578 | Base | Alectra - Microsoft Software | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | - | 0.3 | 1,021 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Compliance for Alectra employees for Microsoft application suite of products to perform daily operation. |
| 151334 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BA13) - Dunlop and Miller, Barrie | - | 0.4 | - | - | - | 0.4 | 1,010 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 0 failures to date. Cable is 41 years old and will be 43 when project starts (2021). |
| 150776 | Base | Fleet_Central South Equipment Replacement-forklift | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 1,006 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150257 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - (V15) - Jardin Dr, Vaughan | 2.9 | - | - | - | - | 2.9 | 1,004 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2017-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 5 outages. |
| 150937 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Cargo/Passenger Vans. | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.1 | 995 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150392 | Base | Storage Upgrade | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.3 | - | - | 2.3 | 995 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Upgrade on premise Enterprise Storage platform in addition to increased capacity requirements as a result of employee and customer demand for data retention. Off Premise storage costs (SharePoint Online, One Drive, Development, test and production environments) |
| 150916 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Extended Vans | 0.1 | - | - | - | I | 0.1 | 987 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151091 | Base | Switchgear Renewal - Central South | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 18.8 | 982 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 150983 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Pickup truck 1500 | - | - | - | 0.3 | - | 0.3 | 982 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150882 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Pickup 3500 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 973 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and rescond to emercencies. |
| 150380 | M-Factor | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Gunn/Oakley Park/St.Vincent | - | - | - | - | 1.8 | 1.8 | 967 | System Renewal | Rear Lot Conversion | Powerstream | This area has had an average of 1 outage lasting 13hrs per year based on a 3 year average. |
| 150890 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Work van | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 967 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151159 | Base | Fault Indicator Installation and Replacement - Hamilton and St. Catharines | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 966 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of fault indicator installation in West |
| 150628 | Base | Station Equipment Temperature Monitoring-CENTRAL | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 965 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of Station Temperature Monitoring system in Central South and this enables controlled emergency loading beyond the nameplate rating. |
| 150815 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Trailers | - | - | - | 0.1 | - | 0.1 | 961 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150929 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Pickup truck 3500 | - | 0.5 | - | - | - | 0.5 | 957 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151294 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (SCH) - Lakeshore - Stanley - Parnell - Chancery | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 954 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 2 failures, or 55 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1985 in this project scope. |
| 151287 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (SCH) - Bolger - Elma - Dorothy - The Meadows | - | 0.4 | - | - | - | 0.4 | 953 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2018 YID customers in this area experienced 10 failures, or 322 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1976 in this project scope. |
| 150947 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Pickups | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 952 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151065 | Base | Manhole Lid Replacement | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 925 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | Replacement of lids on civil structures to avoid public risk |
| 150911 | Base | Fleet East Unit # 81 Single bucket truck replacement | 0.5 | - | - | - | - | 0.5 | 923 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150900 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Step Vans | - | - | - | 0.4 | - | 0.4 | 918 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and resond to emercencies. |
| 150981 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Pickup truck 2500 | - | - | - | 0.5 | - | 0.5 | 914 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151156 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Step Vans | - | - | 0.4 | - | - | 0.4 | 914 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151280 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (SCH) - Millward - Jeanette Drive - Trevor | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 909 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 2 failures, or 333 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1992 in this project scope. |
| 150361 | M-Factor | Airport 88M5 & 88M7 HONI Purchase | - | - | - | 0.5 | - | 0.5 | 905 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Enersource | Hydro One owns the OH Feeders from Richview TS to the CN rails approximately 6100m away. 88M5 and 88M7 would transfer ownership from HONI to Alectra to reliably supply to airport. |
| 150932 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - SUV | - | - | 0.2 | - | - | 0.2 | 905 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151017 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle_Replacement_Tensioner Trailers | - | - | - | 0.4 | - | 0.4 | 894 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150781 | Base | Fleet_Central South Replacement-Tractor 301-08 | - | - | 0.2 | - | - | 0.2 | 893 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150819 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Cargo Vans 1-347, 1-350, 1- 368, 1-349, 1-110, 1-111, 1-114 | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | 0.4 | 890 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150969 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - SUV/Van | - | - | - | 0.2 | - | 0.2 | 885 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|---|
| 150892 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Van pool vans | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 875 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150317 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion - Deerhurst MS, Hamilton | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.2 | - | - | 7.8 | 869 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 6 outages and had 121,838 minutes of interruption. The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. |
| 151279 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (SCH) - Jacobson - Chestnut - Woodcrest | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 866 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | Customers in this area have experienced 0 underground XLPE cable outages to date. The average cable installation year is 1991. Since this project is in 2024, the cable will now be 8 years over the TUL while simultaneously pushing the boundary of Area 2 as a candidate for injection (see Figure A10 - 8: XLPE Cable by Type in Exhibit 04, Tab 01, Schedule 01, Appendix A10, Page 14) |
| 150974 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Forklift | - | - | 0.2 | - | - | 0.2 | 863 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Asset replacement due to poor conditions and age and lack of parts replacement. Equipment not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies with material handling. |
| 150923 | Base | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement-DBL.Bucket 49 | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | 0.6 | 855 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150943 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Extended Van | - | - | 0.3 | - | - | 0.3 | 850 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150904 | Base | Fleet East Yearly Light and Misc equipment | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 847 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150935 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Pickup truck 1500 | - | - | 0.2 | - | - | 0.2 | 847 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150780 | Base | Fleet_Central South Replacement-DBL>Bucket 234-05 | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | 0.6 | 845 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150698 | Base | Station Switchgear Replacement - City Centre South MS61 LV1 | - | - | - | - | 0.4 | 0.4 | 844 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | City Centre South MS is located centrally adjacent to Square One shopping mall, and feeds a large number of customers. Therefore, reliability is very important. This project involves replacing the existing LV1 13.8 kV switchgear with new arc-rated switchgear and new circuit breakers. It also includes upgrading the transformer protection and replacing end-ol-life feeder geress cables. The existing equipment line-up is technically obsolete, poses safety and environmental risks, and is prone to failure. Proactive replacement of the existing equipment is a prudent strategy as system reliability would be greatly affected in the event of a failure. Additionally, the restoration of the system to normal conditions could take 8-10 months due to long equipment lead times. |
| 150914 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Pickup truck 1500 | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 842 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151285 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (G2) - Wanless - Kennedy - Bovaird - Main, Brampton | - | - | - | - | 0.8 | 0.8 | 841 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 1 outage due to cable failure in 2007 with duration of 82 minutes. The cables are 41 to 43 years old and beyond EUL. |
| 150907 | Base | Fleet East Unit # 361 digger truck | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | 0.6 | 840 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150910 | Base | Fleet East Unit # 91 Single bucket truck replacement | - | 0.5 | - | - | - | 0.5 | 839 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150262 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - (M33) - 16th Avenue and Village Parkway, Markham | - | - | 2.1 | - | - | 2.1 | 835 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2017-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 1 failure. From 2015-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 3 failures. Cable is 42 years old. |
| 150909 | Base | Fleet East Unit # 115 Single bucket truck replacement | - | - | 0.4 | - | - | 0.4 | 835 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150817 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-230-11 S/bucket | - | - | - | 0.5 | - | 0.5 | 830 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|---|
| 150834 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Unit # 500 SUV | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 825 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150835 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement -Unit # 507 SUV | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 825 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150836 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement -Unit # 515 SUV | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 825 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150837 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement -Unit # 522 SUV | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 825 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150838 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement -Unit # 534 SUV | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 825 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150867 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement -Unit # 535 SUV | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 825 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150840 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement -Unit # 536 SUV | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 825 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150841 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement -Unit # 537 SUV | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 825 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150845 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement -Unit # 549 SUV | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 825 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150829 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement -Unit # 553 SUV | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 825 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151149 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Unit 523 SUV | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 825 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150915 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Pickup truck 1500 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 824 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150917 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Passenger Van | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 821 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151163 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_ Passenger Van_1-370 | - | - | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 807 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150746 | Base | Facilities_Central_Capital Replacement Investment Support | - | - | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 807 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Multiple | Projects planned to maintain the buildings, assets and systems in a condition that contributes to maintaining efficiencies, business operations and to alleviate pressure on the operating expenditures. Planned expenditures are based on the condition and/or lifecycle of a given building or component/asset and is scheduled for replacement (e.g. condenser, furnace, windows, roofing). |
| 150913 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Cube Vans | - | - | 0.8 | - | - | 0.8 | 806 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151469 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - (F4-G4) - Main - Steeles - Chinguacousy - Queen, Brampton | - | - | - | - | 1.0 | 1.0 | 804 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | From 2000-2018 customers in this area experienced 86 failures. Exact number of failures in recent years is unknown at this moment. |
| 151466 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - (V24) - Langstaff - Jane - Rutherford - Keele, Vaughan | - | - | - | - | 1.0 | 1.0 | 800 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2015-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 0 failures. From 2013-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 2 failures. |
| 151468 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - (V51) - Langstaff - Kipling - Hwy 7 - Hwy 27, Vaughan | - | - | - | - | 1.0 | 1.0 | 799 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2017-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 1 failure. From 2015-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 5 failures. |
| 150866 | Base | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement -Forklift 178 | - | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 792 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|--|
| 151431 | Base | Cable Injection- 006- AREA 39- Erin Mills Pkway & Thomas St, Mississauga | - | - | - | 1.8 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 786 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | There are 0 outages, we are injecting a total of 38,448 m of cable for this project, and the average age of the cable is 30 years. |
| 150946 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Van pool vans | - | - | 0.1 | - | - | 0.1 | 782 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150805 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-206-09 S/bucket | - | - | 0.5 | - | - | 0.5 | 782 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150939 | Base | Fleet East Unit # 105 Bucket truck replacement | - | - | 0.2 | - | - | 0.2 | 781 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150788 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Arrowboard | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 766 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 101622 | Base | DACS Inverters and RTU's removal - East | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 765 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves removal of obsolete and out-of-service DACs inverters, RTUs and associated wiring at stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream) so as to remove clutter, thus simplifying future work and freeing up space for future station upgrades. |
| 150779 | Base | Fleet_Central South Replacement-DBL.Bucket 228-05 | - | 0.6 | - | - | - | 0.6 | 763 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150864 | Base | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_Loader 175 | - | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 762 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150824 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Pickups. | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 759 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150881 | Base | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement DBL.Bucket 7808 | - | - | - | 0.6 | - | 0.6 | 749 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150765 | Base | Facilities_Reno_Vansickle - Service Centre Upgrades | - | - | - | 0.1 | - | 0.1 | 746 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Horizon | Repairs to building envelop/walls to prevent further damage to the build infrastructure and systems that are supporting critical operational systems such as control rooms, server rooms, customer services groups, etc. |
| 150971 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Pickup truck 2500 | - | - | 0.2 | - | - | 0.2 | 742 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 101632 | Base | Obsolete Revenue Metering Removal from TSs | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 740 | System Service | Safety & Security | Powerstream | Evolution of the distribution system to permit more efficient integration of DERs to yield 18 |
| 150979 | M-Factor | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Extended Vans | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | 738 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150996 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Pickup truck 1500 | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | 729 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151072 | M-Factor | Station Service Transfer Upgrade - Vaughan TS#3 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 726 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves replacing the manual station service transfer switch with an automatic transfer switch. This work will mitigate the risk of loss of the entire station due to DC system failure as occurred in March 2016, thus avoiding another major lengthy power interruption. |
| 150921 | Base | Fleet East Unit # 123 83' Double Bucket | - | 0.6 | - | - | - | 0.6 | 718 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150799 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- Reel Carrier | - | - | 0.3 | - | - | 0.3 | 716 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151178 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - Mason Heights | 0.7 | - | - | - | - | 0.7 | 716 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 5 outages. 1 cable with 4 failures. 2 cables with 2 failures. |
| 150970 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Van pool vans | - | - | - | 0.1 | - | 0.1 | 714 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150922 | Base | Fleet East Unit # 59 digger truck | - | 0.6 | - | - | - | 0.6 | 714 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 151467 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - (V17) - Langstaff - Keele - Rutherford - Dufferin, Vaughan | - | - | - | - | 2.4 | 2.4 | 703 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2017-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 3 failures. From 2015-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 4 failures. |
| 150925 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Cube Vans | - | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 701 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150827 | Base | Fleet_West_Equipment Replacement_Backhoe | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 699 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150869 | Base | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement-Puller/tensioner 194 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 693 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151082 | Base | SCADA Infrastructure | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 688 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Guelph | |
| 150706 | Base | Alectra Station Access Harmonization - Abloy CLIQ system | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 686 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project consists of upgrade to station access in West |
| 151191 | Base | Facilities_Reno_Nebo - Service Centre Upgrades | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 685 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Horizon | Repairs to building envelop/walls to prevent further damage to the build infrastructure and systems that are supporting critical operational systems such as control rooms, server rooms, customer services groups, etc. |
| 151058 | Base | Distribution Transformer Replacements & Upgrades | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 683 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Guelph | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental (.e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (.e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |
| 150719 | Base | Upgrade of JMUX Optical Interfaces - Alectra East SONET Ring | 0.3 | 0.3 | - | - | - | 0.5 | 676 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | |
| 151005 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Cargo Van | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 674 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150669 | Base | Burden Allocation - System Access | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 3.3 | 670 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150377 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion and Rear Lot - Montgomery Dr, Hamilton | - | - | 1.8 | - | - | 1.8 | 661 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | and had 7,403,242 minutes of interruption. This project also addresses rear tot assets which are extremenly difficult to replace if they fail due to complexities of access issues and restricitions for equipment to rebuild the assets. |
| 150894 | Base | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement S/Bucket 3110 | - | - | - | 0.5 | - | 0.5 | 656 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151048 | Base | Station Switchgear Replacement - City Centre North MS47 HV1 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 656 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | City Centre North MS is located centrally adjacent to Square One shopping mall, and feeds a large number of customers. It also provides back up to City Centre South MS – hence, reliability is very important. This substation project consists of replacing the HV1 44 KV switcheger and associated breaker and protection in City Centre North MS47. The current transformer protection device consists of a vintage 1973 Markham Electric oil with spring actuator type 44 kV circuit breaker. Station subject matter experts have identified this breaker as problematic. Parts are no longer available and this equipment is prone to failure. Proactive replacement of the LV switchgear, circuit breakers and protective equipment is a prudent strategy as system reliability would be greatly affected in the event of a failure. Additionally, the restoration of the system to normal conditions could take 8-10 months due to long equipment lead times. |
| 150792 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- RBD 109-07 | - | - | - | 0.6 | - | 0.6 | 653 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150966 | Base | Fleet East Unit # 124 83' Double Bucket | - | - | 0.6 | - | - | 0.6 | 651 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150962 | M-Factor | Fleet East Unit # 61 Digger truck replacement | - | - | - | - | 0.4 | 0.4 | 644 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 102166 | Base | SQL Expansion - IT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 636 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Expand existing SQL infrastructure to meet project demands and natural growth of database. Add system capacity, improve performance, and reduce the risk of downtime due resource constraints. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150818 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-236-10 S/bucket | - | - | - | - | 0.5 | 0.5 | 635 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150980 | M-Factor | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Work Van | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 622 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150942 | M-Factor | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement_S/Bucket | - | - | - | - | 0.5 | 0.5 | 621 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150807 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-209-09 S/bucket | - | - | - | - | 0.5 | 0.5 | 621 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150863 | Base | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement-S/Bucket 70 | - | 0.5 | - | - | - | 0.5 | 620 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150933 | Base | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement Stake Trucks | - | - | 0.3 | - | - | 0.3 | 616 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150203 | Base | Station Equipment Temperature Monitoring-NORTH & TS | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 614 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of Station Temperature Monitoring system in East and it enables controlled emergency loading beyond the nameplate rating. |
| 150945 | M-Factor | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement_Reel Carriers | - | - | - | - | 0.7 | 0.7 | 614 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150803 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- Material Handler | - | - | - | - | 0.6 | 0.6 | 606 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150976 | Base | Fleet East Unit # 365 digger truck | - | - | - | 0.6 | - | 0.6 | 604 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150977 | Base | Fleet East Unit # 366 digger truck | - | - | - | 0.6 | - | 0.6 | 604 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150896 | M-Factor | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement S/Bucket 8910 | - | - | - | - | 0.5 | 0.5 | 596 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150871 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement-Step Van 8108 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 595 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150880 | Base | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement Tractor 7708 | - | - | 0.2 | - | - | 0.2 | 593 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150496 | Base | iPass Project Management – Planned Capital - West | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 3.5 | 589 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | Help in optimizing DER performance and efficiency to improve addressing overall energy needs. |
| 150846 | M-Factor | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Step Vans | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | 0.4 | 589 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150732 | Base | Vault Cover Rehabilitation | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 582 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement of lids on civil structures to avoid public risk |
| 150967 | M-Factor | Fleet East Unit # 125, 83' Double Bucket | - | - | - | 0.7 | - | 0.7 | 580 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150978 | M-Factor | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Cube Vans | - | - | - | - | 0.7 | 0.7 | 580 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150876 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_ Step Vans 6310 | - | 0.3 | - | - | - | 0.3 | 568 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150953 | M-Factor | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement_Trailer 11510 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 566 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 102046 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools - Muliti Year-North & TS | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 565 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 150793 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-210-09 S/bucket | - | 0.5 | - | - | - | 0.5 | 560 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151209 | M-Factor | Station LED Lighting Upgrades - South West | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 556 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of inefficient lighting fixtures and lamps at Guelph stations will result in longer lasting lighting. Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. |
| 151128 | M-Factor | MS Transformer & HV Switchgear Replacement - Western MS36 T1 & HV1 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 554 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | Recent testing has indicated possible mechanical damage to the transformer windings and the HV switchgear has suffered damage due to a prior failure. The station is in a residential area and a transformer failure could result in a fire, posing a risk to the community and collateral damage to other assets in the station, as well as resulting in an extensive power interruption. Failure of the existing equipment would warrant emergency replacement resulting in non-budgeted reactive capital expenditure. |
| 151150 | M-Factor | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - SUV | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 550 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150968 | M-Factor | Fleet East Vehicle replacement Pickup truck 2500 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 549 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151206 | Base | Installation of SWI Video Security System | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 544 | System Service | Safety & Security | Guelph | This project consists of installation of SWI Video security system in SouthWest. The implementation of video monitoring will ensure that station security and safety related issues can be easily identified and addressed immediately |
| 150905 | Base | Fleet East Multi Year Shop tools | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 544 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of tools and shop equipment required to repair and maintain vehicles to ensure vehicle availability to support capital systems projects. |
| 101816 | Base | Alectra East (South), Fault Indicator Installation and Replacement Multi-year initiative | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 542 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is installtion of fault indictor in East - North Region which will result improved outage response, operational efficiency, and reliability |
| 150938 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_Stake Trucks | - | - | - | 0.3 | - | 0.3 | 539 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151085 | M-Factor | Rear Lot Conversions | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 531 | System Renewal | Rear Lot Conversion | Guelph | |
| 101134 | Base | Alectra East (North), Fault Indicator Installation and Replacement Multi-year initiative | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 527 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is installtion of fault indictor in East - South Region which will result improved outage response, operational efficiency, and reliability |
| 150437 | Base | OT GIS & OMS Enhancements | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 520 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Ongoing productivity enhancements to core GIS and OMS platforms to meet internal and customer demand for functionality. |
| 150975 | M-Factor | Fleet East Unit # 75 83' Double Bucket | - | - | - | - | 0.7 | 0.7 | 513 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151179 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - Area of Erin Mills Parkway and South Millway | 0.5 | - | - | - | - | 0.5 | 482 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 4 outages. 1 cable with 4 failures. |
| 150602 | Base | Smart Meter Test Facility - PowerStream RZ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 471 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to expand the capability of the Meter Test Facility to test increasing types of meters and AMI systems before they are placed into production. |
| 150810 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Step Vans | - | - | - | 0.2 | - | 0.2 | 462 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150955 | Base | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement_Arrowboards | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 459 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150798 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- Arrowboard | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 450 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150848 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Compressors | - | - | - | 0.1 | - | 0.1 | 449 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150782 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Step Van | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 439 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|---|
| 150811 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Pick ups | - | - | 0.2 | - | - | 0.2 | 434 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151141 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement and Transformers replacement - Project - Windiammer, Mississauga | 2.7 | - | - | - | - | 2.7 | 432 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | From 2005-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 32 failures. This area has had an average of 2.3 outgoes per year. |
| 102027 | Base | Purchase of Critical Spare Parts - Multiyear - East | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 428 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for transformer and municipal stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |
| 150576 | M-Factor | Split the 1/0 loop on Cityview Blvd into two loops | - | - | 0.5 | - | - | 0.5 | 423 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is to split the 1/0 loop on Cityview Blvd into two loops. As of 2019, there is 18.5MVA connected kVA on the loop: 12MVA on the residential side and 6.5 MVA on the commercial side with 1865 residential and 93 commerical customers. |
| 101781 | Base | iPass Project Management – Planned Capital - East | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 409 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Estimating the effects of DER contribution at the feeder and region levels to further optimize the energy flows between the Utility and its consumers, |
| 150494 | Base | iPass Project Management – Planned Capital - Central-North | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 409 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | Predicting the influence of weather conditions and DER contribution simultaneously on the power grid, for proactively mitigating local outages, |
| 150495 | Base | iPass Project Management – Planned Capital - Central-South | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 409 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | Enable better visibility towards preventive equipment maintenance needs arising due to DER penetration which will further help mitigate outage risks, |
| 150607 | M-Factor | Station LED Lighting Upgrades - Central | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 408 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | Replacement of inefficient lighting fixtures and lamps at stations in the Central Operational Area will result in longer lasting lighting. Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. |
| 150606 | M-Factor | Station LED Lighting Upgrades - EAST | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 408 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | Replacement of inefficient lighting fixtures and lamps at stations in this Operational Area will result in longer lasting lighting. Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. |
| 151013 | M-Factor | Fleet_West_Vehicle_Replacement_Bucket Truck_1-354 | - | - | - | 0.4 | - | 0.4 | 407 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies |
| 151158 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South_Vehicle Replacement -Vans | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 407 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151132 | M-Factor | MS Transformer & HV Switchgear Replacement - Munden MS35 T1 & HV1 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 406 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | Recent testing has indicated that the transformer insulation has deteriorated and lacks a proper foundation and is beginning to lean. The station is in a residential area and a transformer failure could result in a fire, posing a risk to the community and collateral damage to other assets in the station, as well as resulting in an extensive power interruption. Failure of the existing equipment would warrant emergency replacement resulting in non-budgeted reactive capital expenditure. |
| 150786 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-SUV | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 403 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150868 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement-180 Loader | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 400 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150518 | Base | Upgrade to Station Facilities (Building / Civil work) Multi-year - Central | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 399 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | MULTI ANSWER |
| 150517 | Base | Upgrade to Station Facilities (Building / Civil work) Multi-year - West | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 399 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | MULTI ANSWER |
| 151168 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Step Vans | - | - | - | - | 0.7 | 0.7 | 395 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150399 | M-Factor | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Richlieu Dr and Trelawne Dr, St Catharines | - | - | - | 1.3 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 393 | System Renewal | Rear Lot Conversion | Horizon | This area has had an average of 40 outages lasting 1.5hrs per year based on 3 year average. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|--|
| 151212 | M-Factor | Driveway Paving Multi-year initiative - South West | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 391 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Guelph | These driveways at Guelph stations that are covered in gravel require ongoing maintenance for smoothing and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for crawl space under fencing, which presents a safety and security risk. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| 150138 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project – (BA23-BA24) - Cook St and Steel St, Barrie | - | - | - | 1.7 | - | 1.7 | 389 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 0 failures to date. Cable is 43 years old. Project is in 2023 so it will be over EUL by 6 years. |
| 150920 | M-Factor | Fleet East Vehicle addition - Van pool van | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 387 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150831 | M-Factor | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_SUVs_1-268,1-226,1-227 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 376 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150513 | Base | Installation of SWI Video security system at 4 MS stations per year - Annual Multi-year initiative-EAST | 0.2 | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.3 | 372 | System Service | Safety & Security | Brampton | This project consists of installation of SWI Video security system in CentralNorth |
| 151007 | M-Factor | Fleet_West_Vehicle_Replacement_Trailers | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 367 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150608 | M-Factor | Station LED Lighting Upgrades - West | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 359 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | Replacement of inefficient lighting fixtures and lamps at stations in the West Operational Area will result in longer lasting lighting. Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outlages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. |
| 150356 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion - Clarkson Area, Mississauga | - | - | - | - | 2.7 | 2.7 | 359 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 35 outages and had 91 638 minutes of interruption |
| 150951 | M-Factor | Fleet East Vehicle addition - Van pool van | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 345 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 102034 | Base | Upgrade to Station Facilities (Building / Civil work) Multi-year - East | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 340 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | MULTI ANSWER |
| 150854 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Trailers | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | 330 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150813 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-SUV | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 330 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150797 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- SUV | - | - | 0.1 | - | - | 0.1 | 326 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150550 | Base | ServiceNow Expansion - IT Infrastructure | - | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 325 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Building and adding features within ServiceNow we will eliminate outdated processes by automating more tasks and decisions allowing us to be more efficient and productive. Enhancements include the automation of the flow of data between other systems and across programs used by various departments and ServiceNow. Servicebots will be used as ServiceNow learns from past patterns, in order to predict future outcomes, including determining risks, assigning owners, and categorizing work. Learned models set the category of the IT request and assign the task to the right team, as well as calculate associated risk of action or inaction. This capability will improve the speed and efficiency of IT service delivery. |
| 150549 | Base | ServiceNow Expansion - IT Infrastructure | 0.1 | - 0.5 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 323 | General Plant General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Building and adding features within ServiceNow we will eliminate outdated processes by automating more tasks and decisions allowing us to be more efficient and productive. Enhancements include the automation of the flow of data between other systems and across programs used by various departments and ServiceNow. Servicebots will be used as ServiceNow learns from past patterns, in order to predict future outcomes, including determining risks, assigning owners, and categorizing work. Learned models set the category of the IT request and assign the task to the right team, as well as calculate associated risk of action or inaction. This capability will improve the speed and efficiency of IT service delivery. SCADA. Map clean up program to ensure accuracy and safety in |
| | 5430 | or ocada map oleanup | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.5 | 510 | General Hand | mormation reciniology | manupic | the SCADA environment. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150501 | Base | On-Line Dissolved Gas Oil Monitoring of 20 MS Transformers - CENTRAL | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | 313 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of installation of Transformer bushing monitoring system in Central South |
| 150712 | Base | New JMUX Node at AMS4 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 311 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | New JMUX Node at AMS4 |
| 150873 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_Vans | - | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 308 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150741 | Base | Facilities_Replacement_Patterson Road Roof | - | - | - | - | 1.2 | 1.2 | 308 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Powerstream | Building roofs have exceeded the project useful life. Various decaying roof conditions identified need to be addressed to prevent further damage to the building envelope, structure and assets. |
| 150884 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement Pick up 9514 | - | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 299 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 102537 | Base | Insulator Replacement - 44kV Multi year project | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 295 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement of non-polymer insulators (Areas are prioritiezed by insulators showing signs of defects), with modern polymer style insulators, we reduce pole fires and insulator flashovers. this will also drive efficiencies as insulator washing will no longer be required once all non-polymer insulators are replaced. |
| 150100 | Base | Convert Three MS's in Bradford to WiMax Communications | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 294 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of conversion of three Bradford MS to Wimax communication |
| 150531 | Base | OT GIS Hardware Refresh | - | - | - | 0.4 | - | 0.4 | 294 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Hardware platform refresh to support GIS infrastructure. |
| 150101 | Base | Convert Three MS's in Alliston to WiMax Communications | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 294 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of conversion of three Alliston MS to Wimax communication |
| 150610 | M-Factor | Driveway Paving - Various Stations - Multi-year initiative - Central | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 290 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | Existing driveways at stations in the Central Operational Area that are covered in gravel and require ongoing maintenance for smoothing and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for crawl space under fencing, which presents a safety and security risk. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| 150609 | M-Factor | Driveway Paving - Various Stations - Multi-year initiative - East | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 290 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | Existing driveways in this Operational Area that are covered in gravel require ongoing maintenance for smoothing and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for crawl space under fencing, which presents a safety and security risk. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| 150612 | M-Factor | Driveway Paving - Various Stations - Multi-year initiative - West | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 290 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | Existing driveways in the West Operational Area that are covered in gravel require ongoing maintenance for smoothing and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for crawl space under fencing, which presents a safety and security risk. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| 150870 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement-Van 5910 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 289 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150800 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-trailer | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 288 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150796 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- Vans | - | - | 0.2 | - | - | 0.2 | 286 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 150551 | Base | ServiceNow Expansion - IT Infrastructure | - | - | 0.1 | - | - | 0.1 | 279 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Building and adding features within ServiceNow we will eliminate outdated processes by automating more tasks and decisions allowing us to be more efficient and productive. Enhancements include the automation of the flow of data between other systems and across programs used by various departments and ServiceNow. Servicebots will be used as ServiceNow learns from past patterns, in order to predict future outcomes, including determining risks, assigning owners, and categorizing work. Learned models set the category of the IT request tand assign the task to the right team, as well as calculate associated risk of action or inaction. This capability will improve the speed and efficiency of IT service delivery. |
| 150552 | Base | ServiceNow Expansion - IT Infrastructure | - | - | - | 0.1 | - | 0.1 | 258 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Building and adding features within ServiceNow we will eliminate outdated processes by automating more tasks and decisions allowing us to be more efficient and productive. Enhancements include the automation of the flow of data between other systems and across programs used by various departments and ServiceNow. Servicebots will be used as ServiceNow learns from past patterns, in order to predict future outcomes, including determining risks, assigning owners, and categorizing work. Learned models set the category of the IT request tand assign the task to the right team, as well as calculate associated risk of action or inaction. This capability will improve the speed and efficiency of IT service delivery. |
| 151166 | M-Factor | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement pick ups | - | - | - | 0.1 | - | 0.1 | 245 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150812 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Vans | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 240 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 102050 | Base | Various Stations-Station Lighting Upgrade/Retrofit-Energy Efficiency Lighting-initiative Multi-year - East | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 240 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement of inefficient indoor and outdoor lighting fixtures and lamps at stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream) will result in longer lasting lighting. Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. |
| 103028 | Base | Installation of a New JMUX Node at VTS1-T1T2 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 238 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of installation of JMUX node at Vaughan TS1 |
| 151167 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Pick ups | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | 237 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150391 | Base | Wireless LAN Upgrade | 0.5 | - | - | 0.1 | - | 0.6 | 235 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Corporate Wireless LAN upgrade to replace end of life wireless infrastructure in corporate and operation center locations. |
| 150897 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement pick ups | - | - | 0.1 | - | - | 0.1 | 234 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151075 | Base | Fuel Pump Replacement Nebo Rd. | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 234 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Horizon | Replacement of systems that have surpass end of life, are consistently down for repairs and parts are difficult to obtain, impacting fuel of vehicles to support capital systems projects and customer emergencies responses. |
| 150421 | M-Factor | 2D7X Pimlico Dr - Voltage Conversion and Rear Lot | - | - | - | - | 0.6 | 0.6 | 234 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 28 outages and had 805,077 minutes of interruption. This project also addresses rear lot assets which are extrememly difficult to replace if they fail due to complexities of access issues and restricitions for equipment to rebuild the assets. |
| 150853 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Vans | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | 228 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151155 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Pick ups | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 227 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151164 | Base | Fleet_Central South _key box replacement | 0.0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 223 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Adopted the current vehicles key management system at all sites to ensure access to vehicles when required. This ensure access to vehicles after hours and tracking of vehiceli keys. |
| 151038 | Base | Fleet_West_Equipment_Key Boxes | 0.0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 223 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | volution access to vehicles when required. This ensure access to vehicles when required. This ensure access to vehicles after hours and tracking of vehicelikeys. |
| 150843 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Bocat | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 223 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Repracement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150888 | M-Factor | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement SUVs | - | - | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 223 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150961 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Forklift | - | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 220 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Asset replacement due to poor conditions and age and lack of parts replacement. Equipment not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies with material handling. |
| 100319 | M-Factor | Radial Supply Remediation/Conversion - 13.8 kV to 27.6 kV on Miller Ave | - | - | - | 1.5 | - | 1.5 | 208 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 15 outages and had 45,942 minutes of interruption. Customers in this area ona radial supply with no backup, this is atypical of Alectra Utilities customers and needs to be rectified to ensure customers here are receiving service similar to other Alectra Utilities customers. |
| 150891 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement Car | - | - | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 208 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151059 | Base | Station Switchgear Replacement - City Centre North MS47 HV2 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 199 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | City Centre North MS is located centrally adjacent to Square One shopping mail, and feeds a large number of customers. It also provides back up to City Centre South MS – hence, reliability is very important. The current transformer protection device consists of a vintage 1973 Markham Electric oil with spring actuator type 44 kV circuit breaker. Station subject matter expents have identified this breaker as problematic. Additionally, due to obsolescence, it is no longer supported by the manufacturer and parts are difficult to come by. |
| 151145 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - Bough Beeches Blvd. | 0.7 | - | - | - | - | 0.7 | 197 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 5 outages. 3 cables with 3 failures each. |
| 150936 | Base | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement Tower Lights | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 192 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150394 | M-Factor | King St. Voltage Conversion & Loop (LRT Betterment) | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 0.3 | 192 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 5 outages and had 61,455 minutes of interruption. |
| 150686 | Base | Rockwood MS - Station RTU and Protection Relays Upgrade | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 191 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Porformanco | Enersource | This project consists of bus, line and transformer protection at Richmond Hill TS |
| 150802 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- Scissor lift | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 185 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Asset replacement due to poor conditions and age and lack of parts replacement. Equipment not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies with material handling. |
| 150787 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- Van | - | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 183 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150944 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_Trailer | - | - | - | 0.1 | - | 0.1 | 182 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150822 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Arrowboard | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 179 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150326 | Base | Software Asset Management as a Service | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 173 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | The Software Asset Management module of ServiceNow will enable the creation of an accurate, up to date, single system of record for IT infrastructure, both on premises and in public clouds. It will identify IP-enabled configuration items (CIs), map their interdependencies, and populate and maintain them in the ServiceNow Configuration Management Database (CMDB). Software spend across the year will be more predictable, and access to software agreements will be more efficient. Auditing of agreements will be more manageable. The implementation will all better control over the complexity our software assets, allowing us to optimize the use of these assets. |
| 150153 | Base | Bradford MS324-F2 Reconductor - Holland Street | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 169 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is to reconductor MS324/2 feeder to increase capacity and meet coningency requirements. Increase the ampacity of the existing section of 13.8kV feeder along Holland Street by reconductoring the existing 3/0 AI with 336 AI from Langford Drive to Professor Day |
| 150825 | Base | Line & Transformer Protection Migration to DNP - Jim Yarrow TS | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | 0.2 | 164 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This is part of a multi-phase project to upgrade aging and failure- prone protection systems at Jim Yarrow TS to the current protection standards. Proper protection to transmission lines will provide protection to the assets in the case of faults. |
| 150512 | M-Factor | Installation of SWI Video security system at 4 MS stations per year - Annual Multi-year initiative-CENTRAL | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | 164 | System Service | Safety & Security | Enersource | This project consists of installation of SWI Video security system in Central South. The implementation of video monitoring will ensure that station security and safety related issues can be easily identified and addressed immediately |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 150511 | M-Factor | Installation of SWI Video security system at 4 MS stations per year - Annual Multi-year initiative-WEST | = | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | 164 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project consists of installation of SWI Video security system in West. The implementation of video monitoring will ensure that station security and safety related issues can be easily identified and addressed immediately |
| 150519 | M-Factor | Upgrade to Station Facilities (Building / Civil work) MultiYear - East | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 156 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project involves work involving structural components of stations, including windows, brickwork, roots, foundations, drainage, doors, etc. at stations in the East Operational Area. Not keeping up with this work would result in advanced deterioration resulting in even greater maintenance costs, potential safety concerns and potential failure of the electrical equipment in the building causing power interruptions. |
| 151382 | Base | 2022 GUELPH - Fleet | - | - | 0.6 | - | - | 0.6 | 151 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of end of life asset due to poor conditions, high mileage and engine hours and age. Vehicle experiencing more down time at the shop for repairs and maintenance impacting vehicle availability. |
| 150510 | Base | Upgrade/Retrofit SWI Video Security System at Jim Yarrow TS station | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 148 | System Service | Safety & Security | Brampton | This project consists of installation of SWI Video security system at Jim Yarrow TS |
| 103030 | Base | Technology Upgrades Improving the System Control Room Environment | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 148 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | This project will continue to build on the original capital project whose scope was focused on the replacement of computers used as Operator WorkStations. The scope of this program has been expanded to account for all technology purchases required for the Control Room theater. |
| 151438 | Base | 2020 GUELPH - Fleet | 0.7 | - | - | - | - | 0.7 | 146 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of end of life asset due to poor conditions, high mileage and engine hours and age. Vehicle experiencing more down time at the shop for repairs and maintenance impacting vehicle availability. |
| 151108 | Base | Office Equipment | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 144 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Guelph | Replace aging equipment within the Guelph operational centre to ensure optimal performance in managing the distribution assets |
| 150687 | Base | Woodlands MS - Station RTU & Protection Relays Replacement | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 141 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | Woodlands MS - Station RTU & Protection Relays Replacement |
| 151381 | Base | 2021 GUELPH - Fleet | - | 0.7 | - | - | - | 0.7 | 138 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of end of life asset due to poor conditions, high mileage and engine hours and age. Vehicle experiencing more down time at the shop for repairs and maintenance impacting vehicle availability. |
| 151384 | Base | 2024 GUELPH - Fleet | - | - | - | - | 0.6 | 0.6 | 138 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of end of life asset due to poor conditions, high mileage and engine hours and age. Vehicle experiencing more down time at the shop for repairs and maintenance impacting vehicle availability. |
| 150586 | Base | OT SCADA map cleanup | - | - | 0.3 | - | - | 0.3 | 137 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | SCADA Map clean up program to ensure accuracy and safety in the SCADA environment. |
| 151245 | M-Factor | Capacitor Bank Installations | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 135 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Guelph | This project consists of capacitor bank installation to increase power factor, capacity and reduce losses. |
| 150235 | M-Factor | Greenwood Expansion Station Service Supply Backup | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 130 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of providing back up to the station service transformer at Greenwood TS. In the current configurationt the two station transformers are supplied from VTS#1 and a failure on VTS#1 result in removal of both VTS#1 and VTS#1. |
| 150582 | M-Factor | Back-end Automation (Orchestration Tool\Setup) | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | 128 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Automated integration of enterprise system platforms with HR functionality. Increase in productivity related to human resources |
| 102931 | Base | Paving of 3 MS & TS Station Driveways per year - Annual Multi-yea initiative - East | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 125 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | Existing driveways at stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream) are covered in gravel and require orgoing maintenance for smoothing and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for crawl space under fencing, which presents a safety and security risk. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| 151039 | Base | Fleet_West_Equipment_Key Box | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 124 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Adopted the current vehicles key management system at all sites to ensure access to vehicles when required. This ensure access to vehicles after hours and tracking of vehceil keys. |
| 150655 | Base | Maingate MS - Station RTU and Protection Relays Upgrade | - | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 124 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consist of RTU and protection relay upgrade at Maingate MS |
| 150689 | Base | Rogers MS - Station RTU and Protection Relays Upgrade | - | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 124 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of bus, line and transformer protection at Richmond Hill TS |
| 151076 | Base | Fuel Pump Replacement Vansickle Rd. | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 123 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Horizon | Replacement of systems that have surpass end of life, are consistently down for repairs and parts are difficult to obtain, impacting fuel of vehicles to support capital systems projects and customer emergencies responses. |
| 150597 | Base | Lock Box Installs - East | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 116 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to install lock boxes at ICI properties with restricted access to eliminate need for a customer appointment for access. |
| 151143 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement and Transformers Replacement -Project - Shelter Bay Rd. Mississauga | 1.1 | - | - | - | - | 1.1 | 112 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | From 2017-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 6 failures. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 150821 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Van | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 111 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 101487 | M-Factor | Add one Additional 27.6 kV Cct on Major Mack Dr and 9th Line | - | - | - | 1.3 | - | 1.3 | 108 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | There are two ccts 12M1/12M3 on Major Mack Dr east of Hwy 48, but only one cct 12M3 goes all the way to 9th Line. The second cct stops half way and is a radial supply. This project is to establish another tie between two ccts on Major Mack and 9th Line. The purpose is to form a supply loop and a new tie between Buttonville TS and MTS2. |
| 150255 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - (B23) - Cundles Rd and Janine St, Barrie | - | - | - | 1.1 | - | 1.1 | 99 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | |
| 151383 | Base | 2023 GUELPH - Fleet | - | - | - | 0.6 | - | 0.6 | 98 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of end of life asset due to poor conditions, high mileage and engine hours and age. Vehicle experiencing more down time at the shop for repairs and maintenance impacting vehicle availability. |
| 150462 | Base | MV90 Upgrade | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 98 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Enhancement to MV90 systems application to support process improvement requirements. As the MV90 system is one of the core applications of the organization, operational enhancements come in from a number of venues and thereby feedback into the other downstream systems. These enhancements are considered for the overail customer and organizational benefits. |
| 150569 | Base | Server OS Upgrades - IT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | - | 0.4 | 95 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Operating system upgrades to support IT infrastructure. |
| 150737 | Base | Facilities_East Region_Reactive Capital | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 92 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Powerstream | Approved capital times available to address any universe in and unbudgeted asset replacements/demands. Having these funds available for immediate use is critical for Facilities building operations to restore equipment/assets back to normal operations a.s.a.p. |
| 150736 | Base | Facilities_West Region_Reactive Capital | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 92 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Horizon | Approved capital funds available to address any unforeseen and unbudgeted asset replacements/demands. Having these funds available for immediate use is critical for Facilities building operations to restore equipment/assets back to normal operations a.s.a.p. |
| 150734 | Base | Facilities_Central Region_Reactive Capital | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 92 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Multiple | Approved capital funds available to address any unforeseen and unbudgeted asset replacements/demands. Having these funds available for immediate use is critical for Facilities building operations to restore equipment/assets back to normal operations a.s.a.p. |
| 150464 | M-Factor | Fieldworker Upgrade - IT/OT Infrastructure | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 91 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Upgrade to increase functionality for the metering field staff to |
| 151079 | Base | Fleet West Major Equipment & Tools | - | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 91 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Horizon | Replacement of tools and shop equipment required to repair and maintain vehicles to ensure vehicle availability to support capital systems projects. |
| 150958 | M-Factor | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Forklift | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 91 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 101393 | M-Factor | Redundant Fibre Path to Aurora MS#4 Sub-Station | - | - | - | 0.5 | - | 0.5 | 90 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | AMS4 is a major node on the Operations Network, but the fiber optic routes into the AMS4 are not diverse. This major hub is therefore exposed to a single point of failure, and loss of communications to this hub would be very impact. This project is to provide redundant fibre path to Aurora MS to offer increased security and reliability. |
| 150676 | Base | Mobile Devices - IT Infrastructure | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 88 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | |
| 151078 | Base | Fleet West Major Equipment & Tools | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 81 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Horizon | Replacement of tools and snop equipment required to repair and maintain vehicles to ensure vehicle availability to support capital systems projects. |
| 103171 | Base | Implementation of a new Alectra Network Operations Voice Radio System | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 79 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | |
| 150564 | Base | OT SCADA hardware upgrade cycle 4 | - | - | 0.2 | - | - | 0.2 | 75 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Planned hardware updrades for monitors and workstations on enterprise SCADA platform. |
| 151069 | Base | PI Upgrades & Enhancements - IT/OT Infrastructure | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 63 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Partner with OSIsoft (creator of PI) implement next level predictive analytics using AI (artificial intelligence) and ML (machine learning). |
| 151016 | M-Factor | Fleet_West_Vehicle_Replacement_Pickups | = | - | 0.1 | - | - | 0.1 | 56 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151018 | M-Factor | Fleet_West_Vehicle_Replacement_Trailer | - | - | - | 0.1 | - | 0.1 | 50 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 102922 | Base | Installation/Retrofit of SWI Video Security System at 3 TS stations | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 48 | System Service | Safety & Security | Powerstream | This project consists of installation of SWI Video security system at 3 Transformer Station in West |
| 150563 | Base | OT SCADA upgrade cycle 3 | - | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 46 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Planned hardware updrades for monitors and workstations on enterprise SCADA platform. |
| 150906 | Base | Vehicle key box system | 0.0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | 2021 (\$MM) | 2022 (\$MM) | 2023 (\$MM) | 2024 (\$MM) | Total DSP 2020-2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|---|
| 150747 | M-Factor | Net Zero Energy Emissions | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 35 | System Service | Distributed Energy Resources (DER) | Powerstream | The project will help Alectra Utilities to ensure that growing DER challenges are met through building expertise and capability in real time monitoring, integrating and optimizing of DERs in line with customer preferences. This will lead to a system that can safely integrate and optimize value from DERs for the benefit of customers. |
| 151053 | Base | Building Sustainment | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 32 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Guelph | Projects planned to maintain the buildings, assets and systems in a condition that contributes to maintaining efficiencies, business operations and to alleviate pressure on the operating expenditures. Planned expenditures are based on the condition and/or lifecycle of a given building or component/asset and is scheduled for replacement (e.g. condenser, furnace, windows, roofing). |
| 150584 | Base | OT Control room hardware upgrade cycle | - | - | 0.3 | - | - | 0.3 | 27 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Planned hardware updrades for monitors and workstations on enterprise control room platforms |
| 103065 | Base | Upgrade Advanced Distribution Management System (ADMS) to latest version release. | - | - | - | 0.1 | - | 0.1 | 25 | General Plant | Information Technology | Powerstream | |
| 151084 | Base | Shop equipment refurbishment and replacement | - | - | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 23 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | |
| 150878 | M-Factor | Bus & Main Breaker Protections Replacement - Jim Yarrow TS | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 22 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This is part of a multi-phase project to upgrade aging and failure- prone protection systems to the current protection standards. Proper protection to the main bus and transformers will provide protection to the assets in the case of faults when they occur. |
| 150562 | Base | OT Firewall Upgrade | - | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 20 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Hardware upgrade cycle for OT platform. |
| 150704 | Base | Feeder Protection Migration to DNP - Jim Yarrow TS | 0.3 | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.5 | 16 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This is part of a multi-phase project to upgrade aging and failure- prone protection systems at Jim Yarrow TS to the current protection standards. Proper protection to distribution feeders will provide protection to the assets in the case of faults. |
| 151160 | M-Factor | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_ Pole Trailer_1-405 | - | - | - | 0.1 | - | 0.1 | 15 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150581 | Base | Analytics\Monitoring Tool IT infrastrucutre rescources | - | - | - | 0.3 | - | 0.3 | 14 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Gather requirements, determine available products that meet those requirements and RFP. SOW will include POC of chosen products as well as Professional Services to assist with design, build and implementation. |
| 150583 | Base | OT Server Hardware upgrade OMS/SCADA | - | - | - | 0.3 | - | 0.3 | 13 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Hardware refresh for customer facing OMS platform and SCADA architecture . |
| 151031 | Base | Fleet Shop equipment refurbishment and replacement | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 13 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | For the refurbishment of trailers, shop compressors and hoists to extend the life of the assets instead of replacement to reduce the need fro capital expenditures. |
| 150678 | Base | P&C Specific Tools & Testing Equipment - West | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 12 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Enersource | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 102999 | Base | P&C Specific Tools and Testing Equipment | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 12 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 102157 | Base | Server Refresh - IT Infrastructure | 1.0 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 10 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Ongoing server refresh to support applications. |
| 150396 | Base | Network Refresh - IT Infrastructure | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | - | - | 1.1 | 10 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Replacement of existing network infrastructure that is unsupported (or soon to be) including further rationalization and design modifications to support the delivery of services throughout the Alectra Environment. |
| 150547 | M-Factor | Business Support | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | 8 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | The project will encompass a variety of sub projects for the purpose of investigating new and emerging technologies. Building a culture of innovation Alectra will need a continued effort in remaining ahead of the trends and implementing new and more efficient ways of doing buisness. |
| 151029 | M-Factor | Fleet_West_Vehicle_Replacement_Pickups | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|---------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 150404 | Base | Kenilworth TS Power Factor Correction | 0.1 | 184,357 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of capacitor bank installation at Kenilworth TS to improve PF and to comply with IESO market rules. |
| 150725 | Base | Lines Central-North - Reactive Renewal | 1.2 | 181,454 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Brampton | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 150690 | Base | Lines Central-South - Reactive Renewal | 3.1 | 171,818 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Enersource | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 150682 | Base | Remote Fault Indicator Deployment | 0.4 | 164,221 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Multiple | This project consists of remote fault indictor deployment which will result improved outage response, operational efficiency, and reliability |
| 150217 | Base | Build double 27.6kV ccts on Teston Rd and Pine Valley Dr to supply Block 40/47 | 1.4 | 151,265 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is to rebuild existing 8.32 kV poleline on teston road (Pine Valley drive to Weston road) as it does not have sufficent capacity for new developments. |
| 150587 | Base | Kenilworth TS Upgrade | 0.6 | 134,984 | System Access | Transmitter Related Upgrades | Horizon | HONI will be replacing transformers at Kenilworth TS which is at physical end-of-life, as well as switchgear. Alectra Utilities role in the project will be to coordinate planned outages and disconnect and reconnect feeder egress connections |
| 102247 | Base | Interest Capitalization | 1.1 | 104,304 | General Plant | Other General Plant | Powerstream | |
| 150596 | Base | Meter Renewal - all types but Suite - PowerStream RZ | 0.1 | 94,470 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to renew existing residential (except suite) metering equipment. |
| 101871 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East North - Commercial Services | 0.0 | 94,398 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150600 | Base | Firmware Upgrades for Smart Meters - East | 0.0 | 94,363 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to renew residential Smart meter firmware to improve communication performance. |
| 151169 | Base | Central-South - Capitalization of Locates | 0.0 | 94,345 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151174 | Base | West Lines - Capitalization locates | 0.0 | 94,319 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150631 | Base | Transformer Station Metering - Central North | 0.1 | 94,246 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | equipment. |
| 101696 | Base | Final Close out and Inspection. | 0.0 | 94,197 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 103381 | Base | East Lines - Capitalization locates | 0.1 | 94,145 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101870 | Base | Services (New and Opgrades) - Layouts – East South - Commercial | 0.1 | 94,022 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101869 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East North - New Residential | 0.1 | 93,989 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150650 | Base | Replace PCB Risk PT's - Enersource RZ | 0.1 | 93,977 | System Access | Metering | Enersource | Multi-year project to replace metering transformers identified with unacceptable levels of PCB's, to prevent hazardous spills. |
| 101919 | Base | New Services (new and upgrades) - Commercial, Industrial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - NORTH | 0.1 | 93,955 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150647 | Base | Transformer Station Metering - Enersource RZ | 0.1 | 93,884 | System Access | Metering | Enersource | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew wholesale metering equipment. |
| 151049 | Base | Commercial, Industrial, Institutional, Apartment Connections | 0.1 | 93,830 | System Access | Customer Connections | Guelph | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101685 | Base | Subdivision - South Underground Residential Distribution System Final Close out and Inspection. | 0.1 | 93,778 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150457 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – St Catharines | 0.2 | 93,519 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151162 | Base | Non Recoverable replacement of Distribution Equipment due to accident/vandalism | 0.2 | 93,457 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Horizon | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover costs for (i.e. pole hit no vehicle at scene of accicent) |
| 101924 | Base | Mulit Unit Metering for New Buildings NORTH - PowerStream RZ | 0.1 | 93,421 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to purchase and install suite metering in new buildings in northern area of territory. |
| 150588 | Base | New Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra West | 0.3 | 93,266 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101791 | Base | New Services - new and upgrades - COMMERCIAL, INDUSTRIAL, INSTITUTIONAL (ICI) SERVICES - SOUTH | 0.2 | 92,878 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101812 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - LIS | 0.3 | 92,710 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 150754 | Base | Lines Central-North - Non-Recoverable Replacements | 0.3 | 92,705 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Brampton | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover costs for (i.e. pole hit no vehicle at scene of accicent) |
| 150726 | Base | Lines Central-South - Non-Recoverable Replacements | 0.3 | 92,705 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Enersource | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover costs for (i.e. pole hit no vehicle at scene of accicent) |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 101820 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Non-Recoverable Replacement | 0.3 | 92,583 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover costs for (i.e. pole hit no vehicle at scene of accicent) |
| 150389 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – Central North | 0.4 | 92,563 | System Access | Customer Connections | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150651 | Base | C & I Metering - Renewal- Brampton RZ | 0.6 | 92,561 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to renew existing ICI metering equipment. |
| 150386 | Base | New Service (new and upgrades) - Commercial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - Central North | 0.4 | 92,424 | System Access | Customer Connections | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150453 | Base | CIS CC&B Modifications(Regulatory Enhancements) | 0.9 | 92,261 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Enhancements to the CIS (CC&B) application needed to meet any regulatory requirements Such requirements in the past have been Ontario Energy Savings Program (OESP) as well as the Monthly Billing projects. |
| 150649 | Base | Suite Metering - Enersource RZ | 0.5 | 91,838 | System Access | Metering | Enersource | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew suite metering equipment. |
| 150654 | Base | C & I Metering - New Services - Brampton RZ | 0.6 | 91,553 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to purchase and install ICI metering equipment on new services. |
| 150595 | Base | C & I and Wholesale Metering - PowerStream RZ | 0.5 | 91,530 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to purchase, install, and renew ICI and wholesale metering equipment. |
| 101868 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East South - New Residential | 0.4 | 91,488 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101795 | Base | Multi Unit Metering for New Buildings SOUTH - PowerStream RZ | 0.4 | 91,273 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to purchase and install suite metering in new buildings in southern area of territory. |
| 101873 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East North - Residential Upgrades | 0.5 | 91,023 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151051 | Base | System Relocations Road Authorities | 1.0 | 91,002 | System Access | Road Authority | Guelph | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150652 | Base | New Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra Central South | 0.6 | 90,948 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150388 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – Central South | 0.7 | 90,774 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150692 | Base | New Feeder in Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra Central North | 0.9 | 90,609 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Brampton | This expenditure is required to meet the needs of the development community that construct municipal approved residential subdivisions in Alectra Utilities' Central North service territory |
| 150599 | Base | Suite Meter - Reverification - PowerStream RZ | 0.9 | 90,368 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to renew Measurement Canada seal dates on existing suite metering equipment. |
| 150455 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Commercial, Industrial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - St Catharines | 0.9 | 90,201 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150659 | Base | Residential Meters - by Metering - Brampton RZ | 1.0 | 90,096 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew residential metering equipment. Work carried out by Metering. |
| 150456 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – Hamilton | 0.9 | 89,962 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150630 | Base | New Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra Central North | 0.7 | 89,952 | System Access | Customer Connections | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101828 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Recoverable Replacement | 0.7 | 89,766 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover some costs for (i.e. pole hit, vehicle at scene of accicent, Alectra Utilities obtains most of costs (near \$0 impact too budget)) |
| 101896 | Base | New Institutional/Commercial/Industrial Subdivision Development - Alectra East | 0.9 | 89,347 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101872 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East South - Residential Upgrades | 0.7 | 89,345 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101800 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Storm Damage | 1.2 | 86,549 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 151047 | Base | Subdivisions | 0.7 | 85,393 | System Access | Customer Connections | Guelph | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150620 | Base | Metering Renewal - all types - Horizon RZ | 1.9 | 84,064 | System Access | Metering | Horizon | Multi-year project to purchase, install, and renew residential, ICI and wholesale metering equipment. |
| 101808 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Switchgears | 1.8 | 83,304 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 150648 | Base | Metering Renewal - all types but Suite - Enersource RZ | 2.3 | 82,569 | System Access | Metering | Enersource | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew residential (except suite) and ICI metering equipment. |
| 101892 | Base | New Subdivision Development - Secondary Service Lateral - Alectra East | 2.6 | 80,776 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151125 | M-Factor | Connection Cost Recovery Agreement (CCRA) – Midhurst TS – 15th Anniversary True-up | 3.2 | 78,172 | General Plant | Connection and Cost Recovery Agreements | Powerstream | In accordance with the Connection Cost Recovery Agreement, a 15- year true-up is required for Midhurst TS. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150384 | Base | New Service (new and upgrades) - Commercial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - Central South | 3.0 | 77,904 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151124 | M-Factor | Goreway TS Expansion (CCRA) - 10 Yr True-Up Payment, Brampton | 5.6 | 76,026 | General Plant | Connection and Cost Recovery Agreements | Brampton | In accordance with the Connection Cost Recovery Agreement, a 10- year true-up is required for Goreway TS. |
| 150449 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Commercial, Industrial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - Hamilton | 5.0 | 69,328 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151095 | Base | Reactive Restoration | 1.0 | 63,547 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Guelph | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 150465 | Base | LPSS Upgrade - IT Infrastructure | 0.5 | 59,940 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Enhancement to LPSS Alectra market settlement system LPSS is the Alectra settlement system. This is one of the core applications of the organization, operational enhancements come in from a number of venues and thereby feedback into the other downstream systems. These enhancements are considered for the overall customer and organizational benefits. |
| 101824 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Distribution Equipment | 5.2 | 58,190 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 151161 | Base | Joint Use Pole Removal | 0.1 | 57,200 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | This project is for the removal of poles in the field after third party (joint use) attachements have been removed or transferred for projects already closed by Alectra Utilities. |
| 150463 | Base | Customer Self Service Portal Enhancements | 0.9 | 56,341 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Enhancement to CIS (CC&B) self service portal application to support process improvement requirements. The self service portal is the means by which Alecra customers can obtain their customer related information, interact with a CSR, post questions. The enhancements will allow Alectra customers a better experience when interacting with Alectra through the web portal channel. |
| 151050 | Base | Metering - all types - Guelph RZ | 0.6 | 55,986 | System Access | Metering | Guelph | Multi-year project to purchase, install, and renew residential, ICI and wholesale metering equipment in Guelph RZ. |
| 150469 | Base | ERP JD Edwards Enhancements | 2.0 | 55,715 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Allocation of capital funds to provide 3rd party assistance on capital work, along with creating system enhancements to the JD Edwards ERP and supporting Systems. |
| 150325 | Base | CIS CC&B Enhancements | 1.3 | 55,520 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Enhancement to CIS (CC&B) application to support process improvement requirements.As the CIS (CC&B) system is one of the core applications of the organization, operational enhancements come in from a number of venues and thereby feedback into the other downstream systems. These enhancements are considered for the overall customer and organizational benefits. |
| 103637 | Base | GS>50 MIST Meter Multi-year initiative Implementation | 0.6 | 55,000 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to install MIST meters on GS>50 accounts, as required by the Distribution System Code. |
| 101832 | Base | Joint Use Pole Removal | 0.6 | 54,024 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | This project is for the removal of poles in the field after third party (joint use) attachements have been removed or transferred for projects already closed by Alectra Utilities. |
| 150344 | Base | HaLRT_New Lake Feeder for TPSS#8 area capacity | 0.6 | 48,091 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Horizon | The TPSS#8 location has supply constraints on the 13.8kV system. This project is to bring a new feeder out of Lake TS to the area to reinforce the supply and allow for switching to free up capacity to accommodate the new load request. |
| 151236 | Base | Edinburgh Rd (Paisley to Willow) Extension | 0.7 | 48,011 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Guelph | Replace existing pole line to accommodate two 13.8kV circuits in order to provide capacity and contingency for the new developments on Edinburgh Road North and also act as an inter-tie between Campbell TS and Cedar TS |
| 101887 | Base | New Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra East | 9.0 | 44,864 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 100859 | Base | Switchgear Renewal - East | 2.6 | 43,705 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 151089 | Base | Overhead Rebuilds | 1.2 | 39,246 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Guelph | I his project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 101508 | Base | Transformer Renewal - East | 2.2 | 37,474 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Powerstream | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental, (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |
| 101003 | M-Factor | Richmond Hill TS#2 Upgrade Bus, Line & Transformer Protections | 0.1 | 36,020 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project entails upgrade of Bus, Line and Transformer Protection relays at Richmond Hill TS#2 (Lazenby TS#2) with new relays having fault recording capabilities. This project will also address the communications protocol at this station whihch is obsolete and is incompatible with that of the rest of the system. |
| 150673 | Base | Road Authority Central (Mississauga) | 3.7 | 35,001 | System Access | Road Authority | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150134 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V37) - Langstaff and Weston, Vaughan | 1.5 | 34,877 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 20 failures. |
| 150405 | Base | 25M9 Jim Yarrow TS Extensions | 0.3 | 33,741 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Brampton | Jim Yarrow TS extension with new underground line extending 25M9 from Winston Churchill Blvd utility corridor to Steeles Ave in order to back up 25M8 & 25M10 to accomodate future load growth in Churchill Business Community Park and residential developments between Steeles Ave & Embleton Rd |
| 150645 | Base | Road Authority Central (Brampton) | 4.4 | 31,814 | System Access | Road Authority | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150828 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects- West | 0.9 | 31,592 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 151452 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-West | 0.9 | 31,590 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outaces, duration or both. |
| 101762 | Base | Road Authority Expenditure PS South | 4.0 | 31,544 | System Access | Road Authority | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101355 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects- East | 0.9 | 31,425 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 151450 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-East | 0.9 | 31,425 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 150072 | M-Factor | Markham TS#3 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades | 0.2 | 27,028 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to upgrade the Markham TS#3 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades with new relays having fault recording capabilities. |
| 100867 | Base | Pole Renewal - East | 4.4 | 25,595 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |
| 151074 | Base | Reactive renewal | 3.2 | 25,136 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Horizon | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 150571 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (J3-K3-N2-O2), Brampton | 2.3 | 24,484 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 32 outages due to cable failures from 2007 to 2018 with average duration of 104 minutes. |
| 150375 | Base | New Build - 136M10 Goreway TS Extensions, Brampton | 1.6 | 22,413 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Brampton | The 136M10 feeder egress project will install a new feeder from the Goreway TS to provide relief to the 136M44 circuit which is over the planning limit |
| 100015 | Base | Transformer Temperature Monitoring - Aurora MS, King & Concord | 0.1 | 22,040 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consist of transformer temperature monitoring and telemetry at Aurora MS - King and Concord which will improve system reliability and enables controlled emergency loading beyond the nameplate rating. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|---------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 151043 | Base | Transformer Renewal - Central South | 1.9 | 21,870 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Enersource | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental, (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |
| 102545 | Base | Install a New 27.6kV Pole Line on 19th Ave from Leslie St to Woodbine Ave | 1.4 | 21,590 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This is to remediate radial supply on Leslie St north of Elgin Mills Rd (supplying Leslie North development in Richmond Hill) and radial supply on Woodbine Ave north of Elgin Mills Rd (supplying future urban area in Markham). |
| 150688 | Base | Station Sustainment & Protection & Control CASCADE Expansion | 0.1 | 20,717 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Expand the use of the CASCADE system to be used by the Protection and Control asset in addition to the Station assets |
| 101593 | Base | Station Ground Grid Refurbishments | 0.1 | 20,602 | System Service | Safety & Security | Powerstream | This project consists of refurbishment of station ground grid in East. The project would be to design and implement ground grid improvements to meet step and touch potential requirements. |
| 150664 | Base | Residential Meters - by Lines - Brampton RZ | 0.7 | 18,167 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew residential metering equipment. Work carried out by Lines. |
| 151109 | Base | Switch Replacement | 0.2 | 18,145 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacment units |
| 150458 | Base | MAS upgrade - IT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 17,426 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Metering Automation System for smart grid Upgrade to be implemented in a phased approach over the next 4 years as smart grid continues to evolve work in conjunction with the metering team to analyze and implement leverage new technologies to provide better more accurate meter readings be responsive to the issues first not reactive when a customer calls |
| 151104 | Base | Distribution Automation - Central North | 0.4 | 17,389 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Brampton | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 102352 | Base | Vaughan TS#4 Feeder Integration - Part 2 | 4.0 | 16,373 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is the second part of VTS4 feeder integration plan. The purposes is to integrate two new 27.6kV feeders (25M9 to 25M10) from VTS4 into Alectra's distribution system to increase distribution capacity by 40 MVA, off load existing feeders and stations with the new feeders and increase supply capacity to Vaughan West. |
| 151318 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (I3) -Bovaird - Dixie - Queen - Hwy 410, Brampton | 0.5 | 16,119 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 6 outages due to cable failures from 2014 to 2015 with average duration of 71 minutes. |
| 151046 | Base | Addiscott Court Security Fence Improvement | 0.3 | 16,097 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Powerstream | To improve the fencing to secure the capital inventory within the operational yard in Markham |
| 150639 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 4 Station DC System Monitoring-Multi- year initiative-CENTRAL | 0.0 | 15,736 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of installation of DC charging system for Central South Sations. A better real-time assessment of Station batteries will lower the risk of battery failure/fire/explosion |
| 150638 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 4 Station DC System Monitoring-Multi- year initiative-East | 0.0 | 15,736 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project consists of installation of DC charging system for Central North Sations. A better real-time assessment of Station batteries will lower the risk of battery failure/fire/explosion |
| 150636 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 4 Station DC System Monitoring-Multi- year initiative-North & TS | 0.0 | 15,736 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of installation of DC charging system for East Sations. A better real-time assessment of Station batteries will lower the risk of battery failure/fire/explosion |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150640 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 4 Station DC System Monitoring-Multi- year initiative-WEST | 0.0 | 15,736 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of installation of DC charging system for West Sations. A better real-time assessment of Station batteries will lower the risk of battery failure/fire/explosion |
| 150749 | M-Factor | New WiMAX Communication Network - Central South | 0.4 | 15,089 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project is required to implement the WiMax Network for Central South for secure data transfer of monitoring sensors. |
| 150285 | Base | Transformer Renewal - Central North | 0.6 | 14,492 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Brampton | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental, (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |
| 150025 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V18) - Major Mackenzie and Keele, Vaughan | 1.1 | 14,485 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2017 customers in this area experienced 2 failures. |
| 150559 | Base | Rathburn Rd Duct Extension (LRT Betterment) | 0.4 | 14,448 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Enersource | A duct installation to connect existing ducts west and east on Rathburn Rd. A 5 year moratorium is proposed due to streetscaping and this project should be completed with other work. |
| 101027 | Base | Switch Renewal - East | 0.6 | 14,309 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacment units |
| 150505 | Base | Purchase and Install 11 Self Recharging Transformer Air Breathers - TS and MS - Multi-year initiative - EAST | 0.0 | 14,277 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project consists of installation of transformer air breather at TS and MS stations in West. Moisture in transformer oil is a major cause of acceleration of transformer ageing and internal failure of transformers. By elimination of moisture ingress through the transformer breather, and having the breather perform this task automatically without human intervention ensures that the transformer oil moisture is minimal. |
| 102062 | Base | Purchase & Installation of 10 Self Recharging Transformer Air Breathers on TS transformers-Multi-year initiative-North & TS | 0.1 | 14,211 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of installation of transformer air breather at TS and MS stations in East. Moisture in transformer oil is a major cause of acceleration of transformer ageing and internal failure of transformers. By elimination of moisture ingress through the transformer breather, and having the breather perform this task automatically without human intervention ensures that the transformer oil moisture is minimal. |
| 150506 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 15 Self Recharging Transformer Air Breathers on MS Transformers - Multi-year initiative-CENTRAL | 0.1 | 14,155 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of installation of transformer air breather at TS and MS stations in Central South. Moisture in transformer oil is a major cause of acceleration of transformer ageing and internal failure of transformers. By elimination of moisture ingress through the transformer breather, and having the breather perform this task automatically without human intervention ensures that the transformer oil moisture is minimal. |
| 150504 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 10 Self Recharging Transformer Air Breathers on TS and MS Transformers - Multi-year initiative-WEST | 0.1 | 14,105 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of installation of transformer air breather at TS and MS stations in East. Moisture in transformer oil is a major cause of acceleration of transformer ageing and internal failure of transformers. By elimination of moisture ingress through the transformer breather, and having the breather perform this task automatically without human intervention ensures that the transformer oil moisture is minimal. |
| 151066 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - Hamilton Mountain URD | 3.8 | 14,040 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | Recent underground cable failures in this area are unknown at this time. The average cable installation year is 1971 in this project scope. The cables are 23 years over the TUL. |
| 102098 | Base | Client - IT Infrastructure | 1.1 | 13,761 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | To upgrade desktop/laptop/mobile devices that are 5 years or older (Approximately 20% of our equipment). Net new equipment for mobile computing as well as upgrades and replacements for damaged devices. Request made by various business units. This budget also includes RSA tokens\Licenses, monitors, mice, keyboards, docking stations, tablets and small non-MFP printers. Out of Scope: Large MFP Printers |
| 150014 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V01) - Yonge - Steeles - Bathurst - Center, Vaughan | 0.8 | 13,574 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2014-2016 customers in this area experienced 13 failures. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|---------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|--|
| 151247 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects- Guelph | 0.6 | 13,414 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Guelph | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 102232 | Base | Capital Funds for Emergency P&C Purchases - East | 0.0 | 13,271 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project provides funds for the emergency procurement of Intelligent Electronic Devices (IED), communications equipment, protective relays, and other critical spare parts for the municipal/transformer substations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream). These parts are critical to maintaining the viability of key operations' systems and the conformance to the ESA Regulation 22/04. Failure or underperformance of this equipment could cause a catastrophic failure of a key component of the distribution grid. |
| 150683 | Base | Capital Funds for Emergency P&C Purchases - Central North | 0.0 | 13,185 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project provides funds for the emergency procurement of Intelligent Electronic Devices (IED), communications equipment, protective relays, and other critical spare parts for the municipal/transformer substations in the Central North Operating Area (Brampton). These parts are critical to maintaining the viability of key operations' systems and the conformance to the ESA Regulation 22/04. Failure or underperformance of this equipment could cause a catastrophic failure of a key component of the distribution grid. |
| 150685 | Base | Capital Funds for Emergency P&C Purchases - West | 0.0 | 13,185 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | This project provides funds for the emergency procurement of Intelligent Electronic Devices (IED), communications equipment, protective relays, and other critical spare parts for the municipal/transformer substations in the West Operating Area (former Horizon). These parts are critical to maintaining the viability of key operations' systems and the conformance to the ESA Regulation 22/04. Failure or underperformance of this equipment could cause a catastrophic failure of a key component of the distribution grid. |
| 150684 | Base | Capital Funds for Emergency P&C Purchases - Central South | 0.0 | 13,185 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | This project provides funds for the emergency procurement of Intelligent Electronic Devices (IED), communications equipment, protective relays, and other critical spare parts for the municipal/transformer substations in the Central South Operating Area (former Mississauga). These parts are critical to maintaining the viability of key operations' systems and the conformance to the ESA Regulation 22/04. Failure or underperformance of this equipment could cause a catastrophic failure of a key component of the distribution grid. |
| 150784 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects- Central North | 0.7 | 12,968 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 151453 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-Guelph | 0.6 | 12,922 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 150823 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects- Central South | 0.7 | 12,655 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 102099 | Base | Printer & Copier Fleet Replacement | 0.0 | 12,636 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Replacement of existing fleet of printers/copiers that are 5 or more years old. This will include non-MFP printers. Replacement to be determined by an evaluation of evolving needs and corporate requirements as well as age of equipment. |
| 151449 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-Central North | 0.8 | 12,176 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 103211 | Base | Misc Software Upgrades (FormScape, AutoCAD, etc.) - IT/OT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 12,021 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Upgrade/ acquire software as required / requested by business. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|--------------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|--|
| 151451 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-Central South | 0.8 | 11,936 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 150007 | Base | Extend 153M10 to Transfer MS322 | 1.7 | 11,505 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project consists of extension of 153M10 to transfer MS322. Back-up capability lines project extending the 153M10 circuit along Holland Street from Bridge Street, south along Morris Road, west along Centre Street to Thomas Street, north on Drury Street, west along Holland Street to Miller Park Avenue (approximately 2 km's) |
| 150579 | Base | New build - Extend Bunting M81 Feeder, St.Catharines | 1.5 | 11,461 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Horizon | This project is to extend M81 feeder to supply new development and meet contigency condition in St. Catharines. This project will deal with ongoing capacity constraints in the North end of St.Catharines by bringing available supply from Bunting and Carlton TS's |
| 103180 | Base | Citrix Xen Virtualization Expansion - IT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 11,299 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Client computing virtualization (Citrix) is currently the standard delivery method for applications and desktops at Alectra. This system has had a tremendous uptake in the organization and requires an upgrade in back-end infrastructure to support the additional demand from the business. |
| 150693 | M-Factor | Blockchain | 0.3 | 11,192 | System Service | Distributed Energy Resources (DER) | Multiple | The project will prepare Alectra Utilities to engage with customers in a real-time and transparent process to record the flow of electricity to and from DERs, enabling the efficient procurement of distribution benefits, such as demand response and frequency regulation. The project will provide a robust settlement mechanism between Alectra and customers, backed by timely and efficient financial transactions, to enable overall trust and customer value delivery and leading to increased customer satisfaction. |
| 151302 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Rymal - Mud - Upper Centennial - Upper Red Hill Valley | 0.6 | 11,132 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 8 failures, or 14 failures per 100km. This area includes the 331X & 341X feeders which were identified as a Worst Performing Feeder in 2018. The average cable installation year is 1989 in this project scope. |
| 150342 | M-Factor | HaLRT_New Stirton Feeder for TPSS#4 and 8852X load shedding, Hamilton | 4.8 | 10,907 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Horizon | The 8852X is the only 13.8kV feeder in the vicinity of the HaLRT TPSS#4 location and is at its utilization limit. To accommodate the new TPSS a new feeder from Stirton is to be built, and part of the 8852X load will also be transferred to this new feeder |
| 150665 | Base | Emerging Customer Initiated Work (West) | 1.5 | 10,905 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101562 | Base | Arc Flash Mitigation Projects | 0.0 | 10,619 | System Service | Safety & Security | Powerstream | This project is to mitigate arc flash risk at East stations. |
| 150653 | Base Base | Road Authority West (St. Catharines) Metering Tools & Equipment - Central North | 0.8 | 9,947 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage | Brampton | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150616 | Base | Metering Tools & Equipment - Central South | 0.0 | 9,947 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Enersource | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150613 | Base | Metering Tools & Equipment - East | 0.0 | 9,947 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150614 | Base | Metering Tools & Equipment - West | 0.0 | 9,947 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Horizon | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 151439 | Base | 2020 GUELPH - Remotely Controlled Switches | 0.4 | 9,307 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Guelph | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 150261 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V38) - Rutherford and Weston, Vaughan | 1.4 | 9,110 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2015-2018 customers in this area experienced 5 failures. |
| 151063 | Base | Pole Renewal - Central South | 3.7 | 9,065 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | This project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 100886 | Base | Distribution Automation - East | 1.2 | 9,041 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Powerstream | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 150808 | Base | Implementation of Doble - Enoserv PowerBase and Enoserv RTS applications for Protection and Control Department | 0.0 | 8,798 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | PowerBase is the premier application to manage and report on protection assets maintenance and engineering records. It manages workflow and connect with maintenance management systems such as Cascade. RTS is a vendor neutral software which allows connection to a variety of test sets. It offers an extensive relay test plan library. |
| 151300 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Millen - Barton - Fruitland | 0.9 | 8,690 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 16 failures, or 44 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1987 in this project scope. |
| 150097 | M-Factor | Line Protections and HMI Upgrade - KDU-10 Replacement - Markham TS#2 | 0.5 | 8,506 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | KDU-10 protection relay malfunction can cause an outage on the 230 kV supply of the station, resulting in a major power interruption. Replacement of this obsolete equipment is expected to provide improved reliability and will provide fault and event record information which will enable accurate diagnosis of system events and outage duration reductions. |
| 101804 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools | 0.3 | 8,158 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150773 | M-Factor | New WiMAX Communications System - Central North | 0.3 | 8,000 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project is to provide WiMax for Central North for secure data transfer of monitoring devices. |
| 150509 | Base | Installation of Transformer Bushing Monitoring Yarrow TS and MS txmrs-Multi Year -EAST | 0.1 | 7,885 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project consists of installation of Transformer bushing monitoring system in Central North. Bushing monitoring unit provides real time condition monitoring of the transformer bushings. |
| 150709 | Base | Spare Station Transformer Bushing Conversion from PILC to XLPE - West | 0.1 | 7,848 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | This project involves converting the spare station transformer primary and secondary bushings/compartments from PILC to XLPE in the West Operating Area (former Horizon). The spare transformers are used when a failure occurs on a station transformer. The PILC cable will be replaced with XLPE at the station in order to improve station reliability and worker and equipment safety. The conversion of bushings is critical as primary bushing compartments have ruptured on multiple occasions in 2017 in the West Operating Area - causing oil spills larger than 200 liters. |
| 102038 | Base | Installation of Transformer Bushing Monitoring on TS and MS txmrs- Multi Year | 0.1 | 7,735 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of installation of Transformer bushing monitoring system in East. bushing monitoring unit provides real time condition monitoring of the transformer bushings. |
| 150785 | M-Factor | New WiMAX Communications System - West | 0.5 | 7,655 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project is to provide WiMax for West for secure data transfer of monitoring device. |
| 150282 | Base | Switchgear Renewal - Central North | 0.5 | 7,521 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 150508 | Base | Installation of Transformer Bushing Monitoring on MS txmrs-Multi Year -CENTRAL | 0.2 | 7,509 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of installation of Transformer bushing monitoring system in Central South. bushing monitoring unit provides real time condition monitoring of the transformer bushings. |
| 151062 | Base | Cable Replacement - (279) - 201 Silvercreek Pky N Subdivision, Guelph | 0.7 | 7,505 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | |
| 151121 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V43) - Hwy 7 and Pine Valley Dr, Vaughan | 1.0 | 7,357 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2015-2016 customers in this area experienced 3 failures. |
| 150284 | Base | Pole Renewal - Central North | 0.9 | 7,305 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | This project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |
| 150484 | Base | 25M8 27.6kV Feeder Extension Heritage Rd, South of Embleton Rd | 0.4 | 7,282 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Brampton | This project consists of 25M8 feeder extension to Hertitage road to supply new developments |
| 102017 | Base | Sorbweb Oil Containment Systems - 4 Transformers -Multiyear initiative-North & TS | 0.3 | 7,275 | System Service | Safety & Security | Powerstream | This project consists of Sorbweb Oil containment system in East. Protects the environment in the event of a transformer tank rupture |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150026 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M43) - John and Woodbine, Markham | 1.0 | 6,955 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 0 failures to date. Cable is 38 years old and will be 39 when project starts (2020). |
| 150343 | M-Factor | Bathurst Street Widening | 3.4 | 6,905 | System Access | Road Authority | Powerstream | 2020 portion of scope of the Bathurst Street road widening as per requirements of PSWHA. |
| 150021 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V36) - Steeles and Pine Valley, Vaughan | 0.6 | 6,822 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2017 customers in this area experienced 9 failures. |
| 150714 | Base | MS Transformer Tank and Radiator Reconditioning- Multi-year initiative - Central | 0.1 | 6,819 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | This project is intended for corrosion mitigation of station power transformer main tanks and cooling radiators in the Central South Operating Area (former Enersource). It also prevents oil from leaking out of failed tanks and radiators due to corrosion. Preventing the main tank and radiators from corrosion extends the useful life of the unit and improves the reliability to customers. |
| 150713 | Base | MS Transformer Tank and Radiator Reconditioning- Multi-year initiative - East | 0.1 | 6,819 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project is intended for corrosion mitigation of station power transformer main tanks and cooling radiators in the Central North Operating Area (Brampton). It also prevents oil from leaking out of failed tanks and radiators due to corrosion. Preventing the main tank and radiators from corrosion extends the useful life of the unit and improves the reliability to customers. |
| 150715 | Base | MS Transformer Tank and Radiator Reconditioning- Multi-year initiative - West | 0.1 | 6,819 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | This project is intended for corrosion mitigation of station power transformer main tanks and cooling radiators in the West Operating Area (former Horizon). It also prevents oil from leaking out of failed tanks and radiators due to corrosion. Preventing the main tank and radiators from corrosion extends the useful life of the unit and improves the reliability to customers. |
| 150644 | Base | Road Authority West (Hamilton) | 1.4 | 6,689 | System Access | Road Authority | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150507 | Base | 230kV TS Transformer Primary Bushing Monitoring Enablement-BPD Elimination - 4 TS Transformers-Multi-year initiative-TS | 0.2 | 6,521 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to install primary bushing monitoring at TS in EAST |
| 150352 | Base | Voltage Conversion - Central MS, Hamilton | 1.7 | 6,498 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 23 outages and had 1,060,300 customer minutes of interruption (75 mins per customer per year). The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. Specifically Central MS is the only station remaining in service with oil breakers which are functionally obsolete with no spare parts readily available. |
| 150357 | Base | New build - 25M9 Extension to Derry Rd, Mississauga | 0.5 | 6,401 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Enersource | This project consists of 25M9 extension into Mississauga to provide additional 27.6KV capacity. It will offload Erindale TS in Mississauga and avoid building Mini-Britannia MS and also provides capacity back to Brampton using a link along Derry Rd. |
| 151044 | Base | Switch Renewal - Central South | 0.3 | 6,319 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacement units |
| 150065 | Base | Basement Flood Risk Mitigation - Richmond Hill TS#2 | 0.2 | 6,280 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves mitigating the flood risk at the Richmond Hill TS#2 where there is equipment installed in the basement by relocating or repositioning this equipment. This critical equipment includes DC system, AC and DC panels, feeder switches, UPS, ATS located in the basement of this station. Damage to this equipment due to flooding would cause extensive power interruptions to many customers. |
| 103659 | Base | Storm Hardening - Four-Circuit Poles | 1.8 | 6,255 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | |
| 150514 | Base | Sorbweb Oil Containment Systems - 4 Transformers -Multiyear Initiative-WEST | 0.3 | 6,213 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project consists of Sorbweb Oil containment system in West. Protects the environment in the event of a transformer tank rupture |
| 151336 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BA22) - Sunnidale and Anne, Barrie | 1.0 | 6,208 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 2 failures in 2019. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|---------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|--|
| 151086 | Base | Insulator Replacements | 0.1 | 5,949 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of non-polymer insulators (Areas are prioritized by insulators showing signs of defects), with modern polymer style insulators, we reduce pole fires and insulator flashovers. this will also drive efficiencies as insulator washing will no longer be required once all non-polymer insulators are replaced. |
| 150066 | Base | Basement Flood Risk Mitigation - Vaughan TS#2 | 0.1 | 5,867 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves mitigating the flood risk at the Vaughan TS#2 where there is equipment installed in the basement by relocating or repositioning this equipment. This critical equipment includes DC system, AC and DC panels, feeder switches, UPS, ATS located in the basement of this station. Damage to this equipment due to flooding would cause extensive power interruptions to many customers. |
| 151176 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - MS Argentia distribution feeder(s) upgrade | 1.6 | 5,705 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 11 outages, the length is 1,587 m, and the average cable age is 30 years old. |
| 150637 | Base | Station Switchgear Replacement - MS10 | 2.6 | 5,499 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | The existing switchgear at MS10 in Brampton is no longer suitable for service. The main project driver for replacement is the condition of the metal-clad switchgear housing the feeder breakers and bus-tie breakers. The manufacturer no longer supports this type of equipment and the design of the switchgear does not meet Alectra Utilities' current safety standards and presents a potential risk to its employees. An increasing failure risk will have a negative impact on Alectra Utilities' customers, and safety. Additionally, failure of the existing switchgear would warrant emergency replacement resulting in non-budgeted funding requirements and could result in lengthy customer interruptions. |
| 150337 | Base | Switch Renewal - West | 0.4 | 5,476 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacement units |
| 151055 | Base | Major Tools | 0.1 | 5,432 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Guelph | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150598 | Base | Suite Metering - Renewals & Retrofits - PowerStream RZ | 0.4 | 5,394 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to purchase and install suite metering equipment in existing buildings upgraded from bulk metering. |
| 150515 | Base | Sorbweb Oil Containment Systems - 4 Transformers -Multiyear initiative-CENTRAL | 0.3 | 5,352 | System Service | Safety & Security | Enersource | This project consists of Sorbweb Oil containment system in Central South. Protects the environment in the event of a transformer tank rupture |
| 150516 | Base | Sorbweb Oil Containment Systems - 4 Transformers -Multiyear initiative-EAST | 0.3 | 5,352 | System Service | Safety & Security | Brampton | This project consists of Sorbweb Oil containment system in Central North. Protects the environment in the event of a transformer tank rupture |
| 150744 | Base | John Street underground parking renewal | 0.3 | 5,343 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Horizon | Repairs to the undergrown parking level that is in poor condition impacting builsing system below that support the building operations and craeting saftey risks. The building was built in 1950 and many of the building infrastructure is still original. |
| 150336 | Base | Transformer Renewal - West | 0.6 | 5,294 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Horizon | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental, (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |
| 150750 | Base | Lines Central-North - Major Tools | 0.1 | 5,234 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Brampton | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150009 | Base | Insulator Renewal - East | 0.2 | 5,108 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement of non-polymer insulators (Areas are prioritized by insulators showing signs of defects), with modern polymer style insulators, we reduce pole fires and insulator flashovers. this will also drive efficiencies as insulator washing will no longer be required once all non-polymer insulators are replaced. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 151203 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - Stations South West | 0.1 | 4,921 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Guelph | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the South West Operating Area (Guelph) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have spares readily available in order to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |
| 102042 | Base | Purchase and Installation of Animal Guards at Various Stations- Annual Multi-year initiative-North & TS | 0.0 | 4,894 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of animal guard installation in East |
| 150605 | M-Factor | Residential "ICON F" Meter Replacement - PowerStream RZ | 3.0 | 4,853 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to replace Icon-F smart meters with secure |
| 151083 | Base | Switchgear Renewal - West | 0.4 | 4,684 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 151010 | Base | Switch Renewal - Central North | 0.6 | 4,604 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacement units |
| 150720 | Base | Lines Central-South - Major Tools | 0.2 | 4,591 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage | Enersource | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 151022 | M-Factor | New Three Sector WiMAX Node - MS305 | 0.1 | 4,525 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to install three sector WiMax node at MS305. The node would provide last mile broadband connectivity to field devices such as intelligent overhead reclosers and padmounted switchgears, FIT monitoring data concentrators and Ethernet enabled revenue meters. The node would provide Transfer Trip capability to FIT generators. |
| 150411 | M-Factor | 42M64 Feeder Extension Mississauga Rd, Williams Pkwy to Queen / Embleton | 0.1 | 4,471 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Brampton | 42M64 Feeder Extension from Mississauga Rd from Queen St. to Embleton Rd to supply new developments on Embleton and provide relief to the existing feeder 25M8 |
| 151433 | Base | Cable Injection- 008- AREA46 - Glen Erin & Aquitane, Mississauga | 0.6 | 4,471 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | There are 6 outages, we are injecting a total of 19,827 m of cable for this project, and the average age of the cable is 33 years. |
| 150677 | Base | Station Switchgear Replacement - Aquitaine MS59 LV1 | 1.7 | 4,470 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | The existing LV1 switchgear at Aquitaine MS59 station houses gas circuit breakers that utilize vintage technology to provide short circuit protection against faults. These circuit breakers are technically obsolete and are prone to failure. Also the switchgear is non-arc- resistant and poses a potential safety hazard to Alectra personnel and even to the general public who happen to be in the vicinity of the station during a failure event. Failure of the existing switchgear and breakers would warrant emergency replacement resulting in non- budgeted funding requirements and could result in lengthy customer interruptions. Therefore, proactive replacement of the existing switchgear and associated equipment is a prudent strategy as the restoration of the system could take 8-10 months due to long equipment lead times in the event of a failure. |
| 151021 | Base | Insulator Renewal - Central North | 0.3 | 4,236 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | Replacement of non-polymer insulators (Areas are prioritiezed by insulators showing signs of defects), with modern polymer style insulators, we reduce pole fires and insulator flashovers. this will also drive efficiencies as insulator washing will no longer be required once all non-polymer insulators are replaced. |
| 150674 | Base | Emerging Customer Initiated Work Central (Brampton) | 0.1 | 4,184 | System Access | Customer Connections | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150125 | M-Factor | Aurora MS6 (AMS6) Transformer and Bus Protection Upgrade | 0.1 | 4,161 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & | Powerstream | This project is to upgrade transformer and bus protection at Aurora |
| 150745 | Base | John Street VAV Updating - Facilities | 0.5 | 4,034 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Horizon | Update main building ventilation systems that have surpass end of life and replacement apsrt ca no longer be obatined that support the main Alectra control room, customer services group and communications systesm. |
| 150520 | Base | Security Additions & Enhancements | 0.2 | 4,024 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | As per Alectra Security roadmap to key IT systems. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|--|
| 150541 | Base | Business Support | 0.2 | 4,022 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | The project will encompass a variety of sub projects for the purpose of investigating new and emerging technologies. Building a culture of innovation Alectra will need a continued effort in remaining ahead of the trends and implementing new and more efficient ways of doing business. The projects that are slated for this years budget are room Artificial Intelligence and Wearable Technology |
| 150679 | M-Factor | Alectra Drive for the Workplace | 0.2 | 3,997 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Multiple | Alectra Drive for the Workplace will demonstrate the value of integrating smart electric vehicle (EV) charging system at workplaces into the distribution grid such that mass uptake of electric vehicles can be managed in a safe and reliable manner. The planned investment will help manage the flow of electricity needed to serve the building and EV charging stations, so that electricity costs are minimized for commercial customers while EV drivers have an easy and accessible charging solution. |
| 150320 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion - Dewitt MS , Hamilton | 2.6 | 3,972 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 12 outages and had 888,434 minutes of interruption. The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. |
| 150043 | M-Factor | Rear Lot Renewal Project - East of Queen St. to Eastern Ave./North of Greenway St. | 2.6 | 3,714 | System Renewal | Rear Lot Conversion | Powerstream | This area has had an average of 1.7 outages lasting 9.7hrs per year based on a 3 year average. |
| 102075 | Base | Major repairs, refurbishment, or modifications to switches/switchgear | 0.1 | 3,702 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Switchgear and Switches in stores that repair/refurbishment of the units can allow them to be returned to service |
| 150535 | Base | Network Segmentation - IT Infrastructure | 0.3 | 3,636 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | As per Alectra Security roadmap to key IT systems with focus on network segmentation for corporate environments. |
| 151200 | M-Factor | Alectra Single Platform Website ongoing | 0.1 | 3,548 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Ongoing enhancements to the Alectra platform to improve functionality for customers |
| 150498 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - Stations Central South | 0.3 | 3,535 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the Central South Operating Area (former Enersource) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have spares readily available in order to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |
| 150499 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - Stations Central North | 0.3 | 3,535 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the Central North Operating Area (Brampton) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have spares readily available in order to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |
| 150497 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - Stations West | 0.3 | 3,535 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the West Operating Area (former Horizon) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have spares readily available in order to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |
| 151304 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Stone Church - Garth - Lincoln M. Alexander | 0.6 | 3,492 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 5 failures, or 18 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1986 in this project scope. |
| 150047 | M-Factor | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Royal Orchard - North | 1.8 | 3,478 | System Renewal | Rear Lot Conversion | Powerstream | This area has had an average of 3 failures per year lasting 4 hours per year based on 3 year average. |
| 150774 | Base | Lines Central-North - Site Restorations | 0.1 | 3,434 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | |
| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 150721 | Base | Lines Central-South - Site Restorations | 0.1 | 3,434 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | |
| 150672 | Base | Burden Allocation - System Service | 0.1 | 3,353 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Horizon | |
| 150662 | Base | Station Sustainment PI System Expansion for CASCADE CARE | 0.1 | 3,302 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Expanding the use of the PI System to enable more efficient interfaces with other enterprise systems such as OMS and SCADA; Cascade is used to drive maintenance and generate work orders for maintenance of grid equipment |
| 150263 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - East Left Behind Cable | 1.3 | 3,237 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in these areas have experienced 11 failures. |
| 150345 | Base | Overhead Conductor Replacement - #6 Copper - Feeder WT10 | 0.4 | 3,210 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project consists of overhead conductor replacement to mitigate safety risk and provide additional capacity. |
| 150601 | Base | Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) Security Audit - East | 0.1 | 3,171 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to renew meter data security to address emerging cyber threats. |
| 151440 | Base | 2020 GUELPH - Transite Underground Duct Replacement | 0.3 | 3,168 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of Duct Structure which contains asbestoes a known carcinogen and impacts worker safety. |
| 102065 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - East | 0.3 | 3,161 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream area) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have spares readily available in order to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |
| 150611 | Base | Transformer Tank and Radiator Reconditioning- Multi-year initiative - East | 0.2 | 3,117 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project is intended for corrosion mitigation of station power transformer main tanks and cooling radiators in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream). It also prevents oil from leaking out of failed tanks and radiators due to corrosion. Preventing the main tank and radiators from corrosion extends the useful life of the unit and improves the reliability to customers. |
| 150623 | Base | Purchase and Installation of Animal Guarding-Annual Multi-year initiative-WEST | 0.0 | 3,095 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of animal guard installation in West |
| 150622 | Base | Purchase and Installation of Animal Guarding-Annual Multi-year initiative-CENTRAL | 0.0 | 3,093 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of installation of Animal guard at Central South Stations |
| 150621 | Base | Purchase and Installation of Animal Guarding-Annual Multi-year initiative-EAST | 0.0 | 3,082 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project consists of installation of Animal guard at East Stations |
| 150348 | Base | Overhead Conductor Replacement - #6 Copper - Feeder OT2 | 0.4 | 3,018 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project consists of overhead conductor replacement to mitigate safety risk and provide additional capacity |
| 150089 | M-Factor | Markham TS#3 T1/T2 "B" Differential Protections Upgrade | 0.1 | 2,935 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to replace the T1/T2 GEC solid state Transformer "B" Differential Protection relays at Markham TS#3 with new relays having fault recording capabilities |
| 150699 | Base | Station Switchgear Replacement - Shawson MS43 LV1 | 1.1 | 2,878 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | The existing LV1 switchgear at Shawson MS43 station houses gas circuit breakers that utilize vintage technology to provide short circuit protection against faults. These circuit breakers are technically obsolete and are prone to failure. Also the switchgear is non-arc- resistant and poses a potential safety hazard to Alectra personnel and even to the general public who happen to be in the vicinity of the station during a failure event. Failure of the existing equipment would warrant emergency replacement resulting in non-budgeted funding requirements and could result in lengthy customer interruptions. Therefore, proactive replacement of the existing switchgear and associated equipment is a prudent strategy as the restoration of the system could take 8-10 months due to long equipment lead times in the event of a failure. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 101625 | Base | Cyber Security Audit & Upgrades | 0.1 | 2,865 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | This project would fund various activities and countermeasures to improve the cyber security posture of the Operations' Network. This will be a mandate for compliance to Ontario Cyber Security framework. The counter measures include: - an annual audit of the cyber security countermeasures in place; - implementation of new technologies to seal identified compromises; - replacement of deployed cyber security equipment deemed end of life or not able to deliver an expected level of service. |
| 150783 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Pick ups | 0.5 | 2,848 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150671 | Base | Burden Allocation - System Renewal | 1.7 | 2,831 | System Renewal | Other System Renewal | Horizon | |
| 151107 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - 7143 Main Feeder | 0.9 | 2,822 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 4 outages, the length is 1,325 m, and the average cable age is 39 years old. |
| 150632 | Base | AMI Gatekeeper Expansion - Brampton RZ | 0.0 | 2,820 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to expand and upgrade AMI field communication equipment to service new connections. |
| 150141 | Base | Cable Replacement Project – (M49) - Steeles and Fairway Heights, Markham | 2.9 | 2,807 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 0 failures to date. Cable is 37 years old and will be 38 when project starts (2020) |
| 150572 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (J4) - Queen - Clark - Bramalea - Kensington - Knightsbridge, Brampton | 1.1 | 2,794 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 9 outages due to cable failures from 2007 to 2017 with average duration of 127 minutes. |
| 151144 | Base | Cable Replacement Project and Transformers Replacement - Rathburn Rd. W, Mississauga | 1.2 | 2,658 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Tis project is split into 3 phases. 2 phases are being completed in 2019, the duct structure for third phase is expected to be completed in 2019 and the final phase is will be done in 2020. |
| 150666 | M-Factor | Facilities_John_Roof Deck - Rooftop Renovation | 0.4 | 2,610 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Horizon | Removal of cooling tower, chillers and lines that contain R-22 refrigerant (hazardous substance), replace emergency fire exit pathway on the roof from the Hughson St to the John St buildings to ensure employee safety and meet with City Bylaws. Repair roof as a result of the equipment removals. |
| 150332 | M-Factor | Non-Wires Alternative Pilot | 0.8 | 2,537 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Powerstream | The project will provide customers with increased flexibility to make decisions about their electricity consumption, generation, and costs; it leads to more efficient integration of DERs which yields greater benefits to customer, system reliability, and power quality. This project will also provide the opportunity to increase operational efficiency and improved asset management to enhance service to customer and defer and/or reduce infrastructure investment needs in York Region. |
| 151042 | Base | Markham TS1 Firewall Upgrade | 0.2 | 2,531 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | Markham TS1 Firewall Upgrade |
| 150681 | Base | Data Analytics - IT/OT Infrastructure | 0.3 | 2,528 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | This project will develop a data analytics platform to provide enhanced customer value by implementing preventative measures to improve overall outage detection and prediction with use-cases focusing on Distributed Energy Resource (DER) monitoring, integration and optimization. |
| 150580 | Base | West Region Tools and Test Equipment | 0.2 | 2,494 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Horizon | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150627 | Base | Station Equipment Temperature Monitoring-EAST | 0.1 | 2,479 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project consists of Station Temperature Monitoring system in Central North and it enables controlled emergency loading beyond the nameplate rating. |
| 150629 | Base | Station Equipment Temperature Monitoring-WEST | 0.1 | 2,479 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of Station Temperature Monitoring system in West and it enables controlled emergency loading beyond the nameplate rating. |
| 151202 | Base | Purchase of Major Station Tools - Multi-year | 0.0 | 2,473 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Guelph | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150625 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools - Muliti Year-CENTRAL | 0.0 | 2,395 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Enersource | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150624 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools - Muliti Year-EAST | 0.0 | 2,395 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Brampton | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|---------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150626 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools - Muliti Year-WEST | 0.0 | 2,395 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Horizon | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150707 | Base | Alectra Station Access Harmonization - Abloy CLIQ system expansion-CENTRAL | 0.0 | 2,376 | System Service | Safety & Security | Enersource | This project consists of upgrade to station access in Central South. Provides added security and risk mitigation for keys that are lost or stolen and provides a system that tracks key and lock cylinder usage as well provides the ability to activate and deactivate keys from an application |
| 150705 | Base | Alectra Station Access Harmonization - Abloy CLIQ system expansion-EAST | 0.0 | 2,371 | System Service | Safety & Security | Brampton | This project consists of upgrade to station access in Central North. Provides added security and risk mitigation for keys that are lost or stolen and provides a system that tracks key and lock cylinder usage as well provides the ability to activate and deactivate keys from an application |
| 101761 | Base | Unforeseen Projects Initiated by the customer PS South | 0.4 | 2,365 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151061 | Base | Markham TS2 Firewall Upgrade | 0.0 | 2,335 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | Markham TS2 Firewall Upgrade |
| 151098 | Base | Station Switchgear Replacement - Battleford MS54 LV1 | 1.3 | 2,297 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | The existing LV1 switchgear at Battleford MS54 station houses gas circuit breakers that utilize vintage technology to provide short circuit protection against faults. These circuit breakers are technically obsolete and are prone to failure. Also the switchgear is non-arc- resistant and poses a potential safety hazard to Alectra personnel and even to the general public who happen to be in the vicinity of the station during a failure event. Failure of the existing equipment would warrant emergency replacement resulting in non-budgeted funding requirements and could result in lengthy customer interruptions. Therefore, proactive replacement of the existing switchgear and associated equipment is a prudent strategy as the restoration of the system could take 8-10 months due to long equipment lead times in the event of a failure. |
| 151244 | Base | Fault Indicators | 0.0 | 2,243 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Guelph | The scope of this project is to install Fault Indicators in Guelph. The project would include the fault indicators communicating to the existing SCADA and will improve the fault locating and improve SAIDI |
| 150708 | Base | Alectra Station Access Harmonization - Abloy CLIQ system expansion-North&TS | 0.1 | 2,235 | System Service | Safety & Security | Powerstream | This project consists of upgrade to station access in East. Provides added security and risk mitigation for keys that are lost or stolen and provides a system that tracks key and lock cylinder usage as well provides the ability to activate and deactivate keys from an application |
| 150542 | Base | Meeting Room Transformation - IT/OT Infrastructure | 0.3 | 2,223 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | The meeting room transformation project aim to leverage and deliver automation technology for better use of the meeting space; centralized control; consistent experiences across the enterprise; and standard design of the physical space. Efficiencies will be met when meeting rooms can start on time due to the ease of use and collaboration efforts will reduce travel and health and safety concerns. With Alectra being so geographically diverse, it imperative to keep the employees off the road as much as possible to reduce the risk of injury. |
| 151181 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - Left Behind Cable, Brampton | 0.4 | 2,163 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | This project is to address cables which were part of a cable injection project but were ultimately not injectable at the time of project execution. These left behind' segments must be addressed, if they are left in the system they could cause a failure. Customers would not only experience an outage, but question the utilities work practices as we would have addressed only a portion of the cables instead of all the cables which could cause an outage. |
| 151192 | Base | Asset Register | 0.5 | 2,082 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Develop an enterprise asset register application to support Asset Management requirements to manage and track movement of assets, asset demographics, equipment failures, and support asset condition assessments and business case modelling. |
| 150661 | Base | SS2019-Purchase and Installation of Online Transformer tap Changer Filtration Systems - CENTRAL | 0.0 | 2,056 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of transformer tap changer filtration system in Central South |
| 150663 | Base | Technology Changes in Control Room | 0.3 | 2,052 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Multiple | Replace aging equipment within the Control room to ensure optimal performance in managing the distribution assets |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 102728 | Base | Station Switchgear Replacement - Big Bay Point MS304 | 0.2 | 2,051 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This substation project consists of replacing the existing switchgear and associated equipment, as well as the primary circuit switcher at Big Bay Point MS304. The current line-up is technically obsolete, in poor condition, and is prone to failure. A failure could affect a large number of customers and potentially result in complete loss of supply from the station, requiring load transfer to another station. Proactive replacement of the existing equipment is a prudent strategy as system reliability would be greatly affected in the event of a failure. Additionally, the restoration of the system to normal conditions could take 8-10 months due to long equipment lead times. |
| 150675 | Base | Emerging Customer Inititated Work Central (Mississauga) | 0.2 | 2,049 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101764 | Base | Road Authority Expenditure PS North | 1.0 | 2,038 | System Access | Road Authority | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150604 | Base | Smart Meter Network Expansion - PowerStream RZ | 0.3 | 2,036 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to expand and upgrade AMI field communication equipment to service new connections. |
| 151092 | Base | Distribution Automation - Central South | 0.5 | 2,014 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Enersource | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 151030 | Base | Addition of Sensors to SCADA Controllable 44kV LISs in Brampton | 0.3 | 2,013 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project is to add sensors to the 44KV switches in Central North |
| 151068 | Base | Return to Service of Smart Fault Indicators - East | 0.2 | 1,998 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves making necessary modifications to 50 previously installed SMART Fault Indicators in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream) so as to return them to service. These devices will greatly improve monitoring capability and facilitate prompt decision making during emergency situations, thus reducing outage durations. |
| 150694 | M-Factor | Cityview microgrid enhancements | 0.0 | 1,985 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | The project is to understand how microgrids can be integrated into the distribution grid in a safe and reliable manner. The microgrid is used to evaluate integration and connection to the distribution grid, while reducing the building's load, greenhouse gas emissions, and increasing its resiliency to grid outages. |
| 100268 | Base | Low Voltage Transformer Bushing Replacement - Markham TS#3 - T1/T2 | 0.3 | 1,883 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves replacing end-of-life low-voltage bushings on Markham TS#3 power transformers. Bushing failure would result in environmental risk due to spilled oil and in transformer failure causing an extended power interruption. |
| 101013 | Base | Planned Circuit Breaker Replacement Markham TS#3 - E & Z Buses | 0.5 | 1,832 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | The existing 27.6 kV circuit breakers at Markham TS#3 have been identified in the Asset Condition Assessment (ACA) Model as requiring replacement due to obsolescence and historical failures. Failure of the existing equipment would warrant emergency replacement resulting in non-budgeted funding requirements and could result in lengthy customer interruptions. |
| 151224 | Base | Cable Replacement - (920) - Scottsdale Drive Subdivision, Guelph | 0.6 | 1,808 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | |
| 150801 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Bucket Truck 1-286 | 0.4 | 1,804 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150670 | Base | SCADA FDIR | 0.1 | 1,799 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Multiple | Fault Detection, Isolation, and Restoration implementation, next generation of distribution automation technology. This utilizes devices which are already automated and integrates them into the OMS system such that devices perform self healing after a fault. in some cases reducing the outage time to less then 1 minute. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|--|
| 150680 | M-Factor | Alectra Drive at Home | 0.5 | 1,731 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Multiple | Alectra Drive at Home will demonstrate the value of integrating smart electric vehicle (EV) charging system in multi rise buildings as well as residential homes into the distribution grid such that mass uptake of electric vehicles can be managed in a safe and reliable manner. It will also provide insight into the characteristics of EV Charging, how EVs can be aggregated and controlled to provide the benefit at the local, regional and provincial system levels, and customer response to these control and optimization strategies. |
| 151201 | Base | Purchase of New Critical Spare Parts - Guelph | 0.0 | 1,728 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Guelph | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for stations in the South West Operating Area (Guelph) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |
| 150108 | Base | Low Voltage Transformer Bushing Replacement - Vaughan TS#3 - T1/T2 | 0.3 | 1,677 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves replacing end-of-life low-voltage bushings on Vaughan TS#3 power transformers. Bushing failure would result in environmental risk due to spilled oil and in transformer failure causing an extended power interruption. |
| 150619 | Base | Purchase of Critical Spare Parts - West | 0.0 | 1,649 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for stations in the West Operating Area (former Horizon) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |
| 151136 | Base | C55 Alectra: Optimization of Business Practices | 0.5 | 1,625 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Optimizing the current software to communicate with other systems such as the ERP will allow for more efficient and effective budgeting processes and consolidation of information allowing for consistent reporting of the information from one system. |
| 151137 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - Main Fedeer(s) upgrade - 68F2, 68F4, 68F7, 83F5, 83F3 | 0.8 | 1,614 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Only 2 outages on all of the feeders combined. If we can replace it with feeders with 4-5 failures, we can show better reliability analysis. The combined length of these feeders is 3,885 m. |
| 151436 | Base | Cable Injection-011 - Area 58 & 59- Winston Churchill & The Collegeway, Mississauga | 1.7 | 1,614 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | There are 14 outages, we are injecting a total of 69,795 m of cable for this project, and the average age of the cable is 30 years. |
| 150333 | Base | Overhead Conductor Replacement - #6 Copper - Feeder OT8 | 0.7 | 1,611 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project consists of overhead conductor replacement to mitigate safety risk and provide additional capacity. |
| 150902 | Base | Unit # 396 Backhoe replacement | 0.2 | 1,592 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | |
| 150642 | Base | Proactive Replacement of Remote Terminal Units - Central North | 0.2 | 1,582 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project involves replacement of end-of-life remote terminal units and communications equipment at stations in the Central-North Operating Area (Brampton). Replacement equipment can be leveraged to allow for Smart Grid initiatives such as quicker fault detection and automatic isolation and restoration. If existing equipment were to fail, the ability to remotely monitor and control switching devices would be lost, thus risking longer interruptions. |
| 150617 | Base | Purchase of Critical Spare Parts - Central North | 0.0 | 1,569 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for municipal stations in the Central North Operating Area (Brampton) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |
| 151087 | Base | Switchgear Replacement | 0.2 | 1,559 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 150618 | Base | Purchase of Critical Spare Parts - Central South | 0.0 | 1,557 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for stations in the Central South Operating Area (former Enersource) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |
| 102077 | Base | Major repair, refurbishment, or conversions of distribution transformers | 0.0 | 1,541 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Powerstream | Transformers returned or in stores where repair/refurbishment of the unit can return it to service at a lower cost then purchase of a new unit |
| 151222 | Base | Concrete Structures | 0.2 | 1,469 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of lids on civil structures to avoid public risk |
| 150410 | M-Factor | 42M66 OH Feeder Egress Mississauga Rd, Bovaird to CNR | 0.1 | 1,458 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Brampton | 42M66 OH Feeder extension from Mississauga Rd from Bovaird Dr. to CNR Tracks to feed new developments in the area of Bovaird drive and Mississauga road. |
| 150858 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_SUVs | 0.3 | 1,426 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, nign mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150334 | Base | Distribution Automation - West | 0.7 | 1,424 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Horizon | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 150019 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M41) - Woodbine and Elgin Mills, Markham | 0.1 | 1,414 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 0 failures to date. Cable is 40 years old and will be 41 when project starts (2020). |
| 151052 | Base | Emerging Customer Initiated Work - Relocations | 0.1 | 1,412 | System Access | Customer Connections | Guelph | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151207 | Base | On-line Dissolved Gas Monitoring | 0.1 | 1,386 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Guelph | This project consists of installation of Online DGA monitoring system at MS in Guelph. Online DGA has proven to be invaluable in diagnosing a potential transformer failure as well as assessing the health of a transformer |
| 150751 | Base | Facilities_East_Capital Replacement Investment Support | 0.1 | 1,374 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Powerstream | Projects planned to maintain the buildings, assets and systems in a condition that contributes to maintaining efficiencies, business operations and to alleviate pressure on the operating expenditures. Planned expenditures are based on the condition and/or lifecycle of a given building or component/asset and is scheduled for replacement (e.g. condenser, furnace, windows, roofing). |
| 150641 | Base | Replace 230kV Primary Switches - Jim Yarrow TS | 0.2 | 1,373 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | The primary switches at Jim Yarrow TS are non-standard, have a history of problems, particularly with alignment issues, and have no spare parts on hand. Failure of one of these switches could result in loss of supply to a 125 MVA power transformer. |
| 151134 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - Winston Churchill consolidation - 49F6 and 49F4 | 0.3 | 1,358 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | This project is already with design. We are not doing this like-for-like. Miron has completed D10-111-001 for removal of the bad feeders. |
| 150349 | Base | Overhead Conductor Replacement - #6 Copper - Feeder WL1 | 0.7 | 1,347 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project consists of overhead conductor replacement to mitigate safety risk and provide additional capacity |
| 150830 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Dump Truck_1-330 | 0.1 | 1,345 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151296 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (SCH) - Welland - Bunting - Carlton - Cushman | 0.3 | 1,345 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 2 failures, or 48 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1989 in this project scope. |
| 150335 | Base | Pole Renewal - West | 2.2 | 1,338 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | This project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |
| 150362 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion - Dufferin St S, between MS431 and Albert St S, Alliston | 0.4 | 1,328 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area experienced 11 outages and had 15,031,879 minutes of interruption. The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. |
| 102102 | Base | Enterprise File Synchronization and Sharing Platform | 0.0 | 1,308 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Alectra is looking for a secure Enterprise File Synchronization and Sharing solution that will increase productivity of internal and external teams by facilitating seamless collaboration of corporate data anywhere, anytime, and on any device without the restrictions of having to connect to the Alectra network. The overarching goal of this implementation will be to provide a balance between delivering business productivity and securing enterprise information. |
| 150502 | Base | On-Line Dissolved Gas Oil Monitoring of 10 MS Transformers - EAST | 0.1 | 1,293 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project consists of installation of Online DGA monitoring system at MS in East. Online DGA has proven to be invaluable in diagnosing a potential transformer failure as well as assessing the health of a transformer |
| 150738 | Base | Facilities_West_Capital Replacement Investment Support | 0.8 | 1,289 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Horizon | Projects planned to maintain the buildings, assets and systems in a condition that contributes to maintaining efficiencies, business operations and to alleviate pressure on the operating expenditures. Planned expenditures are based on the condition and/or lifecycle of a given building or component/asset and is scheduled for replacement (e.g. condenser, furnace, windows, roofing). |
| 150573 | Base | Oracle ULA Extension | 1.5 | 1,265 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | MULTI ANSWER |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|---|
| 150350 | Base | Overhead Conductor Replacement - #6 Copper - Feeder WL4 | 0.8 | 1,261 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project consists of overhead conductor replacement to mitigate safety risk and provide additional capacity |
| 151138 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion - MS-2 Church St, Brampton | 3.0 | 1,255 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 11 outages and had 20,372 minutes of interruption. The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. |
| 102241 | Base | Proactive Replacement of Remote Terminal Units - East | 0.1 | 1,240 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves replacement of end-of-life remote terminal units and communications equipment at stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream). Replacement equipment can be leveraged to allow for Smart Grid initiatives such as quicker fault detection and automatic isolation and restoration. If existing equipment were to fail, the ability to remotely monitor and control switching devices would be lost, thus risking longer interruptions. |
| 151183 | Base | OH Rebuild Project - Church Street | 0.8 | 1,239 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | This project involves the replacment of 55 poles, 35 which are in very poor and poor condition. Due to the significantly high population of poor poles this area is a candidate for multi-pole line faliure under high winds. |
| 151146 | Base | Cable Replacement and Transformers Replacement - Project - Folkway, Mississauga | 4.0 | 1,229 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 34 outages, the length is 10,432 m |
| 150814 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Step Vans 1-279 & 1-281 | 0.4 | 1,179 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151064 | Base | Secondary Pedestals -St. Catharines | 0.2 | 1,154 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project involves the replacment of above grade steel secondary pedestals which pose a safety risk to the general public |
| 150044 | M-Factor | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Blake/Kempenfelt | 0.3 | 1,144 | System Renewal | Rear Lot Conversion | Powerstream | This area has had an average of 0.66 outages lasting 2.2hrs per year based on a 3 year average. |
| 150254 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - (A02) - Steeplechase Ave, Aurora | 2.9 | 1,133 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 0 outages to date. Cable is 45 years old and beyond EUL |
| 101125 | Base | Lines Mobile Equipment - IT/OT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 1,119 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | This budget covers the deployment of mobile technology - laptops/field tablets and accessories - within the Lines Department to gain efficiencies in field operations. By deploying this technology, the Lines area can move away from a paper-based to a more electronic environment. In future, it is envisioned that, for example, mobile applications will facilitate the electonic transmission of work orders and electronic record keeping (asset tracking, tailboards, switching orders, timesheets). This will allow for streamlined operational processes and record-keeping, thereby supporting corporate technological initiatives such as the GIS, Outage Management System, and future Workforce Management system. At present, all Lines Management personnel and Subforemen have laptops, facilitating activities such as viewing of the GIS, electronic Asset Tracking Forms, and use of OMS Responder Mobile. Expenditures in this area will allow for more deployment of field devices among Lines crews and for the implementation of more computerized processes. |
| 150500 | Base | On-Line Dissolved Gas Oil Monitoring of 10 MS Transformers - WEST | 0.1 | 1,117 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of installation of Transformer bushing monitoring system in West |
| 150856 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Dump/Cargo Truck | 0.1 | 1,115 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150908 | Base | Fleet East Unit # 103 Bucket truck replacement | 0.3 | 1,107 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150139 | Base | Cable Replacement Project – (B19) - Donald St and Simcoe Terrace, Barrie | 0.6 | 1,102 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 0 failures to date. Cable is 44 years old and will be 45 when project starts (2020). |
| 150570 | Base | Alectra West Substation Ground Grid Installations | 0.3 | 1,091 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project is to install ground grid at East Stations |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 151204 | Base | Upgrade to Station Facilities (Buildings/Civil work) Multi-year | 0.0 | 1,089 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Guelph | This project involves work involving structural components of stations, including windows, brickwork, roofs, foundations, drainage, doors, etc. at stations in the SouthWest Operational Area. Not keeping up with this work would result in advanced deterioration resulting in even greater maintenance costs, potential safety concerns and potential failure of the electrical equipment in the building causing power interruptions. |
| 150879 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Pickup 2500 | 0.2 | 1,087 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150752 | Base | Cityview back up generator replacement | 0.4 | 1,072 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Powerstream | |
| 151041 | Base | Protection Logic Upgrades - East MSs (North) | 0.1 | 1,061 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | |
| 150578 | Base | Alectra - Microsoft Software | 0.1 | 1,021 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Compliance for Alectra employees for Microsoft application suite of products to perform daily operation. |
| 150776 | Base | Fleet_Central South Equipment Replacement-forklift | 0.0 | 1,006 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150257 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - (V15) - Jardin Dr, Vaughan | 2.9 | 1,004 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2017-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 5 outages. |
| 150392 | Base | Storage Upgrade | 0.7 | 995 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Upgrade on premise Enterprise Storage platform in addition to increased capacity requirements as a result of employee and customer demand for data retention. Off Premise storage costs (SharePoint Online, One Drive, Development, test and production environments) |
| 150916 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Extended Vans | 0.1 | 987 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151091 | Base | Switchgear Renewal - Central South | 3.6 | 982 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 150882 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Pickup 3500 | 0.2 | 973 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150890 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Work van | 0.1 | 967 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151159 | Base | Fault Indicator Installation and Replacement - Hamilton and St. Catharines | 0.3 | 966 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of fault indicator installation in West |
| 151294 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (SCH) - Lakeshore - Stanley - Parnell - Chancery | 0.1 | 954 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 2 failures, or 55 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1985 in this project scope. |
| 151065 | Base | Manhole Lid Replacement | 0.3 | 925 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | Replacement of lids on civil structures to avoid public risk |
| 150911 | Base | Fleet East Unit # 81 Single bucket truck replacement | 0.5 | 923 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | venice replacement due to poor condutions, nigh mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151280 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (SCH) - Millward - Jeanette Drive - Trevor | 0.0 | 909 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 2 failures, or 333 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1992 in this project scope. |
| 150819 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Cargo Vans 1-347, 1-350, 1-368, 1-349, 1-110, 1-111, 1-114 | 0.4 | 890 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150892 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Van pool vans | 0.1 | 875 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------|---|
| 150317 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion - Deerhurst MS, Hamilton | 3.0 | 869 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 6 outages and had 121,838 minutes of interruption. The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. |
| 150923 | Base | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement-DBL.Bucket 49 | 0.6 | 855 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150904 | Base | Fleet East Yearly Light and Misc equipment | 0.0 | 847 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150780 | Base | Fleet_Central South Replacement-DBL>Bucket 234-05 | 0.6 | 845 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150907 | Base | Fleet East Unit # 361 digger truck | 0.6 | 840 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150834 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Unit # 500 SUV | 0.0 | 825 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150835 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement -Unit # 507 SUV | 0.0 | 825 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150836 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement -Unit # 515 SUV | 0.0 | 825 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150837 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement -Unit # 522 SUV | 0.0 | 825 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150838 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement -Unit # 534 SUV | 0.0 | 825 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150867 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement -Unit # 535 SUV | 0.0 | 825 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150840 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement -Unit # 536 SUV | 0.0 | 825 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150841 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement -Unit # 537 SUV | 0.0 | 825 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150845 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement -Unit # 549 SUV | 0.0 | 825 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150829 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement -Unit # 553 SUV | 0.0 | 825 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151149 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Unit 523 SUV | 0.0 | 825 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150915 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Pickup truck 1500 | 0.0 | 824 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150917 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Passenger Van | 0.0 | 821 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150788 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Arrowboard | 0.0 | 766 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 101622 | Base | DACS Inverters and RTU's removal - East | 0.0 | 765 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves removal of obsolete and out-of-service DACs inverters, RTUs and associated wiring at stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream) so as to remove clutter, thus simplifying future work and freeing up space for future station upgrades. |
| 150824 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Pickups. | 0.2 | 759 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 101632 | Base | Obsolete Revenue Metering Removal from TSs | 0.0 | 740 | System Service | Safety & Security | Powerstream | Evolution of the distribution system to permit more efficient integration of DERs to yield 18 |
| 151072 | M-Factor | Station Service Transfer Upgrade - Vaughan TS#3 | 0.1 | 726 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves replacing the manual station service transfer switch with an automatic transfer switch. This work will mitigate the risk of loss of the entire station due to DC system failure as occurred in March 2016, thus avoiding another major lengthy power interruption. |
| 151178 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - Mason Heights | 0.7 | 716 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 5 outages. 1 cable with 4 failures. 2 cables with 2 failures. |
| 150827 | Base | Fleet_West_Equipment Replacement_Backhoe | 0.1 | 699 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150869 | Base | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement-Puller/tensioner 194 | 0.3 | 693 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151082 | Base | SCADA Infrastructure | 0.1 | 688 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Guelph | |
| 150706 | Base | Alectra Station Access Harmonization - Abloy CLIQ system expansion-WEST | 0.0 | 686 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project consists of upgrade to station access in West |
| 151058 | Base | Distribution Transformer Replacements & Upgrades | 0.3 | 683 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Guelph | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental, (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |
| 150719 | Base | Upgrade of JMUX Optical Interfaces - Alectra East SONET Ring | 0.3 | 676 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | |
| 150669 | Base | Burden Allocation - System Access | 0.6 | 670 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 151048 | Base | Station Switchgear Replacement - City Centre North MS47 HV1 | 0.3 | 656 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | City Centre North MS is located centrally adjacent to Square One shopping mall, and feeds a large number of customers. It also provides back up to City Centre South MS – hence, reliability is very important. This substation project consists of replacing the HV1 44 kV switchgear and associated breaker and protection in City Centre North MS47. The current transformer protection device consists of a vintage 1973 Markham Electric oil with spring actuator type 44 kV circuit breaker. Station subject matter experts have identified this breaker as problematic. Parts are no longer available and this equipment is prone to failure. Proactive replacement of the LV switchgear, circuit breakers and protective equipment is a prudent strategy as system reliability would be greatly affected in the event of a failure. Additionally, the restoration of the system to normal conditions could take 8-10 months due to long equipment lead times. |
| 102166 | Base | SQL Expansion - IT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 636 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Expand existing SQL infrastructure to meet project demands and natural growth of database. Add system capacity, improve performance, and reduce the risk of downtime due resource constraints. |
| 150203 | Base | Station Equipment Temperature Monitoring-NORTH & TS | 0.1 | 614 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of Station Temperature Monitoring system in East and it enables controlled emergency loading beyond the nameplate rating. |
| 150871 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement-Step Van 8108 | 0.2 | 595 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150496 | Base | iPass Project Management – Planned Capital - West | 0.7 | 589 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | Help in optimizing DER performance and efficiency to improve addressing overall energy needs. |
| 150846 | M-Factor | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Step Vans | 0.4 | 589 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150732 | Base | Vault Cover Rehabilitation | 0.1 | 582 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement of lids on civil structures to avoid public risk |
| 102046 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools - Muliti Year-North & TS | 0.0 | 565 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150905 | Base | Fleet East Multi Year Shop tools | 0.0 | 544 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of tools and shop equipment required to repair and maintain vehicles to ensure vehicle availability to support capital systems projects. |
| 101816 | Base | Alectra East (South), Fault Indicator Installation and Replacement Multi-year initiative | 0.2 | 542 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is installtion of fault indictor in East - North Region which will result improved outage response, operational efficiency, and reliability |
| 151085 | M-Factor | Rear Lot Conversions | 0.1 | 531 | System Renewal | Rear Lot Conversion | Guelph | |
| 101134 | Base | Alectra East (North), Fault Indicator Installation and Replacement Multi-year initiative | 0.2 | 527 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is installition of fault indictor in East - South Region which will result improved outage response, operational efficiency, and reliability |
| 150437 | Base | OT GIS & OMS Enhancements | 0.3 | 520 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Ongoing productivity enhancements to core GIS and OMS platforms to meet internal and customer demand for functionality. |
| 151179 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - Area of Erin Mills Parkway and South Millway | 0.5 | 482 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 4 outages. 1 cable with 4 failures. |
| 150602 | Base | Smart Meter Test Facility - PowerStream RZ | 0.0 | 471 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to expand the capability of the Meter Test Facility to test increasing types of meters and AMI systems before they are placed into production. |
| 150782 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Step Van | 0.2 | 439 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151141 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement and Transformers replacement - Project - Windjammer, Mississauga | 2.7 | 432 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | From 2005-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 32 failures. This area has had an average of 2.3 outages per year. |
| 102027 | Base | Purchase of Critical Spare Parts - Multiyear - East | 0.1 | 428 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | I his project involves procurement of critical spare parts for transformer and municipal stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 101781 | Base | iPass Project Management – Planned Capital - East | 0.4 | 409 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Estimating the effects of DER contribution at the feeder and region levels to further optimize the energy flows between the Utility and its consumers |
| 150494 | Base | iPass Project Management – Planned Capital - Central-North | 0.4 | 409 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | Predicting the influence of weather conditions and DER contribution simultaneously on the power grid, for proactively mitigating local outages, |
| 150495 | Base | iPass Project Management – Planned Capital - Central-South | 0.4 | 409 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | Enable better visibility towards preventive equipment maintenance needs arising due to DER penetration which will further help mitigate outage risks, |
| 150607 | M-Factor | Station LED Lighting Upgrades - Central | 0.0 | 408 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | Replacement of inefficient lighting fixtures and lamps at stations in the Central Operational Area will result in longer lasting lighting. Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. |
| 150606 | M-Factor | Station LED Lighting Upgrades - EAST | 0.0 | 408 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | Replacement of inefficient lighting fixtures and lamps at stations in this Operational Area will result in longer lasting lighting. Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. |
| 151158 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South_Vehicle Replacement -Vans | 0.1 | 407 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150786 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-SUV | 0.0 | 403 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150868 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement-180 Loader | 0.3 | 400 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150920 | M-Factor | Fleet East Vehicle addition - Van pool van | 0.0 | 387 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150831 | M-Factor | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_SUVs_1-268,1-226,1-227 | 0.1 | 376 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150513 | Base | Installation of SWI Video security system at 4 MS stations per year - Annual Multi-year initiative-EAST | 0.2 | 372 | System Service | Safety & Security | Brampton | This project consists of installation of SWI Video security system in CentralNorth |
| 102034 | Base | Upgrade to Station Facilities (Building / Civil work) Multi-year - East | 0.1 | 340 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | MULTI ANSWER |
| 150549 | Base | ServiceNow Expansion - IT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 323 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Building and adding features within ServiceNow we will eliminate outdated processes by automating more tasks and decisions allowing us to be more efficient and productive. Enhancements include the automation of the flow of data between other systems and across programs used by various departments and ServiceNow. Servicebots will be used as ServiceNow learns from past patterns, in order to predict future outcomes, including determining risks, assigning owners, and categorizing work. Learned models set the category of the IT request and assign the task to the right team, as well as calculate associated risk of action or inaction. This capability will improve the speed and efficiency of IT service delivery. |
| 150712 | Base | New JMUX Node at AMS4 | 0.1 | 311 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | New JMUX Node at AMS4 |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 102537 | Base | Insulator Replacement - 44kV Multi year project | 0.2 | 295 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement of non-polymer insulators (Areas are prioritiezed by insulators showing signs of defects), with modern polymer style insulators, we reduce pole fires and insulator flashovers. this will also drive efficiencies as insulator washing will no longer be required once all non-polymer insulators are replaced. |
| 150100 | Base | Convert Three MS's in Bradford to WiMax Communications | 0.0 | 294 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of conversion of three Bradford MS to Wimax communication |
| 150101 | Base | Convert Three MS's in Alliston to WiMax Communications | 0.0 | 294 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of conversion of three Alliston MS to Wimax communication |
| 150610 | M-Factor | Driveway Paving - Various Stations - Multi-year initiative - Central | 0.0 | 290 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | Existing driveways at stations in the Central Operational Area that are covered in gravel and require ongoing maintenance for smoothing and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for crawl space under fencing, which presents a safety and security risk. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| 150609 | M-Factor | Driveway Paving - Various Stations - Multi-year initiative - East | 0.0 | 290 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | Existing driveways in this Operational Area that are covered in gravel require ongoing maintenance for smoothing and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for crawl space under fencing, which presents a safety and security risk. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| 150612 | M-Factor | Driveway Paving - Various Stations - Multi-year initiative - West | 0.0 | 290 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | Existing driveways in the West Operational Area that are covered in gravel require ongoing maintenance for smoothing and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for crawl space under fencing, which presents a safety and security risk. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| 150870 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement-Van 5910 | 0.1 | 289 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 102050 | Base | Various Stations-Station Lighting Upgrade/Retrofit-Energy Efficiency Lighting-initiative Multi-year - East | 0.1 | 240 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement of inefficient indoor and outdoor lighting fixtures and lamps at stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream) will result in longer lasting lighting. Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. |
| 103028 | Base | Installation of a New JMUX Node at VTS1-T1T2 | 0.1 | 238 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of installation of JMUX node at Vaughan TS1 |
| 150391 | Base | Wireless LAN Upgrade | 0.5 | 235 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Corporate Wireless LAN upgrade to replace end of life wireless infrastructure in corporate and operation center locations. |
| 151075 | Base | Fuel Pump Replacement Nebo Rd. | 0.0 | 234 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Horizon | Replacement of systems that have surpass end of life, are consistently down for repairs and parts are difficult to obtain, impacting fuel of vehicles to support capital systems projects and customer emergencies responses. |
| 151155 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Pick ups | 0.1 | 227 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 151164 | Base | Fleet_Central South key box replacement | 0.0 | 223 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Adopted the current vehicles key management system at all sites to ensure access to vehicles when required. This ensure access to vehicles after hours and tracking of vehceil keys. |
| 151038 | Base | Fleet_West_Equipment_Key Boxes | 0.0 | 223 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Adopted the current vehicles key management system at all sites to ensure access to vehicles when required. This ensure access to vehicles after hours and tracking of vehceil keys. |
| 151059 | Base | Station Switchgear Replacement - City Centre North MS47 HV2 | 0.3 | 199 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | City Centre North MS is located centrally adjacent to Square One shopping mall, and feeds a large number of customers. It also provides back up to City Centre South MS – hence, reliability is very important. The current transformer protection device consists of a vintage 1973 Markham Electric oil with spring actuator type 44 kV circuit breaker. Station subject matter experts have identified this breaker as problematic. Additionally, due to obsolescence, it is no longer supported by the manufacturer and parts are difficult to come by. |
| 151145 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - Bough Beeches Blvd. | 0.7 | 197 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 5 outages. 3 cables with 3 failures each. |
| 150686 | Base | Rockwood MS - Station RTU and Protection Relays Upgrade | 0.1 | 191 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of bus, line and transformer protection at Richmond Hill TS |
| 150510 | Base | Upgrade/Retrofit SWI Video Security System at Jim Yarrow TS station | 0.1 | 148 | System Service | Safety & Security | Brampton | This project consists of installation of SWI Video security system at Jim Yarrow TS |
| 103030 | Base | Technology Upgrades Improving the System Control Room Environment | 0.1 | 148 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | This project will continue to build on the original capital project whose scope was focused on the replacement of computers used as Operator WorkStations. The scope of this program has been expanded to account for all technology purchases required for the Control Room theater. |
| 151438 | Base | 2020 GUELPH - Fleet | 0.7 | 146 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of end of life asset due to poor conditions, high mileage and engine hours and age. Vehicle experiencing more down time at the shop for repairs and maintenance impacting vehicle availability. |
| 151108 | Base | Office Equipment | 0.1 | 144 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Guelph | Replace aging equipment within the Guelph operational centre to ensure optimal performance in managing the distribution assets |
| 150687 | Base | Woodlands MS - Station RTU & Protection Relays Replacement | 0.1 | 141 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | Woodlands MS - Station RTU & Protection Relays Replacement |
| 151245 | M-Factor | Capacitor Bank Installations | 0.0 | 135 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Guelph | This project consists of capacitor bank installation to increase power factor, capacity and reduce losses. |
| 102931 | Base | Paving of 3 MS & TS Station Driveways per year - Annual Multi-year initiative - East | 0.0 | 125 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | Existing driveways at stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream) are covered in gravel and require ongoing maintenance for smoothing and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for crawl space under fencing, which presents a safety and security risk. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| 150597 | Base | Lock Box Installs - East | 0.0 | 116 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to install lock boxes at ICI properties with restricted access to eliminate need for a customer appointment for access. |
| 151143 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement and Transformers Replacement -Project - Shelter Bay Rd. Mississauga | 1.1 | 112 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | From 2017-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 6 failures. |
| 150462 | Base | MV90 Upgrade | 0.2 | 98 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Enhancement to MV90 systems application to support process improvement requirements. As the MV90 system is one of the core applications of the organization, operational enhancements come in from a number of venues and thereby feedback into the other downstream systems. These enhancements are considered for the overall customer and organizational benefits. |
| 150569 | Base | Server OS Upgrades - IT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 95 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Operating system upgrades to support IT infrastructure. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2020 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|---|
| 150737 | Base | Facilities_East Region_Reactive Capital | 0.3 | 92 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Powerstream | Approved capital funds available to address any unforeseen and unbudgeted asset replacements/demands. Having these funds available for immediate use is critical for Facilities building operations to restore equipment/assets back to normal operations a.s.a.p. |
| 150736 | Base | Facilities_West Region_Reactive Capital | 0.3 | 92 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Horizon | Approved capital funds available to address any unforeseen and unbudgeted asset replacements/demands. Having these funds available for immediate use is critical for Facilities building operations to restore equipment/assets back to normal operations a.s.a.p. |
| 150734 | Base | Facilities_Central Region_Reactive Capital | 0.3 | 92 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Multiple | Approved capital funds available to address any unforeseen and unbudgeted asset replacements/demands. Having these funds available for immediate use is critical for Facilities building operations to restore equipment/assets back to normal operations a.s.a.p. |
| 150464 | M-Factor | Fieldworker Upgrade - IT/OT Infrastructure | 0.3 | 91 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Upgrade to increase functionality for the metering field staff to improve response times for customers |
| 150676 | Base | Mobile Devices - IT Infrastructure | 0.0 | 88 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | |
| 151078 | Base | Fleet West Major Equipment & Tools | 0.1 | 81 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Horizon | Replacement of tools and shop equipment required to repair and maintain vehicles to ensure vehicle availability to support capital systems projects. |
| 103171 | Base | Implementation of a new Alectra Network Operations Voice Radio System | 0.0 | 79 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | |
| 151069 | Base | PI Upgrades & Enhancements - IT/OT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 63 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Partner with OSIsoft (creator of PI) implement next level predictive analytics using AI (artificial intelligence) and ML (machine learning). |
| 102922 | Base | Installation/Retrofit of SWI Video Security System at 3 TS stations | 0.0 | 48 | System Service | Safety & Security | Powerstream | This project consists of installation of SWI Video security system at 3 Transformer Station in West |
| 150906 | Base | Vehicle key box system | 0.0 | 43 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | |
| 150747 | M-Factor | Net Zero Energy Emissions | 0.3 | 35 | System Service | Distributed Energy Resources (DER) | Powerstream | The project will help Alectra Utilities to ensure that growing DER challenges are met through building expertise and capability in real time monitoring, integrating and optimizing of DERs in line with customer preferences. This will lead to a system that can safely integrate and optimize value from DERs for the benefit of customers. |
| 151053 | Base | Building Sustainment | 0.2 | 32 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Guelph | Projects planned to maintain the buildings, assets and systems in a condition that contributes to maintaining efficiencies, business operations and to alleviate pressure on the operating expenditures. Planned expenditures are based on the condition and/or lifecycle of a given building or component/asset and is scheduled for replacement (e.g. condenser, furnace, windows, roofing). |
| 150704 | Base | Feeder Protection Migration to DNP - Jim Yarrow TS | 0.3 | 16 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This is part of a multi-phase project to upgrade aging and failure- prone protection systems at Jim Yarrow TS to the current protection standards. Proper protection to distribution feeders will provide protection to the assets in the case of faults. |
| 151031 | Base | Fleet Shop equipment refurbishment and replacement | 0.1 | 13 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | For the refurbishment of trailers, shop compressors and hoists to extend the life of the assets instead of replacement to reduce the need fro capital expenditures. |
| 150678 | Base | P&C Specific Tools & Testing Equipment - West | 0.0 | 12 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Enersource | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 102999 | Base | P&C Specific Tools and Testing Equipment | 0.0 | 12 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 102157 | Base | Server Refresh - IT Infrastructure | 1.0 | 10 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Ongoing server refresh to support applications. |
| 150396 | Base | Network Refresh - IT Infrastructure | 0.4 | 10 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Replacement of existing network infrastructure that is unsupported (or soon to be) including further rationalization and design modifications to support the delivery of services throughout the Alectra Environment. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2021 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|---------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150404 | Base | Kenilworth TS Power Factor Correction | 0.9 | 184,357 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of capacitor bank installation at Kenilworth TS to improve PF and to comply with IESO market rules. |
| 150725 | Base | Lines Central-North - Reactive Renewal | 1.2 | 181,454 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Brampton | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 150690 | Base | Lines Central-South - Reactive Renewal | 3.1 | 171,818 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Enersource | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 150682 | Base | Remote Fault Indicator Deployment | 0.4 | 164,221 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Multiple | This project consists of remote fault indictor deployment which will result improved outage response, operational efficiency, and reliability |
| 150259 | Base | Barrie TS Upgrade Feeders and Metering | 2.2 | 127,868 | System Access | Transmitter Related Upgrades | Powerstream | Hydro One will be rebuilding and reconfiguring its Barrie TS. As part of this upgrade, Hydro One plans to increase the capacity from 55/92 MVA to 75/125MVA and upgrade the E/4B transmission line from 115KV to 230KV. The feeder egress relocation and additional feeder will require integration reconfiguration for the six Alectra Utilities feeders (13M3 to 13M8) emanating from the station |
| 102247 | Base | Interest Capitalization | 1.2 | 104,304 | General Plant | Other General Plant | Powerstream | |
| 150596 | Base | Meter Renewal - all types but Suite - PowerStream RZ | 0.1 | 94,470 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to renew existing residential (except suite) metering equipment. |
| 101871 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East North - Commercial Services | 0.0 | 94,398 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150600 | Base | Firmware Upgrades for Smart Meters - East | 0.0 | 94,363 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to renew residential Smart meter firmware to improve communication performance. |
| 151169 | Base | Central-South - Capitalization of Locates | 0.0 | 94,345 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151174 | Base | West Lines - Capitalization locates | 0.0 | 94,319 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150631 | Base | Transformer Station Metering - Central North | 0.1 | 94,246 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew wholesale metering equipment. |
| 101696 | Base | Subdivision - North Underground Residential Distribution System Final Close out and Inspection. | 0.0 | 94,197 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 103381 | Base | East Lines - Capitalization locates | 0.1 | 94,145 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101870 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East South - Commercial Services | 0.1 | 94,022 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101869 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East North - New Residential | 0.1 | 93,989 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150650 | Base | Replace PCB Risk PT's - Enersource RZ | 0.1 | 93,977 | System Access | Metering | Enersource | unacceptable levels of PCB's, to prevent hazardous spills. |
| 101919 | Base | New Services (new and upgrades) - Commercial, Industrial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - NORTH | 0.1 | 93,955 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150647 | Base | Transformer Station Metering - Enersource RZ | 0.3 | 93,884 | System Access | Metering | Enersource | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew wholesale metering equipment. |
| 151049 | Base | Commercial, Industrial, Institutional, Apartment Connections | 0.1 | 93,830 | System Access | Customer Connections | Guelph | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101685 | Base | Subdivision - South Underground Residential Distribution System Final Close out and Inspection. | 0.1 | 93,778 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150457 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – St Catharines | 0.2 | 93,519 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151162 | Base | Non Recoverable replacement of Distribution Equipment due to accident/vandalism | 0.2 | 93,457 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Horizon | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover costs for (i.e. pole hit no vehicle at scene of accicent) |
| 101924 | Base | Mulit Unit Metering for New Buildings NORTH - PowerStream RZ | 0.2 | 93,421 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to purchase and install suite metering in new buildings in northern area of territory. |
| 150588 | Base | New Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra West | 0.3 | 93,266 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101791 | Base | New Services - new and upgrades - COMMERCIAL, INDUSTRIAL, INSTITUTIONAL (ICI) SERVICES - SOUTH | 0.2 | 92,878 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101812 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - LIS | 0.3 | 92,710 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 150754 | Base | Lines Central-North - Non-Recoverable Replacements | 0.4 | 92,705 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Brampton | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover costs for (i.e. pole hit no vehicle at scene of accicent) |
| 150726 | Base | Lines Central-South - Non-Recoverable Replacements | 0.4 | 92,705 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Enersource | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover costs for (i.e. pole hit no vehicle at scene of accicent) |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2021 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|---------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------|--|
| 101820 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Non-Recoverable Replacement | 0.3 | 92,583 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover costs for (i.e. pole hit no vehicle at scene of accicent) |
| 150389 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – Central North | 0.4 | 92,563 | System Access | Customer Connections | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150651 | Base | C & I Metering - Renewal- Brampton RZ | 0.1 | 92,561 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to renew existing ICI metering equipment. |
| 150386 | Base | New Service (new and upgrades) - Commercial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - Central North | 0.4 | 92,424 | System Access | Customer Connections | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150453 | Base | CIS CC&B Modifications(Regulatory Enhancements) | 0.9 | 92,261 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Enhancements to the CIS (CC&B) application needed to meet any regulatory requirements Such requirements in the past have been Ontario Energy Savings Program (OESP) as well as the Monthly Billing projects. |
| 150649 | Base | Suite Metering - Enersource RZ | 0.5 | 91,838 | System Access | Metering | Enersource | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew suite metering equipment. |
| 150654 | Base | C & I Metering - New Services - Brampton RZ | 0.5 | 91,553 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to purchase and install ICI metering equipment on new services. |
| 150595 | Base | C & I and Wholesale Metering - PowerStream RZ | 0.5 | 91,530 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to purchase, install, and renew ICI and wholesale metering equipment. |
| 101868 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East South - New Residential | 0.4 | 91,488 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101795 | Base | Multi Unit Metering for New Buildings SOUTH - PowerStream RZ | 0.5 | 91,273 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to purchase and install suite metering in new buildings in southern area of territory. |
| 101873 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East North - Residential Upgrades | 0.5 | 91,023 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151051 | Base | System Relocations Road Authorities | 0.7 | 91,002 | System Access | Road Authority | Guelph | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150652 | Base | New Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra Central South | 1.0 | 90,948 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150388 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – Central South | 0.7 | 90,774 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150692 | Base | New Feeder in Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra Central North | 0.8 | 90,609 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Brampton | This expenditure is required to meet the needs of the development community that construct municipal approved residential subdivisions in Alectra Utilities' Central North service territory |
| 150599 | Base | Suite Meter - Reverification - PowerStream RZ | 0.1 | 90,368 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to renew Measurement Canada seal dates on existing suite metering equipment. |
| 150455 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Commercial, Industrial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - St Catharines | 0.9 | 90,201 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150659 | Base | Residential Meters - by Metering - Brampton RZ | 0.9 | 90,096 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew residential metering equipment. Work carried out by Metering. |
| 150456 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – Hamilton | 0.9 | 89,962 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150630 | Base | New Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra Central North | 0.7 | 89,952 | System Access | Customer Connections | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101828 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Recoverable Replacement | 0.7 | 89,766 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover some costs for (i.e. pole hit, vehicle at scene of accicent, Alectra Utilities obtains most of costs (near \$0 impact too budget)) |
| 101896 | Base | New Institutional/Commercial/Industrial Subdivision Development - Alectra East | 0.9 | 89,347 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101872 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East South - Residential Upgrades | 0.8 | 89,345 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101800 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Storm Damage | 1.2 | 86,549 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 151047 | Base | Subdivisions | 0.7 | 85,393 | System Access | Customer Connections | Guelph | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150620 | Base | Metering Renewal - all types - Horizon RZ | 2.0 | 84,064 | System Access | Metering | Horizon | Multi-year project to purchase, install, and renew residential, ICI and wholesale metering equipment. |
| 101808 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Switchgears | 1.8 | 83,304 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 150648 | Base | Metering Renewal - all types but Suite - Enersource RZ | 2.3 | 82,569 | System Access | Metering | Enersource | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew residential (except suite) and ICI metering equipment. |
| 101892 | Base | New Subdivision Development - Secondary Service Lateral - Alectra East | 2.7 | 80,776 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150384 | Base | New Service (new and upgrades) - Commercial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - Central South | 3.2 | 77,904 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2021 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150449 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Commercial, Industrial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - Hamilton | 5.0 | 69,328 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151095 | Base | Reactive Restoration | 1.0 | 63,547 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Guelph | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 101824 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Distribution Equipment | 5.3 | 58,190 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 151161 | Base | Joint Use Pole Removal | 0.1 | 57,200 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | This project is for the removal of poles in the field after third party (joint use) attachements have been removed or transferred for projects already closed by Alectra Utilities. |
| 150463 | Base | Customer Self Service Portal Enhancements | 0.3 | 56,341 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Enhancement to CIS (CC&B) self service portal application to support process improvement requirements. The self service portal is the means by which Alecra customers can obtain their customer related information, interact with a CSR, post questions. The enhancements will allow Alectra customers a better experience when interacting with Alectra through the web portal channel. |
| 151050 | Base | Metering - all types - Guelph RZ | 0.4 | 55,986 | System Access | Metering | Guelph | Multi-year project to purchase, install, and renew residential, ICI and wholesale metering equipment in Guelph RZ. |
| 150469 | Base | ERP JD Edwards Enhancements | 1.1 | 55,715 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Allocation of capital funds to provide 3rd party assistance on capital work, along with creating system enhancements to the JD Edwards ERP and supporting Systems. |
| 150325 | Base | CIS CC&B Enhancements | 0.8 | 55,520 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Enhancement to CIS (CC&B) application to support process improvement requirements.As the CIS (CC&B) system is one of the core applications of the organization, operational enhancements come in from a number of venues and thereby feedback into the other downstream systems. These enhancements are considered for the overall customer and organizational benefits. |
| 101832 | Base | Joint Use Pole Removal | 0.6 | 54,024 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | This project is for the removal of poles in the field after third party (joint use) attachements have been removed or transferred for projects already closed by Alectra Utilities. |
| 101887 | Base | New Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra East | 9.4 | 44,864 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 100859 | Base | Switchgear Renewal - East | 2.7 | 43,705 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 151117 | M-Factor | Vansickle TS True-up Payment (CCRA), St.Catharines | 1.6 | 43,573 | General Plant | Connection and Cost Recovery Agreements | Horizon | In accordance with the Connection Cost Recovery Agreement, a 10 year true-up is required for Vansickle TS. |
| 151089 | Base | Overhead Rebuilds | 1.2 | 39,246 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Guelph | This project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |
| 150369 | M-Factor | New build - 44kV Feeder Extension York/Meadowpine, Mississauga | 1.8 | 37,795 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Enersource | There is no 44KV circuit on Meadowpine Blvd. and it is expected that the large customers will be connecting to the 44KV circuit. In addition 16MVA of connected load is on radial supply. This project will address the capacity constraints as well as eliminatethe current radial supply configuration for 16MVA of load. |
| 101508 | Base | Transformer Renewal - East | 2.5 | 37,474 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Powerstream | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental, (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |
| 150673 | Base | Road Authority Central (Mississauga) | 3.9 | 35,001 | System Access | Road Authority | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150134 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V37) - Langstaff and Weston, Vaughan | 1.6 | 34,877 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 20 failures. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2021 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 102387 | M-Factor | Install 44kV & 13.8kV Bryne Drive | 1.1 | 34,654 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | The City of Barrie has identified 64 acres north of Harvie Road along Bryne Drive for development of industrial/commercial/residential. Another 34 acres south of Harvie Road along Bryne Drive has been identified for industrial/commercial development. The developable areas will result in 4MVA of new load north of Harvie Road and 2.2MVA of load south of Harvie Road. There is currently no existing 44kV or 13.8kV supply along Bryne Drive between P6231 and SC13487. |
| 150405 | Base | 25M9 Jim Yarrow TS Extensions | 0.3 | 33,741 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Brampton | Jim Yarrow TS extension with new underground line extending 25M9 from Winston Churchill Blvd utility corridor to Steeles Ave in order to back up 25M8 & 25M10 to accomodate future load growth in Churchill Business Community Park and residential developments between Steeles Ave & Embleton Rd |
| 150645 | Base | Road Authority Central (Brampton) | 4.7 | 31,814 | System Access | Road Authority | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150828 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects- West | 0.9 | 31,592 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 151452 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-West | 0.9 | 31,590 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 101762 | Base | Road Authority Expenditure PS South | 4.1 | 31,544 | System Access | Road Authority | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101355 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects- East | 0.9 | 31,425 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 151450 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-East | 0.9 | 31,425 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 103633 | M-Factor | Install Two 27.6kV Ccts on 16th Ave from Hwy 404 to Woodbine Ave | 5.5 | 30,531 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is to reroute two 27.6kV feeders along 16th Ave from Woodbine Ave in Markham to Leslie St Richmond Hill to supply new load in Richmond Hill. The customers on Leslie St north of 16th Ave in Richmond Hill are supplied by feeder 12M5, 12M7, and 12M12. There is only 290A or 14 MVA capacity left on these feeders for future development. |
| 151363 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M25) - 14th - McCowan - Steeles - Old Kennedy, Markham | 0.8 | 27,519 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 14 failures. |
| 150072 | M-Factor | Markham TS#3 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades | 0.1 | 27,028 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to upgrade the Markham TS#3 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades with new relays having fault recording capabilities. |
| 100867 | Base | Pole Renewal - East | 5.0 | 25,595 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |
| 151074 | Base | Reactive renewal | 3.3 | 25,136 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Horizon | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 151362 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M39) - 16th - Warden - Hwy 7 - Woodbine, Markham | 0.7 | 23,714 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 8 failures. |
| 151360 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M31) - 14th - Old Kennedy - Steeles - Warden, Markham | 0.8 | 23,641 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 38 failures. |
| 150070 | M-Factor | Markham TS#1 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades | 0.2 | 22,500 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to upgrade the Markham TS#1 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades with new relays having fault recording capabilities. |
| 151043 | Base | Transformer Renewal - Central South | 1.9 | 21,870 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Enersource | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental, (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2021 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150467 | M-Factor | CIS CC&B upgrade | 6.5 | 21,392 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Aligns with Alectra customer experience plan requirements and enhanced services and emerging channels. Comprised of third party integration support, hardware, resources, testing to support existing Alectra platform as the current version 2.5 support ends in 2022. Project ensures enhanced functionality, compatibility with ancillary systems, security upgrades, automation and rate billing engine to support future utility DER integration. |
| 150688 | Base | Station Sustainment & Protection & Control CASCADE Expansion | 0.0 | 20,717 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Expand the use of the CASCADE system to be used by the Protection and Control asset in addition to the Station assets |
| 150371 | M-Factor | New build - 27.6kV Feeder Extension Traders, Mississauga | 2.8 | 19,792 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Enersource | Install new feeders in the Traders area between Hurontario St and Kennedy Rd from Matheson Blvd to Britannia Rd required to meet demand due to intensification and to ensure the reliability of current customers. |
| 150664 | Base | Residential Meters - by Lines - Brampton RZ | 0.7 | 18,167 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew residential metering equipment. Work carried out by Lines. |
| 151109 | Base | Switch Replacement | 0.2 | 18,145 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacment units |
| 150458 | Base | MAS upgrade - IT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 17,426 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Metering Automation System for smart grid Upgrade to be implemented in a phased approach over the next 4 years as smart grid continues to evolve work in conjunction with the metering team to analyze and implement leverage new technologies to provide better more accurate meter readings be responsive to the issues first not reactive when a customer calls |
| 151104 | Base | Distribution Automation - Central North | 0.5 | 17,389 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Brampton | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 151318 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (I3) -Bovaird - Dixie - Queen - Hwy 410, Brampton | 0.4 | 16,119 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 6 outages due to cable failures from 2014 to 2015 with average duration of 71 minutes. |
| 151216 | Base | Purchase & Installation of Station DC System Monitoring - South West | 0.0 | 15,958 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Guelph | This project involves the installation of real-time monitoring at Arlen TS in the South West Operating Area (Guelph) that eliminates required on-site maintenance activities such as routine manual battery testing. By the addition of DC System monitoring, it will ensure that any potential problems will be immediately reported via SCADA/PI System Notification and help to avoid a station outage due to DC system failure as occurred at Vaughan TS#3. It will also reduce the human interaction with the dangerous DC Equipment and dramatically reduce the hazard/risk to the worker by eliminating the need to perform battery testing. |
| 150639 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 4 Station DC System Monitoring-Multi- year initiative-CENTRAL | 0.0 | 15,736 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of installation of DC charging system for Central South Sations. A better real-time assessment of Station batteries will lower the risk of battery failure/fire/explosion |
| 150638 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 4 Station DC System Monitoring-Multi- year initiative-East | 0.0 | 15,736 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project consists of installation of DC charging system for Central North Sations. A better real-time assessment of Station batteries will lower the risk of battery failure/fire/explosion |
| 150636 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 4 Station DC System Monitoring-Multi- year initiative-North & TS | 0.0 | 15,736 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of installation of DC charging system for East Sations. A better real-time assessment of Station batteries will lower the risk of battery failure/fire/explosion |
| 150640 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 4 Station DC System Monitoring-Multi- year initiative-WEST | 0.0 | 15,736 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of installation of DC charging system for West Sations. A better real-time assessment of Station batteries will lower the risk of battery failure/fire/explosion |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2021 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|---------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150285 | Base | Transformer Renewal - Central North | 0.8 | 14,492 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Brampton | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental, (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |
| 101027 | Base | Switch Renewal - East | 0.7 | 14,309 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacment units |
| 150504 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 10 Self Recharging Transformer Air Breathers on TS and MS Transformers - Multi-year initiative-WEST | 0.1 | 14,105 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of installation of transformer air breather at TS and MS stations in East. Moisture in transformer oil is a major cause of acceleration of transformer ageing and internal failure of transformers. By elimination of moisture ingress through the transformer breather, and having the breather perform this task automatically without human intervention ensures that the transformer oil moisture is minimal. |
| 102098 | Base | Client - IT Infrastructure | 1.2 | 13,761 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | To upgrade desktop/laptop/mobile devices that are 5 years or older (Approximately 20% of our equipment). Net new equipment for mobile computing as well as upgrades and replacements for damaged devices. Request made by various business units. This budget also includes RSA tokens\Licenses, monitors, mice, keyboards, docking stations, tablets and small non-MFP printers. Out of Scope: Large MFP Printers |
| 151214 | Base | Purchase & Install Self Re-Charging Transformer Air Breathers | 0.0 | 13,524 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Guelph | This project consists of installation of transformer air breather at TS and MS stations in Guelph. Moisture in transformer oil is a major cause of acceleration of transformer ageing and internal failure of transformers. By elimination of moisture ingress through the transformer breather, and having the breather perform this task automatically without human intervention ensures that the transformer oil moisture is minimal. |
| 151247 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects- Guelph | 0.6 | 13,414 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Guelph | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 102232 | Base | Capital Funds for Emergency P&C Purchases - East | 0.0 | 13,271 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project provides funds for the emergency procurement of Intelligent Electronic Devices (IED), communications equipment, protective relays, and other critical spare parts for the municipal/transformer substations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream). These parts are critical to maintaining the viability of key operations' systems and the conformance to the ESA Regulation 22/04. Failure or underperformance of this equipment could cause a catastrophic failure of a key component of the distribution grid. |
| 150683 | Base | Capital Funds for Emergency P&C Purchases - Central North | 0.0 | 13,185 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project provides funds for the emergency procurement of Intelligent Electronic Devices (IED), communications equipment, protective relays, and other critical spare parts for the municipal/transformer substations in the Central North Operating Area (Brampton). These parts are critical to maintaining the viability of key operations' systems and the conformance to the ESA Regulation 22/04. Failure or underperformance of this equipment could cause a catastrophic failure of a key component of the distribution grid. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2021 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------|--|
| 150685 | Base | Capital Funds for Emergency P&C Purchases - West | 0.0 | 13,185 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | This project provides funds for the emergency procurement of Intelligent Electronic Devices (IED), communications equipment, protective relays, and other critical spare parts for the municipal/transformer substations in the West Operating Area (former Horizon). These parts are critical to maintaining the viability of key operations' systems and the conformance to the ESA Regulation 22/04. Failure or underperformance of this equipment could cause a catastrophic failure of a key component of the distribution grid. |
| 150684 | Base | Capital Funds for Emergency P&C Purchases - Central South | 0.0 | 13,185 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | This project provides funds for the emergency procurement of Intelligent Electronic Devices (IED), communications equipment, protective relays, and other critical spare parts for the municipal/transformer substations in the Central South Operating Area (former Mississauga). These parts are critical to maintaining the viability of key operations' systems and the conformance to the ESA Regulation 22/04. Failure or underperformance of this equipment could cause a catastrophic failure of a key component of the distribution grid. |
| 150784 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects- Central North | 0.7 | 12,968 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 151453 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-Guelph | 0.6 | 12,922 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 150823 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects- Central South | 0.7 | 12,655 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 102099 | Base | Printer & Copier Fleet Replacement | 0.0 | 12,636 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Replacement of existing fleet of printers/copiers that are 5 or more years old. This will include non-MFP printers. Replacement to be determined by an evaluation of evolving needs and corporate requirements as well as age of equipment. |
| 151449 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-Central North | 0.9 | 12,176 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 103211 | Base | Misc Software Upgrades (FormScape, AutoCAD, etc.) - IT/OT Infrastructure | 0.0 | 12,021 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Upgrade/ acquire software as required / requested by business. |
| 151451 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-Central South | 0.9 | 11,936 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 151233 | M-Factor | New Construction - Campbell TS 36M63 Feeder PHASE 1 & 2, Guelph | 1.2 | 11,851 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Guelph | This project identifies a new 13.8kV feeder required from Campbell TS to bring additional load support to NW section of the city as the existing 13.8kV feeders in the area are unable to accommodate the additional load growth |
| 150579 | Base | New build - Extend Bunting M81 Feeder, St.Catharines | 1.5 | 11,461 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Horizon | This project is to extend M81 feeder to supply new development and meet contigency condition in St. Catharines. This project will deal with ongoing capacity constraints in the North end of St.Catharines by bringing available supply from Bunting and Carlton TS's |
| 103180 | Base | Citrix Xen Virtualization Expansion - IT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 11,299 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Client computing virtualization (Citrix) is currently the standard delivery method for applications and desktops at Alectra. This system has had a tremendous uptake in the organization and requires an upgrade in back-end infrastructure to support the additional demand from the business. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2021 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|---|
| 150693 | M-Factor | Blockchain | 0.4 | 11,192 | System Service | Distributed Energy Resources (DER) | Multiple | The project will prepare Alectra Utilities to engage with customers in a real-time and transparent process to record the flow of electricity to and from DERs, enabling the efficient procurement of distribution benefits, such as demand response and frequency regulation. The project will provide a robust settlement mechanism between Alectra and customers, backed by timely and efficient financial transactions, to enable overall trust and customer value delivery and leading to increased customer satisfaction. |
| 151302 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Rymal - Mud - Upper Centennial - Upper Red Hill Valley | 0.9 | 11,132 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 8 failures, or 14 failures per 100km. This area includes the 331X & 341X feeders which were identified as a Worst Performing Feeder in 2018. The average cable installation year is 1989 in this project scope. |
| 151314 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (G2) -Wanless - Kennedy - Bovaird - Main, Brampton | 0.3 | 11,046 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 4 outages due to cable failures from 2013 to 2017 with average duration of 125 minutes. |
| 150665 | Base | Emerging Customer Initiated Work (West) | 1.5 | 10,905 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151465 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement - Mississauga Left Behind Cable | 0.4 | 10,857 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | This project is to address cables which were part of a cable injection project but were ultimately not injectable at the time of project execution. These 'left behind' segments must be addressed, if they are left in the system they could cause a failure. Customers would not only experience an outage, but question the utilities work practices as we would have addressed only a portion of the cables instead of all the cables which could cause an outage. |
| 101562 | Base | Arc Flash Mitigation Projects | 0.0 | 10,619 | System Service | Safety & Security | Powerstream | This project is to mitigate arc flash risk at East stations. |
| 151299 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (HAM) - Millen - Barton - Fruitland | 1.1 | 10,342 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 16 failures, or 44 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1970 in this project scope. |
| 150653 | Base | Road Authority West (St. Catharines) | 0.9 | 10,217 | System Access | Road Authority | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150615 | Base | Metering Tools & Equipment - Central North | 0.0 | 9,947 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Brampton | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150616 | Base | Metering Tools & Equipment - Central South | 0.0 | 9,947 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Enersource | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150613 | Base | Metering Tools & Equipment - East | 0.0 | 9,947 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150614 | Base | Metering Tools & Equipment - West | 0.0 | 9,947 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Horizon | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 151402 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Montevideo & Treviso (19a), Mississauga | 5.2 | 9,918 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 10 outages, the length is 14,151 m, and the average cable age is 34 years old. |
| 151456 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (V50) - Hwy 7 - Kipling - Steeles - Hwy 27, Vaughan | 0.9 | 9,188 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 3 failures in 2018. |
| 151063 | Base | Pole Renewal - Central South | 3.9 | 9,065 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | This project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |
| 100886 | Base | Distribution Automation - East | 1.3 | 9,041 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Powerstream | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 151275 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (SCH) - QEW - Highway 406 - Martindale Road | 0.6 | 8,905 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 3 failures, or 11 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1988 in this project scope. |
| 150808 | Base | Implementation of Doble - Enoserv PowerBase and Enoserv RTS applications for Protection and Control Department | 0.0 | 8,798 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | PowerBase is the premier application to manage and report on protection assets maintenance and engineering records. It manages workflow and connect with maintenance management systems such as Cascade. RTS is a vendor neutral software which allows connection to a variety of test sets. It offers an extensive relay test plan library. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2021 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|---------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 151300 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Millen - Barton - Fruitland | 0.7 | 8,690 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 16 failures, or 44 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1987 in this project scope. |
| 151389 | Base | 2021 GUELPH - Remotely Controlled Switches | 0.4 | 8,628 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Guelph | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 150418 | Base | 42M62 Extension Bovaird Dr, Mississauga Rd to Heritage Rd | 0.4 | 8,351 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Brampton | This project consists of 42M62 extension on Bovaird drive from Mississauga Road to Heritage Road. The 42M62 circuit extension will supply industrial on Heritage Road, as well as new residential developments |
| 101804 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools | 0.4 | 8,158 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150509 | Base | Installation of Transformer Bushing Monitoring Yarrow TS and MS txmrs-Multi Year -EAST | 0.1 | 7,885 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project consists of installation of Transformer bushing monitoring system in Central North. Bushing monitoring unit provides real time condition monitoring of the transformer bushings. |
| 150709 | Base | Spare Station Transformer Bushing Conversion from PILC to XLPE - West | 0.1 | 7,848 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | This project involves converting the spare station transformer primary and secondary bushings/compartments from PILC to XLPE in the West Operating Area (former Horizon). The spare transformers are used when a failure occurs on a station transformer. The PILC cable will be replaced with XLPE at the station in order to improve station reliability and worker and equipment safety. The conversion of bushings is critical as primary bushing compartments have ruptured on multiple occasions in 2017 in the West Operating Area - causing oil spills larger than 200 liters. |
| 151313 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (F5) - Steeles - Main - Hwy 407 - McLaughlin, Brampton | 0.3 | 7,751 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 5 outages due to cable failures from 2007 to 2016 with average duration of 80 minutes. |
| 102038 | Base | Installation of Transformer Bushing Monitoring on TS and MS txmrs- Multi Year | 0.1 | 7,735 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of installation of Transformer bushing monitoring system in East. bushing monitoring unit provides real time condition monitoring of the transformer bushings. |
| 151349 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V16) - Langstaff - Dufferin - Steeles - Jane, Vaughan | 0.9 | 7,572 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 23 failures. |
| 150282 | Base | Switchgear Renewal - Central North | 0.5 | 7,521 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 150508 | Base | Installation of Transformer Bushing Monitoring on MS txmrs-Multi Year -CENTRAL | 0.2 | 7,509 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of installation of Transformer bushing monitoring system in Central South. bushing monitoring unit provides real time condition monitoring of the transformer bushings. |
| 151325 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (M31) - 14th - Old Kennedy - Steeles - Warden, Markham | 3.5 | 7,412 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 38 failures. |
| 151316 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (H2) - Wanless - Heart Lake - Bovaird - Kennedy, Brampton | 0.3 | 7,371 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 4 outages due to cable failures from 2009 to 2017 with average duration of 107 minutes. |
| 150284 | Base | Pole Renewal - Central North | 2.1 | 7,305 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | This project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |
| 102017 | Base | Sorbweb Oil Containment Systems - 4 Transformers -Multiyear initiative-North & TS | 0.3 | 7,275 | System Service | Safety & Security | Powerstream | This project consists of Sorbweb Oil containment system in East. Protects the environment in the event of a transformer tank rupture |
| 150714 | Base | MS Transformer Tank and Radiator Reconditioning- Multi-year initiative - Central | 0.1 | 6,819 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | This project is intended for corrosion mitigation of station power transformer main tanks and cooling radiators in the Central South Operating Area (former Enersource). It also prevents oil from leaking out of failed tanks and radiators due to corrosion. Preventing the main tank and radiators from corrosion extends the useful life of the unit and improves the reliability to customers. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2021 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150713 | Base | MS Transformer Tank and Radiator Reconditioning- Multi-year initiative - East | 0.1 | 6,819 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project is intended for corrosion mitigation of station power transformer main tanks and cooling radiators in the Central North Operating Area (Brampton). It also prevents oil from leaking out of failed tanks and radiators due to corrosion. Preventing the main tank and radiators from corrosion extends the useful life of the unit and improves the reliability to customers. |
| 150715 | Base | MS Transformer Tank and Radiator Reconditioning- Multi-year initiative - West | 0.1 | 6,819 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | This project is intended for corrosion mitigation of station power transformer main tanks and cooling radiators in the West Operating Area (former Horizon). It also prevents oil from leaking out of failed tanks and radiators due to corrosion. Preventing the main tank and radiators from corrosion extends the useful life of the unit and improves the reliability to customers. |
| 150644 | Base | Road Authority West (Hamilton) | 1.5 | 6,689 | System Access | Road Authority | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150507 | Base | 230kV TS Transformer Primary Bushing Monitoring Enablement-BPD Elimination - 4 TS Transformers-Multi-year initiative-TS | 0.5 | 6,521 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to install primary bushing monitoring at TS in EAST |
| 150352 | Base | Voltage Conversion - Central MS, Hamilton | 1.5 | 6,498 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 23 outages and had 1,060,300 customer minutes of interruption (75 mins per customer per year). The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. Specifically Central MS is the only station remaining in service with oil breakers which are functionally obsolete with no spare parts readily available. |
| 151310 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (E4) - Queen - McLaughlin - Steeles - Chinguacousy, Brampton | 0.3 | 6,421 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 4 outages due to cable failures from 2008 to 2016 with average duration of 104 minutes. |
| 150357 | Base | New build - 25M9 Extension to Derry Rd, Mississauga | 1.7 | 6,401 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Enersource | This project consists of 25M9 extension into Mississauga to provide additional 27.6KV capacity. It will offload Erindale TS in Mississauga and avoid building Mini-Britannia MS and also provides capacity back to Brampton using a link along Derry Rd. |
| 151044 | Base | Switch Renewal - Central South | 0.3 | 6,319 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacement units |
| 103659 | Base | Storm Hardening - Four-Circuit Poles | 1.9 | 6,255 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | |
| 150514 | Base | Sorbweb Oil Containment Systems - 4 Transformers -Multiyear Initiative-WEST | 0.3 | 6,213 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project consists of Sorbweb Oil containment system in West. Protects the environment in the event of a transformer tank rupture |
| 151336 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BA22) - Sunnidale and Anne, Barrie | 1.9 | 6,208 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 2 failures in 2019. |
| 151086 | Base | Insulator Replacements | 0.1 | 5,949 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of non-polymer insulators (Areas are prioritized by insulators showing signs of defects), with modern polymer style insulators, we reduce pole fires and insulator flashovers. this will also drive efficiencies as insulator washing will no longer be required once all non-polymer insulators are replaced. |
| 102128 | M-Factor | Aurora MS6 Expansion | 0.8 | 5,910 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | 2C land is currently supplied by a 13.8kV feeder 5F2. It had a peak of 269A in 2018 and does not have sufficient capacity to supply future growth in the 2C lands. New feeder capacity is required to supply the additional load. |
| 150066 | Base | Basement Flood Risk Mitigation - Vaughan TS#2 | 0.1 | 5,867 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves mitigating the flood risk at the Vaughan TS#2 where there is equipment installed in the basement by relocating or repositioning this equipment. This critical equipment includes DC system, AC and DC panels, feeder switches, UPS, ATS located in the basement of this station. Damage to this equipment due to flooding would cause extensive power interruptions to many customers. |
| 150351 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion - Aberdeen MS, Hamilton | 2.1 | 5,675 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 16 outages and had 500,475 minutes of interruption. The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2021 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 151404 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Central Pk E & Miss. Valley (28) | 8.4 | 5,611 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 11 outages, the length is 7,100 m, and the average cable age is 42 years old. There are 27 backlot transformers that we are also replacing. |
| 150337 | Base | Switch Renewal - West | 0.4 | 5,476 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacement units |
| 151055 | Base | Major Tools | 0.1 | 5,432 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Guelph | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150067 | Base | Basement Flood Risk Mitigation - Markham TS#4 | 0.1 | 5,410 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves mitigating the flood risk at the Markham TS#4 where there is equipment installed in the basement by relocating or repositioning this equipment. This critical equipment includes DC system, AC and DC panels, feeder switches, UPS, ATS located in the basement of this station. Damage to this equipment due to flooding would cause extensive power interruptions to many customers. |
| 150598 | Base | Suite Metering - Renewals & Retrofits - PowerStream RZ | 0.4 | 5,394 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to purchase and install suite metering equipment in existing buildings upgraded from bulk metering. |
| 150515 | Base | Sorbweb Oil Containment Systems - 4 Transformers -Multiyear initiative-CENTRAL | 0.3 | 5,352 | System Service | Safety & Security | Enersource | This project consists of Sorbweb Oil containment system in Central South. Protects the environment in the event of a transformer tank rupture |
| 150516 | Base | Sorbweb Oil Containment Systems - 4 Transformers -Multiyear initiative-EAST | 0.3 | 5,352 | System Service | Safety & Security | Brampton | This project consists of Sorbweb Oil containment system in Central North. Protects the environment in the event of a transformer tank rupture |
| 150336 | Base | Transformer Renewal - West | 0.7 | 5,294 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Horizon | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental, (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |
| 150750 | Base | Lines Central-North - Major Tools | 0.1 | 5,234 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Brampton | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150009 | Base | Insulator Renewal - East | 0.2 | 5,108 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement of non-polymer insulators (Areas are prioritized by insulators showing signs of defects), with modern polymer style insulators, we reduce pole fires and insulator flashovers. this will also drive efficiencies as insulator washing will no longer be required once all non-polymer insulators are replaced. |
| 151203 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - Stations South West | 0.1 | 4,921 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Guelph | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the South West Operating Area (Guelph) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have spares readily available in order to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |
| 102042 | Base | Purchase and Installation of Animal Guards at Various Stations- Annual Multi-year initiative-North & TS | 0.0 | 4,894 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of animal guard installation in East |
| 150605 | M-Factor | Residential "ICON F" Meter Replacement - PowerStream RZ | 4.2 | 4,853 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to replace Icon-F smart meters with secure encryption, last gasp and tamper detection capabilities. |
| 151403 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Montevideo & Battleford (19b), Mississauga | 1.7 | 4,849 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 12 outages, the length is 5,013 m, and the average cable age is 39 years old. |
| 151328 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- (21a) Darcel & Brandon Gate, Mississauga | 1.4 | 4,689 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 6 outages, the length is 4,087 m, and the average cable age is 46 years old. |
| 151083 | Base | Switchgear Renewal - West | 0.4 | 4,684 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 151010 | Base | Switch Renewal - Central North | 0.6 | 4,604 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacement units |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2021 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|--|
| 150720 | Base | Lines Central-South - Major Tools | 0.2 | 4,591 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Enersource | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 151433 | Base | Cable Injection- 008- AREA46 - Glen Erin & Aquitane, Mississauga | 0.6 | 4,471 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | There are 6 outages, we are injecting a total of 19,827 m of cable for this project, and the average age of the cable is 33 years. |
| 151401 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- (21b) Sigsbee & Morning Star, Mississauga | 1.0 | 4,296 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 7 outages, the length is 2,956 m, and the average cable age is 46 years old. |
| 151021 | Base | Insulator Renewal - Central North | 0.4 | 4,236 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | Replacement of non-polymer insulators (Areas are prioritiezed by insulators showing signs of defects), with modern polymer style insulators, we reduce pole fires and insulator flashovers. this will also drive efficiencies as insulator washing will no longer be required once all non-polymer insulators are replaced. |
| 150536 | Base | Implement database security - IT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 4,195 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | This project will address security risks related to data-at-rest. |
| 150674 | Base | Emerging Customer Initiated Work Central (Brampton) | 0.2 | 4,184 | System Access | Customer Connections | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101763 | Base | Unforeseen Projects Initiated by the customer PS North | 0.1 | 4,161 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150679 | M-Factor | Alectra Drive for the Workplace | 0.2 | 3,997 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Multiple | Alectra Drive for the Workplace will demonstrate the value of integrating smart electric vehicle (EV) charging system at workplaces into the distribution grid such that mass uptake of electric vehicles can be managed in a safe and reliable manner. The planned investment will help manage the flow of electricity needed to serve the building and EV charging stations, so that electricity costs are minimized for commercial customers while EV drivers have an easy and accessible charging solution. |
| 150320 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion - Dewitt MS , Hamilton | 1.0 | 3,972 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 12 outages and had 888,434 minutes of interruption. The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. |
| 151348 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M20) - Hwy 7 - Markham - 14th - McCowan, Markham | 0.4 | 3,971 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 14 failures. |
| 150408 | Base | 42M71 Feeder Egress Salvation Rd, West, Creditview, Wanless | 0.4 | 3,769 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Brampton | This project consists of 42M71 feeder egress and ties to 42M61 and 42M43 will provide contingency to circuits nearing planning limits. |
| 151430 | Base | Cable Injection- 005- AREA 38- Bristol & Creditview, Mississauga | 0.9 | 3,746 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | There is 1 outage, we are injecting a total of 11,193 m of cable for this project, and the average age of the cable is 32 years. |
| 102075 | Base | Major repairs, refurbishment, or modifications to switches/switchgear | 0.1 | 3,702 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Switchgear and Switches in stores that repair/refurbishment of the units can allow them to be returned to service |
| 150521 | Base | Security Additions & Enhancements | 0.2 | 3,700 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | As per Alectra Security roadmap to key IT systems. |
| 150376 | Base | New build - Hamilton South Mountain feeders capacity relief, Hamilton | 1.1 | 3,694 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Horizon | This project is build new feeder to alleviate capacity in South Hamilton and to alleviate on the four feeders which are over the planning limit |
| 150415 | Base | 42M65 Feeder Egress Pleasant TS to SG20-1438 | 0.5 | 3,641 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Brampton | This project consists of 42M65 feeder egress out of Pleasant TS and will supply the redvelopments in downtown. |
| 151428 | Base | Cable Injection- 002- AREA 30- Eglinton Ave W & Miss Rd, Mississauga | 0.6 | 3,632 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | There are 2 outages, we are injecting a total of 14,541 m of cable for this project, and the average age of the cable is 31 years. |
| 151276 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (SCH) - Vansickle | 0.4 | 3,556 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 2 failures, or 11 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1990 in this project scope. |
| 151200 | M-Factor | Alectra Single Platform Website ongoing | 0.1 | 3,548 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Ongoing enhancements to the Alectra platform to improve functionality for customers |
| 150498 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - Stations Central South | 0.3 | 3,535 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the Central South Operating Area (former Enersource) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have spares readily available in order to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2021 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 150499 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - Stations Central North | 0.3 | 3,535 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the Central North Operating Area (Brampton) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have spares readily available in order to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |
| 150497 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - Stations West | 0.3 | 3,535 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the West Operating Area (former Horizon) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have spares readily available in order to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |
| 150047 | M-Factor | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Royal Orchard - North | 1.0 | 3,478 | System Renewal | Rear Lot Conversion | Powerstream | This area has had an average of 3 failures per year lasting 4 hours per year based on 3 year average. |
| 151462 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (G1) - Hwy 410 - Kennedy - Wanless - Main, Brampton | 0.3 | 3,438 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | From 2000-2018 customers in this area experienced 2 failures. Exact number of failures in recent years is unknown at this moment. |
| 150774 | Base | Lines Central-North - Site Restorations | 0.1 | 3,434 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | |
| 150721 | Base | Lines Central-South - Site Restorations | 0.1 | 3,434 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | |
| 151344 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (R16) - Major Mackenzie - Bayview - 16th - Yonge, Richmond Hill | 0.3 | 3,397 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 16 failures. |
| 150672 | Base | Burden Allocation - System Service | 0.1 | 3,353 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Horizon | |
| 150537 | Base | Cyber Security - Upgrade access management controls | 0.3 | 3,321 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | As per Alectra Security roadmap to key IT systems with focus on end user access management controls. |
| 150390 | M-Factor | New build - Waterdown 3rd Feeder, Hamilton | 1.7 | 3,248 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Horizon | This project is to alleviate capacity issues in the Waterdown area of Hamilton, primarily served by two Dundas 27.6kV feeders |
| 150263 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - East Left Behind Cable | 2.7 | 3,237 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in these areas have experienced 11 failures. |
| 102065 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - East | 0.3 | 3,161 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream area) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have spares readily available in order to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |
| 150611 | Base | Transformer Tank and Radiator Reconditioning- Multi-year initiative - East | 0.2 | 3,117 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project is intended for corrosion mitigation of station power transformer main tanks and cooling radiators in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream). It also prevents oil from leaking out of failed tanks and radiators due to corrosion. Preventing the main tank and radiators from corrosion extends the useful life of the unit and improves the reliability to customers. |
| 150623 | Base | Purchase and Installation of Animal Guarding-Annual Multi-year initiative-WEST | 0.0 | 3,095 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of animal guard installation in West |
| 150622 | Base | Purchase and Installation of Animal Guarding-Annual Multi-year initiative-CENTRAL | 0.0 | 3,093 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of installation of Animal guard at Central South Stations |
| 150621 | Base | Purchase and Installation of Animal Guarding-Annual Multi-year initiative-EAST | 0.0 | 3,082 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project consists of installation of Animal guard at East Stations |
| 150089 | M-Factor | Markham TS#3 T1/T2 "B" Differential Protections Upgrade | 0.1 | 2,935 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to replace the T1/T2 GEC solid state Transformer "B" Differential Protection relays at Markham TS#3 with new relays having fault recording capabilities |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2021 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 151393 | Base | 2021 GUELPH - Transite Underground Duct Replacement | 0.3 | 2,918 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of Duct Structure which contains asbestoes a known carcinogen and impacts worker safety. |
| 151286 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (H2) - Wanless - Heart Lake - Bovaird - Kennedy, Brampton | 0.4 | 2,868 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 6 outages due to cable failures from 2011 to 2017 with an average duration of 126 minutes |
| 101625 | Base | Cyber Security Audit & Upgrades | 0.1 | 2,865 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | This project would fund various activities and countermeasures to improve the cyber security posture of the Operations' Network. This will be a mandate for compliance to Ontario Cyber Security framework. The counter measures include: - an annual audit of the cyber security countermeasures in place; - implementation of new technologies to seal identified compromises; - replacement of deployed cyber security equipment deemed end of life or not able to deliver an expected level of service. |
| 150783 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Pick ups | 0.1 | 2,848 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150671 | Base | Burden Allocation - System Renewal | 1.7 | 2,831 | System Renewal | Other System Renewal | Horizon | |
| 150632 | Base | AMI Gatekeeper Expansion - Brampton RZ | 0.0 | 2,820 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to expand and upgrade AMI field communication equipment to service new connections. |
| 151346 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M45) - Hwy 7 and Woodbine, Markham | 0.3 | 2,650 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 9 failures. |
| 150079 | M-Factor | Markham TS#1 T1/T2 "B" Overcurrent Protections and HMI Upgrade | 0.2 | 2,596 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to upgrade Markham TS#1 T1/T2 "B" Overcurrent Protections with fault recording ability and HMI Upgrade |
| 151427 | Base | Cable Injection- 001- AREA 11- Truscott & Southdown, Mississauga | 0.4 | 2,550 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | There are 2 outages, we are injecting a total of 21,576 m of cable for this project, and the average age of the cable is 32 years. |
| 150332 | M-Factor | Non-Wires Alternative Pilot | 0.8 | 2,537 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Powerstream | The project will provide customers with increased flexibility to make decisions about their electricity consumption, generation, and costs; it leads to more efficient integration of DERs which yields greater benefits to customer, system reliability, and power quality. This project will also provide the opportunity to increase operational efficiency and improved asset management to enhance service to customer and defer and/or reduce infrastructure investment needs in York Region. |
| 150681 | Base | Data Analytics - IT/OT Infrastructure | 0.4 | 2,528 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | This project will develop a data analytics platform to provide enhanced customer value by implementing preventative measures to improve overall outage detection and prediction with use-cases focusing on Distributed Energy Resource (DER) monitoring, integration and optimization. |
| 150580 | Base | West Region Tools and Test Equipment | 0.2 | 2,494 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Horizon | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150627 | Base | Station Equipment Temperature Monitoring-EAST | 0.1 | 2,479 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project consists of Station Temperature Monitoring system in Central North and it enables controlled emergency loading beyond the nameplate rating. |
| 150629 | Base | Station Equipment Temperature Monitoring-WEST | 0.1 | 2,479 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of Station Temperature Monitoring system in West and it enables controlled emergency loading beyond the nameplate rating. |
| 151202 | Base | Purchase of Major Station Tools - Multi-year | 0.0 | 2,473 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Guelph | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 151342 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M40) - Major Mackenzie - Warden - 16th - Woodbine, Markham | 0.2 | 2,470 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 16 failures. |
| 150625 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools - Muliti Year-CENTRAL | 0.0 | 2,395 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Enersource | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150624 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools - Muliti Year-EAST | 0.0 | 2,395 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Brampton | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150626 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools - Muliti Year-WEST | 0.0 | 2,395 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Horizon | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2021 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 151221 | Base | Metering - AMI Hardware Upgrade | 0.4 | 2,384 | System Access | Metering | Guelph | Multi-year project to expand and upgrade AMI field communication equipment to service new connections, in Guelph RZ. |
| 101761 | Base | Unforeseen Projects Initiated by the customer PS South | 0.4 | 2,365 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151061 | Base | Markham TS2 Firewall Upgrade | 0.2 | 2,335 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | Markham TS2 Firewall Upgrade |
| 151290 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (I3) - Bovaird - Dixie - Queen - Hwy 410, Brampton | 0.7 | 2,280 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 8 outages due to cable failures from 2007 to 2018 with average duration of 133 minutes. |
| 151347 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V40) - Teston - Weston - Major Mackenzie - Pine Valley, Vaughan | 0.2 | 2,274 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 7 failures. |
| 151244 | Base | Fault Indicators | 0.0 | 2,243 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Guelph | The scope of this project is to install Fault Indicators in Guelph. The project would include the fault indicators communicating to the existing SCADA and will improve the fault locating and improve SAIDI |
| 151281 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (SCH) - Lake - Linwell - Geneva - Scott | 1.0 | 2,227 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 6 failures, or 189 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1976 in this project scope. |
| 151181 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - Left Behind Cable, Brampton | 0.5 | 2,163 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | This project is to address cables which were part of a cable injection project but were ultimately not injectable at the time of project execution. These 'left behind' segments must be addressed, if they are left in the system they could cause a failure. Customers would not only experience an outage, but question the utilities work practices as we would have addressed only a portion of the cables instead of all the cables which could cause an outage. |
| 151070 | Base | Markham TS3 Firewall Upgrade | 0.0 | 2,149 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | Markham TS3 Firewall Upgrade |
| 150321 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion - Galbraith MS, Hamilton | 1.0 | 2,140 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 4 outages and had 77,467 minutes of interruption. The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. |
| 151341 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M15) - 16th - Markham - 9th - Hwy 7, Markham | 0.2 | 2,076 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 30 failures. |
| 151345 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M51) - 14th - Bayview - Steeles - Yonge, Markham | 0.2 | 2,071 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 9 failures. |
| 151343 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M14) - Hwy 7 - Markham - 9th - 14th, Markham | 0.2 | 2,070 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 10 failures. |
| 102728 | Base | Station Switchgear Replacement - Big Bay Point MS304 | 0.8 | 2,051 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This substation project consists of replacing the existing switchgear and associated equipment, as well as the primary circuit switcher at Big Bay Point MS304. The current line-up is technically obsolete, in poor condition, and is prone to failure. A failure could affect a large number of customers and potentially result in complete loss of supply from the station, requiring load transfer to another station. Proactive replacement of the existing equipment is a prudent strategy as system reliability would be greatly affected in the event of a failure. Additionally, the restoration of the system to normal conditions could take 8-10 months due to long equipment lead times. |
| 150675 | Base | Emerging Customer Inititated Work Central (Mississauga) | 0.2 | 2,049 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150558 | Base | OT Hardware Refresh | 0.7 | 2,049 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Current routers in PS backbone are limited to 100Mbps ports. Backbone with new hardware is operating at 1gbps. New routers need to operate at that capacity. Equipment in Barrie office is at end of life and hasn't been upgraded since before BHDI merger with PS in 2008. Equipment in Sandalwood is consumer grade unmanaged switches Mavis brocade switches will be nearing end of life. Replace unmanaged Linksys switches in LegHZ datacentres |
| 151329 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (V51) - Langstaff - Kipling - Hwy 7 - Hwy 27, Vaughan | 2.2 | 2,049 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 5 failures. |
| 101764 | Base | Road Authority Expenditure PS North | 1.5 | 2,038 | System Access | Road Authority | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2021 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150604 | Base | Smart Meter Network Expansion - PowerStream RZ | 0.2 | 2,036 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to expand and upgrade AMI field communication equipment to service new connections. |
| 151092 | Base | Distribution Automation - Central South | 0.5 | 2,014 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Enersource | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 151030 | Base | Addition of Sensors to SCADA Controllable 44kV LISs in Brampton | 0.3 | 2,013 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project is to add sensors to the 44KV switches in Central North |
| 150694 | M-Factor | Cityview microgrid enhancements | 0.0 | 1,985 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | The project is to understand how microgrids can be integrated into the distribution grid in a safe and reliable manner. The microgrid is used to evaluate integration and connection to the distribution grid, while reducing the building's load, greenhouse gas emissions, and increasing its resiliency to grid outages. |
| 151330 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (A01) - Henderson - Yonge - Bloomington - Bathurst, Aurora | 1.8 | 1,905 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 3 failures. |
| 151321 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (J5) - Steeles - Bramalea - Hwy 407 - Dixie, Brampton | 0.1 | 1,903 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 0 outage due to cable failures to date. Cables are 31 to 33 years old and will be at the injection eligibility threshold by the time of project execution in 2021. |
| 101013 | Base | Planned Circuit Breaker Replacement Markham TS#3 - E & Z Buses | 0.5 | 1,832 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | The existing 27.6 kV circuit breakers at Markham TS#3 have been identified in the Asset Condition Assessment (ACA) Model as requiring replacement due to obsolescence and historical failures. Failure of the existing equipment would warrant emergency replacement resulting in non-budgeted funding requirements and could result in lengthy customer interruptions. |
| 150670 | Base | SCADA FDIR | 0.1 | 1,799 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Multiple | Fault Detection, Isolation, and Restoration implementation, next generation of distribution automation technology. This utilizes devices which are already automated and integrates them into the OMS system such that devices perform self healing after a fault. in some cases reducing the outage time to less then 1 minute. |
| 150764 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Bucket Truck 1-285 | 0.4 | 1,780 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151283 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (HAM) - Mohawk | 0.8 | 1,740 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 1 failure, or 20 failures per 100km. This area includes the 2D2X feeder which was identified as a Worst Performing Feeder in 2018. The average cable installation year is 1953 in this project scope. |
| 150680 | M-Factor | Alectra Drive at Home | 0.5 | 1,731 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Multiple | Alectra Drive at Home will demonstrate the value of integrating smart electric vehicle (EV) charging system in multi rise buildings as well as residential homes into the distribution grid such that mass uptake of electric vehicles can be managed in a safe and reliable manner. It will also provide insight into the characteristics of EV Charging, how EVs can be aggregated and controlled to provide the benefit at the local, regional and provincial system levels, and customer response to these control and optimization strategies. |
| 151201 | Base | Purchase of New Critical Spare Parts - Guelph | 0.0 | 1,728 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Guelph | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for stations in the South West Operating Area (Guelph) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |
| 150912 | Base | Unit # 362 Palfinger crane truck | 0.5 | 1,660 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | |
| 150619 | Base | Purchase of Critical Spare Parts - West | 0.0 | 1,649 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for stations in the West Operating Area (former Horizon) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2021 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|---|
| 150839 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Bucket Truck 1-346 | 0.6 | 1,642 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151295 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (SCH) - Welland - Bunting - Carlton - Cushman | 0.2 | 1,635 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 2 failures, or 48 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1975 in this project scope. |
| 151385 | Base | Cable Replacement - (892) - 30-250 Janefield Ave Subdivision, Guelph | 0.7 | 1,630 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | |
| 151136 | Base | C55 Alectra: Optimization of Business Practices | 0.6 | 1,625 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Optimizing the current software to communicate with other systems such as the ERP will allow for more efficient and effective budgeting processes and consolidation of information allowing for consistent reporting of the information from one system. |
| 151436 | Base | Cable Injection-011 - Area 58 & 59- Winston Churchill & The Collegeway, Mississauga | 1.9 | 1,614 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | There are 14 outages, we are injecting a total of 69,795 m of cable for this project, and the average age of the cable is 30 years. |
| 151331 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (V41) - Stephanie Blvd, Vaughan | 1.5 | 1,604 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 2 failures. |
| 150842 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Bucket Truck 1-365 | 0.4 | 1,585 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150642 | Base | Proactive Replacement of Remote Terminal Units - Central North | 0.2 | 1,582 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project involves replacement of end-of-life remote terminal units and communications equipment at stations in the Central-North Operating Area (Brampton). Replacement equipment can be leveraged to allow for Smart Grid initiatives such as quicker fault detection and automatic isolation and restoration. If existing equipment were to fail, the ability to remotely monitor and control switching devices would be lost, thus risking longer interruptions. |
| 150617 | Base | Purchase of Critical Spare Parts - Central North | 0.0 | 1,569 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for municipal stations in the Central North Operating Area (Brampton) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |
| 151292 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- (K4) - Queen - Torbram - Steeles - Bramalea | 1.3 | 1,568 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 0 cable failure to date. Cables are 41 to 50 years old and beyond EUL. |
| 151087 | Base | Switchgear Replacement | 0.2 | 1,559 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 150618 | Base | Purchase of Critical Spare Parts - Central South | 0.0 | 1,557 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for stations in the Central South Operating Area (former Enersource) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |
| 102077 | Base | Major repair, refurbishment, or conversions of distribution transformers | 0.0 | 1,541 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Powerstream | Transformers returned or in stores where repair/refurbishment of the unit can return it to service at a lower cost then purchase of a new unit |
| 150368 | M-Factor | New build - North Central feeders capacity (Carlton TS to Linwell Rd/Lake St) relief, St.Catharines | 1.0 | 1,481 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Horizon | This project is to alleviate capacity issues in the North and Central section of St.Catharines, primarily served by Carlton BY bus feeders which are over the planning limit. |
| 151222 | Base | Concrete Structures | 0.2 | 1,469 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of lids on civil structures to avoid public risk |
| 150334 | Base | Distribution Automation - West | 0.7 | 1,424 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Horizon | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 151371 | Base | Cable Replacement - (87) - Marksam Rd/ Rhonda Rd Subdivision, Guelph | 0.6 | 1,423 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | |
| 151052 | Base | Emerging Customer Initiated Work - Relocations | 0.1 | 1,412 | System Access | Customer Connections | Guelph | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2021 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 150751 | Base | Facilities_East_Capital Replacement Investment Support | 1.2 | 1,374 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Powerstream | Projects planned to maintain the buildings, assets and systems in a condition that contributes to maintaining efficiencies, business operations and to alleviate pressure on the operating expenditures. Planned expenditures are based on the condition and/or lifecycle of a given building or component/asset and is scheduled for replacement (e.g. condenser, furnace, windows, roofing). |
| 151288 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (H4) - Queen - Hwy 410 - Steeles - Kennedy, Brampton | 0.6 | 1,354 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 4 outages due to cable failures from 2009 to 2018 with average duration of 189 minutes. |
| 150335 | Base | Pole Renewal - West | 2.4 | 1,338 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | This project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |
| 150795 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- 244-08 S Bucket | 0.5 | 1,329 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151326 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (V44) - Hayhoe - Islington - Hwy 7, Vaughan | 0.8 | 1,312 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2016-2019YTD customers in this area experienced 6 failures. |
| 102102 | Base | Enterprise File Synchronization and Sharing Platform | 0.0 | 1,308 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Alectra is looking for a secure Enterprise File Synchronization and Sharing solution that will increase productivity of internal and external teams by facilitating seamless collaboration of corporate data anywhere , anytime, and on any device without the restrictions of having to connect to the Alectra network. The overarching goal of this implementation will be to provide a balance between delivering business productivity and securing enterprise information. |
| 150502 | Base | On-Line Dissolved Gas Oil Monitoring of 10 MS Transformers - EAST | 0.1 | 1,293 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project consists of installation of Online DGA monitoring system at MS in East. Online DGA has proven to be invaluable in diagnosing a potential transformer failure as well as assessing the health of a transformer |
| 150738 | Base | Facilities_West_Capital Replacement Investment Support | 0.3 | 1,289 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Horizon | Projects planned to maintain the buildings, assets and systems in a condition that contributes to maintaining efficiencies, business operations and to alleviate pressure on the operating expenditures. Planned expenditures are based on the condition and/or lifecycle of a given building or component/asset and is scheduled for replacement (e.g. condenser, furnace, windows, roofing). |
| 150573 | Base | Oracle ULA Extension | 1.5 | 1,265 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | MULTI ANSWER |
| 150790 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Puller/Tensioner | 0.2 | 1,265 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151138 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion - MS-2 Church St, Brampton | 1.4 | 1,255 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 11 outages and had 20,372 minutes of interruption. The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. |
| 102241 | Base | Proactive Replacement of Remote Terminal Units - East | 0.1 | 1,240 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves replacement of end-of-life remote terminal units and communications equipment at stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream). Replacement equipment can be leveraged to allow for Smart Grid initiatives such as quicker fault detection and automatic isolation and restoration. If existing equipment were to fail, the ability to remotely monitor and control switching devices would be lost, thus risking longer interruptions. |
| 151282 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (SCH) - Weiden | 0.2 | 1,218 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 6 failures, or 962 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1978 in this project scope. |
| 151064 | Base | Secondary Pedestals -St. Catharines | 0.2 | 1,154 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project involves the replacment of above grade steel secondary pedestals which pose a safety risk to the general public |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2021 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 150862 | Base | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement-RBD 57 | 0.6 | 1,125 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151322 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (K4) - Queen - Torbram - Steeles - Bramalea, Brampton | 0.1 | 1,125 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 1 outage due to cable failure in 2007. |
| 101125 | Base | Lines Mobile Equipment - IT/OT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 1,119 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | This budget covers the deployment of mobile technology - laptops/field tablets and accessories - within the Lines Department to gain efficiencies in field operations. By deploying this technology, the Lines area can move away from a paper-based to a more electronic environment. In future, it is envisioned that, for example, mobile applications will facilitate the electonic transmission of work orders and electronic record keeping (asset tracking, tailboards, switching orders, timesheets). This will allow for streamlined operational processes and record-keeping, thereby supporting corporate technological initiatives such as the GIS, Outage Management System, and future Workforce Management system. At present, all Lines Management personnel and Subforemen have laptops, facilitating activities such as viewing of the GIS, electronic Asset Tracking Forms, and use of OMS Responder Mobile. Expenditures in this area will allow for more deployment of field devices among Lines crews and for the implementation of more computerized processes. |
| 150500 | Base | On-Line Dissolved Gas Oil Monitoring of 10 MS Transformers - WEST | 0.2 | 1,117 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of installation of Transformer bushing monitoring system in West |
| 151405 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Erin Mills & N.Sheridan (16), Mississauga | 1.2 | 1,105 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 8 outages, the length is 3,326 m, and the average cable age is 45 years old. |
| 150570 | Base | Alectra West Substation Ground Grid Installations | 0.3 | 1,091 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project is to install ground grid at East Stations |
| 151204 | Base | Upgrade to Station Facilities (Buildings/Civil work) Multi-year | 0.0 | 1,089 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Guelph | This project involves work involving structural components of stations, including windows, brickwork, roofs, foundations, drainage, doors, etc. at stations in the SouthWest Operational Area. Not keeping up with this work would result in advanced deterioration resulting in even greater maintenance costs, potential safety concerns and potential failure of the electrical equipment in the building causing power interruptions. |
| 151293 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (SCH) - Lakeshore - Stanley - Parnell - Chancery | 0.9 | 1,081 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 2 failures, or 55 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1978 in this project scope. |
| 151327 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BR6) - 8th and Dissette, Bradford | 0.4 | 1,077 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 6 failures. |
| 151041 | Base | Protection Logic Upgrades - East MSs (North) | 0.1 | 1,061 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | |
| 150859 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Cargo Vans | 0.2 | 1,060 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150865 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Pickups | 0.2 | 1,055 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151406 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Rathburn Rd W & Queenbridge (8), Mississauga | 0.3 | 1,053 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 4 outages, the length is 857 m, and the average cable age is 40 years old. |
| 150762 | Base | Facilities_Reno_Patterson - Service Centre Upgrades | 0.1 | 1,036 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Powerstream | Repairs to building envelop/walls to prevent further damage to the build infrastructure and systems that are supporting critical operational systems such as control rooms, server rooms, customer services groups, etc. |
| 100919 | M-Factor | Install 2nd 27.6 kV Cct on Woodbine Ave from Elgin Mills Rd to 19th Ave | 0.6 | 1,028 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is to add one additional 27.6kV cct on the existing pole line from Elgin Mills Rd to 19th Ave that has provision for 2nd cct to increase capacity in the 19th and woodbine area as well as the Future Urban area |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2021 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 151157 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Dump/Cargo Truck | 0.2 | 1,025 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150578 | Base | Alectra - Microsoft Software | 0.1 | 1,021 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Compliance for Alectra employees for Microsoft application suite of products to perform daily operation. |
| 151334 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BA13) - Dunlop and Miller, Barrie | 0.4 | 1,010 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 0 failures to date. Cable is 41 years old and will be 43 when project starts (2021). |
| 150392 | Base | Storage Upgrade | 0.3 | 995 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Upgrade on premise Enterprise Storage platform in addition to increased capacity requirements as a result of employee and customer demand for data retention. Off Premise storage costs (SharePoint Online, One Drive, Development, test and production environments) |
| 151091 | Base | Switchgear Renewal - Central South | 3.7 | 982 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 151159 | Base | Fault Indicator Installation and Replacement - Hamilton and St. Catharines | 0.3 | 966 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of fault indicator installation in West |
| 150628 | Base | Station Equipment Temperature Monitoring-CENTRAL | 0.1 | 965 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of Station Temperature Monitoring system in Central South and this enables controlled emergency loading beyond the nameplate rating. |
| 150929 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Pickup truck 3500 | 0.5 | 957 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151287 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (SCH) - Bolger - Elma - Dorothy - The Meadows | 0.4 | 953 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 10 failures, or 322 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1976 in this project scope. |
| 151065 | Base | Manhole Lid Replacement | 0.3 | 925 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | Replacement of lids on civil structures to avoid public risk |
| 150317 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion - Deerhurst MS, Hamilton | 2.6 | 869 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 6 outages and had 121,838 minutes of interruption. The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. |
| 150904 | Base | Fleet East Yearly Light and Misc equipment | 0.0 | 847 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150914 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Pickup truck 1500 | 0.0 | 842 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150910 | Base | Fleet East Unit # 91 Single bucket truck replacement | 0.5 | 839 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150866 | Base | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement -Forklift 178 | 0.1 | 792 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 101622 | Base | DACS Inverters and RTU's removal - East | 0.0 | 765 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves removal of obsolete and out-of-service DACs inverters, RTUs and associated wiring at stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream) so as to remove clutter, thus simplifying future work and freeing up space for future station upgrades. |
| 150779 | Base | Fleet_Central South Replacement-DBL.Bucket 228-05 | 0.6 | 763 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150864 | Base | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_Loader 175 | 0.1 | 762 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 101632 | Base | Obsolete Revenue Metering Removal from TSs | 0.0 | 740 | System Service | Safety & Security | Powerstream | Evolution of the distribution system to permit more efficient integration of DERs to yield 18 |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2021 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150921 | Base | Fleet East Unit # 123 83' Double Bucket | 0.6 | 718 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150922 | Base | Fleet East Unit # 59 digger truck | 0.6 | 714 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150925 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Cube Vans | 0.2 | 701 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151082 | Base | SCADA Infrastructure | 0.1 | 688 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Guelph | |
| 151058 | Base | Distribution Transformer Replacements & Upgrades | 0.3 | 683 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Guelph | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental, (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |
| 150719 | Base | Upgrade of JMUX Optical Interfaces - Alectra East SONET Ring | 0.3 | 676 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | |
| 150669 | Base | Burden Allocation - System Access | 0.6 | 670 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 102166 | Base | SQL Expansion - IT Infrastructure | 0.5 | 636 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Expand existing SQL infrastructure to meet project demands and natural growth of database. Add system capacity, improve performance, and reduce the risk of downtime due resource constraints. |
| 150863 | Base | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement-S/Bucket 70 | 0.5 | 620 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150203 | Base | Station Equipment Temperature Monitoring-NORTH & TS | 0.1 | 614 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of Station Temperature Monitoring system in East and it enables controlled emergency loading beyond the nameplate rating. |
| 150496 | Base | iPass Project Management – Planned Capital - West | 0.7 | 589 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | Help in optimizing DER performance and efficiency to improve addressing overall energy needs. |
| 150876 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_ Step Vans 6310 | 0.3 | 568 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 102046 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools - Muliti Year-North & TS | 0.0 | 565 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150793 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-210-09 S/bucket | 0.5 | 560 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151206 | Base | Installation of SWI Video Security System | 0.0 | 544 | System Service | Safety & Security | Guelph | This project consists of installation of SWI Video security system in SouthWest. The implementation of video monitoring will ensure that station security and safety related issues can be easily identified and addressed immediately |
| 150905 | Base | Fleet East Multi Year Shop tools | 0.0 | 544 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of tools and shop equipment required to repair and maintain vehicles to ensure vehicle availability to support capital systems projects. |
| 101816 | Base | Alectra East (South), Fault Indicator Installation and Replacement Multi-year initiative | 0.2 | 542 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is installtion of fault indictor in East - North Region which will result improved outage response, operational efficiency, and reliability |
| 151085 | M-Factor | Rear Lot Conversions | 0.1 | 531 | System Renewal | Rear Lot Conversion | Guelph | |
| 101134 | Base | Alectra East (North), Fault Indicator Installation and Replacement Multi-year initiative | 0.2 | 527 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is installtion of fault indictor in East - South Region which will result improved outage response, operational efficiency, and reliability |
| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2021 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------|---|
| 150437 | Base | OT GIS & OMS Enhancements | 0.4 | 520 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Ongoing productivity enhancements to core GIS and OMS platforms to meet internal and customer demand for functionality. |
| 150602 | Base | Smart Meter Test Facility - PowerStream RZ | 0.0 | 471 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to expand the capability of the Meter Test Facility to test increasing types of meters and AMI systems before they are placed into production. |
| 102027 | Base | Purchase of Critical Spare Parts - Multiyear - East | 0.1 | 428 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for transformer and municipal stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |
| 150494 | Base | iPass Project Management – Planned Capital - Central-North | 0.4 | 409 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | Predicting the influence of weather conditions and DER contribution simultaneously on the power grid, for proactively mitigating local outages, |
| 150495 | Base | iPass Project Management – Planned Capital - Central-South | 0.4 | 409 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | Enable better visibility towards preventive equipment maintenance needs arising due to DER penetration which will further help mitigate outage risks, |
| 101781 | Base | iPass Project Management – Planned Capital - East | 0.4 | 409 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Estimating the effects of DER contribution at the feeder and region levels to further optimize the energy flows between the Utility and its consumers, |
| 150607 | M-Factor | Station LED Lighting Upgrades - Central | 0.0 | 408 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | Replacement of inefficient lighting fixtures and lamps at stations in the Central Operational Area will result in longer lasting lighting. Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. |
| 150606 | M-Factor | Station LED Lighting Upgrades - EAST | 0.0 | 408 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | Replacement of inefficient lighting fixtures and lamps at stations in this Operational Area will result in longer lasting lighting. Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. |
| 150513 | Base | Installation of SWI Video security system at 4 MS stations per year - Annual Multi-year initiative-EAST | 0.1 | 372 | System Service | Safety & Security | Brampton | This project consists of installation of SWI Video security system in CentralNorth |
| 150951 | M-Factor | Fleet East Vehicle addition - Van pool van | 0.0 | 345 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 102034 | Base | Upgrade to Station Facilities (Building / Civil work) Multi-year - East | 0.1 | 340 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | MULTI ANSWER |
| 150550 | Base | ServiceNow Expansion - IT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 325 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Building and adding features within ServiceNow we will eliminate outdated processes by automating more tasks and decisions allowing us to be more efficient and productive. Enhancements include the automation of the flow of data between other systems and across programs used by various departments and ServiceNow. Servicebots will be used as ServiceNow learns from past patterns, in order to predict future outcomes, including determining risks, assigning owners, and categorizing work. Learned models set the category of the IT request and assign the task to the right team, as well as calculate associated risk of action or inaction. This capability will improve the speed and efficiency of IT service delivery. |
| 150575 | Base | OT Scada map Cleanup | 0.5 | 316 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | SCADA Map clean up program to ensure accuracy and safety in the SCADA environment. |
| 150873 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_Vans | 0.1 | 308 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150884 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement Pick up 9514 | 0.1 | 299 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2021 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150610 | M-Factor | Driveway Paving - Various Stations - Multi-year initiative - Central | 0.0 | 290 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | Existing driveways at stations in the Central Operational Area that are covered in gravel and require ongoing maintenance for smoothing and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for crawl space under fencing, which presents a safety and security risk. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| 150609 | M-Factor | Driveway Paving - Various Stations - Multi-year initiative - East | 0.0 | 290 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | Existing driveways in this Operational Area that are covered in gravel require ongoing maintenance for smoothing and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for crawl space under fencing, which presents a safety and security risk. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| 150612 | M-Factor | Driveway Paving - Various Stations - Multi-year initiative - West | 0.0 | 290 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | Existing driveways in the West Operational Area that are covered in gravel require ongoing maintenance for smoothing and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for crawl space under fencing, which presents a safety and security risk. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| 150800 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- trailer | 0.0 | 288 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 102050 | Base | Various Stations-Station Lighting Upgrade/Retrofit-Energy Efficiency Lighting-initiative Multi-year - East | 0.1 | 240 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement of inefficient indoor and outdoor lighting fixtures and lamps at stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream) will result in longer lasting lighting. Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. |
| 150961 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Forklift | 0.2 | 220 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Asset replacement due to poor conditions and age and lack of parts replacement. Equipment not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies with material handling. |
| 150787 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- Van | 0.1 | 183 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 103030 | Base | Technology Upgrades Improving the System Control Room Environment | 0.1 | 148 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | This project will continue to build on the original capital project whose scope was focused on the replacement of computers used as Operator WorkStations. The scope of this program has been expanded to account for all technology purchases required for the Control Room theater. |
| 151108 | Base | Office Equipment | 0.1 | 144 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Guelph | Replace aging equipment within the Guelph operational centre to ensure optimal performance in managing the distribution assets |
| 151381 | Base | 2021 GUELPH - Fleet | 0.7 | 138 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of end of life asset due to poor conditions, high mileage and engine hours and age. Vehicle experiencing more down time at the shop for repairs and maintenance impacting vehicle availability. |
| 151245 | M-Factor | Capacitor Bank Installations | 0.0 | 135 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Guelph | This project consists of capacitor bank installation to increase power factor, capacity and reduce losses. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2021 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 102931 | Base | Paving of 3 MS & TS Station Driveways per year - Annual Multi-year initiative - East | 0.0 | 125 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | Existing driveways at stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream) are covered in gravel and require ongoing maintenance for smoothing and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for crawl space under fencing, which presents a safety and security risk. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| 151039 | Base | Fleet_West_Equipment_Key Box | 0.0 | 124 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Adopted the current vehicles key management system at all sites to ensure access to vehicles when required. This ensure access to vehicles after hours and tracking of vehceil keys. |
| 150655 | Base | Maingate MS - Station RTU and Protection Relays Upgrade | 0.2 | 124 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consist of RTU and protection relay upgrade at Maingate MS |
| 150689 | Base | Rogers MS - Station RTU and Protection Relays Upgrade | 0.2 | 124 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of bus, line and transformer protection at Richmond Hill TS |
| 151076 | Base | Fuel Pump Replacement Vansickle Rd. | 0.0 | 123 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Horizon | Replacement of systems that have surpass end of life, are consistently down for repairs and parts are difficult to obtain, impacting fuel of vehicles to support capital systems projects and customer emergencies responses. |
| 150597 | Base | Lock Box Installs - East | 0.0 | 116 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to install lock boxes at ICI properties with restricted access to eliminate need for a customer appointment for access. |
| 150569 | Base | Server OS Upgrades - IT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 95 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Operating system upgrades to support IT infrastructure. |
| 150737 | Base | Facilities_East Region_Reactive Capital | 0.3 | 92 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Powerstream | Approved capital funds available to address any unforeseen and unbudgeted asset replacements/demands. Having these funds available for immediate use is critical for Facilities building operations to restore equipment/assets back to normal operations a.s.a.p. |
| 150736 | Base | Facilities_West Region_Reactive Capital | 0.3 | 92 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Horizon | Approved capital funds available to address any unforeseen and unbudgeted asset replacements/demands. Having these funds available for immediate use is critical for Facilities building operations to restore equipment/assets back to normal operations a.s.a.p. |
| 150734 | Base | Facilities_Central Region_Reactive Capital | 0.3 | 92 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Multiple | Approved capital funds available to address any unforeseen and unbudgeted asset replacements/demands. Having these funds available for immediate use is critical for Facilities building operations to restore equipment/assets back to normal operations a.s.a.p. |
| 151079 | Base | Fleet West Major Equipment & Tools | 0.1 | 91 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Horizon | Replacement of tools and shop equipment required to repair and maintain vehicles to ensure vehicle availability to support capital systems projects. |
| 150676 | Base | Mobile Devices - IT Infrastructure | 0.0 | 88 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | |
| 103171 | Base | Implementation of a new Alectra Network Operations Voice Radio System | 0.0 | 79 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | |
| 150563 | Base | OT SCADA upgrade cycle 3 | 0.2 | 46 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Planned hardware updrades for monitors and workstations on enterprise SCADA platform. |
| 150747 | M-Factor | Net Zero Energy Emissions | 0.3 | 35 | System Service | Distributed Energy Resources (DER) | Powerstream | The project will help Alectra Utilities to ensure that growing DER challenges are met through building expertise and capability in real time monitoring, integrating and optimizing of DERs in line with customer preferences. This will lead to a system that can safely integrate and optimize value from DERs for the benefit of customers. |
| 151053 | Base | Building Sustainment | 0.2 | 32 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Guelph | Projects planned to maintain the buildings, assets and systems in a condition that contributes to maintaining efficiencies, business operations and to alleviate pressure on the operating expenditures. Planned expenditures are based on the condition and/or lifecycle of a given building or component/asset and is scheduled for replacement (e.g. condenser, furnace, windows, roofing). |
| 150562 | Base | OT Firewall Upgrade | 0.2 | 20 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Hardware upgrade cycle for OT platform. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2021 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|---------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|--|
| 150704 | Base | Feeder Protection Migration to DNP - Jim Yarrow TS | 0.2 | 16 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This is part of a multi-phase project to upgrade aging and failure- prone protection systems at Jim Yarrow TS to the current protection standards. Proper protection to distribution feeders will provide protection to the assets in the case of faults. |
| 151031 | Base | Fleet Shop equipment refurbishment and replacement | 0.1 | 13 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | For the refurbishment of trailers, shop compressors and hoists to extend the life of the assets instead of replacement to reduce the need fro capital expenditures. |
| 150678 | Base | P&C Specific Tools & Testing Equipment - West | 0.0 | 12 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Enersource | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 102999 | Base | P&C Specific Tools and Testing Equipment | 0.0 | 12 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 102157 | Base | Server Refresh - IT Infrastructure | 0.4 | 10 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Ongoing server refresh to support applications. |
| 150396 | Base | Network Refresh - IT Infrastructure | 0.4 | 10 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Replacement of existing network infrastructure that is unsupported (or soon to be) including further rationalization and design modifications to support the delivery of services throughout the Alectra Environment. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2022 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|---------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150404 | Base | Kenilworth TS Power Factor Correction | 0.6 | 184,357 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of capacitor bank installation at Kenilworth TS to improve PF and to comply with IESO market rules. |
| 150725 | Base | Lines Central-North - Reactive Renewal | 1.2 | 181,454 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Brampton | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 150690 | Base | Lines Central-South - Reactive Renewal | 3.2 | 171,818 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Enersource | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 150682 | Base | Remote Fault Indicator Deployment | 0.4 | 164,221 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Multiple | This project consists of remote fault indictor deployment which will result improved outage response, operational efficiency, and reliability |
| 102247 | Base | Interest Capitalization | 1.2 | 104,304 | General Plant | Other General Plant | Powerstream | |
| 150596 | Base | Meter Renewal - all types but Suite - PowerStream RZ | 0.1 | 94,470 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to renew existing residential (except suite) metering equipment. |
| 101871 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East North - Commercial Services | 0.0 | 94,398 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151180 | Base | Central-North - Capitalization of Locates | 0.0 | 94,387 | System Access | Customer Connections | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150600 | Base | Firmware Upgrades for Smart Meters - East | 0.0 | 94,363 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to renew residential Smart meter firmware to improve communication performance. |
| 151169 | Base | Central-South - Capitalization of Locates | 0.0 | 94,345 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151174 | Base | West Lines - Capitalization locates | 0.0 | 94,319 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150631 | Base | Transformer Station Metering - Central North | 0.1 | 94,246 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew wholesale metering equipment. |
| 101696 | Base | Subdivision - North Underground Residential Distribution System Final Close out and Inspection. | 0.0 | 94,197 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 103381 | Base | East Lines - Capitalization locates | 0.1 | 94,145 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101870 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East South - Commercial Services | 0.1 | 94,022 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101869 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East North - New Residential | 0.1 | 93,989 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150650 | Base | Replace PCB Risk PT's - Enersource RZ | 0.1 | 93,977 | System Access | Metering | Enersource | Multi-year project to replace metering transformers identified with unacceptable levels of PCB's, to prevent hazardous spills. |
| 101919 | Base | New Services (new and upgrades) - Commercial, Industrial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - NORTH | 0.1 | 93,955 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150647 | Base | Transformer Station Metering - Enersource RZ | 0.1 | 93,884 | System Access | Metering | Enersource | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew wholesale metering equipment. |
| 151049 | Base | Commercial, Industrial, Institutional, Apartment Connections | 0.1 | 93,830 | System Access | Customer Connections | Guelph | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101685 | Base | Subdivision - South Underground Residential Distribution System Final Close out and Inspection. | 0.1 | 93,778 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150457 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – St Catharines | 0.2 | 93,519 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151162 | Base | Non Recoverable replacement of Distribution Equipment due to accident/vandalism | 0.3 | 93,457 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Horizon | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover costs for (i.e. pole hit no vehicle at scene of accicent) |
| 101924 | Base | Mulit Unit Metering for New Buildings NORTH - PowerStream RZ | 0.2 | 93,421 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to purchase and install suite metering in new buildings in northern area of territory. |
| 150588 | Base | New Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra West | 0.2 | 93,266 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101791 | Base | New Services - new and upgrades - COMMERCIAL, INDUSTRIAL, INSTITUTIONAL (ICI) SERVICES - SOUTH | 0.2 | 92,878 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101812 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - LIS | 0.3 | 92,710 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 150754 | Base | Lines Central-North - Non-Recoverable Replacements | 0.4 | 92,705 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Brampton | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover costs for (i.e. pole hit no vehicle at scene of accicent) |
| 150726 | Base | Lines Central-South - Non-Recoverable Replacements | 0.4 | 92,705 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Enersource | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover costs for (i.e. pole hit no vehicle at scene of accicent) |
| 101820 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Non-Recoverable Replacement | 0.3 | 92,583 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover costs for (i.e. pole hit no vehicle at scene of accicent) |
| 150389 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – Central North | 0.4 | 92,563 | System Access | Customer Connections | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2022 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|---------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------|--|
| 150651 | Base | C & I Metering - Renewal- Brampton RZ | 0.1 | 92,561 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to renew existing ICI metering equipment. |
| 150386 | Base | New Service (new and upgrades) - Commercial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - Central North | 0.4 | 92,424 | System Access | Customer Connections | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150453 | Base | CIS CC&B Modifications(Regulatory Enhancements) | 0.9 | 92,261 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Enhancements to the CIS (CC&B) application needed to meet any regulatory requirements Such requirements in the past have been Ontario Energy Savings Program (OESP) as well as the Monthly Billing projects. |
| 150649 | Base | Suite Metering - Enersource RZ | 0.6 | 91,838 | System Access | Metering | Enersource | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew suite metering equipment. |
| 150654 | Base | C & I Metering - New Services - Brampton RZ | 0.6 | 91,553 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to purchase and install ICI metering equipment on new services. |
| 150595 | Base | C & I and Wholesale Metering - PowerStream RZ | 0.6 | 91,530 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to purchase, install, and renew ICI and wholesale metering equipment. |
| 101868 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East South - New Residential | 0.5 | 91,488 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101795 | Base | Multi Unit Metering for New Buildings SOUTH - PowerStream RZ | 0.5 | 91,273 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to purchase and install suite metering in new buildings in southern area of territory. |
| 101873 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East North - Residential Upgrades | 0.6 | 91,023 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151051 | Base | System Relocations Road Authorities | 0.7 | 91,002 | System Access | Road Authority | Guelph | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150652 | Base | New Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra Central South | 1.1 | 90,948 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150388 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – Central South | 0.8 | 90,774 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150692 | Base | New Feeder in Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra Central North | 0.7 | 90,609 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Brampton | This expenditure is required to meet the needs of the development community that construct municipal approved residential subdivisions in Alectra Utilities' Central North service territory |
| 150599 | Base | Suite Meter - Reverification - PowerStream RZ | 0.1 | 90,368 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to renew Measurement Canada seal dates on existing suite metering equipment. |
| 150455 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Commercial, Industrial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - St Catharines | 0.9 | 90,201 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150659 | Base | Residential Meters - by Metering - Brampton RZ | 0.9 | 90,096 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew residential metering equipment. Work carried out by Metering. |
| 150456 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – Hamilton | 0.9 | 89,962 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150630 | Base | New Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra Central North | 1.1 | 89,952 | System Access | Customer Connections | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101828 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Recoverable Replacement | 0.7 | 89,766 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover some costs for (i.e. pole hit, vehicle at scene of accicent, Alectra Utilities obtains most of costs (near \$0 impact too budget)) |
| 101896 | Base | New Institutional/Commercial/Industrial Subdivision Development - Alectra East | 0.9 | 89,347 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101872 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East South - Residential Upgrades | 0.8 | 89,345 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101800 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Storm Damage | 1.2 | 86,549 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 151047 | Base | Subdivisions | 0.4 | 85,393 | System Access | Customer Connections | Guelph | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150620 | Base | Metering Renewal - all types - Horizon RZ | 2.1 | 84,064 | System Access | Metering | Horizon | Multi-year project to purchase, install, and renew residential, ICI and wholesale metering equipment. |
| 101808 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Switchgears | 1.8 | 83,304 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 150648 | Base | Metering Renewal - all types but Suite - Enersource RZ | 2.3 | 82,569 | System Access | Metering | Enersource | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew residential (except suite) and ICI metering equipment. |
| 101892 | Base | New Subdivision Development - Secondary Service Lateral - Alectra East | 2.8 | 80,776 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150384 | Base | New Service (new and upgrades) - Commercial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - Central South | 3.4 | 77,904 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150449 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Commercial, Industrial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - Hamilton | 5.2 | 69,328 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151095 | Base | Reactive Restoration | 1.0 | 63,547 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Guelph | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2022 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 101824 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Distribution Equipment | 5.4 | 58,190 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 151161 | Base | Joint Use Pole Removal | 0.1 | 57,200 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | This project is for the removal of poles in the field after third party (joint use) attachements have been removed or transferred for projects already closed by Alectra Utilities. |
| 151050 | Base | Metering - all types - Guelph RZ | 0.4 | 55,986 | System Access | Metering | Guelph | Multi-year project to purchase, install, and renew residential, ICI and wholesale metering equipment in Guelph RZ. |
| 150469 | Base | ERP JD Edwards Enhancements | 1.8 | 55,715 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Allocation of capital funds to provide 3rd party assistance on capital work, along with creating system enhancements to the JD Edwards ERP and supporting Systems. |
| 101832 | Base | Joint Use Pole Removal | 0.6 | 54,024 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | This project is for the removal of poles in the field after third party (joint use) attachements have been removed or transferred for projects already closed by Alectra Utilities. |
| 100159 | M-Factor | Hydro One Asset Purchase - Alliston | 0.5 | 52,601 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | Purchase the 44 kV pole line along Industrial Parkway (approx 4.5 km's). The pole line is required for the new Alliston 10MVA substation 13.8kV integration (101571). |
| 101887 | Base | New Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra East | 10.2 | 44,864 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 100859 | Base | Switchgear Renewal - East | 2.8 | 43,705 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 151089 | Base | Overhead Rebuilds | 1.2 | 39,246 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Guelph | This project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |
| 150471 | Base | ERP JD Edwards Hardware Upgrades | 0.6 | 38,646 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Hardware upgrade to support ERP refresh cycle. |
| 101508 | Base | Transformer Renewal - East | 2.9 | 37,474 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Powerstream | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental, (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |
| 150673 | Base | Road Authority Central (Mississauga) | 4.2 | 35,001 | System Access | Road Authority | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150134 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V37) - Langstaff and Weston, Vaughan | 1.7 | 34,877 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 20 failures. |
| 150073 | M-Factor | Vaughan TS#1 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades | 0.3 | 34,014 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to upgrade the Vaughan TS#1 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades with new relays having fault recording capabilities. |
| 150645 | Base | Road Authority Central (Brampton) | 5.0 | 31,814 | System Access | Road Authority | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150828 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects- West | 0.9 | 31,592 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 151452 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-West | 0.9 | 31,590 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 101762 | Base | Road Authority Expenditure PS South | 4.1 | 31,544 | System Access | Road Authority | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101355 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects- East | 1.0 | 31,425 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 151450 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-East | 1.0 | 31,425 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 151363 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M25) - 14th - McCowan - Steeles - Old Kennedy, Markham | 0.8 | 27,519 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 14 failures. |
| 150364 | M-Factor | New build - Port Credit Village East (Marina) 27.6kV Feeders, Mississauga | 4.4 | 25,989 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Enersource | The Port Credit East development requires a 27.6kV circuit expansion in order to provide capacity and contingency for the Mid- rise mixed residential/commercial development. |
| 100867 | Base | Pole Renewal - East | 5.3 | 25,595 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2022 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 151074 | Base | Reactive renewal | 3.3 | 25,136 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Horizon | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 151362 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M39) - 16th - Warden - Hwy 7 - Woodbine, Markham | 1.3 | 23,714 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 8 failures. |
| 151360 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M31) - 14th - Old Kennedy - Steeles - Warden, Markham | 0.8 | 23,641 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 38 failures. |
| 150070 | M-Factor | Markham TS#1 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades | 0.1 | 22,500 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to upgrade the Markham TS#1 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades with new relays having fault recording capabilities. |
| 151043 | Base | Transformer Renewal - Central South | 1.9 | 21,870 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Enersource | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental, (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |
| 150467 | M-Factor | CIS CC&B upgrade | 6.8 | 21,392 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Aligns with Alectra customer experience plan requirements and enhanced services and emerging channels. Comprised of third party integration support, hardware, resources, testing to support existing Alectra platform as the current version 2.5 support ends in 2022. Project ensures enhanced functionality, compatibility with ancillary systems, security upgrades, automation and rate billing engine to support future utility DER integration. |
| 101480 | M-Factor | Build double ccts 27.6kV pole line on 19th Ave between Leslie St and Bayview Ave | 1.3 | 20,987 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is to build 2 ccts pole line on 19th Ave from Leslie St to Bayview Ave to supply the new subdivision in Leslie North that is bounded by Elgin Mills Rd., Leslie St., 19th Avenue and Bayview Avenue. There will be new houses along 19th Ave between Bayview Ave and Leslie St as the subdivision secondary plan, however, there is no pole line now. |
| 150371 | M-Factor | New build - 27.6kV Feeder Extension Traders, Mississauga | 2.8 | 19,792 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Enersource | Install new feeders in the Traders area between Hurontario St and Kennedy Rd from Matheson Blvd to Britannia Rd required to meet demand due to intensification and to ensure the reliability of current customers. |
| 151303 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (HAM) - Stone Church - Garth - Lincoln M. Alexander | 2.4 | 19,665 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 5 failures, or 18 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1976 in this project scope. |
| 150664 | Base | Residential Meters - by Lines - Brampton RZ | 0.6 | 18,167 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew residential metering equipment. Work carried out by Lines. |
| 151109 | Base | Switch Replacement | 0.2 | 18,145 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacment units |
| 150458 | Base | MAS upgrade - IT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 17,426 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Metering Automation System for smart grid Upgrade to be implemented in a phased approach over the next 4 years as smart grid continues to evolve work in conjunction with the metering team to analyze and implement leverage new technologies to provide better more accurate meter readings be responsive to the issues first not reactive when a customer calls |
| 151104 | Base | Distribution Automation - Central North | 0.5 | 17,389 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Brampton | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 151318 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (I3) -Bovaird - Dixie - Queen - Hwy 410, Brampton | 0.3 | 16,119 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 6 outages due to cable failures from 2014 to 2015 with average duration of 71 minutes. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2022 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150639 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 4 Station DC System Monitoring-Multi- year initiative-CENTRAL | 0.0 | 15,736 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of installation of DC charging system for Central South Sations. A better real-time assessment of Station batteries will lower the risk of battery failure/fire/explosion |
| 150638 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 4 Station DC System Monitoring-Multi- year initiative-East | 0.0 | 15,736 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project consists of installation of DC charging system for Central North Sations. A better real-time assessment of Station batteries will lower the risk of battery failure/fire/explosion |
| 150636 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 4 Station DC System Monitoring-Multi- year initiative-North & TS | 0.0 | 15,736 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of installation of DC charging system for East Sations. A better real-time assessment of Station batteries will lower the risk of battery failure/fire/explosion |
| 150640 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 4 Station DC System Monitoring-Multi- year initiative-WEST | 0.0 | 15,736 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of installation of DC charging system for West Sations. A better real-time assessment of Station batteries will lower the risk of battery failure/fire/explosion |
| 151460 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (V17) - Langstaff - Keele - Rutherford - Dufferin, Vaughan | 0.6 | 15,317 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2017-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 3 failures. From 2015-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 4 failures. |
| 150285 | Base | Transformer Renewal - Central North | 1.0 | 14,492 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Brampton | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental, (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |
| 101027 | Base | Switch Renewal - East | 0.7 | 14,309 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacment units |
| 150504 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 10 Self Recharging Transformer Air Breathers on TS and MS Transformers - Multi-year initiative-WEST | 0.1 | 14,105 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of installation of transformer air breather at TS and MS stations in East. Moisture in transformer oil is a major cause of acceleration of transformer ageing and internal failure of transformers. By elimination of moisture ingress through the transformer breather, and having the breather perform this task automatically without human intervention ensures that the transformer oil moisture is minimal. |
| 102098 | Base | Client - IT Infrastructure | 1.2 | 13,761 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | To upgrade desktop/laptop/mobile devices that are 5 years or older (Approximately 20% of our equipment). Net new equipment for mobile computing as well as upgrades and replacements for damaged devices. Request made by various business units. This budget also includes RSA tokens\Licenses, monitors, mice, keyboards, docking stations, tablets and small non-MFP printers. Out of Scope: Large MFP Printers |
| 151247 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects- Guelph | 0.6 | 13,414 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Guelph | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 102232 | Base | Capital Funds for Emergency P&C Purchases - East | 0.0 | 13,271 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project provides funds for the emergency procurement of Intelligent Electronic Devices (IED), communications equipment, protective relays, and other critical spare parts for the municipal/transformer substations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream). These parts are critical to maintaining the viability of key operations' systems and the conformance to the ESA Regulation 22/04. Failure or underperformance of this equipment could cause a catastrophic failure of a key component of the distribution grid. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2022 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------|--|
| 150683 | Base | Capital Funds for Emergency P&C Purchases - Central North | 0.0 | 13,185 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project provides funds for the emergency procurement of Intelligent Electronic Devices (IED), communications equipment, protective relays, and other critical spare parts for the municipal/transformer substations in the Central North Operating Area (Brampton). These parts are critical to maintaining the viability of key operations' systems and the conformance to the ESA Regulation 22/04. Failure or underperformance of this equipment could cause a catastrophic failure of a key component of the distribution grid. |
| 150685 | Base | Capital Funds for Emergency P&C Purchases - West | 0.0 | 13,185 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | This project provides funds for the emergency procurement of Intelligent Electronic Devices (IED), communications equipment, protective relays, and other critical spare parts for the municipal/transformer substations in the West Operating Area (former Horizon). These parts are critical to maintaining the viability of key operations' systems and the conformance to the ESA Regulation 22/04. Failure or underperformance of this equipment could cause a catastrophic failure of a key component of the distribution grid. |
| 150684 | Base | Capital Funds for Emergency P&C Purchases - Central South | 0.0 | 13,185 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | This project provides funds for the emergency procurement of Intelligent Electronic Devices (IED), communications equipment, protective relays, and other critical spare parts for the municipal/transformer substations in the Central South Operating Area (former Mississauga). These parts are critical to maintaining the viability of key operations' systems and the conformance to the ESA Regulation 22/04. Failure or underperformance of this equipment could cause a catastrophic failure of a key component of the distribution grid. |
| 150784 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects- Central North | 0.7 | 12,968 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 151453 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-Guelph | 0.7 | 12,922 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 150823 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects- Central South | 0.7 | 12,655 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 102099 | Base | Printer & Copier Fleet Replacement | 0.0 | 12,636 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Replacement of existing fleet of printers/copiers that are 5 or more years old. This will include non-MFP printers. Replacement to be determined by an evaluation of evolving needs and corporate requirements as well as age of equipment. |
| 151449 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-Central North | 0.9 | 12,176 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 103211 | Base | Misc Software Upgrades (FormScape, AutoCAD, etc.) - IT/OT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 12,021 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Upgrade/ acquire software as required / requested by business. |
| 151451 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-Central South | 0.9 | 11,936 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 151233 | M-Factor | New Construction - Campbell TS 36M63 Feeder PHASE 1 & 2, Guelph | 1.2 | 11,851 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Guelph | This project identifies a new 13.8kV feeder required from Campbell TS to bring additional load support to NW section of the city as the existing 13.8kV feeders in the area are unable to accommodate the additional load growth |
| 103180 | Base | Citrix Xen Virtualization Expansion - IT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 11,299 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Client computing virtualization (Citrix) is currently the standard delivery method for applications and desktops at Alectra. This system has had a tremendous uptake in the organization and requires an upgrade in back-end infrastructure to support the additional demand from the business. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2022 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|---|
| 150693 | M-Factor | Blockchain | 0.6 | 11,192 | System Service | Distributed Energy Resources (DER) | Multiple | The project will prepare Alectra Utilities to engage with customers in a real-time and transparent process to record the flow of electricity to and from DERs, enabling the efficient procurement of distribution benefits, such as demand response and frequency regulation. The project will provide a robust settlement mechanism between Alectra and customers, backed by timely and efficient financial transactions, to enable overall trust and customer value delivery and leading to increased customer satisfaction. |
| 151302 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Rymal - Mud - Upper Centennial - Upper Red Hill Valley | 0.5 | 11,132 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 8 failures, or 14 failures per 100km. This area includes the 331X & 341X feeders which were identified as a Worst Performing Feeder in 2018. The average cable installation year is 1989 in this project scope. |
| 151314 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (G2) -Wanless - Kennedy - Bovaird - Main, Brampton | 0.2 | 11,046 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 4 outages due to cable failures from 2013 to 2017 with average duration of 125 minutes. |
| 151409 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Central Parkway & Bloor (29), Mississauga | 10.9 | 10,937 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there are 9 outages, the length is 12,462 m, and the average cable age is 48 years old. There are 35 backlot transformers that we are also replacing. |
| 150740 | Base | Facilities_Nebo_ Roof Replacement | 0.0 | 10,933 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Horizon | 2019 Project and to be completed by Dec 2019. Not sure why we have \$9K in 2022 |
| 150665 | Base | Emerging Customer Initiated Work (West) | 1.6 | 10,905 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101562 | Base | Arc Flash Mitigation Projects | 0.0 | 10,619 | System Service | Safety & Security | Powerstream | This project is to mitigate arc flash risk at East stations. |
| 151299 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (HAM) - Millen - Barton - Fruitland | 1.6 | 10,342 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 16 failures, or 44 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1970 in this project scope. |
| 150653 | Base | Road Authority West (St. Catharines) | 1.0 | 10,217 | System Access | Road Authority | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150615 | Base | Metering Tools & Equipment - Central North | 0.0 | 9,947 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Brampton | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150616 | Base | Metering Tools & Equipment - Central South | 0.0 | 9,947 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Enersource | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150613 | Base | Metering Tools & Equipment - East | 0.0 | 9,947 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150614 | Base | Metering Tools & Equipment - West | 0.0 | 9,947 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Horizon | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 102263 | M-Factor | Work Force Management / Mobile Dispatch | 2.4 | 9,762 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Enterprise wide field crew management system that will enable more efficient use of crews and improve visibility to job progress enabling better communication of expectations to customers |
| 151456 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (V50) - Hwy 7 - Kipling - Steeles - Hwy 27, Vaughan | 0.4 | 9,188 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 3 failures in 2018. |
| 151063 | Base | Pole Renewal - Central South | 3.5 | 9,065 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | This project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |
| 100886 | Base | Distribution Automation - East | 1.4 | 9,041 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Powerstream | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 151275 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (SCH) - QEW - Highway 406 - Martindale Road | 0.8 | 8,905 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 3 failures, or 11 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1988 in this project scope. |
| 151298 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Govenors - Old Ancaster | 0.4 | 8,842 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 3 failures, or 10 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1989 in this project scope. |
| 101804 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools | 0.4 | 8,158 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2022 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 151390 | Base | 2022 GUELPH - Remotely Controlled Switches | 0.4 | 8,052 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Guelph | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 151213 | Base | Installation of Transformer Bushing Monitoring | 0.1 | 8,001 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Guelph | This project consists of installation of Transformer bushing monitoring system in Guelph. bushing monitoring unit provides real time condition monitoring of the transformer bushings. |
| 151313 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (F5) - Steeles - Main - Hwy 407 - McLaughlin, Brampton | 0.2 | 7,751 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 5 outages due to cable failures from 2007 to 2016 with average duration of 80 minutes. |
| 151352 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M38) - Hwy 7 - Warden - 14th - Woodbine, Markham | 1.0 | 7,548 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 18 failures. |
| 150282 | Base | Switchgear Renewal - Central North | 0.5 | 7,521 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 150508 | Base | Installation of Transformer Bushing Monitoring on MS txmrs-Multi Year -CENTRAL | 0.2 | 7,509 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of installation of Transformer bushing monitoring system in Central South. bushing monitoring unit provides real time condition monitoring of the transformer bushings. |
| 151407 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Glen Erin & Burnhamthorpe (12), Mississauga | 7.3 | 7,414 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 10 outages, the length is 22,109 m, and the average cable age is 34 years old. |
| 151325 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (M31) - 14th - Old Kennedy - Steeles - Warden, Markham | 3.5 | 7,412 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 38 failures. |
| 151457 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (V25) - Major Mackenzie - Keele - Rutherford - Jane, Vaughan | 0.9 | 7,410 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2017-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 2 failures. From 2015-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 3 failures. |
| 151339 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BA19) - Letitia - Anne - Edgehill - Ferndale, Barrie | 7.3 | 7,397 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 2 failures in 2018. |
| 151316 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (H2) - Wanless - Heart Lake - Bovaird - Kennedy, Brampton | 0.2 | 7,371 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 4 outages due to cable failures from 2009 to 2017 with average duration of 107 minutes. |
| 150284 | Base | Pole Renewal - Central North | 2.8 | 7,305 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | This project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |
| 102017 | Base | Sorbweb Oil Containment Systems - 4 Transformers -Multiyear initiative-North & TS | 0.3 | 7,275 | System Service | Safety & Security | Powerstream | This project consists of Sorbweb Oil containment system in East. Protects the environment in the event of a transformer tank rupture |
| 151351 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M32) - Hwy 7 - Main - 14th - Warden, Markham | 0.9 | 6,971 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 17 failures. |
| 150714 | Base | MS Transformer Tank and Radiator Reconditioning- Multi-year initiative - Central | 0.1 | 6,819 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | This project is intended for corrosion mitigation of station power transformer main tanks and cooling radiators in the Central South Operating Area (former Enersource). It also prevents oil from leaking out of failed tanks and radiators due to corrosion. Preventing the main tank and radiators from corrosion extends the useful life of the unit and improves the reliability to customers. |
| 150713 | Base | MS Transformer Tank and Radiator Reconditioning- Multi-year initiative - East | 0.1 | 6,819 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project is intended for corrosion mitigation of station power transformer main tanks and cooling radiators in the Central North Operating Area (Brampton). It also prevents oil from leaking out of failed tanks and radiators due to corrosion. Preventing the main tank and radiators from corrosion extends the useful life of the unit and improves the reliability to customers. |
| 150715 | Base | MS Transformer Tank and Radiator Reconditioning- Multi-year initiative - West | 0.1 | 6,819 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | This project is intended for corrosion mitigation of station power transformer main tanks and cooling radiators in the West Operating Area (former Horizon). It also prevents oil from leaking out of failed tanks and radiators due to corrosion. Preventing the main tank and radiators from corrosion extends the useful life of the unit and improves the reliability to customers. |
| 150644 | Base | Road Authority West (Hamilton) | 1.7 | 6,689 | System Access | Road Authority | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150507 | Base | 230kV TS Transformer Primary Bushing Monitoring Enablement-BPD Elimination - 4 TS Transformers-Multi-year initiative-TS | 0.5 | 6,521 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to install primary bushing monitoring at TS in EAST |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2022 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|--|
| 150352 | Base | Voltage Conversion - Central MS, Hamilton | 1.8 | 6,498 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 23 outages and had 1,060,300 customer minutes of interruption (75 mins per customer per year). The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. Specifically Central MS is the only station remaining in service with oil breakers which are functionally obsolete with no spare parts readily available. |
| 151310 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (E4) - Queen - McLaughlin - Steeles - Chinguacousy, Brampton | 0.2 | 6,421 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 4 outages due to cable failures from 2008 to 2016 with average duration of 104 minutes. |
| 151044 | Base | Switch Renewal - Central South | 0.3 | 6,319 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacement units |
| 103659 | Base | Storm Hardening - Four-Circuit Poles | 2.0 | 6,255 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | |
| 150514 | Base | Sorbweb Oil Containment Systems - 4 Transformers -Multiyear Initiative-WEST | 0.3 | 6,213 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project consists of Sorbweb Oil containment system in West. Protects the environment in the event of a transformer tank rupture |
| 151336 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BA22) - Sunnidale and Anne, Barrie | 1.5 | 6,208 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 2 failures in 2019. |
| 151086 | Base | Insulator Replacements | 0.1 | 5,949 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of non-polymer insulators (Areas are prioritized by insulators showing signs of defects), with modern polymer style insulators, we reduce pole fires and insulator flashovers. this will also drive efficiencies as insulator washing will no longer be required once all non-polymer insulators are replaced. |
| 102128 | M-Factor | Aurora MS6 Expansion | 1.1 | 5,910 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | 2C land is currently supplied by a 13.8kV feeder 5F2. It had a peak of 269A in 2018 and does not have sufficient capacity to supply future growth in the 2C lands. New feeder capacity is required to supply the additional load. |
| 150351 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion - Aberdeen MS, Hamilton | 1.3 | 5,675 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 16 outages and had 500,475 minutes of interruption. The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. |
| 150337 | Base | Switch Renewal - West | 0.4 | 5,476 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacement units |
| 151055 | Base | Major Tools | 0.1 | 5,432 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Guelph | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150067 | Base | Basement Flood Risk Mitigation - Markham TS#4 | 0.1 | 5,410 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves mitigating the flood risk at the Markham TS#4 where there is equipment installed in the basement by relocating or repositioning this equipment. This critical equipment includes DC system, AC and DC panels, feeder switches, UPS, ATS located in the basement of this station. Damage to this equipment due to flooding would cause extensive power interruptions to many customers. |
| 150598 | Base | Suite Metering - Renewals & Retrofits - PowerStream RZ | 0.4 | 5,394 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to purchase and install suite metering equipment in existing buildings upgraded from bulk metering. |
| 150515 | Base | Sorbweb Oil Containment Systems - 4 Transformers -Multiyear initiative-CENTRAL | 0.3 | 5,352 | System Service | Safety & Security | Enersource | This project consists of Sorbweb Oil containment system in Central South. Protects the environment in the event of a transformer tank rupture |
| 150516 | Base | Sorbweb Oil Containment Systems - 4 Transformers -Multiyear initiative-EAST | 0.3 | 5,352 | System Service | Safety & Security | Brampton | This project consists of Sorbweb Oil containment system in Central North. Protects the environment in the event of a transformer tank rupture |
| 150336 | Base | Transformer Renewal - West | 0.7 | 5,294 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Horizon | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental, (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2022 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150750 | Base | Lines Central-North - Major Tools | 0.1 | 5,234 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Brampton | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150009 | Base | Insulator Renewal - East | 0.2 | 5,108 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement of non-polymer insulators (Areas are prioritized by insulators showing signs of defects), with modern polymer style insulators, we reduce pole fires and insulator flashovers. this will also drive efficiencies as insulator washing will no longer be required once all non-polymer insulators are replaced. |
| 100909 | M-Factor | Rebuild 27.6 kV pole line for 4 Ccts on Warden Ave from Major Mack to Elgin Mills | 2.2 | 4,927 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is the third part of a multiple year project of rerouting two feeders 12M10/12M11 to Markham Future Urban Area. This project provide 40 MVA capacity. |
| 151203 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - Stations South West | 0.1 | 4,921 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Guelph | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the South West Operating Area (Guelph) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have spares readily available in order to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |
| 102042 | Base | Purchase and Installation of Animal Guards at Various Stations- Annual Multi-year initiative-North & TS | 0.0 | 4,894 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of animal guard installation in East |
| 150716 | M-Factor | New build - 42M69 Feeder Extension Williams Pkwy - Main St to Kennedy Rd, Brampton | 1.1 | 4,866 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Brampton | 27.6kV UG Feeder Extension along Williams Pkwy from Main St to Kennedy Rd OH to meet contingency conditions |
| 151464 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (F3-G3-H3) - Phase 2, Brampton | 0.4 | 4,697 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | From 2000-2018 customers in this area experienced 103 failures. Exact number of failures in recent years is unknown at this moment. |
| 151083 | Base | Switchgear Renewal - West | 0.4 | 4,684 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 151010 | Base | Switch Renewal - Central North | 0.7 | 4,604 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacement units |
| 150720 | Base | Lines Central-South - Major Tools | 0.2 | 4,591 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Enersource | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 151021 | Base | Insulator Renewal - Central North | 0.4 | 4,236 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | Replacement of non-polymer insulators (Areas are prioritiezed by insulators showing signs of defects), with modern polymer style insulators, we reduce pole fires and insulator flashovers. this will also drive efficiencies as insulator washing will no longer be required once all non-polymer insulators are replaced. |
| 150674 | Base | Emerging Customer Initiated Work Central (Brampton) | 0.2 | 4,184 | System Access | Customer Connections | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101763 | Base | Unforeseen Projects Initiated by the customer PS North | 0.1 | 4,161 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150679 | M-Factor | Alectra Drive for the Workplace | 0.2 | 3,997 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Multiple | Alectra Drive for the Workplace will demonstrate the value of integrating smart electric vehicle (EV) charging system at workplaces into the distribution grid such that mass uptake of electric vehicles can be managed in a safe and reliable manner. The planned investment will help manage the flow of electricity needed to serve the building and EV charging stations, so that electricity costs are minimized for commercial customers while EV drivers have an easy and accessible charging solution. |
| 150320 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion - Dewitt MS , Hamilton | 0.5 | 3,972 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 12 outages and had 888,434 minutes of interruption. The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. |
| 150358 | M-Factor | New build - QEW Dixie West New OH Circuits, Mississauga | 1.1 | 3,907 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Enersource | This project is an to added betterment coordinated with the MTO's QEW Expansion along Dixie West OH. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2022 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|--|
| 150466 | Base | Atomic Scheduler Upgrade - IT Infrastructure | 0.3 | 3,883 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Enhancement to Atomic application scheduler to support process improvement requirements. Atomic is the application scheduler in use at Alectra. This application will be used to support application and report scheduling for both CC&B and JDE. These enhancements are considered for the overall customer and organizational benefits. |
| 150540 | Base | Implement application security - IT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 3,861 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Ongoing application security enhancements and additions to meet vendor requirements . |
| 102075 | Base | Major repairs, refurbishment, or modifications to switches/switchgear | 0.1 | 3,702 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Switchgear and Switches in stores that repair/refurbishment of the units can allow them to be returned to service |
| 150376 | Base | New build - Hamilton South Mountain feeders capacity relief, Hamilton | 1.1 | 3,694 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Horizon | This project is build new feeder to alleviate capacity in South Hamilton and to alleviate on the four feeders which are over the planning limit |
| 151319 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (I4) -Queen - Dixie - Steeles - Hwy 410, Brampton | 0.4 | 3,650 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 0 outage due to cable failures to date. Cables are 31 years old and will be at the injection eligibility threshold by the time of project execution in 2022. |
| 151428 | Base | Cable Injection- 002- AREA 30- Eglinton Ave W & Miss Rd, Mississauga | 0.6 | 3,632 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | There are 2 outages, we are injecting a total of 14,541 m of cable for this project, and the average age of the cable is 31 years. |
| 151276 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (SCH) - Vansickle | 0.7 | 3,556 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 2 failures, or 11 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1990 in this project scope. |
| 151200 | M-Factor | Alectra Single Platform Website ongoing | 0.1 | 3,548 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Ongoing enhancements to the Alectra platform to improve functionality for customers |
| 150498 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - Stations Central South | 0.3 | 3,535 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the Central South Operating Area (former Enersource) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have spares readily available in order to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |
| 150499 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - Stations Central North | 0.3 | 3,535 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the Central North Operating Area (Brampton) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have spares readily available in order to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |
| 150497 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - Stations West | 0.3 | 3,535 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the West Operating Area (former Horizon) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have spares readily available in order to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |
| 150047 | M-Factor | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Royal Orchard - North | 1.1 | 3,478 | System Renewal | Rear Lot Conversion | Powerstream | This area has had an average of 3 failures per year lasting 4 hours per year based on 3 year average. |
| 151462 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (G1) - Hwy 410 - Kennedy - Wanless - Main, Brampton | 0.2 | 3,438 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | From 2000-2018 customers in this area experienced 2 failures. Exact number of failures in recent years is unknown at this moment. |
| 150774 | Base | Lines Central-North - Site Restorations | 0.1 | 3,434 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | |
| 150721 | Base | Lines Central-South - Site Restorations | 0.1 | 3,434 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | |
| 150523 | Base | Security Additions & Enhancements | 0.2 | 3,395 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | As per Alectra Security roadmap to key IT systems. |
| 100072 | Dase | Duruen Allocation - System Service | 0.1 | 3,353 | system service | Capacity (Lines) | nurizon | |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2022 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 150263 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - East Left Behind Cable | 1.6 | 3,237 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in these areas have experienced 11 failures. |
| 102065 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - East | 0.3 | 3,161 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream area) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have spares readily available in order to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |
| 150611 | Base | Transformer Tank and Radiator Reconditioning- Multi-year initiative - East | 0.2 | 3,117 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project is intended for corrosion mitigation of station power transformer main tanks and cooling radiators in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream). It also prevents oil from leaking out of failed tanks and radiators due to corrosion. Preventing the main tank and radiators from corrosion extends the useful life of the unit and improves the reliability to customers. |
| 150623 | Base | Purchase and Installation of Animal Guarding-Annual Multi-year initiative-WEST | 0.0 | 3,095 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of animal guard installation in West |
| 150622 | Base | Purchase and Installation of Animal Guarding-Annual Multi-year initiative-CENTRAL | 0.0 | 3,093 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of installation of Animal guard at Central South Stations |
| 150621 | Base | Purchase and Installation of Animal Guarding-Annual Multi-year initiative-EAST | 0.0 | 3,082 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project consists of installation of Animal guard at East Stations |
| 101036 | M-Factor | Install a new 4 ccts CNR yard overhead crossing on the south side of Hwy 7 | 1.4 | 2,919 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is to increase supply capacity to Vaughan Metro Center and Vaughan Mills. It will also reduce outage impact in case of pole failure on the north side of Hwy 7 crossing CN yard. |
| 151286 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (H2) - Wanless - Heart Lake - Bovaird - Kennedy, Brampton | 1.7 | 2,868 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 6 outages due to cable failures from 2011 to 2017 with an average duration of 126 minutes |
| 101625 | Base | Cyber Security Audit & Upgrades | 0.1 | 2,865 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | This project would fund various activities and countermeasures to improve the cyber security posture of the Operations' Network. This will be a mandate for compliance to Ontario Cyber Security framework. The counter measures include: - an annual audit of the cyber security countermeasures in place; - implementation of new technologies to seal identified compromises; - replacement of deployed cyber security equipment deemed end of life or not able to deliver an expected level of service. |
| 150671 | Base | Burden Allocation - System Renewal | 1.8 | 2,831 | System Renewal | Other System Renewal | Horizon | |
| 150401 | M-Factor | 136M6 Goreway TS Extensions | 1.0 | 2,821 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Brampton | This feeder will supply all future residential & small commercial loads around the Gore Rd., Ebenezer Rd. & Castlemore Rd. 136M6 will off load 136M4 on the south section of the area mentioned. 136M6 will off load and provide contingency for 136M4 on the south section of this area. |
| 150632 | Base | AMI Gatekeeper Expansion - Brampton RZ | 0.0 | 2,820 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to expand and upgrade AMI field communication equipment to service new connections. |
| 151311 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (E5) - Steeles - Mclaughlin - Hwy 407 - Chinguacousy, Brampton | 0.3 | 2,785 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 2 outages due to cable failures in 2007 and 2012 with average duration of 70 minutes. |
| 151394 | Base | 2022 GUELPH - Transite Underground Duct Replacement | 0.3 | 2,683 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of Duct Structure which contains asbestoes a known carcinogen and impacts worker safety. |
| 150079 | M-Factor | Markham TS#1 T1/T2 "B" Overcurrent Protections and HMI Upgrade | 0.2 | 2,596 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to upgrade Markham TS#1 T1/T2 "B" Overcurrent Protections with fault recording ability and HMI Upgrade |
| 151427 | Base | Cable Injection- 001- AREA 11- Truscott & Southdown, Mississauga | 1.3 | 2,550 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | There are 2 outages, we are injecting a total of 21,576 m of cable for this project, and the average age of the cable is 32 years. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2022 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150332 | M-Factor | Non-Wires Alternative Pilot | 0.8 | 2,537 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Powerstream | The project will provide customers with increased flexibility to make decisions about their electricity consumption, generation, and costs; it leads to more efficient integration of DERs which yields greater benefits to customer, system reliability, and power quality. This project will also provide the opportunity to increase operational efficiency and improved asset management to enhance service to customer and defer and/or reduce infrastructure investment needs in York Region. |
| 150580 | Base | West Region Tools and Test Equipment | 0.2 | 2,494 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Horizon | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150627 | Base | Station Equipment Temperature Monitoring-EAST | 0.1 | 2,479 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project consists of Station Temperature Monitoring system in Central North and it enables controlled emergency loading beyond the nameplate rating. |
| 150629 | Base | Station Equipment Temperature Monitoring-WEST | 0.1 | 2,479 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of Station Temperature Monitoring system in West and it enables controlled emergency loading beyond the nameplate rating. |
| 150095 | M-Factor | Vaughan TS#1 T1/T2 "B" Differential Protections Upgrade | 0.1 | 2,478 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to upgrade the current T1/T2 ASEA solid state Transformer "B" Differential Protection relays at Vaughan TS#1 with new relays having fault recording capabilities |
| 151202 | Base | Purchase of Major Station Tools - Multi-year | 0.0 | 2,473 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Guelph | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150625 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools - Muliti Year-CENTRAL | 0.0 | 2,395 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Enersource | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150624 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools - Muliti Year-EAST | 0.0 | 2,395 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Brampton | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150626 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools - Muliti Year-WEST | 0.0 | 2,395 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Horizon | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 151221 | Base | Metering - AMI Hardware Upgrade | 0.3 | 2,384 | System Access | Metering | Guelph | Multi-year project to expand and upgrade AMI field communication equipment to service new connections, in Guelph RZ. |
| 101761 | Base | Unforeseen Projects Initiated by the customer PS South | 0.4 | 2,365 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151435 | Base | Cable Injection- 010 - Area 56- Derry Rd W & Ninth Line, Mississauga | 1.2 | 2,307 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | There are 3 outages, we are injecting a total of 45,837 m of cable for this project, and the average age of the cable is 30 years. |
| 151290 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (I3) - Bovaird - Dixie - Queen - Hwy 410, Brampton | 0.7 | 2,280 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 8 outages due to cable failures from 2007 to 2018 with average duration of 133 minutes. |
| 151244 | Base | Fault Indicators | 0.0 | 2,243 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Guelph | The scope of this project is to install Fault Indicators in Guelph. The project would include the fault indicators communicating to the existing SCADA and will improve the fault locating and improve SAIDI |
| 151181 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - Left Behind Cable, Brampton | 0.5 | 2,163 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | This project is to address cables which were part of a cable injection project but were ultimately not injectable at the time of project execution. These left behind' segments must be addressed, if they are left in the system they could cause a failure. Customers would not only experience an outage, but question the ultilities work practices as we would have addressed only a portion of the cables instead of all the cables which could cause an outage. |
| 151070 | Base | Markham TS3 Firewall Upgrade | 0.2 | 2,149 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | Markham TS3 Firewall Upgrade |
| 150321 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion - Galbraith MS, Hamilton | 2.3 | 2,140 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 4 outages and had 77,467 minutes of interruption. The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. |
| 150675 | Base | Emerging Customer Inititated Work Central (Mississauga) | 0.2 | 2,049 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101764 | Base | Road Authority Expenditure PS North | 1.6 | 2,038 | System Access | Road Authority | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150604 | Base | Smart Meter Network Expansion - PowerStream RZ | 0.2 | 2,036 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | equipment to service new connections. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2022 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 151092 | Base | Distribution Automation - Central South | 0.6 | 2,014 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Enersource | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 151030 | Base | Addition of Sensors to SCADA Controllable 44kV LISs in Brampton | 0.3 | 2,013 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project is to add sensors to the 44KV switches in Central North |
| 150694 | M-Factor | Cityview microgrid enhancements | 0.0 | 1,985 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | The project is to understand how microgrids can be integrated into the distribution grid in a safe and reliable manner. The microgrid is used to evaluate integration and connection to the distribution grid, while reducing the building's load, greenhouse gas emissions, and increasing its resiliency to grid outages. |
| 102547 | M-Factor | Two Ccts on Birchmount Rd from ROW to 14th Ave | 1.6 | 1,969 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is to build 2 ccts pole line on Birchmount Rd from the Right of Way (ROW) to 14th Ave. This will extend 2 feeders 26M17 and 26M18 to 14th Ave to tie with feeder 22M7/22M8 for reliability |
| 151324 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M3) - Castlemore - Goreway - Queen - Airport, Brampton | 0.2 | 1,916 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 1 outage due to cable failure in 2008 with average duration of 61 minutes. The cables are 31 years old and will be at the injection eligibility threshold by the time of project execution in 2022. |
| 151350 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M22) - Major Machenzie - Hwy 48 - 16th - McCowan, Markham | 0.2 | 1,915 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 4 failures. |
| 150670 | Base | SCADA FDIR | 0.1 | 1,799 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Multiple | Fault Detection, Isolation, and Restoration implementation, next generation of distribution automation technology. This utilizes devices which are already automated and integrates them into the OMS system such that devices perform self healing after a fault. in some cases reducing the outage time to less then 1 minute. |
| 151283 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (HAM) - Mohawk | 0.8 | 1,740 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 1 failure, or 20 failures per 100km. This area includes the 2D2X feeder which was identified as a Worst Performing Feeder in 2018. The average cable installation year is 1953 in this project scope. |
| 150680 | M-Factor | Alectra Drive at Home | 0.6 | 1,731 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Multiple | Alectra Drive at Home will demonstrate the value of integrating smart electric vehicle (EV) charging system in multi rise buildings as well as residential homes into the distribution grid such that mass uptake of electric vehicles can be managed in a safe and reliable manner. It will also provide insight into the characteristics of EV Charging, how EVs can be aggregated and controlled to provide the benefit at the local, regional and provincial system levels, and customer response to these control and optimization strategies. |
| 151201 | Base | Purchase of New Critical Spare Parts - Guelph | 0.0 | 1,728 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Guelph | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for stations in the South West Operating Area (Guelph) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |
| 151333 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BA9) - Little - Fairview - Harvie - Ferndale, Barrie | 1.8 | 1,718 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2014-2018 customers in this area experienced 6 failures. |
| 150619 | Base | Purchase of Critical Spare Parts - West | 0.0 | 1,649 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for stations in the West Operating Area (former Horizon) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |
| 150844 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Bucket Truck 1-270 | 0.4 | 1,636 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151136 | Base | C55 Alectra: Optimization of Business Practices | 0.2 | 1,625 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Optimizing the current software to communicate with other systems such as the ERP will allow for more efficient and effective budgeting processes and consolidation of information allowing for consistent reporting of the information from one system. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2022 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|---|
| 150885 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Bucket Truck 1-361 | 0.4 | 1,624 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150893 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Digger Derrick 1-342 | 0.4 | 1,616 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151436 | Base | Cable Injection-011 - Area 58 & 59- Winston Churchill & The Collegeway, Mississauga | 1.9 | 1,614 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | There are 14 outages, we are injecting a total of 69,795 m of cable for this project, and the average age of the cable is 30 years. |
| 151139 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion - MS-12 Hansen Rd, Brampton | 3.1 | 1,599 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 29 outages and had 94,267 minutes of interruption. The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. |
| 151386 | Base | Cable Replacement - (278) - 31 Greengate Rd Subdivision, Guelph | 0.6 | 1,596 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | |
| 150642 | Base | Proactive Replacement of Remote Terminal Units - Central North | 0.2 | 1,582 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project involves replacement of end-of-life remote terminal units and communications equipment at stations in the Central-North Operating Area (Brampton). Replacement equipment can be leveraged to allow for Smart Grid initiatives such as quicker fault detection and automatic isolation and restoration. If existing equipment were to fail, the ability to remotely monitor and control switching devices would be lost, thus risking longer interruptions. |
| 150617 | Base | Purchase of Critical Spare Parts - Central North | 0.0 | 1,569 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for municipal stations in the Central North Operating Area (Brampton) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |
| 151353 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V41) - Kirby - Weston - Teston - Pine Valley, Vaughan | 0.1 | 1,566 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 2 failures. |
| 151309 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (E3) - Bovaird - McLaughlin - Queen - Chinguacousy, Brampton | 0.1 | 1,560 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 1 outage due to cable failure in 2015 with duration of 86 minutes. |
| 151087 | Base | Switchgear Replacement | 0.2 | 1,559 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 150618 | Base | Purchase of Critical Spare Parts - Central South | 0.0 | 1,557 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for stations in the Central South Operating Area (former Enersource) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |
| 151354 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V52) - Rutherford - Kipling - Langstaff - Hwy 27, Vaughan | 0.1 | 1,553 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 2 failures. |
| 102077 | Base | Major repair, refurbishment, or conversions of distribution transformers | 0.0 | 1,541 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Powerstream | Transformers returned or in stores where repair/refurbishment of the unit can return it to service at a lower cost then purchase of a new unit |
| 150883 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Bucket Truck 1-374 | 0.4 | 1,540 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151372 | Base | Cable Replacement - (451) - Country Club Dr Subdivision, Guelph | 0.4 | 1,482 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | |
| 150368 | M-Factor | New build - North Central feeders capacity (Carlton TS to Linwell Rd/Lake St) relief, St.Catharines | 1.0 | 1,481 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Horizon | This project is to alleviate capacity issues in the North and Central section of St.Catharines, primarily served by Carlton BY bus feeders which are over the planning limit. |
| 151222 | Base | Concrete Structures | 0.2 | 1,469 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of lids on civil structures to avoid public risk |
| 150763 | Base | Facilities_Reno_Addiscott - Service Centre Upgrades | 0.1 | 1,462 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Powerstream | build infrastructure and systems that are supporting critical operational systems such as control rooms, server rooms, customer services groups, etc. |
| 151408 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Burnhamthorpe & Miss. Road (13), Mississauga | 2.4 | 1,429 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 11 outages, the length is 7,311 m, and the average cable age is 30 years old. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2022 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|--|
| 150334 | Base | Distribution Automation - West | 0.7 | 1,424 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Horizon | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 151371 | Base | Cable Replacement - (87) - Marksam Rd/ Rhonda Rd Subdivision, Guelph | 0.4 | 1,423 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | |
| 150889 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Digger Derrick 1-333 | 0.4 | 1,415 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151052 | Base | Emerging Customer Initiated Work - Relocations | 0.1 | 1,412 | System Access | Customer Connections | Guelph | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150941 | Base | Unit # 300 Dump truck replacement | 0.1 | 1,400 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Since 2005 there have been 7 outgres, the length is 3 006 m, and |
| 151410 | Base | Cable Replacement Project-Roselle & Priority Cres (2), Mississauga | 1.0 | 1,391 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | the average cable age is 43 years old. |
| 150751 | Base | Facilities_East_Capital Replacement Investment Support | 0.5 | 1,374 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Powerstream | Projects planned to maintain the buildings, assets and systems in a condition that contributes to maintaining efficiencies, business operations and to alleviate pressure on the operating expenditures. Planned expenditures are based on the condition and/or lifecycle of a given building or component/asset and is scheduled for replacement (e.g. condenser, furnace, windows, roofing). |
| 151288 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (H4) - Queen - Hwy 410 - Steeles - Kennedy, Brampton | 0.6 | 1,354 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 4 outages due to cable failures from 2009 to 2018 with average duration of 189 minutes. |
| 150335 | Base | Pole Renewal - West | 2.7 | 1,338 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | This project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |
| 102102 | Base | Enterprise File Synchronization and Sharing Platform | 0.0 | 1,308 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Alectra is looking for a secure Enterprise File Synchronization and Sharing solution that will increase productivity of internal and external teams by facilitating seamless collaboration of corporate data anywhere, anytime, and on any device without the restrictions of having to connect to the Alectra network. The overarching goal of this implementation will be to provide a balance between delivering business productivity and securing enterprise information. |
| 150738 | Base | Facilities_West_Capital Replacement Investment Support | 0.3 | 1,289 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Horizon | Projects planned to maintain the buildings, assets and systems in a condition that contributes to maintaining efficiencies, business operations and to alleviate pressure on the operating expenditures. Planned expenditures are based on the condition and/or lifecycle of a given building or component/asset and is scheduled for replacement (e.g. condenser, furnace, windows, roofing). |
| 150790 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Puller/Tensioner | 0.2 | 1,265 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 102241 | Base | Proactive Replacement of Remote Terminal Units - East | 0.1 | 1,240 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves replacement of end-of-life remote terminal units and communications equipment at stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream). Replacement equipment can be leveraged to allow for Smart Grid initiatives such as quicker fault detection and automatic isolation and restoration. If existing equipment were to fail, the ability to remotely monitor and control switching devices would be lost, thus risking longer interruptions. |
| 150422 | M-Factor | 136M9 Feeder Extension Castlemore Rd, Goreway Dr to McVean Dr | 0.1 | 1,233 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Brampton | This project provides 136M9 capacity along Castlemore Dr from Goreway Dr to McVean Dr., and McVean will bring greenfield capacity up to Mayfield Rd to service north east Brampton. 136M9 in future will connect to and provide offloading and contingency for 136M8 and 136M42 which are at approching planning limit. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2022 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150877 | Base | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement S/Bucket 7109 | 0.5 | 1,222 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151064 | Base | Secondary Pedestals -St. Catharines | 0.2 | 1,154 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project involves the replacment of above grade steel secondary pedestals which pose a safety risk to the general public |
| 151312 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (F2) - Wanless - Main - Bovaird - McLaughlin, Brampton | 0.0 | 1,140 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 0 cable failure to date. Cables will be at the injection eligibility threshold by the time of project execution in 2022. |
| 101125 | Base | Lines Mobile Equipment - IT/OT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 1,119 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | This budget covers the deployment of mobile technology - laptops/field tablets and accessories - within the Lines Department to gain efficiencies in field operations. By deploying this technology, the Lines area can move away from a paper-based to a more electronic environment. In future, it is envisioned that, for example, mobile applications will facilitate the electonic transmission of work orders and electronic record keeping (asset tracking, tailboards, switching orders, timesheets). This will allow for streamlined operational processes and record-keeping, thereby supporting corporate technological initiatives such as the GIS, Outage Management System, and future Workforce Management present, all Lines Management personnel and Subforemen have laptops, facilitating activities such as viewing of the GIS, electronic Asset Tracking Forms, and use of OMS Responder Mobile. Expenditures in this area will allow for more deployment of field devices among Lines crews and for the implementation of more computerized processes. |
| 150500 | Base | On-Line Dissolved Gas Oil Monitoring of 10 MS Transformers - WEST | 0.1 | 1,117 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of installation of Transformer bushing monitoring system in West |
| 150570 | Base | Alectra West Substation Ground Grid Installations | 0.3 | 1,091 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project is to install ground grid at East Stations |
| 151204 | Base | Upgrade to Station Facilities (Buildings/Civil work) Multi-year | 0.0 | 1,089 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Guelph | This project involves work involving structural components of stations, including windows, brickwork, roofs, foundations, drainage, doors, etc. at stations in the SouthWest Operational Area. Not keeping up with this work would result in advanced deterioration resulting in even greater maintenance costs, potential safety concerns and potential failure of the electrical equipment in the building causing power interruptions. |
| 103198 | Base | BizTalk Upgrade - IT Infrastructure | 0.3 | 1,085 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Upgrade existing BizTalk to latest version. We currently have a development, test, and Production environment. |
| 150794 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- 229-09 S/Bucket | 0.5 | 1,071 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151041 | Base | Protection Logic Upgrades - East MSs (North) | 0.1 | 1,061 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | |
| 150758 | M-Factor | Facilities_Reno_Staff Relocation from Jane St | 0.6 | 1,060 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Brampton | Required renovations to the Cityview building to accommodate employees from various Alectra groups currently at Jane St, reducing the need to continue the office space lease at Jane St. The Jane St office lease expires in 2021 and would not be renewed, reducing operating expenditures. |
| 150578 | Base | Alectra - Microsoft Software | 0.1 | 1,021 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Compliance for Alectra employees for Microsoft application suite of products to perform daily operation. |
| 150937 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Cargo/Passenger Vans. | 0.0 | 995 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150392 | Base | Storage Upgrade | 1.3 | 995 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Upgrade on premise Enterprise Storage platform in addition to increased capacity requirements as a result of employee and customer demand for data retention. Off Premise storage costs (SharePoint Online, One Drive, Development, test and production environments) |
| 151091 | Base | Switchgear Renewal - Central South | 3.8 | 982 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2022 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 151159 | Base | Fault Indicator Installation and Replacement - Hamilton and St. Catharines | 0.3 | 966 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of fault indicator installation in West |
| 150628 | Base | Station Equipment Temperature Monitoring-CENTRAL | 0.1 | 965 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of Station Temperature Monitoring system in Central South and this enables controlled emergency loading beyond the nameplate rating. |
| 151065 | Base | Manhole Lid Replacement | 0.4 | 925 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | Replacement of lids on civil structures to avoid public risk |
| 151156 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Step Vans | 0.4 | 914 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150932 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - SUV | 0.2 | 905 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150781 | Base | Fleet_Central South Replacement-Tractor 301-08 | 0.2 | 893 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150317 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion - Deerhurst MS, Hamilton | 2.2 | 869 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 6 outages and had 121,838 minutes of interruption. The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. |
| 150974 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Forklift | 0.2 | 863 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Asset replacement due to poor conditions and age and lack of parts replacement. Equipment not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies with material handling. |
| 150943 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Extended Van | 0.3 | 850 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150904 | Base | Fleet East Yearly Light and Misc equipment | 0.1 | 847 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150935 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Pickup truck 1500 | 0.2 | 847 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150262 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - (M33) - 16th Avenue and Village Parkway, Markham | 2.1 | 835 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2017-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 1 failure. From 2015-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 3 failures. Cable is 42 years old. |
| 150909 | Base | Fleet East Unit # 115 Single bucket truck replacement | 0.4 | 835 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151163 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_ Passenger Van_1-370 | 0.0 | 807 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150746 | Base | Facilities_Central_Capital Replacement Investment Support | 0.4 | 807 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Multiple | Projects planned to maintain the buildings, assets and systems in a condition that contributes to maintaining efficiencies, business operations and to alleviate pressure on the operating expenditures. Planned expenditures are based on the condition and/or lifecycle of a given building or component/asset and is scheduled for replacement (e.g. condenser, furnace, windows, roofing). |
| 150913 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Cube Vans | 0.8 | 806 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150946 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Van pool vans | 0.1 | 782 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2022 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150805 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-206-09 S/bucket | 0.5 | 782 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150939 | Base | Fleet East Unit # 105 Bucket truck replacement | 0.2 | 781 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 101622 | Base | DACS Inverters and RTU's removal - East | 0.1 | 765 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves removal of obsolete and out-of-service DACs inverters, RTUs and associated wiring at stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream) so as to remove clutter, thus simplifying future work and freeing up space for future station upgrades. |
| 150971 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Pickup truck 2500 | 0.2 | 742 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 101632 | Base | Obsolete Revenue Metering Removal from TSs | 0.1 | 740 | System Service | Safety & Security | Powerstream | Evolution of the distribution system to permit more efficient integration of DERs to yield 18 |
| 150799 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- Reel Carrier | 0.3 | 716 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151082 | Base | SCADA Infrastructure | 0.1 | 688 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Guelph | |
| 151058 | Base | Distribution Transformer Replacements & Upgrades | 0.4 | 683 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Guelph | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental, (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |
| 150669 | Base | Burden Allocation - System Access | 0.7 | 670 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150377 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion and Rear Lot - Montgomery Dr, Hamilton | 1.8 | 661 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 71 outages and had 7,403,242 minutes of interruption. This project also addresses rear lot assets which are extrememly difficult to replace if they fail due to complexities of access issues and restricitions for equipment to rebuild the assets |
| 150966 | Base | Fleet East Unit # 124 83' Double Bucket | 0.6 | 651 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 102166 | Base | SQL Expansion - IT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 636 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Expand existing SQL infrastructure to meet project demands and natural growth of database. Add system capacity, improve performance, and reduce the risk of downtime due resource constraints. |
| 150933 | Base | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement Stake Trucks | 0.3 | 616 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150203 | Base | Station Equipment Temperature Monitoring-NORTH & TS | 0.1 | 614 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of Station Temperature Monitoring system in East and it enables controlled emergency loading beyond the nameplate rating. |
| 150880 | Base | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement Tractor 7708 | 0.2 | 593 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150496 | Base | iPass Project Management – Planned Capital - West | 0.7 | 589 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | Help in optimizing DER performance and efficiency to improve addressing overall energy needs. |
| 102046 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools - Muliti Year-North & TS | 0.0 | 565 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2022 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 151206 | Base | Installation of SWI Video Security System | 0.0 | 544 | System Service | Safety & Security | Guelph | This project consists of installation of SWI Video security system in SouthWest. The implementation of video monitoring will ensure that station security and safety related issues can be easily identified and addressed immediately |
| 150905 | Base | Fleet East Multi Year Shop tools | 0.0 | 544 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of tools and shop equipment required to repair and maintain vehicles to ensure vehicle availability to support capital systems projects. |
| 101816 | Base | Alectra East (South), Fault Indicator Installation and Replacement Multi-year initiative | 0.2 | 542 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is installtion of fault indictor in East - North Region which will result improved outage response, operational efficiency, and reliability |
| 151085 | M-Factor | Rear Lot Conversions | 0.1 | 531 | System Renewal | Rear Lot Conversion | Guelph | |
| 101134 | Base | Alectra East (North), Fault Indicator Installation and Replacement Multi-year initiative | 0.3 | 527 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is installtion of fault indictor in East - South Region which will result improved outage response, operational efficiency, and reliability |
| 150437 | Base | OT GIS & OMS Enhancements | 0.3 | 520 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Ongoing productivity enhancements to core GIS and OMS platforms to meet internal and customer demand for functionality. |
| 150602 | Base | Smart Meter Test Facility - PowerStream RZ | 0.0 | 471 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to expand the capability of the Meter Test Facility to test increasing types of meters and AMI systems before they are placed into production. |
| 150811 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Pick ups | 0.2 | 434 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 102027 | Base | Purchase of Critical Spare Parts - Multiyear - East | 0.1 | 428 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for transformer and municipal stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |
| 150576 | M-Factor | Split the 1/0 loop on Cityview Blvd into two loops | 0.5 | 423 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is to split the 1/0 loop on Cityview Blvd into two loops. As of 2019, there is 18.5MVA connected kVA on the loop: 12MVA on the residential side and 6.5 MVA on the commercial side with 1865 residential and 93 commercial customers. |
| 150494 | Base | iPass Project Management – Planned Capital - Central-North | 0.4 | 409 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | Predicting the influence of weather conditions and DER contribution simultaneously on the power grid, for proactively mitigating local outages, |
| 150495 | Base | iPass Project Management – Planned Capital - Central-South | 0.4 | 409 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | Enable better visibility towards preventive equipment maintenance needs arising due to DER penetration which will further help mitigate outage risks, |
| 101781 | Base | iPass Project Management – Planned Capital - East | 0.4 | 409 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Estimating the effects of DER contribution at the feeder and region levels to further optimize the energy flows between the Utility and its consumers, |
| 150607 | M-Factor | Station LED Lighting Upgrades - Central | 0.0 | 408 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | Replacement of inefficient lighting fixtures and lamps at stations in the Central Operational Area will result in longer lasting lighting. Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. |
| 150606 | M-Factor | Station LED Lighting Upgrades - EAST | 0.0 | 408 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | Replacement of inefficient lighting fixtures and lamps at stations in this Operational Area will result in longer lasting lighting. Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. |
| 102034 | Base | Upgrade to Station Facilities (Building / Civil work) Multi-year - East | 0.1 | 340 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | MULTI ANSWER |
| 150797 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- SUV | 0.1 | 326 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2022 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------|---|
| 150610 | M-Factor | Driveway Paving - Various Stations - Multi-year initiative - Central | 0.0 | 290 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | Existing driveways at stations in the Central Operational Area that are covered in gravel and require ongoing maintenance for smoothing and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for crawl space under fencing, which presents a safety and security risk. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| 150609 | M-Factor | Driveway Paving - Various Stations - Multi-year initiative - East | 0.0 | 290 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | Existing driveways in this Operational Area that are covered in gravel require ongoing maintenance for smoothing and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for crawl space under fencing, which presents a safety and security risk. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| 150612 | M-Factor | Driveway Paving - Various Stations - Multi-year initiative - West | 0.0 | 290 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | Existing driveways in the West Operational Area that are covered in gravel require ongoing maintenance for smoothing and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for crawl space under fencing, which presents a safet and security risk. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| 150796 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- Vans | 0.2 | 286 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150551 | Base | ServiceNow Expansion - IT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 279 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Building and adding features within ServiceNow we will eliminate outdated processes by automating more tasks and decisions allowing us to be more efficient and productive. Enhancements include the automation of the flow of data between other systems and across programs used by various departments and ServiceNow. Servicebots will be used as ServiceNow learns from past patterns, in order to predict future outcomes, including determining risks, assigning owners, and categorizing work. Learned models set the category of the IT request and assign the task to the right team, as well as calculate associated risk of action or inaction. This capability will improve the speed and efficiency of IT service delivery. |
| 102050 | Base | Various Stations-Station Lighting Upgrade/Retrofit-Energy Efficiency Lighting-initiative Multi-year - East | 0.1 | 240 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement of inefficient indoor and outdoor lighting fixtures and lamps at stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream) will result in longer lasting lighting. Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. |
| 150897 | M-Factor | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement pick ups | 0.1 | 234 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150888 | M-Factor | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement SUVs | 0.0 | 223 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2022 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 150891 | M-Factor | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement Car | 0.0 | 208 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150825 | Base | Line & Transformer Protection Migration to DNP - Jim Yarrow TS | 0.1 | 164 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This is part of a multi-phase project to upgrade aging and failure- prone protection systems at Jim Yarrow TS to the current protection standards. Proper protection to transmission lines will provide protection to the assets in the case of faults. |
| 151382 | Base | 2022 GUELPH - Fleet | 0.6 | 151 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of end of life asset due to poor conditions, high mileage and engine hours and age. Vehicle experiencing more down time at the shop for repairs and maintenance impacting vehicle availability. |
| 103030 | Base | Technology Upgrades Improving the System Control Room Environment | 0.1 | 148 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | This project will continue to build on the original capital project whose scope was focused on the replacement of computers used as Operator WorkStations. The scope of this program has been expanded to account for all technology purchases required for the Control Room theater. |
| 151108 | Base | Office Equipment | 0.1 | 144 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Guelph | Replace aging equipment within the Guelph operational centre to ensure optimal performance in managing the distribution assets |
| 150586 | Base | OT SCADA map cleanup | 0.3 | 137 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | SCADA Map clean up program to ensure accuracy and safety in the SCADA environment. |
| 151245 | M-Factor | Capacitor Bank Installations | 0.0 | 135 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Guelph | This project consists of capacitor bank installation to increase power factor, capacity and reduce losses. |
| 102931 | Base | Paving of 3 MS & TS Station Driveways per year - Annual Multi-year initiative - East | 0.0 | 125 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | Existing driveways at stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream) are covered in gravel and require ongoing maintenance for smoothing and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for crawl space under fencing, which presents a safety and security risk. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| 150597 | Base | Lock Box Installs - East | 0.0 | 116 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to install lock boxes at ICI properties with restricted access to eliminate need for a customer appointment for access. |
| 150569 | Base | Server OS Upgrades - IT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 95 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Operating system upgrades to support IT infrastructure. |
| 150737 | Base | Facilities_East Region_Reactive Capital | 0.3 | 92 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Powerstream | Approved capital funds available to address any unforeseen and unbudgeted asset replacements/demands. Having these funds available for immediate use is critical for Facilities building operations to restore equipment/assets back to normal operations a.s.a.p. |
| 150736 | Base | Facilities_West Region_Reactive Capital | 0.3 | 92 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Horizon | Approved capital funds available to address any unforeseen and unbudgeted asset replacements/demands. Having these funds available for immediate use is critical for Facilities building operations to restore equipment/assets back to normal operations a.s.a.p. |
| 150734 | Base | Facilities_Central Region_Reactive Capital | 0.3 | 92 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Multiple | Approved capital funds available to address any unforeseen and unbudgeted asset replacements/demands. Having these funds available for immediate use is critical for Facilities building operations to restore equipment/assets back to normal operations a.s.a.p. |
| 150676 | Base | Mobile Devices - IT Infrastructure | 0.0 | 88 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | |
| 103171 | Base | Implementation of a new Alectra Network Operations Voice Radio System | 0.0 | 79 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | |
| 150564 | Base | OT SCADA hardware upgrade cycle 4 | 0.2 | 75 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Planned hardware updrades for monitors and workstations on enterprise SCADA platform. |
| 151016 | M-Factor | Fleet_West_Vehicle_Replacement_Pickups | 0.1 | 56 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2022 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|---|
| 150747 | M-Factor | Net Zero Energy Emissions | 0.3 | 35 | System Service | Distributed Energy Resources (DER) | Powerstream | The project will help Alectra Utilities to ensure that growing DER challenges are met through building expertise and capability in real time monitoring, integrating and optimizing of DERs in line with customer preferences. This will lead to a system that can safely integrate and optimize value from DERs for the benefit of customers. |
| 151053 | Base | Building Sustainment | 0.2 | 32 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Guelph | Projects planned to maintain the buildings, assets and systems in a condition that contributes to maintaining efficiencies, business operations and to alleviate pressure on the operating expenditures. Planned expenditures are based on the condition and/or lifecycle of a given building or component/asset and is scheduled for replacement (e.g. condenser, furnace, windows, roofing). |
| 150584 | Base | OT Control room hardware upgrade cycle | 0.3 | 27 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Planned hardware updrades for monitors and workstations on enterprise control room platforms |
| 151084 | Base | Shop equipment refurbishment and replacement | 0.0 | 23 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | |
| 151031 | Base | Fleet Shop equipment refurbishment and replacement | 0.1 | 13 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | For the refurbishment of trailers, shop compressors and hoists to extend the life of the assets instead of replacement to reduce the need fro capital expenditures. |
| 150678 | Base | P&C Specific Tools & Testing Equipment - West | 0.0 | 12 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Enersource | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 102999 | Base | P&C Specific Tools and Testing Equipment | 0.0 | 12 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 102157 | Base | Server Refresh - IT Infrastructure | 1.2 | 10 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Ongoing server refresh to support applications. |
| 150396 | Base | Network Refresh - IT Infrastructure | 0.4 | 10 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Replacement of existing network infrastructure that is unsupported (or soon to be) including further rationalization and design modifications to support the delivery of services throughout the Alectra Environment. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2023 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|---------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150725 | Base | Lines Central-North - Reactive Renewal | 1.3 | 181,454 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Brampton | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 150690 | Base | Lines Central-South - Reactive Renewal | 3.3 | 171,818 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Enersource | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 150682 | Base | Remote Fault Indicator Deployment | 0.5 | 164,221 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Multiple | This project consists of remote fault indictor deployment which will result improved outage response, operational efficiency, and reliability |
| 102247 | Base | Interest Capitalization | 1.2 | 104,304 | General Plant | Other General Plant | Powerstream | |
| 150596 | Base | Meter Renewal - all types but Suite - PowerStream RZ | 0.1 | 94,470 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to renew existing residential (except suite) metering equipment. |
| 101871 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East North - Commercial Services | 0.0 | 94,398 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151180 | Base | Central-North - Capitalization of Locates | 0.0 | 94,387 | System Access | Customer Connections | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150600 | Base | Firmware Upgrades for Smart Meters - East | 0.0 | 94,363 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to renew residential Smart meter firmware to improve communication performance. |
| 151169 | Base | Central-South - Capitalization of Locates | 0.0 | 94,345 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151174 | Base | West Lines - Capitalization locates | 0.0 | 94,319 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150631 | Base | Transformer Station Metering - Central North | 0.1 | 94,246 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | equipment. |
| 101696 | Base | Subdivision - North Underground Residential Distribution System Final Close out and Inspection. | 0.0 | 94,197 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 103381 | Base | East Lines - Capitalization locates | 0.1 | 94,145 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101870 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East South - Commercial Services | 0.1 | 94,022 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101869 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East North - New Residential | 0.1 | 93,989 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150650 | Base | Replace PCB Risk PT's - Enersource RZ | 0.1 | 93,977 | System Access | Metering | Enersource | Multi-year project to replace metering transformers identified with unacceptable levels of PCB's, to prevent hazardous spills. |
| 101919 | Base | New Services (new and upgrades) - Commercial, Industrial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - NORTH | 0.1 | 93,955 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150647 | Base | Transformer Station Metering - Enersource RZ | 0.1 | 93,884 | System Access | Metering | Enersource | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew wholesale metering equipment. |
| 151049 | Base | Commercial, Industrial, Institutional, Apartment Connections | 0.1 | 93,830 | System Access | Customer Connections | Guelph | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101685 | Base | Subdivision - South Underground Residential Distribution System Final Close out and Inspection. | 0.1 | 93,778 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150457 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – St Catharines | 0.2 | 93,519 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151162 | Base | Non Recoverable replacement of Distribution Equipment due to accident/vandalism | 0.3 | 93,457 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Horizon | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover costs for (i.e. pole hit no vehicle at scene of accicent) |
| 101924 | Base | Mulit Unit Metering for New Buildings NORTH - PowerStream RZ | 0.2 | 93,421 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to purchase and install suite metering in new buildings in northern area of territory. |
| 150588 | Base | New Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra West | 0.2 | 93,266 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101791 | Base | New Services - new and upgrades - COMMERCIAL, INDUSTRIAL, INSTITUTIONAL (ICI) SERVICES - SOUTH | 0.3 | 92,878 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101812 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - LIS | 0.3 | 92,710 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 150754 | Base | Lines Central-North - Non-Recoverable Replacements | 0.4 | 92,705 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Brampton | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover costs for (i.e. pole hit no vehicle at scene of accicent) |
| 150726 | Base | Lines Central-South - Non-Recoverable Replacements | 0.4 | 92,705 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Enersource | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover costs for (i.e. pole hit no vehicle at scene of accicent) |
| 101820 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Non-Recoverable Replacement | 0.3 | 92,583 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover costs for (i.e. pole hit no vehicle at scene of accicent) |
| 150389 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – Central North | 0.4 | 92,563 | System Access | Customer Connections | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150651 | Base | C & I Metering - Renewal- Brampton RZ | 0.6 | 92,561 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to renew existing ICI metering equipment. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2023 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|---------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------|--|
| 150386 | Base | New Service (new and upgrades) - Commercial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - Central North | 0.5 | 92,424 | System Access | Customer Connections | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150453 | Base | CIS CC&B Modifications(Regulatory Enhancements) | 0.9 | 92,261 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Enhancements to the CIS (CC&B) application needed to meet any regulatory requirements Such requirements in the past have been Ontario Energy Savings Program (OESP) as well as the Monthly Billing projects. |
| 150649 | Base | Suite Metering - Enersource RZ | 0.6 | 91,838 | System Access | Metering | Enersource | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew suite metering equipment. |
| 150654 | Base | C & I Metering - New Services - Brampton RZ | 0.6 | 91,553 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to purchase and install ICI metering equipment on new services. |
| 150595 | Base | C & I and Wholesale Metering - PowerStream RZ | 0.6 | 91,530 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to purchase, install, and renew ICI and wholesale metering equipment. |
| 101868 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East South - New Residential | 0.5 | 91,488 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101795 | Base | Multi Unit Metering for New Buildings SOUTH - PowerStream RZ | 0.5 | 91,273 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to purchase and install suite metering in new buildings in southern area of territory. |
| 101873 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East North - Residential Upgrades | 0.6 | 91,023 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151051 | Base | System Relocations Road Authorities | 0.7 | 91,002 | System Access | Road Authority | Guelph | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150652 | Base | New Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra Central South | 0.5 | 90,948 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150388 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – Central South | 0.8 | 90,774 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150692 | Base | New Feeder in Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra Central North | 0.7 | 90,609 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Brampton | This expenditure is required to meet the needs of the development community that construct municipal approved residential subdivisions in Alectra Utilities' Central North service territory |
| 150599 | Base | Suite Meter - Reverification - PowerStream RZ | 1.0 | 90,368 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to renew Measurement Canada seal dates on existing suite metering equipment. |
| 150455 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Commercial, Industrial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - St Catharines | 0.9 | 90,201 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150659 | Base | Residential Meters - by Metering - Brampton RZ | 0.9 | 90,096 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew residential metering equipment. Work carried out by Metering. |
| 150456 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – Hamilton | 0.9 | 89,962 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150630 | Base | New Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra Central North | 1.2 | 89,952 | System Access | Customer Connections | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101828 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Recoverable Replacement | 0.8 | 89,766 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover some costs for (i.e. pole hit, vehicle at scene of accicent, Alectra Utilities obtains most of costs (near \$0 impact too budget)) |
| 101896 | Base | New Institutional/Commercial/Industrial Subdivision Development - Alectra East | 0.9 | 89,347 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101872 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East South - Residential Upgrades | 0.9 | 89,345 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101800 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Storm Damage | 1.3 | 86,549 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 151047 | Base | Subdivisions | 0.5 | 85,393 | System Access | Customer Connections | Guelph | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150620 | Base | Metering Renewal - all types - Horizon RZ | 2.1 | 84,064 | System Access | Metering | Horizon | Multi-year project to purchase, install, and renew residential, ICI and wholesale metering equipment. |
| 101808 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Switchgears | 1.8 | 83,304 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 150648 | Base | Metering Renewal - all types but Suite - Enersource RZ | 2.3 | 82,569 | System Access | Metering | Enersource | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew residential (except suite) and ICI metering equipment. |
| 101892 | Base | New Subdivision Development - Secondary Service Lateral - Alectra East | 2.9 | 80,776 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150384 | Base | New Service (new and upgrades) - Commercial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - Central South | 3.6 | 77,904 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150449 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Commercial, Industrial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - Hamilton | 5.3 | 69,328 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151095 | Base | Reactive Restoration | 1.1 | 63,547 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Guelph | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 101824 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Distribution Equipment | 5.5 | 58,190 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2023 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 151161 | Base | Joint Use Pole Removal | 0.1 | 57,200 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | This project is for the removal of poles in the field after third party (joint use) attachements have been removed or transferred for projects already closed by Alectra Utilities. |
| 151050 | Base | Metering - all types - Guelph RZ | 0.4 | 55,986 | System Access | Metering | Guelph | Multi-year project to purchase, install, and renew residential, ICI and wholesale metering equipment in Guelph RZ. |
| 150469 | Base | ERP JD Edwards Enhancements | 1.8 | 55,715 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Allocation of capital funds to provide 3rd party assistance on capital work, along with creating system enhancements to the JD Edwards ERP and supporting Systems. |
| 150325 | Base | CIS CC&B Enhancements | 1.3 | 55,520 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Enhancement to CIS (CC&B) application to support process improvement requirements.As the CIS (CC&B) system is one of the core applications of the organization, operational enhancements come in from a number of venues and thereby feedback into the other downstream systems. These enhancements are considered for the overall customer and organizational benefits. |
| 101832 | Base | Joint Use Pole Removal | 0.6 | 54,024 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | This project is for the removal of poles in the field after third party (joint use) attachements have been removed or transferred for projects already closed by Alectra Utilities. |
| 101570 | Base | New Alliston 10MVA Substation - 44 kV Supply | 0.0 | 50,413 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Powerstream | This project is the 44KV feeder extension to supply Alliston MS (101569) |
| 101571 | Base | New Alliston 10MVA Substation - 13.8 kV Feeder Integration | 0.0 | 50,184 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Powerstream | This project is the 13.8KV feeder integration for Alliston MS (101569) |
| 101887 | Base | New Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra East | 11.0 | 44,864 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101569 | M-Factor | New Alliston 10MVA Substation - Industrial Parkway | 0.8 | 44,830 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Powerstream | The project entails the purchase of a station site in the vicinity of Dufferin St and Industrial Pkwy in Alliston, and constructing a new 10MVA, 44/13.8 kV, dual-stage fan, 4-feeder municipal substation |
| 100859 | Base | Switchgear Renewal - East | 2.9 | 43,705 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 151089 | Base | Overhead Rebuilds | 1.3 | 39,246 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Guelph | This project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |
| 151241 | M-Factor | Arlen MTS - New Feeder | 0.5 | 37,817 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Guelph | New Distribution feeder from Arlen MTS to support the load growth in the south Guelph and to meet continengency requirement. |
| 151118 | M-Factor | Nebo TS 27.6kV True-up Payment (CCRA) | 0.5 | 37,750 | General Plant | Connection and Cost Recovery Agreements | Horizon | In accordance with the Connection Cost Recovery Agreement, a 10 year true-up is required for Nebo TS. |
| 101508 | Base | Transformer Renewal - East | 3.1 | 37,474 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Powerstream | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental, (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |
| 150673 | Base | Road Authority Central (Mississauga) | 4.5 | 35,001 | System Access | Road Authority | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150073 | M-Factor | Vaughan TS#1 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades | 0.2 | 34,014 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to upgrade the Vaughan 15#1 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades with new relays having fault recording capabilities. |
| 150645 | Base | Road Authority Central (Brampton) | 5.3 | 31,814 | System Access | Road Authority | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150828 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects- West | 0.9 | 31,592 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 151452 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-West | 0.9 | 31,590 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 101762 | Base | Road Authority Expenditure PS South | 4.1 | 31,544 | System Access | Road Authority | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101355 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects- East | 1.0 | 31,425 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2023 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 151450 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-East | 1.0 | 31,425 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 100340 | M-Factor | Vaughan TS#4 Feeder Integration - Part 3 | 5.2 | 28,489 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is the third part of a multi-part project to construct and integrate new feeders from VTS#4. This project is to integrate four 27.6kV feeders (25M5/25M6/25M7/25M8) from VTS4 to the distribution system. |
| 151363 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M25) - 14th - McCowan - Steeles - Old Kennedy, Markham | 0.8 | 27,519 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 14 failures. |
| 100867 | Base | Pole Renewal - East | 5.5 | 25,595 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |
| 151074 | Base | Reactive renewal | 3.4 | 25,136 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Horizon | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 151362 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M39) - 16th - Warden - Hwy 7 - Woodbine, Markham | 1.3 | 23,714 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 8 failures. |
| 151360 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M31) - 14th - Old Kennedy - Steeles - Warden, Markham | 0.8 | 23,641 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 38 failures. |
| 151043 | Base | Transformer Renewal - Central South | 2.0 | 21,870 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Enersource | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental, (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |
| 150071 | M-Factor | Markham TS#2 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades | 0.3 | 21,087 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to upgrade the Markham TS#2 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades with new relays having fault recording capabilities. |
| 151303 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (HAM) - Stone Church - Garth - Lincoln M. Alexander | 3.2 | 19,665 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 5 failures, or 18 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1976 in this project scope. |
| 150664 | Base | Residential Meters - by Lines - Brampton RZ | 0.6 | 18,167 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew residential metering equipment. Work carried out by Lines. |
| 151109 | Base | Switch Replacement | 0.2 | 18,145 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacment units |
| 102455 | Base | Melbourne MS322 Land Purchase & TX Upgrade - Bradford | 0.4 | 17,824 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Powerstream | This project consists of transformer upgrade to increase the capacity at Melbourne MS to meet the load growth the contingency condition for Bradford area. |
| 151367 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M21) - Hwy 7 - Markham - 16th - McCowan, Markham | 1.1 | 17,675 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 6 failures. |
| 150319 | M-Factor | New MS - Duke MS 20 MVA Substation, Mississauga | 2.0 | 17,541 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Enersource | The Downtown21 plan forecasts a total population of 56,565 residents and 34,247 jobs in the Mississauga downtown core. The current capacity available for the downtown core is approximately 140 MVA ONAN rating. Based on growth projected and the land parcels available, Alectra Utilities estimates that, upon completion of Downtown21 the combined transformation load requirement will increase approximately by 300 MVA. This station will provide 20MVA ONAN capacity. |
| 151104 | Base | Distribution Automation - Central North | 0.5 | 17,389 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Brampton | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 151366 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M19) - Markham - Steeles - McCowan - 14th, Markham | 1.4 | 17,259 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 7 failures. |
| 151318 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (I3) -Bovaird - Dixie - Queen - Hwy 410, Brampton | 0.6 | 16,119 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 6 outages due to cable failures from 2014 to 2015 with average duration of 71 minutes. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2023 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150639 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 4 Station DC System Monitoring-Multi- year initiative-CENTRAL | 0.0 | 15,736 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of installation of DC charging system for Central South Sations. A better real-time assessment of Station batteries will lower the risk of battery failure/fire/explosion |
| 150638 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 4 Station DC System Monitoring-Multi- year initiative-East | 0.0 | 15,736 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project consists of installation of DC charging system for Central North Sations. A better real-time assessment of Station batteries will lower the risk of battery failure/fire/explosion |
| 150636 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 4 Station DC System Monitoring-Multi- year initiative-North & TS | 0.0 | 15,736 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of installation of DC charging system for East Sations. A better real-time assessment of Station batteries will lower the risk of battery failure/fire/explosion |
| 150640 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 4 Station DC System Monitoring-Multi- year initiative-WEST | 0.0 | 15,736 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of installation of DC charging system for West Sations. A better real-time assessment of Station batteries will lower the risk of battery failure/fire/explosion |
| 151460 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (V17) - Langstaff - Keele - Rutherford - Dufferin, Vaughan | 0.6 | 15,317 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2017-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 3 failures. From 2015-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 4 failures. |
| 100337 | M-Factor | Markham TS #4 Feeder Egress Part 3 | 4.9 | 14,795 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is to install four 27.6kV feeders from MTS4 along Rodick Rd to 14th Ave. These feeders will be connected to existing feeders on 14th Ave and Miller Ave. It is MTS4 Feeder Integration Plan Part 3. This project will increase supply capacity by 80 MVA to support growth and development in Markham |
| 150285 | Base | Transformer Renewal - Central North | 1.3 | 14,492 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Brampton | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental, (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |
| 101027 | Base | Switch Renewal - East | 0.7 | 14,309 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacment units |
| 102098 | Base | Client - IT Infrastructure | 1.3 | 13,761 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | To upgrade desktop/laptop/mobile devices that are 5 years or older (Approximately 20% of our equipment). Net new equipment for mobile computing as well as upgrades and replacements for damaged devices. Request made by various business units. This budget also includes RSA tokens\Licenses, monitors, mice, keyboards, docking stations, tablets and small non-MFP printers. Out of Scope: Large MFP Printers |
| 151247 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects- Guelph | 0.6 | 13,414 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Guelph | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 102232 | Base | Capital Funds for Emergency P&C Purchases - East | 0.0 | 13,271 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project provides funds for the emergency procurement of Intelligent Electronic Devices (IED), communications equipment, protective relays, and other critical spare parts for the municipal/transformer substations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream). These parts are critical to maintaining the viability of key operations' systems and the conformance to the ESA Regulation 22/04. Failure or underperformance of this equipment could cause a catastrophic failure of a key component of the distribution grid. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2023 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------|--|
| 150683 | Base | Capital Funds for Emergency P&C Purchases - Central North | 0.0 | 13,185 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project provides funds for the emergency procurement of Intelligent Electronic Devices (IED), communications equipment, protective relays, and other critical spare parts for the municipal/transformer substations in the Central North Operating Area (Brampton). These parts are critical to maintaining the viability of key operations' systems and the conformance to the ESA Regulation 22/04. Failure or underperformance of this equipment could cause a catastrophic failure of a key component of the distribution grid. |
| 150685 | Base | Capital Funds for Emergency P&C Purchases - West | 0.0 | 13,185 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | This project provides funds for the emergency procurement of Intelligent Electronic Devices (IED), communications equipment, protective relays, and other critical spare parts for the municipal/transformer substations in the West Operating Area (former Horizon). These parts are critical to maintaining the viability of key operations' systems and the conformance to the ESA Regulation 22/04. Failure or underperformance of this equipment could cause a catastrophic failure of a key component of the distribution grid. |
| 150684 | Base | Capital Funds for Emergency P&C Purchases - Central South | 0.0 | 13,185 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | This project provides funds for the emergency procurement of Intelligent Electronic Devices (IED), communications equipment, protective relays, and other critical spare parts for the municipal/transformer substations in the Central South Operating Area (former Mississauga). These parts are critical to maintaining the viability of key operations' systems and the conformance to the ESA Regulation 22/04. Failure or underperformance of this equipment could cause a catastrophic failure of a key component of the distribution grid. |
| 150360 | M-Factor | New build - Extend 44kV feeder Centre View Dr, Mississauga | 0.9 | 13,005 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Enersource | A new 44 kV overhead/underground feeder extension is needed to provide supply to downtown Mississauga area on Centre View Drive as well as provide primary supply for Duke Municipal Station (MS). |
| 150784 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects- Central North | 0.7 | 12,968 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 151453 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-Guelph | 0.8 | 12,922 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 150823 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects- Central South | 0.8 | 12,655 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 102099 | Base | Printer & Copier Fleet Replacement | 0.1 | 12,636 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Replacement of existing fleet of printers/copiers that are 5 or more years old. This will include non-MFP printers. Replacement to be determined by an evaluation of evolving needs and corporate requirements as well as age of equipment. |
| 151449 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-Central North | 0.9 | 12,176 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 103211 | Base | Misc Software Upgrades (FormScape, AutoCAD, etc.) - IT/OT | 0.0 | 12,021 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Upgrade/ acquire software as required / requested by business. |
| 151451 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-Central South | 0.9 | 11,936 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 103180 | Base | Citrix Xen Virtualization Expansion - IT Infrastructure | 0.3 | 11,299 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Client computing virtualization (Citrix) is currently the standard delivery method for applications and desktops at Alectra. This system has had a tremendous uptake in the organization and requires an upgrade in back-end infrastructure to support the additional demand from the business. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2023 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|---|
| 150693 | M-Factor | Blockchain | 0.6 | 11,192 | System Service | Distributed Energy Resources (DER) | Multiple | The project will prepare Alectra Utilities to engage with customers in a real-time and transparent process to record the flow of electricity to and from DERs, enabling the efficient procurement of distribution benefits, such as demand response and frequency regulation. The project will provide a robust settlement mechanism between Alectra and customers, backed by timely and efficient financial transactions, to enable overall trust and customer value delivery and leading to increased customer satisfaction. |
| 151314 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (G2) -Wanless - Kennedy - Bovaird - Main, Brampton | 0.3 | 11,046 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 4 outages due to cable failures from 2013 to 2017 with average duration of 125 minutes. |
| 150665 | Base | Emerging Customer Initiated Work (West) | 1.7 | 10,905 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151465 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement - Mississauga Left Behind Cable | 0.6 | 10,857 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | This project is to address cables which were part of a cable injection project but were ultimately not injectable at the time of project execution. These 'left behind' segments must be addressed, if they are left in the system they could cause a failure. Customers would not only experience an outage, but question the utilities work practices as we would have addressed only a portion of the cables instead of all the cables which could cause an outage. |
| 101562 | Base | Arc Flash Mitigation Projects | 0.0 | 10,619 | System Service | Safety & Security | Powerstream | This project is to mitigate arc flash risk at East stations. |
| 151307 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Upper Sherman - Stone Church - Nebo - Rymal | 0.3 | 10,595 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 2 failures, or 5 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1989 in this project scope. |
| 151299 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (HAM) - Millen - Barton - Fruitland | 1.3 | 10,342 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 16 failures, or 44 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1970 in this project scope. |
| 150653 | Base | Road Authority West (St. Catharines) | 1.1 | 10,217 | System Access | Road Authority | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150615 | Base | Metering Tools & Equipment - Central North | 0.0 | 9,947 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Brampton | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150616 | Base | Metering Tools & Equipment - Central South | 0.0 | 9,947 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Enersource | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150613 | Base | Metering Tools & Equipment - East | 0.0 | 9,947 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150614 | Base | Metering Tools & Equipment - West | 0.0 | 9,947 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Horizon | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 102263 | M-Factor | Work Force Management / Mobile Dispatch | 2.4 | 9,762 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Enterprise wide field crew management system that will enable more efficient use of crews and improve visibility to job progress enabling better communication of expectations to customers |
| 151456 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (V50) - Hwy 7 - Kipling - Steeles - Hwy 27, Vaughan | 0.2 | 9,188 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 3 failures in 2018. |
| 151063 | Base | Pole Renewal - Central South | 3.1 | 9,065 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | This project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |
| 100886 | Base | Distribution Automation - East | 1.4 | 9,041 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Powerstream | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 151275 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (SCH) - QEW - Highway 406 - Martindale Road | 0.8 | 8,905 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 3 failures, or 11 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1988 in this project scope. |
| 151298 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Govenors - Old Ancaster | 0.9 | 8,842 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 3 failures, or 10 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1989 in this project scope. |
| 101804 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools | 0.4 | 8,158 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2023 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 101488 | Base | Markham TS #5 | 0.3 | 8,117 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Powerstream | This project is to perform Class EA and purchase land for Markham TS#5 which has been recommended as per the York Region IRRP |
| 151313 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (F5) - Steeles - Main - Hwy 407 - McLaughlin, Brampton | 0.3 | 7,751 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 5 outages due to cable failures from 2007 to 2016 with average duration of 80 minutes. |
| 150282 | Base | Switchgear Renewal - Central North | 0.5 | 7,521 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 150508 | Base | Installation of Transformer Bushing Monitoring on MS txmrs-Multi Year -CENTRAL | 0.2 | 7,509 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of installation of Transformer bushing monitoring system in Central South. bushing monitoring unit provides real time condition monitoring of the transformer bushings. |
| 151391 | Base | 2023 GUELPH - Remotely Controlled Switches | 0.4 | 7,488 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Guelph | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 151325 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (M31) - 14th - Old Kennedy - Steeles - Warden, Markham | 3.5 | 7,412 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 38 failures. |
| 151457 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (V25) - Major Mackenzie - Keele - Rutherford - Jane, Vaughan | 0.4 | 7,410 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2017-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 2 failures. From 2015-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 3 failures. |
| 151339 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BA19) - Letitia - Anne - Edgehill - Ferndale, Barrie | 4.4 | 7,397 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 2 failures in 2018. |
| 150370 | M-Factor | New build - 27.6kV New Feeders Lakeview Development, Mississauga | 1.9 | 7,391 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Enersource | Two 27.6kV feeders are to be extended in order to provide for additional capacity in the Lakeview area for the brownfield development and intesificiation |
| 151316 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (H2) - Wanless - Heart Lake - Bovaird - Kennedy, Brampton | 0.4 | 7,371 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 4 outages due to cable failures from 2009 to 2017 with average duration of 107 minutes. |
| 150284 | Base | Pole Renewal - Central North | 2.9 | 7,305 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | This project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |
| 102017 | Base | Sorbweb Oil Containment Systems - 4 Transformers -Multiyear initiative-North & TS | 0.3 | 7,275 | System Service | Safety & Security | Powerstream | This project consists of Sorbweb Oil containment system in East. Protects the environment in the event of a transformer tank rupture |
| 100924 | M-Factor | Install two additional 27.6 kV ccts on Hwy 7 from Jane St to Weston Rd | 2.6 | 7,256 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is to reroute two 27.6kV feeders (21M3 & 21M4) to supply new load in Vaughan Metro Center (VMC). Vaughan Metro Center (VMC) is supplied by two feeders 21M5 and 21M11 from VTS2. There is limited capacity on VTS2 left before it reaches the 10 day Limited Time Rating (LTR) of 153 MW. VTS4 was completed in 2017 and is in service and will off load VTS2 so that will have extra capacity to supply new loads in the VMC development (approx. 80MW). |
| 150714 | Base | MS Transformer Tank and Radiator Reconditioning- Multi-year initiative - Central | 0.1 | 6,819 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | This project is intended for corrosion mitigation of station power transformer main tanks and cooling radiators in the Central South Operating Area (former Enersource). It also prevents oil from leaking out of failed tanks and radiators due to corrosion. Preventing the main tank and radiators from corrosion extends the useful life of the unit and improves the reliability to customers. |
| 150713 | Base | MS Transformer Tank and Radiator Reconditioning- Multi-year initiative - East | 0.1 | 6,819 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project is intended for corrosion mitigation of station power transformer main tanks and cooling radiators in the Central North Operating Area (Brampton). It also prevents oil from leaking out of failed tanks and radiators due to corrosion. Preventing the main tank and radiators from corrosion extends the useful life of the unit and improves the reliability to customers. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2023 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150715 | Base | MS Transformer Tank and Radiator Reconditioning- Multi-year initiative - West | 0.1 | 6,819 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | This project is intended for corrosion mitigation of station power transformer main tanks and cooling radiators in the West Operating Area (former Horizon). It also prevents oil from leaking out of failed tanks and radiators due to corrosion. Preventing the main tank and radiators from corrosion extends the useful life of the unit and improves the reliability to customers. |
| 150644 | Base | Road Authority West (Hamilton) | 1.9 | 6,689 | System Access | Road Authority | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151355 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M26) - Hwy 7 -McCowan - 14th - Old Kennedy, Markham | 0.9 | 6,566 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 14 failures. |
| 150507 | Base | 230kV TS Transformer Primary Bushing Monitoring Enablement-BPD Elimination - 4 TS Transformers-Multi-year initiative-TS | 0.5 | 6,521 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to install primary bushing monitoring at TS in EAST |
| 151459 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (V24) - Langstaff - Jane - Rutherford - Keele, Vaughan | 0.5 | 6,509 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2015-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 0 failures. From 2013-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 2 failures. |
| 150468 | Base | Meter to Cash auxiliary systems ongoing upgrades - IT/OT Infrastructure | 0.2 | 6,495 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Enhancement to CIS (CC&B) Meter to Cash ancillary systems to support process improvement requirements. Ancillary systems but not limited to upgrading FW, MV90, LPSS. These enhancements are considered for the overall customer and organizational benefits. |
| 151418 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Innovator & Courtney Park E (4), Mississauga | 2.9 | 6,467 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 7 outages, the length is 7,263 m, and the average cable age is 22 years old. This is a commerical/industrial (3-phase) area. |
| 151310 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (E4) - Queen - McLaughlin - Steeles - Chinguacousy, Brampton | 0.2 | 6,421 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 4 outages due to cable failures from 2008 to 2016 with average duration of 104 minutes. |
| 151044 | Base | Switch Renewal - Central South | 0.3 | 6,319 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacement units |
| 103659 | Base | Storm Hardening - Four-Circuit Poles | 2.1 | 6,255 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | |
| 150514 | Base | Sorbweb Oil Containment Systems - 4 Transformers -Multiyear Initiative-WEST | 0.3 | 6,213 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project consists of Sorbweb Oil containment system in West. Protects the environment in the event of a transformer tank rupture |
| 151336 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BA22) - Sunnidale and Anne, Barrie | 1.5 | 6,208 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 2 failures in 2019. |
| 151086 | Base | Insulator Replacements | 0.1 | 5,949 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of non-polymer insulators (Areas are prioritized by insulators showing signs of defects), with modern polymer style insulators, we reduce pole fires and insulator flashovers. this will also drive efficiencies as insulator washing will no longer be required once all non-polymer insulators are replaced. |
| 151463 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (F4-G4) - Main - Steeles - Chinguacousy - Queen, Brampton | 0.3 | 5,560 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | From 2000-2018 customers in this area experienced 86 failures. Exact number of failures in recent years is unknown at this moment. |
| 150337 | Base | Switch Renewal - West | 0.5 | 5,476 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacement units |
| 151055 | Base | Major Tools | 0.1 | 5,432 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Guelph | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150598 | Base | Suite Metering - Renewals & Retrofits - PowerStream RZ | 0.4 | 5,394 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to purchase and install suite metering equipment in existing buildings upgraded from bulk metering. |
| 150515 | Base | Sorbweb Oil Containment Systems - 4 Transformers -Multiyear initiative-CENTRAL | 0.3 | 5,352 | System Service | Safety & Security | Enersource | This project consists of Sorbweb Oil containment system in Central South. Protects the environment in the event of a transformer tank rupture |
| 150516 | Base | Sorbweb Oil Containment Systems - 4 Transformers -Multiyear initiative-EAST | 0.3 | 5,352 | System Service | Safety & Security | Brampton | This project consists of Sorbweb Oil containment system in Central North. Protects the environment in the event of a transformer tank rupture |
| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2023 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150336 | Base | Transformer Renewal - West | 0.7 | 5,294 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Horizon | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental, (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |
| 151306 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Upper Wentworth - Lincoln M. Alexander - Upper Ottawa - Stone Church | 0.5 | 5,237 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 2 failures, or 8 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1988 in this project scope. |
| 150750 | Base | Lines Central-North - Major Tools | 0.1 | 5,234 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Brampton | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150009 | Base | Insulator Renewal - East | 0.2 | 5,108 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement of non-polymer insulators (Areas are prioritized by insulators showing signs of defects), with modern polymer style insulators, we reduce pole fires and insulator flashovers. this will also drive efficiencies as insulator washing will no longer be required once all non-polymer insulators are replaced. |
| 151203 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - Stations South West | 0.1 | 4,921 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Guelph | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the South West Operating Area (Guelph) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have spares readily available in order to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |
| 102042 | Base | Purchase and Installation of Animal Guards at Various Stations- Annual Multi-year initiative-North & TS | 0.0 | 4,894 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of animal guard installation in East |
| 151359 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M16) - Major Mackenzie - 9th - 16th - Hwy 48, Markham | 0.7 | 4,782 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 7 failures. |
| 151464 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (F3-G3-H3) - Phase 2, Brampton | 0.5 | 4,697 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | From 2000-2018 customers in this area experienced 103 failures. Exact number of failures in recent years is unknown at this moment. |
| 151083 | Base | Switchgear Renewal - West | 0.4 | 4,684 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 151010 | Base | Switch Renewal - Central North | 0.7 | 4,604 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacement units |
| 150720 | Base | Lines Central-South - Major Tools | 0.2 | 4,591 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Enersource | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 151420 | Base | Cable Replacement Project-Eglinton & Credit Valley (5), Mississauga | 10.2 | 4,486 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 6 outages, the length is 27,821 m, and the average cable age is 35 years old. |
| 151021 | Base | Insulator Renewal - Central North | 0.4 | 4,236 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | Replacement of non-polymer insulators (Areas are prioritiezed by insulators showing signs of defects), with modern polymer style insulators, we reduce pole fires and insulator flashovers. this will also drive efficiencies as insulator washing will no longer be required once all non-polymer insulators are replaced. |
| 150674 | Base | Emerging Customer Initiated Work Central (Brampton) | 0.2 | 4,184 | System Access | Customer Connections | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101763 | Base | Unforeseen Projects Initiated by the customer PS North | 0.1 | 4,161 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150679 | M-Factor | Alectra Drive for the Workplace | 0.2 | 3,997 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Multiple | Alectra Drive for the Workplace will demonstrate the value of integrating smart electric vehicle (EV) charging system at workplaces into the distribution grid such that mass uptake of electric vehicles can be managed in a safe and reliable manner. The planned investment will help manage the flow of electricity needed to serve the building and EV charging stations, so that electricity costs are minimized for commercial customers while EV drivers have an easy and accessible charging solution. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2023 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|--|
| 151413 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Rathburn Rd W & Elora Dr (9), Mississauga | 1.6 | 3,744 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 8 outages, the length is 3,270 m, and the average cable age is 27 years old. |
| 102075 | Base | Major repairs, refurbishment, or modifications to switches/switchgear | 0.1 | 3,702 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Switchgear and Switches in stores that repair/refurbishment of the units can allow them to be returned to service |
| 151411 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Queensway & Mavis (31), Mississauga | 3.6 | 3,694 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 8 outages, the length is 8,488 m, and the average cable age is 42 years old. |
| 151276 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (SCH) - Vansickle | 0.4 | 3,556 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 2 failures, or 11 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1990 in this project scope. |
| 150498 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - Stations Central South | 0.3 | 3,535 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the Central South Operating Area (former Enersource) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have sparse readily available in order to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |
| 150499 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - Stations Central North | 0.3 | 3,535 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the Central North Operating Area (Brampton) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have spares readily available in order to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |
| 150497 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - Stations West | 0.3 | 3,535 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the West Operating Area (former Horizon) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have spares readily available in order to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |
| 101542 | M-Factor | New Barrie 20MVA Substation - Harvie | 0.8 | 3,447 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Powerstream | The project entails the purchase of a station site in the vicinity of Harvie Road and Veterans Drive in Barrie and constructing a new 44/13.8kV, 20MVA, 4-feeder municipal substation. |
| 150774 | Base | Lines Central-North - Site Restorations | 0.1 | 3,434 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | |
| 150721 | Base | Lines Central-South - Site Restorations | 0.1 | 3,434 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | |
| 150672 | Base | Burden Allocation - System Service | 0.1 | 3,353 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Horizon | |
| 151458 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (V31) - Langstaff - Weston - Rutherford - Jane, Vaughan | 0.6 | 3,280 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 1 failure in 2017. |
| 150263 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - East Left Behind Cable | 3.4 | 3,237 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in these areas have experienced 11 failures. |
| 151416 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- woodchester & Thom Lodge (34), Mississauga | 2.4 | 3,184 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | the average cable age is 49 years old. |
| 151356 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V44) - Langstaff - Pine Valley - Hwy 7 - Kipling, Vaughan | 0.4 | 3,176 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 6 failures. |
| 150601 | Base | Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) Security Audit - East | 0.1 | 3,171 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to renew meter data security to address emerging cyber threats. |
| 102065 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - East | 0.3 | 3,161 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream area) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available in order to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2023 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150611 | Base | Transformer Tank and Radiator Reconditioning- Multi-year initiative - East | 0.2 | 3,117 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project is intended for corrosion mitigation of station power transformer main tanks and cooling radiators in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream). It also prevents oil from leaking out of failed tanks and radiators due to corrosion. Preventing the main tank and radiators from corrosion extends the useful life of the unit and improves the reliability to customers. |
| 150524 | Base | Security Additions & Enhancements | 0.2 | 3,110 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | details refer to PWC doc |
| 150623 | Base | Purchase and Installation of Animal Guarding-Annual Multi-year initiative-WEST | 0.0 | 3,095 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of animal guard installation in West |
| 150622 | Base | Purchase and Installation of Animal Guarding-Annual Multi-year initiative-CENTRAL | 0.0 | 3,093 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of installation of Animal guard at Central South Stations |
| 150621 | Base | Purchase and Installation of Animal Guarding-Annual Multi-year initiative-EAST | 0.0 | 3,082 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project consists of installation of Animal guard at East Stations |
| 151317 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (H4) - Queen - Hwy 410 - Steeles - Kennedy, Brampton | 0.4 | 3,033 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 2 outages due to cable failures in 2007 and 2012 with average duration of 72 minutes. |
| 151417 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Rathburn & Cawthra (27), Mississauga | 0.6 | 2,968 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 8 outages, the length is 1,103 m, and the average cable age is 38 years old. |
| 100632 | M-Factor | 27.6 kV Pole Line on 14th Ave from Hwy 48 to 9th Line | 2.0 | 2,933 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project consists of building new 27.6 KV pole line on 14th Avenue from HWY 48 to 9th Line. Feeders in this area are over the planning limit and existing load over planning limit and this project will redirected to alternate supply as well as supply new development. |
| 151208 | Base | Station Equipment Temperature Monitoring - South West | 0.0 | 2,911 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Guelph | MULTI ANSWER |
| 151286 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (H2) - Wanless - Heart Lake - Bovaird - Kennedy, Brampton | 1.3 | 2,868 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 6 outages due to cable failures from 2011 to 2017 with an average duration of 126 minutes |
| 101625 | Base | Cyber Security Audit & Upgrades | 0.1 | 2,865 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | This project would fund various activities and countermeasures to improve the cyber security posture of the Operations' Network. This will be a mandate for compliance to Ontario Cyber Security framework. The counter measures include: - an annual audit of the cyber security countermeasures in place; - implementation of new technologies to seal identified compromises; - replacement of deployed cyber security equipment deemed end of life or not able to deliver an expected level of service. |
| 150671 | Base | Burden Allocation - System Renewal | 1.9 | 2,831 | System Renewal | Other System Renewal | Horizon | |
| 150632 | Base | AMI Gatekeeper Expansion - Brampton RZ | 0.0 | 2,820 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to expand and upgrade AMI field communication equipment to service new connections. |
| 150374 | M-Factor | New build - 13.8kV Feeder Extension 9th Line, Derry to Argentia, Mississauga | 1.2 | 2,571 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Enersource | 13.8kV OH teeder extension 9th Line from Derry Rd W to Argentia Rd in Mississauga. This will provide additional capacity for growth along 9th Line. |
| 150332 | M-Factor | Non-Wires Alternative Pilot | 0.8 | 2,537 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Powerstream | The project will provide customers with increased flexibility to make decisions about their electricity consumption, generation, and costs; it leads to more efficient integration of DERs which yields greater benefits to customer, system reliability, and power quality. This project will also provide the opportunity to increase operational efficiency and improved asset management to enhance service to customer and defer and/or reduce infrastructure investment needs in York Region. |
| 150580 | Base | West Region Tools and Test Equipment | 0.2 | 2,494 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Horizon | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150627 | Base | Station Equipment Temperature Monitoring-EAST | 0.1 | 2,479 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project consists of Station Temperature Monitoring system in Central North and it enables controlled emergency loading beyond the nameplate rating. |
| 150629 | Base | Station Equipment Temperature Monitoring-WEST | 0.1 | 2,479 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | West and it enables controlled emergency loading beyond the nameplate rating. |
| 150095 | M-Factor | Vaughan TS#1 T1/T2 "B" Differential Protections Upgrade | 0.1 | 2,478 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to upgrade the current T1/T2 ASEA solid state Transformer "B" Differential Protection relays at Vaughan TS#1 with new relays having fault recording capabilities |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2023 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 151202 | Base | Purchase of Major Station Tools - Multi-year | 0.0 | 2,473 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Guelph | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 151419 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- Thomas St & Hillside (24), Mississauga | 1.8 | 2,467 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 6 outages, the length is 4,089 m, and the average cable age is 30 years old. |
| 151395 | Base | 2023 GUELPH - Transite Underground Duct Replacement | 0.3 | 2,461 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of Duct Structure which contains asbestoes a known carcinogen and impacts worker safety. |
| 150625 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools - Muliti Year-CENTRAL | 0.0 | 2,395 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Enersource | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150624 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools - Muliti Year-EAST | 0.0 | 2,395 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Brampton | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150626 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools - Muliti Year-WEST | 0.0 | 2,395 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Horizon | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 101761 | Base | Unforeseen Projects Initiated by the customer PS South | 0.4 | 2,365 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151435 | Base | Cable Injection- 010 - Area 56- Derry Rd W & Ninth Line, Mississauga | 1.3 | 2,307 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | There are 3 outages, we are injecting a total of 45,837 m of cable for this project, and the average age of the cable is 30 years. |
| 151290 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (I3) - Bovaird - Dixie - Queen - Hwy 410, Brampton | 0.7 | 2,280 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 8 outages due to cable failures from 2007 to 2018 with average duration of 133 minutes. |
| 150084 | M-Factor | Markham TS#2 T1/T2 "B" Differential Protections Upgrade | 0.1 | 2,270 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to upgrade the T1/T2 GEC solid state Transformer "B" Differential Protection relays at Markham TS#3 with new relays having fault recording capabilities |
| 151244 | Base | Fault Indicators | 0.0 | 2,243 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Guelph | The scope of this project is to install Fault Indicators in Guelph. The project would include the fault indicators communicating to the existing SCADA and will improve the fault locating and improve SAIDI |
| 151181 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - Left Behind Cable, Brampton | 0.5 | 2,163 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | This project is to address cables which were part of a cable injection project but were ultimately not injectable at the time of project execution. These 'left behind' segments must be addressed, if they are left in the system they could cause a failure. Customers would not only experience an outage, but question the utilities work practices as we would have addressed only a portion of the cables instead of all the cables which could cause an outage. |
| 150675 | Base | Emerging Customer Inititated Work Central (Mississauga) | 0.2 | 2,049 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101764 | Base | Road Authority Expenditure PS North | 1.6 | 2,038 | System Access | Road Authority | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150604 | Base | Smart Meter Network Expansion - PowerStream RZ | 0.3 | 2,036 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to expand and upgrade AMI field communication equipment to service new connections. |
| 151092 | Base | Distribution Automation - Central South | 0.6 | 2,014 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Enersource | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 151030 | Base | Addition of Sensors to SCADA Controllable 44kV LISs in Brampton | 0.3 | 2,013 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project is to add sensors to the 44KV switches in Central North |
| 150694 | M-Factor | Cityview microgrid enhancements | 0.0 | 1,985 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | The project is to understand how microgrids can be integrated into the distribution grid in a safe and reliable manner. The microgrid is used to evaluate integration and connection to the distribution grid, while reducing the building's load, greenhouse gas emissions, and increasing its resiliency to grid outages. |
| 150538 | Base | Cyber Security Devices Upgrades | 0.6 | 1,985 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | This project upgrades security tools to maintain compliance with OEB Cyber Security Framework |
| 151335 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BA14) - Tifffin and Hwy 400, Barrie | 2.7 | 1,976 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 0 failures to date. Cable is 43 years old and will be 47 when project starts (2023). |
| 100904 | M-Factor | Install Double Cct Pole Line on Major Mackenzie - Hwy 27 to Huntington Rd | 3.7 | 1,874 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is to build two 27.6kV ccts on Major Mackenzie - Hwy 27 to Huntington Rd to supply new customers on Major Major Dr and existing customers. There is one 4.8kV single phase cct on the west half of the section and there is one 27.6kV cct (1/0 AL) on the east half the section. They don't have sufficient capacity for future developments in the area. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2023 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|--|
| 150670 | Base | SCADA FDIR | 0.1 | 1,799 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Multiple | Fault Detection, Isolation, and Restoration implementation, next generation of distribution automation technology. This utilizes devices which are already automated and integrates them into the OMS system such that devices perform self healing after a fault. in some cases reducing the outage time to less then 1 minute. |
| 151323 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (L4) - Queen - Airport - Steeles - Torbram, Brampton | 0.2 | 1,746 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 0 outage due to cable failures to date. Cables are 31 years old and will be at the injection eligibility threshold by the time of project execution in 2023. |
| 150680 | M-Factor | Alectra Drive at Home | 0.6 | 1,731 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Multiple | Alectra Drive at Home will demonstrate the value of integrating smart electric vehicle (EV) charging system in multi rise buildings as well as residential homes into the distribution grid such that mass uptake of electric vehicles can be managed in a safe and reliable manner. It will also provide insight into the characteristics of EV Charging, how EVs can be aggregated and controlled to provide the benefit at the local, regional and provincial system levels, and customer response to these control and optimization strategies. |
| 151201 | Base | Purchase of New Critical Spare Parts - Guelph | 0.0 | 1,728 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Guelph | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for stations in the South West Operating Area (Guelph) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |
| 150378 | M-Factor | Rear Lot Renewal Project - East of Queen Street/North of Mill Street | 1.8 | 1,699 | System Renewal | Rear Lot Conversion | Powerstream | This area has had an average of 1 outage lasting 8.6hrs per year based on 3 a year average. |
| 150619 | Base | Purchase of Critical Spare Parts - West | 0.0 | 1,649 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for stations in the West Operating Area (former Horizon) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |
| 151136 | Base | C55 Alectra: Optimization of Business Practices | 0.2 | 1,625 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Optimizing the current software to communicate with other systems such as the ERP will allow for more efficient and effective budgeting processes and consolidation of information allowing for consistent reporting of the information from one system. |
| 151139 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion - MS-12 Hansen Rd, Brampton | 2.4 | 1,599 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 29 outages and had 94,267 minutes of interruption. The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. |
| 150642 | Base | Proactive Replacement of Remote Terminal Units - Central North | 0.2 | 1,582 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project involves replacement of end-of-life remote terminal units and communications equipment at stations in the Central-North Operating Area (Brampton). Replacement equipment can be leveraged to allow for Smart Grid initiatives such as quicker fault detection and automatic isolation and restoration. If existing equipment were to fail, the ability to remotely monitor and control switching devices would be lost, thus risking longer interruptions. |
| 150617 | Base | Purchase of Critical Spare Parts - Central North | 0.0 | 1,569 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for municipal stations in the Central North Operating Area (Brampton) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |
| 151087 | Base | Switchgear Replacement | 0.3 | 1,559 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 150618 | Base | Purchase of Critical Spare Parts - Central South | 0.0 | 1,557 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for stations in the Central South Operating Area (former Enersource) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |
| 102077 | Base | Major repair, refurbishment, or conversions of distribution transformers | 0.0 | 1,541 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Powerstream | Transformers returned or in stores where repair/refurbishment of the unit can return it to service at a lower cost then purchase of a new unit |
| 150964 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Bucket Truck 1-337 | 0.4 | 1,531 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2023 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|--|
| 150989 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_ Underground Reel Tensioner Truck 1-351 | 0.4 | 1,519 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150887 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Bucket Truck 1-379 | 0.4 | 1,502 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151222 | Base | Concrete Structures | 0.2 | 1,469 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of lids on civil structures to avoid public risk |
| 151320 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (I5) - Steeles - Dixie - Hwy 407 - Hwy 410, Brampton | 0.1 | 1,426 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 0 outage due to cable failures to date. Cables are 31 years old and will be at injection eligibility threshold by the time of project execution in 2023. |
| 150334 | Base | Distribution Automation - West | 0.7 | 1,424 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Horizon | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 151052 | Base | Emerging Customer Initiated Work - Relocations | 0.1 | 1,412 | System Access | Customer Connections | Guelph | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150751 | Base | Facilities_East_Capital Replacement Investment Support | 0.1 | 1,374 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Powerstream | Projects planned to maintain the buildings, assets and systems in a condition that contributes to maintaining efficiencies, business operations and to alleviate pressure on the operating expenditures. Planned expenditures are based on the condition and/or lifecycle of a given building or component/asset and is scheduled for replacement (e.g. condenser, furnace, windows, roofing). |
| 151288 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (H4) - Queen - Hwy 410 - Steeles - Kennedy, Brampton | 0.6 | 1,354 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 4 outages due to cable failures from 2009 to 2018 with average duration of 189 minutes. |
| 151357 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V34) - Kirby - Jane - Teston - Weston, | 0.1 | 1,343 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 1 failure. |
| 150335 | Base | Pole Renewal - West | 3.0 | 1,338 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | This project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |
| 151387 | Base | Cable Replacement - (833) - 295 Water St Subdivision, Guelph | 0.6 | 1,320 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | |
| 151337 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BA18) - Ferndale and Benson, Barrie | 1.0 | 1,318 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 0 failures to date. Cable is 41 years old and will be 45 when project starts (2023). |
| 150738 | Base | Facilities_West_Capital Replacement Investment Support | 1.8 | 1,289 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Horizon | Projects planned to maintain the buildings, assets and systems in a condition that contributes to maintaining efficiencies, business operations and to alleviate pressure on the operating expenditures. Planned expenditures are based on the condition and/or lifecycle of a given building or component/asset and is scheduled for replacement (e.g. condenser, furnace, windows, roofing). |
| 150330 | M-Factor | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Marsdale, St.Catharines | 1.1 | 1,284 | System Renewal | Rear Lot Conversion | Horizon | This area has had an average of 19 outages lasting 1hr per year based on 3 year average |
| 151358 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V62) - Kirby - Hwy 27 - Nashville - Huntington, Vaughan | 0.1 | 1,265 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 1 failure. |
| 150790 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Puller/Tensioner | 0.2 | 1,265 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 102241 | Base | Proactive Replacement of Remote Terminal Units - East | 0.1 | 1,240 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves replacement of end-of-life remote terminal units and communications equipment at stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream). Replacement equipment can be leveraged to allow for Smart Grid initiatives such as quicker fault detection and automatic isolation and restoration. If existing equipment were to fail, the ability to remotely monitor and control switching devices would be lost, thus risking longer interruptions. |
| 150529 | Base | OT GIS & OMS Software Upgrade | 0.3 | 1,210 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | ensure product support and security patches. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2023 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|---------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 151064 | Base | Secondary Pedestals -St. Catharines | 0.2 | 1,154 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project involves the replacment of above grade steel secondary pedestals which pose a safety risk to the general public |
| 101125 | Base | Lines Mobile Equipment - IT/OT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 1,119 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | This budget covers the deployment of mobile technology - laptops/field tablets and accessories - within the Lines Department to gain efficiencies in field operations. By deploying this technology, the Lines area can move away from a paper-based to a more electronic environment. In future, it is envisioned that, for example, mobile applications will facilitate the electonic transmission of work orders and electronic record keeping (asset tracking, tailboards, switching orders, timesheets). This will allow for streamlined operational processes and record-keeping, thereby supporting corporate technological initiatives such as the GIS, Outage Management System, and future Workforce Management system. At present, all Lines Management personnel and Subforemen have laptops, facilitating activities such as viewing of the GIS, electronic Asset Tracking Forms, and use of OMS Responder Mobile. Expenditures in this area will allow for more deployment of field devices among Lines crews and for the implementation of more computerized processes. |
| 150570 | Base | Alectra West Substation Ground Grid Installations | 0.3 | 1,091 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project is to install ground grid at East Stations |
| 151204 | Base | Upgrade to Station Facilities (Buildings/Civil work) Multi-year | 0.0 | 1,089 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Guelph | In sproject involves work involving structural components of stations, including windows, brickwork, roofs, foundations, drainage, doors, etc. at stations in the SouthWest Operational Area. Not keeping up with this work would result in advanced deterioration resulting in even greater maintenance costs, potential safety concerns and potential failure of the electrical equipment in the building causing power interruptions. |
| 103198 | Base | BizTalk Upgrade - IT Infrastructure | 0.3 | 1,085 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Upgrade existing BizTalk to latest version. We currently have a development, test, and Production environment. |
| 151434 | Base | Cable Injection- 009- AREA 54- Highway 401 & Argentia, Mississauga | 2.5 | 1,085 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | There are 0 outages, we are injecting a total of 30,642 m of cable for this project, and the average age of the cable is 30 years. |
| 151284 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (E3) - Bovaird - McLaughlin - Queen - Chinguacousy, Brampton | 0.7 | 1,082 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 0 cable failure to date. Cables will be at the injection eligibility threshold by the time of project execution in 2023. |
| 150806 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-207-09 S/bucket | 0.5 | 1,069 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151041 | Base | Protection Logic Upgrades - East MSs (North) | 0.1 | 1,061 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | |
| 151373 | Base | Cable Replacement - (923) - Scottsdale Drive Subdivision, Guelph | 0.8 | 1,060 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | |
| 150937 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Cargo/Passenger Vans. | 0.0 | 995 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151091 | Base | Switchgear Renewal - Central South | 3.8 | 982 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 150983 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Pickup truck 1500 | 0.3 | 982 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151159 | Base | Fault Indicator Installation and Replacement - Hamilton and St. Catharines | 0.3 | 966 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of fault indicator installation in West |
| 150628 | Base | Station Equipment Temperature Monitoring-CENTRAL | 0.1 | 965 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of Station Temperature Monitoring system in Central South and this enables controlled emergency loading beyond the nameplate rating. |
| 150815 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Trailers | 0.1 | 961 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Are a contrained and the second and |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2023 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 151065 | Base | Manhole Lid Replacement | 0.4 | 925 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | Replacement of lids on civil structures to avoid public risk |
| 150900 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Step Vans | 0.4 | 918 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150981 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Pickup truck 2500 | 0.5 | 914 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150361 | M-Factor | Airport 88M5 & 88M7 HONI Purchase | 0.5 | 905 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Enersource | Hydro One owns the OH Feeders from Richview TS to the CN rails approximately 6100m away. 88M5 and 88M7 would transfer ownership from HONI to Alectra to reliably supply to airport. |
| 151017 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle_Replacement_Tensioner Trailers | 0.4 | 894 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150969 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - SUV/Van | 0.2 | 885 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150904 | Base | Fleet East Yearly Light and Misc equipment | 0.0 | 847 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150817 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-230-11 S/bucket | 0.5 | 830 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150746 | Base | Facilities_Central_Capital Replacement Investment Support | 1.5 | 807 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Multiple | Projects planned to maintain the buildings, assets and systems in a condition that contributes to maintaining efficiencies, business operations and to alleviate pressure on the operating expenditures. Planned expenditures are based on the condition and/or lifecycle of a given building or component/asset and is scheduled for replacement (e.g. condenser, furnace, windows, roofing). |
| 151431 | Base | Cable Injection- 006- AREA 39- Erin Mills Pkway & Thomas St, Mississauga | 1.8 | 786 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | There are 0 outages, we are injecting a total of 38,448 m of cable for this project, and the average age of the cable is 30 years. |
| 101622 | Base | DACS Inverters and RTU's removal - East | 0.1 | 765 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves removal of obsolete and out-of-service DACs inverters, RTUs and associated wiring at stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream) so as to remove clutter, thus simplifying future work and freeing up space for future station upgrades. |
| 150881 | Base | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement DBL.Bucket 7808 | 0.6 | 749 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150765 | Base | Facilities_Reno_Vansickle - Service Centre Upgrades | 0.1 | 746 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Horizon | Repairs to building envelop/walls to prevent further damage to the build infrastructure and systems that are supporting critical operational systems such as control rooms, server rooms, customer services groups, etc. |
| 101632 | Base | Obsolete Revenue Metering Removal from TSs | 0.1 | 740 | System Service | Safety & Security | Powerstream | Evolution of the distribution system to permit more efficient integration of DERs to yield 18 |
| 150970 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Van pool vans | 0.1 | 714 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151082 | Base | SCADA Infrastructure | 0.1 | 688 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Guelph | |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2023 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 151058 | Base | Distribution Transformer Replacements & Upgrades | 0.4 | 683 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Guelph | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental, (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |
| 150669 | Base | Burden Allocation - System Access | 0.7 | 670 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150894 | Base | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement S/Bucket 3110 | 0.5 | 656 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150792 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- RBD 109-07 | 0.6 | 653 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 102166 | Base | SQL Expansion - IT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 636 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Expand existing SQL infrastructure to meet project demands and natural growth of database. Add system capacity, improve performance, and reduce the risk of downtime due resource constraints. |
| 150203 | Base | Station Equipment Temperature Monitoring-NORTH & TS | 0.1 | 614 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of Station Temperature Monitoring system in East and it enables controlled emergency loading beyond the nameplate rating. |
| 150976 | Base | Fleet East Unit # 365 digger truck | 0.6 | 604 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150977 | Base | Fleet East Unit # 366 digger truck | 0.6 | 604 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150496 | Base | iPass Project Management – Planned Capital - West | 0.7 | 589 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | Help in optimizing DER performance and efficiency to improve addressing overall energy needs. |
| 150967 | M-Factor | Fleet East Unit # 125, 83' Double Bucket | 0.7 | 580 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as: available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 102046 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools - Muliti Year-North & TS | 0.0 | 565 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 151128 | M-Factor | MS Transformer & HV Switchgear Replacement - Western MS36 T1 & HV1 | 0.2 | 554 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | Recent testing has indicated possible mechanical damage to the transformer windings and the HV switchgear has suffered damage due to a prior failure. The station is in a residential area and a transformer failure could result in a fire, posing a risk to the community and collateral damage to other assets in the station, as well as resulting in an extensive power interruption. Failure of the existing equipment would warrant emergency replacement resulting in non-budgeted reactive capital expenditure. |
| 150905 | Base | Fleet East Multi Year Shop tools | 0.0 | 544 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of tools and shop equipment required to repair and maintain vehicles to ensure vehicle availability to support capital systems projects. |
| 101816 | Base | Alectra East (South), Fault Indicator Installation and Replacement Multi-year initiative | 0.3 | 542 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is installtion of fault indictor in East - North Region which will result improved outage response, operational efficiency, and reliability |
| 150938 | M-Factor | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement_Stake Trucks | 0.3 | 539 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151085 | M-Factor | Rear Lot Conversions | 0.1 | 531 | System Renewal | Rear Lot Conversion | Guelph | |
| 101134 | Base | Alectra East (North), Fault Indicator Installation and Replacement Multi-year initiative | 0.3 | 527 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is installtion of fault indictor in East - South Region which will result improved outage response, operational efficiency, and reliability |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2023 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|---|
| 150437 | Base | OT GIS & OMS Enhancements | 0.3 | 520 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Ongoing productivity enhancements to core GIS and OMS platforms to meet internal and customer demand for functionality. |
| 150602 | Base | Smart Meter Test Facility - PowerStream RZ | 0.0 | 471 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to expand the capability of the Meter Test Facility to test increasing types of meters and AMI systems before they are placed into production. |
| 150810 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Step Vans | 0.2 | 462 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150798 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- Arrowboard | 0.0 | 450 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150848 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Compressors | 0.1 | 449 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 102027 | Base | Purchase of Critical Spare Parts - Multiyear - East | 0.1 | 428 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for transformer and municipal stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |
| 150494 | Base | iPass Project Management – Planned Capital - Central-North | 0.4 | 409 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | Predicting the influence of weather conditions and DER contribution simultaneously on the power grid, for proactively mitigating local outages, |
| 150495 | Base | iPass Project Management – Planned Capital - Central-South | 0.4 | 409 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | Enable better visibility towards preventive equipment maintenance needs arising due to DER penetration which will further help mitigate outage risks, |
| 101781 | Base | iPass Project Management – Planned Capital - East | 0.4 | 409 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Estimating the effects of DER contribution at the feeder and region levels to further optimize the energy flows between the Utility and its consumers, |
| 150607 | M-Factor | Station LED Lighting Upgrades - Central | 0.0 | 408 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | Replacement of inefficient lighting fixtures and lamps at stations in the Central Operational Area will result in longer lasting lighting. Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. |
| 150606 | M-Factor | Station LED Lighting Upgrades - EAST | 0.0 | 408 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | Replacement of inefficient lighting fixtures and lamps at stations in this Operational Area will result in longer lasting lighting. Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. |
| 151013 | M-Factor | Fleet_West_Vehicle_Replacement_Bucket Truck_1-354 | 0.4 | 407 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 151132 | M-Factor | MS Transformer & HV Switchgear Replacement - Munden MS35 T1 & HV1 | 0.2 | 406 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | Recent testing has indicated that the transformer insulation has deteriorated and lacks a proper foundation and is beginning to lean. The station is in a residential area and a transformer failure could result in a fire, posing a risk to the community and collateral damage to other assets in the station, as well as resulting in an extensive power interruption. Failure of the existing equipment would warrant emergency replacement resulting in non-budgeted reactive capital expenditure. |
| 150399 | M-Factor | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Richlieu Dr and Trelawne Dr, St.Catharines | 1.3 | 393 | System Renewal | Rear Lot Conversion | Horizon | This area has had an average of 40 outages lasting 1.5hrs per year based on 3 year average. |
| 150138 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project – (BA23-BA24) - Cook St and Steel St, Barrie | 1.7 | 389 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 0 failures to date. Cable is 43 years old. Project is in 2023 so it will be over EUL by 6 years. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2023 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------|---|
| 150608 | M-Factor | Station LED Lighting Upgrades - West | 0.0 | 359 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | Replacement of inefficient lighting fixtures and lamps at stations in the West Operational Area will result in longer lasting lighting. Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. |
| 102034 | Base | Upgrade to Station Facilities (Building / Civil work) Multi-year - East | 0.1 | 340 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | MULTI ANSWER |
| 150531 | Base | OT GIS Hardware Refresh | 0.4 | 294 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Hardware platform refresh to support GIS infrastructure. |
| 150610 | M-Factor | Driveway Paving - Various Stations - Multi-year initiative - Central | 0.0 | 290 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | Existing driveways at stations in the Central Operational Area that are covered in gravel and require ongoing maintenance for smoothing and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for crawl space under fencing, which presents a safety and security risk. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| 150609 | M-Factor | Driveway Paving - Various Stations - Multi-year initiative - East | 0.0 | 290 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | Existing driveways in this Operational Area that are covered in gravel require ongoing maintenance for smoothing and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for crawl space under fencing, which presents a safety and security risk. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| 150612 | M-Factor | Driveway Paving - Various Stations - Multi-year initiative - West | 0.0 | 290 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | Existing driveways in the West Operational Area that are covered in gravel require ongoing maintenance for smoothing and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for crawl space under fencing, which presents a safety and security risk. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| 150552 | Base | ServiceNow Expansion - IT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 258 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Building and adding features within ServiceNow we will eliminate outdated processes by automating more tasks and decisions allowing us to be more efficient and productive. Enhancements include the automation of the flow of data between other systems and across programs used by various departments and ServiceNow. Servicebots will be used as ServiceNow learns from past patterns, in order to predict future outcomes, including determining risks, assigning owners, and categorizing work. Learned models set the category of the IT request and assign the task to the right team, as well as calculate associated risk of action or inaction. This capability will improve the speed and efficiency of IT service delivery. |
| 151166 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement pick ups | 0.1 | 245 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 102050 | Base | Various Stations-Station Lighting Upgrade/Retrofit-Energy Efficiency Lighting-initiative Multi-year - East | 0.1 | 240 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement of inefficient indoor and outdoor lighting fixtures and lamps at stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream) will result in longer lasting lighting. Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2023 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|------------------|---|----------------|------------------|--------------------------------|--|------------------------|--|
| 150391 150843 | Base M-Factor | Wireless LAN Upgrade Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Bocat | 0.1 | 235 | General Plant General Plant | Information Technology Fleet Renewal | Multiple Enersource | Corporate Wireless LAN upgrade to replace end of life wireless infrastructure in corporate and operation center locations. Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies |
| 100319 | M-Factor | Radial Supply Remediation/Conversion - 13.8 kV to 27.6 kV on Miller Ave | 1.5 | 208 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 15 outages and had 45,942 minutes of interruption. Customers in this area ona radial supply with no backup, this is atypical of Alectra Utilities customers and needs to be rectified to ensure customers here are receiving service similar to other Alectra Utilities customers. |
| 150936 | Base | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement Tower Lights | 0.0 | 192 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150802 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- Scissor lift | 0.0 | 185 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Asset replacement due to poor conditions and age and lack of parts replacement. Equipment not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies with material handling. |
| 150944 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_Trailer | 0.1 | 182 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150825 | Base | Line & Transformer Protection Migration to DNP - Jim Yarrow TS | 0.1 | 164 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This is part of a multi-phase project to upgrade aging and failure- prone protection systems at Jim Yarrow TS to the current protection standards. Proper protection to transmission lines will provide protection to the assets in the case of faults. |
| 103030 | Base | Technology Upgrades Improving the System Control Room Environment | 0.1 | 148 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | This project will continue to build on the original capital project whose scope was focused on the replacement of computers used as Operator WorkStations. The scope of this program has been expanded to account for all technology purchases required for the Control Room theater. |
| 151108 | Base | Office Equipment | 0.1 | 144 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Guelph | Replace aging equipment within the Guelph operational centre to ensure optimal performance in managing the distribution assets |
| 151245 | M-Factor | Capacitor Bank Installations | 0.0 | 135 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Guelph | This project consists of capacitor bank installation to increase power factor, capacity and reduce losses. |
| 102931 | Base | Paving of 3 MS & TS Station Driveways per year - Annual Multi-year initiative - East | 0.0 | 125 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | Existing driveways at stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream) are covered in gravel and require ongoing maintenance for smoothing and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for craw space under fencing, which presents a safety and security risk. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| 150597 | Base | Lock Box Installs - East | 0.0 | 116 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to install lock boxes at ICI properties with restricted access to eliminate need for a customer appointment for access. |
| 101487 | M-Factor | Add one Additional 27.6 kV Cct on Major Mack Dr and 9th Line | 1.3 | 108 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | There are two ccts 12M1/12M3 on Major Mack Dr east of Hwy 48, but only one cct 12M3 goes all the way to 9th Line. The second cct stops half way and is a radial supply. This project is to establish another tie between two ccts on Major Mack and 9th Line. The purpose is to form a supply loop and a new tie between Buttonville TS and MTS2. |
| 150255 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - (B23) - Cundles Rd and Janine St, Barrie | 1.1 | 99 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | |
| 151383 | Base | 2023 GUELPH - Fleet | 0.6 | 98 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of end of life asset due to poor conditions, high mileage and engine hours and age. Vehicle experiencing more down time at the shop for repairs and maintenance impacting vehicle availability. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2023 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 150569 | Base | Server OS Upgrades - IT Infrastructure | 0.2 | 95 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Operating system upgrades to support IT infrastructure. |
| 150737 | Base | Facilities_East Region_Reactive Capital | 0.3 | 92 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Powerstream | Approved capital funds available to address any unforeseen and unbudgeted asset replacements/demands. Having these funds available for immediate use is critical for Facilities building operations to restore equipment/assets back to normal operations a.s.a.p. |
| 150736 | Base | Facilities_West Region_Reactive Capital | 0.3 | 92 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Horizon | Approved capital funds available to address any unforeseen and unbudgeted asset replacements/demands. Having these funds available for immediate use is critical for Facilities building operations to restore equipment/assets back to normal operations a.s.a.p. |
| 150734 | Base | Facilities_Central Region_Reactive Capital | 0.3 | 92 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Multiple | Approved capital funds available to address any unforeseen and unbudgeted asset replacements/demands. Having these funds available for immediate use is critical for Facilities building operations to restore equipment/assets back to normal operations a.s.a.p. |
| 101393 | M-Factor | Redundant Fibre Path to Aurora MS#4 Sub-Station | 0.5 | 90 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | AMS4 is a major node on the Operations Network, but the fiber optic routes into the AMS4 are not diverse. This major hub is therefore exposed to a single point of failure, and loss of communications to this hub would be very impact. This project is to provide redundant fibre path to Aurora MS to offer increased security and reliability. |
| 150676 | Base | Mobile Devices - IT Infrastructure | 0.0 | 88 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | |
| 103171 | Base | Implementation of a new Alectra Network Operations Voice Radio | 0.0 | 79 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | |
| 151018 | M-Factor | Fleet_West_Vehicle_Replacement_Trailer | 0.1 | 50 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150747 | M-Factor | Net Zero Energy Emissions | 0.3 | 35 | System Service | Distributed Energy Resources (DER) | Powerstream | The project will help Alectra Utilities to ensure that growing DER challenges are met through building expertise and capability in real time monitoring, integrating and optimizing of DERs in line with customer preferences. This will lead to a system that can safely integrate and optimize value from DERs for the benefit of customers. |
| 151053 | Base | Building Sustainment | 0.2 | 32 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Guelph | Projects planned to maintain the buildings, assets and systems in a condition that contributes to maintaining efficiencies, business operations and to alleviate pressure on the operating expenditures. Planned expenditures are based on the condition and/or lifecycle of a given building or component/asset and is scheduled for replacement (e.g. condenser, furnace, windows, roofing). |
| 103065 | Base | Upgrade Advanced Distribution Management System (ADMS) to | 0.1 | 25 | General Plant | Information Technology | Powerstream | |
| 151160 | M-Factor | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_ Pole Trailer_1-405 | 0.1 | 15 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150581 | Base | Analytics\Monitoring Tool IT infrastrucutre rescources | 0.3 | 14 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Gather requirements, determine available products that meet those requirements and RFP. SOW will include POC of chosen products as well as Professional Services to assist with design, build and implementation. |
| 150583 | Base | OT Server Hardware upgrade OMS/SCADA | 0.3 | 13 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Hardware refresh for customer facing OMS platform and SCADA |
| 151031 | Base | Fleet Shop equipment refurbishment and replacement | 0.1 | 13 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | For the refurbishment of trailers, shop compressors and hoists to extend the life of the assets instead of replacement to reduce the need fro capital expenditures. |
| 150678 | Base | P&C Specific Tools & Testing Equipment - West | 0.0 | 12 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Enersource | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 102999 | Base | P&C Specific Tools and Testing Equipment | 0.0 | 12 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 102157 | Base | Server Refresh - IT Infrastructure | 0.4 | 10 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Ongoing server refresh to support applications. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|---------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150725 | Base | Lines Central-North - Reactive Renewal | 1.3 | 181,454 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Brampton | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 150690 | Base | Lines Central-South - Reactive Renewal | 3.3 | 171,818 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Enersource | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 150682 | Base | Remote Fault Indicator Deployment | 0.1 | 164,221 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Multiple | This project consists of remote fault indictor deployment which will result improved outage response, operational efficiency, and reliability |
| 102247 | Base | Interest Capitalization | 1.3 | 104,304 | General Plant | Other General Plant | Powerstream | |
| 150596 | Base | Meter Renewal - all types but Suite - PowerStream RZ | 0.1 | 94,470 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to renew existing residential (except suite) metering equipment. |
| 101871 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East North - Commercial Services | 0.0 | 94,398 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151180 | Base | Central-North - Capitalization of Locates | 0.0 | 94,387 | System Access | Customer Connections | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150600 | Base | Firmware Upgrades for Smart Meters - East | 0.0 | 94,363 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to renew residential Smart meter firmware to improve communication performance. |
| 151169 | Base | Central-South - Capitalization of Locates | 0.0 | 94,345 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151174 | Base | West Lines - Capitalization locates | 0.0 | 94,319 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150631 | Base | Transformer Station Metering - Central North | 0.1 | 94,246 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | equipment. |
| 101696 | Base | Subdivision - North Underground Residential Distribution System Final Close out and Inspection. | 0.1 | 94,197 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 103381 | Base | East Lines - Capitalization locates | 0.1 | 94,145 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101870 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East South - Commercial Services | 0.1 | 94,022 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101869 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East North - New Residential | 0.1 | 93,989 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150650 | Base | Replace PCB Risk PT's - Enersource RZ | 0.1 | 93,977 | System Access | Metering | Enersource | Multi-year project to replace metering transformers identified with unacceptable levels of PCB's, to prevent hazardous spills. |
| 101919 | Base | New Services (new and upgrades) - Commercial, Industrial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - NORTH | 0.1 | 93,955 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150647 | Base | Transformer Station Metering - Enersource RZ | 0.1 | 93,884 | System Access | Metering | Enersource | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew wholesale metering equipment. |
| 151049 | Base | Commercial, Industrial, Institutional, Apartment Connections | 0.1 | 93,830 | System Access | Customer Connections | Guelph | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101685 | Base | Subdivision - South Underground Residential Distribution System Final Close out and Inspection. | 0.1 | 93,778 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150457 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – St Catharines | 0.2 | 93,519 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151162 | Base | Non Recoverable replacement of Distribution Equipment due to accident/vandalism | 0.3 | 93,457 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Horizon | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover costs for (i.e. pole hit no vehicle at scene of accicent) |
| 101924 | Base | Mulit Unit Metering for New Buildings NORTH - PowerStream RZ | 0.2 | 93,421 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to purchase and install suite metering in new buildings in northern area of territory. |
| 150588 | Base | New Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra West | 0.2 | 93,266 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101791 | Base | New Services - new and upgrades - COMMERCIAL, INDUSTRIAL, INSTITUTIONAL (ICI) SERVICES - SOUTH | 0.3 | 92,878 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101812 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - LIS | 0.3 | 92,710 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 150754 | Base | Lines Central-North - Non-Recoverable Replacements | 0.4 | 92,705 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Brampton | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover costs for (i.e. pole hit no vehicle at scene of accicent) |
| 150726 | Base | Lines Central-South - Non-Recoverable Replacements | 0.4 | 92,705 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Enersource | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover costs for (i.e. pole hit no vehicle at scene of accicent) |
| 101820 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Non-Recoverable Replacement | 0.3 | 92,583 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover costs for (i.e. pole hit no vehicle at scene of accicent) |
| 150389 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – Central North | 0.4 | 92,563 | System Access | Customer Connections | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150651 | Base | C & I Metering - Renewal- Brampton RZ | 0.8 | 92,561 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to renew existing ICI metering equipment. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|---------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------|--|
| 150386 | Base | New Service (new and upgrades) - Commercial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - Central North | 0.5 | 92,424 | System Access | Customer Connections | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150453 | Base | CIS CC&B Modifications(Regulatory Enhancements) | 1.8 | 92,261 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Enhancements to the CIS (CC&B) application needed to meet any regulatory requirements Such requirements in the past have been Ontario Energy Savings Program (OESP) as well as the Monthly Billing projects. |
| 150649 | Base | Suite Metering - Enersource RZ | 0.6 | 91,838 | System Access | Metering | Enersource | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew suite metering equipment. |
| 150654 | Base | C & I Metering - New Services - Brampton RZ | 0.6 | 91,553 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Nulti-year project to purchase and install ICI metering equipment on new services. |
| 150595 | Base | C & I and Wholesale Metering - PowerStream RZ | 0.6 | 91,530 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to purchase, install, and renew ICI and wholesale metering equipment. |
| 101868 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East South - New Residential | 0.5 | 91,488 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101795 | Base | Multi Unit Metering for New Buildings SOUTH - PowerStream RZ | 0.6 | 91,273 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to purchase and install suite metering in new buildings in southern area of territory. |
| 101873 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East North - Residential Upgrades | 0.6 | 91,023 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151051 | Base | System Relocations Road Authorities | 0.7 | 91,002 | System Access | Road Authority | Guelph | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150652 | Base | New Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra Central South | 0.5 | 90,948 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150388 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – Central South | 0.9 | 90,774 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150692 | Base | New Feeder in Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra Central North | 0.8 | 90,609 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Brampton | This expenditure is required to meet the needs of the development community that construct municipal approved residential subdivisions in Alectra Utilities' Central North service territory |
| 150599 | Base | Suite Meter - Reverification - PowerStream RZ | 0.5 | 90,368 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to renew Measurement Canada seal dates on existing suite metering equipment. |
| 150455 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Commercial, Industrial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - St Catharines | 0.9 | 90,201 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150659 | Base | Residential Meters - by Metering - Brampton RZ | 0.9 | 90,096 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew residential metering equipment. Work carried out by Metering. |
| 150456 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – Hamilton | 0.9 | 89,962 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150630 | Base | New Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra Central North | 1.2 | 89,952 | System Access | Customer Connections | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101828 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Recoverable Replacement | 0.8 | 89,766 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have been damaged causing catastrophic failure by third parties which Alectra Utilities is not able to recover some costs for (i.e. pole hit, vehicle at scene of accicent, Alectra Utilities obtains most of costs (near \$0 impact too budget)) |
| 101896 | Base | New Institutional/Commercial/Industrial Subdivision Development - Alectra East | 1.0 | 89,347 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101872 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Layouts – East South - Residential Upgrades | 0.9 | 89,345 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101800 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Storm Damage | 1.3 | 86,549 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 151047 | Base | Subdivisions | 0.5 | 85,393 | System Access | Customer Connections | Guelph | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150620 | Base | Metering Renewal - all types - Horizon RZ | 2.2 | 84,064 | System Access | Metering | Horizon | Multi-year project to purchase, install, and renew residential, ICI and wholesale metering equipment. |
| 101808 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Switchgears | 1.7 | 83,304 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 150648 | Base | Metering Renewal - all types but Suite - Enersource RZ | 2.4 | 82,569 | System Access | Metering | Enersource | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew residential (except suite) and ICI metering equipment. |
| 101892 | Base | New Subdivision Development - Secondary Service Lateral - Alectra East | 3.1 | 80,776 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150384 | Base | New Service (new and upgrades) - Commercial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - Central South | 3.8 | 77,904 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150449 | Base | Services (New and Upgrades) - Commercial, Industrial and Institutional (ICI) Projects - Hamilton | 5.5 | 69,328 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151095 | Base | Reactive Restoration | 1.1 | 63,547 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Guelph | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|--|
| 151301 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (HAM) - Rymal - Mud - Upper Centennial - Upper Red Hill Valley | 3.3 | 61,747 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 8 failures, or 14 failures per 100km. This area includes the 331X & 341X feeders which were identified as a Worst Performing Feeder in 2018. The average cable installation year is 1968 in this project scope. |
| 101824 | Base | Reactive Capital, Alectra East - Distribution Equipment | 5.7 | 58,190 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Powerstream | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 151161 | Base | Joint Use Pole Removal | 0.1 | 57,200 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | This project is for the removal of poles in the field after third party (joint use) attachements have been removed or transferred for projects already closed by Alectra Utilities. |
| 151050 | Base | Metering - all types - Guelph RZ | 0.4 | 55,986 | System Access | Metering | Guelph | Multi-year project to purchase, install, and renew residential, ICI and wholesale metering equipment in Guelph RZ. |
| 150469 | Base | ERP JD Edwards Enhancements | 1.4 | 55,715 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Allocation of capital funds to provide 3rd party assistance on capital work, along with creating system enhancements to the JD Edwards ERP and supporting Systems. |
| 150325 | Base | CIS CC&B Enhancements | 1.6 | 55,520 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Enhancement to CIS (CC&B) application to support process improvement requirements.As the CIS (CC&B) system is one of the core applications of the organization, operational enhancements come in from a number of venues and thereby feedback into the other downstream systems. These enhancements are considered for the overall customer and organizational benefits. |
| 101832 | Base | Joint Use Pole Removal | 0.6 | 54,024 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | This project is for the removal of poles in the field after third party (joint use) attachements have been removed or transferred for projects already closed by Alectra Utilities. |
| 101570 | Base | New Alliston 10MVA Substation - 44 kV Supply | 0.3 | 50,413 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Powerstream | This project is the 44KV feeder extension to supply Alliston MS (101569) |
| 101571 | Base | New Alliston 10MVA Substation - 13.8 kV Feeder Integration | 0.6 | 50,184 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Powerstream | This project is the 13.8KV feeder integration for Alliston MS (101569) |
| 101887 | Base | New Residential Subdivision Development - Alectra East | 11.4 | 44,864 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101569 | M-Factor | New Alliston 10MVA Substation - Industrial Parkway | 1.1 | 44,830 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Powerstream | The project entails the purchase of a station site in the vicinity of Dufferin St and Industrial Pkwy in Alliston, and constructing a new 10MVA, 44/13.8 kV, dual-stage fan, 4-feeder municipal substation |
| 100859 | Base | Switchgear Renewal - East | 3.1 | 43,705 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 151089 | Base | Overhead Rebuilds | 1.3 | 39,246 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Guelph | This project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |
| 101508 | Base | Transformer Renewal - East | 3.2 | 37,474 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Powerstream | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental, (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |
| 150673 | Base | Road Authority Central (Mississauga) | 4.7 | 35,001 | System Access | Road Authority | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151240 | M-Factor | Southgate Dr to Maltby Rd O/H Extension | 0.6 | 34,668 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Guelph | The road extension from COG and potential developments on Southgate requires two 13.8kV circuit expanded in order to provide capacity and contingency supply. |
| 151238 | Base | New Feeder Maltby Rd W (Crawley to Gordon) | 0.9 | 34,423 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Guelph | Build new pole line on Maltby Rd between Crawley Rd and Gordon St to back-up radially fed loads on Gordon St south of Clair Rd/Gordon St intersection with 556ASC OH Conductor to replace 3/0ACSR to act a feeder inter-tie. |
| 150645 | Base | Road Authority Central (Brampton) | 5.6 | 31,814 | System Access | Road Authority | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150828 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects- West | 1.0 | 31,592 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 151452 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-West | 1.0 | 31,590 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | I nese are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 101762 | Base | Road Authority Expenditure PS South | 4.3 | 31,544 | System Access | Road Authority | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101355 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects- East | 1.0 | 31,425 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 151450 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-East | 1.0 | 31,425 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 150074 | M-Factor | Vaughan TS#2 Bus Differential and Overcurrent Protections Upgrade | 0.3 | 28,628 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to upgrade the Vaughan TS#2 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades with new relays having fault recording capabilities. |
| 100340 | M-Factor | Vaughan TS#4 Feeder Integration - Part 3 | 3.6 | 28,489 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is the third part of a multi-part project to construct and integrate new feeders from VTS#4. This project is to integrate four 27.6kV feeders (25M5/25M6/25M7/25M8) from VTS4 to the distribution system. |
| 151363 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M25) - 14th - McCowan - Steeles - Old Kennedy, Markham | 1.6 | 27,519 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 14 failures. |
| 100867 | Base | Pole Renewal - East | 5.8 | 25,595 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |
| 151074 | Base | Reactive renewal | 3.5 | 25,136 | System Renewal | Reactive Capital | Horizon | Replacement of assets which have failured and requires replacement in order to restore power to customers |
| 151362 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M39) - 16th - Warden - Hwy 7 - Woodbine, Markham | 0.9 | 23,714 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 8 failures. |
| 151360 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M31) - 14th - Old Kennedy - Steeles - Warden, Markham | 0.8 | 23,641 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 38 failures. |
| 151043 | Base | Transformer Renewal - Central South | 2.0 | 21,870 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Enersource | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental, (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |
| 150071 | M-Factor | Markham TS#2 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades | 0.1 | 21,087 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to upgrade the Markham TS#2 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades with new relays having fault recording capabilities. |
| 151303 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (HAM) - Stone Church - Garth - Lincoln M. Alexander | 0.9 | 19,665 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 5 failures, or 18 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1976 in this project scope. |
| 150664 | Base | Residential Meters - by Lines - Brampton RZ | 1.4 | 18,167 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to purchase, install and renew residential metering equipment. Work carried out by Lines. |
| 151109 | Base | Switch Replacement | 0.2 | 18,145 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacment units |
| 102455 | Base | Melbourne MS322 Land Purchase & TX Upgrade - Bradford | 0.9 | 17,824 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Powerstream | This project consists of transformer upgrade to increase the capacity at Melbourne MS to meet the load growth the contingency condition for Bradford area. |
| 151367 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M21) - Hwy 7 - Markham - 16th - McCowan, Markham | 1.8 | 17,675 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 6 failures. |
| 150319 | M-Factor | New MS - Duke MS 20 MVA Substation, Mississauga | 4.2 | 17,541 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Enersource | The Downtown21 plan forecasts a total population of 56,565 residents and 34,247 jobs in the Mississauga downtown core. The current capacity available for the downtown core is approximately 140 MVA ONAN rating. Based on growth projected and the land parcels available, Alectra Utilities estimates that, upon completion of Downtown21 the combined transformation load requirement will increase approximately by 300 MVA. This station will provide 20MVA ONAN capacity. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 151104 | Base | Distribution Automation - Central North | 0.5 | 17,389 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Brampton | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 151366 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (M19) - Markham - Steeles - McCowan - 14th, Markham | 1.4 | 17,259 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 7 failures. |
| 151318 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (I3) -Bovaird - Dixie - Queen - Hwy 410, Brampton | 0.5 | 16,119 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 6 outages due to cable failures from 2014 to 2015 with average duration of 71 minutes. |
| 150639 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 4 Station DC System Monitoring-Multi- year initiative-CENTRAL | 0.0 | 15,736 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of installation of DC charging system for Central South Sations. A better real-time assessment of Station batteries will lower the risk of battery failure/fire/explosion |
| 150638 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 4 Station DC System Monitoring-Multi- year initiative-East | 0.0 | 15,736 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project consists of installation of DC charging system for Central North Sations. A better real-time assessment of Station batteries will lower the risk of battery failure/fire/explosion |
| 150636 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 4 Station DC System Monitoring-Multi- year initiative-North & TS | 0.0 | 15,736 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of installation of DC charging system for East Sations. A better real-time assessment of Station batteries will lower the risk of battery failure/fire/explosion |
| 150640 | Base | Purchase and Installation of 4 Station DC System Monitoring-Multi- year initiative-WEST | 0.0 | 15,736 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of installation of DC charging system for West Sations. A better real-time assessment of Station batteries will lower the risk of battery failure/fire/explosion |
| 151460 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (V17) - Langstaff - Keele - Rutherford - Dufferin, Vaughan | 1.7 | 15,317 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2017-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 3 failures. From 2015-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 4 failures. |
| 150285 | Base | Transformer Renewal - Central North | 1.5 | 14,492 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Brampton | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental, (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |
| 101027 | Base | Switch Renewal - East | 0.7 | 14,309 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacment units |
| 100913 | M-Factor | Pole Line Installation Double Cct on Major Mack - Huntington Rd to Hwy 50 | 1.4 | 14,223 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is to build two ccts on Major Mack Dr between Huntington Rd and Hwy 50 in conjunction with the road widening project to supply new customers on both side of Major Mack, as well as customers along Hwy 50. |
| 102098 | Base | Client - IT Infrastructure | 0.6 | 13,761 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | To upgrade desktop/laptop/mobile devices that are 5 years or older (Approximately 20% of our equipment). Net new equipment for mobile computing as well as upgrades and replacements for damaged devices. Request made by various business units. This budget also includes RSA tokens\Licenses, monitors, mice, keyboards, docking stations, tablets and small non-MFP printers. Out of Scope: Large MFP Printers |
| 151247 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects- Guelph | 0.7 | 13,414 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Guelph | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 102232 | Base | Capital Funds for Emergency P&C Purchases - East | 0.0 | 13,271 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project provides funds for the emergency procurement of Intelligent Electronic Devices (IED), communications equipment, protective relays, and other critical spare parts for the municipal/transformer substations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream). These parts are critical to maintaining the viability of key operations' systems and the conformance to the ESA Regulation 22/04. Failure or underperformance of this equipment could cause a catastrophic failure of a key component of the distribution grid. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------|--|
| 150683 | Base | Capital Funds for Emergency P&C Purchases - Central North | 0.0 | 13,185 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project provides funds for the emergency procurement of Intelligent Electronic Devices (IED), communications equipment, protective relays, and other critical spare parts for the municipal/transformer substations in the Central North Operating Area (Brampton). These parts are critical to maintaining the viability of key operations' systems and the conformance to the ESA Regulation 22/04. Failure or underperformance of this equipment could cause a catastrophic failure of a key component of the distribution grid. |
| 150685 | Base | Capital Funds for Emergency P&C Purchases - West | 0.0 | 13,185 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | This project provides funds for the emergency procurement of Intelligent Electronic Devices (IED), communications equipment, protective relays, and other critical spare parts for the municipal/transformer substations in the West Operating Area (former Horizon). These parts are critical to maintaining the viability of key operations' systems and the conformance to the ESA Regulation 22/04. Failure or underperformance of this equipment could cause a catastrophic failure of a key component of the distribution grid. |
| 150684 | Base | Capital Funds for Emergency P&C Purchases - Central South | 0.0 | 13,185 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | This project provides funds for the emergency procurement of Intelligent Electronic Devices (IED), communications equipment, protective relays, and other critical spare parts for the municipal/transformer substations in the Central South Operating Area (former Mississauga). These parts are critical to maintaining the viability of key operations' systems and the conformance to the ESA Regulation 22/04. Failure or underperformance of this equipment could cause a catastrophic failure of a key component of the distribution grid. |
| 150360 | M-Factor | New build - Extend 44kV feeder Centre View Dr, Mississauga | 5.6 | 13,005 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Enersource | A new 44 kV overhead/underground feeder extension is needed to provide supply to downtown Mississauga area on Centre View Drive as well as provide primary supply for Duke Municipal Station (MS). |
| 150784 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects- Central North | 0.8 | 12,968 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 151453 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-Guelph | 0.8 | 12,922 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 150823 | Base | Overhead Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects- Central South | 0.8 | 12,655 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 102099 | Base | Printer & Copier Fleet Replacement | 0.0 | 12,636 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Replacement of existing fleet of printers/copiers that are 5 or more years old. This will include non-MFP printers. Replacement to be determined by an evaluation of evolving needs and corporate requirements as well as age of equipment. |
| 151449 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-Central North | 0.9 | 12,176 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 103211 | Base | Misc Software Upgrades (FormScape, AutoCAD, etc.) - IT/OT | 0.0 | 12,021 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Upgrade/ acquire software as required / requested by business. |
| 151451 | Base | Underground Asset Renewal-Alectra Field Distribution System Projects-Central South | 1.0 | 11,936 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | These are projects which during the course of the year evolve due to customer issues around reliability which cannot wait for execution in the following year due to the impact on customers in terms of either frequency or outages, duration or both. |
| 103180 | Base | Citrix Xen Virtualization Expansion - IT Infrastructure | 0.2 | 11,299 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Client computing virtualization (Citrix) is currently the standard delivery method for applications and desktops at Alectra. This system has had a tremendous uptake in the organization and requires an upgrade in back-end infrastructure to support the additional demand from the business. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|---|
| 150693 | M-Factor | Blockchain | 0.6 | 11,192 | System Service | Distributed Energy Resources (DER) | Multiple | The project will prepare Alectra Utilities to engage with customers in a real-time and transparent process to record the flow of electricity to and from DERs, enabling the efficient procurement of distribution benefits, such as demand response and frequency regulation. The project will provide a robust settlement mechanism between Alectra and customers, backed by timely and efficient financial transactions, to enable overall trust and customer value delivery and leading to increased customer satisfaction. |
| 151314 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (G2) -Wanless - Kennedy - Bovaird - Main, Brampton | 0.7 | 11,046 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 4 outages due to cable failures from 2013 to 2017 with average duration of 125 minutes. |
| 150665 | Base | Emerging Customer Initiated Work (West) | 1.8 | 10,905 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151465 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement - Mississauga Left Behind Cable | 1.8 | 10,857 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | This project is to address cables which were part of a cable injection project but were ultimately not injectable at the time of project execution. These 'left behind' segments must be addressed, if they are left in the system they could cause a failure. Customers would not only experience an outage, but question the utilities work practices as we would have addressed only a portion of the cables instead of all the cables which could cause an outage. |
| 101562 | Base | Arc Flash Mitigation Projects | 0.0 | 10,619 | System Service | Safety & Security | Powerstream | This project is to mitigate arc flash risk at East stations. |
| 151307 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Upper Sherman - Stone Church - Nebo - Rymal | 0.7 | 10,595 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 2 failures, or 5 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1989 in this project scope. |
| 151429 | Base | Cable Injection- 003- AREA36 -Matheson & Kennedy, Mississauga | 1.3 | 10,395 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | There are 2 outages, we are injecting a total of 14,907 m of cable for this project, and the average age of the cable is 31 years. |
| 150653 | Base | Road Authority West (St. Catharines) | 1.2 | 10,217 | System Access | Road Authority | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150615 | Base | Metering Tools & Equipment - Central North | 0.0 | 9,947 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Brampton | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150616 | Base | Metering Tools & Equipment - Central South | 0.0 | 9,947 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Enersource | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150613 | Base | Metering Tools & Equipment - East | 0.0 | 9,947 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150614 | Base | Metering Tools & Equipment - West | 0.0 | 9,947 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Horizon | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 151315 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (G5) - Steeles - Kennedy - Hwy 407 - Main, Brampton | 1.6 | 9,695 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 7 outages due to cable failures from 2010 to 2019 with average duration of 109 minutes. |
| 151063 | Base | Pole Renewal - Central South | 2.7 | 9,065 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | This project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |
| 100886 | Base | Distribution Automation - East | 2.0 | 9,041 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Powerstream | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 151298 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Govenors - Old Ancaster | 0.6 | 8,842 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 3 failures, or 10 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1989 in this project scope. |
| 101804 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools | 0.4 | 8,158 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 151424 | Base | Cable Replacement Project-Miss. Valley & Bloor (15) Mississauga | 9.9 | 8,141 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 6 outages, the length is 10,780 m, and the average cable age is 34 years old. There are 32 backlot transformers that we are also replacing. |
| 101488 | Base | Markham TS #5 | 0.4 | 8,117 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Powerstream | This project is to perform Class EA and purchase land for Markham TS#5 which has been recommended as per the York Region IRRP |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|--|
| 151313 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (F5) - Steeles - Main - Hwy 407 - McLaughlin, Brampton | 0.1 | 7,751 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 5 outages due to cable failures from 2007 to 2016 with average duration of 80 minutes. |
| 150282 | Base | Switchgear Renewal - Central North | 0.5 | 7,521 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 151361 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V26) - Teston - Keele - Major Mackenzie - Jane, Vaughan | 1.2 | 7,473 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 8 failures. |
| 151325 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (M31) - 14th - Old Kennedy - Steeles - Warden, Markham | 1.2 | 7,412 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 38 failures. |
| 150284 | Base | Pole Renewal - Central North | 3.0 | 7,305 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | This project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |
| 102017 | Base | Sorbweb Oil Containment Systems - 4 Transformers -Multiyear initiative-North & TS | 0.3 | 7,275 | System Service | Safety & Security | Powerstream | This project consists of Sorbweb Oil containment system in East. Protects the environment in the event of a transformer tank rupture |
| 151392 | Base | 2024 GUELPH - Remotely Controlled Switches | 0.4 | 6,957 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Guelph | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 150714 | Base | MS Transformer Tank and Radiator Reconditioning- Multi-year initiative - Central | 0.1 | 6,819 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | This project is intended for corrosion mitigation of station power transformer main tanks and cooling radiators in the Central South Operating Area (former Enersource). It also prevents oil from leaking out of failed tanks and radiators due to corrosion. Preventing the main tank and radiators from corrosion extends the useful life of the unit and improves the reliability to customers. |
| 150713 | Base | MS Transformer Tank and Radiator Reconditioning- Multi-year initiative - East | 0.1 | 6,819 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project is intended for corrosion mitigation of station power transformer main tanks and cooling radiators in the Central North Operating Area (Brampton). It also prevents oil from leaking out of failed tanks and radiators due to corrosion. Preventing the main tank and radiators from corrosion extends the useful life of the unit and improves the reliability to customers. |
| 150715 | Base | MS Transformer Tank and Radiator Reconditioning- Multi-year initiative - West | 0.1 | 6,819 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | This project is intended for corrosion mitigation of station power transformer main tanks and cooling radiators in the West Operating Area (former Horizon). It also prevents oil from leaking out of failed tanks and radiators due to corrosion. Preventing the main tank and radiators from corrosion extends the useful life of the unit and improves the reliability to customers. |
| 150644 | Base | Road Authority West (Hamilton) | 2.1 | 6,689 | System Access | Road Authority | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151459 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (V24) - Langstaff - Jane - Rutherford - Keele, Vaughan | 0.7 | 6,509 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2015-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 0 failures. From 2013-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 2 failures. |
| 150468 | Base | Meter to Cash auxiliary systems ongoing upgrades - IT/OT Infrastructure | 0.2 | 6,495 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Enhancement to CIS (CC&B) Meter to Cash ancillary systems to support process improvement requirements. Ancillary systems but not limited to upgrading FW, MV90, LPSS. These enhancements are considered for the overall customer and organizational benefits. |
| 151044 | Base | Switch Renewal - Central South | 0.3 | 6,319 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacement units |
| 103659 | Base | Storm Hardening - Four-Circuit Poles | 2.2 | 6,255 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | |
| 100459 | Base | New Barrie 20MVA Substation - Harvie - 44 kV Supply | 0.0 | 6,215 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Powerstream | This project consists of 44KV feeder extension to supply for Harvie MS project (101452) |
| 150514 | Base | Sorbweb Oil Containment Systems - 4 Transformers -Multiyear Initiative-WEST | 0.4 | 6,213 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project consists of Sorbweb Oil containment system in West. Protects the environment in the event of a transformer tank rupture |
| 151336 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BA22) - Sunnidale and Anne, Barrie | 3.9 | 6,208 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 2 failures in 2019. |
| 151305 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Stone Church - Upper Sherman - Rymal - Upper Wellington | 0.7 | 6,019 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 1 failure, or 3 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1989 in this project scope. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 151086 | Base | Insulator Replacements | 0.1 | 5,949 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of non-polymer insulators (Areas are prioritized by insulators showing signs of defects), with modern polymer style insulators, we reduce pole fires and insulator flashovers. this will also drive efficiencies as insulator washing will no longer be required once all non-polymer insulators are replaced. |
| 100461 | Base | New Barrie 20MVA Substation - Harvie - 13.8kV Feeder Integration | 0.0 | 5,856 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Powerstream | This project consist of 13.8KV feeder integration for Harvie MS project (101542) |
| 151463 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (F4-G4) - Main - Steeles - Chinguacousy - Queen, Brampton | 0.7 | 5,560 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | From 2000-2018 customers in this area experienced 86 failures. Exact number of failures in recent years is unknown at this moment. |
| 150337 | Base | Switch Renewal - West | 0.5 | 5,476 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacement units |
| 151055 | Base | Major Tools | 0.1 | 5,432 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Guelph | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150598 | Base | Suite Metering - Renewals & Retrofits - PowerStream RZ | 0.4 | 5,394 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to purchase and install suite metering equipment in existing buildings upgraded from bulk metering. |
| 150515 | Base | Sorbweb Oil Containment Systems - 4 Transformers -Multiyear initiative-CENTRAL | 0.4 | 5,352 | System Service | Safety & Security | Enersource | This project consists of Sorbweb Oil containment system in Central South. Protects the environment in the event of a transformer tank rupture |
| 150516 | Base | Sorbweb Oil Containment Systems - 4 Transformers -Multiyear initiative-EAST | 0.4 | 5,352 | System Service | Safety & Security | Brampton | This project consists of Sorbweb Oil containment system in Central North. Protects the environment in the event of a transformer tank rupture |
| 150336 | Base | Transformer Renewal - West | 0.7 | 5,294 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Horizon | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental, (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |
| 151306 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Upper Wentworth - Lincoln M. Alexander - Upper Ottawa - Stone Church | 0.5 | 5,237 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 2 failures, or 8 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1988 in this project scope. |
| 150750 | Base | Lines Central-North - Major Tools | 0.1 | 5,234 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Brampton | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 151432 | Base | Cable Injection- 007- AREA 43 & 51- Hurontario & Derry Rd W, Mississauga | 1.4 | 5,149 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | There are 3 outages, we are injecting a total of 16,419 m of cable for this project, and the average age of the cable is 28 years. |
| 150009 | Base | Insulator Renewal - East | 0.3 | 5,108 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement of non-polymer insulators (Areas are prioritized by insulators showing signs of defects), with modern polymer style insulators, we reduce pole fires and insulator flashovers. this will also drive efficiencies as insulator washing will no longer be required once all non-polymer insulators are replaced. |
| 151203 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - Stations South West | 0.1 | 4,921 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Guelph | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the South West Operating Area (Guelph) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have spares readily available in order to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |
| 102042 | Base | Purchase and Installation of Animal Guards at Various Stations- Annual Multi-year initiative-North & TS | 0.0 | 4,894 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of animal guard installation in East |
| 151083 | Base | Switchgear Renewal - West | 0.4 | 4,684 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 151010 | Base | Switch Renewal - Central North | 0.7 | 4,604 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | Replacement of gang-operated (3 phase) load break switches that can no longer be maintained and are no longer operable with new manual replacement units |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|------------------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 150720 | Base | Lines Central-South - Major Tools | 0.2 | 4,591 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Enersource | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 151461 | M-Factor | Cable Injection Project - (V51) - Langstaff - Kipling - Hwy 7 - Hwy 27, Vaughan | 0.7 | 4,537 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2017-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 1 failure. From 2015-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 5 failures. |
| 151364 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V23) - Hwy 7 - Keele - Langstaff - Jane, Vaughan | 0.7 | 4,381 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 2 failures. |
| 151021 | Base | Insulator Renewal - Central North | 0.4 | 4,236 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | Replacement of non-polymer insulators (Areas are prioritiezed by insulators showing signs of defects), with modern polymer style insulators, we reduce pole fires and insulator flashovers. this will also drive efficiencies as insulator washing will no longer be required once all non-polymer insulators are replaced. |
| 150674 | Base | Emerging Customer Initiated Work Central (Brampton) | 0.2 | 4,184 | System Access | Customer Connections | Brampton | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101763 | Base M-Factor | Unforeseen Projects Initiated by the customer PS North | 0.1 | 4,161 | System Access | Customer Connections Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream Multiple | Mandatory - System Access Related Project Alectra Drive for the Workplace will demonstrate the value of integrating smart electric vehicle (EV) charging system at workplaces into the distribution grid such that mass uptake of electric vehicles can be managed in a safe and reliable manner. The planned investment will help manage the flow of electricity needed to serve the building and EV charging stations, so that electricity costs are minimized for commercial customers while EV drivers have an easy and accessible charging solution. |
| 150367 | M-Factor | Mini-Orlando MS 27.6kV Land Purchase, Mississauga | 2.2 | 3,727 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Enersource | Mini-Orlando MS is situated on leased land in the area of Mavis Road, south of Highway 401, provides capacity for the commercial and industrial customers in the Heartland area |
| 102075 | Base | Major repairs, refurbishment, or modifications to switches/switchgear | 0.1 | 3,702 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Switchgear and Switches in stores that repair/refurbishment of the units can allow them to be returned to service |
| 150329 | M-Factor | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Main Street / Unionville / Carlton | 2.5 | 3,599 | System Renewal | Rear Lot Conversion | Powerstream | This area has had an average of 1 outages lasting an 1hr 40 minutes per year based on a 3 year average |
| 150498 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - Stations Central South | 0.3 | 3,535 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the Central South Operating Area (former Enersource) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have spares readily available in order to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |
| 150499 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - Stations Central North | 0.3 | 3,535 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the Central North Operating Area (Brampton) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have spares readily available in order to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |
| 150497 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - Stations West | 0.3 | 3,535 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the West Operating Area (former Horizon) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have spares readily available in order to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |
| 101542 | M-Factor | New Barrie 20MVA Substation - Harvie | 1.4 | 3,447 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Powerstream | Harvie Road and Veterans Drive in Barrie and constructing a new 44/13.8kV, 20MVA, 4-feeder municipal substation. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 150353 | M-Factor | Truscott Plaza - Additional capacity, Mississauga | 1.0 | 3,353 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Enersource | This project is to provide 27.6 KV capacity to supply developments in Truscott areas as the existing 4.16kV does not provide service size |
| 150672 | Base | Burden Allocation - System Service | 0.1 | 3,353 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Horizon | |
| 150263 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - East Left Behind Cable | 1.6 | 3,237 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in these areas have experienced 11 failures. |
| 102065 | Base | Capital Corrective Equipment Replacement - East | 0.4 | 3,161 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project is intended to provide capital for all unplanned station equipment replacements in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream area) that occur due to unexpected or run to failure equipment failures. This project improves response time as well as administration work as the work order and funds are pre-approved. The risk increases significantly when a piece of failed equipment is not available for service. These assets need to have spares readily available in order to quickly replace the failed asset and mitigate the impact of failure on customer reliability. |
| 151297 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (HAM) - Govenors - Old Ancaster | 1.0 | 3,148 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 3 failures, or 10 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1957 in this project scope. |
| 150611 | Base | Transformer Tank and Radiator Reconditioning- Multi-year initiative - East | 0.2 | 3,117 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project is intended for corrosion mitigation of station power transformer main tanks and cooling radiators in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream). It also prevents oil from leaking out of failed tanks and radiators due to corrosion. Preventing the main tank and radiators from corrosion extends the useful life of the unit and improves the reliability to customers. |
| 150623 | Base | Purchase and Installation of Animal Guarding-Annual Multi-year initiative-WEST | 0.0 | 3,095 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of animal guard installation in West |
| 150622 | Base | Purchase and Installation of Animal Guarding-Annual Multi-year initiative-CENTRAL | 0.0 | 3,093 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of installation of Animal guard at Central South Stations |
| 150621 | Base | Purchase and Installation of Animal Guarding-Annual Multi-year initiative-EAST | 0.0 | 3,082 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project consists of installation of Animal guard at East Stations |
| 151426 | Base | Cable Replacement Project-Southdown & Lakeshore (35), Mississauga | 6.8 | 3,020 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 3 outages, the length is 8,126 m, and the average cable age is 48 years old. There are 62 backlot transformers that we are also replacing. |
| 151286 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (H2) - Wanless - Heart Lake - Bovaird - Kennedy, Brampton | 0.4 | 2,868 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 6 outages due to cable failures from 2011 to 2017 with an average duration of 126 minutes |
| 101625 | Base | Cyber Security Audit & Upgrades | 0.1 | 2,865 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | This project would fund various activities and countermeasures to improve the cyber security posture of the Operations' Network. This will be a mandate for compliance to Ontario Cyber Security framework. The counter measures include: - an annual audit of the cyber security countermeasures in place; - implementation of new technologies to seal identified compromises; - replacement of deployed cyber security equipment deemed end of life or not able to deliver an expected level of service. |
| 150525 | Base | Security Additions & Enhancements | 0.3 | 2,842 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | details refer to PWC doc |
| 150671 | Base | Burden Allocation - System Renewal | 1.9 | 2,831 | System Renewal | Other System Renewal | Horizon | |
| 150632 | Base | AMI Gatekeeper Expansion - Brampton RZ | 0.0 | 2,820 | System Access | Metering | Brampton | Multi-year project to expand and upgrade AMI field communication equipment to service new connections. |
| 151422 | Base | Cable Replacement Project-Queen St W & Paisley (30), Mississauga | 1.5 | 2,820 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | the average cable age is 36 years old. |
| 151365 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (V02) - Atkinson and Worth, Vaughan | 0.4 | 2,765 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 1 failure. |
| 151340 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (V29) - Hwy 7 - Jane - Steeles - Weston, Vaughan | 4.3 | 2,594 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2013-2018 customers in this area experienced 5 failures. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150332 | M-Factor | Non-Wires Alternative Pilot | 0.8 | 2,537 | System Service | Capacity (Stations) | Powerstream | The project will provide customers with increased flexibility to make decisions about their electricity consumption, generation, and costs; it leads to more efficient integration of DERs which yields greater benefits to customer, system reliability, and power quality. This project will also provide the opportunity to increase operational efficiency and improved asset management to enhance service to customer and defer and/or reduce infrastructure investment needs in York Region. |
| 151421 | Base | Cable Replacement Project-Rathkeale Rd & Edenrose St (6), Mississauga | 2.8 | 2,502 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 6 outages, the length is 8,577 m, and the average cable age is 31 years old. |
| 150580 | Base | West Region Tools and Test Equipment | 0.2 | 2,494 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Horizon | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150627 | Base | Station Equipment Temperature Monitoring-EAST | 0.1 | 2,479 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project consists of Station Temperature Monitoring system in Central North and it enables controlled emergency loading beyond the nameplate rating. |
| 150629 | Base | Station Equipment Temperature Monitoring-WEST | 0.1 | 2,479 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of Station Temperature Monitoring system in West and it enables controlled emergency loading beyond the nameplate rating. |
| 151202 | Base | Purchase of Major Station Tools - Multi-year | 0.0 | 2,473 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Guelph | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150625 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools - Muliti Year-CENTRAL | 0.0 | 2,395 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Enersource | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150624 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools - Muliti Year-EAST | 0.0 | 2,395 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Brampton | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 150626 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools - Muliti Year-WEST | 0.0 | 2,395 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Horizon | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 101761 | Base | Unforeseen Projects Initiated by the customer PS South | 0.5 | 2,365 | System Access | Customer Connections | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151435 | Base | Cable Injection- 010 - Area 56- Derry Rd W & Ninth Line, Mississauga | 1.3 | 2,307 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | There are 3 outages, we are injecting a total of 45,837 m of cable for this project, and the average age of the cable is 30 years. |
| 151425 | Base | Cable Replacement Project-Rathburn Rd E & Tomken (10), Mississauga | 1.4 | 2,295 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 10 outages, the length is 3,180 m, and the average cable age is 38 years old. |
| 151290 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (I3) - Bovaird - Dixie - Queen - Hwy 410, Brampton | 0.7 | 2,280 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 8 outages due to cable failures from 2007 to 2018 with average duration of 133 minutes. |
| 150084 | M-Factor | Markham TS#2 T1/T2 "B" Differential Protections Upgrade | 0.1 | 2,270 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is to upgrade the T1/T2 GEC solid state Transformer "B" Differential Protection relays at Markham TS#3 with new relays having fault recording capabilities |
| 151396 | Base | 2024 GUELPH - Transite Underground Duct Replacement | 0.3 | 2,252 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of Duct Structure which contains asbestoes a known carcinogen and impacts worker safety. |
| 151244 | Base | Fault Indicators | 0.0 | 2,243 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Guelph | The scope of this project is to install Fault Indicators in Guelph. The project would include the fault indicators communicating to the existing SCADA and will improve the fault locating and improve SAIDI |
| 151181 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - Left Behind Cable, Brampton | 0.5 | 2,163 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | This project is to address cables which were part of a cable injection project but were ultimately not injectable at the time of project execution. These left behind' segments must be addressed, if they are left in the system they could cause a failure. Customers would not only experience an outage, but question the ultities work practices as we would have addressed only a portion of the cables instead of all the cables which could cause an outage. |
| 151423 | Base | Cable Replacement Project-Old Carriage Road (33), Mississauga | 1.4 | 2,104 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Since 2005, there have been 5 outages, the length is 2,229 m, and the average cable age is 45 years old. |
| 150096 | M-Factor | Vaughan TS#2 T1/T2 "B" Differential Protections Upgrade | 0.1 | 2,074 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | Vaughan TS#2 T1/T2 "B" Differential Protections Upgrade |
| 151338 | Base | Cable Replacement Project- (BA15) - Burton - Huronia - Little - Bayview, Barrie | 3.2 | 2,063 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 0 failures to date. Cable is 41 years old and will be 46 when project starts (2024). |
| 150675 | Base | Emerging Customer Inititated Work Central (Mississauga) | 0.2 | 2,049 | System Access | Customer Connections | Enersource | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 101764 | Base | Road Authority Expenditure PS North | 1.7 | 2,038 | System Access | Road Authority | Powerstream | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150604 | Base | Smart Meter Network Expansion - PowerStream RZ | 0.3 | 2,036 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | equipment to service new connections. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 151092 | Base | Distribution Automation - Central South | 0.8 | 2,014 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Enersource | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 151030 | Base | Addition of Sensors to SCADA Controllable 44kV LISs in Brampton | 0.3 | 2,013 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Brampton | This project is to add sensors to the 44KV switches in Central North |
| 150694 | M-Factor | Cityview microgrid enhancements | 0.0 | 1,985 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | The project is to understand how microgrids can be integrated into the distribution grid in a safe and reliable manner. The microgrid is used to evaluate integration and connection to the distribution grid, while reducing the building's load, greenhouse gas emissions, and increasing its resiliency to grid outages. |
| 150670 | Base | SCADA FDIR | 0.1 | 1,799 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Multiple | Fault Detection, Isolation, and Restoration implementation, next generation of distribution automation technology. This utilizes devices which are already automated and integrates them into the OMS system such that devices perform self healing after a fault. in some cases reducing the outage time to less then 1 minute. |
| 150539 | Base | Cyber Security Devices Upgrades | 0.6 | 1,789 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | This project upgrades security tools to maintain compliance with OEB Cyber Security Framework |
| 150680 | M-Factor | Alectra Drive at Home | 0.6 | 1,731 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Multiple | Alectra Drive at Home will demonstrate the value of integrating smart electric vehicle (EV) charging system in multi rise buildings as well as residential homes into the distribution grid such that mass uptake of electric vehicles can be managed in a safe and reliable manner. It will also provide insight into the characteristics of EV Charging, how EVs can be aggregated and controlled to provide the benefit at the local, regional and provincial system levels, and customer response to these control and optimization strategies. |
| 151201 | Base | Purchase of New Critical Spare Parts - Guelph | 0.0 | 1,728 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Guelph | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for stations in the South West Operating Area (Guelph) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |
| 150619 | Base | Purchase of Critical Spare Parts - West | 0.0 | 1,649 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for stations in the West Operating Area (former Horizon) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |
| 151136 | Base | C55 Alectra: Optimization of Business Practices | 0.1 | 1,625 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Optimizing the current software to communicate with other systems such as the ERP will allow for more efficient and effective budgeting processes and consolidation of information allowing for consistent reporting of the information from one system. |
| 150642 | Base | Proactive Replacement of Remote Terminal Units - Central North | 0.2 | 1,582 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project involves replacement of end-of-life remote terminal units and communications equipment at stations in the Central-North Operating Area (Brampton). Replacement equipment can be leveraged to allow for Smart Grid initiatives such as quicker fault detection and automatic isolation and restoration. If existing equipment were to fail, the ability to remotely monitor and control switching devices would be lost, thus risking longer interruptions. |
| 150617 | Base | Purchase of Critical Spare Parts - Central North | 0.0 | 1,569 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for municipal stations in the Central North Operating Area (Brampton) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |
| 151332 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (BA20) - Bayfield and Simcoe, Barrie | 2.0 | 1,565 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Customers in this area have experienced 0 failures to date. Cable is 43 years old and will be 48 when project starts (2024). |
| 151087 | Base | Switchgear Replacement | 0.3 | 1,559 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 150618 | Base | Purchase of Critical Spare Parts - Central South | 0.0 | 1,557 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for stations in the Central South Operating Area (former Enersource) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|--|
| 102077 | Base | Major repair, refurbishment, or conversions of distribution transformers | 0.0 | 1,541 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Powerstream | Transformers returned or in stores where repair/refurbishment of the unit can return it to service at a lower cost then purchase of a new unit |
| 150398 | M-Factor | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Strathcona Dr | 0.9 | 1,537 | System Renewal | Rear Lot Conversion | Horizon | This area has had an average of 44 outages lasting 0.35hrs per year based on 3 year average. |
| 151222 | Base | Concrete Structures | 0.2 | 1,469 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of lids on civil structures to avoid public risk |
| 150334 | Base | Distribution Automation - West | 0.8 | 1,424 | System Service | SCADA and Automation | Horizon | Installation of remote operable switches and switchgear, overlaping as much as possible switches that are end of life. These devices will directly impact the duration of outages as they allow for faster outage detection and fault finding. Furthermore, as many devices deployed as possible will have additional protection enabled to limit the number of customers effected by the outage in the first place |
| 151052 | Base | Emerging Customer Initiated Work - Relocations | 0.1 | 1,412 | System Access | Customer Connections | Guelph | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 151308 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (HAM) - Hollybush - Parkside - Dundas - Spring Creek | 0.1 | 1,389 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2010-2018 YTD customers in this area experienced 1 failure, or 5 failures per 100km. The average cable installation year is 1988 in this project scope. |
| 150751 | Base | Facilities_East_Capital Replacement Investment Support | 0.1 | 1,374 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Powerstream | Projects planned to maintain the buildings, assets and systems in a condition that contributes to maintaining efficiencies, business operations and to alleviate pressure on the operating expenditures. Planned expenditures are based on the condition and/or lifecycle of a given building or component/asset and is scheduled for replacement (e.g. condenser, furnace, windows, roofing). |
| 150965 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Bucket Truck 1-256 | 0.5 | 1,371 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150982 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Bucket Truck 1-299 | 0.5 | 1,371 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150335 | Base | Pole Renewal - West | 3.2 | 1,338 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | This project involves the replacement of poles that either by testing or visual inspection in accordance with the ACA are in very poor or poor condition and must be replaced |
| 151374 | Base | Cable Replacement - (893) - Janefield Ave Subdivision, Guelph | 0.6 | 1,325 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | |
| 151388 | Base | Cable Replacement - (724) - 30 Hadati Rd, Guelph | 0.8 | 1,303 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Guelph | |
| 151291 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (I4) - Queen - Dixie - Steeles - Hwy 410, Brampton | 1.5 | 1,302 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 0 cable failure to date. Cables are 41 to 42 years old and beyond EUL. |
| 150963 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Bucket Truck 1-224 | 0.6 | 1,299 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150738 | Base | Facilities_West_Capital Replacement Investment Support | 0.5 | 1,289 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Horizon | Projects planned to maintain the buildings, assets and systems in a condition that contributes to maintaining efficiencies, business operations and to alleviate pressure on the operating expenditures. Planned expenditures are based on the condition and/or lifecycle of a given building or component/asset and is scheduled for replacement (e.g. condenser, furnace, windows, roofing). |
| 150330 | M-Factor | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Marsdale, St.Catharines | 2.0 | 1,284 | System Renewal | Rear Lot Conversion | Horizon | This area has had an average of 19 outages lasting 1hr per year based on 3 year average. |
| 150355 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion - Elmwood MS, Hamilton | 2.8 | 1,275 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 23 outages and had 251,178 minutes of interruption. The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 102241 | Base | Proactive Replacement of Remote Terminal Units - East | 0.1 | 1,240 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves replacement of end-of-life remote terminal units and communications equipment at stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream). Replacement equipment can be leveraged to allow for Smart Grid initiatives such as quicker fault detection and automatic isolation and restoration. If existing equipment were to fail, the ability to remotely monitor and control switching devices would be lost, thus risking longer interruptions. |
| 150323 | M-Factor | Station Switchgear Replacement - Bloor MS38 LV1 | 0.7 | 1,228 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | Bloor MS is centrally located, supplying a large number of customers, and reliability is very important. This switchgear is non-arc-resistant and poses a potential safety hazard to Alectra personnel and even to the general public who happen to be in the vicinity of the station during a failure event. The circuit breakers that are housed in the switchgear prone to failure and are considered obsolete (no longer supported by the manufacturer and parts are difficult to come by). Obsolescence translates into more frequent and more lengthy maintenance requirements and longer outage duration in the event of a failure. Failure of the existing equipment would warrant emergency replacement resulting in non-budgeted reactive capital expenditure. |
| 151064 | Base | Secondary Pedestals -St. Catharines | 0.2 | 1,154 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project involves the replacment of above grade steel secondary pedestals which pose a safety risk to the general public |
| 101125 | Base | Lines Mobile Equipment - IT/OT Infrastructure | 0.1 | 1,119 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | This budget covers the deployment of mobile technology - laptops/field tablets and accessories - within the Lines Department to gain efficiencies in field operations. By deploying this technology, the Lines area can move away from a paper-based to a more electronic environment. In future, it is envisioned that, for example, mobile applications will facilitate the electonic transmission of work orders and electronic record keeping (asset tracking, tailboards, switching orders, timesheets). This will allow for streamlined operational processes and record-keeping, thereby supporting corporate technological initiatives such as the GIS, Outage Management System, and future Workforce Management system. At present, all Lines Management personnel and Subforemen have laptops, facilitating activities such as viewing of the GIS, electronic Asset Tracking Forms, and use of OMS Responder Mobile. Expenditures in this area will allow for more deployment of field devices among Lines crews and for the implementation of more computerized processes. |
| 151204 | Base | Upgrade to Station Facilities (Buildings/Civil work) Multi-year | 0.0 | 1,089 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Guelph | This project involves work involving structural components of stations, including windows, brickwork, roots, foundations, drainage, doors, etc. at stations in the SouthWest Operational Area. Not keeping up with this work would result in advanced deterioration resulting in even greater maintenance costs, potential safety concerns and potential failure of the electrical equipment in the building causing power interruptions. |
| 151003 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Pickups | 0.1 | 1,042 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150354 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion - Eastmount MS, Hamilton | 3.8 | 1,022 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 43 outages and had 2,261,630 minutes of interruption. The station assets are in very poor and poor condition and if this project does not proceed station renewal costs will be incurred to ensure the station does not fail. |
| 151091 | Base | Switchgear Renewal - Central South | 3.9 | 982 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | Replacement of switchgear that is tracking, has some level of device failure (non-operable) |
| 150380 | M-Factor | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Gunn/Oakley Park/St.Vincent | 1.8 | 967 | System Renewal | Rear Lot Conversion | Powerstream | This area has had an average of 1 outage lasting 13hrs per year based on a 3 year average. |
| 151159 | Base | Fault Indicator Installation and Replacement - Hamilton and St. Catharines | 0.3 | 966 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Horizon | This project consists of fault indicator installation in West |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 150628 | Base | Station Equipment Temperature Monitoring-CENTRAL | 0.1 | 965 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of Station Temperature Monitoring system in Central South and this enables controlled emergency loading beyond the nameplate rating. |
| 150947 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Pickups | 0.1 | 952 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151065 | Base | Manhole Lid Replacement | 0.4 | 925 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | Replacement of lids on civil structures to avoid public risk |
| 151279 | Base | Cable Injection Project - (SCH) - Jacobson - Chestnut - Woodcrest | 0.1 | 866 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | Customers in this area have experienced 0 underground XLPE cable outages to date. The average cable installation year is 1991. Since this project is in 2024, the cable will now be 8 years over the TUL while simultaneously pushing the boundary of Area 2 as a calidate for injection (see Figure A10 - 8: XLPE Cable by Type in Exhibit 04, Tab 01, Schedule 01, Appendix A10, Page 14) |
| 150904 | Base | Fleet East Yearly Light and Misc equipment | 0.0 | 847 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150698 | Base | Station Switchgear Replacement - City Centre South MS61 LV1 | 0.4 | 844 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | City Centre South MS is located centrally adjacent to Square One shopping mall, and feeds a large number of customers. Therefore, reliability is very important. This project involves replacing the existing LV1 13.8 kV switchgear with new arc-rated switchgear and new circuit breakers. It also includes upgrading the transformer protection and replacing end-of-life feeder egress cables. The existing equipment line-up is technically obsolete, poses safety and environmental risks, and is prone to failure. Proactive replacement of the existing equipment is a prudent strategy as system reliability would be greatly affected in the event of a failure. Additionally, the restoration of the system to normal conditions could take 8-10 months due to long equipment lead times. |
| 151285 | Base | Cable Replacement Project - (G2) - Wanless - Kennedy - Bovaird - Main, Brampton | 0.8 | 841 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | Customers in this area experienced 1 outage due to cable failure in 2007 with duration of 82 minutes. The cables are 41 to 43 years old and beyond EUL. |
| 150746 | Base | Facilities_Central_Capital Replacement Investment Support | 0.5 | 807 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Multiple | Projects planned to maintain the buildings, assets and systems in a condition that contributes to maintaining efficiencies, business operations and to alleviate pressure on the operating expenditures. Planned expenditures are based on the condition and/or lifecycle of a given building or component/asset and is scheduled for replacement (e.g. condenser, furnace, windows, roofing). |
| 151469 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - (F4-G4) - Main - Steeles - Chinguacousy - Queen, Brampton | 1.0 | 804 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Brampton | From 2000-2018 customers in this area experienced 86 failures. Exact number of failures in recent years is unknown at this moment. |
| 151466 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - (V24) - Langstaff - Jane - Rutherford - Keele, Vaughan | 1.0 | 800 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2015-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 0 failures. From 2013-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 2 failures. |
| 151468 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - (V51) - Langstaff - Kipling - Hwy 7 - Hwy 27, Vaughan | 1.0 | 799 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2017-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 1 failure. From 2015-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 5 failures. |
| 151431 | Base | Cable Injection- 006- AREA 39- Erin Mills Pkway & Thomas St, Mississauga | 1.4 | 786 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Enersource | There are 0 outages, we are injecting a total of 38,448 m of cable for this project, and the average age of the cable is 30 years. |
| 101622 | Base | DACS Inverters and RTU's removal - East | 0.0 | 765 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves removal of obsolete and out-of-service DACs inverters, RTUs and associated wiring at stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream) so as to remove clutter, thus simplifying future work and freeing up space for future station upgrades. |
| 101632 | Base | Obsolete Revenue Metering Removal from TSs | 0.0 | 740 | System Service | Safety & Security | Powerstream | Evolution of the distribution system to permit more efficient integration of DERs to yield 18 |
| 150979 | M-Factor | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Extended Vans | 0.2 | 738 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150996 | Base | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Pickup truck 1500 | 0.2 | 729 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151467 | M-Factor | Cable Replacement Project - (V17) - Langstaff - Keele - Rutherford - Dufferin, Vaughan | 2.4 | 703 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Powerstream | From 2017-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 3 failures. From 2015-2019 YTD customers in this area experienced 4 failures. |
| 151082 | Base | SCADA Infrastructure | 0.1 | 688 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Guelph | |
| 151191 | Base | Facilities_Reno_Nebo - Service Centre Upgrades | 0.1 | 685 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Horizon | Repairs to building envelop/walls to prevent further damage to the build infrastructure and systems that are supporting critical operational systems such as control rooms, server rooms, customer services groups, etc. |
| 151058 | Base | Distribution Transformer Replacements & Upgrades | 0.4 | 683 | System Renewal | Transformer Renewal | Guelph | Alectra Utilities will replace transformers proactively when they are found to be in a condition that introduces an unacceptable safety risk to the public, or to the environmental, (e.g., corroded or damaged enclosure that may expose the public to energized components), or risk of environmental contamination, (e.g., leaking oil), are of obsolete vintage construction, are consistently overloaded, or are configured in a way that increases the likelihood of a lengthy outage due to difficult replacement. |
| 151005 | Base | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Cargo Van | 0.1 | 674 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150669 | Base | Burden Allocation - System Access | 0.7 | 670 | System Access | Customer Connections | Horizon | Mandatory - System Access Related Project |
| 150962 | M-Factor | Fleet East Unit # 61 Digger truck replacement | 0.4 | 644 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and rescond to emergencies |
| 102166 | Base | SQL Expansion - IT Infrastructure | 0.2 | 636 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Expand existing SQL infrastructure to meet project demands and natural growth of database. Add system capacity, improve performance, and reduce the risk of downtime due resource constraints. |
| 150818 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-236-10 S/bucket | 0.5 | 635 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150980 | M-Factor | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Work Van | 0.1 | 622 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150942 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_S/Bucket | 0.5 | 621 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150807 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-209-09 S/bucket | 0.5 | 621 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150203 | Base | Station Equipment Temperature Monitoring-NORTH & TS | 0.1 | 614 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of Station Temperature Monitoring system in East and it enables controlled emergency loading beyond the nameplate rating. |
| 150945 | M-Factor | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement_Reel Carriers | 0.7 | 614 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150803 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- Material Handler | 0.6 | 606 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 150896 | M-Factor | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement S/Bucket 8910 | 0.5 | 596 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150496 | Base | iPass Project Management – Planned Capital - West | 0.7 | 589 | System Renewal | Underground Asset Renewal | Horizon | Help in optimizing DER performance and efficiency to improve addressing overall energy needs. |
| 150978 | M-Factor | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Cube Vans | 0.7 | 580 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150953 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_Trailer 11510 | 0.0 | 566 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 102046 | Base | Purchase of Major Tools - Muliti Year-North & TS | 0.0 | 565 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 151209 | M-Factor | Station LED Lighting Upgrades - South West | 0.0 | 556 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of inefficient lighting fixtures and lamps at Guelph stations will result in longer lasting lighting. Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. |
| 151128 | M-Factor | MS Transformer & HV Switchgear Replacement - Western MS36 T1 & HV1 | 0.6 | 554 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | Recent testing has indicated possible mechanical damage to the transformer windings and the HV switchgear has suffered damage due to a prior failure. The station is in a residential area and a transformer failure could result in a fire, posing a risk to the community and collateral damage to other assets in the station, as well as resulting in an extensive power interruption. Failure of the existing equipment would warrant emergency replacement resulting in non-budgeted reactive capital expenditure. |
| 151150 | M-Factor | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - SUV | 0.0 | 550 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150968 | M-Factor | Fleet East Vehicle replacement Pickup truck 2500 | 0.1 | 549 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150905 | Base | Fleet East Multi Year Shop tools | 0.0 | 544 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of tools and shop equipment required to repair and maintain vehicles to ensure vehicle availability to support capital systems projects. |
| 101816 | Base | Alectra East (South), Fault Indicator Installation and Replacement Multi-year initiative | 0.3 | 542 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is installtion of fault indictor in East - North Region which will result improved outage response, operational efficiency, and reliability |
| 151085 | M-Factor | Rear Lot Conversions | 0.1 | 531 | System Renewal | Rear Lot Conversion | Guelph | |
| 101134 | Base | Alectra East (North), Fault Indicator Installation and Replacement Multi-year initiative | 0.3 | 527 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project is installtion of fault indictor in East - South Region which will result improved outage response, operational efficiency, and reliability |
| 150437 | Base | OT GIS & OMS Enhancements | 0.4 | 520 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Ongoing productivity enhancements to core GIS and OMS platforms to meet internal and customer demand for functionality. |
| 150975 | M-Factor | Fleet East Unit # 75 83' Double Bucket | 0.7 | 513 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | Vehicle replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. Due to increasing repairs & parts availability, vehicle not as available to support capital system renewal projects and respond to emergencies. |
| 150602 | Base | Smart Meter Test Facility - PowerStream RZ | 0.0 | 471 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to expand the capability of the Meter Test Facility to test increasing types of meters and AMI systems before they are placed into production. |
| 150955 | Base | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement_Arrowboards | 0.1 | 459 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Brampton | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------|---|
| 102027 | Base | Purchase of Critical Spare Parts - Multiyear - East | 0.1 | 428 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | This project involves procurement of critical spare parts for transformer and municipal stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream) so as to facilitate prompt repair of failed assets during emergency situations. |
| 150494 | Base | iPass Project Management – Planned Capital - Central-North | 0.4 | 409 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Brampton | Predicting the influence of weather conditions and DER contribution simultaneously on the power grid, for proactively mitigating local outages, |
| 150495 | Base | iPass Project Management – Planned Capital - Central-South | 0.4 | 409 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | Enable better visibility towards preventive equipment maintenance needs arising due to DER penetration which will further help mitigate outage risks, |
| 101781 | Base | iPass Project Management – Planned Capital - East | 0.4 | 409 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Powerstream | Estimating the effects of DER contribution at the feeder and region levels to further optimize the energy flows between the Utility and its consumers, |
| 150607 | M-Factor | Station LED Lighting Upgrades - Central | 0.0 | 408 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | Replacement of inefficient lighting fixtures and lamps at stations in the Central Operational Area will result in longer lasting lighting. Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. |
| 150606 | M-Factor | Station LED Lighting Upgrades - EAST | 0.0 | 408 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | Replacement of inefficient lighting fixtures and lamps at stations in this Operational Area will result in longer lasting lighting. Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. |
| 151132 | M-Factor | MS Transformer & HV Switchgear Replacement - Munden MS35 T1 & HV1 | 0.7 | 406 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | Recent testing has indicated that the transformer insulation has deteriorated and lacks a proper foundation and is beginning to lean. The station is in a residential area and a transformer failure could result in a fire, posing a risk to the community and collateral damage to other assets in the station, as well as resulting in an extensive power interruption. Failure of the existing equipment would warrant emergency replacement resulting in non-budgeted reactive capital expenditure. |
| 150517 | Base | Upgrade to Station Facilities (Building / Civil work) Multi-year - West | 0.1 | 399 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | MULTI ANSWER |
| 150518 | Base | Upgrade to Station Facilities (Building / Civil work) Multi-year - Central | 0.1 | 399 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | MULTI ANSWER |
| 151168 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Step Vans | 0.7 | 395 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150399 | M-Factor | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Richlieu Dr and Trelawne Dr, St.Catharines | 1.2 | 393 | System Renewal | Rear Lot Conversion | Horizon | This area has had an average of 40 outages lasting 1.5hrs per year based on 3 year average. |
| 151212 | M-Factor | Driveway Paving Multi-year initiative - South West | 0.0 | 391 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Guelph | These driveways at Guelph stations that are covered in gravel require ongoing maintenance for smoothing and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for crawl space under fencing, which presents a safety and security risk. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| 151007 | M-Factor | Fleet_West_Vehicle_Replacement_Trailers | 0.1 | 367 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| 150608 | M-Factor | Station LED Lighting Upgrades - West | 0.0 | 359 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | Replacement of inefficient lighting fixtures and lamps at stations in the West Operational Area will result in longer lasting lighting. Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. |
| 150356 | M-Factor | Voltage Conversion - Clarkson Area, Mississauga | 2.7 | 359 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 35 outages and had 91,638 minutes of interruption. |
| 102034 | Base | Upgrade to Station Facilities (Building / Civil work) Multi-year - East | 0.1 | 340 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | MULTI ANSWER |
| 150854 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Trailers | 0.2 | 330 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150813 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-SUV | 0.1 | 330 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150501 | Base | On-Line Dissolved Gas Oil Monitoring of 20 MS Transformers - CENTRAL | 0.2 | 313 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Enersource | This project consists of installation of Transformer bushing monitoring system in Central South |
| 150741 | Base | Facilities_Replacement_Patterson Road Roof | 1.2 | 308 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Powerstream | Building roofs have exceeded the project useful life. Various decaying roof conditions identified need to be addressed to prevent further damage to the building envelope, structure and assets. |
| 150610 | M-Factor | Driveway Paving - Various Stations - Multi-year initiative - Central | 0.0 | 290 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Enersource | Existing driveways at stations in the Central Operational Area that are covered in gravel and require ongoing maintenance for smoothing and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for crawl space under fencing, which presents a safety and security risk. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| 150609 | M-Factor | Driveway Paving - Various Stations - Multi-year initiative - East | 0.0 | 290 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | Existing driveways in this Operational Area that are covered in gravel require ongoing maintenance for smoothing and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for crawl space under fencing, which presents a safety and security risk. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| 150612 | M-Factor | Driveway Paving - Various Stations - Multi-year initiative - West | 0.0 | 290 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Horizon | Existing driveways in the West Operational Area that are covered in gravel require ongoing maintenance for smoothing and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for crawl space under fencing, which presents a safety and security risk. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| 150812 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Vans | 0.1 | 240 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------|--|
| 102050 | Base | Various Stations-Station Lighting Upgrade/Retrofit-Energy Efficiency Lighting-initiative Multi-year - East | 0.1 | 240 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | Replacement of inefficient indoor and outdoor lighting fixtures and lamps at stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream) will result in longer lasting lighting. Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. |
| 151167 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Pick ups | 0.2 | 237 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150421 | M-Factor | 2D7X Pimlico Dr - Voltage Conversion and Rear Lot | 0.6 | 234 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Horizon | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 28 outages and had 805,077 minutes of interruption. This project also addresses rear lot assets which are extrememly difficult to replace if they fail due to complexities of access issues and restricitions for equipment to rebuild the assets. |
| 150853 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Vans | 0.2 | 228 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 151164 | Base | Fleet_Central South _key box replacement | 0.0 | 223 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Adopted the current vehicles key management system at all sites to ensure access to vehicles when required. This ensure access to vehicles after hours and tracking of vehceil keys. |
| 151038 | Base | Fleet_West_Equipment_Key Boxes | 0.0 | 223 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Adopted the current vehicles key management system at all sites to ensure access to vehicles when required. This ensure access to vehicles after hours and tracking of vehceil keys. |
| 150394 | M-Factor | King St. Voltage Conversion & Loop (LRT Betterment) | 0.3 | 192 | System Renewal | Overhead Asset Renewal | Enersource | From 2015-2017 customers in this area experienced 5 outages and had 61,455 minutes of interruption. |
| 150822 | Base | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Arrowboard | 0.0 | 179 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150326 | Base | Software Asset Management as a Service | 0.1 | 173 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | The Software Asset Management module of ServiceNow will enable the creation of an accurate, up to date, single system of record for IT infrastructure, both on premises and in public clouds. It will identify IP-enabled configuration items (CIs), map their interdependencies, and populate and maintain them in the ServiceNow Configuration Management Database (CMDB). Software spend across the year will be more predictable, and access to software agreements will be more efficient. Auditing of agreements will be more manageable. The implementation will all better control over the complexity of our software assets, allowing us to optimize the use of these assets. |
| 150153 | Base | Bradford MS324-F2 Reconductor - Holland Street | 0.0 | 169 | System Service | Capacity (Lines) | Powerstream | This project is to reconductor MS324f2 feeder to increase capacity and meet contingency requirements. Increase the ampacity of the existing section of 13.8kV feeder along Holland Street by reconductoring the existing 3/0 AI with 336 AI from Langford Drive to Professor Day |
| 150511 | M-Factor | Installation of SWI Video security system at 4 MS stations per year - Annual Multi-year initiative-WEST | 0.2 | 164 | System Service | Safety & Security | Horizon | This project consists of installation of SWI Video security system in West. The implementation of video monitoring will ensure that station security and safety related issues can be easily identified and addressed immediately |
| 150512 | M-Factor | Installation of SWI Video security system at 4 MS stations per year - Annual Multi-year initiative-CENTRAL | 0.2 | 164 | System Service | Safety & Security | Enersource | This project consists of installation of SWI Video security system in Central South. The implementation of video monitoring will ensure that station security and safety related issues can be easily identified and addressed immediately |
| 150519 | M-Factor | Upgrade to Station Facilities (Building / Civil work) MultiYear - East | 0.1 | 156 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This project involves work involving structural components of stations, including windows, brickwork, roofs, foundations, drainage, doors, etc. at stations in the East Operational Area. Not keeping up with this work would result in advanced deterioration resulting in even greater maintenance costs, potential safety concerns and potential failure of the electrical equipment in the building causing power interruptions. |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 103030 | Base | Technology Upgrades Improving the System Control Room Environment | 0.1 | 148 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | This project will continue to build on the original capital project whose scope was focused on the replacement of computers used as Operator WorkStations. The scope of this program has been expanded to account for all technology purchases required for the Control Room theater. |
| 151108 | Base | Office Equipment | 0.1 | 144 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Guelph | Replace aging equipment within the Guelph operational centre to ensure optimal performance in managing the distribution assets |
| 151384 | Base | 2024 GUELPH - Fleet | 0.6 | 138 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Guelph | Replacement of end of life asset due to poor conditions, high mileage and engine hours and age. Vehicle experiencing more down time at the shop for repairs and maintenance impacting vehicle availability. |
| 151245 | M-Factor | Capacitor Bank Installations | 0.0 | 135 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Guelph | This project consists of capacitor bank installation to increase power factor, capacity and reduce losses. |
| 150235 | M-Factor | Greenwood Expansion Station Service Supply Backup | 0.0 | 130 | System Service | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | Powerstream | This project consists of providing back up to the station service transformer at Greenwood TS. In the current configurationt the two station transformers are supplied from VTS#1 and a failure on VTS#1 result in removal of both VTS#1 and VTS#1. |
| 150582 | M-Factor | Back-end Automation (Orchestration Tool\Setup) | 0.2 | 128 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Automated integration of enterprise system platforms with HR functionality. Increase in productivity related to human resources |
| 102931 | Base | Paving of 3 MS & TS Station Driveways per year - Annual Multi-year initiative - East | 0.1 | 125 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Powerstream | Existing driveways at stations in the East Operating Area (former PowerStream) are covered in gravel and require ongoing maintenance for smoothing and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for crawl space under fencing, which presents a safety and security risk. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| 150597 | Base | Lock Box Installs - East | 0.0 | 116 | System Access | Metering | Powerstream | Multi-year project to install lock boxes at ICI properties with restricted access to eliminate need for a customer appointment for access. |
| 150821 | M-Factor | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Van | 0.1 | 111 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 150737 | Base | Facilities_East Region_Reactive Capital | 0.3 | 92 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Powerstream | Approved capital funds available to address any unforeseen and unbudgeted asset replacements/demands. Having these funds available for immediate use is critical for Facilities building operations to restore equipment/assets back to normal operations a.s.a.p. |
| 150736 | Base | Facilities_West Region_Reactive Capital | 0.3 | 92 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Horizon | Approved capital funds available to address any unforeseen and unbudgeted asset replacements/demands. Having these funds available for immediate use is critical for Facilities building operations to restore equipment/assets back to normal operations a.s.a.p. |
| 150734 | Base | Facilities_Central Region_Reactive Capital | 0.3 | 92 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Multiple | Approved capital funds available to address any unforeseen and unbudgeted asset replacements/demands. Having these funds available for immediate use is critical for Facilities building operations to restore equipment/assets back to normal operations a.s.a.p. |
| 150958 | M-Factor | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Forklift | 0.1 | 91 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
| 103171 | Base | Implementation of a new Alectra Network Operations Voice Radio System | 0.0 | 79 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | |
| 150906 | Base | Vehicle key box system | 0.0 | 43 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Powerstream | |

| Project Number | Funding | Project Name | 2024 (\$MM) | Project Score | Investment Category | Investment Grouping | Rate Zone | Comment |
|-------------------|----------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|---|
| 150747 | M-Factor | Net Zero Energy Emissions | 0.3 | 35 | System Service | Distributed Energy Resources (DER) | Powerstream | The project will help Alectra Utilities to ensure that growing DER challenges are met through building expertise and capability in real time monitoring, integrating and optimizing of DERs in line with customer preferences. This will lead to a system that can safely integrate and optimize value from DERs for the benefit of customers. |
| 151053 | Base | Building Sustainment | 0.2 | 32 | General Plant | Facilities Management | Guelph | Projects planned to maintain the buildings, assets and systems in a condition that contributes to maintaining efficiencies, business operations and to alleviate pressure on the operating expenditures. Planned expenditures are based on the condition and/or lifecycle of a given building or component/asset and is scheduled for replacement (e.g. condenser, furnace, windows, roofing). |
| 150878 | M-Factor | Bus & Main Breaker Protections Replacement - Jim Yarrow TS | 0.1 | 22 | System Renewal | Substation Renewal | Brampton | This is part of a multi-phase project to upgrade aging and failure- prone protection systems to the current protection standards. Proper protection to the main bus and transformers will provide protection to the assets in the case of faults when they occur. |
| 151031 | Base | Fleet Shop equipment refurbishment and replacement | 0.1 | 13 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Enersource | For the refurbishment of trailers, shop compressors and hoists to extend the life of the assets instead of replacement to reduce the need fro capital expenditures. |
| 150678 | Base | P&C Specific Tools & Testing Equipment - West | 0.0 | 12 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Enersource | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 102999 | Base | P&C Specific Tools and Testing Equipment | 0.0 | 12 | General Plant | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | Powerstream | Replacement of Capital tools required to perform work |
| 102157 | Base | Server Refresh - IT Infrastructure | 0.4 | 10 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | Ongoing server refresh to support applications. |
| 150547 | M-Factor | Business Support | 0.2 | 8 | General Plant | Information Technology | Multiple | The project will encompass a variety of sub projects for the purpose of investigating new and emerging technologies. Building a culture of innovation Alectra will need a continued effort in remaining ahead of the trends and implementing new and more efficient ways of doing business. |
| 151029 | M-Factor | Fleet_West_Vehicle_Replacement_Pickups | 0.2 | 1 | General Plant | Fleet Renewal | Horizon | Replacement due to poor conditions, high mileage, engine hours and age. These vehicles are critical to support capital system renewal projects, support assets maintenance programs and respond to emergencies. |
J2.5

Reference:

To provide the scores for the M-factor.

Response:

1 Alectra Utilities provides the scores for the M-Factor projects in Table 1 below.

2 Table 1: M-Factor Project Scores

3

| Project Number | Project Name | Project Score |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| 151125 | Connection Cost Recovery Agreement (CCRA) – Midhurst TS – 15th Anniversary True-up | 78,172 |
| 151124 | Goreway TS Expansion (CCRA) - 10 Yr True-Up Payment, Brampton | 76,026 |
| 100159 | Hydro One Asset Purchase - Alliston | 52,601 |
| 101569 | New Alliston 10MVA Substation - Industrial Parkway | 44,830 |
| 151117 | Vansickle TS True-up Payment (CCRA), St.Catharines | 43,573 |
| 151241 | Arlen MTS - New Feeder | 37,817 |
| 150369 | New build - 44kV Feeder Extension York/Meadowpine, Mississauga | 37,795 |
| 151118 | Nebo TS 27.6kV True-up Payment (CCRA) | 37,750 |
| 101003 | Richmond Hill TS#2 Upgrade Bus, Line & Transformer Protections | 36,020 |
| 151240 | Southgate Dr to Maltby Rd O/H Extension | 34,668 |
| 102387 | Install 44kV & 13.8kV Bryne Drive | 34,654 |
| 150073 | Vaughan TS#1 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades | 34,014 |
| 103633 | Install Two 27.6kV Ccts on 16th Ave from Hwy 404 to Woodbine Ave | 30,531 |
| 150074 | Vaughan TS#2 Bus Differential and Overcurrent Protections Upgrade | 28,628 |
| 100340 | Vaughan TS#4 Feeder Integration - Part 3 | 28,489 |
| 150072 | Markham TS#3 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades | 27,028 |
| 150364 | New build - Port Credit Village East (Marina) 27.6kV Feeders, Mississauga | 25,989 |
| 150070 | Markham TS#1 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades | 22,500 |

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities 2020 EDR Application Responses to Oral Hearing Undertakings Delivered: October 23, 2019 Page 2 of 7

| 150467 | CIS CC&B upgrade | 21,392 |
|--------|---|--------|
| 150071 | Markham TS#2 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrades | 21,087 |
| 101480 | Build double ccts 27.6kV pole line on 19th Ave between Leslie St and Bayview Ave | 20,987 |
| 150371 | New build - 27.6kV Feeder Extension Traders, Mississauga | 19,792 |
| 150319 | New MS - Duke MS 20 MVA Substation, Mississauga | 17,541 |
| 151460 | Cable Injection Project - (V17) - Langstaff - Keele - Rutherford - Dufferin, Vaughan | 15,317 |
| 150749 | New WiMAX Communication Network - Central South | 15,089 |
| 100337 | Markham TS #4 Feeder Egress Part 3 | 14,795 |
| 100913 | Pole Line Installation Double Cct on Major Mack - Huntington Rd to Hwy 50 | 14,223 |
| 150360 | New build - Extend 44kV feeder Centre View Dr, Mississauga | 13,005 |
| 151233 | New Construction - Campbell TS 36M63 Feeder PHASE 1 & 2, Guelph | 11,851 |
| 150693 | Blockchain | 11,192 |
| 150342 | HaLRT_New Stirton Feeder for TPSS#4 and 8852X load shedding, Hamilton | 10,907 |
| 151465 | Cable Replacement - Mississauga Left Behind Cable | 10,857 |
| 102263 | Work Force Management / Mobile Dispatch | 9,762 |
| 151456 | Cable Injection Project - (V50) - Hwy 7 - Kipling - Steeles - Hwy 27, Vaughan | 9,188 |
| 150097 | Line Protections and HMI Upgrade - KDU-10 Replacement - Markham TS#2 | 8,506 |
| 150773 | New WiMAX Communications System - Central North | 8,000 |
| 150785 | New WiMAX Communications System - West | 7,655 |
| 151457 | Cable Injection Project - (V25) - Major Mackenzie - Keele - Rutherford - Jane, Vaughan | 7,410 |
| 150370 | New build - 27.6kV New Feeders Lakeview Development, Mississauga | 7,391 |
| 100924 | Install two additional 27.6 kV ccts on Hwy 7 from Jane St to Weston Rd | 7,256 |
| 150343 | Bathurst Street Widening | 6,905 |
| 151459 | Cable Injection Project - (V24) - Langstaff - Jane - Rutherford - Keele, Vaughan | 6,509 |
| 102128 | Aurora MS6 Expansion | 5,910 |
| 150351 | Voltage Conversion - Aberdeen MS, Hamilton | 5,675 |
| 151463 | Cable Injection Project - (F4-G4) - Main - Steeles - Chinguacousy - Queen, Brampton | 5,560 |
| 100909 | Rebuild 27.6 kV pole line for 4 Ccts on Warden Ave from Major Mack to Elgin Mills | 4,927 |
| 150716 | New build - 42M69 Feeder Extension Williams Pkwy - Main St to Kennedy Rd, Brampton | 4,866 |

| 150605 | Residential "ICON F" Meter Replacement - PowerStream RZ | 4,853 |
|--------|--|-------|
| 151464 | Cable Injection Project - (F3-G3-H3) - Phase 2, Brampton | 4,697 |
| 151461 | Cable Injection Project - (V51) - Langstaff - Kipling - Hwy 7 - Hwy 27, Vaughan | 4,537 |
| 151022 | New Three Sector WiMAX Node - MS305 | 4,525 |
| 150411 | 42M64 Feeder Extension Mississauga Rd, Williams Pkwy to Queen / Embleton | 4,471 |
| 150125 | Aurora MS6 (AMS6) Transformer and Bus Protection Upgrade | 4,156 |
| 150679 | Alectra Drive for the Workplace | 3,997 |
| 150320 | Voltage Conversion - Dewitt MS, Hamilton | 3,972 |
| 150358 | New build - QEW Dixie West New OH Circuits, Mississauga | 3,907 |
| 150367 | Mini-Orlando MS 27.6kV Land Purchase, Mississauga | 3,727 |
| 150043 | Rear Lot Renewal Project - East of Queen St. to Eastern Ave./North of Greenway St. | 3,714 |
| 150329 | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Main Street / Unionville / Carlton | 3,599 |
| 151200 | Alectra Single Platform Website ongoing | 3,548 |
| 150047 | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Royal Orchard - North | 3,478 |
| 101542 | New Barrie 20MVA Substation - Harvie | 3,447 |
| 151462 | Cable Injection Project - (G1) - Hwy 410 - Kennedy - Wanless - Main, Brampton | 3,438 |
| 150353 | Truscott Plaza - Additional capacity, Mississauga | 3,353 |
| 151458 | Cable Injection Project - (V31) - Langstaff - Weston - Rutherford - Jane, Vaughan | 3,280 |
| 150390 | New build - Waterdown 3rd Feeder, Hamilton | 3,248 |
| 150089 | Markham TS#3 T1/T2 "B" Differential Protections Upgrade | 2,935 |
| 100632 | 27.6 kV Pole Line on 14th Ave from Hwy 48 to 9th Line | 2,933 |
| 101036 | Install a new 4 ccts CNR yard overhead crossing on the south side of Hwy 7 | 2,919 |
| 150401 | 136M6 Goreway TS Extensions | 2,821 |
| 150666 | Facilities_John_Roof Deck – Rooftop Renovation | 2,610 |
| 150079 | Markham TS#1 T1/T2 "B" Overcurrent Protections and HMI Upgrade | 2,596 |
| 150374 | New build - 13.8kV Feeder Extension 9th Line, Derry to Argentia, Mississauga | 2,571 |
| 150332 | Non-Wires Alternative Pilot | 2,537 |
| 150095 | Vaughan TS#1 T1/T2 "B" Differential Protections Upgrade | 2,478 |
| 150084 | Markham TS#2 T1/T2 "B" Differential Protections Upgrade | 2,270 |
| 150321 | Voltage Conversion - Galbraith MS, Hamilton | 2,140 |
| 150096 | Vaughan TS#2 T1/T2 "B" Differential Protections Upgrade | 2,074 |
| 150694 | Cityview microgrid enhancements | 1,985 |
| 102547 | Two Ccts on Birchmount Rd from ROW to 14th Ave | 1,969 |

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities 2020 EDR Application Responses to Oral Hearing Undertakings Delivered: October 23, 2019 Page 4 of 7

| 100904 | Install Double Cct Pole Line on Major Mackenzie - Hwy 27 to Huntington Rd | 1,874 |
|--------|---|-------|
| 150680 | Alectra Drive at Home | 1,731 |
| 150378 | Rear Lot Renewal Project - East of Queen Street/North of Mill Street | 1,699 |
| 151139 | Voltage Conversion - MS-12 Hansen Rd, Brampton | 1,599 |
| 150398 | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Strathcona Dr | 1,537 |
| 150368 | New build - North Central feeders capacity (Carlton TS to Linwell Rd/Lake St) relief, St.Catharines | 1,481 |
| 150410 | 42M66 OH Feeder Egress Mississauga Rd, Bovaird to CNR | 1,458 |
| 150362 | Voltage Conversion - Dufferin St S, between MS431 and Albert St S, Alliston | 1,328 |
| 150330 | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Marsdale, St.Catharines | 1,284 |
| 150355 | Voltage Conversion - Elmwood MS, Hamilton | 1,275 |
| 151138 | Voltage Conversion - MS-2 Church St, Brampton | 1,255 |
| 150422 | 136M9 Feeder Extension Castlemore Rd, Goreway Dr to McVean Dr | 1,233 |
| 150323 | Station Switchgear Replacement - Bloor MS38 LV1 | 1,228 |
| 150044 | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Blake/Kempenfelt | 1,144 |
| 150254 | Cable Replacement Project - (A02) - Steeplechase Ave, Aurora | 1,133 |
| 150758 | Facilities_Reno_Staff Relocation from Jane St | 1,060 |
| 100919 | Install 2nd 27.6 kV Cct on Woodbine Ave from Elgin Mills Rd to 19th Ave | 1,028 |
| 150354 | Voltage Conversion - Eastmount MS, Hamilton | 1,022 |
| 150257 | Cable Replacement Project - (V15) - Jardin Dr, Vaughan | 1,004 |
| 150380 | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Gunn/Oakley Park/St.Vincent | 967 |
| 150361 | Airport 88M5 & 88M7 HONI Purchase | 905 |
| 150317 | Voltage Conversion - Deerhurst MS, Hamilton | 869 |
| 150262 | Cable Replacement Project - (M33) - 16th Avenue and Village Parkway, Markham | 835 |
| 151469 | Cable Replacement Project - (F4-G4) - Main - Steeles - Chinguacousy - Queen, Brampton | 804 |
| 151466 | Cable Replacement Project - (V24) - Langstaff - Jane - Rutherford - Keele, Vaughan | 800 |
| 151468 | Cable Replacement Project - (V51) - Langstaff - Kipling - Hwy 7 - Hwy 27, Vaughan | 799 |
| 150979 | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Extended Vans | 738 |
| 151072 | Station Service Transfer Upgrade - Vaughan TS#3 | 726 |
| 151178 | Cable Replacement Project - Mason Heights | 716 |
| 151467 | Cable Replacement Project - (V17) - Langstaff - Keele - Rutherford - Dufferin, Vaughan | 703 |
| 150377 | Voltage Conversion and Rear Lot - Montgomery Dr, Hamilton | 661 |
| 150962 | Fleet East Unit # 61 Digger truck replacement | 644 |

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities 2020 EDR Application Responses to Oral Hearing Undertakings Delivered: October 23, 2019 Page 5 of 7

| 150818 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-236-10 S/bucket | 635 |
|--------|--|-----|
| 150980 | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Work Van | 622 |
| 150942 | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_S/Bucket | 621 |
| 150807 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-209-09 S/bucket | 621 |
| 150945 | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_Reel Carriers | 614 |
| 150803 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- Material Handler | 606 |
| 150896 | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement S/Bucket 8910 | 596 |
| 150871 | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement-Step Van 8108 | 595 |
| 150846 | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Step Vans | 589 |
| 150967 | Fleet East Unit # 125, 83' Double Bucket | 580 |
| 150978 | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Cube Vans | 580 |
| 150876 | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_ Step Vans 6310 | 568 |
| 150953 | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_Trailer 11510 | 566 |
| 150793 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-210-09 S/bucket | 560 |
| 151209 | Station LED Lighting Upgrades - South West | 556 |
| 151128 | MS Transformer & HV Switchgear Replacement - Western MS36 T1 & HV1 | 554 |
| 151150 | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - SUV | 550 |
| 150968 | Fleet East Vehicle replacement Pickup truck 2500 | 549 |
| 150938 | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_Stake Trucks | 539 |
| 151085 | Rear Lot Conversions | 531 |
| 150975 | Fleet East Unit # 75 83' Double Bucket | 513 |
| 151179 | Cable Replacement Project - Area of Erin Mills Parkway and South Millway | 482 |
| 150810 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Step Vans | 462 |
| 150798 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- Arrowboard | 450 |
| 150782 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Step Van | 439 |
| 150811 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Pick ups | 434 |
| 151141 | Cable Replacement and Transformers replacement - Project - Windjammer, Mississauga | 432 |
| 150576 | Split the 1/0 loop on Cityview Blvd into two loops | 423 |
| 150607 | Station LED Lighting Upgrades - Central | 408 |
| 150606 | Station LED Lighting Upgrades - EAST | 408 |
| 151013 | Fleet_West_Vehicle_Replacement_Bucket Truck_1-354 | 407 |
| 151158 | Fleet_Central South_Vehicle Replacement -Vans | 407 |
| 151132 | MS Transformer & HV Switchgear Replacement - Munden MS35 T1 & HV1 | 406 |
| 150786 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-SUV | 403 |
| 150868 | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement-180 Loader | 400 |
| 151168 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Step Vans | 395 |

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities 2020 EDR Application Responses to Oral Hearing Undertakings Delivered: October 23, 2019 Page 6 of 7

| 150399 | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Richlieu Dr and Trelawne Dr, St.Catharines | 393 |
|--------|---|-----|
| 151212 | Driveway Paving Multi-year initiative - South West | 391 |
| 150138 | Cable Replacement Project – (BA23-BA24) - Cook St and Steel St, Barrie | 389 |
| 150920 | Fleet East Vehicle addition - Van pool van | 387 |
| 150831 | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_SUVs_1-268,1-226,1-227 | 376 |
| 151007 | Fleet_West_Vehicle_Replacement_Trailers | 367 |
| 150608 | Station LED Lighting Upgrades - West | 359 |
| 150356 | Voltage Conversion - Clarkson Area, Mississauga | 359 |
| 150951 | Fleet East Vehicle addition - Van pool van | 345 |
| 150854 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Trailers | 330 |
| 150813 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-SUV | 330 |
| 150797 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- SUV | 326 |
| 150873 | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_Vans | 308 |
| 150884 | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement Pick up 9514 | 299 |
| 150610 | Driveway Paving - Various Stations - Multi-year initiative - Central | 290 |
| 150609 | Driveway Paving - Various Stations - Multi-year initiative - East | 290 |
| 150612 | Driveway Paving - Various Stations - Multi-year initiative - West | 290 |
| 150870 | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement-Van 5910 | 289 |
| 150800 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- trailer | 288 |
| 150796 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- Vans | 286 |
| 151166 | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement pick ups | 245 |
| 150812 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Vans | 240 |
| 151167 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Pick ups | 237 |
| 150897 | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement pick ups | 234 |
| 150421 | 2D7X Pimlico Dr - Voltage Conversion and Rear Lot | 234 |
| 150853 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Vans | 228 |
| 151155 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Pick ups | 227 |
| 150843 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Bocat | 223 |
| 150888 | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement SUVs | 223 |
| 100319 | Radial Supply Remediation/Conversion - 13.8 kV to 27.6 kV on Miller Ave | 208 |
| 150891 | Fleet_ Central North Vehicle Replacement Car | 208 |
| 151145 | Cable Replacement Project - Bough Beeches Blvd. | 197 |
| 150394 | King St. Voltage Conversion & Loop (LRT Betterment) | 192 |
| 150787 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- Van | 183 |
| 150944 | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_Trailer | 182 |

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities 2020 EDR Application Responses to Oral Hearing Undertakings Delivered: October 23, 2019 Page 7 of 7

| 150512 | Installation of SWI Video security system at 4 MS stations per year - Annual Multi-year initiative-CENTRAL | 164 |
|--------|--|-----|
| 150511 | Installation of SWI Video security system at 4 MS stations per year - Annual Multi-year initiative-WEST | 164 |
| 150519 | Upgrade to Station Facilities (Building / Civil work) MultiYear - East | 156 |
| 151245 | Capacitor Bank Installations | 135 |
| 150235 | Greenwood Expansion Station Service Supply Backup | 130 |
| 150582 | Back-end Automation (Orchestration Tool\Setup) | 128 |
| 151143 | Cable Replacement and Transformers Replacement -Project - Shelter Bay Rd. Mississauga | 112 |
| 150821 | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Van | 111 |
| 101487 | Add one Additional 27.6 kV Cct on Major Mack Dr and 9th Line | 108 |
| 150255 | Cable Replacement Project - (B23) - Cundles Rd and Janine St, Barrie | 99 |
| 150464 | Fieldworker Upgrade - IT/OT Infrastructure | 91 |
| 150958 | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Forklift | 91 |
| 101393 | Redundant Fibre Path to Aurora MS#4 Sub-Station | 90 |
| 151016 | Fleet_West_Vehicle_Replacement_Pickups | 56 |
| 151018 | Fleet_West_Vehicle_Replacement_Trailer | 50 |
| 150747 | Net Zero Energy Emissions | 35 |
| 150878 | Bus & Main Breaker Protections Replacement - Jim Yarrow TS | 22 |
| 151160 | Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_ Pole Trailer_1-405 | 15 |
| 150547 | Business Support | 8 |
| 151029 | Fleet_West_Vehicle_Replacement_Pickups | 1 |

1

J2.5B

Reference:

To show the presentation deck regarding a meeting held with the Ministry of Energy.

Response:

1 The PowerPoint presentation is provided as Attachment 1 to this undertaking.

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities 2020 EDR Application Responses to Oral Hearing Undertakings Delivered: October 23, 2019

J2.5B

ATTACHMENT 1 – Presentation to Ministry of Energy July 12, 2019



Meeting with ADM Carolyn Calwell on Alectra's 2020 Electricity Distribution Rates Application

Indy Butany-DeSouza, MBA, VP Regulatory Affairs Natalie Yeates, Director, Regulatory Affairs and Reporting

Overview: A New Approach to Post-Merger Rate-Setting

• In its 2020 Electricity Distribution Rate ("EDR") application, Alectra Utilities is attempting to address an important issue resulting from its first two years of post-merger rate setting:

The lack of sufficient, stable funding for critical capital investments

- OEB decisions on Alectra Utilities' past two rate applications have restricted capital funding, resulting in deteriorating customer reliability and increasing constraints on our ability to connect customers
- Alectra Utilities' 2020 rate application proposes a new approach to capital funding, without which Alectra Utilities will not be able to meet customer needs and priorities (as assessed through extensive customer engagement)
- This approach is also consistent with the government's Red Tape Reduction and OEB Modernization goals: our five year capital funding application results in cost savings and rate certainty for customers (detailed on slide 7)



Failure of wood pole on October 15, 2017



- The Alectra merger transaction and related business case were carried out in the context of stated government policies and based on specific OEB policies and guidelines
- Shareholders relied on two elements of OEB policy in particular:
 - 1. The availability of a rebasing deferral period of ten years, during which the economic consequences of the transaction (good and bad) are for the account of shareholders
 - 2. A mechanism for adjusting rates to reflect incremental capital investments during the rebasing deferral period
- These policies gave consolidating LDCs a system to fund necessary capital investments, while maintaining a rebasing deferral period that would be long enough to allow utilities to recover transition and transaction costs
- Consolidation is not economically viable without these policies, the interpretation of which must be consistent and predictable

Together, these policies were, and remain, critical to the utility's financial viability and its₃ability to serve its customers in the years following the merger alectra

- The OEB's implementation of those policies in Alectra's 2018 and 2019 EDR applications has fallen short of expectations
- In 2017, Alectra Utilities filed its first EDR application (for 2018 rates), which included incremental capital funding ("Incremental Capital Mechanism" or "ICM") for the Brampton, Enersource and PowerStream rate zones. In its decision, the OEB imposed greater restrictions on capital funding and also effectively changed the way that post-merger accounting policy changes would be treated
- Separate from the decision, the OEB has developed new requirements that imposed costs on the utility. The collective impact of these factors is significant:

| Impact of Decisions & Policy Changes | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | Total | 2023 |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| Total ICM Impact | \$ (2.1) | \$ (3.4) | \$ (7.3) | \$ (9.8) | \$ (11.3) | \$ (33.9) | N/A |
| Accounting Policy Impact | \$ (0.8) | \$ (2.3) | \$ (4.5) | \$ (4.2) | \$ (3.8) | \$ (15.6) | N/A |
| Total Rate Decision Impact | \$ (2.9) | \$ (5.7) | \$ (11.8) | \$ (14.0) | \$ (15.1) | \$ (49.5) | N/A |
| Customer Service Rules Impact | \$ (3.2) | \$ (3.2) | \$ (3.2) | \$ (3.2) | \$ (3.2) | \$ (16.0) | \$ (3.2) |
| Total Impact | \$ (6.1) | \$ (8.9) | \$ (15.0) | \$ (17.2) | \$ (18.3) | \$ (65.5) | N/A |



Disconnect Between Planning and Funding for Capital Investment

- The OEB's policies have, until now, been limited to addressing incremental capital requirements on a year by year basis (as part of IR applications)
- However, in the post-MAADs period, Alectra is required to file a five year Distribution System Plan ("DSP") which includes its five year capital requirements
- It is therefore reasonable to conclude that the OEB should fund incremental capital investments on the basis of the DSP that it requires of LDCs

There is a disconnect between:

- 1. The detailed work undertaken by Alectra to plan our capital investments, including:
 - Preparing and filing a DSP, which sets out the capital program for five years and the funding that is required to support it;
 - Ensuring customer engagement and support for the capital program, including integrating customer priorities and preferences; and
- 2. Being able to concurrently file for (and receive) the financial support for that capital program for the next five years for a consolidated distributor on a rebasing deferral

Alectra recognizes that MAADs policy is a work in progress; this rate application is a good opportunity to address an identified misalignment and to enhance policy

Alectra's Proposal: A New Capital Funding Rate Rider

- Alectra is proposing a new approach to capital funding during the rebasing deferral period: a capital funding rate rider for each of the five years covered by our DSP (2020-2024), across all of our rate zones.
- Although Alectra is the first distributor that consolidated under the terms of the 2015 OEB MAADs policy to file a DSP, our proposal falls within existing rules and codes
- Alectra will demonstrate that ensuring capital funding is available to fund all of the work required for the 2020-2024 period is critical to meeting customers' needs and expectations over the next five years
- Alectra's proposed model is consistent with the government's Bill 87 goals, and would go a long way to reducing red tape in the energy sector



Alectra's Proposal: A New Capital Funding Rate Rider

• This process, if approved, could be used as a model for Ontario's other consolidating utilities, with associated savings extended to all their customers

| | Current Process, per Application | Proposed Process per Application | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Time to Adjudicate | 10-12 months | 6-8 months | | | | |
| Intervenor Time | 500-700 hours | 200-300 hours | | | | |
| Pages | 2000-3000 pages | 900-1100 pages | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Intervenor Costs | \$175K-\$250K | \$70K-100K | | | | |
| Legal & Consultant Costs | \$500K-\$1.00M | <\$100K | | | | |
| Total Costs | \$675K-\$1.25M | \$70K-\$200K | | | | |
| Regulatory costs 2021-2024 | \$2.7M-\$5.0M | \$280K-\$800K | | | | |

- The proposed process, which results in significant cost savings for customers, is contingent on the five years of capital funding in the 2020 EDR Application being approved
- Total potential savings over five years of \$2.42M-\$4.2M



- The addition the proposed capital funding rate riders from 2020 to 2024 would have fairly limited impact on customers' bills
- Average annual impact of the rate rider over the five-year period depends on rate zone
 - Residential customers: 0.09% to 0.28% total bill increase
 - Mississauga: \$0.19 increase per month
 - Brampton: \$0.18 increase per month
 - Hamilton & St. Catharines: \$0.20 increase per month
 - York and Simcoe: \$0.30 increase per month
 - Guelph: \$0.10 increase per month
 - Small business (General Service <50kW): 0.06%-0.23% total bill increase
 - Large use: 0.01%-0.06% total bill increase



2020-2024 Distribution System Plan (DSP) Consequences

Failing to invest at the level set out in this DSP will have serious, long-term negative consequences for Alectra Utilities and for the service quality experienced by its customers.





Impact on Alectra

- Deferral of specific capital investments across our service territory
- Reductions in service reliability and potential safety hazards from deteriorating equipment
- Revenue uncertainty and budget pressure on our municipalities, who count on funds to
 offset property taxes and provide programs and services to residents

Impact on sector

- OEB decision on Alectra's 2018 EDR application sent a negative signal to the utility sector
- If consolidation does not result in both recovery of transaction cost/shareholder incentives (via rebasing deferral) and allowance for necessary capital investments, outlook for further M&A activity is poor
- Utilities must be confident that:
 - the 10 year rebasing deferral period is real, and
 - adequate incremental capital funding will be available
- Customer savings that are a key part of the consolidation business case will not be realized

alor

J2.6

Reference:

With reference to G-Staff-4 and CCC-9, to map the projects to the list of projects provided in the interrogatories; to identify the ones included in base that are not part of the M-factor list.

Response:

- 1 Alectra Utilities' planned Distributed Energy Resource ("DER") related capital investments that
- 2 are included in the Distribution System Plan ("DSP") and proposed for funding through or base
- 3 rates or the M-factor mechanism are provided in Table 1, below.

4

5 **Table 1 – Planned DER-related Capital Investments in DSP**

| Project | | | |
|---------|---|------|----------|
| Code | Project Name | \$MM | Funding |
| 150679 | Alectra Drive for Workplace | 0.8 | M-factor |
| 150680 | Alectra Drive at Home | 2.7 | M-factor |
| 150693 | Blockchain (Smart DER Platform) | 2.4 | M-factor |
| 150747 | Net Zero Energy Emissions (DER Control Platform) | 1.6 | M-factor |
| 150694 | Cityview Microgrid Enhancement | 0.1 | M-factor |
| 150332 | Residential Solar Storage (Non-Wires Alternative Pilot) | 4.0 | M-factor |
| 150681 | Data Analytics | 0.7 | Base |
| | Total | 12.4 | |

6

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities 2020 EDR Application Responses to Oral Hearing Undertakings Delivered: October 23, 2019 Page 1 of 1

J2.7

Reference:

To provide the transcript reference to Mr. Shepherd's remarks on costs.

Response:

The reference is included in the Technical Conference Transcript, Day 2, line 22, p.21. An
 extract of the reference is provided, below.

3

4

7 MR. BASILIO: We don't have a specific plan to bring them below inflation. 8 9 MR. SHEPHERD: Thank you. 10 MR. BASILIO: We do have plans, though, to operate our utility as cost-efficiently and effectively as possible, 11 12 while providing a high level of our service to our 13 customers. Those are the objectives at the end of the day. 14 MR. SHEPHERD: No. From the customer's point of view, 15 actually, it is to keep their rates down. 16 MR. BASILIO: I think it is also, though, Mr. Shepherd, to ensure a high level of customer service and 17 18 reliability, and I think that is what we have seen in our evidence with respect to our customer consultations, 19 including support for some of these incremental investments 20 21 that support reliability. MR. SHEPHERD: Well, my clients don't. Thank you. 22

J3.1

Reference:

To provide a calculation of the impact on the threshold calculation using the five-year historical average.

Response:

1 OEB Staff submitted a compendium on October 17, 2019, which included at Tab 2, Historical

2 OEB-issued Input Price Indices ("IPI") for Inflation for Price Cap rate adjustments. OEB Staff

3 calculated a compound average growth rate of IPIs from 2007 to 2019 of 1.74%.

4

5 Alectra Utilities submits that the use of a five-year historical average growth rate of 1.66% is 6 consistent with the presentation of five years of historical information in a Distribution System 7 Plan ("DSP"). As provided in the OEB's Filing Requirements for Electricity Distribution Rate 8 Applications - Chapter 5 Consolidated Distribution System Plan Filing Requirements (the 9 "Chapter 5 Filing Requirements"), the "DSP's duration is a minimum of ten years in total, 10 comprising of an historical period and a forecast period. The historical period is the first five 11 years of the DSP duration, consisting of five historical years, ending with the Bridge year. The 12 forecast period is the last five years of the DSP duration, consisting of five forecast years, 13 beginning with the Test year." Alectra Utilities' calculation of the five-year historical average is 14 provided in Attachment 1.

15

The impact to the threshold calculation using a five-year historical average is provided in Table 1, below. The threshold calculation was also updated for changes to the Guelph RZ, Horizon Utilities RZ and PowerStream RZ ICM Models as identified in response to J2.1 and J3.2. A summary of the threshold calculation is provided in Attachment 2.

| | | | | 12-year IPI | 5-year IPI |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Eligible Incremental Capital | As Filed Infl. of 1.5% | G-Staff-8 Infl. of 1.5% | J2.1 and J3.2 Infl. of 1.5% | J2.1 and J3.2 Infl. of 1.74% | J2.1 and J3.2 Infl. of 1.66% |
| 2020 - 2024 DSP Capital Forecast | \$1,456.5 | \$1,456.5 | \$1,456.5 | \$1,456.5 | \$1,456.5 |
| Less: Materiality Threshold | \$1,182.2 | \$1,182.0 | \$1,058.4 | \$1,100.1 | \$1,086.1 |
| Maximum M-factor Eligible Capital | \$274.3 | \$274.4 | \$398.1 | \$356.4 | \$370.4 |
| | | | | | |
| M-factor Proposed Capital | \$265.0 | \$265.0 | \$265.0 | \$265.0 | \$265.0 |
| Difference | (\$9.3) | (\$9.5) | (\$133.2) | (\$91.4) | (\$105.4) |

1 Table 1 – Impact of Inflation Factors on the Threshold Calculation (\$000s)

2 3

4 As identified in Table 1, above, the materiality threshold based on an inflation factor of 1.5% is \$1,058.4MM. The threshold value based on an inflation factor of 1.74% as calculated by OEB 5 6 Staff, is \$1,100.1MM. OEB Staff's inflation factor is a compound average growth rate over the 7 2007 to 2019 period. Alectra Utilities submits that it is not appropriate to use data over a 12-year 8 period which included an economic recession and subsequent recovery. Further the calculation 9 of the inflation factor has evolved over this period from a 1-Factor IPI to a 2-Factor IPI beginning 10 in 2014. Alectra Utilities submits that the use of a five-year historical average (2015 to 2019) of 11 1.66% is consistent with the DSP fling requirements and results in a threshold value of 12 \$1,086.1MM. The maximum eligible capital based on an inflation factor of 1.66% is \$370.4MM; 13 Alectra Utilities submits that its proposed M-factor projects of \$265MM is still within the 14 maximum eligible capital and does not propose any changes to the amount of capital relief 15 sought. Alectra Utilities proposes to update the threshold calculation to include an inflation factor of 1.66%. 16

J3.1

ATTACHMENT 1 – Historical IPI - Exhibit

Historical OEB-issued Input Price Indices (IPIs) for Inflation for Price Cap rate adjustments

| | Voor | | | GDP-IPI | | 2-Factor IPI | Index (Cumulative IPI | |
|------------------|------|----------|-----------------------|---------|--------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| | Tear | | 01-Jan 01-May Average | | 01-Jan | Inflation since 2006) | Source (includes hyperlink) | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 2019 EDR | | | | 1.50% | 125.2 | 2019 EDR > Updates |
| | 2018 | 2018 EDR | | | | 1.20% | 123.3 | 2018 EDR > Updates |
| | 2017 | 2017 EDR | | | | 1.90% | 121.9 | 2017 EDR > Updates |
| 4th Generation | 2016 | 2016 EDR | | | | 2.10% | 119.6 | 2016 EDR > Updates |
| IRM | 2015 | 2015 EDR | | | | 1.60% | 117.1 | 2015 EDR > Updates |
| | 2014 | | | | | | | EB-2010-0379, Report of the Board on Rate Setting Parameters and Benchmarking under |
| | | 2014 EDR | | | | 1.70% | 115.3 | the Renewed Regulatory Framework for Ontario's Electricity Distributors, p. 11 and |
| | | | | | | | | Appendix C, November 21, 2013, corrected December 4, 2013 |
| | 2013 | 2013 EDR | 2.20% | 1.60% | 1.90% | , | 113.4 | 2013 EDR > Updates |
| | 2012 | 2012 EDR | 1.70% | 2.00% | 1.85% | , | 111.2 | 2012 EDR > Updates |
| Jud and Jud | 2011 | 2011 EDR | | 1.30% | 1.30% | | 109.2 | 2011 EDR > Updates |
| 2110 and 310 | 2010 | 2010 EDR | | 1.30% | 1.30% | , | 107.8 | 2010 EDR > Updates |
| Generation IRIVI | 2009 | 2009 EDR | | 2.30% | 2.30% | | 106.4 | 2009 EDR > Updates |
| | 2008 | 2008 EDR | | 2.10% | 2.10% | , | 104.0 | 2008 EDR > Updates |
| | 2007 | 2007 EDR | | 1.90% | 1.90% | | 101.9 | 2007 EDR > Updates |
| 2006 EDR (COS) | 2006 | | 1.80% | | | | 100.0 | |



Notes:

Compound Average Growth Rate of IPIs 2014 to 2019 Compound Average Growth Rate of IPIs 2007 to 2019 (i.e., average annual IPI inflation from 2006 to 2019)

1) For 2nd Generation and 3rd Generation IRM, inflation under Price Cap IR was measured solely by the Implicit Price Index for Gross Domestic Product (Final Domestic Demand) (GDP-IPI)

2) From 2009 to 2010, there was an overlap between 2nd Generation and 3rd Generation IRM, due to staggered CoS rebasing of distribution rates for electricity distributors.

3) For 2012 and 2013, IPIs were issued for both January 1 and May 1, depending on the applied-for Effective Date for rates. As a number of utilities applied under both tranches, the January 1 and May 1 IPIs have been averaged as an approximate annual IPI for that year.

4) 4th Generation IRM is for 2014 and going forward. One IPI is announced by the OEB for rates effective on January 1 or later in the calendar year. A 2-factor IPI, which is a weighted average of labour (30%, measured by the annual percentage change in Average Weekly Earnings - Ontario - All Businesses except Unclassified, including Overtime) and non-labour (70%, GDP-IPI)

5) The Index starts at 100 in 2006. Each year's index value is measured by inflating the previous year's index value by the current year's IPI. The index thus shows the cumulative, multiplicative impact of IPI adjustments since 2006.

6) The growth rate is the geometric mean or compound growth rate from 2006 to 2019, similar to a compound average growth rate reported for bank interest rates or for growth in mutual fund values.

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities 2020 EDR Application Responses to Oral Hearing Undertakings Delivered: October 23, 2019

J3.1

ATTACHMENT 2 – Threshold Capital Expenditure Calculation Summary

Alectra 2020 EDR Application Threshold Calculation for M-factor

| Description | ERZ | BRZ | GRZ | PRZ | HRZ | ALECTRA |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Inflation | 1.66% | 1.66% | 1.66% | 1.66% | 1.66% | 1.66% |
| Less: Productivity Factor | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Less: Stretch Factor | 0.30% | 0.30% | 0.30% | 0.30% | 0.30% | 0.30% |
| Price Cap Index | 1.36% | 1.36% | 1.36% | 1.36% | 1.36% | 1.36% |
| | | | | | | |
| Growth Factor | -0.06% | 1.84% | -0.19% | 0.89% | 2.93% | |
| | | | | | | |
| Rebasing Year | 2013 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2019 | |
| # Years since rebasing | 7 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 | |
| Price Cap Index | 1.36% | 1.36% | 1.36% | 1.36% | 1.36% | |
| Growth Factor | -0.06% | 1.84% | -0.19% | 0.89% | 2.93% | |
| Dead Band | 10% | 10% | 10% | 10% | 10% | |
| Rate Base | \$610,456,833 | \$404,618,521 | \$151,391,730 | \$1,082,805,165 | \$555,697,950 | \$2,804,970,200 |
| Depreciation | \$28,721,695 | \$15,227,319 | \$6,295,624 | \$52,272,173 | \$22,664,822 | \$125,181,633 |
| | | | | | | |
| Threshold CAPEX | | | | | | |
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2020 | \$40,181,548 | \$31,586,347 | \$8,747,814 | \$83,061,342 | \$49,018,573 | \$212,595,624 |
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2021 | \$40,293,337 | \$32,065,416 | \$8,769,009 | \$83,638,435 | \$50,062,659 | \$214,828,856 |
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2022 | \$40,406,580 | \$32,559,954 | \$8,790,450 | \$84,228,558 | \$51,152,003 | \$217,137,544 |
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2023 | \$40,521,297 | \$33,070,460 | \$8,812,141 | \$84,832,003 | \$52,288,564 | \$219,524,466 |
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2024 | \$40,637,508 | \$33,597,451 | \$8,834,084 | \$85,449,071 | \$53,474,391 | \$221,992,506 |
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2020-2024 | \$202,040,271 | \$162,879,628 | \$43,953,499 | \$421,209,409 | \$255,996,191 | \$1,086,078,996 |

| | | | | 12-year IPI | 5-year IPI |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| Eligible Incremental Capital | As Filed | G-Staff-8 | J2.1 and J3.2 | J2.1 and J3.2 | J2.1 and J3.2 |
| Eligible incremental Capital | Infl. of 1.5% | Infl. of 1.5% | Infl. of 1.5% | Infl. of 1.74% | Infl. of 1.66% |
| 2020 - 2024 DSP Capital Forecast | \$1,456.5 | \$1,456.5 | \$1,456.5 | \$1,456.5 | \$1,456.5 |
| Less: Materiality Threshold | \$1,182.2 | \$1,182.0 | \$1,058.4 | \$1,100.1 | \$1,086.1 |
| Maximum M-factor Eligible Capital | \$274.3 | \$274.4 | \$398.1 | \$356.4 | \$370.4 |
| | | | | | |
| M-factor Proposed Capital | \$265.0 | \$265.0 | \$265.0 | \$265.0 | \$265.0 |
| Difference | (\$9.3) | (\$9.5) | (\$133.2) | (\$91.4) | (\$105.4) |

Capital Module Applicable to ACM and ICM Alectra Utilities Corporation - Enersource RZ

No Input Required.

Final Threshold Calculation

_ .

| old Value (%) = 1 + $\left[\left(\frac{RB}{d}\right) \times (g + PCI \times (1+g))\right] \times ((1+g) \times (g)$ | $(1 + PCI))^{n-1}$ | ¹ + 10 % | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| Cost of Service Rebasing Year | | 2013 | |
| Price Cap IR Year in which Application is made | | 7 | n |
| Price Cap Index | | 1.36% | PCI |
| Growth Factor Calculation | | | |
| Revenues Based on 2018 Actual Distribution Demand | | \$132,802,853 | |
| Revenues Based on 2013 Board-Approved Distribution Deman | d | \$133,185,702 | |
| Growth Factor | | -0.06% | g (Note 1 |
| Dead Band | | 10% | |
| Average Net Fixed Assets | | | |
| Gross Fixed Assets Opening | \$ | 541 300 088 | |
| Add: CWIP Opening | \$ | 4 371 726 | |
| Capital Additions | ŝ | 46 257 875 | |
| Capital Disposals | -\$ | 1 026 755 | |
| Capital Retirements | ŝ | - | |
| Deduct: CWIP Closing | -\$ | 4 371 726 | |
| Gross Fixed Assets - Closing | \$ | 586,531,208 | |
| Average Gross Fixed Assets | \$ | 563,915,648 | |
| Accumulated Depreciation - Opening | \$ | 45,750,490 | |
| Depreciation Expense | \$ | 28,721,695 | |
| Disposals | \$ | - | |
| Retirements | -\$ | 1,026,755 | |
| Accumulated Depreciation - Closing | \$ | 73,445,430 | |
| Average Accumulated Depreciation | \$ | 59,597,960 | |
| Average Net Fixed Assets | \$ | 504,317,688 | |
| Working Canital Allowance | | | |
| Working Capital Allowance Base | \$ | 786 215 891 | |
| Working Capital Allowance Rate | Ψ | 13.5% | |
| Working Capital Allowance | \$ | 106 139 145 | |
| Working Capital Allowance | Ψ | 100,133,143 | |
| Rate Base | \$ | 610,456,833 | RB |
| Depreciation | \$ | 28,721,695 | d |
| Threshold Value (varies by Price Cap IR Year subsequent to | o Co <u>S reba</u> s | sing) | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2014 | | 137.7% | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2015 | | 138.0% | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2016 | | 138.4% | |

| subsequent to coo rebas | ing) |
|-------------------------|--------|
| | 137.7% |
| | 138.0% |
| | 138.4% |
| | 138.8% |
| | 139.1% |
| | 139.5% |
| | 139.9% |
| | 140.3% |
| | 140.7% |
| | 141.1% |
| | 141.5% |

Threshold Value $\times d$

| Threshold CA |
|--------------|
|--------------|

Price Cap IR Year 2014 Price Cap IR Year 2015 Price Cap IR Year 2016 Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2019 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2021 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2023 Price Cap IR Year 2024

Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2019 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2021

Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2023 Price Cap IR Year 2024

| \$ 39,540,347 |
|------------------|
| \$ 39,643,789 |
| \$ 39,748,577 |
| \$ 39,854,729 |
| \$ 39,962,263 |
| \$ 40,071,197 |
| \$ 40,181,548 |
| \$ 40,293,337 |
| \$ 40,406,580 |
| \$ 40,521,297 |
| \$ 40,637,508 |

Ontario Energy Board

Capital Module Applicable to ACM and ICM Alectra Utilities Corporation - Brampton RZ

No Input Required.

Final Threshold Calculation

| Thresho | $ld Value (\%) = 1 + \left[\left(\frac{RB}{d} \right) \times (g + PCI \times (1+g)) \right] \times \left((1 + g) \right)$ | $+g) \times$ | $((1 + PCI))^{n-1} + 10\%$ | |
|---------|--|--------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| | Cost of Service Rebasing Year | | 2015 | |
| | Price Cap IR Year in which Application is made | | 5 | n |
| | Price Cap Index | | 1.36% | PCI |
| | Growth Factor Calculation | | | |
| | Revenues Based on 2018 Actual Distribution Demand | | \$77,519,160 | |
| | Revenues Based on 2015 Board-Approved Distributio | | \$73,455,693 | |
| | Growth Factor | | 1.84% | g (Note 1) |
| | Dead Band | | 10% | |
| | Average Net Fixed Assets | | | |
| | Gross Fixed Assets Opening | \$ | 627,821,483 | |
| | Add: CWIP Opening | \$ | - | |
| | Capital Additions | \$ | 32,518,047 | |
| | Capital Disposals | -\$ | 2,963,781 | |
| | Capital Retirements | \$ | - | |
| | Deduct: CWIP Closing | \$ | - | |
| | Gross Fixed Assets - Closing | \$ | 657,375,749 | |
| | Average Gross Fixed Assets | \$ | 642,598,616 | |
| | | • | | |
| | Accumulated Depreciation - Opening | \$ | 295,604,516 | |
| | Depreciation Expense | Э | 15,227,319 | |
| | Disposais | -\$ | 2,191,181 | |
| | Retirements | \$ | | |
| | Accumulated Depreciation - Closing | \$ | 308,640,654 | |
| | Average Accumulated Depreciation | \$ | 302,122,585 | |
| | Average Net Fixed Assets | \$ | 340,476,031 | |
| | Working Capital Allowance | | | |
| | Working Capital Allowance Base | \$ | 493.403.770 | |
| | Working Capital Allowance Rate | + | 13.0% | |
| | Working Capital Allowance | \$ | 64,142,490 | |
| | Rate Base | \$ | 404,618,521 | RB |
| | Depreciation | \$ | 15,227,319 | d |
| | Threshold Value (varies by Price Cap IR Year subse | quent | to CoS rebasing) | |
| | Price Cap IR Year 2016 | | 195.8% | |
| | Price Cap IR Year 2017 | | 198.6% | |
| | Price Cap IR Year 2018 | | 201.4% | |
| | Price Cap IR Year 2019 | | 204.4% | |
| | Price Cap IR Year 2020 | | 207.4% | |
| | Price Cap IR Year 2021 | | 210.6% | |
| | Price Cap IR Year 2022 | | 213.8% | |
| | Price Cap IR Year 2023 | | 217.2% | Threshold V |
| | Price Cap IR Year 2024 | | 220.6% | co.co.u v |
| | | | // | |

Threshold CAPEX

Price Cap IR Year 2016 Price Cap IR Year 2017 Price Cap IR Year 2018 Price Cap IR Year 2019 Price Cap IR Year 2020 Price Cap IR Year 2021 Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2023 Price Cap IR Year 2024

| \$ 29,815,311 |
|------------------|
| \$ 30,237,193 |
| \$ 30,672,697 |
| \$ 31,122,264 |
| \$ 31,586,347 |
| \$ 32,065,416 |
| \$ 32,559,954 |
| \$ 33,070,460 |
| \$ 33,597,451 |

d Value $\times d$

Capital Module

Applicable to ACM and ICM Alectra Utilities Corporation - Guelph RZ

No Input Required.

Final Threshold Calculation

| Cost of Service Rebasing Year | | 2016 | |
|--|----|------------------------------|--|
| Price Cap IR Year in which Application is made | | 4 | |
| Price Cap Index | | 1.36% | |
| Growth Factor Calculation | | | |
| Revenues Based on 2018 Actual Distribution Demand Revenues Based on 2016 Board-Approved Distribution Demand | | \$30,566,888 \$30,686,246 | |
| Growth Factor | | -0.19% | |
| Dead Band | | 10% | |
| Average Net Fixed Assets | | | |
| Gross Fixed Assets Opening | \$ | 163.625.735 | |
| Add: CWIP Opening | \$ | - | |
| Capital Additions | \$ | 11,363,000 | |
| Capital Disposals | \$ | - | |
| Capital Retirements | \$ | - | |
| Deduct: CWIP Closing | \$ | - | |
| Gross Fixed Assets - Closing | \$ | 174,988,735 | |
| Average Gross Fixed Assets | \$ | 169,307,235 | |
| Accumulated Depreciation - Opening | \$ | 32,529,814 | |
| Depreciation Expense | \$ | 6,295,624 | |
| Disposals | \$ | - | |
| Retirements | \$ | - | |
| Accumulated Depreciation - Closing | \$ | 38,825,438 | |
| Average Accumulated Depreciation | \$ | 35,677,626 | |
| Average Net Fixed Assets | \$ | 133.629.609 | |
| Average Net Fixed Assets | \$ | 133,629,609 | |
| Working Capital Allowance Base | \$ | 236,828,275 | |
| Working Capital Allowance Rate | Ŧ | 7.5% | |
| Working Capital Allowance | \$ | 17,762,121 | |
| | | | |
| Rate Base | \$ | 151,391,730 | |
| Depreciation | \$ | 6,295,624 | |

| Price Cap IR Year 2017 | 138.0% |
|------------------------|--------|
| Price Cap IR Year 2018 | 138.3% |
| Price Cap IR Year 2019 | 138.6% |
| Price Cap IR Year 2020 | 139.0% |
| Price Cap IR Year 2021 | 139.3% |
| Price Cap IR Year 2022 | 139.6% |
| Price Cap IR Year 2023 | 140.0% |
| Price Cap IR Year 2024 | 140.3% |

Threshold Value $\times d$

| Threshold CAPEX | |
|------------------------|-----------------|
| Price Cap IR Year 2017 | \$ 8,685,680 |
| Price Cap IR Year 2018 | \$ 8,706,153 |
| Price Cap IR Year 2019 | \$ 8,726,863 |
| Price Cap IR Year 2020 | \$ 8,747,814 |
| Price Cap IR Year 2021 | \$ 8,769,009 |
| Price Cap IR Year 2022 | \$ 8,790,450 |
| Price Cap IR Year 2023 | \$ 8,812,141 |
| Price Cap IR Year 2024 | \$ 8,834,084 |

Contario Energy Board

Capital Module

Applicable to ACM and ICM Alectra Utilities Corporation - PowerStream RZ

No Input Required.

Final Threshold Calculation

 $\textit{Threshold Value} (\%) = 1 + \left[\left(\frac{\textit{RB}}{\textit{d}} \right) \times (\textit{g} + \textit{PCI} \times (1 + \textit{g})) \right] \times \left((1 + \textit{g}) \times (1 + \textit{PCI}) \right)^{n-1} + 10\%$

| Cost of Service Rebasing Year | | 2017 | |
|---|----------|---------------|------------|
| Price Cap IR fear in which Application is made | | 3 | n |
| Price Cap Index | | 1.36% | PCI |
| Growth Factor Calculation | | | |
| Revenues Based on 2018 Actual Distribution Demand | | \$208,214,383 | |
| Revenues Based on 2017 Board-Approved Distribution Demand | | \$206,386,657 | |
| Growth Factor | | 0.89% | g (Note 1) |
| Dead Band | | 10% | |
| Average Net Fixed Assets | | | |
| Gross Fixed Assets Opening | \$ | 1,183,508,943 | |
| Add: CWIP Opening | \$ | 57,486,862 | |
| Capital Additions | \$ | 114,494,289 | |
| Capital Disposals | -\$ | 2,734,108 | |
| Capital Retirements | \$ | - | |
| Deduct: CWIP Closing | -\$ | 39,959,632 | |
| Gross Fixed Assets - Closing | \$ | 1,312,796,354 | |
| Average Gross Fixed Assets | \$ | 1,248,152,649 | |
| Accumulated Depreciation - Opening | \$ | 229,378,962 | |
| Depreciation Expense | \$ | 52,272,173 | |
| Disposals | -\$ | 717,703 | |
| Retirements | \$ | - | |
| Accumulated Depreciation - Closing | \$ | 280,933,432 | |
| Average Accumulated Depreciation | \$ | 255,156,197 | |
| Average Net Fixed Assets | \$ | 992,996,452 | |
| Working Canital Allowance | | | |
| Working Capital Allowance Base | \$ | 1 197 449 515 | |
| Working Capital Allowance Rate | Ψ | 7.5% | |
| Working Capital Allowance | \$ | 89,808,714 | |
| Rate Base | \$ | 1.082.805.165 | DR |
| | <u>+</u> | .,,,,, | ΛD |
| Depreciation | \$ | 52 272 173 | d |

Threshold Value (varies by Price Cap IR Year subsequent to CoS rebasing)

| Price Cap IR Year 2018 | 156.8% |
|------------------------|--------|
| Price Cap IR Year 2019 | 157.8% |
| Price Cap IR Year 2020 | 158.9% |
| Price Cap IR Year 2021 | 160.0% |
| Price Cap IR Year 2022 | 161.1% |
| Price Cap IR Year 2023 | 162.3% |
| Price Cap IR Year 2024 | 163.5% |

Threshold CAPEX

| Price Cap IR Year | 2018 |
|-------------------|------|
| Price Cap IR Year | 2019 |
| Price Cap IR Year | 2020 |
| Price Cap IR Year | 2021 |
| Price Cap IR Year | 2022 |
| Price Cap IR Year | 2023 |
| Price Cap IR Year | 2024 |
| | |

| \$ 81,945,096 |
|------------------|
| \$ 82,496,989 |
| \$ 83,061,342 |
| \$ 83,638,435 |
| \$ 84,228,558 |
| \$ 84,832,003 |
| \$ 85,449,071 |
| |

Threshold Value $\times d$

Contario Energy Board

Th

Capital Module

Applicable to ACM and ICM Alectra Utilities Corporation - Horizon RZ

No Input Required.

Final Threshold Calculation

| $ld Value (\%) = 1 + \left[\left(\frac{RB}{d} \right) \times (g + PCI \times (1+g)) \right] \times \left((1 + g) \right)$ | $(1 + g) \times (1 + P)$ | $(I))^{n-1} + 10\%$ | |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------|------------|
| Cost of Service Rebasing Year | | 2019 | |
| Price Cap IR Year in which Application is made | | 1 | |
| ····· ··· | | - | п |
| Price Cap Index | | 1.36% | PCI |
| Growth Factor Calculation | | | |
| Revenues Based on 2019 Board-Approved Distributior | Demand | \$118,939,797 | |
| Revenues Based on 2018 Actual Distribution Demand | | \$115,548,803 | |
| Growth Factor | | 2.93% | g (Note 1) |
| Dead Band | | 10% | |
| Average Net Fixed Assets | | | |
| Gross Fixed Assets Opening | \$ | 625,929,889 | |
| Add: CWIP Opening | \$ | 3,164,006 | |
| Capital Additions | \$ | 49,472,477 | |
| Capital Disposals | -\$ | 4,597,818 | |
| Capital Retirements | \$ | - | |
| Deduct: CWIP Closing | -\$ | 3,164,006 | |
| Gross Fixed Assets - Closing | \$ | 670,804,548 | |
| Average Gross Fixed Assets | \$ | 648,367,219 | |
| Accumulated Depreciation - Opening | \$ | 161,031,595 | |
| Depreciation Expense | \$ | 22,664,822 | |
| Disposals | -\$ | 1,426,748 | |
| Retirements | \$ | - | |
| Accumulated Depreciation - Closing | \$ | 182,269,669 | |
| Average Accumulated Depreciation | \$ | 171,650,632 | |
| Average Net Fixed Assets | \$ | 476,716,587 | |
| | | | |
| | ¢ | 050 470 000 | |
| Working Capital Allowance Base | Ф | 658,178,026 | |
| Working Capital Allowance Rate | ¢ | 79 091 262 | |
| working Capital Allowance | Φ | 70,901,303 | |
| Rate Base | \$ | 555,697,950 | RB |
| Depreciation | \$ | 22,664,822 | d |
| Threshold Value (varies by Price Cap IR Year subset | quent to CoS | rebasing) | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2020 | | 216.3% | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2021 | | 220.9% | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2022 | | 225.7% | |

Price Cap IR Year 2022 Price Cap IR Year 2023 230.7% 235.9% Price Cap IR Year 2024

Threshold Value $\times d$

| hreshold CAPEX | |
|------------------------|------------------|
| Price Cap IR Year 2020 | \$ 49,018,573 |
| Price Cap IR Year 2021 | \$ 50,062,659 |
| Price Cap IR Year 2022 | \$ 51,152,003 |
| Price Cap IR Year 2023 | \$ 52,288,564 |
| Price Cap IR Year 2024 | \$ 53,474,391 |

J3.2

Reference:

To redo Tables 1 and 2 with the accurate number.

Response:

1 Alectra Utilities has updated Tab 5 Revenue Requirement Check, in the ICM Model for the 2 Horizon Utilities RZ to correct the values presented for gross fixed assets – re-based opening, 3 re-based capital additions, accumulated depreciation - re-based opening and re-based 4 depreciation expense as approved in Horizon Utilities' Settlement Agreement. The 2018 Billing 5 Determinants for some classes were also updated in Tab 6 of the ICM Model to ensure the 6 inputs reconciled to the 2018 billing determinants in Horizon Utilities' 2020 Rate Generator 7 Model. The updated ICM Model for the Horizon Utilities RZ is provided as Attachment 1. Alectra 8 Utilities also identified that Tab 6 in the ICM for the PowerStream Rate Zone included 2016 9 actual billing determinants instead of 2017 Board-approved billing determinants. The updated 10 ICM Model for the PowerStream RZ is provided as Attachment 2.

11

Alectra Utilities has also updated Tables 1 and 2 prepared by OEB Staff which includes the inservice additions approved in each legacy utilities' last rebasing application. Tables 1a and 2a reflect the updated re-based additions number for the Horizon Utilities RZ. A comparison of Tables 1 and 2 before and after the update is presented below. These tables are also provided as Attachment 3.

17

18 Although Alectra Utilities has updated OEB Staff's table, as identified at the Oral Hearing 19 (Transcript Volume 3, October 18, 2019, p.127), this information does not represent the level of 20 capital funding included in base rates. Depreciation, additional revenue from growth and PCI 21 increases are a utility's means to fund capital additions between test years. The OEB's 22 materiality threshold calculation is the most appropriate method of determining the level of 23 capital funded in base rates as it considers each of the above factors – depreciation, growth and 24 PCI increases. The materiality threshold formula assumes that a distributor will shoulder funding 25 above and beyond depreciation, determined by the relationship between depreciation and rate 26 base, compounded by growth and IPI, and, an additional 10% dead band. Effectively the

threshold formula calculates the amount funded in rates, plus an amount that a utility shouldabsorb, before seeking additional funding though rate relief.

3

4 The OEB's approach to calculating the materiality threshold is also aligned with established 5 ratemaking principles. That approach was considered and refined in the OEB's January 22, 6 2016 Report of the OEB on New Policy Options for the Funding of Capital Investments: 7 Supplemental Report (EB-2014-0219). There, the OEB altered the materiality threshold formula 8 by adding elements that reflect the cumulative impact of growth and price cap adjustments over 9 time. The OEB recognized that the materiality threshold needed to change over time during 10 incentive rate terms, and during the period between rebasing applications for consolidated 11 utilities.

12

The update to the in-service additions in Table 1 results in a difference of \$9,382 compared to the difference previously calculated by OEB Staff; the update to Table 2 results in a difference of \$10,283 compared to the difference previously calculated by OEB Staff.

16 Table 1 - Last Rebasing Forecasted In-service Additions of Alectra Utilities' Predecessor

17 LDCs Adjusted for Inflation (\$000s)

| | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
|------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Inflation - 0.3% | | 1.40% | 1.30% | 1.80% | 1.60% | 0.90% | 1.20% | 1.40% | 1.40% | 1.40% | 1.40% | 1.40% |
| Horizon | | | | | | | 51,272 | 51,990 | 52,718 | 53,456 | 54,204 | 54,963 |
| HOBNI | | | 32,518 | 33,103 | 33,633 | 33,936 | 34,343 | 34,824 | 35,311 | 35,806 | 36,307 | 36,815 |
| PowerStream | | | | | 114,494 | 115,524 | 116,911 | 118,547 | 120,207 | 121,890 | 123,597 | 125,327 |
| Enersource | 46,258 | 46,906 | 47,515 | 48,371 | 49,145 | 49,587 | 50,182 | 50,884 | 51,597 | 52,319 | 53,052 | 53,794 |
| Guelph | | | | 11,363 | 11,545 | 11,649 | 11,788 | 11,954 | 12,121 | 12,291 | 12,463 | 12,637 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | 264,496 | 268,199 | 271,954 | 275,761 | 279,622 | 283,537 |

2020-2024 Total: 1,379,072 Alectra 2020-2024 DSP Capital Forecast per Application: 1,456,500

Difference: -77,428

18

1 Table 1a - Last Rebasing Forecasted In-service Additions of Alectra Utilities' Predecessor

| | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
|------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Inflation - 0.3% | | 1.40% | 1.30% | 1.80% | 1.60% | 0.90% | 1.20% | 1.40% | 1.40% | 1.40% | 1.40% | 1.40% |
| Horizon | | | | | | | 49,472 | 50,165 | 50,867 | 51,580 | 52,302 | 53,034 |
| HOBNI | | | 32,518 | 33,103 | 33,633 | 33,936 | 34,343 | 34,824 | 35,311 | 35,806 | 36,307 | 36,815 |
| PowerStream | | | | | 114,494 | 115,524 | 116,911 | 118,547 | 120,207 | 121,890 | 123,597 | 125,327 |
| Enersource | 46,258 | 46,906 | 47,515 | 48,371 | 49,145 | 49,587 | 50,182 | 50,884 | 51,597 | 52,319 | 53,052 | 53,794 |
| Guelph | | | | 11,363 | 11,545 | 11,649 | 11,788 | 11,954 | 12,121 | 12,291 | 12,463 | 12,637 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | 262,697 | 266,374 | 270,104 | 273,885 | 277,719 | 281,607 |

2 LDCs Adjusted for Inflation [Alectra Update] (\$000s)

2020-2024 Total: 1,369,690

Alectra 2020-2024 DSP Capital Forecast per Application: 1,456,500

Difference: -86,810

3 4

5 Table 2 - Last Rebasing Forecasted In-service Additions of Alectra Utilities' Predecessor

6 LDCs Adjusted for Inflation and Growth (\$000s)

| | Growth Factors | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
|------------------|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Inflation - 0.3% | | | 1.40% | 1.30% | 1.80% | 1.60% | 0.90% | 1.20% | 1.40% | 1.40% | 1.40% | 1.40% | 1.40% |
| Horizon | 3.04% | | | | | | | 51,272 | 53,570 | 55,972 | 58,481 | 61,102 | 63,841 |
| HOBNI | 1.84% | | | 32,518 | 33,712 | 34,882 | 35,844 | 36,941 | 38,148 | 39,393 | 40,680 | 42,008 | 43,380 |
| PowerStream | 2.31% | | | | | 114,494 | 118,193 | 122,374 | 126,954 | 131,705 | 136,634 | 141,747 | 147,052 |
| Enersource | -0.06% | 46,258 | 46,877 | 47,458 | 48,284 | 49,027 | 49,438 | 50,002 | 50,671 | 51,350 | 52,037 | 52,734 | 53,440 |
| Guelph | 1.60% | | | | 11,363 | 11,730 | 12,024 | 12,363 | 12,737 | 13,122 | 13,519 | 13,927 | 14,348 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | 272,953 | 282,080 | 291,542 | 301,350 | 311,519 | 322,062 |

2020-2024 Total: 1,508,554

52,054

Difference:

Alectra 2020-2024 DSP Capital Forecast per Application: 1,456,500

7

8 Table 2a - Last Rebasing Forecasted In-service Additions of Alectra Utilities' Predecessor

9 LDCs Adjusted for Inflation and Growth [Alectra Update] (\$000s)

| | Growth Factors | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
|------------------|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Inflation - 0.3% | | | 1.40% | 1.30% | 1.80% | 1.60% | 0.90% | 1.20% | 1.40% | 1.40% | 1.40% | 1.40% | 1.40% |
| Horizon | 3.04% | | | | | | | 49,472 | 51,690 | 54,007 | 56,428 | 58,957 | 61,600 |
| HOBNI | 1.84% | | | 32,518 | 33,712 | 34,882 | 35,844 | 36,941 | 38,148 | 39,393 | 40,680 | 42,008 | 43,380 |
| PowerStream | 2.31% | | | | | 114,494 | 118,193 | 122,374 | 126,954 | 131,705 | 136,634 | 141,747 | 147,052 |
| Enersource | -0.06% | 46,258 | 46,877 | 47,458 | 48,284 | 49,027 | 49,438 | 50,002 | 50,671 | 51,350 | 52,037 | 52,734 | 53,440 |
| Guelph | 1.60% | | | | 11,363 | 11,730 | 12,024 | 12,363 | 12,737 | 13,122 | 13,519 | 13,927 | 14,348 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | 271,153 | 280,200 | 289,577 | 299,298 | 309,375 | 319,821 |

2020-2024 Total: 1,498,271

Alectra 2020-2024 DSP Capital Forecast per Application: 1,456,500

Difference: 41,771

10

11 The update to the depreciation expense value and 2018 Billing Determinants result in a change

12 to the calculation of the threshold. Table 3 below, summarizes the change to the threshold

13 values over the 2020 to 2024 period based on an inflation factor of 1.5%.

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities 2020 EDR Application Responses to Oral Hearing Undertakings Delivered: October 23, 2019 Page 4 of 4

1

2 Table 3 – HRZ Threshold Value Summary

| Threshold Summary | HRZ As Filed | HRZ J3.2 | Change |
|---|-----------------|-------------|----------|
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2020 | \$50.0 | \$48.1 | (\$1.9) |
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2021 | \$51.1 | \$49.1 | (\$2.0) |
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2022 | \$52.1 | \$50.1 | (\$2.1) |
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2023 | \$53.2 | \$51.1 | (\$2.1) |
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2024 | \$54.4 | \$52.2 | (\$2.2) |
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2020-2024 | \$260.9 | \$250.6 | (\$10.3) |

3

4 The update to the billing determinants in Tab 6 of the ICM Model for the PowerStream RZ

5 results in a change to the growth factor and threshold calculation. Table 4 below, summarizes

6 the change to the threshold values over the 2020 to 2024 period based on an inflation factor of

7 1.5%.

8 Table 4 – PRZ Threshold Value Summary

| Threshold Summary | PRZ As Filed | HRZ J3.2 | Change |
|---|-----------------|-------------|----------|
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2020 | \$98.5 | \$81.2 | (\$17.4) |
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2021 | \$100.0 | \$81.7 | (\$18.3) |
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2022 | \$101.5 | \$82.2 | (\$19.3) |
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2023 | \$103.0 | \$82.7 | (\$20.4) |
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2024 | \$104.7 | \$83.2 | (\$21.4) |
| Threshold Capital Expenditure 2020-2024 | \$507.7 | \$410.9 | (\$96.8) |

9
EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities 2020 EDR Application Responses to Oral Hearing Undertakings Delivered: October 23, 2019

J3.2

ATTACHMENT 1 – G-Staff-8 2020 ACM ICM Model – HRZ - Revised

Capital Module Applicable to ACM and ICM

Note: Depending on the selections made below, certain worksheets in this workbook will be hidden.

Version 5.00

| Utility Name | Alectra Utilities Corporation-Horizon Utilities Rate Zone | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|------|
| Assigned EB Number | | | |
| Name of Contact and Title | | | |
| Phone Number | | | |
| Email Address | | | |
| Is this Capital Module being filed in a CoS or Price-Cap IR Application? | Price-Cap IR | Rate Year | 2020 |
| Indicate the Price-Cap IR Year (1, 2, 3, 4, etc) in which Alectra Utilities Corporation-Horizon Utilities Rate Zone is applying: | 1 | Next OEB Scheduled Rebasing Year | 2027 |
| Alectra Utilities Corporation-Horizon Utilities Rate Zone is applying for: | ICM Approval | | |
| Last Rebasing Year: | 2019 | | |
| The most recent complete year for which actual billing and load data exists | 2018 | | |
| Current IPI | 1.50% | | |
| Strech Factor Assigned to Middle Cohort* | III | | |
| Stretch Factor Value | 0.30% | | |
| Price Cap Index | 1.20% | | |
| Based on the inputs above, the growth factor utilized in the Materiality Threshold Calculation will be determined by: | Revenues Based on 2019 Board-Approved Distribution Demand | | |
| | Revenues Based on 2018 Actual Distribution Demand | | |
| <u>Notes</u> | | | |
| Pale green cells represent input cells. | | | |
| Pale blue cells represent drop-down lists. The | applicant should select the appropriate item from the drop-down list | L | |

White cells contain fixed values, automatically generated values or formulae.

This Workbook Model is protected by copyright and is being made available to you solely for the purpose of filing your ICM application. You may use and copy this model for that purpose, and provide a copy of this model to any person that is advising or assisting you in that regard. Except as indicated above, any copying, reproduction, publication, sale, adaptation, translation, modification, reverse engineering or other use or dissemination of this model without the express written consent of the Ontario Energy Board is prohibited. If you provide a copy of this model to a person that is advising or assisting you in preparing the application or reviewing your draft rate order, you must ensure that the person understands and agrees to the restrictions noted above.

While this model has been provided in Excel format and is required to be filed with the applications, the onus remains on the applicant to ensure the accuracy of the data and the results.

*As per ACM/ICM policy, the middle cohort stretch factor is applied to all ACM/ICM applications.

Г

OEB policies regarding rate-setting and rebasing following distributor consolidations could allow a distributor to not rebase rates for up to ten years. A distributor could also apply for and receive OEB approval to defer rebasing. If a distributor is under Price Cap IR for more than four years after rebasing and applies for an ICM, this spreadsheet will need to be adapted to accommodate those circumstances. The distributor should contact OEB staff to discuss the circumstances so that a customized model can be provided.



Select the appropriate rate classes as they appear on your most recent Board-Approved Tariff of Rates and Charges, excluding the MicroFit Class.

How many classes are on your most recent Board-Approved Tariff of Rates and Charges?

8

Select Your Rate Classes from the **Blue Cells** below. Please ensure that a rate class is assigned to **each shaded cell**.

| Rate Class Classification |
|---------------------------------|
| RESIDENTIAL |
| GENERAL SERVICE LESS THAN 50 kW |
| GENERAL SERVICE 50 TO 4,999 KW |
| LARGE USE |
| LARGE USE WITH DEDICATED ASSETS |
| UNMETERED SCATTERED LOAD |
| SENTINEL LIGHTING |
| STREET LIGHTING |
| |



Input the billing determinants associated with Alectra Utilities Corporation-Horizon Utilities Rate Zone's Revenues Based on 2019 Board-Approved Distribution Demand. Input the current approved distribution rates. Sheets 4 & 5 calculate the NUMERATOR portion of the growth factor calculation.

| | | 2019 Board- | Approved Distribution De | mand | Current Approved Distribution Rates | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Rate Class | Units | Billed Customers or Connections | Billed kWh | Billed kW (if applicable) | Monthly Service Charge | Distribution Volumetric Rate kWh | Distribution Volumetric Rate kW | | |
| RESIDENTIAL | \$/kWh | 227,762 | 1,652,719,193 | | 26.70 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | |
| GENERAL SERVICE LESS THAN 50 kW | \$/kWh | 18,709 | 594,472,785 | | 42.29 | 0.0109 | 0.0000 | | |
| GENERAL SERVICE 50 TO 4,999 KW | \$/kW | 2,316 | 1,840,510,488 | 5,066,406 | 389.40 | 0.0000 | 2.6150 | | |
| LARGE USE | \$/kW | 6 | 242,051,739 | 569,520 | 24279.37 | 0.0000 | 1.4325 | | |
| LARGE USE WITH DEDICATED ASSETS | \$/kW | 5 | 403,775,839 | 2,136,952 | 5755.85 | 0.0000 | 0.3396 | | |
| UNMETERED SCATTERED LOAD | \$/kWh | 3,006 | 10,504,342 | | 8.63 | 0.0134 | 0.0000 | | |
| SENTINEL LIGHTING | \$/kW | 378 | 363,731 | 1,030 | 5.63 | 0.0000 | 15.4416 | | |
| STREET LIGHTING | \$/kW | 52,273 | 39,610,413 | 109,773 | 1.95 | 0.0000 | 5.1752 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Capital Module Applicable to ACM and ICM Actru Utilities Corporation-Horizon Utilities Rate Zone

Calculation of pro forma 2019 Revenues. No input required.

| | 2019 Board- | Approved Distrib | ution Demand | Current Approved Distribution Rates | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|-----------------|
| Rate Class | Billed Customers or Connections | Billed kWh | Billed kW (if applicable) | Monthly Service Charge | Distribution Volumetric Rate kWh | Distribution Volumetric Rate kW | Service Charge Revenue | Distribution Volumetric Rate Revenue kWh | Distribution Volumetric Rate Revenue kW | Revenues from Rates | Service Charge % Revenue | Distribution Volumetric Rate % Revenue kWh | Distribution Volumetric Rate % Revenue kW | Total % Revenue |
| | Α | В | с | D | E | F | G | н | 1 | J | K = G / J | L = H / J | M = I / J | N |
| RESIDENTIAL | 227,762 | 1,652,719,193 | | 26.70 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 72,974,945 | 0 | 0 | 72,974,945 | 100.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 61.4% |
| GENERAL SERVICE LESS THAN 50 kW | 18,709 | 594,472,785 | | 42.29 | 0.0109 | 0.0000 | 9,494,443 | 6,479,753 | 0 | 15,974,197 | 59.4% | 40.6% | 0.0% | 13.4% |
| GENERAL SERVICE 50 TO 4,999 KW | 2,316 | 1,840,510,488 | 5,066,406 | 389.40 | 0.0000 | 2.6150 | 10,822,205 | 0 | 13,248,651 | 24,070,856 | 45.0% | 0.0% | 55.0% | 20.2% |
| LARGE USE | 6 | 242,051,739 | 569,520 | 24,279.37 | 0.0000 | 1.4325 | 1,748,115 | 0 | 815,837 | 2,563,952 | 68.2% | 0.0% | 31.8% | 2.2% |
| LARGE USE WITH DEDICATED ASSETS | 5 | 403,775,839 | 2,136,952 | 5,755.85 | 0.0000 | 0.3396 | 345,351 | 0 | 725,709 | 1,071,060 | 32.2% | 0.0% | 67.8% | 0.9% |
| UNMETERED SCATTERED LOAD | 3,006 | 10,504,342 | | 8.63 | 0.0134 | 0.0000 | 311,301 | 140,758 | 0 | 452,060 | 68.9% | 31.1% | 0.0% | 0.4% |
| SENTINEL LIGHTING | 378 | 363,731 | 1,030 | 5.63 | 0.0000 | 15.4416 | 25,538 | 0 | 15,905 | 41,443 | 61.6% | 0.0% | 38.4% | 0.0% |
| STREET LIGHTING | 52,273 | 39,610,413 | 109,773 | 1.95 | 0.0000 | 5.1752 | 1,223,188 | 0 | 568,097 | 1,791,285 | 68.3% | 0.0% | 31.7% | 1.5% |
| Total | 304,455 | 4,784,008,529 | 7,883,681 | | | | 96,945,086 | 6,620,512 | 15,374,200 | 118,939,797 | | | | 100.0% |

Capital Module Applicable to ACM and ICM

| Applicants Rate Base | | L | ast | co | S Rebasing: 20 ⁴ | 19 |
|---|----------|-------------|------|----|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Average Net Fixed Assets | | | | | | |
| Gross Fixed Assets - Re-based Opening | \$ | 625 929 889 | А | | | |
| Add: CWIP Re-based Opening | Š | 3,164,006 | В | | | |
| Re-based Capital Additions | ŝ | 49.472.477 | c | | | |
| Re-based Capital Disposals | -\$ | 4.597.818 | D | | | |
| Re-based Capital Retirements | Ŷ | 1,001,010 | F | | | |
| Deduct: CWIP Re-based Closing | -\$ | 3 164 006 | F | | | |
| Gross Fixed Assets - Re-based Closing | \$ \$ | 670 804 548 | G | | | |
| Average Gross Fixed Assets | Ψ | 010,004,040 | 0 | ¢ | 6/8 367 210 | H = (A + G)/2 |
| Average Cross Tixed Assets | | | | Ψ | 040,007,213 | II = (A + O) / 2 |
| Accumulated Depreciation - Re-based Opening | \$ | 161 031 595 | 1 | | | |
| Re-based Depreciation Expense | \$ | 22 664 822 | Ŀ. | | | |
| Re-based Disposals | -\$ | 1 426 748 | ĸ | | | |
| Re-based Bisposais | ¢- | 1,420,740 | i i | | | |
| Accumulated Depreciation - Relaced Closing | ¢ | 192 260 660 | | | | |
| Accumulated Depreciation - Re-based Closing | φ | 102,209,009 | IVI | ¢ | 171 650 622 | N = (1 + M)/2 |
| Average Accumulated Depreciation | | | | φ | 171,030,032 | N = (1 + N)/2 |
| Average Net Fixed Assets | | | | \$ | 476,716,587 | O = H - N |
| Marking Original Allenance | | | | | | |
| working Capital Allowance | | | | | | |
| Working Capital Allowance Base | \$ | 658,178,026 | Р | | | |
| Working Capital Allowance Rate | | 12.0% | Q | | | |
| Working Capital Allowance | | | | \$ | 78,981,363 | R = P * Q |
| Rate Base | | | - | \$ | 555,697,950 | S = O + R |
| | | | _ | | | |
| Return on Rate Base | | | | | | |
| Deemed ShortTerm Debt % | | 4.00% | Т | \$ | 22.227.918 | W = S * T |
| Deemed Long Term Debt % | | 56.00% | U | \$ | 311,190,852 | X = S * U |
| Deemed Equity % | | 40.00% | V | \$ | 222,279,180 | Y = S * V |
| Short Term Interest | | 2.82% | Z | \$ | 626.827 | AC = W * Z |
| Long Term Interest | | 3 74% | | ŝ | 11 638 538 | $\Delta D = X * \Delta \Delta$ |
| Return on Equity | | 8 98% | AB | ŝ | 19 960 670 | AE - Y * AB |
| Return on Rate Base | | 0.0070 | - | \$ | 32,226,036 | AF = AC + AD + AE |
| Distribution Expenses | | | | | | |
| OM&A Expenses | \$ | 63 557 394 | AG | | | |
| Amortization | ŝ | 25 278 432 | AH | | | |
| Ontario Capital Tay | Ψ | 25,210,452 | | | | |
| Grossed Lin Taxes/Pills | 2 | 3 145 640 | | | | |
| Low Voltage | Ψ | 3,143,040 | | | | |
| Transformer Allowance | ¢ | | | | | |
| | φ | - | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | AN | | | |
| | | | AU | ¢ | 01 091 466 | AD = SUM(AC + AO) |
| Povenue Offecto | | | | φ | 91,901,400 | AF = SUIVI (AG : AU) |
| | ¢ | 757 0 10 | 40 | | | |
| Specific Service Charges | -\$ | /5/,312 | AQ | | | |
| Late Payment Charges | -\$ | 875,000 | AR | | | |
| Other Distribution Income | \$ | - | AS | | | |
| Other Income and Deductions | -\$ | 4,321,587 | AT · | \$ | 5,953,899 | AU = SUM (AQ : AT) |
| Revenue Requirement from Distribution Rates | | | - | \$ | 118,253,603 | AV = AF + AP + AU |
| Dete Classes Devenue | | | | | | |
| Rale Glasses Revenue - Total (Sheet 4) | | | | ¢ | 110 020 707 | A1A/ |
| nate Glasses Nevenue - I Glai (Glidel 4) | | | | φ | 110,939,797 | AVV |

Capital Module Applicable to ACM and ICM Alectra Utilities Corporation-Horizon Utilities Rate Zone

Input the billing determinants associated with Alectra Utilities Corporation-Horizon Utilities Rate Zone's Revenues Based on 2018 Actual Distribution Demand. This sheet calculates the DENOMINATOR portion of the growth factor calculation. Pro forma Revenue Calculation.

| | 2018 Act | ual Distribution D | emand | Current A | Approved Distribu | tion Rates | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|---------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|-----------------|
| Rate Class | Billed Customers or Connections | Billed kWh | Billed kW | Monthly Service Charge | Distribution Volumetric Rate kWh | Distribution Volumetric Rate kW | Service Charge Revenue | Distribution Volumetric Rate Revenue kWh | Distribution Volumetric Rate Revenue kW | Total Revenue By Rate Class | Service Charge % Revenue | Distribution Volumetric Rate % Revenue kWh | Distribution Volumetric Rate % Revenue kW | Total % Revenue |
| | А | В | с | D | E | F | G | н | 1 | J | K = G / J _{total} | $L = H / J_{total}$ | M = I / J _{total} | N |
| RESIDENTIAL | 226,840 | 1,659,016,812 | | 26.70 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 72,679,536 | 0 | 0 | 72,679,536 | 62.9% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 62.9% |
| GENERAL SERVICE LESS THAN 50 kW | 18,992 | 580,343,049 | | 42.29 | 0.0109 | 0.0000 | 9,638,060 | 6,325,739 | 0 | 15,963,799 | 8.3% | 5.5% | 0.0% | 13.8% |
| GENERAL SERVICE 50 TO 4,999 KW | 2,057 | | 4,746,295 | 389.40 | 0.0000 | 2.6150 | 9,611,950 | 0 | 12,411,561 | 22,023,511 | 8.3% | 0.0% | 10.7% | 19.1% |
| LARGE USE | 4 | | 360,260 | 24,279.37 | 0.0000 | 1.4325 | 1,165,410 | 0 | 516,072 | 1,681,482 | 1.0% | 0.0% | 0.4% | 1.5% |
| LARGE USE WITH DEDICATED ASSETS | 7 | | 2,002,430 | 5,755.85 | 0.0000 | 0.3396 | 483,491 | 0 | 680,025 | 1,163,517 | 0.4% | 0.0% | 0.6% | 1.0% |
| UNMETERED SCATTERED LOAD | 2,970 | 11,372,501 | | 8.63 | 0.0134 | 0.0000 | 307,573 | 152,392 | 0 | 459,965 | 0.3% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.4% |
| SENTINEL LIGHTING | 338 | | 1,321 | 5.63 | 0.0000 | 15.4416 | 22,835 | 0 | 20,398 | 43,234 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| STREET LIGHTING | 52,548 | | 58,768 | 1.95 | 0.0000 | 5.1752 | 1,229,623 | 0 | 304,136 | 1,533,759 | 1.1% | 0.0% | 0.3% | 1.3% |
| Total | 303,756 | 2,250,732,362 | 7,169,074 | | | | 95,138,479 | 6,478,131 | 13,932,194 | 115,548,803 | | | | 100.0% |

Capital Module Applicable to ACM and ICM Alectra Utilities Corporation-Horizon Utilities Rate Zone

Current Revenue from Rates This sheet is used to determine the applicant's most current allocation of revenues (after the most recent revenue to cost ratio adjustment, if applicable) to appropriately allocate the incremental revenue requirement to the classes.

2019 Board-Approved Distribution Demand Current OEB-Approved Base Rates

| Rate Class | Monthly Service Charge | Distribution Volumetric Rate kWh | Distribution Volumetric Rate kW | Re-based Billed Customers or Connections | Re-based Billed kWh | Re-based Billed kW | Current Base Service Charge Revenue | Current Base Distribution Volumetric Rate kWh Revenue | Current Base Distribution Volumetric Rate kW Revenue | Total Current Base Revenue | Service Charge % Total Revenue | Distribution Volumetric Rate % Total Revenue | Distribution Volumetric Rate % Total Revenue | Total % Revenue |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|-----------------|
| | Α | в | с | D | E | F | G | н | 1 | J | $L = G / J_{total}$ | $M = H / J_{total}$ | N = I / J _{total} | 0 |
| RESIDENTIAL | 26.70 | 0 | 0 | 227,762 | 1,652,719,193 | 0 | 72,974,945 | 0 | 0 | 72,974,945 | 61.35% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 61.4% |
| GENERAL SERVICE LESS THAN 50 kW | 42.29 | 0.0109 | 0 | 18,709 | 594,472,785 | 0 | 9,494,443 | 6,479,753 | 0 | 15,974,197 | 7.98% | 5.45% | 0.00% | 13.4% |
| GENERAL SERVICE 50 TO 4,999 KW | 389.40 | 0 | 2.615 | 2,316 | 1,840,510,488 | 5,066,406 | 10,822,205 | 0 | 13,248,651 | 24,070,856 | 9.10% | 0.00% | 11.14% | 20.2% |
| LARGE USE | 24279.37 | 0 | 1.4325 | 6 | 242,051,739 | 569,520 | 1,748,115 | 0 | 815,837 | 2,563,952 | 1.47% | 0.00% | 0.69% | 2.2% |
| LARGE USE WITH DEDICATED ASSETS | 5755.85 | 0 | 0.3396 | 5 | 403,775,839 | 2,136,952 | 345,351 | 0 | 725,709 | 1,071,060 | 0.29% | 0.00% | 0.61% | 0.9% |
| UNMETERED SCATTERED LOAD | 8.63 | 0.0134 | 0 | 3,006 | 10,504,342 | 0 | 311,301 | 140,758 | 0 | 452,060 | 0.26% | 0.12% | 0.00% | 0.4% |
| SENTINEL LIGHTING | 5.63 | 0 | 15.4416 | 378 | 363,731 | 1,030 | 25,538 | 0 | 15,905 | 41,443 | 0.02% | 0.00% | 0.01% | 0.0% |
| STREET LIGHTING | 1.95 | 0 | 5.1752 | 52,273 | 39,610,413 | 109,773 | 1,223,188 | 0 | 568,097 | 1,791,285 | 1.03% | 0.00% | 0.48% | 1.5% |
| Total | | | | | | | 96,945,086 | 6,620,512 | 15,374,200 | 118,939,797 | | | | 100.0% |



Capital Module Applicable to ACM and ICM

Alectra Utilities Corporation-Horizon Utilities Rate Zone

No Input Required.

Final Materiality Threshold Calculation

| Cost of Service Rebasing Year | | 2019 | |
|--|-----------|---------------|--------------|
| Price Cap IR Year in which Application is made | | 1 | n |
| Price Cap Index | | 1.20% | PCI |
| Growth Factor Calculation | | | |
| Revenues Based on 2019 Board-Approved Distribution Demand | | \$118,939,797 | |
| Revenues Based on 2018 Actual Distribution Demand | | \$115,548,803 | a (Nota 1) |
| Dead Band | | 10% | g (Note 1) |
| Average Net Fixed Assets | | | |
| Gross Fixed Assets Opening | \$ | 625,929,889 | |
| Add: CWIP Opening | \$ | 3,164,006 | |
| Capital Additions | \$ | 49,472,477 | |
| Capital Disposals | -\$ | 4,597,818 | |
| Capital Retirements | \$ | - | |
| Deduct: CWIP Closing | -\$ | 3,164,006 | |
| Gross Fixed Assets - Closing | \$ | 670,804,548 | |
| Average Gross Fixed Assets | \$ | 648,367,219 | |
| Accumulated Depreciation - Opening | \$ | 161,031,595 | |
| Depreciation Expense | \$ | 22,664,822 | |
| Disposals | -\$ | 1,426,748 | |
| Retirements | \$ | - | |
| Accumulated Depreciation - Closing | \$ | 182,269,669 | |
| Average Accumulated Depreciation | \$ | 171,650,632 | |
| Average Net Fixed Assets | \$ | 476,716,587 | |
| | | | |
| Working Capital Allowance | | | |
| Working Capital Allowance Base | \$ | 658,178,026 | |
| Working Capital Allowance Rate | _ | 12% | |
| Working Capital Allowance | \$ | 78,981,363 | |
| Rate Base | \$ | 555,697,950 | RB |
| Depreciation | \$ | 22,664,822 | d |
| Threshold Value (varies by Price Cap IR Year subsequent to C | oS rebasi | ng) | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2020 | | 212% | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2021 | | 217% | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2022 | | 221% | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2023 | | 226% | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2024 | | 230% | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2025 | | 235% | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2026 | | 241% | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2027 | | 246% | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2028 | | 252% | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2029 | | 258% | |
| Threshold CAPEX | | | Threshold Va |
| Price Cap IR Year 2020 | \$ | 48,103,364 | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2021 | \$ | 49,069,616 | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2022 | \$ | 50,076,160 | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2023 | \$ | 51,124,676 | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2024 | \$ | 52,216,914 | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2025 | \$ | 53,354,697 | |
| | | | |

Note 1: The growth factor *g* is annualized, depending on the number of years between the numerator and denominator for the calculation. Typically, for ACM review in a cost of service and in the fourth year of Price Cap IR, the ratio is divided by 2 to annualize it. No division is normally required for the first three years under Price Cap IR.

\$

\$

\$

55,774,575

57,060,709

58,400,474

Price Cap IR Year 2027

Price Cap IR Year 2028

Price Cap IR Year 2029

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities 2020 EDR Application Responses to Oral Hearing Undertakings Delivered: October 23, 2019

J3.2

ATTACHMENT 2 – G-Staff-8 2020 ACM ICM Model – PRZ - Revised

Capital Module Applicable to ACM and ICM

Note: Depending on the selections made below, certain worksheets in this workbook will be hidden.

Version 5.00

| Utility Name | Alectra Utilities Corporation-PowerStream Rate Zone | | 1 |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|------|
| Assigned EB Number | | | |
| Name of Contact and Title | | | |
| Phone Number | | | |
| Email Address | | | |
| Is this Capital Module being filed in a CoS or Price-Cap IR Application? | Price-Cap IR | Rate Year | 2020 |
| Indicate the Price-Cap IR Year (1, 2, 3, 4, etc) in which Alectra Utilities Corporation-PowerStream Rate Zone is applying: | 3 | Next OEB Scheduled Rebasing Year | 2027 |
| Alectra Utilities Corporation-PowerStream Rate Zone is applying for: | ICM Approval | | |
| Last Rebasing Year: | 2017 | I | |
| The most recent complete year for which actual billing and load data exists | 2018 | | |
| Current IPI | 1.50% | 1 | |
| Strech Factor Assigned to Middle Cohort* | III | j | |
| Stretch Factor Value | 0.30% | j | |
| Price Cap Index | 1.20% | j | |
| Based on the inputs above, the growth factor utilized in the Materiality Threshold Calculation will be determined by: | Revenues Based on 2018 Actual Distribution Demand | _ | |
| | Revenues Based on 2017 Board-Approved Distribution Demand | | |
| Notes | | | |
| Pale green cells represent input cells. | | | |
| Pale blue cells represent drop-down lists. The | applicant should select the appropriate item from the drop-down list | t. | |
| White cells contain fixed values, automatically | generated values or formulae. | | |

This Workbook Model is protected by copyright and is being made available to you solely for the purpose of filing your ICM application. You may use and copy this model for that purpose, and provide a copy of this model to any person that is advising or assisting you in that regard. Except as indicated above, any copying, reproduction, publication, sail, adaptation, translation, modification, reverse engineering or other use or dissemination of this model without the express written consent of the Ontario Energy Board is prohibited. If you provide a copy of this model to a person that is advising or assisting you in preparing the application or reviewing your draft rate order, you must ensure that the person understands and agrees to the restrictions noted above.

While this model has been provided in Excel format and is required to be filed with the applications, the onus remains on the applicant to ensure the accuracy of the data and the results.

*As per ACM/ICM policy, the middle cohort stretch factor is applied to all ACM/ICM applications.

OEB policies regarding rate-setting and rebasing following distributor consolidations could allow a distributor to not rebase rates for up to ten years. A distributor could also apply for and receive OEB approval to defer rebasing. If a distributor is under Price Cap IR for more than four years after rebasing and applies for an ICM, this spreadsheet will need to be adapted to accommodate those circumstances. The distributor should contact OEB staff to discuss the circumstances so that a customized model can be provided.



Select the appropriate rate classes as they appear on your most recent Board-Approved Tariff of Rates and Charges, excluding the MicroFit Class.

How many classes are on your most recent Board-Approved Tariff of Rates and Charges?

7

Select Your Rate Classes from the **Blue Cells** below. Please ensure that a rate class is assigned to **each shaded cell**.

| | Rate Class Classification |
|---|---------------------------------|
| 1 | RESIDENTIAL |
| 2 | GENERAL SERVICE LESS THAN 50 kW |
| 3 | GENERAL SERVICE 50 TO 4,999 KW |
| 4 | LARGE USE |
| 5 | UNMETERED SCATTERED LOAD |
| 6 | SENTINEL LIGHTING |
| 7 | STREET LIGHTING |



Input the billing determinants associated with Alectra Utilities Corporation-PowerStream Rate Zone's Revenues Based on 2018 Actual Distribution Demand. Input the current approved distribution rates. Sheets 4 & 5 calculate the NUMERATOR portion of the growth factor calculation.

| | | 2018 A | ctual Distribution Demand | Current Approved Distribution Rates | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Rate Class | Units | Billed Customers or Connections | Billed kWh | Billed kW (if applicable) | Monthly Service Charge | Distribution Volumetric Rate kWh | Distribution Volumetric Rate kW | |
| RESIDENTIAL | \$/kWh | 334,683 | 2,783,708,695 | | 24.91 | 0.0045 | 0.0000 | |
| GENERAL SERVICE LESS THAN 50 kW | \$/kWh | 32,624 | 1,049,615,664 | | 29.35 | 0.0187 | 0.0000 | |
| GENERAL SERVICE 50 TO 4,999 KW | \$/kW | 5,207 | 4,679,965,944 | 12,192,876 | 143.95 | 0.0000 | 4.2924 | |
| LARGE USE | \$/kW | 2 | 53,218,181 | 102,871 | 6201.88 | 0.0000 | 2.2894 | |
| UNMETERED SCATTERED LOAD | \$/kWh | 3,082 | 13,830,788 | | 8.78 | 0.0199 | 0.0000 | |
| SENTINEL LIGHTING | \$/kWh | 172 | 286,385 | 796 | 4.28 | 0.0000 | 10.0777 | |
| STREET LIGHTING | \$/kW | 91,446 | 48,883,953 | 134,152 | 1.21 | 0.0000 | 6.4556 | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Capital Module Applicable to ACM and ICM Attra Utilities Corporation-PowerStream Rate Zone

Calculation of pro forma 2017 Revenues. No input required.

| | 2018 Actual Distribution Demand Current Approved Distribution Rates | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|-----------------|
| Rate Class | Billed Customers or Connections | Billed kWh | Billed kW (if applicable) | Monthly Service Charge | Distribution Volumetric Rate kWh | Distribution Volumetric Rate kW | Service Charge Revenue | Distribution Volumetric Rate Revenue kWh | Distribution Volumetric Rate Revenue kW | Revenues from Rates | Service Charge % Revenue | Distribution Volumetric Rate % Revenue kWh | Distribution Volumetric Rate % Revenue kW | Total % Revenue |
| | Α | В | с | D | E | F | G | н | 1 | J | K = G / J | L = H / J | M = I / J | N |
| RESIDENTIAL | 334,683 | 2,783,708,695 | | 24.91 | 0.0045 | 0.0000 | 100,043,442 | 12,526,689 | 0 | 112,570,131 | 88.9% | 11.1% | 0.0% | 54.1% |
| GENERAL SERVICE LESS THAN 50 kW | 32,624 | 1,049,615,664 | | 29.35 | 0.0187 | 0.0000 | 11,490,173 | 19,627,813 | 0 | 31,117,986 | 36.9% | 63.1% | 0.0% | 14.9% |
| GENERAL SERVICE 50 TO 4,999 KW | 5,207 | 4,679,965,944 | 12,192,876 | 143.95 | 0.0000 | 4.2924 | 8,994,572 | 0 | 52,336,699 | 61,331,271 | 14.7% | 0.0% | 85.3% | 29.5% |
| LARGE USE | 2 | 53,218,181 | 102,871 | 6,201.88 | 0.0000 | 2.2894 | 148,845 | 0 | 235,514 | 384,359 | 38.7% | 0.0% | 61.3% | 0.2% |
| UNMETERED SCATTERED LOAD | 3,082 | 13,830,788 | | 8.78 | 0.0199 | 0.0000 | 324,720 | 275,233 | 0 | 599,952 | 54.1% | 45.9% | 0.0% | 0.3% |
| SENTINEL LIGHTING | 172 | 286,385 | 796 | 4.28 | 0.0000 | 10.0777 | 8,834 | 0 | 8,022 | 16,856 | 52.4% | 0.0% | 47.6% | 0.0% |
| STREET LIGHTING | 91,446 | 48,883,953 | 134,152 | 1.21 | 0.0000 | 6.4556 | 1,327,796 | 0 | 866,032 | 2,193,828 | 60.5% | 0.0% | 39.5% | 1.1% |
| Total | 467,216 | 8,629,509,610 | 12,430,695 | | | | 122,338,381 | 32,429,735 | 53,446,266 | 208,214,383 | | | | 100.0% |

Capital Module Applicable to ACM and ICM

| Applicants Rate Base | | L | _ast | со | S Rebasing: 201 | 17 |
|---|-------------------|---|----------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| Average Net Fixed Assets Gross Fixed Assets - Re-based Opening Add: CWIP Re-based Opening Re-based Capital Additions Re-based Capital Disposals | \$ \$ \$ | 1,183,508,943 57,486,862 114,494,289 2,734,108 | A B C D | | | |
| Re-based Capital Retirements Deduct: CWIP Re-based Closing Gross Fixed Assets - Re-based Closing Average Gross Fixed Assets | -\$ \$ | 39,959,632 1,312,796,354 | E F G | \$ | 1,248,152,649 | H = (A + G) / 2 |
| Accumulated Depreciation - Re-based Opening Re-based Depreciation Expense Re-based Disposals Re-based Retirements | \$\$\$ | 229,378,962 52,272,173 717,703 | I J K L | | | |
| Accumulated Depreciation - Re-based Closing Average Accumulated Depreciation | \$ | 280,933,432 | М | \$ | 255,156,197 | N = (I + M) / 2 |
| Average Net Fixed Assets | | | | \$ | 992,996,452 | O = H - N |
| Working Capital Allowance Working Capital Allowance Base Working Capital Allowance Rate | \$ | 1,197,449,515 7.5% | P Q | | 00 000 744 | |
| Working Capital Allowance | | | _ | \$ | 89,808,714 | R = P ^ Q |
| Rate Base | | | - | \$ | 1,082,805,165 | S = O + R |
| Return on Rate Base Deemed ShortTerm Debt % Deemed Long Term Debt % Deemed Equity % | | 4.00% 56.00% 40.00% | T U V | \$ \$ \$ | 43,312,207 606,370,893 433,122,066 | W = S * T X = S * U Y = S * V |
| Short Term Interest Long Term Interest Return on Equity Return on Rate Base | | 1.76% 3.88% 8.78% | Z AA AB | \$ \$ \$ | 762,295 23,542,374 <u>38,028,117</u> 62,332,786 | AC = W * Z $AD = X * AA$ $AE = Y * AB$ $AF = AC + AD + AE$ |
| Distribution Expenses OM&A Expenses Amortization Ontario Capital Tax Grossed Up Taxes/PILs Low Voltage Transformer Allowance | \$ \$ \$ | 96,167,243 50,974,104 2,745,639 2,236,782 | AG AH AJ AK AL | | | |
| | | | AM AN AO | ¢ | 152 102 769 | $\Delta D = SUM (\Delta C + \Delta C)$ |
| Revenue Offsets Specific Service Charges Late Payment Charges Other Distribution Income | -\$ -\$ -\$ | 3,474,784 2,076,532 2,025,296 | AQ AR AS | Φ | 132,123,700 | AF = 30W (AG . AO) |
| Other Income and Deductions | -\$ | 5,141,699 | AT · | \$ | 12,718,312 | AU = SUM (AQ : AT) |
| Revenue Requirement from Distribution Rates | | | - | \$ | 201,738,243 | AV = AF + AP + AU |
| Rate Classes Revenue Rate Classes Revenue - Total (Sheet 4) | | | | \$ | 208,214,383 | AW |

5. Rev_Requ_Check

Capital Module Applicable to ACM and ICM Alectra Utilities Corporation - PowerStream Rate Zone

Input the billing determinants associated with Alectra Utilities Corporation-PowerStream Rate Zone's Revenues Based on 2017 Board-Approved Distribution Demand. This sheet calculates the DENOMINATOR portion of the growth factor calculation. Pro forma Revenue Calculation.

| | 2017 Board-A | pproved Distribut | tion Demand | Current A | Current Approved Distribution Rates | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|-----------------|
| Rate Class | Billed Customers or Connections | Billed kWh | Billed kW | Monthly Service Charge | Distribution Volumetric Rate kWh | Distribution Volumetric Rate kW | Service Charge Revenue | Distribution Volumetric Rate Revenue kWh | Distribution Volumetric Rate Revenue kW | Total Revenue By Rate Class | Service Charge % Revenue | Distribution Volumetric Rate % Revenue kWh | Distribution Volumetric Rate % Revenue kW | Total % Revenue |
| | Α | в | с | D | E | F | G | н | 1 | J | $K = G / J_{total}$ | $L = H / J_{total}$ | M = I / J _{total} | N |
| RESIDENTIAL | 331,461 | 2,689,802,037 | | 24.91 | 0.0045 | 0.0000 | 99,080,322 | 12,104,109 | 0 | 111,184,431 | 48.0% | 5.9% | 0.0% | 53.9% |
| GENERAL SERVICE LESS THAN 50 kW | 32,775 | 1,031,991,524 | | 29.35 | 0.0187 | 0.0000 | 11,543,355 | 19,298,241 | 0 | 30,841,596 | 5.6% | 9.4% | 0.0% | 14.9% |
| GENERAL SERVICE 50 TO 4,999 KW | 5,081 | 4,566,530,904 | 12,192,632 | 143.95 | 0.0000 | 4.2924 | 8,776,919 | 0 | 52,335,652 | 61,112,571 | 4.3% | 0.0% | 25.4% | 29.6% |
| LARGE USE | 2 | 75,964,677 | 149,679 | 6,201.88 | 0.0000 | 2.2894 | 148,845 | 0 | 342,675 | 491,520 | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.2% | 0.2% |
| UNMETERED SCATTERED LOAD | 3,044 | 14,542,413 | | 8.78 | 0.0199 | 0.0000 | 320,716 | 289,394 | 0 | 610,110 | 0.2% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.3% |
| SENTINEL LIGHTING | 207 | 377,900 | 975 | 4.28 | 0.0000 | 10.0777 | 10,632 | 0 | 9,824 | 20,455 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| STREET LIGHTING | 89,729 | 45,603,291 | 127,503 | 1.21 | 0.0000 | 6.4556 | 1,302,865 | 0 | 823,107 | 2,125,972 | 0.6% | 0.0% | 0.4% | 1.0% |
| Total | 462,299 | 8,424,812,745 | 12,470,788 | | | | 121,183,654 | 31,691,745 | 53,511,258 | 206,386,657 | | | | 100.0% |

Capital Module Applicable to ACM and ICM Alectra Utilities Corporation-PowerStream Rate Zone

Current Revenue from Rates This sheet is used to determine the applicant's most current allocation of revenues (after the most recent revenue to cost ratio adjustment, if applicable) to appropriately allocate the incremental revenue requirement to the classes.

Current OEB-Approved Base Rates 2018 Actual Distribution Demand

| Rate Class | Monthly Service Charge | Distribution Volumetric Rate kWh | Distribution Volumetric Rate kW | Re-based Billed Customers or Connections | Re-based Billed kWh | Re-based Billed kW | Current Base Service Charge Revenue | Current Base Distribution Volumetric Rate kWh Revenue | Current Base Distribution Volumetric Rate kW Revenue | Total Current Base Revenue | Service Charge % Total Revenue | Distribution Volumetric Rate % Total Revenue | Distribution Volumetric Rate % Total Revenue | Total % Revenue |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|-----------------|
| | Α | в | с | D | E | F | G | н | 1 | 1 | $L = G / J_{total}$ | M = H / J _{total} | N = I / J _{total} | 0 |
| RESIDENTIAL | 24.91 | 0.0045 | 0 | 334,683 | 2,783,708,695 | 0 | 100,043,442 | 12,526,689 | 0 | 112,570,131 | 48.05% | 6.02% | 0.00% | 54.1% |
| GENERAL SERVICE LESS THAN 50 kW | 29.35 | 0.0187 | 0 | 32,624 | 1,049,615,664 | 0 | 11,490,173 | 19,627,813 | 0 | 31,117,986 | 5.52% | 9.43% | 0.00% | 14.9% |
| GENERAL SERVICE 50 TO 4,999 KW | 143.95 | 0 | 4.2924 | 5,207 | 4,679,965,944 | 12,192,876 | 8,994,572 | 0 | 52,336,699 | 61,331,271 | 4.32% | 0.00% | 25.14% | 29.5% |
| LARGE USE | 6201.88 | 0 | 2.2894 | 2 | 53,218,181 | 102,871 | 148,845 | 0 | 235,514 | 384,359 | 0.07% | 0.00% | 0.11% | 0.2% |
| UNMETERED SCATTERED LOAD | 8.78 | 0.0199 | 0 | 3,082 | 13,830,788 | 0 | 324,720 | 275,233 | 0 | 599,952 | 0.16% | 0.13% | 0.00% | 0.3% |
| SENTINEL LIGHTING | 4.28 | 0 | 10.0777 | 172 | 286,385 | 796 | 8,834 | 0 | 8,022 | 16,856 | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.0% |
| STREET LIGHTING | 1.21 | 0 | 6.4556 | 91,446 | 48,883,953 | 134,152 | 1,327,796 | 0 | 866,032 | 2,193,828 | 0.64% | 0.00% | 0.42% | 1.1% |
| Total | | | | | | | 122,338,381 | 32,429,735 | 53,446,266 | 208,214,383 | | | | 100.0% |



Capital Module Applicable to ACM and ICM

Alectra Utilities Corporation-PowerStream Rate Zone

No Input Required.

Final Materiality Threshold Calculation

| Chreshold Value (%) = $1 + \left[\left(\frac{RB}{d}\right) \times (g + PCI \times (1+g))\right] \times \left((1+g) \times (1+g)\right)$ | $+ PCI))^{n-1}$ | ¹ + 10 % | |
|---|------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|
| Cost of Service Rebasing Year | | 2017 | |
| Price Cap IR Year in which Application is made | | 3 | n |
| Price Cap Index | | 1.20% | PCI |
| Growth Factor Calculation | | | |
| Revenues Based on 2018 Actual Distribution Demand | | \$208 214 383 | |
| Revenues Based on 2017 Board-Approved Distribution Demand | | \$206,386,657 | |
| Growth Factor | | 0.89% | g (Note 1) |
| Dead Band | | 10% | , |
| Average Net Fixed Assets | | | |
| Gross Fixed Assets Opening | \$ | 1 183 508 943 | |
| Add: CWIP Opening | \$ | 57,486,862 | |
| Capital Additions | \$ | 114.494.289 | |
| Capital Disposals | -\$ | 2,734,108 | |
| Capital Retirements | \$ | - | |
| Deduct: CWIP Closing | -\$ | 39,959,632 | |
| Gross Fixed Assets - Closing | \$ | 1,312,796,354 | |
| | <u>_</u> | 4 0 40 4 50 0 40 | |
| Average Gross Fixed Assets | \$ | 1,248,152,649 | |
| Accumulated Depreciation - Opening | \$ | 229,378,962 | |
| Depreciation Expense | \$ | 52,272,173 | |
| Disposals | -\$ | 717,703 | |
| Retirements | \$ | - | |
| Accumulated Depreciation - Closing | \$ | 280,933,432 | |
| Average Accumulated Depreciation | \$ | 255,156,197 | |
| Average Net Fixed Assets | \$ | 992,996,452 | |
| Working Capital Allowance | • | | |
| Working Capital Allowance Base | \$ | 1,197,449,515 | |
| Working Capital Allowance Rate | <u></u> | 8% | |
| working Capital Allowance | \$ | 89,808,714 | |
| Rate Base | \$ | 1,082,805,165 | RB |
| Depreciation | \$ | 52,272,173 | d |
| Threshold Value (varies by Price Cap IR Year subsequent to C | CoS <u>rebas</u> | ing) | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2018 | | 153% | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2019 | | 154% | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2020 | | 155% | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2021 | | 156% | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2022 | | 157% | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2023 | | 158% | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2024 | | 159% | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2025 | | 160% | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2026 | | 161% | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2027 | | 162% | |
| Threshold CAPEX | | | Threshold Value ×d |
| Price Cap IR Year 2018 | \$ | 80,197,265 | |
| Price Cap IR Year 2019 | \$ | 80 673 061 | |
| Price Can IR Vear 2020 | ¢ | 81 158 820 | |
| Price Can IR Year 2021 | ¢ | 81 65/ 791 | |
| Price Cap IIX Teat 2021 Price Cap IR Vear 2022 | ¢ ¢ | 82 161 120 | |
| Price Cap IIX Teal 2022 Price Cap IR Vear 2023 | ф Ф | 82 679 004 | |
| File Cap IR Year 2024 | \$ \$ | 02,070,091 | |
| FILE Cap IN Teal 2024 | φ | 03,203,009 | |

Note 1: The growth factor g is annualized, depending on the number of years between the numerator and denominator for the calculation. Typically, for ACM review in a cost of service and in the fourth year of Price Cap IR, the ratio is divided by 2 to annualize it. No division is normally required for the first three years under Price Cap IR.

\$

\$

\$

83,744,752

84,294,910

84,856,600

Price Cap IR Year 2025

Price Cap IR Year 2026

Price Cap IR Year 2027

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities 2020 EDR Application Responses to Oral Hearing Undertakings Delivered: October 23, 2019

J3.2

ATTACHMENT 3 – OEB Staff Table Alectra In-Service Additions

| Table 1a - Last Rebasing Forecasted In-service Additions of Alectra Utilities' Predecess | sor LDCs Adjusted for Inflation (\$,000) (Alectra Update |
|--|--|
|--|--|

| | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
|------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Inflation - 0.3% | | 1.40% | 1.30% | 1.80% | 1.60% | 0.90% | 1.20% | 1.40% | 1.40% | 1.40% | 1.40% | 1.40% |
| Horizon | | | | | | | 49,472 | 50,165 | 50,867 | 51,580 | 52,302 | 53,034 |
| HOBNI | | | 32,518 | 33,103 | 33,633 | 33,936 | 34,343 | 34,824 | 35,311 | 35,806 | 36,307 | 36,815 |
| PowerStream | | | | | 114,494 | 115,524 | 116,911 | 118,547 | 120,207 | 121,890 | 123,597 | 125,327 |
| Enersource | 46,258 | 46,906 | 47,515 | 48,371 | 49,145 | 49,587 | 50,182 | 50,884 | 51,597 | 52,319 | 53,052 | 53,794 |
| Guelph | | | | 11,363 | 11,545 | 11,649 | 11,788 | 11,954 | 12,121 | 12,291 | 12,463 | 12,637 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | 262,697 | 266,374 | 270,104 | 273,885 | 277,719 | 281,607 |

2020-2024 Total: 1,369,690

Alectra 2020-2024 DSP Capital Forecast per Application: 1,456,500

Difference: -86,810

Table 2a - Last Rebasing Forecasted In-service Additions of Alectra Utilities' Predecessor LDCs Adjusted for Inflation and Growth (\$,000) (Alectra Update)

| | Growth Factors | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
|------------------|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Inflation - 0.3% | | | 1.40% | 1.30% | 1.80% | 1.60% | 0.90% | 1.20% | 1.40% | 1.40% | 1.40% | 1.40% | 1.40% |
| Horizon | 3.04% | | | | | | | 49,472 | 51,690 | 54,007 | 56,428 | 58,957 | 61,600 |
| HOBNI | 1.84% | | | 32,518 | 33,712 | 34,882 | 35,844 | 36,941 | 38,148 | 39,393 | 40,680 | 42,008 | 43,380 |
| PowerStream | 2.31% | | | | | 114,494 | 118,193 | 122,374 | 126,954 | 131,705 | 136,634 | 141,747 | 147,052 |
| Enersource | -0.06% | 46,258 | 46,877 | 47,458 | 48,284 | 49,027 | 49,438 | 50,002 | 50,671 | 51,350 | 52,037 | 52,734 | 53,440 |
| Guelph | 1.60% | | | | 11,363 | 11,730 | 12,024 | 12,363 | 12,737 | 13,122 | 13,519 | 13,927 | 14,348 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | 271,153 | 280,200 | 289,577 | 299,298 | 309,375 | 319,821 |

2020-2024 Total: 1,498,271

Alectra 2020-2024 DSP Capital Forecast per Application: 1,456,500

Difference: 41,771

|--|

| | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
|------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Inflation - 0.3% | | 1.40% | 1.30% | 1.80% | 1.60% | 0.90% | 1.20% | 1.40% | 1.40% | 1.40% | 1.40% | 1.40% |
| Horizon | | | | | | | 51,272 | 51,990 | 52,718 | 53,456 | 54,204 | 54,963 |
| HOBNI | | | 32,518 | 33,103 | 33,633 | 33,936 | 34,343 | 34,824 | 35,311 | 35,806 | 36,307 | 36,815 |
| PowerStream | | | | | 114,494 | 115,524 | 116,911 | 118,547 | 120,207 | 121,890 | 123,597 | 125,327 |
| Enersource | 46,258 | 46,906 | 47,515 | 48,371 | 49,145 | 49,587 | 50,182 | 50,884 | 51,597 | 52,319 | 53,052 | 53,794 |
| Guelph | | | | 11,363 | 11,545 | 11,649 | 11,788 | 11,954 | 12,121 | 12,291 | 12,463 | 12,637 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | 264,496 | 268,199 | 271,954 | 275,761 | 279,622 | 283,537 |

2020-2024 Total: 1,379,072

Alectra 2020-2024 DSP Capital Forecast per Application: 1,456,500

Difference: -77,428

| Table 2 - Last Rebasing Forecasted In-service Additions of Alectra Utilities' Predecessor LDCs Adjusted for Inflation and Growth (\$ |
|--|
|--|

| | Growth Factors | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
|------------------|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Inflation - 0.3% | | | 1.40% | 1.30% | 1.80% | 1.60% | 0.90% | 1.20% | 1.40% | 1.40% | 1.40% | 1.40% | 1.40% |
| Horizon | 3.04% | | | | | | | 51,272 | 53,570 | 55,972 | 58,481 | 61,102 | 63,841 |
| HOBNI | 1.84% | | | 32,518 | 33,712 | 34,882 | 35,844 | 36,941 | 38,148 | 39,393 | 40,680 | 42,008 | 43,380 |
| PowerStream | 2.31% | | | | | 114,494 | 118,193 | 122,374 | 126,954 | 131,705 | 136,634 | 141,747 | 147,052 |
| Enersource | -0.06% | 46,258 | 46,877 | 47,458 | 48,284 | 49,027 | 49,438 | 50,002 | 50,671 | 51,350 | 52,037 | 52,734 | 53,440 |
| Guelph | 1.60% | | | | 11,363 | 11,730 | 12,024 | 12,363 | 12,737 | 13,122 | 13,519 | 13,927 | 14,348 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | 272,953 | 282,080 | 291,542 | 301,350 | 311,519 | 322,062 |

2020-2024 Total: 1,508,554

Alectra 2020-2024 DSP Capital Forecast per Application: 1,456,500

Difference: 52,054

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities 2020 EDR Application Responses to Oral Hearing Undertakings Delivered: October 23, 2019 Page 1 of 1

J3.3

Reference:

To provide the business cases for all of the M-factor projects.

Response:

1 Please refer to Attachment 1, business cases for all M-factor projects.

EB-2019-0018 Alectra Utilities 2020 EDR Application Responses to Oral Hearing Undertakings Delivered: October 23, 2019

J3.3

ATTACHMENT 1 – M-factor Business Cases



| utilities | | |
|---|--|---|
| Project Code | 100159 | |
| Project Name | Hydro One Asset Purchase - Alliston | |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2 Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream North |
| | Location | Alliston |
| | | 1 |
| | Project Class | Popular |
| | Project Class | Negulai |
| | Technology Design as her Technology | No |
| | Component | NU |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Bates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Canacity (Lines) |
| | Alectra Subcategony | Line Capacity Brois & Add Circ |
| 4 Evaluation Criteria (OER) | Project Summany | Enc capacity may a Add City |
| 4. Evaluation criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | 10MVA substation 13.8kV integration (101571). |
| | | The proposed section is between the 138M7 PME on 30th Sideroad (southwestern boundary at Alliston) to two poles east of Tottenham Road (County Road 10). The poles are owned by Hydro One (as per the Joint Use Agreement and Distribution Connection Agreement [DCA]). The purchase will involve conductors and associated hardware only. Purchase to include 7 Hydro One owned poles (Alectra conductor) west of the Recreation Centre running parallel along the train tracks north of Industrial Parkway. Hydro One's asking price in 2014 was \$262,000 + HST. Cost including 7 poles west of Recreation Centre totaling \$297,500 + HST. |
| | Main Driver - System Service | System Efficiency |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | The pole line is required for the new Alliston 10MVA substation 13.8kV integration (101571). In addition, the project will improve reliability by reducing restoration times as well as improve operating flexibility of the 44 kV system within |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | the Alliston area. Total connected load of 59,856 kVA on the 138M7 downstream of the PME along Industrial Parkway. |
| | Safety | Not Applicable. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable. |
| | Coordination. Interoperability | Not Applicable. |
| | Economic Development | Not Applicable |
| | | Not Applicable |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | The status quo consists of continuing to stay as joint use on the existing pole line. The status quo is not recommended because it is in our customer's best interest, as well as Alectras', to purchase this section of line as it is inside our service |
| | | territory. Under the current Hydro One ownership, Alectra is not permitted to operate or maintain the equipment on the line. If Alectra purchases this line restoration time will decrease and therefore reliability will increase. |
| | | In addition, the pole line is required for the new Alliston 10MVA substation 13.8kV integration (101571). |
| | Alternative #1 | Not Applicable. |
| | Alternative #2 | Not Applicable. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | This expenditure is required for the new Alliston 10MVA substation 13.8kV integration (101571). In addition, it will improve reliability by reducing restoration times as well as improve operating flexibility of the 44 kV system within the Alliston area. The pole line is within Alectra service territory. |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Not Applicable. |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | Comparative Information on Equivalent | Not Applicable. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | Not Applicable. |
| | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable | Not Applicable. |
| | Description of Incorporation of Advanced Technology, if applicable | Not Applicable. |
| | Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits | Improve reliability by reducing restoration times as well as improve operating flexibility of the 44 kV system within the Alliston area. |
| | | |

| 600,000 - | | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|-----------|------|------|--|
| 500,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 400,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 300,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 200,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 100,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 0 - | 2010 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2022 | 2024 | |
| | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$498,135 | Ş0 | Ş0 | \$0 | \$498,135 | \$0 | ŞU | |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| rency scale is in literal | | | | | | | |



| utilities | | |
|---|--|---|
| Project Code | 100319 | |
| Project Name | Radial Supply Remediation/Conversion - 13.8 kV | to 27.6 kV on Miller Ave |
| Major Category | System Renewal | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South |
| | Location | Miller Ave from Woodbine Ave to Rodick Rd in the City of Markham |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Concrete Opgoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | Project will delierate ongoing it owiak costs | NO |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | *Entered Manually in Forecast |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Overhead Asset Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Voltage Conversion |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | This project is to rebuild existing pole line to 27.6 kV with provision for 2nd 27.6kV in the future (approx. 1 km) and |
| | | convert existing 11 customers (2MVA connected in total) on Miller Ave & Rodick Rd into 27.6KV supply. They are supplied by 13.8kV feeder AMR-F1 from Amber MS |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigate Failure Risks |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | High |
| | | City of Markham is to widen the Miller Ave and it is a good opportunity to upgrade the existing pole line. It will |
| | | decrease the outage impacts due to deteriorating underground system assets. |
| | | There have been many outages in Amber MS due to animal contacts and customers on Miller Ave have been |
| | | complaining about reliability and power interruption. |
| | | There are 13 customers on Miller Ave, and they are supplied by teeder AMBF1 from Amber MS. The SAIDLof AMBE1 was 2.86 hours in 2017 and 2 hours in 2018. Both are higher than Alestra average of approx. 1 |
| | | hour (Excluding LOS and MED). |
| | | The 13 8kV feeder on Miller Ave is a radial feeder any outage in the Amber MS affects the customers on Miller Avenue |
| | | until repairs are completed. The customer on Miller Avenue will be a loop supply once converted to 27.6kV. |
| | | This project will allow the decommission of Amber MS and John MS since the only customers on these MS will be the |
| | | customers on Miller Ave . Once this project is completed, both MS and associated 13.8kV feeders can be removed. |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | 11 customers |
| | Safety | 2,84 KVA Not applicable |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not applicable |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not applicable |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | Status and is do nothing continue to supply customers with the existing pole line on Miller Ave. |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | |
| | | This option is not feasible. The existing 13.8kV pole line has to be relocated when the City of Markham widens the Millor Aug |
| | Alternative #1 | The existing 13.8kV pole line has to be relocated when the City of Markham widens the Miller Ave. |
| | | Alternative 1 is to rebuild the existing 12 9kV note line into 12 9kV during road widening and all surfamore remain as |
| | | 13.8kV supply. The customers will be on radial supply again. Amber MS will continue to be required to supply the |
| | | 13.8kV customers. |
| | | Alectra long term goal is to convert 13.8kV into 27.6kV and eliminate MS in Markham. This option does not line with |
| | | Alectra's long term goal. |
| | | |
| | Alternative #/ | NOT ADDIICADIE |

| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | The existing 13.8kV supply is a radial feeder. There have been many outages in Amber MS due to animal contacts and customers on Miller Ave have been complaining about reliability and power interruption. A project (103676) "Install one 13.8kV cct on Rodick Rd" was approved in Alectra's 2015 capital budget to extend one 13.8kV cct on Rodick Rd from 14th Ave to Miller Ave so that customers on Miller Ave will be on a 13.8kV cot on Sodick Rd from 14th Ave to Miller Aves on the supplied from Rodick Rd. The project was budgeted for \$250k, but the budget increased to \$668k after the design was completed. The project was put on hold as City of Markham informed PowerStream in 2015 that it had plans to widen Miller Avenue. In that case, all the existing 13.8kV poles would have to be relocated. |
|--|---|--|
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | The risk is to get approval from the City of Markham in time. Capital design will start the design of the project in advance and should get the approvals in place in advance. The other risk is the coordination with the Miller Ave widening project. The project will be deferred if the City of Markham defers the road widening work. |
| | | Project management will be implemented to ensure the project is on time and on budget. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Alectra has been working very closely with York Region on several road widening project in the past a few years. Usually Alectra provide high level estimates at the early stage of the project. A details estimate will be prepared once the pole line design is completed. |
| | | Alectra has an existing 13.8kV pole line on Miller Ave and City of Markham will partially be responsible for the cost of relocation and Alectra will be 100% responsible for the conversion and 2nd cct. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | Not applicable |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and | Not applicable |
| | Performance Record Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | 0 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) | Not applicable |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) | Not applicable |
| | Value of Customer Impact | Low |
| | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Ine project will be deferred if the City of Markham defers the road widening work. Not applicable |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | This project will provide much better reliability for customers on Miller Ave since the 27.6kV feeders in the area are much more reliable than 13.8kV feeders from Amber MS. |
| | | The customers on Miller Ave are supplied by 13.8kV Amb-F1 feeder. After this project is completed, the customers will be on a 27.6kV feeder 10M3, which has a better reliability. Therefore, the outage time and outage frequency will be reduced significantly. |
| | | The existing pole line on Miller Ave was installed in 1970 and is 49 years old. It is near the end of life. After this project, pole line will be brand new, and it will improve safety to the line crew and the public. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | Not applicable |

| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|-------------|------|--|--|
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$1,537,104 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,537,104 | \$0 | | |
| 0 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| 200,000 | | | | | | | | |
| 400,000 | | | | | | | | |
| 600,000 | | | | | | | | |
| 800,000 | | | | | | | | |
| 1,000,000 | | | | | | | | |
| 1,200,000 | | | | | | | | |
| 1,400,000 | | | | | | | | |
| 1,600,000 | | | | | | | | |
| 1,800,000 | | | | | | | | |



Project Code 100337 Project Name Markham TS #4 Feeder Egress Part 3 Major Category System Service Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** Legacy PowerStream South 2. Additional Information Service Territory Location On Rodick Rd from Markham TS#4 to 14th Ave in Markham, approx, 1.5km Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Componen Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Capacity (Lines) Alectra Subcategory Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary This is the Part 3 of Markham TS #4 Feeder Integration Plan. This project is to install four 27.6kV feeders from MTS4 along Rodick Rd to 14th Ave. These feeders will be connected to existing feeders on 14th Ave and Miller Ave. This project will increase supply capacity by 80 MVA to support growth and development in Markham. The feeders will be underground from MTS4 to Rodick, and crossing Hwy 407 via ducts in the bridge to Miller Ave, appox 0.8 km. They will be overhead installation from Miller Ave to 14th Ave, approx 0.7 km. Main Driver - System Service Support Capacity Delivery Priority and Reasons for Priority High. Alectra Utilities requires to prepare the distributions system to address the system capacity need driven by intensification and redevelopment One data center at 371 Gough Rd has been in service since 2014. The initial load is 2 MW and ultimate will be 7 MW . One data center at 4175-14th Ave has been in service since 2015. The initial load will be 5MW and ultimate will be 10 MW eventually. CDM is considered and load forecast is net of CDM. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not Applicable. Safety Not Applicable. Cyber-Security, Privacy Not Applicable. Coordination, Interoperability Not Applicable. Economic Development Not Applicable. Environmental Benefits Not Applicable. 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Quo The status quo is to do nothing, (i.e., not build project as proposed), but to supply load growth from existing facilities. It will impact Alectra distribution system in two following aspects: Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) Capacity A few data center projects are underway along 14th Ave and the peak demand is expected to increase by 20MVA. The Woodbine Ave and Steelcase area is to be redeveloped and new load is expected to added to the system. The existing feeders don't have sufficient capacity for the new load and new feeders are required. Status Quo will jeopardize Alectra's obligation to supply new customers along 14th Ave. The impact severity and timing will depend on the schedule of the ramping-up of customers on 14th Ave. Power Quality Both MTS1 and MTS3 are Jones type stations that tie breakers are closed normally. Feeders on both 27.6kV buses are subject to the same voltage sag impact when a fault occurred on the feeders. A few voltage sensitive customers have complained about the impact. There are no specific costs with the status quo, there are financial risks that are detailed in the risk section. Alectra will not be able supply customers along 14th Ave and Woodbine Ave. There will be more complaints about power quality in the future. Customers will be at risk of lengthier and more impactive outages. This will negatively impact SAIDI and SAIFI. Alectra will be at risk of compromising supply to new loads along 14th Ave and Woodbine Ave that may have negative impacts on our corporate reputation and mission. Alectra is obligated to service future growth within its service territory using "good utility practice". Failure to provide adequate levels of service could lead to regulatory sanctions, and customer damage claims.

| | Alternative # | 1 | | Alectra Utilities' load forecast process considers the impact of CDM and distributed generation, which is accounted for as part of the load forecast underpinning the lines capacity projects. Alectra Utilities has considered solar and storage options and determined that this option is not economical for the capacity that is required. Based on typical loading of 15-20 MW the cost of non- wire alternatives would 15 times that of traditional solution. | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Alternative #2 Justification for Recommended Alternative | | | Not Applicable. The primary driver for this Investment is to increase supply reliability and supply capacity to the area bounded by Woodbine Ave, Steeles Ave, Kennedy Rd and Hwy 407. The recommended alternative was chosen for the following reasons: To increase supply capacity to the area. This project is going to add 80 MVA capacity to the distribution system to increase supply capacity to Steelcase area, and Warden Ave/14th Ave area. There will be intensification in the south end of Markham. Additional supply capacity is required for the new growth. To increase supply reliability to the area. This project will also provide supply capacity from different transmission line so it will also increase supply reliability. | | | | | | |
| | | | There are many data centers in the Warden/14th Av area. They always demand high supply diversity, i.e., feeders from different buses, different transformer stations and even different transmission lines. MTS4 is supplied from Buttonville Line and it is a different transmission line than that supplying the area now. New feeders from MTS4 will provide transmission line diversity to the area and satisfy customers' needs. A few data center projects are underway and the peak demand is expected to increase by 20MVA.The existing feeders don't have sufficient casacity for the new load and new feeders are required. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | There are many sensitive big customers along 14th Ave that have two supplies to their facilities. The feeders are from either MTS1 or MTS3. MTS1 is on the transmission line C35P/C36P and MTS3 is on the transmission line V71P/V75P. But both MTS1 and MTS3 are Jones type stations that tie breakers are closed normally. Feeders on both 27.6kV buses are subject to the same voltage sag impact when a fault occurred on the feeders. A few voltage sensitive customers have complained about the impact. | | | | | | |
| | | | | Funding this p Additionally if protections be | project will enable Alectra will allow us to operate t etween source and load a | to meet its regulatory d he system in an efficien nd having adequate bac | uty to supply the customers t and effective manner by p kup capacity in the event of | s in our service area. roviding coordinated f an outage. | | |
| | | | | MTS4 has two 75/100/125 MVA transformers and the 27.kV bus tie is normally open. It will improve voltage sag issue to the customers. | | | | | | |
| | | | | The new four MTS1. They w under conting | ccts on Rodick Rd serve a vill provide 120 MVA conti gency such as pole failures | s ties between ccts on W ingency capacity and wil 5, TS failure, and transm | /oodbine Ave from MTS4 ar I allow load transfer betwee hission line outage. | nd ccts on 14th Ave from en transformer stations | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | | The risk is to get approval from the City of Markham and MTO in time. Capital design will start the design of the project in advance and should get the approvals in place in time. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Customers load ramping up schedule will impact the timing and priority. | | | | | | |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | | | There are two -Four feeders | similar feeder egress pro from MTS4 to Woodbine | jects from MTS4: Ave via Yorktech Blvd vi | a underground ductbank. | | | |
| | Total Capital Energy Gener | and OM&A Costs for Reneration portion of Projects (i | wable if any) | o | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Cu terms of Cost | ustomers of Project Express Impact, where practicable | sed in | Not Applicabl | e. | | | | | |
| | Regional Elec which affect I | tricity Infrastructure Requi Project, if applicable | irements | Not Applicable. | | | | | | |
| | Description o Technology, i | f Incorporation of Advance f applicable | ed | Not Applicable. | | | | | | |
| | Identify any r coordination | eliability, efficiency, safety benefits | or | This project will increase power supply reliability and reduce risk of prolonged outages. | | | | | | |
| | | | | The project w efficiency and | ill provide for incrementa effectiveness of these sta | l feeder tie points betwe ations. | een MTS1 and MTS4. It will i | improve the operational | | |
| | 6,000,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5,000,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4,000,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3,000,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2,000,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,000,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 2019 | | | | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | : \$4,917,602 | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$4,917,602 | \$0 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | | |



| Project Code | 100340 | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Project Name | Vaughan TS#4 Feeder Integration - Part 3 | |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South |
| | Location | Various locations in Vaughan |
| | | The project scope includes following constructions in year one: |
| | | -New 4 ccts in UG on easement from VTS4 to Kirby Sdrd – 0.2 km |
| | | -New 4 ccts pole line on Kirby Sdrd from VTS4 to Kipling Ave – 0.3 km |
| | | - 4 ccts existing/new pole line on Kipling Ave from Kirby Sdrd to Teston Rd – 2 km |
| | | -New 4 ccts pole line on Teston Rd from Kipling Ave to Pine Valley Drive – 2 km |
| | | -2nd cct on existing pole line on Teston Rd from Pine Valley Drive (PVD) to Weston Rd – 2 km |
| | | -2nd cct on existing pole line on Pine Valley Drive from Teston Rd to MMD – 2 km |
| | | The project scope includes following constructions in year two: |
| | | -Adding 2 ccts on existing pole line on Teston Rd from Weston Rd to Jane St - 2 km |
| | | -Adding 2 ccts on existing pole line or rebuild pole into 4 ccts where necessary on Weston Rd from MMD to Rutherford |
| | Units | Rd – 2km 1 |
| | Project Class | - Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Capacity (Lines) |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | This project is necessary to bring four 27.6kV feeders (25M5/25M6/25M7/25M8) out from VTS4 and integrate them into the existing distribution system. This project will be implemented in 2 years to minimize the impact on Alectra budget and resources. |
| | | The project scope includes following constructions in year one: |
| | | -New 4 ccts in UG on easement from VTS4 to Kirby Sdrd – 0.2 km |
| | | -New 4 ccts pole line on Kirby Sdrd from VTS4 to Kipling Ave – 0.3 km |
| | | - 4 ccts existing/new pole line on Kipling Ave from Kirby Sdrd to Teston Rd – 2 km |
| | | -New 4 ccts pole line on Teston Rd from Kipling Ave to Pine Valley Drive – 2 km |
| | | -2nd cct on existing pole line on Teston Rd from Pine Valley Drive (PVD) to Weston Rd – 2 km |
| | | -2nd cct on existing pole line on Pine Valley Drive from Teston Rd to MMD – 2 km |
| | | The project scope includes following constructions in year two: |
| | | -Adding 2 ccts on existing pole line on Teston Rd from Weston Rd to Jane St – 2 km |
| | | -Adding 2 ccts on existing pole line or rebuild pole into 4 ccts where necessary on Weston Rd from MMD to Rutherford |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Rd – 2km Support Capacity Delivery |
| | | |

| _ | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | High. |
| | | Alectra Utilities requires to prepare the distributions system to address the system capacity need driven by intensification and redevelopment. |
| | | The site for Vaughan TS4 (VTS4) is located at north-west corner of Kirby Sdrd and Kipling Ave in Vaughan. It will supply City of Vaughan. VTS4 has been constructed with 2x75/125 MVA transformers in a DESN arrangement with 12 feeder positions. Vaughan TS4 has been in-service since December 2017 with four feeders. |
| | | Major future load growth areas in Vaughan are summarized below: |
| | | Data Center Digital Realty has built a new data center at 1 Century Place (former Tostar building) in 2017. As per their website, this Canadian wholesale data centre spans over 66,000 square metres (711,000 square feet) with a critical power capacity of up to 46 MW. |
| | | The data centre offers the best in flexibility and performance. It features 23 computer rooms ranging from 800 to 1,200 square meters (8,600 to 13,000 square feet) and can accommodate power capacities between 1.0 and 3.0 megawatts. Resiliencies range from N to 2N. The customer indicated that the ultimate load may go to 72MW. The data center is supplied by two dedicated 27.6kV feeders from VTS2. VTS2 had approx. 30MW capacity left. To accommodate this data center, 40MW of loads on VTS2 have to be transferred to other stations through feeder reconfiguration. Two new feeders are required from VTS4. |
| | | West Vaughan Employment Area |
| | | The West Vaughan Employment Area Secondary Plan sets out detailed policies to create a large economic opportunity for York Region. With over 500 hectares of employment designated lands, this area will continue to allow the City of Vaughan to attract a wide range of businesses requiring large tracks of land with excellent Regional road and provincial highway access. The Secondary Plan is planned to accommodate approximately 20,120 employees. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | The near demand for this development is estimated to be EONAW to 90 NAW when fully will out, but no time line is Not Applicable. |
| | Safety | Not Applicable. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable. |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not Applicable. |
| | Economic Development | Not Applicable. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | of Status Quo | The status quo is to do nothing, (i.e., not build project as proposed), but to supply load growth from existing facilities. It will impact Alectra distribution system in two following aspects: |
| | | Reliability VTS4 is supplied from 230kV Minden transmission line, while the existing transformer stations in Vaughan are supplied by 230kV Parkway line (VTS1/VTS1E and VTS2) or Kleinburg Line (VTS3). VTS4 will provide backup capacity to other TS in case of transmission line contingency. This will add transmission line diversity to Alectra's distribution system. |
| | | Capacity Two feeders 21M5 and 21M11 from VTS2 supply Vaughan Metro Center (VMC). The peak of VTS2 was 110 MW in 2017 due to abnormal feeder configuration and cool than normal summer weather. It was 133MW in 2016 and only 20MW capacity left before it reaches the 10 day Limited Time Rating (LTR) of 153 MW. A new data center with demand up to 72MW has been built near VTS2. It does not have extra capacity to supply new loads in the VMC development (approx. 100MW), In fact, VTS2 needs to off loaded to supply the new data center. CDM is considered for all projects and load forecast is net of CDM. |
| | | The peak demand of VTS3 was 145 MW in 2017, and it has only 8 MW left for future development in Vaughan West Employment land. |
| | | Status Quo will cause VTS#2 and VTS#3 to exceed its LTR under 1-in-10 weather (extreme summer temperatures) in the long term. The impact severity and timing will depend on the schedule of the VMC and Vaughan West Employment development. |
| | | There are no specific costs with the status quo, there are financial risks that are detailed in the risk section. |
| | | Operating transformer stations over LTRs violates Alectra's planning philosophy and good utility practice. |
| | | Customers will be at risk of lengthier and more impactive outages. This will negatively impact SAIDI and SAIFI. Alectra will be at risk of compromising supply to new loads in Vaughan north and new hospital areas that may have negative impacts on our corporate reputation and mission. |
| | | Alectra is obligated to service future growth within its service territory using "good utility practice". Failure to provide adequate levels of service could lead to regulatory sanctions, and customer damage claims. |
| | Alternative #1 Alternative #2 | Not Applicable. Not Applicable. |
| - | | |

| | Justification f | for Recommended Alternati | tive S | Status quo wa • it does not a | s not chosen for the follow ddress risks to the reliabil | wing reasons: ity of customers in Vaugh | an and does not meet syst | em needs for supply | |
|--|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| | | | C | capacity to en | sure loadings on VTS2 are | kept to acceptable level. | | | |
| | | | - - - - - - - | The recommended alternative (VTS4 Feeder Integration Plan-Part 3) was chosen for the following reasons: It improves the reliability situation mentioned in the status quo option It will increase supply capacity to VMC and Vaughan West. It will meet the immediate need for supply capacity. It is consistent with the VTS4 feeder integration plan Funding this project will enable Alectra to meet its regulatory duty to supply the customers in our service area. Additionally it will allow us to operate the system in an efficient and effective manner by providing coordinated protections between source and load and having adequate backup capacity in the event of an outage. | | | | | |
| | | | , 1 | They will prov | ide 80 MVA supply capacit | ty | p capacity in the event of | an outage. | |
| | | | - | 25M5 and 25 | 46 will be tanged to existi | ng oots on Weston Rd s/o | Rutherford and they will | replace existing feeders | |
| | | | i I | 21M3 and 21 Feeder 21M3 ncrease as ne | A4 from VTS2. It will redu and 21M4 will be re-route w customers are connected | ice peak on VTS2 by 40M ed to VMC area to supply ed to feeder 21M3 and 21 | /A right way. the new development. The M4. | peak demand on VTS2 will | |
| | | | | 25M7 and 25 will off load fe West. Feeder | //8 will be tapped to existi eder 22/13 and 22/12 f 25//25/18 will also supp | ng lower ccts on Teston F rom VTS3 so that they ca oly new hospital. | d from Weston Rd to Jane n be used to supply new d | St. Feeder 25M7/25M8 evelopment in Vaughan | |
| | | | ן כ ר | Feeders 25M5 contingency c IS failure, and This project w | /25M6/25M7/25M8 also apacity and will allow loac transmission line outage. ill increase power supply r | serve as ties between VTS I transfer between transf eliability and reduce risk | 4 and VTS1, VTS2, VTS3. T ormer stations under conti of prolonged outages. | hey will provide 120 MVA ngency such as pole failures, | |
| | | | ۲ م | The completic developments | n of this project will allow in their respective service | v for VTS1, VTS1E, VTS2, V e areas. | TS3 and VTS4 to adequate | ly supply new | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | | ent 1 a | The project will provide for incremental feeder tie points between VTS4 and VTS1, VTS2, VTS3. It will improve the constituent officiency and officationers of these stations. The risk is to get approval from the City of Vaughan in time. Capital design will start the design of the project in advance and should get the approvals in place in advance. | | | | | |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent | | | Not Applicable | 2. | | | | |
| | Historical Pro Total Capital Energy Gener | ijects (if any) and OM&A Costs for Renev ration portion of Projects (if | wable (fany) |) | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Co terms of Cost | ustomers of Project Express Impact, where practicable | sed in I | Not Applicable | 2. | | | | |
| | Regional Elect | tricity Infrastructure Requin Project, if applicable | rements I | Not Applicable | 2. | | | | |
| | Description o | of Incorporation of Advance | ed I | Not Applicable | 2. | | | | |
| | Identify any r | eliability, efficiency, safety | or 1 | This project w | ill increase power supply r | reliability and reduce risk | of prolonged outages. | | |
| | | | T C | The completion of this project will allow for VTS1, VTS1E, VTS2, VTS3 and VTS4 to adequately supply new developments in their respective service areas. | | | | | |
| | | | T C | The project wi operational ef | Il provide for incremental ficiency and effectiveness | feeder tie points betwee of these stations. | n VTS4 and VTS1, VTS2, VT | S3. It will improve the | |
| | 6,000,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 5,000,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 4,000,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 3,000,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 2,000,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,000,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2010 | 20 | 120 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | · \$8 787 686 | \$0 | 20 | 50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5 202 312 | \$3 585 374 | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | ب د | - | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| | | υÇ | ç | | υç | νç | υç | υç | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | |



Project Code 100632 Project Name 27.6 kV Pole Line on 14th Ave from Hwy 48 to 9th Line Major Category System Service Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream South Location On 14th Ave from Hwy 48 to 9th Line in Markham, approx, 2km. Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Capacity (Lines) Alectra Subcategory Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Rebuild the existing 2 ccts pole line into four 27.6 kV ccts on 14th Ave between Hwy 48 and 9th Line, and install necessary load interrupter switches as per Alectra's design standard. This project will extend 2 feeders (24M3/24M6) on 14th Ave from Hwy 48 to 9th Line. 24M3/24M6 will connect to the existing 2 ccts on 14th Ave east of 9th Line so that feeder 24M3/24M6 can be rerouted to Box Grove area and Cornell area. The purpose of this project is to increase the supply capacity to Markham East. Main Driver - System Service Support Capacity Delivery Priority and Reasons for Priority High. Alectra Utilities requires to prepare the distributions system to address the system capacity need driven by green field expansion Installing two additional 27.6kV circuits on 14th Ave will: 1. Address the loading issue of Cornell and Box Grove both in the short and long term. 2. Provide alternate supply route for Cornell development to increase reliability. 3. Consistent with the original Markham TS4 feeder integration plan and business case. The Cornell Community (OPA#20) is bounded by north of 16th Ave to the north, Reesor Road to the east, south of Hwy 7 to the south, and 9th Line to the west. It will accommodate approximately 16,000 dwelling units with approximately 46.000 people and 10.000 to 13.000 jobs. The commercial/business parks will be located at east end of Cornell development (Reesor Rd/Hwy 7 area). The total load is estimated to be 46 MW when it is fully built out. CDM is considered for all projects and load forecast is net of CDM. This development has been infilling for the past 5 years and is about 25% completed. Adjacent to the Cornell Development is the development named Box Grove (OPA#92). It has 2,600 dwelling units and will have 10,000 additional residents when completed. This development is at 50% completion and is expected to be fully developed with next few years. The existing feeders in the area don't have sufficient capacity to supply future growth. The original feeder integration plan for Markham Transformer Station Four (MTS4) was to reroute two new feeders (24M3 and 24M6) to provide additional capacity for developments in Cornell and Boxgrove All existing supplies to Cornell are radial from 9th Line, meaning that any pole failure on 9th Line will cause large scale and prolonged outages to the customers. This project will increase reliability of Cornell area and avoid blackout situation by supplying Cornell from Reesor Rd. These two feeders will allow loads to be supplied from both the east and west Two new feeders are required for Markham east. It has been planned to reroute feeder 24M3/M6 to this area by rebuilding multiple sections of pole lines: Section 1: Rebuild pole line on 14th Ave from 9th Line to Reesor Rd into 2 ccts pole line (2km). This section has been completed. Section 2: Rebuild pole line on Reesor Rd from 14th Ave to Hwy 7 into 2 ccts pole line (2km). This section has been completed. vild note line on 14th Ave from Huse 48 to 0th Line into 4 este note line (2km) Not Applicable. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety Not Applicable. Cyber-Security, Privacy Not Applicable. Coordination, Interoperability Not Applicable. Not Applicable. Economic Development Environmental Benefits Not Applicable
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | The status quo is to do nothing and supply the future growth from the existing area feeders: 24M4, 24M5, 24M7 and 24M8. The peaks of these feeders in summer 2018 were: 24M4 327A 24M5 402A 24M5 402A 24M8 204A |
|---|--|---|
| | | Feeders 24M5 was over planning limit and feeder 24M4 is approaching the planning limit (400A). They have no extra capacity to supply new loads. Existing load over planning limits should be redirected to alternate supplies. Feeder 24M8 has additional capacity to supply new load or to take on redirected load. Feeders 24M4/24M7/24M8 combined have only 22 MVA capacity for future load growth. |
| | | Feeder 24M7 supplies customers on the east side of 9th Line between Hwy 7 and Steeles Ave, and load on Reesor Rd between Steeles Ave and Major Mack Dr. The peak demand of 24M7 was 223A in 2018. The incremental capacity remaining in the feeder is insufficient to accommodate future growth in the area. In addition, feeder 24M7 is a rural feeder with a total trunk feeder length of 40 km. Alectra's typical urban feeder length average is 10km to 16 km. |
| | | To continue to supply Cornell and Box Grove from the 24M7, as configured, will result in decreased reliability (long feeder length greatly exposes customers to higher than normal interruptions) and voltage drop issues as the feeder is loaded up. |
| | | In summary, there is insufficient capacity to service the Cornell and Box Grove areas after 2023. New feeder capacity is required to supply load growth in the area beyond 2023. Several sections need to be built to route capacity to the Cornell area. This project is required to meet future growth. |
| | | Based on status of Cornell development, additional 30 MW's is expected to be added to the system when it is fully developed. Two additional 27.6 kV feeders are required. |
| | | Looping Alectra has adopted "Open Grid Network" planning philosophy, i.e., loop supply with normal open points. Under Status Quo, all existing supplies to Cornell and Box Grove are radial from 9th Line meaning that any pole failure on 9th Line will cause large scale and prolonged outages to the customers. It has been planned to form a double ccts 27.6kV loop around Cornell and Box Grove via 9th Line, 14th Ave, Hwy 7, Reesor Rd and 16th Ave. |
| | Alternative #1 | Non-wires |
| | | considered during the needs |
| | | Alectra Utilities has considered non wire alternative (solar and storage option) and determined that this option is not economical for the capacity that is required. Based on typical capacity of 20 MW per feeder the cost of non-wire alternatives would 15 times that of traditional solution and hence this option has been rejected. |
| | Alternative #2 Justification for Recommended Alternative | Not applicable Installing 4 circuits on 14th Ave from Hwy 48 to 9th Line will reroute feeder 24M3/24M6 from Hwy 48 to 9th Line to Box Grove area. |
| | | Status Quo was not chosen for the following reasons: |
| | | 1. Status Quo does meet long term supply to Cornell and Box Grove. |
| | | The recommended alternative (Build 4 ccts on 14th Ave) was chosen for the following reasons: |
| | | It addresses the loading issue of Cornell and Box Grove both in the short and long term. It reduces the risk of customer outages that might arise as a result of increasing loading on existing feeders. It is consistent with the original Markham TS4 feeder integration plan and business case. This project is needed to provide 40 MVA supply capacity to Markham east and address the loading issue of Cornell and Box Grove both in the short and long term. This project will also reduce the risk of customer outages that might arise as a result of the long and rural feeder. |
| | | Execution of this investment will alleviate capacity constraints and as well as ensure the availability of sufficient capacity to efficiently connect customers to Alectra Utilities's distribution system. It will allow Alectra Utilities to maintain supply to customers during contingency events and operation flexibility during maintenance and other capital work. This option will help Alectra Utilities maintain service quality and reliability standards for the existing customers as additional load is added to the system. Alectra Utilities plans to construct and configure feeders to present day technical standards to ensure customer choice for integrating distributed generation, electric vehicles and energy storage solutions. |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | The risk is to get approval from the City of Markham in time. Capital design will start the design of the project in advance and approvals should be in place in time. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent | A large number of residential and commercial projects are under construction now. New customers and load are expected in the years to come. Not Applicable. |
| | Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | Not Applicable. |
| | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable | Not Applicable. |
| | Description of Incorporation of Advanced | Not Applicable. |
| | Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits | These two feeders are necessary to increase supply capacity to Cornell by 40 MVA. They will increase supply reliability too. All existing supplies to Cornell are radial from 9th Line, meaning that any pole failure on 9th Line will cause large scale and prolonged outages to the customers. This project will increase reliability of Cornell area and avoid blackout situation by supplying Cornell from Reesor Rd. These two feeders will allow loads to be supplied from both the east and west. |

| 2,500,000 - | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|-------------|------|
| 2,000,000 - | | | | | | |
| 1,500,000 - | | | | | | |
| 1,000,000 - | | | | | | |
| 500,000 | | | | | | |
| 0 | | | | | | |
| 0 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$2,039,021 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,039,021 | \$0 |
| | | | | | | |



| utilities | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Project Code | 100904 | |
| Project Name | Install Double Cct Pole Line on Major Mackenzie | e - Hwy 27 to Huntington Rd |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South |
| | Location | From Hwy 27 to Huntington Rd in Vaughan The schedule of this project will depend on VR road widening work of Major Mack Dr |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology Component | No |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Capacity (Lines) |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | This project is to: 1. re-build existing single phase 4.8kV pole line into double 27.6kV ccts on Major Mack Dr from Hwy 27 to Huntington Rd |
| | Main Driver - System Service | extend two ccts from on Major Mack Dr from Hwy 27 to Huntington Rd supply existing customers and new developments along Major Mack from the new ccts. Support Capacity Delivery |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Alectra Utilities requires to prepare the distributions system to address the system capacity need driven by green field expansion. |
| | | The vacant lands on both sides of Major Mack Dr are part of the The Vaughan Enterprise Zone. They will be developed and customers are expected in the coming years. |
| | | There is one 4.8kV single phase cct on the west half of the section and there is one 27.6kV cct (1/0 AL) on the east half the section. They don't have sufficient capacity for future developments in the area. |
| | | York Region is going to widen Major Mackenzie Drive from Hwy 27 to Huntington Rd, and further west to Hwy 50. Existing pole line has to be relocated due to road widening work. There is opportunity for Alectra to rebuild the existing single phase 4.8 kV cct to double 27.6kV ccts in conjunction with the pole line relocation project. Building 2 ccts in conjunction with the road widening project will reduce the cost and traffic impact. |
| | | The Vaughan Enterprise Zone The Vaughan Enterprise Zone covers more than 3,800 acres, or approximately 1,566 hectares of employment land at Vaughan's western boundaries. The size of the enterprise zone makes it one of the largest employment areas in the Greater Toronto Area, and paired with transportation infrastructure in close proximity, potentially one of the most valuable employment areas in the province. The existing profile of the Enterprise Zone includes national head offices, international and national logistics and distribution centers, and some manufacturing. Overall, the area is projected to accommodate 60,000 jobs over the next 20 years. The estimated demand will be 90MW. CDM is considered and load forecast is net of CDM. |
| | | The strength of the area is the existing transportation network that services it. Presently the employment area has direct access to Highway 407, as well as Highways 7, 27, and 50. In addition, Highway 427, which already connects to the Enterprise Zone, is planned to expand northward through the Enterprise Zone to Major Mackenzie Drive, opening up industrial and commercial opportunities north of Highway 7. All of these routes provide access to the Highway 401 corridor, which connects to the rest of Canada and important North American trade networks. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety Cyber-Security, Privacy Coordination, Interoperability Economic Development | Not Applicable. Not Applicable. Not Applicable. Not Applicable. This project will support ICI development in west Vaughan. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable. |

| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | The status quo is to do nothing, (i.e., not build project as proposed), but to supply load growth from existing facilities. It will impact Alectra distribution system in two following aspects: |
|---|--|---|
| | | Capacity There is one single phase 4.8kV cct on Major Mack Dr between Huntington and Hwy 27. It does not have the capacity to supply Vaughan West Employment Area. |
| | | Status Quo will jeopardize Alectra's obligation to supply new customers in Vaughan West Employment Area developments. The impact severity and timing will depend on the Vaughan West Employment Area development progressing. |
| | | Reliability There is one single phase 4.8kV cct on Major Mack Dr between Huntington and Hwy 27. This does not conform to Alectra's adopted "Open Grid Network" planning philosophy, i.e., loop supply with normal open points. |
| | | The Kleindor development (north east corner of Major Mack Dr and the railway track) is supplied from Hwy 27 through a radial underground cable. It has 622 customers, and 83 transformers or 4,575 kVA connected. Any failure on the cable will cause large scale and prolonged outages to the customers. There are no specific costs with the status quo, there are financial risks that are detailed in the risk section. |
| | | Alectra will not be able supply all customers in Vaughan West employment developments when fully built. In addition, customers in Kleindor development will be on a radial supply. |
| | | Supplying large number of customers in new developments radially violates Alectra's planning philosophy and good utility practice. |
| | | Customers will be at risk of lengthier and more impactive outages. This will negatively impact SAIDI and SAIFI. |
| | | Alectra will be at risk of compromising supply to new loads in Kleindor, and Vaughan west development areas that may have negative impacts on our corporate reputation and mission. |
| | Alternative #1 | Alectra is obligated to service future growth within its service territory using "good utility practice". Failure to provide adequate levels of service could lead to regulatory sanctions, and customer damage claims. |
| | | Alectra Utilities' load forecast process considers the impact of CDM and distributed generation and has been |
| | | considered during the needs. |
| | | For this project these options have not been considered as new feeders are needed to connect the customers to grid. |
| | Alternative #2 Justification for Recommended Alternative | Not Applicable. There is one single phase 4.8kV cct on Major Mack Dr between Huntington and Hwy 27. It does not have the capacity to supply Vaughan West. This project is required to supply new development on Major Mack between Hwy 27 and Huntington Rd. It includes following major future load growth areas in Vaughan are summarized below: |
| | | West Vaughan Employment Area The West Vaughan Employment Area Secondary Plan sets out detailed policies to create a large economic opportunity for York Region. With over 500 hectares of employment designated lands, this area will continue to allow the City of Vaughan to attract a wide range of businesses requiring large tracks of land with excellent Regional road and provincial highway access. The Secondary Plan is planned to accommodate approximately 20,120 employees. There are 1,400 acres of vacant land on both sides of Major Mackenzie Drive that has been zoned as employment land. The potential load from these lands will be significant. The peak demand for this development is estimated to be SOMW to 80 MW when fully built out, but no time line is available at this time. Four 27.6kV feeders are required to supply the new load. |
| | | This project improves the reliability situation mentioned in the status quo option. There is one single phase 4.8kV cct on Major Mack Dr between Huntington and Hwy 27. Alectra has adopted "Open Grid Network" planning philosophy, i.e., loop supply with normal open points. The Kleindor development is supplied from Hwy 27 through a radial underground cable, meaning that any pole failure on the cable will cause large scale and prolonged outages to the customers. |
| | | Funding this project will enable Alectra to meet its regulatory duty to supply the customers in our service area. Additionally it will allow us to operate the system in an efficient and effective manner by providing coordinated protections between source and load and having adequate backup capacity in the event of an outage. |
| | | In the short term, this project increase supply reliability for Kleindor development. |
| | | In the long term, a new transformer station VTS4 has been built in the Kirby and Kipling area and two new feeders from VTS4 will be extend to Hwy 27/Major Mack Dr area. This project will reroute the 40MVA capacity of VTS4 to supply Vaughan West development. The two ccts on Major Mack Dr serve as ties between ccts on Hwy 27 from VTS4 and ccts on Huntington Rd from Kleinburg TS. They will provide 60 MVA contingency capacity and will allow load transfer between transformer stations under contingency such as pole failures, TS failure, and transmission line outage. |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Execution of this investment will allowing constructions and as well as assued to availability of orificiant. The risk is to get approval from the City of Vaughan and York Region in time. York Region is going to rebuild Major Mack Dr in advance. The pole line construction schedule will depend on road widening schedule. Capital design will work closely with the Region and City to coordinate the project. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent | Not Applicable. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | Not Applicable. |
| | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable | Not Applicable. |

| Description Technology | of Incorporation of Advan- (, if applicable | ced Not Applicab | le. | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------|---|---|------------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| Identify an coordinatio | dentify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits | | It will also establish ties between feeders on Hwy 27 and feeders on Huntington Rd. It will also increase power supply reliability in the west part of Vaughan. | | | | | |
| | | This project v | vill increase power supply r | reliability and reduce ris | k of prolonged outages. | | | |
| | | The project w operational e | vill provide for incremental fficiency and effectiveness | feeder tie points betwe of these stations. | en VTS3 and Kleinburg TS. It | will improve the | | |
| 4,000,000 | | | | | | | | |
| 3,500,000 | | | | | | | | |
| 3,000,000 | | | | | | | | |
| 2,500,000 | | | | | | | | |
| 2,000,000 | | | | | | | | |
| 1,500,000 | | | | | | | | |
| 1,000,000 | | | | | | | | |
| 500.000 | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | | | | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$3,650,58 | 6 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,650,586 | \$0 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |



| dtillios | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Project Code | 100909 | |
| Project Name | Rebuild 27.6 kV pole line for 4 Ccts on Warden A | we from Major Mack to Elgin Mills |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South |
| | Location | On Warden Ave from Major Mack Dr to Elgin Mills Rd in Markham - 2 km |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | Νο |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 2. General Project Information (OER) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| S. General Project mornation (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Capacity (Lines) |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Rebuild the existing single cct pole line into 4 ccts, 2 ccts now and 2 ccts provision for future on Warden Ave from |
| | | Major Mack Dr to Elgin Mills Rd in Markham - 2 km, and install several load interrupter switches as per Alectra design |
| | | standard. |
| | | This project is the third part of a multiple year project of rerouting two feeders 12M10/12M11 to Markham Future |
| | | Urban Area. The first part is to add two ccts on Warden Ave from Hwy 7 to 16th Ave that has been completed in 2017. |
| | | The second part is to extend the two ccts on Warden Ave f from 16th Ave to Major Mack Dr, and the fourth part is to |
| | | extend 2 ccts on Warden Ave from Elgin Mills to 19th Ave. The total length is 8km from Hwy 7 to 19th Ave. The timing |
| | | of the fourth part depends on the progress of the FUA development. |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Support Capacity Delivery |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | High |
| | Thoney and reasons for Thoney | Alectra Utilities requires to prepare the distributions system to address the system capacity need driven by green field |
| | | expansion in Markham FUA. |
| | | The existing feeders supplying Markham north don't have sufficient capacity for future growth. |
| | | The city of Markham is being supplied by nine 230/27.6 KV stations and 53-27.6KV feeders. The York Region recently |
| | | issued the growth plans which account for approximately 613,900 new residents and 305,100 new jobs between 2016 |
| | | and 2041. This growth is distributed throughout the York region. |
| | | Markham to provide opportunities for urban growth to the year 2031. The north Markham Future Urban Area covers |
| | | about 1,288 hectares, or 3,183 acres, bordered by Major Mackenzie Drive to the south, the Hydro Corridor and |
| | | Woodbine Avenue to the west, the northerly City limits and Elgin Mills Road to the north, and the Robinson Creek to |
| | | the east. See attached for details. |
| | | |
| | | Approximately 675 hectares (1,668 acres) of developable lands are designated for future neighbourhoods, located |
| | | primarily between Major Mackenzie Drive and Eigin Mills Road. Approximately 300 nectares (741 acres) located north |
| | | approximately 12,000 residential units with a population of approximately 38,000 persons, and approximately 19,000 |
| | | iobs. Based on 2.5kW per unit and 1.5kW per job, it is expected approx. 60 MW of new loads are expected on both |
| | | sides of Warden Ave north of Major Mackenzie Dr. |
| | | CDM is considered for all projects and load forecast is net of CDM. |
| | | |
| | | The existing feeders supplying Markham north don't have sufficient capacity to provide for future load growth. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not Applicable. |
| | | |
| | | The Future Urban Area is intended to accommodate approximately 12,000 residential units with a population of |
| | | approximately 38,000 persons, and approximately 19,000 jobs. The expected load is 60MW. |
| | | This project provide 40 MVA capacity |
| | | This project provide 40 MVA capacity. |
| | Safety | Not Applicable. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable. |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not Applicable. |
| | Economic Development | This provide 40 MVA capacity to area on Warden Ave north of Flgin Mills Rd. It will provide capacity for new residential |
| | | and non-residential development in the FUA area. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable. |

| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | The status quo is to do nothing, (i.e., not build the proposed project), but to supply load growth from existing facilities. It will impact Alectra's distribution system capacity. |
|---|--|--|
| | | Markham north is supplied by two feeders by 10M2 on Woodbine Ave and 12M1 on Warden Ave. Due to a cooler than normal summer weather in 2017, the peak in 2017 was 250A on 10M2 and 240A on 12M1. Each feeder has a capacity of 400A or 20 MVA. The total of these two feeders capacity is 800A (40MVA). There is only 310A or 15MVA capacity left on these two feeders for future development. |
| | | A new development King Square on Woodbine Ave north of 16th Ave is under construction in 2017 and will add new 4MW (approx. 80A) to the system. A Power-to-Gas project (H2 plant) has been built in the Woodbine Ave/Elgin Mills area, and the estimated peak would be 2MW in 2018, and may go up to 5MW after 2020. They will be supplied by feeder 10M2. |
| | | A development has also been proposed in the land south of 19th Ave and east of Woodbine Ave, and the estimated load is 10MW. The timeline is unknown at this time. |
| | | Therefore, existing feeders 10M2 and 12M1 do not have sufficient capacity to supply new loads in the Hwy 404 North development and new urban expansion. |
| | | In the meanwhile, feeders 12M10/12M11 end on Warden Ave just south of 16th Ave. They are very lightly utilized. |
| | | There are no specific costs with the status quo, there are financial risks that are detailed in the risk section. It will cause feeder 10M2 and 12M1 overloading as the developments in Markham progress. Customers will be at risk of lengthier and more impactive outages. This will negatively impact SAIDI and SAIFI. Alectra is obligated to service future growth within its service territory using "good utility practice". Failure to provide adequate levels of service could lead to regulatory sanctions, and customer damage claims. |
| | | Background |
| | | The city of Markham is being supplied by nine 230/27.6 KV stations and 53-27.6KV feeders. The York Region recently issued the growth plans which account for approximately 613,900 new residents and 305,100 new jobs between 2016 and 2041.This growth is distributed throughout the York region. |
| | Alternative #1 Alternative #2 | Alectra load forecast is net of CDM and DG. Feeders are required to connect customers hence non wire alternative has not been considered for this investment. Not applicable |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | This project includes following constructions: Re-build the single 27.6kV cct pole line into 4 ccts 27.6kV pole line on Warden Ave from Major Mack Dr to Elgin Mills |
| | | Connect the new pole line to the existing ccts on Major Mack and Elgin Mills Install LIS switches as per PowerStream design standard |
| | | This project will extend feeder 12M10/12M11 to Markham North and to increase supply capacity and reliability to Hwy 404 North area and FUA area north of Major Mack between Woodbine Ave and Kennedy Rd. |
| | | York Region is working on an Official Planning Amendment which expands the Urban Area of the City of Markham to both sides of Warden Ave to provide opportunities for urban growth to the year 2031. The north Markham Future Urban Area covers about 1,288 hectares (3,183 acres bordered by Major Mackenzie Drive to the south, the Hydro Corridor and Woodbine Avenue to the west, the northerly City limits and Elgin Mills Road to the north, and the Robinson Creek to the east. |
| | | Approximately 675 hectares (1,668 acres) of developable lands are designated for future neighbourhoods, located primarily between Major Mackenzie Drive and Elgin Mills Road. Approximately 300 hectares (741 acres) located north of Elgin Mills Road are designated for employment uses. In total, the Future Urban Area is intended to accommodate approximately 12,000 residential units with a population of approximately 38,000 persons, and approximately 19,000 jobs. It is expected approx. 60 MW of new loads are expected on both sides of Warden Ave north of Major Mackenzie Dr. |
| | | Markham north is supplied by two feeders by 10M2 on Woodbine Ave and 12M1 on Warden Ave. Due to a cooler than normal summer weather in 2017, the peak in 2017 was 250A on 10M2 and 240A on 12M1. Each feeder has a capacity of 400A or 20 MVA. The total of these two feeders capacity is 800A (40MVA). There is only 310A or 15MVA capacity left on these two feeders for future development. |
| | | For the new urban area, they expect to see building permit issued in 2019 and new houses in 2020. It is not clear where the development will start first, but the total distance is 8km from Hwy 7 to 19th Ave. |
| | | Two new 27.6kV feeders are required for the Hwy 404 Development and urban expansion in Markham. The two feeders 12MI0/12M11 have been planned to be rerouted to Warden Ave/Elgin Mills area to supply new growth in Markham |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | The risk is to get approval from the City of Markham and York Region in time. Capital Design will start the design of the project in advance and should get the approvals in place in time. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Customers load ramping up schedule in Markham north area will impact the timing and priority. Not Applicable. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | Not Applicable. |
| | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable | Not Applicable. |
| | Description of Incorporation of Advanced Technology, if applicable | Not Applicable. |

| Identify any | Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or | | This project will increase supply reliability to Markham north. | | | | |
|--|---|------|---|-------------|------|------|--|
| coordination | coordination benefits | | In the short term, the new ccts (12M10/12M11) will off load existing feeders 10M2, 12M4 and 12M1. They will reduce feeder exposure of existing feeders as well as number of customers on the feeders. As a result, they will increase supply reliability. Markham north area is supplied by feeders on Woodbine Ave. Pole line failure will cause extensive and prolonged outages. In the long term, this project will allow Markham North to be supplied from Woodbine Ave and Warden Ave. In case of pole line failure on Woodbine Ave, customers in Markham North will be supplied from Warden Ave, This project will also reduce line losses of existing feeders in the area by reducing loading on these feeders. It will increase system efficiency. | | | | |
| 2,500,000 | | | | | | | |
| 2,000,000 | | | | | | | |
| 1,500,000 | | | | | | | |
| 1,000,000 | | | | | | | |
| 500,000 | | | | | | | |
| 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$2,180,514 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,180,514 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Currency scale is in literal | · · | | | · | | · | |



| Drainet Cada | 100010 | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Project Code | 100913 Bole Line Installation Double Cst on Major Mask | Hustington Dd to Husz E0 |
| Major Catogony | Pole Line Installation Double Cct on Major Mack | <u>- Huntington ku to Hwy 50</u> |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - EINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | 2013-2024 - THAL DSF Submitted | |
| 2 Additional Information | Service Territory | Leracy DowerStream South |
| 2. Additional mormation | | On Major Mark Dr from Huntington Rd to Hwy 50 in Vaughan approx 2 km |
| | Location | |
| | Units | This project depends on YR road widening work schedule. |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Capacity (Lines) |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | The objectives of this project is to install double a 27.6kV ccts pole line on Major Mack Dr from Huntington Rd to Hwy 50 in Vaughan, approx. 2km., install LIS at intersections, and connect the new ccts to the existing ccts on Hwy 50, and Huntington Rd. |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Sunnort Canacity Delivery |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Alectra Utilities requires to prenare the distributions system to address the system canacity need driven by green field |
| | | expansion. |
| | | The vacant lands on both sides of Major Mack Dr are part of the The Vaughan Enterprise Zone. They will be developed and customers are expected in the coming years. |
| | | There is one radial supply on Major mack Dr east of Hwy 50. It does not have sufficient capacity for future developments in the area. |
| | | York Region is going to widen Major Mackenzie Drive from Hwy 27 to Huntington Rd, and further west to Hwy 50. Existing pole line has to be relocated due to road widening work. There is opportunity for Alectra to rebuild the existing single phase 4.8 kV cct to double 27.6kV ccts in conjunction with the pole line relocation project. Building 2 ccts in conjunction with the road widening project will reduce the cost and traffic impact. |
| | | The Vaughan Enterprise Zone The Vaughan Enterprise Zone covers more than 3,800 acres, or approximately 1,566 hectares of employment land at Vaughan's western boundaries. The size of the enterprise zone makes it one of the largest employment areas in the Greater Toronto Area, and paired with transportation infrastructure in close proximity, potentially one of the most valuable employment areas in the province. The existing profile of the Enterprise Zone includes national head offices, international and national logistics and distribution centers, and some manufacturing. Overall, the area is projected to accommodate 60,000 jobs over the next 20 years. The estimated demand will be 90MW. CDM is considered and load forecast is net of CDM. |
| | | The strength of the area is the existing transportation network that services it. Presently the employment area has direct access to Highway 407, as well as Highways 7, 27, and 50. In addition, Highway 427, which already connects to the Enterprise Zone, is planned to expand northward through the Enterprise Zone to Major Mackenzie Drive, opening up industrial and commercial opportunities north of Highway 7. All of these routes provide access to the Highway 401 corridor, which connects to the rest of Canada and important North American trade networks. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety Cyber-Security, Privacy Coordination, Interoperability Economic Development Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable. Not Applicable. Not Applicable. Not Applicable. Not Applicable. |

| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | The status quo is to do nothing, (i.e., not build project as proposed), but to supply load growth from existing facilities. It will impact Alectra distribution system in two following aspects: |
|---|--|---|
| | | Reliability There is one radial single phase 4.8kV cct on Major Mack Dr between Huntington and Hwy 50. Customers on Major Mack Dr between Hwy 50 and Huntington Rd will be on a radial supply. Alectra has adopted "Open Grid Network" planning philosophy, i.e., loop supply with normal open points. |
| | | Capacity There is one single phase 4.8kV cct on Major Mack Dr between Huntington and Hwy 50. It does not have the capacity to supply new customers on Major Mack Dr between Hwy 50 and Huntington Rd. It cannot supply development in Vaughan West area either. |
| | | Status Quo will jeopardize Alectra's obligation to supply new customers in Vaughan west developments. The impact severity and timing will depend on the schedule of the Vaughan West development. |
| | | There are no specific costs with the status quo, there are financial risks that are detailed in the risk section. |
| | | Alectra will not be able supply all customers in Vaughan west developments when fully built. In addition, customers on Major Mack Dr between Hwy 50 and Huntington Rd will be on a radial supply. |
| | | Supplying large number of customers in the new development area in radial violates Alectra's planning philosophy and good utility practice. |
| | | Customers will be at risk of lengthier and more impactive outages. This will negatively impact SAIDI and SAIFI. Alectra will be at risk of compromising supply to new loads in Vaughan west areas that may have negative impacts on our corporate reputation and mission. |
| | | Alectra is obligated to service future growth within its service territory using "good utility practice". Failure to provide adequate levels of service could lead to regulatory sanctions, and customer damage claims. |
| | Alternative #1 | Alectra Utilities is required to ensure its distribution system can support projected load growth while maintaining reliability and quality of service for customers on both a short-term and long-term basis, as required by the DSC. Alectra Utilities must be able to connect now support in a timely manager. |
| | | Alectra Utilities' load forecast process considers the impact of CDM and distributed generation and has been considered during the needs. |
| | | For this project these options have not been considered as new feeders are needed to connect the customers to grid. |
| | Alternative #2 Justification for Recommended Alternative | Not Applicable. There is one radial supply on Major mack Dr east of Hwy 50. It does not have sufficient capacity to supply Vaughan West as well as development of the west side of Hwy 50 in Brampton. This project is required to supply new development on Major Mack between Hwy 50 and Huntington Rd. Future load growth areas in Vaughan are summarized below: |
| | | Orlando Development A new development of 7 new buildings on the north west corner of major Mack and Hwy 50 in Brampton. The total area is 4.3 million square feet and the peak demand is expected to be 19.8MW. Based on distribution infrastructure, it seems more economical to supply these customers from Vaughan side. One 27.6kV feeder is required. |
| | | West Vaughan Employment Area The West Vaughan Employment Area Secondary Plan sets out detailed policies to create a large economic opportunity for York Region. With over 500 hectares of employment designated lands, this area will continue to allow the City of Vaughan to attract a wide range of businesses requiring large tracks of land with excellent Regional road and provincial highway access. The Secondary Plan is planned to accommodate approximately 20,120 employees. There are 1,400 acres of vacant land on both sides of Major Mackenzie Drive that has been zoned as employment land. The potential load from these lands will be significant. The peak demand for this development is estimated to be 50MW to 80 MW when fully built out, but no time line is available at this time. Four 27.6kV feeders are required to supply the new load. |
| | | This project improves the reliability situation mentioned in the status quo option. There is one single phase 4.8kV cct on Major Mack Dr between Huntington and Hwy 50. Alectra has adopted "Open Grid Network" planning philosophy, i.e., loop supply with normal open points. Funding this project will enable Alectra to meet its regulatory duty to supply the customers in our service area. Additionally it will allow us to operate the system in an efficient and effective manner by providing coordinated protections between source and load and having adequate backup capacity in the event of an outage. |
| | | In the short term, this project provides capacity for Vaughan west development. In the long term, a new transformer station VTS4 has been built in the Kirby and Kipling area and two new feeders from VTS4 will be extend to Hwy 27/Major Mack Dr area. This project will reroute the 40MVA capacity of VTS4 to supply Vaughan West development. |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | The two ccts on Major Mack Dr serve as ties between ccts on Hwy 50 from VTS3 and ccts on Huntington Rd from Vlainburg TS. They will provide 50 MVA continence conscilute and will allow load transfer between transformer challene. The risk is to get approval from the City of Vaughan and York Region in time. York Region is going to rebuild Major Mack Dr in advance. The pole line construction schedule will depend on road widening schedule. |
| | | Capital design will work closely with the Region and City to coordinate the project. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent | Not Applicable. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | Not Applicable. |
| | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable | Not Applicable. |

| Description of In | ncorporation of Advance | d Not Applicabl | e. | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Technology, if a Identify any reli coordination be | pplicable ability, efficiency, safety nefits | or This project v power supply | This project will also establish ties between feeders on Hwy 50 and feeders on Huntington Rd. It will also increase power supply reliability in the west part of Vaughan. | | | | | |
| | | | vill increase power supply | reliability and reduce risk | of prolonged outages. | | | |
| | | | ill provide for incremental fficiency and effectiveness | I feeder tie points betweer s of these stations. | n VTS3 and Kleinburg TS | It will improve the | | |
| 1,600,000 | | | | | | | | |
| 1,400,000 | | | | | | | | |
| 1,200,000 | 1,200,000 | | | | | | | |
| 1,000,000 | | | | | | | | |
| 800,000 | | | | | | | | |
| 600,000 | | | | | | | | |
| 400,000 | | | | | | | | |
| 200,000 | | | | | | | | |
| 0 — | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$1,439,439 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,439,439 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |



| utilities | | |
|---|--|---|
| Project Code | 100919 | |
| Project Name | Install 2nd 27.6 kV Cct on Woodbine Ave from El | gin Mills Rd to 19th Ave |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scoparia | 2010 2024 EINAL DED Submitted | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory Location | Legacy PowerStream South on Woodbine Ave from Elgin Mills Rd to 19th Ave in Markham. See attached schematic diagram for details. |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Capacity (Lines) |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | This project is to add one additional 27.6kV cct on the existing pole line on Woodbine Ave from Elgin Mills Rd to 19th Ave that has provision for 2nd cct. |
| | | There is only one cct on Woodbine Ave from Elgin Mills Rd to 19th Ave that supplies all customers north of Elgin Mills Rd and east of Woodbine Ave. Any upstream failure will cause outages to all customers in the area. |
| | | This is to increase supply capacity to 19th Ave and Woodbine Ave area as well as Markham Future Urban Area (FUA). |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Support Capacity Delivery |
| | Drigrity and Reasons for Drigrity | There is only one out (feeder 10M2) on Woodhine Ave from Elein Mills Pd to 10th Ave that supplies all sustamers parts |
| | Phoney and Reasons for Phoney | of Elgin Mills Rd and east of Woodbine Ave. The same feeder supplies other customers south of Elgin Mills Rd as well. There is only 8 MVA capacity for future development. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not applicable. |
| | Safety | Not applicable. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not applicable. |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not applicable. |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not applicable. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Ouo | The status quo is to do nothing (i.e., not build project as proposed) but to supply load growth from existing facilities. It |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | will impact Alectra distribution system in two following aspects: |
| | | Reliability There is only one cct on Woodbine Ave from Elgin Mills Rd to 19th Ave that supplies all customers north of Elgin Mills Rd and east of Woodbine Ave. Any upstream failure will cause outages to all customers in the area. |
| | | Capacity There is only one cct (feeder 10M2) on Woodbine Ave from Elgin Mills Rd to 19th Ave that supplies all customers north of Elgin Mills Rd and east of Woodbine Ave. The same feeder supplies other customers south of Elgin Mills Rd as well. The peak demand of 10M2 was 250A in 2017. There is only 8 MVA capacity for future development. |
| | | Hwy 404 North Town of Markham's Official Plan Amendment No. 113 (OPA 113) has been approved by the Region of York (ROPA46). The lands subject to ROPA 46 and OPA 113 comprise approximately 180 hectares (450 acres). The proposed land uses of OPA 113 are primarily "Industrial". See attached map for details. A major car manufacturer Canada has built its new head office in the OPA 113 area in Markham. It will initially relocate 500 employees to the new site that is being built on a 19-hectare parcel of land facing Highway 404, just north of Elgin Mills Rd. The complex is to be supplied by 2x1000 kVA and 1x750 kVA transformers. The peak demand is 1.2MW. |
| | | A Power-to-Gas project (H2 plant) has been built in the Woodbine Ave/19th Ave area, and the estimated peak would be 2MW in 2018, and may go up to 5MW after 2020. Two large (10MVA each) developments have been proposed in the 19th Ave and Woodbine Ave area: one in the south east corner of 19th Ave and Woodbine Ave, and one on the south west corner of 19th Ave and Woodbine Ave. The timeline is unknown at this time. |
| | | The new load is estimated to be 25 MW when the land is fully developed and one new 27.6 kV feeder on Woodbine Ave is required for this development. Addition feeder is required to supply the new load because the existing feeders supply other load south of Elgin Mills. |
| | | Status Quo will jeopardize Alectra's obligation to supply new customers along Woodbine Ave and 19th Ave. The impact severity and timing will depend on the schedule of the Hwy 404 North development. |
| | Alternative #1 Alternative #2 | There are no energies easts with the status are there are financial size that are detailed in the size section Not applicable. Not applicable. |
| | | |

| | luctification | for Recommended Alter | ativo | This project | will add one eet t | to Markha | m North and to increase c | upply capacity and roliabi | ity to Hun 404 North area |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|--|
| | Justification | The Recommended Alter | lative | and new urb | an area north of | Major Ma | ack between Woodbine Av | e and Kennedy Rd. | ity to nwy 404 North area |
| | | | | York Region both sides o Urban Area Corridor and Robinson Cr | is working on an f Warden Ave to covers about 1,2 Woodbine Aven eek to the east. | Official Pl provide o 88 hectare nue to the | anning Amendment which pportunities for urban gro es (3,183 acres bordered b west, the northerly City lir | e expands the Urban Area wth to the year 2031. The y Major Mackenzie Drive t nits and Elgin Mills Road t | of the City of Markham to north Markham Future o the south, the Hydro o the north, and the |
| | | | | Approximate primarily be of Elgin Mills approximate jobs. It is exp Dr. | ely 675 hectares tween Major Ma Road are design ly 12,000 residen bected approx. 60 | (1,668 acr ckenzie Di nated for e ntial units D MW of r | es) of developable lands a ive and Elgin Mills Road mployment uses. In total, with a population of appr ew loads are expected on | re designated for future m Approximately 300 hectar the Future Urban Area is i oximately 38,000 persons, both sides of Warden Ave | eighbourhoods, located es (741 acres) located north ntended to accommodate and approximately 19,000 north of Major Mackenzie |
| | | | As of now, to the south ea Ave. | wo large (10MW st corner of 19th | each) dev Ave and | elopments have been prop Woodbine Ave, and one of | posed in the 19th Ave and In the south west corner of | Woodbine Ave area: one in 19th Ave and Woodbine | |
| | | | | Addition fee | der is required to | o supply th | ne new load because the e | xisting feeders supply oth | er load south of Elgin Mills. |
| | | | | This project Nothing opti | will increase sup on will not allow | ply capaci v Alectra t | ty by 20 MVA and will also o supply the new load grow | increase supply reliability wth. | to Markham north. Do |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Cor Comparativ Historical P Total Capita Energy Gen | mpletion and Risk Manage re Information on Equivale rojects (if any) al and OM&A Costs for Rer eration portion of Projects | ement ent newable s (if any) | The risk is to project in ad Customers lo Not applicat | get approval fro vance and get th vad ramping up s le. | m the Citn ie permits schedule in | y of Markham and York Re in place in 2020. ו Markham north area will | gion in time. Engineering impact the timing and pr | Design will design the iority. |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to terms of Co | Customers of Project Expr ost Impact, where practical | essed in ble | Not applicable. | | | | | |
| | Regional Ele which affec | ectricity Infrastructure Rec t Project, if applicable | quirements | s Not applicable. | | | | | |
| | Description | of Incorporation of Advar | nced | Not applicable. | | | | | |
| | Technology Identify any coordinatio | r, if applicable y reliability, efficiency, safe in benefits | ety or | This project will increase supply reliability to Markham north. A 2 ccts pole line has been proposed on 19th Ave from Woodbine Ave to Leslie St to provide back up between Markham and Richmond Hill. This project will also increase back up capacity by 30 MVA between Markham and Richmond Hill. | | | | de back up between etween Markham and | |
| | 700,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 600,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 500,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 300,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | | | | | | | | |
| | L CC 41 002 | 2019 | 2 | 020 | 2021 | 2 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Actuals: \$0 | 1. \$041,082 | \$0 \$0 | | \$U \$Ω | \$641,08 | 52 | \$U \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$U \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | υç | | <i>~~</i> | ΟÇ | | υç | υç | οç |



| utilities | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| Project Code | 100924 | |
| Project Name | Install two additional 27.6 kV ccts on Hwy 7 from | n Jane St to Weston Rd |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Sconorio | 2010 2024 FINAL DSD Submitted | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Sublimited | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South |
| | Location | Situated on Hwy 7 from Jane St to Weston Rd in Vaughan - 2km |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes P&D | No |
| | Project includes R&D | |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | NO |
| | Project Will Generate Opgoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | Project will denerate ongoing it olviax costs | NO |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Detec ID | Data Data Fundad |
| | Rates ID | Rate base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Capacity (Lines) |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | This project is to reroute two 27.6kV feeders (21M3 & 21M4) to supply new load in Vaughan Metro Center (VMC) in conjunction with York Region's Hwy 7wideing project. |
| | | The project objectives will be achieved by rebuilding the existing pole line on Hwy 7 between Weston Rd and Jane St from 2 ccts into 4 ccts, in overhead or in underground duct bank, or combination of above options. It will be determined in the design stage and coordinate with York Region's Hwy 7 widening work and the City of Vaughan's urban design plan. |
| | | There is an existing 2 ccts overhead pole line between Jane St and Weston Rd. The existing poles have to be relocated from east side of Hwy 400 to Weston Rd including the Hwy 400 crossing due to York Region's Hwy 7 widening project in 2017 and 2018. To accommodate future 2 ccts, additional pole height and additional ducts are required. As a result, Phase 1 of the project has to be built in 2018, i.e., build 2 additional ccts for the Hwy 400 crossing in conjunction with York Region's Hwy 7 widening project. |
| | Main Driver - System Service Priority and Reasons for Priority | Support Capacity Delivery Very high. |
| | | Alectra Utilities requires to prepare the distributions system to address the system capacity need driven by intensification and redevelopment in VMC. |
| | | This project will be built in conjunction with Hwy 7 widening project in the area. The development of VMC is underway. New capacity is required as the development progresses. |
| | | Vaughan Metro Center (VMC) |
| | | Vaughan Tomorrow is the City's growth management strategy, which has led to a new city-wide Official Plan and this Secondary Plan for the VMC. The Vaughan Tomorrow process, which involved extensive public outreach over two years, confirmed the objective to develop the Vaughan Metropolitan Centre as a vibrant and thriving downtown for the city. The new Official Plan for the city establishes the boundaries for the VMC and, in doing so, divides the former Vaughan |
| | | Corporate Centre area into three distinct places within the overall city structure. Lands west of Highway 400 within the former VCC, centred at Weston Road and Highway 7, are identified as a Primary Centre. The VMC extends from Highway 400 to Creditstone Road at its most easterly edge, with Portage Parkway and Highway 407 remaining the northern and southern boundaries, respectively. And lands east of Creditstone (and on both sides south of Highway 7) are designated an Employment Area. |
| | | City of Vaughan Official Plan states that the VMC will comprise distinct development precincts including residential neighbourhoods, office districts, employment areas and mixed-use areas, all linked by a robust system of parks, squares and open spaces and a fine grain grid pattern of streets. It establishes growth targets for the VMC of 12,000 residential units and 6,500 new jobs by 2031. And it states as a policy that the City shall encourage and facilitate the establishment of the following in the VMC: |
| | | major offices; government offices; |
| | | post-secondary educational institutions, cultural facilities; public institutions; major citie public spaces and parks: |
| | | major civic public spaces and parks, socially diverse residential neighbourhoods that contain a mix of housing types, including. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not Applicable. |
| | Safety | Not Applicable. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable. |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not Applicable. |
| | Economic Development | This project will support development of VMC |
| | Economic Development | Not Applicable |
| | Environmental benefits | Not Applicable. |

| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | The status quo is to do nothing, (i.e., not build project as proposed), but to supply load growth from existing facilities. It will impact Alectra distribution system in following aspects: |
|---|--|---|
| | | Capacity Vaughan Metro Center (VMC) is supplied by two feeders 21M5 and 21M11 from VTS2. The peak of VTS2 was 133 MW in 2016 and only 20MW capacity left before it reaches the 10 day Limited Time Rating (LTR) of 153 MW. VTS4 will be in service in 2017 and will off load VTS2 so that will have extra capacity to supply new loads in the VMC development (approx. 80MW). |
| | | As of 2016, there are four feeders supplying area: 21M5, 21M11, 20M19, and 20M20. The peak demands of these feeders were: |
| | | 21M5 188A 21M11 252A 20M19 367A 20M20 333A |
| | | There is only 23MVA capacity left on these 4 feeders. To meet the load growth in VMC, more feeders are required in VMC area. As per VTS4 feeder integration plan, two feeders from VTS4 will off load existing feeder 21M3 and 21M4 from VTS2 so that they can be used to supply new growth in VMC area (40MVA). |
| | | In additional, two other feeders 20M17 and 20M18 will be off loaded and supply VMC too (40 MVA). |
| | | Status Quo will cause existing feeders to exceed their loading limits under 1-in-10 weather (extreme summer temperatures) in the long term. The impact severity and timing will depend on the schedule of the VMC development. |
| | | There are no specific costs with the status quo, there are financial risks that are detailed in the risk section. |
| | | Four existing feeders supplying VMC area don't have sufficient capacity to supply VMC that is expected to have 80MW once fully developed. |
| | | Operating feeders over loading guide line violates Alectra's planning philosophy and good utility practice. |
| | Alternative #1 | Non- wires |
| | | Alectra Utilities' load forecast process considers the impact of CDM and distributed generation and has been considered during the needs . |
| | | Alectra Utilities has considered non wire alternative (solar and storage option) and determined that this option is not economical for the capacity that is required. Based on typical capacity of 20 MW per feeder the cost of non-wire alternatives would 15 times that of traditional solution and hence this option has been rejected. |
| | Alternative #2 | Not Applicable. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | The recommended alternative (Install two additional 27.6 kV ccts on Hwy 7 from Jane St to Weston Rd) was chosen for the following reasons: • it improves the reliability situation mentioned in the status quo option • it will increase supply capacity to VMC. |
| | | It will meet the immediate need for supply capacity. It is consistent with the VTS4 feeder integration plan |
| | | The project objectives are to re-route two 21M3& 21M4 27.6kV ccts from Weston Rd/Hwy 7 east to Jane St/Hwy 7 area, and to install switches or switchgear where required. This is required to supply the Vaughan Metro Center development that is estimated to have a peak demand of 80 MW when fully built out. |
| | | As of 2016, there are four feeders supplying area: 21M5, 21M11, 20M19, and 20M20. The peak demands of these feeders were: |
| | | 21M5 188A |
| | | 21M11 252A 20M19 367A |
| | | 20M20 333A |
| | | There is only 23MVA capacity left on these 4 feeders. To meet the load growth in VMC, more feeders are required in VMC area. As per VTS4 feeder integration plan, two feeders from VTS4 will off load existing feeder 21M3 and 21M4 from VTS2 so that they can be used to supply new growth in VMC area (40MVA). |
| | | To meet the load growth in VMC, more feeders are required in VMC area. As per VTS4 feeder integration plan, two feeders from VTS4 will off load existing feeder 21M3 and 21M4 from VTS2 so that they can be used to supply new growth in VMC area (40MVA). In additional, two other feeders 20M17 and 20M18 will be off loaded and supply VMC too (40 MVA). |
| | | This project is needed to add 40 MVA supply capacity for the new development in VMC area. |
| | | Funding this project will enable PowerStream to meet its regulatory duty to supply the customers in our service area. |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Additionally it will allow us to operate the system in an efficient and effective manner by providing coordinated vork region is working on the design of Hwy 7 widening from Hwy 400 to Pine Valley Dr. The Hwy y widening schedule will dominate the schedule of this project. |
| | | The risk is to get approval from the City of Vaughan and York Region in time. Capital design will start the design of the project and should get the approvals in place in advance. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent | Project management will be applied to ensure the project is completed on time and on budget. Alectra has built many 4 ccts pole lines in the past. The cost estimate is based on actual cost of project in the past. |
| | Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |

| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | stomers of Project Expressed in Impact, where practicable | Not Applicable | 2. | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Regional Elect which affect I | tricity Infrastructure Requirements Project, if applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable. | | | | | | |
| | Description o | f Incorporation of Advanced f applicable | Not Applicable | 2. | | | | | | |
| | Identify any r | eliability, efficiency, safety or benefits | This project w | ill increase supply capacit | y to VMC by 40 MVA. It w | vill also increase supply relia | ability too. | | | |
| | | | This project w | ill increase power supply r | reliability and reduce risk | of prolonged outages. | | | | |
| | | | The completio | n of this project will allow | <pre>/ for VTS2 to adequately s</pre> | supply new developments i | in VMC. | | | |
| | | | The project wi operational ef | Il provide for incremental ficiency and effectiveness | feeder tie points betwee of these stations. | n VTS2 and VTS1, Finch TS. | . It will improve the | | | |
| | | | Execution of the capacity to eff maintain supp work. This opt as additional 1 technical stantstorage solution | his investment will allevia iciently connect customer ly to customers during co ion will help Alectra Utilit oad is added to the syster dards to ensure customer ons. | te capacity constraints an rs to Alectra Utilities's disi ntingency events and ope ies maintain service quali n. Alectra Utilities plans t choice for integrating dis | nd as well as ensure the ave tribution system. It will allo eration flexibility during ma ty and reliability standards o construct and configure 1 tributed generation, electr | alability of sufficient by Alectra Utilities to intenance and other capital for the existing customers feeders to present day ic vehicles and energy | | | |
| | 3,000,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2,500,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2,000,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,500,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,000,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 500,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: | \$2.620.083 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2 620 083 | \$0 | | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | +=,==0,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | · | - | • | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |



| utilities | | |
|---|---|--|
| Project Code | 101003 | |
| Project Name | Richmond Hill TS#2 Upgrade Bus, Line & Transfo | rmer Protections |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2 Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South |
| 2. Additional information | | Dichmond Hill TC#2 (Lazonhy TC#2) in Richmond Hill |
| | Location | |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Braiast Will Constants Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | Project will delierate ongoing it owida costs | NU |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Rase Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | System Control Commins & Performance |
| | Alextra Grouping | System Control, Comministic Performance |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Station Reliability Projects |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Carry out engineering design and replacement of "C" and "D" Bus Differential and Overcurrent Protection relays as well |
| | | Protections at Richmond Hill TS#2. More detailed scope of work follows |
| | | A. Modification of Line Protections L1 & L2 Distance Relays. |
| | | 1. Replace 2 units with same Alstom P441 model, but to include DNP3 over Fibre Ethernet communications |
| | | 2. Install fibre communication cable. |
| | | |
| | | B. Modification of Bus Protection and Main Breaker Relays |
| | | Remove existing Alstom MFAC Differential electromechanical relay for C&D Bus differential "A" Protections. Install 2 units of Alstom D642 relay with DND2 over Ethernet communication for C&D Bus Differential "A" |
| | | 2. Instan 2 dires of Aiston P042 relay with DNPS over Pible Ethernet communication for C&D bus Diretential A |
| | | 3. Replace 2 units with same Alstom P122 model, but to include DNP3 over Fibre Ethernet communications for C&D |
| | | Bus Overcurrent "B" Protections. |
| | | 4. Replace 3 units with same Alstom P143 model, but to include DNP3 over Fibre Ethernet communications for T3C, |
| | | T4D and CD Breaker Control Modules. |
| | | 5. Install fibre communication cable. |
| | | C. Transformer Protection Lingrade |
| | | Replace 2 units KBCH120 with Alstom P642 model with DNP3 over Fibre Ethernet communications for T3 & T4 "A" |
| | | Differential Protections. |
| | | 2. Install 4 units of SEL2812 media converters for existing SEL587 communications direct to SEL3530 (RTAC) for T3 & T4 |
| | | "B" Differential Protections. |
| | | 3. Install fibre communication cable. |
| | | 7. Install control wiring. |
| | | |
| | | Inis is a two-year project. Funding has been approved for completing the first phase in 2019. Additional funding is to |
| | | be provided in 2020 to complete this project. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Reliability |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Renairs are difficult and service is not readily available. Alectra Utilities remains exposed should there be problematic |
| | Filoncy and Reasons for Filoncy | operations. The communications protocol at this station is obsolete and is incompatible with that of the rest of the |
| | | system. The relays do not support DMP3 and can not communicate with the SCADA system |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Station capacity is 101 MVA |
| | Safety | This project will improve the stations protections reducing the risk of mal-operation that could put the public or |
| | | Alectra Utilities' personnel at risk. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable. |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not Applicable. |
| | Economic Development | Not Applicable. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | Do nothing - Repairs are difficult and service is not readily available. Alectra Utilities remains exposed should there be |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | problematic operations. |
| | | |
| | | Do nothing - Remove the protection from service when relay fails. This unacceptable as this is a violation of |
| | | compliance. |
| | | The communications protocol at this station is obsolete and is incompatible with that of the rest of the system. |
| | | |
| | Alternative #1 | The recommended alternative is to upgrade Line Distance, Bus Differential and Transformer Differential Protections at |
| | | Richmond Hill TS#2 according to Alectra Utilities current standards,. |
| | Alternative #2 | νοτ Αρρικασία. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | There is insufficient support from Alstom in the event of a failure and parts are no longer available. The new IED will provide valuable fault and event record information for offertive part available in a second with for the factor of the |
| | | provide valuable radii and event record information for enective post event analysis, especially for transformer faults. |
| | | The ILD will also supply more complete telemetry to system controllers via the SCADA system. |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Schedule for this project must be coordinated with other initiatives. |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | | |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent | Not applicable. |
| | Historical Projects (if any) | |

| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | | | 0 | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------|---|------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to terms of Co | Customers of ost Impact, wh | Project Expressed in ere practicable | Not applicable. | | | | |
| | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable | | | Not applicable. | Not applicable. | | | |
| | Description | of Incorporat | ion of Advanced | Not applicable. | | | | |
| | Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits | | | Modern relays ar | e reliable, efficient | and safe. They have more f | unctions allowing for impr | oved protection. |
| | 350,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 300,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 250,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 150,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 50,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 201 | 9 2 | 020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | : \$452,128 | \$303, | 904 \$14 | 18,224 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | · · · | | | | | |



| utilities | | |
|---|---|--|
| Project Code | 101036 | |
| Project Name | Install a new 4 ccts CNR yard overhead crossing | on the south side of Hwy 7 |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South |
| | Location | on the south side of Hwy 7 crossing CN Yard between Keele St and Jane St in Vaughan |
| | Units | Dec las |
| | Project Class | kegular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Component | NO |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Capacity (Lines) |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | This project will create a new 4 ccts CN yard overhead crossing on the south side of Hwy 7. It will allow 4 more new 27.6kV ccts to be extended from Keel St to Jane St to increase supply capacity to Vaughan Metro Center (VMC) and Vaughan Mills. |
| | | This project will also increase supply reliability to VMC and Vaughan Mills since all supplies to VMC/Vaughan Mills will be on both sides of Hwy 7. Any pole failure on the north side of Hwy 7 will only affect 4 ccts on the north side. The ccts on the south side of Hwy 7 will continue to operate through the new crossing on the south side of Hwy 7. |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Reliability |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | High |
| | | This project allow VMC to be supplied on both sides of Hwy 7 crossing CN yard. This will mitigate the outage impacts due to increasing effect of adverse weather events. |
| | | This project will increase supply reliability to VMC and Vaughan Mills since all supplies to VMC/Vaughan Mills will be on both sides of Hwy 7. Any pole failure on the north side of Hwy 7 will only affect 4 ccts on the north side. The ccts on the south side of Hwy 7 will continue to operate through the new crossing on the south side of Hwy 7. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not applicable |
| | Safety | Not applicable |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not applicable |
| | Economic Development | This project will also increase supply capacity to VMC and Vaughan Mills. It will support residential and commercial developments in these areas. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Environmental Benefits Status Quo | Not applicable The status quo is to do nothing, (i.e., not build project as proposed), but to supply load growth from existing facilities. It will impact Alectra distribution system in two following aspects: |
| | | Capacity The number of 27.6kV ccts will be limited to 4 due to one crossing on the north side of Hwy 7, .i.e., it will limit supply capacity to VMC and Vaughan Mills. If the crossing fails, it will result in outage to 4 heavily loaded feeders for prolonged time. The total demand is approx. 80 MVA in the summer. |
| | | There are four 27.6kV feeders on the north side of Hwy 7 crossing CN yard: 20M17/20M18/20M19/20M20. The peaks of these feeders in 2017 were: 20M17 375A 316 customers 20M18 422A 748 customers 20M19 294A 308 customers 20M20 40A 1039 customers |
| | | Feeder 20117/20118 supply Vaughan Mills. 20117 also supplies the new VMC subway station that went into service after 2017 summer peak. Both 20117 and 20118 are at the capacity now. |
| | | Feeder 20M20 has reached its capacity of 400A. The peak on 20M19 was 294A, but a few condos are under construction and will be supplied by 20M19 too. 20M19 will reach its capacity of 400A soon too. The VMC development on the west side of Jane St will be supplied by feeder 21M5/21M11 and two new future feeders from Weston Rd to Jane St. The VMC developments on the east side of Jane St are supplied by feeder 20M19/20M20 only, but they will reach the capacity soon. New capacity is required in 2022. |
| | | Alectra will be at risk of compromising supply to new loads in the VMC area that may have negative impacts on our corporate reputation and mission. The load to be impacted is estimated to be 80MW ultimately. |
| | | Reliability There are four 27.6kV feeders on the north side of Hwy 7 crossing CN yard: 20M17/20M18/20M19/ 20M20. Any pole failure on the will result in outage to these four feeders that supply VMC and Vaughan Mills. |
| | | Customers may experience outages under contingency as described above. This will negatively impact SAIDI and SAIFI in the long term. |

| | Alternative #1 | 1 | | Non- wires | | | | | |
|--|---|--|------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | Alectra Utilitie considered du | tilities' load forecast process considers the impact of CDM and distributed generation and has been ed during the needs | | | | |
| | | | | Alectra Utilities has considered non wire alternative (solar and storage option) and determined that this option is not economical for the capacity that is required. Based on typical capacity of 20 MW per feeder the cost of non-wire alternatives would 15 times that of traditional solution and hence this option has been rejected. | | | | | |
| | Alternative #2 Justification for Recommended Alternative | | | Not applicable This project wi to VMC develo operational eff | ll provide four circuits on pment and Vaughan Mills iciency and reliability of tl | the south sid s. Without th his area. | le of Hwy 7 t e use of the | that will provide an additio se ccts, staying with the sta | nal 80 MVA supply capacity atus quo will jeopardize the |
| | | | | In the short ter | rm, this project reduces n | umber of cct | s on the cros | ssing on the north side of H | lwy 7. |
| | | | | As of 2017, the Vaughan Mills. 7 widening pro pole line are al The total ccts s the north side | ere are four 27.6kV ccts or However, there are two 4 jject, existing pole line on so installed on Hwy 7 wes supplying VMC and Vaugh of Hwy 7. | n Hwy 7 betw 4 ccts pole lir Hwy 7 betwe st of CN yard an Mills are l | veen CN yard ne on Hwy 7 een Keele St crossing. Th limited to fo | l and Jane St. They supply o east of CN yard crossing. A and Jane has been relocat lere are 8 ccts on Hwy 7 or ur due to only one 4 ccts p | customers in VMC and is part of York Region's Hwy ed and rebuilt. Two 4 ccts I both sides of CN crossing. ole line crossing CN yard on |
| | | | | This implies the reliability in the | at total of four more 27.6 ne future if a new 4 ccts po | kV ccts can b ole line cross | e extended ing CN yard | from Keele St/Hwy 7 to Jar on the south side of Hwy 7 | e St/Hwy for capacity and |
| | | | | In addition to 2 20M7/20M8/2 | 20M17/20M18/20M19/20 0M15/20M16. The peaks | 0M20, there of these feed | are four 27.6 ders were in | 5kV feeders on Hwy 7 east 2017: | of the CN yard: |
| | | | | 20M7 300A 20M8 160A 20M15 160A | | | | | |
| | | | | 20M16 260A There are 720A | or 36MVA capacity left o | on these feed | lers that can | be rerouted to supply VM | C. More capacity can be |
| | | | | freed up on the | ese feeders if needed by f | eeder reconf | iguration. | | |
| | | | | This project wi on both sides o the south side | II also increase supply reli of Hwy 7. Any pole failure of Hwy 7 will continue to | ability to VM on the north operate thro | IC and Vaugh side of Hwy ough the new | nan Mills since supplies to 7 will only affect 4 ccts on v crossing on the south side | VMC/Vaughan Mills will be the north side. The ccts on e of Hwy 7. |
| | | | | The existing su | pplies to VMC area all cro | oss CN Yard o | n the north : | side of Hwy 7. Any pole fai | ilure on the north side of |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Comp | pletion and Risk Managem | ient | The risk is to get approval from the City of Vaughan, York Region as well CN Rail in time. Capital design will start the design of the project in advance and should get the approvals in place in time. | | | | | |
| | Comparative | Information on Equivalen | ıt | Not applicable | | | | | |
| | Historical Pro Total Capital Energy Gener | jects (if any) and OM&A Costs for Rene ation portion of Projects (| wable if any) | 0 | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Cu terms of Cost | ustomers of Project Expres Impact, where practicable | sed in e | Not applicable | | | | | |
| | Regional Elec which affect I | tricity Infrastructure Requ Project, if applicable | irements | Not applicable | | | | | |
| | Description o | f Incorporation of Advance | ed | Not applicable | | | | | |
| | Technology, i Identify any r coordination | f applicable eliability, efficiency, safety benefits | / or | In the short ter to VMC area al side of Hwy 7 t all the feeders. | rm, this project reduces no Il cross CN Yard on the nor oo. It will increase reliabil | umber of cct: rth side of Hv lity and opera | s on the cros wy 7. This pr ation flexibil | ssing on the north side of H oject will allow supplies to ity since pole line failure at | lwy 7. The existing supplies cross CN yard on the south t he crossing will not affect |
| | | | | In the long ter | n, this project will provide | e 80 MVA for | load growth | n in VMC development and | l Vaughan Mills. |
| | 1,600,000 ¬ | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,400,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,200,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,000,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 800,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 600,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2 | 2020 | 2021 | 20 | 22 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | : \$1,357,417 | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,35 | 7,417 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | | ŞU | | ŞU | ŞU | Ş | U | \$0 | \$0 |
| currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | |



Project Code Project Name

OEB Multi-Project Report

101393

Redundant Fibre Path to Aurora MS#4 Sub-Station

| Major Category | System Service | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | | | | | | |
| Project Overview | | | | | | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South | | | | | |
| | Location | Aurora MS#4 14025 Bathurst St. Aurora | | | | | |
| | Units | 1 | | | | | |
| | Project Class | Regular | | | | | |
| | Project Includes R&D | No | | | | | |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | Yes | | | | | |
| | Component | | | | | | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital U% | | | | | |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable | | | | | |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded | | | | | |
| | Alectra Grouping | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance | | | | | |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Scada & Scada Communications N | | | | | |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | The scope of this project is to supply and install Fibre optic cable to Aurora MS#4, along a diverse route, from the nearest Rogers FOSC on Yonge St. This would be a new route and provide route diversity. AMS4 ultimately connects to the broader Operations Network via 2 routes. The primary route connects at Cityview and the backup route connects at RHTS2. Unfortunately they both share the same common route on Bathurst. One of these routes would be moved to a different path. Going forward, once the new alternate path is in place, to improve cyber security, increase reliability and greatly simplify network administration it is recommended to install a SoNET Multipleyer shelf at AMS4. The | | | | | |
| | Main Driver - System Service | completion of this project will support the install of the new JMUX node. | | | | | |
| | Priority and Peacons for Priority | AMSA is a major pade on the Operations Network, but the fiber ontic routes into the AMSA are not diverse. This major | | | | | |
| | Phoney and Reasons for Phoney | hub is therefore exposed to a single point of failure, and loss of communications to this hub would be very impactful to | | | | | |
| | | the Operations department, especially System Control and Metering. | | | | | |
| | | The project will support a future proposed project, install of a new JMUX node at aAMS4. | | | | | |
| | Customer Attentionet (Lond (IO(A)) | It is supported that this station supplies 4000 supports and supplies 50001/(A of land | | | | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | It is expected that this station supplies 4000 customers and supplies 5000kVA or load. | | | | | |
| | Safety | This project has a safety component as AMS4 contains a voice radio repeater and a communications tower. Loss of this communications node due to a fiber issue would require all communications to field forces in the Aurora area to be | | | | | |
| | | changed to cell phone. Staff would not have the instant access to System Control via the Operations Voice Badio | | | | | |
| | | System. | | | | | |
| | | Staff would also need to do switching operations in Aurora stations if communications out of AMS4 was lost due to | | | | | |
| | | fiber failure. Normally these switching operations would be done remotely by a System Controller. Field staff would | | | | | |
| | | now need to do perform manual switching manualy, and be exposed to injury from arcs, strains and impact from | | | | | |
| | Cubor Security Drivery | exploding equipment. | | | | | |
| | Cyber-security, Privacy | Site uses Alectia owned dark liber so commissecure | | | | | |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not Applicable | | | | | |
| | Economic Development | Not Applicable | | | | | |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable | | | | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | Do Nothing: Continue to exist with this identified lack of diversity for both the routes into AMS4. Lack of diversity | | | | | |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | equals a single point of failure. This is unaccentable as AMSA is a major node of the Operations Network, Stakeholders, including System Control, D&C | | | | | |
| | | Station Sustainment. Lines and Metering. rely heavily on the wireless and optical data services provided by equipment | | | | | |
| | | installed at this node. | | | | | |
| | | Major wireless services emanate out of AMS4, providing information to the stakeholders listed above. The services | | | | | |
| | | include: | | | | | |
| | | - Operations Voice Radio; | | | | | |
| | | - Scada Communication to 8 Aurora Substations over unlicensed radio; | | | | | |
| | | - Data from customer meters to the local TGB meter data collector; | | | | | |
| | | - Scada communications to Reclosers and FIT Generators over 1.8Ghz WiMAX radio. | | | | | |
| | | Lose of access to any of these services would greatly impairs Operations ability to operate the distribution grid in the | | | | | |
| | | Aurora Service Area. | | | | | |
| | Alternative #1 | It is recommended to proceed with the implementation of this project, installing fiber on a diverse route into AMS4. | | | | | |
| | Alternative #2 | Another alternative would entail the installation of a wireless path into AMS4 instead of a diverse fiber ontic route. This | | | | | |
| | | would require the purchase and installation of microwave equipment, communication towers and associated | | | | | |
| | | equipment. | | | | | |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | The major justification for implementation of this project is to correct the identified single point of failure. Both of | | | | | |
| | | PowerStream's Fibre paths to AMS4 are contained in the same physical fibre bundle on Bathurst St. If this fiber was to | | | | | |
| | | be damaged, connectivity to AMS4 would be lost. There is no backup route. PowerStream has experienced a total loss of communications to AMS4. In 2011, a dump truck bit a pole on Pathwest | | | | | |
| | | causing a SCADA and Voice (Truck Radio), outage for System Control for approximately 4 hours. Communication | | | | | |
| | | outages are a safety concern for both staff and customers. | | | | | |
| | | Going forward, the importance of AMS4 as a major hub as an Operations Communications warrants it being migrated | | | | | |
| | | to Alectra's ultra secure and supremely reliable SoNET Network. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | The major risk would be lack of access to an aerial route for this new fiber path. All steps would be taken to ensure all | | | | | |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | | permits are in place to ensure completion of the project. | | | | | |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent | Not Applicable | | | | | |
| | historical Flojects (il ally) | | | | | | |

| Total Ca Energy (| pital and OM&A Costs for Rei Generation portion of Projects | newable 0 s (if any) | 0 | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | | | Not Applicable | | | | | | |
| Regiona which a | l Electricity Infrastructure Rec fect Project, if applicable | quirements Not Applica | Not Applicable | | | | | | |
| Descript | ion of Incorporation of Advar | nced This project | will deploy fiber optic infra | astructure, which is advan | ce technology. | | | | |
| identify coordin | any reliability, efficiency, safe | ty or The project major commin incurred da diverse. Con event of a f With this di Alectra's So reliability an | The project will increase reliability as it removes an identified single point of failure in the present connection to this major communications node located at AMS4. We have already experienced an outage when the physical plant incurred damage due to a dump truck taking out a pole. Service would have been maintained if the routes were diverse. Communication to this node would have been automatically rerouted to the alternate healthy path in the event of a failure to the primary path. With this diverse alternate path in place, AMS4 can support install of a JMUX shelf and become a participant in Alectra's SONET Network. Migrating this major communication's to SONET will improve cyber security, increase reliability and greatly simplify network administration. | | | | | | |
| 600,000 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| 500,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| 400,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| 300,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| 200,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| 100,000 |) | | | | | | | | |
| C | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$494,30 | 2015 08 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$494,308 | \$0 | | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Identify coordin 600,000 500,000 400,000 300,000 200,000 100,000 (200,000 100,000 (200,000) (200,000 (200,000) (200,00) (200) (200,0) (| any reliability, efficiency, safe ation benefits | ty or The project major comminger comminger incurred da diverse. Con- event of a f With this di Alectra's So reliability an 2020 \$0 \$0 | will increase reliability as it nunications node located a mage due to a dump truck munication to this node v allure to the primary path. verse alternate path in plac NET Network. Migrating th ad greatly simplify network greatly simplify network 2021 \$0 \$0 \$0 | t removes an identified sir it AMS4. We have already taking out a pole. Service would have been automati ce, AMS4 can support inst is major communication's administration. | gle point of failure in the g experienced an outage wh would have been maintain ically rerouted to the altern all of a JMUX shelf and bee to SoNET will improve cyb 2023 \$494,308 \$0 | 2024 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | | | |

Currency scale is in literal



| utilities | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Project Code | 101480 | | | | | |
| Project Name | Build double ccts 27.6kV pole line on 19th Ave l | between Leslie St and Bayview Ave | | | | |
| Major Category | System Service | | | | | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | | | | | |
| Project Overview | | | | | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South | | | | |
| | Location | On 19th Ave between Leslie St and Bayview Ave in Richmond Hill | | | | |
| | Units | 1 | | | | |
| | Project Class | Regular | | | | |
| | Project Includes R&D | No | | | | |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No | | | | |
| | Component | | | | | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No | | | | |
| 2. Constal Project Information (OEP) | Contributed Conital | Contributed Conital 0% | | | | |
| S. General Project mormation (OEB) | | | | | | |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable | | | | |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded | | | | |
| | Alectra Grouping | Capacity (Lines) | | | | |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ | | | | |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | This project is to build 2 ccts pole line on 19th Ave from Leslie St to Bayview Ave to supply new development in Leslie | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Support Capacity Delivery | | | | |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | High. | | | | |
| | | Alectra Utilities requires to prepare the distributions system to address the system capacity need driven by greenfield development. | | | | |
| | | The Town of Richmond Hill is supplied by two 230/27.6 KV transformer stations (RH-TS1/RH-TS2) in Richmond Hill and 6-27.6KV feeders from Buttonville transformer station in the City of Markham. The York Region recently issued the growth plans which projects approximately 613,900 new residents and 305,100 new jobs between 2016 and 2041. This growth is distributed throughout the York region. | | | | |
| | | The North Leslie planning area is bounded by 19th Avenue to the North, Hwy 404 to the east, Elgin Mills Road to the south and Bayview Avenue to the west. he Leslie North development may accommodate approximately 6,250 housing units with a population of approximately 19,300 people and employment of approximately 3,200 jobs. See the attachment for more details. | | | | |
| | | Based on 2.5kW per unit and 1.5 kW per job (based on 300 sq.ft per no-retail job and 5W/sq.ft), the total demand would be 20 MW. CDM is considered and load forecast is net of CDM. There is no feeder on 19th Ave so new pole line is required. | | | | |
| | | The development of subdivision in Leslie North has started in 2016. There will be new houses along 19th Ave between Bayview Ave and Leslie St as the subdivision secondary plan, however, there is no pole line now. Without new feeders, the ability to supply new loads will be significantly constrained. | | | | |
| | | The primary driver for this Investment is to support capacity delivery for the new development in the Leslie North Area. | | | | |
| | | The progress of the Leslie North development impact the loading of the feeders. There is no feeder on 19th Ave between Leslie St and Bayview Ave. | | | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not Applicable. | | | | |
| | Safety | Not Applicable. | | | | |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable. | | | | |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not Applicable. | | | | |
| | Economic Development | This project will supply new residential development in Leslie North area. | | | | |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable. | | | | |

| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | The status quo is to do nothing, (i.e., not build project as proposed), but to supply load growth from existing facilities. It will impact Alectra distribution system in two following aspects: |
|---|---|---|
| | | Reliability There is no pole line on 19th Ave between Leslie St and Bayview Ave. There is one feeder on Leslie St between Elgin Mills Ed and 19th Ave, but it is a radial supply. Any pole failure on Leslie St between Elgin Mills Ed and 19th Ave will cause prolonged outages to customers in the Leslie North development area. |
| | | Capacity There is no pole line on 19th Ave between Leslie St and Bayview Ave. The peak demand for this Leslie North development is estimated to be 20MW when fully built out, but no time line is available at this time. Two 27.6kV feeders are required to supply the new load because the existing feeders supply also other load south of this development too. These customers will be supplied from circuits surrounding the development, but there is no feeder on 19th Ave to supply new loads in the Leslie North development Area. |
| | | Status Quo will jeopardize Alectra's obligation to supply new customers along 19th Ave. The impact severity and timing will depend on the schedule of the Leslie North development. |
| | | There are no specific costs with the status quo, there are financial risks that are detailed in the risk section. |
| | | Alectra will not be able supply customers along 19th Ave. In addition, customers on Leslie St between Elgin Mills Rd and 19th Ave will be on a radial supply. |
| | | Customers will be at risk of lengthier and more impactive outages. This will negatively impact SAIDI and SAIFI. |
| | | Alectra will be at risk of compromising supply to new loads in Leslie North areas that may have negative impacts on our corporate reputation and mission. |
| | | Alectra is obligated to service future growth within its service territory using "good utility practice". Failure to provide adequate levels of service could lead to regulatory sanctions, and customer damage claims. |
| | Alternative #1 | Alectra Utilities is required to ensure its distribution system can support projected load growth while maintaining reliability and quality of service for customers on both a short-term and long-term basis, as required by the DSC. Alectra Utilities must be able to connect new sustamers in a timely manager. Non- wires |
| | | Alectra Utilities' load forecast process considers the impact of CDM and distributed generation and has been considered during the needs. |
| | | For this project these options have not been considered as new feeders are needed to connect the customers to grid. |
| | Alternative #2 Justification for Recommended Alternative | Not applicable This project includes following constructions: • build double ccts 27.6kV pole line on 19th Ave between Leslie St and Bayview Ave • connect the new pole line to the existing ccts on Leslie St and Bayview Ave • install LIS switches as per Alectra (PowerStream) design standard. |
| | | Status quo was not chosen for the following reasons: • Status Quo does not address risks to the reliability of customers in Leslie North and does not meet system needs for supply capacity |
| | | The recommended alternative (Build double ccts 27.6kV pole line on 19th Ave between Leslie St and Bayview Ave) was chosen for the following reasons: • It improves the reliability situation mentioned in the status quo option • It will increase supply capacity to Leslie North. • It will meet the immediate need for supply capacity. |
| | | Funding this project will enable Alectra(PowerStream) to meet its regulatory duty to supply the customers in our service area. Additionally it will allow us to operate the system in an efficient and effective manner by providing coordinated protections between source and load and having adequate backup capacity in the event of an outage. |
| | | They will provide 40 MVA supply capacity for Leslie North. |
| | | The two ccts on 19th Ave serve will serve as ties between ccts on Leslie St from Buttonville TS and ccts on Bayview Ave from Richmond Hill TS. They will provide 60 MVA contingency capacity and will allow load transfer between transformer stations under contingency such as pole failures, TS failure, and transmission line outage. |
| | | This project will increase power supply reliability and reduce risk of prolonged outages. |
| | | The project will provide for incremental feeder tie points between Buttonville TS and Richmond Hill TS. It will improve the operational efficiency and effectiveness of these stations. |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Execution of this investment will alleviate capacity constraints and as well as ensure the availability of sufficient capacity to efficiently connect customers to Alectra Utilities's distribution system. It will allow Alectra Utilities to maintain customers during contingency works and appendix appendix appendix and appendix |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | has to completion and has management | The other risk the 19th widening work schedule may impact the pole line construction. Alectra will work with the town to coordinate the schedule. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable | Not Applicable. |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each | Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in | Not Applicable. |
| Hoject/Activity (UEB) | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements | Not Applicable. |
| | which affect Project, if applicable | |

| Description o Technology, Identify any r coordination | Description of Incorporation of Advanced Technology, if applicable Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits | | Not Applicable. This project will increase power supply reliability and reduce risk of prolonged outages. The project will provide for incremental feeder tie points between Buttonville TS and Richmond Hill TS. It will improve the operational efficiency and effectiveness of these stations. | | | |
|--|---|------|--|-------------|------|------|
| 1,400,000 - | | | | | | |
| 1,200,000 - | | | | | | |
| 1,000,000 - | | | | | | |
| 800,000 - | | | | | | |
| 600,000 - | | | | | | |
| 400,000 - | | | | | | |
| 200,000 - | | | | | | |
| 0 - | | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$1,292,645 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,292,645 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | |



Project Code 101487 Add one Additional 27.6 kV Cct on Major Mack Dr and 9th Line Project Name Major Category System Service Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Project Overview 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream South Location Major Mack Dr from 9th Line to the west in Markham. on 9th Line from Major Mack to the south in Markham. Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Componen Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0% Expenditure Type Controllable Rates ID Rate Base Funded Alectra Grouping Capacity (Lines) Alectra Subcategory Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary This project is to: -add one 27.6kV cct on Major Mack from CNR to 9th Line, approx 1.3 km. -add one cct on 9th Line from Bur Oak Ave to Major Mack Dr -install LIS' at intersection as per Alectra's standard. This project is to establish another tie between two ccts on Major Mack and 9th Line. The purpose is to form a supply loop and a new tie between Buttonville TS and MTS2. Main Driver - System Service Reliability Priority and Reasons for Priority A radial feeder is defined as a circuit or a portion of a circuit that feeds a customer(s) with no normal connection to any other supply. This is typical of long rural lines with isolated load areas There are no pure radial feeders in Alectra. All of Alectra's feeders have normal open ties with other feeders. Most customers can be supplied from different directions (paths) by changing normal open points. However, in some areas, there is only one path between any customer and the source of supply. There are two ccts 12M1/12M3 on Major Mack Dr east of Hwy 48, but only one cct 12M3 goes all the way to 9th Line. The second cct stops half way and is a radial supply. There are two ccts 24M4/24M5 on 9th Line north of 16th Ave, but only one cct 24M4 goes all the way to Major Mack Dr. The second cct stops half way and is a radial supply. This implies that feeder 24M4/24M5 are backed up by the same feeder 12M3. Additional cct will allow 12M1 to back up feeder 24M5. It will allow customers on 24M4/24M5 to be restored faster in case outage on 24M4/24M5. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not Applicable. Safety Not Applicable. Cyber-Security, Privacy Not Applicable. Coordination, Interoperability Not Applicable. Economic Development Not Applicable. Environmental Benefits Not Applicable. 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Quo The status quo is to do nothing, (i.e., not build project as proposed), but to supply load growth from existing facilities. It will impact Alectra distribution system in two following aspects: Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) Customers on Major Mack Dr between Hwy 48 and 9th Line are on a radial supply Customers on radial supplies will experience longer outages when components of the radial feeder fail since there are no alternate paths to supply the affected customers. The longer the radial feeder and the more customers, the more severe the impact will be. The status quo option currently does not meet Alectra's "Open Grid Network" philosophy for this area. Existing and future urbanization in the area necessitates the need for additional feeders and grid reconfiguration in this area. There are no specific costs with the status quo, there are financial risks that are detailed in the risk section. Alectra will be at risk of compromising supply reliability to customers in the area that may have negative impacts on our corporate reputation and mission Alectra is obligated to service future growth within its service territory using "good utility practice". Alternative #1 Alectra Utilities has considered solar and storage options and determined that this option is not economical for the

capacity that is required. Based on typical loading of 15-20 MW per feeder the cost of non-wire alternatives would

significantly higher that of traditional solution.

Not Applicable.

Alternative #2

| | Justification f | or Recommended Alternative | This project is | to establish ties between | two ccts on Major Mack | and 9th Line. This project w | rill form 27.6kV feeder |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| | | | loops in the a | rea and also reduce the ris | k of customer outages th | nat might arise as a result of | radial feeders in that area. |
| | | | A radial feede other supply. | r is defined as a circuit or a This is typical of long rural | a portion of a circuit that lines with isolated load | reeds a customer(s) with neareas. | o normal connection to any |
| | | | There are no p customers car there is only c | oure radial feeders in Alect to be supplied from differer one path between any cust | ra. All of Alectra's feeden at directions (paths) by cl comer and the source of s | rs have normal open ties with hanging normal open points supply. | th other feeders. Most s. However, in some areas, |
| | | | There are two The second co only one cct 2 | ccts 12M1/12M3 on Majo t stops half way and is a ra 4M4 goes all the way to N | or Mack Dr east of Hwy 4 adial supply. There are to lajor Mack Dr. The secon | 8, but only one cct 12M3 go wo ccts 24M4/24M5 on 9th d cct stops half way and is a | pes all the way to 9th Line. Line north of 16th Ave, but a radial supply. |
| | | | Funding this p Additionally it capacity in the | project will enable Alectra will allow us to operate the event of an outage. | to meet its regulatory du ne system in an efficient | ty to supply the customers and effective manner by pro | in our service area. oviding adequate backup |
| | | | This project w as a result of r | ill form 27.6kV feeder loop adial feeders in that area. | os in Cornell area and als | o reduce the risk of custom | er outages that might arise |
| | | | This project w customers/de | ill eliminate radial supply velopments along Major N | on existing customers an Nack Dr. | d provide better reliability f | or new |
| | | | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Com | pletion and Risk Management | The risk is to g | et approval from the City | of Markham in time. | | |
| | | | Capital design | will start the design of the | e project in advance and | should get the approvals in | place in time. |
| | | | Reliability per | formance of the existing fe | eeders will affect the tim | ing and priority. | |
| | Comparative | Information on Equivalent | Not Applicable | е. | | | |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | | 0 | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Cu terms of Cost | ustomers of Project Expressed in Impact, where practicable | Not Applicable | e. | | | |
| | Regional Elec which affect I | tricity Infrastructure Requirements Project, if applicable | Not Applicable | e. | | | |
| | Description o Technology, i | f Incorporation of Advanced f applicable | Not Applicable | e. | | | |
| | Identify any r coordination | eliability, efficiency, safety or benefits | This project w as a result of r | ill form 27.6kV feeder loop adial feeders in that area. | os in Cornell area and als | o reduce the risk of custom | er outages that might arise |
| | | | This project | ill aliminata sodial supelu | | d ana ida katas saliakilita f | |
| | | | customers/de | velopments along Major N | Aack Dr. | a provide better reliability i | ornew |
| | | | This project w power supply | ill eliminate radial supplie reliability in Markham Eas | s on Major Mack Dr betw t. | veen Hwy 48 and 9th Line a | nd enhance and improve |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 1,400,000 | | | | | | |
| | 1,200,000 - | | | | | | |
| | 1,000,000 - | | | | | | |
| | 800,000 - | | | | | | |
| | 600,000 - | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 - | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 - | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | : \$1,324,981 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,324,981 | \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | |



Project Code

Project Name

OEB Multi-Project Report

101542

New Barrie 20MVA Substation - Harvie

| Major Category | System Service | |
|---|---|--|
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream North |
| | Location | Harvie Rd and Veterans Drive, Barrie |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Capacity (Stations) |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Station Capacity Projects |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | The project entails the purchase of a station site in the vicinity of Harvie Road and Veterans Drive in Barrie, and |
| | | constructing a new 44/13.8kV, 20MVA, 4-feeder municipal substation. The project includes engineering design, |
| | | purchase of station equipment, approvals, substation construction, equipment installation, and commissioning. |
| | Main Driver, System Service | Support Conscity Delivery |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Support Capacity Delivery |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Growth projections provided by the City of Barrie indicate that 2,120 residential units and approximately 26 MVA of inductrial and commercial developments will be completed ever the part ten years. Following the completion of these |
| | | developments MS305_MS308 and MS303 are projected to exceed ONAN ratings during summer peak in 2020_2021 |
| | | and 2023 respectively. Also, MS305 and MS308 will exceed single-stage fan ONAF ratings during summer peak in 2023 |
| | | and 2027 respectively. Alectra Utilities requires to prepare the distribution system to address the system capacity needs |
| | | driven by these developments. |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Total new connected load of 31,300 kVA by 2027. |
| | Safety | Not Applicable. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable. |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not Applicable. |
| | Economic Development | Not Applicable. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | The status guo will result in MS305, MS308 and MS303 exceeding ONAN ratings during summer peak in 2021 following |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | the completion of 2,120 residential homes and 26 MVA of industrial and commercial developments along Bryne Drive, |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | Big Bay Point Road, and Mapleview over the next 10 years. MS305 and MS308 will exceed single-stage fan ONAF ratings |
| | | during summer peak in 2023 and 2027 respectively. Note that CDM is considered for all projects and the load forecast |
| | | is net of CDM. For these reasons the status quo is not being recommended. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Alternative #1 | Non Wires- |
| | | Alectra Utilities' load forecast process considers the impact of CDM and distribution generation, which is accounted for |
| | | as part of the load forecast underpinning the Stations Capacity portfolio. Alectra Utilities has also considered other |
| | | options, such as battery storage, and determined that these options will not meet the load growth and contingency |
| | | conditions for the station. |
| | | |
| | | Wires |
| | | Alternative 1 consists of constructing three new 13.8kV feeders for integration between MS302 to MS305. MS303 to |
| | | MS301, and MS308 to MS303. The existing network configuration has only a single feeder integration between each |
| | | respective substation, thereby limiting the transfer capacity during contingency conditions. Each proposed pole line is |
| | | described below. |
| | | |
| | | MS302 to MS305: |
| | | A new 13.8kV feeder from MS302 running north along Bayview and then west along Mapleview to reach Veterans for |
| | | integration with the existing M5305 13.8kV reeders along Mapleview. |
| | | MS303 to MS301: |
| | | Double circuit a new 13.8kV circuit with the existing MS303-F3 north along Ferndale to Sunnidale and then east along |
| | | Sunnidale for integration with the existing MS301 13.8kV feeders. |
| | | |
| | | MS308 to MS303: |
| | | A new 13.8kV feeder from the intersection of Bayview and Big Bay Point Road, west past the Highway 400 crossing to |
| | | reactive terraris and then north along veterans to Ferndale at Essa for integration with the existing MS303 13.8kV feeders |
| | | 1000013. |
| | | It should be noted that the three proposed 13.8kV feeders will increase the number of interconnections between |
| | | substations and thereby increase the contingency transfer capacity. Performing load transfers between existing stations |
| | | (including the new 20MVA Painswick MS) will result in approximately 75% loading at MS303 and MS305, with all |
| | | adjacent stations being loaded at 80% or greater. With additional load from the Park Place and Big Bay Developments |
| | | the substation loading will increase beyond 80%. Assuming that the load can be distributed between MS308, MS305 |
| | | and MS302, it is assumed that the ONAN rating at MS302, MS304, and MS307 will be exceeded. Considering the |
| | | possible addition of another 2000 A from the industrial subdivision zoning in the area, the proposed three 13.8kV feeders and subsequent transfers between substations will not be sufficient to address eveneding the substation ONAN |

| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Comparative Information on E Historical Projects (if any) Comparative Information on E Historical Project, if applicable terms of Cost Impact, where pi Regional Electricity Infrastructu which affect Project, if applicable Lidentify any reliability, efficient coordination benefits 1,600,000 1,200,000 1,000,000 200,000 200,000 201,000 20 | | Constructing provide up t commercial | a new 44-13.8kV, 20MVA, o 33.2 MVA of 13.8 kV capa development along Bryne D | 4-feeder substation in the acity (dual-stage fan ONAF Drive, Big Bay Point Road, | e vicinity of Harvie Road a /ONAF configuration) to s and Mapleview Drive | nd Veterans Drive will supply the industrial and |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|---|---|
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk M 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Comparative Information on E 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Benefits to Customers of Project terms of Cost Impact, where project (if applicable identify any reliability, efficient coordination benefits 1.600,000 1,400,000 1.600,000 1,400,000 1.000,000 1,000,000 200,000 0 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$2,185,214 50 | Alternative | South-west The first fou MVA and 33 13.3 MVA ar | Barrie is currently supplied l substations have each a 20 2 MVA respectively. MS307 d 16.6 MVA respectively. | by five 13.8 kV municipal : 0 MVA transformer with d 7 is a 10MVA substation w | stations: MS302, MS303, ual-stage fans with ONAN ith dual-stage fans with C | MS305, MS308, and MS307. I and ONAF ratings of 26.6 DNAN and ONAF ratings of |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk N 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Comparative Information on E 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Benefits to Customers of Project terms of Cost Impact, where project, if applicable terms of Cost Impact, where project, if applicable Identify any reliability, efficient coordination benefits 1,600,000 1,400,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 0 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$2,185,214 \$0 • Actuals: \$0 \$0 | | Growth proj industrial an developmen and 2023 re and 2027 re | ections provided by the City d commercial development ts, MS305, MS308 and MS3 ;pectively. Also, MS305 and ;pectively. | y of Barrie indicate that 2, ts will be completed over 803 are projected to excee I MS308 will exceed single | 120 residential units and the next ten years. Follow d ONAN ratings during su -stage fan ONAF ratings d | approximately 26 MVA of ing the completion of these mmer peak in 2020, 2021, luring summer peak in 2023 |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk N 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Comparative Information on E 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Benefits to Customers of Project terms of Cost Impact, where prise Regional Electricity Infrastruct which affect Project, if applicable Identify any reliability, efficient coordination benefits 1,600,000 1,400,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 0 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$2,185,214 \$0 • Actuals: \$0 \$0 | | The ability to between bo distance bet in a facility o been carried ongoing con | o transfer load from MS303 h substations. Voltage drop ween MS303 to MS301. Vo an trip due to low voltage r out from MS305 to MS308 mercial developments, will | to MS301 is limited due t o issues would also arise in ltage drop can cause signi resulting in outages and lo s; however, any additional l contribute to MS308 exc | o there being only one ex I load transfer scenarios, ficant issues for industrial st productivity. In recent transfers to MS308, coup eeding its ONAF single-sta | isting feeder interconnection given the long 7.1 km I customers, since equipment years, load transfers have pled with the new load from ge fan rating of 26.6 MVA. |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk N 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Total Capital and OM&A Costs Energy Generation portion of F 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Benefits to Customers of Project terms of Cost Impact, where pitability, efficient coordination benefits 1.600,000 1,400,000 1.000,000 1,200,000 1.000,000 0 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$2,185,214 \$0 • Actuals: \$0 \$0 | | Constructing provide up t commercial as capacity f both MS305 thereby ensi | a new 44-13.8kV, 20MVA, o 33.2 MVA of 13.8 kV capa development along Bryne I or 2,120 new residential ho and MS308 while providing rring compliance with the p | 4-feeder substation in the neity (dual-stage fan ONAF Drive, Big Bay Point Road, imes in South Barrie. Also, g backup supply to the nei lanning criteria for single | vicinity of Harvie Road a /ONAF configuration) to s and Mapleview Drive ove the new substation will g ghboring substations unc contingency (N-1) operati | nd Veterans Drive will supply the industrial and r the next ten years, as well provide capacity relief to ler contingency conditions, ions. |
| Comparative Information on E Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs Energy Generation portion of f Project/Activity (OEB) Regional Electricity Infrastructu which affect Project, if application Identify any reliability, efficient coordination benefits 1,600,000 1,200,000 1,200,000 1,200,000 0 200,000 0 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$2,185,214 \$0 Actuals: \$0 \$0 | anagement | The greatest The area sur the preferre | risk to completion is securi rounding the proposed site d site will not be available v | ing the required land in th is fast being developed au vhich will mean additiona | e vicinity of Harvie Road a nd there is a risk that the l line (44kV and 13.8kV) c | and Veterans Drive in Barrie. property cost will rise and/or osts will be incurred. |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Benefits to Customers of Proje terms of Cost Impact, where p 8. Regional Electricity Infrastruct which affect Project, if applical Description of Incorporation of Technology, if applicable Identify any reliability, efficient coordination benefits 1,600,000 1,400,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 0 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$2,185,214 \$0 | quivalent | Painswick So of 2015. The demolition o identifying a | uth MS, a new 44/13.8kV 2 re was difficulty locating an f two residential homes in nd purchasing property as 6 | 20MVA, 4-feeder substatic Id securing available land order to secure a property early as possible to ensure | n in Barrie, was complete for the substation, resulti r. The project highlighted it is available when a new | ed and energized at the end ng in the purchase and the importance of w substation is required. |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Benefits to Customers of Proje terms of Cost Impact, where p Regional Electricity Infrastructu which affect Project, if applicable Identify any reliability, efficient coordination benefits 1,600,000 1,400,000 1,200,000 1,200,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 0 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$2,185,214 \$0 Actuals: \$0 \$0 | for Renewable rojects (if any) | 0 | | | | |
| Regional Electricity Infrastructive which affect Project, if applical Description of Incorporation of Technology, if applicable Identify any reliability, efficient coordination benefits 1,600,000 1,400,000 1,200,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 0 200,000 0 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$2,185,214 \$0 Actuals: \$0 | t Expressed in acticable | Not Applical | le. | | | |
| Description of Incorporation of Technology, if applicable Identify any reliability, efficient coordination benefits 1,600,000 1,400,000 1,200,000 1,200,000 1,000,000 0 200,000 0 200,000 0 200,000 0 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$2,185,214 \$0 Actuals: \$0 \$0 | re Requiremen Ie | its Not Applical | le. | | | |
| Technology, if applicable Identify any reliability, efficien- coordination benefits 1,600,000 1,400,000 1,400,000 1,200,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 200,000 0 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$2,185,214 \$0 Actuals: \$0 | Advanced | Not Applical | le. | | | |
| 1,600,000 1,400,000 1,200,000 1,000,000 800,000 600,000 400,000 0 200,000 0 2019 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$2,185,214 \$0 Actuals: \$0 \$0 | y, safety or | The new sub developmen | station will provide reliabili ts in the area and providing | ity benefits by providing tl g the required back-up cap | ne required capacity for the ability during contingence | he proposed future y conditions. |
| 1,400,000 1,200,000 1,000,000 800,000 600,000 400,000 0 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$2,185,214 \$0 Actuals: \$0 \$0 | | | | | | |
| 1,200,000 1,000,000 800,000 600,000 400,000 0 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$2,185,214 \$0 Actuals: \$0 \$0 | | | | | | |
| 1,000,000 800,000 600,000 400,000 200,000 0 2019 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$2,185,214 \$0 Actuals: \$0 \$0 | | | | | | |
| 800,000 600,000 400,000 200,000 0 2019 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$2,185,214 \$0 Actuals: \$0 \$0 \$0 | | | | | | |
| 600,000 400,000 200,000 0 2019 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$2,185,214 \$0 Actuals: \$0 \$0 | | | | | | |
| 400,000 200,000 0 2019 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$2,185,214 \$0 Actuals: \$0 \$0 | | | | | | |
| 200,000 0 2019 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$2,185,214 \$0 Actuals: \$0 \$0 | | | | | | |
| 0 2019 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$2,185,214 \$0 Actuals: \$0 \$0 | | | | | | |
| 2019 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$2,185,214 \$0 Actuals: \$0 \$0 | | | | | | |
| Actuals: \$0 \$0 | | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| | | \$0 \$0 | \$U \$0 | \$U \$0 | \$815,846 \$0 | \$1,309,368 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | · · · |



Project Code

Project Name

OEB Multi-Project Report

101569

New Alliston 10MVA Substation - Industrial Parkway

Major Category System Service Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream North Location Dufferin St and Industrial Pkwy area, Alliston, Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Componen Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Expenditure Type Controllable Rates ID Rate Base Funded Alectra Grouping Capacity (Stations) Alectra Subcategory Station Capacity Projects 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary The project entails the purchase of a station site in the vicinity of Dufferin St and Industrial Pkwy in Alliston, and constructing a new 10MVA, 44/13.8 kV, dual-stage fan, 4-feeder municipal substation. The project includes engineering design, purchase of station equipment, approvals, substation construction, equipment installation, and commissioning. Main Driver - System Service Support Capacity Delivery Priority and Reasons for Priority Growth projections obtained from the Town of New Tecumseth indicate that a 56-hectare industrial and commercial development (Westerly ICI) is planned to be completed within six years in the vicinity of Dufferin Street and Industrial Parkway. Another 30 hectares industrial and commercial development (Easterly ICI) is proposed to be developed over four years in the vicinity of Theatre Road and Industrial Parkway. A total of 2,680 residential homes are to be completed in the Alliston area over from 2019-2023. Upon completion of the developments, MS331-T2 will exceed its 10 MVA ONAN nameplate rating in 2020, and its 13.3 MVA ONAF maximum rating in 2023. In addition, Alliston is projected to experience a total of 37.6 MVA in 13.8 kV station load. This load would exceed the system's contingency capacity of 26.6 MVA upon loss of one large 13.8 kV substation transformer. Alectra Utilities requires to prepare the distribution system to address the system capacity needs driven by these developments. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Total new connected load of 9,300 kVA by 2027. Safety Not Applicable. Cyber-Security, Privacy Not Applicable Coordination, Interoperability Not Applicable. Economic Development Not Applicable. Environmental Benefits Not Applicable. 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of The status quo will not provide the required 13.8kV supply capacity for the proposed industrial/commercial Status Quo Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) subdivisions and residential developments. Note that CDM is considered for all projects and the load forecast is net of CDM. In addition, the status quo does not provide the necessary 13.8kV contingency capacity in Alliston upon loss of MS322-T1 or MS322-T2 following the completion of the residential and industrial/commercial subdivisions after 2020. For these reasons, the status quo is not being recommended. Alternative #1 Non Wires Alternative Alectra Utilities' load forecast process considers the impact of CDM and distribution generation, which is accounted for as part of the load forecast underpinning the Stations Capacity portfolio. Alectra Utilities has also considered other options, such as battery storage, and determined that these options will not meet the load growth and contingency conditions for the stations to be upgraded during this DSP period Wire Alternative. Alternative 1 consists of expanding 8th Avenue MS330 from 10MVA to 20MVA and adding two additional 13.8kV feeders. The alternative was rejected because the existing station is of the 1980 vintage; the building is too small to accommodate the expansion. The station was originally designed for 5kV. Replacement of existing major equipment is required including the oil containment. The existing transformer pad will not support the larger transformer. The building is too small to accommodate the additional 2 feeders, HV breaker and LV switchgear. Also, Alternative 1 does not address station back-up under contingency conditions i.e. the "Triad" Model. The Triad model ensures that there are three neighboring stations and upon loss of one station transformer the load of that station can be transferred to the adjacent two stations. Alternative #2 Alternative 2 consists of purchasing a station site in the vicinity of Dufferin St. and Industrial Pkwy. in Alliston suitable for constructing a new 44-13.8 kV, 2x10MVA, 4 feeder Municipal Substation for capacity relief of MS331 (14th Line) and MS330 (8th Ave) and to supply a proposed Industrial Subdivision. This alternative provides the benefit of redundancy at the substation with dual transformers and the ability to accommodate contingency transfers greater than 10MVA from adjacent substations. However, a 2x10MVA configuration results in a \$2,860,000 cost premium over a single 10MVA substation design.

| Conception and the comparison of the average of the second process of the second pr | | Justification fo | r Recommended Alternative | The Town of stage fan trai supplying no T1 feeders su have single-s | Alliston is currently supplie nsformer rated to 10 MVA / rth-west Alliston. MS331 h upply the east-end of Allistot tage fans and are rated to 2 | ed by two 13.8 kV station: Oil Natural Air Natural (O las two 10 MVA transform on, and MS331-T2 feeder: 10 MVA ONAN and 13.3 f | s: MS330 and MS331. MS NAN) and 13.3 MVA Oil N ners each supplying separa s supply west-end of Allist MVA ONAF. | 330 has a 10 MVA single- atural Air Forced (ONAF) ate parts of Alliston: MS331- on. These two transformers |
|---|--|------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| 6. General information on the Project/Activity (OE) 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Exa Completion on Project (I any) 8. General information on Equivalent 9. Category-Specific Requirements for Exa Completion on Project (I any) 9. Category-Specific Requirements for Exa Completion on Project (I any) 9. Category-Specific Requirements for Exa Completion on Project (I any) 9. Category-Specific Requirements for Exa Completion on Project (I any) 9. Category-Specific Requirements for Exa Completion on Project (I any) 9. Category-Specific Requirements for Exa Completion on Project (I any) 9. Category-Specific Requirements for Exa Completion on Project (I any) 9. Category-Specific Requirements for Exa Completion on Project (I any) 9. Category-Specific Requirements for Exa Completion on Project (I any) 9. Category-Specific Requirements for Exa Completion on Project (I any) 9. Category-Specific Requirements for Exa Completion on Project (I any) 9. Category-Specific Requirements for Exa Completion on Project (I any) 9. Category-Specific Requirements for Exa Completion on Project (I any) 9. Category-Specific Requirements for Exa Completion on Project (I any) 9. Category-Specific Requirements for Exa Completion on Project (I any) 9. Category-Specific Requirements for Exa Completion on Project (I any) 9. Category-Specific Requirements for Exa Completion on Project (I any) 9. Category-Specific Requirements for Exa Completion on Project (I any) 9. Category-Specific Requirements for Exa Completion on Project (I any) 9. Category-Specific Requirements for Exa Completion on Project (I any) 9. Category-Specific Requirements for Exa Completion on Project (I any) 9. Category-Specific Requirements for Exa Comple | | | | Information several residu New Tecums completed w marketed int Honda Canac ICI) is propos development NT-T-1301) w purposes and from 2019-21 completed o | obtained from the Town of ential developments are pla eth indicate that a 56-hect ithin six years in the vicinit remationally as investment da, and the Greater Toront ed to be developed over fo t has draft plan approval fro thas draft plan approval for a period o d 3 blocks for commercial u 023. Some developments hver the next few years. | New Tecumseth indicate anned in the Alliston regi are industrial and comme y of Dufferin Street and li ready under Ontario's Cc o Area. Another 30 hecta ury years in the vicinity of om the Town of New Tec f two years until July 13, se. A total of 2,680 reside ave completed construct | es two major industrial/co on. Growth projections ob ercial development (Weste ndustrial Parkway. The We ertified Site Program with irres industrial and comme Theatre Road and Industri Unseth for an industrial p 2020. The draft plan prop ential homes are to be cor ion of Phase 1, with the re | mmercial developments and rity ICI is planned to be esterly ICI lands have been proximity to major highways rcial development (Easterly ial Parkway. The Easterly ICI lan of subdivision (File No. oses 12 blocks for industrial mpleted in the Alliston area emainder of Phases to be |
| General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Project/Activity (OEB) Comparative information on Equivalent Historial Project/Activity (OEB) Comparative information on Equivalent Historial Project (Fam) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Rereveble Energy Generation of Advanced Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Rereveble Energy Generation of Project Expressed Not Applicable. Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Rereveble Description of Incorporation of Advanced The needs to the Interset Structure and endition in Barrie was advanced and endition of the respect Information on the resultable which will mean addition and purchasing proper early as possible to ensure it is available which will mean addition of the oresident is total Capital and OM&A Costs for Rereveble Energy Generation portion of Project Expressed Not Applicable. Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Rereveble Description of Incorporation of Advanced Termed Statistics, as well as the new residential developments in Advanced Termed Statistics, as well as the new residential developments in Advanced Total Capital and OM&A costs for Rereveble Description of Incorporation of Advanced Total Capital and OM&A costs for Rereveble Description of Incorporation of Advanced Total Capital and OM&A costs for Rereveble Description of Incorporation of Advanced Total Capital and OM&A costs for Rereveble Description of Incorporation of Advanced Termed Statistics, as well as the new residential developments in Advanced The new substation will offer reliability benefits by providing the required capacity for the proposed Not Applicable. Total Capital and OM&A cost specific Capital Capital Capital Cap | | | | The forecast development rating in 202 ICI would be concerns, the capacity in th another supp interrupted t | growth will over-load one of ts, MS331-T2 will exceed its 3. In the existing feeder cor supplied by MS331-T2, furd e completion of the propos e event of loss of either tr- ply in the event of an outag to a large number of custom | of the existing feeders (M 10 MVA ONAN namepla nfiguration, the 13.8 kV c ther exceeding the subst ed developments will res ansformer at MS31, me e. If the distribution syste ners for an extended peri | IS331-T2). Upon completio te rating in 2020, and its : omponent of the propose stion 13.3 MVA ONAF ratin ult in Alliston not having a aning that customer could em were to fail in such a si od. | n of the residential 13.3 MVA ONAF maximum d Westerly ICI and Easterly ng. In addition to these idequate contingency not be transferred to tuation, service could be |
| Comparative information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Painswick South MS, a new 44/33.8k/ 20MVA, 4-feeder substation, resulting in the purchase and demolition of two residentia homes in order to secure a property. The project highlighted the importance of identifying and purchasing proper early as possible to ensure it is available when a new substation is required. 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Renefits to Customers of Project Spressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable Not Applicable. Not Applicable Description of Incorporation of Advanced Technology, if applicable Not Applicable. 1,200,000 1,200,000 Station will offer reliability benefits by providing the required capacity for the proposed industrial/commercial developments, as well as the new residential developments in Alliston. In addition, the substation will provide the required back-up capability during contingency conditions through the triad configurat and applicable 1,200,000 1,200,000 Station will provide the required back-up capability during contingency conditions through the triad configurat 1,200,000 200,000 Station will provide the required back-up capability during contingency conditions through the triad configurat | 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Compl | letion and Risk Management | The forecast and restore p substations i MVA. Howev customer loa limit under The greatest Alliston. The property cos? | customer growth in Allisto ower during outages. Fron n Alliston peaks at 23.4 MV rer, the contingency capacit d exceeds this level, Alectr. the second fails. When the new risk to completion is securi area surrounding the propu- t will rise and/or the prefer | n will also prevent Alectra n an overall system persp /A, which is within the tot ty of the Alliston system va a Utilities may not be abl dublicities may not be abl so do the system of the W red site will not be availa | a Utilities from being able ective, the historical loadi tal capacity for Alliston's 1 with loss of the largest trai e to continue operating th plotted. Allistop is projection evicinity of Dufferin Stre- esterly ICI development ar ble which will mean addit | to shift load between feeder ng across all 13.8 kV 3.8 kV system, which is 39.9 nsformer is 26.6 MVA. If the e system within nominal d to evention a both of et and Industrial Parkway in nd there is a risk that the ional line (44kV and 13.8kV) |
| Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Project (f any) 0 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable Not Applicable. Not Applicable. Not Applicable. Project/Activity (OEB) Description of Incorporation of Advanced Technology, if applicable Not Applicable. Description of Incorporation of Advanced Technology, if applicable Not Applicable. To new substation will offer reliability benefits by providing the required capacity for the proposed identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits Not Applicable. 1,200,000 1,200,000 1,200,000 1,200,000 0 0 0 | | Comparative In Historical Proje | nformation on Equivalent ects (if any) | Painswick So locating and homes in ord early as poss | uth MS, a new 44/13.8kV 2 securing available land for ler to secure a property. Th ible to ensure it is available | OMVA, 4-feeder substati the substation, resulting e project highlighted the when a new substation | on in Barrie was energized in the purchase and demo importance of identifying is required. | l in 2015. There was difficult lition of two residential and purchasing property as |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable Not Applicable. Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable Not Applicable. Description of Incorporation of Advanced Technology, if applicable Not Applicable. The new substation will offer reliability benefits by providing the required capacity for the proposed industrial/commercial developments, as well as the new residential developments in Alliston. In addition, the substation will provide the required back-up capability during contingency conditions through the triad configurat industrial/commercial developments, as well as the new residential developments through the triad configurat industrial/commercial developments, as well as the new residential developments through the triad configurat industrial/commercial developments, as well as the new residential development to addition, the substation will provide the required back-up capability during contingency conditions through the triad configurat industrial/commercial developments, as well as the new residential development to addition of substation will provide the required back-up capability during contingency conditions through the triad configurat industrial/commercial development to addition through the triad configuration will be addition will provide the required back-up capability during contingency to addition the provinde the required back-up capability during contingency | | Total Capital a Energy Genera | nd OM&A Costs for Renewable ition portion of Projects (if any | e 0) | | | · | |
| Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable Not Applicable. Description of Incorporation of Advanced Technology, if applicable Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits Not Applicable. 1,200,000 The new substation will offer reliability benefits by providing the required capacity for the proposed industrial/commercial developments, as well as the new residential developments in Alliston. In addition, the substation will provide the required back-up capability during contingency conditions through the triad configuration 1,200,000 1,200,000 1,200,000 400,000 200,000 | 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Cus terms of Cost I | stomers of Project Expressed ir Impact, where practicable | Not Applicab | le. | | | |
| Description of Incorporation of Advanced Technology, if applicable Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits Not Applicable. The new substation will offer reliability benefits by providing the required capacity for the proposed industrial/commercial developments, as well as the new residential developments in Alliston. In addition, the substation will provide the required back-up capability during contingency conditions through the triad configurat 1,200,000 1,000,000 800,000 600,000 400,000 0 0 0 | | Regional Electi which affect P | ricity Infrastructure Requireme roject, if applicable | nts Not Applicab | le. | | | |
| Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits The new substation will offer reliability benefits by providing the required capacity for the proposed industrial/commercial developments, as well as the new residential developments in Alliston. In addition, the substation will provide the required back-up capability during contingency conditions through the triad configural 1,200,000 1,200,000 1,200,000 1,000,000 0 0 0 | | Description of | Incorporation of Advanced | Not Applicab | le. | | | |
| | | Identify any re coordination b | liability, efficiency, safety or senefits | The new sub industrial/co substation w | station will offer reliability mmercial developments, as ill provide the required bac | benefits by providing the s well as the new residen k-up capability during co | required capacity for the tial developments in Allist ntingency conditions thro | proposed on. In addition, the ugh the triad configuration. |
| | | 1,200,000 | | | | | | |
| | | 1,000,000 - | | | | | | |
| | | 800,000 | | | | | | |
| 400,000 | | 600,000 | | | | | | |
| 200,000 | | 400,000 | | | | | | |
| | | 200,000 - | | | | | | |
| | | o 🕂 | 2010 | 2026 | 2021 | 2000 | | 2021 |
| 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted; \$1.902.496 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$200 \$1.052.564 | 2019 2 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$1 902 496 \$0 | | 2020 \$0 | 2021 \$0 | 2022 ¢n | 2023 \$840 232 | 2024 \$1.062.264 | |
| Actuals: \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | Actuals: \$0 | 1,502,450 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | •• |



| Proiect Code | 102128 | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| Project Name | Aurora MS6 Expansion | |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South |
| | Location | Aurora MS6 |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Canacity (Lines) |
| | Alectra Subcatagony | Line Capacity Drois & Add Circ |
| 4 Evaluation Criteria (OER) | Project Summany | This project includes following constructions: |
| 4. Evaluation Chiena (OEB) | Project Summary | 1.add one main breaker for T2 |
| | | 2. add two 13.8kV breakers in Aurora MS6 |
| | | 3. construct two 13.8kV feeders from the breakers to the riser poles |
| | | 4. Install switches or switchgears as per Power-Stream's design standard. |
| | | 5. reconfigure existing feeders to integrate new feeders into the system |
| | | After the project is completed. MS6 will be the same configuration as Aurora MS5. |
| | | The project takes two years to complete. |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Support Capacity Delivery |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Alectra Utilities requires to prepare the distributions system to address the system capacity need driven by |
| | | intensification and redevelopment. |
| | | The 2C Planning Area (as per York Regional Planning Official plan) is located at the portheast guadrant of the Town of |
| | | Aurora. The 2C lands are bounded by the Town of Newmarket on the north. Highway 404 on the east, just north of |
| | | Wellington Street on the south and Marsh Creek on the west. The 2C Planning Area consists of approximately 445 |
| | | hectares (1,080 acres) and represents the last Greenfield development opportunity within the Town of Aurora. |
| | | Lands in the 2C Secondary Plan Area are intended to accommodate approximately 8,000 residents in approx. 3,000 units and between 5,200 and 6,400 employment opportunities over the next 20 years. Based on 2.5kW per unit and 1. |
| | | kW per job, approx. 15 MW is expected from these developments. CDM is considered for all projects and load forecast is net of CDM. |
| | | The residential units will be on the west side of Leslie St and will be supplied by 13.8kV feeders. The commercial development will be on the east side of Leslie St and will supplied by 27 KW feeders from Aurora MS7 |
| | | & MS8. |
| | | The development in 2C need one additional 13.8kV cct. |
| | | MS#6 has two 10/13/16 MVA 44kV/13.8kV transformers, but there are only two 13.8kV feeders. The transformer |
| | | capacity is not fully utilized. The peak on MS6 has exceeded 10 MVA and additional capacity is required to supply 2C |
| | | land. Feeder 6F1 had a peak of 205 Amps in 2016 and 24 MVA transformer connected. 6F1 is 10km long feeder and |
| | | load 6F2. |
| | | |
| | | The construction in 2C land has started and many residential units will be connected in the years to come. The existing |
| | | 13.8kV feeders don't have sufficient capacity to supply the new load. |
| | | Customers will be at risk of lengthier and more impactive outages. This will negatively impact SAIDI and SAIFI. |
| | | Alectra will be at risk of compromising supply to new loads in Aurora that may have negative impacts on our corporate reputation and mission. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not applicable The new feeders can supply additional 15MW |
| | Safety | Not applicable |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not applicable |
| | Economic Development | This provide 10 MVA distribution capacity to Aurora. |
| | | |
| | Environmental Renefits | It will provide capacity for new residential and non-residential development in Aurora. |

| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OFB) | Status Quo | The status quo would be to do nothing and overload existing transformer stations and feeders beyond their normal ratios |
|---|---|--|
| | | 2C land is currently supplied by a 13.8kV feeder 5F2. It had a peak of 269A in 2018 and does not have sufficient capacity to supply future growth in the 2C lands. New feeder capacity is required to supply the additional load. The peak on MS5 was 17MW or 19MVA. It does not have sufficient capacity for 2C land. MS6 had a peak of 11MW or 12MVA in 2018 and it does not have enough capacity for 2C land either. The construction in 2C land has started and many residential units will be connected in the years to come. The existing 13.8kV feeders don't have sufficient capacity to supply the new load. |
| | | There are no specific costs with the status quo, there are financial risks that are detailed in the risk section. |
| | | Running equipment beyond its rating could lead to failure and possibly cause injury to public or employees. Running equipment beyond it designed rating also reduces the life expectancy of that equipment. |
| | | The existing transformer stations and feeders will experience over loading as the load grows. This will restrict the operational flexibility of transferring load between feeders and stations in case of problems on the distribution system. |
| | | From a regulatory point of view we are obligated to serve the load. We run the increased risks of longer service disruptions given the status quo. If adequate backup facilities are not available during contingency conditions there is a strong probability that not all of the load could be picked up. Knowingly running equipment beyond established guidelines does not represent good utility practice.13.8kV feeder may experience overloading and customers may experience low voltage problems. |
| | | Alectra Utilities is required to ensure its distribution system can support projected load growth while maintaining reliability and quality of service for customers on both a short-term and long-term basis, as required by the DSC. Alectra Utilities must be able to connect new customers in a timely manner. |
| | | The existing feeders are already loaded and nearing their capacity limits, taking no action will result in feeders becoming overloaded and exceeding their carrying capacity. Once feeders are at full utilization, load shedding will need to be executed during the summer peak period or during contingency conditions to mitigate the risk of failure from overloaded equipment. Supplying customers through highly loaded feeders may impact power quality. |
| | Alternative #1 | Non- wires |
| | | Alectra Utilities' load forecast process considers the impact of CDM and distributed generation and has been considered during the needs . |
| | | Alectra Utilities has considered non wire alternative (solar and storage option) and determined that this option is not economical for the capacity that is required. Based on typical capacity of 15MW per feeder the cost of non-wire alternatives would 15 times that of traditional solution and hence this option has been rejected. |
| | Alternative #2 Justification for Recommended Alternative | Not applicable MS#6 has two 10/13/16 MVA 44kV/13.8kV transformers, but there are only two 13.8kV feeders. The transformer capacity is not fully utilized. The peak on MS6 has exceeded 10 MVA and additional capacity is required. Feeder 6F1 had a peak of 205 Amps in 2016 and 24 MVA transformer connected. New 13.8kV feeders are required to off load 6F2. |
| | | Over 600 new homes are being forecasted in Aurora every year. That is approx. 1.5MW new load each year. The development in 2C needs one additional 13.8kV cct. |
| | | Alternative 1 (Status Quo) does not address risks to the reliability of customers in Aurora area and does not meet system needs for supply capacity |
| | | The recommended alternative will provide 16 MVA capacity to the distribution system addresses long term growth (10 years) requirements for Aurora. |
| | | The recommended alternative is consistent with Alectra approved planning guidelines for transformers and feeders. |
| | | Funding this project will enable Alectra to meet its regulatory duty to supply the customers in our service area. Additionally, it will allow Alectra to operate the system in an efficient, effective and flexible manner by providing additional supply capacity and having adequate backup capacity in the event of an outage. It shows Good Utility Practice in terms of asset utilization and load security. It will enable Alectra to meet transformer station and feeder loading guide lines. |
| | | This project will add two 13.8kV feeders and 16.6MVA capacity to supply 2C land. It will increase reliability. This project will increase power supply reliability and reduce risk of prolonged outages. |
| | | The completion of this project will allow for Aurora MS5 and MS6 to adequately supply new developments in 2C land areas. |
| | | The project will provide for incremental feeder tie points between MS5 and MS6 and improve the operational efficiency and effectiveness of these stations. |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Execution of this investment will alleviate capacity constraints and as well as ensure the availability of sufficient capacity to afficiently connect customers to Alexton Hilling's distribution system. It will allow Alexton Hilling to The risk to get approvals from York Region and Town of Aurora in time. |
| Project/Activity (UEB) | | This project is scheduled for design in 2021 and construction in 2022. This will allow sufficient time to complete the project |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable | Not applicable 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Fach | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in | Not applicable |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | |





Project Code Project Name

OEB Multi-Project Report

102263

Work Force Management / Mobile Dispatch

| Major Category | General Plant | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Undefined |
| | Location | Alectra Operations Centres |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | Yes |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Information Technology Systems |
| | Alectra Subcategory | IT Operational |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | The WFM Project will include the following functionality: |
| | | Computerised tool to schedule jobs and allocate resources, with the ability to automate some functions; |
| | | Electronic dispatch of jobs to field crews; |
| | | Beal-time tracking of jobs while in progress; Pracking of crew schedules and performance: |
| | | Bectronic recording and transmission of field data: |
| | | •Automation of processes such as timekeeping: and |
| | | •Boute optimization. |
| | | |
| | | The WFM solution will primarily bring benefit for shorter-duration field work, but there will also be benefit to having computerized resource allocation and crew management tools for larger capital projects. |
| | | This is part of a multi-year plan involving AM/Mobile/WEM/AA&PO Solution. Alectra does not have an enterprise |
| | | workforce or work flow management solution. In the legacy utilities, specific project information and work instructions |
| | | were housed in a variety of ways. Work process flows and project cost information were also managed in different |
| | | systems. At Alectra, JDE will be the enterprise system for financials and project costs, CC&B the customer information |
| | | enterprise system. and P6 (Primavera) the enterprise system for allocating and tracking the progress of Capital |
| | | projects. However, day-to-day crew management is still very much a manual process. Much of the work lands on the |
| | | Field/Trades Supervisor's desk and they manually sort through and decide which projects go on which day, and which |
| | | personnel would be assigned to what crew. Project updates are entered manually into P6, but there is no real-time |
| | | insight into crew activities or job progress. This is particularly challenging for short-duration capital, maintenance, and |
| | | reactive work. There is little communication or information available while a job is executing and resource information is limited and difficult to put togethere to get insists and control around much of the work that is ecouries |
| | | Is limited and difficult to put together to get insight and control around much of the work that is occurring. Productivity is lost through unnecessary extra field trins, scheduling errors and less than ontimal resource allocation |
| | | With respect to mobile dispatch and reporting. Alectra has made an initial investment in Fieldworker, a mobile |
| | | workforce solution focused on metering work. While Fieldworker is a valuable application for specific types of work, it |
| | | does not provide real-time insight into job progress nor the crew management capability that an enterprise WFM |
| | | solution would provide. Across Alectra Operations, other mobile applications have been or are being implemented - |
| | | e.g. Mobile GIS; Mobile DigSmart; Mobile Responder. These implementations are through the use of tough books or |
| | | laptops. However, these solutions require that the field user access separate applications to utilize these products. A |
| | | WFM solution would provide a single integrated platform for the field user to access all required applications. Most of the workforce receives their work instructions through paper. Work instructions are entered into IDE and (or filed in |
| | | the workforce receives their work instructions through paper. Work instructions are entered into JDE and /or filed in FileNexus and have to be printed out. The paper is taken to the field for staff to review. Additional information on |
| | | necients she have to be printed out. The paper is taken to the held to start to reverse relational internation of |
| | Main Driver - General Plant | Capital Investment Support |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | This project is ranked as high priority because the volume and variety of capital and maintenance activity at Alectra has |
| | | reached levels where a computerised tool is required to assist resource managers with resource allocation, job |
| | | scheduling, and dispatch. At present, these activities and associated workflow processes are primarily manual, labour- intensive, and paper-based. The implementation of a computerised tool will facilitate process automation. |
| | | streamlining, and improvement. The new tool will allow jobs to be scheduled (or rescheduled) and dispatched more |
| | | efficiently. The WFM system will also provide insight into how work is carried out into the field and provide data on |
| | | crew performance, thereby allowing analysis into how productivity can be improved. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (K)(A) | Not applicable |
| | Safety | This project will not have an adverse impact on personnel or public safety. Safety will be considered throughout the |
| | / | project, and particularly for the Mobile aspects of the project. For example, field computers will be mounted in vehicles |
| | | in a manner that is not detrimental to employee safety or wellness. In addition, technical options are being explored to |
| | | prevent a driver from using the field computer while the vehicle is in motion. |
| | Cyber-Security Privacy | The WEM system will be a critical system that interfaces with other enterprise tools such as D6. IDE, OMC, and CIS |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Issues of cyber-security and privacy are therefore of critical importance. The Project Team will work closely with IS and |
| | | the successful vendor to ensure that these issues are addressed. |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not Applicable. |
| | Economic Development | Not Applicable. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Environmental benefits are anticipated, in the form of reduced carbon dioxide emissions from fleet vehicles due to |
| | | route optimisation. Specific benefits will be quantified in 2014 as part of the Planning phase. |
| | | |
| - | | |
|---|---|---|
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | From Impact of Deferral/"Do Nothing" Option: Continue to rely on existing manual, labour-intensive workflow, resource allocation, and scheduling processes and forego the opportunity to realize efficiencies and improve productivity. Continue to use the systems and processes which exist today to schedule, coordinate, dispatch, record and manage projects and resources. Data on how field crews carry out work would continue to be not readily available. Maintaining the status quo is not considered acceptable because PowerStream would forego the opportunity to realise efficiencies and improve productivity. |
| | Alternative #1 | Alternative 1 - Incremental Changes to Existing Systems - This alternative would involve incremental changes to existing systems and the use of "home grown" programming to meet the needs. Examples of possible changes: a) continue to build on the "home grown" AEx tool to include the capture of inspection reporting information in the field b) continue to build upon FileNexus, JDE and Access databases for the workflow management c) continue to use the old CIS for the workflow management of customer work d) continue to use Excel spreadsheet for the scheduling of large capital work e) build Excel spreadsheet for the scheduling of maintenance and small capital work f) build a "home grown" mobile time reporting program |
| | Alternative #2 | Alternative 2- New Work Force Management, Dispatch and Reporting Tool - Implement a new system to support Alectra's needs related to Mobile Work Force and Work Flow Management. The solution would support scheduling of all crew work, enable resource planning for the work, and allow tracking of jobs, and pertinent information, from start to finish with individuals flagged when they need to take action. The solution would also enable mobile dispatch of work instructions and allow crews to report on all needed information for a job using mobile tools. 2019 will be a planning year. The plan would be to hire a consultant to assist with a detailed needs analysis and creation of a multi- year project plan. One staff member would be assigned to the project for a major part of their time in 2019, with additional resources required in 2020-2024 for the Implementation phase. The initial implementation will focus on short term customer connection work, with the rollout to maintenance, large capital, and other work in the corporation to follow. Recommended alternative is the New Work Force Management, Dispatch and Reporting Tool. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | From Justification: In 2011 PowerStream developed an IT Strategy. It was updated in 2012 and in 2013. The strategy identified four solutions to be considered for implementation over 2014 & 2015. These solutions were Asset Management; Mobile Workforce; Workforce Management; and Asset Analytics and Project Optimization. There are three main drivers for changing the status quo. First - the current systems and processes in place are combined systems and practices from predecessor utilities. They have served the predecessor utilities well. As smaller utilities using programs such as Excel, Microsoft Project, Access Database, paper, etc. to manage assets and work, it was easier as the volume of data and work was more manageable and the number of people involved in the process needing access to the data were fewer. With increased staff, assets, projects, and geography those tools are no longer viable to be used. Second - the regulatory environment and customers demand that utilities continue to gain efficiencies in the execution of the work. Specific targets are set by the regulator for improved efficiencies. Improved workforce management and processes/systems for data capture and analytics is an area where efficiencies can be gained through the implementation of new systems and processes. Third - the regulatory environment is placing increased demands for solid analytics in defending appropriate spend levels. In order to provide sophisticated analytics, new tools and processes are required to ensure current and historical data is fully available, and to aid in the efficient and effective completion of the analysis. In 2013 PowerStream undertook to perform a high level needs analysis of these four solutions and subsequently developed a high level implementation plan. As a result of this work it was identified that there is a need to purchase new systems software and implement new processes for Workforce Management, Work Flow Management. The systems are not integrated and information can be entered |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | At present, a Beinschact is the fald at time of inb completion At present, Alectra does not have a computerised WFM system, so this is a new tool to be implemented. The new system will impact several Departments, particularly Lines, Metering, Engineering, and Customer Service. The system will have to integrate with other enterprise systems, such as P6, CC&B, JDE and OMS. Change management will be required for employees to adapt to the new tool. IS resources will be required to support the implementation. Alectra has adopted a systematic and prudent approach to this project. The WFM tool will be phased in over several years, with implementation commencing in 2020. Planning activities are being undertaken in 2019. A cross-functional Project Team, comprising key stakeholders from across the company, has been assembled and a Project Governance model is in place. A consultant will be engaged to assist the Project Team with the identification and analysis of needs, opportunities for improvement, and benefits. The consultant will be a subject matter expert in the WFM field, and will also assist the team to understand the relative strengths and weaknesses of various solutions available on the market, as well as lessons learned from similar WFM implementations. A detailed project plan will be prepared with clearly defined project phases, goals, and timelines. Existing business processes are being documented in detail and opportunities for streamlining and automation will be explored. A change management plan will be prepared and executed to ensure that employees are engaged in the change process and adopt the new tool. Mobile technology (that is, field computing devices and accessories) is a critical component of this project, as it enables real-time, digital communication with field resources. The implementation of Mobile technology in the field is part of the WFM project, and key leaders of the Mobile initiative are also part of the WFM Project Team. This ensures that there is alignment of goals and activities of the |

| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | | t Legacy Alectra including SCAI standardizing | Legacy Alectra utilities successfully managed the implementation of several significant computerised systems, including SCADA, Outage Management System, GIS, P6, and the C55 Optimiser system. Alectra is currently standardizing on the JDE and CC&B applications as corporate enterprise systems. | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| | Total Capital Energy Gener | and OM&A Costs for Rene ration portion of Projects (| ewable 0 (if any) | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plannin | ng Objectives Met | This project is part of the 20 - reduced time - productivity - reduced fuel - improved scl | expected to yield net ben 19 Planning phase. Expect 2 spent on allocating reso gains in the execution of f costs due to route optimi neduling and tracking of sl | efits in terms of production ed benefits include: urces and scheduling jobs, ield work; zation; hort-duration work. | vity and efficiency. These b | enefits will be quantified as | |
| | 2,500,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 2,000,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 1,500,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 1,000,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 500,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | : \$4,700,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,350,000 | \$2,350,000 | \$0 | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |



Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any)

Project Code 102387 Install 44kV & 13.8kV Bryne Drive Project Name Major Category System Service Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream North Location Bryne Dr south of switch SC13487 to pole P6231 south of Harvie Road. Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Componen Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) **Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0%** Expenditure Type Controllable Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Capacity (Lines) Alectra Subcategory Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Lines expansion project along Bryne Drive from P6231 to Harvie Road with 44kV & 13.8kV circuits (approx. 700m) including new N/O 44kV automated switch to sectionalize 44kV feeder south of Harvie Road, and new pole line along Bryne Drive from Harvie Road to SC13487 (approx. 700m) with 13.8kV circuit and additional pole height for future treetop 44kV. The City of Barrie is scheduled for road works along the existing Bryne south section in 2019 and Bryne north section in 2020; any relocation of existing plant will be under road authority. The City of Barrie will be constructing the new road along Bryne Drive in 2021. Main Driver - System Service Support Capacity Delivery Priority and Reasons for Priority The City of Barrie has identified 64 acres north of Harvie Road along Bryne Drive for development of industrial/commercial/residential. Another 34 acres south of Harvie Road along Bryne Drive has been identified for industrial/commercial development. The developable areas will result in 4MVA of new load north of Harvie Road and 2.2MVA of load south of Harvie Road. There is currently no existing 44kV or 13.8kV supply along Bryne Drive between P6231 and SC13487. The City of Barrie is scheduled for road works along the existing Bryne south section in 2019 and Bryne north section in 2020; any relocation of existing plant will be under road authority. The City of Barrie will be constructing the new road along Bryne Drive in 2021. As a result Alectra Utilities requires to prepare the distributions system to address the system capacity needs driven by these new developments and support economic development. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Total new connected load of 6,200 kVA by 2025 along Bryne Drive Safety Not Applicable. Cyber-Security, Privacy Not Applicable. Coordination, Interoperability Not Applicable. Not Applicable. Economic Development **Environmental Benefits** Not Applicable 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Quo The status quo will not supply the 64 acres of proposed development north of Harvie Road or the 34 acres of proposed Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) development south of Harvie Road along Bryne Drive; there is currently no existing 44kV or 13.8kV supply along Bryne Drive between P6231 and SC13487. The City of Barrie is scheduled for road works along the existing Bryne south section in 2019 and Bryne north section in 2020; any relocation of existing plant will be under road authority. The City of Barrie will be constructing the new road along Bryne Drive in 2021. Alectra Utilities' load forecast process considers the impact of CDM and distributed generation, which is accounted for Alternative #1 as part of the load forecast underpinning the lines capacity projects. For this expansion projects these options have not been considered as new feeders are needed to connect the customers to grid. Alternative #2 Not Applicable. Justification for Recommended Alternative The City of Barrie has identified 64 acres north of Harvie Road along Bryne Drive for development of industrial/commercial/residential. Another 34 acres south of Harvie Road along Bryne Drive has been identified for industrial/commercial development. The developable areas will result in 4MVA of new load north of Harvie Road and 2.2MVA of load south of Harvie Road. There is currently no existing 44kV or 13.8kV supply along Bryne Drive between P6231 and SC13487. The City of Barrie is scheduled for road works along the existing Bryne south section in 2019 and Bryne north section in 2020; any relocation of existing plant will be under road authority. The City of Barrie will be constructing the new road along Bryne Drive in 2021. Constructing a new pole line along Bryne Drive from P6231 to Harvie Road with 44kV & 13.8kV circuits (approx. 700m) including new N/O 44kV automated switch to sectionalize 44kV feeder south of Harvie Road, and new pole line along Bryne Drive from Harvie Road to SC13487 (approx. 700m) with 13.8kV circuit and additional pole height for future treetop 44kV will provide circuits for supply to the proposed Bryne developments. In addition, the new circuits will provide interconnection with existing 13.8kV and 44kV circuits along Harvie Road, Mapleview Drive and Essa Road to accommodate load transfers and contingency transfers. 6. General Information on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management The greatest risk to completion is securing the required approvals from the city in the allotted timeframe. The ramping Project/Activity (OEB) up of the proposed commercial developments in the area will also impact the timing of the project. Comparative Information on Equivalent Some past projects for new circuits have taken 6-8 months to obtain the necessary approvals before proceeding with Historical Projects (if any) construction Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable 0

| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | rements for Each Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable Description of Incorporation of Advanced Technology, if applicable Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits | | in Not Applica | Not Applicable. | | | | | |
|--|---|------|----------------------------|--|------|------|------|--|--|
| | | | ments Not Applica | Not Applicable. | | | | | |
| | | | Not Applica | | | | | | |
| | | | The new po circuits tha | The new pole line and circuits will provide a reliability benefit through interconnection to existing 13.8kV and 44kV circuits that will allow for additional transfer options during contingency conditions. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,200,000 | , | | | | | | | |
| | 1,000,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 800,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 600,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| 200,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | : \$1,061,652 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,061,652 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | |



Project Code

Project Name

OEB Multi-Project Report

102547

Two Ccts on Birchmount Rd from ROW to 14th Ave

Major Category System Service 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Scenario Project Overview 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream South Location On Birchmount Rd from ROW to 14th Ave in Markham - 0.8 km Units 1 **Project Class** Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Expenditure Type Controllable Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Capacity (Lines) Alectra Subcategory Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary The primary driver for this investment is to increase supply reliability to the 14th Ave and Warden Ave area. This project is to build 2 ccts pole line on Birchmount Rd from the Right of Way (ROW) to 14th Ave. This will extend 2 feeders 26M17 and 26M18 to 14th Ave to tie with feeder 22M7/22M8 for reliability. Reliability Main Driver - System Service Priority and Reasons for Priority High. The primary driver for this investment is to increase supply reliability to the 14th Ave and Warden Ave area and Mitigate the outage impacts due to increasing effect of adverse weather events. A few data center projects are underway and the peak demand is expected to increase by 20MVA. The existing feeders will not have sufficient capacity for the new load and new feeders are required. One data center at 371 Gough Rd has been in service in 2014. The initial load is 2 MW and the ultimate load will be 7 MW eventually. Another data center at 4175-14th Ave has been in service in 2015. The initial load will be 2MW and the ultimate load will be 10 MW by 2020. In addition there are many sensitive larger user along 14th Ave that have two supplies to their facilities; however, they are fed from the same pole line on the south side of 14th Ave. The customers will lose both supplies in case of pole failures on 14th Ave and the auto transfer scheme that they have installed on the secondary side of their transformers will not spare them from power outages. A new pole line has been built on the north side of 14th Ave from Warden Ave to Kennedy Rd. However, three feeders from MTS3 (26M13, 26M15, and 26M16) supplies customers on 14th Ave from Warden Ave, if a pole fails on Warden Ave between Hwy 407 and 14th Ave , it will take these three feeders out of service and cause significant outages to customers in the area. If a pole fails near intersection of Warden Ave and 14th Ave , it will take these three feeders (22M7, 22M8 and 26M16) out of service and cause significant outages to data center customers along 14th Ave Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not Applicable. Safety Not Applicable. Cyber-Security, Privacy Not Applicable. Coordination, Interoperability Not Applicable. Economic Development Not Applicable. Environmental Benefits

Not Applicable.

| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | The status quo is to do nothing, (i.e., not build project as proposed), but to supply load growth from existing facilities. It will impact Alectra distribution system in two following aspects: |
|---|--|--|
| | | Reliability There is a two ccts pole line on the south side of 14th Ave between Warden Ave and Kennedy. There is also another two ccts on the north side of 14th Ave between Warden Ave and the railway track. These two pole lines allow three feeders (22M8/26M16 on the south side, 22M7/22M8 on the north side) to supply customers on 14th Ave between Warden Ave and Kennedy Rd. |
| | | A number of customers with high reliability needs on both sides of 14th Ave between Warden Ave and Kennedy Rd are currently supplied by the existing three feeders on 14th Ave. If a pole fails on Warden Ave between the right of way (ROW) and 14th Ave, it will take all three feeders out of service and cause significant outages to customers in the area. This impacts power supply reliability and related customer satisfaction. |
| | | There have been sever pole line failure incidents in the past that on Warden Ave and caused significant outages to customers in the area. |
| | | There are no specific costs with the status quo, there are financial risks that are detailed in the risk section. |
| | | Ccustomers on 14th Ave between Warden Ave and Kennedy Rd will be impact if any pole failure near Warden Ave and 14th Ave. There will be more complaints about reliability in the future. |
| | | Customers will be at risk of lengthier and more impactive outages. This will negatively impact SAIDI and SAIFI. |
| | | Alectra Utilities will be at risk of compromising supply reliability to customers along 14th Ave that may have negative impacts on our corporate reputation and mission. |
| | | |
| | Alternative #1 | Alectra has considered the solar and storage option and based requirement of 15-20MW to be backed the cost will be multiples of times based on wires solution hence this option has been rejected. |
| | Alternative #2 Justification for Recommended Alternative | Not Applicable. Status Quo does not address risks to the reliability of customers in 14th Ave and Warden Ave. The recommended alternative was chosen for the following reasons: |
| | | To increase supply reliability to the area. This project will create two supply paths for customers on 14th Ave between Warden and Kennedy. There are a few big customers along 14th Ave that have two supplies to their facilities; however, they are from the same pole line on Warden Ave/14th Ave. The customers will lose both supplies in case of pole failures near Warden Ave/14th Ave intersection and the auto transfer scheme on the secondary side of their transformers will not spare them from power outages. This project will noable customers to have alternate supply from Birchmount Rd so that pole failure on Warden Ave will not cause outages to these customers since the customers have auto transfer scheme on the secondary side of their transformers. |
| | | To increase supply capacity to the area. This project is going to reroute two feeders (26M17/26M18) into 14th Ave area between Warden Ave and Kennedy Rd via Birchmount Rd. This could increase 30 MVA capacity. |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | The risk is to get approval from the City of Markham in time. Capital design will start the design of the project in advance and should get the approvals in place the prior year. |
| | | Customers load ramping up schedule will impact the timing and priority. Customers at both data centers are putting significant additional electrical load into service in the near future that will require additional load capacity for that area |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Not Applicable. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | Not Applicable. |
| | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable | Not Applicable. |
| | Description of Incorporation of Advanced | Not Applicable. |
| | Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits | This project will also provide supply reliability to new customers and existing customers on 14th Ave. This project will also provide an alternate supply path for the existing customers. Supply to customers will be maintained in case of pole failures on the existing path. |

| 1 600 000 - | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|-------------|------|------|
| 1,000,000 | | | | | | |
| 1,400,000 - | | | | | | |
| 1,200,000 | | | | | | |
| 1,000,000 - | | | | | | |
| 800,000 | | | | | | |
| 600,000 - | | | | | | |
| 400,000 | | | | | | |
| 200,000 - | | | | | | |
| 0 — | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$1,574,610 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,574,610 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |



Project Code 103633 Project Name Install Two 27.6kV Ccts on 16th Ave from Hwy 404 to Woodbine Ave Major Category System Service Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream South Location On 16th Ave from Hwy 404 to Woodbine Ave in Markham Units 1 Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Compone Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0% 3. General Project Information (OEB) Expenditure Type Controllable Rates ID Rate Base Funded Alectra Grouping Capacity (Lines) Alectra Subcategory Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ 4. Evaluation Criteria (OFB) Project Summary This project is to reroute two 27.6kV feeders from Markham to supply new load in Richmond Hill. This project has been designed under WO#311308, but deferred since 2014 due to delay in the closure of Buttonville Airport and York Region's 16th Ave widening project. This project is to install two additional 27.6kV ccts on 16th Ave from Hwy 404 to Woodbine Ave by rebuilding the existing pole line into a 4 ccts pole line or installing a new 2 ccts pole line on 16th Ave where permitted, or underground, or combination. It will be determined in the design stage and coordinated with the road design. Cadillac Fairview, along with Armadale Co. Limited and Torontoair Ltd. announced on Friday, April 27, 2018 that they would continue operations at the Buttonville airport until at least the spring of 2023 and possibly longer. The design of this project will be based on the Buttonville airport continues to operate. Main Driver - System Service Support Capacity Delivery Priority and Reasons for Priority High Alectra Utilities requires to prepare the distributions system to address the system capacity need driven by green field expansion, intensification and redevelopment. This project is to reroute two 27.6kV feeders along 16th Ave from Woodbine Ave in Markham to Leslie St Richmond Hill to supply new load in Richmond Hill. This project has been approved in 2014 capital budget, and the first part (Leslie St to Hwy 404) has been built in 2014. The second part (Hwy 404 to Woodbine Ave) has been deferred many times due to issue with Buttonville Airport closure schedule and MTO's Hwy 404 widening schedule. A large data center compound has being developed in Leslie St/Elgin Mills Rd area in Richmond Hill 2016. One building with forecasted peak demand of 16MW has been built in 2016. Another building with forecasted peak demand of 16MW will be built in 2019. The estimated demand for the data center compound is expected to be 60MW when the data center compound is fully developed and utilized. The existing feeders on Leslie St don't have sufficient capacity to supply this new load and new feeder capacity is required. CDM is considered and load forecast is net of CDM. The massive 175-acre long-planned Buttonville Airport development could be in jeopardy. The \$4-billion project which would have created 15,000 to 24,000 jobs and housed 6,000 to 7,000 residents would have been home to such amenities as a cinema, office and retail space and possibly even a 60-storey tower Cadillac Fairview, the chief developer of the Buttonville Airport property, and the Region of York have been locked in disputes at the Ontario Municipal Board for the last five years over various issues and could not agree on several issues. Cadillac Fairview, along with Armadale Co. Limited and Torontoair Ltd. announced on Friday, April 27, 2018 that they would continue operations at the Buttonville airport until at least the spring of 2023 and possibly longer. York Region is proceeding with 16th Ave widening project based on Buttonville airport will continue to operate. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not Applicable. The two 27.6kV feeders can supply up to 40MVA capacity. Not Applicable. Safety Cyber-Security, Privacy Not Applicable. Coordination, Interoperability Not Applicable This project will project 40 MVA capacity for development along Leslie St in Richmond Hill, including data centers in Economic Development Via Renzo area Environmental Benefits Not Applicable.

| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | The status quo is to do nothing, (i.e., not build project as proposed), but to supply load growth from existing facilities. It will impact Alectra's distribution system capacity. |
|---|--|--|
| | | The customers on Leslie St north of 16th Ave in Richmond Hill are supplied by feeder 12M5, 12M7, and 12M12. The peak in 2017 was 388A on 12M5, 320A on 12M7 and 203A on 12M12. There is only 290A or 14 MVA capacity left on these feeders for future development. |
| | | A large data center compound has being developed in Leslie St/Elgin Mills Rd area in Richmond Hill 2016. One building with forecasted peak demand of 16MW has been built in 2016. Another building with forecasted peak demand of 16MW will be built in 2018. The estimated demand for the data center compound is expected to be 60MW when the data center compound is fully developed and utilized. The existing feeders on Leslie St don't have sufficient capacity to supply this new load and new feeder capacity is required. |
| | | This project is needed to provide 40 MVA capacity from Buttonville TS to the Richmond Hill area. It will also increase supply reliability. This is the least cost alternative. The "do nothing" alternative is not viable as it does nothing to provide the required load capacity. |
| | | Alectra Utilities is required to ensure its distribution system can support projected load growth while maintaining reliability and quality of service for customers on both a short-term and long-term basis, as required by the DSC. Alectra Utilities must be able to connect new customers in a timely manner. |
| | | The feeders are already loaded and nearing their capacity limits, taking no action will result in feeders becoming overloaded and exceeding their carrying capacity. Once feeders are at full utilization, load shedding will need to be executed during the summer peak period or during contingency conditions to mitigate the risk of failure from overloaded equipment. Supplying customers through highly loaded feeders may impact power quality. |
| | Alternative #1 | Battery energy storage and solar generation in lieu of conventional supply was studied by Alectra for capacity needs in Richmond Hill and Markham. The cost of Battery energy storage and solar generation is much higher (15 times) than conventional supply. Hence this option has been rejected. |
| | Alternative #2 Justification for Recommended Alternative | Not applicable The objective of this project is to re-route two 27.6kV feeders from Markham to Richmond Hill as part of MTS4 feeder integration plan. This project is to provide additional 40 MVA capacity to Richmond Hill. |
| | | The major future developments in Richmond Hill will be the Beaver Creek Business Park, Headford Business Park, Barker Business Park and Leslie North. |
| | | Data Center According to Town of Richmond Hill's "Vacant Employment Land Inventory", there are approx. 209 hectares of vacant employment land in these three business parks that are bounded by Hwy 404/Leslie St/Elgin Mills Rd/16th Ave. One data center has been built in the vacant land, the peak demand is expect to reach 30 MW in 2020, and 50MW ultimately as per the data center owner. The total estimated new load is approx. 60MW, so two to three new feeders are required for the proposed development. |
| | | Leslie North The North Leslie planning area is bounded by 19th Avenue to the North, Hwy 404 to the east, Elgin Mills Road to the south and Bayview Avenue to the west. There are 2 -27.6kV circuits on Bayview Ave and one radial feeder on Leslie St. |
| | | The Leslie North development is projected to accommodate approximately 6,250 housing units with a population of approximately 19,300 people and employment of approximately 3,200 jobs. Based on 2.5kW per unit and 1.5 kW per job (based on 300 sq.ft per no-retail job and 5W/sq.ft), the total demand would be 20 MW. In total, four new feeders are required to supply these developments in Richmond Hill. Customers on Leslie St north of 16th Ave in Richmond Hill are supplied by feeder 12M5, 12M7, and 12M12. The peak in 2017 was 388A on 12M5, 320A on 12M7 and 203A on 12M12. There is only 290A or 14 MVA capacity left on these feeders for future development. |
| | | Richmond Hill is supplied by Richmond Hill TS1, TS2 and Buttonville TS. Richmond Hill TS1 and TS2 have been loaded to their summer LTRs in 2016, but the peak demand was lower 40MW below the LTRs due to cooler than normal summer weather and abnormal feeder configuration as results of YRT's Yonge St widening project. On the other hand, in 2017, Buttonville TS has 40MW capacity available to supply future load in the area in Richmond Hill and Markham. |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Execution of this investment will alleviate capacity constraints and as well as ensure the availability of sufficient capacity to efficiently connect customers to Alectra Utilities's distribution system. It will allow Alectra Utilities to maintain supply to customers during contingency events and operation flexibility during maintenance and other capital weld. This other utilities and the capital customers and the capital customers. The risk is to get approval from the City of Markham, York Region, Transport Canada as well MTO in time. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent | Not Applicable. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | Not Applicable. |
| | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable | Not Applicable. |
| | Description of Incorporation of Advanced Technology, if applicable | Not Applicable. |
| | Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits | This project will provide 40 MVA capacity from Buttonville TS to the Richmond Hill area. It will also increase supply reliability. |
| | | This project will also provide alternate supply and reliability for customers in Leslie/Hwy 7 area that are mainly supplied by feeders from Richmond Hill TS1/TS2. It will increase load transfer capability between Buttonville TS and Richmond Hill TS1/TS2. |

| 6,000,000 | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|-------------|------|------|------|
| 5,000,000 - | | | | | | |
| 4,000,000 - | | | | | | |
| 3,000,000 - | | | | | | |
| 2,000,000 - | | | | | | |
| 1,000,000 - | | | | | | |
| 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| | \$0 | \$0 | \$5,527,251 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$5,527,251 | T 7 | | | | | |



| utilities | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Project Code | 150043 | | | | |
| Project Name | Rear Lot Renewal Project - East of Queen St. to | t of Queen St. to Eastern Ave./North of Greenway St. | | | |
| Major Category | System Renewal 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | | | | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | | | | |
| Project Overview | | | | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream North | | | |
| | Location | Tottenham - East of Queen St. to Eastern Ave./North of Greenway St. | | | |
| | Units | 1 | | | |
| | Project Class | Regular | | | |
| | Project Includes R&D | No | | | |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No | | | |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No | | | |
| 3. General Project Information (OFB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% | | | |
| S. General Hojeet mornation (GEB) | Evpenditure Type | Controllable | | | |
| | Rates ID | Rate Rase Funded | | | |
| | Alectra Grouping | | | | |
| | Alectra Grouping | | | | |
| 4 Evaluation Criteria (OED) | Alectra Subcategory | Rear Lot Conversion | | | |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | overhead supply to front lot underground supply (primary and secondary). This will reduce number of outages and power restoration time. | | | |
| | | The existing rear lot location East of Queen Street to Eastern Avenue – North of Greenway Street (Tottenham) will be 38 and 39 years old in 2019 and 2020 respectively. The asset is end of life and requires remediation. In addition, the poles in this rear lot location were inspected in 2012 and 2013, where a majority of the poles were found to be in poor condition. These assets pose a safety risk for the public and for Alectra Utilities crews, are more prone to failure than other overhead distribution assets, and otherwise do not align with current standards, policies and practices. | | | |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigate Failure Risks | | | |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | The priority of this project is high | | | |
| | Thoney and Reasons for Thoney | | | | |
| | | This project is to decrease the outage impacts due to deteriorating distribution system assets and mitigate the outage impacts due to increasing effect of adverse weather events. | | | |
| | | Reasons for Priority: The electrical system is deteriorating and poses many operations, safety, and customer service concerns that must be addressed. If not addressed, the system will deteriorate further and failures and safety hazards will increase. | | | |
| | | In December 2013, an ice storm came in across Ontario including Alectra (East) service territory. During the storm, many trees, including trees in rear lot areas, fell onto power lines and created prolonged power outages to customers. Power restoration in rear lot areas was very difficult due to accessibility. The December 2013 ice storm caused 29,831,573 CMI within the rear lot grids, which accounted for 16.68% of the total system CMI due to the ice storm. | | | |
| | | The existing rear lot location East of Queen Street to Eastern Avenue – North of Greenway Street (Tottenham) will be 38 and 39 years old in 2019 and 2020 respectively. The asset is end of life and requires remediation. In addition, the poles in this rear lot location were inspected in 2012 and 2013, where a majority of the poles were found to be in poor condition. | | | |
| | | These assets pose a safety risk for the public and for Alectra Utilities crews, are more prone to failure than other overhead distribution assets, and otherwise do not align with current standards, policies and practices. | | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety | Total connected load of 576 kVA. Safety risk associated with close proximity to power line in the backyard: Although the Electrical Safety Code and easement terms specify minimum clearance between customer facilities and power line, there are cases that customers do not follow the safety rules and install facilities too close to power line. Examples are shed, storage, playground, trampoline, swimming pool, patio deck, landscape, house extension, etc. This encroachment creates a safety hazard for both customers and crews. Safety risk associated with reduced clearance due to encroachment of power line: Over time, growth of vegetation and obstruction due to customer facilities may jeopardize the minimum clearance requirements and restrict crew mobility. Occasionally dogs may also be a safety hazard to the crews. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable | | | |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not Applicable | | | |
| | Economic Development | Not Applicable | | | |
| | Environmental Benefits | Because overhead transformers are installed on the pole in rear lot area, a pole falling down may also cause the transformers to fall down, resulting in transformer tank rupturing, and oil being spilled onto the ground. | | | |

| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Under this option, no proactive investments would be executed to replace either existing rear lot infrastructure and these assets would only be intervened upon in reactive scenarios, when the assets have reached their end-of-life. |
|---|---|---|
| | | Under this approach, customers would be exposed to prolonged reliability impacts, due to the accessibility issues associated with rear lot infrastructure, as well as the complex restoration procedures that would be required for a four-feeder outage event. |
| | | As standardized equipment (e.g. bucket trucks) cannot be used to service rear lot plant, wood poles, transformers and overhead switches would have to be replaced manually, with field crews accessing private customer properties in order to execute the work. Customers and field crews would continue to be exposed to elevated safety risks, due to the minimal proximity between customer plant and the rear lot overhead lines, as well as the non-standard and non-ergonomic work procedures that field crews would have to continue to execute to sufficiently maintain, inspect and service the plant. |
| | | Finally, as assets are replaced reactively, new assets would need to be installed according to the rear lot configuration. By its design, rear lot can only be converted if the entire line is replaced at once as part of an overall project. Therefore, the legacy design will continue to be maintained under this scenario. This will include the continued operation of the legacy voltage system, along with continuing the associated inefficiencies, such as line losses. |
| | Alternative #1 | Rear Lot Overhead Option: |
| | | Under this Option, the existing rear lot plant is replaced with new overhead plant in the rear lot. When the replacement project is implemented, the following design parameters should be considered: •Bastall critical components such as fuse, switch, and transformer as close to the accessible street as possible |
| | | This Option is not acceptable because it does not resolve the major operations and customer reliability concerns related to the distribution assets located at rear lot at this location. Partial Underground Option |
| | | This scenario involves the replacement of existing rear lot infrastructure with a new hybrid solution, where primary voltage infrastructure, including transformers, switches and lines would be installed as per an underground configuration within the front right-of-way, following standard Alectra Utilities installation practices. Under this approach, secondary infrastructure, including wood poles and secondary conductor, would remain in the rear lot in overhead configuration. |
| | | secondary connections will remain in the rear lot. However, future outage impacts will be reduced and contained to only those customers connected to the associated transformer. Lower voltage classes will also be converted up to the standardized 27.6kV voltage standard as per this investment option. Under this option, reliability and safety issues would continue to persist due some infrastructure remaining overhead. |
| | | The cost of partial underground renewal is higher than the renewal of the rear lot overhead and further more does not result in mitigating the risks associated with the existing system. This partial underground approach has been adopted where feasible. |
| | | |
| | Alternative #2 | Replace with Full Underground Infrastructure This investment scenario considers the full replacement of existing rear lot infrastructure – including primary and secondary plant – with new front lot underground infrastructure. All existing primary and secondary distribution assets within the rear lot corridor will be removed and replaced with new underground primary and secondary infrastructure that is installed within the front lot corridor as per current standard design practices. Underground secondary cables will run from the front lot underground transformers to the individual meter bases in order to supply the customers. |
| | | Under this approach, existing under-classed legacy wood poles that support four feeders will be replaced with higher- class poles that are better suited to withstand major weather events. Through this investment scenario, these high impact assets will be better secured and weather-hardened against future outage events. This approach would complete mitigate the reliability and safety issues associated with rear lot distribution, as well as the operational constraints associated with the existing infrastructure. This approach also introduces efficiencies for the utility, as tree trimming activities can be eliminated and line losses associated with the legacy voltage classes can be eliminated. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | It is recommended to convert the East of Queen Street to Eastern Avenue – North of Greenway Street (Tottenham) area from rear lot overhead supply to front lot underground supply (primary and secondary). Under this Option, the existing rear lot plant is removed and new underground plant is installed in front lot. |
| | | This approach would complete mitigate the reliability and safety issues associated with rear lot distribution, as well as the operational constraints associated with the existing infrastructure. This approach also introduces efficiencies for the utility, as tree trimming activities can be eliminated and line losses associated with the legacy voltage classes can be eliminated. For these reasons, Alectra Utilities selected this approach. |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OFB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Risk: Fluctuation in cost and staff resource (internal and external) to complete high annual volume of work. |
| | | Risk Management: Alectra has retained external contractor working at different work sites throughout the year under a multi-year EPC (Engineering Procurement Construction) Master Service Agreement. Regular progress meetings are held to ensure technical and operational issues are resolved promptly; budget performance is monitored; and projects are on track. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | Alectra has completed and is completing similar rear lot remediation project since 2013. Alectra has experience on executing several rear lot remediation project. 0 |

| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | | | The scope involves converting the area east of Queen Street to Eastern Avenue – North of Greenway from rear lot overhead supply to front lot underground supply (primary and secondary). There are a total of 139 customers affected by the existing rear lot supply. | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | | Rear lot infrastructure is functionally obsolete for the following key reasons: •The rear lot configuration is generally unsafe to the public due to the large trees growing near energized power lines. In tandem with such an unsafe configuration, there are also line clearing hazards and related additional costs to do the under | | | | |
| | | | | | •Blectra Utilit •Blectra Utilit the distribution •Blectra Utilit congested are •Due to the p or repair worf •Borcelain ins polymer insul •Bear lot infra conductor, al annealing due commence. | ies is unable to use labour on system due to assets loo do poles are generally cong et it impossible to sufficier ise is limited in utilizing lar eas. resence of legacy porcelai k on the overhead system ulators are far more susce ators. structure typically contair ong with #4 and #6 coppere to their reduced carrying | saving tools and devices : cated in customer backyal sested, due to multiple ser tity climb poles. Crews mu dders to access the overhe n top tie insulators, rear lo can commence. ptible to contamination a ns undersized #4 aluminur r conductor, which are un capacity. These conducto | such as bucket trucks to ef rds. vice attachments and con sit, therefore, use ladders ead system due to Ministry of lines must be fully isolat nd flashover when compa n conductor steel-reinforc dersized and generally hav rs must be fully isolated b | ficiently maintain and repair munication drops, which to access these poles. of Labour restrictions for ed before any maintenance red to present-day standard ed cable and aluminum re a greater probability of efore any work can |
| | | Condition of A Performance | Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle Record | and | It is extremely prolonged ou | y difficult to gain access to tages to customers. This is | the backyard to maintain especially more difficult i | , repair, and restore powe n the event of ice storm. | r. As a result there are |
| | | | | | Many of the F rear lot equip Report "Asset poles are 40 a | Rear Lot Supply distribution ment is older than typical Amortization Study for th and 45 years respectively. I | n systems were built in 19 useful life and the asset c e Ontario Energy Board", Many of the installations a | 50s, 1960s, and 1970s (40 ondition is deteriorating. <i>A</i> typical useful life of overh are not in compliance to to | -68 years old in 2016). The According to the Kinectrics ead transformers and wood oday's standards. |
| | | | | | The existing r 38 and 39 yea poles in this r condition. | ear lot location East of Qu ars old in 2019 and 2020 re ear lot location were inspe | een Street to Eastern Aver espectively. The asset is er ected in 2012 and 2013, w | nue – North of Greenway s nd of life and requires rem here a majority of the pol | Street (Tottenham) will be ediation. In addition, the es were found to be in poor |
| | | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | | | 139 | | | | |
| Quantitative duration of level) | | Quantitative duration of in level) | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) | | Number of fa north of Gree May 2015; 2 I September 20 March 2016; November 20 Based on three rear lot area. | ilures and duration based naway Street and south of nour outage (Incident # 73)15; 12 hour outage (Incident # 29 hour outage (Incident # 16; 2.4 hour outage (Incident # 16; 2.4 hour outage (Incident # | on historical outage data i Fastern Avenue rear lot a 0294) ent # 735524) 741955) 1742187) ent # 749684) 7) assume 1.7 outages at \$ | for specific north-east con area: 9.7 hours for north-east cc | ner of Mill/Queen Street orner of Mill/Queen Street |
| | (s r | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) | | | Rear lot supp and financial customers be violated due t | ly failures have negative in loss to customers (office cl cause live electrical compo co customer's installations | npact to system reliability losing, production stoppa onents are in proximity of (examples: trees, garden, | and customer service. Ou ge). Rear lot system also p customer's backyard and swimming pool, storage s | tages cause inconvenience oses safety hazards to the proper clearance may be hed, deck, house extension). |
| | | Value of Cust | omer Impact | | High Not Applicable | | | | |
| | | Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing Reliability and Safety Factors | | In case of not implementing the project the OM&A cost will continue to occur due to tree trimming activities as well as increase in responding to outages since the assets are deteriorated and prone to failure. | | | | trimming activities as well | |
| | | | | This project is part of the long-term rear lot supply remediation program. The project will help avoid potential rear lot failures. In addition, this project also eliminates safety hazards associated with ageing and deteriorating rear lot system | | | | | |
| | | | | | This approach would complete mitigate the reliability and safety issues associated with rear lot distribution, as well as the operational constraints associated with the existing infrastructure. | | | | |
| | | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | | iject | The selected existing rear I | option is not a like for like ot infrastructure – includir | replacement. This investn ng primary and secondary | nent scenario considers th plant – with new front lot | e full replacement of underground infrastructure. |
| | | 3,000,000 | | | | | | | |
| | | 2,500,000 | | | | | | | |
| | | 2,000,000 | | | | | | | |
| | | 1,500,000 | | | | | | | |
| | | 1,000,000 | | | | | | | |
| | | 500,000 | | | | | | | |
| | | 0 - | 2019 | | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: Actuals: \$0 | : \$2,552,356 | \$0 \$0 | \$2, | 552,356 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 |



Project Code

OEB Multi-Project Report

150044

Rear Lot Renewal Project - Blake/Kempenfelt

| Project Name | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Blake/Kempenfelt | |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Major Category | System Renewal | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream North |
| | Location | Barrie: Blake/Kempenfelt |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3 General Project Information (OFB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Rase Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Rear Lat Conversion |
| | Alectra Subcategory | |
| 4 Evolution Critoria (OER) | Drojost Summany | Convert Diske // emportation and executed supply to front lat underground supply (primary and eccondory) to address |
| | Project Summary | the operations and customer service concerns associated with rear lot supply. |
| | | This project is part of the long-term Rear Lot Remediation Program. On a prioritized basis, each year a number of rear lot locations are selected for remediation to address operations concern and to maintain system reliability and customer service. It is estimated that it will take approximately 38 years to complete the Rear Lot Remediation Program. |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigate Failure Risks |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | The priority of this project is high. |
| | | Reasons for Priority: Alectra has many pockets of customers being supplied by rear lot construction. The electrical system is ageing and deteriorating and poses many operations, safety, and customer service concerns that must be addressed. If not addressed, the system will deteriorate further and failures and safety hazards will increase to a level that is not manageable and not tolerable by the customers. |
| | | In December 2013, an ice storm came in across southern Ontario. During the storm, many trees, including trees in rear lot areas, fell onto power lines and created prolonged power outages to customers. Power restoration in rear lot areas was very difficult due to accessibility. |
| | | The December 2013 ice storm caused 29,831,573 CMI within the rear lot grids of former PowerStream, which accounted for 16.68% of the total system CMI due to the ice storm. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Total connected load of 150 kVA. |
| | Safety | Safety risk associated with close proximity to power line in the backyard: Although the Electrical Safety Code and easement terms specify minimum clearance between customer facilities and power line, there are cases that customers do not follow the safety rules and install facilities too close to power line. Examples are shed, storage, playground, trampoline, swimming pool, patio deck, landscape, house extension, etc. This encroachment creates a safety hazard for both customers and crews. |
| | | Safety risk associated with reduced clearance due to encroachment of power line: Over time, growth of vegetation and obstruction due to customer facilities may jeopardize the minimum clearance requirements and restrict crew mobility. Occasionally dogs may also be a safety hazard to the crews. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not Applicable |
| | Economic Development | Not Applicable |
| | Environmental Benefits | In the case that there are transformers on the pole in rear lot area, a nole falling down may also cause the transformers |
| | | to fall down, resulting in transformer tank rupturing, and oil being spilled onto the ground. |

| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Under this option, no proactive investments would be executed to replace either existing rear lot infrastructure and these assets would only be intervened upon in reactive scenarios, when the assets have reached their end-of-life. Under this approach, customers would be exposed to prolonged reliability impacts, due to the accessibility issues associated with rear lot infrastructure, as well as the complex restoration procedures that would be required for a four-feeder outage event. As standardized equipment (e.g. bucket trucks) cannot be used to service rear lot plant, wood poles, transformers and overhead switches would have to be replaced manually, with field crews accessing private customer properties in order to execute the work. Customers and field crews would continue to be exposed to elevated safety risks, due to the minimal proximity between customer plant and the rear lot overhead lines, as well as the non-standard and non-ergonomic work procedures that field crews would have to continue to execute to sufficiently maintain, inspect and service the plant. |
|---|---|--|
| | Alternative #1 | Remediate the existing rear lot plant with other design options . The other design options considered are described below. Rear Lot Overhead Option: Under this Option, the existing rear lot plant is replaced with new overhead plant in the rear lot. When the replacement project is implemented, the following design parameters should be considered: •Install critical components such as fuse, switch, and transformer as close to the accessible street as possible This Option is not acceptable because it does not resolve the major operations and customer reliability concerns related to the distribution assets located at rear lot at this location. Partial Underground Option This scenario involves the replacement of existing rear lot infrastructure with a new hybrid solution, where primary voltage infrastructure, including transformers, switches and lines would be installed as per an underground configuration within the front right-of-way, following standard Alectra Utilities installation practices. Under this approach, secondary infrastructure, including wood poles and secondary conductor, would remain in the rear lot in overhead configuration. This approach would not fully address the reliability and safety concerns associated with rear lot distribution, as secondary connections will remain in the rear lot. However, future outage impacts will be reduced and contained to only those customers connected to the associated transformer. Lower voltage classes will also be converted up to the standardized 27.6kV voltage standard as per this investment option. Under this option, reliability and safety issues would continue to persist due some infrastructure remaining overhead. The cost of partial underground renewal is higher than the renewal of the rear lot overhead and further more does not result in mitigating the risks associated with the existing system. This partial underground approach has been adopted where feasible. |
| | Alternative #2 | Replace with Full Underground Infrastructure This investment scenario considers the full replacement of existing rear lot infrastructure – including primary and secondary plant – with new front lot underground infrastructure. All existing primary and secondary distribution assets within the rear lot corridor will be removed and replaced with new underground primary and secondary distribution assets within the rear lot corridor will be removed and replaced with new underground primary and secondary distribution assets will run from the front lot corridor as per current standard design practices. Underground secondary cables will run from the front lot underground transformers to the individual meter bases in order to supply the customers. Under this approach, existing under-classed legacy wood poles will be replaced with higher-class poles that are better sourced and weather-hardened against future outage events. This approach would completely mitigate the reliability and safety issues associated with rear lot distribution, as well as the operational constraints associated with the existing infrastructure. This approach also introduces efficiencies for the utility, as tree trimming activities can be eliminated. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | The conversion of rear lot overhead supply system to front lot underground supply to address the operations and customer service concerns associated with rear lot supply. This approach would completely mitigate the reliability and safety issues associated with rear lot distribution, as well as the operational constraints associated with the existing infrastructure. This approach also introduces efficiencies for the utility, as tree trimming activities can be eliminated. |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Risk: Fluctuation in cost and staff resource (internal and external) to complete high annual volume of work. Risk Management: Alectra has retained external contractor working at different work sites throughout the year under a multi-year EPC (Engineering Procurement Construction) Master Service Agreement. Regular progress meetings are held to ensure technical and operational issues are resolved promptly; budget performance is monitored; and projects are on track. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | Former PowerStream completed similar rear lot remediation project in 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016; thereby gaining experience on executing rear lot remediation projects. 0 |

| 7. Ca Proje | ategory-Specific Requirements for Each ect/Activity (OEB) | Description Asset Chara Performan | n of the Relationship between the racteristics and Consequences of Asset nce Deterioration or Failure: | | The issues and concerns with rear lot supply are summarized below. Concerns to Customers 1.Long outage restoration time due to difficult accessibility for Alectra crews: • The comparison to front lot customers, rear lot customers have to wait longer for the crews to restore power during an outage. The crews have to gain acress to the headward to identify in and in the crews to restore power during an | | | | | |
|----------------|--|---|---|-------------------|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| | | | | | Interview of the second second | etated areas, the crews mu icement of major equipme specialized equipment (e.g | st also clear or trim the ve nt (e.g. pole, transformer) ;. large crane) to reach ove | y, rocate, isolate, and repa getation before they can a is necessary, the outage tir r customer houses to the r | replace equipment. In ccess the equipment. ne will extend because the rear lot. | |
| | | | | | 2.More freq •⊠Vhen a rea bushes have vegetation a | uent outages due to veget ar lot supply was first const e grown near the electrical also increases the risk of ar | ation, animal contact, and ructed, the area was likely equipment, and may mak imals (e.g. squirrels) comin | lack of access for Alectra c clear of obstruction. Over e contact with the power li ng into contact with electri | rews: time, however, trees/ ine. The growth of cal equipment. | |
| | | | | | 3.Safety risk •Although t power line, line. Exampl This encroad | associated with close prov he Electrical Safety Code a there are many cases that les are shed, storage, playg chment creates a safety ha | imity to power line in the nd easement terms specify customers do not follow tl round, trampoline, swimn zard for both customers a | backyard: minimum clearance betw ne safety rules and install f ning pool, patio deck, land nd crews. | een customer facilities and acilities too close to power scape, house extension, etc. | |
| | | | | | Concerns to 1.Decreased ●Bigher out | Alectra: I system reliability: age frequency and longer o | outage duration will negati | vely impact system reliabil | ity. | |
| | | | | | 2. Difficult ad Due to obs equipment, replace equ Accessibility lot from the of equipment | ccessibility for crew and eq tructions such as trees and and create a safe working ipment. Under emergencie for service vehicles is ofte street. In addition, system nt being neglected and left | uipment: customer construction, cr space in the rear lot. As a s, it is difficult to identify/ n impractical. In some cass security is also at risk bec to deteriorate. | ews encounter difficulty to result, it is difficult to inspe solate faulted component es, large cranes may be net ause the pole line is out of | o gain access, bring in act, maintain, repair and s and perform switching. aded to reach over the rear sight. This increases the risk | |
| | | Condition of Performant | of Asset vs. Typical Life Cyc ce Record | le and | lt is extreme prolonged o | ely difficult to gain access t putages to customers. This | barrance due to approach the backyard to maintair is especially more difficult | n, repair, and restore powe in the event of ice storm. | r. As a result there are | |
| | | | Many of the Rear Lot Supply distribution systems were built in 1950s, 1960s, and 1970s (40-68 years old in 2016). The rear lot equipment is older than typical useful life and the asset condition is deteriorating. According to the Kinectrics Report "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board", typical useful life of overhead transformers and wood poles are 40 and 45 years respectively. Many of the installations are not in compliance to today's standards. | | | | | | | |
| | | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | | 69 | | | | | | |
| | | Quantitativ duration of level) | ive Customer Impacts (frequency or of interruptions and associated risk | | Number of failures and duration based on historical outage data for specific Kempenfelt Drive/Blake Street rear lot area: May 2016; 3 hour outage (Incident # 743285) March 2017; 1.4 hour outage (Incident # 752797) Based on three year average (2015-2017) assume 0.66 outages at 2.2 hours for Kempenfelt Drive/Blake Street rear lot area. Note that 417-F3 supply feeder had a three year average (2015-2017) FAIFI of 1.32 and FAIDI of 6.3. | | | | | |
| | | Qualitative satisfactior risk level) | Customer Impacts (custor , customer migration and | ner associated | Rear lot supply failures have negative impact to system reliability and customer service. Outages cause inconvenience and financial loss to customers (office closing, production stoppage). Rear lot system also poses safety hazards to the customers because live electrical components are in proximity of customer's backyard and proper clearance may be violated due to customer's installations (examples: trees, garden, swimming pool, storage shed, deck, house extension). | | | | | |
| | | Value of Cu Factors Aff Consequen Implication | Value of Customer Impact Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | | | High Not Applicable • O&M Cost for 1 failure = \$50,000 per failure | | | | |
| | | Reliability a | and Safety Factors | | This project is part of the long-term rear lot supply remediation program. The project will help avoid potential rear lot failures. In addition, this project also eliminates safety hazards associated with ageing and deteriorating rear lot system. | | | | | |
| | | Analysis for | "Like for Like" Renewal P | roject | Under this program the existing rear lot overhead supply system will be remediated to bring the system in compliance to current supply configuration. | | | | | |
| | | 350,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | | 300,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | | 250,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | | 200,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | | 150,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | | 100,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | | 50,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | | 0 - | 2019 | 2 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | |
| | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: | : \$307,787 | \$0 | \$30 | 07,787 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| | Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | | Ş0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |



Project Code Project Name

OEB Multi-Project Report

150047

Rear Lot Renewal Project - Royal Orchard - North

| Major Category | System Renewal | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South |
| | Location | Markham: Royal Orchard - North |
| | Units | |
| | Droject Class | L Dogular |
| | Project class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | Hojeet will denerate ongoing it official costs | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Rase Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Rear Lat Conversion |
| | Alester Cubesteren | Deep Let Conversion |
| | Alectra Subcategory | |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Convert the Royal Orchard – North (Markham) area from rear lot overhead supply to front lot underground supply |
| | | (primary and secondary). This will reduce number of outages and power restoration time. |
| | | The project is proposed to be completed over three years in 2019, 2020, and 2021. |
| | | The existing rear lot location Royal Orchard – North (Markham) will be 52–53, and 54 years old in 2019, 2020, and 202 |
| | | respectively. The asset is deteriorated and requires remediation. In addition, the poles in this rear lot location were |
| | | inspected and majority of the poles are in poor or very poor condition. These assets pose a safety risk for the public |
| | | and for Alectra Utilities crews, are more prone to failure than other overhead distribution assets, and otherwise do no |
| | | align with current standards, policies and practices. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigate Failure Risks |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | The priority of this project is high. |
| | , | |
| | | This project is to decrease the outage impacts due to deteriorating distribution system assets and mitigate the outage |
| | | impacts due to increasing effect of adverse weather events. |
| | | |
| | | Reasons for Priority: |
| | | The electrical system is deteriorating and poses many operations, safety, and customer service concerns that must be |
| | | addressed. If hot addressed, the system will deteriorate further and failures and safety hazards will increase. |
| | | In December 2013, an ice storm came in across Ontario including Alectra (Fast) service territory. During the storm, |
| | | many trees, including trees in rear lot areas, fell onto power lines and created prolonged power outages to customers. |
| | | Power restoration in rear lot areas was very difficult due to accessibility. The December 2013 ice storm caused |
| | | 29,831,573 CMI within the rear lot grids, which accounted for 16.68% of the total system CMI due to the ice storm. |
| | | |
| | | The existing rear lot location Royal Orchard – North (Markham) will be 52, 53, and 54 years old in 2019, 2020, and 202 |
| | | respectively. The asset is end of life and requires remediation. In addition, the poles in this rear lot location were |
| | | inspected in 2013 where a majority of the poles are in poor or very poor condition. |
| | | The average SAIDI (2015-2017) for this location was 243.60 min and SAIFI was 3.21 while the system SAIDI 85.8 min |
| | | and SAIFI is 1.44 which represents a 2.8 fold difference in SAIDI and 2.2 fold difference in SAIFI. |
| | | ···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | These assets pose a safety risk for the public and for Alectra Utilities crews, are |
| | | more prone to failure than other overhead distribution assets, and otherwise do not align with current standards, |
| | | policies and practices. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment (Load (V)(A) | Not Applicable |
| | | |
| | Salety | Safety fisk associated with close proximity to power line in the backyard: |
| | | nower line there are cases that customers do not follow the safety rules and install facilities too close to nower line |
| | | Examples are shed, storage, playground, trampoline, swimming pool. patio deck. landscape, house extension. etc. This |
| | | encroachment creates a safety hazard for both customers and crews. |
| | | |
| | | Safety risk associated with reduced clearance due to encroachment of power line: |
| | | Over time, growth of vegetation and obstruction due to customer facilities may jeopardize the minimum clearance |
| | | requirements and restrict trew mounity. Occasionally dogs filay also be a safety fiazard to the creWS. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable |
| | Coordination Interoperability | Not Applicable |
| | Economic Development | Not Applicable |
| | Economic Development | Not Applicable |

| | Environmental Benefits | Because overhead transformers are installed on the pole in rear lot area, a pole falling down may also cause the transformers to fall down, resulting in transformer tank rupturing, and oil being spilled onto the ground. |
|---|------------------------|---|
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Under this option, no proactive investments would be executed to replace either existing rear lot infrastructure and these assets would only be intervened upon in reactive scenarios, when the assets have reached their end-of-life. Under this approach, customers would be exposed to prolonged reliability impacts, due to the accessibility issues associated with rear lot infrastructure, as well as the complex restoration procedures that would be required for a four-feeder outage event. |
| | | As standardized equipment (e.g. bucket trucks) cannot be used to service rear lot plant, wood poles, transformers and overhead switches would have to be replaced manually, with field crews accessing private customer properties in order to execute the work. Customers and field crews would continue to be exposed to elevated safety risks, due to the minimal proximity between customer plant and the rear lot overhead lines, as well as the non-standard and non- ergonomic work procedures that field crews would have to continue to execute to sufficiently maintain, inspect and service the plant. |
| | | Finally, as assets are replaced reactively, new assets would need to be installed according to the rear lot configuration. By its design, rear lot can only be converted if the entire line is replaced at once as part of an overall project. Therefore, the legacy design will continue to be maintained under this scenario. This will include the continued operation of the legacy voltage system, along with continuing the associated inefficiencies, such as line losses. |
| | Alternative #1 | Remediate the existing rear lot plant with other design options . The other design options considered are described below. |
| | | Rear Lot Overhead Option: |
| | | Under this Option, the existing rear lot plant is replaced with new overhead plant in the rear lot. When the replacement project is implemented, the following design parameters should be considered: •Eonvert from 8.32 kV to 27.6 kV •Bhstall critical components such as fuse, switch, and transformer as close to the accessible street as possible |
| | | This Option is not acceptable because it does not resolve the major operations and customer reliability concerns related to the distribution assets located at rear lot at this location. In addition, this portion is part of the Royal Orchard Rear Lot area which is divided into smaller portions named Royal Orchard – East, Royal Orchard – North, Royal Orchard – South, and Royal Orchard – Baythorn. The Royal Orchard – East portion has already been remediated with Front Lot Underground in 2015 and 2016. The Royal Orchard – Baythorn portion was remediated with Front Lot Underground in 2017. |
| | | Replace with Partial Underground Infrastructure This scenario involves the replacement of existing rear lot infrastructure with a new hybrid solution, where primary voltage infrastructure, including transformers, switches and lines would be installed as per an underground configuration within the front right-of-way, following standard Alectra Utilities installation practices. Under this approach, secondary infrastructure, including wood poles and secondary conductor, would remain in the rear lot in overhead configuration. This approach would not fully address the reliability and safety concerns associated with rear lot distribution, as secondary connections will remain in the rear lot. However, future outage impacts will be reduced and contained to |
| | | only those customers connected to the associated transformer. Lower voltage classes will also be converted up to the standardized 27.6kV voltage standard as per this investment option. Under this option, reliability and safety issues would continue to persist due some infrastructure remaining overhead. The cost of partial underground renewal is higher than the renewal of the rear lot overhead and further more does not result in mitigating the risks associated with the existing system. This partial underground approach has been adopted where feasible. |
| | Alternative #2 | Replace with Full Underground Infrastructure This investment scenario considers the full replacement of existing rear lot infrastructure – including primary and secondary plant – with new front lot underground infrastructure. All existing primary and secondary distribution assets within the rear lot corridor will be removed and replaced with new underground primary and secondary infrastructure that is installed within the front lot corridor as per current standard design practices. Underground secondary cables will run from the front lot underground transformers to the individual meter bases in order to supply the customers. |
| | | Under this approach, existing under-classed legacy wood poles that support four feeders will be replaced with higher- class poles that are better suited to withstand major weather events. Through this investment scenario, these high impact assets will be better secured and weather-hardened against future outage events. This approach would complete mitigate the reliability and safety issues associated with rear lot distribution, as well as the operational constraints associated with the existing infrastructure. This approach also introduces efficiencies for the utility, as tree trimming activities can be eliminated and line losses associated with the legacy voltage classes can be eliminated. |

| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | It is recommended to convert the Royal Orchard – North (Markham) area from rear lot overhead supply to front lot underground supply (primary and secondary). Under this Option, the existing rear lot plant is removed and new underground plant is installed in front lot. |
|--|---|--|
| | | This approach would complete mitigate the reliability and safety issues associated with rear lot distribution, as well as the operational constraints associated with the existing infrastructure. This approach also introduces efficiencies for the utility, as tree trimming activities can be eliminated and line losses associated with the legacy voltage classes can be eliminated. For these reasons, Alectra Utilities selected this approach. |
| | | In addition, this portion is part of the Royal Orchard Rear Lot area which is divided into smaller portions named Royal Orchard – East, Royal Orchard – North, Royal Orchard – South, and Royal Orchard – Baythorn. The Royal Orchard – East portion has already been remediated with Front Lot Underground in 2015 and 2016. The Royal Orchard – Baythorn portion was remediated with Front Lot Underground in 2017. |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Risk: Fluctuation in cost and staff resource (internal and external) to complete high annual volume of work. |
| | | Risk Management: Alectra has retained external contractor working at different work sites throughout the year under a multi-year EPC (Engineering Procurement Construction) Master Service Agreement. Regular progress meetings are held to ensure technical and operational issues are resolved promptly; budget performance is monitored; and projects are on track. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Alectra has completed and is completing similar rear lot remediation project since 2013. Alectra has experience on executing several rear lot remediation project. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | The scope involves converting the Royal Orchard – North (Markham) area from rear lot overhead supply to front lot underground supply (primary and secondary). There are a total of 164 customers affected by the existing rear lot supply. |
| | | Rear lot infrastructure is functionally obsolete for the following key reasons: •IDe rear lot configuration is generally unsafe to the public due to the large trees growing near energized power lines. In tandem with such an unsafe configuration, there are also line clearing hazards and related additional costs to do this work. |
| | | Alectra Utilities is unable to use labour saving tools and devices such as bucket trucks to efficiently maintain and repair the distribution system due to assets located in customer backyards. |
| | | Hear lot wood poles are generally congested, due to multiple service attachments and communication drops, which generally make it impossible to sufficiently climb poles. Crews must, therefore, use ladders to access these poles. Allectra Utilities is limited in utilizing ladders to access the overhead system due to Ministry of Labour restrictions for |
| | | congested areas. •Due to the presence of legacy porcelain top tie insulators, rear lot lines must be fully isolated before any maintenance or repair work on the overhead system can commence. •Borcelain issulators are far more suscentible to contamination and flashover when compared to present-day standard |
| | | polymer insulators. •Rear lot infrastructure typically contains undersized #4 aluminum conductor steel-reinforced cable and aluminum conductor, along with #4 and #6 copper conductor, which are undersized and generally have a greater probability of annealing due to their reduced carrying capacity. These conductors must be fully isolated before any work can commence. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | It is extremely difficult to gain access to the backyard to maintain, repair, and restore power. As a result there are prolonged outages to customers. This is especially more difficult in the event of ice storm. |
| | | Many of the Rear Lot Supply distribution systems were built in 1950s, 1960s, and 1970s (40-68 years old in 2016). The rear lot equipment is older than typical useful life and the asset condition is deteriorating. According to the Kinectrics Report "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board", typical useful life of overhead transformers and wood poles are 40 and 45 years respectively. Many of the installations are not in compliance to today's standards. |
| | | The existing rear lot location Royal Orchard – North (Markham) will be 52, 53, and 54 years old in 2019, 2020, and 2021 respectively. The asset is end of life and requires remediation. In addition, the poles in this rear lot location were inspected in 2013 where a majority of the poles are in poor or very poor condition. |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | 528 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) | Assuming frequency of Failure: 2 failures per year Assuming additional customers affected by outages in rear lot area: 100 |
| | | Estimated number of customers affected by 1 failure: 164 customers inside rear lot area + 100 customers outside rear lot area. Total = 164 + 100 = 264 customers. Assuming 264 residential and 0 commercial Estimated number of customers affected by 2 failures: 264 x 2 = 528 customers Frequency of interruption: 2 failures per year Duration of interruption: for 164 customers inside rear lot area duration is 5 hours; for 100 customers outside rear lot area duration is 1 hour. Weighted average is 3.5 hours per customer per interruption. |
| | | Customers affected per failure: 264 residential + 0 commercial = 264 customers CMI per 1 failure: 264 x 3.5 hour x 60 min = 55,440 CMI CMI per 2 failures: 55,440 x 2 = 110,880 CMI |

| Qualitative C satisfaction, risk level) | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) | | | Rear lot supply failures have negative impact to system reliability and customer service. Outages cause inconvenience and financial loss to customers (office closing, production stoppage). Rear lot system also poses safety hazards to the customers because live electrical components are in proximity of customer's backyard and proper clearance may be violated due to customer's installations (examples: trees, garden, swimming pool, storage shed, deck, house extension). | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Value of Cust | omer Impact | High | | | | | | | |
| Factors Affec | ing Project Timing, if any | Not Applical | ble | | | | | | |
| Consequence Implications | s for O&M System Costs In of Not Implementing | ncluding In case of no as increase i | t implementing the project n responding to outages sir | t the OM&A cost will cont nce the assets are deterior | inue to occur due to tree ated and prone to failure | e trimming activities as well | | | |
| Reliability an | Reliability and Safety Factors | | This project is part of the long-term rear lot supply remediation program. The project will help avoid a total of 2 potential rear lot failures and 110,880 potential CMI. In addition, this project also eliminates safety hazards associated with ageing and deteriorating rear lot system. | | | | | | |
| | | This approact the operation | This approach would complete mitigate the reliability and safety issues associated with rear lot distribution, as well as the operational constraints associated with the existing infrastructure. | | | | | | |
| Analysis for " | Like for Like" Renewal Pro | ject The selected existing rear | l option is not a like for like lot infrastructure – includi | replacement. This investm ng primary and secondary | nent scenario considers tl plant – with new front lo | ne full replacement of t underground infrastructure. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 2,000,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,800,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,600,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,400,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,200,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,000,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| 800,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| 600,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| 400,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| 200,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 2022 | | | | | |
| 0 - | 2010 | 2020 | 2021 | 11111 | 2022 | 2024 | | | |
| 0 - | 2019 \$0 | 2020 | 2021 | \$1 130 684 | 2023 \$0 | 2024 | | | |
| 0 - 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$4,009,063 Actuals: \$0 | 2019 \$0 \$0 | 2020 \$1,843,336 \$0 | 2021 \$1,035,043 | \$1,130,684 | 2023 \$0 | 2024 \$0 \$0 | | | |



| utilities | | |
|--|--|---|
| Project Code | 150070 | |
| Project Name | Markham TS#1 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Pro | otections Upgrades |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South |
| | Location | Markham TS#1 (J.V. Fry TS) in Markham |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | Control to the forest of | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Station Reliability Projects |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Replace GEC electromechanical and solid state "B" and "Y" Bus Differential and Overcurrent Protection relays as well as T1B, T2Y and "BY" Breaker Control Modules at Markham TS#1. More detailed scope of work follows. |
| | | A. Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrade includes: Removal of existing B&Y Bus Differential & Overcurrent electromechanical and solid state Relay Modules |
| | | 2. Installation of 1 unit ABB REB-670 for B-Bus "A" Protections |
| | | 3. Installation of 1 unit ABB REB-670 for P-Bus "A" Protections |
| | | 5. Installation of 1 unit SEL487B for Y-Bus "B" Protections |
| | | 6. Installation of fiber communications & control wiring |
| | | |
| | | B. T1B, T2Y, & BY Breaker Control Module Replacement includes: |
| | | Removal of existing solid state relays for these modules Installation of 3 units SEL/51, one for each module |
| | | 3. Installation of fiber communications & control wiring |
| | | |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Reliability |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | The existing Bus Protections are not reliable and are obsolete. The proposed Bus Protections Upgrade will improve station bus protection, improve reliability and add fault recording capability. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not applicable. |
| | Safety | Not applicable. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not applicable. |
| | Coordination. Interoperability | Not applicable. |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not applicable |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | Use the existing Bus Differential and Overcurrent Protections that are not reliable and are obsolete. Renairs are |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | difficult and service is not readily available. We remain exposed should there be problematic operations. Remove the protection from service when relay fails. This is unacceptable as this is a violation of compliance. |
| | Alternative #1 | The recommended alternative is to replace existing GEC electromechanical and solid state Bus Protections with modern enumment baying fault recording canabilities |
| | Alternative #2 | Not applicable. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | The new IEDs will provide improved fault and event record information for effective post event analysis, especially for transformer faults. The IED will also supply more complete telemetry to System Controllers via the SCADA system. |
| | | The existing Bus Differential and Overcurrent Protections are not reliable and are obsolete. The proposed Bus Protections Upgrade will improve station bus protection, increase reliability and provide fault recording capability. |
| | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Not applicable. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent | Not applicable. |
| | Historical Projects (if any) | |
| | For the second s | U |
| | Energy Generation portion of Projects (ii any) | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | Not applicable. |
| | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable | Not applicable. |
| | Description of Incorporation of Advanced Technology, if applicable | Not applicable. |





| utilities | | |
|---|--|---|
| Project Code | 150071 | |
| Project Name | Markham TS#2 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Pr | otections Upgrades |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2 Additional Information | Service Territory | Lanacy DowerStream South |
| | Lection | Markham TSH2 (A M. Walker TS) in Markham |
| | Location | Marknam 15#2 (A.M. Walker 15) in Marknam |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 2. Committee (252) | Control to the discontrol | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital U% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Station Reliability Projects |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Replace ""J" and "Q" Bus Differential and Overcurrent Protection relays as well as T1J, T2Q and "JQ" Breaker Control |
| | | Modules at Markham TS#2. More detailed scope of work follows. |
| | | |
| | | A. Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protection Upgrade includes: |
| | | 1. Removal of existing J&Q Bus Differential & Overcurrent Electromechanical and solid state Relay Modules |
| | | 2. Installation of 1 unit ABB REB-670 for J-Bus "A" Protections |
| | | 3. Installation of 1 unit ABB REB-670 for Q-Bus "A" Protections |
| | | 4. Installation of 1 unit SEL487B for J-Bus "B" Protections |
| | | 5. Installation of 1 unit SEL48/B for Q-Bus "B" Protections |
| | | 6. Installation of fiber communications &control wiring |
| | | R T1L T20 & IO Breaker Control Module Replacement includes: |
| | | 1. Removal of existing solid state relays for these modules |
| | | 2. Installation of 3 units SEL451, one for each module |
| | | 3. Installation of fiber communications & control wiring |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Paliability |
| | | |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | The existing Bus Differential Protections not reliable and are obsolete. The proposed Bus Protections Upgrade will improve station bus protection, improve reliability and add fault recording capability. |
| | | improve station bus protection, improve reliability and add radit recording capability. |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not applicable. |
| | Safety | Not applicable. |
| | Cyber-Security Privacy | Not applicable |
| | Coordination Internet hilling | Net explicable. |
| | Coordination, interoperability | |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not applicable. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | Use the existing Bus Differential and Overcurrent Protections that are not reliable and are obsolete. Repairs are |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | difficult and service is not readily available. We remain exposed should there be problematic operations. Remove the |
| | | protection from service when relay fails. This is unacceptable as this is a violation of compliance. |
| | | |
| | Alternative #1 | The recommended alternative is to replace existing electromechanical and solid state GEC Bus Protections at Markham |
| | Attendation #1 | TS#2 with modern equipment having fault recording capabilities. |
| | Alternative #2 | Not applicable. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | The new IFDs will provide improved fault and event record information for effective post event analysis, especially for |
| | | transformer faults. The IED will also supply more complete telemetry to System Controllers via the SCADA system. |
| | | |
| | | The existing Bus Differential and Overcurrent Protections are not reliable and are obsolete. The proposed Bus |
| | | Protections Upgrade will improve station bus protection, increase reliability and provide fault recording capability. |
| | | |
| | | |
| 6. General Information on the | Picks to Completion and Pick Management | Not applicable |
| Project/Activity (OFB) | Nisks to completion and Nisk Management | Not applicable. |
| .,, () | | |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent | Not applicable. |
| | Historical Projects (if any) | |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable | 0 |
| | Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | |
| 7 Colores Sec. St. David | Depending to Constant of Depinters of Depinters | Net en l'able |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in | Not applicable. |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | |
| | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements | Not applicable. |
| | which affect Project, if applicable | |
| | | |
| | Description of Incorporation of Advanced | Not applicable. |
| | Technology, if applicable | |





| utilities | | |
|--|--|--|
| Project Code | 150072 | |
| Droject Name | Markham TS#2 Rus Differential & Oversurrent Br | atactions Upgrades |
| Project Name | Markham 15#3 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Ph | otections opgrades |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South |
| | Location | Markham TS#3 (D.H. Cockburn TS) in Markham |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Design Class | - Decider |
| | Project class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 2. Convert Drainet Information (OED) | Contributed Conitel | Cashibutad Cashal (0) |
| S. General Project Information (OEB) | | contributed capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Station Reliability Projects |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Replace electromechanical and solid GEC "E" and "Z" Bus Differential and Overcurrent Protection relays as well as "EZ", T1E and T1Z breaker control modules at Markham TS#3. More detailed scope of work follows. |
| | | A. Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrade includes: 1. Removal of existing E&Z Bus Differential & Overcurrent electromagnetic and solid state Relay Modules |
| | | 2. Modifying panel to accommodate new relays |
| | | 3. Installation of 1 unit ABB REB-670 for E-Bus "A" Protections |
| | | 4. Installation of 1 unit ABB REB-670 for Z-Bus "A" Protections |
| | | 5. Installation of 1 unit SEL487B for E-Bus "B" Protections |
| | | 6. Installation of fiber communications & control wiring |
| | | o. Instanation of tiber communications acontrol wiring |
| | | B. T1E. T2Z. & EZ Breaker Control Module Replacement includes: |
| | | 1. Removal of existing Solid-State relays for these modules |
| | | 2. Installation of 3 units SEL451, one for each module |
| | | 3. Installation of fiber communications & control wiring |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Reliability The existing Bus Differential and Oversurrent Protections are not reliable and are obsolete. The proposed Bus |
| | | Protections Upgrade will improve station bus protection and increase reliability. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not applicable. |
| | Safety | Not applicable. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not applicable. |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not applicable. |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not applicable. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | Use the existing Bus Differential and Overcurrent electromechanical and solid state Protections that are not reliable |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | and are obsolete. Repairs are difficult and service is not readily available. We remain exposed should there be problematic operations. Remove the protection from service when relay fails. This is unacceptable as this is a violation of complexity of the service |
| | Alternative #1 | The recommended alternative is to replace existing electromechanical and solid state Bus Protections at Markham TS#3 |
| | | with modern equipment having fault recording capabilities. |
| | Alternative #2 | Not applicable. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | The new IEDs will provide improved fault and event record information for effective post event analysis, especially for transformer faults. The IED will also supply more complete telemetry to System Controllers via the SCADA system. |
| | | The existing Bus Protections are obsolete and are not reliable. The new Bus Protections will improve bus protection, improve reliability and add fault recording capability. |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Not applicable. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent | Not applicable. |
| | Historical Projects (if any) | |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | Not applicable. |
| | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable | Not applicable. |
| | Description of Incorporation of Advanced | Not applicable. |
| | Technology, if applicable | |
| | Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits | Not applicable. |





| utilities | | |
|---|--|---|
| Project Code | 150073 | |
| Project Name | Vaughan TS#1 Bus Differential & Overcurrent Pro | tections Upgrades |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South |
| | Location | Vaughan TS#1 (Greenwood TS) in the City of Vaughan |
| | | |
| | Depicet Class | 1 Describer |
| | Project class | Regular |
| | Project includes R&D | NO |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | Νο |
| | | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Station Reliability Projects |
| 4 Evoluation Critoria (OER) | Project Support | Station Reliability Projects Papelace Westinghouse electromechanical "A" and "D" Pus Differential and Oversurgent Protection relays as well as T1A |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | T2A, T1B, T2B and "AB" Breaker Control Modules at Vaughan TS#1. More detailed scope of work follows. |
| | | A. Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrade includes: |
| | | 1. Removal of existing A&B Bus Differential & Overcurrent Electromechanical Relay Modules |
| | | 2. Installation of 1 unit ABB REB-670 for A-Bus "A" Protections |
| | | 3. Installation of 1 unit ABB REB-670 for B-Bus "A" Protections |
| | | 4. Installation of 1 unit SEL487B for A-Bus "B" Protections |
| | | 5. Installation of 1 unit SEL487B for B-Bus "B" Protections 6. Installation of fiber communications & control wiring |
| | | o. Instanation of the communications acontrol wiring |
| | | B. T1A, T1B, T2A, T2B & AB Breaker Control Module Replacement includes: |
| | | 1. Removal of existing solid state relays for these modules |
| | | 2. Installation of 5 units SEL451, one for each module |
| | | 3. Installation of fiber communications & control wiring |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Reliability |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | The existing Bus Differential and Overcurrent Protections are not reliable and are obsolete. The proposed Bus Protections Upgrade will improve station bus protection, improve reliability and add fault recording capability. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not applicable. |
| | Safety | Not applicable. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | |
| | Coordination Interconstability | Not applicable. |
| | Coordination, interoperability | Not applicable. |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not applicable. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Use the existing Bus Differential and Overcurrent Protections that are not reliable and are obsolete. Repairs are difficult and service is not readily available. We remain exposed should there be problematic operations. Remove the protection from service when a relay fails. This is unacceptable as this is a violation of compliance. |
| | Alternative #1 | The recommended alternative is to replace existing electromechanical Bus Protections at Vaughan TS#1 with modern |
| | Alkowski us #2 | equipment having fault recording capabilities. |
| | Alternative #2 | Not applicable. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | The new IEDs will provide improved fault and event record information for effective post event analysis, especially for transformer faults. The IED will also supply more complete telemetry to System Controllers via the SCADA system. |
| | | The existing Bus Protections are obsolete and are not reliable. The new Bus Protections will improve bus protection, improve reliability and add fault recording capability. |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Not applicable. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent | Not applicable. |
| | Historical Projects (if any) | |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | Not applicable. |
| | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable | Not applicable. |
| | Description of Incorporation of Advanced | Not applicable. |
| | Technology, if applicable | |
| | Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits | Not applicable. |

| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|--|------|------|------|-----------|-----------|------|
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$442.995 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$290.344 | \$152.651 | \$0 |
| 0 — | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 50,000 | | | | | | |
| 100,000 | | | | | | |
| 150,000 | | | | | | |
| 200,000 | | | | | | |
| 250,000 | | | | | | |
| 300,000 | | | | | | |
| 350,000 | | | | | | |



| utilities | | |
|--|--|---|
| Project Code | 150074 | |
| Project Name | Vaughan TS#2 Bus Differential and Overcurrent P | rotections Upgrade |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South |
| | Location | Vaughan TS#2 (Torstar TS) in the City of Vaughan |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | System Control. Comm'ns & Performance |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Station Reliability Projects |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OFB) | Project Summary | Replace electromechanical ABB "A" and "B" Bus Differential and Overcurrent Protection relays as well as T1A. T2A. T1B. |
| | i ojece odililitarij | T2B and "AB" Breaker Control Modules at Vaughan TS#2. More detailed scope follows. |
| | | |
| | | A. Bus Differential & Overcurrent Protections Upgrade includes: |
| | | Removal of existing A&B Bus Differential & Overcurrent Electromechanical Relay Modules Jostallation of 1 unit ABB PER 670 for A Bus "A" Protections |
| | | 2. Installation of 1 unit ABB REB-670 for B-Bus "A" protections |
| | | 4. Installation of 1 unit SEL487B for A-Bus "B" Protections |
| | | 5. Installation of 1 unit SEL487B for B-Bus "B" Protections |
| | | 6. Installation of fiber communications & control wiring |
| | | B T1A T1B T2A T2B & AB Breaker Control Module Replacement includes: |
| | | 1. Removal of existing solid state relays for these modules |
| | | 2. Installation of 5 units SEL451, one for each module |
| | | 3. Installation of fiber communications & control wiring |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Reliability |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | The existing Bus Differential and Overcurrent Protections are not reliable and are obsolete. The proposed Bus |
| | | Protections Upgrade will improve station bus protection, improve reliability and add fault recording capability. |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment (Load (K)(A) | Natapalicable |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not applicable. |
| | Salety Cubor Security Drivery | Not applicable. |
| | Coordination Internet Hilts | |
| | Coordination, interoperability | Not applicable. |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable. |
| | Environmental Benefits | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OFB) | Status Quo | Use the existing Bus Differential and Overcurrent Protections that are not reliable and are obsolete. Repairs are difficult and service is not readily available. We remain exposed should there be problematic operations. Remove the |
| riojeat and riojeat matrice (025) | | protection from service when relay fails. This is unacceptable as this is a violation of compliance. |
| | | |
| | Alternative III | The second state of the second state of the state of the state of the second state of |
| | Alternative #1 | The recommended alternative is to replace existing electromechanical Bus Protections at Vaughan TS#2 with modern equipment having fault recording canabilities |
| | Alternative #2 | Not applicable. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | The new IEDs will provide improved fault and event record information for effective post event analysis, especially for |
| | | transformer faults. The IED will also supply more complete telemetry to System Controllers via the SCADA system. |
| | | |
| | | The existing Bus Protections are obsolete and are not reliable. The new Bus Protections will improve bus protection, |
| | | Improve reliability and add fault recording capability. |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Not applicable. |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | | |
| | | Martan Pada |
| | Historical Projects (if any) | Not applicable. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable | 0 |
| | Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | |
| | | |
| Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OFB) | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | пот аррисаріе. |
| rioject/Activity (OEB) | terms of cost impact, where practicable | |
| | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements | Not applicable. |
| | which affect Project, if applicable | |
| | Description of Incorporation of Advanced | Natapplicable |
| | Technology, if applicable | ivot applicable. |
| | Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or | Not applicable. |
| | coordination benefits | |
| | | |

| 350,000 - | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|-----------|
| 300,000 - | | | | | | |
| 250,000 - | | | | | | |
| 200,000 - | | | | | | |
| 150,000 - | | | | | | |
| 100,000 - | | | | | | |
| 50,000 - | | | | | | |
| 0 - | | | | | | |
| Ŭ | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$312,361 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$312,361 |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | |



| utilities | | |
|---|--|--|
| Project Code | 150079 | |
| Project Name | Markham TS#1 T1/T2 "B" Overcurrent Protection | s and HMI Upgrade |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South |
| | Location | Markham TS#1 (J.V. Fry TS) in Markham |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 2 General Project Information (OER) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| S. General Project mornation (GEB) | Expanditure Ture | Controllable |
| | Pates ID | Pate Pace Funded |
| | Alectro Grouping | Nate Dase Fundeu |
| | Alectra Grouping | System Control, Commins & Performance |
| 4 Evolution Criteria (OED) | Alectra Subcategory | Station Reliability Projects |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Replace 11/12 transformer Overcurrent Protections relays at Markham 15#1 with new relays having fault recording capabilities. More detailed scope of work follows. |
| | | A. Adding one (1) RSG2100 LAN Switch & one (1) SEL3355 HMI |
| | | 1. Installation of fibre communications cables |
| | | 2. Existing relay fibre connections will be reorganized |
| | | B. Decommissioning of existing T1 & T2 "B" Overcurrent solid state protection relays |
| | | C. Transformer "B" Overcurrent Protections Upgrade includes: |
| | | 1. Installation of 2 units ABB RET-670 for Transformer "B" Protections |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Installation of fibre communications cable & control wiring Reliability |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Existing state Transformer "B" Overcurrent Protections are not reliable and are obsolete. This work is required because |
| | | repairs are difficult and service is not readily available for the 1985 vintage solid state relays. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not applicable. |
| | Safety | Relays are required to isolate equipment in the case of a fault and replacement of vintage relays is required to ensure |
| | | safe operation. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not applicable. |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not applicable. |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not applicable. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Continue to use the existing Transformer "B" Overcurrent Protections that are not reliable and are obsolete. Repairs are difficult and service is not readily available for the 1985 vintage solid state relays. |
| | Alternative #1 | The recommended alternative is to take a leading role in the industry through the innovation of upgrading to advanced |
| | | Transformer "B" Differential Protections at Markham TS#1. |
| | Alternative #2 | Not applicable. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | The new IED will provide valuable fault and event record information for effective post event analysis, especially for transformer faults. The IED will also supply more complete telemetry to System Controllers via the SCADA system. |
| | | The existing solid state Transformer "B" Overcurrent Protections are not reliable and are obsolete. |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Not applicable. |
| Project/Activity (DEB) | Comparative Information on Equivalent | Not applicable. |
| | Historical Projects (if any) | |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable | 0 |
| | Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in | Not applicable. |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | |
| | Designed Florinity Infrastructure Description | Neteralisette |
| | which affect Project, if applicable | Not applicable. |
| | | |
| | Description of Incorporation of Advanced | Not applicable. |
| | lecnnology, if applicable | Not applicable |
| | coordination benefits | |
| | | |

| 180,000 | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|-----------|-----------|------|------|
| 140,000 - | | | | | | |
| 120,000 - | | | | | | |
| 100,000 - | | | | | | |
| 80,000 - | | | | | | |
| 60,000 - | | | | | | |
| 40,000 - | | | | | | |
| 20,000 - | | | | | | |
| 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$322,438 | \$0 | \$0 | \$163,246 | \$159,192 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | |



Project Code 150084 Markham TS#2 T1/T2 "B" Differential Protections Upgrade Project Name Major Category System Service Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Project Overview 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream South Location Markham TS#2 (A.M. Walker TS) in Markham Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) **Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0%** Expenditure Type Controllable Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping System Control, Comm'ns & Performance Alectra Subcategory Station Reliability Projects 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Replace T1/T2 GEC solid state Transformer "B" Differential Protection relays at Markham TS#2 with new relays having fault recording capabilities. More detailed scope of work follows. A. Removal of existing T1 & T2 "B" Differential solid state protection relays B. Transformer "B" Differential Protection Upgrade includes: 1. Installation of 2 units ABB RET-670 for transformer "B" Protections 2. Installation of fibre communications cable & control wiring Main Driver - System Service Reliability Priority and Reasons for Priority Existing Transformer "B" Differential Protections that are not reliable and are obsolete. Repairs are difficult and service is not readily available for the 1988 vintage solid state relays. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not applicable Safety Relays are required to isolate equipment in the case of a fault and replacement of vintage relays is required to ensure safe operation. Cyber-Security, Privacy Not applicable Coordination, Interoperability Not applicable. Economic Development Not applicable. Environmental Benefits Not applicable. 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Quo Continue to use the existing Transformer "B" Differential Protections that are not reliable and are obsolete. Repairs are Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) difficult and service is not readily available for the 1988 vintage solid state relays. Alternative #1 The recommended alternative is to take a leading role in the industry through the innovation of upgrading to advanced Transformer "B" Differential Protections at Markham TS#2. Alternative #2 Not applicable. Justification for Recommended Alternative The new IED will provide valuable fault and event record information for effective post event analysis, especially for transformer faults. The IED will also supply more complete telemetry to System Controllers via the SCADA system. The existing T1/T2 Transformer "B" Differential Protections are not reliable and are obsolete. 6 General Information on the **Risks to Completion and Risk Management** Not applicable Project/Activity (OEB) Comparative Information on Equivalent Not applicable. Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable 0 Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in Not applicable. Project/Activity (OEB) terms of Cost Impact, where practicable Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements Not applicable. which affect Project, if applicable Description of Incorporation of Advanced Not applicable. Technology, if applicable Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or Not applicable coordination benefits

| 140,000 - | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|----------|-----------|
| 120,000 - | | | | | | |
| 100,000 - | | | | | | |
| 80,000 - | | | | | | |
| 60,000 - | | | | | | |
| 40,000 - | | | | | | |
| 20,000 - | | | | | | |
| 0 - | | | 1 | 1 | | |
| | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$211,068 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$93,418 | \$117,650 |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | |


Project Code 150089 Markham TS#3 T1/T2 "B" Differential Protections Upgrade Project Name Major Category System Service 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Scenario Project Overview 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream South Location Markham TS#3 (D.H. Cockburn TS) in Markham Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No Contributed Capital 0% 3. General Project Information (OEB) **Contributed Capital** Expenditure Type Controllable Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping System Control, Comm'ns & Performance Station Reliability Projects Alectra Subcategory 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Replace T1/T2 GEC solid state Transformer "B" Differential Protection relays at Markham TS#3 with new relays having fault recording capabilities. More detailed scope of work follows. •Bemoval of existing T1 & T2 "B" differential solid state protection relays •Modify panel to accommodate the new relays Bestallation of 2 units ABB RET-670 for transformer "B" protections •Installation of fibre communications cable & control wiring Main Driver - System Service Reliability Priority and Reasons for Priority Existing state transformer "B" differential protection is not reliable and is obsolete. This work is required because repairs are difficult and service is not readily available for the 1994 vintage solid state relays. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not applicable. Safety Relays are required to isolate equipment in the case of a fault and replacement of vintage relays is required to ensure safe operation Cyber-Security, Privacy Not applicable Coordination, Interoperability Not applicable Economic Development Not applicable. Environmental Benefits Not applicable 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Quo Continue to use the existing Transformer "B" Differential Protections that are not reliable and are obsolete. Repairs are Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) difficult and service is not readily available for the 1994 vintage solid state relays. Alternative #1 The recommended alternative is to take a leading role in the industry through the innovation of upgrading to advanced Transformer "B" Differential Protections at Markham TS#3. Alternative #2 Not applicable Justification for Recommended Alternative The new IED will provide valuable fault and event record information for effective post event analysis, especially for transformer faults. The IED will also supply more complete telemetry to System Controllers via the SCADA system. Existing T1/T2 Transformer "B" Differential Protections are obsolete and are not reliable. 6. General Information on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management Not applicable. Project/Activity (OEB) Comparative Information on Equivalent Not applicable Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable 0 Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in Not applicable Project/Activity (OEB) terms of Cost Impact, where practicable Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements Not applicable which affect Project, if applicable Description of Incorporation of Advanced Not applicable Technology, if applicable Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or Not applicable. coordination benefits





| utilities | | |
|---|--|--|
| Project Code | 150095 | |
| Project Name | Vaughan TS#1 T1/T2 "B" Differential Protections | Upgrade |
| Maior Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South |
| | Location | Vaughan TS#1 (Greenwood TS) in the City of Vaughan |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Project Class | - Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or bas Technology | No |
| | Component | NU IN |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Station Reliability Projects |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Upgrade T1/T2 ASEA solid state Transformer "B" Differential Protection relays at Vaughan TS#1 with new relays having fault recording capabilities. More detailed scope of work follows. |
| | | A. Decommissioning of existing T1 & T2 "B" Differential Solid State Protection Relays |
| | | B. Transformer "B" Differential Protections Upgrade includes: Installation of 2 units ABB RET-670 for Transformer "B" Protections Installation of fibre communications cable & control wiring |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Reliability |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Existing Transformer "B" Differential Protections are not reliable and are obsolete. This work is required because repairs are difficult and service is not readily available for the 1989 vintage solid state relays. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not applicable. |
| | Safety | Relays are required to isolate equipment in the case of a fault and replacement of vintage relays is required to ensure safe operation. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not applicable. |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not applicable. |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not applicable. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Continue to use the existing Transformer "B" Differential Protections that are not reliable and are obsolete. Repairs are difficult and service is not readily available for the 1989 vintage solid state relays. |
| | Alternative #1 | The recommended alternative is to take a leading role in the industry through the innovation of upgrading to advanced Transformer "b" Differential Protections at Vaughan TS#1. |
| | Alternative #2 | Not applicable. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | The new IED will provide valuable fault and event record information for effective post event analysis, especially for transformer faults. The IED will also supply more complete telemetry to System Controllers via the SCADA system. |
| | | The existing T1/T2 solid state Transformer Differential Protections are not reliable and are obsolete. |
| 6. General Information on the Project (Activity (OFB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Not applicable. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Not applicable. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | Not applicable. |
| | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable | Not applicable. |
| | Description of Incorporation of Advanced Technology, if applicable | Not applicable. |
| | Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits | Not applicable. |

| 140,000 - | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|----------|-----------|------|
| 120,000 - | | | | | | |
| 100,000 - | | | | | | |
| 80,000 - | | | | | | |
| 60,000 - | | | | | | |
| 40,000 - | | | | | | |
| 20,000 - | | | | | | |
| 0 - | | | | | | |
| 0 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$205,769 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$89,941 | \$115,828 | \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | |



Project Code 150096 Vaughan TS#2 T1/T2 "B" Differential Protections Upgrade Project Name Major Category System Service 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Scenario Project Overview 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream South Location Vaughan TS#2 (Torstar TS) in the City of Vaughan Units 1 Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) **Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0%** Expenditure Type Controllable Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping System Control, Comm'ns & Performance Alectra Subcategory Station Reliability Projects 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Replace T1/T2 ABB solid state Transformer "B" Differential Protections relays at Vaughan TS#2 with new relays having fault recording capabilities. More detailed scope of work follows. A. Decommissioning of existing T1 & T2 "B" Differential Solid State Protection Relays B. Transformer "B" Differential Protections Upgrade includes: 1. Installation of 2 units ABB RET-670 for Transformer "B" Protections 2. Installation of fibre communications cable & control wiring Main Driver - System Service Reliability Priority and Reasons for Priority Existing Transformer "B" Differential Protections that are not reliable and are obsolete. This work is required because repairs are difficult and service is not readily available for the 1991 vintage solid state relays. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not applicable Safety Relays are required to isolate equipment in the case of a fault and replacement of vintage relays is required to ensure safe operation. Cyber-Security, Privacy Not applicable. Coordination, Interoperability Not applicable. Economic Development Not applicable. **Environmental Benefits** Not applicable. 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Quo Continue to use the existing Transformer "B" Differential Protections that are not reliable and are obsolete. Repairs are Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) difficult and service is not readily available for the 1991 vintage relays Alternative #1 The recommended alternative is to take a leading role in the industry through the innovation of upgrading to advanced Transformer "B" Differential Protections at Vaughan TS#2. Alternative #2 Not applicable Justification for Recommended Alternative The new IED will provide valuable fault and event record information for effective post event analysis, especially for transformer faults. The IED will also supply more complete telemetry to System Controllers via the SCADA system. The existing T/T2 Transformer "B" Differential Protections not reliable and are obsolete. 6. General Information on the **Risks to Completion and Risk Management** Not applicable Project/Activity (OEB) Comparative Information on Equivalent Not applicable Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable 0 Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in Not applicable. Project/Activity (OEB) terms of Cost Impact, where practicable Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements Not applicable. which affect Project, if applicable Description of Incorporation of Advanced Not applicable. Technology, if applicable Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or Not applicable coordination benefits

| 120,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|------|------|------|------|----------|--|--|--|
| 100,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| 80,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| 60,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| 40,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| 20,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$95,821 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$95,821 | | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | |



| utilities | | |
|---|--|---|
| Project Code | 150097 | |
| Project Name | Line Protections and HMI Upgrade - KDU-10 Rep | lacement - Markham TS#2 |
| Major Category | System Renewal | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2 Additional Information | Service Territory | Longer DowerStream South |
| | | Markham TSH2 (A M. Walker TS) in Markham |
| | Location | |
| | Units | 2 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Opgoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | Project will delierate ongoing it owide costs | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Substation Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Scada & Scada Communications R |
| 4 Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Replacement of legacy KDI I-10 line protection (open corner delta & distance) relay with modern PowerStream standard |
| , | | IED (SEL-421). Replacement of KDU relays at Markham TS#1 was completed in 2015. Also included is HMI Upgrade. More detailed scope of work follows. |
| | | A. Adding one (1) RSG2100 LAN Switch & one (1) SEL3355 HMI |
| | | •åddition of one (1) RSG2100 LAN switch & one (1) SEL3355 HMI. •Bistallation of fiber communications cables. |
| | | R 11 & 12 line Backup Protection Relay Replacement Ungrade includes: |
| | | Bernoval of existing electromechanical L1 & L2 KDU Distance Protection Relays. |
| | | •Arranging for panel modifications to accommodate the new relays. |
| | | Installation of 2 units SEL421 for C35P & C36P line backup protection |
| | | Design and implement a three phase high voltage source scheme for the line protection to monitor the presence of HV voltage and provide 3Ve protection. |
| | | • Phstallation of fiber communications & control wiring/cabling. |
| | | |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigate Failure Risks |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Lines protections have been assigned higher priority than most other protections upgrades. The existing |
| | | electromechanical 1988 vintage relays do not have fault recording or diagnostic capabilities. They are difficult to repair |
| | | post event analysis, especially for transformer faults. The IED will also supply more complete telemetry to System |
| | | Controllers via the Scada system. The new relay will allow for more sophisticated protection schemes implementing 3lo |
| | | and 3Vo supervision and preventing maloperation due to a blown input fuses. This scheme is required as a backup to |
| | | transfer trip schemes and requirement for connection to the 230 KV grid. |
| | C | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not applicable. |
| | Safety | Relays are required to isolate equipment in the case of a fault and replacement of vintage relays is required to ensure |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not applicable. |
| | Coordination Interoperability | Not applicable |
| | | Not applicable |
| | Environmental Renefits | Not applicable. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | Not applicable. |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | fails. This unacceptable as this is a violation of compliance. |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | Alternative #1 | The recommended alternative is to replace the KDU-10 line distance protection relays with Alectra's standard SEL-421 |
| | | relays. Three new bushing potential Devices are required to provide three phase voltage measurement. |
| | Alternative #2 | Not applicable |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | The new IFD will provide extensive fault and event record information for effective nost event analysis, especially for |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | transformer faults. The IED will also supply more complete telemetry to System Controllers via the Scada system. The |
| | | new relay will allow for more sophisticated protection schemes implementing 3Io and 3Vo supervision and preventing |
| | | maloperation due to a blown input fuses. This scheme is required as a backup to transfer trip schemes and |
| | | requirement for connection to the 230 KV grid. |
| | | |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Coordinating outages with Hydro One and other Alectra maintenance activities. |
| Project/ACTIVITY (UEB) | Comparative Information on Equivalent | Not applicable. |
| | Historical Projects (if any) | |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable | 0 |
| | Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Fach | Description of the Relationship between the | Not applicable. |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset | |
| | Performance Deterioration or Failure: | |
| | Condition of Acceture Trained Life Code and | Not applicable |
| | Performance Record | Not applicable. |



Currency scale is in literal



| utilities | | |
|--|--|--|
| Project Code | 150125 | |
| Project Name | Aurora MS6 (AMS6) Transformer and Bus Protect | ion Upgrade |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South |
| | Location | Aurora MS6 (AMS6) |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3 General Project Information (OFB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| 3. General Project mornation (GEB) | Expanditure Tupe | Controllable |
| | Experiature Type | Pate Pase Fundad |
| | Rates ID | Kate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | System Control, Commins & Performance |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Station Reliability Projects |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Replace transformer and bus differential and overcurrent protection relays and communication processor at Aurora MS6 with new relays with fault recording capabilities. |
| | | 1. Decommission old transformer relays. (DPU and KBCH) |
| | | 2. Modify switchgear control cabinet to accommodate new relays. |
| | | 3. Install 2 SEL-787 for differential protection. |
| | | 3. Install 2 SEL-351-7 for overcurrent protection. |
| | | 4. Install control wiring. |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Reliability |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Existing differential and overcurrent (transformer and bus) protection is obsolete and not reliable. |
| | | On the MS Relay Inventory ACA health index, the AMS6 transformer and bus relays have a poor condition rating. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | N/A |
| | Safety | This project will improve reliability of feeders reducing the risk of maloperation that could put the public at risk. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not applicable. |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not applicable. |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not applicable. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | Do nothing - Repairs are difficult and service is not readily available. We remain exposed should there be problematic |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | operations. |
| | Alternative #1 | Upgrade the bus and transformer and bus overcurrent and differential protections with new relays with fault recording |
| | | capabilities. |
| | Alternative #2 | Not applicable. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | The new IED will provide valuable fault and event record information for effective post event analysis, especially for transformer faults. The IED will also supply more complete telemetry to System Controllers via the Scada system. |
| | | Existing transformer and bus differential and overcurrent protection is obsolete and not reliable. |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Schedule for this project must be coordinated with other initiatives. |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | Comparative Information on Equivalent | The ABB DPU relays have been replaced at PowerStream's VTS1, VTS2, and RHTS1 transformer stations. |
| | Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | Not applicable. |
| | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable | Not applicable. |
| | Description of Incorporation of Advanced | Not applicable. |
| | Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits | Modern relays are reliable, efficient and safe. They have more functions allowing for improved protection. |





| hype today in the second secon | utilities | | |
|--|---|---|---|
| Name Califormation 2 Additional defending Non-Xord Y Park A 1990 - Second Sec | Project Code | 150138 | |
| Mark Research (Section 2000) Section 2000 Research 2000 Section 20000 Section 2000 Sectio | Project Name | Cable Replacement Project – (BA23-BA24) - Cool | k St and Steel St, Barrie |
| Control Displant Prior De Selection Restoreal information Exerce Periody Exeree | Major Category | System Renewal | |
| Without Instruction Second Information Second Information Second Information Second Information 12 Additional Information Second Informati | Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| 2. Adapted infinitionMode lengthLaps development (Mode)2. Adapted infinitionLaps development (Mode)A consert Argent (Mode)No3. Consert Argent (Mode)No4. Consert Arg | Project Overview | | |
| Lock Bendle - Cook Step (3) Notes Registric Cook Mail Registric Cook Mail Notes Registric Cook Mail Registric Cook Mail Notes Registric Cook Mail Notes A case and registric Monetable Congration Registric Cook Mail Notes A case and registric Monetable Congration Registric Cook Mail Registric Cook Mail A case and registric Monetable Congration Registric Cook Mail Registric Cook Mail A case and registric Monetable Congration Registric Cook Mail Registric Cook Mail A case and registric Monetable Congration Registric Cook Mail Registric Cook Mail A case and registric Monetable Congration Registric Cook Mail Registric Cook Mail A case and registric Monetable Congration Registric Cook Mail C | 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream North |
| Units 289 Notes (Consoling SQU (Con | | Location | (Barrie) - Cook St and Steel St |
| Proce Case Page 2000 A concept Project Information Conclude Protect Name Concept Project Name Concept Quart Quart Quart Project Name Concept Quart Quart Quart Project Name Concept Quart Quart Project Name Project Name Concept Quart Project Name Concept Quart Project Name Project Name Project Name Project Name Project Name Project Name Project Name Project Name Project Na | | Units | 2399 |
| Pipel rudade Ribbio No 2. Gordel Priget Ribbio Controles Capacity (10 MAX CASS) 2. Gordel Priget Ribbio Controles Capacity (10 MAX CASS) 2. Gordel Priget Ribbio Controles Capacity (10 MAX CASS) 2. Gordel Priget Ribbio Controles Capacity (10 MAX CASS) 2. Gordel Priget Ribbio Controles Capacity (10 MAX CASS) 2. Gordel Priget Ribbio Controles Capacity (10 MAX CASS) 2. Gordel Priget Ribbio Controles Capacity (10 MAX CASS) 2. Gordel Priget Ribbio Controles Capacity (10 MAX CASS) 2. Gordel Priget Ribbio Controles Capacity (10 MAX CASS) 2. Gordel Priget Ribbio Controles Capacity (10 MAX CASS) 2. Gordel Priget Ribbio Controles Capacity (10 MAX CASS) 2. Gordel Priget Ribbio Controles Capacity (10 MAX CASS) 2. Gordel Priget Ribbio Controles Capacity (10 MAX CASS) 2. Gordel Priget Ribbio Controles Capacity (10 MAX CASS) 2. Gordel Priget Ribbio Controles Capacity (10 MAX CASS) 2. Gordel Priget Ribbio Controles Capacity (10 MAX CASS) 2. Gordel Priget Ribbio Controles Capacity (10 MAX CASS) 2. Gordel Capacity (10 MAX CASS) Controles Capacity (10 MAX CASS) 2. Gordel Capacity (10 MAX CASS) Controles Capacity (10 MAX CASS) 2. Gordel Capacity (10 MAX CASS) Controles Capacity (10 MAX CASSS) | | Project Class | Regular |
| Record Protect Information (Control Section (Control Sectin (Control Section (Control Section (Control Section (Control Secti | | Project Includes R&D | No |
| In consent An consent A consent | | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| A General Pages Information (DSI) Controllection Contrection Controllection Controllection Controllection Contr | | Component | |
| 1. General Project Information (2013) Contributer Cyclical US Contributer Cyclical US 4. Contributer Cyclical US Rate Biose Function Rate Biose Function 4. Contributer Cyclical US Contributer Cyclical US Rate Biose Function 4. Contributer Cyclical US Rate Biose Function Rate Biose Function 4. Contributer Cyclical US Rate Biose Function Rate Biose Function 4. Contributer Cyclical US Rate Biose Function Rate Biose Function 4. Contributer Cyclical US Rate Biose Function Rate Biose Function 4. Contributer Cyclical US Rate Biose Function Rate Biose Function 4. Contributer Cyclical US Rate Diore - System Ratewall Rate Diore - System Ratewall Matter Diore - System Ratewall Rate Diore - System Ratewall Code mandatures into Code Into Rate Rateward Into Ratewar | | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| Augusta Exception r Type: Cardrold Mc A polation of these 10 Kardrold Mc A polation of these 10 McKets Groupin A polation of these 10 McKets Ubliefs and cardrol A polation of these 10(1) Ministry of the moletanic and cardrold discription of the moletanic and polation of underground caldes treating appolation of underground caldes treation t | 3 General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| Note: Some formation Note: Some found 4. Evaluation Criteria (DDD) Project Sommary Cale Remaindation - Englatoriaming to dragete. Added to differ spectra (DDD) 4. Evaluation Criteria (DDD) Project Sommary Cale Remaindation - Englatoriaming to dragete. Added to differ spectra (DDD) 4. Evaluation Criteria (DDD) Project Sommary Cale Remaindation - Englatoriaming to dragete. Added to differ spectra (DDD) 4. Evaluation Criteria (DDD) Project Sommary Magine Foldure Table 5. Evaluation Criteria (DDD) Project Sommary Magine Foldure Table 6. Evaluation Criteria (DDD) Project Sommary Magine Foldure Table 6. Evaluation Criteria (DDD) Project Sommary Magine Foldure Table 6. Evaluation Criteria (DDD) Project Sommary Magine Foldure Table 6. Evaluation Criteria (DDD) Magine Foldure Table Cale manufactures introduced the first generation XM cale limits the market must the table Story. These calebles have the interval in | | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| A control council Consequence does not control A control council Performance A control council | | Rates ID | Rate Race Funded |
| A Explosition - field sources Caller Brendingions - field sources A Explosition Criteria (DEI) Priget Sommay Caller Brendingions - field sources A Explosition Criteria (DEI) Priget Sommay Caller Brendingions - field sources A Explosition Criteria (DEI) Priget Sommay Caller Brendingions - field sources A Explosition Criteria (DEI) Priget Sommay Caller Brendingions - field sources A Explosition Criteria (DEI) Priget Sommay Margare Field and Sources Price Sources A Explosition Criteria (DEI) Price Sources Price Sources Price Sources Price Sources A Explosition Criteria (DEI) Price Sources Price Sources Price Sources Price Sources Price Sources A Explosition Criteria (DEI) Price Sources Price Sour | | Alectra Grouping | Inderground Asset Renewal |
| 4 Erabation Giteria (DEI) Peject Sommary Accors Ubilization on the second and sequence of the sequence of the second and s | | Alectra Subcategory | Cable Remediation -Renlacement |
| 2 = 2 = 2 = 2 = 2 = 2 = 2 = 2 = 2 = 2 = | 4 Evolution (ritoria (OER) | Project Support | Cable remediation – replacement |
| Main Driver - System Researal Mitigate failure Risis Definity and Resions for Phority Cabe manufactures introduced the first-generation XUE cable into the market in the last 396's. These cables have in the entity processes, which is do inputtised developing over them in the insulating medium. These inputtises are responsible for the increase in cable failures that Alecta Utilities and other utilities have been specificating with cables from this pancie. VEC cables also fail because of the way they insulation. Structure of the manufacture interver moved and registed utilities and stable scenes. In contrast, direct-buried cables and the also stables cannot be experiment in the also stables. In contrast, direct-buried cables and the also stables are casing an increasing innovation of the advart stables experiment. This approach was the shared able stables are casing an increasing innovation of the also stables are casing an increasing innovation of the also stables are casing an increasing innovation of the also stables are casing an increasing innovation cables and the shared as and the also stable are casing an increasing innovation of the also stables are casing an increasing innovation and the also stables are casing an increasing innovation and the also stables are casing an increasing innovation and the also stables are casing an increasing innovation and the also stables are casing an increasing innovation and the also stable are casing an increasing innovation and the also stable and the | 4. Evaluation Criteria (UEB) | Project summary | Inear meters of cable, which are continuing to degrade. Alectra Utilities' planned Underground Asset Renewal investments are driven by an increasing decline in reliability on the distribution system. At present, defective equipment accounts for 45% of controllable outages in Alectra Utilities' plans to gradually but significantly increase failures account for 50% of all equipment-related outages. Alectra Utilities' plans to gradually but significantly increase its spending to rejuvenate or replace XLPE cable and related accessories that are either in poor or very poor condition. This investment will replace failing direct-buried Cross-Linked Polyethylene (XLPE) cables and cable accessories with new cable in conduit and will mitigate outage frequencies to customers. |
| Main Diver - System Renewal Militglet Falture Raiss Priority and Reasons for Priority Cale monutactures introduced the first-generation XEE cale into the market in the late 1960's. These calles have in the minited ingenesses, which led to impurites adverging over time in the installing readows and priority in a cale show the more of the marketing cale in protective conducts but much of the system sill conducts of utilities and been specificating with cables from the system sill conducts of "first-chained" cale in the installed specific cale in the system sill conducts of "first-chained" cale in the system sill conducts of "first-chained" cale in the system sill conducts of the complete in the cale in the system sill conducts of the complete in the cale in the system sill conducts of the complete in the cale in the system sill conducts of the complete system sill conducts of the complete system sill conduct | | | |
| XUPE cables also fail because of the wyb installed. Decade app, utilities buried cable instances with expent still coasts of "direct-buried cables candid shifted to installing able in protective councils, bur with of the system still coasts of "direct-buried cables can with expending the system still coasts of "direct-buried cables can with the system still coasts of "direct-buried cables can with expending the system still coasts of "direct-buried cables can with the system still coasts of still casts as a significant wound of the system still coasts of still casts as a significant wound of the coasts of the system still coasts of still casts as a significant wound of the system still coasts of still casts as a significant wound of the coasts of the system still coasts of still casts as a significant wound of the coasts of the system still coasts of still casts as a significant wound of the coasts of the system still coasts of still casts as a significant wound of the still cast stall casts as a still casts as a significant wound of the still cast stall casts as a still casts as a significant wound of the casts would would be still casts as a still casts astill casts as a still casts as a still cas | | Main Driver - System Renewal Priority and Reasons for Priority | Mitigate Failure Risks Cable manufactures introduced the first-generation XLPE cable into the market in the late 1960's. These cables have inherent problems due to the nature of the manufacturing processes, which led to impurities developing over time in the insulating medium. These impurities are responsible for the increase in cable failures that Alectra Utilities and other utilities have been experiencing with cables from this period. |
| S. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis (OEB) Economic Development Not Applicable S. Qualitative and Project Alternatives (OEB) Economic Development Alternative #1 Cable Injection: Cable Injection I as XV. Alternative #2 Alternative #2 | | | A per cables also fail because of the way they instanted. Decades ago, unitities buried cable offective in the ground. Over time, the construction standard shifted to installing cable in protective conduits, but much of the system still consists of "direct-buried" cable. When more modern cable-in-conduit fails, it can typically be entirely removed and replaced with brand-new cable with relative ease. In contrast, direct-buried cables can only be repaired by excavating the cable and splicing in a replacement segment. This approach is fundamentally reactive and introduces further complications, since the installed splice may itself become a future failure point. Nor does it solve the underlying issue, since the older, direct-buried cable remains installed and increasingly likely to fail again. Failing direct-buried cables are causing an increasing number of outages, and when buried cables fail it can take a significant amount of time to restore service. Failing cables are significantly and increasingly impacting the quality of service received by Alectra Utilities' customers. Alectra Utilities must increase spending not only to halt the increasing trend, but to reverse it and reduce the number of cable failures to return customers back to historical reliability levels. Without the proposed expenditures, cables will continue to degrade and Alectra Utilities expects reliability to decline further as deteriorated cables begin to fail at greater rates, having been stressed from historical faults. |
| Economic Development Alectra Utilities ensure all policies and practices don't unnecessarily create barriers to economic development which are primarily focused within our communities. Environmental Benefits Not Applicable 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project Alternatives (OEB) Status Quo The status quo is to do nothing, allowing the end-of-life cable to run to failure, and respond to outages under reactive capital. This would lead to an unacceptable level of outages and customer satisfaction. Alternative #1 Cable Injection: Cable Injection was considered, but was rejected because the cable is very old (47 years old) and is at end-of-life stage. In addition, the cable is rated at 5 kV and therefore not suitable when the area is converted from 4.16 kV systems to 13.8 kV systems. If the cable is injected now, the injected cables will require replacement in a few years when the area is converted to 13.8 kV. Perform the replacement in this area. Perform the replacement in this area. | | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety Cyber-Security, Privacy Coordination, Interoperability | Not Applicable Not Applicable Pertaining to coordination with utilities, regional planning and other 3rd parties, Alectra Utilities constructs all new projects using approved construction standards complying with ESA Regulation 22/04. Alectra Utilities participates in regional planning, both at an infrastructure level with local municipalities and regions, as well as at an electrical infrastructure level with Hydro One and other participants in the Regional Planning Process. Alectra Utilities also attends Public Utility Coordinating Committee (PUCC) meetings which jointly allows for the coordination and planning of investments with other utilities who provide cable tv, internet, phone and natural gas services. |
| Environmental benefits Not Applicable 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) Status Quo Alternative #1 Cable Injection: Cable Injection was considered, but was rejected because the cable is very old (47 years old) and is at end-of-life stage. In addition, the cable is rated at 5 kV and therefore not suitable when the area is converted from 4.16 kV systems to 13.8 kV systems. If the cable is injected now, the injected cables will require replacement in a few years when the area is converted to 13.8 kV. Alternative #2 Perform the replacement in this area. | | Economic Development | Alectra Utilities ensure all policies and practices don't unnecessarily create barriers to economic development which are primarily focused within our communities. |
| Alternative #1 Cable Injection: Cable Injection was considered, but was rejected because the cable is very old (47 years old) and is at end-of-life stage. In addition, the cable is rated at 5 kV and therefore not suitable when the area is converted from 4.16 kV systems to 13.8 kV systems. If the cable is injected now, the injected cables will require replacement in a few years when the area is converted to 13.8 kV. Alternative #2 Perform the replacement in this area. | 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | The status quo is to do nothing, allowing the end-of-life cable to run to failure, and respond to outages under reactive capital. This would lead to an unacceptable level of outages and customer satisfaction. |
| In addition, the cable is rated at 5 kV and therefore not suitable when the area is converted from 4.16 kV systems to 13.8 kV systems. If the cable is injected now, the injected cables will require replacement in a few years when the area is converted to 13.8 kV. Alternative #2 Perform the replacement in this area. | | Alternative #1 | Cable Injection: Cable Injection was considered, but was rejected because the cable is very old (47 years old) and is at end-of-life stage. |
| | | Alternative #2 | In addition, the cable is rated at 5 kV and therefore not suitable when the area is converted from 4.16 kV systems to 13.8 kV systems. If the cable is injected now, the injected cables will require replacement in a few years when the area is converted to 13.8 kV. Perform the replacement in this area. |

| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | This project is part of Alectra Utilities annual investment initiative for cable remediation (cable replacement and cable injection) to maintain system reliability. The oldest cables are at end-of-life and are failing. Since cables are the main component of the underground electrical distribution system, when a cable segment fails, system reliability and customer service are negatively affected. For small-scale outages, Alectra Utilities has the capability to replace or repair the faulted cable segments under reactive capital, however, if too many cable failures occur at the same time, Alectra Utilities would not have sufficient resources to manage the large-scale and cascading outages - system integrity will be compromised and reliability will be unacceptable to the customers. To manage the risk of large-scale cable failures, Alectra Utilities must implement proactive cable remediation projects. These projects are a result of continuous assessments, prioritizing, and remediating the worst cable segment as the method for remediation (injection is not technically feasible for the segments within this project). |
|--|---|--|
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Risk: Alectra Utilities considers the following as general risks to project schedule and cost: - fluctuation in cost and staff resources (internal and external) to complete high annual volume of work. - customer delays or restricted access to work sites - inclement weather, either in the form of extreme temperatures or due to restoration activities following major storms - delays to material shipment from vendors - general unforeseen delays such as striking rock when digging, tree conservation, municipal/regional consent forms Risk Management: Alectra Utilities has multi-year Master Service Agreement with external contractors. Regular progress meetings are held to ensure technical and operational issues are resolved promptly; budget performance is monitored; and projects are on track. Alectra Utilities has utilized coordination with third parties to mitigate some of the issues where possible, with municipalities/region/suppliers/customers. Alectra Utilities has implemented a Planning and Scheduling solution to track projects and resources. The Program Delivery department allows Alectra Utilities to manage schedule and cost risks and improve the overall efficiency of implementation. Alectra Utilities is able to reduce controllable cost impacts on the project due to these risk mitigation strategies. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Similar cable replacement projects over the past 3 years (2016, 2017, and 2018) were \$389/m. This project is forecasted to be \$712/m. The difference is based on the assumption that this project is more complicated (more obstruction, short clearance from other utilities) than the projects already completed in prior years. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | In Alectra East, there were 123, 133, 113, 126, 131, 131 and 138 Cable and Splice failures in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 128 failures per year). If not rehabilitated, this cable will get older and will fail more often to the level that is not tolerable by customers. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | Cable in this area is 45 years old (installed in 1971), which exceeds the Kinectrics Report ""Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board"" results for Typical Useful Life of non-tree retardant XLPE of 25 years. |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | 184 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated sick | For 1000 m of cable (applicable to the selected cable remediation candidates): |
| | level) | Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 failures per 1000 m of cable per year |
| | | For 2399 m of cable in the whole area: |
| | | rrequency or Failure is: 0.25 x 2399 /1000 = 0.6 failure(s) According to Alectra East Control Room data, there were 123, 133, 113, 126, 131, 131 and 138 Cable and Snlice Failures |
| | | in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 128 failures per year). Annually on average there were 128 Cable and Splice failures affecting 39,280 customers and 5,520,782 CMI |
| | | Impact of 1 failure: 39,280/128 = 307 customers affected and 5,520,782/128 = 43,131 CMI Impact of 0.6 failures: 307 x 0.6 = 184 customers affected and 43,131 x 0.6 = 25879 CMI |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) Value of Customer Impact | Cable failures have negative impact to system reliability and customer service. Outages cause inconvenience and financial loss to customers (office closing, production stoppage). High |
| | Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any | Local approvals and weather. |
| | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Not Applicable |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | This project is part of the long-term cable rehabilitation program. The project will help avoid a total of 0.6 potential cable failures and 25879 potential CMI. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | When direct buried cable is replaced, the new cable installed according to new Standards. Which call for the cable to be put in conduit. The conduit provides additional mechanical protection for the cable. In addition it will also facilitate for future cable replacement (faulted cable can be pulled out and new cable be pulled in, no digging is required). |

| 1,800,000 – | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|-------------|------|
| 1,600,000 | | | | | | |
| 1,400,000 | | | | | | |
| 1,200,000 - | | | | | | |
| 1,000,000 | | | | | | |
| 800,000 | | | | | | |
| 600,000 | | | | | | |
| 400,000 | | | | | | |
| 200,000 | | | | | | |
| 0 — | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$1,706,968 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,706,968 | \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| rrency scale is in literal | | | | | | |



Project Code 150235 Project Name Greenwood Expansion Station Service Supply Backup Major Category System Service Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream South Location Greenwood Transformer Station, 8000 Dufferin Street, Vaughan, Units 1 Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) **Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0%** Expenditure Type Controllable Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping System Control, Comm'ns & Performance Station Reliability Projects Alectra Subcategory 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Add a station service transformer that will be dedicated to Greenwood TS Expansion (VTS1E), thereby providing improved security of supply. The first year is for engineering and installation will take place in the second year. Main Driver - System Service Reliability Priority and Reasons for Priority Currently the station service is supplied from two 225 KVA transformers on the old side of Greenwood TS (VTS1), one connected to each 27.6 kV bus. If there were a major failure on a Bus at VTS1, there would be only one station service transformer supplying the two DESNs and thereby no redundancy for station service supply. Also, a catastrophic failure to VTS1 would result in complete loss of station service supply and removal of both VTS1 and VTS1E from service or require running a generator for an extended period. Note that Lazenby TS, which has two DESNs, does have four station service transformers. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not applicable Safety Not applicable Cyber-Security, Privacy Not applicable Coordination, Interoperability Not applicable Economic Development Not applicable Environmental Benefits Not applicable 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Quo If there were a major failure on a Bus at VTS1, there would be only one station service transformer supplying the two Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) DESNs and thereby no redundancy for station service supply. Also, a catastrophic failure to VTS1 would result in complete loss of station service supply and removal of both VTS1 and VTS1E from service or require running a generator for an extended period Alternative #1 Add a station service transformer that will be dedicated to Greenwood TS Expansion (VTS1E), thereby providing improved security of supply. Alternative #2 Not applicable Justification for Recommended Alternative Station Design recommends adding a new station service transformer that will be dedicated to Greenwood TS Expansion (VTS1E). This will prevent the scenario of one station service transformer supplying the two DESNs with no redundancy for station service supply. Furthermore, should a catastrophic failure to VTS1 occur, the station service supply for VTS1E will not be lost. 6. General Information on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management It is expected to be difficult to estimate the installation work Project/Activity (OEB) Comparative Information on Equivalent Not applicable Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable 0 Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in Not applicable terms of Cost Impact, where practicable Project/Activity (OEB) Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements Not applicable which affect Project, if applicable Description of Incorporation of Advanced Not applicable Technology, if applicable Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or Adding a new station service transformer that will be dedicated to Greenwood TS Expansion (VTS1E) will prevent the coordination benefits scenario of one station service transformer supplying the two DESNs with no redundancy for station service supply Furthermore, should a catastrophic failure to VTS1 occur, the station service supply for VTS1E will not be lost.

| 50,000 - | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|--|--|
| 45,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| 40,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| 35,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| 30,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| 25,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| 20,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| 15,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| 10,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| 5,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| 0 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$44,020 | \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$44,020 | | | | | | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | |



Project Code 150254 Project Name Cable Replacement Project - (A02) - Steeplechase Ave, Aurora Major Category System Renewal Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream South (A02) - Steeplechase Ave (Aurora) Location 7560 Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Componen Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) **Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rates ID Rate Base Funded Alectra Grouping Underground Asset Renewal Alectra Subcategory Cable Remediation -Replacement 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Alectra Utilities' service area currently contains a population of underground cables totalling approximately 21 million linear meters of cable, which are continuing to degrade. Alectra Utilities' planned Underground Asset Renewal investments are driven by an increasing decline in reliability on the distribution system. At present, defective equipment accounts for 45% of controllable outages in Alectra Utilities' system. Failing cable and cable accessory failures account for 50% of all equipment-related outages. Alectra Utilities plans to gradually but significantly increase its spending to rejuvenate or replace XLPE cable and related accessories that are either in poor or very poor condition. This investment will replace failing direct-buried Cross-Linked Polyethylene (XLPE) cables and cable accesories with new cable in conduit and will mitigate outage frequencies to customers Main Driver - System Renewal Mitigate Failure Risks Priority and Reasons for Priority Cable manufactures introduced the first-generation XLPE cable into the market in the late 1960's. These cables have inherent problems due to the nature of the manufacturing processes, which led to impurities developing over time in the insulating medium. These impurities are responsible for the increase in cable failures that Alectra Utilities and other utilities have been experiencing with cables from this period. XLPE cables also fail because of the way they installed. Decades ago, utilities buried cable directly in the ground. Over time, the construction standard shifted to installing cable in protective conduits, but much of the system still consists of "direct-buried" cable. When more modern cable-in-conduit fails, it can typically be entirely removed and replaced with brand-new cable with relative ease. In contrast, direct-buried cables can only be repaired by excavating the cable and splicing in a replacement segment. This approach is fundamentally reactive and introduces further complications, since the installed splice may itself become a future failure point. Nor does it solve the underlying issue, since the older, direct-buried cable remains installed and increasingly likely to fail again. Failing direct-buried cables are causing an increasing number of outages, and when buried cables fail it can take a significant amount of time to restore service. Failing cables are significantly and increasingly impacting the quality of service received by Alectra Utilities' customers. Alectra Utilities must increase spending not only to halt the increasing trend, but to reverse it and reduce the number of cable failures to return customers back to historical reliability levels. Without the proposed expenditures, cables will continue to degrade and Alectra Utilities expects reliability to decline further as deteriorated cables begin to fail at greater rates, having been stressed from historical faults. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not Applicable Not Applicable Safety Cyber-Security, Privacy Not Applicable Coordination, Interoperability Pertaining to coordination with utilities, regional planning and other 3rd parties, Alectra Utilities constructs all new projects using approved construction standards complying with ESA Regulation 22/04. Alectra Utilities participates in regional planning, both at an infrastructure level with local municipalities and regions, as well as at an electrical infrastructure level with Hydro One and other participants in the Regional Planning Process. Alectra Utilities also attends Public Utility Coordinating Committee (PUCC) meetings which jointly allows for the coordination and planning of investments with other utilities who provide cable ty, internet, phone and natural gas services. Economic Development Alectra Utilities ensure all policies and practices don't unnecessarily create barriers to economic development which are primarily focused within our communities. Environmental Benefits Not Applicable 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Quo The status quo is to do nothing, allowing the end-of-life cable to run to failure, and respond to outages under reactive Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) capital. This would lead to an unacceptable level of outages and customer satisfaction Alternative #1 Perform the replacement in this area Alternative #2 Injection of the cables - these cable segemnts are not technically viable for injection.

| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | This project is part of Alectra Utilities annual investment initiative for cable remediation (cable replacement and cable injection) to maintain system reliability. The oldest cables are at end-of-life and are failing. Since cables are the main component of the underground electrical distribution system, when a cable segment fails, system reliability and customer service are negatively affected. For small-scale outages, Alectra Utilities has the capability to replace or repair the faulted cable segments under reactive capital, however, if too many cable failures occur at the same time, Alectra Utilities would not have sufficient resources to manage the large-scale and cascading outages - system integrity will be compromised and reliability will be unacceptable to the customers. To manage the risk of large-scale cable failures, Alectra Utilities must implement proactive cable remediation projects. These projects are a result of continuous assessments, prioritizing, and remediating the worst cable segments by a combination of cable injection and cable replacement. This project addresses cable replacement as the method for remediation (injection is not technically feasible for the segments within this project). |
|--|---|---|
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Risk: Alectra Utilities considers the following as general risks to project schedule and cost: - fluctuation in cost and staff resources (internal and external) to complete high annual volume of work. - customer delays or restricted access to work sites - inclement weather, either in the form of extreme temperatures or due to restoration activities following major storms - delays to material shipment from vendors - general unforeseen delays such as striking rock when digging, tree conservation, municipal/regional consent forms Risk Management: |
| | | Alectra Utilities has multi-year Master Service Agreement with external contractors. Regular progress meetings are held to ensure technical and operational issues are resolved promptly; budget performance is monitored; and projects are on track. Alectra Utilities has utilized coordination with third parties to mitigate some of the issues where possible, with municipalities/region/suppliers/customers. Alectra Utilities has implemented a Planning and Scheduling solution to track projects and resources. The Program Delivery department allows Alectra Utilities to manage schedule and cost risks and improve the overall efficiency of implementation. Alectra Utilities is able to reduce controllable cost impacts on the project due to these risk mitigation strategies. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Similar cable replacement projects over the past 3 years (2016, 2017, and 2018) were \$389/m. This project is forecasted to be \$383/m. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | In Alectra East, there were 123, 133, 113, 126, 131, 131 and 138 Cable and Splice failures in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 128 failures per year). If not rehabilitated, this cable will get older and will fail more often to the level that is not tolerable by customers. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | Cable in this area is 45 years old (installed in 1974), which exceeds the Kinectrics Report "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" results for Typical Useful Life of non-tree retardant XLPE of 25 years. |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | 583 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk | For 1000 m of cable (applicable to the selected cable remediation candidates): |
| | level) | Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 failures per 1000 m of cable per year |
| | | For 7500 m of Cable in the whole area: Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 x 7560 /1000 = 1.9 failure(s) |
| | | According to Alectra East Control Room data, there were 123, 133, 113, 126, 131, 131 and 138 Cable and Splice failures in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 128 failures per year). Annually on average there were 128 Cable and Splice failures affecting 39,280 customers and 5,520,782 CMI |
| | | Impact of 1 failure: 39,280/128 = 307 customers affected and 5,520,782/128 = 43,131 CMI Impact of 1.9 failures: 307 x 1.9 = 583 customers affected and 43,131 x 1.9 = 81949 CMI |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) Value of Customer Impact | Cable failures have negative impact to system reliability and customer service. Outages cause inconvenience and financial loss to customers (office closing, production stoppage). High |
| | Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any | Local approvals and weather. |
| | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Not Applicable |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | This project is part of the long-term cable rehabilitation program. The project will help avoid a total of 1.9 potential cable failures and 81949 potential CMI. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | When direct buried cable is replaced, the new cable installed according to new Standards. Which call for the cable to be put in conduit. The conduit provides additional mechanical protection for the cable. In addition it will also facilitate for future cable replacement (faulted cable can be pulled out and new cable be pulled in, no digging is required). |





Project Code 150255 Project Name Cable Replacement Project - (B23) - Cundles Rd and Janine St, Barrie Major Category System Renewal Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** Legacy PowerStream North 2. Additional Information Service Territory Location (Barrie) - Cundles Rd and Janine St 1389 Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Componen Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) **Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rates ID Rate Base Funded Alectra Grouping Underground Asset Renewal Alectra Subcategory Cable Remediation -Replacement 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Alectra Utilities' service area currently contains a population of underground cables totalling approximately 21 million linear meters of cable, which are continuing to degrade. Alectra Utilities' planned Underground Asset Renewal investments are driven by an increasing decline in reliability on the distribution system. At present, defective equipment accounts for 45% of controllable outages in Alectra Utilities' system. Failing cable and cable accessory failures account for 50% of all equipment-related outages. Alectra Utilities plans to gradually but significantly increase its spending to rejuvenate or replace XLPE cable and related accessories that are either in poor or very poor condition. This investment will replace failing direct-buried Cross-Linked Polyethylene (XLPE) cables and cable accesories with new cable in conduit and will mitigate outage frequencies to customers. Main Driver - System Renewal Mitigate Failure Risks Priority and Reasons for Priority Cable manufactures introduced the first-generation XLPE cable into the market in the late 1960's. These cables have inherent problems due to the nature of the manufacturing processes, which led to impurities developing over time in the insulating medium. These impurities are responsible for the increase in cable failures that Alectra Utilities and other utilities have been experiencing with cables from this period. XLPE cables also fail because of the way they installed. Decades ago, utilities buried cable directly in the ground. Over time, the construction standard shifted to installing cable in protective conduits, but much of the system still consists of "direct-buried" cable. When more modern cable-in-conduit fails, it can typically be entirely removed and replaced with brand-new cable with relative ease. In contrast, direct-buried cables can only be repaired by excavating the cable and splicing in a replacement segment. This approach is fundamentally reactive and introduces further complications, since the installed splice may itself become a future failure point. Nor does it solve the underlying issue, since the older, direct-buried cable remains installed and increasingly likely to fail again. Failing direct-buried cables are causing an increasing number of outages, and when buried cables fail it can take a significant amount of time to restore service. Failing cables are significantly and increasingly impacting the quality of service received by Alectra Utilities' customers. Alectra Utilities must increase spending not only to halt the increasing trend, but to reverse it and reduce the number of cable failures to return customers back to historical reliability levels. Without the proposed expenditures, cables will continue to degrade and Alectra Utilities expects reliability to decline further as deteriorated cables begin to fail at greater rates, having been stressed from historical faults. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not Applicable Not Applicable Safety Cyber-Security, Privacy Not Applicable Coordination, Interoperability Pertaining to coordination with utilities, regional planning and other 3rd parties, Alectra Utilities constructs all new projects using approved construction standards complying with ESA Regulation 22/04. Alectra Utilities participates in regional planning, both at an infrastructure level with local municipalities and regions, as well as at an electrical infrastructure level with Hydro One and other participants in the Regional Planning Process. Alectra Utilities also attends Public Utility Coordinating Committee (PUCC) meetings which jointly allows for the coordination and planning of investments with other utilities who provide cable tv, internet, phone and natural gas services. Alectra Utilities ensure all policies and practices don't unnecessarily create barriers to economic development which **Economic Development** are primarily focused within our communities Environmental Benefits Not Applicable 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Quo The status quo is to do nothing, allowing the end-of-life cable to run to failure, and respond to outages under reactive Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) capital. This would lead to an unacceptable level of outages and customer satisfaction. Alternative #1 Cable Injection: Cable Injection was considered, but was rejected because the cable is very old (44 years old) and is at end-of-life stage. In addition, the cable is rated at 5 kV and therefore not suitable when the area is converted from 4.16 kV systems to 13.8 kV systems. If the cable is injected now, the injected cables will require replacement in a few years when the area

is converted to 13.8 kV.

| | Alternative #2 | Perform the replacement in this area. |
|--|--|---|
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | This project is part of Alectra Utilities annual investment initiative for cable remediation (cable replacement and cable injection) to maintain system reliability. The oldest cables are at end-of-life and are failing. Since cables are the main component of the underground electrical distribution system, when a cable segment fails, system reliability and customer service are negatively affected. For small-scale outages, Alectra Utilities has the capability to replace or repair the faulted cable segments under reactive capital, however, if too many cable failures occur at the same time, Alectra Utilities would not have sufficient resources to manage the large-scale and cascading outages - system integrity will be compromised and reliability will be unacceptable to the customers. To manage the risk of large-scale cable failures, Alectra Utilities must implement proactive cable remediation projects. These projects are a result of continuous assessments, prioritizing, and remediating the worst cable segments by a combination of cable injection and cable replacement. This project addresses cable replacement as the method for remediation (injection is not technically feasible for the segments within this project). |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Risk: Alectra Utilities considers the following as general risks to project schedule and cost: fluctuation in cost and staff resources (internal and external) to complete high annual volume of work. customer delays or restricted access to work sites inclement weather, either in the form of extreme temperatures or due to restoration activities following major storms delays to material shipment from vendors general unforeseen delays such as striking rock when digging, tree conservation, municipal/regional consent forms Risk Management: Alectra Utilities has multi-year Master Service Agreement with external contractors. Regular progress meetings are held to ensure technical and operational issues are resolved promptly; budget performance is monitored; and projects are on track. Alectra Utilities has utilized coordination with third parties to mitigate some of the issues where possible, with municipalities/region/suppliers/customers. Alectra Utilities has implemented a Planning and Scheduling solution to track projects and resources. The Program Delivery department allows Alectra Utilities to manage schedule and cost risks and improve the overall efficiency of implementation. Alectra Utilities is able to reduce controllable cost impacts on the project due to these risk mitigation strategies. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Similar cable replacement projects over the past 3 years (2016, 2017, and 2018) were \$389/m. This project is forecasted to be \$760/m. The difference is based on the assumption that this project is more complicated (more obstruction, short clearance from other utilities) than the projects already completed in prior years. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | In Alectra East, there were 123, 133, 113, 126, 131, 131 and 138 Cable and Splice failures in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 128 failures per year). If not rehabilitated, this cable will get older and will fail more often to the level that is not tolerable by customers. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | Cable in this area is 45 years old (installed in 1974), which exceeds the Kinectrics Report "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" results for Typical Useful Life of non-tree retardant XLPE of 25 years. |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | 92 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or | For 1000 m of cable (applicable to the selected cable remediation candidates): |
| | level) | Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 failures per 1000 m of cable per year |
| | | For 1389 m of cable in the whole area: |
| | | Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 x 1389 /1000 = 0.3 failure(s) |
| | | According to Alectra East Control Room data, there were 123, 133, 113, 126, 131, 131 and 138 Cable and Splice failures in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 128 failures per year). Annually on average there were 128 Cable and Splice failures affecting 39,280 customers and 5,520,782 CMI |
| | | Impact of 1 failure: 39,280/128 = 307 customers affected and 5,520,782/128 = 43,131 CMI Impact of 0.3 failures: 307 x 0.3 = 92 customers affected and 43,131 x 0.3 = 12939 CMI |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) Value of Customer Impact Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any | Cable failures have negative impact to system reliability and customer service. Outages cause inconvenience and financial loss to customers (office closing, production stoppage). High Local approvals and weather. |
| | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Not Applicable |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | This project is part of the long-term cable rehabilitation program. The project will help avoid a total of 0.3 potential cable failures and 12939 potential CMI. |

| Analysis for " | Like for Like" Renewal Pro | ject When direct b be put in cond for future cab | uried cable is replaced, th duit. The conduit provides le replacement (faulted ca | ne new cable installed acco additional mechanical pro able can be pulled out and | ording to new Standards. \ otection for the cable. In a new cable be pulled in, n | Which call for the cable to ddition it will also facilitate o digging is required). |
|--|----------------------------|--|---|--|---|---|
| 1,200,000 - | | | | | | |
| 1,000,000 - | | | | | | |
| 800,000 - | | | | | | |
| 600,000 - | | | | | | |
| 400,000 - | | | | | | |
| 200,000 - | | | | | | |
| 0 - | 2010 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2022 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$1,056,218 | \$0 | 2020 \$0 | 2021 \$0 | \$0 | \$1.056.218 | 2024 \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

Currency scale is in literal



Project Code

OEB Multi-Project Report

150257

Cable Replacement Project - (V15) - Jardin Dr, Vaughan

Project Name Major Category System Renewal Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream South (V15) - Jardin Dr (Vaughan) Location 7456 Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Componen Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) **Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Underground Asset Renewal Alectra Subcategory Cable Remediation -Replacement 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Alectra Utilities' service area currently contains a population of underground cables totalling approximately 21 million linear meters of cable, which are continuing to degrade. Alectra Utilities' planned Underground Asset Renewal investments are driven by an increasing decline in reliability on the distribution system. At present, defective equipment accounts for 45% of controllable outages in Alectra Utilities' system. Failing cable and cable accessory failures account for 50% of all equipment-related outages. Alectra Utilities plans to gradually but significantly increase its spending to rejuvenate or replace XLPE cable and related accessories that are either in poor or very poor condition. This investment will replace failing direct-buried Cross-Linked Polyethylene (XLPE) cables and cable accesories with new cable in conduit and will mitigate outage frequencies to customers. Main Driver - System Renewal Mitigate Failure Risks Priority and Reasons for Priority Cable manufactures introduced the first-generation XLPE cable into the market in the late 1960's. These cables have inherent problems due to the nature of the manufacturing processes, which led to impurities developing over time in the insulating medium. These impurities are responsible for the increase in cable failures that Alectra Utilities and other utilities have been experiencing with cables from this period. XLPE cables also fail because of the way they installed. Decades ago, utilities buried cable directly in the ground. Over time, the construction standard shifted to installing cable in protective conduits, but much of the system still consists of "direct-buried" cable. When more modern cable-in-conduit fails, it can typically be entirely removed and replaced with brand-new cable with relative ease. In contrast, direct-buried cables can only be repaired by excavating the cable and splicing in a replacement segment. This approach is fundamentally reactive and introduces further complications, since the installed splice may itself become a future failure point. Nor does it solve the underlying issue, since the older, direct-buried cable remains installed and increasingly likely to fail again. Failing direct-buried cables are causing an increasing number of outages, and when buried cables fail it can take a significant amount of time to restore service. Failing cables are significantly and increasingly impacting the quality of service received by Alectra Utilities' customers. Alectra Utilities must increase spending not only to halt the increasing trend, but to reverse it and reduce the number of cable failures to return customers back to historical reliability levels. Without the proposed expenditures, cables will continue to degrade and Alectra Utilities expects reliability to decline further as deteriorated cables begin to fail at greater rates, having been stressed from historical faults. Not Applicable Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not Applicable Safety Cyber-Security, Privacy Not Applicable Coordination, Interoperability Pertaining to coordination with utilities, regional planning and other 3rd parties, Alectra Utilities constructs all new projects using approved construction standards complying with ESA Regulation 22/04. Alectra Utilities participates in regional planning, both at an infrastructure level with local municipalities and regions, as well as at an electrical infrastructure level with Hydro One and other participants in the Regional Planning Process. Alectra Utilities also attends Public Utility Coordinating Committee (PUCC) meetings which jointly allows for the coordination and planning of investments with other utilities who provide cable tv, internet, phone and natural gas services. **Economic Development** Alectra Utilities ensure all policies and practices don't unnecessarily create barriers to economic development which

5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB)

> Alternative #1 Alternative #2

Status Quo

Environmental Benefits

Injection of the cables - these cable segemnts are not technically viable for injection.

capital. This would lead to an unacceptable level of outages and customer satisfaction.

The status quo is to do nothing, allowing the end-of-life cable to run to failure, and respond to outages under reactive

are primarily focused within our communities

Perform the replacement in this area.

Not Applicable

| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | This project is part of Alectra Utilities annual investment initiative for cable remediation (cable replacement and cable injection) to maintain system reliability. The oldest cables are at end-of-life and are failing. Since cables are the main component of the underground electrical distribution system, when a cable segment fails, system reliability and customer service are negatively affected. For small-scale outages, Alectra Utilities has the capability to replace or repair the faulted cable segments under reactive capital, however, if too many cable failures occur at the same time, Alectra Utilities would not have sufficient resources to manage the large-scale and cascading outages - system integrity will be compromised and reliability will be unacceptable to the customers. To manage the risk of large-scale cable failures, Alectra Utilities must implement proactive cable remediation projects. These projects are a result of continuous assessments, prioritizing, and remediating the worst cable segments by a combination of cable injection and cable replacement. This project addresses cable replacement as the method for remediation (injection is not technically feasible for the segments within this project). |
|--|---|---|
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Risk: Alectra Utilities considers the following as general risks to project schedule and cost: - fluctuation in cost and staff resources (internal and external) to complete high annual volume of work. - customer delays or restricted access to work sites - inclement weather, either in the form of extreme temperatures or due to restoration activities following major storms - delays to material shipment from vendors - general unforeseen delays such as striking rock when digging, tree conservation, municipal/regional consent forms Risk Management: Alectra Utilities has multi-year Master Service Agreement with external contractors. Regular progress meetings are held to ensure technical and operational issues are resolved promptly; budget performance is monitored; and projects are on track. Alectra Utilities has utilized coordination with third parties to mitigate some of the issues where possible, with municipalities/region/suppliers/customers. Alectra Utilities has implemented a Planning and Scheduling solution to track projects and resources. The Program Delivery department allows Alectra Utilities to reduce controllable cost impacts on the project due to these risk mitigation strategies. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | Similar cable replacement projects over the past 3 years (2016, 2017, and 2018) were \$389/m. This project is forecasted to be \$389/m. |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | In Alectra East, there were 123, 133, 113, 126, 131, 131 and 138 Cable and Splice failures in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 128 failures per year). If not rehabilitated, this cable will get older and will fail more often to the level that is not tolerable by customers. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | Cable in this area is 37 years old (installed in 1982), which exceeds the Kinectrics Report "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" results for Typical Useful Life of non-tree retardant XLPE of 25 years. |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | 583 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) | For 1000 m of cable (applicable to the selected cable remediation candidates): |
| | | Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 failures per 1000 m of cable per year |
| | | For /546 m of cable in the whole area: Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 x 7546 /1000 = 1.9 failure(s) |
| | | According to Alectra East Control Room data, there were 123, 133, 113, 126, 131, 131 and 138 Cable and Splice failures in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 128 failures per year). Annually on average there were 128 Cable and Splice failures affecting 39,280 customers and 5,520,782 CMI |
| | | Impact of 1 failure: 39,280/128 = 307 customers affected and 5,520,782/128 = 43,131 CMI Impact of 1.9 failures: 307 x 1.9 = 583 customers affected and 43,131 x 1.9 = 81949 CMI |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) Value of Customer Impact | Cable failures have negative impact to system reliability and customer service. Outages cause inconvenience and financial loss to customers (office closing, production stoppage). High |
| | Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any | Local approvals and weather. |
| | Consequences for U&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | NOT Applicable |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | This project is part of the long-term cable rehabilitation program. The project will help avoid a total of 1.9 potential cable failures and 81949 potential CMI. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | When direct buried cable is replaced, the new cable installed according to new Standards. Which call for the cable to be put in conduit. The conduit provides additional mechanical protection for the cable. In addition it will also facilitate for future cable replacement (faulted cable can be pulled out and new cable be pulled in, no digging is required). |





| utilities | | |
|---|---|---|
| Project Code | 150262 | |
| Project Name | Cable Replacement Project - (M33) - 16th Avenue | e and Village Parkway, Markham |
| Major Category | System Renewal | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South |
| | Location | (M33) - 16th Avenue and Village Parkway (Markham) |
| | Units | 3781 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Bates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Underground Asset Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Cable Remediation –Renlacement |
| 4 Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summany | Alectra I Itilities' service area currently contains a nonulation of underground cables totalling approximately 21 million |
| | | linear meters of cable, which are continuing to degrade. Alectra Utilities' planned Underground Asset Renewal investments are driven by an increasing decline in reliability on the distribution system. At present, defective equipment accounts for 45% of controllable outages in Alectra Utilities' system. Failing cable and cable accessory failures account for 50% of all equipment-related outages. Alectra Utilities plans to gradually but significantly increase its spending to rejuvenate or replace XLPE cable and related accessories that are either in poor or very poor condition. This investment will replace failing direct-buried Cross-Linked Polyethylene (XLPE) cables and cable accessories with new cable in conduit and will mitigate outage frequencies to customers. |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal Priority and Reasons for Priority | Mitigate Failure Risks Cable manufactures introduced the first-generation XLPE cable into the market in the late 1960's. These cables have inthe insulating medium. These impurities are responsible for the increase in cable failures that Alectra Utilities and other utilities have been experiencing with cables from this period. XLPE cables also fail because of the way they installed. Decades ago, utilities buried cable directly in the ground. Over time, the construction standard shifted to installing cable in protective conduits, but much of the system still consists of "direct-buried" cable. When more modern cable-in-conduit fails, it can typically be entirely removed and replaced with brand-new cable with relative ease. In contrast, direct-buried cables can only be repaired by excavating the cable and splicing in a replacement segment. This approach is fundamentally reactive and introduces further complications, since the installed splice may itself become a future failure point. Nor does it solve the underlying issue, since the older, direct-buried cable remains installed and increasingly likely to fail again. Failing direct-buried cables are causing an increasing number of outages, and when buried cables fail it can take a significant amount of time to restore service. Failing cables are significantly and increasingly impacting the quality of service received by Alectra Utilities' customers. Alectra Utilities must increase spending not only to halt the increasing trend, but to reverse it and reduce the number of oable failures to return customers back to historical reliability levels. Without the proposed expenditures, cables will continue to degrade and Alectra Utilities expects reliability to decline further as deteriorated cables begin to fail at greater rates, having been stressed from historical faults. |
| | Safety | Not Applicable |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Pertaining to coordination with utilities, regional planning and other 3rd parties, Alectra Utilities constructs all new projects using approved construction standards complying with ESA Regulation 22/04. Alectra Utilities participates in regional planning, both at an infrastructure level with local municipalities and regions, as well as at an electrical infrastructure level with Hydro One and other participants in the Regional Planning Process. Alectra Utilities also attends Public Utility coordinating Committee (PUCC) meetings which jointly allows for the coordination and planning of investments with other utilities who provide cable tv, internet, phone and natural gas services. |
| | Economic Development | Alectra Utilities ensure all policies and practices don't unnecessarily create barriers to economic development which are primarily focused within our communities. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | The status quo is to do nothing, allowing the end-of-life cable to run to failure, and respond to outages under reactive capital. This would lead to an unacceptable level of outages and customer satisfaction. |
| | Alternative #1 | Perform the replacement in this area. |
| | Alternative #2 | Injection of the cables - these cable segemnts are not technically viable for injection. |

| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | This project is part of Alectra Utilities annual investment initiative for cable remediation (cable replacement and cable injection) to maintain system reliability. The oldest cables are at end-of-life and are failing. Since cables are the main component of the underground electrical distribution system, when a cable segment fails, system reliability and customer service are negatively affected. For small-scale outages, Alectra Utilities has the capability to replace or repair the faulted cable segments under reactive capital, however, if too many cable failures occur at the same time, Alectra Utilities would not have sufficient resources to manage the large-scale and cascading outages - system integrity will be compromised and reliability will be unacceptable to the customers. To manage the risk of large-scale cable failures, Alectra Utilities must implement proactive cable remediation projects. These projects are a result of continuous assessments, prioritizing, and remediating the worst cable segments by a combination of cable injection and cable replacement. This project addresses cable replacement as the method for remediation (injection is not technically feasible for the segments within this project). |
|--|---|--|
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Risk: Alectra Utilities considers the following as general risks to project schedule and cost: - fluctuation in cost and staff resources (internal and external) to complete high annual volume of work. - customer delays or restricted access to work sites - inclement weather, either in the form of extreme temperatures or due to restoration activities following major storms - delays to material shipment from vendors - general unforeseen delays such as striking rock when digging, tree conservation, municipal/regional consent forms Risk Management: Alectra Utilities has multi-year Master Service Agreement with external contractors. Regular progress meetings are held to ensure technical and operational issues are resolved promptly; budget performance is monitored; and projects are on track. Alectra Utilities has utilized coordination with third parties to mitigate some of the issues where possible, with municipalities/region/suppliers/customers. Alectra Utilities has implemented a Planning and Scheduling solution to track projects and resources. The Program Delivery department allows Alectra Utilities to manage schedule and cost risks and improve the overall efficiency of implementation. Alectra Utilities is able to reduce controllable cost impacts on the project due to these risk mitigation strategies. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Similar cable replacement projects over the past 3 years (2016, 2017, and 2018) were \$389/m. This project is forecasted to be \$555/m. The difference is based on the assumption that this project is more complicated (more obstruction, short clearance from other utilities) than the projects already completed in prior years. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | In Alectra East, there were 123, 133, 113, 126, 131, 131 and 138 Cable and Splice failures in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 128 failures per year). If not rehabilitated, this cable will get older and will fail more often to the level that is not tolerable by customers. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | Cable in this area is 42 years old (installed in 1977), which exceeds the Kinectrics Report "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" results for Typical Useful Life of non-tree retardant XLPE of 25 years. |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | 276 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) | For 1000 m of cable (applicable to the selected cable remediation candidates): |
| | | Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 failures per 1000 m of cable per year |
| | | For 3781 m of cable in the whole area: |
| | | Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 x 3781 /1000 = 0.9 failure(s) |
| | | in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 128 failures per year). Annually on average there were 128 Cable and Splice failures affecting 39,280 customers and 5,520,782 CMI |
| | | Impact of 1 failure: $39,280/128 = 307$ customers affected and $5,520,782/128 = 43,131$ CMI Impact of 0.9 failures: $307 \times 0.9 = 276$ customers affected and $43,131 \times 0.9 = 38818$ CMI |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) | Cable failures have negative impact to system reliability and customer service. Outages cause inconvenience and financial loss to customers (office closing, production stoppage). |
| | Value of Customer Impact Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any | High Local approvals and weather. |
| | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Not Applicable |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | This project is part of the long-term cable rehabilitation program. The project will help avoid a total of 0.9 potential cable failures and 38818 potential CMI. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | When direct buried cable is replaced, the new cable installed according to new Standards. Which call for the cable to be put in conduit. The conduit provides additional mechanical protection for the cable. In addition it will also facilitate for future cable replacement (faulted cable can be pulled out and new cable be pulled in, no digging is required). |



Page 110 of 485



Project Code

Project Name

OEB Multi-Project Report

150317 <u>Voltage</u>

Voltage Conversion - Deerhurst MS, Hamilton

Major Category System Renewal Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Hamilton Location Hamilton. Stoney Creek area Units Project Class No Burden Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Overhead Asset Renewal Alectra Subcategory Voltage Conversion 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary This project is addressing the renewal of assets served by Deerhurst MS in Stoney Creek. Currently the station supplies customers at a primary voltage of 8kV from an outdoor municipal substation. As part of the renewal of feeder assets, the equipment will be replaced with similar equipment rated for 27.6kV. This will allow the municipal substation assets to be bypassed, thereby avoiding the cost to refurbish station assets in the future. This station is one of 3 in Stoney Creek that inter-tie to each other forming a 'triad', and therefore construction work must occur simultaneously at each of the station service territories to ensure reliable supply during the conversion. Main Driver - System Renewal Mitigate Failure Risks Priority and Reasons for Priority This project mainly addresses aging assets at the station and on the feeders by performing a renewal of the assets and converting the voltage to a higher class, thereby avoiding any future costs in upgrading the municipal substation and associated equipment The asset condition assessment indicates that the reclosers are in Poor condition. The priority assets determining the voltage conversion are the substation assets as failure of a critical component, such as the switchgear bus, can cause a major outage for an extensive timeframe impacting a large number of customers. Furthermore due to system design and construction in the 1950's, feeder redundancy is minimal and loss of a station would result in stranded load and increased cost as generators would be required The legacy substation equipment is No longer supported by the manufacturer; •Earts are difficult to come by or must be custom made; •Difficult or costly to maintain; • Eunctional and Operational Obsolesces; (e.g. safety restrictions on operation circuit breakers) •Dable to meet current safety standards (e.g., switchgears that are not arc resistance); •Dnable to meet current performance standards Feeder Assets Since there is large population of feeder assets, the condition of feeder assets is diverse. While the overall condition shows the average, as diverse populations masking the impact of deteriorated assets. If the Voltage Conversion projects were not to proceed, significant renewal investments would still be required to renew these deteriorated assets as part of the Overhead Renewal investment. 7657 kVA and 1525 customers. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety Not applicable. Cyber-Security, Privacy Not applicable Coordination, Interoperability New construction built to current standards, coordination with joint-use tenants, coordination with the municipality. Economic Development Not applicable. Environmental Benefits Lower line losses due to conversion to higher voltage class. 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Quo Under the status quo option, Alectra Utilities would only replace these legacy assets should they fail reactively. Under Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) this scenario, there would be no opportunity to convert these assets to the standardized voltage levels, as assets would have to be replaced in a like-for-like manner. Replacing assets reactively tends to lead to the highest per-unit cost, and greatest impact to customer outage times. Furthermore, the reliability and safety risks associated with this infrastructure would continue to persist. Alectra Utilities would also be required to continue to maintain, and possibly replace or upgrade the legacy substations that supply these lower voltage levels, as the breaker assets have reached functional obsolescence and there are no parts available.

| | Alternative #1 | Like-for-like replacement of existing assets with new assets at the same voltage ratings. |
|--|--|---|
| | | Under the like-for-like replacement option, existing 8.32 kV infrastructure would be replaced with 8.32 kV infrastructure respectively. This approach is very similar to the status quo option, with the exception that customer outages can be avoided by replacing assets before they fail. By planning ahead to perform the replacements, the added benefit of like-for-like over the status quo is lower per-unit costs given that multiple assets can be addressed at a time. However, by keeping these system voltages intact, the functional obsolescence issues associated with these assets will continue to persist and eventually significant substation investments will be required. Should a future outage occur, it will likely be longer and create a larger customer impact, due to the lack of contingency options available at these voltage levels. |
| | Alternative #2 | Full conversion of the lines to new 27.6 kV primary system voltages |
| | | This alternative proposes to renew the assets in the area while also proceeding with voltage conversion to a higher voltage class for the equipment. Other benefits include taking the opportunity to redesign the feeder configuration to provide improved reliability where possible by creating loops where none exist today as well as converting rear lot supply to front lot. This alternative also provides value in the form of avoided costs to rebuild the existing 8kV substation assets. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | Like-for-like or reactive replacement does not prove to be as economical on a large scale renewal project with numerous assets affected. 13.8kV and 27.6kV are standard stock items in many cases and can result in savings over the 8kV equivalent. |
| | | Reduced O&M costs and lower line losses due to the elimination of substation assets, improvements to the system configuration for greater operability and reliability are considered some of the incremental benefits. |
| | | The full conversion option presents the best value long-term by having conversion completed in a planned manner while also avoiding the substation investment costs, as well as benefits to the operability of the system, which ultimately benefits the customers. For those reasons, Alectra Utilities selected this approach. |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Not applicable. |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Historical projects that compare would be from other similar voltage conversion projects undertaken as part of the 4kV/8kV Renewal Program. These projects typically fall within a range of \$2MM - \$2.5MM per year for the life of the project. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | The substations in Stoney Creek account for the last remaining 8kV feeder and station assets in an otherwise 27kV class system and nearly 3000 customers. There is a large amount of direct buried XLPE as part of URD subdivisions for these projects, and had been flagged for action due to the vintage of the cable (late '70's). By bundling the voltage conversion along with the renewal of URD assets, greater cost efficiencies can be gained. These URD areas have seen cable faults in recent years, as well as there being faults at the substation level at Dewitt MS. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | Generally the 4kV and 8kV assets are of the oldest vintage in the system. The asset condition assessment indicate that the reclosers are in Poor condition. 1525 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or | Deerhurst station 3 year stats: |
| | duration of interruptions and associated risk level) | 6 outages, 101,889 customer minutes (22.3 minutes/customer/year) |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) | This project will address aging assets with inadequate backup. Aging assets represent an increased risk of service interruption to customers and inadequate backup would result in long duration service interruptions upon occurrence. These factors would lead to customer dissatisfaction in this area. |
| | Value of Customer Impact | Low |
| | Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Not applicable. Not implementing the project would negate any O&M benefit gained by removing a substation from service. Considered a critical component of the distribution system, a typical substation requires monthly inspections and |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | upneep to ensure reliable operation. The benefits to reliability are in the renewal of the aging assets, as well as an opportunity to reconfigure any parts of the feeder that carry a higher risk for an outage (i.e. rear lot supply). There is also an opportunity to implement remote- operable devices to assist in operability of the system in the area affected. Safety benefits are captured in the renewal work being built to current construction standards, providing better working clearances and ergonomics. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | The like-for-like renewal of these assets (i.e. same system configuration and same distribution voltage) will perpetuate the existing operating constraints and require capital investment to renew substation assets. Renewal of the distribution assets at a higher voltage does not involve any material incremental costs over renewal at the existing voltage. Operating constraints (e.g. undersized conductor, radial feeds) are addressed on a case by case basis where appropriate. |





Project Code 150319 Project Name New MS - Duke MS 20 MVA Substation, Mississauga Major Category System Service Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Mississauga North-west of Rathburn and Living arts intersection. Location Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital *Entered Manually in Forecast Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Capacity (Stations) Alectra Subcategory Station Capacity Projects 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Alectra Utilities determined that a new MS would be required in the northwestern region of Mississauga's downtown core. Alectra Utilities has determined that the optimal site for that MS would be the proposed Duke MS site at Centre View Drive and Duke of York Boulevard. Alectra Utilities forecasts expenditures of \$6.2M on the Duke MS during the DSP period Currently, there are approximately 65 buildings in the downtown core and three substations: Woods MS, Confederation MS, and City Centre MS. These substations are equipped with either two or three power transformers, and most of their capacity is dedicated to supplying the existing load in the downtown core. Also, John MS, located on Hurontario Street near John Street, also provides power to Mississauga Valley and Sussex districts. The current capacity available for the downtown core is approximately 140 MVA ONAN rating. Based on growth projected and the land parcels available, Alectra estimates that, upon completion of Downtown21 in 2035, the combined transformation load requirement will increase approximately by 300 MVA. Alectra Utilities will have to expand its infrastructure in the downtown core and increase the number of substations to reliably supply additional load. At least eight substation transformers will need to be dedicated to meet this significant future demand, including in contingency conditions. Main Driver - System Service Support Capacity Delivery Priority and Reasons for Priority There are two known large developments planned for Mississauga that drive some of the need for stations capacity expenditures during the DSP period. Both are summarized below. Block 8 and Office Towers along Centre View Drive Block 8 is bounded by Rathburn road to the North, Confederation to the west, Living Arts to the East and Square One Drive to the South. The parcel will consists of 6 buildings in total ranging from 40 stories to 54 stories with total 18MW of load which includes 3MW of electric vehicle charging load by 2026. Alectra Utilities is currently working on the design for Phase 1 which consists of 2 towers (896 units) with total load of 6 MVA. In addition, there are planned office towers along Centre view drive and Rathburn which will another 10 MW of load. Alectra Utilities has received application for development of Office tower which will add another 3 MW of load on Centreview and Station Gate. Rogers (M-City) The Rogers M-City will transform a vacant 15-acre lot at the South West corner of Burnhamthorpe road. This development is projected to house some 6,000 residents and will consist of 10 towers 60-75 stories and will add another 30 MW of load. Phase 1 which is designed consists of 2 building with total of 5MW. Alectra Utilities has been notified of the Phase 2 which is of similar size. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) 20MW New Capacity Safety Alectra Utilities will utilize internal and external contractors to complete the design and construction of the stations. The Execution phase will follow Alectra Utilities' internal project management methodology which provides specific guidelines, procedures, work instructions, and industry best practices that allow the project work to be performed in an economically efficient, cost-effective, and safe manner. Cyber-Security, Privacy Not Applicable Coordination Interoperability Coordination must be done with road extension of Living Arts Drive. The proposed land swap arrangement with the builder is the most economical option which ensures that site is secured Economic Development for Duke MS and station to be constructed in 2023/2024 to supply the loads between Rathburn Road and Centre View Drive

Not Applicable

Environmental Benefits

| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | Status Quo / "Do Nothing" |
|--|---|--|
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | There is insufficient capacity on the system to meet the load growth and the contingency requirement in each of the project areas identified herein, and therefore the Status Quo option is not recommended. Alectra Utilities has also examined the risk of not securing land for the relevant stations and determined that the pace of rapid development and increasing scarcity of suitable parcels (both regarding size and location) favour the timely acquisition of land in the DSP period. If this investment is deferred into the future, Alectra Utilities is likely to incur higher costs associated with the land purchase as well as significant 44 kV and 13.8 kV feeder integration costs. |
| | | Utilizing Non-Wire Alternatives Alectra Utilities' load forecast process considers the impact of CDM and distribution generation, which is accounted for as part of the load forecast underpinning the Stations Capacity portfolio. Alectra Utilities has also considered other options, such as battery storage, and determined that these options are not economical for the capacity that is required to meet the load growth and contingency conditions. |
| | | Neither of these are the recommended alternative. |
| | Alternative #1 | Non Wires Alternative |
| | | Alectra Utilities' load forecast process considers the impact of CDM and distribution generation, which is accounted for as part of the load forecast underpinning the Stations Capacity portfolio. Alectra Utilities has also considered other options, such as battery storage, and determined that these options will not meet the load growth and contingency conditions for the stations to be upgraded during this DSP period |
| | | Wires Alternative |
| | | Confederation MS Expansion Confederation MS has two transformers supplies the northern part of Mississauga's downtown core. The current property allows for the installation of a transformer and breaker lineup to increase the capacity at Confederation MS. However, the City of Mississauga has proposed an extension of Square One Drive to Rathburn Road, which will require a portion of the land to be used for the new road. The remaining substation property will then be too small to accommodate an additional transformer and the high voltage equipment associated with it. |
| | | City Centre MS Expansion There are three 20 MVA transformers installed at the City Centre MS site where the existing infrastructure, including duct banks and switchgear, is fully utilized. The installation of any additional transformers and feeders will require a major reconstruction of the substation and the associated civil infrastructure. Also, new feeders coming out of the substation will have de-rated capacity due to main feeder cable congestion and restricted duct bank configuration. As a result, the installation of an additional transformer is not economical and does not meet the technical requirements needed to supply load in the downtown core efficiently. |
| | | John MS Expansion Alternative The John MS site has sufficient space for the installation of an additional transformer. However, the new feeders coming out of the substation cannot be extended north to the downtown core unless a new, second pole line with four feeders is constructed along the west side of Hurontario Street from John MS to Burnhamthorpe Road. Considering future projects, including the LRT along Hurontario Street, Alectra Utilities determined that it not be able to install a new pole line on the west side of Hurontario Street in addition to existing pole line on the east side. |
| | | Neither of these are the recommended alternative. |
| | Alternative #2 | New Duke MS - 20MVA Municipal Station To satisfy the expected demand resulting from the growth and intensification of the downtown core, Alectra Utilities determined that it must install new transformers at two new substations in the northern and southern parts of the downtown core. Based on careful review and consideration of the existing feeder locations, future development and locations of the existing substations, Alectra Utilities determined that the optimal location for the northern substation is near the intersection of Centre View Drive and Duke of York Boulevard. (Duke MS). |
| | | This is the recommended alternative. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | Based on the reasons listed above Alectra recommends construction of a new Duke MS. |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Land must be obtained and guaranteed. The original "Downtown 21" city plan on which load estimation is based may not materialize within the time constraints proposed of 2021. This aggressive plan is still used as a guide, and is forecast for 2024. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | Mini Orlando was created in order to convert existing 44kV capacity into needed 27.6kV capacity north-east of Britannia Rd. and Mavis Rd. 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | Extra cable capacity to supply future residential and commercial buildings in City Centre. |
| | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable | This project results from growth in the City Centre. |
| | Description of Incorporation of Advanced | No advanced technology |
| | Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits | Old 750kcmil cables are replaced with 1000kcmil standard, thus increasing reliability of supply. |

| 4,500,000 | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|-------------|-------------|
| 4,000,000 | | | | | | |
| 3,500,000 | | | | | | |
| 3,000,000 | | | | | | |
| 2,500,000 | | | | | | |
| 2,000,000 | | | | | | |
| 1,500,000 - | | | | | | |
| 1,000,000 | | | | | | |
| 500,000 | | | | | | |
| 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$6,152,794 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,952,794 | \$4,200,000 |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| urrency scale is in literal | | | | | | |



Project Code Project Name Major Category

Scenario

OEB Multi-Project Report

150320

Voltage Conversion - Dewitt MS , Hamilton

System Renewal 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted

| Project Overview | | |
|---|---|--|
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Hamilton |
| | Location | Hamilton, Stoney Creek area |
| | Units | |
| | President Class | No Durden |
| | Project Includes P&D | No |
| | Project includes R&D | N0 |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | Toject will delicitate ongoing it owide costs | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Overhead Asset Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Voltage Conversion |
| 4 Evaluation Criteria (OER) | Project Summany | This project is addressing the renewal of assets served by Dewitt MS in Stoney Creek. Currently the station supplies |
| 4. Evaluation Chiena (OEB) | Project Summary | customers at a primary voltage of 8kV from an outdoor municipal substation. As part of the renewal of feeder assets. |
| | | the equipment will be replaced with similar equipment rated for 27.6kV. This will allow the municipal substation assets |
| | | to be bypassed, thereby avoiding the cost to refurbish station assets in the future. This station is one of 3 in Stoney |
| | | Creek that inter-tie to each other forming a 'triad', and therefore construction work must occur simultaneously at each |
| | | of the station service territories to ensure reliable supply during the conversion. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigate Failure Risks |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | This project mainly addresses aging assets at the station and on the feeders by performing a renewal of the assets and |
| | | converting the voltage to a higher class, thereby avoiding any future costs in upgrading the municipal substation and |
| | | associated equipment. |
| | | |
| | | The asset condition assessment indicate that the reclosers and transformer are in Poor condition. The priority assets |
| | | determining the voltage conversion are the substation assets as failure of a critical component, such as the switchgear |
| | | system design and construction in the 1950's feeder redundancy is minimal and loss of a station would result in |
| | | stranded load and increased cost as generators would be required |
| | | |
| | | The legacy substation equipment is |
| | | No longer supported by the manufacturer; |
| | | • Parts are difficult to come by or must be custom made; |
| | | •Difficult or costly to maintain; |
| | | •Euncuonal and Operational Obsolesces; (e.g. salety restrictions on operation circuit breakers) •Enable to meet current safety standards (e.g. switchgears that are not arc resistance): |
| | | • Inable to meet current performance standards |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | Feeder Assets |
| | | Since there is large population of feeder assets, the condition of feeder assets is diverse. While the overall condition |
| | | shows the average, as diverse populations masking the impact of deteriorated assets. If the Voltage Conversion |
| | | projects were not to proceed, significant renewal investments would still be required to renew these deteriorated |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | 5000 kVA and 612 customers. |
| | Safety | Not applicable. |
| | Cyber-Security Privacy | Not applicable |
| | Coordination Interoperability | New construction built to current standards, coordination with joint use tenants, specification with the municipality |
| | coordination, interoperability | New construction built to current standards, coordination with joint-use tenants, coordination with the municipality. |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Lower line losses due to conversion to higher voltage class. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | Under the status quo ontion. Alectra Utilities would only replace these legacy assets should they fail reactively. Under |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | this scenario, there would be no opportunity to convert these assets to the standardized voltage levels, as assets would |
| , | | have to be replaced in a like-for-like manner. Replacing assets reactively tends to lead to the highest per-unit cost, and |
| | | greatest impact to customer outage times. Furthermore, the reliability and safety risks associated with this |
| | | infrastructure would continue to persist. Alectra Utilities would also be required to continue to maintain, and possibly |
| | | replace or upgrade the legacy substations that supply these lower voltage levels, as the breaker assets have reached |
| | | functional obsolescence and there are no parts available. |
| | | |

| | Alternative #1 | Like-for-like replacement of existing assets with new assets at the same voltage ratings. |
|--|---|---|
| | | Under the like-for-like replacement option, existing 8.32 kV infrastructure would be replaced with 8.32 kV infrastructure respectively. This approach is very similar to the status quo option, with the exception that customer outages can be avoided by replacing assets before they fail. By planning ahead to perform the replacements, the added benefit of like-for-like over the status quo is lower per-unit costs given that multiple assets can be addressed at a time. However, by keeping these system voltages intact, the functional obsolescence issues associated with these assets will continue to persist and eventually significant substation investments will be required. Should a future outage occur, it will likely be longer and create a larger customer impact, due to the lack of contingency options available at these voltage levels. |
| | Alternative #2 | Full conversion of the lines to new 27.6 kV primary system voltages |
| | | This alternative proposes to renew the assets in the area while also proceeding with voltage conversion to a higher voltage class for the equipment. Other benefits include taking the opportunity to redesign the feeder configuration to provide improved reliability where possible by creating loops where none exist today as well as converting rear lot supply to front lot. This alternative also provides value in the form of avoided costs to rebuild the existing 8kV substation assets. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | Like-for-like or reactive replacement does not prove to be as economical on a large scale renewal project with numerous assets affected. 27.6kV rated equipment are standard stock items in many cases and can result in savings over the 8kV equivalent. |
| | | Reduced O&M costs and lower line losses due to the elimination of substation assets, improvements to the system configuration for greater operability and reliability are considered some of the incremental benefits. |
| | | The full conversion option presents the best value long-term by having conversion completed in a planned manner while also avoiding the substation investment costs, as well as benefits to the operability of the system, which ultimately benefits the customers. For those reasons, Alectra Utilities selected this approach. |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OFB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Not applicable. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Historical projects that compare would be from other similar voltage conversion projects undertaken as part of the 4kV/8kV Renewal Program. These projects typically fall within a range of \$2MM - \$2.5MM per year for the life of the project |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | o |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | The substations in Stoney Creek account for the last remaining 8kV feeder and station assets in an otherwise 27kV class system and nearly 3000 customers. There is a large amount of direct buried XLPE as part of URD subdivisions for these projects, and had been flagged for action due to the vintage of the cable (late '70's). By bundling the voltage conversion along with the renewal of URD assets, greater cost efficiencies can be gained. These URD areas have seen cable faults in recent years, as well as there being faults at the substation level at Dewitt MS. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | Generally the 4kV and 8kV assets are of the oldest vintage in the system. The asset condition assessment indicate that the reclosers and transformer are in Poor condition. Two of the reclosers at the station have recently been taken out of service and are not able to be repaired, leaving the entire station supplying customers through one remaining recloser. |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | 612 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk | Dewitt station 3 year stats (2014-2017): 12 outages, 714,117 customer minutes (388 minutes/customer/year) |
| | level) Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) | This project will address aging assets with inadequate backup. Aging assets represent an increased risk of service interruption to customers and inadequate backup would result in long duration service interruptions upon occurrence. These factors would lead to customer dissatisfaction in this area. |
| | Value of Customer Impact | Low |
| | Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Not applicable. Not implementing the project would negate any O&M benefit gained by removing a substation from service. Considered a critical component of the distribution system, a typical substation requires monthly inspections and unkeen to ensure reliable operation. |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | The benefits to reliability are in the renewal of the aging assets, as well as an opportunity to reconfigure any parts of the feeder that carry a higher risk for an outage (i.e. rear lot supply). There is also an opportunity to implement remote- operable devices to assist in operability of the system in the area affected. Safety benefits are captured in the renewal work being built to current construction standards, providing better working clearances and ergonomics. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | The like-for-like renewal of these assets (i.e. same system configuration and same distribution voltage) will perpetuate the existing operating constraints and require capital investment to renew substation assets. Renewal of the distribution assets at a higher voltage does not involve any material incremental costs over renewal at the existing voltage. Operating constraints (e.g. undersized conductor, radial feeds) are addressed on a case by case basis where appropriate. |




Major Category

Scenario

OEB Multi-Project Report

150321

Voltage Conversion - Galbraith MS, Hamilton

| Project Overview | | |
|---|--|--|
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Hamilton |
| | Location | Hamilton, Stoney Creek area |
| | Units | |
| | Preiort Class | Na Duadaa |
| | Project class | |
| | Project includes R&D | NO |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | Ne |
| | Project will denerate ongoing it owide costs | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Pater ID | Pate Pace Funded |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Overhead Asset Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Voltage Conversion |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | This project is addressing the renewal of assets served by Galbraith MS in Stoney Creek. Currently the station supplies |
| | | customers at a primary voltage of 8kV from an outdoor municipal substation. As part of the renewal of feeder assets, |
| | | the equipment will be replaced with similar equipment rated for 27.6kV. This will allow the municipal substation assets |
| | | to be bypassed, thereby avoiding the cost to refurbish station assets in the future. This station is one of 3 in Stoney |
| | | Creek that inter-tie to each other forming a triad, and therefore construction work must occur simultaneously at each |
| | | of the station service territories to ensure reliable supply during the conversion. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigate Failure Risks |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | This project mainly addresses aging assets at the station and on the feeders by performing a renewal of the assets and |
| | | converting the voltage to a higher class, thereby avoiding any future costs in upgrading the municipal substation and |
| | | associated equipment. |
| | | |
| | | The asset condition assessment indicate that the Switchgear and breakers are in Poor condition. |
| | | The priority assets determining the voltage conversion are the substation assets as failure of a critical component, such |
| | | as the switchgear bus, can cause a major outage for an extensive timeframe impacting a large number of customers. |
| | | Furthermore due to system design and construction in the 1950's, feeder redundancy is minimal and loss of a station |
| | | would result in stranded load and increased cost as generators would be required |
| | | The lease whether any instant is |
| | | Ine legacy substation equipment is |
| | | •Barts are difficult to come by or must be custom made: |
| | | Polificult or costly to maintain: |
| | | •Functional and Operational Obsolesces: (e.g. safety restrictions on operation circuit breakers) |
| | | •Dinable to meet current safety standards (e.g., switchgears that are not arc resistance): |
| | | •Dhable to meet current performance standards |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | Feeder Assets |
| | | Since there is large population of feeder assets, the condition of feeder assets is diverse. While the overall condition |
| | | shows the average, as diverse populations masking the impact of deteriorated assets. If the Voltage Conversion |
| | | projects were not to proceed, significant renewal investments would still be required to renew these deteriorated |
| | | assets as part of the Overhead Renewal investment. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | 4244 KVA and 784 customers. |
| | Safety | Not applicable. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not applicable. |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | New construction built to current standards, coordination with joint-use tenants, coordination with the municipality. |
| | | |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Lower line losses due to conversion to higher voltage class. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | Under the status guo option, Alectra Utilities would only replace these legacy assets should they fail reactively. Under |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | this scenario, there would be no opportunity to convert these assets to the standardized voltage levels, as assets would |
| | | have to be replaced in a like-for-like manner. Replacing assets reactively tends to lead to the highest per-unit cost, and |
| | | greatest impact to customer outage times. Furthermore, the reliability and safety risks associated with this |
| | | infrastructure would continue to persist. Alectra Utilities would also be required to continue to maintain, and possibly |
| | | replace or upgrade the legacy substations that supply these lower voltage levels, as the breaker assets have reached |
| | | functional obsolescence and there are no parts available. |
| | | |

| | Alternative #1 | Like-for-like replacement of existing assets with new assets at the same voltage ratings. |
|--|---|---|
| | | Under the like-for-like replacement option, existing 8.32 kV infrastructure would be replaced with 8.32 kV infrastructure respectively. This approach is very similar to the status quo option, with the exception that customer outages can be avoided by replacing assets before they fail. By planning ahead to perform the replacements, the added benefit of like-for-like over the status quo is lower per-unit costs given that multiple assets can be addressed at a time. However, by keeping these system voltages intact, the functional obsolescence issues associated with these assets will continue to persist and eventually significant substation investments will be required. Should a future outage occur, it will likely be longer and create a larger customer impact, due to the lack of contingency options available at these voltage levels. |
| | Alternative #2 | Full conversion of the lines to new 27.6 kV primary system voltages |
| | | This alternative proposes to renew the assets in the area while also proceeding with voltage conversion to a higher voltage class for the equipment. Other benefits include taking the opportunity to redesign the feeder configuration to provide improved reliability where possible by creating loops where none exist today as well as converting rear lot supply to front lot. This alternative also provides value in the form of avoided costs to rebuild the existing 4kV or 8kV substation assets. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | Like-for-like or reactive replacement does not prove to be as economical on a large scale renewal project with numerous assets affected. 27.6kV are standard stock items in many cases and can result in savings over the 8kV equivalent equipment. |
| | | Reduced O&M costs and lower line losses due to the elimination of substation assets, improvements to the system configuration for greater operability and reliability are considered some of the incremental benefits. T |
| | | he full conversion option presents the best value long-term by having conversion completed in a planned manner while also avoiding the substation investment costs, as well as benefits to the operability of the system, which ultimately benefits the customers. For those reasons, Alectra Utilities selected this approach. |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Not applicable. |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Historical projects that compare would be from other similar voltage conversion projects undertaken as part of the 4kV/8kV Renewal Program. These projects typically fall within a range of \$2MM - \$2.5MM per year for the life of the project. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | The substations in Stoney Creek account for the last remaining 8kV feeder and station assets in an otherwise 27kV class system and nearly 3000 customers. There is a large amount of direct buried XLPE as part of URD subdivisions for these projects, and had been flagged for action due to the vintage of the cable (late '70's). By bundling the voltage conversion along with the renewal of URD assets, greater cost efficiencies can be gained. These URD areas have seen cable faults in recent years, as well as there being faults at the substation level at Dewitt MS. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | Generally the 4/8kV assets are of the oldest vintage in the system. The asset condition assessment indicate that the Switchgear and breakers are in Poor condition. The transformer had to be repaired recently. The circuit breaker is 1962 vintage Oil circuit breaker and is obsolete. |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | 784 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk | 3 year stats (2014 - 2017) for Galbraith MS: 5 outages, 92,857 customer minutes (39.4 minutes/customer/year) |
| | level) Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) | This project will address aging assets with inadequate backup. Aging assets represent an increased risk of service interruption to customers and inadequate backup would result in long duration service interruptions upon occurrence. These factors would lead to customer dissatisfaction in this area. |
| | Value of Customer Impact | Low |
| | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Not applicate. Not implementing the project would negate any O&M benefit gained by removing a substation from service. Considered a critical component of the distribution system, a typical substation requires monthly inspections and |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | upkeep to ensure reliable operation. The benefits to reliability are in the renewal of the aging assets, as well as an opportunity to reconfigure any parts of the feeder that carry a higher risk for an outage (i.e. rear lot supply). There is also an opportunity to implement remote- operable devices to assist in operability of the system in the area affected. Safety benefits are captured in the renewal work being built to current construction standards, providing better working clearances and ergonomics. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | The like-for-like renewal of these assets (i.e. same system configuration and same distribution voltage) will perpetuate the existing operating constraints and require capital investment to renew substation assets. Renewal of the distribution assets at a higher voltage does not involve any material incremental costs over renewal at the existing voltage. Operating constraints (e.g. undersized conductor, radial feeds) are addressed on a case by case basis where appropriate. |





Major Category

OEB Multi-Project Report

150323

Station Switchgear Replacement - Bloor MS38 LV1 System Renewal

2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted

| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Mississauga |
| | Location | Bloor MS in Mississauga |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | Νο |
| | reject the denerate ongoing it officer costs | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Substation Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Switchgear Replacement |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Municipal substation assets are integral to the performance of the Alectra - Central distribution system. They are used to step down sub-transmission voltages to lower distribution voltages, specifically from 44 kV to 13.8 KV, 44 kV to 27.6 kV and 27.6 kV to 4.16 kV. |
| | | The municipal substation equipment (power transformers and metal clad / metal enclosed switchgear units) are considered critical and some of the most significant assets to the sustainability of the organization. As such, Alectra utilizes a replacement strategy to proactively replace their substation assets before they fail or if they are no longer supported by the manufacturer, hence technically obsolescent, approaching end of life or displaying failures. This can help to avoid a major failure which would have a major impact on customer outage frequency and duration, the environment, safety, and Alectra's reputation. |
| | | The major power equipment installed at Bloor MS (44 kV-to-13.8 kV) consists of one obsolete conventional low voltage switchgear lineup (LV1), one arc resistant low voltage switchgear (LV2), two 20 MVA power transformers, one MOCB high voltage (HV) circuit breaker and one SF6 high voltage circuit breaker. The equipment details at Bloor MS are as follows: |
| | | Low Voltage LV1 (13.8 kV) metal clad switchgear (not arc resistant) and circuit breakers Manufacturer – Federal Pioneer (ITF) |
| | | Circuit Breaker Type – 15HK, magnetic air with spring actuator Year of Manufacture – 1971 |
| | | Low Voltage LV2 (13.8 kV) metal clad switchgear (arc resistant) and circuit breakers • Manufacturer – Siemens • Circuit Breaker Type – 8BK20, vacuum • Year of Manufacture – 1992 |
| | | Low Voltage Protections (LV1) • Manufacturer –GE • Relay Type - electromechanical • Year of Manufacture – 1969 |
| | | Low Voltage Protections (LV2) • Relay Type - solid state • Vorsef Manufacture 1003 |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Obsolescence |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | A major reason for replacing the obsolete LV1 switchgear is that the manufacturer no longer supports this type of equipment. In addition, the design of the switchgear does not meet Alectra's current safety standards and presents a risk to its employees. The need for replacement is supported by low calculated Health Indices. |
| | | LV1 switchgear inspection results have identified this switchgear with an overall performance score. Scarcity of spare parts. Many spare parts are now obsolete and the equipment is no longer supported by the manufacturer Lack of arc resistant capability which is a safety hazard to employees |
| | | Increasing failure rates of magnetic air circuit breakers. Examples are illustrated below. |
| | | Replacing existing non-arc resistant switchgear with modern arc resistant switchgear will serve to facilitate maintenance and repair practices. With the existing equipment, the switchgear must be offloaded prior to racking breakers in or out. |
| | | Another reason for replacing the LV1 switchgear is due to the critical location of the substation. Bloor MS is located centrally in and feeds a large number of customers. With the ongoing expansion of the downtown core and the subsequent increase in its loading requirements, it is imperative that Bloor MS is as reliable a station as possible since it is providing backup to City Centre North MS. A new LV switchgear at Bloor MS would also help to maintain reliability in the area. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety | The 2017 peak load at Bloor MS was about 23 MVA, with about 10 MVA supplied from LV1 Existing switchgear does not meet current safety criteria. An explosive failure of the existing switchgear could seriously injure personnel in the proximity. The proposed new metal-clad 15 kV switchgear lineup with arc-resistant |

| Contracts Exception The reglescence of doubles and legen with new sequences with the juministrice the system and facilitate Contral Securities Exception bears Exception bears Heid Applacite Securities Securities Securities Securities Heid Applacite Securities Securities Securities Securities Heid Applacite Securities Securities Securities Securities Heid Applacite Securities Amende of I Heid Applacite Heid Applacite Heid Applacite Amende of I Amende of I Heid Applacite Heid Applacite Heid Applacite Amende of I Amende of I Heid Applacite Heid Applacite Heid Applacite Amende of I Amende of I Heid Applacite Heid Applacite Heid Applacite Amende of I Amende of I Heid Applacite Heid Applacite Heid Applacite Amende of I Amende of I Heid Applacite Heid Applacite Heid Applacite Amende of I Amende of I Heid Applacite Heid Applacite Heid Applacite Amende of I Amende of I Heid Applacite <td< th=""></td<> |
|--|
| Accorate Designment Room Spectros in Nanagers this spectra. Room Spectros in Nanagers this spectra. A: Durbative and Quantitative Analysis Subta Quantitative Analysis Room Spectros in Nanagers this spectra. Room Spectros in Nanagers this spectra. A: Durbative and Quantitative Analysis Subta Quantitative Analysis Room Spectros in Nanagers this spectra. Room Spectros in Nanagers this spectra. A: Durbative and Quantitative Analysis Room Spectros in Nanagers this spectra. Room Spectros in Nanagers this spectra. Room Spectros in Nanagers this spectra. A: Durbative and Quantitative Analysis Room Spectros in Nanagers this spectra. Room Spectros in Nanagers this spectra. Room Spectros in Nanagers this spectra. A: Respect the spectros in Nanagers this spectra. Respect the spectros in Nanagers this spectra. Respect the spectros in Nanagers this spectra. A: Respect the spectros in Nanagers this spectra. Respect the spectros in Nanagers this spectra. Respect the spectros in Nanagers this spectra. A: Respect the spectra in Nanagers this spectra. Respect the spectra in Nanagers this spectra. Respect the spectra in Nanagers this spectra. A: Respect the spectra in Nanagers the Nanagerspectra i |
| Locatative and Quantitative Asystem Met Apolicable. Locatative and Quantitative Asystem Method Sector Method Sector Method Sector |
| Control MA Apolation: Solution and Quarditative Automatics (DBI) Sinds Do Sinds Do Sinds Do Discretize of the solid e policy is not increasing in the equipment Table will be an equipment Table will be affect and acception of the solid e policy is not increasing in the equipment Table will be affect and acception of the solid e policy is not increasing and acception of |
| Description Space Operating and Contribution Market and Project Allematics Description and Contribution Market and Enclosing on the Control on Space Allematics Description and Contribution Market and Project Allematics Allematics Space Allematics |
| Spect all Project Alternatives (OB) usames, safety and is reputation, which Alters is not arranged to access. Spect all Project Alternatives (OB) usames, safety and is reputation, which Alters is not arranged to access. Representation of the softy explority explority explority and the reputation of the softy explority projection. Internative all softy access memory projection. Alternative 11 Alternative 11 Representation of the softy explority projection. Internative all softy access memory projection. |
| Failer bit is explored words words energies represents reading in non-backgood bread pointers we objected a second in the physical material is highly calculated with represent the direct point of the physical material is and pointers. Alternative #1 Replace the existing existing and the package and the package and the physical direction of the physical direction dir |
| 1: Sprend lot by Sprend lot |
| Alemate 1 Alemate 2 InterControl Column 2 Alemate 3 InterControl Column 2 InterCon |
| • Second Information on the Topic Conjugation on the Six Completion on Failure Conjugation on the Six Completion on the Conjugation on the Six Conjugation the Six Conjugation on the Six Conjugation on |
| Alterative 11 Alterative 21 Anterative 2 Anterative 2 Lastification for Recommended Alternative The resting data in the call of the soliding UV1 15 VV subtiliges at Block M5 with new sectoresistant medial data with they are the solid data with they are they are the solid data with they are they are the solid data with they are |
| Image: Section with the section with the section with the section metal and swithger and neplate fragment protection. This is the recommended almentity. Note splicable Remarks of 2 Image: Section with the sectin the sectin the section with the section with the secti |
| Attending 2 Attending 2 Attending 2 Attending 2 Attending 3 The economic ded duction to regulate the U1 35 NV subtiggers at Bloor MS with a new 35 NV metal-clud with the metal attending 3 more subtiggers at Bloor MS with a new 35 NV metal-clud with the metal attending 3 more subtiggers at Bloor MS with a new 35 NV metal-clud with the metal attending 3 more subtiggers at Bloor MS with a new 35 NV metal-clud attending 3 more subtiggers at Bloor MS with a new 35 NV metal-clud with the metal attending 3 more subtiggers at Bloor MS with a new 35 NV metal-clud with the subtiggers at Bloor MS with a new 35 NV metal-clud attending 3 more subtiggers at Bloor MS with a new 35 NV metal-clud attending 3 more subtiggers at Bloor MS with a new 35 NV metal-clud attending 3 more subtiggers at Bloor MS with a new 35 NV metal-clud attending 3 more subtiggers at Bloor MS with a new 35 NV metal-clud attending 3 more subtiggers at Bloor MS with a new 35 NV metal-clud attending 3 more subtiggers at Bloor MS with a new 35 NV metal-clud attending 3 more subtiggers at Bloor MS with a new 35 NV metal-clud attending 3 more subtiggers at Bloor MS with a new 35 NV metal-clud attending 3 more subtiggers at Bloor MS with a new 35 NV metal-clud attending 3 more subtiggers at Bloor MS with a new 35 NV metal-clud attending 3 more subtiggers at Bloor MS with a new 35 NV metal-clud attending 3 more subtiggers at Bloor MS with a new 35 NV metal-clud attending 3 more subtiggers at Bloor MS with a new 35 NV metal-clud attending 3 more subtiggers at Bloor MS with a new 35 NV metal-clud attending 3 more subtiggers at Bloor MS with a new 35 NV metal-clud attending 3 more subtiggers at Bloor MS with new 3 more subtiggers at Bloor MS with a new 35 NV metal-clud attending 3 more subtiggers at Bloor MS with attending 3 more subtiggers at Bloor MS with Bloor MS with a new 35 NV metal-clud attendinge 3 more subting 3 |
| 1. General information on the Topics/Activity (DB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Comparative Information on Equipment Topics/Activity (DB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Comparative Information on Equipment Topics/Activity (DB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Comparative Information on Equipment Topics/Activity (DB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Comparative Information on Equipment Topics/Activity (DB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Comparative Information on Equipment Topics/Activity (DB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Comparative Information on Equipment Topics/Activity (DB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Comparative Information on Equipment Topics/Activity (DB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Comparative Information on Equipment Topics/Activity (DB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Comparative Information on Equipment Topics/Activity (DB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Comparative Information on Equipment Comparative Information on Equipment Status Risks to Completion and Risk Management Comparative Information on Equipment Comparative Information on Equipment Status Risks to Completion and Risk Management Comparative Information on Equipment Comparative Information on Equipment Risks to Completion and Risk Management Comparative Information on Equipment Comparative Information on Equipment Risks to Completion and Risk Management Comparative Information on Equipment Comparative Information Comparative Information Comparative Risks R |
| i. General Information on the roylect/Activity (OB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Langement is a long lead time. This risk would be mitigated by starting preliminary engineering and ordering the random starting of the participant of the participant of the participant of roylect/Activity (OB) i. General Information on the roylect/Activity (OB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Langement is a long lead time. This risk would be mitigated by starting preliminary engineering and ordering the regiment of the participant of the participant of the participant of the participant of roylect/Activity (OB) i. General Information on the roylect/Activity (OB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Langement of the participant of t |
| subthear replacement be combined with mainty augument upgrade required to bring the tation up to current is supported by low calculated Health Indices. The need for replacement is supported by low calculated Health Indices. The need subtreast failure there is minimal damage to adjecter equipment. Thus fewer customers are affected by a failure. If there is a minimal damage to adjecter equipment. The rest of a transfer failure there is minimal damage to adjecter equipment. The rest of a transfer failure there is minimal damage to adjecter equipment. The rest of adjecter equipment is used in future smart grid projects since they have peer's oper communication carbon up to a constitute of the rest of adjecter equipment. The rest of adjecter equipment is adjecter equipment is adjecter equipment. The rest of adjecter equipment is adjecter equipment is adjecter equipment. The rest of adjecter equipment is adjecter equipment is adjecter equipment and equipment. The rest of adjecter equipment is adjecter e |
| standards, improve overall relability and result in cost savings through bundling of work. The need for replacement is supported by bundling of work. The need for replacement is supported by bundling of work. The need for replacement is apported by bundling of work. The need for replacement is apported by bundling of work. The need for replacement is a supported by the equipped with microprocessor based relays, which can be used in future smart grid projects since they have perior oper communication crapability. The new interportecessor based relays, an provide additional useful information not available in the electrometanication or electronic work additional useful information not available in the electrometanication or electronic work additional useful information can be imported into a computerized maintenance management system (CMMS) that will help in the future to provide a neal time picture of equipment status. Number of operations of the circuit breakes: Intermetantian or electronic models and the transformer on-line temperature monitoring information can be imported into a computerized maintenance management system (CMMS) that will help in the future to provide a neal time picture of equipment status. Since the new U worktoger will be equipped with microprocessor relays, where is an opportunity to upgrade the transformer protection with new incorporcessor relays well: It constrainer end in the vastroger end is used end transformer eNC system is upgraded. Execution of the picet will be equipped with microprocessor relays. Here is an opportunity to upgrade the transformer eNC system is upgraded. Comparation on the Worktoger and the ord project constraints on the high relation and instaled in the V control calibration to upgrade additional distance in the pick the ord any project constraints on the executive to usand the additional field on the constraints on Additional field |
| i. General Information on the Topics/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Comparative Information on Equivalent Information on the Topics/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Risks to Completion and Risk Management Comparative Information on Equivalent Information on the Topics/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Risks Risk Risks R |
| In the case of a preaker failure there is minimal damage to adjacent equipment. Thus fewer customers are affected by a failure. In the case of a preaker failure there is minimal damage to adjacent equipment. Thus fewer customers are affected by a failure. In the case of a preaker failure there is minimal damage to adjacent equipment. Thus fewer customers are affected by a failure. In the case of a preaker failure there is minimal damage to adjacent equipment. Thus fewer customers are affected by a failure. In the minima customers are affected there is minimal damage to adjacent equipment. Thus fewer customers are affected by a failure. In the minima customers are affected there is an opportant to a customers and adjacent equipment. In the minima customers and the transformer on he temperature monitoring information can be imported into a compared to the circuit breakers. In the meloy data and the transformer on he temperature monitoring information can be imported into a compared the transformer on he temperature monitoring information can be imported into a compared the transformer on he minima customers and the transformer on he transformer on he temperature the minima customers and the transformer on he temperature the minima customers and the transformer on he minimation is to a customer additional relation additional relation end the minimation of the fuily to agrade the transformer on heater management system (CMMS) that will help in the future to provide a real time picture of equipment additional future work in the customer data is not suggested there and additional relation additional imported in the advacement and additional imported in the advacement and additional future work interest. If the HV control cabinet is not suggested there and additional relation addition in the provide customer and additional imported in the advacement addition at respression addition in the provide customer addition a |
| by a failure. Checked and the standard set of the personnel and equipment. The switchgear will be equipped with microprocessor based relays, which can be used in future smart grid projects since the part has the interprocessor based relays, which can be used in future smart grid projects since the part part of the circuit breakers Foul magnitude Event recorder The daw microprocessor leaver drelays, which can be used in future smart grid projects since the response of the circuit breakers Foul magnitude Event recorder The transformer on-line temperature monitoring information can be imported into a computerized maintenance management system (CMMS) that will help in the future to provide a real time picture of equipment status. Since the new VI switchgear will be equipped with microprocessor relays, there is an opportunity to upgrade the transformer protection with new microprocessor relays. The transformer relation is not provide control and protection functions for the help voltage breaker and installed in the U switchgear main breaker cell. It was legary there are ad additional relay needs to be protection with ew microprocessor relays well. The transformer protection charles for the pays opposide control and protection functions for the help voltage breaker and installed in the U switchgear main breaker cell. It was legary there are additional relay needs to be pays and installed in the U switchgear main provement coll to find any project consisting in U switchgear and protection with the Mississauga adventown. Centeral Information on the Vississauga for upgrade and the set of the status and insported to upgrade also the HV control cabinet. Thus the entire P&E system is upgraded. Centeral Archive Comparitive Information on Equivalent the Status and Consequences of Asset for installation in 2019. Category Specific Requirements for Aad DM&A Cost |
| - The switchgear will be equipped with microprocessor based relays, which can be used in future smart grid projects is entering processor based relays, which can be used in future smart grid projects is entering processor based relays, which can be used in future smart grid projects is entering processor based relays, which can be used in future smart grid projects is entering processor based relays, which can be used in future smart grid projects is entering provided additional useful information on available in the electromorechanical on determine system (CMMS) that will help in the future to provide a real time pricture of equipment status. Number of operations of the circuit breakers is entering processor relays, there is an opportunity to usgrided the transformer protection with new microprocessor relays and installed in the UV witchgear using help breaker and installed in the UV witchgear using help breaker is descride information on the is descride information on the is descride information on the is descride information on the is descride information on Equivalent the toriculate information on Equivalent information on the is descride information on Equivalent integride information in Equivalent integride information on Equivalent integride information information information information information information information is descride informatin information information information is descride informatio |
| since they have peer-to-geer communication capability. • The new incorporaces have dealys can provide additional useful information not available in the electromechanical or determinic regardlines of the circuit breakers • Fault magnitude • Event recorder The relay data and the transformer on line temperature monitoring information can be imported into a computerized maintenance management system (CMMS) that will help in the future to provide a real time picture of equipment stratus. Since the new LV switchgear will be equipped with microprocessor relays, there is an opportunity to upgrade the transformer protection with new microprocessor relays, there is an opportunity to upgrade the transformer protection functions for the high voltage inclusion and the LY switchgear main breaker (Ell two suggrades to upgrade additional relay needs to be purchased and installed in the V switchgear main breaker (Ell two suggrades to upgrade additional relay needs to the purchased and installed in the V switchgear main breaker (Ell two suggrades to upgrade additional relay needs to the purchased and installed in the V switchgear main breaker (Ell two suggrades to upgrade additional relay needs to the purchased and installed in the V switchgear main breaker (Ell two suggrades to upgrade additional relay needs to the purchased and installed in the V switchgear main breaker (Ell two suggrades to upgrade additional relay needs to upgrade additional relays Ensource practice trut list new to extend the useful life of this station and improve the overall reliability of supply to the Mississauga downtown. I General Information on figuivalent Historial Project (I any) V switchgear replacements have been done at a number of municipal stations in Mississauga. Similar work is currently being doe at Ciry Centre North Ms and at York MS Performance Deterioration or Falure. Performance Deterioration or Falure. Perform |
| I. The new microprocessor based relations in the determine relays can provide administration not available in the electromic frains can as: Number of operations of the cirvuit breakers Fault magnitude Event recorder The relay data and the transformer on line temperature monitoring information can be imported into a computerized maintenance management system (CMMS) that will help in the future to provide a real time picture of equipment status. Since the new U switchgear will be equipped with microprocessor relays, there is an opportunity to upgrade the transformer protection with new microprocessor relays and as an installed in the V switchgear and breaker. If the V control cabiner and additional relay needs to be purchased and installed in the V switchgear and breaker. If the V |
| Number of operations of the circuit breakers Fourt magnitude Fou |
| - Fault magnitude - Event recorder - Event recorder The rely data and the transformer on-line temperature monitoring information can be imported into a computerized maintenance management system (CMMS) that will help in the future to provide a real time picture of equipment status. Since the new IV switchgear will be equipped with microprocessor relays, there is an opportunity to upgrade the transformer protection with new microprocessor relays as well. The transformer role value provides control and been microprocessor relays as well. The transformer relay also provides control and been microprocessor relays as well. The transformer relay as portication (Internet Protection with new microprocessor relays as well. The transformer relay as well. The transformer role value provides on upgrade the transformer protection with new microprocessor relays, there is an opportunity to upgrade the transformer protection with new microprocessor relays, subrexised and the VI control calines to a upgraded. Execution of this project will serve to extend the useful life of this station and improve the overall reliability of supply to the Mississauga downtown. Execution of this project will serve to extend the useful life of this station and improve the overall reliability of supply to the Mississauga downtown. Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (If any) U switchgear rule and OM&A Costs for Renewable Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Performance Execord Projects (If any) Execution of thop |
| Event recorder The relay data and the transformer on-line temperature monitoring information can be imported into a computerized maintenance management system (CMMS) that will help in the future to provide a real time picture of equipment status. Since the new UV switchgear will be equipped with microprocessor relays, there is an opportunity to upgrade the transformer processor relays, there is an opportunity to upgrade the transformer processor relays, there is an opportunity to upgrade the transformer processor relays, there is an opportunity to upgrade the transformer processor relays, there is an opportunity to upgrade the transformer processor relays, there is an opportunity to upgrade the transformer processor relays, there is an opportunity to upgrade the transformer processor relays, there is an opportunity to upgrade the transformer processor relays, there is an opportunity to upgrade the transformer processor relays, there is an opportunity to upgrade the transformation and the maintenance metal. Thus the entire PAC system is upgraded. Execution of this project will be extend the useful life of this station and improve the overall reliability of supply to the Mississauga downtown. S General Information on the S General Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) C Category-Specific Requirements for Each D escription of the Relationship between the roject/Activity (OEB) C Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and roject/Activity (OEB) C Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Relations and Consequences of Asset Are (Attivity OEB) C Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Relationship between the roject/Activity (OEB) C Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Relationship between the roject/Activity (OEB) C Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Relationship between the roject/Activity (OEB) C Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Relationship between |
| A central information on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management Equipment has a long lead time. This risk would be mitigated by starting preliminary engineering and ordering the equipment in 2018 for installation in 2019. A central information on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management rote in the useful life of this station and improve the overall reliability of supply to the Mississauga downtown. A central information on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management rote in the Useful life of this station and improve the overall reliability of supply to the Mississauga downtown. A central information on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management rote in the Useful life of this station and improve the overall reliability of supply to the Mississauga downtown. A central information on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management rote is a long lead time. This risk would be mitigated by starting preliminary engineering and ordering the equipment in 2018 for installation in 2019. Comparative Information on Equivalent Historia Projects (if any) Description of Projects (if any) A category.Specific Requirements for Each Project Si (f any) Description of Projects (if any) A category.Specific Requirements for Each Project Central is a consequences of Asset is increative is not arc resistant and does not meet existing standards for safety and poses constraints on maintanability. Performance Record A category.Specific Requirements for Each Project II if Cycle and Proformance Record At the time that this switchgear is not arc resistant and does not meet existing standards for safety a |
| 3. General Information on the Yoject/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Status Equipment has a long lead time. This risk would be mitigated by starting preliminary engineering and ordering the equipment in 2015 for installation in 2015. UV switchgear relatements for Each 7. General Information on the Yoject/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Equipment has a long lead time. This risk would be mitigated by starting preliminary engineering and ordering the equipment in 2015 for installation in 12 US 7. General Information on the Yoject/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Equipment has a long lead time. This risk would be mitigated by starting preliminary engineering and ordering the equipment in 2015 for installation in 2015. UV switchgear replacements have been done at a number of municipal stations in Mississauga. Similar work is currently being done at City Centre North MS and at York MS. 7. Gategory-Specific Requirements for Each Notes Charteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Record D 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Performance Record D 8. Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record At the time that this switchgear is proposed to be replaced, it will be 50 years old. This exceeds the typical useful life of 40 years for circuit Dreakers in metalcal as witchgear and 35 years for electromagnetic relays as indicated in Kinectrics Inc. Report No: K-4310309-RA ool Cond Xest Amagement on Xest Characteristics Sub Condition. |
| Since the new LV switchgear will be equipped with microprocessor relays, there is an opportunity to upgrade the transformer protection functions for the high voltage breaker and the LV main breaker. If the HV control adiprotection functions for the high voltage breaker and the LV main breaker. If the HV control adiprotection functions for the high voltage breaker and the LV main breaker. If the HV control adiprotection functions for the high voltage breaker and the LV switchgear upgrades to upgrade also the HV control cabinet. Thus the entire PAC system is upgraded. Execution of this project will serve to extend the useful life of this station and improve the overall reliability of supply to the Mississauga downtown. General Information on the Yroject/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Konger transformer (12018) Equipment has a long lead time. This risk would be mitigated by starting preliminary engineering and ordering the equipment in 2018 for installation in 2019. UV switchgear replacements have been done at a number of municipal stations in Mississauga. Similar work is currently being done at City Centre North MS and at York MS. Category-Specific Requirements for Each projects (if any) Category-Specific Requirements for Each project structure and consequences of Asset Asset and Performance Deterioration or Failure: Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record At the time that this switchgear is proposed to be replaced, it will be 50 years old. This exceeds the typical useful life of 40 years for recursitions or Matical System Sing Barder (it Replacement have a bistory of performance issues and is considered to be in poor condition. |
| Since the new LV switchgear will be equipped with microprocessor relays, there is an opportunity to upgrade the transformer protection with new microprocessor relays as well. The transformer relay also provides control and protection functions for the high voltage breaker and the LV montrol claimet is not upgraded there and additional relay needs to be purchased and installed in the LV switchgear upgrades to upgrade also the HV control claimet. Thus the entire P&C system is upgraded. Execution of this project will serve to extend the useful life of this station and improve the overall reliability of supply to the Mississauga downtown. Equipment has a long lead time. This risk would be mitigated by starting preliminary engineering and ordering the equipment in 2019 for installation in 2019. Unclusted this relay to a starting preliminary engineering and ordering the equipment in 2018 for installation in 2019. Unclusted and indicating in Mississauga. Similar work is currently being done at City Centre North MS and at York MS. Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (If any) I, Category-Specific Requirements for Each Consequence of Asset is the switchgear is not arc resistant and does not meet existing standards for safety and poses constraints on maintainability. Performance Deterioration or Fallure: Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record Total Life Cycle and Performance Record Total Life Cycle and Performance Record Total Life Cycle and Performance issues and is considered to be in poor condition. |
| 1 Category-Specific Requirements for Each Risks to Completion and Risk Management Equipment has a long lead time. This risk would be mitigated by starting preliminary engineering and ordering the equipment in 2019. 1 Category-Specific Requirements for Each Description of the Relationship between the relationship between the registing switchgear is not arc resistant and does not meet existing standards for safety and poses constraints on relations of Performance Performance Record O 1 Category-Specific Requirements for Each Description of the Relationship between the rejects (if any) The existing switchgear is not arc resistant and does not meet existing standards for safety and poses constraints on relations in fusion of Projects (if any) 1 Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record At the time that this switchgear is proposed to be replaced, it will be 50 years oid. This exceeds the typical useful is for the issue and is considered to be in poor condition. |
| rotection functions for the high voltage breaker and the LV main breaker. If the HV control cabinet is not upgraded there and additional relay needs to be purchased and installed in the LV switchgear nub preaker cell. It was legacy Enersource practice in the past that for any project consisting in LV switchgear upgrades to upgrade also the HV control cabinet. Thus the entire P&C system is upgraded. 5. General Information on the roject/Activity (DEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Historical Project (if any) Equipment has a long lead time. This risk would be mitigated by starting preliminary engineering and ordering the equipment in 2018 for installation in 2019. 7. Category Specific Requirements for Each Description of the Relationship between the roject/Activity (OEB) Description of the Relationship between the roject (if any) 7. Category Specific Requirements for Each Description of Asset X-s. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Deterioration or Failure: Description of Asset X-s. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record 7. Category Specific Requirements for Each Performance Record Description of Asset X-s. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record Not the time that this switchgear is not arc resistant and does not meet existing standards for safety and poses constraints on maintainability. |
| there and additional relay needs to be purchased and installed in the LV switchgear main breaker cell. It was legacy Enersource practice in the past that for any project consisting in LV switchgear upgrade also the HV control cabinet. Thus the entire P&C system is upgraded. Execution of this project will serve to extend the useful life of this station and improve the overall reliability of supply to the Mississauga downtown. Equipment has a long lead time. This risk would be mitigated by starting preliminary engineering and ordering the equipment in 2018 for installation in 2019. Uswitchgear replacements have been done at a number of municipal stations in Mississauga. Similar work is currently being done at City Centre North MS and at York MS. Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) / Category-Specific Requirements for Each Neget/Activity (OEB) / Category-Specific Requirements for Each Neget/Activity (OEB) / Category-Specific Requirements for Each Performance Deterioration or Failure: Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record / Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record / Category Specific Requirements for Each Report No: K-418099-RA-001-R000 "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" Existing switchgear is proposed to be replaced, it will be 50 years old. This exceeds the typical useful life of 40 years for circuit breakers in metalciad switchgear and 35 years for electromagnetic relays as indicated in Kinectrics Inc. Report No: K-418099-RA-001-R000 "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" Existing switchgear has a history of performance issues and is considered to be in poor condition. |
| S. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Completion and Risk Management Project/Activity (OEB) Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Category-Specific Requirements for Each Performance Deterioration of Projects (if any) Category-Specific Requirements for Each Performance Record Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record Kinet Citic State St |
| 2. General Information on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management Equipment has a long lead time. This risk would be mitigated by starting preliminary engineering and ordering the equipment in 2018 for installation in 2019. 2. General Information on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management Equipment has a long lead time. This risk would be mitigated by starting preliminary engineering and ordering the equipment in 2018 for installation in 2019. 2. Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) U switchgear replacements have been done at a number of municipal stations in Mississauga. Similar work is currently being done at City Centre North MS and at York MS. 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: The existing switchgear is not arc resistant and does not meet existing standards for safety and poses constraints on maintainability. Performance Deterioration of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and At the time that this switchgear is proposed to be replaced, it will be 50 years old. This exceeds the typical useful life of 40 years for circuit breakers in metalclad switchgear and 35 years for electromagnetic relays as indicated in Kinectrics Inc. Report No: K-418099-RA-001-R000 "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" Existing switchgear has a history of performance issues and is considered to be in poor condition. |
| S. General Information on the Yroject/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Equipment has a long lead time. This risk would be mitigated by starting preliminary engineering and ordering the equipment in 2018 for installation in 2019. UV switchgear replacements have been done at a number of municipal stations in Mississauga. Similar work is currently being done at City Centre North MS and at York MS. Image: Note that the set of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: 0 Image: Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record The twisting switchgear is proposed to be replaced, it will be 50 years old. This exceeds the typical useful life of 40 years for circuit breakers in metalcald switchgear and 35 years for electromagnetic relays as indicated in Kinectrics Inc. Report No: K-418099-RA-001-R000 "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" Existing switchgear has a history of performance issues and is considered to be in poor condition. |
| 5. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) P. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) P. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Performance Deterioration of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record P. Category Specific Requirements in maintainability. P. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Performance Record Performance Record Performance Record Performance Record P. Category Specific Requirements in the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Record Performance Record Performance Record P. Category Specific Requirements in the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Record Performance Record Performance Record Performance Record Performance Record P. Category Specific Requirements in metalcal switchgear is proposed to be replaced, it will be 50 years of. This exceeds the typical useful life of 40 years for circuit breakers in metalcal switchgear and 35 years for electromagnetic relays as indicated in Kinectrics Inc. Report No: K-418099-RA-001-R000 "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" Existing switchgear has a history of performance issues and is considered to be in poor condition. |
| 5. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Equipment has a long lead time. This risk would be mitigated by starting preliminary engineering and ordering the equipment in 2018 for installation in 2019. LV switchgear replacements have been done at a number of municipal stations in Mississauga. Similar work is currently being done at City Centre North MS and at York MS. 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: The existing switchgear is not arc resistant and does not meet existing standards for safety and poses constraints on maintainability. At the time that this switchgear is proposed to be replaced, it will be 50 years old. This exceeds the typical useful in Kinectrics inc. Report No: K-418099-RA-001-R000 "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" Existing switchgear has a history of performance issues and is considered to be in poor condition. |
| Project/Activity (OEB) Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Category-Specific Requirements for Each Performance Deterioration or Failure: Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record Performance Re |
| Comparative information of Equivalent Comparative information of Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) EV switchgear replacements have been done at a number of municipal stations in Mississadga. Similar work is currently being done at City Centre North MS and at York MS. 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Projects (if any) Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: O 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Performance Deterioration or Failure: Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: The existing switchgear is not arc resistant and does not meet existing standards for safety and poses constraints on maintainability. Performance Record At the time that this switchgear is proposed to be replaced, it will be 50 years old. This exceeds the typical useful life of 40 years for circuit breakers in metalclad switchgear and 35 years for electromagnetic relays as indicated in Kinectrics Inc. Report No: K-418099-RA-001-R000 "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" Existing switchgear has a history of performance issues and is considered to be in poor condition. |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: The existing switchgear is not arc resistant and does not meet existing standards for safety and poses constraints on maintainability. 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: The existing switchgear is not arc resistant and does not meet existing standards for safety and poses constraints on maintainability. Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record At the time that this switchgear is proposed to be replaced, it will be 50 years old. This exceeds the typical useful life of 40 years for circuit breakers in metalclad switchgear and 35 years for electromagnetic relays as indicated in Kinectrics Inc. Report No: K-418099-RA-001-R000 "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" Existing switchgear has a history of performance issues and is considered to be in poor condition. |
| Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) 0 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: The existing switchgear is not arc resistant and does not meet existing standards for safety and poses constraints on maintainability. Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record At the time that this switchgear is proposed to be replaced, it will be 50 years old. This exceeds the typical useful life of 40 years for circuit breakers in metalclad switchgear and 35 years for electromagnetic relays as indicated in Kinectrics Inc. Report No: K-418099-RA-001-R000 "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" Existing switchgear has a history of performance issues and is considered to be in poor condition. |
| Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) 0 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: The existing switchgear is not arc resistant and does not meet existing standards for safety and poses constraints on maintainability. Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record At the time that this switchgear is proposed to be replaced, it will be 50 years old. This exceeds the typical useful life of 40 years for circuit breakers in metalclad switchgear and 35 years for electromagnetic relays as indicated in Kinectrics Inc. Report No: K-418099-RA-001-R000 "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" Existing switchgear has a history of performance issues and is considered to be in poor condition. |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: The existing switchgear is not arc resistant and does not meet existing standards for safety and poses constraints on maintainability. Performance Deterioration or Failure: Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record At the time that this switchgear is proposed to be replaced, it will be 50 years old. This exceeds the typical useful life of 40 years for circuit breakers in metalclad switchgear and 35 years for electromagnetic relays as indicated in Kinectrics Inc. Report No: K-418099-RA-001-R000 "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" Existing switchgear has a history of performance issues and is considered to be in poor condition. |
| Acception of the Relationship between the Project/Activity (OEB) Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: Interesting suitchear is not arc resistant and does not meet existing standards for safety and poses constraints on maintainability. Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record At the time that this switchear is proposed to be replaced, it will be 50 years old. This exceeds the typical useful life of 40 years for circuit breakers in metalclad switchear and 35 years for electromagnetic relays as indicated in Kinectrics Inc. Report No: K-418099-RA-001-R000 "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" Existing switchear has a history of performance issues and is considered to be in poor condition. |
| Performance Deterioration or Failure: Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record At the time that this switchgear is proposed to be replaced, it will be 50 years old. This exceeds the typical useful life of 40 years for circuit breakers in metalclad switchgear and 35 years for electromagnetic relays as indicated in Kinectrics Inc. Report No: K-418099-RA-001-R000 "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" Existing switchgear has a history of performance issues and is considered to be in poor condition. |
| Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance RecordAt the time that this switchgear is proposed to be replaced, it will be 50 years old. This exceeds the typical useful life of 40 years for circuit breakers in metalclad switchgear and 35 years for electromagnetic relays as indicated in Kinectrics Inc. Report No: K-418099-RA-001-R000 "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" Existing switchgear has a history of performance issues and is considered to be in poor condition. |
| Performance Record of 40 years for circuit breakers in metalclad switchgear and 35 years for electromagnetic relays as indicated in Kinectrics Inc. Report No: K-418099-RA-001-R000 "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" Existing switchgear has a history of performance issues and is considered to be in poor condition. |
| Inc. Report No: K-418099-RA-001-R000 "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" Existing switchgear has a history of performance issues and is considered to be in poor condition. |
| Existing switchgear has a history of performance issues and is considered to be in poor condition. |
| |
| |
| |
| Number of Customers in Each Customer Class 2983 |
| Potentially Alletted by Asset Failure |
| Overskieding Contemports (for a set of the s |
| Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or The replacement of these obsolete circuit breakers at Bloor MS will improve reliability in the service area. duration of interruptions and associated risk |
| Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) The replacement of these obsolete circuit breakers at Bloor MS will improve reliability in the service area. •Erequency is for breakers of this vintage/condition, assuming spare parts are available. •Erequency of catastrophic breaker failure is assumed to be 0.02 per vear |
| Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) The replacement of these obsolete circuit breakers at Bloor MS will improve reliability in the service area. •Erequency is for breakers of this vintage/condition, assuming spare parts are available. •Erequency of catastrophic breaker failure is assumed to be 0.02 per year •Erequency of a breaker failure to operate is assumed to be 0.05 per year •Erequency of a breaker failure to operate is assumed to be 0.05 per year |
| Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) The replacement of these obsolete circuit breakers at Bloor MS will improve reliability in the service area. •Erequency is for breakers of this vintage/condition, assuming spare parts are available. •Erequency of catastrophic breaker failure is assumed to be 0.02 per year •Erequency of a breaker failure to operate is assumed to be 0.05 per year •Erequency of a breaker failure would result is loss of supply to the entire bus. |
| Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) The replacement of these obsolete circuit breakers at Bloor MS will improve reliability in the service area. •Erequency is for breakers of this vintage/condition, assuming spare parts are available. •Erequency of catastrophic breaker failure is assumed to be 0.02 per year •Erequency of a breaker failure to operate is assumed to be 0.05 per year •Erequency of a breaker failure would result is loss of supply to the entire bus. •Breaker failure would result is loss of supply to the entire bus. •Erequency of a breaker failure would result is loss of supply to the entire bus. |
| Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) The replacement of these obsolete circuit breakers at Bloor MS will improve reliability in the service area. •Erequency is for breakers of this vintage/condition, assuming spare parts are available. •Erequency of catastrophic breaker failure is assumed to be 0.02 per year •Erequency of a breaker failure to operate is assumed to be 0.05 per year •Erequency of a breaker failure would result is loss of supply to the entire bus. •Any breaker failure would result is loss of supply to the entire bus. •Breaker failure would result is to transfer load to another bus or station following a breaker mal-operation or to isolate a breaker that has mal-operated. |
| Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) The replacement of these obsolete circuit breakers at Bloor MS will improve reliability in the service area. •Erequency is for breakers of this vintage/condition, assuming spare parts are available. •Erequency of catastrophic breaker failure is assumed to be 0.02 per year •Erequency of a breaker failure to operate is assumed to be 0.05 per year •Erequency of a breaker failure would result is loss of supply to the entire bus. •Any breaker failure would result is loss of supply to the entire bus. •Erequency of these to transfer load to another bus or station following a breaker mal-operation or to isolate a breaker that has mal-operated. |

| Qualitative satisfaction | Customer Impacts (custom n, customer migration and a | er Failure of th ssociated customers in | is equipment would negat 1 the area. | ively impact the electricity | y supply to many residenti | al, commercial and industrial |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| Value of Cu | istomer Impact | Medium | | | | |
| Factors Aff | ecting Project Timing, if any | Equipment | delivery times from supplie | rs | | |
| Consequen Implication | ces for O&M System Costs I is of Not Implementing | ncluding Existing swit equipment v lengthy cust replacemen with other v | chgear has higher mainter would warrant emergency omer interruptions. Repla t. Also, leaving until emerg york at this station. | nance costs than the propo replacement resulting in r cement of failed equipme gency replacement is requ | osed replacement equipm non-budgeted funding req ent is expected to be more ired would not allow for e | ent. Failure of the existing uirements and could result in costly than proactive fficiencies gained in bundling |
| Reliability | Reliability and Safety Factors | | d replacement equipment | is more reliable and safer | due to arc-resistant cons | truction. |
| Analysis fo | r "Like for Like" Renewal Pro | pject From a conf technologica | iguration perspective, this ally advanced, requiring re- | is a like-for-like replaceme duced maintenance and h | ent but the replacement e as improved safety featur | quipment is more es. |
| | | | | | | |
| 800,000 - | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | | | | |
| 700,000 - | | | | | | |
| 600,000 - | | | | | | |
| 500,000 - | | | | | | |
| 400,000 - | | | | | | |
| 300,000 - | | | | | | |
| 200,000 - | | | | | | |
| 100,000 - | | | | | | |
| 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$690,810 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$690,810 |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | |



Project Code 150329 Project Name Rear Lot Renewal Project - Main Street / Unionville / Carlton Major Category System Renewal Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream South Location Markham: Main Street / Unionville / Carlton Units 1 Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Componen Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0% Expenditure Type Controllable Rates ID Rate Base Funded Alectra Grouping Rear Lot Conversion Alectra Subcategory Rear Lot Conversion 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Convert the Main Street / Unionville / Carlton (Markham) area from rear lot overhead supply to front lot underground supply (primary and secondary). This will reduce number of outages and power restoration time. The project is proposed to be completed over three years . The existing rear lot location Main Street / Unionville / Carlton (Markham)) will be over 35 years old in 2021. The asset is end of life and requires remediation. In addition, the poles in this rear lot location were inspected in 2013 where a majority of the poles are in poor or very poor condition. These assets pose a safety risk for the public and for Alectra Utilities crews, are more prone to failure than other overhead distribution assets, and otherwise do not align with current standards, policies and practices. Main Driver - System Renewal Mitigate Failure Risks Priority and Reasons for Priority The priority of this project is high. This project is to decrease the outage impacts due to deteriorating distribution system assets and mitigate the outage impacts due to increasing effect of adverse weather events. Reasons for Priority: The electrical system is deteriorating and poses many operations, safety, and customer service concerns that must be addressed. If not addressed, the system will deteriorate further and failures and safety hazards will increase In December 2013, an ice storm came in across Ontario including Alectra (PowerStream) service territory. During the storm, many trees, including trees in rear lot areas, fell onto power lines and created prolonged power outages to customers. Power restoration in rear lot areas was very difficult due to accessibility. The December 2013 ice storm caused 29,831,573 CMI within the rear lot grids, which accounted for 16.68% of the total system CMI due to the ice storm The existing rear lot location Main Street / Unionville / Carlton (Markham)) will be over 35 years old in 2021. The asset is end of life and requires remediation. In addition, the poles in this rear lot location were inspected in 2013 where a majority of the poles are in poor or very poor condition. These assets pose a safety risk for the public and for Alectra Utilities crews, are more prone to failure than other overhead distribution assets, and otherwise do not align with current standards, policies and practices. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not Applicable Safety Safety risk associated with close proximity to power line in the backyard: Although the Electrical Safety Code and easement terms specify minimum clearance between customer facilities and power line, there are cases that customers do not follow the safety rules and install facilities too close to power line. Examples are shed, storage, playground, trampoline, swimming pool, patio deck, landscape, house extension, etc. This encroachment creates a safety hazard for both customers and crews. Safety risk associated with reduced clearance due to encroachment of power line: Over time, growth of vegetation and obstruction due to customer facilities may jeopardize the minimum clearance requirements and restrict crew mobility. Occasionally dogs may also be a safety hazard to the crews. Cyber-Security, Privacy Not Applicable

| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Under this option, no proactive investments would be executed to replace either existing rear lot infrastructure and these assets would only be intervened upon in reactive scenarios, when the assets have reached their end-of-life. Under this approach, customers would be exposed to prolonged reliability impacts, due to the accessibility issues associated with rear lot infrastructure, as well as the complex restoration procedures that would be required for a four-feeder outage event. |
|---|---|---|
| | | As standardized equipment (e.g. bucket trucks) cannot be used to service rear lot plant, wood poles, transformers and overhead switches would have to be replaced manually, with field crews accessing private customer properties in order to execute the work. Customers and field crews would continue to be exposed to elevated safety risks, due to the minimal proximity between customer plant and the rear lot overhead lines, as well as the non-standard and non-ergonomic work procedures that field crews would have to continue to execute to sufficiently maintain, inspect and service the plant. |
| | | Finally, as assets are replaced reactively, new assets would need to be installed according to the rear lot configuration. By its design, rear lot can only be converted if the entire line is replaced at once as part of an overall project. Therefore, the legacy design will continue to be maintained under this scenario. This will include the continued operation of the legacy voltage system, along with continuing the associated inefficiencies, such as line losses. |
| | Alternative #1 | Remediate the existing rear lot plant with other design options . The other design options considered are described below. |
| | | Rear Lot Overhead Option: |
| | | Under this Option, the existing rear lot plant is replaced with new overhead plant in the rear lot. When the replacement project is implemented, the following design parameters should be considered: •Bistall critical components such as fuse, switch, and transformer as close to the accessible street as possible |
| | | This Option is not acceptable because it does not resolve the major operations and customer reliability concerns related to the distribution assets located at rear lot at this location. Partial Underground Option |
| | | This scenario involves the replacement of existing rear lot infrastructure with a new hybrid solution, where primary voltage infrastructure, including transformers, switches and lines would be installed as per an underground configuration within the front right-of-way, following standard Alectra Utilities installation practices. Under this approach, secondary infrastructure, including wood poles and secondary conductor, would remain in the rear lot in overhead configuration. |
| | | This approach would not fully address the reliability and safety concerns associated with rear lot distribution, as secondary connections will remain in the rear lot. However, future outage impacts will be reduced and contained to only those customers connected to the associated transformer. Lower voltage classes will also be converted up to the standardized 27.6kV voltage standard as per this investment option. |
| | | Under this option, reliability and safety issues would continue to persist due some infrastructure remaining overhead. The cost of partial underground renewal is higher than the renewal of the rear lot overhead and further more does not result in mitigating the risks associated |
| | Alternative #2 | Replace with Full Underground Infrastructure |
| | | This investment scenario considers the full replacement of existing rear lot infrastructure – including primary and secondary plant – with new front lot underground infrastructure. All existing primary and secondary distribution assets within the rear lot corridor will be removed and replaced with new underground primary and secondary infrastructure that is installed within the front lot corridor as per current standard design practices. Underground secondary cables will run from the front lot underground transformers to the individual meter bases in order to supply the customers. |
| | | Under this approach, existing under-classed legacy wood poles that support four feeders will be replaced with higher- class poles that are better suited to withstand major weather events. Through this investment scenario, these high impact assets will be better secured and weather-hardened against future outage events. |
| | | This approach would complete mitigate the reliability and safety issues associated with rear lot distribution, as well as the operational constraints associated with the existing infrastructure. This approach also introduces efficiencies for the utility, as tree trimming activities can be eliminated and line losses associated with the legacy voltage classes can be eliminated. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | It is recommended to replace the rear lot overhead Main Street / Unionville / Carlton (Markham) infrastructure to a full underground infrastructure (primary and secondary). Under this Option, the existing rear lot plant is removed and new underground plant is installed in front lot. |
| | | This approach would complete mitigate the reliability and safety issues associated with rear lot distribution, as well as the operational constraints associated with the existing infrastructure. This approach also introduces efficiencies for the utility, as tree trimming activities can be eliminated and line losses associated with the legacy voltage classes can be eliminated. For these reasons, Alectra Utilities selected this approach. |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Risk: Fluctuation in cost and staff resource (internal and external) to complete high annual volume of work. |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | | Risk Management: PowerStream has retained external contractor working at different work sites throughout the year under a multi-year EPC (Engineering Procurement Construction) Master Service Agreement. Regular progress meetings are held to ensure technical and operational issues are resolved promptly; budget performance is monitored; and projects are on track. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Alectra has completed and is completing similar rear lot remediation project since 2013. Alectra has experience on executing several rear lot remediation project. |

| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
|--|---|--|
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | The scope involves converting the Main Street / Unionville / Carlton (Markham) area from rear lot overhead supply to front lot underground supply (primary and secondary). There are a total of 164 customers affected by the existing rear lot supply. |
| | | Rear lot infrastructure is functionally obsolete for the following key reasons: • The rear lot configuration is generally unsafe to the public due to the large trees growing near energized power lines. In tandem with such an unsafe configuration, there are also line clearing hazards and related additional costs to do this work |
| | | Allectra Utilities is unable to use labour saving tools and devices such as bucket trucks to efficiently maintain and repair the distribution system due to assets located in customer backyards. Bear lot wood poles are generally congested, due to multiple service attachments and communication drops, which generally make it impossible to sufficiently climb poles. Crews must, therefore, use ladders to access these poles. Bearto Utilities is limited in utilizing ladders to access the overhead system due to Ministry of Labour restrictions for congested areas. Bue to the presence of legacy porcelain top tie insulators, rear lot lines must be fully isolated before any maintenance or repair work on the overhead system can commence. Borcelain insulators are far more susceptible to contamination and flashover when compared to present-day standard polymer insulators. Bear lot infrastructure typically contains undersized #4 aluminum conductor steel-reinforced cable and aluminum conductor, along with #4 and #6 copper conductor, which are undersized and generally have a greater probability of annealing due to their reduced carrying capacity. These conductors must be fully isolated before any work can commence. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | It is extremely difficult to gain access to the backyard to maintain, repair, and restore power. As a result there are prolonged outages to customers. This is especially more difficult in the event of ice storm. |
| | | Many of the Rear Lot Supply distribution systems were built in 1950s, 1960s, and 1970s (40-68 years old in 2016). The rear lot equipment is older than typical useful life and the asset condition is deteriorating. According to the Kinectrics Report "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board", typical useful life of overhead transformers and wood poles are 40 and 45 years respectively. Many of the installations are not in compliance to today's standards. |
| | | The existing rear lot location Royal Orchard – North (Markham) will be 35 years in 2021. The asset is end of life and requires remediation. In addition, the poles in this rear lot location were inspected in 2013 where a majority of the poles are in fair or very poor condition. |
| | | See attachments for demographic and condition data and photos of the rear lot location in the Main Street / Unionville / Carlton (Markham) area. |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | 528 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk | Frequency of Failure: 0.5 failures per year |
| | level) | Estimated number of customers affected by 1 failure: 414 customers inside rear lot area + 100 customers outside rear lot area. Total = 414 + 100 = 514 customers. Assuming 500 residential and 14 commercial Estimated number of customers affected by 0.5 failures: 514x 0.5 = 257 customers Frequency of interruption: 0.5 failures per year |
| | | Duration of interruption: for 414 customers inside real for area duration is 1.7 hours; for 100 customers outside real for area duration is 1. hour. Weighted average is 1.6 hours per customer per interruption. Customers affected per failure: 500 residential + 14 commercial = 514 customers CMI per 1 failure: 514 x 1.6 hour x 60 min = 49,344 CMI CMI per 2 failures: 49,344 x 2 = 98,688 CMI |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) | Rear lot supply failures have negative impact to system reliability and customer service. Outages cause inconvenience and financial loss to customers (office closing, production stoppage). Rear lot system also poses safety hazards to the customers because live electrical components are in proximity of customer's backyard and proper clearance may be violated due to customer's installations (examples: trees, garden, swimming pool, storage shed, deck, house extension). |
| | Value of Customer Impact | High |
| | Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Not Applicable In case of not implementing the project the OM&A cost will continue to occur due to tree trimming activities as well as increase in responding to outages since the assets are deteriorated and prone to failure. |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | This project is part of the long-term rear lot supply remediation program. The project will help avoid a total of 2 potential rear lot failures and 260,400 potential CMI. In addition, this project also eliminates safety hazards associated with ageing and deteriorating rear lot system. |
| | | This approach would complete mitigate the reliability and safety issues associated with rear lot distribution, as well as the operational constraints associated with the existing infrastructure. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | The selected option is not a like for like replacement. This investment scenario considers the full replacement of existing rear lot infrastructure – including primary and secondary plant – with new front lot underground infrastructure. |
| | | |

| 3,000,000 - | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|-------------|
| 2,500,000 - | | | | | | |
| 2,000,000 - | | | | | | |
| 1,500,000 - | | | | | | |
| 1,000,000 - | | | | | | |
| 500,000 - | | | | | | |
| 0 - | | | | | | |
| 0 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$2,485,994 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,485,994 |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | |



Project Code

Project Name

Major Category

OEB Multi-Project Report

150330

Rear Lot Renewal Project - Marsdale, St.Catharines

| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
|---|---|---|
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | St. Catherines |
| | Location | St.Catharines, south end |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | No Burden |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | NO |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Rear Lot Conversion |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Rear Lot Conversion |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | This project is to convert existing rear lot primary distribution to a front lot supply. Rear lot primary poses a problem for |
| | | both reliability and safety. Due to the reduced access to the distribution assets, restoration of power to customers is |
| | | significantly impacted by not having access to powered equipment, while also presenting risks to workers . |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigate Failure Risks |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Alectra has many pockets of customers being supplied by rear lot construction. The electrical system is ageing and |
| | | deteriorating and poses many operations, safety, and customer service concerns that must be addressed. If not |
| | | addressed, the system will deteriorate further and failures and safety hazards will increase to a level that is not |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | 4177 KVA and 1001 customers |
| | Safety | Although the Electrical Safety Code and easement terms specify minimum clearance between customer facilities and |
| | | power line, there are cases that customers do not follow the safety rules and install facilities too close to power line. |
| | | encroachment creates a safety hazard for both customers and crews. |
| | | ····· |
| | | Safety risk associated with reduced clearance due to encroachment of power line: |
| | | Over time, growth of vegetation and obstruction due to customer facilities may jeopardize the minimum clearance |
| | | requirements and restrict crew mobility. Occasionally dogs may also be a safety nazara to the crews. |
| | Cyber-Security Privacy | Not applicable |
| | Coordination Interoperability | Not applicable |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable |
| | Environmental Benefits | Because overhead transformers are installed on the pole in rear lot area, a pole falling down may also cause the |
| | | transformers to fall down, resulting in transformer tank rupturing, and oil being spilled onto the ground. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | Under this ontion no proactive investments would be executed to replace either existing rear lot infrastructure and |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | these assets would only be intervened upon in reactive scenarios, when the assets have reached their end-of-life. |
| | | Under this approach, customers would be exposed to prolonged reliability impacts, due to the accessibility issues |
| | | associated with rear lot infrastructure, as well as the complex restoration procedures that would be required for a four- |
| | | feeder outage event. |
| | | As standardized equipment (e.g. bucket trucks) cannot be used to service rear lot plant, wood poles, transformers and |
| | | overhead switches would have to be replaced manually, with field crews accessing private customer properties in order |
| | | to execute the work. Customers and field crews would continue to be exposed to elevated safety risks, due to the |
| | | minimal proximity between customer plant and the rear lot overhead lines, as well as the non-standard and non- erropomic work procedures that field crews would have to continue to execute to sufficiently maintain inspect and |
| | | service the plant. |
| | | |
| | | Finally, as assets are replaced reactively, new assets would need to be installed according to the rear lot configuration. |
| | | By its design, rear lot can only be converted if the entire line is replaced at once as part of an overall project. Therefore, |
| | | legacy voltage system, along with continuing the associated inefficiencies. such as line losses. |
| | | |

| | Alternative #1 | Remediate the existing rear lot plant with other design options . The other design options considered are described below. |
|--|---|--|
| | | Rear Lot Overhead Option: |
| | | Under this Option, the existing rear lot plant is replaced with new overhead plant in the rear lot. When the replacement project is implemented, the following design parameters should be considered: •Bhstall critical components such as fuse, switch, and transformer as close to the accessible street as possible |
| | | This Option is not acceptable because it does not resolve the major operations and customer reliability concerns related to the distribution assets located at rear lot at this location. Partial Underground Option This scenario involves the replacement of existing rear lot infrastructure with a new hybrid solution, where primary voltage infrastructure, including transformers, switches and lines would be installed as per an underground configuration within the front right-of-way, following standard Alectra Utilities installation practices. Under this approach, secondary infrastructure, including wood poles and secondary conductor, would remain in the rear lot in overhead configuration. This approach would not fully address the reliability and safety concerns associated with rear lot distribution, as secondary connections will remain in the rear lot. However, future outage impacts will be reduced and contained to only those customers connected to the associated transformer. Lower voltage classes will also be converted up to the standardized 27.6kV voltage standard as per this investment option. Under this option, reliability and safety issues would continue to persist due some infrastructure remaining overhead. The cost of partial underground renewal is higher than the renewal of the rear lot overhead and further more does not result in mitigating the risks associated with the existing system. This partial underground approach has been adopted where feasible. |
| | Alternative #2 | Replace with Full Underground Infrastructure This investment scenario considers the full replacement of existing rear lot infrastructure – including primary and secondary plant – with new front lot underground infrastructure. All existing primary and secondary distribution assets within the rear lot corridor will be removed and replaced with new underground primary and secondary infrastructure that is installed within the front lot corridor as per current standard design practices. Underground secondary cables will run from the front lot underground transformers to the individual meter bases in order to supply the customers. |
| | | Under this approach, existing under-classed legacy wood poles will be replaced with higher-class poles that are better suited to withstand major weather events. Through this investment scenario, these high impact assets will be better secured and weather-hardened against future outage events. This approach would completely mitigate the reliability and safety issues associated with rear lot distribution, as well as the operational constraints associated with the existing infrastructure. This approach also introduces efficiencies for the utility, as tree trimming activities can be eliminated. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | It is recommended to convert the area to partial underground. Under this Option, the existing rear lot plant is removed and partially underground plant is installed in front lot. This approach would mitigate the reliability and safety issues associated with rear lot distribution, as well as the operational constraints associated with the existing infrastructure. For these reasons, Alectra Utilities selected this |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | approach. Customer expectation for what the new distribution will look like is a risk, especially if the customer is pushing for a more aesthetically-pleasing but more expensive alternative by going fully underground. Customer consultation will be |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | an important step in mitigating this risk and ensure the public and the utility are aligned in addressing this renewal. Similar rear lot projects have been budgeted between \$1.25MM - \$2MM per year, depending on whether a full underground solution or only partial underground solution is chosen. 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | Rear lot infrastructure is functionally obsolete for the following key reasons: The rear lot configuration is generally unsafe to the public due to the large trees growing near energized power lines. In tandem with such an unsafe configuration, there are also line clearing hazards and related additional costs to do this work. Allectra Utilities is unable to use labour saving tools and devices such as bucket trucks to efficiently maintain and repair the distribution system due to assets located in customer backyards. Rear lot wood poles are generally congested, due to multiple service attachments and communication drops, which generally make it impossible to sufficiently climb poles. Crews must, therefore, use ladders to access these poles. Allectra Utilities is limited in utilizing ladders to access the overhead system due to Ministry of Labour restrictions for congested areas. Due to the presence of legacy porcelain top tie insulators, rear lot lines must be fully isolated before any maintenance or repair work on the overhead system can commence. Borcelain insulators are far more susceptible to contamination and flashover when compared to present-day standard polymer insulators. Ber conductor, along with #4 and #6 copper conductor, which are undersized and generally have a greater probability of annealing due to their reduced carrying capacity. These conductors must be fully isolated before any work can commence. |

| Condition Performar | of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle ice Record | e and It is extremely prolonged our | difficult to gain access to tages to customers. This is | the backyard to maintain especially more difficult i | , repair, and restore powe in the event of ice storm. | er. As a result there are |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| | | Many of the F older than typ Amortization and 45 years | tear Lot Supply distributio pical useful life and the ass Study for the Ontario Ener respectively. Many of the i | n systems were built in 19 set condition is deteriorati rgy Board", typical useful installations are not in cor | 50s, 1960s, and 1970s . Thing. According to the Kined life of overhead transform mpliance to today's standa | he rear lot equipment is ctrics Report "Asset iers and wood poles are 40 ards. |
| | | This project h also some rep poles are Bell | as many overhead assets t lacement poles where fail -owned, and we are not pi | that are 1950's vintage, as lures have already occurre rovided with condition-ba | well as some sections bui ed from the 2000's. Howev sed information on the he | ilt in the 1980's. There are ver, a large proportion of the valth of these assets. |
| Number o Potentially | f Customers in Each Custome Affected by Asset Failure | er Class 1001 | | | | |
| Quantitati duration o level) Qualitativ satisfactio risk level) | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) | | Large area with multiple rear lot laterals. Area is supplied by VSM41 and VSM52, in an 80/20 split. The area amounts to about 20% of the feeder's connected kVA and about 30% of the customers. 3 year stats (2014-2017) for VSM41 and VSM52 which supply the area; VSM41: 65 outages, 539,581 customer minutes (64.8 minutes/customer/year) VSM52: 29 outages, 125,186 customer minutes (77.9 minutes/customer/year) Total score: VSM41: 0.8 + VSM52 * 0.2 = 51.8 + 15.6 = 67.4 minutes/customer/year Total outage score: 21.6 * 0.8 + 9.7 * 0.2 = 17.3 + 1.9 = 19.2 outages/year Rear lot supply failures have negative impact to system reliability and customer service. Outages cause inconvenience and financial loss to customers (office closing, production stoppage). Rear lot system also poses safety hazards to the customers because live electrical components are in proximity of customer's backyard and proper clearance may be violated due to customer's installations (examples: trees, garden, swimming pool, storage shed, deck, house extension). | | | |
| Value of C | ustomor Impact | High | | | | |
| Value of C | fecting Project Timing, if any | Not applicable | • | | | |
| Conseque | nces for O&M System Costs I | ncluding In case of not | implementing the project | t the OM&A cost will cont | inue to occur due to tree | trimming activities as well |
| Implicatio | ns of Not Implementing | as increase in | responding to outages sin | ice the assets are deterior | ated and prone to failure. | |
| Reliability | Reliability and Safety Factors | | This approach would complete mitigate the reliability and safety issues associated with rear lot distribution, as well as the operational constraints associated with the existing infrastructure. | | | |
| Analysis fo | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | | option is not a like for like ot infrastructure – includir | replacement. This investn ng primary and secondary | nent scenario considers th plant – with new front lot | e full replacement of underground infrastructure. |
| | | | | | | |
| 2,500,000 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 2,000,000 | - | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 1,500,000 | | | | | | |
| 1 000 000 | | | | | | |
| 1,000,000 | | | | | | |
| 500,000 | - | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| C | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$3,089,67 | 4 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,069,275 | \$2,020,399 |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | |



| Name of all set in the set of a se | utilities | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| Name of the second se | Project Code | 150332 | |
| Name of a system System Version 20.4004-10001405 (Statutum) Statutum (Statutum) Prior Construction Experimentation Statutum (Statutum) Prior Construction Statutum (Statutum) Statutum) Statutum (Statutum) Prior Construction Statutum) Statutum (Statutum) Statutum) Statutum (Statutum) Prior Construction Statutum (Statutum) Statutum) Statutum (Statutum) Statutum) Prior Construction Statutum (Statutum) Statutum) Statutum (Statutum) Statutum) Prior Construction Statutum (Statutum) Statutum) Statutum) Statutum) Statutum) Statutum) Statutum | Project Name | Non-Wires Alternative Pilot | |
| Mathematical Control Mathematical Control 2.4 defines information Socies Territory Harden Control Approximation Socies Harden Control Harden Contro Harden Contro Harden Harden Harden Harden Harden Harden Harden | Major Catagon | Suctom Convice | |
| | Connecto | 2010 2024 SIMM DCD C hadhad | |
| Hard torough information Least Transformation Least | Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| 2 Additional Information Locas | Project Overview | | |
| Loss Hard Hard Hard Hard Hard Hard Hard Hard | 2. Additional Information | Service Territory Location | Legacy PowerStream South |
| Project Oas Registre Project Oas Technologies Project Code aldo Technologies Project Code Code Code Code Code Code Code Code | | Units | |
| Index Hole Yes Second Regist Information (DFR) Constraint Colaid Theree Meaning in FORMA Colaid Information (DFR) Constraint Colaid Theree Meaning in FORMA Colaid Information (DFR) Constraint Colaid Theree Meaning in FORMA Colaid Information (DFR) Constraint Colaid Finance Meaning in FORMA Colaid Information (DFR) Finance Meaning in Formation (DFR) Finance Meaning in Formation (DFR) Information (DFR) Finance Meaning in Formation (DFR) Finance Meaning in Formation (DFR) Information (DFR) Finance Meaning in Formation (DFR) Finance Meaning in Formation (DFR) Multiple information (DFR) Finance Meaning in Formation (DFR) Finance Meaning in Formation (DFR) Multiple information (DFR) Finance Meaning in Formation (DFR) Finance Meaning in Formation (DFR) Multiple information (DFR) Finance Meaning in Formation (DFR) Finance Meaning in Formation (DFR) Multiple information (DFR) Finance Meaning in Formation (DFR) Finance Meaning in Formation (DFR) Multiple information (DFR) Finance Meaning in Formation (DFR) Finance Meaning in Formation (DFR) Constraint Finance Meaning in Formation (DFR) Finance Meaning in Formation (DFR) Finance Meaning in Formation (DFR) Constraint Finance Meaning in Formation (DFR) Finance Meaning in Formation (DFR) Finance Meanin (| | Project Class | Regular |
| Translage Page of the Technology Note Construction Note Construction 3. General Project Information (PF) Construction Construction A tool and Construction (PF) Construction (PF) Construction (PF) A tool and Construction (PF) Page of Construction (PF) Page of Construction (PF) A tool and Construction (PF) Page of Construction (PF) Page of Construction (PF) A tool and Construction (PF) Page of Construction (PF) Page of Construction (PF) A tool and Construction (PF) Page of Construction (PF) Page of Construction (PF) A tool and Construction (PF) Page of Construction (PF) Page of Construction (PF) A tool and Construction (PF) Page of Construction (PF) Page of Construction (PF) A tool and Page of | | Project Includes R&D | Yes |
| A seek in Project Information (Calina) A contributed Capital A seek in Project Information (Calina) A contributed Capital A seek in Contributed Capita | | Technology Project or has Technology | Yes |
| Sensed Fright Information (199) Controlated Califier France Johnson (199) A Factor Mathematical (199) A Factor Mathematical (199) A Factor Mathematical (199) France Johnson (199) | | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| A determinantic (La) Lettinable depaid Lettinable Lett | 2. Committee (action (action) | example and example | *Protocol # Accord 1. So Proceed. |
| Lependure Pyee Controllable Nata D Rate has Funded Active Grouping Capacity Exploring A. Polarition Criteria (005) Project Summary Project Summary This project capacity the form for some program to approximately 200 residential data ware construction in the form for some program to approximately 200 residential data ware construction in the form for some program to approximately 200 residential data ware construction in the form for some program to approximately 200 residential data ware construction in the form for some program to approximately 200 residential data ware construction in the form for the data ware construction in the form for the data ware construction in the form form to device program to approximately 200 residential data ware construction in the form form form to device provide the scale approximation of profile and the data ware construction in the form form form to device provide the scale approximation of profile and the data ware construction in the form form form to device provide the scale approximately 200 residential data ware construction in the form form form to device provide the scale approximately 200 residential data ware construction in the form to device provide the scale approximately 200 residential data ware construction in the form in the data ware construction in the form in the data ware construction in the form of the data ware construction in the form form form to device provide the scale approximately 200 residential data ware construction in the form form form to device provide the data ware construction in the form form to device provide the data ware construction in the data ware construction the data ware construction in the data ware construction in the d | 3. General Project Information (OEB) | | Entered Manually In Forecast |
| Rate 10 Rate han Anded Actor Society Actor A | | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| Actes Subargery Altrass Subargery Altrass Subargery Altrass Subargery Project Summy Capacity (Station) Altrastation Criteria (OFB) Project Summy Sistion Capacity Projects Altrastation Criteria (OFB) Project Summy This project equants the Power None program to agricolimately Still residential scale IV and battery storage units, service state prover quality services to the grad. Main Driver - System Service Proving and Resourt for Monty and Resourt for Monty Signed Capacity Oblewy Due to the Iong planning and construction land brance in collection for the scale meaning of domentation and construction of the scale meaning of domentation (and construction) and distribution infrastructure, the disclicit on investment of an International and construction of the scale for | | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| A Lookation Offent (GB) Nation Subary Projects Project Summary This project equants the units into entrol by SCADA/DOX through 2008 platform. Into a separation of a provide addition a super Alonge units, and integrates, there units into entrol by SCADA/DOX through 2008 platform. This is a separation of a provide addition as used by SCADA/DOX through 2008 platform. This is a separation of the units three parks loading on distribution as used. RADK platform. This is a separation of the units three is a separation of the units three additional distribution as used. RADK platform. This is a separation of the units three additional distribution as used. RADK platform. This is a separation of the units three additional distribution infrastructure, the design in the messary of dominants the infrastructure is a separational distribution infrastructure, the design in the messary addition addition addition infrastructure. The design in the instrument of the units three additional distribution infrastructure, the design in the messary addition addition infrastructure is the distribution infrastructure in the distribution infrast | | Alectra Grouping | Capacity (Stations) |
| 4. Columbia Criteria (DER) 4. Solution Criteria (DER) | | Alectra Subcategory | Station Capacity Projects |
| and improve full advances of the provide provi | 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | This project expands the Power. House program to approximately 300 residential solar PV and battery storage units. |
| Weiler bis surface of DFR is to grant enough to degrad formation expenditure, if products the scale is not encours to the effectiveness, and allows us to develop the technical and organizational capability to do so in the future. Main Driver - System Service Support Capacity Delory Phorty and Reasons for Priority Support Capacity Delory Wain grant allow develop the technical distribution infrastructure, the decision to invert is made several years allow define of communication of professional capability in advances of DER is being used for this purpose in a real-world environment. Wain grant allow develops the capability in advances of DER is being used for this purpose in a real-world environment. Wain grant allow develops the capability in advances of DER is being used for this purpose in a real-world environment. Wain grant allow develops the capability in advances of DER is being used for the purpose in a real-world environment. Wain grant allow develops the capability in advance of DER is being used for the purpose in a real-world environment. Wain grant allow develops the capability in advance of DER is being used for the purpose in a real-world environment. Wain grant allow develops the capability in advance of DER is being used for the purpose in a real-world purpose in a real-world purpose on a real-world purpose | | | and integrates these units into control by SCADA/ADMS through a DERMS platform. This will allow our operators to aggregate, monitor and control the units to reduce peak loading on distribution assets, reduce power factor, and provide other power quality services to the grid. |
| Man Driver-System Service Support Capacity Delay: Priority and Reasons for Monity Support Capacity Delay: Support Capacity Delay: Support Capacity Delay: Valued served upport and secons for Monity Support Capacity Delay: Valued served upport and secons for Monity Support Capacity Delay: Valued to develop this capability risks missing the opportunity to influence and reduce distribution investment for many pages mission to the future. Cartomer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not applicable Sarry Secret by will be delayed into every sapest of the DERNS platform as it will be fully integrated into Alextra's network, complete unified Alts for integration with asset, market, utility and other the part opportunity point on the future. Valued to develop this capability intogen cangen displatement and any stress on providing for end-to-end security entropy on the edupted into every sapest of the DERNS platform with asset, market, utility and other the part opportunity point develop on the edupted into every sapest of the DERNS platform with asset, market, utility and other the part opportunity be obtained and indice to the network instance to the none security entropy the edupted into every aspect of the DERNS platform with asset and entropy on the edupted into every aspect of the DERNS platform and indice to the none security features and providing for end-to-end security features and providing for end-to-end security will be address of the none security features and providing for end-to-end security into the future. Coordination, interopenability Active the interviding for tom | | | While this number of DERs is not great enough to defer traditional distribution expenditure, it provides the scale necessary to demonstrate their effectiveness, and allows us to develop the technical and organizational capability to do so in the future. |
| International system Specific (specific primery) Priority and Reasons for innorty Due to the deep planning and construction laid times for maintaining in provide mission critical distribution services in place of the specific primery in the innormation priority relation and interface technical and opperational capability in advance of the specific primery in the innormation priority relation and relative and demonstructure, the decision to there is a main years into the future. Customer Attachment / Load (XVA) Safety Not applicable Not applicable Safety Safety Safety in the deep this scapability is advance of the DERMS platform as it will be fully integrated into Alectra's network, complete with blating security rubin incogin dilegnee and maintenance. The DERMS platform will be same and the security will be designed to the security primes. Detailed by complete with blating security will be designed to every aspect of the DERMS platform as it will be fully integrated into Alectra's network, complete with blating security intrody noging dilegnee and maintenance. The DERMS platform will be same as a point of the provide mission or types-security features and providing to end to end security will be made as point of the provide security intrody features and providing to end to end security will be made as point of the provide security intrody features and providing to end to end security will be made as point of the provide security requirements, platform into all security should be defined and limited to the minimum requirement, all authentiation to the security should be proceed. Coordination, Interoperability A cloud based solution with data center and network | | Main Driver - System Service | Support Capacity Delivery |
| Waiting to develop this capability risks missing the opportunity to influence and reduce distribution investment for many years into the future. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not applicable Safety Not applicable Cyber Security, Privacy Security will be designed into every aspect of the DRMS platform as it will be fully integrated into Alectra's network, complete will finding decisions on cyber security features and providing for end-or-end security will be made a part of the procument process. All pairs systems. Detailed ley technology decisions on cyber security features and providing for end-or-end security will be made a part of the procument process. All a minimum requirement, all adhering decisions on cyber security features and providing for end-or-end security will be defined and limited to be minimum requirement, all adhering for all access to the network should be legaled using role-and identity based access control and logging systems. The level of access to any entity should be defined and limited to the minimum requirement, all adhering and logging systems. The level of access to any entity should be defined and limited to the minimum requirement, all adhering and logging systems. The level of access to any entity should be defined and limited to the minimum requirement, all adhering and logging systems. The level of access to any entity should be defined and limited to the minimum requirements, guidelines, and best practices, such as the ISA99 standard, to protect personal data. Coordination, interoperability Alectra is taking a practive polition on evaluating the benefits of non-wires alternatives within their networks. It is Alectra's hay be the inderinformation media to define box DRFs intoutility is ex | | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Due to the long planning and construction lead times for traditional distribution infrastructure, the decision to invest is made several years ahead of commissioning. Furthermore, to use DERs to provide mission-critical distribution services in place of firm and highly reliable assets, Alectra needs to develop and demonstrate technical and operational capability in advance of DERs being used for this purpose in a real-world environment. |
| Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not applicable Silety Not applicable Cyber-Security, Phracy Security will be designed into every aspect of the DERMS platform as it will be fully integrated into Alectra's network, complete with lasting security through ongoing dilgence and maintenance. The DERMS platform will be surrounded by complete unfiled APIs for integration with asset, market, utility and other and providing for end-to-end security will be made as part of the procurement process. As a minimum requirement, all authentication will be required to be done via JSON web tokens over an HTTPS channel. As a minimum requirement, all authentication will be required to be done via JSON web tokens over an HTTPS channel. As a minimum requirement, all authentication will be required to be done via JSON web tokens over an HTTPS channel. A cloud-based solution with data center and network architecture built to meet the requirements should be defined and limited to the minimum requirements. Full definity. A cloud-based solution with data center and network architecture built to meet the requirements of the most security requirements, guidelines, and best practices, such as the ISA9 standard, to protect personal data. Coordination, Interoperability Alectra is taking a proactive position on evaluating the benefits of non-wires atternatives within ther network. It is Alectra's to protect personal data. Hitteroperability Standards to interoperability andards to interoperability andards to interoperability and prote in lowering thrus development DID RE standards for the requerementily undob | | | Waiting to develop this capability risks missing the opportunity to influence and reduce distribution investment for many years into the future. |
| Coordination, Interoperability Not applicable Safety Not applicable Cyber-Security, Privacy Security will be designed into every aspect of the DERMS platform as it will be fully integrated into Alectra's network, complete with lating security through ongoing diligence and maintenance. The DERMS platform will be surrounded by complete unfiled APIs for integration with asset, market, utility and other 3rd party systems. Detailed key technology decisions on cyber-security features and providing for end-to-end security will be made a part of the procurement process. As a minimum requirement, all authentication will be required to be done via JSON web tokens over an HTPS channel. Enforcement will be done at AP boundary for all access to systems. All access to any entity should be defined and limited to the minimum requirement, all authentication should be proceed. A cloud-based solution with data center and network architecture built to meet the requirements of the most security-sensitive organizations, including ISO 22 certification should be proceed. Coordination, Interoperability Alectra is taking a proactive position on evaluating the benefits of non-wires alternatives within their network. It is Alectra's hope that this project will drive the incorporation of DER's into utility's existing planning and operations of DER's into utility's existing planning and operations of DER's into utility's existing planning and operations are avery with the protocol standards to interoperability and play a major cole in lowering thete. Alectra will be advecement of DER's into utility's existing planning and operations are avery with the protocol standards or the object or information model to define how DER functionality and play a majo | | Customer Attachment (Load (K)(A) | Not applicable |
| Satery Not applicable Cyber Security, Privacy Security will be designed into every aspect of the DERMS platform as it will be fully integrated into Alectra's network, complete with lasting security through onging diligence and maintenance. The DERMS platform will be surrounded by complete unified APIs for integration with asset, market, utility and other 3rd party systems. Detailed key technology decisions on cyber security features and providing for end-to-end security will be made as part of the procurement process. As a minimum requirement, all authentication will be required to be done via JSON web tokens over an HTTPS channel. Enforcement will be done at API boundary or all access to systems. The level of access to any entity should be designed und limited to the minimum required by the role/dentity. A cloud-based solution with data center and network architecture built to meet the requirements of the most security sensitive organizations, including ISO 27001 and SOC 2 certification should be procured. Coordination, Interoperability Actora is taking a proactive position on evaluating the benefits of non-wires alternatives within their network. It is Alterra's hope that this project will drive the incorporation of DER's into utility's existing planning and operations framework. • Interoperability Alterra is taking a proactive position on evaluating the benefits of non-wires alternatives within their network. It is Alterra's hope that this project will drive the incorporation of DER's into utility's existing planning and operations framework. • Interoperability Alterra is taking a proactive position on evaluating the benefits of non-wires alternatitsen the dobditus of DN | | Conformer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Net explicable |
| The DERMS platform will be surrounded by complete unified APIs for integration with asset, market, utility and othe 3rd party systems. Detailed key technology decisions on cyber-security features and providing for end-to-end security will be made as part of the procurement process. As a minimum requirement, all authentication will be required to be done via ISON web tokens over an HTPS channel. Enforcement will be done at API boundary for all access to systems. The level of access to any entry should be defined and limited to the inninuum required by the role/dentry. A cloud-based solution with data center and network architecture built to meet the requirements of the most security-sensitive organizations, including ISO 27001 and SOC 2 certification should be procured. To ensure customer information remains private, Alextra will be seeking at technology/platform provider that will conform to industrial automation security requirements, guidelines, and best practices, such as the ISA99 standard, to protect personal data. Alextra's hope that this project will drive the incorporation of DER's into utility's existing planning and operations framework. Alextra's hope that this project will drive the incorporation of DER's into utility's existing planning and operations framework. Alextra's hope that this project will drive the incorporation of DER's into utility's existing planning and operations framework. Bretorgenability Standards – DER's currently operate on certain communication model to define thoogh which to procure DER services. Brabibled Processes to Manage Market Services - A key proponent of the new technology will involve defining the protocol sull near the defined through which to procure DER services. Brabibled Processes to Manage Market Services - A key proponent of the new technology will involve defining through will not operative the exist that avoin the market services | | Safety Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not applicable Security will be designed into every aspect of the DERMS platform as it will be fully integrated into Alectra's network, complete with lasting security through ongoing diligence and maintenance. |
| As a minimum requirement, all authentication will be required to be done via JSON web tokens over an HTTPS channel. Enforcement will be done at API boundary for all access to systems. All access to system subject to be done via JSON web tokens over an HTTPS channel. Enforcement will be done at API boundary for all access to systems. All access to any entity should be defined and limited to the minimum required by the role/identity. A cloud-based solution with data center and network architecture built to meet the requirements of the most security- sensitive organizations, including ISO 27001 and SOC 2 certification should be procured. To ensure customer information remains private, Alectra will be seeking a technology/lafform provider that will conform to industrial automation security requirements, guidelines, and best practices, such as the ISA99 standard, to protect personal data. | | | The DERMS platform will be surrounded by complete unified APIs for integration with asset, market, utility and other 3rd party systems. Detailed key technology decisions on cyber-security features and providing for end-to-end security will be made as part of the procurement process. |
| A cloud-based solution with data center and network architecture built to meet the requirements of the most security- sensitive organizations, including ISO 27001 and SOC 2 certification should be procured. To ensure customer information remains private, Alectra will be seeking a technology/platform provider that will conform to industrial automation security requirements, guidelines, and best practices, such as the ISA99 standard, to protect personal data. Alectra is taking a proactive position on evaluating the benefits of non-wires alternatives within their network. It is Alectra's hope that this project will drive the incorporation of DER's into utility's existing planning and operations framework. • Thereoperability Standards – DERs currently operate on certain communication protocol standards such as Modbus or should be a microperability should be informed to development of DER's into utility's existing planning and operability should be uniformly defined. Alectra would like to contribute to development of DER standards for interoperability should be uniformly defined. Alectra would like to contribute to development of DER standards for interoperability and play a major role in lowering future utility costs by establishing a more competitive market through which to procure DER services. • Established Processes to Manage Market Services – A key proponent of the new technology will involve defining the and confirmation protocols will need to be defined through the SCADA/ADMS, which this project will play a critical role in defining. The ideal outcome within 5 years will be that Alectra wile be attempting to model. The dispatch and confirmation protocols will need to be defined through the SCADA/ADMS, which this project atims to eventually provide the road distribution level needs, allowing planners to view DERs as any other transmission or distribution asset. | | | As a minimum requirement, all authentication will be required to be done via JSON web tokens over an HTTPS channel. Enforcement will be done at API boundary for all access to systems. All access to the network should be logged using role- and identity-based access control and logging systems. The level of access to any entity should be defined and limited to the minimum required by the role/identity. |
| Alectra is taking a proactive position on evaluating the benefits of non-wires alternatives within their network. It is Alectra's hope that this project will drive the incorporation of DER's into utility's existing planning and operations framework. Interoperability Standards – DERs currently operate on certain communication protocol standards such as Modbus or DNP3, however there is currently no set standard for the object or information model to define how DER functionality should be uniformly defined. Alectra would like to contribute to development of DER standards for interoperability and play a major role in lowering future utility costs by establishing a more competitive market through which to procure DER services. Bitabilished Processes to Manage Market Services + A key proponent of the new technology will involve defining the and onfirmation protocols and requirements that govern the market services that Alectra will be attempting to model. The dispatch and confirmation protocols will need to be defined through the SCADA/ADMS, which this project will play a critical role in defining. The ideal outcome within 5 years will be that Alectra has a developed approach toward procuring market services to meet local distribution level needs, allowing planners to view DERs as any other transmission or distribution asset. The technical interface between the aggregate fleet of assets and our control room will be providing extremely valuable learning in how to quantify the technical capabilities of customer sited DERs. This project aims to eventually provide the roadmap for integrating these disparate systems in order perform complex co-optimization tasks. | | | A cloud-based solution with data center and network architecture built to meet the requirements of the most security- sensitive organizations, including ISO 27001 and SOC 2 certification should be procured. To ensure customer information remains private, Alectra will be seeking a technology/platform provider that will conform to industrial automation security requirements, guidelines, and best practices, such as the ISA99 standard, to protect personal data. |
| •Ihteroperability Standards – DERs currently operate on certain communication protocol standards such as Modbus or DDNP3, however there is currently no set standard for the object or information model to define how DER functionality should be uniformly defined. Alectra would like to contribute to development of DER standards for interoperability and play a major role in lowering future utility costs by establishing a more competitive market through which to procure DER services. •Established Processes to Mnage Market Services – A key proponent of the new technology will involve defining the protocols and requirements that govern the market services that Alectra will be attempting to model. The dispatch and confirmation protocols will need to be defined through the SCADA/ADMS, which this project will play a critical role in defining. The ideal outcome within 5 years will be that Alectra has a developed approach toward procuring market services to meet local distribution level needs, allowing planners to view DERs as any other transmission or distribution asset. •The technical interface between the aggregate fleet of assets and our control room will be providing extremely valuable learning in how to quantify the technical capabilities of customer sited DERs. This project aims to eventually provide the roadmap for integrating these disparate systems in order perform complex co-optimization tasks. | | Coordination, Interoperability | Alectra is taking a proactive position on evaluating the benefits of non-wires alternatives within their network. It is Alectra's hope that this project will drive the incorporation of DER's into utility's existing planning and operations framework. |
| | | | Interoperability Standards – DERs currently operate on certain communication protocol standards such as Modbus or DNP3, however there is currently no set standard for the object or information model to define how DER functionality should be uniformly defined. Alectra would like to contribute to development of DER standards for interoperability and play a major role in lowering future utility costs by establishing a more competitive market through which to procure DER services. Established Processes to Manage Market Services – A key proponent of the new technology will involve defining the protocols and requirements that govern the market services that Alectra will be attempting to model. The dispatch and confirmation protocols will need to be defined through the SCAD/ADMS, which this project will play a critical role in defining. The ideal outcome within 5 years will be that Alectra has a developed approach toward procuring market services to meet local distribution level needs, allowing planners to view DERs as any other transmission or distribution asset. Ethe technical interface between the aggregate fleet of assets and our control room will be providing extremely valuable learning in how to quantify the technical capabilities of customer sited DERs. This project aims to eventually provide the roadmap for integrating these disparate systems in order perform complex co-optimization tasks. |

| | Economic Development | The project will have positive economic benefits in the community, primarily by reducing electricity costs for participating households, to be achieved by: -Reducing net electricity consumption with solar PV -Operating the units for TOU arbitrage when not required to reduce peak demand on distribution assets or for power factor compensation -Developing a market and compensation mechanism for providing peak demand shaving and power factor compensation services The innovative integration of DERs into Alectra's operations centre will advance the technology readiness of DERMS software towards commercialisation, with the following economic benefits for Canada: -Advance the market for DERMS, providing ongoing jobs for DERMS providers -Increasing private investment in DER due to new incentives and decreased barriers to market provided through DER aggregation with DERMS -Establish and disseminate DERMS operating procedures for distribution system operators, reducing the cost of implantation for other LDCs in Canada -Contributing to Ontario and Canada's reputation as an innovation hub in the energy industry The project will result in sustained public education and awareness campaign regarding project participation and benefits, addressing barriers to widespread and successful deployment of battery storage market. The project will inform how trades can improve the installation of battery storage and integration of other technologies such as solar and EV charging equipment. |
|---|--|---|
| | Environmental Benefits | The project will produce GHG emissions reduction through the displacement of GHG intensive grid scale electricity generation with solar PV generation, and reducing GHGs on the grid by shifting household electricity load from peak periods to less GHG-intensive off-peak periods. Furthermore, we expect this project to provide emissions reductions benefits to Canada as a result of the project's contribution to advancements in DERMS technology and distribution ancillary services markets. We expect this |
| | | contribution to drive uptake of both private and LDC owned solar-storage units throughout Canada. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Do nothing. See priority. |
| | Alternative #1 | Not applicable |
| | Alternative #2 | Not applicable |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | Not applicable |
| General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | The storage technologies fail to work as intended or the integration with the ADMS/SCADA fails to meet expectations. Mitigation measures include developing a backup plan in terms of technology/service providers should the battery technology and integration not perform as required for the demonstration project. There are also a combination of manual and automated processes that could be used should fully integrated interfaces among systems prove too difficult. |
| | | Customer appetite for homes with the proposed technology is lower than anticipated. Research by our project partners has already indicated a strong likelihood that demand for these units will be high. Nonetheless, the team has assumed no financial contribution from the customers thus far for the technologies proposed in the project. As a result, it is very flexible in the kinds of offerings it can provide to customers to incentivize them to purchase homes that are equipped with these measures. If the consortium is required to own the assets outright without customer contributions it will be prepared to do so. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | The project builds on the Power-House pilot project launched by an Alectra legacy company, PowerStream, in 2015. This pilot enabled the deployment of 20 Power.House units – an integrated home power plant of rooftop solar panels, energy storage, two-way smart meter and cloud-based energy management system. The pilot resulted in customer savings and Alectra gaining key insights from the integration of usage data. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | Not applicable |
| | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable | Not applicable |
| | Description of Incorporation of Advanced Technology, if applicable | The truly innovative part of this smart grid demonstration project is the integration of information technology (IT) systems that manage both site level energy requirements and DER aggregation with operational technology (OT control platforms used by Alectra to monitor and manage the grid), allowing for dispatch of DER assets from the control room. |
| | Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits | This demonstration project will develop the capability of distributed solar-storage units to reduce loading on distribution assets (e.g. feeders, distribution substation transformers). Preliminary modelling has identified significant potential economic benefits from power factor correction, for example. |
| | | The solar-storage units provide back-up to customer's critical loads during grid outages, vastly improving the reliability of electricity supply for these customers. |
| | | |





| utilities | | |
|---|---|---|
| Project Code | 150342 | |
| Project Name | HaLRT_New Stirton Feeder for TPSS#4 and 8852 | X load shedding, Hamilton |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | 2019 2024 THREE DST Submitted | |
| | Contraction Tracitions | 0.020.0 |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Hamilton |
| | Location | |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | No Burden |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | *Entered Manually in Forecast |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Canacity (Lines) |
| | Alastra Cubestanan | |
| | Alectra Subcategory | |
| 4. Evaluation criteria (OEB) | Project summary | As part of the HaLK1 project in Hamilton, some early-works projects were identified where fulling intrastructure needed to be modified to accommodate the LRT. This project identifies a new 13.8kV feeder required from Stirton TS to supply TPSS#4 along the LRT corridor, as the existing 13.8kV feeder in the area is unable to accommodate the additional load. The new feeder will also have load transferred to it from the existing 13.8kV feeder in the area, to alleviate the capacity constraint on the 8852X. Contributed capital is estimated for this project at 20% (1.5 MVA/7.2 MVA) due to the amount of load the customer is expected to use as part of the new feeder. This will be updated once an OTC and model run are completed for the customer. |
| | Main Driver, Custom Convins | Current Caresity Delivery |
| | Niam Driver - System Service | Support capacity Delivery |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Ins project addresses a shortfall in capacity available on the 13.8kV system near the IPSS#4 location. An additional benefit to Alectra is the ability to transfer load from the 8852X to the new feeder proposed to supply the TPSS, thereby improving capacity on this feeder for future development along the LRT corridor. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | 1500kVA for TPSS#4 and additional load transferred from 8852X. |
| | Safety | Not applicable. |
| | Cyber-Security Privacy | Not applicable |
| | Coordination Interoperability | Coordination with Metroliny. City of Hamilton, and other utilities as part of the Hal PT project |
| | Economia Development | Du improving serverity is the area possible IDT TDCC fitture development and intersification that is likely to be |
| | | attracted to the LRT corridor can be more adequately supplied with existing infrastructure, mitigating cost barriers to attracted to the LRT corridor can be more adequately supplied with existing infrastructure, mitigating cost barriers to attracting new customers/growth. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not applicable. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Status quo isn't an option as the existing feeder is already exceeding its planning limit and cannot accommodate the new TPSS#4 load requirement. |
| | Alternative #1 | Alternative #1 is to build the new feeder from Stirton TS to the TPSS location as an overhead pole line construction. This is the least cost alternative. The risk associated with going with this alternative is high, due to minimal space available along the right-of-way along Wilson St. With buildings encroaching on the property line, any pole line with multiple circuits will have to be constructed with tall poles, whose circumference at the base could pose an obstruction to foot traffic along the congested sidewalk. As such, permission from the City of Hamilton may not be granted as this would be in violation of the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities act (AODA), requiring a meter clearance for mobility around obstructions. |
| | Alternative #2 | Alternative #2 is to build the new feeder from Stirton TS to the TPSS location by installing underground infrastructure |
| | | This would provide additional value in improved reliability of the feeder and also improve the underground infrastructure for future underground supply to the area, for anticipated loading requests due to intensification of development along the LRT corridor. A variation on this alternative, presented as Alternative #3 is to build underground along Wilson St to Victoria Ave, and then rising up for the last portion to the customer to be supplied overhead, to reduce the cost of the project. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | The risk associated with going with the overhead construction alternative is high, due to minimal space available along the right-of-way along Wilson St. With buildings encroaching on the property line, any pole line with multiple circuits will have to be constructed with tall poles, whose circumference at the base could pose an obstruction to foot traffic along the sidewalk. As such, permission from the City of Hamilton may not be granted as this would be in violation of the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities act (AODA), requiring a meter clearance for mobility around obstructions. Furthermore, with intensification expected due to the LRT, having additional duct space to serve new customers from Wilson St will enable Alectra to connect new customers as economic development continues along this stretch of the |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | LRT. Therefore the recommended alternative is to proceed with an all underground solution. The risk associated with going with the overhead construction alternative is high, due to minimal space available along the right-of-way along Wilson St. With buildings encroaching on the property line, any pole line with multiple circuits will have to be constructed with tall poles, whose circumference at the base could pose an obstruction to foot traffic along the sidewalk. As such, permission from the City of Hamilton may not be granted as this would be in violation of the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities act (AODA), requiring a meter clearance for mobility around obstructions |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | A similar customer-driven project was scoped out and designed in 2018, but ultimately cancelled. However discussions regarding restoration costs for concrete-base roads held with the City of Hamilton as well as contractor estimates to perform the underground work on a per unit cost were used in deriving the costs estimated for this project. |

| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | | | 0 | | | | | | |
|--|--|------|------|--------------------------------|---|------|------|------|--|--|
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | | | Not applicable. | | | | | | |
| | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable | | | Not applicable | Not applicable. | | | | | |
| | Description of Incorporation of Advanced Technology, if applicable | | | Not applicable. | | | | | | |
| | Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits | | | By proceeding overhead cons | By proceeding with one of the recommended underground alternatives, enhanced reliability can be expected over the overhead construction alternative due to the elimination of weather-, animal contact-, and vehicular-related outages. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 6,000,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5,000,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4,000,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3,000,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2,000,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,000,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | : \$4,847,614 | \$0 | \$4, | ,847,614 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | | |



Project Code 150343 Project Name **Bathurst Street Widening** Major Category System Access 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Scenario **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream South Location Units 1 Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Componen Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital Contributed Capital Road Authority Expenditure Type Non-Controllable Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Road Authority Road Authority Alectra Subcategory 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary The Region requires PowerStream to relocate the distribution system to accomodate road works. Main Driver - System Access Service Requests Priority and Reasons for Priority These projects are non-controllable and are a requirement of the Public Service Works on Highways Act R.S.O. 1990, CHAPTER P.49 Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not applicable The relocation of the distribution system needs to be done in advance of the road work. PS Crews cannot safely work Safety in the same time and space as the Road Crews. Cyber-Security, Privacy Not Applicable. Coordination, Interoperability Not Applicable. Economic Development Not Applicable. **Environmental Benefits** Not Applicable. 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of These projects are non-controllable and are a requirement of the Public Service Works on Highways Act R.S.O. 1990, Status Quo Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) Chapter. 49 Alternative #1 Not applicable Alternative #2 Not Applicable Justification for Recommended Alternative The Region's and local Municipalities requires PowerStream to relocate the distribution system to accomodate road works. These projects are non-controllable and the scope is defined and determined by the limits and amount of road work / road widening being done by the Municipality. 6. General Information on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management The timing and schedule of the road projects is non-controllable and based on the road projects being advanced by the Project/Activity (OEB) Municipalities. The scope and timing of the projects are driven by the Municipalities. Planned road projects may be advanced or deferred within a calendar year based on various constraints such as budget, or based on political pressures, economic development, traffic flow, etc. Comparative Information on Equivalent Not Applicable Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable 0 Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Factors Relating to Customer Preferences or Input The scope and timing of the projects are driven by the Municipalities. Planned road projects may be advanced or Project/Activity (OEB) deferred within a calendar year based on various constraints such as budget, or based on political pressures, economic development, traffic flow, etc. Factors Affecting the Final Cost of the Project These projects are non-controllable and the scope is defined and determined by the limits and amount of road work / road widening being done by the Municipality. Construction service is provided by PowerStream and its contractor. PowerStream's contractor was selected through a How Controlled Costs have been Minimized competitive RFP process which provides best costs and cost certainty. Identify if Other Planning Objectives are Met by . Not Applicable the Project, if so, which one Results of Final Economic Evaluation, if applicable, Not Applicable, System Impacts (Nature, Magnitude and Costs) These projects are non-controllable and the scope is defined and determined by the limits and amount of road work / road widening being done by the Municipality.





Major Category

Scenario

OEB Multi-Project Report

150351

Voltage Conversion - Aberdeen MS, Hamilton System Renewal

2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted

| Project Overview | | |
|---|---|---|
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Hamilton |
| | Location | Hamilton |
| | Lipits | |
| | Broject Class | No Burdon |
| | Project class | |
| | Project Includes R&D | NO |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | Topeet this denerate ongoing it officer costs | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Overhead Asset Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Voltage Conversion |
| 4 Evolution Critoria (OER) | Broject Summany | This project is addressing the renewal of accets conved by Abardeen MS in Hamilton. Currently the station supplies |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (CEB) | Project Summary | This project is addressing the relevant of assets served by Aderdeen MS in Haminton. Currently the statum supplies customers at a primary voltage of 4kV from an indoor municipal substation. As part of the reneval of feeder assets, the equipment will be replaced with similar equipment rated for 13.8kV. This will allow the municipal substation assets to be bypassed, thereby avoiding the cost to refurbish station assets in the future. Aberdeen MS and Central MS share inter-ties and have been scheduled to undergo voltage conversion together to sustain operational capability. This project is a continuation of an ongoing conversion at Aberdeen MS started in 2016. |
| | Male Different Carlos Descende | A Million (Part) as Phillip |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal Priority and Reasons for Priority | Mitigate Failure Kisks This project mainly addresses aging assets at the station and on the feeders by performing a renewal of the assets and converting the voltage to a higher class, thereby avoiding any future costs in upgrading the municipal substation and associated equipment. |
| | | The asset condition assessment indicate that the breakers are in Poor or Very Poor condition. The priority assets determining the voltage conversion are the substation assets as failure of a critical component, such as the switchgear bus, can cause a major outage for an extensive timeframe impacting a large number of customers. Furthermore due to system design and construction in the 1950's, feeder redundancy is minimal and loss of a station would result in stranded load and increased cost as generators would be required |
| | | The legacy substation equipment is |
| | | No longer supported by the manufacturer; |
| | | •Parts are difficult to come by or must be custom made; |
| | | Difficult or costly to maintain; |
| | | Bunctional and Operational Obsolesces; (e.g. safety restrictions on operation circuit breakers) |
| | | Bhable to meet current performance standards |
| | | Feeder Assets Since there is large population of feeder assets, the condition of feeder assets is diverse. While the overall condition shows the average, as diverse populations masking the impact of deteriorated assets. If the Voltage Conversion projects were not to proceed, significant renewal investments would still be required to renew these deteriorated assets as part of the Overhead Renewal investment. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | 5000 kVA and 2100 customers |
| | Safety | Not applicable |
| | Cuber-Security Privacy | Not applicable |
| | Coordination Internet with | Not applicable. |
| | coordination, interoperability | new construction built to current standards, coordination with joint-use tenants, coordination with the municipality. |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Lower line losses due to conversion to higher voltage class. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Under the status quo option, Alectra Utilities would only replace these legacy assets should they fail reactively. Under this scenario, there would be no opportunity to convert these assets to the standardized voltage levels, as assets would have to be replaced in a like-for-like manner. Replacing assets reactively tends to lead to the highest per-unit cost, and greatest impact to customer outage times. Furthermore, the reliability and safety risks associated with this infrastructure would continue to persist. Alectra Utilities would also be required to continue to maintain, and possibly replace or upgrade the legacy substations that supply these lower voltage levels, as the breaker assets have reached functional obsolescence and there are no parts available. |
| | | |

| | Alternative #1 | Like-for-like replacement of existing assets with new assets at the same voltage ratings. | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | Under the like-for-like replacement option, existing 4 kV infrastructure would be replaced with 4 kV infrastructure respectively. This approach is very similar to the status quo option, with the exception that customer outages can be avoided by replacing assets before they fail. By planning ahead to perform the replacements, the added benefit of like-for-like over the status quo is lower per-unit costs given that multiple assets can be addressed at a time. However, by keeping these system voltages intact, the functional obsolescence issues associated with these assets will continue to persist and eventually significant substation investments will be required. Should a future outage occur, it will likely be longer and create a larger customer impact, due to the lack of contingency options available at these voltage levels. | | | | |
| | Alternative #2 | Full conversion of the lines to new 13 kV primary system voltages | | | | |
| | | This alternative proposes to renew the assets in the area while also proceeding with voltage conversion to a higher voltage class for the equipment. Other benefits include taking the opportunity to redesign the feeder configuration to provide improved reliability where possible by creating loops where none exist today as well as converting rear lot supply to front lot. This alternative also provides value in the form of avoided costs to rebuild the existing 4kV substation assets. | | | | |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | Like-for-like or reactive replacement does not prove to be as economical on a large scale renewal project with numerous assets affected. 13.8kV equipment are standard stock items in many cases and can result in savings over the 4kV equivalent. | | | | |
| | | Reduced O&M costs and lower line losses due to the elimination of substation assets, improvements to the system configuration for greater operability and reliability are considered some of the incremental benefits. | | | | |
| | | The full conversion option presents the best value long-term by having conversion completed in a planned manner while also avoiding the substation investment costs, as well as benefits to the operability of the system, which ultimately benefits the customers. For those reasons, Alectra Utilities selected this approach. | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Not applicable. | | | | |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | 2019 project spending budgeted for Aberdeen MS is ~\$1.9 MM. Typically voltage conversion project phases have been budgeted for \$2MM-\$2.5MM depending on how urbanized the area impacted is composed. | | | | |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | The two substations servicing the downtown Hamilton operating area service a total of 7,400 customers and were constructed in 1950 and 1960. The overall Station Health Index for Aberdeen and Central substations is 53% and 56% respectively. The switchgear at the Aberdeen substation is 40 years old; Kinectrics determined its effective age is 54 years old. Kinectrics analysis determined that the failure for this switchgear will likely occur within five years. Aberdeen substation, which services 2,600 customers, has inadequate backup for all feeders. The failure of the switchgear at this substation will leave customers without power or subject them to rotating blackouts. | | | | |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | Generally the 4kV assets are of the oldest vintage in the system. The asset condition assessment indicate that the breakers are in Poor or Very Poor condition. 3100 | | | | |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk | Aberdeen MS 3 year stats (2014 - 2017): 16 outages, 498,235 customer minutes (54 minutes/customer/year) | | | | |
| | level) Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) | This project will address aging assets with inadequate backup. Aging assets represent an increased risk of service interruption to customers and inadequate backup would result in long duration service interruptions upon occurrence. These factors would lead to customer dissatisfaction in this area. | | | | |
| | Value of Customer Impact | Low | | | | |
| | Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Not applicable. Not implementing the project would negate any O&M benefit gained by removing a substation from service. Considered a critical component of the distribution system, a typical substation requires monthly inspections and upkeep to ensure reliable operation. | | | | |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | The benefits to reliability are in the renewal of the aging assets, as well as an opportunity to reconfigure any parts of the feeder that carry a higher risk for an outage (i.e. rear lot supply). There is also an opportunity to implement remote- operable devices to assist in operability of the system in the area affected. Safety benefits are captured in the renewal work being built to current construction standards, providing better working clearances and ergonomics. | | | | |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | The like-for-like renewal of these assets (i.e. same system configuration and same distribution voltage) will perpetuate the existing operating constraints and require capital investment to renew substation assets. Renewal of the distribution assets at a higher voltage does not involve any material incremental costs over renewal at the existing voltage. Operating constraints (e.g. undersized conductor, radial feeds) are addressed on a case by case basis where appropriate. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |





Project Code 150353 Project Name Truscott Plaza - Additional capacity, Mississauga Major Category System Service 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Scenario Project Overview 2. Additional Information Service Territory Mississauga Location The area North and South of Truscott Dr at the intersections of Bodmin Rd, and Seagull Dr. Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital *Entered Manually in Forecast Expenditure Type Controllable Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Capacity (Lines) Alectra Subcategory Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Convert the Truscott Plaza area from 4.16kV to 27.6kV through the installation of a main feeder, switch gear, and 1/0 loops. Existing comercial customers connected to radial 4.16kV systems are converting to looped 27.6kV. In a previous section, a 27.6kV feeder was extended to a new switch gear north of the Truscott Dr and Seagull Dr intersection. New routing will extend 1/0 cables to replace failing cables and provide contingency to customers on Truscott Dr, Bodmin Rd, and Seagull Dr. Section 1: Bring 27.6kV circuit down Sandgate Cres. to switch gear in Plaza (Complete) Section 2: Extend 1/0 up Bodmin Dr. to connect customers west of Bodmin. Section 3: Connect customers east of Bodmin Section 4: Connect customers south of Truscott on Seagull Dr. Main Driver - System Service Support Capacity Delivery Priority and Reasons for Priority This project addresses aging assets, radial connections, and capacity supply ability by performing a renewal of the assets and converting the voltage to a higher class, thereby avoiding any future costs in upgrading the associated equipment. The asset condition assessment indicates that switchgear are in poor condition. The priority assets determining the voltage conversion are the switchgear and feeders as failure can cause a major outage for an extensive timeframe, particularly given radial connections. Feeder Assets Since there is large population of feeder assets, the condition of feeder assets is diverse. While the overall condition shows the average, as diverse populations masking the impact of deteriorated assets. If the Voltage Conversion projects were not to proceed, significant renewal investments would still be required to renew these deteriorated assets as part of other investments. Radial customers will be given N-1 contingency. 4 existing switch gear will be removed, with the addition of 1 new gear 2 of these gear pose higher collision hazard as they are located on intersection boulevards. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) 4.16kV voltage does not provide service size for growing energy demands. Counted Capacity of the plaza customers: 4530kVA. Modern equipment reduces safety risks associated with older aging equipment. Safety Current switchgear pose higher collision hazard due to location. Cyber-Security, Privacy Not Applicable Coordination, Interoperability Not Applicable Alectra Utilities prioritizes and paces voltage conversion projects based on needs, values and risk identified in business Economic Development case for each area. The overall pacing has been determined by taking into consideration the following factors Asset Condition – Station •Asset Age System Configuration and Capacity • Co-ordination with other Capital and Maintenance Work Programs • Criticality and Customer Impact Alectra Utilities utilizes a multi-variable capital investment optimization tool (Copper Leaf C55) to optimize projects based on values and risk across the entire capital investment portfolio for the DSP period. The projects identified are optimized based on the available funding and the values and risk in the given year. **Environmental Benefits** Lower line losses due to conversion to higher voltage class.

| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | | | Status Quo / | Run to Failure | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | | | Under the status quo option, Alectra Utilities would only replace these legacy assets should they fail reactively. Under this scenario, there would be no opportunity to convert these assets to the standardized voltage levels, as assets would have to be replaced in a like-for-like manner. Replacing assets reactively tends to lead to the highest per-unit cost, and greatest impact to customer outage times. Furthermore, the reliability and safety risks associated with this infrastructure would continue to persist. Alectra Utilities would also be required to continue to maintain, and possibly replace or upgrade the legacy substations that supply these lower voltage levels, as many of the breaker assets have reached functional obsolescence and there are no parts available. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Alternative # | 1 | | Like-for-like re | eplacement of existing ass | ets with new assets at the | same voltage ratings | | | | |
| | | | Under the like-for-like replacement option, existing 4.16 kV infrastructure would be replaced with new 4.16 kV infrastructure respectively. This approach is very similar to the status quo option, with the exception that custome outages can be avoided by replacing assets before they fail. By planning ahead to perform the replacements, the a benefit of like-for-like over the status quo is lower per-unit costs given that multiple assets can be addressed at a t However, by keeping these system voltages intact, the functional obsolescence issues associated with these assets continue to persist and eventually significant substation investments will be required. Should a future outage occu will likely be longer and create a larger customer impact, due to the lack of contingency options available at these voltage verts. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | This is not the | e recommended alternativ | e. | | | | | |
| | Alternative #2 | 2 | | Full conversio | n of the lines to new 27.6 | kV primary system voltag | e | | | | |
| | | | | Renewal investments already would need to be undertaken based on the asset health condition for many of the station assets, poles and distribution transformers. Under this alternative, assets will be aligned to modern standards and practices. Unification of voltage levels across large sections of the system further improves the operability and should lead to reliability gains. Converting to higher-voltages will also create opportunities for Alectra Utilities to reconfigure the grid to add new switching points and automation, and to phase-out trouble areas like rear-lot construction. These improvements will allow Alectra Utilities to improve service to customers by conducting isolation, sectionalizing and restoration activities much faster. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | This is the rec | ommended alternative. | | | | | | |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | | The full conversion option presents the best value long-term by having conversion completed in a planned manner while also avoiding the substation investment costs, as well as benefits to the operability of the system, which | | | | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Com | pletion and Risk Managen | nent | Not Applicable. | | | | | | | |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | Comparative | Information on Equivale | nt | Not Applicabl | е. | | | | | | |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | | | 0 | | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | | | Halting voltag •Beduction in •Brcreased re •Automation •Beduction in • If Alectra the opportun • Rear lot e | te conversion would result OPEX costs (from eliminal liability from feeder ties at (reduction in outage dural reactive costs triggered be line losses. were to renew the deterior ity to economically transit quipment will be more dif | in the loss of any addition ted station maintenance); 13.8 kV for both 4 kV cust tion) for legacy 4 kV custo y asset failure; and orated lower-voltage asset ion to higher voltage equi ficult for both inspection | nal benefits such as: tomers and customers alr mers and some 13.8 kV cu s without converting to a pment for a long period. and servicing. | eady on 13.8 kV feeders; stomers; higher voltage, it would lose | | | |
| | Regional Elec | tricity Infrastructure Requ | uirements | Not Applicabl | e | | | | | | |
| | Description o | f Incorporation of Advance | ced | Not Applicable | | | | | | | |
| | Technology, if applicable Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits | | y or | Since there are a large population of feeder assets, the condition of feeder assets tends to be diverse. While the overall condition shows the average, this can be a case of diverse populations masking the impact of deteriorated assets. If the Voltage Conversion projects were not to proceed, significant renewal investments would still be required to renew these deteriorated assets as part of the Overhead Renewal investment. Even if the assets in the worst condition were replaced, the rest of the system would continue to deteriorate and continue to pose reliability risk and eventually need to be replaced. | | | | | | | |
| | 1.200.000 - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 000 000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,000,000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 600,000 - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 600,000 - | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Actuals: \$0 | l: \$1,039,592 | \$0 \$0 | | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$1,039,592 \$0 | | | |
| ··· | | | I | | | | | ** | | | |

Currency scale is in literal



Major Category

Scenario

OEB Multi-Project Report

150354

Voltage Conversion - Eastmount MS, Hamilton

| Project Overview | | |
|---|---|---|
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Hamilton |
| | Location | Hamilton, East Hamilton Mountain area |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | No Burden |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Overhead Asset Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Voltage Conversion |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | This project is addressing the renewal of assets served by Fastmount MS in Hamilton. Currently the station supplies |
| | ,, | customers at a primary voltage of 4kV from an indoor municipal substation. As part of the renewal of feeder assets, the |
| | | equipment will be replaced with similar equipment rated for 13.8kV. This will allow the municipal substation assets to |
| | | be bypassed, thereby avoiding the cost to refurbish station assets in the future. |
| | | |
| | Main Driver System Reasonal | Mitigata Failura Picks |
| | Priority and Persons for Priority | ivilugate railute nisks |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | This project mainly addresses aging assets at the station and on the feeders by performing a renewal of the assets and |
| | | converting the voltage to a higher class, thereby avoiding any future costs in upgrading the municipal substation and associated equipment |
| | | |
| | | The asset condition assessment indicate that the Switchgear is in Fair condition and the breakers are in Poor or Very |
| | | Poor condition. The priority assets determining the voltage conversion are the substation assets as failure of a critical |
| | | component, such as the switchgear bus, can cause a major outage for an extensive timeframe impacting a large |
| | | number of customers. Furthermore due to system design and construction in the 1950's, feeder redundancy is minimal |
| | | and loss of a station would result in stranded load and increased cost as generators would be required |
| | | The legacy substation equipment is |
| | | •No longer supported by the manufacturer: |
| | | •Barts are difficult to come by or must be custom made; |
| | | Difficult or costly to maintain; |
| | | Eunctional and Operational Obsolesces; (e.g. safety restrictions on operation circuit breakers) |
| | | •Dinable to meet current safety standards (e.g., switchgears that are not arc resistance); |
| | | •Dable to meet current performance standards |
| | | |
| | | Feeder Assets |
| | | Since there is large population of feeder assets, the condition of feeder assets is diverse. While the overall condition |
| | | shows the average, as diverse populations masking the impact of deteriorated assets. If the Voltage Conversion |
| | | projects were not to proceed, significant renewal investments would still be required to renew these deteriorated |
| | | assets as part of the Overhead Renewal investment. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | 20,348 kVA and 5812 customers. |
| | | |
| | Safety | Not applicable. |
| | Cyber-Security Privacy | Not applicable |
| | Coordination Interconstallity | Now construction built to current standards, coordination with inits we take the endination with the survivable the |
| | coordination, interoperability | new construction built to current standards, coordination with joint-use tenants, coordination with the municipality. |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Lower line losses due to conversion to higher voltage class. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Ouo | Linder the status and ontion. Alectra Litilities would only replace these legacy assets should they fail reactively. Linder |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OFB) | Status Quo | this scenario, there would be no opportunity to convert these assets to the standardized voltage levels, as assets would |
| , | | have to be replaced in a like-for-like manner. Replacing assets reactively tends to lead to the highest per-unit cost, and |
| | | greatest impact to customer outage times. Furthermore, the reliability and safety risks associated with this |
| | | infrastructure would continue to persist. Alectra Utilities would also be required to continue to maintain, and possibly |
| | | replace or upgrade the legacy substations that supply these lower voltage levels, as the breaker assets have reached |
| | | functional obsolescence and there are no parts available. |
| | | |

| | Alternative #1 | Like-for-like replacement of existing assets with new assets at the same voltage ratings. | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | Under the like-for-like replacement option, existing 4.16 kV infrastructure would be replaced with 4.16 kV infrastructure respectively. This approach is very similar to the status quo option, with the exception that customer outages can be avoided by replacing assets before they fail. By planning ahead to perform the replacements, the added benefit of like-for-like over the status quo is lower per-unit costs given that multiple assets can be addressed at a time. However, by keeping these system voltages intact, the functional obsolescence issues associated with these assets will continue to persist and eventually significant substation investments will be required. Should a future outage occur, it will likely be longer and create a larger customer impact, due to the lack of contingency options available at these voltage levels. | | | | |
| | Alternative #2 | Full conversion of the lines to new 13.8 kV primary system voltages | | | | |
| | | This alternative proposes to renew the assets in the area while also proceeding with voltage conversion to a higher voltage class for the equipment. Other benefits include taking the opportunity to redesign the feeder configuration to provide improved reliability where possible by creating loops where none exist today as well as converting rear lot supply to front lot. This alternative also provides value in the form of avoided costs to rebuild the existing 4kV substation assets. | | | | |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | Like-for-like or reactive replacement does not prove to be as economical on a large scale renewal project with numerous assets affected. 13.8kV equipment are standard stock items in many cases and can result in savings over the 4kV equivalent. | | | | |
| | | Reduced O&M costs and lower line losses due to the elimination of substation assets, improvements to the system configuration for greater operability and reliability are considered some of the incremental benefits. | | | | |
| | | The full conversion option presents the best value long-term by having conversion completed in a planned manner while also avoiding the substation investment costs, as well as benefits to the operability of the system, which ultimately benefits the customers. For those reasons, Alectra Utilities selected this approach. | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Not applicable. | | | | |
| Project/Activity (DEB) | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Historical projects that compare would be from other similar voltage conversion projects undertaken as part of the 4kV/8kV Renewal Program. These projects typically fall within a range of \$2MM - \$2.5MM per year for the life of the project. 0 | | | | |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | Eastmount and Elmwood municipal substations, originally built in the late 1950's on the Hamilton Mountain, are both 4kV stations that serve over 9000 customers in areas that are geographically constrained by the escarpment and are bordered by 13kV feeders. There are some feeders from these stations which feature rear-lot construction, and generally the overhead construction is on old crossrams. The substations are fitted with older lectromechanical relays. By bundling the voltage conversion along with the renewal of rear lot assets, greater cost efficiencies can be gained. As well, some savings can be realized by not rebuilding the station, as there would be needed investments at these stations in the near term otherwise. | | | | |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | Generally the 4kV assets are of the oldest vintage in the system. The asset condition assessment indicate that the Switchgear is in Fair condition and the breakers are in Poor or Very Poor condition. There have been several issues with failing electromechanical relays at this station in recent years. | | | | |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | 5812 | | | | |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk | Eastmount MS 3 year stats (2014 - 2017): 43 outages, 1,997,706 customer minutes (114.5 minutes/customer/year) | | | | |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) | This project will address aging assets with inadequate backup. Aging assets represent an increased risk of service interruption to customers and inadequate backup would result in long duration service interruptions upon occurrence. These factors would lead to customer dissatisfaction in this area. | | | | |
| | Value of Customer Impact | Low | | | | |
| | Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any | Not applicable. | | | | |
| | Implications of Not Implementing | Considered a critical component of the distribution system, a typical substation requires monthly inspections and unkeen to ensure reliable operation | | | | |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | The benefits to reliability are in the renewal of the aging assets, as well as an opportunity to reconfigure any parts of the feeder that carry a higher risk for an outage (i.e. rear lot supply). There is also an opportunity to implement remote- operable devices to assist in operability of the system in the area affected. Safety benefits are captured in the renewal work being built to current construction standards, providing better working clearances and ergonomics. | | | | |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | The like-for-like renewal of these assets (i.e. same system configuration and same distribution voltage) will perpetuate the existing operating constraints and require capital investment to renew substation assets. Renewal of the distribution assets at a higher voltage does not involve any material incremental costs over renewal at the existing voltage. Operating constraints (e.g. undersized conductor, radial feeds) are addressed on a case by case basis where appropriate. | | | | |

| 4,000,000 | | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|--------------------|--|
| 3,500,000 | | | | | | | |
| 3,000,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 2,500,000 | | | | | | | |
| 2,000,000 | | | | | | | |
| 1,500,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 1,000,000 | | | | | | | |
| 500,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 0 - | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | |
| | 40 | ŚŊ | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3 751 973 | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$3,751,973 | \$0 | , JO | 7. | | | <i>\$3,731,373</i> | |



Major Category

OEB Multi-Project Report

150355

Voltage Conversion - Elmwood MS, Hamilton

| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
|---|---|--|
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Hamilton |
| | Location | Hamilton, West Hamilton Mountain area |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | No Burden |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Component | NO |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 2. Concret Desiret Information (OED) | Contributed Constal | Cashelikutad Casihal 00/ |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Alectra Grouping | |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Voltage Conversion |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | This project is addressing the renewal of assets served by Elmwood MS in Hamilton. Currently the station supplies |
| | | customers at a primary voltage of 4kV from an indoor municipal substation. As part of the renewal of feeder assets, the |
| | | equipment will be replaced with similar equipment rated for 13.8kV. This will allow the municipal substation assets to |
| | | be bypassed, thereby avoiding the cost to refurbish station assets in the future. |
| | | |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigate Failure Risks |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | This project mainly addresses aging assets at the station and on the feeders by performing a renewal of the assets and |
| | | associated equipment. |
| | | |
| | | The asset condition assessment indicate that the Switchgear is in Fair condition and the breakers are in Poor condition. |
| | | The priority assets determining the voltage conversion are the substation assets as failure of a critical component, such as the switchgear bus, can cause a major outage for an extensive timeframe impacting a large number of customers |
| | | Furthermore due to system design and construction in the 1950's, feeder redundancy is minimal and loss of a station |
| | | would result in stranded load and increased cost as generators would be required |
| | | The large substation equipment is |
| | | •No longer supported by the manufacturer: |
| | | Barts are difficult to come by or must be custom made; |
| | | •Difficult or costly to maintain; |
| | | Eunctional and Operational Obsolesces; (e.g. safety restrictions on operation circuit breakers) Inable to meet current safety standards (e.g. switchgears that are not arc resistance): |
| | | •Dinable to meet current performance standards |
| | | |
| | | Feeder Assets |
| | | Since there is large population of feeder assets, the condition of feeder assets is diverse. While the overall condition |
| | | shows the average, as diverse populations masking the impact of deteriorated assets. If the Voltage Conversion |
| | | projects were not to proceed, significant renewal investments would still be required to renew these deteriorated |
| | | assets as part of the overhead renewal investment. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | 12,429 kVA and 3570 customers. |
| | Safety | Not applicable |
| | Coordination Interoperability | Now construction built to surrant standards, coordination with joint we tapants, coordination with the municipality |
| | coordination, interoperability | new construction puncto current standards, coordination with joint-use tenants, coordination with the municipality. |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Lower line losses due to conversion to higher voltage class. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | Under the status quo option, Alectra Utilities would only replace these legacy assets should they fail reactively. Under |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | this scenario, there would be no opportunity to convert these assets to the standardized voltage levels, as assets would have to be replaced in a like for like mapper. Peoplacing assets reactively tends to lead to the highest per upit cost, and |
| | | greatest impact to customer outage times. Furthermore, the reliability and safety risks associated with this |
| | | infrastructure would continue to persist. Alectra Utilities would also be required to continue to maintain, and possibly |
| | | replace or upgrade the legacy substations that supply these lower voltage levels, as the breaker assets have reached |
| | | runctional obsolestence and there are no parts available. |
| | Alternative #1 | Like-for-like replacement of existing assets with new assets at the same voltage ratings. |
| | | Under the like-for-like replacement option, existing 4.16 kV infrastructure would be replaced with 4.16 kV |
| | | infrastructure respectively. This approach is very similar to the status quo option, with the exception that customer |
| | | outages can be avoided by replacing assets before they fail. By planning ahead to perform the replacements, the added |
| | | benefit of like-for-like over the status quo is lower per-unit costs given that multiple assets can be addressed at a time. |
| | | continue to persist and eventually significant substation investments will be required. Should a future outage occur, it |
| | | will likely be longer and create a larger customer impact, due to the lack of contingency options available at these |
| | | voltage levels. |

| | Alternative # | 2 | | Full conversion of the lines to new 13.8 kV primary system voltages | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | T v p s Justification for Recommended Alternative 4 | | This alternativ voltage class for provide impro supply to front substation ass | e proposes to renew the or the equipment. Other l ved reliability where poss t lot. This alternative also ets. | assets in the area while al benefits include taking the ible by creating loops who provides value in the forr | so proceeding with voltage e opportunity to redesign f ere none exist today as we n of avoided costs to rebu | e conversion to a higher the feeder configuration to Il as converting rear lot ild the existing 4kV | | | | |
| | | | ive | Like-for-like or reactive replacement does not prove to be as economical on a large scale renewal project with numerous assets affected. 13.8kV equipment are standard stock items in many cases and can result in savings over the 4kV equivalent. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Reduced O&M costs and lower line losses due to the elimination of substation assets, improvements to the system configuration for greater operability and reliability are considered some of the incremental benefits. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | The full conver while also avo ultimately ben | rsion option presents the iding the substation inves efits the customers. For t | best value long-term by h tment costs, as well as be hose reasons, Alectra Util | aving conversion complet mefits to the operability or ities selected this approac | ed in a planned manner f the system, which h. | | | |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Com | pletion and Risk Managem | ent | Not applicable | . | | | | | | |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | Comparative Historical Pro | Information on Equivalent ojects (if any) | t | Historical proje 4kV/8kV Rene project. | ects that compare would wal Program. These proje | be from other similar volt cts typically fall within a r | age conversion projects un ange of \$2MM - \$2.5MM | ndertaken as part of the per year for the life of the | | | |
| | Total Capital Energy Gener | and OM&A Costs for Renew ration portion of Projects (i | wable f any) | 0 | | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | ments for Each Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer impacts (customer risk level) | | Eastmount and Elmwood municipal substations, originally built in the late 1950's on the Hamilton Mountain, are both 4kV stations that serve over 9000 customers in areas that are geographically constrained by the escarpment and are bordered by 13kV feeders. There are some feeders from these stations which feature rear-lot construction, and generally the overhead construction is on old crossarms. The substations are fitted with older electromechanical relays. By bundling the voltage conversion along with the renewal of rear lot assets, greater cost efficiencies can be gained. As well, some savings can be realized by not rebuilding the station, as there would be needed investments at these stations in the near term otherwise. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Generally the 4kV assets are of the oldest vintage in the system. The asset condition assessment indicate that the Switchgear is in Fair condition and the breakers are in Poor condition. There have been several issues with failed electromechanical relays in recent years. 3570 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Elmwood MS 3 year stats (2014 - 2017); 22 outages, 27,912 customer minutes (2.6 minutes/rustomer/vear) | | | | | | | | |
| | | | This project will address aging and poor condition assets with inadequate backup. Poor condition assets represent an increased risk of service interruption to customers and inadequate backup would result in long duration service interruptions upon occurrence. These factors would lead to customer dissatisfaction in this area. | | | | | | | | |
| | Value of Cust | tomer Impact | | Low | | | | | | | |
| | Factors Affec | ting Project Timing, if any | al calla a | Not applicable. | | | | | | | |
| | Implications | of Not Implementing | cluding | Not implementing the project would negate any O&M benefit gained by removing a substation from service. Considered a critical component of the distribution system, a typical substation requires monthly inspections and | | | | | | | |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | | | upkeep to ensure reliable operation. The benefits to reliability are in the renewal of the aging assets, as well as an opportunity to reconfigure any parts of the feeder that carry a higher risk for an outage (i.e. rear lot supply). There is also an opportunity to implement remote operable devices to assist in operability of the system in the area affected. Safety benefits are captured in the renewal work being built to current construction standards, providing better working clearances and ergonomics. | | | | | | | |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | | ect | The like-for-lik the existing op distribution as voltage. Oper- appropriate. | e renewal of these assets perating constraints and r sets at a higher voltage d ating constraints (e.g. und | (i.e. same system configu equire capital investment oes not involve any mate dersized conductor, radial | ration and same distributi to renew substation asset ial incremental costs over feeds) are addressed on a | on voltage) will perpetuate s. Renewal of the renewal at the existing case by case basis where | | | |
| | 3,000,000 - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2,500,000 - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2,000,000 - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,500,000 - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,000,000 - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 500,000 - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | : \$2,819,597 | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,819,597 | | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | | | |





OEB Multi-Project Report

150356

Voltage Conversion - Clarkson Area, Mississauga

| Major Category | System Renewal | |
|---|--|--|
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory Location | Mississauga Section1: Conversion along Constable Rd & Bodley Rd Section 2-4: The townhomes are located south of Bromsgrove Rd between Tredmore Dr and Seagull Dr. |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology Component | No |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | *Entered Manually in Forecast |
| | Rates ID | Pate Pace Funded |
| | Alestro Crouning | |
| | Alectra Grouping | Velkee Conversion |
| 4 Evolution Criteria (OED) | Alectra Subcategory | Voltage Conversion |
| 4. Evaluation Critena (OEB) | Project Summary | Clarkson Bromsgrove Area 11 in southern Mississauga conversion from 4.1bkV to 27.6kV. Rear lot underground single phase 1/0 4.16kV cables, single phase pad mount transformers, and switch gear will be removed and replaced with 27.6kV infrastructure on the boulevards. Townhome equipment will be placed on blanket easements. |
| | Main Driver, Surteen Renouval | Section1: Conversion along Constable Rd & Bodley Rd The townhomes are located south of Bromsgrove Rd between Tredmore Dr and Seagull Dr. Section 2: Townhomes (East) Section 3: Townhomes (Central) Section 4: Townhomes (West) |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigate Failure Risks |
| | Phoney and reasons for Phoney | customers by replacing deteriorated 4.16 kV distribution assets with modern, higher-voltage equipment. The lower- voltage substation assets that will be replaced through Voltage Conversion investments are the oldest in the distribution system and must be renewed in the DSP period. By decommissioning these assets and converting the system to a higher-voltage equipment that meets present-day safety and performance standards, Alectra Utilities can mitigate the failure and safety risks and improve system resilience and gain efficiencies. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Existing kVA of installed transformers: 525 kVA at Constable Rd & Bodley Rd section. 2035 kVA at Townhomes in 3 single phase circuits. |
| | Safety | 2560kVA Total Rear lot infrastructure poses a safety risk as customers are in closer proximity to equipment. Modern equipment reduces safety risks associated with older aging equipment. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability Economic Development | Not Applicable Alectra Utilities prioritizes and paces voltage conversion projects based on needs, values and risk identified in business case for each area. The overall pacing has been determined by taking into consideration the following factors • asset Condition – Station • asset Age • System Configuration and Capacity • ©o-ordination with other Capital and Maintenance Work Programs • ©riticality and Customer Impact Alectra Utilities utilizes a multi-variable capital investment optimization tool (Copper Leaf C55) to optimize projects based on values and risk across the entire capital investment portfolio for the DSP period. The projects identified are optimized based on the available funding and the values and risk in the given year. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Environmental Benefits Status Quo | Conversion to 27.6kV from 4.16kV will result in less line losses on the circuits. Leaking transformers in backyards pose a larger cost to remediate. Status Quo / Run to Failure |
| Toject and Toject Attendances (UED) | | Under the status quo option, Alectra Utilities would only replace these legacy assets should they fail reactively. Under this scenario, there would be no opportunity to convert these assets to the standardized voltage levels, as assets woul have to be replaced in a like-for-like manner. Replacing assets reactively tends to lead to the highest per-unit cost, and greatest impact to customer outage times. Furthermore, the reliability and safety risks associated with this infrastructure would continue to persist. Alectra Utilities would also be required to continue to maintain, and possibly replace or upgrade the legacy substations that supply these lower voltage levels, as many of the breaker assets have reached functional obsolescence and there are no parts available. |
| | | This is not the recommended alternative. |

| | Alternative #1 | Like-for-like replacement of existing assets with new assets at the same voltage ratings | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Under the like-for-like replacement option, existing 4.16 kV infrastructure would be replaced with new 4.16 kV infrastructure respectively. This approach is very similar to the status quo option, with the exception that customer outages can be avoided by replacing assets before they fail. By planning ahead to perform the replacements, the added benefit of like-for-like over the status quo is lower per-unit costs given that multiple assets can be addressed at a time. However, by keeping these system voltages intact, the functional obsolescence issues associated with these assets will continue to persist and eventually significant substation investments will be required. Should a future outage occur, it will likely be longer and create a larger customer impact, due to the lack of contingency options available at these voltage levels. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Alternative #2 | This is not the recommended alternative. | | | | |
| | | Full conversion of the lines to new 27.6 kV primary system voltage | | | | |
| | | Renewal investments already would need to be undertaken based on the asset health condition for many of the station assets, poles and distribution transformers. Under this alternative, assets will be aligned to modern standards and practices. Unification of voltage levels across large sections of the system further improves the operability and should lead to reliability gains. Converting to higher-voltages will also create opportunities for Alectra Utilities to reconfigure the grid to add new switching points and automation, and to phase-out trouble areas like rear-lot construction. These improvements will allow Alectra Utilities to improve service to customers by conducting isolation, sectionalizing and restoration activities much faster. | | | | |
| | | This is the recommended alternative. | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the | Justification for Recommended Alternative | The full conversion option presents the best value long-term by having conversion completed in a planned manner while also avoiding the substation investment costs, as well as benefits to the operability of the system, which ultimately benefits the customers. | | | | |
| | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Not Applicable | | | | |
| , | Comparative Information on Equivalent | Not Applicable | | | | |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | Operational issues with rear lot construction on some feeders, and direct buried cables. Old 4.16kV structure is located in rear lots, causing difficult access for equipment replacement. | | | | |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | Underground 1/0 cables in rear lot of Constable Dr are over 47 years old (1971) . Underground 1/0 cables at the town homes are over 31 years old (1987). 422 | | | | |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) | Feeder Faults 2015 - 2017 40F1 - 1320 Customer Hours 40F4 - 0.5 Customer Hours 41F4 - 207 Customer Hours | | | | |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) | Customer dissatisfaction will be mitigated by not tearing up backyards and removing transformers from rear lots. | | | | |
| | Value of Customer Impact | Low Not Applicable | | | | |
| | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including | Halting voltage conversion would result in the loss of any additional benefits such as: | | | | |
| | Implications of Not Implementing | Beduction in OPEX costs (from eliminated station maintenance); Increased reliability from feeder ties at 13.8 kV for both 4 kV customers and customers already on 13.8 kV feeders; Automation (reduction in outage duration) for legacy 4 kV customers and some 13.8 kV customers; Beduction in reactive costs triggered by asset failure; and Beduction in line losses. | | | | |
| | | If Alectra were to renew the deteriorated lower-voltage assets without converting to a higher voltage, it would lose the opportunity to economically transition to higher voltage equipment for a long period. Rear lot equipment will be more difficult for both inspection and servicing. | | | | |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | Since there are a large population of feeder assets, the condition of feeder assets tends to be diverse. While the overall condition shows the average, this can be a case of diverse populations masking the impact of deteriorated assets. If the Voltage Conversion projects were not to proceed, significant renewal investments would still be required to renew these deteriorated assets as part of the Overhead Renewal investment. Even if the assets in the worst condition were replaced, the rest of the system would continue to deteriorate and continue to pose reliability risk and eventually need to be replaced. | | | | |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | Like-for-like renewal of lower-voltage assets would increase Alectra Utilities' stations capital requirements during the first three years of the DSP period by approximately \$22M. If Alectra Utilities decided to take an opportunistic approach, where only during rebuilds would conversion take place, in a piece-meal style approach, this would actually introduce more risk to customers. Stations in general are normally backed up by one or more stations in the same geographical area. Similarly feeders themselves are also backed up by other feeders in the surrounding geographical area. Removing any feeder as part of a rebuild could create gaps in the resiliency of the network and increase the risk and exposure to the remaining customers to prolonged outages. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| 3,000,000 | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|-------------|
| 2,500,000 - | | | | | | |
| 2,000,000 - | | | | | | |
| 1,500,000 - | | | | | | |
| 1,000,000 - | | | | | | |
| 500,000 - | | | | | | |
| 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$2,714,736 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,714,736 |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | |



| utilities | | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| Project Code | 150358 | | |
| Project Name | New build - QEW Dixie West New OH Circuits, Mississauga | | |
| Major Category | System Service | | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | | |
| Project Overview | | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Mississauga | |
| | Location Units | Queen Elizabeth Way crossing at Stanfield Rd and Ogden Ave. | |
| | Project Class | Regular | |
| | Project Includes R&D | No | |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No | |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | *Entered Manually in Forecast | |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable | |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded | |
| | Alectra Grouping | Capacity (Lines) | |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ | |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | QEW highway crossing Additional 27.6kV circuits. Added betterment coordinated with the QEW Expansion along Dixie West OH Relocate. | |
| | | Incremental betterment portion to add additional 27.6kV lines crossing the QEW. | |
| | | QEW Stanfield Rd. crossing: 1 circuit to 4 circuits. | |
| | | QEW Ogden Ave. crossing: 2 circuits to 4 circuits. | |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Support Capacity Delivery | |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | This Lines Capacity investments is driven primarily by the intensification and redevelopment of the surrounding areas | |
| | | where existing supply is insufficient to meet the increased demand. Coordination with the existing rebuild project will make the incremental crossings addition much easier. | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not Applicable | |
| | Safety | Alectra Utilities is required to ensure its distribution system can support projected load growth while maintaining reliability and quality of service for customers on both a short-term and long-term basis, as required by the Distribution System Code (DSC). Alectra Utilities must also connect new customers within the timelines prescribed by the OB's | |
| | | service quality standards without adversely affecting the quality and safety of service to existing customers. | |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable | |
| | Coordination, interoperability | To maximize the efficiency of the planned work, the Lines capacity investments are coordinated with other infrastructure projects planned by local authorities. By coordinating Alectra Utilities' expansion and renewal plans with municipal and regional authorities' projects, Alectra Utilities can take advantage of other construction and share infrastructure with other utilities, such as telecommunications providers. Coordination of capital projects also ensures that work can be completed before construction moratoriums are placed on locations by municipal road authorities which would prevent Alectra Utilities from disturbing recently completed roads and streetscapes. | |
| | | Project is coordinated with city road works rebuild. | |
| | Economic Development | Capital Lines investments from 2020 to 2024 total \$116.1MM. Investments in Lines Capacity provide Alectra Utilities the ability to support connection of new developments, expedite restoration of outages as well as capability to safely and reliably integrate DER, PV and battery systems. Over the DSP period, Alectra Utilities plans to invest in expanding feeders to meet the growth and the contingency capability in the JT municipalities that Alectra Utilities Relative to the last five years, the planned increase of investment in lines capacity is mainly due to the need to build feeders to support the new urban growth areas in Markham and the redevelopment of Mississauga Lakeshore, Downtown Brampton and areas in downtown Hamilton. | |
| | Environmental Benefits | Operating feeders within planning criteria maximizes asset life, reduces line losses and ensures required power quality | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Status Quo / Do Nothing | |
| | | Adding feeders with the existing build will allow coordination cost benefits. Taking no action will ultimately result in feeders becoming overloaded and exceeding their carrying capacity. Once feeders are at full utilization, load shedding will need to be executed during the summer peak period or during contingency conditions to mitigate the risk of failure from overloaded equipment. Supplying customers through highly loaded feeders may impact power quality. For the reasons stated above, Alectra Utilities rejected the status quo or do-nothing approach. | |
| | Alternative #1 | Non-Wires Alternatives | |
| | | Alectra Utilities has considered non wire alternative (solar and storage option) and determined that this option is not economical for the capacity that is required. Based on typical capacity of 20 MW per feeder the cost of non-wire alternatives would 15 times that of traditional solution and hence this option has been rejected. This is not the recommended alternative. | |
| | Alternative #2 | Alternative #2 | | | Construct New Feeders | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--------------------|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| | Justification f | or Recommended Alterna | ative | Execution of t capacity to eff maintain supp work. This opl as additional I technical stan storage soluti This is the reco Construction of requirements, Future lines w Future traffic Cost of impler | his investment will allevia ficiently connect customer ly to customers during co tion will help Alectra Utilit load is added to the syster dards to ensure customer ons. ommended alternative. of new feeders is the only , and it forms the basis of i will easily be able to conne stoppages and work over in nenting with the existing in | te capacity cc rs to Alectra i ntingency ev ies maintain m. Alectra Ut choice for in option that a the planned set to the exit the highway rebuild will re | onstraints ar Utilities's dis ents and ope service quali ilities plans t tegrating dis allows Alectr. Lines Capacil ra ties across will be elimi esult in rougl | nd as well as ensure the ava tribution system. It will allo eration flexibility during m ity and reliability standards to construct and configure - stributed generation, electr a Utilities to reliably meet it ty investments. s the highway. nated by coordinating with high alf the cost. \$1M rather | aliability of sufficient by Alectra Utilities to intenance and other capital for the existing customers feeders to present day ic vehicles and energy forecast connection the existing work. er than future \$2M. | |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Comp | oletion and Risk Managem | nent | Not Applicable | e | | | | | |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | Comparative | Information on Equivaler | nt | Not Applicable | e | | | | | |
| | Total Capital Energy Gener | and OM&A Costs for Rene ation portion of Projects (| ewable (if any) | 0 | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | | | Alectra Utilities has identified each proposed Lines Capacity project as required in the proposed timeline and determined that each investment is required to meet the pace of development in each service area to ensure sufficient capacity and reliable service for Alectra Utilities customers. Since larger projects require greater capital investment and take multiple years to build, Alectra Utilities plans to construct large projects in a phased manner to minimize the impact on rates and resources. Incremental installation costs will be much lower due to coordination with existing work. | | | | | | |
| | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable | | uirements | Not Applicable | e | | | | | |
| | Description o | f Incorporation of Advanc | ced | Not Applicabl | e | | | | | |
| | Technology, if applicable Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits | | y or | The amount o capacity, and plans projects progress, which stable rates an Coordinating | of investment required eac expected load growth, nei using a phased approach ch allows the utility to pac nd maintenance of reliabil with existing road works p | th year is pac t of conserva based on fee the investment lity for existin roject will re | ed to match tion and den eder loading, is just-in-tim ig customers sult in a deci | timing of known developm mand side management. Al ,funding availability and cu e for connecting new deve in the area. reased in cost for installing | ent, considering available ectra Utilities designs and istomer development lopments while ensuring incremental circuits. | |
| | 1,200,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,000,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 800,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 600,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | 2010 | ۰ | 020 | 2021 | 20 | 22 | 2022 | 2024 | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | l: \$1,065,897 | \$0 | 2 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,06 | 5,897 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Actuals: \$0 | . ,, | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$ | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | | |



Project Code 150360 Project Name New build - Extend 44kV feeder Centre View Dr, Mississauga Major Category System Service Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Mississauga Location Centre View Dr. Living Arts Dr. and Rathburn Rd W. Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Componen Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital *Entered Manually in Forecast Expenditure Type Controllable Rates ID Rate Base Funded Alectra Grouping Capacity (Lines) Alectra Subcategory Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary A new 44 kV overhead/underground feeder extension is needed to provide supply to downtown Mississauga area on Centre View Drive as well as provide primary supply for Duke Municipal Station (MS). Phase 1: Section on Centre View Dr from Mavis to Living Arts Dr Phase 2: Sections to provide contingency and downtown supply UG estimates assumed for future contingency, as further OH has not been guaranteed. Tentative approval to construct an OH line on Centre View Dr from Mavis to Living Arts Dr was granted by the city. Main Driver - System Service Support Capacity Delivery Priority and Reasons for Priority Alectra Utilities services downtown Mississauga through a 13.8 kV distribution network. Based on known development plans, this network does not have sufficient capacity to accommodate the planned developments in downtown Mississauga. Alectra Utilities has been notified of the Block 8 and Block 1 plan developments which identifies 6 buildings, each approximately 40 storeys tall, requiring 18 MVA of incremental load between Rathburn Road and Centre View Drive. In addition there are planned office towers along Centre view drive and Rathburn which will another 10 MW of load. In addition, Alectra Utilities is aware that several new developments require connections above the 3 MVA limit of the 13.8 kV system. Without the planned investments, Alectra Utilities will not be able to connect the large developments over 3 MVA. Intensification of Mississauga Downtown Core: The downtown core of the City of Mississauga continues to grow at a substantial rate, with the arrival of new condo and town house development, the expansion of the Square One shopping centre and surrounding retail and commercial development, and the ongoing expansion of City and Regional transportation hubs. 40MW New Capacity Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety Alectra Utilities is required to ensure its distribution system can support projected load growth while maintaining reliability and quality of service for customers on both a short-term and long-term basis, as required by the Distribution System Code (DSC). Alectra Utilities must also connect new customers within the timelines prescribed by the OEB's service quality standards without adversely affecting the quality and safety of service to existing customers. Cyber-Security, Privacy Not Applicable Coordination, Interoperability To maximize the efficiency of the planned work, the Lines Capacity investments are coordinated with other infrastructure projects planned by local authorities. By coordinating Alectra Utilities' expansion and renewal plans with municipal and regional authorities' projects, Alectra Utilities can take advantage of other construction and share infrastructure with other utilities, such as telecommunications providers. Coordination of capital projects also ensures that work can be completed before construction moratoriums are placed on locations by municipal road authorities which would prevent Alectra Utilities from disturbing recently completed roads and streetscapes. Road extension and construction of the traffic circle on Living Arts Dr must be completed before Alectra's work. Capital Lines investments from 2020 to 2024 total \$116.1MM. Investments in Lines Capacity provide Alectra Utilities Economic Development the ability to support connection of new developments, expedite restoration of outages as well as capability to safely and reliably integrate DER, PV and battery systems. Over the DSP period, Alectra Utilities plans to invest in expanding feeders to meet the growth and the contingency capability in the 17 municipalities that Alectra Utilities serves. Relative to the last five years, the planned increase of investment in lines capacity is mainly due to the need to build feeders to support the new urban growth areas in Markham and the redevelopment of Mississauga Lakeshore, Downtow Brampton and areas in downtown Hamilton. **Environmental Benefits** Operating feeders within planning criteria maximizes asset life, reduces line losses and ensures required power quality Status Quo / Do Nothing 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Quo Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) This project is required to provide the primary supply to the DUKE MS. Without this process Alectra Utilities will be unable to provide primary supply to Duke MS.

For the reasons stated above, Alectra Utilities rejected the status quo or do-nothing approach.

| | Alternative #1 | L | | Non-Wires Alt | ernatives | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| | | | | Alectra Utilitie as part of the met by non w | es' load forecast process of load forecast underpinnin ires alternative. | onsiders the impact of CD og the stations project and | M and distributed genera determined that the cap | tion, which is accounted for acity requirement cannot be |
| | Alternative #2 | 2 | | This is not the Construct Nev | recommended alternativ v Feeder to provide prima | e. ry supply to Duke MS. | | |
| | Justification f | or Recommended Alterna | tive | Construction of | of new feeders is the only | option that allows Alectra | Utilities to provide prima | ary supply to DUKE MS and it |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OFB) | Risks to Comp | pletion and Risk Managem | ient | Land for Duke | MS is not obtained yet, a | nd may change the final s | upply location. | |
| | Comparative Historical Pro | Information on Equivaler iects (if any) | nt | Webb MS sup | ply in city center. | | | |
| | Total Capital Energy Gener | and OM&A Costs for Rene ation portion of Projects (| wable if any) | 0 | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | | The amount of investment required each year is paced to match timing of known development, considering available capacity, and expected load growth, net of conservation and demand side management. Alectra Utilities designs and plans projects using a phased approach based on feeder loading, funding availability and customer development progress, which allows the utility to pace investments just-in-time for connecting new developments while ensuring stable rates and maintenance of reliability for existing customers in the area. | | | | | |
| | Regional Elec which affect F | tricity Infrastructure Requ Project, if applicable | irements | Not Applicable | e | | | |
| | Description or Technology, in Identify any re coordination | f Incorporation of Advanc f applicable eliability, efficiency, safety benefits | ed y or | Not Applicable Work on Livin | e g Arts Dr needs to be coor | dinated with the city roac | extension. | |
| | 6,000,000 ¬ | | | | | | | |
| | 5,000,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 4,000,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 3,000,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 2,000,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 1,000,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | 2019 | - | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | \$6.478.755 | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$885.463 | \$5.593.292 |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

Currency scale is in literal



Project Code Project Name Major Category Scenario 150361 Airport 88M5 & 88M7 HONI Purchase

System Service

2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted

| Project Overview | | |
|---|--|--|
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Mississauga |
| | Location | 88M5 and 88M7 lines from Richview TS to the demarcation point 6km north. |
| | | (Demarcation is near switches YS94655 & YS94656.) |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 2. Constant Destination (OFD) | | An |
| 3. General Project Information (UEB) | Contributed Capital | *Entered Manually in Forecast |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Capacity (Lines) |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | This is a Hydro One asset purchase for the Hydro One owned portion of feeders 88M5 and 88M7. |
| | | Hydro One owns the OH Feeders from Richview TS to the CN rails approximately 6100m away. |
| | | 88M5 and 88M7 would transfer ownership from HONI to Alectra. |
| | | Purchase is to allow in-house servicing of the circuits; however the HONI owned sections are located in foronto city |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Support Capacity Delivery |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Hydro One owns the OH Feeders from Richview TS to the CN rails approximately 6100m away. |
| | , | 88M5 and 88M7 would transfer ownership from HONI to Alectra so that Alectra can supply additional loads at the |
| | | airport. |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | 2017 Concident Peak - September 25, 2017 @ 14:00 |
| | | 88M5 - 54A |
| | | 88M17 - UA |
| | | Future GTAA HUB Load 16-20MVA Estimated. There is capacity on this feeder to facilitate this expansion. |
| | | |
| | Safety | Not Applicable |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not Applicable |
| | Economic Development | This project will add capacity for the GTAA airport and facilitate expansion of the airport. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | Do not Purchase. |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | |
| | | The lines are owned by HONI and lightly loaded. Alectra currently do not own the lines from the station. For any |
| | | outages Alectra will need to coordinate with HONI and this will add to the restoration time. This feeder will be |
| | | supplying the airport and the reliability is paramount. |
| | | This is not the recommended alternative |
| | Alternative #1 | Purchase 88M5 & 88M7. |
| | | |
| | | This is the recommended alternative. |
| | | |
| | Alternative #2 | Not Applicable |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | Purchase of the 2 feeders would add to Alectra's asset base. |
| 6 Constal Information on the | Picks to Completion and Pick Management | CNR has requested removal of Alastra lines in Malton |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | Kisks to completion and Kisk Management | Similar requests may be made regarding Richview Feeders crossing the CNR tracks |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent | Not Applicable |
| | Historical Projects (if any) | |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable | 0 |
| | Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | |
| 7 Catagony Specific Requirements for Each | Papafits to Customore of Project Expressed in | Nat Applicable |
| Project / Activity (OEB) | terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | Not Applicable |
| (020) | | |
| | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements | Not Applicable |
| | which affect Project, if applicable | |
| | | Not Asserted |
| | Description of incorporation of Advanced | NOT Applicable |
| | Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or | Not Applicable |
| | coordination benefits | |
| | | |
| 4 | | |

| 600,000 - | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|-----------|------|
| 500,000 - | | | | | | |
| 400,000 - | | | | | | |
| 300,000 - | | | | | | |
| 200,000 - | | | | | | |
| 100,000 - | | | | | | |
| 0 - | | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$539,016 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$539,016 | \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| urrency scale is in literal | | · | * | * | · | , |



| utilities | | |
|---|---|--|
| Project Code | 150362 | |
| Project Name | Voltage Conversion - Dufferin St S, between MS4 | 131 and Albert St S, Alliston |
| Major Category | System Renewal | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream North |
| | Location | This project is located in Alliston on Dufferin St S between Albert St E and Tupper St E. |
| | Units | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | Project Class | Pegular |
| | Project Class | No |
| | Technology Decient or her Technology | NU |
| | Component | tes |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | , | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Overhead Asset Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Voltage Conversion |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Switch AB-B1029 was identified as a candidate for end of life replacement and automation to provide additional |
| 4. Evaluation entena (OEB) | r oject Summary | capabilities for switching in the Alliston area. Due to the fact that this switch is an air brake switch, it cannot be |
| | | operated under load. In order to replace this switch identified in the 2018 End of Life Switch Replacement plan, due to |
| | | proximity to MS 431, clearances and previously identified pole replacement candidates, eight poles need to be replaced |
| | | (P8136, P8135, P8211, P8210, P8209, P13034, P8207, P8206). The previously identified pole under the pole |
| | | replacement program was P8135, but due to the need to replace multiple poles in the area, this candidate was |
| | | removed and remains flagged as failing since 2017. Included in the scope of this project is the 3 riser poles from MS431 |
| | | In which station sustainment has flagged not spots on the solid blade switches and have future concerns about them |
| | | supports the solicing and extending of these cables for this project. Also included in the scope is the replacement of |
| | | two overhead transformers, one single phase, one three phase. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigata Sailura Ricks |
| | Driarity and Decesso for Driarity | This is a combination of insure within one once that any investore approximation of a state of the budget for due to |
| | Phonty and Reasons for Phonty | This is a combination of issues within one area, that previous year asset programs did not have the budget for due to the complexity of the area. D9125 was scheduled to be replaced under the pole replacement program in 2017, but due |
| | | to the existing size of the pole, the train tracks in proximity and bringing it to new standards meet changing multiple |
| | | poles driving the cost up. This pole remains below strength to date and the other poles within this scope are |
| | | approaching that threshold as well. The second component to this project was a planned replacement of the air brake |
| | | switch AB-B1029 with a load break switch with a SCADA connected motor mec to provide additional switching |
| | | capabilities in the area. In order to change the switch, multiple poles (7 listed above) needed to be replaced to |
| | | accommodate the new standard, the 4.16kV station riser poles and the pole listed below strength. The third |
| | | component to this project is at the station riser poles, where stations has identified all three riser switches in need or |
| | | secondary and other connection in the area |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not applicable. |
| | Safety | Air brake switches are to only be operated when off potential, which means field staff need to perform multiple |
| | | switching and isolating events in order to operate this switch. |
| | | The other element in this project is the pole P8135 which is already below the threshold for remaining strength. Each |
| | | year it is in service remaining strength reduces, increasing the chance of a pole failure. Switches/cutouts at the station riser noies have been flagged with an increase in heat traces year over year and should |
| | | be replaced in the future. |
| | | |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Automated Switches communicate back to the control room via private network |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | All units are installed to latest standards which allow units to participate in advanced sectionalizing schemes at future |
| | | dates if required. |
| | Economic Development | Alectra Utilities ensure all policies and practices don't unnecessarily create barriers to economic development which |
| | The fact was the Decision | are primarily focused within our communities. |
| | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OER) | Status Quo | Do nothing. Continue to not be able to operate the air brake switch under load, nampering switching operations, wait |
| roject and roject Alternatives (OLD) | | noner budget dollars |
| | Alternative #1 | As per project summary, replace eight poles, two overhead transformers, 3 riser switches and the air brake switch |
| | | bringing the area up to current standards with the additional benefit or adding automation and more switching control |
| | | for the Control Room. |
| | Alternative #2 | Underground this section of line. This is not recommended due to the high cost and the area all being existing overhead |
| | Institution for Decomposed ad Alternation | construction. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | Alternative 1. High service reliability and rapid response to power outages is critical to mission success and customer satisfaction in supplying electricity. PTU controlled switches provide rapid trapsfer of loads in emergencies, reduce |
| | | restoration time which improves reliability, provide flexibility to reconfigure the system to avoid feeder and station |
| | | over loads during summer peak, provide real time system readings, reduce the risk of personnel injury and are the |
| | | platform for the complete distribution automation system. Engineering Planning released a strategy report in 2012 |
| | | titled "Distribution Automation Report ", which was subsequently updated in 2015. The report recommended that |
| | | automatic switches be installed at strategic locations over a number of years to: |
| | | - Reduce feeder down time in case of outages |
| | | - Neutre the number of customers affected by outages. |

| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM& Costs for Renewable | Alectra Utilities considers the following as general risks to project schedule and cost: customer delays or restricted access to work sites inclement weather, either in the form of extreme temperatures or due to restoration activities following major storms delays to material shipment from vendors general unforeseen delays such as striking rock when digging, tree conservation, municipal/regional consent forms Alectra Utilities has utilized coordination with third parties to mitigate some of the issues where possible, with municipalities/region/suppliers/customers. Alectra Utilities has implemented a Planning and Scheduling solution to track projects and resources. The Program Delivery department allows Alectra Utilities to manage schedule and cost risks and improve the overall efficiency of implementation. Alectra Utilities is able to reduce controllable cost impacts on the project due to these risk mitigation strategies. Not applicable. Based on discussion, past similar cases have been left for emergency replacement, although not nearly as complex. |
|--|---|---|
| | Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Kelationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | Distribution Switches are critical devices for the operation of the distribution system and are installed at key operating points (e.g. feeder tie points, feeder sectionalizing). Unplanned failures of these devices would impact Alectra Utilities' ability to restore power, resulting in extended outages. Automated Switches provide rapid transfer of loads in emergencies, reduce restoration time which improves reliability, provide flexibility to reconfigure the system to avoid feeder and station over loads during summer peak, provide real time system readings, reduce the risk of personnel injury and are the platform for the complete distribution automation system. During deterioration, these abilities systematically become more unreliable and are often not discovered until they fail when called on to operate. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | The asset condition of load break switches relative to their typical lifecycle varies from switch to switch depending upon the operational stresses experienced by the switch. Distribution Switch assets are tracked and prioritized for replacement based on their health index. Assets are replaced at their end-of-life. 500 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) | The cost impact is calculated below based on the following assumptions and estimates (per Distribution Automation switch unit): - Frequency of interruption: 2/year - Duration of interruption: 30 minutes (0.5 hours). This is the estimated incremental time for manual switching in comparison to remote automatic switching - Number of customers affected in an outage: 500 customers (Segmented by manual switches) - Customer load affected in an outage: 2000 kW - Customer load affected in an outage: 2000 kW - Customer Interruption Cost (Prequency): \$20.00/kW (mixed Residential, Commercial & Industrial) - Customer Interruption Cost (Prequency): \$20.00/kW (mixed Residential, Commercial & Industrial) - Delivery Charge, etc. for loss of revenue calculation: \$0.0179/kWh Cost to Customers: - Customer Interruption Cost (Frequency) = Not Applicable - Customer Interruption Cost (Duration) = 2000 kW x 0.5 hrs x \$20/kWh x 2 failures/year= \$40,000 Cost Comparison: - Total Cost to Customers/year (Interruption) = Cost (Freq) + Cost (Dur) = \$0 + \$40,000 = \$40,000 - Average cost of 27.6kV Switch/Recloser = \$75,000 Average cost of 44kV Switch = \$154,000 |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) | Effective switch operation allows Alectra to achieve it's reliability targets and maintain customer satisfaction. Automated switches are currently Alectra's greatest resource in minimizing the effects of outages to large customer areas. |
| | Value of Customer Impact Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any | Medium This project will involve a planned outage to customers to cut over the circuit to the new pole and splice the cables back into the station. This project would be planned in conjunction with a station sustainment and customers in the area. |
| | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | These projects do not materially impact system O&M costs. |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | RTU controlled switches provide the following benefits: - rapid transfer of loads in emergencies, - reduce restoration time which improves reliability, (without automation = 50-80min, with automation = 2-5min) - provide flexibility to reconfigure the system to avoid feeder and station over loads during summer peak, - provide real time system readings, - reduce the risk of personnel injury - more efficient planned outages - enable participation in the complete distribution automation system. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | Not applicable. |

| Currency scale is in literal | | | | 1 | | |
|--|------|-----------|------|------|------|------|
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$385,170 | \$0 | \$385,170 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| | | | | | | |
| 50,000 - | | | | | | |
| 100,000 - | | | | | | |
| 150,000 - | | | | | | |
| 200,000 - | | | | | | |
| 250,000 - | | | | | | |
| 300,000 - | | | | | | |
| 350,000 - | | | | | | |
| 400,000 - | | | | | | |
| 450,000 - | | | | | | |
| 450,000 | | | | | | |



| utilities | | |
|---|--|--|
| Project Code | 150364 | |
| Project Name | New build - Port Credit Village East (Marina) 27. | 6kV Feeders, Mississauga |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory Location | Mississauga Port Credit Village East Lakeshore Rd from the Credit River to Hurontario St, and Port St to Park St W. |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | *Entered Manually in Forecast |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Capacity (Lines) |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Line Capacity Prois & Add Circ |
| 4 Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Intensification of the Port Credit East development requires a 27 6kV circuit expansion in order to provide capacity and |
| 4. Evaluation Cittena (OEB) | Project Summary | backup contingency for the Mid-rise mixed residential/commercial development. |
| | | OH extensions will be constructed on Stavebank Rd, and Helen St. UG duct bank will be constructed on Lakeshore Rd to tie into the OH system. |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Support Capacity Delivery |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Alectra Utilities is required to ensure its distribution system can support projected load growth while maintaining |
| | moncy and reasons for moncy | reliability and quality of service for customers on both a short-term and long-term basis, as required by the DSC. Alectra Utilities must be able to connect new customers in a timely manner. This Lines Capacity investments is driven primarily by the intensification and redevelopment of the marina area where |
| | | existing supply is insufficient to meet the increased demand, and the need to address specific locations where customers currently have inadequate backup capacity due to configuration of existing supply lines. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | 4 proposal plans for the Marina were developed. The high model proposes 1500 units ranging from 8-14 stories. Using 3kVA/unit we generate a high level estimate of 4.5MVA. |
| | Safety | This does not address further growth in the adjacent lands. Alectra Utilities is required to ensure its distribution system can support projected load growth while maintaining reliability and quality of service for customers on both a short-term and long-term basis, as required by the Distribution System Code (DSC). Alectra Utilities must also connect new customers within the timelines prescribed by the OEB's service quality standards without adversely affecting the quality and safety of service to existing customers. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | To maximize the efficiency of the planned work, the Lines Capacity investments are coordinated with other infrastructure projects planned by local authorities. By coordinating Alectra Utilities' expansion and renewal plans with municipal and regional authorities' projects, Alectra Utilities can take advantage of other construction and share infrastructure with other utilities, such as telecommunications providers. Coordination of capital projects also ensures that work can be completed before construction moratoriums are placed on locations by municipal road authorities which would prevent Alectra Utilities from disturbing recently completed roads and streetscapes. |
| | Economic Development | Investments in Lines Capacity provide Alectra Utilities the ability to support connection of new developments, expedite restoration of outages as well as capability to safely and reliably integrate DER, PV and battery systems. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Operating feeders within planning criteria maximizes asset life, reduces line losses and ensures required power quality |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | levels. Status Quo / Do Nothing |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | Alectra Utilities is required to ensure its distribution system can support projected load growth while maintaining reliability and quality of service for customers on both a short-term and long-term basis, as required by the DSC. Alectra Utilities must be able to connect new customers in a timely manner. |
| | | The 4.16kV feeders are already loaded and their capacity limits will not support new emerging development, taking no action will result in new customers not being serviced, feeders becoming overloaded and exceeding their carrying capacity. Once feeders are at full utilization, load shedding will need to be executed during the summer peak period or during contingency conditions to mitigate the risk of failure from overloaded equipment. Supplying customers through highly loaded feeders may impact power quality. The area has limited/and or no back up options. In case of an outage approximate 4.5MVA of future load will be lost until the repair is completed. |
| | | For the reasons stated above, Alectra Utilities rejected the status quo or do-nothing approach. |
| | Alternative #1 | Non-Wires Alternatives |
| | | Alectra Utilities' load forecast process considers the impact of CDM and distributed generation and has been considered during the needs |
| | | New feeders are required to connect customers hence the non wire alternative was not considered. |

| | Alternative #2 | | Construct New Feeders | | | | | |
|---|---|---|-----------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| | | | | Execution of t capacity to eff maintain supp work. This opt as additional 1 technical stan storage solution This is the reco | his investment will allevia iciently connect custome ly to customers during c ion will help Alectra Utili oad is added to the syste dards to ensure custome ons. | ate capacity constraints a rs to Alectra Utilities's di ontingency events and op ties maintain service qua m. Alectra Utilities plans r choice for integrating d | nd as well as ensure the av stribution system. It will all reration flexibility during m lity and reliability standard to construct and configure stributed generation, elect | ailability of sufficient ow Alectra Utilities to aintenance and other capital s for the existing customers feeders to present day ric vehicles and energy |
| | Justification fo | or Recommended Alterna | itive | Construction of requirements, Conversion of | of new feeders is the only and it forms the basis of 4.16kV to 27.6kV agrees | option that allows Alect the planned Lines Capac with the overall voltage | ra Utilities to reliably meet ity investments. conversion plan. | forecast connection |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Comp | letion and Risk Managem | nent | Not Applicable | 2 | | | |
| | Comparative I | nformation on Equivaler | nt | Not Applicable | 2 | | | |
| | Historical Proj Total Canital a | ects (if any) ind OM&A Costs for Rene | wahle | 0 | | | | |
| | Energy Genera | ation portion of Projects (| (if any) | 0 | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each | Benefits to Cu | stomers of Project Expres | ssed in | This project ti | melines matches the pac | e of development in Sinc | e larger projects require gre | eater capital investment and |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | terms of Cost | Impact, where practicabl | e | take multiple years to build, Alectra Utilities plans to construct large projects in a phased manner to minimize the | | | | |
| | Regional Elect which affect P | ricity Infrastructure Requ roject, if applicable | irements | Not Applicable | | | | |
| | Description of Technology, if | Incorporation of Advanc | ed | Not Applicable | | | | |
| | Iechnology, if applicable Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits | | y or | The amount o capacity, and plans projects progress, whic stable rates ar | f investment required ea expected load growth, ne using a phased approach ch allows the utility to pa nd maintenance of reliab | ch year is paced to match et of conservation and de a based on feeder loading ce investments just-in-tin lity for existing customen | n timing of known developr mand side management. A g, funding availability and c ne for connecting new deve s in the area. | nent, considering available lectra Utilities designs and ustomer development elopments while ensuring |
| | 5 000 000 | | | | | | | |
| | 4 500 000 | | | | | | | |
| | 4,500,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 2 500 000 | | | | | | | |
| | 3,000,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 2 500 000 | | | | | | | |
| | 2.000.000 | | | | | | | |
| | 1.500.000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 1.000.000 | | | | | | | |
| | 500,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 0 + | | | | | | | |
| | A. 100 PC- | 2019 | 2 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | 1: \$4,439,592 | \$0 | | \$0 4 - | \$0 | \$4,439,592 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | | Ş0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

Currency scale is in literal



Project Code

Project Name Major Category

OEB Multi-Project Report

150367

Mini-Orlando MS 27.6kV Land Purchase, Mississauga

System Service

| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
|--|---|---|
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Mississauga |
| | Level's | |
| | Location | |
| | Unite | North-East Corner of Mavis Ko and Britannia Ko. |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | *Entered Manually in Forecast |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Canacity (Stations) |
| | Alexter Coloritory | |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Station Capacity Projects |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Mini-Orlando MS is situated on leased land in the area of Mavis Road, south of Highway 401, provides capacity for the |
| | | commercial and industrial customers in the Heartland area. Based on the analysis set out below, Alectra Utilities |
| | | forecasts the value of this property to be \$2.15M. |
| | | |
| | | Alectra Will purchase the land that Mini-Orlando MS resides on. |
| | | Lease expires 2020 |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Support Capacity Delivery |
| | Driority and Reasons for Driority | The Hearthard Town Controls an outdoor sharping control located in Micrissoura. Hearthard Town Control occupies |
| | Phoney and Reasons for Phoney | a 2000 square for the reasonand has 190 stores, making it is one of Canada's largest malls. The Hoardand Town |
| | | 2,200,000 space leet of space and has 100 stores, making it is one of canada's largest mails. The real tank rown |
| | | |
| | | Mini-Orlando MS was specifically built to supply the Heartland Town Centre, since the nearby Erindale TS did not have |
| | | sufficient capacity to supply the development. Although Erindale TS supplies both 44 kV and 27.6 kV service, the |
| | | station's 27.6 kV supply is overcapacity, while its 44 kV supply had available capacity. Since Erindale TS could not |
| | | supply 27.6 kV capacity, the mini-Orlando Station was constructed to transforms the available 44 kV of Erindale TS to |
| | | 27.6 kV to feed the Heartland Town Centre, and to off-load capacity from the 27.6 kV supply at Erindale TS. |
| | | |
| | | Mini-Orlando MS can accommodate transfer from Erindale TS 27.6 kV feeders and meets the capacity requirement of |
| | | the industrial/commercial customers of the Heartland Town Centre-area. During the 2017 peak, Mini Orlando shed 13 |
| | | MVA from the Erindale TS which was still over the LTR limit. In the absence of mini-Orlando, Alectra Utilities would be |
| | | unable to supply the Heartland Town Centre load as Erindale 27.6 kV is already over the its rated capacity. |
| | | |
| | | Given its importance to the area, Alectra Utilities has determined that it would be imprudent to continue leasing the |
| | | land on which the Mini-Orlando MS is built. There is limited availability of land in the area, and it would not be possible |
| | | for Alectra Utilities to secure land to move the Mini Orlando MS. Purchasing the property from the current owner |
| | | would eliminate the capacity risk and cost associated in the case where Alectra Utilities was required to relocate the |
| | | station (assuming it were possible to find another site for the station). Alectra Otificies plans to purchase the leased |
| | | property. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment (Load (K)(A) | 12MVA during 2017 coincident peak |
| | customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | ADMVA dalmig 2017 concident peak. |
| | Safety | Source Owner adding. |
| | Survey | The Execution phase will follow Alectra Utilities' internal project management methodology which provides specific |
| | | guidelines, procedures, work instructions, and industry best practices that allow the project work to be performed in an |
| | | economically efficient, cost-effective, and safe manner. |
| | | |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not Applicable |
| | Economic Development | Not Applicable |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable |
| C. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Ove | Status Our // De Netking/ |
| 5. Qualitative and Qualitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEP) | Status Quo | |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | The lasse of the station is expiring in 2020. Alectra Utilities has also examined the risk of not securing land for the |
| | | station and determined that if Alectra Utilities was asked to relocate the there would be significant cost to relocate the |
| | | station and associated feeder integration cost. In addition the lands available in the area are very scare and Alectra |
| | | Utilities may not be able to secure suitable land. |
| | | |
| | | This is not the recommended alternative. |
| | Alternative #1 | Utilizing Non-Wire Alternatives |
| | | Alectra Utilities' load forecast process considers the impact of CDM and distribution generation, which is accounted for |
| | | as part of the load forecast underpinning the Stations Capacity portfolio. Alectra Utilities has also considered other |
| | | options, such as battery storage, and determined that these options are not economical for the capacity that is |
| | | required to meet the load growth and contingency conditions. |
| | | This is not the recommended alternative. |
| | | |

| | Alternative #2 | | Purchase M | Purchase Mini-Orlando lands from Orlando. | | | | |
|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| | Justification f | or Recommended Alterna | This is the re tive Purchase of | commended alternative. the lands is the simplest so | lution to ensuring continue | ed future operation of th | ne Municipal Station. | |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Com | pletion and Risk Managem | ent Failure to a | quire lands could ultimatel | y lead to necessity of statio | on relocation. | | |
| ΡΤΟΙΡΕCΕ/ΑCLIVILY (ΟΕΒ) | Comparative Historical Pro Total Capital Energy Gener | Information on Equivalen jects (if any) and OM&A Costs for Rene ration portion of Projects (| nt Not Applica wable 0 if any) | ble | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Co terms of Cost | ustomers of Project Expres | e Adding capa | city on the 27.6KV system e | entails building a new TS. | | | |
| | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable | | irements The SA repo there was ex | rt noted that the existing Er tra capacity available in the | rindale TS (T1/T2) DESN loa e area's 44 kV system that | ad exceeded the normal was able to be utilized b | supply capacity. However, by building a step down | |
| | Description of Incorporation of Advanced | | ed Not Applica | distribution station. ple | | | | |
| | Identify any r | f applicable eliability, efficiency, safety | or Not Applica | ble | | | | |
| | coordination | benefits | | | | | | |
| | 2,500,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 2,000,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 1,500,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 1,000,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 500,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | l: \$2,156,066 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,156,066 | |
| Actuals: \$0 | · · | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | · · · · · · | | | | | | |



| utilities | | |
|---|---|---|
| Project Code | 150368 | |
| Project Name | New build - North Central feeders capacity (Carlt | ton TS to Linwell Rd/Lake St) relief, St.Catharines |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | St. Catherines |
| | Location | St Catharines along Ontario St to Linwell Rd |
| | Units | |
| | Designet Class | No Durden |
| | Project Class | No Burden |
| | Project includes R&D | NO |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | NO |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Capacity (Lines) |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Line Canacity Prois & Add Circ |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summany | This project is to alleviate capacity issues in the North and Central section of St Catharines, primarily served by Carlton |
| 4. Evaluation Chiena (OEB) | Project Summary | RY hus feeders. These feeders regularly exceed the planning limit established in the Planning Philosophy. This project |
| | | would be to bring a new feeder into the area and follow that with feeder reconfiguration to rebalance the loading on |
| | | the feeders in the area back to below the Planning Limit. |
| | | The 3 feeders targeted by this project to alleviate overloading are the CTM10, CTM11, and CTM12 which historically |
| | | had, and forecasted to have the following loading levels in 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021; |
| | | CTM10: 89%, 125%, 125%, 133%, 132% *offset by generation to below rated ampacity* |
| | | CTM11: 79%, 93%, 92%, 92%, 92% |
| | | CIMI2: 87%, 100%, 105%, 105%, 105% |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Support Capacity Delivery |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | This project is meant as part of a 2-part approach to deal with ongoing capacity constraints in the North end of |
| | | St. Catharines by bringing available supply from Bunting and Carlton TS's. This condition has persisted for several years |
| | | And has impacted Alectra's ability to supply load requests that have been made by customers, while also hindering the |
| | | on their thermal limit. |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not applicable, new feeder. |
| | Safety | Not applicable. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not applicable. |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Coordinating this project with Project #150579 which is bringing capacity out of Bunting TS in order to provide timely |
| | | delivery of adequate new capacity to the area. |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not applicable. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | Alectra Utilities is required to ensure its distribution system can support projected load growth while maintaining |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | reliability and quality of service for customers on both a short-term and long-term basis, as required by the DSC. Alectra |
| | | Utilities must be able to connect new customers in a timely manner. |
| | Alternative #1 | The feeders are already loaded and nearing/on their capacity limits taking no action will result in feeders becoming |
| | Alternative #1 | overloaded and exceeding their carrying canacity. Once feeders are at full utilization. load shedding will need to be |
| | | executed during the summer peak period or during contingency conditions to mitigate the risk of failure from |
| | | overloaded equipment. Supplying customers through highly loaded feeders may impact power quality. |
| | | |
| | | The recommended alternative is to bring a new overhead feeder from Carlton TS to the North/central area of |
| | | St.Catharines where several adjacent feeders can be tied into and several chunks of existing feeders can be transferred |
| | | to this new supply, thereby balancing out the loading to the region to meet planning limits. There are a rew |
| | | St.Catharines downtown loop to free up a breaker position for this proposed project. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Alternative #2 | Non-Wires Solution |
| | | considered during the needs assessment. This area has benefited from generation to offset load for many years. |
| | | ······································ |
| | | Alectra Utilities has considered non wire alternative (solar and storage option) and determined that this option is not |
| | | economical for the capacity that is required. Based on typical capacity of 10 MW per feeder the cost of non-wire |
| | | alternatives would 15 times that of traditional solution and hence this option has been rejected. |
| | | |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | Execution of this investment will alleviate capacity constraints and as well as ensure the availability of sufficient |
| | | capacity to efficiently connect customers to Alectra Utilities's distribution system. It will allow Alectra Utilities to |
| | | maintain supply to customers during contingency events and operation flexibility during maintenance and other capital |
| | | work. This option will help Alectra Utilities maintain service quality and reliability standards for the existing customers |
| | | as additional load is added to the system. Alectra Utilities plans to construct and configure feeders to present day |
| | | technical standards to ensure customer choice for integrating distributed generation, electric vehicles and energy |
| | | sturage solutions. |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Coordination with the city for municipal consent. |
| Project/Activity (OFB) | | |

| | Comparative Historical Pro Total Capital Energy Gene | Information on Equivalen ojects (if any) and OM&A Costs for Rener ration portion of Projects (i | t wable f any) | Project #1503 \$1.7MM for a 0 | 90 which is a new similarly scoped p | capacity f project. | feeder for the Waterdov | vn area along existing pol | e lines and is budgeted for | |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------|--|---|---|--|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to C terms of Cos | Sustomers of Project Express t Impact, where practicable | sed in | Not applicable | 2. | | | | | |
| | Regional Elect | ctricity Infrastructure Requi Project, if applicable | rements | Not applicable | 2. | | | | | |
| | Description of | of Incorporation of Advance | ed | Automated/remote-operable switches will be utilized at new tie-points. | | | | | | |
| | Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits | | Enhanced relia outage and w | ability is expected ith new remote-op | with reco perable sw | nfiguration of the feede vitches added to improv | ers as less customers per for re restoration. | eeder will be impacted by an | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,200,000 | Ι | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,000,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 800,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 600,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 64 007 266 | 2019 | | 2020 | 2021 | | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | : \$1,997,266 | \$0 \$0 | | \$U \$0 | \$989,556 \$0 |) | \$1,007,710 | \$0 \$0 | ۶U \$0 | |
| - Actuals. 50 | | ٥Ļ | | γu | ŞŪ | | υç | | οç | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | | |



| a contractor | 450360 | |
|---|---|---|
| Project Code | 150369 | |
| Project Name | New Duild - 44kV Feeder Extension York/Meadov | wpine, mississauga |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | 2019-2024 - TINAL DSF Sublittled | |
| 2 Additional Information | Service Territory | Mississauga |
| 2. Additional mormation | Location | Meadowpine Blvd from Howe Court to the OH circuit west of Meadowvale Blvd. |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | *Entered Manually in Forecast |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Capacity (Lines) |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | A 44kV OH feeder extension along Meadowpine in order to loop the existing 44kV feeding the cold storage . Circuit will run on Meadowpine Blvd from Howe Court to the OH circuit west of Meadowvale Blvd. This project will coordinate with a future 44kV customer on Meadowpine Blvd. The customer is to pay for OH connection to the 44kV and Alectra will pay the incremental costs to loop the circuit. |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Support Capacity Delivery |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | This Lines Capacity investments is driven primarily by the need to address backup of the 44kV supply to Meadowpine. |
| | | There is no 44KV circuit on Meadowpine Blvd. and it is expected that the large customers will be connecting to the 44KV circuit. In addition 16MVA of connected load is on radial supply. The large industrial customer will incur a long outage as it on radial supply. The 44 circuit will be built in order to provide capacity for new 44KV loads and to provide looped feed. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | 44kV R3107M3 had 2017 Peak of 345A |
| | Safety | 16000kVA currently radial on Howe court. Alectra Utilities is required to ensure its distribution system can support projected load growth while maintaining reliability and quality of service for customers on both a short-term and long-term basis, as required by the Distribution System Code (DSC). Alectra Utilities must also connect new customers within the timelines prescribed by the OEB's service quality standards without adversely affecting the quality and safety of service to existing customers. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy Coordination, Interoperability | Not Applicable To maximize the efficiency of the planned work, the Lines Capacity investments are coordinated with other infrastructure projects planned by local authorities. By coordinating Alectra Utilities' expansion and renewal plans with municipal and regional authorities' projects, Alectra Utilities can take advantage of other construction and share infrastructure with other utilities, such as telecommunications providers. Coordination of capital projects also ensures that work can be completed before construction moratoriums are placed on locations by municipal road authorities which would prevent Alectra Utilities from disturbing recently completed roads and streetscapes. Coordination should be done with incoming customer on Meadowpine Blvd. |
| | Economic Development | Investments in Lines Capacity provide Alectra Utilities the ability to support connection of new developments, expedite restoration of outages as well as capability to safely and reliably integrate DER, PV and battery systems. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Operating feeders within planning criteria maximizes asset life, reduces line losses and ensures required power quality |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | levels. Status Quo / Do Nothing |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | The area has no back up options. In case of an outage approximate 16MVA of load will be lost uptil the repair is |
| | Alternative #1 | completed. For the reasons stated above, Alectra Utilities rejected the status quo or do-nothing approach. Non-Wires Alternatives |
| | | Alectra Utilities has considered non wire alternative (solar and storage option) and determined that this option is not economical for the capacity that is required. Based on typical capacity of 30 MW per feeder the cost of non-wire alternatives would 15 times that of traditional solution and hence this option has been rejected. This is not the recommended alternative. |
| | Alternative #2 | Construct New Feeders |
| | | Execution of this investment will alleviate capacity constraints and as well as ensure the availability of sufficient capacity to efficiently connect customers to Alectra Utilities's distribution system. It will allow Alectra Utilities to maintain supply to customers during contingency events and operation flexibility during maintenance and other capital work. This option will help Alectra Utilities maintain service quality and reliability standards for the existing customers as additional load is added to the system. Alectra Utilities plans to construct and configure feeders to present day technical standards to ensure customer choice for integrating distributed generation, electric vehicles and energy storage solutions. This is the recommended alternative. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | Construction of new feeders is the only option that allows Alectra Utilities to reliably meet forecast connection requirements, and it forms the basis of the planned Lines Capacity investments. |

| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Com | pletion and Risk Manageme | nt I | Not Applicable | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| | Comparative | Information on Equivalent | 1 | Not Applicable | | | | | |
| | Total Capital | and OM&A Costs for Renew | vable (| 0 | | | | | |
| | Energy Gener | ration portion of Projects (if | any) | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Cu terms of Cost | ustomers of Project Expresse t Impact, where practicable | ed in da c t i | Alectra Utilities has identified each proposed Lines Capacity project as required in the proposed timeline and determined that each investment is required to meet the pace of development in each service area to ensure suffic capacity and reliable service for Alectra Utilities customers. Since larger projects require greater capital investment take multiple years to build, Alectra Utilities plans to construct large projects in a phased manner to minimize the impact on rates and resources. | | | | posed timeline and rvice area to ensure sufficient reater capital investment and manner to minimize the | |
| | Regional Elec which affect I | ctricity Infrastructure Require Project, if applicable | ements I | Not Applicable | | | | | |
| | Description o | of Incorporation of Advanced | ı t | Not Applicable | | | | | |
| | Identify any r coordination | reliability, efficiency, safety c benefits | or T G F S E | The amount of inve capacity, and expec plans projects using progress, which all stable rates and ma Extension of the fer Blvd. Coordination | estment cted load g a phase bws the aintenan eder will with an | required eacl d growth, net ed approach utility to pace ice of reliabili provide conf incoming cus | h year is paced to mat of conservation and d based on feeder loadii i investments just-in-t ity for existing custom tingency and thus incre- tiomer will allow costs | h timing of known develop emand side management. <i>I</i> g, funding availability and o me for connecting new dev rs in the area. ase reliability of the 44kV o to be shared with that cust | ment, considering available Alectra Utilities designs and customer development elopments while ensuring sustomers on Meadowpine comer. |
| | 2,000,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,800,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,600,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,400,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,200,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,000,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 800,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 600,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 200.000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | | | | | | | | |
| | | 2019 | 20 | 020 | 203 | 21 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | 1: \$1,776,037 | \$0 | \$ | \$0 | \$1,77 | 5,037 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$ | \$0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | |



| a tintioo | 450070 | |
|---|--|---|
| Project Code | 150370 | |
| Project Name | New build - 27.6KV New Feeders Lakeview Devel | opment, Mississauga |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Project Overview | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Sublittleu | |
| 2 Additional Information | Service Territory | Missiscauga |
| | Location | Located South of Lakeshore Rd E from Lakefront Promenade to Hydro Rd. |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OFB) | Contributed Capital | *Entered Manually in Forecast |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Capacity (Lines) |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Two 27.6kV feeders are to be extended in order to provide for 10,000 tentative units in the Lakeview area. |
| | | Located South of Lakeshore Rd E from Lakefront Promenade to Hydro Rd. |
| | | The OH circuits will be extended down Lakefront Promenade, and UG circuits will extend from Lakefront Promenade to Hydro Rd. The routing is preliminary and will be finalized when site plans are made available. |
| | Main Driver System Service | Support Copority Delivery |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Support Capacity Delivery |
| | Phoney and Reasons for Phoney | greenfield regions. |
| | | 10,000 units are proposed for development in the Lakeview area. At present there are no feeders to feed this |
| | | development. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | 10,000 units at 2.5KVA/unit = 25MVA |
| | Safety | Alectra Utilities is required to ensure its distribution system can support projected load growth while maintaining |
| | | reliability and quality of service for customers on both a short-term and long-term basis, as required by the Distribution System Code (DSC). Alectra Utilities must also connect new customers within the timelines prescribed by the OEB's service quality standards without adversely affecting the quality and safety of service to existing customers. |
| | | |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable |
| | Coordination, interoperatinity | Infrastructure projects planned by local authorities. By coordinating Alectra Utilities' expansion and renewal plans with municipal and regional authorities' projects, Alectra Utilities can take advantage of other construction and share infrastructure with other utilities, such as telecommunications providers. Coordination of capital projects also ensures that work can be completed before construction moratoriums are placed on locations by municipal road authorities which would prevent Alectra Utilities from disturbing recently completed roads and streetscapes. |
| | Economic Development | Investments in Lines Capacity provide Alectra Utilities the ability to support connection of new developments, expedite restoration of outages as well as capability to safely and reliably integrate DER, PV and battery systems. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Operating feeders within planning criteria maximizes asset life, reduces line losses and ensures required power quality |
| | | levels. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OER) | Status Quo | Status Quo / Do Nothing |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | These are new development, if new overhead lines are not constructed, it will be physically impossible for Alectra |
| | | Utilities to connect new customers to the grid. |
| | Alternative #1 | For the reasons stated above, Alectra Utilities rejected the status quo or do-nothing approach. Non-Wires Alternatives |
| | | For this project these options have not been considered as new feeders are needed to connect the customers to grid. This is not the recommended alternative. |
| | Alternative #2 | Construct New Feeders |
| | | |
| | | With the Execution of this investment Alectra will be able to connect new customers. Alectra Utilities plans to construct and configure feeders to present day technical standards to ensure customer choice for integrating distributed generation, electric vehicles and energy storage solutions. This is the recommended alternative. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | Construction of new feeders is the only option that allows Alectra Utilities to connect customers and it forms the basis |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | or the planned Lines Capacity investments. Not Applicable |
| FIGJECT/ACTIVITY (DED) | Comparative Information on Equivalent | Not Applicable |
| | Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7 Catagony Specific Paralisements for Fact | Popolite to Customore of Parient Fundational | Alectra Utilities place to construct large projects in a phased manual to minimize the impact on other set. |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | Alectical opinities plains to construct large projects in a phased manner to minimize the impact on rates and resources. |

| Regional El which affer | ectricity Infrastructure Requiremen t Project, if applicable | ts Not Applicabl | Not Applicable | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Description Technology | of Incorporation of Advanced , if applicable | Not Applicabl | | | | | | | | |
| ldentify an coordinatio | y reliability, efficiency, safety or n benefits | The amount of capacity, and plans projects progress, whi stable rates a | of investment required each expected load growth, net s using a phased approach h ch allows the utility to pace nd maintenance of reliabili | h year is paced to matcl of conservation and de based on feeder loading e investments just-in-tir ty for existing customer | n timing of known developn mand side management. Al g, funding availability and ct ne for connecting new deve rs in the area. | nent, considering available ectra Utilities designs and istomer development lopments while ensuring | | | | |
| 2,000,000 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,800,000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,600,000 | - | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,400,000 | - | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,200,000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,000,000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 800,000 | - | | | | | | | | | |
| 600,000 | - | | | | | | | | | |
| 400,000 | - | | | | | | | | | |
| 200,000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$1,890,06 | 5 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,890,065 | \$0 | | | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |



| utilities | | |
|--|--|---|
| Project Code | 150371 | |
| Project Name | New build - 27.6kV Feeder Extension Traders, M | <u>ississauga</u> |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Mississauga |
| | Location | The area between Hurontario St and Kennedy Rd from Matheson Blvd to Britannia Rd. |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | *Entered Manually in Forecast |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Capacity (Lines) |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Line Capacity Prois & Add Circ |
| 4 Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Install new feeders in the Traders area between Hurontario St and Kennedy Rd from Matheson Blyd to Britannia Rd |
| | | |
| | | These new feeders will service growing customers in the traders area. |
| | | Brunel Rd Feeder |
| | | Traders Blvd E Feeder Whittle Rd & Watling Ave Feeder |
| | | Walline Ave & McAdam Rd, Feeder |
| | | Matheson Blvd Feeder |
| | | Feeder extension should coordinate with incoming/upgrading customer loads. |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Support Capacity Delivery |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | This Lines Canacity investments is driven primarily by the intensification and redevelopment of multiple locations |
| | Thomey and Reasons for Thomey | around the Traders area where existing supply is insufficient to meet the increased demand. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Red Loop 10225kVA (31 Tx, 71 BLDGS.) YC5676 to YC5672 |
| | | Light Blue Loop 81/5kVA (21 1X, 34 BLDGS.) 1C50/5 to 1C54394 |
| | | Orange Loop 4050kVA (13 Tx, 30 BLDGS.) YC5438 to YC54254 |
| | | Pink Loop 8700kVA (23 Tx, 56 BLDGS.) YC54255 to YC5444 |
| | Safety | Alectra Utilities is required to ensure its distribution system can support projected load growth while maintaining |
| | | reliability and quality of service for customers on both a short-term and long-term basis, as required by the Distribution |
| | | System Lode (USC). Alectra Utilities must also connect new customers within the timelines prescribed by the UEB s |
| | | service quality standards without deversely anceding the quality and safety of service to existing easterners. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | To maximize the efficiency of the planned work, the Lines Capacity investments are coordinated with other |
| | | infrastructure projects planned by local authorities. By coordinating Alectra Utilities' expansion and renewal plans with |
| | | municipal and regional authorities' projects, Alectra Utilities can take advantage of other construction and share |
| | | infrastructure with other utilities, such as telecommunications providers. Coordination of capital projects also ensures |
| | | which would prevent Alectra Utilities from disturbing recently completed roads and streetscapes |
| | | Feeder extension should be coordinated with incoming/upgrading customers in order to offset costs. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Economic Development | Investments in Lines Canacity provide Alectra Utilities the ability to support connection of new developments, expedite |
| | | restoration of outages as well as capability to safely and reliably integrate DER, PV and battery systems. |
| | | |
| | Environmental Benefits | Operating feeders within planning criteria maximizes asset life, reduces line losses and ensures required power quality |
| 5 Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Ouo | ievels. Status Ouo / Do Nothing |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | |
| | | The feeders are already loaded and at their capacity limits, taking no action will result in feeders becoming overloaded |
| | | and exceeding their carrying capacity. Once feeders are at full utilization, load shedding will need to be executed durin |
| | | the summer peak period or during contingency conditions to mitigate the risk of failure from overloaded equipment. |
| | | supplying customers through highly loaded feeders may impact power quality. For the reasons stated above. Alectra Utilities rejected the status quo or do nothing approach |
| | | or the reasons stated above, receita ornites rejected the status quo or do-nothing approach. |
| | Alternative #1 | Non-Wires Alternatives |
| | | |
| | | Alectra Utilities has considered non wire alternative (solar and storage option) and determined that this option is not |
| | | alternatives would 15 times that of traditional solution and hence this option has been rejected |
| | | This is not the recommended alternative. |

| | Alternative #2 | 2 | | Construct New F | eeders | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| | | | | Execution of this capacity to effici maintain supply work. This option as additional loa technical standa storage solutions This is the recom | investment will ently connect cu: to customers dui n will help Alectra d is added to the rds to ensure cus s. mended alternat | alleviate capacity stomers to Alectr ring contingency a Utilities mainta e system. Alectra stomer choice for tive. | constraints an a Utilities's dist events and ope n service quali Jtilities plans to integrating dis | d as well as ensure the ava rribution system. It will allo ration flexibility during ma ty and reliability standards o construct and configure I tributed generation, electr | ilability of sufficient w Alectra Utilities to intenance and other capital for the existing customers eeders to present day ic vehicles and energy |
| | Justification f | for Recommended Alterna | tive | Construction of requirements, and | new feeders is th nd it forms the ba | e only option tha asis of the planne | t allows Alectra d Lines Capacit | a Utilities to reliably meet f y investments. | orecast connection |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OFB) | Risks to Com | pletion and Risk Managem | ient | Not Applicable | | | | | |
| | Comparative Historical Pro | Information on Equivalen viects (if any) | it | Not Applicable | | | | | |
| | Total Capital Energy Gener | and OM&A Costs for Rene ration portion of Projects (| wable if any) | 0 | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | | Alectra Utilities I determined that capacity and reli take multiple yea impact on rates | nas identified eac each investment able service for A ars to build, Alect and resources. | ch proposed Lines t is required to m Alectra Utilities cu tra Utilities plans | Capacity proje eet the pace of stomers. Since to construct la | ect as required in the propo development in each serv larger projects require gre rge projects in a phased ma | used timeline and ice area to ensure sufficient ater capital investment and anner to minimize the | |
| | Regional Elec which affect I | tricity Infrastructure Requi Project, if applicable | irements | Not Applicable | | | | | |
| | Description o Technology, i Identify any r coordination | of Incorporation of Advance if applicable eliability, efficiency, safety benefits | ed / or | Not Applicable The amount of ir capacity, and ex plans projects us progress, which stable rates and | nvestment requir pected load grow ing a phased app allows the utility maintenance of i | red each year is p rth, net of conser proach based on t to pace investme reliability for exis | aced to match vation and den eeder loading, ints just-in-time ing customers | timing of known developm hand side management. Al funding availability and cu e for connecting new devel in the area. | ent, considering available ectra Utilities designs and stomer development opments while ensuring |
| | 3,000,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 2,500,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 2,000,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,500,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,000,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 500,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | | 2020 | 2021 | | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | · \$5 533 974 | \$0 | | \$0 | \$2 751 754 | ć7 | 782 170 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | , 22, | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | |



| utilities | | |
|---|--|--|
| Project Code | 150374 | |
| Project Name | New build - 13.8kV Feeder Extension 9th Line, De | rry to Argentia, Mississauga |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Mississauga |
| | Location Units | Along Ninth Line from Derry Rd W to Argentia Rd. |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | *Entered Manually in Forecast |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Capacity (Lines) |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | 13.8kV OH feeder extension From Derry Rd W to Argentia Rd. |
| | | This will provide additional capacity for growth along 9th Line. |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Support Capacity Delivery |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Alectra Utilities is required to ensure its distribution system can support projected load growth while maintaining reliability and quality of service for customers on both a short-term and long-term basis, as required by the DSC. Alectra Utilities must be able to connect new customers in a timely manner. This Lines Capacity investments is driven primarily by the rapid expansion of urban development into historically rural greenfield regions. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Existing 13.8kV 82F1 (204A 2017 Peak) (4700kVA available) |
| | | Proposed extension 13.8kV 82F2 (147A 2017 Peak) (6000kVA available) |
| | Safety | North tie point 13.8KY 90F1 (33A 2017 Peak) Alectra Utilities is required to ensure its distribution system can support projected load growth while maintaining reliability and quality of service for customers on both a short-term and long-term basis, as required by the Distribution System Code (DSC). Alectra Utilities must also connect new customers within the timelines prescribed by the OEB's service quality standards without adversely affecting the quality and safety of service to existing customers. |
| | Cyber-Security Privacy | Not Applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | To maximize the efficiency of the planned work, the Lines Capacity investments are coordinated with other infrastructure projects planned by local authorities. By coordinating Alectra Utilities' expansion and renewal plans with municipal and regional authorities' projects, Alectra Utilities can take advantage of other construction and share infrastructure with other utilities, such as telecommunications providers. Coordination of capital projects also ensures that work can be completed before construction moratoriums are placed on locations by municipal road authorities which would prevent Alectra Utilities from disturbing recently completed roads and streetscapes. |
| | Economic Development | Investments in Lines Capacity provide Alectra Utilities the ability to support connection of new developments, expedite restoration of outages as well as capability to safely and reliably integrate DER, PV and battery systems. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Operating feeders within planning criteria maximizes asset life, reduces line losses and ensures required power quality |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (QFB) | Status Quo | Status Quo / Do Nothing |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | Alectra Utilities is required to ensure its distribution system can support projected load growth while maintaining reliability and quality of service for customers on both a short-term and long-term basis, as required by the DSC. Alectra Utilities must be able to connect new customers in a timely manner. These are new development, if new overhead lines are not constructed, it will be physically impossible for Alectra Utilities to connect new customers to the grid. For the reasons stated above, Alectra Utilities rejected the status quo or do-nothing approach. |
| | Alternative #1 | Non-Wires Alternatives |
| | | Alectra Utilities' load forecast process considers the impact of CDM and distributed generation and has been considered during the needs For this project these options have not been considered as new feeders are needed to connect the customers to grid. |
| | | This is not the recommended alternative. |

| | Alternative #2 | | | Construct New | Feeders | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| | | | | Execution of th capacity to effi maintain suppi work. This opti as additional lo technical stand storage solutio This is the reco | is investment will allevia ciently connect custome y to customers during co on will help Alectra Utili boad is added to the syste lards to ensure custome ns. mmended alternative. | te capacity constraints ar rs to Alectra Utilities's dis ontingency events and ope ties maintain service quali m. Alectra Utilities plans t r choice for integrating dis | Id as well as ensure the ave tribution system. It will all eration flexibility during ma ty and reliability standards o construct and configure tributed generation, electe | ailability of sufficient w Alectra Utilities to aintenance and other capital for the existing customers feeders to present day ric vehicles and energy | | |
| | Justification for | or Recommended Alterna | tive | Construction o requirements, | f new feeders is the only and it forms the basis of | option that allows Alectri the planned Lines Capacit | a Utilities to reliably meet ty investments. | forecast connection | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Comp | letion and Risk Managem | ient | Not Applicable | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Comparative Historical Proj | nformation on Equivalen | it | Not Applicable | | | | | | |
| | Total Capital a Energy Gener | and OM&A Costs for Rene ation portion of Projects (| wable if any) | 0 | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | | Alectra Utilities has identified each proposed Lines Capacity project as required in the proposed timeline and determined that each investment is required to meet the pace of development in each service area to ensure sufficient capacity and reliable service for Alectra Utilities customers. Since larger projects require greater capital investment and take multiple years to build, Alectra Utilities plans to construct large projects in a phased manner to minimize the impact on rates and resources. | | | | | | | |
| | Regional Elect which affect F | ricity Infrastructure Requ Project, if applicable | irements | Not Applicable | | | | | | |
| | Description of | Incorporation of Advance | ed | Not Applicable | | | | | | |
| | Technology, it Identify any re coordination | applicable eliability, efficiency, safety benefits | / or | The amount of capacity, and e plans projects progress, which stable rates an | investment required ear expected load growth, ne using a phased approach n allows the utility to par d maintenance of reliabi | ch year is paced to match et of conservation and den based on feeder loading, ce investments just-in-tim lity for existing customers | timing of known developn nand side management. Al funding availability and cu e for connecting new deve in the area. | nent, considering available ectra Utilities designs and istomer development lopments while ensuring | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,400,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,200,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,000,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 800,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 600,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | : \$1,205,091 | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,205,091 | \$0 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | | |



Project Code 150377 Project Name Voltage Conversion and Rear Lot - Montgomery Dr, Hamilton Major Category System Renewal Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Hamilton Location Hamilton, Ancaster area along Montgomery Dr. Units Project Class No Burden Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) **Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Overhead Asset Renewal Alectra Subcategory Voltage Conversion 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary This project is to perform a voltage conversion from 4kV to 27.6kV downstream from a distribution step-down transformer on Montgomery Dr in Ancaster. There is also a portion of the project to address some rear lot construction within the neighbourhood. Main Driver - System Renewal Mitigate Failure Risks Priority and Reasons for Priority The area has seen outages due to the rear lot construction as recently as April 2018, as well, some re-work requested due to a customer service upgrade has positioned this area as an ideal candidate to complete the voltage conversion and remove the step-down transformer, while also tackling a problematic rear lot area. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) 227 customers and 997 kVA There are some safety concerns regarding working on rear lot pole lines, due to access restrictions for larger Safety equipment Cvber-Security, Privacy Not applicable Coordination, Interoperability Not applicable. Not applicable. Economic Development Environmental Benefits By removing rear lot supplied transformers to a more accessible front lot location, any potential oil spill issues can be much more effectively addressed. Under the status quo option, Alectra Utilities would only replace these legacy assets should they fail reactively. Under 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Ouo this scenario, there would be no opportunity to convert these assets to the standardized voltage levels, as assets would Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) have to be replaced in a like-for-like manner. Replacing assets reactively tends to lead to the highest per-unit cost, and greatest impact to customer outage times. Furthermore, the reliability and safety risks associated with this infrastructure would continue to persist. Alternative #1 Like-for-like replacement of existing assets with new assets at the same voltage ratings. Under the like-for-like replacement option, existing 4 kV infrastructure would be replaced with 4 kV infrastructure respectively. This approach is very similar to the status quo option, with the exception that customer outages can be avoided by replacing assets before they fail. By planning ahead to perform the replacements, the added benefit of likefor-like over the status quo is lower per-unit costs given that multiple assets can be addressed at a time. The operation concerns of supplying customers from Rear Lot primary would persist. Alternative #2 Full conversion of the lines to new 27.6 kV primary system voltages This alternative proposes to renew the assets in the area while also proceeding with voltage conversion to a higher voltage class for the equipment. Other benefits include taking the opportunity to redesign the feeder configuration to provide improved reliability where possible by creating loops where none exist today as well as converting rear lot supply to front lot. The plan for this area is to leverage some of the existing repair work and customer driven redesign to finally eliminate the rear lot in the area and complete the voltage conversion to 27kV for the remaining assets. The majority of customers would be supplied from front lot overhead, but some will have to be supplied via underground secondary Justification for Recommended Alternative This area would have been left as-is during the last voltage conversion that was conducted in Ancaster to bring surrounding areas to 27kV. Given the vintage of the majority of assets is the 1950's, and there are several sections that have seen spot replacement in the last decade, it makes sense to take the cost effective approach to replace the remaining assets in a systematic way. To perform voltage conversion at the same time is prudent to bring this pocket of customers up to the same voltage class as surrounding areas. The full conversion option presents the best value long-term by having conversion completed in a planned manner as well as benefits to the operability of the system, which ultimately benefits the customers. For those reasons, Alectra Utilities selected this approach. 6. General Information on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management Municipal consent for any pole relocation, Customer expectation for what the new distribution will look like is a risk. Project/Activity (OEB) especially if the customer is pushing for a more aesthetically-pleasing but more expensive alternative by going fully underground. Customer consultation will be an important step in mitigating this risk and ensure the public and the utility are aligned in addressing this renewal. Similar rear lot projects have been budgeted between \$1.25MM - \$2MM per year, depending on whether a full Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) underground solution or only partial underground solution is chosen. Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable 0 Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any)

| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) Value of Customer Impact Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | | | The assets in this area are of 1950's vintage and are generally substandard height for supporting 3 phase primary conductor. | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------|--|---|--|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | Health index of a sample of assets in the area put them at approximately 60%, however majority of poles are showing visible signs of rot. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | There are a nu data is availat 227 | umber of poles where Aleo ale for these assets. | ctra is a joint use tenant o | n Bell owned poles in the | area, therefore no condition | | | | | |
| | | | | Some rear lot as part of the project that has been cause of outages in recent history. 2D2X 3 year (2014 - 2017) stats: 68 outages, 4,326,754 customer minutes (421 minutes/customer/year) This project area constitutes about 6% of the customer base and 4% of connected kVA of the feeder. Assume 10% of outages caused by this section of the feeder due to recent rear lot outages in area. Rear lot supply failures have negative impact to system reliability and customer service. Outages cause inconvenience and financial loss to customers (office closing, production stoppage). Rear lot system also poses safety hazards to the customers because live electrical components are in proximity of customer's backyard and proper clearance may be violated due to customer's installations (examples: trees, garden, swimming pool, storage shed, deck, house extension). | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | High Not applicable | | |
| | | | | | Not applicable | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Reliability an | d Safety Factors | | Due to rear lot location these assets are not easily accessible. | | | | | |
| | | | | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | | oject | Like for like re system benefi | newal would address the t in rebuilding this area at | issue of equipment failure : 4kV. | e due to end-of-life assets | in the area, but there is no | | |
| | 2,000,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,800,000 - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,600,000 - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,400,000 - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,200,000 - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,000,000 - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 800,000 - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 600,000 - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | | | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | : \$1,824,762 | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,824,762 | \$0 | \$0 | | | | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | | | |

Currency scale is in literal



| utilities | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Project Code | 150378 | |
| Project Name | Rear Lot Renewal Project - East of Queen Street, | /North of Mill Street |
| Major Category | System Renewal | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream North |
| | Location | North-east corner of Mill/Queen Street in Tottenham |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 2. Convert Design Information (OED) | Contributed Control | Castributed Casital 00/ |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Controlled Capital 0% |
| | Rates ID | Pate Pare Funded |
| | Alectro Crouning | |
| | Alectra Subcatagon | Rear Lot Conversion |
| 4 Evoluction Critoria (OER) | Alectra Subcategory | Rear Lot Conversion |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | underground supply (primary and secondary). This will reduce number of outages and power restoration time. |
| | | The project is proposed to be completed over one year. |
| | | The existing rear lot location east of Queen Street and north of Mill Street in Tottenham will be 37 years old in 2022. The asset is end of life and requires remediation. In addition, the poles in this rear lot location were inspected in 2012 and 2013, where a majority of the poles were found to be in poor condition. These assets pose a safety risk for the public and for Alectra Utilities crews, are more prone to failure than other overhead distribution assets, and otherwise do not align with current standards, policies and practices. |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigate Failure Risks |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | The priority of this project is high |
| | | |
| | | This project is to decrease the outage impacts due to deteriorating distribution system assets and mitigate the outage impacts due to increasing effect of adverse weather events. |
| | | Reasons for Priority: The electrical system is deteriorating and poses many operations, safety, and customer service concerns that must be addressed. If not addressed, the system will deteriorate further and failures and safety hazards will increase. |
| | | In December 2013, an ice storm came in across Ontario including Alectra (East) service territory. During the storm, many trees, including trees in rear lot areas, fell onto power lines and created prolonged power outages to customers. Power restoration in rear lot areas was very difficult due to accessibility. The December 2013 ice storm caused 29,831,573 CMI within the rear lot grids, which accounted for 16.68% of the total system CMI due to the ice storm. |
| | | The existing rear lot location east of Queen Street and north of Mill Street in Tottenham will be 37 years old in 2022. The asset is end of life and requires remediation. In addition, the poles in this rear lot location were inspected in 2012 and 2013, where a majority of the poles were found to be in poor condition. |
| | | These assets note a sofety risk for the public and for Alectra Utilities group, are more properto failure than other |
| | | overhead distribution assets, and otherwise do not align with current standards, policies and practices. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety | Total connected load of 438 kVA. Safety risk associated with close proximity to power line in the backyard: Although the Electrical Safety Code and easement terms specify minimum clearance between customer facilities and power line, there are cases that customers do not follow the safety rules and install facilities too close to power line. Examples are shed, storage, playground, trampoline, swimming pool, patio deck, landscape, house extension, etc. This encroachment creates a safety hazard for both customers and crews. Safety risk associated with reduced clearance due to encroachment of power line: Over time, growth of vegetation and obstruction due to customer facilities may jeopardize the minimum clearance requirements and restrict crew mobility. Occasionally dogs may also be a safety hazard to the crews. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy Coordination, Interoperability Economic Development Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable Because overhead transformers are installed on the pole in rear lot area, a pole falling down may also cause the transformers to fall down, resulting in transformer tank rupturing, and oil being spilled onto the ground. |

| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Under this option, no proactive investments would be executed to replace either existing rear lot infrastructure and these assets would only be intervened upon in reactive scenarios, when the assets have reached their end-of-life. |
|---|---|---|
| | | Under this approach, customers would be exposed to prolonged reliability impacts, due to the accessibility issues associated with rear lot infrastructure, as well as the complex restoration procedures that would be required for a four- feeder outage event. |
| | | As standardized equipment (e.g. bucket trucks) cannot be used to service rear lot plant, wood poles, transformers and overhead switches would have to be replaced manually, with field crews accessing private customer properties in order to execute the work. Customers and field crews would continue to be exposed to elevated safety risks, due to the minimal proximity between customer plant and the rear lot overhead lines, as well as the non-standard and non- ergonomic work procedures that field crews would have to continue to execute to sufficiently maintain, inspect and service the plant. |
| | | Finally, as assets are replaced reactively, new assets would need to be installed according to the rear lot configuration. By its design, rear lot can only be converted if the entire line is replaced at once as part of an overall project. Therefore, the legacy design will continue to be maintained under this scenario. This will include the continued operation of the legacy voltage system, along with continuing the associated inefficiencies, such as line losses. |
| | Alternative #1 | Remediate the existing rear lot plant with other design options . The other design options considered are described below. |
| | | Rear Lot Overhead Option: |
| | | Under this Option, the existing rear lot plant is replaced with new overhead plant in the rear lot. When the replacement project is implemented, the following design parameters should be considered: •Bistall critical components such as fuse, switch, and transformer as close to the accessible street as possible |
| | | This Option is not acceptable because it does not resolve the major operations and customer reliability concerns related to the distribution assets located at rear lot at this location. Partial Underground Option |
| | | This scenario involves the replacement of existing rear lot infrastructure with a new hybrid solution, where primary voltage infrastructure, including transformers, switches and lines would be installed as per an underground configuration within the front right-of-way, following standard Alectra Utilities installation practices. Under this approach, secondary infrastructure, including wood poles and secondary conductor, would remain in the rear lot in overhead configuration. This approach would not fully address the reliability and safety concerns associated with rear lot distribution, as |
| | | secondary connections will remain in the rear lot. However, future outage impacts will be reduced and contained to only those customers connected to the associated transformer. Lower voltage classes will also be converted up to the standardized 27.6kV voltage standard as per this investment option. Under this option, reliability and safety issues would continue to persist due some infrastructure remaining overhead. The cost of partial underground renewal is higher than the renewal of the rear lot overhead and further more does not result in mitigating the risks associated with the existing system. This partial underground approach has been adopted where feasible. |
| | Alternative #2 | Replace with Full Underground Infrastructure |
| | | This investment scenario considers the full replacement of existing rear lot infrastructure – including primary and secondary plant – with new front lot underground infrastructure. All existing primary and secondary distribution assets within the rear lot corridor will be removed and replaced with new underground primary and secondary infrastructure that is installed within the front lot corridor as per current standard design practices. Underground secondary cables will run from the front lot underground transformers to the individual meter bases in order to supply the customers. |
| | | Under this approach, existing under-classed legacy wood poles will be replaced with higher-class poles that are better suited to withstand major weather events. Through this investment scenario, these high impact assets will be better secured and weather-hardened against future outage events. This approach would completely mitigate the reliability and safety issues associated with rear lot distribution, as well as the operational constraints associated with the existing infrastructure. This approach also introduces efficiencies for the utility, as tree trimming activities can be eliminated. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | It is recommended to convert the area east of Queen Street and north of Mill Street in Tottenham from rear lot overhead supply to front lot underground supply (primary and secondary). Under this Option, the existing rear lot plant is removed and new underground plant is installed in front lot. |
| | | This approach would complete mitigate the reliability and safety issues associated with rear lot distribution, as well as the operational constraints associated with the existing infrastructure. This approach also introduces efficiencies for the utility, as tree trimming activities can be eliminated and line losses associated with the legacy voltage classes can be eliminated. For these reasons, Alectra Utilities selected this approach. |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Risk: Fluctuation in cost and staff resource (internal and external) to complete high annual volume of work. |
| | | Risk Management: Alectra has retained external contractor working at different work sites throughout the year under a multi-year EPC (Engineering Procurement Construction) Master Service Agreement. Regular progress meetings are held to ensure technical and operational issues are resolved promptly; budget performance is monitored; and projects are on track. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | Alectra has completed and is completing similar rear lot remediation project since 2013. Alectra has experience on executing several rear lot remediation project. 0 |

| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of Asset Charact Performance | f the Relationship betwee eristics and Consequence Deterioration or Failure: | en the es of Asset | The scope inv front lot unde lot supply. | olves converting the area rground supply (primary a | east of Queen Street and and secondary). There are | north of Mill Street from r a total of 104 customers a | ear lot overhead supply to iffected by the existing rear | | |
|--|--|---|-----------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | Rear lot infrastructure is functionally obsolete for the following key reasons: • The rear lot configuration is generally unsafe to the public due to the large trees growing near energized power lines. In tandem with such an unsafe configuration, there are also line clearing hazards and related additional costs to do this | | | | | | |
| | | | | work. •Alectra Utilit the distributio •Bear lot woo generally mak | ies is unable to use labour on system due to assets loo id poles are generally cong se it impossible to sufficier | saving tools and devices cated in customer backya gested, due to multiple ser ttly climb poles. Crews mu | such as bucket trucks to ef rds. vice attachments and con ıst, therefore, use ladders | ficiently maintain and repair munication drops, which to access these poles. | | |
| | | | | | Alectra Utilities is limited in utilizing ladders to access the overhead system due to Ministry of Labour restriction congested areas. Due to the presence of legacy porcelain top tie insulators, rear lot lines must be fully isolated before any mainte or repair work on the overhead system can commence. Porcelain insulators are far more susceptible to contamination and flashover when compared to present-day star polymer insulators. Bear lot infrastructure typically contains undersized #4 aluminum conductor steel-reinforced cable and aluminu conductor, along with #4 and #6 copper conductor, which are undersized and generally have a greater probabili annealing due to their reduced carrying capacity. These conductors must be fully isolated before any work can commence. | | | | | |
| | Condition of <i>I</i> Performance | Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle Record | and | It is extremely prolonged ou | difficult to gain access to tages to customers. This is | the backyard to maintain especially more difficult i | , repair, and restore powe in the event of ice storm. | r. As a result there are | | |
| | | | | Many of the F rear lot equip Report "Asset poles are 40 a | Rear Lot Supply distribution ment is older than typical Amortization Study for th and 45 years respectively. I | n systems were built in 19 useful life and the asset c e Ontario Energy Board", Many of the installations a | 150s, 1960s, and 1970s (40 ondition is deteriorating. <i>i</i> typical useful life of overh are not in compliance to to | -68 years old in 2016). The According to the Kinectrics ead transformers and wood oday's standards. | | |
| | | | | The existing ru The asset is er and 2013, wh | ear lot location east of Qu nd of life and requires rem ere a majority of the poles | een Street and north of M ediation. In addition, the s were found to be in poo | lill Street in Tottenham w poles in this rear lot locat r condition. | ill be 37 years old in 2022. ion were inspected in 2012 | | |
| | Number of Cu Potentially Af | istomers in Each Custome fected by Asset Failure | er Class | 104 | | | | | | |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) | | | Number of failures and duration based on historical outage data for specific north-east corner of Mill/Queen Street rear lot area: November 2015; 22 hour outage (Incident # 737528) March 2016; 3 hour outage (Incident # 740667) June 2017; 1 hour outage (Incident # 755628) Based on three year average (2015-2017) assume 1 outage at 8.6 hours for north-east corner of Mill/Queen Street rear lot area. | | | | | | |
| | Qualitative Cu satisfaction, c risk level) | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) Value of Customer Impact Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any Consequences for Q&M System Costs Including | | | Rear lot supply failures have negative impact to system reliability and customer service. Outages cause inconvenience and financial loss to customers (office closing, production stoppage). Rear lot system also poses safety hazards to the customers because live electrical components are in proximity of customer's backyard and proper clearance may be violated due to customer's installations (examples: trees, garden, swimming pool, storage shed, deck, house extension). High Not Applicable In case of not implementing the project the OM&A cost will continue to occur due to tree trimming activities as well | | | | | |
| | Value of Custo Factors Affect Consequence | | | | | | | | | |
| | Implications of Reliability and | of Not Implementing | | as increase in responding to outages since the assets are deteriorated and prone to railure. This project is part of the long-term rear lot supply remediation program. The project will help avoid potential rear lot failures. In addition, this project also eliminates safety hazards associated with ageing and deteriorating rear lot system. | | | | | | |
| | | | | This approach would complete mitigate the reliability and safety issues associated with rear lot distribution, as well as the operational constraints associated with the existing infrastructure. | | | | | | |
| | Analysis for "l | alysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | | | option is not a like for like ot infrastructure – includir | replacement. This investn g primary and secondary | nent scenario considers th plant – with new front lot | e full replacement of underground infrastructure. | | |
| | 2,000,000 ¬ | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,800,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,600,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,200,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,000,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 800,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 600,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINIAL DCD Submitted | · \$1 797 181 | 2019 ¢n | | 2020 \$0 | 2021 \$0 | 2022 ¢n | 2023 \$1 702 494 | 2024 ¢0 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | ֥ | I | | | | | | | |





Project Code 150380 Project Name Rear Lot Renewal Project - Gunn/Oakley Park/St.Vincent Major Category System Renewal Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream North Location Oakley Park Square near Gunn Street and St. Vincent Street in Barrie Units 1 Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Rear Lot Conversion Alectra Subcategory Rear Lot Conversion 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Convert Oakley Park Square near Gunn Street and St. Vincent Street in Barrie from rear lot overhead supply to front lot underground supply (primary and secondary). This will reduce number of outages and power restoration time. The project is proposed to be completed over one year. The existing rear lot location at Oakley Park Square near Gunn Street and St. Vincent Street in Barrie will be 57 years old in 2024. The asset is end of life and requires remediation. In addition, the poles in this rear lot location were inspected in 2012 and 2013, where the poles were found to be in fair or poor condition. These assets pose a safety risk for the public and for Alectra Utilities crews, are more prone to failure than other overhead distribution assets, and otherwise do not align with current standards, policies and practices. Main Driver - System Renewal Mitigate Failure Risks The priority of this project is high Priority and Reasons for Priority This project is to decrease the outage impacts due to deteriorating distribution system assets and mitigate the outage impacts due to increasing effect of adverse weather events. Reasons for Priority: The electrical system is deteriorating and poses many operations, safety, and customer service concerns that must be addressed. If not addressed, the system will deteriorate further and failures and safety hazards will increase. In December 2013, an ice storm came in across Ontario including Alectra (East) service territory. During the storm, many trees, including trees in rear lot areas, fell onto power lines and created prolonged power outages to customers. Power restoration in rear lot areas was very difficult due to accessibility. The December 2013 ice storm caused 29,831,573 CMI within the rear lot grids, which accounted for 16.68% of the total system CMI due to the ice storm. The existing rear lot location at Oakley Park Square near Gunn Street and St. Vincent Street in Barrie will be 57 years old in 2024. The asset is end of life and requires remediation. In addition, the poles in this rear lot location were inspected in 2012 and 2013, where the poles were found to be in fair or poor condition. These assets pose a safety risk for the public and for Alectra Utilities crews, are more prone to failure than other overhead distribution assets, and otherwise do not align with current standards, policies and practices. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Total of 91 downstream customers with 275 kVA connected. Safety Safety risk associated with close proximity to power line in the backvard: Although the Electrical Safety Code and easement terms specify minimum clearance between customer facilities and power line, there are cases that customers do not follow the safety rules and install facilities too close to power line. Examples are shed, storage, playground, trampoline, swimming pool, patio deck, landscape, house extension, etc. This encroachment creates a safety hazard for both customers and crews Safety risk associated with reduced clearance due to encroachment of power line: Over time, growth of vegetation and obstruction due to customer facilities may jeopardize the minimum clearance requirements and restrict crew mobility. Occasionally dogs may also be a safety hazard to the crews. Cyber-Security, Privacy Not Applicable Coordination, Interoperability Not Applicable Economic Development Not Applicable Environmental Benefits Because overhead transformers are installed on the pole in rear lot area, a pole falling down may also cause the transformers to fall down, resulting in transformer tank rupturing, and oil being spilled onto the ground.

| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Under this option, no proactive investments would be executed to replace either existing rear lot infrastructure and these assets would only be intervened upon in reactive scenarios, when the assets have reached their end-of-life. |
|---|---|---|
| | | Under this approach, customers would be exposed to prolonged reliability impacts, due to the accessibility issues associated with rear lot infrastructure, as well as the complex restoration procedures that would be required for a four-feeder outage event. |
| | | As standardized equipment (e.g. bucket trucks) cannot be used to service rear lot plant, wood poles, transformers and overhead switches would have to be replaced manually, with field crews accessing private customer properties in order to execute the work. Customers and field crews would continue to be exposed to elevated safety risks, due to the minimal proximity between customer plant and the rear lot overhead lines, as well as the non-standard and non- ergonomic work procedures that field crews would have to continue to execute to sufficiently maintain, inspect and service the plant. |
| | | Finally, as assets are replaced reactively, new assets would need to be installed according to the rear lot configuration. By its design, rear lot can only be converted if the entire line is replaced at once as part of an overall project. Therefore, the legacy design will continue to be maintained under this scenario. This will include the continued operation of the legacy voltage system, along with continuing the associated inefficiencies, such as line losses. |
| | Alternative #1 | Rear Lot Overhead Option: |
| | | Under this Option, the existing rear lot plant is replaced with new overhead plant in the rear lot. When the replacement project is implemented, the following design parameters should be considered: •Bastall critical components such as fuse, switch, and transformer as close to the accessible street as possible |
| | | This Option is not acceptable because it does not resolve the major operations and customer reliability concerns related to the distribution assets located at rear lot at this location. |
| | | Partial Underground Option This scenario involves the replacement of existing rear lot infrastructure with a new hybrid solution, where primary voltage infrastructure, including transformers, switches and lines would be installed as per an underground configuration within the front right-of-way, following standard Alectra Utilities installation practices. Under this approach, secondary infrastructure, including wood poles and secondary conductor, would remain in the rear lot in overhead configuration. This approach would not fully address the reliability and safety concerns associated with rear lot distribution, as |
| | | secondary connections will remain in the rear lot. However, future outage impacts will be reduced and contained to only those customers connected to the associated transformer. Lower voltage classes will also be converted up to the standardized 27.6kV voltage standard as per this investment option. Under this option, reliability and safety issues would continue to persist due some infrastructure remaining overhead. The cost of partial underground renewal is higher than the renewal of the rear lot overhead and further more does not result in mitigating the risks associated with the existing system. This partial underground approach has been adopted where feasible. |
| | | |
| | Alternative #2 | Replace with Fun Underground infrastructure This investment scenario considers the full replacement of existing rear lot infrastructure – including primary and secondary plant – with new front lot underground infrastructure. All existing primary and secondary distribution assets within the rear lot corridor will be removed and replaced with new underground primary and secondary infrastructure that is installed within the front lot corridor as per current standard design practices. Underground secondary cables will run from the front lot underground transformers to the individual meter bases in order to supply the customers. |
| | | Under this approach, existing under-classed legacy wood poles that support four feeders will be replaced with higher- class poles that are better suited to withstand major weather events. Through this investment scenario, these high impact assets will be better secured and weather-hardened against future outage events. This approach would complete mitigate the reliability and safety issues associated with rear lot distribution, as well as the operational constraints associated with the existing infrastructure. This approach also introduces efficiencies for the utility, as tree trimming activities can be eliminated and line losses associated with the legacy voltage classes can be eliminated. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | It is recommended to convert Oakley Park Square near Gunn Street and St. Vincent Street in Barrie from rear lot overhead supply to front lot underground supply (primary and secondary). Under this Option, the existing rear lot plant is removed and new underground plant is installed in front lot. |
| | | This approach would complete mitigate the reliability and safety issues associated with rear lot distribution, as well as the operational constraints associated with the existing infrastructure. This approach also introduces efficiencies for the utility, as tree trimming activities can be eliminated and line losses associated with the legacy voltage classes can be eliminated. For these reasons, Alectra Utilities selected this approach. |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Risk: Fluctuation in cost and staff resource (internal and external) to complete high annual volume of work. |
| | | Risk Management: Alectra has retained external contractor working at different work sites throughout the year under a multi-year EPC (Engineering Procurement Construction) Master Service Agreement. Regular progress meetings are held to ensure technical and operational issues are resolved promptly; budget performance is monitored; and projects are on track. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | Alectra has completed and is completing similar rear lot remediation project since 2013. Alectra has experience on executing several rear lot remediation project. 0 |

| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of Asset Characte Performance D | the Relationship betwee ristics and Consequence reterioration or Failure: | n the s of Asset | The scope invo overhead supp by the existing | olves converting the Oakle oly to front lot undergrour rear lot supply. | y Park Square near Gunn nd supply (primary and sec | Street and St. Vincent Stre condary). There are a tota | eet area from rear lot l of 91 customers affected | |
|--|--|---|---------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | Rear lot infras •Ithe rear lot o In tandem wit | tructure is functionally ob onfiguration is generally u h such an unsafe configur | solete for the following ke insafe to the public due to ation, there are also line c | ey reasons: the large trees growing n learing hazards and relate | ear energized power lines. ed additional costs to do this | |
| | | | | work. •Alectra Utiliti the distributio •Bear lot woo generally mak •Alectra Utiliti congested are •Due to the pr or repair work •Porcelain insu •Bear lot infra conductor, alc annealing due commence. | es is unable to use labour n system due to assets loo d poles are generally cong e it impossible to sufficien es is limited in utilizing lac as. esence of legacy porcelair on the overhead system of lators are far more suscer itors. structure typically contain ing with #4 and #6 copper to their reduced carrying | saving tools and devices s rated in customer backyar ested, due to multiple sen tly climb poles. Crews mu Iders to access the overhe n top tie insulators, rear lo can commence. ptible to contamination ar s undersized #4 aluminum conductor, which are und capacity. These conducto | such as bucket trucks to ef ds. vice attachments and com st, therefore, use ladders ad system due to Ministry t lines must be fully isolat nd flashover when compa n conductor steel-reinforce dersized and generally hav rs must be fully isolated b | ficiently maintain and repair munication drops, which to access these poles. of Labour restrictions for ed before any maintenance red to present-day standard ed cable and aluminum ve a greater probability of efore any work can | |
| | Condition of As Performance R | sset vs. Typical Life Cycle ecord | and | It is extremely prolonged out | difficult to gain access to ages to customers. This is | the backyard to maintain, especially more difficult i | , repair, and restore powe n the event of ice storm. | r. As a result there are | |
| | | | | Many of the R rear lot equipr Report "Asset poles are 40 a | ear Lot Supply distribution nent is older than typical Amortization Study for th nd 45 years respectively. N | n systems were built in 19 useful life and the asset co e Ontario Energy Board", i Aany of the installations a | 50s, 1960s, and 1970s (40 ondition is deteriorating. <i>I</i> typical useful life of overh ire not in compliance to to | I-68 years old in 2016). The According to the Kinectrics ead transformers and wood oday's standards. | |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class | | | The existing re old in 2024. Th inspected in 20 | ar lot location at Oakley P ne asset is end of life and i 012 and 2013, where the | Park Square near Gunn Str requires remediation. In a poles were found to be in | eet and St. Vincent Street ddition, the poles in this r fair or poor condition. | in Barrie will be 57 years ear lot location were | |
| | Number of Cus Potentially Affe | tomers in Each Custome ected by Asset Failure | er Class | 91 | | | | | |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) | | | Number of failures and duration based on historical outage data for specific Oakley Park Square rear lot area: August 2015; 20 hour outage (Incident # 733815) March 2016; 8.4 hour outage (Incident # 741602) March 2016; 10.5 hour outage (Incident # 741986) Based on three year average (2015-2017) assume 1 outage at 13 hours for Oakley Park Square rear lot area. | | | | | |
| | Qualitative Cus satisfaction, cu risk level) | stomer Impacts (custome stomer migration and as | er ssociated | Rear lot supply and financial l customers bec violated due to | y failures have negative in oss to customers (office cl ause live electrical compo o customer's installations | npact to system reliability osing, production stoppag ments are in proximity of (examples: trees, garden, | and customer service. Ou ge). Rear lot system also p customer's backyard and swimming pool, storage s | tages cause inconvenience oses safety hazards to the proper clearance may be ihed, deck, house extension). | |
| | Value of Custor | mer Impact | | High | | | | | |
| | Factors Affectin | ng Project Timing, if any | | Not Applicable | 2 | | | | |
| | Consequences Implications of | for O&M System Costs I Not Implementing | ncluding | In case of not implementing the project the OM&A cost will continue to occur due to tree trimming activities as well as increase in responding to outages since the assets are deteriorated and prone to failure. This project is part of the long-term rear lot supply remediation program. The project will help avoid potential rear lot failures. In addition, this project also eliminates safety hazards associated with ageing and deteriorating rear lot system. | | | | | |
| | Reliability and | Safety Factors | | | | | | | |
| | | | | This approach the operationa | would complete mitigate al constraints associated v | the reliability and safety i vith the existing infrastruc | ssues associated with rea ture. | r lot distribution, as well as | |
| | Analysis for "Lil | ke for Like" Renewal Pro | ject | The selected o existing rear lo | ption is not a like for like ti infrastructure – includin | replacement. This investm g primary and secondary | ent scenario considers th plant – with new front lot | e full replacement of underground infrastructure. | |
| | 2,000,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,800,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,600,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,200,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,000,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 800,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 600,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | | | 2020 | | | | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | : \$1.800.029 | 2019 \$0 | 2 | \$0 | 2021 \$0 | 2022 \$0 | 2023 \$0 | \$1,800,029 | |
| Actuals: \$0 | . ,, | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Currency scale is in literal | I | | | | | | | · | |





Project Code

Project Name

Major Category

OEB Multi-Project Report

150390

New build - Waterdown 3rd Feeder, Hamilton

System Service 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted

| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
|---|--|---|
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Hamilton |
| | Location | Hamilton (Waterdown), along Dundas St , from Hwy 6 to Centre Rd |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | No Burden |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 2. Concerned Descionate la formations (OED) | Contributed Conited | Cashellouted Casihel 00/ |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | |
| | Expenditure Type | |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Capacity (Lines) |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | This project is to alleviate capacity issues in the Waterdown area of Hamilton, primarily served by two Dundas 27.6kV feeders. This part of the city is seeing steady growth and the load forecast has shown that the existing feeders in the area will be at risk of supplying capacity under a contingency situation. Part of the work to extend a feeder to Waterdown has already been completed, but the intersection of Hwy 6 and Dundas St has been planned to be developed into a cloverleaf intersection for a number of years, leading to ongoing deferrals of this project. |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Support Capacity Delivery |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Continued growth in the area as well as several large customer requests necessitate the increased capacity brought to |
| | | this area. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | 9756 customers and 111,161 kVA connected. |
| | Safety | Not applicable. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not applicable. |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Coordination with road authorities on timing of work. |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not applicable. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Not proceeding with this project would continue to subject these feeders to loading levels that are above the normal planning limit. This becomes an issue when an outage occurs and in order to restore customers by using adjacent feeders, but in this instance the adjacent feeders are also heavily loaded. Outages often occur during heavy loading periods, which makes restoration under these conditions particularly challenging. |
| | Alternative #1 | Non wires Alternatives |
| | | Alectra load forecast is net of CDM and DG. Alectra has considered solar and energy storage and for the capacity (20MW) the cost is over 15 times the wires option and hence this option has been rejected. |
| | Alternative #2 | By proceeding with building out the feeder to supply Waterdown with extra capacity, long term growth needs will be met and the feeders will be able to have adequate back-up capabilities during abnormal (N-1) situations. This project is a continuation of existing work already completed. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | This project is a continuation of work already begun to bring capacity to the area to alleviate constraints on the system |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | where there is growth. This project has seen some scheduling impact due to the MTO timing and delays to the proposed cloverleaf at Hwy 6 |
| Project/Activity (UEB) | | and Dundas St where this new teeder circuit currently ends. As any work related to this project is on-hold indefinitely, Alectra is proceeding with building out the pole line to bring the capacity to where it is needed and will include consideration to any relocation work required in the future due to the new cloverleaf. Delaying this project any further presents an unacceptable risk to being able to provide adequate capacity to customers in the Waterdown area. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | This project consists of normal work to add a second circuit to an existing pole line along Dundas St. There will be some poles that will be required to be replaced to meet current clearance standards for having a double circuit. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | This project will help to address capacity issues where feeders in the Waterdown area are exceeding the Planning limit, and in extreme weather years, encroaching on thermal limits. |
| | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable | Not applicable. |
| | Description of Incorporation of Advanced Technology, if applicable | Not applicable. |
| | Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits | Not applicable. |
| ų | | |

| 1,800,000 - | , | | | | | |
|--|------|------|-------------|------|------|------|
| 1,600,000 - | | | | | | |
| 1,400,000 - | | | | | | |
| 1,200,000 - | | | | | | |
| 1,000,000 - | | | | | | |
| 800,000 - | | | | | | |
| 600,000 - | | | | | | |
| 400,000 - | | | | | | |
| 200,000 - | | | | | | |
| 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$1,713,361 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,713,361 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | |



Project Code Project Name

Major Category

Scenario

OEB Multi-Project Report

150394

King St. Voltage Conversion & Loop (LRT Betterment) System Renewal

2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted

| Project Overview | | |
|---|---|--|
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Mississauga |
| | Location | Hurontario St south of King St. |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | *Entered Manually in Forecast |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Overhead Asset Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Voltage Conversion |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | 4.16kV to 27.6kV Voltage Conversion and looping to increase reliability of existing system. |
| | | East Hurontario St and King St. |
| | | Completing this project before LRT work will allow backup during LRT work. |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Not Applicable |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | 1750 kVA of existing load. |
| | Safety | Not Applicable |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Work along Hurontario will occur due to LRT work. |
| | Economic Development | Not Applicable |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | Do Nothing |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | Rebuild like-for-like when required. |
| | | |
| | | This is not the recommended option. |
| | Alternative #1 | Convert to 27.6kV and loop the building for contingency. |
| | | This is the second and action |
| | Alternative #2 | Not Applicable |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | A transformers are radially supplied and 3 are A 16kV |
| | Sustained on tor Recommended Alternative | Conversion and looping will provide contingency and agree with the overall plan of converting from 4.16kV to 27.6kV. |
| | | |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Not Applicable. |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | | |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent | Not Applicable. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable | 0 |
| | Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | • |
| | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each | Description of the Relationship between the | |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset | |
| | Performance Deterioration or Failure: | |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and | |
| | Performance Record | |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class | |
| | Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | |
| | | |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and accordiated risk | |
| | level) | |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer | |
| | satisfaction, customer migration and associated | |
| | risk level) | |
| | Value of Customer Impact | |
| | Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any | |
| | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including | |
| | Implications of Not Implementing | |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Penewal Project | |
| | Analysis for like for like Kenewar Project | |
| | | |
| 4 | | |

| 350,000 - | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|-----------|--|--|
| 300,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| 250,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| 200,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| 150,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| 100,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| 50,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| 0 - | | | | | 1 | | | |
| | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$324,415 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$324,415 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| rrency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | |


Project Code Project Name

Major Category

OEB Multi-Project Report

150398

Rear Lot Renewal Project - Strathcona Dr System Renewal

2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted

| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
|---|---|--|
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | St. Catherines |
| | Location | |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | No Burden |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | ., | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Rear Lot Conversion |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Rear Lot Conversion |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | This project is to convert existing rear lot primary distribution to a front lot supply. Rear lot primary poses a problem for both reliability and safety. Due to the reduced access to the distribution assets, restoration of power to customers is significantly impacted by not having access to powered equipment, while also presenting risks to workers. |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigate Failure Risks |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Alectra has many pockets of customers being supplied by rear lot construction. The electrical system is ageing and deteriorating and poses many operations, safety, and customer service concerns that must be addressed. If not addressed, the system will deteriorate further and failures and safety hazards will increase to a level that is not manageable and not tolerable by the customers. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | 187 customers & 730 kVA |
| | Safety | Rear lot construction is difficult to replace and many of the poles are not to correct specifications and cannot be |
| | | climbed making repairs take much longer than for standard construction. These assets also pose a risk to the public as the poles or transformer could fall into the customers backyards. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not applicalbe |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable |
| | Environmental Benefits | No oil spills from the transformers in customer's backyard. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | By leaving the assets to deteriorate in the rear lot, the customers would see an increasing risk to both prolonged outages as well as exposure to safety-related incidents inherent in having primary distribution assets in backyards. |
| | Alternative #1 | By leaving the primary supplied overhead on pole lines, but burying the secondary services to the customers there will be improved access to the assets compared to status quo, which will improve reliability in the event of an outage. However, since the primary wires will still be exposed to tree contact and weather factors, the reliability benefit can not be seen to be as effective as would be gained by burying the primary. |
| | Alternative #2 | By burying both the primary and secondary and having the assets installed in the front of the property, reliability benefits will be greatly improved over status quo, as well as proper access for maintenance and inspection of assets. This is also the most aesthetically pleasing for the customer, and minimizing the impact to tree trimming. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | Each year a number of rear lot locations are selected for remediation to address operations concerns and to maintain system reliability and customer service by implementing these rear lot conversion/storm hardening projects. |
| | | In this instance, the cost delta to go full underground represents a 33% cost premium. |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Customer expectation for what the new distribution will look like is a risk, especially if the customer is pushing for a more aesthetically-pleasing but more expensive alternative by going fully underground. Customer consultation will be an important step in mitigating this risk and ensure the public and the utility are aligned in addressing this renewal. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | Similar rear lot projects have been budgeted between \$1.25MM - \$2MM per year, depending on whether a full underground solution or only partial underground solution is chosen. 0 |

| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description Asset Chara Performance | ion of the Relationship between the aracteristics and Consequences of Asset ance Deterioration or Failure: | | The issues and concerns with rear lot supply are summarized below. t Concerns to Customers Long outage restoration time due to difficult accessibility for Alectra crews: If comparison to front lot customers, rear lot customers have to wait longer for the crews to restore power during an outage. The crews have to gain access to the back yard to identify, locate, isolate, and repair/replace equipment. In heavily vegetated areas, the crews must also clear or trim the vegetation before they can access the equipment. If the replacement of major equipment (e.g. pole, transformer) is necessary, the outage time will extend because the crews need specialized equipment (e.g. large crane) to reach over customer houses to the rear lot. More frequent outages due to vegetation, animal contact, and lack of access for Alectra crews: When a rear lot supply was first constructed, the area was likely clear of obstruction. Over time, however, trees/ bushes have grown near the electrical equipment, and may make contact with the power line. The growth of vegetation also increases that customers do not follow the safety rules and install facilities too close to power line, there are many cases that customers do not follow the safety rules and install facilities too close to power line. Examples are shed, storage, playground, trampoline, swimming pool, patio deck, landscape, house extension, etc. This encreachment creates a safety hazard for both customers and crews. Concerns to Alectra: Decreased system reliability: Bigher outage frequency and longer outage duration will negatively impact system reliability. Difficult accessibility for crew and equipment: Outage trequipment. Due to obstruction. Such as trees and customer construction, crews encounter difficult | | | | |
|--|--|--|------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | | | Many of the older than ty Amortization and 45 years | Rear Lot Supply distributi pical useful life and the a 1 Study for the Ontario En respectively. Many of the | on systems were built in 12 sset condition is deteriorat ergy Board", typical useful installations are not in co | 950s, 1960s, and 1970s . Ti ing. According to the Kiner life of overhead transform mpliance to today's standa | he rear lot equipment is ctrics Report "Asset ers and wood poles are 40 ards. |
| | Number of Potentially | Customers in Each Custome Affected by Asset Failure | r Class | 187 | | | | |
| | Quantitativ duration of level) | ve Customer Impacts (freque Finterruptions and associate | ncy or d risk | 3 year stats for BUM77: 44 outages & 243,365 customer minutes (21 minutes/customer/year) | | | | |
| | Qualitative satisfaction risk level) | ve Customer Impacts (customer ion, customer migration and associated) | | Rear lot supply failures have negative impact to system reliability and customer service. Outages cause inconvenience and financial loss to customers (office closing, production stoppage). Rear lot system also poses safety hazards to the customers because live electrical components are in proximity of customer's backyard and proper clearance may be violated due to customer's installations (examples: trees, garden, swimming pool, storage shed, deck, house extension). | | | | |
| | Value of Cu | istomer Impact | | High | | | | |
| | Factors Affe | ecting Project Timing, if any | | Not applicable | | | | |
| | Consequen Implication | ces for U&M System Costs Ir is of Not Implementing | ncluding | Not applicat | IE | | | |
| | Reliability a Analysis for | ty and Safety Factors for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | | Due to rear l Not applicat | ot location these assets a le. | re not easily accessible. | | |
| | 000.000 | | | | | | | |
| 1, | 900,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 800.000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 700,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 600,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 500,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 300,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2010 | 20 | 120 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | \$944 871 | \$0 | 20 | \$0 | \$0 | <u>2022</u> ¢n | 2023 ¢0 | \$944 871 |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | <i>43</i> | , | | ço | ψu | ΨŪ | |



| utilities | | |
|---|--|--|
| Project Code | 150399 | |
| Project Name | Rear Lot Renewal Project - Richlieu Dr and Trela | wne Dr, St.Catharines |
| Major Category | System Renewal | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | St. Catherines |
| | Location | St.Catharines, north end |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | No Burden |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 2. Conoral Project Information (OER) | Contributed Conital | Contributed Conital 0% |
| S. General Project Information (GEB) | | |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Rear Lot Conversion |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Rear Lot Conversion |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | This project is to convert existing rear lot primary distribution to a front lot supply. Rear lot primary poses a problem for both reliability and safety. Due to the reduced access to the distribution assets, restoration of power to customers is significantly impacted by not having access to powered equipment, while also presenting risks to workers. |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigate Failure Risks |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Alectra has many pockets of customers being supplied by rear lot construction. The electrical system is ageing and deteriorating and poses many operations, safety, and customer service concerns that must be addressed. If not addressed, the system will deteriorate further and failures and safety hazards will increase to a level that is not manageable and not tolerable by the customers. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | 185 customers & 708 kVA |
| | Safety | Although the Electrical Safety Code and easement terms specify minimum clearance between customer facilities and power line, there are cases that customers do not follow the safety rules and install facilities too close to power line. Examples are shed, storage, playground, trampoline, swimming pool, patio deck, landscape, house extension, etc. This encroachment creates a safety hazard for both customers and crews. Safety risk associated with reduced clearance due to encroachment of power line: Over time, growth of vegetation and obstruction due to customer facilities may jeopardize the minimum clearance requirements and restrict crew mobility. Occasionally dogs may also be a safety hazard to the crews. |
| | Cubor Security, Drivocy | Not applicable |
| | Coordination Interoperability | Not applicable |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable |
| | Environmental Benefits | transformers to fall down, resulting in transformer tank rupturing, and oil being spilled onto the ground. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Under this option, no proactive investments would be executed to replace either existing rear lot infrastructure and these assets would only be intervened upon in reactive scenarios, when the assets have reached their end-of-life. Under this approach, customers would be exposed to prolonged reliability impacts, due to the accessibility issues associated with rear lot infrastructure, as well as the complex restoration procedures that would be required for a four-feeder outage event. |
| | | As standardized equipment (e.g. bucket trucks) cannot be used to service rear lot plant, wood poles, transformers and overhead switches would have to be replaced manually, with field crews accessing private customer properties in order to execute the work. Customers and field crews would continue to be exposed to elevated safety risks, due to the minimal proximity between customer plant and the rear lot overhead lines, as well as the non-standard and non- ergonomic work procedures that field crews would have to continue to execute to sufficiently maintain, inspect and service the plant. |
| | | Finally, as assets are replaced reactively, new assets would need to be installed according to the rear lot configuration. By its design, rear lot can only be converted if the entire line is replaced at once as part of an overall project. Therefore, the legacy design will continue to be maintained under this scenario. This will include the continued operation of the legacy voltage system, along with continuing the associated inefficiencies, such as line losses. |

| Alternative #1 | Remediate the existing rear lot plant with other design options . The other design options considered are described below. |
|---|---|
| | Rear Lot Overhead Option: |
| | Under this Option, the existing rear lot plant is replaced with new overhead plant in the rear lot. When the replacement project is implemented, the following design parameters should be considered: •Bhstall critical components such as fuse, switch, and transformer as close to the accessible street as possible |
| | This Option is not acceptable because it does not resolve the major operations and customer reliability concerns related to the distribution assets located at rear lot at this location. |
| | Partial Underground Option This scenario involves the replacement of existing rear lot infrastructure with a new hybrid solution, where primary voltage infrastructure, including transformers, switches and lines would be installed as per an underground configuration within the front right-of-way, following standard Alectra Utilities installation practices. Under this approach, secondary infrastructure, including wood poles and secondary conductor, would remain in the rear lot in overhead configuration. This approach would not fully address the reliability and safety concerns associated with rear lot distribution, as secondary connections will remain in the rear lot. However, future outage impacts will be reduced and contained to only those customers connected to the associated transformer. Lower voltage classes will also be converted up to the standardized 27.6kV voltage standard as per this investment option. Under this option, reliability and safety issues would continue to persist due some infrastructure remaining overhead. The cost of partial underground renewal is higher than the renewal of the rear lot overhead and further more does not result in mitigating the risks associated with the existing system. This partial underground approach has been adopted where feasible. |
| | |
| Alternative #2 | Replace with Full Underground Infrastructure This investment scenario considers the full replacement of existing rear lot infrastructure – including primary and secondary plant – with new front lot underground infrastructure. All existing primary and secondary distribution assets within the rear lot corridor will be removed and replaced with new underground primary and secondary infrastructure that is installed within the front lot corridor as per current standard design practices. Underground secondary cables will run from the front lot underground transformers to the individual meter bases in order to supply the customers. |
| | Under this approach, existing under-classed legacy wood poles will be replaced with higher-class poles that are better suited to withstand major weather events. Through this investment scenario, these high impact assets will be better secured and weather-hardened against future outage events. This approach would completely mitigate the reliability and safety issues associated with rear lot distribution, as well as the operational constraints associated with the existing infrastructure. This approach also introduces efficiencies for the utility, as tree trimming activities can be eliminated. |
| | |
| Justification for Recommended Alternative | It is recommended to convert the area to partial underground. |
| | This approach would mitigate the reliability and safety issues associated with rear lot distribution, as well as the operational constraints associated with the existing infrastructure. For these reasons, Alectra Utilities selected this approach. |
| Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Customer expectation for what the new distribution will look like is a risk, especially if the customer is pushing for a more aesthetically-pleasing but more expensive alternative by going fully underground. Customer consultation will be an important step in mitigating this risk and ensure the public and the utility are aligned in addressing this renewal. |
| Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | Alectra Utilites has completed rear lot conversion projects. 0 |
| h Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | Rear lot infrastructure is functionally obsolete for the following key reasons: The rear lot configuration is generally unsafe to the public due to the large trees growing near energized power lines. In tandem with such an unsafe configuration, there are also line clearing hazards and related additional costs to do this work. Allectra Utilities is unable to use labour saving tools and devices such as bucket trucks to efficiently maintain and repair the distribution system due to assets located in customer backyards. Rear lot wood poles are generally congested, due to multiple service attachments and communication drops, which generally make it impossible to sufficiently dimb poles. Crews must, therefore, use ladders to access these poles. Allectra Utilities is limited in utilizing ladders to access the overhead system due to Ministry of Labour restrictions for congested areas. Due to the presence of legacy porcelain top tie insulators, rear lot lines must be fully isolated before any maintenance or repair work on the overhead system can commence. Porcelain insulators are far more susceptible to contamination and flashover when compared to present-day standard polymer insulators. Rear lot infrastructure typically contains undersized #4 aluminum conductor steel-reinforced cable and aluminum conductor, along with #4 and #6 copper conductor, which are undersized and generally have a greater probability of annealing due to their reduced carrying capacity. These conductors must be fully isolated before any work can commence. |
| | Alternative #1 Alternative #1 Alternative #2 Alternative #2 Justification for Recommended Alternative Risks to Completion and Risk Management Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: |

| Conditio Perform | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | | It is extremely difficult to gain access to the backyard to maintain, repair, and restore power. As a result there are prolonged outages to customers. This is especially more difficult in the event of ice storm. | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| | | | Many of the Rear Lot Supply distribution systems were built in 1950s, 1960s, and 1970s . The rear lot equipment is older than typical useful life and the asset condition is deteriorating. According to the Kinectrics Report "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board", typical useful life of overhead transformers and wood poles are 40 and 45 years respectively. Many of the installations are not in compliance to today's standards. | | | | | |
| Number Potentia | of Customers in Each Custom ly Affected by Asset Failure | er Class 185 | | | | | | |
| Quantita duration | tive Customer Impacts (frequ of interruptions and associat | ency or 3 Ye ed risk 40 c | ar stats for BUM75 (2014 - 2017) outages, 922,245 customer minute | s (87 minutes/customer/y | ear) | | | |
| Qualitat Satisfact risk level | level) Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) | | Rear lot supply failures have negative impact to system reliability and customer service. Outages cause inconvenience and financial loss to customers (office closing, production stoppage). Rear lot system also poses safety hazards to the customers because live electrical components are in proximity of customer's backyard and proper clearance may be violated due to customer's installations (examples: trees, garden, swimming pool, storage shed, deck, house extension) | | | | | |
| Value of | Customer Impact | High | High | | | | | |
| Factors / | ffecting Project Timing, if any | v Not | Not applicable | | | | | |
| Consequ Implicat | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | | | In case of not implementing the project the OM&A cost will continue to occur due to tree trimming activities as well as increase in responding to outages since the assets are deteriorated and prone to failure. | | | | |
| Reliabilit Analysis | Reliability and Safety Factors Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | | approach mitigate the reliability a straints associated with the existin selected option is not a like for lik | and safety issues associate g infrastructure. e replacement. | d with rear lot distribution, | as well as the operational | | |
| 1,400,00 | 0 | | | | | | | |
| 1,200,00 | 0 | | | | | | | |
| 1,000,00 | 10 | | | | | | | |
| 800,00 | 10 | | | | | | | |
| 600,00 | 10 | | | | | | | |
| 400,00 | 10 | | | | | | | |
| 200.00 | IO | | | | | | | |
| 200,00 | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,263,321 | \$1 157 384 | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$2,420, | ⁷⁰⁵ \$0 | ŲΟ | φu | | +-,, | ,1 <i>5</i> 7,504 | | |



| atintios | | |
|--|--|---|
| Project Code | 150401 | |
| Project Name | 136M6 Goreway TS Extensions | |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Brampton |
| | Location | Goreway Dr from Goreway Dr to Countryside Dr and to Cottrelle Blvd |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | *Entered Manually in Forecast |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Capacity (Lines) |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Line Capacity Prois & Add Circ |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | 136M6 feeder expansions from Goreway TS to Cottrelle Blvd; first section underground from Goreway TS to Goreway |
| | | Drive up to Countryside Drive, second section overhead Goreway TS to Cottrelle Blvd. The 136M6 feeder will provide capacity for future residential and small commercial loads around Gore Rd., Ebenezer Rd. & Castlemore Rd. 136M6 will off load and provide contingency for 136M4 on the south section of this area. |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Support Capacity Delivery |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | This feeder will supply future residential & small commercial loads around the Gore Rd., Ebenezer Rd. & Castlemore Rd. |
| | | 136M6 will off load 136M4 on the south section of the area mentioned. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Feeder will provide contingency for the below circuits. 136M47 93A (2017 Peak) 136M52 334A (2017 Peak) |
| | Safety | Not Applicable |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Start of this project will commence after city road widening is complete. |
| | Economic Development | Not Applicable |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | Do Nothing |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | Future customers will not be able to receive service. |
| | Alternative #1 | This is not the recommended alternative. Extend 136M6 |
| | | Extension of the M6 circuit will allow for |
| | Alternative #2 | This is the recommended alternative. Not Applicable |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | Capacity is required to supply customers. |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Initiation requires completion of city road widening. |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | Comparative Information on Equivalent | Not Applicable |
| | Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | Capacity will be provided for customers. |
| | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable | Not Applicable |
| | Description of Incorporation of Advanced Technology, if applicable | Not Applicable |
| | Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or | Not Applicable |

| 1,200,000 - | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|-----------|------|------|
| 1,000,000 - | | | | | | |
| 800,000 - | | | | | | |
| 600,000 - | | | | | | |
| 400,000 - | | | | | | |
| 200,000 - | | | | | | |
| 0 - | | | | | | |
| Ũ | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$980,396 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$980,396 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | |



Project Code 150410 42M66 OH Feeder Egress Mississauga Rd, Bovaird to CNR. Project Name Major Category System Service 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Scenario Project Overview 2. Additional Information Service Territory Brampton Mississauga Rd from Bovaird Dr. to CNR Tracks Location Units **Project Class** Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital *Entered Manually in Forecast Expenditure Type Controllable Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Capacity (Lines) Alectra Subcategory Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary 42M66 overhead circuit expansion on Mississauga Road from Bovaird Drive to CNR tracks. Capacity is needed to accommodate greenfield expansion and provide future customers in the area of Bovaird Dr and Mississauga Rd. Main Driver - System Service Support Capacity Delivery Priority and Reasons for Priority Capacity is needed for future customers. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not Applicable Safety Not Applicable Cyber-Security, Privacy Not Applicable Coordination, Interoperability Pending road work on Mississauga Rd. Economic Development Not Applicable Environmental Benefits Not Applicable Do Nothing. 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Quo Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) Future load will not be possible. This is not the recommended alternative. Construct OH circuit to extend 42M66 Alternative #1 Capacity will be delivered to future customers. This is the recommended alternative. Alternative #2 Not Applicable Justification for Recommended Alternative Future capacity will be serviced by the 42M66. 6. General Information on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management Not Applicable Project/Activity (OEB) Comparative Information on Equivalent Not Applicable Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable 0 Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in Not Applicable terms of Cost Impact, where practicable Project/Activity (OEB) Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements Not Applicable which affect Project, if applicable Description of Incorporation of Advanced Not Applicable Technology, if applicable Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or Not Applicable coordination benefits 140.000 120,000 100,000 80,000 60,000 40,000 20,000 0 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$132,323 \$0 \$132,323 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Actuals: \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0



| NoticeElectronic processesNameJean ParaStateSecond <td< th=""><th>Project Code</th><th>150411</th><th></th></td<> | Project Code | 150411 | |
|--|---|--|---|
| Main ControlUse of the control of the co | Project Name | 42M64 Feeder Extension Mississauga Rd, William | is Pkwy to Queen / Embleton |
| StartVertorStartSectorStart </th <th>Major Category</th> <th>System Service</th> <th></th> | Major Category | System Service | |
| Write Units 2. Additional information Solves Tretiony (Control Hampton 2. Additional information Solves Tretiony (Control Mississup Rd from Queer St to Embleton Rd. 2. Additional information Project Code RD No 2. General Project Information (CEI) Operation Code RD No 3. General Project Information (CEI) Operation Code RD No 3. General Project Information (CEI) Operation Code RD No 4. Posted Solution Project Information (CEI) Operation Type Controlate Addition Criteria (CEI) Operation Type Controlate Capacity (Tro) & Add Circ 4. Posted Solution Project Sonmany Support Capacity (Polecy Y Support Capacity (Polecy Y Support Capacity (Polecy Y 4. Posted Son (Poreating Y) Support Capacity (Polecy Y Support Capacity (Polecy Y Support Capacity (Polecy Y Printry and Reasons for Prority High Printry acte are advectorgeneristic to 25M S as provider relef to 25M S as providerelef to 25M S as providerelef to 25M S as provider relef | Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| 2. Additional long registerRestanceRestance10000100010001000 | Project Overview | | |
| IdentionMiscing Refine Que Single Constraints1000Note2000Note <t< th=""><th>2. Additional Information</th><th>Service Territory</th><th>Brampton</th></t<> | 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Brampton |
| InitialInitialRectards RADRectards RADRectards RADRectards RADRectards RADRectards RADRectard RADR | | Location | Mississauga Rd from Queen St. to Embleton Rd. |
| Index of CaseRepairRepair Index of CaseNoRepair Index of CaseNoSeneral Project Index of CaseCaseA gendrum TerritoryCaseA gendrum Territory <t< th=""><th></th><th>Units</th><th></th></t<> | | Units | |
| Indendase BAD No Chandpary Project Man School (Company) No Seenaral Project Information (DRI) Control Indenda Option (TO MAAC Company) Seenaral Project Information (DRI) Seenaral Project Information (DRI) Control Indenda Option (To MAAC Company) Control Indenda Option (To MAAC Company) As a participation (DRI) Control Indenda Option (To MAAC Company) Control Indenda Option (To MAAC Company) As a participation (DRI) Man Driver - System Service Control Indenda Option (To MAAC Company) As a participation (DRI) Man Driver - System Service Support Capacity Driver Versite Mad Cince Priority and Reasons for Monty Support Capacity Driver Versite Mad Cince Man Driver - System Service All Concert Atlantemy (To MAAC Company) Mand Driver - System Service Support Capacity Driver Versite Read Company) All Concert Atlantemy (To MAAC Company) Mand Driver - System Service No All Concert Atlantemy (To MAAC Company) Mand Driver - System Service No Concert Atlantemy (To MAAC Company) Mand Driver - System Service No Concert Atlantemy (To MAAC Company) Mand Driver - System Service No Concert Atlantenere No And Papicit | | Project Class | Regular |
| Image: space of the section of the sectin of the section of the section of the section of the section o | | Project Includes R&D | No |
| Source is project information (DER) Contributed Capital Fintered Manually in Forecast 3. General Project Information (DER) Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 4. Exel Io Base Surviced Capacity Units 4. Leplatation Criteris (DER) Project Survices Capacity Units 4. Leplatation Criteris (DER) Main Driver - System Service Support Capacity Drive & Add Circ 4. Leplatation Criteris (DER) Main Driver - System Service Support Capacity Drive & Add Circ A Leplatation Criteris (DER) Main Driver - System Service Support Capacity Drive & Main Driver - System Service A Leplatation Criteris (DER) Main Driver - System Service Support Capacity Delivery High Project Value & Support Capacity Delivery High Project Value Service Main Service Main Service Service Main Serv | | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| 3. General Project Information (QEB) Controluted Control Terriserd Manualy in Forecast: 3. General Project Information (QEB) Controluted Control Controluted Control 4. Evaluation Criteria (QEB) Actes a Grouping Capacity Virols & Add Circ 4. Evaluation Criteria (QEB) Main Driver-System Service Support Capacity Virols & Add Circ 4. Evaluation Criteria (QEB) Main Driver-System Service Support Capacity Virols & Add Circ 4. Evaluation Criteria (QEB) Main Driver-System Service Support Capacity Virols & Add Circ 4. Evaluation Criteria (QEB) Main Driver-System Service Support Capacity Virols Wet In owe developments. Controlmation, Interseptibility Controlmation, Interseptibility Support Capacity Virols Wet In owe developments. Controlmation, Interseptibility Controlmation, Interseptibility Not Applicable Controlmation, Interseptibility Not Applicable Not Applicable Southington, Interseptibility Not Ap | | Component Project Will Congriste Opgoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (CIDE)Centrolate Controlate Controlate Controlate Controlate | | Project will denerate ongoing it owide costs | 10 |
| Indention TypeControlation4. Sele JourdanceReader Jourdance4. Sele JourdanceReader Jourdance5. Sele JourdanceReader Jourdance5. Sele JourdanceReader Jourdance5. Sele JourdanceReader Jourdance5. Sele JourdanceReader Jourdance6. Sele JourdanceReader Jourdance< | 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | *Entered Manually in Forecast |
| Rel D ace and media Alexado company Gapacity Lines Company Gapacity Lines Company Gapacity Lines Company < | | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| Alectro Couping Capacity (line) 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Alectro Subctagoy Inice Capacity Projek & Add Cric 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Wind Divers - System Service Inice Capacity Projek & Add Cric Amano Service Main Divers - System Service Support Capacity Delivery Histophical Resonance of Capacity Delivery High Priority due to new developments. Capacity Delivery Capacity Capacity Delivery Not Applicable Not Applicable Contront Tuberoperability Not Applicable Not Applicable Contront Developments Not Applicable Not Applicable Soudilitative and Quantitative Analysis of Contront Developments Not Applicable Not Applicable Soudilitative and Quantitative Analysis of Contront Developments Not Applicable Not Applicable Soudilitative and Quantitative Analysis of Contront Developments Not Applicable Not Applicable Soudilitative and Quantitative Analysis of Contront Developments Not Applicable Not Applicable Soudilitative and Quantitative Analysis of Contront Developments Not Applicable Not Applicable Soudilitative and Quantitative Analysis of Contront Developments Not Applicable Not | | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| Alerta subcategory Line Capcity Proje & Add Cris 4. Evaluation Criteria (DEB) Project Summary ZidX64 federe transion Missings and find Queen Street to Embletion, Browing and provide contingency for the existing and new customers. A Min Driver - System Service Support Capacity Delivery High Project Joint and Reasons for Priority High Project Joint and Reasons for Priority A Min Driver - System Service Can currently be field by existing 25M8, but requires expansion for future growth. Castomer Attachment / Load (VA) Not Applicable Cancernet Ube Ide by existing 25M8, but requires expansion for future growth. Cancernet Ube Ide by existing 25M8, but requires expansion for future growth. S - Quelrative Attachment / Load (VA) Not Applicable Not Applicable Control Ube Componental Interoperability Not Applicable Not Applicable Priority and Basen Str Not Applicable Not Applicable Project Jatemative (DE) Not Applicable Not Applicable Project Jatemative Str Not Applicable Not Applicable S - Quelrative Str Not Applicable Not Applicable S - General Information on the Watestr Not Appli | | Alectra Grouping | Capacity (Lines) |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary AZM64 feeder extension Mississuage Road from Queen Street to Embleton, provide relief to SZM6 socree Muture growth. Neuremit Reder ZSM6 is approxiable application glanning limits. The 4ZM6 will offload 25M8 and will provide contingency for the existing and new customers. Alm Driver - System Service Prototy and Reasons for Priority Customer Attachment / Load (IVA) Safety Support Capacity Delivery High Priority due to new developments. Can current ty be fold by existing 25M8, but requires expansion for future growth. Castomer Attachment / Load (IVA) Safety Not Applicable Not Applicable Cordination, Interoperability Cordination, Interoperability Cordination, Interoperability Condination, Interoperability Condina | | Alectra Subcategory | Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ |
| 4 components at Embleton, provide relief to 25M8 to serve future growth. The current feeder 25M8 is approaching planning limits. The 42M6 will offGod 25M8 and will provide contingency for the existing and new customers. Main Driver - System Service Priority and Reasons for Priority Safety Not Applicable Coordination, Interoperatibity Kort Applicable Coordination, Interoperatibity Rev Capacity will not be made available. Foritonnential Benefits Solut Que Provice Priority Priority Priority Rev Capacity will not be made available. Future developments Rev Capacity will not be made available. Priority Priority Reverse Priori | 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | 42M64 feeder extension Mississauga Road from Queen Street to Embleton Road in order to supply new subdivision |
| A demonstration on the Project Alternative f2 A demonstration on the Project Alternative f2 A demonstration on the Project Alternative f2 Catagory-Specific Requirements for and Risk Management Catagory-Specific Requirements for Alternative f2 Catagory-Specific Requirements for Alternative for the regulative for the development. Catagory-Specific Requirements for Alternative for the regulative for the development. Catagory-Specific Requirements for Alternative for the regulative for the development. Soution of the project Specific Requirements for Alternative for the regulative for the development. Catagory-Specific Requirements for Alternative for the for the regulative for the development. Catagory-Specific Requirements for Alternative facility for the development. Catagory-Specific Requir | | | developments at Embleton; provide relief to 25M8 to serve future growth. The current feeder 25M8 is approaching |
| Name Driver System Service Support Capacity Delivery Priority and Reasons for Priority High Priority due to new developments. Can currently be fed by existing 25M8, but requires expansion for future growth. Not Applicable Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not Applicable Sequelitative and Quantitative Analysion Cyber-Society, Privacy Not Applicable Sequelitative and Quantitative Analysion Cyber-Society, Privacy Not Applicable Sequelitative and Quantitative Analysion Not Applicable Not Applicable Sequelitative and Quantitative Analysion Not Applicable Not Applicable Sequelitative and Project Atternative SIOES Not Applicable Not Applicable Sequelitative and Project Atternative SIOES Atternative #1 Not Applicable Sequelitative and Project Atternative SIOES Atternative #2 Not Applicable Sequelitative and Project Atternative SIOES Atternative #1 Not Applicable Sequent Information on the Project Atternative SIOES Not Applicable Not Applicable Sequent Information on the Project Atternative #2 Not Applicable Not Applicable Sequent Information on the Information on Equivalent Not Applicable Not Applicable | | | planning innits. The 42100 will official 25000 and will provide contingency for the existing and new customers. |
| Main Driver - System Service Support Capacity Delivery High Priority due to new developments. Car currently be fed by existing 25M8, but requires expansion for future growth. Not Applicable Safety Not Applicable Coordination, Interoperability Not Applicable Coordination, Interoperability Coordination, Interoperability Environmental Benefits Not Applicable Environmental Benefits Not Not Applicable Not Applicable Status Completion and Risk Management Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable Status Completion and Risk Management Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements Not Applicable Not Applicabl | | | |
| Friedry and resolution Producty Friedry and resolution Producty Friedry and resolution Producty Friedry and resolution Producty Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not Applicable Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not Applicable Coordination, Interoperability Not Applicable Project Alternative (OEB) Atternative #1 Atternative #1 Fiture developments will not be made available. Fiture developments will require capacity brought by this circuit. This is the recommended alternative. Concurrently be field by existing 25M8, but requires expansion for future growth. Not Applicable High Priority due to new developments. Concurrently be field by existing 25M8, but requires expansion for future growth. Not Applicable Comparative Information on Equivalent Not Applicable Compar | | Main Driver - System Service | Support Capacity Delivery |
| Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | | Phonty and Reasons for Phonty | Figh Priority due to new developments. |
| SequenceServeNotaplicableVoterSective, PrivacyNotaplicableCondition, InteroperabilityNotaplicableEnvironmental BenefitsNotaplicableInternation Project AttentiveNotaplicableAutenative Annual Project AttentiveNotaplicableAutenative Annual Project AttentiveNotaplicableSegues Annual Project AttentiveNotaplicableAutenative Annual Project AttentiveNotaplicableAutenative Annual Project AttentiveNotaplicableAutenative Annual Project StreepNotaplicableAutenative Annual Project StreepNotaplicableArgens Project/Attivity (DEB)Performation on the project StreepArgens Project StreepReinstreep StreepArgens Project StreepNotaplicableArgens Project StreepN | | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not Applicable |
| Specific Speci | | Safety | Not Applicable |
| Coordination (hereoperabilityNot ApplicableSourginitizity and Quantitative ApplicableNot ApplicableSourginitative and Quantitative ApplicableNot ApplicableAuternative #1Do Nothing Rev Capacity will not be made available.Auternative #1Distont Capecity will not be made available.Sourginitizity ApplicableDistont Proceeding and Proceeding | | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable |
| Economic DevelopmentNot ApplicableS-Qualitative and Quantitative AnalysisEconomical BenefitsNot ApplicableS-Qualitative and Project AlternativesSatus Quantitative AnalysisDo Noting Neuroparcitative analysisAlternative #1Situs Quantitative AnalysisSitus Quantitative AnalysisAlternative #1Situs Quantitative AnalysisSitus Quantitative AnalysisAlternative #1Situs Quantitative AnalysisSitus Quantitative AnalysisSegeneral Information on the Project Activity (DEB)Alternative #2 Instantitation and Risk ManagementNot Applicable Neuroparcitative AnalysisS-Quantitative AnalysisSitus Completion and Risk ManagementNot Applicable Neuroparcitat | | Coordination, Interoperability | Not Applicable |
| Environmental BenefitsNotApplicableS. Qualitative and Quantitative AnalysisExtus QuoNotApplicableAlternative AnalysisAttenative AnalysisThis is not the recommended alternative. Fixed Inters to bring capacity into the proposed area.Alternative AnalysisAttenative AnalysisThis is not the recommended alternative. Fixed Inters to bring capacity into the proposed area.S. General Information on the Project/Activity (DEB)Attenative Analysis Instance AnalysisNotApplicable AnalysisS. General Information on the Project/Activity (DEB)NotApplicable AnalysisNotApplicable AnalysisS. General Information on Equivales Project/Activity (DEB)NotApplicable AnalysisNotApplicable AnalysisS. General Information on Equivales Project/Activity (DEB)NotApplicable AnalysisNotApplicable AnalysisS. General Information on Equivales Project/Activity (DEB)NotApplicable AnalysisNotApplicableS. General Information on Equivales Project/Activity (DEB)NotApplicable AnalysisNotApplicableS. General Information on Equivales Project/Activity (DEB)NotApplicable Project/Activity (DEB)NotApplicableS. ApplicableNotApplicableNotApplicable | | Economic Development | Not Applicable |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project Alternatives (OEB) Status Quo Do Nothing New Capacity will not be made available. Project Alternatives (OEB) Alternative #1 This is not the recommended alternative. Extend lines to bring capacity into the proposed area. 6. General Information on the Project Microal Projects (if any) This is the recommended alternative. Not Applicable 6. General Information on the Project Information on Equivalent Microal Projects (if any) Not Applicable 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Expersed in of Orlogets (if any) Not Applicable 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Expersed in which affect Project, (if applicable which affect Project, (if applicable which affect Project, if applicable which affect Project, if applicable Not Applicable | | Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable |
| Project Alternatives (OEB) New Capacity will not be made available. Project Alternative #1 This is not the recommended alternative. Extend lines to bring capacity into the proposed area. Future developments will require capacity brought by this circuit. Future developments will require capacity brought by this circuit. 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Alternative #2 Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable Vot Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Benefits to Customers of Project Surgessed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable Not Applicable 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Benefits to Customers of Project Requirements Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable Not Applicable | 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | Do Nothing |
| Alternative #1 his is not the recommended alternative. Extendines to bring capacity into the proposed area. Propert/Activity (DEB) Alternative #2 Justification for Recommended Alternative Risks to Completion and Risk Management his is the recommended alternative. Propiect/Activity (DEB) Project/Activity (DEB) Comparative Information on Equivalent Risks to Completion and Risk Management Not Applicable Actemative F2 Justification for Recommended Alternative Risks to Completion and Risk Management Not Applicable Opmarative Information on Equivalent Risks to Completion and Risk Management Not Applicable Opmarative Information on Equivalent Risks recommended Alternative Risks recommended Risk Management Not Applicable Opmarative Information on Equivalent Risks recommended Risk Management Not Applicable Opmarative Information on Equivalent Risks recommended Risk Management Not Applicable Opmarative Information on Equivalent Risks recommended Risk Management Not Applicable Opmarative Information on Equivalent Risks recommended Risks Management Not Applicable Opmarative Information on Equivalent Risks recommended Risks Management Not Applicable Opmarative Information on Equivalent Risks recommended Risks Management Not Applicable Opmarative Information on Equivalent Risks recommended Risks Management Not Applicable Not Applicable | Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | New Capacity will not be made available. |
| Alternative #1 Extend lines to bring capacity into the proposed area. Fund insection proposed area Fund evelopments will require capacity brought by this circuit. Alternative #2 This is the recommended alternative. Not Applicable 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Not Applicable 7. Category-Specific Requirements for projects (if any) Project/Activity (OEB) Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in errors of Cost Impact, where practicable 8. Category-Specific Requirements for projects (if any) Project/Activity (OEB) Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in errors of Cost Impact, where practicable 8. Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, (if applicable Not Applicable | | | This is not the recommended alternative. |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Aternative #2 This is the recommended alternative. Not Applicable 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Project/Activity (OEB) Not Applicable 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Eed Project/Activity (OEB) Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in erms of Cost Impact, where practicable Not Applicable 8. Genial Electricity Infrastructure Requirements Project/Activity (OEB) Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in erms of Cost Impact, where practicable Not Applicable 8. Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable | | Alternative #1 | Extend lines to bring capacity into the proposed area. |
| Alternative #2 This is the recommended alternative. Alternative #2 Not Applicable Justification for Recommended Alternative High Priority due to new developments. Can currently be fed by existing 25M8, but requires expansion for future growth. Can currently be fed by existing 25M8, but requires expansion for future growth. Friedre developments Can currently be fed by existing 25M8, but requires expansion for future growth. Comparative Information on Equivalent Not Applicable Votal Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable O Freigery-Specific Requirements for Each Benefits to Customers of Project S(if any) Total Capital and OM&A costs for Renewable Not Applicable Project/Activity (OEB) Benefits to Customers of Project Suff any) Total Capital and OM&A costs for Renewable O Project/Activity (OEB) Benefits to Customers of Project Suff any) Total Capital and OM&A costs for Renewable O Project/Activity (OEB) Benefits to Customers of Project Suff any) Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements Not Applicable Which affect Project, if applicable Not Applicable | | | Future developments will experies appendix, becaught husble size it |
| Alternative #2 Alternative #2 This is the recommended alternative. Not Applicable 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Not Applicable Not Applicable 7. Category-Specific Requirements for path Project/Activity (OEB) Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in erms of Cost Impact, where practicable Not Applicable 8. Genoral Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in erms of Cost Impact, where practicable Not Applicable 9. Category-Specific Requirements for path Project/Activity (OEB) Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in erms of Cost Impact, where practicable Not Applicable 8. Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable | | | Future developments will require capacity brought by this circuit. |
| Alternative #2 Not Applicable 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Justification for Recommended Alternative Risks to Completion and Risk Management Not Applicable High Priority due to new developments. Can currently be fed by existing 25M8, but requires expansion for future growth. 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable Not Applicable Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable | | | This is the recommended alternative. |
| Justification for Recommended Alternative High Priority due to new developments. Can currently be fed by existing 25M8, but requires expansion for future growth. 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Not Applicable Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Not Applicable 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable Not Applicable Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, (if applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable | | Alternative #2 | Not Applicable |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (If any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (If any) Not Applicable 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable Not Applicable Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, If applicable Not Applicable | | Justification for Recommended Alternative | High Priority due to new developments. |
| Project/Activity (OEB) Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Not Applicable 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable Not Applicable Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable Not Applicable | 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Can currently be ted by existing 25M8, but requires expansion for future growth. Not Applicable |
| Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) Not Applicable 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable Not Applicable Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable Not Applicable | Project/Activity (OEB) | | |
| Alistorical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable 0 Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable 0 Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) Not Applicable 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable Not Applicable Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable Not Applicable | | Comparative Information on Equivalent | Not Applicable |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable Not Applicable Project/Activity (OEB) Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable Not Applicable | | Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable Not Applicable | | Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable Not Applicable Project/Activity (OEB) Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable Not Applicable | | | |
| Project/Activity (OEB) terms of Cost impact, where practicable Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements Not Applicable which affect Project, if applicable | 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in | Not Applicable |
| Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements Not Applicable which affect Project, if applicable | Project/Activity (OEB) | terms of cost impact, where practicable | |
| which affect Project, if applicable | | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements | Not Applicable |
| | | which affect Project, if applicable | |
| Description of Incorporation of Advanced Not Applicable | | Description of Incorporation of Advanced | Not Applicable |
| Technology, if applicable | | Technology, if applicable | |
| Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or Expansion of this circuit will allow contingency for backup and thus increase reliability. | | Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or | Expansion of this circuit will allow contingency for backup and thus increase reliability. |
| coordination benefits | | coordination benefits | |





Project Code

Project Name

OEB Multi-Project Report

150421

2D7X Pimlico Dr - Voltage Conversion and Rear Lot System Renewal

Major Category Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Hamilton Location Units Project Class No Burden Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) **Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Overhead Asset Renewal Alectra Subcategory Voltage Conversion 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary This project is to perform a voltage conversion from 4kV to 27.6kV downstream from a step-down transformer on Pimlico Dr in Ancaster. There is also a portion of the project to address some direct buried URD construction within the neighbourhood. This project was part of the Horizon Utilities Custom IR list for 2019 but was deferred to 2020. Main Driver - System Renewal Mitigate Failure Risks Priority and Reasons for Priority This area is supplied at 4kV through direct buried cable with a rear-lot loop feed, although it is essentially a radial supply since both sides of the loop come from the same step-down transformer. All three of these factors together create a compelling argument for the renewal of the assets in this area. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) 38 customers & 150 kVA Safety Not applicable. Cyber-Security, Privacy Not applicable. Coordination, Interoperability Not applicable. Economic Development Not applicable. **Environmental Benefits** Lower line losses due to higher voltage class. 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Quo By leaving the area as-is, we would be deferring the opportunity to address aging infrastructure and potentially Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) exposing these customers to further interruptions. Alternative #1 This alternative proposes to renew the assets in the area while also proceeding with voltage conversion to a higher voltage class for the equipment. Other benefits include taking the opportunity to redesign the feeder configuration to provide improved reliability where possible by installing ducts where none exist today, making future response to outages much shorter in duration and future renewal less costly. Alternative #2 Not applicable. Justification for Recommended Alternative This area would have been left as-is during the last voltage conversion that was conducted in Ancaster to bring surrounding areas to 27kV. Given the vintage of the majority of assets is the 1950's, it makes sense to take the cost effective approach to replace the remaining assets in a systematic way. To perform voltage conversion at the same time is prudent to bring this pocket of customers up to the same voltage class as surrounding areas. 6 General Information on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management Not applicable Project/Activity (OEB) Comparative Information on Equivalent This area is a spot conversion and as such will be estimated out on an individual project basis. Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable 0 Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Description of the Relationship between the The area is supplied by direct buried 70's vintage XLPE cable, which is at or near end of life. Project/Activity (OEB) Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Average health index of the direct buried cables was 0. Performance Record Number of Customers in Each Customer Class 38 Potentially Affected by Asset Failure Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or 2D7X 3 year outage stats: duration of interruptions and associated risk 29 outages and 923,110 customer minutes (65.74 minutes/customer/year) level) This project will address aging assets with inadequate backup. Aging assets represent an increased risk of service Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated interruption to customers and inadequate backup would result in long duration service interruptions upon occurrence. These factors would lead to customer dissatisfaction in this area. risk level) Value of Customer Impact Medium Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any Not applicable Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Not applicable. Implications of Not Implementing **Reliability and Safety Factors** Reliability benefits are found in the form of installing duct structure where none exists today, minimizing outage time for future interruptions and reducing capital costs for future asset renewal projects

| 700,000 - | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|------|------|------|------|-----------|
| 600,000 - | | | | | | |
| 500,000 - | | | | | | |
| 400,000 - | | | | | | |
| 300,000 - | | | | | | |
| 200,000 - | | | | | | |
| 100,000 - | | | | | | |
| 0 | | | | | | |
| 0 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$576,276 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$576,276 |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | | | | | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | |



| utilities | | |
|---|--|--|
| Project Code | 150422 | |
| Project Name | 136M9 Feeder Extension Castlemore Rd, Goreway | y Dr to McVean Dr |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Brampton |
| | Location | Castlemore Rd. from Goreway Dr. to McVean Dr. |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3 General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | *Entered Manually in Forecast |
| Si deneral i roject intornation (deb) | | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | |
| | Alectra Subcategony | Line Canacity Drois & Add Circ |
| 4 Evoluction Critoria (OEB) | Droject Summany | 126M0 everbad feader evention Cartlemare Rd, from Corevay Dr. to McVean Dr. This project provides 126M0 |
| | Trojece Sammary | capacity along Castlemore Dr from Goreway Dr to McVean Dr., and McVean will bring greenfield capacity up to Mayfield Rd to service north east Brampton. 136M9 in future will connect to and provide offloading and contingency for 136M8 (326A) and 136M42 (290A). |
| | | |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Support Capacity Delivery |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | The stretch on Castlemore drive brings the 136M9 teeder to McVean Dr. McVean Dr brings the 136M9 up to Mayfield Rd to service north east Brampton. The 138M8 is approaching planning limits and new customers are being connected. The 136M9 will offload 136M8 and will provide additional contingency. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | 136M9 in future will connect to: |
| | | 136M8 326A (2017 Peak) 136M42 290A (2017 Peak) |
| | 6.6.4 | 136M47 93A (2017 Peak) - Currently provides service on McVean |
| | Safety | Not Applicable |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Contingent on 2 lane to 6 lane road widening. |
| | Economic Development | Not Applicable |
| | Environmental Benefits | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OFB) | Status Quo | Do Notning |
| | | Future demand will not be met. |
| | Alternative #1 | This is not the recommended alternative. Install OH 136M9 extension |
| | | This will bring 136m9 egress up to McVean Dr for future extension. |
| | Alternative #2 | This is the recommended alternative. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | This will bring 136M9 egress up to McVean Dr for future extension. |
| | | McVean Dr brings the 136M9 up to Mayfield Rd to service north east Brampton. |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Not Applicable |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Not Applicable |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | Not Applicable |
| | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable | Not Applicable |
| | Description of Incorporation of Advanced Technology, if applicable | Not Applicable |
| | Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits | Not Applicable |
| | | |

| 160,000 - | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|-----------|------|------|
| 140,000 - | | | | | | |
| 120,000 - | | | | | | |
| 100,000 - | | | | | | |
| 80,000 - | | | | | | |
| 60,000 - | | | | | | |
| 40,000 - | | | | | | |
| 20,000 - | | | | | | |
| 0 - | | | | | | |
| C C | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$133,408 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$133,408 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| urrency scale is in literal | | | | | | |



150464

Project Code Project Name Fieldworker Upgrade - IT/OT Infrastructure Major Category General Plant 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Scenario Project Overview 2. Additional Information Undefined Service Territory Location Units 1 Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) **Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0%** Expenditure Type Controllable Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Information Technology Systems Alectra Subcategory IT Upgrades & Enhancements 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Enhancement to mobile field force system Fieldworker to support process improvement requirements\ Enhancements - Business Driven Enhancements CIS Interfaces Field worker is one of the core applications of the organization, operational enhancements come in from a number of venues and thereby feedback into the other downstream systems. These enhancements are considered for the overall customer and organizational benefits. Main Driver - General Plant Customer Service Priority and Reasons for Priority **Business Driven** Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not Applicable Not Applicable. Safety Cyber-Security, Privacy Not Applicable. Coordination, Interoperability Not Applicable. Economic Development Not Applicable. **Environmental Benefits** Not Applicable. 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Quo By maintaining a status quo Fieldworker, the organization is at risk of missing process improvements and Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) enhancements that drive efficiencies and meet new business demands Without making changes to address any enhancements, the lack in operational efficiencies of the system will impede the ability to meet customer requirements. Alternative #1 Not Applicable Alternative #2 Not Applicable Justification for Recommended Alternative To allocate capital dollars to ensure Alectra's mobile field force application (Fieldworker) continues to operate efficiently and meet customer and business requirements. 6. General Information on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management Ensure proper project management and solftware development lifecycle processes are adhered, along with a steering Project/Activity (OEB) commitee, vendor management, evaluation criteria & priority. Comparative Information on Equivalent Not Applicable Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable 0 Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Other Planning Objectives Met Not Applicable Project/Activity (OEB) 350,000 300,000 250,000 200,000 150,000 100,000 50.000 0 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024

Actuals: \$0 Currency scale is in literal

2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$303,416

\$0

\$0

\$303,416

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0





| utilitios | | |
|--|---|--|
| Project Code | 150467 | |
| Project Name | CIS CC&B upgrade | |
| Maior Category | General Plant | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2 Additional Information | Service Territory | Indefined |
| 2. Additional mormation | Service remony | ondenned |
| | Location | |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 2. Constant Designs Information (OSD) | Control Control | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | | |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Information Technology Systems |
| | Alectra Subcategory | IT Upgrades & Enhancements |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Meter to Cash systems are comprised of number of IT systems involved in the meter to cash process including Meter |
| | | Reading, Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) systems, Meter Data Management, Wholesale and Retail Settlement, EBT transactions, MDMR transactions, Billing, Cash, Collections, Business Intelligence and related field activity systems, including interfaces among these systems. Interfaces to EBP, CRM and GIS applications are also supported. Supporting approximately 900-1000 users; Alectra's meter to cash process is to maintain and operate Oracle Customer Care and Billing (CC&B) CIS system and its auxiliary systems in order to provide efficient and accurate meter readings and billing for the residents of Alectra Utilities. Originally implemented in production in 2018 along with smart meter systems, and other auxiliary applications. The solution supports the following business areas: •Eustomer Service i.e. customer account management/premise management/customer contact •Eollection •Metering i.e. meter management/field activities •Meter Readings i.e. validation/estimation •Billing i.e. bill creation/adjustments •Wholesale Settlement i.e. settlement with IESO, embedded generation, Hydro One, etc. •Betail Settlement i.e. settlement to the reading and reading transactions CCB upgrade is a critical roadmap component to ensure that we maintain adequate support from primary vendor (Oracle) as well as other involved vendors e.g. hardware, Operating Systems, etc. who are part of the CIS echo-system. If unsupported, the operation risks increases daily as there is constant change in technology and constant threats e.g. security. Vendor support would be only for most recent version or n-x depending on the support policy. Thus older software versions outside the n-x will be unsupported and any new releases are not backwards compatible, leaving the organization with significant operational risks. Furthermore, the greater the gap between the version used and latest product version may result in significant additional costs in the future as the upgrade may need to be performed in multiple steps. |
| 5 Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Main Driver - General Plant Priority and Reasons for Priority Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety Cyber-Security, Privacy Coordination, Interoperability Economic Development Environmental Benefits Status Quo | Customer Service Prioritization considers business needs and vendor support agreements (in terms of upgrade requirements for the larger enterprise systems). For Alectra Enterprise Systems the vendor roadmaps and vendor specifications provide Investment direction in terms of upgrades. These guidelines ensure the optimal amount of vendor support, enhancements to maximize benefits of the systems and security patches to maintain and protect data and information. For CC&B - Alectra uses the Oracle CC&B roadmap as a guide for vendor support and upgrades. Not Applicable Not Applicable. Various system are integrated to CC&B such as the AMI, MDMR, ERP, GIS, - upgrading will continue to ensure compatibility and functionality with all related systems as each system is enhanced and upgraded. Not Applicable. Not Applicable. By maintaining the status-nuo pn CCB and meter-to-cash auviliary systems. Alectra does not benefit from process |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | improvements and enhancement issued with updates and upgrades that drive efficiencies and meet new business demands. More importantly, maintaining status-quo will result in software being out of vendor support exposing the organization to risks as relates to:- 1-Vendor support, system fixes and Security patches to protect customer information and data integrity would be compromised if systems are not upgraded and not supported. 2-System reliability is compromised without system upgrades and update. 3-System failures and potential prolonged restoration to address issues could significantly affect Alectra's operations and its ability to deliver service to customers and execute planned work programs. Finally without upgrade and utilization of new product enhancements, the lack of realizing new operational efficiencies can impede the ability to meet future business customer requirements. |
| | Alternative #1 | Alectra maintains upgrades and software applications to support business and customer facing applications. By maintaining upgrades on software, Alectra would benefit from associated improvements, security patches and system fixes that come with upgrades that in turn drive efficiencies, improve processes and meet new business demands. |

| | Alternative #2 | Recommended Alternat | An alternative available. Alectra has de - Extended sup to support enc if Alectra chos particularly as other compon software vend Another alterr • Bequiring a si • Imposing sig Both costs and operation and The greater th the future as t | to updating software I termined that this opti oport, if available, com d of life software versic es to pay the additiona- relates to security bec ents (e.g. Operating Sy ors' control and they c lative is to implement. ignificant capital invest inficant write-off for th I write-off are complet future demands if upg e gap between the ver he upgrade may need elected : To allocate co ntly and meet custom t, enhancements to m on. | to current versions would b ion would not deliver value es at a higher operating co- nn can cost up to 25% – 309 al costs, vendors can't guar. ause the software is one co stem, JAVA version, etc.). T an never guarantee 100% t a new CIS system, this is a r iment to implement a new evalue of the current syste ely unwarranted since the irraded and maintained acc sion used and latest produ to be performed in multiple apital dollars to ensure Alec er and business requiremer aximize benefits of the syst | e to purchase extended sup for Alectra or its customers than regular ongoing mai 6 more than normal mainte antee that they will be able imponent of the echo-syste hese other components are backwards compatibility. nuch more expensive optio CIS system approximately \$ m. current system is capable of ordingly. ct version may result in sign e steps. | opport from the vendor, if s, as: intenance. Vendors required nance costs. However, even to provide the full support m that is fully dependent on e often outside of the n, particularly 78M to \$95M f meeting the current ificant additional costs in System continues to the optimal amount of maintain and protect data |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Comple | tion and Risk Manageme | ent Ensure proper | project management : | and software development | lifecycle processes are adhe | ared along with a steering |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | Comparative Inf | | commitee, ver | ndor management, eva | luation criteria & priority. | | |
| | Historical Project Total Capital an Energy Generati | its (if any) d OM&A Costs for Renev on portion of Projects (i | wable 0 fany) | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Planning | Objectives Met | Not Applicable | 2. | | | |
| | 8,000,000 | | | | | | |
| | 7,000,000 | | | | | | |
| | 6,000,000 | | | | | | |
| | 5,000,000 | | | | | | |
| | 4,000,000 | | | | | | |
| | 3,000,000 | | | | | | |
| | 2,000,000 | | | | | | |
| | 1,000,000 | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | : \$13,322,386 | \$0 | \$0 | \$6,545,804 | \$6,776,582 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |



| | utilities | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---|---|-----------------|--|--|--|--|---|
| Proj | ect Code | 150511 | | | | | | |
| Proj | ect Name | Installation of SWI Video security sy | stem at 4 MS | stations per y | ear - Annual Multi-year ini | tiative-WEST | | |
| Maj | or Category | System Service | | | | | | |
| Scer | ario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | | | | | | |
| Proj | ect Overview | | | | | | | |
| 2. A | dditional Information | Service Territory | | Hamilton | | | | |
| | | Location | | | | | | |
| | | Units | | 1 | | | | |
| | | Project Class | | Regular | | | | |
| | | Project Includes R&D | | No | | | | |
| | | Technology Project or has Technolo | gy | No | | | | |
| | | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT Of | A&A Costs | No | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 3. G | eneral Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | | Contributed | Capital 0% | | | |
| | | Expenditure Type | | Controllable | | | | |
| | | Rates ID | | Rate Base Fu | unded | | | |
| | | Alectra Grouping | | Safety & Sec | urity | | | |
| | | Alectra Subcategory | | Security | | | | |
| 4. Ev | valuation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | | Install SWI v | ideo cameras at 4 MS stat | ions and connect to SCADA | A network. | |
| | | Main Driver - System Service | | Safety | | | | |
| | | Priority and Reasons for Priority | | Station Copy monitoring immediately better the cl | per theft and vandalism ha will ensure that station sec v. Personnel and Public safe nances are that losses wou | is been on the increase over curity and safety related iss ety is critical so the faster t Id be minimal or non exist | er the recent years. The im sues can be easily identifie the response to a safety/se ent. | plementation of video d and addressed ecurity related issue the |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety | | Not Applical Video came | ole ra monitoring allow for rer | note equipment condition | assessment as well as site | and property security |
| | | | | monitoring. | Weather conditions are al | so available remotely. | | |
| | | Cyber-Security, Privacy | | Not Applicat | ble | | | |
| | | Coordination, Interoperability | | Not Applicat | ble | | | |
| | | Economic Development | | Not Applicat | ble | | | |
| | | Environmental Benefits | | Not Applical | ble | | | |
| 5. Q Proj | ualitative and Quantitative Analysis of ect and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | | Do nothing. | | | | |
| | | Alternative #1 | | Not Applical | ble | | | |
| | | Alternative #2 | | Not Applical | ble | | | |
| | | Justification for Recommended Alte | rnative | Station secu video securi manual cont | rity is extremely important ty system like the SWI whit trol of video cameras to me | t. Stations are targets for p ch is standard at all new TS onitor equipment condtion | hysical theft and vandalisi S stations, provides alertin ns and operations. | n as well as cyber. A smart g and alarming as well as |
| 6. G | eneral Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Mana | gement | Not Applical | ble | | | |
| Proj | ect/Activity (OEB) | | | | | | | |
| | | Comparative Information on Equiva | alent | Not Applicat | ble | | | |
| | | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for R | enewable | 0 | | | | |
| | | Energy Generation portion of Project | ts (if any) | | | | | |
| 7. Ca Proj | tegory-Specific Requirements for Each ect/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Customers of Project Exp terms of Cost Impact, where practic | pressed in able | Not Applical | ble | | | |
| | | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Re | equirements | Not Applical | ble | | | |
| | | Description of Incorporation of Adv | anced | Not Applicat | hle | | | |
| | | Technology, if applicable | | Not Applicat | | | | |
| | | Identify any reliability, efficiency, sa | fety or | Not Applical | ble | | | |
| | | coordination benefits | | | | | | |
| | | 180.000 | | | | | | |
| | | 100,000 | | | | | | |
| | | 180,000 | | | | | | |
| | | 140,000 | | | | | | |
| | | 120,000 | | | | | | |
| | | 100,000 | | | | | | |
| | | 80,000 | | | | | | |
| | | 60,000 | | | | | | |
| | | 00,000 | | | | | | |
| | | 40,000 | | | | | | |
| | | 20,000 | | | | | | |
| | | 0 2019 | 20 | 020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | l: \$165,225 \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$165,225 |
| | Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |



| utilities | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|-----------------|--|---|--|--|---|
| Project Code | 150512 | | | | | | | |
| Project Name | Installation | of SWI Video security syst | tem at 4 MS | stations per y | ear - Annual Multi-year ini | itiative-CENTRAL | | |
| Major Category | System Serv | vice | | | | | | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - | FINAL DSP Submitted | | | | | | |
| Project Overview | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Terri | itory | | Legacy Powe | erStream North & South | | | |
| | Location | | | | | | | |
| | Units | | | 1 | | | | |
| | Project Class | s | | Regular | | | | |
| | Project Inclu | udes R&D | | No | | | | |
| | Technology | Project or has Technology | y | No | | | | |
| | Component Proiect Will | Generate Ongoing IT OM | &A Costs | No | | | | |
| | , | | | | | | | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed | l Capital | | Contributed | Capital 0% | | | |
| | Expenditure | е Туре | | Controllable | | | | |
| | Rates ID | | | Rate Base Fu | unded | | | |
| | Alectra Grou | uping | | Safety & Sec | urity | | | |
| | Alectra Subo | category | | Security | | | | |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Sum | imary | | Install SWI v | ideo cameras at 4 MS stat | tions and connect to SCAD | A network. | |
| | Main Driver | - System Service | | Safety | | | | |
| | Priority and | Reasons for Priority | | Station Cop | per theft and vandalism ha | as been on the increase ov | er the recent years. The im | plementation of video |
| | | | | monitoring immediately better the cl | will ensure that station see r. Personnel and Public saf nances are that losses wou | curity and safety related is: Tety is critical so the faster and be minimal or non exist | sues can be easily identified the response to a safety/se ent. | d and addressed curity related issue the |
| | Customer A | ttachment / Load (KVA) | | Not Applical | ble | | | |
| | Safety | | | Video came | ra monitoring allow for re | mote equipment condition | assessment as well as site | and property security |
| | Cuber-Secur | ity Privacy | | Mot Applicat | Weather conditions are al | lso available remotely. | | |
| | Coordinatio | n Interoperability | | Not Applicat | ble | | | |
| | Economic D | evelopment | | Not Applicat | he | | | |
| | Environmen | ital Benefits | | Not Applicat | he | | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Ouo | | | Do nothing. | | | | |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | | | Do notining. | | | | |
| | Alternative | #1 | | Not Applicat | ole | | | |
| | Alternative | #2 | | Not Applical | ble | | | |
| | Justification | for Recommended Alter | lative | video securi manual cont | ty system like the SWI whi trol of video cameras to m | ich is standard at all new T ionitor equipment condtio | s stations, provides alerting and operations. | g and alarming as well as |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Con | npletion and Risk Manage | ment | Not Applical | ble | | | |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | Comparativ | e Information on Equival | ent | Not Applicat | ble | | | |
| | Historical Pr | ojects (if any) | | | | | | |
| | Total Capita | I and OM&A Costs for Re | newable | 0 | | | | |
| | Energy Gene | eration portion of Project | s (if any) | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Conternation Benefits to Conternation | Customers of Project Expr st Impact, where practica | essed in ble | Not Applical | ble | | | |
| | Regional Ele which affect | ectricity Infrastructure Rec t Project, if applicable | quirements | Not Applical | ble | | | |
| | Description | of Incorporation of Advar | nced | Not Applicat | ble | | | |
| | Technology, | , if applicable | liccu | Not Applica | | | | |
| | Identify any coordination | reliability, efficiency, safe n benefits | ety or | Not Applical | ble | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | 180,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 160,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 140,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 120,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 100.000 | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 | | | | | | | |
| | au,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 60,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 0 + | 2010 | | 20 | 2021 | 2022 | 2022 | 2024 |
| | d. 6165 225 | 2019 | 20 | U2U | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| Actuals: 60 | u. 9103,225 | <u>ې</u> ن | | ο co | \$U | >∪ ¢0 | ŞU | \$105,225 |
| Actuals: 50 | | Ş0 | | ŞU | \$0 | \$0 | 50 | \$U |



| utilities | | |
|---|--|--|
| Project Code | 150519 | |
| Project Name | Upgrade to Station Facilities (Building / Civil work | <u>) MultiYear - East</u> |
| Major Category | System Renewal | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream North & South |
| | Location | |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| , , | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Substation Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Stations Replacement Program/P |
| 4 Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Replace install add items that relate to the structural components of stations. This includes roof replacements/repairs. |
| | | windows, brickwork, doors, gates, fences, foundations, overhead doors, compound, drainage, etc. |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Not applicable |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not Applcable |
| | Safety | Not applicable |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not applicable |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not applicable |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Not applicable |
| | Alternative #1 | Not Applicable |
| | Alternative #2 | Not applicable |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | There are building related upgrades that are required on many station buildings. Station building materials are showing |
| | | age related failures of which need to be addressed. |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Not applicable |
| Fillet, Activity (OLB) | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Not Applicable |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Fach | Description of the Relationship between the | |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and | |
| | Performance Record | |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class | |
| | Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk | |
| | level) | |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer | |
| | sausiaction, customer migration and associated risk level) | |
| | Value of Customer Impact | |
| | Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any | |
| | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including | |
| | Implications of Not Implementing | |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | |
| | and the for the network reject | |
| | | |

| 140,000 - | | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|-----------|--|
| 120,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 100,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 80,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 60,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 40,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 20,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 0 - | | | | | | | |
| C C | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$123,868 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$123,868 | |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| urrency scale is in literal | | | | | | | |



| utilities | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|
| Project Code | 150547 | | | | | | | |
| Project Name | Business Su | <u>ipport</u> | | | | | | |
| Major Category | General Pla | int | | | | | | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 | - FINAL DSP Submitted | | | | | | |
| Project Overview | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Terr | ritory | Undefined | | | | | |
| | Location | | Not Applic | able | | | | |
| | Units | | 1 | | | | | |
| | Project Clas | | - No Burder | | | | | |
| | Project Incl | udos P&D | No buluer | | | | | |
| | Tochnology | Depict of has Technolog | Yes | | | | | |
| | Component | roject or has rechnolog | y res | | | | | |
| | Project Will | I Generate Ongoing IT OM | &A Costs No | | | | | |
| 3 General Project Information (OFB) | Contribute | d Canital | Contribute | ed Capital 0% | | | | |
| Si deneral i roject intornation (deb) | Evnenditure | | Controllat | le | | | | |
| | Pates ID | e type | Rate Rase | Funded | | | | |
| | Alactra Cro | uning | Informatic | n Tachnalom, Sustama | | | | |
| | Alectra Gro | uping | intornatio | an rechnology systems | | | | |
| | Alectra Sub | ocategory | 11 Upgrad | es & Ennancements | | 6 1 - 11 - 11 | | |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Sun | nmary | The projec Building a new and r | t will encompass a variety culture of innovation Alect nore efficient ways of doin | y of sub projects for the purp tra will need a continued effo g business. | ose of investigating new ort in remaining ahead of | and emerging technologies. The trends and implementing | |
| | Main Drive | r - General Plant | Capital Inv | estment Support | | | | |
| | Priority and | d Reasons for Priority | The projec | t address cost reductions t | through labor and overhead | efficiencies through auto | mation. | |
| | Customer A | Attachment / Load (KVA) | not applic | able | | | | |
| | Safety | | no impact | to physical H&S | | | | |
| | Cyber-Secu | rity, Privacy | Change wi | II be vetted through securi | ity team | | | |
| | Coordinatio | on, Interoperability | not applic | able | | | | |
| | Economic D | Development | not applic | able | | | | |
| | Environmer | ntal Benefits | Possible b | enefits due to the various p | projects | | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | | Due to the not be suf | Due to the nature of the innovative project there are various status quo scenarios. As Alectra grows the status quo will not be sufficient to continue a level of innovation expected. | | | | |
| | Alternative | #1 | Not Applic | able | | | | |
| | Alternative | #2 | not applic | able | | | | |
| | Justification | n for Recommended Alter | native Improving | and enhancing the function | onality and automation capal | ble within the various str | reams , will allow for | |
| | | | increased | productivity , improved cu | stomer experience, increased | d compliance, improved o | quality, better employee | |
| 6 Constal Information on the | Bicks to Cor | moletion and Rick Manage | engageme | nt and higher safety | will be made available | | | |
| Project/Activity (OFB) | NISKS LU CUI | предопана кізк манаде | inent not applic | able, resources and sivie s | will be fildue available | | | |
| ,, | Comparativ | ve Information on Equival | ent Not Applic | Not Applicable | | | | |
| | Historical P | rojects (if any) | | | | | | |
| | Form Con | al and OM&A Costs for Re | newable 0 | | | | | |
| | chergy Gen | leration portion of Project | s (ii aliy) | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Planr | ning Objectives Met | not applic | able | | | | |
| | 200,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 180,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 160,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 140,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 140,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 120,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 80,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 60,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2022 | 2024 | |
| | 1. ¢176 200 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 6176 200 | |
| | 2170,208 | ŞU ¢0 | ŞU | ŞU | ŞU CO | ې <u>ل</u> | \$170,208 | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | ŞU | ŞU | ŞÜ | \$0 | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | |



Project Code

OEB Multi-Project Report

150576

Split the 1/0 loop on Cityview Blvd into two loops

| Project Name | Split the 1/0 loop on Cityview Blvd into two loop | <u>15</u> |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South |
| | Location | on Cityview Blyd from Teston Bd to switchgear 33-156 (south of Teston Bd) in Vaughan |
| | Location | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Constants Opgoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | Project will denerate Orgoing IT Owar costs | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Capacity (Lines) |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Line Capacity Prois & Add Circ |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | This project is to split the $1/0$ loop on Cityview Blyd into two loops. The purpose is to increase supply capacity and |
| | (reject barmary | increase supply reliability for 1,865 residential customers and 93 commercial customers on the loop. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | This project is to split the existing 1/0 loop as originally designed in 2005 and includes following: |
| | | Install one set of 1/0 AL cables on the east side of Citwiew Blyd from existing swar 33,156 to Teston Rd and connect to |
| | | feeder 25M1 on Teston Rd. |
| | | |
| | | Install one set of 1/0 AL cables on the west side of Cityview Blvd from the crossing to the existing swgr 33-L56 to |
| | | Teston Rd and connect to feeder 25M1 on Teston Rd. |
| | | Permane the read creating on Citation Plud north of our 22156 |
| | | Kentove the road crossing on cityview bive north of swgr 55-250 |
| | | See the proposed schematic in the attachment for details. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Support Capacity Delivery |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | High |
| | thong and heatons for thong | |
| | | Alectra Utilities requires to prepare the distributions system to address the system capacity need driven by green field |
| | | expansion. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | The existing loop configuration was supposed to be temporary as per attached Block 33 West design drawing in 2005. |
| | | Two 1/0 AL risers were supposed to be built in 2005, but were never built for whatever reason. See attached drawing |
| | | for details. |
| | | |
| | | Capacity Impact |
| | | As of June 2018, Cityview area in Vaughan is supplied by an existing 1/0 loop that has a rating of 200A of 10 MVA. The |
| | | Cityview Blvd including Alectra office and Walmart. There is 18.5MVA connected kVA on the loop: 12MVA on the |
| | | residential side and 6.5 MVA on the commercial side. |
| | | |
| | | A Tesla charging station has been proposed to be supplied from the loop. The transformer size is 2MVA and demand is |
| | | projected to be 1 MW. It will be in service in 2019. CDM is considered and load forecast is net of CDM. |
| | | With the completion of Tesla charging station at Major Mack Dr and Citwiew Blvd, it will encourage residents in the |
| | | area to purchase electrical vehicles. Although some will take the advantage of free charging at Tesla charging station, it |
| | | is expected that some will still charging at home for convenience and result in increase in the peak demand on the |
| | | residential side of the 1/0 loop. |
| | | |
| | | On the east side of Cityview Blvd, there are still many vacant lots. The peak demand can increase significantly in the future A 1.5 MVA transformer is to be installed for a ICI development on Cityview Blvd in 2010. |
| | | interes A 1.5 million transionner is to be instaned for a for development on Cityview bivd in 2013. |
| | | If one end of the loop fails, the other end has to back up all the loads on the loop. With over 20MVA connected on the |
| | | loop after Tesla charging station in service in Aug 2018, the 200A fuses may blow under cold load pick up. The risk will |
| | | increase as the land on the east of Cityview Blvd develops. |
| | | Paliability Impact |
| | | Ac of May 2010, there are 1.955 recidential customers and 02 commercial customers on the leas. A new cubdivision is |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | 20 MVA |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Safety | Not applicable. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not applicable. |
| | | |

| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not applicable. |
|---|--|---|
| | Economic Development | Not applicable. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not applicable. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | The status quo is to do nothing, (i.e., not build project as proposed), but to supply load growth from existing facilities. It will impact Alectra distribution system in two following aspects: |
| | | Capacity Impact As of June 2018, Cityview area in Vaughan is supplied by an existing 1/0 loop that has a rating of 200A or 10 MVA. The loop supplies all residential customers between Cityview Blvd and Weston Rd as well as commercial customers on Cityview Blvd including Alectra office and Walmart. There is 18.5MVA connected kVA on the loop: 12MVA on the residential side and 6.5 MVA on the commercial side. |
| | | A Tesla charging station has been proposed to be supplied from the loop. The transformer size is 2MVA and demand is projected to be 1 MW. It will be in service in August 2018. |
| | | With the completion of Tesla charging station at Major Mack Dr and Cityview Blvd, it will encourage residents in the area to purchase electrical vehicles. Although some will take the advantage of free charging at Tesla charging station, it is expected that some will still charging at home for convenience and result in increase in the peak demand on the residential side of the 1/0 loop. On the east side of Cityview Blvd, there are still many vacant lots. The peak demand can increase significantly in the future. If one side of the loop fails, the other side has to back up all the loads on the loop. With over 20MVA connected on the loop after Tesla charging station in service in Aug 2018, the 200A fuses may blow under cold load pick up. The risk will increase as the land on the east of Cityview Blvd develops. |
| | | Reliability Impact As of May 2018, there are 1,865 residential customers and 93 commercial customers on the loop. A new subdivision is under construction now; the total customer is expected to exceed 2,000 by the end of 2018. Any cable fault and fuse operation will impact significant number of residential customers. |
| | Alternative #1 | The other option is to install approx 220 m of 1000 MCM Cu cables on Cityview Blvd from Teston Rd to existing switchgear 33-L56 (south of Teston Rd), and replace existing switchgear 33-L56 with a new 600A/200A switchgear. The existing switchgear 33-L56 has two 200A switches and two 200A breaker for two transformers (V33TP1000 and V33TP1005). The new swgr will have a 600A switch and three 200A breakers. It will split the 1/0 loop on Cityview Blvd into two loops and supply transformer V33TP1000 and TP1005. |
| | | •Dne loop will be from the new swgr to dip 33-P48 on Weston Rd for the residential customers between Cityview Blvd and Weston Rd. The open point will be in the middle at switch V33-L51-2 •Dne loop will be from the new swgr to dip 33-P93 on Major Mack Dr for the commercial customers on Cityview Blvd between Major Mack Dr and Teston Rd including Alectra office. The open point will be in the middle at switch V33-L51-2. |
| | | •The existing transformer V33TP1000 will be spliced into the supply to the transformer V33TP1005 that will be from the third 200A breaker of the new swgr |
| | | See the proposed schematic in the attachment for details. |
| | | This option is not pursed because it requires change of the existing swgr 33-L56 and the cost is approx. the same as the recommended option. The reliability of this option is lower because the failure of the new swgr and the 1000 MCM will impact both loops. |
| | Alternative #2 | Not applicable. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | The project is recommended because: • It provides additional 10MVA to the Cityview area for the development of vacant land. • It reduces number of customers on the existing loop and will reduce number of customers affected by a outage |
| | | Status quo is not acceptable. If one side of the loop fails, the other side cannot back up the entire load without exceeding the cable rating of 200A. The customers may experience long outage if one side of the loop fails. |
| | | Alternative #1 is not pursed because it requires change of the existing swgr 33-L56 and the cost is approx. the same as the recommended option. The reliability of this option is lower because the failure of the new swgr and the 1000 MCM will impact both loops. |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OFB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Not applicable |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent | Not applicable. |
| | Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | Not applicable |
| | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable | Not applicable |
| | Description of Incorporation of Advanced Technology, if applicable | Not applicable |
| | Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits | It reduces number of customers on the existing loop and will reduce number of customers affected by a outage. It will reduce the loading of the 1/0 cable and reduce losses and extend the life of the cables. |

| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 \$0< | | | | | |
|--|--|------|------|-----------|------|------|
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$452,899 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$452,899 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 50,000 - | | | | | | |
| 100,000 - | | | | | | |
| 150,000 - | | | | | | |
| 200,000 - | | | | | | |
| 250,000 - | | | | | | |
| 300,000 - | | | | | | |
| 350,000 - | | | | | | |
| 400,000 - | | | | | | |
| 450,000 - | | | | | | |
| 500,000 - | | | | | | |



Project Code Project Name

OEB Multi-Project Report

150582

Back-end Automation (Orchestration Tool\Setup) General Plant

| Major Category | General Plant | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | | | | | | |
| Project Overview | | | | | | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Undefined | | | | | |
| | Location | Not applica | ble | | | | |
| | Units | 1 | | | | | |
| | Project Class | No Burden | | | | | |
| | Project Includes R&D | No | | | | | |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | Yes | | | | | |
| | Component | | | | | | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM8 | &A Costs Yes | | | | | |
| 2. Concerned Descionate Informations (OED) | Contributed Conited | Contributo | Capital 0% | | | | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contribute | a Capital 0% | | | | |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllabl | 2 | | | | |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base F | unded | | | | |
| | Alectra Grouping | Information | Technology Systems | | | | |
| | Alectra Subcategory | IT Upgrade | & Enhancements | | | | |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Gather req | irements, determine avail | able products that meet th | ose requirements and RF | P. SOW will include POC of | |
| | | chosen pro | ducts as well as Professiona | al Services to assist with de | sign, build and implement | tation. | |
| | Main Driver - General Plant | Canital Inve | stment Sunnort | | | | |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | This is a me | dium priority project that | will enable more efficient | use the IT Operations Tear | m's time to allow them to | |
| | Thomey and Reasons for Thomey | focus on m | pre critical tasks, project de | liverables etc., an not on o | lav to dav commoditized. | repeatable low risk tasks. | |
| | | | | , | ,,, | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not applica | DIE | | | | |
| | Safety | Not applica | ble | | | | |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not applica | ble | | | | |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not applica | ble | | | | |
| | Economic Development | Not applica | ble | | | | |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not applica | ble | | | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | Do Nothing | | | | | |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | | | | | | |
| | Alternative #1 | Cathor road | viromonte dotormino avail | able products that most th | oco requirements and RE | D SOW will include DOC of | |
| | Alternative #1 | chosen pro | ducts as well as Professiona | al Services to assist with de | sign, build and implement | tation. | |
| | | | | | 8) | | |
| | Alternative #2 | Not Applica | ble | | | | |
| | Justification for Recommended Altern | ative | | | | | |
| | | Orchestrati | on enables users to follow | a workflow that delivers to | them a service or compu- | te item. Along that workflow, | |
| | | automation | enables a computer to rep | peat a task flawlessly on de | emand or on a schedule. O | rchestration envelops the | |
| | | whole lifecy | cle and management of re | quired infrastructure for th | hat user. | | |
| | | A well-desi | ned IT orchestration system | m takes away the frustration | on and manual interventio | on to create VMs or other IT | |
| | | resources, | s well as the long waits an | d paperwork for app owne | rs who need them from IT | Γ. The whole process is | |
| | | subject to v | vorkflows, which implemen | nt business logic and decisi | ons into practices. They ca | an also include approvals, | |
| | | resource al | ocation limits and other cu | stomizations. | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Manage | ment Not applica | ble | | | | |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | | | | | | | |
| | Comparative Information on Equivale | ent Not applica | ble | | | | |
| | Historical Projects (if any) | | | | | | |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Ren | rewable 0 | | | | | |
| | energy deneration portion of Projects | s (ii diiy) | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each | Other Planning Objectives Met | Not applica | ble | | | | |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 250,000 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 200.000 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 150.000 | | | | | | |
| | 130,000 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 50,000 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | i: \$220,058 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$220,058 | |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | • | | | |



Project Code 150605 Project Name Residential "ICON F" Meter Replacement - PowerStream RZ Major Category System Access Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Project Overview Legacy PowerStream South 2. Additional Information Service Territory Location Various locations in the PowerStream RZ Units 2000 Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) **Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0%** Non-Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Network Metering Alectra Subcategory Metering 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Remove 108K Sensus ICON F residential meters, from 2013 to 2021, and replace with meters that support these benefits. These meters do not provide a signal to the AMI head end when they lose power. This "last gasp" signal is passed to the Outage Management System. As well as identifying individual customers, the Outage Management System uses signals from multiple meters to determine when power is interrupted for a transformer, or an feeder. This results in prompt identification of the required action and dispatch of crews to restore power. This is much faster than relying on calls from customers, and it identifies outages even when the customer is not at home. Bell Wurld cyber-security audit in 2011 recommended removal of ICON F meters with a flexnet module firmware version that does not meet encryption data standards. "ICON F" meters cannot be upgraded to improve encryption. Meters will be scrapped and replaced with 3rd generation ICON meters with firmware programming. Meter data is susceptible to corruption, which will lead to inaccurate customer bills. A worn of faulty customer meter socket will not provide a good connection with the electric meter. This can result in heat from electrical resistance at the meter jaws. Heat build up from a "hot socket" can result in damage to customer equipment or their premises. The replacement meters have temperature sensors that detect "hot sockets" and send an alarm to the AMI head end., so Alectra can dispatch staff investigate the issue. Carrying out this work after 2021 will be higher due to an increase in meter costs. The meters have a 15 year depreciation period and were installed in 2007 to 2009. They will be removed from service with 80% of their capital value depreciated. Historical costs and unit costs (per meter): Year Units Unit Costs 2017 4,200 \$110 historical \$110 historical 2018 6,500 2019 20,000 \$115 2020 26,000 \$118 2021 35.000 \$120 Main Driver - System Access Service Requests Priority and Reasons for Priority High. Support outage management and prompt response to customer outages. Reduce damage to customer equipment through detection and alarm of "hot sockets". Eliminate this identified cyber-security risk. Meter costs will rise after 2021. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not applicable Safety Not Applicable. Cyber-Security, Privacy Because the "ICON F" meters are first generation smart meters installed in 2007, and data encryption was not a concern at that time, they do not meet the data encryption requirements that have been implemented since that time. The risk was identified in a cyber-security audit of the PowerStream AMI system in 2011. Coordination, Interoperability Not Applicable Economic Development Not Applicable Environmental Benefits Not Applicable 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Ouo Status quo is to do nothing. The effect of this is: 1. Slower response to customer outages due to failure of "last gasp" alarms. Drop in reliability KPI's. Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) 2. These meters will continue to pose a cyber-security risk. Audit states a likely outcome is meter data manipulated. This will result in incorrect bills to many thousands of customers, and reputational damage 3. Potential damage to customer property due to undetected "hot sockets". 4. Higher meter costs after 2021 will result in higher required investment if the work is delayed. Alternative #1 Carry out field labour with Alectra staff instead of the outside contractor. Alectra staff do not have capacity to carry out this work during regular hours. Cost to carryout this work on overtime will result in a 30% to 40% increase in costs. Alternative #2 Delay this work to after 2024. The meter costs will rise substantially after 2021.





Project Code

Scenario

Project Name Major Category

OEB Multi-Project Report

150606 Station LED Lighting Upgrades - EAST System Renewal 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted

| 2. Abcalculationation100 representational problematical probl | Project Overview | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| Instantion Instantion Instantion Instantion Instantion Instantion In | 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream North |
| Instrument 1 Name Too Apple Processes Status (Compared Status (Com | | Location | |
| Image: | | | |
| Note: Note: Note: 1: decision more than back ways in the forwards in the forwards | | Onits | 1 |
| Project rocket Mode Mode 3. General ModeL Memory Mode of Ast Econology ModeL Memory Mode of Ast Econology ModeL Memory Mode of Ast Econology ModeL Memory Mode (Memory Mode) Control of Call Mode Memory Mode (Memory Mode) 3. General ModeL Memory Mode Call Control of Call Mode Memory Mode (Memory Mode) Control of Call Mode Memory Mode (Memory Mode) 4. Function Foresard Memory Mode (Memory Mode) Match Mode (Memory Mode) Science Robert Memory Mode (Memory Mode) 4. Function Foresard Memory Mode (Memory Mode) Match Mode (Memory Mode) Science Robert Memory Mode (Memory Mode) 4. Function Foresard Memory Mode (Memory Mode) Match Mode (Memory Mode) Science Robert Memory Mode (Memory Mode) 4. Function Foresard Memory Mode (Memory Mode) Control Memory Mode (Memory Mode) Science Robert Memory Mode (Memory Mode) 4. Function Foresard Memory Mode (Memory Mode) Match Mode (Memory Mode) Science Robert Memory Mode (Memory Mode) 5. General Mathematical Memory Memory Mode (Memory Mode) Match Mode) Match Mode (Memory Mode) 6. General Mode (Memory Mode) Match Mode) Match Mode (Memory Mode) 7. State Mathematical Memory Mode) Match Mode (Memory Mode) Match Mode) 8. State Mathematical Memory Mode) Match Mode (Memory Mode) Match Mode) | | Project Class | Regular |
| Technology Project Not Section 2000 Technology Project Not Section 2000 Technology Project Not Section 2000 1. General Project Will Section 2000 Control Action 2000 Control Action 2000 Control Action 2000 4. Leakation Crients (CDI) Marcin 2000 School 2000 School 2000 School 2000 4. Leakation Crients (CDI) Marcin 2000 School 2000 | | Project Includes R&D | No |
| Signame Construct Guide guide guide du construction de la c | | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| 1. Central Projection Frage Controlated Capital OP 1. Section Capital | | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| Control Control A. Insulation Criteria (DRF) Specificar Type: Control Specificar Type: C | 3. General Project Information (OFB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| A solution control (Control (C | | Evpondituro Tuno | Controllable |
| Actors Operation Nates Sub mode 4. Evaluation Chereia (DED) Process Substance Renoval 4. Evaluation Chereia (DED) Process Substance Renoval Actors Substance Renoval Relation Renoval Substance Renoval | | Experiature Type | |
| A Loadation Criteria (CEB) A Loadation Criteria | | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| A takatatio Offee (08) A fait a biological service and service and ship pressure addom with UD lighting, index and outdoor futures for various datasets. Using the service and ship pressure addom with UD lighting, index and outdoor futures for various datasets. USI lights is before a service and properties of the service addom with UD lighting, index and outdoor futures for various datasets. USI lights is before a service addom with UD lighting, index and outdoor futures for various datasets. USI lights is before a service addom with UD lighting, index and outdoor futures for various datasets and service addom various in the Operation A reas will read the institution of outgates between inspections (view, less maintenance and enable tadasfattation of registerement stock. USI lights is balance addom addom tadaset between inspections (view, less maintenance and enable tadasfattation of registerement tads. USI Applicable Coordinators, Intergrenability of CA Applicable Coordinator | | Alectra Grouping | Substation Renewal |
| 4. Induction Christian (OHS) Project Summary Replace Lancandscent, Unorseen and high-pressure and unity HDI lighting, Indeer and outdoor Hotures for summary 4. Induction Christian (OHS) Nam Dhar - System Research Displace Lancandscent, Unorseen and any efficient. 1. Supplace Lancandscent, Hubers and Lancand Statistics in the Dispersion And Ander Statistics in the Unorsection Statistics in the Unorsectis Statistics in the Unorsection Statistin | | Alectra Subcategory | Stations Replacement Program/P |
| harrer for and each of the second of the sec | 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Replace incandescent, fluorescent and high-pressure sodium with LED lighting. Indoor and outdoor fixtures for various stations. |
| 6. General Information on the Registrements for Sampare Information of Adapticable Not Applicable 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Marking Registrement of adapticable Information of Registrement Information of Registrement Information of Registrement Information Informatinformation Information Information Information Inf | | Main Driver System Renewal | LED lights last longer and are more energy-efficient. |
| 6. General Information on the Project Add Projects (Factor) Resistor (Find Project) Not Applicable 6. General Information on the Project (Factor) Resistor Comparative Information on Signal Project (Factor) Not Applicable 6. General Information on the Project (Factor) Resistor (Find Project) Not Applicable 7. Catagory Specific (Requirements for the Bettional on Signal Project) Not Applicable Not Applicable 7. Catagory Specific (Requirements for the Bettional on Signal Project) Not Applicable Not Applicable 7. Catagory Specific (Requirements for the Bettional Project) Not Applicable Not Applicable 7. Catagory Specific (Requirements for the Bettional Project) Not Applicable Not Applicable 7. Catagory Specific (Requirements for the Bettional Project) Not Applicable Not Applicable 7. Catagory Specific (Requirements for the Bettional Project) Not Applicable Not Applicable 7. Catagory Specific (Requirements for the Bettional Project) Not Applicable Not Applicable 7. Catagory Specific (Requirements for the Bettional Project) Not Applicable Not Applicable 7. Catagory Specific (Requirements for the Bettional Project) Not Applicable Not Applicable 10 (Specific (Requirements) (Reguirements for the Bettional Project) Not Applicable Not Applicable 10 (Specific (Requirements) (Reguirements) Not Applicable <td></td> <td>Didadh and Dassas for Didadh</td> <td>Displayer of the first list in first and the set of the first in the Orientical Association in the list is the set of the</td> | | Didadh and Dassas for Didadh | Displayer of the first list in first and the set of the first in the Orientical Association in the list is the set of the |
| S. Outlattive and Quantitative Annylosis Reformation of the Section (VMA) Not Applicable S. Qualitative and Quantitative Annylosis Reformance Ander Section (VMA) Not Applicable S. Qualitative and Quantitative Annylosis Reformance Ander Section (VMA) Not Applicable S. Qualitative and Quantitative Annylosis Reformance Ander Section (VMA) Not Applicable S. Qualitative and Quantitative Annylosis Reformance Ander Section (VMA) Reformance Ander Section (VMA) S. Substative and Quantitative Annylosis Reformance Anne Anne Anne Anne Anne Anne Anne A | | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Replacement of inefficient lighting fixtures and lamps at stations in the Operational Area will result in longer lasting lighting. Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. |
| Select Early the provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between impactions cycle, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. S. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternative (OEB) Voir Applicable S. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project Alternative (OEB) Satus Quantitative Analysis of Project Alternative (OEB) S. Qualitative and Popert Alternative (OEB) Satus Quantitative Analysis of Project Alternative (OEB) S. Qualitative and Popert Alternative (OEB) Satus Quantitative Analysis of Project Alternative (OEB) S. Qualitative and Popert Alternative (OEB) Satus Quantitative Analysis of Project Alternative (OEB) S. Qualitative and Popert Alternative (OEB) Satus Quantitative Analysis of Project Alternative (OEB) Alternative PI Replacement stock multiple light buils for replacement in inventory. Alternative PI Replacement stock and tradition of Recommended Alternative (OEB) G. General Information on the Project Alternative PI Not Applicable Yropert/Activity (OEB) Resists Completion and Risk Management Not Applicable Yropert/Activity (OEB) Comparative Information on Equivalent Project Alternative PI (Project Alternative PI (Project Project | | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not Applicable |
| 9. Operating with proof in the theorem in the theorem is a second sec | | Safety | LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. |
| Soudilation, Intergenerability Not Applicable Soudilation and Revelopment Not Applicable Soudilation and Benefits Not Applicable Status Que Continue using obolicet lighting that requires a higher rate of replacement and results in higher energy consumption. Continue to took multiple light builts for replacement in inventory. Atternative FI Replace with LD light builts (Dight serioper listing and more energy efficient. Not Applicable Atternative FI Replace with LD light builts (Dight serioper listing and more energy efficient. Not Applicable Atternative FI Not Applicable Atternative FI N | | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (DBB) Not Applicable 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (DBB) Satus Quantitative Analysis of Satus Quantitative Analysis of Satus Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (DBB) Replace with LD light buils. LDD lights are longer listing and more energy efficient. Not Applicable 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OBB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Historical Projects (I any) rola Capital and OMA Costs for Renewable Description on Satus Satus Costs (I any) rola Capital and OMA Costs for Renewable Respletion on the Relationship between the Not Applicable Not Applicable 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OBB) Description of the Relationship between the Relationship between the Relationship between the Relationship between the Respletion of the Relationship between the Relationship and associated risk Herein Not Appli | | Coordination Interoperability | Not Applicable |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project Atternatives (DB) 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Atternatives (DB) Alternative FI Alternative Information on Equivalent Hold Applicable Comparative Information on Equivalent Hold Applicable Comparative Information on Equivalent Alternative Information on Equivalent Alternative Information on Equivalent Alternative Information on Equivalent Alternative Information on Fey Advisors Comparative Information on Equivalent Alternative Information on Fey Alternative Information on Equivalent Alternative Inf | | Economic Development | Net Applicable |
| S. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project Atternatives (OEB) States Guo Continue using absolice lighting that requires a higher rate of replacement and results in higher energy consumption. Continue to stock multiple light bulbs for replacement in inventory. Atternative #1 Replace with LED light bulbs. LED light are longer listing and more energy efficient. Atternative #2 Justification for Recommended Atternative Project/Activity (OEB) Replace with LED light bulbs. LED light are longer listing and more energy efficient. Not Applicable 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Historical Projects (Fam) Not Applicable 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Bescription of the Relationship between the Historical Contact for Received in particine projects (Fam) Not Applicable 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Bescription of the Relationship between the Historical Bescription of lative: Leargy Greeneration portion of relative: Performance Received Performance Partices Not Applicable 10. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Bescription of the Relationship between the Performance Received Performance Partices Not Applicable 11. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project (Jativity OEB) Bescription of Asset Surger Not Applicable 12. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Professorate Record Not Applicable Not Applicable 12. Category-Specific Requirements for Asset Surger Not Applicable | | Economic Development | |
| S. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) Status Quo Continue using obsolete lighting that requires a higher rate of replacement and results in higher energy consumption. Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) Alternative #1 Alternative #1 Replace with LED lights are looper listing and more energy efficient. Not Applicable General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Historical Projects (If any) Not Applicable 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Historical Projects (If any) Not Applicable 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Rescription of the Relationship between the Instructive Information on the Quivalent Historical Projects (If any) Not Applicable 0 Continue using obsolet Eighting that requires a higher retergy of the same function of Relativity (OEB) Not Applicable 0 Comparative Information on tiguivalent Historical Projects (If any) Not Applicable 0 Continue Using Obsolet Eighting that requires a higher retergy for the same function of Relativity (OEB) Not Applicable 0 Continue Using Obsolet Eighting that requires a higher retergy for the same function. Not Applicable 0 Continue Using Obsolet Eighting that requires a higher retergy for the same function. 0 Condition | | Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable |
| Atternative F1 Atternative F2 Atternative F2 Justification for Recommended Atternative EGeneral Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) 1. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) 1. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Comparative Information on Equivalent Horogect (F1 and F) 1. Category-Specific Requirements for Each 1. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Category error 1. Category-Specific Requirements for Category error 1. Category-Specific Requirements for Category error for Each Category error 1. Category-Specific Requirement for Stategory error for Each Category | 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Continue using obsolete lighting that requires a higher rate of replacement and results in higher energy consumption. |
| Attenative #1 Pachace with LDD light ubuits. LDD lights are longer lasting and more energy efficient. EDD lights are longer lasting and more energy efficient. Not Applicable Not Applicable Seeneal Information on the pack of | | | Continue to stock multiple light bulbs for replacement in inventory. |
| Alternative H2 Digits are longer lasting and more energy efficient. Not Applicable Not Applicable 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Not Applicable 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Not Applicable 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Description of Frojects (if any) Not Applicable 2. Contegory-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Description of Releationship between the Performance Deteronation or Falueres Not Applicable 2. Contegory-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Description of the Relationship between the Performance Deteronation or Falueres Not Applicable 2. Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Deteronation or Falueres Not Applicable Not Applicable 2. Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record Tisk level Not Applicable Not Applicable 2. Consequences for State Project Ting, If any Performance Record Tisk level Not Applicable Not Applicable 2. Consequences for State Project Ting, If any Project Activity (OEB) Not Applicable Not Applicable 2. Consequences for State Project Ting, If any Project Activity (OEB) Not Applicable Not Applicable | | Alternative #1 | Replace with LED light bulbs. |
| Attenditor f2 Not Applicable Not Applicable Justification for Recommended Attendity which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. Some lamps are difficult to replace and require special lifts which need to be rented. Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Project (Activity (OEB) 7. Category-Specific Requirements for fab Project/Activity (OEB) 7. Category-Specific Requirements for fab Profermance Record Number of Customer Inpacts (Erequery or Value of Customer Impacts (Integuery or Value of Customer Impacts (Integuery or Value of Sustomer Impacts (Integuery o | | | LED lights are longer lasting and more energy efficient. |
| Justification for Recommended Alternative Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life General Information on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management Not Applicable Froject/Activity (DEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Not Applicable 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Clapital and DMAS Costs for Renewable Energy Generation protion of Projects (If any) Not Applicable 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Clapital and DMAS Costs for Renewable Energy Generation protion of Projects (If any) Not Applicable Project/Activity (DEB) Description of the Relationship between the Performance Deterioration or Falure: Not Applicable Quantitative Customer Inpact (Listory To Category Specific Requirements for Category Specific Requirements for Each Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Falure: Not Applicable Quantitative Customer Inpact (Listory To Category Specific Requirements for Category Specific Requirements for Each Custory (Feguence Feerof Nameer Step Category Specific Requirements of Costorner Class to Class to Category Specific Requirements of Custorner Inpact (Listory Feerof Class Class Class to Class | | Alternative #2 | Not Applicable |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (DEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Not Applicable 2. Gompartize Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Not Applicable 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (DEB) Bescription of the Relationship between the Restription of the Relationship between the Performance Deterioration or Failure: Not Applicable 2. Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Deterioration or failure: Not Applicable 2. Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record Not Applicable 2. Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Performance Record Not Applicable 2. Condition of Interruptions and associated risk Isk (evel) Not Applicable 2. Condition of Interruptions and associated risk Isk (evel) Not Applicable 2. Condition of Customer Impacts (requency or satisfaction, customer Impacts (customer Statisfaction, customer Impacts (customer Statisfaction, customer Impacts (customer Statisfaction, customer Impacts (customer Customer Impacts (customer Statisfaction, customer Impacts (customer Statisfaction, Customer Impacts (customer Customer Impacts (customer Statisfaction, customer Impacts (customer Statisfaction, customer Impacts (customer Customer Impacts (customer Statisfaction, Customer Impacts (customer Customer Impacts (customer Customer Impacts (customer Customer Impacts (customer Customer Impacts (customer Customer Impacts (customer Customer Impacts (customer) Customer Impacts (customer Customer Impacts (customer) Customer) | | Justification for Recommended Alternative | Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. Some lamps are difficult to replace and require special lifts which need to be rented. |
| Project/Activity (DEB) Comparative information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) Not Applicable 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (DEB) Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: Not Applicable Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record Protentially Affected by Asset Failure Not Applicable Quantitative Customer Inpacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) Not Applicable Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or risk level) Not Applicable Value of Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of Interruptions and associated risk level) Not Applicable Value of Customer Impacts (frequency or risk level) Not Applicable Value of Customer Impacts (frequency or risk level) Not Applicable Value of Customer Impacts (customer risk level) Not Applicable Value of Customer Impacts (customer risk level) Not Applicable Value of Customer Impacts (mergency or risk level) Not Applicable Value of Customer Impacts (customer risk level) Not Applicable Consequences for O&M System Costs Includuing Implications of Not Implementing Not Applicable | 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Not Applicable |
| Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renevable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Eab Project/Activity (OEB) Project/Activity (OEB) Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Deterioration or Failure: Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record Not Applicable Outpatient of Customer In Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of Interruptions and associated risk level) Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer Impacts (customer fisk level) Value of Customer Impacts Value of Customer Impacts Value of Customer Impacts (ustomer Impacts Not Applicable Not Applicable | Project/Activity (OEB) | Comparative Information on Equivalent | Not Applicable |
| Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) 0 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: Not Applicable Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure Not Applicable Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) Not Applicable Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer astisfaction, customer Impacts (customer risk level) Not Applicable Value of Customer Impacts (customer astisfaction, customer Impacts (customer risk level) Not Applicable Value of Customer Impacts (customer astisfaction, customer Impacts (customer risk level) Not Applicable Value of Customer Impacts (customer astisfaction, customer Impacts (customer risk level) Not Applicable Value of Customer Impacts Induding Implications of Not Implementing Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable | | Historical Projects (if any) | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: Not Applicable Project/Activity (OEB) Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record Not Applicable Quantitative Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure Not Applicable Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or Quantitative Customer Impacts (customer associated risk level) Not Applicable Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer associated risk level) Not Applicable Value of Customer Impacts (customer Impacts (customer assisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) Not Applicable Value of Customer Impacts (customer I | | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance RecordNot ApplicableNumber of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure0Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level)Not ApplicableQualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level)Not ApplicableValue of Customer ImpactsLowValue of Customer ImpactLowFactors Affecting Project Timing, if any Implications of Not ImplementingNot Applicable | 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | Not Applicable |
| Performance Record Number of Customers in Each Customer Class o Potentially Affected by Asset Failure Not Applicable Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) Not Applicable Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer astisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) Not Applicable Value of Customer Impacts (customer migration and associated risk level) Not Applicable Value of Customer Impacts (customer migration and associated risk level) Low Value of Customer Impact Low Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any Not Applicable Consequences for 0&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing Not implementing this will result in lighting consuming higher energy for the same function. | | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and | Not Applicable |
| Number of Customers in Each Customer Class U Potentially Affected by Asset Failure Not Applicable Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) Not Applicable Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) Not Applicable Value of Customer Impacts Low Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any Not Applicable Consequences for 0&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing Not implementing this will result in lighting consuming higher energy for the same function. | | Performance Record | |
| Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level)Not ApplicableQualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level)Not ApplicableValue of Customer ImpactLowFactors Affecting Project Timing, if any Implications of Not ImplementingNot Applicable | | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | 0 |
| level)Not ApplicableQualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level)Not ApplicableValue of Customer ImpactLowFactors Affecting Project Timing, if anyNot ApplicableConsequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not ImplementingNot implementing timing | | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk | Not Applicable |
| Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) Not Applicable Value of Customer Impact Low Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing Not Applicable | | level) | |
| risk level) Value of Customer Impact Low Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any Not Applicable Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated | Not Applicable |
| Value of Customer Impact Low Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any Not Applicable Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing Not implementing this will result in lighting consuming higher energy for the same function. | | risk level) | |
| Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any Not Applicable Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Not implementing this will result in lighting consuming higher energy for the same function. Implications of Not Implementing Not implementing this will result in lighting consuming higher energy for the same function. | | Value of Customer Impact | Low |
| Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Not implementing this will result in lighting consuming higher energy for the same function. Implications of Not Implementing | | Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any | Not Applicable |
| | | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Not implementing this will result in lighting consuming higher energy for the same function. |
| Delichtlite and Cofety Centers Net Applicable | | Deliability and Cafety Fast and | |
| Relability and oalety Factors work Applicable | | | Not Applicable |
| Analysis for Like for Like Kenewar Project - Not Applicable | | Analysis for like for like" Kenewai Project | NUL Applicable |




Project Name Major Category

OEB Multi-Project Report

150607

Station LED Lighting Upgrades - Central

System Renewal 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted

| scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
|---|---|--|
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream North |
| | Location | |
| | | |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Opgoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | Hojeet win denerate ongoing it owide costs | |
| 3. General Project Information (OFB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| S. General Poject mornation (GEB) | | |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Substation Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Stations Replacement Program /P |
| | Alectra Subcategory | |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Replace incandescent, fluorescent and high-pressure sodium with LED lighting. Indoor and outdoor fixtures for various |
| | | stations. |
| | | |
| | | LED lights last longer and are more energy-efficient. |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Obsolescence |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Replacement of inefficient lighting fixtures and lamps at stations in the Central Operational Area will result in longer |
| | | lasting lighting. Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power |
| | | consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and |
| | | enable standardization of replacement stock. |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not Applicable |
| | Coffee a | |
| | Safety | LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between |
| | | inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. |
| | | |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not Applicable |
| | Economic Development | Not Applicable |
| | Facility and the Description | Net Analian la |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | Continue using obsolete lighting that requires a higher rate of replacement and results in higher energy consumption. |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | |
| | | Continue to stock multiple light bulbs for replacement in inventory. |
| | | |
| | Alternative #1 | Replace with LED light bulbs. |
| | | LED lights are longer lasting and more energy efficient. |
| | Alternative #2 | Not Applicable |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption. longer life |
| | | which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles less maintenance and enable standardization of |
| | | replacement stock. Some lamps are difficult to replace and require special lifts which need to be repted |
| | | replacement stock. Some isongs are annear to replace and require special mes when need to be rented. |
| | | |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Not Applicable |
| Project/Activity (OFB) | histo to completion and hist management | |
| (OLD) | Comparative Information on Equivalent | Not Applicable |
| | Historical Projects (if any) | Not Applicable |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Bonowable | |
| | Form: Constantion portion of Designed (if any) | 0 |
| | Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | |
| | Description of the Deletion data has seen the | Net A self-self- |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each | Description of the Relationship between the | NOT APPIICADIE |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset | |
| | Performance Deterioration or Failure: | |
| | | |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and | Not Applicable |
| | Performance Record | |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class | 0 |
| | Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | |
| | | |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or | Not Applicable |
| | duration of interruptions and associated risk | |
| | level) | |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer | Not Applicable |
| | satisfaction, customer migration and associated | |
| | risk level) | |
| | Value of Customer Impact | Low |
| | Factors Affecting Project Timing if any | Not Applicable |
| | | Not in produce |
| | consequences for O&ivi System Costs Including | not implementing this will result in lighting consuming higher energy for the same function. |
| | implications of Not implementing | |
| | Deliability and Cafety Fasters | Net Applicable |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | Not Applicable |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | Not Applicable |
| | | |
| | | |





Project Name

OEB Multi-Project Report

150608 Station LED Lighting Upgrades - West

Major Category System Renewal Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream North Location Units 1 Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) **Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Substation Renewal Alectra Subcategory Stations Replacement Program/P 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Replace incandescent, fluorescent and high-pressure sodium with LED lighting. Indoor and outdoor fixtures for various stations. LED lights last longer and are more energy-efficient. Main Driver - System Renewal Obsolescence Priority and Reasons for Priority Replacement of inefficient lighting fixtures and lamps at stations in the West Operational Area will result in longer lasting lighting. Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not Applicable LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between Safety inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. Cyber-Security, Privacy Not Applicable Coordination. Interoperability Not Applicable Economic Development Not Applicable Environmental Benefits Not Applicable 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Ouo Continue using obsolete lighting that requires a higher rate of replacement and results in higher energy consumption. Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) Continue to stock multiple light bulbs for replacement in inventory. Alternative #1 Replace with LED light bulbs. LED lights are longer lasting and more energy efficient. Alternative #2 Not Applicable Justification for Recommended Alternative Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. Some lamps are difficult to replace and require special lifts which need to be rented. 6. General Information on the **Risks to Completion and Risk Management** Not Applicable Project/Activity (OEB) Comparative Information on Equivalent Not Applicable Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable 0 Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) Description of the Relationship between the 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Not Applicable Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Project/Activity (OEB) Performance Deterioration or Failure: Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Not Applicable Performance Record Number of Customers in Each Customer Class 0 Potentially Affected by Asset Failure Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or Not Applicable duration of interruptions and associated risk level) Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer Not Applicable satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) Value of Customer Impact Low Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any Not Applicable Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Not implementing this will result in lighting consuming higher energy for the same function. Implications of Not Implementing **Reliability and Safety Factors** Not Applicable Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project Not Applicable





Project Code 150609 Project Name Driveway Paving - Various Stations - Multi-year initiative - East Major Category System Renewal Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Project Overview 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream North & South Location Units 3 Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) **Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0%** Expenditure Type Controllable Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Substation Renewal Alectra Subcategory Stations Replacement Program/P 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Paving station driveway to facilitate year-round access. Driveway paving of various stations in this operating area. Existing driveways are covered in gravel, which required filling depressions on a continuous basis. Depressions allow for crawl spaces which result in a safety and security risk. Paving will facilitate snow removal and allow for access year-round to perform maintenance and respond to outages. This will also eliminate trip hazards. Main Driver - System Renewal Safety Priority and Reasons for Priority Existing driveways in the Operational Area that are covered in gravel and require ongoing maintenance for smoothing and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for crawl space under fencing, which presents a safety and security risk are going to be paved. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not Applicable Safety Paving will eliminate crawl spaces under the fence thus improving safety and security at the station. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Moreover, paved driveways eliminate the risk of trip hazards. Cyber-Security, Privacy Not applicable Coordination, Interoperability Not applicable Economic Development Not applicable Environmental Benefits Not applicable 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Quo Leave as is and continue to add gravel and level as required. Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) Usually post winter season and rainy Spring season. Crawl spaces will not be eliminated and tripping hazards will continue to be a risk. Snow removal might be impeded which could result in a longer outages. Pave the driveway to eliminate the crawl spaces and eliminate tripping hazards and not impede outage restoration. Alternative #1 Alternative #2 Not applicable Justification for Recommended Alternative This is required to provide a smooth hard surface during all weather seasons. Gravel driveways require smoothing and filling overtime as plowing and vehicles often move the gravel around and allow for crawl space under station compound fences. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. 6. General Information on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management Not applicable Project/Activity (OEB) Comparative Information on Equivalent Not Applicable Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable 0 Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Description of the Relationship between the Not Applicable Project/Activity (OEB) Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Not Applicable Performance Record Number of Customers in Each Customer Class 0 Potentially Affected by Asset Failure Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or Not Applicable duration of interruptions and associated risk

level)





| utilities | | |
|---|--|---|
| Project Code | 150610 | |
| Project Name | Driveway Paving - Various Stations - Multi-year in | <u>itiative - Central</u> |
| Major Category | System Renewal | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream North & South |
| | Location | |
| | Units | 3 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | NU |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Substation Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Stations Replacement Program/P |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Paving station driveway to facilitate year-round access. |
| | | Driveway paving of various stations in this operating area. Existing driveways are covered in gravel, which required |
| | | filling depressions on a continuous basis. Depressions allow for crawl spaces which result in a safety and security risk. |
| | | Paving will facilitate snow removal and allow for access year-round to perform maintenance and respond to outages. |
| | | rnis will also eliminate trip hazards. |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Safety |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Existing driveways in the Operational Area that are covered in gravel and require ongoing maintenance for smoothing |
| | | and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for crawl space under fencing, which presents a safety and |
| | | security risk are going to be paved. Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for |
| | | maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result |
| | | in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for |
| | | Improved snow removal. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not Applicable |
| | Safety | Paving will eliminate crawl spaces under the fence thus improving safety and security at the station. Paving will |
| | | facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities |
| | | year-round. Moreover, paved driveways eliminate the risk of trip hazards. |
| | | Network |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not applicable |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not applicable |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | Leave as is and continue to add gravel and level as required. |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | Usually post winter season and rainy Spring season. |
| | | Crawl spaces will not be eliminated and tripping bazards will continue to be a risk. |
| | | Snow removal might be impeded which could result in a longer outages. |
| | Alternative #1 | Pave the driveway to eliminate the crawl spaces and eliminate tripping hazards and not impede outage restoration. |
| | Albertative #2 | Neteralischie |
| | Alternative #2 | Not applicable |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | Inis is required to provide a smooth hard surface during all weather seasons. Gravel driveways require smoothing and filling overtime as plowing and vehicles often move the gravel around and allow for crawl space under station |
| | | compound fences. |
| | | |
| | | Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair |
| | | activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving |
| | | the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| | | |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Not applicable |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | Comparative Information on Equivalent | Not Applicable |
| | Historical Projects (if any) | Not Applicable |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable | 0 |
| | Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | |
| | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each | Description of the Relationship between the | Not Applicable |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | Performance Deterioration or Failure: | |
| | | |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and | Not Applicable |
| | Performance Record | |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class | U |
| | Fotentially Affected by Asset Fallure | |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or | Not Applicable |
| | duration of interruptions and associated risk | |
| | level) | Not A - Paula |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer | пот Аррігаріе |
| | risk level) | |





| utilities | | |
|---|--|--|
| Project Code | 150612 | |
| Project Name | Driveway Paving - Various Stations - Multi-year in | itiative - West |
| Major Category | System Renewal | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream North & South |
| | Location | |
| | Units | 3 |
| | Project Class | Pequipr |
| | Project Class | Negulai |
| | Project includes R&D | NO |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | Νο |
| | | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Substation Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Stations Replacement Program/P |
| 4 Evaluation Criteria (OFB) | Project Summary | Paving station driveway to facilitate year-round access |
| 4. Evaluation enterna (OEB) | rojectourinary | Driveway paying of various stations in this operating area. Existing driveways are covered in gravel, which required |
| | | filling depressions on a continuous basis. Depressions allow for crawl spaces which result in a safety and security risk. |
| | | Paving will facilitate snow removal and allow for access year-round to perform maintenance and respond to outages. |
| | | This will also eliminate trip hazards. |
| | Main Daines, Castern Danauel | C.C.L. |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Safety |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Existing driveways in the Operational Area that are covered in gravel and require ongoing maintenance for smoothing |
| | | and mining depressions as well as gaps that may allow for trawispace under renting, which presents a safety and security rick are going to be haved. Daving will facilitate show plowing and will enable ready access to the station for |
| | | maintenance and emergency repair activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result |
| | | in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for |
| | | improved snow removal. |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not Applicable |
| | Safety | Paving will eliminate crawl spaces under the fence thus improving safety and security at the station. Paving will |
| | | facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities |
| | | year-round. Moreover, paved driveways eliminate the risk of trip hazards. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not applicable |
| | Coordination Interoperability | Not applicable |
| | | Not applicable |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable |
| | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Leave as is and continue to add gravel and level as required. Usually nost winter season and rainy Spring season |
| rigeet and rigeet Alternatives (OED) | | osdany post white season and ranny spring season. |
| | | Crawl spaces will not be eliminated and tripping hazards will continue to be a risk. |
| | | Snow removal might be impeded which could result in a longer outages. |
| | Alternative #1 | Pave the driveway to eliminate the crawl spaces and eliminate tripping hazards and not impede outage restoration. |
| | Alternative US | MakaanPadda |
| | Alternative #2 | |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | This is required to provide a smooth hard surface during all weather seasons. Gravel driveways require smoothing and filling quartime as plausing and unbides often more the gravel argued and allow for gravel argued resource under station. |
| | | compound fences |
| | | |
| | | Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair |
| | | activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving |
| | | the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| | | |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Not applicable |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | | |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent | Not Applicable |
| | Historical Projects (if any) | |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable | 0 |
| | Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each | Description of the Relationship between the | Not Applicable |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset | |
| | Performance Deterioration or Failure: | |
| | | NetAccProble |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and | Not Applicable |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class | 0 |
| | Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | |
| | | |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or | Not Applicable |
| | duration of interruptions and associated risk | |
| | level) Qualitativo Customer Imagato (customer i | Not Applicable |
| | satisfaction customer migration and associated | NUL Applicable |
| | rick lovel) | |





Project Name

OEB Multi-Project Report

150666

Facilities_John_Roof Deck – Rooftop Renovation

Major Category General Plant 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Scenario Project Overview 2. Additional Information Service Territory Mississauga 55 John St N. Location Main garage roof top. Hamilton, Ontario Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Componen Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0% Expenditure Type Controllable Rates ID Rate Base Funded Alectra Grouping Facilities Management Alectra Subcategory Buildings 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary The objectives of the project planned for 2020 working toward Alectra's long term building renewal strategy are to enhance the employee morale and build new culture by creating a common space where employees can relax and enjoy the outdoors without having to leave the safety of the building. This space will also assist with certain corporate events and charity fundraisers. Other Alectra corporate sites have property to allow for this type of space. John St is unique in that the building covers the property, with no available green space, therefore needing to utilize and maximize the space of the roof on the main garage. Other expected objectives and outcomes include: •Energy efficient LED lighting •Small plants and trees will be planted •Built in BBQ will be installed if OBC allows and will be used for corporate events. AODA compliant access where applicable •Built to OBC Increase productivity Increased collaboration Emproved employee morale and culture • Charging stations for electronic devices and WiFi •Exterior waterproof receptacles • Eounge chairs and tables installed •Bardening area for staff • ∎ose bibs for watering • Pergola Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Create a better work environment for employees, improve morale and culture, promote active engagement, and increase productivity by having a safe and nearby place to take a break, lunch or host department or corporate event. Downtown can be threatening to employees who wish to go outside of the building for lunch or to find a place to sit outdoors. Having this space will increase the safety and security for all our employees. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Building Renovation Project Safety Health and Safety will be maintained by the General contractor hired for the project and will act as the constructor. ALL the other Alectra office sites have safe and secure space for our staff to be outside of the property unlike John St. John St has no property beyond its walls making the lower garage roof top a great use of space for our staff. Contractor to follow Alectra health and safety policies. Alectra will maintain owner status. Downtown can be threatening to employees who wish to go outside of the building for lunch or to find a place to sit outdoors. Having this space will increase the safety and security for all our employees Cyber-Security, Privacy N/A Coordination, Interoperability Build to AODA standards. Update renovated spaces to meet current building and fire codes. Build office spaces to the new Alectra standards. Install corporate Wi-Fi Update area to meet current building codes. **Economic Development** Many trades, engineers, suppliers, consultants and internal employees are employed for these renovation projects.

| | Environme | ntal Benefits | | Removal of any hazardous substances such as asbestos if applicable. Separation of demolition materials for disposal where applicable. Older buildings are often painted with lead paint and paints containing mercury-based biocides, use leaded pipes asbestos insulation, use mercury-containing fluorescent lamps and PCB ballasts and contain many other hazardo materials. Besides demolition debris, other wastes are generated during new building construction to include but not limite treated wood, paint and solvent wastes, glues, roofing tars and cylinders. Many buildings are carefully de-constructed for material reuse, and these types of projects can also generate sim Hazardous wastes. Deconstructed materials that are reused are not regulated as waste as long as the properties them hazardous are not disturbed. For example, a door painted with lead-based paint could be reused as is, ever would otherwise be regulated as dangerous waste when disposed. | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-------------------------|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo Alternative | #1 | | Safety and s No property safety and so N/A | ecurity risk to staff. at 55 John St to take a br ecurity is not much of a cc | eak outdoors on site like D ncern for staff at these loc | erry Rd and Cityview sites ations. | that have green space and | |
| | Justificatio | n for Recommended Alter | native | N/A | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | con on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management) Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | | ement ent newable | Budget needs to be worthy of a cost effective and efficient work space renovation. Engineering, design, and permits to be obtained for completion in current fiscal year. Schedule timing. Need to ensure the safety and security of all employees equally at all facilities. Costing estimates are in line with the many previous office space renovation Alectra and legacy utilities have completed over the last 5 years. | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Energy Ger Other Plan | lotal Capital and UM&A Costs for Kenewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) Other Planning Objectives Met | | | Creating departmental cohesive teams by increased collaboration. Staff moral and culture improvements will reflect positively to the customer to better serve internal and external customers. | | | | |
| | 450,000 - | Y | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 350.000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 300,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 250,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 150,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 50,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2010 | | 20 | 2021 | 2022 | 2022 | 2024 | |
| 2019-2024 - EINAL DSD Submitted | 1. \$116 212 | \$0 2013 | 20 6/1 | 6 2 4 2 | 2021 ¢n | 2022 ¢n | 2023 ¢n | 2024 \$0 | |
| Actuals: \$0 | . 9410,242 | ېږ د (۱ | ⁴¹ | \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 \$0 | |
| - Actuals: 50 | | οç | | טק | οÇ | οç | Şυ | οų | |



| dimilioo | | |
|---|--|--|
| Project Code | 150679 | |
| Project Name | Alectra Drive for the Workplace | |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2 Additional Information | Service Territory | Indefined |
| 2. Additional mormation | | ondenned |
| | Location | |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | No Burden |
| | Project Includes R&D | Yes |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | Yes |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | *Entered Manually in Forecast |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Capacity (Lines) |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ |
| 4 Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Alectra Drive for the Workplace will demonstrate the value of integrating a smart electric vehicle (EV) charging system |
| | | that manages the flow of electricity needed to serve the building and EV charging stations, so that electricity costs are minimized while EV drivers have an easy and accessible charging solution. A Distributed Energy Resource Management System (DERMS) platform will be connected to the EV chargers as well as to the Building Automation System to control |
| | | various Distributed Energy Resources (DERs), including the EV chargers, building loads, battery storage and, in some cases, solar generation. |
| | | charges while meeting building owner and vehicle user requirements. Real-time data from the electricity meter will be used to manage demand within established limits. |
| | | This program aims to encourage the adoption of EV technology while helping businesses to manage their energy costs. It will also provide insight into the characteristics of various types of Distributed Energy Resources, how they can be aggregated and controlled to provide a benefit across all customers (not just the ones driving EVs), at the local, regional |
| | | and provincial system levels, and customer response to these control strategies. This project will also provide insight that will inform how Alectra's system planning will need to take into account the increased load from electric vehicles over time to maintain the reliability and cost-effectiveness of the electricity system. |
| | Main Driver - System Service Priority and Reasons for Priority | System Efficiency Electric Vehicles have huge potential to disrupt the transportation model and add a significant load to the distribution network. Hence, it is a key focus area for Alectra such that the organization has a strategy and the means to address this challenge as the growth of EVs continues. A lack of attention to the growth of this load carries risk to the distribution system in terms of reliability, as well as financial risk in the event of early replacement of electrical equipment (e.g., transformers) if they are consistently overloaded from the increased consumption from EVs. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Sites are expected to be commercial office buildings which typically have peak loads in the in the 300-1,000 kVA range. |
| | Safety | Not applicable |
| | Cuber-Security Privacy | Not applicable |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Interoperability is key while integrating EVs into the grid and microgrids. |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable |
| | Environmental Benefits | Significant environmental benefits since EVs have no harmful emissions. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | If Alectra does not act, EV loads will be drawing load throughout the service territory as the adoption rate goes up, and |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | there will not be the means to control the incremental load on the system's assets, leading to financial and distribution system risk. This would also present risk to customer perception and reputation of the reliability of the electricity system, which can negatively impact the future viability of the electricity system. |
| | Alternative #1 | Increased use of distribution assets to manage larger and more unpredictable loads, leading to higher costs for |
| | Alternative #2 | Not applicable |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | Electric Vehicles have huge notential to disrupt the transportation model and add a significant load to the distribution |
| | sustineation for Recommended Alternative | network. |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Some of the technology such as aggregation of EVs for V2G services is in its nascent stage and interoperability standards are not well defined. This could create some risk to project completion. |
| | | Other projects related to smart charging infrastructure carry minimal risk. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Existing EV projects: - DCQC deployment - V2G integration into Cityview microgrid - Studies to understand impact of EVs on distribution asset (Georgian college, Queen's univ, EMAP report etc.) |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | |

| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Benefits to Project/Activity (OEB) terms of C | nts for Each Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable | | | Customers will be aided in reducing demand charges from usage of electric vehicles. Each vehicle draws 7.2 kW, which results in 7.2*~\$10/month if charging occurs off-peak. Typical installations for this project at 10 EVSE, which would result in \$720/month if charging occurs on-peak for all chargers. Cost increases could be larger if sites are located on a Class A customer site subject to the Industrial Conservation Initiative. | | | | | | |
|---|--|------|-------|--|------|--------|-----|-----------|-----------|--|
| Regional E which affe | | | | Transformer upgrades could be required if capacity is lacking to serve new EV load; this project could help offset this requirement. | | | | | | |
| Descriptio Technolog Identify ar coordinati | Description of Incorporation of Advanced U Technology, if applicable b Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or C coordination benefits tr | | | Use of a Distributed Energy Resource Management System to manage demand charges through curtailing charging and bringing other DERs online . Charging and DER utilization can be scheduled to occur off-peak as much as possible and to minimize loading of transformers on-peak. | | | | | | |
| 180,000 | Ι | | | | | | | | | |
| 160,000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 140,000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 120,000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 100,000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 80,000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 60,000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 40,000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20,000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 2019 | 2 | 020 | 20 | 21 | 202 | 2 | 2023 | 2024 | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$812,239 | \$5,000 | \$15 | 3,731 | \$157 | ,527 | \$163, | 459 | \$165,320 | \$167,202 | |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | \$ | 0 | \$0 |) | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | | |



| Project Code | 150680 | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Project Name | Alectra Drive at Home | |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | 2019 2024 Think DSF Submitted | |
| 2 Additional Information | Service Territory | Indefined |
| | | ondenied |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | No Burden |
| | Project Includes R&D | Yes |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | Yes |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Capacity (Lines) |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | This project focuses on identifying charging solutions for electric vehicles (EVs) in the residential sector, where the |
| | | majority of charging takes place. Because of the complexity of providing charging in multi-unit residential buildings |
| | | (MURBs), an added emphasis will used to identify solutions that meet the needs of drivers and condo owners while |
| | | installing and operating EV Supply Equipment (EVSE - aka, chargers) in MURBs, and allow for different approaches |
| | | tailored to the participating building's physical and governance configuration. For example, charging could be installed |
| | | in common areas using an hourly rate, or it could be installed in individual parking areas with a monthly fee to the unit |
| | | holder. The buildings' individual load profile will be used to determine the electrical infrastructure available and how |
| | | EV station control strategies and rate structures should be designed to manage consumption within the building's |
| | | capacity and to minimize demand charges. |
| | | It is expected that 3-5 buildings will participate in the initial stages of this project, while additional residential |
| | | customers in single-family dwellings will also participate in alternative incentive offers for charging behaviour. |
| | | deployments to allow it to scale to the population of buildings in this sector. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Reliability |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | EVs are a growing load and are likely to be concentrated in certain areas based on demographic criteria. Alectra has an |
| | | interest in both managing this load and serving its customers as a trusted partner. Approximately 80% of charging takes |
| | | place at home, but customers in MURBs are less likely to have access to charging at their buildings due to complex |
| | | ownership structures and the cost of providing service to all parking spots. Governments have responded to the |
| | | mandating that new buildings provide access to charging stations in 20% of parking spaces. |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Customers will be multi-unit residential buildings (MURBs). Customers for this pilot project have not yet been selected. |
| | Safety | Not applicable |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not applicable |
| | Economic Development | •Beduced or eliminated transportation-related fuel costs for Canadian homes and business', as more Canadians will be |
| | | incentivized to purchase EVs over traditional automobiles |
| | | •Better use of domestically produced electricity which is currently exported at negative prices for many overnight |
| | | Enable greater use of renewable resources by making the electricity production. |
| | | market services. This also creates revenue opportunities for utility which will be shared with customers |
| | | •Eontribute to the national economy by developing innovative, made-in-Canada solutions and technologies that drive |
| | | down costs for Canadians, reduce GHG emissions and demonstrate Canadian leadership in energy innovation |
| | | Bontribute to better planning processes, regulation and governance which consider and incorporate energy, environmental, demographic and financial considerations. |
| | | Inderstand what methods are most appropriate, and in what circumstances. to influence EV charging – whether |
| | | through pricing or incentives; and how to implement and maintain customer support for load control as required for |
| | | building or grid purposes. |





| Project Code | 150693 | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| Project Name | Blockchain | |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory Location Units Project Class Project Includes R&D Technology Project or has Technology Component Project Will Generate Orgoing IT OM&A Costs | Undefined No Burden Yes Yes |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type Rates ID Alectra Grouping Alectra Subcategory | Controllable Rate Base Funded Distributed Energy Resources (DER) Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | The objective of the Smart DER Platform is to develop the real-time administration platform and processes needed to manage solar PV, battery storage, EVs and other DERs to both reduce their adverse impact on the grid, and provide capacity and power quality services. The platform will also help Alectra Utilities strengthen control and visibility over DER owners and provide benefits to the entire customer base over the long-term. |
| | | Through the Smart DER Platform, Alectra Utilities will issue requests for the Power.House customer systems to provide distribution market services where each aspect of market participation will be transacted through and recorded transparently in real-time by the platform. The Smart DER Platform will provide end-to-end visibility on customer usage and DER participation patterns. By analyzing these patterns, Alectra Utilities can prove to be a highly effective intermediary between understanding customer usage and changing customer behavior, consequently providing tangible incentivized benefits. Therefore, the project is a pre-requisite for the widespread adoption and utilization of DERs, |
| | Main Driver - System Service Priority and Reasons for Priority | Support Capacity Delivery Distributed Energy Resources (DERs), including solar, energy storage, electric vehicles, and home energy management devices, can contribute to a more efficient, sustainable energy future. These resources are predicted to gain widespread market penetration in the near future; however, currently, the tools and processes in place in the industry to securely manage contracts, transactions, and settlements are not positioned to be applied to many small DERs and it would be prohibitively expensive to do so. Without developing a cost-effective solution, the economic and environmental benefits of these resources to DER owners and electricity consumers may not be realized. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not applicable |
| | Salety Cyber-Security, Privacy | Cyber security and data privacy considerations are core to the blockchain value proposition. As a private, permissioned blockchain platform, Hyperledger Fabric employs a 'members only' approach whereby only known parties, identified by certificates that are explicitly trusted within the blockchain network, are permitted to access ledger data and execute transactions. In this project, the known parties are IBM, Alectra, Sunverge, and the financial partner to be confirmed. Each organization will have data access and transaction privileges the role they are assigned, all of which are codified into the network itself. |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | The blockchain platform developed in this project has the potential to expand outside of Alectra's service territory, enabling cost-efficient administration of many DER participants throughout Ontario and North America. |
| | Economic Development | This will be one of the first projects in the world to incorporate both grid balancing services and blockchain together under a single pilot. The additional use of energy coins will be particularly novel, as this concept has never been proven in a market setting before. This project has the potential to establish Canada's energy sector as a world leader in the blockchain space. It will create valuable technological skill sets with Highly Qualified Personnel here in the country in an area that is rapidly being seen as one of the most promising, disruptive technologies in the world. Blockchain technology also has applications across multiple market verticals, so many of the lessons learned for this particular use case will be applicable across other industries. |
| | | As the blockchain fabric is inherently designed to transfer value to participating DERs for their role in balancing the grid, the technology paves the way for a democratized approach to procuring energy solutions that were previously only available to larger scale generators. Since the increase in energy participants creates more competition, it will also lead to lower cost non wires alternatives to traditional asset investment, allowing the savings to be socialized among non-participants as well. The Power.House feasibility study demonstrated that such approaches could create up to \$2.7B in societal benefit over time in a single region. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Blockchain technology has the potential to provide a cost-effective and engaging contract, transaction, and settlement process to fairly compensate DER owners for the services they provide to the electricity system. |
| | | Therefore, although this project will not directly install GHG reducing technologies such as solar-storage and EVs, it provides incentives for, and reduces a critical barrier to, widespread adoption of DERs. |

| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | | Distributed Energy Resources (DERs), including solar, energy storage, electric vehicles, and home energy management devices, can contribute to a more efficient, sustainable energy future. However, currently, the tools and processes in place in the industry to securely manage contracts, transactions, and settlements are not positioned to be applied to many small DERs and it would be prohibitively expensive to do so. A mechanism for practically, cost effectively, and securely managing the contracts, transactions, and settlement activities of many DER participants in near-real time is needed in order to enable DERs to contribute to grid services, energy markets, and provide value to consumers. | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | A11 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Alternative #1 Alternative #2 | | Not applicable Not applicable | | | | | | | |
| | Justification for Rec | commended Alternative | Not applicable | | | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion | n and Risk Management | Given the early of the complex will greatly rec and leveraging prepared to ac | y stage nature of the techr kity of certain tasks. Having luce the risk of unintended agile development allows Idress such problems acco | nology, there is potential g multiple world-class ex d costs and scope creep. s the team to foresee ove rdingly. | for budgetary exceedance perts from the blockchain f Furthermore, establishing erages well before they hap | due to an underestimation field, as this project does, consistent project updates open and the team will be | | | |
| | | | Financial services is a highly regulated industry, and the rules around generating tokens and exchanging ther are currently under development. There is a risk that financial regulators would create additional roadblock long term implementation of the system that will take time to be overcome. Since this is an early stage demu of the technology platform, the team has identified several contingency measures that would allow the sam functionality to be demonstrated but with intermediary steps that would fit within today's regulatory guidel | | | | | | | |
| | Comparative Inform Historical Projects (| nation on Equivalent if any) | Not applicable | | | | | | | |
| | Total Capital and O Energy Generation | M&A Costs for Renewable portion of Projects (if any) | 0 | | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Custom terms of Cost Impa | ers of Project Expressed in ct, where practicable | Not applicable | | | | | | | |
| | Regional Electricity which affect Projec | Infrastructure Requirements t, if applicable | Not applicable | | | | | | | |
| | Description of Inco Technology, if appl | rporation of Advanced icable | This project re worldwide att that DERs can important gap to merchants of lacking a fourn- utilities across by integrating effectively allo of their most C renewable per technologies c adapt to the e | presents a major technolo antion. The primary benet provide by facilitaring thei for customer engagement who wish to align themsel- lational architecture to m Canada with a mechanisn procurement, contracting ws existing market interm hallenging problems. The tetration on the electricity ontinues to rise. Blockcha ver changing conditions th | extremely promising field an deliver within 5 years is a upport and GHG reduction is to incentivize customers I members of their consum tvices market. This technol he efficiency by which these tion functions all within a c gnificant amount of interme- empt the challenges assoc y that increases in likelihor nergy markets the level of prid of the future. | that is currently generating unlocking the full potential is services. It also bridges an and connect them directly ber base. Currently, LDCs are logy will provide Alectra and se services can be managed services can be managed common fabric. This al overhead to solve some ciated with increased EV and do as the popularity of these flexibility that is required to | | | | |
| | Identify any reliabil coordination benef | ity, efficiency, safety or its | On site solar and storage provides customers with a level of outage protection in the case of a loss of electrical The proposed project increases the affordability of these technologies, making them more accessible to custom protect against systemic uncertainties such as adverse weather events or network equipment malfunction. Increasing visibility and insight into customer sited assets creates unique opportunities to improve system effici Furthermore, this project focuses on the mechanisms required to settle and verify transactions that improve gri efficiency by dispatching DERs to reduce peak demand - which, at scale, has the potential to allow distribution, transmission, and generation investments to be deferred. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 700,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 600,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 500,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 300,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | 2010 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2022 | 2024 | | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | \$3.042.901 | \$603.000 ¢ | 2020 346.905 | \$353.924 | \$573.140 | \$579.666 | \$586.266 | | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | · | | | |



| atintios | | |
|---|--|---|
| Project Code | 150694 | |
| Project Name | Cityview microgrid enhancements | |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South |
| | Location | |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | No Burden |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Canacity (Lines) |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Line Canacity Prois & Add Circ |
| 4 Evaluation Criteria (OER) | Project Summany | The Citagiew microgrid project is an initiative to understand how microgrids can be integrated into the distribution grid |
| | rejectualiniary | in a safe and reliable manner. The planned investment will enhance and test Alectra's microgrid - located in Alectra's Vaughan office. The microgrid is used to evaluate integration and connection to the distribution grid while reducing the building's load, greenhouse gas emissions, and increasing its resiliency to grid outages. |
| | Main Driver - System Service | System Efficiency |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | The microgrid allows Alectra to test the use of a Distributed Energy Resource Management System (DERMS) deployed in the microgrid for the control of distributed energy resources (DERs). The monitoring and control and DERs will become increasingly important for Alectra and other LDCs as customer-owned DERs gain widespread adoption, and the microgrid serves as a cost efficient and low risk method of testing how these distributed resources can be accommodated in the distribution system in a reliable and cost-effective manner before deploying them at a larger scale. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | N/A |
| | Safety | N/A |
| | Cyber-Security Privacy | N/A |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | The DERMS platform allows Alectra to coordinate and control individual DERs in the microgrid - including solar PV, battery storage, a micro-wind turbine , and electric vehicle chargers. In the future, the interoperability of assets - either deployed behind a customer's meter or at scale throughout the network - is an important requirement for DERs in order to maximize their economic potential, and prevent them from having reliability, safety, and capacity impacts to distribution networks. |
| | Economic Development | N/A |
| | Environmental Benefits | The microgrid facilitates the widespread adoption of low-CO2 emission energy technologies, such as solar PV, battery storage, electric vehicles, and micro-wind turbines. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Do nothing |
| | Alternative #1 | N/A |
| | Alternative #2 | N/A |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | N/A |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | N/A |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent | N/A |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | N/A |
| | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable | N/A |
| | Description of Incorporation of Advanced | N/A |
| | Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits | N/A |





| utilities | | |
|---|--|---|
| Project Code | 150716 | |
| Project Name | New build - 42M69 Feeder Extension Williams Pl | kwy - Main St to Kennedy Rd, Brampton |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Brampton |
| | Location | Switch Gear Site 428, west of Main St. along Williams Pkwy to Kennedy Rd. |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | NO |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | *Entered Manually in Forecast |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Capacity (Lines) |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | 27.6kV UG Feeder Extension along Williams Pkwy from Main St to Kennedy Rd OH. Extension currently stops at Switch Gear Site 428 Switch 20-2139, west of Main St. |
| | Main Driver, Surban Camina | Contingent on road widening of Williams Pkwy. |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Support capacity Delivery This Lines Capacity investment is driven nrimarily by the ranid expansion of urban development into historically such |
| | Phoney and Reasons for Phoney | greenfield regions. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | 42M69 to be extended. |
| | | Directly connected to future circuit. 42M47 (247A Peak) |
| | | Connected through ties on 42M47 |
| | | 42M46 (148A Peak) |
| | Safety | 42M13 (330A Peak) Alectra I Itilities is required to ensure its distribution system can support projected load growth while maintaining |
| | Jaiety | reliability and quality of service for customers on both a short-term and long-term basis, as required by the Distribution System Code (DSC). Alectra Utilities must also connect new customers within the timelines prescribed by the OEB's service quality standards without adversely affecting the quality and safety of service to existing customers. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | To maximize the efficiency of the planned work, the Lines Capacity investments are coordinated with other infrastructure projects planned by local authorities. By coordinating Alectra Utilities' expansion and renewal plans with municipal and regional authorities' projects, Alectra Utilities can take advantage of other construction and share infrastructure with other utilities, such as telecommunications providers. Coordination of capital projects also ensures that work can be completed before construction moratoriums are placed on locations by municipal road authorities which would prevent Alectra Utilities from disturbing recently completed roads and streetscapes. |
| | | Contingent on road widening of Williams Pkwy. |
| | Economic Development | Investments in Lines Capacity provide Alectra Utilities the ability to support connection of new developments, expedite restoration of outages as well as capability to safely and reliably integrate DER, PV and battery systems. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Operating feeders within planning criteria maximizes asset life, reduces line losses and ensures required power quality |
| | | levels. |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OFB) | Status Quo | Status Quo |
| | | These are new development, if new overhead lines are not constructed, it will be physically impossible for Alectra Utilities to connect new customers to the grid. For the reasons stated above, Alectra Utilities rejected the status quo or do-nothing approach. |
| | Alternative #1 | Non-Wires Alternatives |
| | | For this project these options have not been considered as new feeders are needed to connect the customers to grid. This is not the recommended alternative. |
| | Alternative #2 | Construct New Feeders |
| | | Execution of this investment will alleviate capacity constraints and as well as ensure the availability of sufficient capacity to efficiently connect customers to Alectra Utilities's distribution system. It will allow Alectra Utilities to maintain supply to customers during contingency events and operation flexibility during maintenance and other capital work. This option will help Alectra Utilities maintain service quality and reliability standards for the existing customers as additional load is added to the system. Alectra Utilities plans to construct and configure feeders to present day technical standards to ensure customer choice for integrating distributed generation, electric vehicles and energy storage solutions. This is the recommended alternative. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | Construction of new feeders is the only option that allows Alectra Utilities to reliably meet forecast connection requirements, and it forms the basis of the planned Lines Capacity investments. |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Not Applicable |

| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------------|--|---|------|---------|-------|------|------|--|
| Co Hii To En | nformation on Equivaler ects (if any) nd OM&A Costs for Rene tion portion of Projects (| nt ewable (if any) | Not Applicable | | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Be Project/Activity (OEB) ter | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable terms of Cost Impact. | | Alectra Utilities has identified each proposed Lines Capacity project as required in the proposed timeline and determined that each investment is required to meet the pace of development in each service area to ensure sufficient capacity and reliable service for Alectra Utilities customers. Since larger projects require greater capital investment and take multiple years to build, Alectra Utilities plans to construct large projects in a phased manner to minimize the impact on rates and resources. | | | | | | | |
| Re | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable | | Not Applicable | 2 | | | | | | |
| De | Description of Incorporation of Advanced | | Not Applicable | 2 | | | | | | |
| idi co | lechnology, it applicable Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits | | | The amount of investment required each year is paced to match timing of known development, considering available capacity, and expected load growth, net of conservation and demand side management. Alectra Utilities designs and plans projects using a phased approach based on feeder loading, funding availability and customer development progress, which allows the utility to pace investments just-in-time for connecting new developments while ensuring stable rates and maintenance of reliability for existing customers in the area. | | | | | | |
| 1,2 | 200,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,0 | 000,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | 800,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| e | 600,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | - | 2019 | 2 | 020 | 2021 | 202 | 22 | 2023 | 2024 | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$1 | 1,127,730 | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,127 | 7,730 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |) | \$0 | \$0 | |



| dtillitios | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Project Code | 150747 | |
| Project Name | Net Zero Energy Emissions | |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory Location Units | Legacy PowerStream South |
| | Project Class Project Includes R&D | No Burden Yes |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital Expenditure Type Rates UD | *Entered Manually in Forecast Controllable Pate Race Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Distributed Energy Resources (DER) |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Alectra Subcategory Project Summary | Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ The objective of the DER Control Platform project is to integrate DERs with Alectra Utilities' traditional distribution operation technology systems. It will enable Alectra Utilities to build capabilities that could predict the grid operational impacts of DERs, help mitigate power quality issues associated with DERs and reduce peak demand. These capabilities will be built as part of the overall DER Control Platform, also known as Distributed Energy Resource Management System (DERMS), further enabling a Virtual Power Plant (VPP) with integrated controls and real time signals in order to operationalize DERs as an aggregated source of capacity and storage. |
| | | The focus of Alectra Utilities' DER Control Platform project is to aggregate, integrate, control and optimize concentrated and dispersed DER, as a source of virtually aggregated deployment, in order to reduce system capacity demand necessary for system optimization and load balancing. Without Alectra Utilities' Control Platform, Alectra Utilities will not be able to realize the full potential of DER integration and also its promise to deliver an efficient and reliable DER integration solution. |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Reliability |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Without Alectra Utilities' Control Platform, Alectra Utilities will not be able to realize the full potential of DER integration and also its promise to deliver an efficient and reliable DER integration solution. |
| | | The optimization of household electricity consumption proposed by this project minimizes the impacts of electrification on distribution, transmission, and generation infrastructure and creates a pathway for widespread adoption of DERs in an affordable and efficient manner, without increasing rates and compromising grid stability. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not applicable |
| | Safety | Not applicable |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Security will be designed into every aspect of the platform and the networks it creates, and lasting security is sustained through ongoing diligence and maintenance. Alectra ensures the security of its networks using best practices, which include the following provisions: |
| | | All entities (human interaction and computing nodes) connected to the network will be uniquely authenticated. |
| | | All traffic will be armored, as follows: •Iraffic will be protected from eavesdropping, tampering and recording. •Iraffic will be authenticated, assuring it is only delivered from/to the intended source/recipient. •Iraffic will be encrypted; decrypted traffic is never visible to an unintended party. •Iraffic will be checked for integrity—no traffic can be injected, re-routed, delayed, duplicated or corrupted without being detected. •All authentication, encryption and integrity checks will be protected by industry-recognized, robust cryptographic algorithms with no known weaknesses. •End-customer data will be owned by the end-customer •All data will be backed up continuously in real-time to secured backup systems |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | The project is intended to enable the integration of DERMS with Alectra Utilities system control and operational systems, including Supervisory Control And Data Acquisition (SCADA), Geographical Information System (GIS), Outage Management System (OMS) and Network Simulation Software (such asCYMDIST). |
| | | The project is leading edge and innovative and will create a pathway for widespread adoption of DERs in an affordable and efficient manner, without increasing rates and compromising grid stability. The project will allow for the widespread and economically-efficient adoption of DERs across Canada. For example, the project requires new coordination between ITs system to optimize and integrate into the grid, allowing for the real-time management of energy generation, distribution and consumption. |

| | Economic Developmen | t | The project w costs for hou The project r enables cons The project w while also pr that it will he industry and adoption of t who is intere | ill have a direct and positi seholds. In turn, this will co educes energy costs by all umers to sell power back t vill contribute to economic oviding more access for Dis Ip shape and inform futur government regarding our hese technologies includin sted in pursuing these app | ve economic benefit in th ontribute to the long-term owing for individual's real- to the grid, further offsetti stributed Energy Resource e consumer-facing smart g collective understanding ug the economic gap, in re roaches. | e community it serves, pri n financial stability and pro time management of thei ng costs. through broadly supportir s. The most central econo grid offerings, and will serv of the barriers that exist w tail terms, that confronts t | marily by reducing electricity osperity of the community. r energy consumption. It ng Canadian innovation, mic benefit this project is re as a useful guide to both with respect to widespread the enlightened consumer |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Environmental Benefits Status Quo | | The project e further 18,60 technology ir integration. Do nothing. S | xpects to reduce GHG emi 0,000 Tonnes of indirect G tegration that occurs thro ee priority. | ssions from the DERs inclu GHG emissions are projecte ugh subsequent market re | ided in the pilot by 1,190 ⁻ ed in Ontario from the sub eplication of this compreh | Tonnes to the end of 2030. A sequent adoption of this ensive technology |
| | Alternative #1 Alternative #2 Justification for Recom | mended Alternative | Not applicabl Not applicabl Not applicabl | e e | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion an | d Risk Management | The technolo small-scale in | gies won't work as intende tegration work before pro | ed or the control architect ceeding with entire suite | ure won't optimize. Mitiga of DERs. | ation strategy: begin with |
| | | | It will be diffi Mitigation str technology p | cult to identify participant rategy: Work with corpora artners to identify potentia | s to volunteer to have the te communications activit al customers. | ir DERs participate in the o ies to solicit customer inte | demonstration project. erest; work with project |
| | Comparative Informati Historical Projects (if ar | on on Equivalent y) | The current p PowerStream of rooftop so management saving on the | roject builds on the Power , in 2015. This pilot enable lar panels, a lithium-ion er system. The pilot resulted ir monthly bills, while Alec | r.House pilot project laund ed the deployment of 20 P ergy storage battery, a tw d in customers from the Ci ctra Utilities gained key ins | ched by a key Alectra Utilit 'ower.House units – an int vo-way smart meter and a ty of Barrie, City of Vaugha ights from the integration | ties legacy company, egrated home power plant cloud-based energy an and City of Markham ı of usage data. |
| | Total Capital and OM& Energy Generation por | A Costs for Renewable ion of Projects (if any) | 0 | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Customers terms of Cost Impact, v | of Project Expressed in where practicable | Customers w provides ther able to re-cou | ho participate will have the n with a benefit while also up their initial investment | eir consumption optimize providing a benefit to the in less than 5 years. | d to use their customer-sit e grid. In the Power.House | ted DERs in a way that project, customers were |
| | | | A second type than requirin | e of benefit is that provide g early maintenance or rep | d to all ratepayers throug pair due to excess use. | h making better use of exi | sting infrastructure rather |
| | Regional Electricity Infr which affect Project, if | astructure Requirement applicable | s Where practi investment. 1 investments. | cable, DERs included in the his will provide an early st | e project will be located in tart towards potentially us | areas that have been ide ing DERs more in the futu | ntified as requiring future re to offset infrastructure |
| | Description of Incorpor Technology, if applicab | ation of Advanced le | The DER Cont to be control Utilities to as the distributi | rol Platform project provi ied and managed through sess the integration and o on system as a whole. | des an integration backbo Alectra Utilities' core ope peration of its platform b | ne for DERs, including har rational and control platfo efore it is used at a larger : | dware and software services, rms. It will allow Alectra scale to provide benefits to |
| | Identify any reliability, coordination benefits | efficiency, safety or | As more DER: optimize DER valuable data can be used f Utilities' syste Geographical asCYMDIST). | s are connected to Alectra operations to prevent por for improving Alectra Util or utility planning purpose m control and operationa Information System (GIS), | Utilities' system, the DER wer quality issues and red lities' forecast of DER upta as. The project is expected I systems, including Super, Outage Management Sys | Control Platform will allo uce peak demand in real t ke and operation based o to enable the integration visory Control And Data A tem (OMS) and Network S | w Alectra Utilities to ime, in addition to providing n customer adoption that n of DERMS with Alectra cquisition (SCADA), simulation Software (such |
| | 400,000 | | | | | | |
| | 350,000 | | | | | | |
| | 250,000 | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 | | | | | | |
| | 150,000 | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 | | | | | | |
| | 50,000 | | | | | | |
| | 0 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | : \$1,920,628 \$3 | 02,000 | \$308,473 | \$315,054 | \$327,952 | \$331,686 | \$335,463 |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |





Project Code 150749 Project Name New WiMAX Communication Network - Central South Major Category System Service Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Mississauga Location Locations within the Central South Service Territory Units 100 Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology Yes Componen Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) **Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0%** Expenditure Type Controllable Rates ID Rate Base Funded Alectra Grouping System Control, Comm'ns & Performance Alectra Subcategory Scada & Scada Communications N 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary The goal of this project is to install a high speed, broadband, cybersecure, wireless communications network, in the 1.8GHZ spectrum, spanning the boundaries of the City of Mississauga. It is anticipated 3 towers will be constructed at Municipal Substations and be equipped with a 3 sector WiMAX wireless system. A fourth 3 sector WiMAX wireless system would be deployed at the existing radio site on Kaneff Tower. This wireless network will enable effective data communications between the SCADA System and Alectra owned Distribution System assets, including: Municipal Substations (MS) SCADA Controllable devices Eustomer owned generators • Eeed-in Tariff (FIT) sites The project would have the following components: - applications to federal and and municipal governing bodies for construction of 150' communications towers at selected municipal substations in Central South; - application for licensed frequencies from ISED; - completion of geotechnical studies for tower foundations; - construction of towers; - purchase and installation of supporting communication buildings to house supporting Ethernet equipment, WiMAX power Supplies, etc.; - purchase and installation of WiMAX Base Radios, antennae and associated cabling: - purchase and installation of premise communication equipment at the remote ends; MS, field devices, FIT Generators. Main Driver - System Service Reliability Priority and Reasons for Priority This project should be considered a High priority. Justifications for classifying the priority of this project as high are as follows: - major reduction in OM&A costs: existing cost for SCADA communication to Central South Municipal Substations is presently in excess of \$570,000. Annual licensing costs for an Alectra owned WiMAX system providing a higher capacity service to the same MSs would be ~\$10,000; - Complete Control of Communications Channel: Alectra would full control of this channel, and able to segment, encrypt and segregate the channel meet varios stakeholder needs - Highly Cyber Secure: the channel is able to be encrypted to the AES256 standard. Highly critical sensitive Network Operations' data could not be compromised. The channel is completely in the Alectra Domain. Data does not flow over 3rd party segments; - Completely Scalable: ISED, the federal body overseeing the public radio spectrum, has allocated the 1.8GHz frequency band to utility clients such as Alectra. Alectra will have full use of the frequency and can add as many remot connections as required. The system has ample bandwidth; - Continuation of Existing Strategy: there is an existing strategy in place to bring WiMAX to Central South. Preliminary discussions were held with the equipment provider and prominent educational institutions to deploy a WiMAX Communications System in Central South. Feasibility studies were completed. A pilot was begun. A detailed path profile analysis is being completed. The project being proposed would build on the accomplishments of this strategy and the findings of the path analysis. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) There are about 2000 customers on a typical 5000kVA substation. This project has a marginal safety component. The new WiMAX node will provide a more effective means to Safety communicate to SCADA controllable devices in Alectra's Mississauga service territory. Information will flow more quickly from devices on the grid to SCADA, enabling System Control to have a greater awareness of the happenings on the grid. Decisions to resolve issues can be made more quickly. WiMAX can transport peer to peer protocols such as GOOSE, enabling deployment of autonomous, automatic fault isolation and service restoration schemes. Such schemes quickly isolate the faulted line section and brings power back into those still healthy segments, ensuring devices critical to public safety such as traffic lights and street lights remain in service Cyber-Security, Privacy WiMAX has inherent cyber security features. Only those devices configured in the WiMAX Base Station radio access list are allowed to connect. All communication is encrypted to AES 256 standard. Coordination, Interoperability There are currently 7 WiMAX nodes in the Alectra East, providing communication to a number of Alectra substations and field devices. Alectra P&C Staff have a wealth of experience supporting and maintaining the WiMAX Communication platform. Alectra has a good inventory of WiMAX Base Station critical spares.

Not Applicable

Economic Development

| 5. Pro | Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of ject and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Environmen Status Quo | ttal Benefits | | Not Applicable Do Nothing. Continue to exist with the present situation. Continue to use costly 3rd party providers for SCADA communications to Municipal Substations in Central South. This is unacceptable for the following reasons: - the existing SCADA communications service, provided by a 3rd party, is excessively expensive; - the existing SCADA communications is not controllable by Alectra and flows over non-Alectra owned and controlled segments;; - the existing communication service is not configurable by Alectra and flows over non-Alectra owned and controlled ther services, nor segregate these services; - Alectra is expected to monitor and trip FIT Generators. Rules and regulations expect FIT generators to be monitored and to be removed from the distribution grid in the event of issue on the connected feeder. Alectra's standard is for 1.8GHz WiMAX to be the transport mechanism for these channels existing Alectra owned, serial, licensed wireless channels are becoming congested. There is risk that new "SMART" devices slated to be installed on the grid will not be able to communicate to SCADA. ISCD, the governing body for the public radio spectrum, will no longer grant utilities licenses for 400MHz or 900MHz frequencies; - existing Alectra owned serial licensed wireless do not support high speed peer to peer protocols such as GOOSE, so devices such as overhead reclosers or pad mounted switchgears will not be able to participate in autonomous, automatic, fault isolation and restoration schemes. | | | | | | |
|------------------|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | Alternative | #1 | | It is recomm Central Sout Another alte Telecom, Ro most value. fully Alectra | ended to move forward w h, providing full coverage ernative would be to engag gers or HydroOne Telecon However, should HCE Tele owned and controlled sys | ith this project and deploy of the area. ge a different 3rd party to p . All have provided propos com be chosen, the cost w tem. Alectra would still be | a fully functional WiMAX provide communications si sals. HCE Telecom's propos rill still be ~\$250,000.00/ye constrained as to what co | Communication System in ervices, such as HCE sal was deemed to be of the ear, and we are still not on a uld be put on the channel. | | |
| | | Justification | i for Recommended Alteri | It is therefore recommended to move forward with this project and implement a 1.8GHz System in the Central South service area. The following are the justifications for moving fi 1) Substantial OM&A Cost Reductions: Alectra will save ~\$570,000/year, the amount Alee existing SCADA communications service in Central South, provided by a 3rd garty; 2) Complete Control and Ownership of Critical Communications System: Alectra will have the communications system that provides remote monitoring and control of the distribut Alectra will be able to configure each channel to meet the unique needs of each remote can be given a higher priority than streaming surveillance video. Confidential and critical flow on Alectra owned infrastructure and remain within Alectra owned channels. Data wi segments. 3) High Bandwidth Channel: WiMAX is broadband. Typical bandwidth for a WiMAX channexisting and future needs. The channel is future proof. It is capable of supporting multiplic completely separated data flows. Such high bandwidth, segregated channels can be used peer communications, to support distribution automation; 4) Aligns with Government Directives: ISED, the federal body in charge of the radio spectuutility communication. They have mandated that this is the frequency utilities must use. I frequencies in the legacy 400MHz or 900MHz frequency bands, so they are no longer ava communication needs. | | | | VIMAX Communication ward with this project: ra is presently pays for the ull ownership and control of on grid in Central South . d. SCADA Communication etwork Operations data will not be exposed to untrusted l is 10Mbps, scalable to meet simultaneous, but or services such as peer to m, has allocated 1.8GHZ for ED will not provide able for utility | | | |
| 6. Pro | General Information on the oject/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | | The following could be risks to project completion, and the mitigations to reduce risk: RISK: refusal of license application for tower construction; MITIGATION: towers will only be built in industrial locations RISK: effective signal is not available at all MSs; MITIGATION: other topologies will be used to extend the signal range. | | | | | | | |
| | | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | | Alectra has already constructed 7 WiMAX nodes in Alectra East. P&C has managed projects to construct 2 new towers and to rebuild 2 other towers. 0 | | | | | | | |
| 7. Pro | Category-Specific Requirements for Each oject/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to (terms of Co | Customers of Project Expr st Impact, where practica | essed in ble | This project will result in substantial OM&A savings to Alectra. Existing communication services cost ~\$570,000/year. | | | | | | |
| | | Regional Ele which affect | ectricity Infrastructure Red t Project, if applicable | quirements | s Not Applicable | | | | | | |
| | | Description of Incorporation of Advanced Technology, if applicable | | nced | WiMAX is a 4G wireless, broadband communications service. It is scalable. It has a high bandwidth. it able to be encrypted. The channel can be segmented into individual data components. | | | | | | |
| | Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits | | | 900MHz. It i channels. | has a greater throughput th | han unlicensed 900MHz an | a narrowband licensed 90 | 00MHz and 400 MHz | | | |
| | ; | 700,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | : : : | 500,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 100,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | 0 \$995 200 | 2019 | 2(\$41 | 020 4.463 | 2021 \$0 | 2022 \$0 | 2023 \$0 | 2024 \$0 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 \$0 | | 1+5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | | |



Project Name Major Category

OEB Multi-Project Report

150758

Facilities_Reno_Staff Relocation from Jane St

General Plant 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted

| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
|---|--|---|
| Project Overview | | |
| 2 Additional Information | Service Territory | Brampton |
| | Service remotiv | |
| | Location | Various locations |
| | | |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | | |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Pates ID | Pate Pace Funded |
| | Nates 10 | |
| | Alectra Grouping | Facilities Management |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Buildings |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summany | The objectives of this project planned for 2022 are to create space in Alectra Utilities current owned facilities for |
| 4. Evaluation entena (GEB) | rioject Summary | ample of the second s |
| | | employees moving nom the range structure. This includes creating space capacity for current and nutrie needs, reduce |
| | | safety risks, remove any nazardous materials that may be present, and improve the use of existing space in Alectra |
| | | owned facilities while reducing operational expenditures. |
| | | |
| | | Other expected objectives and outcomes include: |
| | | |
| | | Encreased flexibility in space for future growth |
| | | •Øperational savings from lease |
| | | Emproved productivity |
| | | •Removal of all demolition materials |
| | | Build new offices install new workstations and wall colours to Alectra standards |
| | | -Build new Onces, instail new workstations and wait colours to Alectra standards |
| | | • appaale to OBC |
| | | •Maintain minimal disruptions to staff |
| | | Encreased collaboration |
| | | Improved employee morale and culture |
| | | Maximized space utilization in Alectra owned facilities |
| | | |
| | Main Driver - General Plant | Capital Investment Support |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Termination of lease at the Jane St office 2022. |
| | | Create a better work environment for employees, maximize use of current space in existing facilities. |
| | | Move and renovation plan to be ready for lease termination. |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | N/A |
| | | |
| | Safety | Health and Safety will be maintained by the General contractor hired for the project and will act as the constructor. |
| | | Contractor to follow Alectra health and safety policies. |
| | | Alectra will maintain owner status. |
| | | Increased security/safety for staff . |
| | | Update where needed to OBC. |
| | | Update where needed to AODA. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Move to 100% Alectra Utilities owed and managed facilities unlike Jane St. |
| | | |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Build to AODA standards. |
| | | Update renovated spaces to meet current OBC building and fire codes. |
| | | Build office spaces to the new Alectra standards. |
| | | |
| | Economic Development | Many tradespersons, engineers, suppliers, consultants and internal employees are employed for these renovation |
| | | projects. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Removal of any hazardous substances if applicable. |
| | | Separation of demolition materials for disposal where applicable. |
| | | |
| | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | High cost of lease. |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OFB) | | Need to make use of Alectra owed underutilized facilities. |
| ,, | | |
| | Alternative #1 | Many staff currently reside in the leased lane St office. This is at the east end of the Alectra territory. The lease at the |
| | Alternative #1 | lang starfing is finished in 2022 and provide us the comparturity it releases all staff to various Alextra Hilling and |
| | | Jane St Onice is infished in 2022 and provides us the opportunity to relocate an star to various Alectra Utilities owned |
| | | racincies making use of the available vacant space reducing operational lease costs. |
| | Alternative #2 | N/A |
| | Alternative #2 | N/A |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | N/A |
| | | |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Budget needs to be worthy of a cost effective and efficient work space renovation. |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | | Engineering, design, and permits to be obtained for completion in current fiscal year. |
| | | Schedule timing. Construction agreements to be in place. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent | Costing estimates are in line with the many previous office space renovation Alectra and legacy utilities have completed |
| | Historical Projects (if any) | over the last 5 years. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable | 0 |
| | Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | |
| | = | |

| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Other Project/Activity (OEB) | lanning Objectives Met | Creating dep positively to | Creating departmental cohesive teams by increased collaboration. Staff moral and culture improvements will reflect positively to better serve internal and external customers. Central locations where feasible. | | | | |
|--|------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------|------|------|--|
| 600,00 | 0 - | | | | | | |
| 500,00 |) | | | | | | |
| 400,00 |) | | | | | | |
| 300,00 |) | | | | | | |
| 200,00 | | | | | | | |
| 100,00 |) | | | | | | |
| |) | 2020 | 2024 | 2022 | 2022 | 2024 | |
| | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$554,5 | 58 ŞU | \$0 | \$0 | \$554,588 | \$U | \$U | |
| Actuals: ŞU | \$0 | \$0 | ŞO | ŞO | \$0 | \$0 | |



Project Code 150773 Project Name New WiMAX Communications System - Central North Major Category System Service Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Brampton Location Locations within Central North Service Territory Units 50 Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology Yes Componen Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) **Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0%** Expenditure Type Controllable Rates ID Rate Base Funded Alectra Grouping System Control, Comm'ns & Performance Alectra Subcategory Scada & Scada Communications N 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary The goal of this project is to install a high speed, broadband, cybersecure, wireless communications network, in the 1.8GHZ spectrum, spanning the boundaries of the City of Brampton. It is anticipated 1 tower will be constructed at James Yarrow Transformer Station and be equipped with a 3 sector WiMAX wireless system. A second 3 sector WiMAX wireless system would be deployed at another site in Central North, location tbd. In year 2, if another sector is required, another 150' tower will be constructed at a suitable location and a 3 sector WiMAX node be constructed on it. This wireless network will enable effective data communications between the SCADA System and Alectra owned Distribution System assets, including: • Municipal Substations (MS) SCADA Controllable devices Eustomer owned generators • Eeed-in Tariff (FIT) sites The project would have the following components: - applications to federal and and municipal governing bodies for construction of a 150' communications tower at James Yarrow Transformer Station in Central North; - application for licensed frequencies from ISED; completion of geotechnical study for tower foundation; - construction of the tower: - purchase and installation of supporting communication buildings to house supporting Ethernet equipment, WiMAX power Supplies, etc., if required: - purchase and installation of WiMAX Base Radios, antennae and associated cabling; - purchase and installation of premise communication equipment at the remote ends; MS, field devices, FIT Generators. Main Driver - System Service Reliability Priority and Reasons for Priority This project should be considered a High priority. Justifications for classifying the priority of this project as high are as follows: - Reduction in OM&A costs: existing cost for SCADA communication to Central North Municipal Substations is presently in excess of \$40,000/year. Another ~\$20,000 is paid to Bell for legacy 4 wire leased circuits. Annual licensing costs for an Alectra owned WiMAX system providing a higher capacity service to the same MSs would be ~\$1600; - Highly Reliable Communications Channel: the existing communications to the ~250 remote controllable devices on Central North's distribution grid is extremely unreliable. It is based on unlicensed 900MHz. WiMAX is a high power, licensed, wireless communication channel. Devices will always be available to System Control; - Complete Control of Communications Channel: Alectra would full control of this channel, and able to segment, encrypt and segregate the channel meet varios stakeholder needs: - Highly Cyber Secure: the channel is able to be encrypted to the AES256 standard. Highly critical sensitive Network Operations' data could not be compromised. The channel is completely in the Alectra Domain. Data does not flow over 3rd party segments: - Completely Scalable: ISED, the federal body overseeing the public radio spectrum, has allocated the 1.8GHz frequency band to utility clients such as Alectra. Alectra will have full use of the frequency and can add as many remote connections as required. The system has ample bandwidth; - Removal of Existing Capacity Constraints: the existing strategy of deploying unlicensed 900MHz nodes on random poles is in contravention of NAVCAN regulations and is no longer employed. Applications need to be submitted for communication structures, even if they are only 100' poles. Also, Alectra policy states communication devices cannot be installed above energized conductors. So there is a pressing need for this 1.8GHz service. The new Central North WiMAX System, with its overarching strategy of coverage extension, will alleviate the existing constraint; - Continuation of Existing Strategy: there is an existing strategy in place to bring WiMAX to Central North. Preliminary discussions were held with the equipment provider and prominent educational institutions to deploy a WiMAX Communications System in Central North, Feasibility studies were completed. A pilot was begun, A detailed path profile analysis is being completed. The project being proposed would build on the accomplishments of this strategy and the findings of the path analysis.

Customer Attachment / Load (KVA)

| | Safety | This project has a marginal safety component. The new WiMAX node will provide a more effective means to communicate to SCADA controllable devices in Alectra's Brampton service territory. The existing system relying on unlicensed 900MHz is prone to failures. With the new WiMAX System, information will flow more quickly from devices on the grid to SCADA, enabling System Control to have a greater awareness of the happenings on the grid. Decisions to resolve issues can be made more quickly. WiMAX can transport peer to peer protocols such as GOOSE, enabling deployment of autonomous, automatic fault isolation and service restoration schemes. Such schemes quickly isolate the faulted line section and brings power back into those still healthy segments, ensuring devices critical to public safety such as traffic lights and street lights remain in service. |
|---|--|--|
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | WiMAX has inherent cyber security features. Only those devices configured in the WiMAX Base Station radio access list are allowed to connect. All communication is encrypted to AES 256 standard. |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | There are currently 7 WiMAX nodes in the Alectra East, providing communication to a number of Alectra substations and field devices. Alectra P&C Staff have a wealth of experience supporting and maintaining the WiMAX Communication platform. Alectra has a good inventory of WiMAX Base Station critical spares. |
| | Economic Development | Not Applicable |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Do Northing. Continue to exist with the present situation. Continue to use 3rd party providers and unlicensed 900MHz for SCADA communications to Municipal Substations, FITS, SCADA controllable devices and fault indicators, in Central North. This is unacceptable for the following reasons: - the existing SCADA communications service, provided by 3rd parties, is expensive; - the existing SCADA communications is not controllable by Alectra and flows over non-Alectra owned and controlled segments;; - the existing communication service is not configurable by Alectra. Alectra cannot tailor the channel to accommodate |
| | | other services, nor segregate these services; - the existing services to SCADA controllable devices is highly unreliable. There is a high rate of communication failures to the devices; - Alectra is expected to monitor and trip FIT Generators. Rules and regulations expect FIT generators to be monitored and to be removed from the distribution grid in the event of issue on the connected feeder. Alectra's standard is for |
| | | 1.8GHz WiMAX to be the transport mechanism for these channels. existing channels cannot supply service to those areas of Central North in need of Distribution Automation. Central North has no licensed 400 or 900MHz channels. There is risk that new "SMART" devices slated to be installed on the grid will not be able to communicate to SCADA. ISED, the governing body for the public radio spectrum, will no longer grant utilities licenses for 400MHz or 900MHz frequencies; there are no wireless services in Central North to support high speed peer to peer protocols such as GOOSE, so devices such as overhead reclosers or pad mounted switchgears will not be able to participate in autonomous, automatic, fault isolation and restoration schemes. |
| | | |
| | Alternative #1 Alternative #2 | It is recommended to move forward with this project and deploy a new 1.8GHZ WiMAX, wireless, broadband communications system in Central North. Another alternative would be to engage a different 3rd party to provide communications services. Public safety is rolling out a 700MHz LTE service that may be made available to Tier 2 Public safety organizations such as Alectra. Cellular from Rogers or Bell is an option. HCE has provided such services to the City of Halton for their Drinking Water System. This is not recommended as Alectra would still not control the system, and continue to pay high costs for communications to devices on the distribution grid. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | It is therefore recommended to move forward with this project and implement a 1.8GHz WiMAX Communication System in the Central North Service area. The following are the justifications for moving forward with this project: 1) Substantial OM&A Cost Reductions: Alectra will save ~\$80/year, the amount Alectra is presently pays for the existing SCADA communications service in Central North, provided by a 3rd party; 2) Complete Control and Ownership of Critical Communications System: Alectra will have full ownership and control of the communications system that provides remote monitoring and control of the distribution grid in Central North. Alectra will be able to configure each channel to meet the unique needs of each remote end. SCADA Communication can be given a higher priority than streaming surveillance video. Confidential and critical Network Operations data will flow on Alectra owned infrastructure and remain within Alectra owned channels. Data will not be exposed to untrusted |
| | | Segments. 3) High Bandwidth Channel: WiMAX is broadband. Typical bandwidth for a WiMAX channel is 10Mbps, scalable to meet existing and future needs. The channel is future proof. It is capable of supporting multiple, simultaneous, but completely separated data flows. Such high bandwidth, segregated channels can be used for services such as peer to peer communications, to support distribution automation; 4) Aligns with Government Directives: ISED, the federal body in charge of the radio spectrum, has allocated 1.8GHZ for utility communication. They have mandated that this is the frequency utilities must use. ISED will not provide frequencies in the legacy 400MHz or 900MHz frequency bands, so they are no longer available for utility communication needs. 5) Increased Reliability: the existing communication to SCADA controllable devices on the Central North Distribution |
| | | grid is unreliable. It is based on 900MHz unlicensed communication. Devices have a high number of communication failures. 1.8GHz is a high powered licensed wireless communication system. It will have very high performance. It is anticipated that communication failures such have decrease by a factor of 10. |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | The following could be risks to project completion, and the mitigations to reduce risk: RISK: refusal of license application for tower construction; MITIGATION: tower will be built on the property of James Yarrow Transformer Station RISK: effective signal is not available at all devices on the distribution grid; MITIGATION: other topologies will be used to extend the signal range. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Alectra has constructed and upgraded 7 WiMAX nodes in the East Service Territory. Alectra P&C has extensive experience with the construction of towers, deployment of nodes and with the maintenance and administration of WiMAX communication networks. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |





Project Name

OEB Multi-Project Report

150782

Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Step Van

| inajor category | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory Location Units | Mississauga |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Pates ID | Pata Pasa Fundad |
| | Alastra Crousian | |
| | Alectra Grouping | |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Fleet |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. |
| | | •Manufacturing Standards •Bidustry Standards |
| | | Industry Standards |
| | | Rehicle Operational Conditions |
| | | • Wehicle Age |
| | | • Mehicle Total Mileage |
| | | •Bignway Iramic Act ("HIA") •Panadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) |
| | | •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment |
| | | •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements |
| | | •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable |
| | | Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies |
| | | A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Unit 311-08 will be a projected 12 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overa age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. |
| | Main Driver - General Plant | Capital Investment Support |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement in accessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety | N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | N/A |
| | | |

| | Coordinati | on, Interoperability | | Alectra's five- •Manufacturii •Andustry Star •Non-Industry •Wehicle Oper •Wehicle Age •Wehicle Total •Bighway Traf •Canadian Mu •All related CS •Motor Vehicl •Anfrastructur •Corporate He | year vehicle replacemen ng Standards Idards S'Standards ational Conditions Mileage fic Act (HTA) tor Vehicle Safety Stan A standards, specificall e Inspection Station (W e Health & Safety Assoc ealth & Safety and Envir | dards (CMVSS) y those that relate to aeria IVIS) requirements iation (IHSA) of Ontario, w ronmental Policies | lowing criteria guidelines: al devices and hydraulic equ vhere applicable | lipment |
|---|---|-----------------------------|---------|--|---|---|---|---|
| | Economic I | Development | | Vehicle pur | chases contribute to th | e economy by supporting | the creation and support of | of jobs at automakers, car |
| | Environme | ntal Benefits | | dealers, parts New vehicles | suppliers, and mechani reduce emissions, gas c | ical trade workers. onsumption | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | | | Due to bud kept in operat been replaced costs have ind delivery and n Regular vel response time | get mitigation efforts d ion and rescheduled fo within the last five yea reased and the vehicles nost importantly causin nicle replacement is nec- and employee product | luring the last few years, a r replacement in future yea rs. It is now critical that th s no longer operate at full g potential safety concern cessary to avoid undue vel tivity. | number of vehicles schedu ears. As a result, many of Al hese vehicles be replaced ar capacity, reducing vehicle ar for our employees and the hicle down and associated r | Ied for replacement were ectra vehicles should have s maintenance and repairs availability, impacting service e public. negative impacts to customer |
| | Alternative | #1 | | N/A | | | | |
| | Alternative | #2 | | None | | | | |
| | Justificatio | n for Recommended Alter | native | N/a | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | 6. General Information on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management Project/Activity (OEB) | | | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | |
| | | | | The reliable, a •Eleet Manag •SCM Operati •Eey manager | vailability and safe flee ement as the initiative l ons Director reviews an nent stakeholder suppo | t operations will also rely lead and accountability id approves replacement r ort across the organization | on: ecommendations to define business requirer | nents |
| | | | | Dehicles may customer requestioner requestion Dorporate Comporate Component of the resources | be replaced by differen uirements. mmitment to approver g requirements of the o plan and required budg | nt models or types based o d Capital and Operating Bu rganization may change th get mitigation efforts | on changes to operations, c udgets required he planned replacement of | orporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to |
| | Comparati | ve Information on Equival | ent | N/A | | | | |
| | Historical P | Projects (if any) | nowable | , 0 | | | | |
| | Energy Ger | neration portion of Project | if any) | 0 | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | ning Objectives Met | | Operational ir | nprovements | | | |
| | 250,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 150,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 50,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2012 | | | 2023 | | 2000 | 2021 |
| 2019-2024 - EINAL DSP Submitted | l· \$220 000 | 2019 | 202 | 20 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$220, | 0 | \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | <i></i> | ţ, | · . | | <i>v</i> . | <i>v</i> • | |
| carrency sources in incelat | | | | | | | | |



Project Name

Scenario

Major Category

OEB Multi-Project Report

150785

New WiMAX Communications System - West

System Service 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted

| Project Overview | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Hamilton |
| | Location | Various locations in the West Service Territory |
| | Units | 120 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | Yes |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 2 General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| 3. General Project mormation (GEB) | Europediture Tupe | Controllelle |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | System Control, Commins & Performance |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Scada & Scada Communications N |
| 4. Evaluation Critena (UEB) | Project summary | Ine goal of this project is to install a high speed, broadband, cybersecure, wireless communications network, in the 1.8GHZ spectrum, spanning the boundaries of the Cities of Hamilton and St. Catherines. Over the 2 year duration of the project, it is anticipated 1 tower will be constructed at Nebo Road Operations Center and be equipped with a 3 sector WiMAX wireless system. Two additional 3 sector WiMAX wireless systems would be deployed at 2 other sites in Hamilton, location tbd. A fourth node would be deployed at the Vansickle Operations Center in St Catherines on the existing communications tower located there. Appropriate measures would be taken to strengthen the tower should the existing structure be deemed undersized. This wireless network will enable effective data communications between the SCADA System and Alectra owned Distribution System assets, including: • Municipal Substations (MS) • SCADA Controllable devices • Eleustomer owned generators • Elect-in Tariff (FIT) sites The project would have the following components: • applications to federal and nunicipal governing bodies for construction of a 150' communications tower at the Nebo Road Operations Center and possibly one more location; • application for licensed frequencies from ISED; • completion of geotechnical studies for tower foundations; • construction of supporting communication buildings to house supporting Ethernet equipment, WiMAX power Supplies, etc. if required; • purchase and installation of WiMAX Base Radios, antennae and associated cabling; • purchase and installation of premise communication equipment at the remote ends; MS, field devices, FIT Generators. |
| | Main Driver, System Service | Dalishilita |
| | Priority and Peacons for Priority | This project should be considered a High priority |
| | Phonty and Reasons for Phonty | Insproject should be considered a high priority. |
| | | Reduction in OM&A costs: existing costs for SCADA communication to West Substations and SCADA Controllable devices on the West's Distribution Grid is presently in excess of \$75,000/year. Annual licensing costs for an Alectra owned WiMAX system providing a higher capacity service to the same MSs would be "\$11,500; Complete Control of Communications Channel: Alectra would full control of this channel, and able to segment, encrypt and segregate the channel meet varios stakeholder needs: Highly Cyber Secure: the channel is able to be encrypted to the AES256 standard. Highly critical sensitive Network Operations' data could not be compromised. The channel is completely in the Alectra Domain. Data does not flow over and party segments; Completely Scalable: ISED, the federal body overseeing the public radio spectrum, has allocated the 1.8GHz frequency band to utility clients such as Alectra. Alectra will have full use of the frequency and can add as many remote connections as required. The system has ample bandwidth; Guaranteed Throughputs: As Alectra would have full ownership and administration of this crucial data backhaul system, data is guaranteed to be transported during times of extreme emergency, the time when System Control most needs instantaneous knowledge of the grid. Alectra will not be competing for access to cell towers with civilians posting photos or using apps such as Facetime. The existing system utilizes 3rd party cellular data such as that from grid connected devices, would not get to System Control, severely hampering their ability to administer the West's Distribution Grid in a time of crisis. Continuation of Existing Strategy: there is an existing strategy in place to bring WiMAX to West. Preliminary discussions were held with the equipment provider and prominent educational institutions to deploy a WiMAX Communications System in West. Feasibility studies were completed. A pilot was begun. A detailed path profile analysis is being completed. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | There are about 2000 customers on a typical 5000kVA substation. |
| | | Safety | This project has a marginal safety component. The new WIMAX node will provide a more effective means to communicate to SCADA controllable devices in Alectra's Hamilton and St Catherines service territory. The existing system relies on 3rd party cellular data services and is prone to constraints due to cell tower congestion, and communication outages due to poor signal. With the new WiMAX System, information will flow more quickly from devices on the grid to SCADA, enabling System Control to have a greater awareness of the happenings on the grid. Decisions to resolve issues can be made more quickly. WiMAX can transport peer to peer protocols such as GODSE, enabling deployment of autonomous, automatic fault isolation and service restoration schemes. Such schemes quickly isolate the faulted line section and brings power back into those still healthy segments, ensuring devices critical to public safety such as traffic lights and street lights remain in service. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | Cyber-Security, Privacy | WiMAX has inherent cyber security features. Only those devices configured in the WiMAX Base Station radio access list are allowed to connect. All communication is encrypted to AES 256 standard. |
| | | Coordination, Interoperability | There are currently 7 WiMAX nodes in the Alectra East, providing communication to a number of Alectra substations and field devices. Alectra P&C Staff have a wealth of experience supporting and maintaining the WiMAX Communication platform. Alectra has a good inventory of WiMAX Base Station critical spares. |
| | | Economic Development | Not Applicable |
| | | Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable |
| | 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Do Nothing. Continue to exist with the present situation. Continue to use 3rd party providers for terrestrial data circuits and cellular data for SCADA communications to Municipal Substations, FITS, SCADA controllable devices and fault indicators, in West. This is unacceptable for the following reasons: - the existing SCADA communications service, provided by 3rd parties, is expensive; - the existing SCADA communications is not controllable by Alectra and flows over non-Alectra owned and controlled segments. Alectra has to compete with every other cellular data user for bandwidth on a cell tower; - the existing communication service; not configurable by Alectra. Alectra cannot tailor the channel to accommodate other services, nor segregate these services; - the existing services to SCADA controllable devices is highly unreliable. There is a high rate of communication failures to the devices; - for those SCADA controllable devices on the West distribution grid, there is no guarantee of data throughput. Alectra's devices compete for access to a cell tower just like any other cellular data user. We are at risk of loss of communications in times of emergencies, when everyone is trying to post. - Alectra is expected to monitor and trip FIT Generators. Rules and regulations expect FIT generators to be monitored and to be removed from the distribution grid in the event of issue on the connected feeder. Alectra's standard is for 1.8GA'z WiMAX to be the transport mechanism for these channels. - there is not always a guarantee of reliable cellular service to every "SMART" device slated to be added to West's Distribution Grid. There is risk that new "SMART" devices slated to be installed on the grid will not be able to communicate to SCADA. ISED, the governing body for the public radio spectrum, will no longer grant utilities licenses for 400MHz or 900MHz frequencies. 1.8 GHZ is the frequency allocated for Utility communication; - there are no wireless services in West to support high speed |
| | | Alternative #1 | It is recommended to move forward with this project and implement a WiMAX communication system in Alectras's |
| | | Alternative #2 | West service territory. Another alternative would be to engage a different 3rd party to provide communications services. Public safety is rolling out a 700MHz LTE service that may be made available to Tier 2 Public safety organizations such as Alectra. Cellular from Rogers or Bell is an option. HCE has provided such services to the City of Halton for their Drinking Water System. This is not recommended as Alectra would still not control the system, and continue to pay high costs for communications to devices on the distribution grid. |
| | | Justification for Recommended Alternative | It is therefore recommended to move forward with this project and implement a 1.8GHz WiMAX Communication System in the West Service area. The following are the justifications for moving forward with this project: 1) Substantial OM&A Cost Reductions: Alectra will save ~\$75000/year, the amount Alectra is presently pays for the existing SCADA communications service in West, provided by 3rd parties; 2) Complete Control and Ownership of Critical Communications System: Alectra will have full ownership and control of the communications system that provides remote monitoring and control of the distribution grid in West. Alectra will be able to configure each channel to meet the unique needs of each remote end. SCADA Communication can be given a higher priority than streaming surveillance video. Confidential and critical Network Operations data will flow on Alectra owned infrastructure and remain within Alectra owned channels. Data will not be exposed to untrusted segments. 3) High Bandwidth Channel: WiMAX is broadband. Typical bandwidth for a WiMAX channel is 10Mbps, scalable to meet existing and future needs. The channel is future proof. It is capable of supporting multiple, simultaneous, but completely separated data flows. Such high bandwidth, segregated channels can be used for services such as peer to peer communications, to support distribution automation; 4) Aligns with Government Directives: ISED, the federal body in charge of the radio spectrum, has allocated 1.8GHZ for utility communication. They have mandated that this is the frequency utilities must use. ISED will not provide frequencies in the legacy 400MHz or 900MHz frequency bands, so they are no longer available for utility communication needs. 5) Increased Reliability: the existing communication to SCADA controllable devices on the West Distribution Grid is unreliable. It is based on cellular data. Devices have a high number of communication failures and need to complete for access to cellular data increases exponentially. It has been proven that in ti |
| ļ | | | |

| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Cor | mpletion and Risk Manage | ment | The following could be risks to project completion, and the mitigations to reduce risk: RISK: refusal of license application for tower construction; MITIGATION: tower will be built on the property of Nebo Rd Operations Center. It is also hoped that another tower can be constructed at the Stoney Creek Operations center, or adjacent to that property, in the industrial area A 3rd would be housed at the Dundas Water Tower RISK: effective signal is not available at all devices on the distribution grid; MITIGATION: other topologies will be used to extend the signal range. | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|--|
| | Comparativ Historical P Total Capita Energy Gen | comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (If any) Fotal Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (If any) | | | Alectra has constructed and upgraded 7 WiMAX nodes in the East Service Territory. Alectra P&C has extensive experience with the construction of towers, deployment of nodes and with the maintenance and administration of WiMAX communication networks. | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | ory-Specific Requirements for Each Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in Activity (OEB) terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | | | This project | will result in OM&A saving | s to Alectra. Existing comn | nunication services cost ~\$ | 75,000/year. | |
| | Regional Ele which affec | egional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements hich affect Project, if applicable | | | ble | | | | |
| | Description Technology | Description of Incorporation of Advanced Technology, if applicable | | WiMAX is a 4G wireless, broadband communications service. It is scalable. It has a high bandwidth. it able to be encrypted. The channel can be segmented into individual data components. | | | | | |
| | Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or coordination benefits | | Licensed 1.8GHZ WiMAX is an inherently more reliable broadband communications methodology than unlicensed 900MHz. It has a greater throughput than unlicensed 900MHz and narrowband licensed 900MHz and 400 MHz channels. | | | | | | |
| | 600,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 500,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 300,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2 | 020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: | \$967,923 | \$480.883 | \$48 | 7.040 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Actuals: \$0 | , | \$0 | ÷ 10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | I | | | | | | | |



OEB Multi-Project Report

150786 Fleet_Central South

| roject Name | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-SUV | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| Najor Category | General Plant | |
| cenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| roject Overview | | |
| . Additional Information | Service Territory | Mississauga |
| | Location | |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or bas Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | | |
| . General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Fleet Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Fleet |
| . Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital |
| | | budget is requested. |
| | | The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. |
| | | The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: |
| | | •Bidnulacturing Standards |
| | | •Non Industry Standards |
| | | Rehicle Operational Conditions |
| | | •@ehicle Age |
| | | • Mehicle Total Mileage |
| | | •Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") |
| | | •Banadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) |
| | | • Mil related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to denai devices and hydraulic equipment • Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements |
| | | Inder vehicle inspection station ("WVIS) requirements Inder vehicle inspection station ("F&USA Rule Book") where applicable |
| | | •Eorporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies |
| | | A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Units 515-12 will be a projected 8 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overa age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. |
| | Main Driver - General Plant | Capital Investment Support |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | venicie availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety | N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | N/A |
| | | |

| | Coordinat | ion, Interoperability | | Alectra's fiv •Manufactu •Brdustry S •Non-Indus •Wehicle Op •Wehicle Ag •Wehicle To •Bighway T •Canadian •All related •Motor Vel •Binfrastruct •Corporate | re-year vehicle replacemer rring Standards tandards try Standards try Standards rerational Conditions e tal Mileage raffic Act (HTA) Motor Vehicle Safety Stan CSA standards, specificall hicle Inspection Station (M ure Health & Safety Assoc Health & Safety and Envir | dards (CMVSS) y those that relate to aeri IVIS) requirements iation (IHSA) of Ontario, v ronmental Policies | lowing criteria guidelines: al devices and hydraulic equ vhere applicable | uipment |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| | Economic | Development | | Vehicle J dealers, par | ourchases contribute to th ts suppliers, and mechani | e economy by supporting ical trade workers. | the creation and support | of jobs at automakers, car |
| | Environm | ental Benefits | | New vehicle | es reduce emissions, gas o | onsumption | | |
| Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Qu | 0 | | Due to b kept in ope been replac costs have delivery an Regular response ti | udget mitigation efforts d ration and rescheduled fo sed within the last five yea norceased and the vehicles d most importantly causin vehicle replacement is nec me and employee product | uring the last few years, a r replacement in future ye irs. It is now critical that ti is no longer operate at full g potential safety concerr cessary to avoid undue vel tivity. | number of vehicles schedu ears. As a result, many of Al hese vehicles be replaced a capacity, reducing vehicle a for our employees and the hicle down and associated in | Ided for replacement were ectra vehicles should have s maintenance and repairs availability, impacting service e public. negative impacts to customer |
| | Alternativ | re #1 | | N/A | | | | |
| | Alternativ | re #2 | | None | | | | |
| | Justificati | on for Recommended Alter | native | N/a | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to C | ompletion and Risk Manage | ement | Vehicle ava Employee a Increasing s | ilability & reliability nd public safety systems outages response | timelines to support cust | omers. | |
| | | | | The reliable Eleet Man •SCM Oper •Key mana •Vehicles m customer m •Corporate •Any emerg the resource | e, availability and safe flee agement as the initiative l ations Director reviews an gement stakeholder support hay be replaced by differer equirements. Commitment to approve ging requirements of the o es plan and required budg | t operations will also rely ead and accountability d approves replacement r ort across the organization at models or types based d Capital and Operating Br rganization may change ti get mitigation efforts | on: recommendations to define business requirer on changes to operations, c udgets required he planned replacement of | ments orporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to |
| | Comparat | tive Information on Equival | ent | Operationa | l improvements | | | |
| | Historical Total Cap Energy Ge | Projects (if any) ital and OM&A Costs for Re eneration portion of Project | newable s (if any) | 0 | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Pla | nning Objectives Met | | Operationa | l improvements | | | |
| | 40,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 35,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 30,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 25,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 15,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 10,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 5,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 20 | 20 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | : \$35,000 | \$0 | \$35 | ,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | . , | \$0 | \$ | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | - - | |



OEB Multi-Project Report

150787 Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- Van

| Project Name | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- Van | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| Major Category | General Plant | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory Location Units | Mississauga |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Fleet Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Fleet |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: •Manufacturing Standards •Bhoundusty Standards •Bhoundusty Standards •Boun Industy Standards •Behicle Operational Conditions •Wehicle Operational Conditions •Wehicle Age •Behicle Age •Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") •Eanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("KNVS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("KNVS) requirements •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable •Eorporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Units 1-370, 503-09, 509-09, 520-04 will be a projected 10-15 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, 1-370, 503-09, 509-09, 520- |
| | Main Driver - General Plant Priority and Reasons for Priority | Capital Investment Support Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety | N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. |
| | Other-Security Privacy | N/A |
| | cyber becuncy, rivacy | 1/2 |

| | Coordinati | ion, Interoperability | | Alectra's five-yu Manufacturin Bhdustry Stano Non-Industry Wehicle Opera Wehicle Age Wehicle Total I Bighway Traffi Canadian Mot All related CS/ Motor Vehicle Bhfrastructure Corporate Hee | ear vehicle replaceme § Standards lards tional Conditions Aileage c Act (HTA) or Vehicle Safety Star v standards, specifical Inspection Station (N Health & Safety Asson lith & Safety and Envi | nt plan is based on the foll Indards (CMVSS) ly those that relate to aeria MVS) requirements ciation (IHSA) of Ontario, w ronmental Policies | owing criteria guidelines: al devices and hydraulic eq rhere applicable | uipment |
|---|---------------------------|---|-------------|--|---|---|---|------------------------------------|
| | Economic | Development | | Vehicle purcha | ses contribute to the e | economy by supporting the | e creation and support of | jobs at automakers, car |
| | Environme | ental Benefits | | dealers, parts s New vehicles re | uppliers, and mechan duce emissions, gas c | ical trade workers. consumption | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | 5 | | New Venicles reduce emissions, gas consumption Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. | | | | |
| | Alternative | ⊳ #1 | | N/A | | | | |
| | Alternative | e #2 | | N/A | | | | |
| | Justificatio | on for Recommended Alter | native | N/A | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Co | ompletion and Risk Manage | ement | Vehicle availab Employee and Increasing syste | ility & reliability oublic safety ems outages response | timelines to support custo | omers. | |
| | | | | The reliable, availability and safe fleet operations will also rely on: •Eleet Management as the initiative lead and accountability •SCM Operations Director reviews and approves replacement recommendations •Key management stakeholder support across the organization to define business requirements •Wehicles may be replaced by different models or types based on changes to operations, corporate initiatives and customer requirements. •Somorate Commitment to approve Capital and Operating Budgets required | | | | ments corporate initiatives and |
| | | | | the resources p | lan and required bud | get mitigation efforts | ne planned replacement of | venicies such as changes to |
| | Comparati Historical I | ive Information on Equival Projects (if any) | ent | N/A | | | | |
| | Total Capit | tal and OM&A Costs for Re | newable | 0 | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | nning Objectives Met | S (ii diiy) | Operational Im | provements | | | |
| | 60,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 50,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 30,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 10,000 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 20 | 20 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | 1: \$50,000 | \$0 | \$ | 0 | \$50,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$ | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | |



Project Code 150793 Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-210-09 S/bucket Project Name Major Category General Plant Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Mississauga Service Territory Location Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Fleet Alectra Subcategory 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • Rehicle Age • ■ehicle Total Mileage • Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") •Eanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Unit 210-09 will be a projected 12 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable Safety fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Cyber-Security, Privacy N/A

| | Coordinatio | m, Interoperability | Alectra's fit •Manufact •Malufact •Non-Induit •Wehicle O •Wehicle A •Wehicle To •Bighway 1 •Canadian •All relatec •Motor Ve •Mifrastruc •Corporate | ve-year vehicle replacement uring Standards tandards stry Standards perational Conditions ge tal Mileage Iraffic Act (HTA) Motor Vehicle Safety Stand. I CSA standards, specifically hicle Inspection Station (MV ture Health & Safety Associa Health & Safety and Enviro | plan is based on the follow ards (CMVSS) those that relate to aerial of IS) requirements tition (IHSA) of Ontario, whe nmental Policies | ving criteria guidelines: devices and hydraulic eq ere applicable | uipment | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Economic D | Development | Vehicle | purchases contribute to the | economy by supporting th | e creation and support | of jobs at automakers, car | | |
| | Environmer | ntal Benefits | New vehicl | es reduce emissions, gas co | al trade workers. nsumption | | | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | | Due to t kept in ope been repla costs have delivery an Regular response ti | budget mitigation efforts du aration and rescheduled for ccd within the last five year: increased and the vehicles r d most importantly causing vehicle replacement is nece me and employee production | ring the last few years, a nu replacement in future years. It is now critical that thes no longer operate at full cap potential safety concern fc ssary to avoid undue vehic vity. | umber of vehicles schedu s. As a result, many of A e vehicles be replaced a pacity, reducing vehicle or our employees and th le down and associated | Ided for replacement were ectra vehicles should have s maintenance and repairs availability, impacting service e public. negative impacts to customer | | |
| | Alternative | #1 | N/A | | | | | | |
| | Alternative | #2 | None | | | | | | |
| | Justification | n for Recommended Alterr | native N/a | | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | e Risks to Completion and Risk Management | | | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | | |
| | | | The reliabl • Eleet Mar • SCM Oper • Key mana • Vehicles n customer r • Corporate • 존개 y emer, the resource | e, availability and safe fleet agement as the initiative le- rations Director reviews and gement stakeholder suppor nay be replaced by different equirements. Commitment to approved ging requirements of the org ses plan and required budge | operations will also rely on ad and accountability approves replacement rect t across the organization to models or types based on Capital and Operating Budg ganization may change the tt mitigation efforts | : define business require changes to operations, c gets required planned replacement of | ments orporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to | | |
| | Comparativ Historical P Total Capita | ve Information on Equival rojects (if any) al and OM&A Costs for Rei | ent Operationa | I improvements | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plann | ning Objectives Met | Operationa | l improvements | | | | | |
| | 600,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 500,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 300,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | 1: \$490.000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$490.000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | , | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | 1 | <u> </u> | | · | | |



Project Name

OEB Multi-Project Report

Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- Vans General Plant

150796

| Major Category | General Plant | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Mississauga |
| | Location | |
| | Units | |
| | Broject Class | Pegular |
| | Project class | Negulai |
| | Project Includes R&D | NO |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OFB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| S. General Project mornation (OEB) | | |
| | Pater ID | Data Data Fundad |
| | Rates ID | |
| | Alectra Grouping | Fleet Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Fleet |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital |
| | | budget is requested. |
| | | The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. |
| | | The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: |
| | | •Manufacturing Standards |
| | | •Endustry Standards |
| | | •Non Industry Standards |
| | | |
| | | • Mehicle Total Mileage |
| | | •Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") |
| | | •Øanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) |
| | | •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment |
| | | Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements |
| | | •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable |
| | | Eorporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies |
| | | A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Units 511-14,524-13, 525-13, 533-13 will be a projected 7-8 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, 511-14,524-13, 525-13, 533-13 , will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. |
| | Main Driver - General Plant Priority and Reasons for Priority | Capital Investment Support Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety Cyber-Security, Privacy | response time and employee productivity. N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. N/A |
| | | |

| | Coordinatio | on, Interoperability | | Alectra's five- •Manufacturi •Mon-Industry •Mon-Industry •Wehicle Open •Wehicle Open •Wehicle Tota •Bighway Tra •Canadian M •All related C •Motor Vehic •Bifrastructur •Corporate H | year vehicle replacemer ng Standards udards y Standards ational Conditions Mileage ffic Act (HTA) tor Vehicle Safety Stan SA standards, specificall le Inspection Station (M e Health & Safety Assoc ealth & Safety and Envir | dards (CMVSS) y those that relate to aeria IVIS) requirements iation (IHSA) of Ontario, w onmental Policies | lowing criteria guidelines: al devices and hydraulic equ vhere applicable | uipment | |
|---|---|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| | Economic I | Development | | Vehicle purch | ases contribute to the e | conomy by supporting th | e creation and support of j | obs at automakers, car | |
| | Environme | ntal Benefits | | dealers, parts New vehicles | suppliers, and mechani reduce emissions, gas c | ical trade workers. onsumption | | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | | | Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. | | | | | |
| | Alternative | #1 | | N/A | | | | | |
| | Alternative | #2 | | N/A | | | | | |
| | Justification | n for Recommended Alterr | native | N/A | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Co | mpletion and Risk Manage | ment | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | | |
| | | | | The reliable, a •Eleet Manag •SCM Operati •Key manage •Nehicles man customer req | vailability and safe flee ement as the initiative I ons Director reviews an ment stakeholder suppor / be replaced by differer uirements. | t operations will also rely ead and accountability d approves replacement r ort across the organization nt models or types based o | on: ecommendations to define business requirer on changes to operations, c | ments orporate initiatives and | |
| | | | | •£orporate Co •≜ny emergin the resources | ommitment to approved g requirements of the o plan and required budg | d Capital and Operating Bu rganization may change th get mitigation efforts | Jdgets required he planned replacement of | vehicles such as changes to | |
| | Comparativ | ve Information on Equival | ent | Operational in | nprovements | | | | |
| | Historical P Total Capit Energy Ger | Projects (if any) al and OM&A Costs for Ren neration portion of Projects | newable s (if any) | 0 | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | ning Objectives Met | | Operational In | nprovements | | | | |
| | 250,000 - | , | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 150,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 50,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | l: \$216,000 | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$216,000 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | |



OEB Multi-Project Report

150797

| Project Name | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- SUV | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Major Category | General Plant | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory Location | Mississauga |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Fleet Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Fleet |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: •Manufacturing Standards |
| | | Hon Industry Standards Non Industry Standards |
| | | Rehicle Operational Conditions |
| | | • Mehicle Age |
| | | •Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") |
| | | Eanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) |
| | | •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment |
| | | Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements Plactrical & Itility Safety Acception ("EXUSA Bula Book") where applicable |
| | | Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies |
| | | A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Units 526-14, 576-14, 582-14 will be a projected 7 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. |
| | Main Driver - General Plant Priority and Reasons for Priority | Capital Investment Support Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety | N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | N/A |

| | Coordination, I | nteroperability | Alectra's fi Manufact Bindustry S Non-Indu Wehicle O Wehicle A Bighway Canadian Bighway Canadian Binfastruc Corporate | ve-year vehicle replacemen uring Standards itandards stry Standards perational Conditions ge tal Mileage Iraffic Act (HTA) Motor Vehicle Safety Stand d CSA standards, specifically hicle Inspection Station (M ture Health & Safety Associ Health & Safety and Enviro | t plan is based on the follow lards (CMVSS) t those that relate to aerial of /IS) requirements ation (IHSA) of Ontario, who onmental Policies | ving criteria guidelines: devices and hydraulic eq ere applicable | uipment | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| | Economic Deve | lopment | Vehicle | purchases contribute to the | e economy by supporting th | e creation and support | of jobs at automakers, car | | |
| | Environmental | Benefits | dealers, pa New vehic | rts suppliers, and mechanic es reduce emissions, gas co | cal trade workers. Insumption | | | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | | Due to l kept in op been repla costs have delivery ar Regular response t | Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to custome response time and employee productivity. | | | | | |
| | Alternative #1 | | N/A | | | | | | |
| | Alternative #2 | | None | | | | | | |
| | Justification for | Recommended Alterr | native N/a | | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | | | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | | |
| | | | The reliabl •Eleet Mar •SCM Ope •Key mana | e, availability and safe fleet hagement as the initiative le rations Director reviews and gement stakeholder suppor | operations will also rely on ead and accountability d approves replacement rec rt across the organization to | : ommendations o define business require | ments | | |
| | | | •⊠ehicles r customer n •©orporate •ªny emer the resour | nay be replaced by different equirements. • Commitment to approved ging requirements of the or ces plan and required budge | t models or types based on Capital and Operating Buda ganization may change the et mitigation efforts | changes to operations, o gets required planned replacement of | corporate initiatives and | | |
| | Comparative In Historical Proje Total Capital ar | formation on Equivalences (if any) nd OM&A Costs for Ren | ent Operationa | al improvements | | | | | |
| | Energy Generat | tion portion of Projects | s (it any) | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Planning | Objectives Met | Operationa | al improvements | | | | | |
| | 120,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 80,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 60,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submittee | I: \$102,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$102,000 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | |



Project Code 150798 Fleet Central South Vehicle Replacement- Arrowboard Project Name Major Category General Plant Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Mississauga Location Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Alectra Subcategory Fleet 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year equipment are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The equipment replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Non Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • Rehicle Age • ■ehicle Total Mileage • Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") •Eanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies A "first pass" screening process is used based on equipment age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same type of equipment or a completely different type of equipment based on usability. Units 90511 will be a projected 10 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of equipment availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Equipment availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, equipment scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that this equipment be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the equipment is no longer operate at full capacity, reducing equipment reliability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of equipment that have surpass there life spend. Regular equipment replacement is necessary to avoid unduedown and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) N/A Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable equipment, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' Safety employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Cyber-Security, Privacy N/A

| | Coordinati | on, Interoperability | | Alectra's five-year vehicle replacement plan is based on the following criteria guidelines: •Manufacturing Standards •Mon-Industry Standards •Wehicle Operational Conditions •Wehicle Total Mileage •Wehicle Total Mileage •Bighway Traffic Act (HTA) •Banadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station (MVIS) requirements •Birfrastructure Health & Safety Association (IHSA) of Ontario, where applicable •Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| | Economic | Development | | Equipme | ent purchases contribute t | o the economy by support | ing the creation and supp | ort of jobs at manufacturers, , |
| | Environme | ental Benefits | | parts suppl N/A | iers, and mechanical trade | e workers. | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo |) | | N/A Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, equipment scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of types of Alectra equipment should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impactin service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. Regular equipment replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. | | | | |
| | Alternative | s #1 | | N/A | | | | |
| | Alternative | 2 #2 | | None | | | | |
| | Justificatio | n for Recommended Alter | native | N/a | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Co | mpletion and Risk Manag | ement | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | |
| | | | | The reliable, availability and safe fleet operations will also rely on: •Eleet Management as the initiative lead and accountability •SCM Operations Director reviews and approves replacement recommendations •Key management stakeholder support across the organization to define business requirements | | | | ments |
| | | | | •聞ehicles n customer n •ඞorporate •亂ny emerg the resourc | nay be replaced by differen equirements. • Commitment to approve ging requirements of the c use plan and required bud | nt models or types based o d Capital and Operating Bu rganization may change th get mitigation efforts | n changes to operations, c dgets required e planned replacement of | orporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to |
| | Comparati | ve Information on Equiva | lent | Operationa | l improvements | | | |
| | Historical F Total Capit Energy Ger | Projects (if any) cal and OM&A Costs for Re neration portion of Projec | enewable ts (if any) | 0 | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | ning Objectives Met | | Operationa | l improvements and safet | Ŷ | | |
| | 14,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 12,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 10,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 8,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 6,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 4,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 2,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | 2019 | 20 |)20 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Actuals: \$0 | : \$12,000 | \$0 | ç | 50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$12,000 | \$0 |
| | | ŞU | Ş | | ŞU | ŞU | ŞU | ŞU |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | |



Project Name

OEB Multi-Project Report

150800

Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- trailer

| wajor Category | General Plant | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Mississauga |
| | Units | |
| | Durited Class | Des les |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology Component | No |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | NO |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Fleet Renewal |
| | | Floot |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Every year equipment are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital |
| | , | budget is requested. |
| | | The equipment replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. |
| | | The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: |
| | | •Manufacturing Standards |
| | | Bhdustry Standards |
| | | Non Industry Standards |
| | | Mehicle Operational Conditions |
| | | • Wehicle Age |
| | | • Wenicle lotal Wileage |
| | | • 回gnway Iranic Act (ロロ) ● 図ののはない Motor Vabide Safety Standards ("CMV/SS) |
| | | • salina land motor version specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment |
| | | • Notor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements |
| | | - Rectrical & Utility Safety Association ("F&USA Rule Book") where applicable |
| | | •Eorporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies |
| | | A "first pass" screening process is used based on equipment age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same type of equipment or a completely different type of equipment based on usability. Units 942-06 will be a projected 15 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of equipment availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. |
| | Main Driver - General Plant | Capital Investment Support |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Equipment availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, equipment scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that this equipment be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the equipment is no longer operate at full capacity, reducing equipment reliability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of equipment that have surpass there life spend. Regular equipment replacement is necessary to avoid unduedown and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | N/A |
| | Safety | Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable equipment, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | N/A |
| | | |

| | Coordinat | ion, Interoperability | | Alectra's fix •Manufactt •Bhdustry S •Non-Indus •Wehicle Op •Wehicle To •Bighway T •Canadian •All related •Motor Vel •Bhfrastruct | re-year vehicle replacemen uring Standards tandards stry Standards perational Conditions (e tal Mileage raffic Act (HTA) Motor Vehicle Safety Stan CSA standards, specificall nicle Inspection Station (M ure Health & Safety Assoc Health & Safety and Envir | tt plan is based on the folic dards (CMVSS) y those that relate to aerial VIS) requirements iation (IHSA) of Ontario, wi onmental Policies | wing criteria guidelines: I devices and hydraulic equ nere applicable | Jipment |
|---|------------------------|--|--------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|
| | Economic | Development | | Equipme | ent purchases contribute to | o the economy by supporti | ng the creation and suppo | ort of jobs at manufacturers, , |
| | Fnvironm | ental Benefits | | parts suppl N/A | iers, and mechanical trade | e workers. | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Qu | 0 | | Due to b operation a have been repairs cost service deli Regular customer re | udget mitigation efforts d and rescheduled for replace replaced within the last fiv s have increased and the v very and most importantly equipment replacement is esponse time and employe | uring the last few years, eq ement in future years. As a re years. It is now critical th vehicles no longer operate y causing potential safety c necessary to avoid undue se productivity. | uipment scheduled for reg result, many of types of A lat these vehicles be replac at full capacity, reducing v oncern for our employees vehicle down and associat | placement were kept in Jectra equipment should ced as maintenance and ehicle availability, impacting and the public. led negative impacts to |
| | Alternativ | e #1 | | N/A | | | | |
| | Alternativ | re #2 | | None | | | | |
| | Justificati | on for Recommended Alte | rnative | N/a | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to C | ompletion and Risk Mana | gement | Vehicle ava Employee a Increasing | ilability & reliability Ind public safety systems outages response | timelines to support custo | mers. | |
| | | | | Beet Man SCM Oper ScM Oper ScM oper Scey manage Wehicles m customer ri Corporate May emerge the resource | , availability and safe field agement as the initiative li ations Director reviews an gement stakeholder suppo nay be replaced by differen equirements. Commitment to approvec ging requirements of the o es plan and required budg | t operations will also rely o ead and accountability d approves replacement re nt across the organization t at models or types based or d Capital and Operating Bud rganization may change the get mitigation efforts | n: commendations co define business requirer n changes to operations, co dgets required e planned replacement of | nents orporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to |
| | Comparat Historical | ive Information on Equiva Projects (if any) | alent | Operationa | l improvements | | | |
| | Total Cap Energy Ge | ital and OM&A Costs for R eneration portion of Projec | enewable cts (if any) | 0 | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Pla | nning Objectives Met | | Operationa | l improvements and safety | Ŷ | | |
| | 45,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 35,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 30,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 25,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 15,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 10,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 5,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | | 1 | | | | | |
| | . 640.000 | 2019 | 20 |)20 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| = 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | : \$40,000 | \$0 | | 50 | \$40,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | ļ ; | 5U | \$0 | \$0 | ŞÜ | ŞU |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | |



| utilities | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| Project Code | 150803 | |
| Project Name | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement- Mate | rial Handler |
| Major Category | General Plant | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Mississauga |
| | Location | |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Fleet Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Fleet |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. |
| | | The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. |
| | | The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: •Manufacturing Standards |
| | | Bindustry Standards |
| | | •Non Industry Standards |
| | | Behicle Operational Conditions |
| | | •@enicle Age •@ehicle Total Mileage |
| | | •Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") |
| | | Danadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) |
| | | All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements |
| | | Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable |
| | | Eorporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies |
| | | A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Unit 105-09 will be a projected 13 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. |
| | Main Driver - General Plant Priority and Reasons for Priority | Capital Investment Support Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety | N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | N/A |
| | | |

| | Coordinati | on, Interoperability | Alectra's fiv •Manufact: •Bhdustry St •Non-Indus •Wehicle Ag •Wehicle To •Bighway T •Canadian I •All related •Motor Veh •Bhfrastruct •Corporate | e-year vehicle replacement rring Standards andards erational Conditions e al Mileage affic Act (HTA) Wotor Vehicle Safety Stand CSA standards, specifically icle Inspection Station (MW ure Health & Safety Associa Health & Safety and Enviro | ards (CMVSS) those that relate to aerial (S) requirements ation (IHSA) of Ontario, wh nmental Policies | wing criteria guidelines: devices and hydraulic equ ere applicable | ipment | |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| | Economic | Development | Vehicle p dealers, par | ourchases contribute to the ts suppliers, and mechanic | economy by supporting the al trade workers. | ne creation and support of | of jobs at automakers, car | |
| | Environme | ntal Benefits | New vehicle | es reduce emissions, gas co | nsumption | | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quc | , | Due to b kept in open been replac costs have i delivery and Regular v response tin | udget mitigation efforts du ration and rescheduled for ed within the last five year norcreased and the vehicles I most importantly causing rehicle replacement is nece me and employee production | rring the last few years, a n replacement in future yea s. It is now critical that the no longer operate at full cc potential safety concern f essary to avoid undue vehi vity. | umber of vehicles schedu rs. As a result, many of Al se vehicles be replaced as spacity, reducing vehicle a or our employees and the cle down and associated r | led for replacement were ectra vehicles should have s maintenance and repairs vailability, impacting service public. legative impacts to customer | |
| | Alternative | : #1 | N/A | | | | | |
| | Alternative | e #2 | None | | | | | |
| | Justificatio | n for Recommended Alter | native N/a | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | | | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | |
| | | | The reliable •Eleet Man. •SCM Oper •Key manag •Sehicles m customer re | availability and safe fleet agement as the initiative le ations Director reviews and gement stakeholder suppor ay be replaced by different equirements. | operations will also rely or ad and accountability I approves replacement rec t across the organization to models or types based on Capital and Operating Bud | n: commendations o define business requirer changes to operations, co | nents orporate initiatives and | |
| | | | •Any emergether the resource | ing requirements of the org | ganization may change the et mitigation efforts | planned replacement of | vehicles such as changes to | |
| | Comparati Historical F Total Capit Energy Ger | ve Information on Equival Projects (if any) ral and OM&A Costs for Re neration portion of Project | ent Operationa newable 0 s (if any) | improvements | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | ning Objectives Met | Operationa | improvements | | | | |
| | 600,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 500,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 300,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 200.000 | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2012 | 2000 | 2021 | 2022 | 2022 | 2021 | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | I· \$550 000 | 2019 ¢n | 2020 ¢n | 2021 ¢n | 2022 ¢n | 2023 ¢n | 2024 | |
| Actuals: \$0 | . ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | · · · | |



Project Code 150807 Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-209-09 S/bucket Project Name Major Category General Plant Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Mississauga Service Territory Location Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Fleet Alectra Subcategory 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • Rehicle Age • ■ehicle Total Mileage • Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") •Eanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Unit 209-09 will be a projected 13 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable Safety fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Cyber-Security, Privacy N/A

| | Coordinati | on, Interoperability | Alectra's fi Manufac Phoustry : Non-Indu Wehicle C Wehicle A Bighway Canadian All relate Motor Ve Bifrastru Corporate | ve-year vehicle replacemen uring Standards sitandards sitry Standards perational Conditions ge otal Mileage Traffic Act (HTA) Motor Vehicle Safety Stand I CSA standards, specifically hicle Inspection Station (MM ture Health & Safety Associ e Health & Safety and Enviro | t plan is based on the follo lards (CMVSS) those that relate to aerial I/S) requirements ation (IHSA) of Ontario, wh onmental Policies | wing criteria guidelines: devices and hydraulic equ ere applicable | ipment | |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| | Economic I | Development | Vehicle dealers, pa New vehic | purchases contribute to the irts suppliers, and mechanic les reduce emissions, gas co | e economy by supporting the cal trade workers. | he creation and support of | of jobs at automakers, car | |
| S. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo |) | Due to kept in op been repla costs have delivery ar Regular response t | undget mitigation efforts du eration and rescheduled for ced within the last five year increased and the vehicles d most importantly causing vehicle replacement is necc ime and employee producti | ring the last few years, a n replacement in future yea s. It is now critical that the no longer operate at full ca potential safety concern f assary to avoid undue vehic vity. | umber of vehicles schedu rs. As a result, many of Al- se vehicles be replaced as apacity, reducing vehicle a or our employees and the cle down and associated r | led for replacement were ectra vehicles should have maintenance and repairs vailability, impacting service public. egative impacts to customer | |
| | Alternative | 2 #1 | N/A | | | | | |
| | Alternative | e #2 n for Recommended Alter | None N/a | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | | | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | |
| | | | The reliabl •Eleet Mai •SCM Ope •Eey man | e, availability and safe fleet nagement as the initiative le rations Director reviews and gement stakeholder suppor | operations will also rely or ad and accountability I approves replacement rec t across the organization to | n: commendations o define business requirer | nents | |
| | | | ●型ehicles i customer ●©orporat ●ªny emen the resour | may be replaced by different equirements. E Commitment to approved ging requirements of the or ces plan and required budge | t models or types based on Capital and Operating Bud ganization may change the et mitigation efforts | i changes to operations, co Igets required I planned replacement of | orporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to | |
| | Comparati | ve Information on Equival | ent N/A | | | | | |
| | Historical F Total Capit | Projects (if any) al and OM&A Costs for Re peration portion of Project | newable 0 s (if any) | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | ning Objectives Met | Operation | al improvements | | | | |
| | 600,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 500,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 300,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submittee | 1: \$530,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$530,000 | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | |



OEB Multi-Project Report

150810

Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Step Vans General Plant

| Project Name | Elect Central South Vehicle Replacement-Step V | /ans |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| Major Category | General Plant | |
| Conorio | 2010 2024 EINAL DED Submitted | |
| Project Overview | 2019-2024 - TINAL DSF Sublittled | |
| | Constant Transferra | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory Location | Mississauga |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology Component | No |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Fleet Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Fleet |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: •Manufacturing Standards •Manufuxtry Standards •Wehicle Operational Conditions |
| | | Wehicle Age Wehicle Total Mileage Wehicle Total Mileage Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") Canadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) Weak Irelated CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies |
| | | A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Unit 316-12 will be a projected 10 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. |
| | Main Driver - General Plant Priority and Reasons for Priority | Capital Investment Support Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety | N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | N/A |
| | | |

| | Coordinati | on, Interoperability | Alectra's fit Manufact Pidaustry S Non-Indu: Schicle OJ Schicle To Pighicle To Pighyay T Canadian All related Motor Vel Pifrastruct Corporate | e-year vehicle replacement uring Standards tandards try Standards ererational Conditions e tal Mileage raffic Act (HTA) Motor Vehicle Safety Stand CSA standards, specifically hicle Inspection Station (MM ure Health & Safety Associ- Health & Safety and Enviro | t plan is based on the follor lards (CMVSS) those that relate to aerial //S) requirements ation (IHSA) of Ontario, wh prmental Policies | wing criteria guidelines: devices and hydraulic equ ere applicable | ipment | |
|---|--------------|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| | Economic | Development | Vehicle | ourchases contribute to the | e economy by supporting th | ne creation and support | of jobs at automakers, car | |
| | Environme | ntal Benefits | dealers, pa New vehicl | rts suppliers, and mechanic es reduce emissions, gas co | al trade workers. | | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | , | Due to b kept in ope been replar costs have delivery an Regular response ti | udget mitigation efforts du ration and rescheduled for red within the last five year ncreased and the vehicles d most importantly causing vehicle replacement is nece me and employee producti | uring the last few years, a n replacement in future years s. It is now critical that the no longer operate at full ca potential safety concern f assary to avoid undue vehic vity. | umber of vehicles schedu rs. As a result, many of Al se vehicles be replaced a upacity, reducing vehicle a or our employees and the cle down and associated n | Ied for replacement were ectra vehicles should have s maintenance and repairs availability, impacting service p public. negative impacts to customer | |
| | Alternative | : #1 | N/A | | | | | |
| | Alternative | : #2 | None | | | | | |
| | Justificatio | n for Recommended Alter | native N/a | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Co | mpletion and Risk Manage | ement Vehicle ava Employee a Increasing | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | |
| | | | The reliable •Eleet Man •SCM Oper •Key mana | e, availability and safe fleet agement as the initiative le ations Director reviews and gement stakeholder suppor | operations will also rely or ad and accountability approves replacement rec t across the organization to | n: commendations o define business requirer | nents | |
| | | | •ছehicles n customer r •Eorporate •Any emer the resourc | nay be replaced by different equirements. Commitment to approved ging requirements of the or es plan and required budge | t models or types based on Capital and Operating Bud ganization may change the et mitigation efforts | changes to operations, c gets required planned replacement of | orporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to | |
| | Comparati | ve Information on Equival | ent N/A | | | | | |
| | Historical F | Projects (if any) | newable 0 | | | | | |
| | Energy Ger | neration portion of Project | s (if any) | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | ning Objectives Met | Operationa | l improvements | | | | |
| | 250,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 150.000 | | | | | | | |
| | 130,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 50,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | l: \$235,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$235,000 | \$0 | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | |



Project Code Project Name

OEB Multi-Project Report

150811

Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Pick ups

| Major Category | General Plant | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Mississauga |
| | Location | |
| | Unite | |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Fleet Renewal |
| | | Fleet |
| | Alectra Subcategory | |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital |
| | | budget is requested. |
| | | The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: |
| | | •Manufacturing Standards |
| | | •Industry Standards |
| | | •Non Industry Standards |
| | | Rehicle Operational Conditions |
| | | • Mehicle Age |
| | | Rehicle Total Mileage |
| | | •Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") |
| | | •Eanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) |
| | | All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment |
| | | •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements |
| | | •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable |
| | | Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies |
| | | A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle on a completely different vehicle configuration. Units 416-14, 421-14, 429-14 will be a projected 7- 8 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasin, productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. |
| | Main Driver - General Plant | Capital Investment Support |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control. increased productivity and a contributor to |
| | FIGHTy and reasons for Fighty | positive evaluation of section of keeping operational cost in controls, increase productivity and a controlation of positive engloyee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to custome response time and employee productivity. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | N/A |
| | Safety | Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | N/A |
| | | |

| | Coordination, Interoperability | Alectra's fiv •Mnanufact •Mndustry St •Non-Indus •Vehicle Op •Vehicle Tof •Bighway Tr •Elanadian I •All related •Motor Veh •Bifrastruct •Elorporate | e-year vehicle replacemen ring Standards andards erational Conditions e affic Act (HTA) Aotor Vehicle Safety Stand CSA standards, specifically icle Inspection Station (MV ure Health & Safety Associa Health & Safety and Envirc | t plan is based on the follov lards (CMVSS) t those that relate to aerial o //S) requirements ation (IHSA) of Ontario, who nmental Policies | wing criteria guidelines: devices and hydraulic equ ere applicable | ipment | |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| | Economic Development | Vehicle r | urchases contribute to the | e economy by supporting th | ne creation and support o | f jobs at automakers, car | |
| | For the second data and the | dealers, par | ts suppliers, and mechanic | al trade workers. | | ,, | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Ouo | New vehicle Due to b | s reduce emissions, gas co udget mitigation efforts du | uring the last few years, a nu | umber of vehicles schedul | led for replacement were | |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | kept in open been replac costs have i delivery and Regular v response tir | adjust minigation for a dealer attorn and rescheduled for ed within the last five year screased and the vehicles I most importantly causing ehicle replacement is nece ne and employee producti | replacement in future year rs. It is now critical that the no longer operate at full ca g potential safety concern for essary to avoid undue vehic ivity. | s. As a result, many of Ale se vehicles be replaced as pacity, reducing vehicle a or our employees and the le down and associated n | certa vehicles should have maintenance and repairs vailability, impacting service public. egative impacts to customer | |
| | Alternative #1 | N/A | | | | | |
| | Alternative #2 | None | | | | | |
| | Justification for Recommended Alter | native N/a | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | 6. General Information on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management Project/Activity (OEB) | | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | |
| | | The reliable •Eleet Mana •SCM Opera •Key manag | , availability and safe fleet agement as the initiative le tions Director reviews and ement stakeholder suppor | operations will also rely on ead and accountability d approves replacement rec rt across the organization to | i: ommendations o define business requirem | nents | |
| | | ・堅ehicles m customer re ・配orporate ・通ny emerg the resource | ay be replaced by different quirements. Commitment to approved ing requirements of the or ss plan and required budge | t models or types based on Capital and Operating Budg ganization may change the et mitigation efforts | changes to operations, co gets required planned replacement of v | orporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to | |
| | Comparative Information on Equival | opt N/A | | | | | |
| | Historical Projects (if any) | ent N/A | | | | | |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Re | newable 0 | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Planning Objectives Met | Operational | improvements | | | | |
| | 180,000 | | | | | | |
| | 160,000 | | | | | | |
| | 140,000 | | | | | | |
| | 120,000 | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 | | | | | | |
| | 80,000 | | | | | | |
| | 60,000 | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 | | | | | | |
| | 0 | 2020 | 2024 | 2022 | 2022 | 2024 | |
| 2019-2024 - EINIAL DCD Submittee | 2019 | 2020 ¢n | 2021 ćn | 2022 \$156.000 | 2023 | 2024 ćn | |
| Actuals: \$0 | λ. φ±30,000 \$0 ¢∩ | ο ο ο | ېں دم | \$100,000 | ο ο ο | ېن \$0 | |
| | νç | νų | νų | νç | υÇ | <u>ب</u> | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | |



OEB Multi-Project Report

150812 Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Vans

| Project Name | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Vans | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| Major Category | General Plant | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory Location Units Devicet Class | Mississauga |
| | Project Class | Ne |
| | Tochnology Broject or bac Tochnology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| , , , | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Fleet Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Aetra subargory Project Summary | Freet Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: •Manufacturing Standards •Mon Industry Standards •Non Industry Standards •Non Industry Standards •Non Industry Standards •Non Industry Standards •Nehicle Operational Conditions •Nehicle Operational Conditions •Nehicle Age •Nehicle Total Mileage •Nehicle Total Mileage •Nehicle Total Mileage •Nehicle Total Mileage •Nehicle Total Mileage •Nehicle Total Mileage •Nehicle Standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment •Notor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements •Netor Vehicle Inspection Station ("KVISS) •Nehicle Stata Aradra, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment •Notor Vehicle Inspection Station ("KVIS") requirements •Notor Vehicle Inspection Station ("KUSA Rule Book") where applicable •Eorporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Units 514-14, 527-15, 539-15 will be a projected 7-8 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challengin |
| | Main Driver - General Plant Priority and Reasons for Priority Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety | Capital Investment Support Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | N/A |
| | | |

| | Coordinati | on, Interoperability | Alectra's fi Manufact endustry S Non-Indu evenicle O evenicle A evenicle T elighway evanadian eAll related evoror Ve enfrastruc evororate | ve-year vehicle replacement uring Standards tandards stry Standards perational Conditions ge tal Mileage fraffic Act (HTA) Motor Vehicle Safety Stand I CSA standards, specifically hicle Inspection Station (MM ture Health & Safety Associ: Health & Safety and Envirc | ards (CMVSS) those that relate to aerial //S) requirements ation (IHSA) of Ontario, wh nmental Policies | wing criteria guidelines: devices and hydraulic equ ere applicable | ipment | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| | Economic | Development | Vehicle pu dealers, pa | rchases contribute to the ec rts suppliers, and mechanic | conomy by supporting the east trade workers. | creation and support of jo | obs at automakers, car | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | ntal senents | New Venici Due to buc in operatio replaced w have incre- delivery an Regular ve response ti | es reauce emissions, gas co get mitigation efforts durin n and rescheduled for repla- ithin the last five years. It is seed and the vehicles no lor d most importantly causing nicle replacement is necess me and employee producti | nsumption g the last few years, a num coement in future years. As now critical that these vel ger operate at full capacit potential safety concern f potential safety concern f ary to avoid undue vehicle vity. | ber of vehicles scheduled a result, many of Alectra hicles be replaced as main y, reducing vehicle availat or our employees and the down and associated neg | for replacement were kept vehicles should have been tenance and repairs costs ility, impacting service public. ative impacts to customer | |
| | Alternative | #1 | N/A | | | | | |
| | Alternative Justificatio | : #2 n for Recommended Alter | N/A native N/A | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | | | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | |
| | | | The reliabl •Eleet Mar •SCM Oper •Eley mana | e, availability and safe fleet agement as the initiative le rations Director reviews and gement stakeholder suppor | operations will also rely or ad and accountability I approves replacement rec t across the organization to | n: commendations o define business requiren | nents | |
| | | | • Wehicles r customer r • Orporate • Any emer the resourc | nay be replaced by different equirements. Commitment to approved ging requirements of the or res plan and required budge | models or types based on Capital and Operating Bud ganization may change the et mitigation efforts | changes to operations, co gets required planned replacement of | orporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to | |
| | Comparati | ve Information on Equival | ent N/A | | | | | |
| | Historical F Total Capit Energy Ger | Projects (if any) al and OM&A Costs for Re neration portion of Project | newable 0 s (if any) | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | ning Objectives Met | Operationa | I Improvements | | | | |
| | 120,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 80,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 60,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submittee | l: \$110,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$110,000 | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | |



Project Code Project Name

OEB Multi-Project Report

150813 Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-SUV

| Major Category | General Plant | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Mississauga |
| | Location | |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Tochnology Project or bas Tochnology | No |
| | Component | NU |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Fleet Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Fleet |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital hudget is requested |
| | | The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Elect Replacement Plan. |
| | | The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: |
| | | •Manufacturing Standards |
| | | Bhdustry Standards |
| | | •Non Industry Standards |
| | | Rehicle Operational Conditions |
| | | • Wehicle Age |
| | | • Mehicle Total Mileage |
| | | •Bighway Iraffic Act ("HIA") •Banadian Mator Vohicle Safety Standards ("CNAVSS) |
| | | •Baladulari Motor Vehicle Salety Statualus (CMVSS) •Bill related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to perial devices and hydraulic equipment |
| | | •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements |
| | | Plectrical & Utility Safety Association ("F&USA Rule Book") where applicable |
| | | • Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies |
| | | A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle on a completely different vehicle configuration. Units 528-15, 529-15, 530-15 will be a projected 7 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasin, productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. |
| | Main Driver - General Plant | Capital Investment Support |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to |
| | | positive employee engagement. |
| | | Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept |
| | | in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been |
| | | replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs |
| | | delivery and most importantly causing notential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget |
| | | required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. |
| | | Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer |
| | | response time and employee productivity. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | N/A |
| | Safety | Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable |
| | | fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required |
| | | to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. |
| | | |
| | Cyber-Security Privacy | Ν/Δ |
| | cyber-becunity, Frivacy | |
| | | |

| | Coordinati Economic I | on, Interoperability Development | Alectra's fi Manufact Broustry S Non-Indu Wehicle O Wehicle A Bighway Eanadian All relater Elorporate Vehicle | ve-year vehicle replacement uring Standards tandards stry Standards perational Conditions ge ital Mileage Traffic Act (HTA) Motor Vehicle Safety Stand I CSA standards, specifically hicle Inspection Station (MA ture Health & Safety Associ- te Health & Safety and Envirce purchases contribute to the | ards (CMVSS) those that relate to aerial //S) requirements ation (IHSA) of Ontario, wh mmental Policies | wing criteria guidelines: devices and hydraulic equ ere applicable he creation and support c | ipment If jobs at automakers, car | | | |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Environme Status Quo | ental Benefits | New vehic Due to l | es reduce emissions, gas co oudget mitigation efforts du | nsumption ring the last few years, a n | umber of vehicles schedu | led for replacement were | | | |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | | kept in op been repla costs have delivery ar Regular response t | kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. | | | | | | |
| | Alternative | 2 #1 | N/A | | | | | | | |
| | Alternative | e #2 | None | | | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Co | mpletion and Risk Manage | ement Vehicle ava Employee Increasing | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | | | |
| | | | The reliabl •Eleet Mar •SCM Ope •Eley mana | e, availability and safe fleet lagement as the initiative le rations Director reviews and gement stakeholder suppor | operations will also rely or ad and accountability I approves replacement rec t across the organization to | n: commendations o define business requiren | nents | | | |
| | | | •⊠ehicles r customer r •©orporate •⊠ny emer the resour | nay be replaced by different equirements. Commitment to approved ging requirements of the or res plan and required budge | models or types based on Capital and Operating Buc ganization may change the tt mitigation efforts | changes to operations, co Igets required planned replacement of v | orporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to | | | |
| | Comparati | ve Information on Equival | ent N/A | N/A | | | | | | |
| | Historical F | Projects (if any) | newable 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| | Energy Ger | neration portion of Project | s (if any) | | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | ning Objectives Met | Operationa | l improvements | | | | | | |
| | 120,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 80,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 60,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | l: \$106,500 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$106,500 | | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | | |



Project Code 150818 Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-236-10 S/bucket Project Name Major Category General Plant Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Project Overview 2. Additional Information Mississauga Service Territory Location Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Alectra Subcategory Fleet 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • Rehicle Age • ■ehicle Total Mileage • Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") •Eanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable •Dorporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Unit 236-10 will be a projected 13 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable Safety fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Cyber-Security, Privacy N/A

| | Coordinati | on, Interoperability | Alectra's fit • Manufact • Manufact • Mon-Indu • Stehicle Aj • Stehicle To • Bighway 1 • Canadian • All relatec • Motor Ve • Motor Ve • Motor Ve | ve-year vehicle replacemen uring Standards tandards stry Standards berational Conditions ge tal Mileage raffic Act (HTA) Motor Vehicle Safety Stand (SSA standards, specifically nicle Inspection Station (M ture Health & Safety Associ Health & Safety and Enviro | t plan is based on the follo lards (CMVSS) those that relate to aerial //S) requirements ation (IHSA) of Ontario, wh nnmental Policies | wing criteria guidelines: devices and hydraulic equ ere applicable | ipment |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Environme | ntal Benefits | dealers, pa New vehicl | rts suppliers, and mechanic es reduce emissions. gas co | al trade workers. | ic creation and support of | n joos at automakers, tai |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | | Due to t kept in ope been repla costs have delivery an Regular response ti | udget mitigation efforts du ration and rescheduled for ced within the last five year increased and the vehicles d most importantly causing vehicle replacement is necc me and employee producti | ring the last few years, a n replacement in future yea s. It is now critical that the no longer operate at full ca potential safety concern f assary to avoid undue vehi vity. | umber of vehicles schedu rs. As a result, many of Ale se vehicles be replaced as apacity, reducing vehicle a or our employees and the cle down and associated n | led for replacement were ectra vehicles should have maintenance and repairs vailability, impacting service public. legative impacts to customer |
| | Alternative | : #1 | N/A | | | | |
| | Alternative | e #2 n for Recommended Alteri | None N/a | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Co | mpletion and Risk Manage | ement Vehicle ava Employee a Increasing | ilability & reliability and public safety systems outages response t | imelines to support custor | ners. | |
| | | | The reliable •Eleet Mar •SCM Oper •Eey mana | e, availability and safe fleet agement as the initiative le ations Director reviews and gement stakeholder suppor | operations will also rely or ead and accountability I approves replacement rec rt across the organization to | n: commendations o define business requiren | nents |
| | | | ●⊠ehicles n customer r ●Corporate ●Any emer, the resource | nay be replaced by different equirements. Commitment to approved ging requirements of the or res plan and required budge | t models or types based on Capital and Operating Bud ganization may change the et mitigation efforts | changes to operations, co gets required planned replacement of v | orporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to |
| | Comparati | ve Information on Equival | ent N/A | | | | |
| | Historical F Total Capit Energy Ger | Projects (if any) al and OM&A Costs for Re neration portion of Project | newable 0 s (if any) | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | ning Objectives Met | Operationa | l improvements | | | |
| | 600,000 - | Y | | | | | |
| | 500,000 - | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 - | | | | | | |
| | 300,000 - | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 - | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | l: \$510,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$510,000 |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | |



OEB Multi-Project Report

150821 Fleet_Central Sc

| Project Name | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Van | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| Major Category | General Plant | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2 Additional Information | Convice Territory | Mississaura |
| | Leastien | Initizizzanka |
| | Location | |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Fleet Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Fleet |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OER) | Project Summany | Eveny year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Elect Replacement Plan and a capital |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra officies riest replacement rian and a capital |
| | | The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. |
| | | The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: |
| | | Manufacturing Standards |
| | | Bndustry Standards |
| | | Industry Standards |
| | | Wehicle Operational Conditions |
| | | • Mehicle Age |
| | | • Menicle Total Milleage |
| | | •Øanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) |
| | | •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment |
| | | •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements |
| | | •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable |
| | | Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies |
| | | A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle o a completely different vehicle configuration. Units 537-16 will be a projected 7 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, 537-16, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. |
| | Main Driver - General Plant Priority and Reasons for Priority | Capital Investment Support Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. |
| | | N1/A |
| | Safaty | Whicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Brouiding and maintaining a sefe and which is |
| | Sarety | venuces are the workplace for over buts of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | N/A |
| | | |

| | Coordinat | ion, Interoperability | | Alectra's five • Manufactu • Madustry St • Non-Indust • Wehicle Op • Wehicle Age • Wehicle Tot • Bighway Tr • Canadian N • All related • Motor Veh • Brifrastructt • Corporate | e-year vehicle replacemer ring Standards andards erational Conditions e affic Act (HTA) Aotor Vehicle Safety Stan CSA standards, specificall icle Inspection Station (M ure Health & Safety Assoc Health & Safety and Envir | nt plan is based on the foll dards (CMVSS) y those that relate to aeria VIS) requirements iation (IHSA) of Ontario, w onmental Policies | owing criteria guidelines: al devices and hydraulic eq rhere applicable | uipment | |
|---|---|------------------------------|------------|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| | Economic | Development | | Vehicle purc | hases contribute to the e | conomy by supporting the | e creation and support of | jobs at automakers, car | |
| | Environme | ental Benefits | | dealers, par New vehicle | ts suppliers, and mechani s reduce emissions, gas c | ical trade workers. onsumption | | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Qu | 0 | | Due to budg in operation replaced with have increas delivery and Regular vehin response tin | et mitigation efforts duri and rescheduled for repi thin the last five years. It ised and the vehicles no lo most importantly causin icle replacement is necess he and employee product | ng the last few years, a nu lacement in future years. A is now critical that these v inger operate at full capac go potential safety concern sary to avoid undue vehicl tivity. | mber of vehicles schedule As a result, many of Alectra ehicles be replaced as mai ity, reducing vehicle availa for our employees and th e down and associated ne | d for replacement were kept a vehicles should have been intenance and repairs costs biblity, impacting service e public. gative impacts to customer | |
| | Alternativ | e #1 | | N/A | | | | | |
| | Alternativ | e #2 | | N/A | | | | | |
| | Justificatio | on for Recommended Alter | native | N/A | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | | | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | | |
| | | | | The reliable, •Eleet Mana •SCM Opera •Key manag •Vehicles m customer re •Corporate •Any emerg the resource | availability and safe flee gement as the initiative I titons Director reviews an ement stakeholder support ay be replaced by differer quirements. Commitment to approved ing requirements of the o es plan and required budg | t operations will also rely ead and accountability d approves replacement r nt across the organization at models or types based of d Capital and Operating Bu rganization may change th get mitigation efforts | on: ecommendations to define business require on changes to operations, o idgets required he planned replacement of | ments corporate initiatives and f vehicles such as changes to | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent | | | N/A | | | | | |
| | Total Capi | ital and OM&A Costs for Re | newable | 0 | | | | | |
| 7 Catagony Specific Requirements for Each | Other Plan | eneration portion of Project | s (ir any) | Operational | Improvements | | | | |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | other Ha | ining objectives met | | operational | mprovements | | | | |
| | 60,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 50,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 30,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 10.000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 10,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 20 | 020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submittee | l: \$55,000 | \$0 | \$ | 50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$55,000 | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$ | 60 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | |



Project Code 150831 Fleet West Vehicle Replacement SUVs 1-268,1-226,1-227 Project Name Major Category General Plant Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Project Overview 2. Additional Information Service Territory Hamilton Location Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Fleet Alectra Subcategory 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • Rehicle Age • ■ehicle Total Mileage • Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") •Eanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Units 1-268, 1-226, 1-227 will be a projected 13 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable Safety fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Cyber-Security, Privacy N/A

| | Coordinatio | on, Interoperability | | Alectra's fiv •Manufactu •Industry Si •Non-Indus •Vehicle Op •Vehicle Op •Vehicle To •Vehicle To •Eighway T •Canadian •All related •Motor Veh •Infrastruct •Corporate | e-year vehicle replacement rring Standards andards try Standards erational Conditions e tal Mileage affic Act (HTA) Wotor Vehicle Safety Stand CSA standards, specifically icle Inspection Station (MW ure Health & Safety Associa Health & Safety and Enviro | r plan is based on the follo ards (CMVSS) those that relate to aerial (S) requirements ation (IHSA) of Ontario, wh mmental Policies | wing criteria guidelines: I devices and hydraulic equ nere applicable | ipment |
|---|--|--|-------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Economic I Environmen Status Quo | Development ntal Benefits | | Vehicle p dealers, par New vehicle Due to b kept in ope been replac costs have i delivery and Regular response tim | surchases contribute to the ts suppliers, and mechanic is reduce emissions, gas co udget mitigation efforts du ation and rescheduled for ed within the last five year ncreased and the vehicles i d most importantly causing ehicle replacement is nece me and employee producti | economy by supporting t al trade workers. nsumption ring the last few years, a r replacement in future yee s. It is now critical that the no longer operate at full c potential safety concern ssary to avoid undue vehi vity. | he creation and support of number of vehicles schedu rs. As a result, many of Al ese vehicles be replaced as apacity, reducing vehicle a for our employees and the icle down and associated r | of jobs at automakers, car led for replacement were ectra vehicles should have s maintenance and repairs availability, impacting service e public. negative impacts to customer |
| | Alternative | #1 | | Replacemen Light Duty V Replacemen Medium Du | nt Assessment Criteria - /ehicles: Assessed at 7 year nt schedule: at 7 years, (150 ty Vehicles:Assessed at 10 | s and every year after, an 0,000 km). years and every year after | d/or high mileage (excess , and/or high mileage (exc | of 150,000 km) ess of 250,000 km) |
| | | | | Replacement Heavy Duty engine hou | tt schedule: at 10 years, (2 Vehicles: Assessed at 12-γα rs (excess of 12,000 engine | 50,000 km). ear service, and every yea hours) | r after, and/or high mileag | e (excess of 500,000 km) High |
| | Alternative Justification | #2 n for Recommended Alter | native | None N/a | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Co | mpletion and Risk Manag | ement | Vehicle ava Employee a Increasing s | lability & reliability nd public safety ystems outages response t | imelines to support custo | mers. | |
| | | | | The reliable •Eleet Man •SCM Oper •Eey manag | , availability and safe fleet agement as the initiative le ations Director reviews and gement stakeholder suppor | operations will also rely o ad and accountability approves replacement re t across the organization t | n: commendations ro define business requirer | nents |
| | | | | ・配ehicles m customer re ・匹orporate ・風ny emerg the resourc | ay be replaced by different equirements. Commitment to approved ing requirements of the on es plan and required budge | models or types based or Capital and Operating Buc ganization may change the et mitigation efforts | n changes to operations, c dgets required e planned replacement of | orporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to |
| | Comparativ Historical P | ve Information on Equiva rojects (if any) | lent | N/ARFP pro Historical sp | cessed will be followed for bend tracked from all regio | best value. ns | | |
| | Total Capita Energy Gen | al and OM&A Costs for Re eration portion of Project | enewable ts (if any) | 0 | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Planı | ning Objectives Met | | Operationa | improvements | | | |
| | 140,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 120,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 80,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 60,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2 | 020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Actuals: \$0 | :\$120,000 | \$0 \$0 | \$12 | 0,000 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | ŞU | I | γJ | ŞU | <u>ن</u> چ | ĻΟ | υç |
| Concerncy score is in literal | | | | | | | | |





Project Name

OEB Multi-Project Report

150843

Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Bocat

| Major Category | General Plant | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory Location | Mississauga |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Class | No |
| | Project includes R&D | NO |
| | Technology Project of has Technology Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Pate Pace Funded |
| | Alester Creuzing | |
| | Alectra Grouping | Field Kenewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Fleet |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Every year equipment are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The equipment replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: •Manufacturing Standards •Mon Industry Standards •Mon Industry Standards •Mon Industry Standards •Wehicle Operational Conditions •Wehicle Total Mileage •Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") •Banadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable •Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies A "first pass" screening process is used based on equipment age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same type of equipment or a completely different type of equipment based on usability. Units 917-08 will be a projected 15 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of equipment availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. |
| | Main Driver - General Plant Priority and Reasons for Priority | Capital Investment Support Equipment availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, equipment scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that this equipment be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the equipment is no longer operate at full capacity, reducing equipment reliability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of equipment that have surpass there life spend. Regular equipment replacement is necessary to avoid unduedown and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety | N/A Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable equipment, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. |
| | | |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | N/A |
| | | |
| | Coordinatio | n, Interoperability | | Alectra's fin Manufact Manufact Non-Indu: | ve-year vehicle replacemer uring Standards tandards stry Standards perational Conditions ge tal Nileage Iraffic Act (HTA) Motor Vehicle Safety Stant I CSA standards, specifically hicle Inspection Station (M ture Health & Safety and Envir | dards (CMVSS) y those that relate to aerial VIS) requirements iation (IHSA) of Ontario, wh onmental Policies | wing criteria guidelines: devices and hydraulic equ ere applicable | Jipment | |
|---|----------------------------|---|-------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| | Economic D | evelopment | | parts suppl | liers, and mechanical trade | e workers. | ig the creation and supp | ort of jobs at manufacturers, , | |
| E. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Environmer | ntal Benefits | | New equip | ment reduce emissions, ga | s consumption | inmont schodulod for ro | alacomont wore kent in | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | | | operation a have been repairs cos service deli Regular customer r | Judget mitigation errors of and rescheduled for replace replaced within the last fiv ts have increased and the very and most importantly equipment replacement is esponse time and employe | uring the last rew years, equ ement in future years. As a re years. It is now critical that vehicles no longer operate a y causing potential safety co necessary to avoid undue v se productivity. | inpment scheduled for re result, many of types of <i>I</i> it these vehicles be repla t full capacity, reducing y ncern for our employees ehicle down and associat | placement were kept in lectra equipment should ced as maintenance and rehicle availability, impacting and the public. ted negative impacts to | |
| | Altornativo | #1 | | N/A | | | | | |
| | Alternative | #1 | | None | | | | | |
| | Justification | for Recommended Alter | rnative | N/a | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Cor | npletion and Risk Manag | ement | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | | |
| | | | | The reliable •Eleet Mar •SCM Oper •Eey mana | e, availability and safe fleet lagement as the initiative la rations Director reviews and gement stakeholder suppo | t operations will also rely or ead and accountability d approves replacement rec rt across the organization to | n: ommendations o define business requirer | nents | |
| | | | | •聲ehicles n customer r •聲orporate •聲ny emer; the resourc | nay be replaced by differen equirements. : Commitment to approvec ging requirements of the o ces plan and required budg | nt models or types based on d Capital and Operating Bud rganization may change the get mitigation efforts | changes to operations, c gets required planned replacement of | orporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to | |
| | Comparativ | e Information on Equiva | lent | N/A | | | | | |
| | Historical Pi | rojects (if any) | ient | N/A | | | | | |
| | Total Capita Energy Gen | al and OM&A Costs for Re eration portion of Projec | enewable ts (if any) | 0 | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plann | ing Objectives Met | | Operationa | I improvements | | | | |
| | 30,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 25,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 15,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 10.000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 5,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | 2019 | 20 |)20 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | 1: \$26,000 | \$0 | Ş | 50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$26,000 | \$0 | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$ | 50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | |



Project Name

OEB Multi-Project Report

150846

Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Step Vans General Plant

Major Category Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Hamilton Location Nebo Rd. Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Fleet Alectra Subcategory 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Non Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • Rehicle Age • ■ehicle Total Mileage • Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") •Eanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Units 1-260, 1-243, 1-371, 1-372 will be a projected 10 to 16 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, 1-260, 1-243, 1-371, 1-372, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable Safety fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Cyber-Security, Privacy N/A

| | Coordinati | on, Interoperability | | Alectra's fiv Manufactu Shdustry SI Non-Indus Wehicle Op Wehicle Ag Wehicle To Bighway T Canadian MI related Motor Vef Shfrastruct Corporate | e-year vehicle replacement iring Standards andards try Standards rerational Conditions e tal Mileage raffic Act (HTA) Motor Vehicle Safety Stand CSA standards, specifically icle Inspection Station (MV ure Health & Safety Asoci Health & Safety and Enviro | t plan is based on the follo lards (CMVSS) those that relate to aerial //S) requirements ation (IHSA) of Ontario, wh pomental Policies | wing criteria guidelines: devices and hydraulic equ nere applicable | Jipment |
|---|---------------------------|---|------------|--|---|---|---|--|
| | Economic | Development | | Vehicle pur dealers, par | chases contribute to the ec ts suppliers, and mechanic | conomy by supporting the cal trade workers. | creation and support of j | obs at automakers, car |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | | | New vehicles reduce emissions, gas consumption Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should h replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and reps have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting so delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to or response time and employee productivity. | | | | |
| | Alternative | e #1 | | Replacemer Light Duty \ Replacemer | nt Assessment Criteria - /ehicles: Assessed at 7 year nt schedule: at 7 years, (15 | rs and every year after, and 0,000 km). | d/or high mileage (excess | of 150,000 km) |
| | | | | Medium Du Replacemer | ity Vehicles:Assessed at 10 it schedule: at 10 years, (2 | years and every year after, 50,000 km). | and/or high mileage (exc | ess of 250,000 km) |
| | | | | Heavy Duty engine hou | Vehicles: Assessed at 12-yr rs (excess of 12,000 engine | ear service, and every year hours) | r after, and/or high mileag | e (excess of 500,000 km) High |
| | Alternative | e #2 | | N/A | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Co | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | | | ilability & reliability nd public safety ystems outages response t | imelines to support custor | ners. | |
| | | | | The reliable •Eleet Man •SCM Opera •Rey manag | , availability and safe fleet agement as the initiative le ations Director reviews and gement stakeholder suppor | operations will also rely o ead and accountability I approves replacement re t across the organization t | n: commendations o define business requirer | nents |
| | | | | Behicles m customer re Corporate Any emergent the resource | ay be replaced by different equirements. Commitment to approved ing requirements of the or es plan and required budge | t models or types based or Capital and Operating Buc ganization may change the et mitigation efforts | n changes to operations, c Igets required e planned replacement of | orporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to |
| | Comparati Historical I | ve Information on Equivalent Projects (if any) | | N/ARFP pro Historical sp | cessed will be followed for pend tracked from all regio | best value. ns | | |
| | Total Capit Energy Ger | tal and OM&A Costs for Renewa neration portion of Projects (if a | ble ny) | 0 | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | ning Objectives Met | | Operationa | I Improvements | | | |
| | 400,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 350,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 300,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 250,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 150,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 50,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | 2019 | 20 | 20 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | : \$360,000 | \$0 | \$360 | ,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$ | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | |





OEB Multi-Project Report

150853 Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Vans

| Major Category | General Plant | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Mississauga |
| | Location | , |
| | Units | |
| | Depiert Class | Dogular |
| | Project Class | Ne |
| | Project includes R&D | NO |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Fleet Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Fleet |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. |
| | | The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: •Manufacturing Standards |
| | | Bindustry Standards |
| | | Non Industry Standards |
| | | •Menicle Operational Conditions |
| | | •Nehicle Total Mileage |
| | | •Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") |
| | | Banadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) |
| | | All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment |
| | | Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements |
| | | •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable |
| | | •Borporate reaction & Salety and Environmental Policies |
| | | A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Units 508-16.510-16.514-16. 537-16 will be a projected 7 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. |
| | Main Driver - General Plant Priority and Reasons for Priority | Capital Investment Support Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety | N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | N/A |
| | | |

| | Coordinati | on, Interoperability | Alectra's fi Manufact Mathematical Non-Indu Vehicle O Vehicle A Vehicle T Highway Canadian All related Motor Ve Motor Ve Motor Ve | ve-year vehicle replacemen uring Standards tandards try Standards perational Conditions ge tal Mileage raffic Act (HTA) Motor Vehicle Safety Stand I CSA standards, specifically nicle Inspection Station (MV ture Health & Safety Associ Health & Safety and Envirc | t plan is based on the follo lards (CMVSS) those that relate to aerial //S) requirements ation (IHSA) of Ontario, wh onmental Policies | wing criteria guidelines: devices and hydraulic equ ere applicable | ipment | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Economic | Development | Vehicle pu | chases contribute to the ec | conomy by supporting the | creation and support of jo | obs at automakers, car | | |
| | Environme | ntal Benefits | New vehicl | es reduce emissions, gas co | nsumption | | | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | | Due to buc in operatio replaced w have increa delivery an Regular ve response ti | get mitigation efforts durin n and rescheduled for repla ithin the last five years. It is used and the vehicles no lor d most importantly causing nicle replacement is necessa me and employee producti | ig the last few years, a num scement in future years. As now critical that these vel ger operate at full capacit potential safety concern f ary to avoid undue vehicle vity. | nber of vehicles scheduled a result, many of Alectra hicles be replaced as main y, reducing vehicle availat or our employees and the down and associated neg | for replacement were kept vehicles should have been tenance and repairs costs illity, impacting service public. ative impacts to customer | | |
| | Alternative | #1 | N/A | | | | | | |
| | Alternative | #2 | N/A | | | | | | |
| | Justificatio | n for Recommended Alter | native N/A | | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Co | mpletion and Risk Manage | ement Vehicle ava Employee a Increasing | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | | |
| | | | The reliabi •Eleet Mar •SCM Ope •Key mana •Vehicles r customer r •Corporat •Any emer the resour | e, availability and safe fleet agement as the initiative le ations Director reviews and gement stakeholder suppor nay be replaced by different equirements. Commitment to approved ging requirements of the or ses plan and required budge | operations will also rely or rad and accountability I approves replacement rec t across the organization to t models or types based on Capital and Operating Bud ganization may change the et mitigation efforts | 1: commendations o define business requiren changes to operations, co gets required planned replacement of t | nents orporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to | | |
| | Comparati Historical F Total Capit | ve Information on Equival rojects (if any) al and OM&A Costs for Re | ent N/A newable 0 | | | | | | |
| | Energy Ger | neration portion of Project | s (if any) | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | ning Objectives Met | Operationa | l Improvements | | | | | |
| | 250,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 150,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 50,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submittee | l: \$228,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$228,000 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | · | | | · | | |



OEB Multi-Project Report

150854

Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Trailers General Plant

| Prints Name Link Control and Watter Restructured Tables Control 2012 2014 - PNL2 OF Summer 2014 2014 - PNL2 OF Summer 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| Max Corpy Constrained Constrai | Project Name | Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Traile | <u>75</u> |
| Steard 19 125 2014 19 126 2014 19 20 126 2014 19 20 2015 2014 19 2015 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 | Major Category | General Plant | |
| Project Orientowick Service Tentfary Messissing/se 2. Additional Information Service Tentfary Messissing/se Project Class Regular Ke Project Class Regular Ke Project Class Regular Ke Record Project Information (DIII) Contributed Capital OS Ke 1. Genoral Project Information (DIII) Contributed Capital OS Contributed Capital OS 4. Frankation Criteria (DER) Project Class Record Project Information (DIII) Contributed Capital OS 4. Frankation Criteria (DER) Project Class Record Project Information (DIIII) Contributed Capital OS 4. Frankation Criteria (DER) Project Class Record Project Information (DIIIII) Record Project Information (DIIII) Record Project Information (DIIII) 4. Frankation Criteria (DER) Project Class Record Project Information (DIIII) Record Project Information (DIIIII) 4. Frankation Criteria (DER) Project Class Second Project Information (DIIIIII) Record Project Information (DIIIIIII) 4. Frankation Criteria (DER) Project Class Second Project Information (DIIIIIIIIIII) Record Project Information (DIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII | Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| 2. Additional information Latis Lati | Project Overview | | |
| Compared Compared No 3. Semeral Project Information (081) Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 06 1. Semeral Project Information (081) Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 06 1. Acting Ortuging Flack Board Flack Board 1. Project Summary Flack Board Flack Board 1. Standard Flack Board Flack Board | 2. Additional Information | Service Territory Location Units Project Class Project Includes R&D Technology Project or has Technology | Mississauga Regular No |
| 9. General Project Information (048) Contribution Capability Control Control Control 9. Selected Project Information (048) Control Control Rate Social Control 4. Solutation Criteria (048) Project Summary Project Summary 5. Solutation Criteria (048) Project Summary Project Summary 6. Solutation Criteria (048) Project Summary Project Summary 7. Solutation Criteria (048) | | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| A for the Subcreageny Field 4. Civolution Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Level using in Criteria (OEB) Proj | 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital Expenditure Type Rates ID Alectra Grouping | Contributed Capital 0% Controllable Rate Base Funded Fleet Renewal |
| 4. Evaluation Criteris (0EB) Project Summary Every year equipment an existentified for replacement according to Acter a Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan. and a capital budget is repeated. 1. Evaluation Criteris (0EB) Project Summary Every year equipment an existentified for replacement criteria as accurated in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria as accurated in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria as accurated in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria as accurated in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria as accurated in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria as accurated in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria as accurated in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement Criteria as based on the following guidelines: • elevice Derational Conditions • elevice Derational Conditions • elevice Derational Conditions • elev | | Alectra Subcategory | Fleet |
| Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Equipment availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, equipment scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that this equipment reliability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of equipment that have surpass there life spend. Regular equipment replacement is no longer operate at full capacity, reducing equipment reliability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of equipment that have surpass there life spend. Regular equipment replacement is no longer operate at full capacity, reducing equipments to customer response time and employee productivity. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) N/A Safety Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable equipment, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Cyber-Security, Privacy N/A | 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Every year equipment are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The equipment replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: •Manufacturing Standards •Mustry Standards •Mustry Standards •Mehicle Operational Conditions •Wehicle Operational Conditions •Wehicle Operational Conditions •Wehicle Operational Conditions •Wehicle Age •Mehicle Total Mileage •Highway Traffic Act (*HTA") •Canadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (*CMVSS) •Mil related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station (*MVS') requirements •Electrical & Utility Safety Association (*E&USA Rule Book") where applicable •Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies A "first pass" screening process is used based on equipment age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off (*PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit (*BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same type of equipment or a completely different type of equipment based on usability. Units 902-09, 941-09 will be a projected 15 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of equipment availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. |
| Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) N/A Safety Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable equipment, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Cyber-Security, Privacy N/A | | Main Driver - General Plant Priority and Reasons for Priority | Capital Investment Support Equipment availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, equipment scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that this equipment be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the equipment is no longer operate at full capacity, reducing equipment reliability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of equipment that have surpass there life spend. Regular equipment replacement is necessary to avoid unduedown and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. |
| Cyber-Security, Privacy N/A | | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety | N/A Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable equipment, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. |
| Cyper-security, Privacy N/A | | Colora Constrikt, Drive | 1/4 |
| | | Cyber-Security, Privacy | N/A |

| | Coordinatio | n, Interoperability | Alectra's fi Manufact Mathematical Non-Indu Stehicle A Stehicle T Bighway Canadian All related Motor Ve Motor Ve Motor Ve Motor Ve | ve-year vehicle replacement uring Standards tandards stry Standards perational Conditions ge tal Mileage fraffic Act (HTA) Motor Vehicle Safety Stand I CSA standards, specifically hicle Inspection Station (MV ture Health & Safety Associa Health & Safety and Enviro | plan is based on the follow ards (CMVSS) those that relate to aerial of IS) requirements tion (IHSA) of Ontario, whe nmental Policies | ving criteria guidelines: devices and hydraulic equ are applicable | sipment |
|---|---------------|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| | Economic D | evelopment | Equipm | ant nurchases contribute to | the economy by supportin | g the creation and supp | ort of jobs at manufacturers |
| | 200110111010 | | parts supp | liers, and mechanical trade v | workers. | g the creation and supp | |
| E. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Environmer | ital Benefits | N/A | udget mitigation offerts du | ring the last four years, agu | inmont schodulad for ra | alacament were kent in |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | | operation have been repairs cos service del Regular customer r | Judget mitgation errors au and rescheduled for replacet replaced within the last five ts have increased and the ve very and most importantly of equipment replacement is r esponse time and employee | ring the last few years, equ ment in future years. As a r years. It is now critical tha chicles no longer operate a causing potential safety co recessary to avoid undue w productivity. | ipment scheduled for re result, many of types of <i>I</i> t these vehicles be repla t full capacity, reducing y ncern for our employees ehicle down and associat | placement were kept in lectra equipment should ced as maintenance and rehicle availability, impacting and the public. ted negative impacts to |
| | Alternative | #1 | N/A | | | | |
| | Alternative | #2 | None | | | | |
| | Justification | for Recommended Alterr | native N/a | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Cor | npletion and Risk Manage | nd Risk Management Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | |
| | | | The reliabl • 트leet Mar • SCM Ope • Key mana • 당ehicles r customer r • Corporat • 쓰ny emer the resour | e, availability and safe fleet of lagement as the initiative lea rations Director reviews and gement stakeholder support nay be replaced by different equirements. Commitment to approved of ging requirements of the org res plan and required budge | operations will also rely on ad and accountability approves replacement reci- t across the organization to models or types based on Capital and Operating Budg ganization may change the t mitigation efforts | : ommendations define business requirer changes to operations, c gets required planned replacement of | nents orporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Comparativ | e Information on Equivale | ent N/A | | | | |
| | Total Capita | ojects (if any) Il and OM&A Costs for Rer eration portion of Projects | newable 0 | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plann | ing Objectives Met | Operation | I improvements and safety | | | |
| | 200,000 - | | | | | | |
| | 180,000 - | | | | | | |
| | 160,000 - | | | | | | |
| | 140,000 - | | | | | | |
| | 120,000 - | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | |
| | 80,000 - | | | | | | |
| | 60,000 - | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 - | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | l: \$175,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$175,000 |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | · |



Project Code 150868 Project Name Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement-180 Loader Major Category General Plant Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Mississauga Location Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Fleet Alectra Subcategory 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year equipment are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The equipment replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Non Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • Rehicle Age • ■ehicle Total Mileage • Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") •Eanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies A "first pass" screening process is used based on equipment age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same type of equipment or a completely different type of equipment based on usability. Units 180 will be a projected 15 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of equipment availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Equipment availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, equipment scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that this equipment be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the equipment is no longer operate at full capacity, reducing equipment reliability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of equipment that have surpass there life spend. Regular equipment replacement is necessary to avoid unduedown and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) N/A Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable equipment, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' Safety employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Cyber-Security, Privacy N/A

| | Coordinatio | on, Interoperability | | Alectra's fiv •Manufactu •Manufactu •Mon-Indus •Wehicle Og •Wehicle Ag •Wehicle To •Bighway T •Canadian •All related •Motor Vef •Binfrastruct | re-year vehicle replacemen uring Standards tandards try Standards perational Conditions re tal Mileage raffic Act (HTA) Motor Vehicle Safety Stand CSA standards, specifically nicle Inspection Station (M rure Health & Safety Associ Health & Safety and Envirc | t plan is based on the foll lards (CMVSS) t those that relate to aeria //S) requirements ation (IHSA) of Ontario, w onmental Policies | owing criteria guidelines: Il devices and hydraulic equ Ihere applicable | Jipment |
|---|---|---|-----------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Economic I | Development | | Equipme | ent purchases contribute to | the economy by support | ing the creation and supp | ort of jobs at manufacturers, , |
| | Environme | ntal Benefits | | parts suppli New equipr | iers, and mechanical trade ment reduce emissions, gas | workers. s consumption as replace | ment will be fully electrical | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | | | Due to b operation a have been n repairs cost service delit Regular o customer re | udget mitigation efforts du ind rescheduled for replace replaced within the last fivi is have increased and the v very and most importantly equipment replacement is esponse time and employe | uring the last few years, e ement in future years. As e years. It is now critical t rehicles no longer operate causing potential safety in necessary to avoid unduce e productivity. | quipment scheduled for rep a result, many of types of A hat these vehicles be repla- e at full capacity, reducing v concern for our employees e vehicle down and associat | placement were kept in Nectra equipment should ced as maintenance and vehicle availability, impacting and the public. ted negative impacts to |
| | Alternative | #1 | | N/A | | | | |
| | Alternative | #2 | | None | | | | |
| | Justification | n for Recommended Alterr | native | N/a | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Co | mpletion and Risk Manage | ment | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | |
| | | | | The reliable •Eleet Man •SCM Oper •Eey manag | e, availability and safe fleet agement as the initiative le ations Director reviews and gement stakeholder suppor | operations will also rely o ead and accountability approves replacement re rt across the organization | on: ecommendations to define business requirer | nents |
| | | | | •恩ehicles m customer re •⑫orporate •凰ny emerg the resourc | nay be replaced by different equirements. Commitment to approved ging requirements of the or es plan and required budge | t models or types based o Capital and Operating Bu ganization may change th et mitigation efforts | in changes to operations, c idgets required he planned replacement of | orporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to |
| | Comparativ | e Information on Equival | ent | N/A | | | | |
| | Historical P Total Capit Energy Ger | rojects (if any) al and OM&A Costs for Rel leration portion of Projects | newable s (if any) | 0 | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | ning Objectives Met | | Operationa | l improvements and safety | | | |
| | 350,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 300,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 250,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 150,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 50,000 | | | | | | | |
| | - 0000 | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2 | 020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | 1: \$290,000 | \$0 | \$29 | 0,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | |



Project Name

Major Category

OEB Multi-Project Report

150870

Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement-Van 5910 General Plant

| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Mississauga |
| | Location | |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Fleet Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Fleet |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: •Wanufacturing Standards •Molustry Standards •Molustry Standards •Molustry Standards •Mehicle Operational Conditions •Wehicle Operational Conditions •Wehicle Age •Wehicle Total Mileage •Wehicle Total Mileage •Wehicle Standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable •Dorporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Units 5910 will be a projected 10 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, |
| | Main Driver - General Plant Priority and Reasons for Priority | Capital Investment Support Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety Cyber-Security, Privacy | response time and employee productivity. N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. N/A |
| | | |

| | Coordinat | ion, Interoperability | | Alectra's fit Manufact Endustry S Non-Indu: Schicle Og Schicle To Highway T Canadian All related Motor Vel Enfrastruct | re-year vehicle replacemen uring Standards tandards try Standards berational Conditions ge tal Mileage raffic Act (HTA) Motor Vehicle Safety Stann CSA standards, specifically icle Inspection Station (M cure Health & Safety Assoc Health & Safety and Envir | t plan is based on the foll dards (CMVSS) y those that relate to aeria VIS) requirements lation (IHSA) of Ontario, w onmental Policies | owing criteria guidelines: Il devices and hydraulic equ there applicable | uipment |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| | Economic | Development | | Vehicle pur | chases contribute to the e | conomy by supporting the | e creation and support of j | obs at automakers, car |
| | Environm | ental Benefits | | dealers, pa New vehicl | rts suppliers, and mechanies reduce emissions, gas co | cal trade workers. onsumption | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Qu | 0 | | Due to bud in operatio replaced w have increa delivery an Regular vel response ti | get mitigation efforts durin n and rescheduled for repl thin the last five years. It i sed and the vehicles no lo d most importantly causin nicle replacement is necess me and employee product | ng the last few years, a nu acement in future years. A s now critical that these v nger operate at full capac g potential safety concern ary to avoid undue vehicl ivity. | mber of vehicles schedulec s a result, many of Alectra ehicles be replaced as main ity, reducing vehicle availal for our employees and the e down and associated neg | d for replacement were kept vehicles should have been ntenance and repairs costs bility, impacting service e public. gative impacts to customer |
| | Alternativ | e #1 | | N/A | | | | |
| | Alternativ | e #2 | | N/A | | | | |
| | Justificatio | on for Recommended Alte | rnative | N/A | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Co | ompletion and Risk Manag | ement | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | |
| | | | | The reliable •Eleet Man •SCM Oper •Key mana •Kehicles n customer r •Corporate •Any emerj the resource | e, availability and safe fleet agement as the initiative la ations Director reviews an gement stakeholder suppo nay be replaced by differen equirements. Commitment to approvec ing requirements of the o es plan and required budg | operations will also rely ead and accountability d approves replacement r rt across the organization t models or types based o l Capital and Operating Bu gganization may change th et mitigation efforts | on: ecommendations to define business requirer in changes to operations, c idgets required ie planned replacement of | ments orporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to |
| | Comparat | ive Information on Equiva | ilent | N/A | | | | |
| | Historical Total Capi Energy Ge | Projects (if any) ital and OM&A Costs for R meration portion of Projec | enewable ts (if any) | 0 | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plai | nning Objectives Met | | Operationa | l Improvements | | | |
| | 60,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 50,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 40.000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 30,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 10,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2010 | | 20 | 2024 | 2022 | 2022 | 2021 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | : \$50.000 | \$0 | 20 \$50 | 1.000 | 2021 \$0 | 2022 \$0 | 2023 \$0 | <u>2024</u> \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | +,000 | \$0 | ¢30 | 50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | · | · | | |



Project Code 150871 Project Name Fleet Central North Vehicle Replacement-Step Van 8108 Major Category General Plant Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Project Overview 2. Additional Information Service Territory Mississauga Location Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Fleet Alectra Subcategory 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • Rehicle Age • ■ehicle Total Mileage • Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") •Eanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Unit 8108 will be a projected 12 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable Safety fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Cyber-Security, Privacy N/A

| | Coordinati | on, Interoperability | | Alectra's five- •Manufacturii •Andustry Star •Non-Industry •Wehicle Oper •Wehicle Age •Wehicle Total •Bighway Traf •Canadian Mu •All related CS •Motor Vehicl •Anfrastructur •Corporate He | year vehicle replacemen ng Standards Idards S'Standards ational Conditions Mileage fic Act (HTA) tor Vehicle Safety Stan A standards, specificall e Inspection Station (W e Health & Safety Assoc ealth & Safety and Envir | dards (CMVSS) y those that relate to aeria IVIS) requirements iation (IHSA) of Ontario, w ronmental Policies | lowing criteria guidelines: al devices and hydraulic equ /here applicable | ipment |
|---|--------------|-----------------------------|------------|--|---|---|---|--|
| | Economic I | Development | | Vehicle pur | chases contribute to th | e economy by supporting | the creation and support of | of jobs at automakers, car |
| | Environme | ntal Benefits | | dealers, parts New vehicles | suppliers, and mechani reduce emissions, gas c | ical trade workers. onsumption | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | , | | Due to bud kept in operat been replaced costs have ind delivery and n Regular vel response time | get mitigation efforts d ion and rescheduled fo within the last five yea reased and the vehicles nost importantly causin nicle replacement is nec- and employee product | luring the last few years, a r replacement in future yea rs. It is now critical that th s no longer operate at full g potential safety concerr cessary to avoid undue vel tivity. | number of vehicles schedu ears. As a result, many of Al- nese vehicles be replaced as capacity, reducing vehicle a for our employees and the nicle down and associated r | led for replacement were ectra vehicles should have maintenance and repairs vailability, impacting service public. negative impacts to customer |
| | Alternative | • #1 | | N/A | | | | |
| | Alternative | 2 #2 | | None | | | | |
| | Justificatio | n for Recommended Alter | native | N/a | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management Project/Activity (OEB) | | | | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | |
| | | | | The reliable, a •Eleet Manag •SCM Operati •Eey manager | vailability and safe flee ement as the initiative l ons Director reviews an nent stakeholder suppo | t operations will also rely lead and accountability id approves replacement r ort across the organization | on: ecommendations to define business requirer | nents |
| | | | | •⊠ehicles may customer requ •©orporate Co •Any emergin the resources | be replaced by differen uirements. mmitment to approver g requirements of the o plan and required budg | nt models or types based o d Capital and Operating Bu rganization may change th get mitigation efforts | on changes to operations, co udgets required ne planned replacement of | orporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to |
| | Comparati | ve Information on Equival | ent | N/A | | | | |
| | Historical P | Projects (if any) | newable | , 0 | | | | |
| | Energy Ger | neration portion of Project | s (if any) | 0 | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | ning Objectives Met | | Operational ir | nprovements | | | |
| | 250,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 150,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 50,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | - | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 202 | 20 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | : \$220,000 | \$0 | \$220, | ,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | |



Scenario

OEB Multi-Project Report

150873 Project Name Major Category Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_Vans General Plant 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted

| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Mississauga |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| | Location | - |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Opgoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | Project will denerate ongoing it oward costs | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Fleet Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Fleet |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested |
| | | The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. |
| | | The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: |
| | | Manufacturing Standards Standards |
| | | Mon Industry Standards |
| | | Generational Conditions |
| | | •@ehicle Age |
| | | Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") |
| | | •@anadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) |
| | | •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment |
| | | •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements |
| | | Beccrical & Ounty Salety Association (E&OSA Rule Book) where applicable Boroporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies |
| | | |
| | | A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and |
| | | During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") |
| | | Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or |
| | | a completely different vehicle configuration. |
| | | Units 2912, 5112 will be a projected 7 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit |
| | | reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity |
| | | and challenging planning and scheduling. |
| | | The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, , will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. |
| | | uisposed per Alectra policy. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Main Driver - General Plant | Conital Investment Support |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control increased productivity and a contributor to positive |
| | Thority and reasons for Thority | employee engagement. |
| | | Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept |
| | | in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been |
| | | have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service |
| | | delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget |
| | | required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. |
| | | Regular venicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (K\/A) | N/A |
| | Safety | Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable |
| | Survey, | fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required |
| | | to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. |
| | | |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | N/A |
| | | |

| | Coordinatio | on, Interoperability Development ntal Benefits | Alectra's •Manufa •Brdustry •Non-Inc •Wehicle •Wehicle •Bighwa •Banadia •All relat •Motor V •Bifrastru •Corpora Vehicle p dealers, p New veh | five-year vehicle replaceme cturing Standards Standards ustry Standards Operational Conditions Age Total Mileage / Traffic Act (HTA) n Motor Vehicle Safety Sta ad CSA standards, specifica ehicle Inspection Station (f ucture Health & Safety Asso te Health & Safety and Env urchases contribute to the parts suppliers, and mechan cles reduce emissions, gas | ndards (CMVSS) Ily those that relate to aerial VVIS) requirements sciation (IHSA) of Ontario, wh irronmental Policies economy by supporting the nical trade workers. consumption | wing criteria guidelines: devices and hydraulic equ nere applicable creation and support of jo | ipment obs at automakers, car | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | | in operative replaced have incr delivery a Regular v response | the end of the second s | Ing the last tew years, a find placement in future years. As t is now critical that these ve longer operate at full capacit ing potential safety concern f sarry to avoid undue vehicle ctivity. | and of ventues scheduled as result, many of Alectra hicles be replaced as main y, reducing vehicle availat for our employees and the down and associated neg | whices should have been itenance and repairs costs illity, impacting service public. ative impacts to customer | | |
| | Alternative | : #1 . #2 | N/A | | | | | | |
| | Justification | n for Recommended Alter | native N/A | | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Co | mpletion and Risk Manage | ement Vehicle a Employed Increasin | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | | |
| | | | The relia •Eleet M •SCM Op •Key mai | ole, availability and safe fle anagement as the initiative erations Director reviews a nagement stakeholder supp | et operations will also rely or lead and accountability nd approves replacement re oort across the organization to | n: commendations o define business requiren | nents | | |
| | | | •ชิehicles customer •ธิดาpora •ลิกy em the resou | Wehicles may be replaced by different models or types based on changes to operations, corporate initiatives and ustomer requirements. #Corporate Commitment to approved Capital and Operating Budgets required #Any emerging requirements of the organization may change the planned replacement of vehicles such as changes to the resources plan and required budget mitigation efforts | | | | | |
| | Comparativ Historical P Total Capit Energy Ger | ve Information on Equival Projects (if any) al and OM&A Costs for Re peration portion of Project | ent N/A newable 0 s (if any) | N/A 0 | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | ning Objectives Met | Operatio | nal Improvements | | | | | |
| | 120,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 80.000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 60,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | ъ 0,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | 1: \$108,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$108,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | · | | |



Project Code 150876 Project Name Fleet Central North Vehicle Replacement Step Vans 6310 Major Category General Plant Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Mississauga Service Territory Location Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Fleet Alectra Subcategory 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • Rehicle Age • ■ehicle Total Mileage • Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") •Eanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Unit 6310 will be a projected 11 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable Safety fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Cyber-Security, Privacy N/A

| | Coordinati | on, Interoperability | Alectra's fit Manufact Bindustry S Non-Indu: Schicle Og Schicle To Bighway T Canadian All related Motor Vel Binfrastruct Corporate | ve-year vehicle replacemen uring Standards tandards stry Standards perational Conditions ge tal Mileage 'raffic Act (HTA) Motor Vehicle Safety Stan I CSA standards, specifical nicle Inspection Station (M ture Health & Safety Assoc Health & Safety and Envir | dards (CMVSS) y those that relate to aerial IVIS) requirements iation (IHSA) of Ontario, wh ronmental Policies | wing criteria guidelines: devices and hydraulic equ ere applicable | ipment | | |
|---|--------------|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Leonomie | Development | dealers, pa | rts suppliers, and mechani | ical trade workers. | | i jobs at automakers, car | | |
| E. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Environme | ntal Benefits | New vehicl | es reduce emissions, gas o | onsumption | umbor of vobiclos schodu | lad for rapiacament wara | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis or Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | 1 | bue to c kept in ope been replan costs have delivery an Regular response ti | udget mitigation errors o ration and rescheduled fo ced within the last five yea increased and the vehicles d most importantly causin vehicle replacement is nec me and employee product | uring the last tew years, an r replacement in future yea rs. It is now critical that the no longer operate at full ca g potential safety concern f cessary to avoid undue vehic tivity. | umber of venicles schedu rs. As a result, many of Al se vehicles be replaced as apacity, reducing vehicle a or our employees and the cle down and associated r | ted for replacement were ectra vehicles should have maintenance and repairs vailability, impacting service public. legative impacts to customer | | |
| | Alternative | » #1 | N/A | | | | | | |
| | Alternative | 2 #2 | None | | | | | | |
| | Justificatio | n for Recommended Alteri | native N/a | | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Co | mpletion and Risk Manage | ment Vehicle ava Employee a Increasing | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | | |
| | | | | The reliable, availability and safe fleet operations will also rely on: •Eleet Management as the initiative lead and accountability •SCM Operations Director reviews and approves replacement recommendations •Key management stakeholder support across the organization to define business requirements | | | | | |
| | | | •ଢehicles n customer n •Eorporate •▲ny emery the resource | Sehicles may be replaced by different models or types based on changes to operations, corporate initiatives and customer requirements. Eorporate Commitment to approved Capital and Operating Budgets required Any emerging requirements of the organization may change the planned replacement of vehicles such as changes to the resources plan and required budget mitigation efforts | | | | | |
| | Comparati | us Information on Equival | ont N/A | | | | | | |
| | Historical F | ve information on Equival Projects (if any) | ent N/A | N/A | | | | | |
| | Total Capit | al and OM&A Costs for Reportion of Project | newable 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | ning Objectives Met | Operationa | l improvements | | | | | |
| | 300,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 250,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 150,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 50,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | | ac | | | | | | |
| | 1. 6250 000 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | 1. \$250,000 | \$0 \$0 | ŞU | \$250,000 ¢n | \$U ¢n | \$U ¢0 | <u>ېن</u> ۲۰ | | |
| - Actuals. 50 | | ŞU | ΟÇ | ŞU | ου | Ų | <u>ں</u> چ | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | |



Project Code 150878 Project Name Bus & Main Breaker Protections Replacement - Jim Yarrow TS Major Category System Renewal Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Brampton Location Brampton J Yarrow Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Componen Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) **Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Substation Renewal Alectra Subcategory Stations Replacement Program/P 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary This project is the third migration of station equipment to the new DNP 3 network. It will follow the initiatives started at Jim Yarrow TS under project 150704 and continued in Project 150825 to migrate the feeder, line, and transformer protections over to DNP network. It is recommended to replace the Back-up Line protections and to migrate the existing A and B transformer protection relays over to the DNP network during a two year period. This will be the second of three phases proposed for the overall migration to DNP network at Jim Yarrow Transformer Station. The proposed local station network will be compatible with every other Altectra Transformer Station and will be able to use the same critical spares in the event of equipment failure. The project scope includes: - replace the A Bus protection relay for Line 1 in year 1 with SEL 421 relay; - replace the back-up line protection relay for Line 2 in year 2 with SEL 421 relay - migrate the transformer A and B protections for T1 in year 1 over to the DNP network; - migrate the transformer A and B protections for T2 in year 2 over to the DNP network; - transition control away from protection IEDS, providing more diversity and correcting a single point of failure; - removal of legacy PLCs and SEL2030s. Main Driver - System Renewal Obsolescence Priority and Reasons for Priority The proposed project is a multiyear project to transfer the protection and control equipment resident on the Modbus Plus network to the new DNP network. The proposed project is very similar to the new DNP network and advanced state of the art equipment that was recently installed at Vaughan TS3 to correct known deficiencies with the legacy Modbus Plus network. As experienced at Vaughan TS3, the existing Modbus Plus network has a number of performance issues and the equipment is experiencing failures. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not applicable There could be a safety component as essential control points such as the 230KV disconnect controls still reside on the Safety Modbus Plus network. There is a risk that these components could fail and prevent prompt isolation of devices. Failure of the ability to remotely control station devices due to a failure of a component of the Modbus Plus network would require to Operators to operate energized equipment locally. Cyber-Security, Privacy There is a cyber security element to this project as this project would retire the existing Modbus Plus/IP connection to the station. Encryption could be more easily implemented on the DNP/IP connection. Coordination, Interoperability Not applicable Economic Development Not applicable Environmental Benefits Not applicable 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Ouo Do nothing. Do not implement this project and continue to operate with the existing Modbus Plus network in the Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) station and the serial Modbus/IP connection to the station. This is not recommended for the following reasons: - Equipment failure: Programmable Logic Controller (PLC) equipment and protection IEDs on the Modbus Plus network are approaching a service life of 20 years and starting to fail. The proposed project will replace legacy equipment with new robust station grade equipment. The equipment is the same as that installed at other stations; - Lack of control route diversity: control paths for critical devices, such as the 230KV disconnects, originate on protection IEDs connected to the Modbus Plus network. Remote control of these critical elements is impossible should the IED fail. This project would migrate these critical control paths to the robust and reliable SEL DPAC platforms; - Control latency; Modbus Plus is inherently less efficient for control and status data transfer; - Potential for loss of data; Modbus Plus is a real-time, snapshot data-type protocol. Status point changes can be missed if the point toggles between scans. This does not happen with DNP, which is an event reporting protocol. Alternative #1 Replace the existing Modbus Plus network and PLC system with updated components. This is not recommended due to the following reasons - Control latency: Modbus Plus is inherently less efficient for control and status data transfer: - Potential for loss of data; Modbus Plus is a real-time, snapshot data-type protocol. Status point changes can be missed if the point toggles between scans. This does not happen with DNP, which is an event reporting protocol. Alternative #2 Not applicable

| | Justification for Recor | PLCs and SEL2032S that are aging and prone-to-failure. This equipment is already employed in othe and has proven to be very robust and reliable; utilization of existing equipment that can connect to DNP network will be retained; The new SEL D would be connected to the new SEL RTAC, getting more value and greater utilization from this SEL f - simplified maintenance of protection IEDs; critical control paths originate from IEDs in the existing network. Protection IEDs cannot be verified unless major elements such as a Transformer or a bus is service. With the controls migrated to the new SEL DPACs, protection IEDs can remain in service as is maintained; accurate data; Modbus Plus is a snapshot type protocol and status changes could be missed if the occurred between scans. DNP is an event driven protocol. all status changes are captured and repor Scada system with millisecond accuracy time-stamping; adherence to Alectra's Station Standard; this project would bring Jim Yarrow TS completely up to / Equipment Standard. Alectra's Standard includes SEL RTACs, SEL DPACs and SEL2414s to provide connection. This project would complete the connection of all station data points to these devices f standard PLCs. Alectra P&C is trained to use and maintain the DNP Network and associated components. The Jim Yarrow TS is very similar to the Vaughan TS3 station. They were both built in 2000 by the company. A three year DNP migration conversion project was successfully completed at Vaughan TS | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion a | nd Risk Management | There is som | e risk that some equipmen | t may not be permitted t | to be removed from service | e for the transition to DNP | | |
| rigeer/activity (orb) | Comparative Informat | tion on Equivalent | Not applicab | le | | | | | |
| | Historical Projects (if a | any) | | | | | | | |
| | Total Capital and OM Energy Generation po | &A Costs for Renewable rtion of Proiects (if any) | 0 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each | Description of the Rel | ationship between the | Not applicab | le | | | | | |
| Project/Activity (DEB) | Performance Deterior | ation or Failure: | | | | | | | |
| | Condition of Acestus | Tuning Life Could and | Nat analisah | 1. | | | | | |
| | Performance Record | Typical Life Cycle and | мот аррисар | lie | | | | | |
| | Number of Customers | in Each Customer Class | 100 | | | | | | |
| | Potentially Affected b | y Asset Fallure | | | | | | | |
| | Quantitative Custome | r Impacts (frequency or | Not applicab | le | | | | | |
| | duration of interruption level) | ons and associated risk | | | | | | | |
| | Qualitative Customer | Impacts (customer | Not applicab | le | | | | | |
| | satisfaction, customer risk level) | migration and associated | | | | | | | |
| | Value of Customer Im | pact | Medium | | | | | | |
| | Factors Affecting Proje | ect Timing, if any | The only fact | tor affecting timing would I | pe non-permission to ren | nove in-service component | s of the transformer station | | |
| | Consequences for O& | M System Costs Including | Not applicab | le | | | | | |
| | Implications of Not Im | plementing | | | | | | | |
| | Reliability and Safety | Factors | There are safety and reliability factors related to this project. This project would complete the transition of the station data points and electronic IEDs to the new station DNP network. The new DNP system is much more reliable. Data returned is time-stamped with millisecond accuracy. The new DNP network provides separate protection and Scada/HMI control paths to controllable equipment such as the 230kv Disconnects. The existing system only has one path through devices such as PLCs and older relays. These devices have been in service for 15 years and are starting to fail. In the event of a failure, remote control of these key devices would not be available and the devices would need to be controlled manually at the station. This could put field staff in the vicinity of energized equipment during these manual operations, equipment which could fail catastrophically during these manual operations. | | | | | | |
| | Analysis for "Like for L | ike" Renewal Project | Not applicab | le | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | 120.000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 120,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 80.000 | | | | | | | | |
| | -0,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 60,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | 2010 | | | | | | | |
| 2019-2024 - EINAL DSD Submitted | · \$113 076 | <0 5013 5 | \$0 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | \$112.076 | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Actuals: \$0 | 012,515,570 | ο | ος 20 | ېن د م | ېن د م | ېن د م | \$0 | | |
| | | υς | υç | ŞU | şυ | ŞU | οų | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |



Project Code 150884 Project Name Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement Pick up 9514 Major Category General Plant Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Mississauga Location Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Fleet Alectra Subcategory 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • Rehicle Age • ■ehicle Total Mileage • Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") •Eanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Units 9514 will be a projected 7 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable Safety fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations.

Cyber-Security, Privacy

| | Coordination, Interoperab | liity | Alectra's five-year vehicle replacement plan is based on the following criteria guidelines: •Manufacturing Standards •Non-Industry Standards •Non-Industry Standards •Nehicle Operational Conditions •Nehicle Age •Nehicle Total Mileage •Nehicle Total Mileage •Nehicle Total Mileage •Nehicle Total Mileage •Nehicle Total Mileage •Nehicle Inspection Station (CMVSS) •Notor Vehicle Inspection Station (MVIS) requirements •Notor Vehicle Inspection Station (MVIS) requirements | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------|--|---|---|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| | Economic Development | | Vehicle pur | chases contribute to the | e economy by supporting t | he creation and support | of jobs at automakers, car | | |
| | Environmental Benefits | | dealers, parts : New vehicles r | suppliers, and mechanic educe emissions, gas co | cal trade workers. Insumption | | | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | | Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should han been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repair costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting se delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to custre response time and employee productivity. | | | | | | |
| | Alternative #1 | | N/A | | | | | | |
| | Alternative #2 | | None | | | | | | |
| | Justification for Recommen | nded Alternative | N/a | | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | e Risks to Completion and Risk Management Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | The reliable, a •Eleet Manage •SCM Operatio •Sey managen | vailability and safe fleet ment as the initiative le ons Director reviews and nent stakeholder suppor | operations will also rely or ead and accountability d approves replacement red rt across the organization to | n: commendations o define business require | ments | | |
| | | | •≌ehicles may customer requ •©orporate Co •≝ny emerging the resources p | be replaced by different irements. mmitment to approved requirements of the or Jan and required budge | t models or types based on Capital and Operating Bud ganization may change the et mitigation efforts | ı changes to operations, c Igets required : planned replacement of | corporate initiatives and | | |
| | Comparative Information | on Equivalent | N/A | | | | | | |
| | Historical Projects (if any) | | | N/A | | | | | |
| | Energy Generation portion | of Projects (if any) | 0 | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Planning Objectives | Met | Operational in | provements | | | | | |
| | 60,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 50,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 30,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 10,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 2 | 020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | 1: \$52,000 \$0 | | \$0 | \$52,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | |



OEB Multi-Project Report

150888

Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_SUVs

| Major Category | General Plant | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Mississauga |
| | Location | |
| | Units | |
| | Declark Class | Dec las |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Fleet Renewal |
| | | Floot |
| | Alectra Subcategory | rieet |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital |
| | | Dudget is requested. |
| | | The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: |
| | | Manufacturing Standards |
| | | Madustry Standards |
| | | Non Industry Standards |
| | | • Rehicle Operational Conditions |
| | | • Rehicle Age |
| | | • Rehicle Total Mileage |
| | | Biobway Traffic Act ("HTA") |
| | | Banadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) |
| | | •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment |
| | | •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements |
| | | - - - - - - - - - - - - - |
| | | •Øorporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies |
| | | bolporter reach a barely and Entrommentary onces |
| | | A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and |
| | | nower take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This removides a baseline to initiate the capital renders more than the operation of the second s |
| | | During this time vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business (Init ("BI")) |
| | | Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or |
| | | a completely different vehicle configuration |
| | | Linit 529.15 520.15 520.15 will be a projected 7 years in service when decommissioned Parts availability is low |
| | | due to ha overall are of the unit and will continue to decline as time programs. Repairs costs will continue to |
| | | due to the overall age of this difficult and wine continue to decline as time progresses, negative costs wine continue to increase unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vahiels availability, decreasing |
| | | increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of venice availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling |
| | | The real scenario and this is a scheduling. |
| | | disposed per Alectra policy |
| | | uisposed per Alectra policy. |
| | | |
| | Main Driver - General Plant | Capital Investment Support |
| | Driority and Reasons for Driority | Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control increased productivity and a contributer to |
| | Phonty and Reasons for Phonty | venicie availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a controlitor to |
| | | positive employee engagement. |
| | | be to budget integration enous summer the last rew years, a number of venices schedule for repractment were kept |
| | | in operation and rescheduled for replacement in ruline years, as a result, many or Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last flux usars. It is now critical that these vehicles have based as maintenance and repairs sector. |
| | | replaced while the last rive years, it is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting sources |
| | | delivery and most importantly causing notential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital hudget |
| | | convery and most emportance causing potential solicy concerns of values that have surpass the values in a capital baget |
| | | Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer |
| | | response time and employee productivity. |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | N/A |
| | Cofeb. | Vehicles are the unadeless for such COV of Alexter Hillshold and force. But the such as testing of the testing |
| | Salety | venicies are the Workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable |
| | | to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations |
| | | to provide service to Alectra Guinte's datament and meet their expectations. |
| | | |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | N/A |
| | | |

| | Coordinat | ion, Interoperability | | Alectra's fin Manufact Endustry S Non-Indu: Sehicle O Sehicle To Eighway 1 Canadian All related Motor Vel Enfrastruc Corporate | ve-year vehicle replacemen uring Standards tandards stry Standards perational Conditions ge tal Mileage Iraffic Act (HTA) Motor Vehicle Safety Stan I CSA standards, specificall hicle Inspection Station (W ture Health & Safety Assoc Health & Safety and Envir | dards (CMVSS) y those that relate to a IVIS) requirements iation (IHSA) of Ontaric ronmental Policies | following criteria guidelines: erial devices and hydraulic eq p, where applicable | uipment | | |
|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|---|---|--|---|---------|--|--|
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Economic Environm Status Qu | : Development ental Benefits o | | Vehicle dealers, pa New vehicl Due to b kept in ope been repla costs have delivery an Regular response ti | purchases contribute to th rts suppliers, and mechani es reduce emissions, gas c oudget mitigation efforts d ration and rescheduled fo ced within the last five yea increased and the vehicles d most importantly causin vehicle replacement is nee me and employee product | ing the creation and support s, a number of vehicles sched y years. As a result, many of A it these vehicles be replaced i'ull capacity, reducing vehicle ern for our employees and th vehicle down and associated | of jobs at automakers, car uled for replacement were lectra vehicles should have as maintenance and repairs availability, impacting service ie public. negative impacts to customer | | | |
| | Alternativ Alternativ | re #1 re #2 | | N/A None | | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Justification for Recommended Alternative on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management | | | | ilability & reliability and public safety | | | | | |
| | | | | | The reliable, availability and safe fleet operations will also rely on: •Eleet Management as the initiative lead and accountability •SCM Operations Director reviews and approves replacement recommendations •Key management stakeholder support across the organization to define business requirements •Kehicles may be replaced by different models or types based on changes to operations, corporate initiatives and customer requirements. •Corporate Commitment to approved Capital and Operating Budgets required •Any emerging requirements of the organization may change the planned replacement of vehicles such as changes to the resources plan and required budget mitigation efforts | | | | | |
| | Comparat Historical Total Cap Energy Ge | tive Information on Equiva Projects (if any) ital and OM&A Costs for R eneration portion of Projec | alent enewable cts (if any) | N/A 0 | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Pla | nning Objectives Met | | Operationa | l improvements | | | | | |
| | 40,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 35,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 30,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 25.000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 15 000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 20 | 020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | l: \$35,500 | \$0 | Ş | 50 | \$0 | \$35,500 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$ | 50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | , | | |



OEB Multi-Project Report

150891

Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_Car

| Major Category | General Plant | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2 Additional Information | Service Territory | Mississauga |
| | Location | (AL2)1220 GR |
| | Unite | |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Fleet Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Fleet |
| 4 Evoluation Critoria (OER) | Droject Summany | Even was vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Elect Replacement Plan and a capital |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra offitties Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital |
| | | Dudget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Elect Replacement Plan |
| | | The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: |
| | | •Manufacturing Standards |
| | | Bhdustry Standards |
| | | •Non Industry Standards |
| | | • The section of the |
| | | •@ehicle Age |
| | | Rehicle Total Mileage |
| | | •Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") |
| | | •Eanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) |
| | | All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment |
| | | •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements |
| | | •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable |
| | | Borporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies |
| | | A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle on a completely different vehicle configuration. Units 0715 will be a projected 7 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. |
| | Main Driver - General Plant | Capital Investment Sunnort |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to |
| | Filoncy and Reasons for Filoncy | nositive employee engagement |
| | | Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept |
| | | in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been |
| | | replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs |
| | | have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service |
| | | delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget |
| | | required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to custome response time and employee productivity. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | N/A |
| | Safety | Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable |
| | Survey | fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required |
| | | to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | N/A |
| | | |

| | Coordinat | ion, Interoperability | | Alectra's fin Manufact Endustry S Non-Indu: Sehicle O Sehicle To Eighway 1 Canadian All related Motor Vel Enfrastruc Corporate | ve-year vehicle replacemen uring Standards tandards stry Standards perational Conditions ge tal Mileage Iraffic Act (HTA) Motor Vehicle Safety Stan I CSA standards, specificall hicle Inspection Station (W ture Health & Safety Assoc Health & Safety and Envir | dards (CMVSS) y those that relate to a IVIS) requirements iation (IHSA) of Ontaric ronmental Policies | following criteria guidelines: erial devices and hydraulic eq p, where applicable | uipment | | |
|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|---|---|--|---|---------|--|--|
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Economic Environm Status Qu | : Development ental Benefits o | | Vehicle dealers, pa New vehicl Due to b kept in ope been repla costs have delivery an Regular response ti | purchases contribute to th rts suppliers, and mechani es reduce emissions, gas c oudget mitigation efforts d ration and rescheduled fo ced within the last five yea increased and the vehicles d most importantly causin vehicle replacement is nee me and employee product | ing the creation and support s, a number of vehicles sched y years. As a result, many of A it these vehicles be replaced i'ull capacity, reducing vehicle ern for our employees and th vehicle down and associated | of jobs at automakers, car uled for replacement were lectra vehicles should have as maintenance and repairs availability, impacting service ie public. negative impacts to customer | | | |
| | Alternativ Alternativ | re #1 re #2 | | N/A None | | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Justification for Recommended Alternative on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management | | | | ilability & reliability and public safety | | | | | |
| | | | | | The reliable, availability and safe fleet operations will also rely on: •Eleet Management as the initiative lead and accountability •SCM Operations Director reviews and approves replacement recommendations •Key management stakeholder support across the organization to define business requirements •Kehicles may be replaced by different models or types based on changes to operations, corporate initiatives and customer requirements. •Corporate Commitment to approved Capital and Operating Budgets required •Any emerging requirements of the organization may change the planned replacement of vehicles such as changes to the resources plan and required budget mitigation efforts | | | | | |
| | Comparat Historical Total Cap Energy Ge | tive Information on Equiva Projects (if any) ital and OM&A Costs for R eneration portion of Projec | alent enewable cts (if any) | N/A 0 | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Pla | nning Objectives Met | | Operationa | l improvements | | | | | |
| | 40,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 35,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 30,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 25.000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 15 000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 20 | 020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | l: \$35,500 | \$0 | Ş | 50 | \$0 | \$35,500 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$ | 50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | , | | |



Project Code 150896 Project Name Fleet Central North Vehicle Replacement S/Bucket 8910 Major Category General Plant Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Mississauga Location Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Fleet Alectra Subcategory 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • Rehicle Age • ■ehicle Total Mileage • Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") •Eanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Unit 8910 will be a projected 12 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable Safety fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Cyber-Security, Privacy N/A

| | Coordinati | on, Interoperability Development | Alectra's fit Manufact Endustry S Non-Indu: Wehicle O Wehicle A Canadian All related Motor Ve Enfrastruc Corporate | ve-year vehicle replacemeni uring Standards tandards stry Standards perational Conditions ge tal Mileage raffic Act (HTA) Motor Vehicle Safety Stand I CSA standards, specifically nicle Inspection Station (M ture Health & Safety Associ: Health & Safety and Envirc | t plan is based on the follo lards (CMVSS) those that relate to aerial J/S) requirements ation (IHSA) of Ontario, wh nmental Policies e economy by supporting fl | wing criteria guidelines: devices and hydraulic equ ere applicable ne creation and support o | ipment if jobs at automakers, car | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| | Environme | ntal Benefits | dealers, pa New vehicl | rts suppliers, and mechanic es reduce emissions, gas co | al trade workers. | | | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replac kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenanc costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, in delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impa response time and employee productivity. | | | | | | | |
| | Alternative | : #1 | N/A | | | | | | |
| | Alternative #2 | | | | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Co | mpletion and Risk Manage | ement Vehicle ava Employee a Increasing | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | | |
| | | | The reliable •Eleet Mar •SCM Oper •Eey mana | e, availability and safe fleet agement as the initiative le ations Director reviews and gement stakeholder suppor | operations will also rely or ead and accountability I approves replacement rec rt across the organization to | n: commendations o define business requiren | nents | | |
| | | | ●⊠ehicles n customer r ●Corporate ●Any emer, the resource | Wehicles may be replaced by different models or types based on changes to operations, corporate initiatives and customer requirements. Corporate Commitment to approved Capital and Operating Budgets required Any emerging requirements of the organization may change the planned replacement of vehicles such as changes to the resources plan and required budget mitigation efforts | | | | | |
| | Comparati | ve Information on Equival | ent N/A | N/A | | | | | |
| | Historical F Total Capit Energy Ger | Projects (if any) al and OM&A Costs for Re neration portion of Project | newable 0 s (if any) | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | ning Objectives Met | Operationa | l improvements | | | | | |
| | 600,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 500,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 300,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submittee | l: \$530,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$530,000 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | |



Project Name

OEB Multi-Project Report

150897

Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_pick ups

| Major Category | General Plant | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory Location | Mississauga |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or bas Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Fleet Renewal |
| | Alastra Subsatagany | Floot |
| | Alectra Subcategory | |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Elect Replacement Plan |
| | | The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: •Manufacturing Standards |
| | | Endustry Standards |
| | | •Non Industry Standards |
| | | Behicle Operational Conditions |
| | | • Mehicle Age |
| | | •Menicle lotal Milleage |
| | | •Biginway Hallic Act (HTA) |
| | | •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment |
| | | • Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements |
| | | •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable |
| | | •Zorporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies |
| | | A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle o a completely different vehicle configuration. Units 5412, will be a projected 7 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. |
| | Main Driver - General Plant Priority and Reasons for Priority | Capital Investment Support Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to custome response time and employee productivity. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety | N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | N/A |
| | | |

| | Coordinat | ion, Interoperability | | Alectra's five-year vehicle replacement plan is based on the following criteria guidelines: •Manufacturing Standards •Mon-Industry Standards •Won-Industry Standards •Wehicle Operational Conditions •Wehicle Total Mileage •Bighway Traffic Act (HTA) •Banadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station (MVIS) requirements •Mifrastructure Health & Safety Association (IHSA) of Ontario, where applicable •Eorporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---------------------------------|--|----------------|----------|------|------|--|--|
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Economic Environme Status Que | Development ental Benefits o | | Vehicle purchases contribute to the economy by supporting the creation and support of jobs at automakers, car dealers, parts suppliers, and mechanical trade workers. New vehicles reduce emissions, gas consumption Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. | | | | | | |
| | Alternativ | o #1 | | N/A | | | | | | |
| Alternative #1 | | | | None | | | | | | |
| | Justificatio | on for Recommended Alter | mative | N/a | | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Justification for Recommended Alternative Risks to Completion and Risk Management | | | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. The reliable, availability and safe fleet operations will also rely on: •Eleet Management as the initiative lead and accountability •SCM Operations Director reviews and approves replacement recommendations •Key management stakeholder support across the organization to define business requirements •Wehicles may be replaced by different models or types based on changes to operations, corporate initiatives and customer requirements. •Corporate Commitment to approved Capital and Operating Budgets required •Any menging requirements of the organization may change the planned replacement of vehicles such as changes to the resources plan and required budget mitigation efforts | | | | | | |
| | Comparat Historical Total Capi Energy Ge | ive Information on Equiva Projects (if any) Ital and OM&A Costs for Re meration portion of Projec | lent enewable ts (if any) | N/A 0 | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plar | nning Objectives Met | | Operationa | l improvements | | | | | |
| | 60,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 50,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 30.000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 20.000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 20 | 020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | l: \$54,000 | \$0 | ç | \$0 | \$0 | \$54,000 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | | |



Project Code 150920 Fleet East Vehicle addition - Van pool van Project Name Major Category General Plant Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream North & South Location Units 1 Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Fleet Alectra Subcategory 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • ehicle Age • ■ehicle Total Mileage Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not Applicable Safety Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Cyber-Security, Privacy Not applicable Coordination, Interoperability Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Alectra's five-year vehicle replacement plan is based on the following criteria guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Bndustry Standards Non-Industry Standards Mehicle Operational Conditions ■ehicle Age Rehicle Total Mileage ● Bighway Traffic Act (HTA) • Canadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment Motor Vehicle Inspection Station (MVIS) requirements •Infrastructure Health & Safety Association (IHSA) of Ontario, where applicable •Dorporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies Economic Development Vehicle purchases contribute to the economy by supporting the creation and support of jobs at automakers, car dealers, parts suppliers, and mechanical trade workers. **Environmental Benefits** Improvments to engine emmisions with newer models, which could count towards Environmental Benefits.

The van pool program allows emplyee's to save on emmisions by car pooling.

| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | Alternative #1 | | Replacemer Light Duty \ Replacemer | nt Assessment Criteria - /ehicles: Assessed at 7 year nt schedule: at 7 years, (15 | rs and every year after, and 0,000 km). | l/or high mileage (excess c | of 150,000 km) | | |
| | | | Medium Du Replacemer | ty Vehicles:Assessed at 10 nt schedule: at 10 years, (2 | years and every year after, 50,000 km). | and/or high mileage (exce | ss of 250,000 km) | | |
| | | | Heavy Duty engine hou | Vehicles: Assessed at 12-yers (excess of 12,000 engine | ear service, and every year hours) | after, and/or high mileage | e (excess of 500,000 km) High | | |
| | Alternative #2 Justification for Recommended Alte | ernative | Not applica Not applica | ble ble | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Mana | gement | Vehicle ava Employee a Increasing s | ilability & reliability nd public safety ystems outages response t | imelines to support custor | ners. | | | |
| | | | The reliable •Eleet Man •SCM Opera •Eley manag | , availability and safe fleet agement as the initiative le ations Director reviews and gement stakeholder suppor | operations will also rely or ead and accountability I approves replacement rec t across the organization to | n: commendations o define business requirem | ients | | |
| | | | | Wehicles may be replaced by different models or types based on changes to operations, corporate initiatives and customer requirements. Borporate Commitment to approved Capital and Operating Budgets required Any emerging requirements of the organization may change the planned replacement of vehicles such as changes to the resources plan and required budget mitigation efforts | | | | | |
| | Comparative Information on Equiv Historical Projects (if any) | alent | RFP process will be followed for best value. Historical spend tracked from all regions | | | | | | |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for F Energy Generation portion of Proje | Renewable cts (if any) | 0 | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Planning Objectives Met | | Operational Improvements | | | | | | |
| | 45,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 35,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 30,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 25,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 15 000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 10,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 5,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 2010 | 207 | 20 | 2021 | 2022 | 2022 | 2024 | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | : \$41,200 \$0 | \$41.2 | 200 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | |



Project Code 150938 Project Name Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_Stake Trucks Major Category General Plant Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Mississauga Location Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Fleet Alectra Subcategory 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • Rehicle Age • ■ehicle Total Mileage • Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") •Eanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Units 1613, 1713 will be a projected 10 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed the old units will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable Safety fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Cyber-Security, Privacy N/A

| | Coordinati | on, Interoperability | Alectra's fi Manufact Endustry S Non-Indu Wehicle O Wehicle T Elighway Canadian All related Motor Ve Enfrastruc Corporate | ve-year vehicle replacemen uring Standards tandards stry Standards perational Conditions ge tal Mileage fraffic Act (HTA) Motor Vehicle Safety Stand I CSA standards, specifically hicle Inspection Station (M ture Health & Safety Associ Health & Safety and Enviro | ving criteria guidelines: devices and hydraulic equ ere applicable | ipment | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| | Economic I | Development | Vehicle pu dealers, pa | rchases contribute to the ed rts suppliers, and mechanic | conomy by supporting the c cal trade workers. | reation and support of jo | bs at automakers, car | | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Environme Status Quo | ntal Benetits | New vehici Due to buc in operatio replaced w have increa delivery an Regular ve response ti | New Vehicles reduce emissions, gas consumption Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement wei in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting servi delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to cust response time and employee productivity. | | | | | | |
| | Alternative | #1 | N/A | | | | | | | |
| | Alternative #2 | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Co | mpletion and Risk Manage | ement Vehicle ava Employee Increasing | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | | | |
| | | | The reliabl ●Eleet Mar ●SCM Oper ●Sey mana | e, availability and safe fleet lagement as the initiative le rations Director reviews and gement stakeholder suppor | operations will also rely on ead and accountability d approves replacement reco rt across the organization to | : ommendations define business requirem | ients | | | |
| | | | •ष्ट्रिehicles r customer r •©orporate •Any emer the resourd | •曜ehicles may be replaced by different models or types based on changes to operations, corporate initiatives and customer requirements. ・©orporate Commitment to approved Capital and Operating Budgets required ・蚤ny emerging requirements of the organization may change the planned replacement of vehicles such as changes to the resources plan and required budget mitigation efforts | | | | | | |
| | Comparati | ve Information on Equival | ent N/A | N/A | | | | | | |
| | Historical F Total Capit Energy Ger | Projects (if any) al and OM&A Costs for Re neration portion of Project | newable 0 s (if any) | | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | ning Objectives Met | Operationa | I Improvements | | | | | | |
| | 300,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 250,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 150,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 50,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2010 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2022 | 2024 | | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitter | 1: \$280 000 | 2019 \$0 | 2020 ¢n | 2021 \$0 | 2022 \$0 | \$280.000 | <u>2024</u> \$0 | | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | ·] | | | |



OEB Multi-Project Report

150942

<u>Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_S/Bucket</u> General Plant

| Major Category | General Plant | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | | | | | |
| Project Overview | | | | | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Mississauga | | | | |
| | Location | | | | | |
| | Units | | | | | |
| | Project Class | Pogular | | | | |
| | Project class | Negulai | | | | |
| | Project includes R&D | NO | | | | |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No | | | | |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No | | | | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% | | | | |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable | | | | |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded | | | | |
| | Alectra Grouping | Fleet Renewal | | | | |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Fleet | | | | |
| | Droject Summany | Even year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectro Utilities Elect Benjacement Plan and a social | | | | |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | budget is requested | | | | |
| | | The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Elect Replacement Plan | | | | |
| | | The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: | | | | |
| | | •Manufacturing Standards | | | | |
| | | Bhdustry Standards | | | | |
| | | •Non Industry Standards | | | | |
| | | Rehicle Operational Conditions | | | | |
| | | • | | | | |
| | | Behicle Total Mileage | | | | |
| | | •Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") | | | | |
| | | •Eanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) | | | | |
| | | •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment | | | | |
| | | • Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements | | | | |
| | | •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable | | | | |
| | | •Borporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies | | | | |
| | | A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Unit 7310 will be a projected 13 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. | | | | |
| | Main Driver - General Plant Priority and Reasons for Priority | Capital Investment Support Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to custome response time and employee productivity. | | | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety | Not applicable Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. | | | | |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not applicable | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | Coordinati | on, Interoperability | | Alectra's five •Manufactu •Brdustry St •Non-Indust ®chicle Op •Wehicle Age •Wehicle Tot •Bighway Tr •Eanadian N •All related •Motor Veh •Brfrastructt •Corporate | e-year vehicle replacement ring Standards andards ry Standards rational Conditions al Mileage affic Act (HTA) fotor Vehicle Safety Stand CSA standards, specifically cle Inspection Station (MV re Health & Safety Associa Health & Safety and Enviro | plan is based on the folk ards (CMVSS) those that relate to aeria IS) requirements tition (IHSA) of Ontario, wi nmental Policies | owing criteria guidelines: I devices and hydraulic equ here applicable | ipment | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|-----------|--|--|
| | | ehicle purchases contribute to the economy by supporting the creation and support of jobs at automakers, car | | | | | | | | |
| | Environme | Environmental Benefits | | | vealers, parts suppliers, and mechanical trade workers. New vehicles reduce emissions, gas consumption | | | | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | , | | Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. | | | | | | |
| | Alternative | tive #1 Not applicable | | | | | | | | |
| | Alternative | 2 #2 | | None | | | | | | |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative Regular vehicle replacement is necessary response time and employee productivit | | | | | ıry to avoid undue vehicle vity. | to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer y. | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response time | | | | | elines to support customers. | | | | |
| | | The reliable, availability and safe fleet operations will also rely on: •Eleet Management as the initiative lead and accountability •SCM Operations Director reviews and approves replacement recommendations •Key management stakeholder support across the organization to define business requirements | | | | | | | | |
| | | Wehicles may be replaced by different models or types based on changes to operations, corporate initiatives and customer requirements. Corporate Commitment to approved Capital and Operating Budgets required Any emerging requirements of the organization may change the planned replacement of vehicles such as changes to the resources plan and required budget mitigation efforts | | | | | | | | |
| | Comparati | ve Information on Equivalent Not applicable | | | | | | | | |
| | Historical P | I Projects (if any) | | | | | | | | |
| | Energy Ger | apital and OM&A Costs for Renewable 0 Generation portion of Projects (if any) | | | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | Ining Objectives Met Operational improvements | | | | | | | | |
| | 600,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 500,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 300,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2 | 020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | l: \$530,000 | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$530,000 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | | |


Project Code Project Name

OEB Multi-Project Report

150944

Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_Trailer

| Major Category | General Plant | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory Location Units | Mississauga |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology Component | No |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Fleet Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Fleet |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Every year equipment are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: •Manufacturing Standards •Manufacturing Standards •Mounty Standards •Mounty Standards •Mounty Standards •Mehicle Operational Conditions •Wehicle Operational Conditions •Wehicle Operational Conditions •Wehicle Total Mileage •Bighway Traffic Act (*HTA") •Canadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (*CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station (*MVIS") requirements •Electrical & Utility Safety Association (*KUSS) (*A Rule Book") where applicable •Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies A "first pass" screening process is used based on equipment age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off (*PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit (*BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same type of equipment or a completely different type of equipment based on usability. Units 18708 will be a projected 15 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the oreall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of equipment availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. |
| | Main Driver - General Plant Priority and Reasons for Priority | Capital Investment Support Equipment availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, equipment scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that this equipment be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the equipment is no longer operate at full capacity, reducing equipment reliability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of equipment that have surpass there life spend. Regular equipment replacement is necessary to avoid unduedown and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety | N/A Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable equipment, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | N/A |
| | cyber becancy, i nadey | |

| | Coordinati | on, Interoperability | Alectra's fit •Manufact •Malanufact •Mon-Induit •Wehicle O •Wehicle A •Wehicle T •Bighway 1 •Canadian •All relatec •Motor Ve •Motor Ve | re-year vehicle replacement uring Standards tandards verational Conditions e tal Mileage raffic Act (HTA) Motor Vehicle Safety Stand CSA standards, specifically vicle Inspection Station (MV urue Health & Safety Associa Health & Safety and Enviro | t plan is based on the follo ards (CMVSS) those that relate to aerial /IS) requirements ation (IHSA) of Ontario, wh nmental Policies | wing criteria guidelines: devices and hydraulic equ iere applicable | ipment | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Economic I | Development | Equipme | ent purchases contribute to | the economy by supporti | ng the creation and suppo | ort of jobs at manufacturers, , | | | | |
| | Environme | ental Benefits | parts suppl N/A | iers, and mechanical trade | workers. | | | | | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo |) | Due to t operation a have been repairs cos service dell Regular customer r | udget mitigation efforts du and rescheduled for replace replaced within the last five is have increased and the w very and most importantly equipment replacement is a esponse time and employee | ring the last few years, eq ment in future years. As a years. It is now critical th ehicles no longer operate causing potential safety co necessary to avoid undue o productivity. | uipment scheduled for rep result, many of types of A at these vehicles be repla at full capacity, reducing v nocern for our employees vehicle down and associat | placement were kept in lectra equipment should ced as maintenance and ehicle availability, impacting and the public. ed negative impacts to | | | | |
| | Alternative | 2 #1 | N/A | | | | | | | | |
| | Alternative | e #2 | None | | | | | | | | |
| | Justificatio | n for Recommended Alter | native N/a | N/a | | | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Co | mpletion and Risk Manage | ment Vehicle ava Employee a Increasing | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | | | | |
| | | | The reliabl •Eleet Mar •SCM Oper •Key mana | e, availability and safe fleet agement as the initiative le ations Director reviews and gement stakeholder suppor | operations will also rely or ad and accountability I approves replacement rec t across the organization t | n: commendations o define business requirer | nents | | | | |
| | | | ●ඕehicles n customer r ●௴orporate ●ªny emer, the resourc | nay be replaced by different equirements. Commitment to approved ging requirements of the or es plan and required budge | models or types based on Capital and Operating Bud ganization may change the et mitigation efforts | i changes to operations, co Igets required I planned replacement of | orporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to | | | | |
| | Comparati | ve Information on Equival | ent N/A | | | | | | | | |
| | Historical F Total Capit Energy Ger | Projects (if any) al and OM&A Costs for Re neration portion of Project | newable 0 s (if any) | | | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | ning Objectives Met | Operationa | l improvements and safety | | | | | | | |
| | 140,000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 120,000 - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 80,000 - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 60,000 - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | l: \$120,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$120,000 | \$0 | | | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | | | |



Project Code 150945 Project Name Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_Reel Carriers Major Category General Plant Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Mississauga Location Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Fleet Alectra Subcategory 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year equipment are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The equipment replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Non Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • Rehicle Age • Rehicle Total Mileage • Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") •Eanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies A "first pass" screening process is used based on equipment age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same type of equipment or a completely different type of equipment based on usability. Units 19207, 19307 will be a projected 16 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of equipment availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Equipment availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, equipment scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that this equipment be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the equipment is no longer operate at full capacity, reducing equipment reliability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of equipment that have surpass there life spend. Regular equipment replacement is necessary to avoid unduedown and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) N/A Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable equipment, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' Safety employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Cyber-Security, Privacy N/A

| | Coordinatio | on, Interoperability | Alectra's fiv •Manufact •Modustry St •Non-Indus •Vehicle Op •Vehicle Tot •Bighway Ti •Eanadian I •All related •Motor Veh •Bifrastruct •Corporate | e-year vehicle replacemen rring Standards andards try Standards erational Conditions e affic Act (HTA) votor Vehicle Safety Stand CSA standards, specifically icle Inspection Station (MV ure Health & Safety Associ Health & Safety and Envirc | t plan is based on the follo ards (CMVSS) those that relate to aerial //S) requirements ation (IHSA) of Ontario, wh unmental Policies | wing criteria guidelines: devices and hydraulic equ ere applicable | ipment | | | |
|---|---------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Economic I | Development | Equipme | nt purchases contribute to | the economy by supportir | ng the creation and suppo | ort of jobs at manufacturers, , | | | |
| | Environme | ntal Benefits | parts suppli New equipr | ers, and mechanical trade nent reduce emissions, gas | workers. consumption | | | | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | 1 | Due to b operation a have been r repairs cost service delin Regular e customer re | udget mitigation efforts du nd rescheduled for replace eplaced within the last five s have increased and the v erey and most importantly equipment replacement is esponse time and employee | ring the last few years, equ ment in future years. As a years. It is now critical the ehicles no longer operate a causing potential safety co necessary to avoid undue v e productivity. | uipment scheduled for rep result, many of types of A at these vehicles be repla at full capacity, reducing v nocern for our employees rehicle down and associat | placement were kept in Jectra equipment should ced as maintenance and rehicle availability, impacting and the public. red negative impacts to | | | |
| | Alternative | : #1 | N/A | | | | | | | |
| | Alternative | : #2 | None | None | | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Co | n for Recommended Alterr | ment Vehicle avai Employee a Increasing s | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | | | |
| | | | The reliable •Eleet Mana •SCM Opera •Key manag •Sehicles m customer re •Corporate •Any emerg the resource | , availability and safe fleet agement as the initiative le ations Director reviews and gement stakeholder suppor ay be replaced by different quirements. Commitment to approved ing requirements of the or es plan and required budge | operations will also rely or ad and accountability approves replacement rec t across the organization to models or types based on Capital and Operating Bud ganization may change the et mitigation efforts | 1: commendations o define business requirer changes to operations, co gets required planned replacement of | ments orporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Comparati Historical P | ve Information on Equival Projects (if any) | ent N/A | | | | | | | |
| | Total Capit Energy Ger | al and OM&A Costs for Representation portion of Projects | newable 0 s (if any) | | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | ning Objectives Met | Operational | improvements | | | | | | |
| | 800,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 700,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 600,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 500,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 300,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | 1: \$670,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$670,000 | | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | | |



Project Code 150951 Fleet East Vehicle addition - Van pool van Project Name Major Category General Plant Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream North & South Location Units 1 Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Fleet Alectra Subcategory 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Non Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • Rehicle Age • Rehicle Total Mileage Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not Applicable Safety Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Cyber-Security, Privacy Not applicable Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable Coordination, Interoperability fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Alectra's five-year vehicle replacement plan is based on the following criteria guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Bndustry Standards Non-Industry Standards Mehicle Operational Conditions ■ehicle Age Rehicle Total Mileage ● Bighway Traffic Act (HTA) • Canadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment Motor Vehicle Inspection Station (MVIS) requirements •Infrastructure Health & Safety Association (IHSA) of Ontario, where applicable Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies Economic Development Vehicle purchases contribute to the economy by supporting the creation and support of jobs at automakers, car dealers, parts suppliers, and mechanical trade workers. **Environmental Benefits** Improvments to engine emmisions with newer models, which could count towards Environmental Benefits. The employee van pool program takes multiple vehicles off of the road on a daily basis. 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Ouo Not applicable Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) Alternative #1 Not applicable

Not applicable

Alternative #2

| | Justificatio | n for Recommended Alter | mative | Not applicable | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|---|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Co | mpletion and Risk Manag | ement | Vehicle availab | oility & reliability | | | | | |
| (oco) | | | | Increasing syste | ems outages response | e timelines to support custor | ners. | | | |
| | | | | The reliable av | ailahility and safe fle | et operations will also rely or | | | | |
| | | | | •Eleet Manager | ment as the initiative | lead and accountability | | | | |
| | | | | •SCM Operatio | ns Director reviews a | nd approves replacement rec | commendations | | | |
| | | | | • Key managem | ent stakenolder supp | fort across the organization to | o define business requirem | ients | | |
| | | | | •⊠ehicles may b | be replaced by differe | ent models or types based on | changes to operations, co | rporate initiatives and | | |
| | | | | •Øorporate Cor | nmitment to approve | ed Capital and Operating Bud | gets required | | | |
| | | | | •Any emerging | requirements of the | organization may change the | planned replacement of v | ehicles such as changes to | | |
| | | | | the resources plan and required budget mitigation efforts | | | | | | |
| | Comparati | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | | RFP process will be followed for best value. Historical spend tracked from all regions | | | | | | |
| | mstoricari | | | | | | | | | |
| | Total Capit | al and OM&A Costs for Reportion of Project | enewable ts (if any) | 0 | | | | | | |
| | 2.110.187 00. | | cs (ii diiy) | | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OFB) | Other Plan | ning Objectives Met | | Operational Im | provements | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 45,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 35,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 30,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 25,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 15,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | | | | | | | | | |
| 2019-2024 - EINAL DSP Submitted | · \$12 136 | 2019 | 202 | 20 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | . י+2,430 | ο ο ο ο | Şı ¢r |) | \$0 | ο | ېن \$0 | ېن \$0 | | |
| | | οç | Ş | , | υç | ŞŪ | ٦Ļ | νç | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | | |



Project Code 150953 Project Name Fleet Central North Vehicle Replacement Trailer 11510 Major Category General Plant Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Mississauga Location Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Fleet Alectra Subcategory 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year equipment are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The equipment replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • Rehicle Age • Rehicle Total Mileage • Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") •Eanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies A "first pass" screening process is used based on equipment age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same type of equipment or a completely different type of equipment based on usability. Units 902-09,914-09, 941-09 will be a projected 15 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of equipment availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Equipment availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, equipment scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that this equipment be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the equipment is no longer operate at full capacity, reducing equipment reliability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of equipment that have surpass there life spend. Regular equipment replacement is necessary to avoid unduedown and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) N/A Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable equipment, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' Safety employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Cyber-Security, Privacy N/A

| Economic Development Equipment purchases contribute to the economy by supporting the creation and support of jobs at r parts suppliers, and mechanical trade workers. S. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) Status Quo Alternative #11 N/A Alternative #12 N/A Alternative #2 None Justification on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management tashe in litative land and accountability Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management tashe in litative land and accountability - General Information on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management Project/Activity (OEB) Vehicle availability & reliability & reliability - Signed and Risk Management tashe initiative land and accountability - Completion and Risk Management - Signed and Risk Management tashe initiative land and accountability - Policide availability and safe fleet operations will also rely on: - Beet Management tashe initiative land and accountability - Septement recommendations - Ever management stateholder support across the organization to define business requirements - Septiators Directors and aproverse requirements of the organization to define business require | manufacturers, , ere kept in tent should nance and vility, impacting c. mpacts to |
|--|---|
| parts suppliers, and mechanical trade workers. N/A 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) Status Quo b. Status Quo Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, equipment scheduled for replacement we operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of types of Alternative grain and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of types of Alternative grain and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of types of Alternative grain and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of types of Alternative grain and rescheduled for replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle and an associated negative in customer response time and employee productivity. 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management V/Aice availability & reliability Employee and public safety concerns. Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Vehicle availability & reliability Compose timelines to support customers. The reliable, availability and safe fleet operations will also rely on: "Elect Management stakeholder support across the organization to define business requirements. ••Corporate Commitment to approved Capital and Operating Budgets required • UNA • Operations and requiree budget mitigation efforts ••Operation and requirements of the organization to define business requirements | tives and |
| S. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (DEB) Status Quo S. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (DEB) Status Quo S. Status Quo Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, equipment scheduled for replacement we operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of types of Alectra equipment have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these whickes be replaced as mainte repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle available service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public Regular equipment replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative in customer response time and employee productivity. 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Project/Activity (OEB) N/A 9. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Project/Activity (OEB) Via 9. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Project/Activity (OEB) Via 9. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Project/Activity (OEB) N/A 9. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Project Activity (OEB) N/A 9. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Risk | tives and |
| Alternative #1 N/A Alternative #2 None Justification for Recommended Alternative N/a 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. The reliable, availability and safe fleet operations will also rely on: •Elect Management as the initiative lead and accountability •SCM Operations Director reviews and approves replacement recommendations •Key management stakeholder support across the organization to define business requirements •Wehicles may be replaced by different models or types based on changes to operations, corporate initia customer requirements. •Wehicles may be replaced by different models or types based on changes to operations, corporate initia customer requirements. •Wehicles may be replaced by different models or types based on changes to operations, corporate initia customer requirements. •Wehicles may be replaced by different models or types based on changes to operations, corporate initia customer requirements. •Sterior regormer commitment to approved Capital and Operating Budgets required •#ny emerging requirements of the organization may change the planned replacement of vehicles such a the resources plan and required budget mitigation efforts | tives and |
| Alternative #2 None Justification for Recommended Alternative N/a 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. The reliable, availability and safe fleet operations will also rely on: -Elect Management as the initiative lead and accountability -SCM Operations Director reviews and approves replacement recommendations -Key management stakeholder support across the organization to define business requirements -Key management to approved Capital and Operating Budgets required -Any emerging requirements of the organization may change the planned replacement of vehicles such a the resources plan and required budget mitigation efforts | tives and |
| Justification for Recommended Alternative N/a 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. The reliable, availability and safe fleet operations will also rely on: •Elect Management as the initiative lead and accountability •SCM Operations Director reviews and approves replacement recommendations •Key management stakeholder support across the organization to define business requirements •Wehicles may be replaced by different models or types based on changes to operations, corporate initia customer requirements. •Corporate Commitment to approved Capital and Operating Budgets required •Any emerging requirements of the organization may change the planned replacement of vehicles such a the resources plan and required budget mitigation efforts | tives and |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) Risks to Completion and Risk Management Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. The reliable, availability and safe fleet operations will also rely on: Elect Management as the initiative lead and accountability Elect Management as the initiative lead and accountability SCM Operations Director reviews and approves replacement recommendations Key management stakeholder support across the organization to define business requirements Wehicles may be replaced by different models or types based on changes to operations, corporate initia customer requirements. Corporate Commitment to approved Capital and Operating Budgets required Any emerging requirements of the organization may change the planned replacement of vehicles such a the resources plan and required budget mitigation efforts | itives and |
| The reliable, availability and safe fleet operations will also rely on: •Eleet Management as the initiative lead and accountability •SCM Operations Director reviews and approves replacement recommendations •Key management stakeholder support across the organization to define business requirements •Key management stakeholder support across the organization to define business requirements •Key management stakeholder support across the organization to define business, corporate initia customer requirements. •Eorporate Commitment to approved Capital and Operating Budgets required •Any emerging requirements of the organization may change the planned replacement of vehicles such a the resources plan and required budget mitigation efforts | itives and |
| | as changes to |
| Comparative Information on Equivalent N/A | |
| Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable 0 Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Other Planning Objectives Met Operational improvements and safety Project/Activity (OEB) | |
| 45,000 | |
| 40,000 | |
| 35,000 | |
| 30,000 | |
| 25,000 | |
| 20,000 | |
| 15,000 | |
| 10,000 | |
| 5,000 | |
| 0 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 20 |)24 |
| ■ 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$40,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | ,000 |
| ■Actuals: \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | ,- 30 |
| | 50 |



Project Code 150958 Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Forklift Project Name Major Category General Plant 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Scenario Project Overview 2. Additional Information Service Territory St. Catherines Location Units **Project Class** Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital 0% Contributed Capital Expenditure Type Controllable Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Alectra Subcategory Fleet 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year equipment are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The equipment replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Non Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • ∎ehicle Age • ■ehicle Total Mileage ● Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") • Danadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable •Dorporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies A "first pass" screening process is used based on equipment age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process.

and power take on (PrO) hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital repracement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same type of equipment or a completely different type of equipment based on usability. Unit \$1265 will be a projected 29 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of equipment availability, decreasing productivity and challeneing planning and scheduling.

| | and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of equipment availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Main Driver - General Plant | Capital Investment Support |
| Priority and Reasons for Priority | Equipment availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, equipment scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that this equipment be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the equipment is no longer operate at full capacity, reducing equipment reliability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of equipment that have surpass there life spend. Regular equipment relacement is necessary to avoid unduedown and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. |
| Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | N/A |
| Safety | Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable equipment, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. |
| Cyber-Security, Privacy | N/A |
| | |

| | Coordinat | tion, Interoperability | | Alectra's fi Manufact Bidustry S Non-Indu Wehicle O Wehicle A Wehicle To Eanadian All related Motor Ve Bifrastruc Corporate | ve-year vehicle replacemen uring Standards itandards stry Standards perational Conditions ge otal Mileage Traffic Act (HTA) Motor Vehicle Safety Stand I CSA standards, specifically hicle Inspection Station (MM ture Health & Safety Associ e Health & Safety and Enviro | plan is based on the follor ards (CMVSS) those that relate to aerial IS) requirements tion (IHSA) of Ontario, wh nmental Policies | wing criteria guidelines: devices and hydraulic equ ere applicable | ipment |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Economic Environm Status Qu | : Development ental Benefits io | | Equipm parts supp New equip Due to l operation : have been repairs cos service del Regular customer r | ent purchases contribute to liers, and mechanical trade ment reduce emissions, gas soudget mitigation efforts du and rescheduled for replace replaced within the last fivu ts have increased and the v ivery and most importantly equipment replacement is esponse time and employe | the economy by supportin workers. consumption ring the last few years, equ ment in future years. As a years. It is now critical that shicles no longer operate a causing potential safety co tecessary to avoid undue ve a productivity. | ng the creation and suppo uipment scheduled for rep result, many of types of A at these vehicles be replac tf full capacity, reducing v oncern for our employees rehicle down and associat | ort of jobs at manufacturers, , placement were kept in lectra equipment should ced as maintenance and rehicle availability, impacting and the public. red negative impacts to |
| | Alternativ | /e #1 | | Replaceme Light Duty Replaceme | ent Assessment Criteria - Vehicles: Assessed at 7 year ent schedule: at 7 years, (15 | s and every year after, and 0,000 km). | I/or high mileage (excess | of 150,000 km) |
| | | | | Medium D Replaceme | uty Vehicles:Assessed at 10 ent schedule: at 10 years, (2 | years and every year after, 50,000 km). | and/or high mileage (exce | ess of 250,000 km) |
| | | | | Heavy Duty engine hou | y Vehicles: Assessed at 12-y Irs (excess of 12,000 engine | ear service, and every year hours) | after, and/or high mileag | e (excess of 500,000 km) High |
| | Alternativ Justificati | re #2 on for Recommended Alte | rnative | None N/a | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to C | ompletion and Risk Manag | gement | Vehicle ava Employee Increasing | ailability & reliability and public safety systems outages response t | melines to support custon | ners. | |
| | | | | The reliable •Eleet Mar •SCM Oper •Eley mana | e, availability and safe fleet nagement as the initiative le rations Director reviews and gement stakeholder suppor | operations will also rely or ad and accountability approves replacement rec t across the organization to | n: commendations o define business requirer | nents |
| | | | | ・湿ehicles r customer r ・②orporate ・盈ny emer the resourc | nay be replaced by different equirements. Commitment to approved ging requirements of the or ces plan and required budge | models or types based on Capital and Operating Bud ganization may change the tt mitigation efforts | changes to operations, co gets required planned replacement of | orporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to |
| | Comparat Historical | tive Information on Equiva Projects (if any) | alent | N/ARFP pro Historical s | ocessed will be followed for pend tracked from all regio | best value. ns | | |
| | Total Cap Energy Ge | ital and OM&A Costs for R eneration portion of Projec | enewable cts (if any) | 0 | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Pla | nning Objectives Met | | Operationa | al improvements | | | |
| | 120,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 80,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 60,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 20 | 20 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | : \$99,000 | \$0 | \$ | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$99,000 |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$ | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | |





Customer Attachment / Load (KVA)

Cyber-Security, Privacy

Economic Development

Environmental Benefits

Status Ouo

Coordination, Interoperability

Safety

Project Code 150962 Project Name Fleet East Unit # 61 Digger truck replacement Major Category General Plant Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream North & South Location Units 1 Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Componen Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) **Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Alectra Subcategory Fleet 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • Rehicle Age • Rehicle Total Mileage Replacement of single-bucket truck as per fleet guidelines. Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget

required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer

Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required

response time and employee productivity.

•@anadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (CMVSS)

•Motor Vehicle Inspection Station (MVIS) requirements

• Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies

dealers, parts suppliers, and mechanical trade workers

response time and employee productivity.

to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations.

Infrastructure Health & Safety Association (IHSA) of Ontario, where applicable

Alectra's five-year vehicle replacement plan is based on the following criteria guidelines:

•All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment

Vehicle purchases contribute to the economy by supporting the creation and support of jobs at automakers, car

Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept

in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public.

Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer

Improvements to engine emissions with newer models, which could count towards Environmental Benefits.

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

•⊠ehicle Age
•⊠ehicle Total Mileage
•Bighway Traffic Act (HTA)

Manufacturing Standards
 Mustry Standards
 Non-Industry Standards
 Qehicle Operational Conditions

5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of

Project and Project Alternatives (OEB)

| | Alternative #1 | | Replacemen Light Duty Vo Replacemen Medium Dut | t Assessment Criteria - ehicles: Assessed at 7 year t schedule: at 7 years, (150 cy Vehicles:Assessed at 10 y | s and every year after, and 0,000 km). years and every year after, | l/or high mileage (excess o and/or high mileage (exce | f 150,000 km) ss of 250,000 km) |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| | | | Replacemen Heavy Duty V engine hours | t schedule: at 10 years, (2 Vehicles: Assessed at 12-ye s (excess of 12,000 engine | 50,000 km). ear service, and every year hours) | after, and/or high mileage | e (excess of 500,000 km) High |
| | Alternative #2 Justification for Recommen | ded Alternative | Not Applicat Digger Truck replacement | ble «, 2007 vintage. As per pre : as of 2022. | sent annual mileage and h | iours run this unit has met | our threshold for |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Ris | k Management | Vehicle avai Employee ar Increasing sy | lability & reliability nd public safety rstems outages response ti | imelines to support custor | ners. | |
| | | | The reliable, •Eleet Mana •SCM Opera •Eey manage | availability and safe fleet gement as the initiative le- tions Director reviews and ement stakeholder support | operations will also rely or ad and accountability approves replacement rec t across the organization to | n: commendations o define business requirem | ients |
| | | | •⊠ehicles ma customer rec •©orporate C •⊠ny emergi the resource | ay be replaced by different quirements. Commitment to approved ng requirements of the org s plan and required budge | models or types based on Capital and Operating Bud ganization may change the tt mitigation efforts | changes to operations, co gets required planned replacement of v | rporate initiatives and ehicles such as changes to |
| | Comparative Information of Historical Projects (if any) | n Equivalent | RFP process Historical sp | will be followed for best vi end tracked from all regior | alue. ns | | |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Co Energy Generation portion | sts for Renewable of Projects (if any) | 0 | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Planning Objectives N | /let | Operational | Improvements | | | |
| | 500,000 - | | | | | | |
| | 450,000 | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 | | | | | | |
| | 350,000 | | | | | | |
| | 300,000 | | | | | | |
| | 250,000 | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 | | | | | | |
| | 50,000 | | | | | | |
| | 0 | | | 2024 | 2022 | 2022 | 2024 |
| | 2019 | 2 | 2020 \$0 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| Actuals: \$0 | , ۶۱۵ <u>, ۶۷</u> ۲۵ | | \$0 \$0 | ېن \$0 | ېن \$0 | ېن \$0 | \$445,576 \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | çu | <i></i> | <i></i> | <u> </u> |



Project Code 150967 Project Name Fleet East Unit # 125, 83' Double Bucket Major Category General Plant Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Project Overview 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream North & South Location Units 1 Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No Contributed Capital 0% 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital Expenditure Type Controllable Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Alectra Subcategory Fleet 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Non Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • Rehicle Age • Rehicle Total Mileage Replacement of double-bucket truck as per fleet guidelines. Replace Following Truck: 165 165 - 2002INTERNATIONAL DOUBLE BUCKET 63' - POSI Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not Applicable Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable Safety fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Cyber-Security, Privacy Not applicable Coordination, Interoperability Alectra's five-year vehicle replacement plan is based on the following criteria guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Non-Industry Standards Mehicle Operational Conditions ■ehicle Age • Pehicle Total Mileage • Bighway Traffic Act (HTA) Canadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station (MVIS) requirements Infrastructure Health & Safety Association (IHSA) of Ontario, where applicable Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies Vehicle purchases contribute to the economy by supporting the creation and support of jobs at automakers, car Economic Development dealers, parts suppliers, and mechanical trade workers.

Environmental Benefits

Improvments to engine emmisions with newer models, which could count towards Environmental Benefits.

| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Due to bud in operatior replaced wi have increas delivery and Regular veh response tir | get mitigation efforts durir and rescheduled for repla ihin the last five years. It is eed and the vehicles no lor most importantly causing icle replacement is necess ne and employee producti | ng the last few years, a nun ccement in future years. As now critical that these vel ger operate at full capacit, potential safety concern fa ary to avoid undue vehicle- vity. | nber of vehicles scheduler a result, many of Alectra nicles be replaced as mair , reducing vehicle availat or our employees and the down and associated neg | d for replacement were kept vehicles should have been ntenance and repairs costs oility, impacting service e public. ative impacts to customer | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| | Alternative #1 | Replacemer Light Duty V Replacemer | it Assessment Criteria - 'ehicles: Assessed at 7 year it schedule: at 7 years, (15 | rs and every year after, and 0,000 km). | /or high mileage (excess | of 150,000 km) | | |
| | | Medium Du Replacemer | ty Vehicles:Assessed at 10 It schedule: at 10 years, (2 | years and every year after, 50,000 km). | and/or high mileage (exce | ess of 250,000 km) | | |
| | | Heavy Duty engine hour | Vehicles: Assessed at 12-ye s (excess of 12,000 engine | ear service, and every year hours) | after, and/or high mileag | e (excess of 500,000 km) High | | |
| | Alternative #2 | Not applical | ble t the replacement guidelir | ues (mileage hours age) hy | 2020 | | | |
| | Sustinearion for Recommended Area | one has ne | the replacement guidem | ics (micage, nours, age, by | 2020 | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Manage | ement Vehicle ava Employee a Increasing s | ilability & reliability nd public safety ystems outages response t | imelines to support custon | ners. | | | |
| | | The reliable •Eleet Mana •SCM Opera •Key manag | availability and safe fleet agement as the initiative le tions Director reviews and ement stakeholder suppor | operations will also rely or ad and accountability I approves replacement rec t across the organization to | ι: ommendations ο define business requirer | nents | | |
| | | •월ehicles m customer re •ይorporate •볼까y emerg the resource | ay be replaced by different quirements. Commitment to approved ing requirements of the or es plan and required budge | models or types based on Capital and Operating Bud ganization may change the et mitigation efforts | changes to operations, co gets required planned replacement of | orporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to | | |
| | Comparative Information on Equival Historical Projects (if any) | on on Equivalent RFP process will be followed for best value. vy) Historical spend tracked from all regions | | | | | | |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Re Energy Generation portion of Project | enewable 0 ss (if any) | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Planning Objectives Met | Operationa | l Improvements | | | | | |
| | 700,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 600,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 500,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 300,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 0 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | \$660,970 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$660,970 | \$0 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |

Currency scale is in literal



Project Code 150968 Fleet East Vehicle replacement Pickup truck 2500 Project Name Major Category General Plant 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Scenario Project Overview 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream North & South Location Units 1 **Project Class** Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital 0% Contributed Capital Expenditure Type Controllable Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Alectra Subcategory Fleet 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Non Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • ∎ehicle Age • ■ehicle Total Mileage Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive Priority and Reasons for Priority employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity.

| Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not Applicable |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Safety | Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. |
| Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not applicable. |
| Coordination, Interoperability | Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Alectra's five-year vehicle replacement plan is based on the following criteria guidelines: •Manufacturing Standards •Mon-Industry Standards •Mon-Industry Standards •Mon-Industry Standards •Mehicle Operational Conditions •Wehicle Age •Mehicle Total Mileage •Highway Traffic Act (HTA) •Canadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station (MVIS) requirements •Mator Vehicle Inspection Station (MVIS) of Ontario, where applicable •Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies |
| Economic Development | Vehicle purchases contribute to the economy by supporting the creation and support of jobs at automakers, car dealers, parts suppliers, and mechanical trade workers. |
| Environmental Benefits | Improvments to engine emmissions with newer models, which could count towards Environmental Benefits. |
| | |

| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Quo Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | | | Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were key in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|-------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Alternative | #1 | | Replacemen Light Duty \ Replacemen | nt Assessment Criteria - /ehicles: Assessed at 7 yea nt schedule: at 7 years, (15 | irs and every year after, an 50,000 km). | d/or high mileage (excess o | of 150,000 km) | | |
| | | | | Medium Du Replaceme | ity Vehicles:Assessed at 10 nt schedule: at 10 years, (2 | 9 years and every year after 250,000 km). | ; and/or high mileage (exce | ess of 250,000 km) | | |
| | | | | Heavy Duty engine hou | Vehicles: Assessed at 12-y rs (excess of 12,000 engine | year service, and every yea e hours) | r after, and/or high mileag | e (excess of 500,000 km) High | | |
| | Alternative | #2 | native | Not applica Units have | ble met the replacement guid | elines by 2022 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | 6. General Information on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management Project/Activity (OEB) | | ement | Vehicle ava Employee a Increasing s | ailability & reliability and public safety systems outages response | timelines to support custo | mers. | | | |
| | | | | The reliable •Eleet Man •SCM Oper •Eey manag | e, availability and safe fleet agement as the initiative le ations Director reviews an gement stakeholder suppo | t operations will also rely o ead and accountability d approves replacement re rt across the organization f | on: commendations to define business requiren | nents | | |
| | | | | ・図ehicles m customer re ・図orporate ・風ny emerg the resource | aay be replaced by differen equirements. Commitment to approved ging requirements of the o es plan and required budg | it models or types based or I Capital and Operating Bur rganization may change th ret mitigation efforts | n changes to operations, co dgets required e planned replacement of | orporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to | | |
| | Comparativ Historical P | ve Information on Equiva rojects (if any) | lent | RFP process will be followed for best value. Historical spend tracked from all regions | | | | | | |
| | Total Capita Energy Gen | al and OM&A Costs for Re eration portion of Project | enewable ts (if any) | 0 | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Planr | ning Objectives Met | | Operationa | al Improvements | | | | | |
| | 70,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 60,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 50,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 30,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | | | | | | | | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | · \$59 701 | 2019 | 20 ¢ | 0 | 2021 \$0 | 2022 ¢n | 2023 ¢n | 2024 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | ې د | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | I | | | | | | | | | |



| utilities | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Project Code | 150975 | |
| Project Name | Fleet East Unit # 75 83' Double Bucket | |
| Major Category | General Plant | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory Location Units Project Class Project Includes R&D Technology Project or has Technology Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | Legacy PowerStream North & South 1 Regular No No No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital Expenditure Type Rates ID Alectra Grouping Alectra Subcategory | Contributed Capital 0% Controllable Rate Base Funded Fleet Renewal Fleet |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: •Wrandcruning Standards •Won Industry Standards •Wehicle Operational Conditions •Wehicle Age •Wehicle Total Mileage Replacement of double-bucket truck as per fleet guidelines. Replace Following Truck: 165 |
| | Main Driver - General Plant | 165 - 2002INTERNATIONAL DOUBLE BUCKET 63' - POSI |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety | Not Applicable Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy Coordination, Interoperability | Not applicable. Alectra's five-year vehicle replacement plan is based on the following criteria guidelines: •Manufacturing Standards •Mon-Industry Standards •Wehicle Operational Conditions •Wehicle Operational Conditions •Wehicle Total Mileage •Wehicle Total Mileage •Bighway Traffic Act (HTA) •Canadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (CMVSS) •MI related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station (IMVS) requirements •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station (IMSA) of Ontario, where applicable •Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies |
| | Economic Development Environmental Benefits | Vehicle purchases contribute to the economy by supporting the creation and support of jobs at automakers, car dealers, parts suppliers, and mechanical trade workers. Improvments to engine emmisions with newer models, which could count towards Environmental Benefits. |

| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Due to bud in operation replaced wi have increa delivery and Regular veh response tin | Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Alternative #1 | Replacemer Light Duty \ Replacemer | nt Assessment Criteria - /ehicles: Assessed at 7 year nt schedule: at 7 years, (15 | rs and every year after, and 0,000 km). | I/or high mileage (excess | of 150,000 km) | | | | |
| | | Medium Du Replacemer | ty Vehicles:Assessed at 10 nt schedule: at 10 years, (2 | years and every year after, 50,000 km). | and/or high mileage (exc | ess of 250,000 km) | | | | |
| | | Heavy Duty engine hour | Vehicles: Assessed at 12-ye rs (excess of 12,000 engine | ear service, and every year hours) | after, and/or high milea | ge (excess of 500,000 km) High | | | | |
| | Alternative #2 | Not applica | ble | (| 2022 | | | | | |
| | Justification for Recommended Alterr | hative Unit has me | et the replacement guidelin | ies (mileage, hours, age) by | / 2023 | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Manage | ment Vehicle ava Employee a Increasing s | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | | | | |
| | | The reliable •Eleet Man •SCM Oper •Key manag | , availability and safe fleet agement as the initiative le ations Director reviews and gement stakeholder suppor | operations will also rely or ad and accountability I approves replacement rec t across the organization to | n: commendations o define business require | ments | | | | |
| | | •⊠ehicles m customer re •©orporate •≜ny emerg the resource | ay be replaced by different equirements. Commitment to approved ging requirements of the or es plan and required budge | models or types based on Capital and Operating Bud ganization may change the et mitigation efforts | changes to operations, o gets required planned replacement of | orporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to | | | | |
| | Comparative Information on Equivale Historical Projects (if any) | ent RFP process Historical sp | s will be followed for best v pend tracked from all regio | alue. ns | | | | | | |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Rei Energy Generation portion of Projects | newable 0 s (if any) | | | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Planning Objectives Met | Operationa | I Improvements | | | | | | | |
| | 800,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 700,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 600,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 500,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 300,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | \$687,408 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$687,408 | | | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | | |



Project Code 150978 Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Cube Vans Project Name Major Category General Plant Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Project Overview 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream North & South Location Units 3 Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Expenditure Type Controllable Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Fleet Alectra Subcategory 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • ehicle Age • Rehicle Total Mileage Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not Applicable Safety Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Cyber-Security, Privacy Not applicable Coordination, Interoperability Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Alectra's five-year vehicle replacement plan is based on the following criteria guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Bndustry Standards Non-Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions ■ehicle Age Rehicle Total Mileage ● Bighway Traffic Act (HTA) • Canadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment Motor Vehicle Inspection Station (MVIS) requirements •Infrastructure Health & Safety Association (IHSA) of Ontario, where applicable •Dorporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies Economic Development Vehicle purchases contribute to the economy by supporting the creation and support of jobs at automakers, car dealers, parts suppliers, and mechanical trade workers **Environmental Benefits** Improvments to engine emmisions with newer models, which could count towards Environmental Benefits.

| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Due to bud in operation replaced wi have increa delivery and Regular veh response tin | Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Alternative #1 | Replacemer Light Duty \ Replacemer | nt Assessment Criteria - /ehicles: Assessed at 7 year nt schedule: at 7 years, (15 | rs and every year after, and 0,000 km). | I/or high mileage (excess | of 150,000 km) | | | |
| | | Medium Du Replacemer | ty Vehicles:Assessed at 10 nt schedule: at 10 years, (2 | years and every year after, 50,000 km). | and/or high mileage (exc | ess of 250,000 km) | | | |
| | | Heavy Duty engine hour | Vehicles: Assessed at 12-ye rs (excess of 12,000 engine | ear service, and every year hours) | after, and/or high mileag | e (excess of 500,000 km) High | | | |
| | Alternative #2 | Not applica | ble | | | | | | |
| | Justification for Recommended Alterr | native Units have i | met the replacement guide | lines by 2023 | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Manage | ment Vehicle ava Employee a Increasing s | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | | | |
| | | The reliable •Eleet Man •SCM Oper •Key manag | , availability and safe fleet agement as the initiative le ations Director reviews and gement stakeholder suppor | operations will also rely or ad and accountability I approves replacement rec t across the organization to | n: commendations o define business require | nents | | | |
| | | •⊠ehicles m customer re •₽orporate •▲ny emerg the resource | ay be replaced by different equirements. Commitment to approved ging requirements of the or es plan and required budge | models or types based on Capital and Operating Bud ganization may change the et mitigation efforts | changes to operations, c gets required planned replacement of | orporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to | | | |
| | Comparative Information on Equivale Historical Projects (if any) | ent RFP process Historical sp | s will be followed for best v pend tracked from all regio | alue. ns | | | | | |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Rei Energy Generation portion of Projects | newable 0 s (if any) | | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Planning Objectives Met | Operationa | I Improvements | | | | | | |
| | 800,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 700,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 600,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 500,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 300,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | \$748,304 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$748,304 | | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |



Project Code 150979 Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Extended Vans Project Name Major Category General Plant Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Project Overview 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream North & South Location Units 5 Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Expenditure Type Controllable Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Alectra Subcategory Fleet 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • ehicle Age • Rehicle Total Mileage Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not Applicable Safety Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Cyber-Security, Privacy Not applicable Coordination, Interoperability Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Alectra's five-year vehicle replacement plan is based on the following criteria guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Bndustry Standards Non-Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions ■ehicle Age Rehicle Total Mileage ●Bighway Traffic Act (HTA) • Canadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment Motor Vehicle Inspection Station (MVIS) requirements •Infrastructure Health & Safety Association (IHSA) of Ontario, where applicable •Dorporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies Economic Development Vehicle purchases contribute to the economy by supporting the creation and support of jobs at automakers, car dealers, parts suppliers, and mechanical trade workers **Environmental Benefits** Improvments to engine emmisions with newer models, which could count towards Environmental Benefits.

| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | :atus Quo | | | Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------------------|--|--|--|---|---------------|--|--|--|
| | Alternative | #1 | Re Lig Re | eplacement ght Duty Ve eplacement | Assessment Criteria - hicles: Assessed at 7 year schedule: at 7 years, (15 | rs and every year after, and 0,000 km). | l/or high mileage (excess o | f 150,000 km) | | | |
| | | | M | Medium Duty Vehicles: Assessed at 10 years and every year after, and/or high mileage (excess of 250,000 km) Replacement schedule: at 10 years, (250,000 km). | | | | | | | |
| | | | He | Heavy Duty Vehicles: Assessed at 12-year service, and every year after, and/or high mileage (excess of 500,000 km) High engine hours (excess of 12,000 engine hours) | | | | | | | |
| | Alternative | #2 | No | ot applicabl | e | lines hu 2022 | | | | | |
| | JUSTIFICATION | 1 for Recommended Alteri | native Ur | nits nave m | et the replacement guide | sines by 2023 | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | | | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | | | | |
| | | | Th ●E ●S | he reliable, a Bleet Manag SCM Operat Sey manage | availability and safe fleet gement as the initiative le ions Director reviews and ment stakeholder suppor | operations will also rely or ead and accountability I approves replacement rec t across the organization to | n: commendations o define business requirem | ients | | | |
| | | | | | Behicles may be replaced by different models or types based on changes to operations, corporate initiatives and customer requirements. Corporate Commitment to approved Capital and Operating Budgets required Any emerging requirements of the organization may change the planned replacement of vehicles such as changes to the resources plan and required budget mitigation efforts | | | | | | |
| | Comparativ Historical P | ve Information on Equival rojects (if any) | ent RF His | RFP process will be followed for best value. Historical spend tracked from all regions | | | | | | | |
| | Total Capita Energy Gen | al and OM&A Costs for Re eration portion of Project | newable 0 s (if any) | 0 | | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | ning Objectives Met | 0 | Operational | Improvements | | | | | | |
| | 250,000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 150,000 - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 50,000 - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2020 |) | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | 1: \$238,047 | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$238,047 | | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | | | |



Project Code 150980 Fleet East Vehicle replacement - Work Van Project Name Major Category General Plant Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Project Overview 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream North & South Location Units 2 Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Expenditure Type Controllable Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Fleet Alectra Subcategory 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • ehicle Age • Rehicle Total Mileage Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not Applicable Safety Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Cyber-Security, Privacy Not applicable Coordination, Interoperability Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Alectra's five-year vehicle replacement plan is based on the following criteria guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Bndustry Standards Non-Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions ■ehicle Age Rehicle Total Mileage ● Bighway Traffic Act (HTA) • Canadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment Motor Vehicle Inspection Station (MVIS) requirements •Infrastructure Health & Safety Association (IHSA) of Ontario, where applicable •Dorporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies Economic Development Vehicle purchases contribute to the economy by supporting the creation and support of jobs at automakers, car dealers, parts suppliers, and mechanical trade workers

Improvments to engine emmisions with newer models, which could count towards Environmental Benefits.

Page 359 of 485

Environmental Benefits

| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Due to bu in operati replaced v have incr delivery a Regular v response | Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Alternative #1 | Replacem Light Duty Replacem | ent Assessment Criteria - v Vehicles: Assessed at 7 yea ent schedule: at 7 years, (15 | irs and every year after, an 50,000 km). | nd/or high mileage (excess | of 150,000 km) | | | | |
| | | Medium I Replacem | Outy Vehicles:Assessed at 10 ent schedule: at 10 years, (2 |) years and every year after 250,000 km). | r, and/or high mileage (exc | cess of 250,000 km) | | | | |
| | | Heavy Du engine ho | ty Vehicles: Assessed at 12-y urs (excess of 12,000 engine | year service, and every yea e hours) | r after, and/or high milea | ge (excess of 500,000 km) High | | | | |
| | Alternative #2 | Not applic | able | nes by 2023 | | | | | | |
| | | | | , | | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Mar | agement Vehicle a Employee Increasing | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | | | | |
| | | The reliab •Eleet Ma •SCM Ope •Key man | le, availability and safe fleet nagement as the initiative le erations Director reviews an agement stakeholder suppo | t operations will also rely o ead and accountability d approves replacement re rt across the organization f | on: ecommendations to define business require | ments | | | | |
| | | ・堅ehicles customer ・配orporat ・風ny eme the resou | may be replaced by differen requirements. e Commitment to approvec rging requirements of the o rces plan and required budg | it models or types based of I Capital and Operating Bur rganization may change th ret mitigation efforts | n changes to operations, o dgets required le planned replacement of | corporate initiatives and | | | | |
| | Comparative Information on Equ Historical Projects (if any) | ivalent RFP proce Historical | RFP process will be followed for best value. Historical spend tracked from all regions | | | | | | | |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Energy Generation portion of Pro | Renewable 0 ects (if any) | | | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Planning Objectives Met | Operation | nal Improvements | | | | | | | |
| | 80,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 70,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 60,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 50,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 30,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | \$67,528 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$67,528 | | | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | | |



Project Code 151007 Project Name Fleet West Vehicle Replacement Trailers Major Category General Plant Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Hamilton Location Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Alectra Subcategory Fleet 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Non Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • Rehicle Age • Pehicle Total Mileage • Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") •Eanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Units 1-433, 1-435, 1-436, 1-437, 1-439, 1-440, 1-441, 1-442, 1-444 will be a projected 12 to 35 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable Safety fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations.

Cyber-Security, Privacy

| | Coordinat | ion, Interoperability | | Alectra's fi Manufact Bidustry S Non-Indu Vehicle O Vehicle A Vehicle To Canadian All related Motor Ve Bifrastruc Corporate | ve-year vehicle replacemer uring Standards itandards stry Standards perational Conditions ge tal Mileage Traffic Act (HTA) Motor Vehicle Safety Stand d CSA standards, specificall hicle Inspection Station (M ture Health & Safety Assoc Health & Safety and Envir | tt plan is based on the follo dards (CMVSS) y those that relate to aeria VIS) requirements iation (IHSA) of Ontario, w onmental Policies | owing criteria guidelines: I devices and hydraulic equ here applicable | ipment | | |
|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Economic Environme Status Que | Development ental Benefits o | | Vehicle dealers, pa New vehicl Due to l kept in ope been repla costs have delivery an Regular response ti | purchases contribute to th rts suppliers, and mechani es reduce emissions, gas c budget mitigation efforts d ration and rescheduled fo ced within the last five yea increased and the vehicles d most importantly causin vehicle replacement is nec ime and employee product | e economy by supporting i cal trade workers. onsumption uring the last few years, a r replacement in future years, rs. It is now critical that th no longer operate at full of g potential safety concern essary to avoid undue veh ivity. | the creation and support of number of vehicles schedu ars. As a result, many of Al ese vehicles be replaced as apacity, reducing vehicle a for our employees and the icle down and associated r | of jobs at automakers, car led for replacement were ectra vehicles should have s maintenance and repairs vailability, impacting service : public. legative impacts to customer | | |
| | Alternativ | e #1 | | Replaceme Light Duty Replaceme Medium D Replaceme Heavy Duty engine hou | ent Assessment Criteria - Vehicles: Assessed at 7 year Int schedule: at 7 years, (19 uty Vehicles: Assessed at 10 Int schedule: at 10 years, (2 y Vehicles: Assessed at 12- Irs (excess of 12,000 engin | irs and every year after, an 50,000 km). I years and every year after 250,000 km). year service, and every yea e hours) | d/or high mileage (excess ; and/or high mileage (exc r after, and/or high mileag | of 150,000 km) ess of 250,000 km) e (excess of 500,000 km) High | | |
| | Alternative Justificatio | e #2 on for Recommended Alte | rnative | None N/a | | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Co | empletion and Risk Manag | gement | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. The reliable, availability and safe fleet operations will also rely on: •Eleet Management as the initiative lead and accountability •SCM Operations Director reviews and approves replacement recommendations •Rey management stakeholder support across the organization to define business requirements •Wehicles may be replaced by different models or types based on changes to operations, corporate initiatives and customer requirements. •Orporate Commitment to approved Capital and Operating Budgets required •Any emerging requirements of the organization may change the planned replacement of vehicles such as changes to the resources plan and required budget mitigation efforts | | | | | | |
| | Comparati Historical Total Capi Energy Ge | ive Information on Equiva Projects (if any) tal and OM&A Costs for R neration portion of Projec | alent enewable :ts (if any) | N/ARFP pro Historical s 0 | ocessed will be followed fo pend tracked from all regio | r best value. ons | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plar | nning Objectives Met | | Operationa | al improvements | | | | | |
| | 70,000 60,000 50,000 40,000 30,000 20,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitter | 0 - | 2019 \$0 | 20 | 020 | 2021 \$0 | 2022 \$0 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | Ş | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |





Project Code 151013 Project Name Fleet_West_Vehicle_Replacement_Bucket Truck_1-354 Major Category General Plant Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Hamilton Location Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Fleet Alectra Subcategory 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • Rehicle Age • ■ehicle Total Mileage • Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") •Eanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Unit 1-354 will be a projected 17 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old unit, 1-354, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable Safety fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Cyber-Security, Privacy N/A

| | Coordinatio | on, Interoperability | | Alectra's fiv •Manufactu •Brdustry St •Non-Indus •Wehicle Op •Wehicle Ag •Wehicle Tot •Bighway Tr •Canadian f •All related •Motor Veh •Binfrastruct •Corporate | e-year vehicle replacemen rring Standards andards try Standards erational Conditions e tal Mileage raffic Act (HTA) Votor Vehicle Safety Stand CSA standards, specifically icle Inspection Station (M ure Health & Safety Assoc Health & Safety and Envir | dards (CMVSS) those that relate to aerial VIS) requirements ation (IHSA) of Ontario, who onmental Policies | wing criteria guidelines: devices and hydraulic equ ere applicable | ipment | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Development ntal Benefits | | Vehicle purchases contribute to the economy by supporting the creation and support of jobs at automakers, car dealers, parts suppliers, and mechanical trade workers. New vehicles reduce emissions, gas consumption Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. | | | | | | | |
| | Alternative | #1 | | Replacemer Light Duty V Replacemer Medium Du Replacemer | nt Assessment Criteria - /ehicles: Assessed at 7 yea nt schedule: at 7 years, (15 ty Vehicles:Assessed at 10 nt schedule: at 10 years, (2 | rs and every year after, and 30,000 km). I years and every year after, 50,000 km). | d/or high mileage (excess o and/or high mileage (exce | of 150,000 km) ess of 250,000 km) | | |
| | | | | Heavy Duty engine hour | Vehicles: Assessed at 12-y rs (excess of 12,000 engine | rear service, and every year e hours) | after, and/or high mileag | e (excess of 500,000 km) High | | |
| | Alternative Justificatior | #2 n for Recommended Alterr | native | N/A N/A | | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | | | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. The reliable, availability and safe fleet operations will also rely on: •Elect Management as the initiative lead and accountability •BCM Operations Director reviews and approves replacement recommendations •Key management stakeholder support across the organization to define business requirements | | | | | | |
| | | | | Rehicles m customer re Corporate Any emerged the resource | ay be replaced by differen equirements. Commitment to approved ing requirements of the o es plan and required budg | t models or types based on I Capital and Operating Buc rganization may change the et mitigation efforts | e changes to operations, co Igets required e planned replacement of v | vrporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to | | |
| | Comparativ Historical P | ve Information on Equival rojects (if any) | ent | N/ARFP processed will be followed for best value. Historical spend tracked from all regions | | | | | | |
| 7 Category-Specific Requirements for Each | Total Capita Energy Gen | al and OM&A Costs for Rei leration portion of Projects | newable s (if any) | 0 Operational | Improvements | | | | | |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | other Hum | ing objectives wet | | operational | mprovements | | | | | |
| | 450,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 350,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 250,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 150,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 50,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2 | 020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | : \$400,000 | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$400,000 | \$0 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | | |





Project Code 151016 Project Name Fleet West Vehicle Replacement Pickups Major Category General Plant Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Hamilton Location Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Fleet Alectra Subcategory 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Non Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • Rehicle Age • Rehicle Total Mileage • Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") •Eanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Units 1-375 & 1-378 will be a projected 12 to 13 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable Safety fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Cyber-Security, Privacy N/A

| | Coordinati | on, Interoperability | | Alectra's fiv •Manufactu •Brdustry St •Non-Indus ®dehicle Op •Wehicle Ag •Wehicle Tot •Bighway Tr •Canadian T •All related •Motor Veh •Binfrastruct •Corporate | e-year vehicle replacemen rring Standards andards rry Standards erational Conditions e al Mileage affic Act (HTA) Motor Vehicle Safety Stanc CSA standards, specifically icle Inspection Station (MV ree Health & Safety Associ Health & Safety and Enviro | t plan is based on the folic ards (CMVSS) those that relate to aerial //S) requirements ation (IHSA) of Ontario, wh nmental Policies | wing criteria guidelines: I devices and hydraulic equ nere applicable | ipment | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Economic I Environme Status Quo | Development ntal Benefits | | Vehicle p dealers, par New vehicle Due to b kept in opeu been replac costs have i delivery and Regular v response tir | urchases contribute to the ts suppliers, and mechanic is reduce emissions, gas co udget mitigation efforts du ation and rescheduled for ed within the last five year ncreased and the vehicles I most importantly causing ehicle replacement is necc ne and employee producti | e economy by supporting t al trade workers. nsumption rring the last few years, a r replacement in future yea s. It is now critical that the no longer operate at full c ; potential safety concern sesary to avoid undue vehi vity. | he creation and support of number of vehicles schedu rrs. As a result, many of Al ese vehicles be replaced as apacity, reducing vehicle a for our employees and the icle down and associated r | of jobs at automakers, car led for replacement were ectra vehicles should have smaintenance and repairs vailability, impacting service public. legative impacts to customer | |
| | Alternative | #1 | | Replacemer Light Duty V Replacemer | nt Assessment Criteria - Yehicles: Assessed at 7 yea at schedule: at 7 years, (15 | rs and every year after, an 0,000 km). | d/or high mileage (excess o | of 150,000 km) | |
| | | | | Medium Du Replacemer | ty Vehicles:Assessed at 10 at schedule: at 10 years, (2 | years and every year after, 50,000 km). | , and/or high mileage (exce | ess of 250,000 km) | |
| | | | | Heavy Duty engine hour | Vehicles: Assessed at 12-y s (excess of 12,000 engine | ear service, and every year hours) | r after, and/or high mileag | e (excess of 500,000 km) High | |
| | Alternative Justificatio | #2 n for Recommended Alter | native | None N/a | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Co | mpletion and Risk Manage | ment | Vehicle avai Employee a Increasing s | lability & reliability nd public safety ystems outages response t | imelines to support custor | mers. | | |
| | | | | The reliable •Eleet Mana •SCM Opera •Eley manag | , availability and safe fleet agement as the initiative le ations Director reviews and gement stakeholder suppo | operations will also rely o ad and accountability I approves replacement re t across the organization t | n: commendations :o define business requiren | nents | |
| | | | | Wehicles may be replaced by different models or types based on changes to operations, corporate initiatives and customer requirements. Corporate Commitment to approved Capital and Operating Budgets required Any emerging requirements of the organization may change the planned replacement of vehicles such as changes to the resources plan and required budget mitigation efforts | | | | | |
| | Comparativ Historical F | ve Information on Equival Projects (if any) | ent | N/ARFP pro Historical sp | cessed will be followed for end tracked from all regio | best value. ns | | | |
| | Total Capit Energy Ger | al and OM&A Costs for Re neration portion of Project | newable s (if any) | 0 | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | ning Objectives Met | | Operational | improvements | | | | |
| | 120,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 80,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 60,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2 | 020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Actuals: \$0 | : \$110,000 | \$0 \$0 | | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$110,000 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | · | | | | | | · | |
| | | | | | | | | | |





Project Code 151018 Fleet West_Vehicle_Replacement_Trailer Project Name Major Category General Plant Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Hamilton Location Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Alectra Subcategory Fleet 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Non Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • Rehicle Age • Rehicle Total Mileage • Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") •Eanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Unit 1-414 will be a projected 31 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable Safety fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required

Cyber-Security, Privacy

to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations.

| | Coordinati | on, Interoperability | | Alectra's fiv Manufactu Endustry St Non-Indust Stehicle Op Vehicle Ag Vehicle Tot Eighway Tr Canadian M All related Motor Veh Enfrastructu Corporate | e-year vehicle replacement ring Standards andards erational Conditions al Mileage affic Act (HTA) Aotor Vehicle Safety Stand CSA standards, specifically icle Inspection Station (MV rer Health & Safety Associa Health & Safety and Enviro | plan is based on the followi ards (CMVSS) those that relate to aerial de 15) requirements ation (IHSA) of Ontario, wher nmental Policies | ing criteria guidelines: evices and hydraulic equ re applicable | ipment | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Economic l Environme Status Quo | Development Intal Benefits | | Vehicle p dealers, par New vehicle Due to bi kept in oper been replac costs have in delivery and Regular v response tin | urchases contribute to the ts suppliers, and mechanic s reduce emissions, gas co udget mitigation efforts du ation and rescheduled for ed within the last five year creased and the vehicles I most importantly causing ehicle replacement is nece ne and employee production | economy by supporting the al trade workers. nsumption ring the last few years, a nur replacement in future years. s. It is now critical that these to longer operate at full cap potential safety concern for ssary to avoid undue vehicle <i>v</i> ity. | e creation and support of mber of vehicles schedu . As a result, many of Ale a vehicles be replaced as acity, reducing vehicle a r our employees and the e down and associated n | f jobs at automakers, car led for replacement were ectra vehicles should have maintenance and repairs vailability, impacting service public. egative impacts to customer | |
| | Alternative | : #1 | | Replacemer Light Duty V Replacemer Medium Du | it Assessment Criteria - 'ehicles: Assessed at 7 year it schedule: at 7 years, (150 ty Vehicles:Assessed at 10 | s and every year after, and/o 0,000 km). years and every year after, an | or high mileage (excess o nd/or high mileage (exce | of 150,000 km) ess of 250,000 km) | |
| | | | | Replacemer Heavy Duty engine hour | tt schedule: at 10 years, (2 Vehicles: Assessed at 12-ye s (excess of 12,000 engine | 50,000 km). ear service, and every year at hours) | fter, and/or high mileag | e (excess of 500,000 km) High | |
| | Alternative Justificatio | e #2 n for Recommended Alter | native | None N/a | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Co | mpletion and Risk Manage | ement | Vehicle avai Employee a Increasing s | lability & reliability nd public safety ystems outages response ti | melines to support custome | ers. | | |
| | | | | The reliable, availability and safe fleet operations will also rely on: •Eleet Management as the initiative lead and accountability •SCM Operations Director reviews and approves replacement recommendations •Key management stakeholder support across the organization to define business requirements | | | | | |
| | | | | Wehicles may be replaced by different models or types based on changes to operations, corporate initiatives and customer requirements. Corporate Commitment to approved Capital and Operating Budgets required Any emerging requirements of the organization may change the planned replacement of vehicles such as changes to the resources plan and required mitigation efforts | | | | | |
| | Comparati Historical F | ve Information on Equival Projects (if any) | ent | /ARFP proce Historical sp | essed will be followed for b end tracked from all region | est value. ns | | | |
| | Total Capit Energy Ger | al and OM&A Costs for Re neration portion of Project | newable s (if any) | 0 | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | ning Objectives Met | | Operational | improvements | | | | |
| | 120,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 80,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 60,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2 | 020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | :\$110,000 | \$0 | | \$0 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$110,000 | \$0 \$0 | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | ŞU | | οų | ŞU | Ų | ŞU | ου | |
| | | | | | | | | | |




OEB Multi-Project Report

151022

New Three Sector WiMAX Node - MS305

| Project Name Major Category | New Three Sector WiMAX Node - MS305 System Service | |
|---|--|--|
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | Constant Tradition | Losse Devertieren facht |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South |
| | Location | MISBUS, ESSA KOAd, Barrie |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | Yes |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | System Control, Comm'ns & Performance |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Scada & Scada Communications N |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | The scope of this project is the implementation of a new WiMAX communications node at MS305. The project will include the following components: - installation of 6 runs of AVA-7 coax cable and cable mamnagement on the tower; - purchase and installation of three antennae on top of the tower and WiMAX radios at the base of the tower; - purchase and installation of an managed RSG2100 Ethernet switch in the communications shelter; - installation of power supplies in the MS The expectation is that this node will provide service to the South Western area of Barrie Note: This project can only be executed if Project 10826 is executed in 2018. The tower is required host the 3 sector WiMAX base Station. |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Paliability |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | This project should have a high priority |
| | Phoney and reasons to Phoney | This new node is required to accommodate Network Operations' broadband communication needs in the South West part of Barrie. There is no effective, Alectra owned, wireless broadband service in thiThe area around MS305 will continue to develop. The distribution grid around MS305 will receive numerous "SMART" SCADA controllable devices, capable of distribution automation. This new WiMAX node will accommodate the needed SCADA controllable devices, SMART devices, including overhead reclosers and pad-mounted switchgears , distributed energy resources at customer locations and FIT monitoring appliances. The node will supply Transfer Trip capability to FIT generators. The WiMAX new node will also support peer to peer communications, the backhaul protocol for deployment of autonomous, fully automatic distribution automation schemes. Finally, the new WiMAX node can provide broadband connectivity to Ethernet enabled revenue meters should this service be requested by Metering. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Current load supplied by MS305 is 20,000 kVA. There are 4000 customers connected to MS305. |
| | Safety | This project has a marginal safety component. The new WiMAX node will provide a more effective means to communicate to SCADA controllable devices in the this part of Alectra's Service territory. Information will flow more quickly from devices on the grid to SCADA, enabling System Control to have a greater awareness of the happenings on the grid. Decisions to resolve issues can be made more quickly. WIMAX can transport peer to peer protocols such as GOOSE, enabling deployment of autonomous, automatic fault isolation and service restoration schemes. Such schemes quickly isolate the faulted line section and brings power back into those still healthy segments, ensuring devices critical to public safety such as traffic lights and street lights remain in service. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | WiMAX has inherent cyber security features. Only those devices configured in the WiMAX Base Station radio access list are allowed to connect. All communication is encrypted to AES 256 standard. |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | There are currently 7 WiMAX nodes in the Alectra East, providing communication to a number of Alectra substations and field devices. Alectra P&C Staff have a wealth of experience supporting and maintaining the WiMAX Communication platform. Alectra has a good inventory of WiMAX Base Station critical spares. |
| | Economic Development | Not Applicable |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Do Nothing. Continue to exist with the present situation. Continue to be unable to connect to devices on the distribution grid via WiMAX in this part of the Alectra Service territory. This is unacceptable for the following reasons: - Alectra is expected to monitor and trip FIT Generators. Rules and regulations expect FIT generators to be monitored and to be removed from the distribution grid in the event of issue on the connected feeder. Alectra's standard is for 1.8GHz WiMAX to be the transport mechanism for these channels. FIT generators in areas of South West Barrie are unable to connect to existing WiMAX Base Stations due to terrain. These locations are too far from the MS407 WiMAX Base. A number of upcoming FITs in South Barrie are unable to be connected to exist of effective signal. |

| | Alternative Alternative | #1 | | It is recomme with ISED reg Another alter | ended to proceed with this ulations for utility data co mative would be to use a | s project, and implement ommunications. 3rd party cellular service | t a new three sector WiMA to provide SCADA connect | X node at MS305. This aligns ivity to devices such as | |
|--|----------------------------|---|--------------|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | - Alectra wor - Service coul | generators and SMART Fa uld incur an ever increasin d be compromised at time | ault Indicators. This is no ig, ongoing monthly cost es of adverse weather or | t a recommended solution and energy due to the fact | for the following reasons: | |
| | | | | with other ce Alectra would class of device | llular data users for data : Id not be in control of the e. For instance, a recloser | service from the nearest e service. We could not co participating in an autor | cell tower; onfigure the service to opti matic restoration scheme s | mize service for a particular hould be given a higher | |
| | | | | priority class - the cellular automation s | of service then a video ser service wouldn't support p schemes. | rvice from a vault; peer to peer communica | tions, required for autonor | nous, automatic distribution | |
| | Justification | n for Recommended Alternative | e | It is recomme Justifications | ended to proceed with Alt for this are as follows: | ernative #1, and to put i | n place a new, three sector | WiMAX node at MS305. | |
| | | | | service requ "SMART" dev we have exp proven to be highly secure extreme envi we retain co | irrement expectations will vices in the South West pa berience: there are a numl an effective service for th , fully controllable, robust ronmental interference, w ontrol: Alectra will lose coi | be met: Network Opera rt of Barrie will be with a ber of existing 1.8GHz W e communication needs ;, licensed wireless servic whether electromagnetic ntrol of the wireless serv | tions' communication need new three sector node in /iMAX nodes in service at A of Alectra's Network Opera e with high throughputs ar or environmental; ice if we chose to use a 3rd | Is for connectivity to service at MS305; lectra. WiMAX has been ations. It is fully configurable, id excellent tolerance to party provider such as | |
| | | | | cellular. We owned and c | will have a greater chance ontrolled segments; | e of compromise as our c | ritical Operations data will | be flowing over non Alectra | |
| | | | | increased co distribution g OM&A type o utilization o | osts: Alectra needs to cont rid in South West Barrie. I costs will be required. ISEE of existing resources: the m | tinue to provide SCADA of If there is no WiMAX sen O there are no more licer nain expenditures to imp | connectivity to "SMART" de vice available, a 3rd party s used 400MHz or 900MHz fr lement a three sector node | vices installed on the ervice with its high monthly equencies available; e in this part of Alectra's | |
| | | | | - a stro - there are no spectrum, ha | ong, all weld, 150' tower ca other licensed services a s allotted the 1.8GHz ban | apable of expansion to 2 vailable: ISED, the federa d to utilities for their Op | 00' is already installed at N al government body in char erations communications n | 15305; ge of the public radio eeds. The licensed 400MHz | |
| | | | | utilities such | as Alectra, are to use 1.80 | GHZ. So we are following | ISED directives. | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OFB) | Risks to Co | mpletion and Risk Managemen | t | P&C anticipa | tes no risk to project comp | pletion. P&C has much e | xperience implementing W | iMAX Base Station nodes. | |
| | Comparativ Historical P | ve Information on Equivalent rojects (if any) | | P&C has much experience deploying WiMAX nodes in Alectra. | | | | | |
| | Total Capit Energy Ger | al and OM&A Costs for Renewa eration portion of Projects (if a | ible iny) | U | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to terms of Co | Customers of Project Expressed ost Impact, where practicable | d in | Not applicable. | | | | | |
| | Regional El which affeo | ectricity Infrastructure Require t Project, if applicable | ments | Not applicable. | | | | | |
| | Descriptior Technology | of Incorporation of Advanced , if applicable | | Not applicable | | | | | |
| | Identify an coordinatio | y reliability, efficiency, safety or on benefits | r | Licensed 1.80 900MHz. It is | GHZ WiMAX is an inherent therefore more reliable for | ly more reliable broadba or SCADA communication | nd communications methon n and for transfer trips | odology than unlicensed | |
| | 140,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 120,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 80,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 60,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 20 | 20 | 2021 | 2022 | 2022 | 2024 | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | : \$128,696 | \$0 | \$128 | 3,696 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$ | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |



Project Code 151029 Fleet West_Vehicle_Replacement_Pickups Project Name Major Category General Plant Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Hamilton Location Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Alectra Subcategory Fleet 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Non Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • Rehicle Age • Pehicle Total Mileage • Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") •Eanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Units 1-397, 1-133, 1-394, 1-395, 1-311, 1-312, 1-391 will be a projected 7 to 13 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable Safety fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Cyber-Security, Privacy N/A

| | Coordinati | on, Interoperability | | Alectra's fiv •Manufactu •Industry St •Non-Indus •Wehicle Op •Wehicle Go •Wehicle Tot •Bighway Tu •Canadian I •All related •Motor Veh •Bhfrastruct | e-year vehicle replacement rring Standards andards erational Conditions e al Mileage affic Act (HTA) Motor Vehicle Safety Stand CSA standards, specifically icle Inspection Station (MV rue Health & Safety Associa Health & Safety and Enviro | plan is based on the follo ards (CMVSS) those that relate to aerial 15) requirements ution (IHSA) of Ontario, wh nmental Policies | wing criteria guidelines: devices and hydraulic equ ere applicable | ipment |
|---|--|---|------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Economic Environme Status Quo | Development Intal Benefits | | Vehicle p dealers, par New vehicle Due to b kept in oper been replac costs have i delivery and Regular v response tir | urchases contribute to the ts suppliers, and mechanic is reduce emissions, gas co udget mitigation efforts du ation and rescheduled for ed within the last five year ncreased and the vehicles i I most importantly causing ehicle replacement is nece ne and employee producti | economy by supporting ti al trade workers. nsumption ring the last few years, a n replacement in future yea s. It is now critical that the no longer operate at full cc potential safety concern f ssary to avoid undue vehi <i>i</i> ty. | ne creation and support of umber of vehicles schedu rs. As a result, many of Al se vehicles be replaced as upacity, reducing vehicle a or our employees and the cle down and associated r | of jobs at automakers, car led for replacement were ectra vehicles should have maintenance and repairs vailability, impacting service public. legative impacts to customer |
| | Alternative | 2 #1 | | Replacemer Light Duty V Replacemer Medium Du Replacemer | tt Assessment Criteria - 'ehicles: Assessed at 7 year tt schedule: at 7 years, (15 ty Vehicles:Assessed at 10 tt schedule: at 10 years, (2) | s and every year after, and 0,000 km). years and every year after, 50,000 km). | l/or high mileage (excess o and/or high mileage (exce | of 150,000 km) 255 of 250,000 km) |
| | | | | Heavy Duty engine hour | Vehicles: Assessed at 12-ye s (excess of 12,000 engine | ear service, and every year hours) | after, and/or high mileag | e (excess of 500,000 km) High |
| | Alternative Justificatio | e #2 n for Recommended Alterr | native | None N/a | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Co | mpletion and Risk Manage | ment | Vehicle avai Employee a Increasing s The reliable •Eleet Mana •SCM Opera | lability & reliability nd public safety ystems outages response t , availability and safe fleet agement as the initiative le tions Director reviews and gement stakeholder suppor | imelines to support custor operations will also rely or ad and accountability approves replacement rer t across the organization t | ners. 1: commendations 0 define business requiren | nents |
| | | | | •⊠ehicles m customer re •©orporate •⊠ny emerg the resource | ay be replaced by different quirements. Commitment to approved ing requirements of the on es plan and required budge | models or types based on Capital and Operating Buo ganization may change the et mitigation efforts | changes to operations, co gets required planned replacement of t | orporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to |
| | Comparati Historical f Total Capit | ve Information on Equival Projects (if any) :al and OM&A Costs for Re | ent | N/ARFP pro Historical sp 0 | cessed will be followed for end tracked from all regio | best value. ns | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | ning Objectives Met | s (it any) | Operational | improvements | | | |
| | 180,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 160,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 140,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 120,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 60,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 40.000 | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | | | | | a a | | |
| 2010-2024 - EINAL DCD Submitted | · \$160 000 | 2019 | 20 | 020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| Actuals: \$0 | i. \$109,000 | \$U \$0 | \$ | 50 50 | \$U \$0 | \$U \$0 | ۶0 \$0 | \$0 \$109,000 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | ~~ | Ŷ | | ֥ | ֥ | ΨŬ | |
| Currency scale is III literal | | | | | | | | |





Project Name

OEB Multi-Project Report

151072

Station Service Transfer Upgrade - Vaughan TS#3

Major Category System Renewal Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream South Location Vaughan TS3, 6531 Rutherford Road, Vaughan Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Componen Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) **Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Substation Renewal Alectra Subcategory Scada & Scada Communications R 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Upgrade the station service transfer scheme by replacing the manual transfer switches with an automatic transfer switch as used in other Alectra transformer stations. Main Driver - System Renewal Mitigate Failure Risks Priority and Reasons for Priority A station blackout occurred on March 24, 2016 when a fault on the M3 feeder interrupted one of the station service supplies. Since there is a manual transfer scheme at VTS3, the alternate station service supply could not provide instantaneous backup. At the same time, the battery bank was unknowingly compromised due to a loose battery link. The 125V DC system at VTS3 lost power and caused the entire station to trip off line. An automatic transfer schem would have helped the station ride through the fault. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not applicable Safety Not applicable Cyber-Security, Privacy Not applicable Coordination, Interoperability If station service and DC supply are lost simultaneously, then the station could be out of service for three or four hours while crews mobilize, troubleshoot and restore the station service. Economic Development Not applicable **Environmental Benefits** Not applicable 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Quo Do nothing. Leave the station service manual transfer scheme as is. Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) The status quo is not recommended because the existing risk of interruption to the station service and at the same time, the battery bank could be in a compromised state leaving the station with DC power leading to a complete black out at the station Alternative #1 Upgrade the station service transfer scheme by replacing the manual transfer switches with an automatic transfer switch as used in other Alectra transformer stations. There are costs involved. Alternative #2 Not applicable Justification for Recommended Alternative A station blackout occurred on March 24, 2016 when a fault on the M3 feeder interrupted one of the station service supplies. Since there is a manual transfer scheme at VTS3, the alternate station service supply could not provide instantaneous backup. At the same time, the battery bank was unknowingly compromised due to a loose battery link. The 125V DC system at VTS3 lost power and caused the entire station to trip off line. An automatic transfer scheme would have helped the station ride through the fault conditions. Upgrading to an automated station service transfer scheme is one of the recommendations stemming from the attached report. 6. General Information on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management There are some risks due to: Project/Activity (OEB) - AC power will be lost while the station service transfer scheme is upgraded with an automated transfer switch. Comparative Information on Equivalent Not applicable Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable 0 Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Description of the Relationship between the Not applicable Project/Activity (OEB) Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Not applicable Performance Record Number of Customers in Each Customer Class 36000 Potentially Affected by Asset Failure Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or There is low risk that the AC supply and the battery bank will be lost at the same time. duration of interruptions and associated risk level) Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer Due to the rare occurrence, customer satisfaction and customer migration are not likely to be affected significantly. satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level Value of Customer Impact High Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any This project is one year project.. Due to the risk exposure, it is recommended to complete this project as soon as resources and approvals are available. Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Not applicable Implications of Not Implementing Reliability and Safety Factors Not applicable



Currency scale is in literal



OEB Multi-Project Report

151117

Vansickle TS True-up Payment (CCRA), St.Catharines General Plant

| Project Name | Vansickle TS True-up Payment (CCRA), St.Cathari | nes |
|---|--|--|
| Major Category | General Plant | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | St. Catherines |
| | Units | |
| | Droject Class | No Purdoo |
| | Project Includes P&D | No |
| | Technology Project or bas Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Connection & Cost Recovery Agreements |
| | Alectra Subcategory | CCRA Payments |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Alectra (former Horizon Utilities) is party to a Connection and Cost Recovery Agreement (CCRA) with Hydro One Networks Inc. ("HONI") dated May 2008. This agreement provided for the upgrade of the Vansickle Transformer Station (TS) on behalf of Hydro One Networks Inc. for the purpose of meeting anticipated electricity load growth in St.Catharines. A need for new transformation capacity was identified to meet existing and future demand growth in the South-West area of St.Catharines. The proposed station expansion was designed to offload Carlton T5 T5/T6 that was exceeding |
| | | transformation capacity as well as existing Vansickle TS facilities nearing capacity. |
| | | Under the Transmission System Code ("TSC"), and consequently the CCRA, Alectra was required to provide HONI with an initial capital contribution ("Initial Capital Contribution") based on the difference (the "Difference") between the total capital cost of constructing the TS and a projection of transformation revenue (the "HONI Revenue") earned on the conveyance of electricity through the TS. The Difference represents a contingent debt obligation of Alectra based on the extent that historical actual and forecast HONI Revenue during the CCRA term is less than the amount of HONI revenue projected as a basis for the determination of the Initial Capital Contribution. Conversely, Alectra is entitled to rebate of the Capital Contribution based on the extent that historical actual and forecast HONI Revenue during the CCRA term is greater than the amount of HONI Revenue projected as a basis for the determination of the Initial Capital Contribution. |
| | | As per the TSC, and consequently CCRA for low risk connections, HONI is required to complete a true-up on the five, te and if applicable, fifteen year anniversaries to settle for demand forecast excesse or shortfalls. Based on a review of the CCRA with HONI for Vansickle TS capacity upgrade of T5/T6 on the ten year anniversary, Alectra and HONI determined a shortfall of revenue to HONI versus the forecasted Initial Capital Contribution. The ten year anniversary true-up for Vansickle TS expansion is due in 2021. Alectra estimates a shortfall of revenue to HONI versus the forecasted Initial Capital Contribution. Request for financial settlement is anticipated from HONI in 2021, in the amount of TBD, with the final amount and payment terms negotiated between HONI and Alectra at that time. The revenue shortfall is largely due to government-driven conservation initiatives, natural conservation and an impact of slower ancillary growth occurring around Niagara Regional Hospital, which have resulted in actual load being lower than forecasted load. |
| | Main Driver, Concerd Diant | Conital Investment Connect |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | As a distributor Alectra has to comply by the TSC and is required to pay contribution amounts per the CCRA. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety | 7841 customers and 89,367 connected KVA Not applicable |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not applicable. |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not applicable. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Not applicable. |
| | Alternative #1 | Payment of true-up amount will be determined by Hydro One as part of the signed CCRA agreement. There is only one option that can be considered with this investment as Alectra is obligated to comply with TSC requirements and provide cost recovery to HONI as required. |
| | Alternative #2 Justification for Recommended Alternative | Not applicable. Signed contract between legacy Horizon and Hydro One. |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Not applicable. |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | Comparative Information on Equivalent | Pleasant TS CCRA true-up in 2018, in the amount of \$6.8 MM. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Planning Objectives Met | Not applicable. |

| 1,800,000 | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|-------------|------|------|------|
| 1,600,000 - | | | | | | |
| 1,400,000 - | | | | | | |
| 1,200,000 - | | | | | | |
| 1,000,000 - | | | | | | |
| 800,000 - | | | | | | |
| 600,000 - | | | | | | |
| 400,000 - | | | | | | |
| 200,000 - | | | | | | |
| 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$1,580,845 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,580,845 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | |



Project Name

OEB Multi-Project Report

151118

Nebo TS 27.6kV True-up Payment (CCRA) General Plant

Major Category Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Hamilton Location Units Project Class No Burden Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Componen Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) **Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rates ID Rate Base Funded Alectra Grouping Connection & Cost Recovery Agreements **CCRA** Payments Alectra Subcategory 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Alectra (former Horizon Utilities) is party to a Connection and Cost Recovery Agreement (CCRA) with Hydro One Networks Inc. ("HONI") dated April 2012. This agreement provided for the upgrade of the Nebo Transformer Station (TS) on behalf of Hydro One Networks Inc. for the purpose of meeting anticipated electricity load growth in Hamilton. A need for new transformation capacity was identified to meet existing and future demand growth in the Stoney Creek mountain area of Hamilton. The proposed station expansion was designed to increase available capacity allocated to Alectra in conjunction with Hydro One Distribution who were also seeking an increase in capacity at the facility. Under the Transmission System Code ("TSC"), and consequently the CCRA, Alectra was required to provide HONI with an initial capital contribution ("Initial Capital Contribution") based on the difference (the "Difference") between the total capital cost of constructing the TS and a projection of transformation revenue (the "HONI Revenue") earned on the conveyance of electricity through the TS. The Difference represents a contingent debt obligation of Alectra based on the extent that historical actual and forecast HONI Revenue during the CCRA term is less than the amount of HONI revenue projected as a basis for the determination of the Initial Capital Contribution. Conversely, Alectra is entitled to a rebate of the Capital Contribution based on the extent that historical actual and forecast HONI Revenue during the CCRA term is greater than the amount of HONI Revenue projected as a basis for the determination of the Initial Capital Contribution As per the TSC, and consequently CCRA for low risk connections, HONI is required to complete a true-up on the five, ten and if applicable, fifteen year anniversaries to settle for demand forecast excesses or shortfalls. Based on a review of the CCRA with HONI for Nebo TS capacity upgrade of T1/T2 on the ten year anniversary, Alectra and HONI determined a shortfall of revenue to HONI versus the forecasted Initial Capital Contribution. The ten-year anniversary true-up for Nebo TS expansion is due in 2023. Alectra estimates a shortfall of revenue to HONI versus the forecasted Initial Capital Contribution. Request for financial settlement is anticipated from HONI in 2023, in the amount of TBD, with the final amount and payment terms negotiated between HONI and Alectra at that time. The revenue shortfall will be largely due to government-driven conservation initiatives, natural conservation and an impact of slower growth occurring in the area, which have resulted in actual load being lower than forecasted load. Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority As a distributor Alectra has to comply by the TSC and is required to pay contribution amounts per the CCRA. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) 12,313 customers and 127,222 connected KVA Safety Not applicable Cyber-Security, Privacy Not applicable Coordination, Interoperability Not applicable Economic Development Not applicable. Environmental Benefits Not applicable 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Ouo Not applicable. Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) Alternative #1 Payment of true-up amount determined by Hydro One as part of the signed CCRA agreement. Alternative #2 Not applicable Justification for Recommended Alternative Signed contract between legacy Horizon and Hydro One. 6. General Information on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management Not applicable. Project/Activity (OEB) Comparative Information on Equivalent Pleasant TS CCRA true-up in 2018, in the amount of \$6.8 MM. Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable 0 Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Other Planning Objectives Met Not applicable Project/Activity (OEB)

| 600,000 | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|-----------|------|
| 500,000 - | | | | | | |
| 400,000 - | | | | | | |
| 300,000 - | | | | | | |
| 200,000 - | | | | | | |
| 100,000 - | | | | | | |
| 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$549,851 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$549,851 | \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |



Project Code 151124 Goreway TS Expansion (CCRA) - 10 Yr True-Up Payment, Brampton Project Name Major Category General Plant Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Brampton Location Goreway TS Brampton 9513 Goreway Dr. Between Queen St and Castlemore Rd Units Project Class No Burden Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Compone Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0% 3. General Project Information (OEB) Expenditure Type Controllable Rates ID Rate Base Funded Alectra Grouping Connection & Cost Recovery Agreements CCRA Payments Alectra Subcategory 4. Evaluation Criteria (OFB) **Project Summary** For the 10th year true up due in 2020, it is expected that Hydro One True-up calculation will show that Alectra actual load and updated load forecast is lower than the load in the initial load forecast and does not generate the initial forecast connection rate revenues. To account for the shortfall, Hydro One will require Alectra to make a payment in lump sum payment (plus applicable taxes) in 2020. This cost is adjusted appropriately to reflect the time value of money and accounts for any previous True-up payments you have already made The 5th year True-Up in 2015 was \$681k. The lump sum is estimated to be \$5.5M in 2020. Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority High In 2010, the construction of Goreway TS Expansion was completed and was put into service Under the Transmission System Code ("TSC"), and consequently the CCRA, Alectra was required to provide HONI with an initial capital contribution ("Initial Capital Contribution") based on the difference (the "Difference") between the total capital cost of constructing the TS and a projection of transformation revenue (the "HONI Revenue") earned on the conveyance of electricity through the TS. The Difference represents a contingent debt obligation of Alectra based on the extent that historical actual and forecast HONI Revenue during the CCRA term is less than the amount of HONI revenue projected as a basis for the determination of the Initial Capital Contribution. Conversely, Alectra is entitled to a rebate of the Capital Contribution based on the extent that historical actual and forecast HONI Revenue during the CCRA term is greater than the amount of HONI Revenue projected as a basis for the determination of the Initial Capital Contribution As per the TSC, and consequently CCRA for low risk connections, HONI is required to complete a true-up on the five, ten and if applicable, fifteen year anniversaries to settle for demand forecast excesses or shortfalls. Based on a review of the CCRA with HONI for Pleasant TS on the five year anniversary, Alectra and HONI determined a shortfall of revenue to HONI versus the forecasted Initial Capital Contribution. The 5 year true-up CCRA shortfall payment in accordance of the CCRA for the Goreway TS Expansion was completed in 2015 in the amount of \$681k. The ten-year true-up revenue shortfall was largely due to the government-driven conservation initiatives, natural conservation and economic downturn that occurred in 2008 that have resulted in historical actual load being lower than forecasted load. The 10-year anniversary true-up for Goreway TS Expansion is due in 2020. Alectra estimates a shortfall of revenue to HONI versus the forecasted Initial Capital Contribution and the five-year true-up settlement. Request for financial settlement is anticipated from HONI in 2020, with the final amount and payment terms negotiated between HONI and Alectra at that time. The revenue shortfall continues largely due to government-driven conservation initiatives, natural conservation and an impact of economic downturn that occurred in 2008 (and which has not been overcome) which have resulted in historical actual load being lower than forecasted load. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not Applicable Safety Not Applicable Cyber-Security, Privacy Not Applicable Coordination, Interoperability Not Applicable Economic Development Not Applicable Environmental Benefits Not Applicable 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Ouo The peak demand for Pleasant TS continues to be lower than forecasted before it was constructed. This will result in Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) revenue shortfall for HONI. The revenue shortfall continues largely due to government-driven conservation initiatives, natural conservation and an impact of economic downturn that occurred in 2008 (and which has not been overcome) which have resulted in historical actual load being lower than forecasted load. Alternative #1 Not Applicable Alternative #2 Not Applicable

| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | | ative For the 10th forecast is lo revenues. To This cost is a you have alr | For the 10th year true up due in 2018, Hydro One True-up calculation shows that Alectra actual load and updated load forecast is lower than the load in the initial load forecast and does not generate the initial forecast connection rate revenues. To account for the shortfall, Hydro One required Alectra to make a payment in the amount of \$681k in 2015. This cost is adjusted appropriately to reflect the time value of money and accounts for any previous True-up payments you have already made. | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | | For the 10th load and upo forecast con lump sum p money and a \$5.5M. | year true up due in 2020, lated load forecast is lowe nection rate revenues. To yment (plus applicable tay ccounts for any previous T | it is expected that Hydro O r than the load in the initia account for the shortfall, H (es) in 2020. This cost is adj rue-up payments you have | ne True-up calculation w I load forecast and does r ydro One will require Alec usted appropriately to re e already made. The lump | ill show that Alectra actual not generate the initial ctra to make a payment in flect the time value of o sum is estimated to be | | |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Com | pletion and Risk Manager | nent Not Applicat | le | | | | | |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | Comparative | Information on Equivale | nt Not Applicat | le | | | | | |
| Historical F | | ojects (if any) | | - | | | | | |
| | Total Capital Energy Gener | | ewable 0 (if any) | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Planni | ng Objectives Met | True Up Payı | nent | | | | | |
| | 6,000,000 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | 5,000,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 4,000,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 3.000.000 | | | | | | | | |
| | -,, | | | | | | | | |
| | 2,000,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,000,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2010 | 2020 | 2024 | 2022 | 2022 | 2024 | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submittee | 1. \$5 562 624 | \$0 | \$5 562 624 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2024 \$0 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | <u> </u> | | | • | · · · | | |
| currency scale is in inceral | | | | | | | | | |



| utilities | | |
|---|--|---|
| Project Code | 151125 | |
| Project Name | Connection Cost Recovery Agreement (CCRA) – N | Aidhurst TS – 15th Anniversary True-up |
| Major Category | General Plant | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream North |
| | Location | Barrie |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | No Burden |
| | Project Class | No builden |
| | Project includes R&D | NO |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | NO |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Connection & Cost Recovery Agreements |
| | | CCPA Powents |
| 4 Evoluction Critoria (OER) | Project Support | Ear the 15th year true up covaring the paried up to December 21st 2010, it is expected that Hydro Ope true up |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | For the 15th year true up covering the period up to becember 31st 2019, it is expected that Hydro One true-up calculation will show that Alectra actual load and undated load forecast is lower than the load in the initial load |
| | | forecast and does not generate the initial forecast connection rate revenues. To account for the shortfall. Hydro One |
| | | will require Alectra to make a payment in lump sum payment (plus applicable taxes). This cost is adjusted appropriately |
| | | to reflect the time value of money and accounts for any previous true-up payments already made. |
| | | |
| | Main Driver - General Plant | Capital Investment Support |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | High priority. |
| | | In 2004, the construction of Midhurst TS T3/T4 was completed and was put into service. |
| | | Under the Transmission System Code ("TSC"), and consequently the CCRA, Alectra was required to provide HONI with |
| | | an initial capital contribution ("Initial Capital Contribution") based on the difference (the "Difference") between the total capital cost of constructing Midhurst TS T3/T4 and a projection of transformation revenue (the "HONI Revenue") |
| | | earned on the conveyance of electricity through the IS. The Difference represents a contingent debt obligation of Alectra based on the extent that historical actual and forecast HONI Revenue during the CCRA term is less than the |
| | | amount of HONI revenue projected as a basis for the determination of the Initial Capital Contribution. Conversely, |
| | | Revenue during the CCRA term is greater than the amount of HONI Revenue projected as a basis for the determination of the Initial Capital Contribution. |
| | | As per the TSC, and consequently CCRA for low risk connections, HONI is required to complete a true-up on the five, ten. fifteen, twenty, and twenty-five year anniversaries to settle for demand forecast excesses or shortfalls. |
| | | The fifteen-year anniversary true-up for Midhurst TS T3/T4 covers the period up to December 31st 2019. Alectra |
| | | estimates a shortfall of revenue to HONI versus the forecasted initial capital contribution. Request for financial settlement is anticipated from HONI in 2020 with the final amount and payment terms negotiated between HONI and Alectra at that time. The revenue shortfall continues largely due to government-driven conservation initiatives, natural conservation and an impact of economic downturn that occurred in 2008 (and which has not been overcome) which have resulted in historical actual load being lower than forecasted load. |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not applicable |
| | Safety | Not applicable |
| | Cyber-Security Privacy | Not applicable |
| | Coordination Interoperability | Not anolicable |
| | Coordination, interoperability | Not applicable |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not applicable |
| Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | The peak demand in Barrie continues to be lower than forecasted before Midhurst TS T3/T4 was constructed. This will result in revenue shortfall for HONI. The revenue shortfall continues largely due to government-driven conservation initiatives, natural conservation, and an impact of economic downturn that occurred in 2008 (and which has not been overcome) which have resulted in historical actual load being lower than forecasted load. |
| | Alternative #1 | There is only one option that can be considered with this investment as Alectra is obligated to comply with TSC requirements and provide cost recovery to HONI as required. |
| | Alternative #2 | Not applicable |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | For the 15th year true up covering the period up to December 31st 2019, it is expected that Hydro One true-up calculation will show that Alectra actual load and updated load forecast is lower than the load in the initial load forecast and does not generate the initial forecast connection rate revenues. To account for the shortfall, Hydro One will require Alectra to make a payment in lump sum payment (plus applicable taxes). This cost is adjusted appropriately to reflect the time value of money and accounts for any previous true-up payments already made. |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Not applicable |
| Project/Activity (UEB) | Comparative Information on Equivalent | Not applicable |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable | 0 |
| | Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | |

| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Other Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Planning Objectives Met | | Not applicable | 2 | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|------|----------------|--------|------|------|------|------|
| 3,50 | 0,000 | | | | | | | |
| 3,00 | 0,000 | | | | | | | |
| 2,50 | 0,000 | | | | | | | |
| 2,00 | 00,000 | | | | | | | |
| 1,50 | 0,000 | | | | | | | |
| 1,00 | 00,000 | | | | | | | |
| 50 | 0,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | | | | | | | |
| | | 2019 | 2 | 020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$3,1 | 175,016 | \$0 | \$3,1 | 75,016 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | |



Project Code 151128 MS Transformer & HV Switchgear Replacement - Western MS36 T1 & HV1 Project Name Major Category System Renewal Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Project Overview 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream North Location Western MS in Mississauga Units 1 Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Substation Renewal Alectra Subcategory Stations Replacement Program/P 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Municipal substation assets are integral to the performance of the Alectra - Central distribution system. They are used to step down sub-transmission voltages to lower distribution voltages, specifically from 44 kV to 13.8 KV, 44 kV to 27.6 kV and 27.6 kV to 4.16 kV. The municipal substation equipment (power transformers and metal clad / metal enclosed switchgear units) are considered critical and some of the most significant assets to the sustainability of the organization. As such, Alectra utilizes a replacement strategy to proactively replace their substation assets before they fail or if they are no longer supported by the manufacturer, hence technically obsolescent, approaching end of life or displaying failures. This can help to avoid a major failure which would have a major impact on customer outage frequency and duration, the environment, safety, and Alectra's reputation. The major power equipment installed at Western MS (27.6 kV-to-4.16 kV) consists of one obsolete conventional low voltage switchgear lineups (LV1), one 10 MVA power transformer, and one high voltage switched fuse disconnect. The equipment details at Western MS are as follows: Low Voltage (4.16 kV) metal clad switchgear (not arc resistant) • Manufacturer (LV1) – Fed Pioneer • Circuit Breaker Type – DST2-5 • Year of Manufacture (LV1) – 1971 Low Voltage protections Manufacturer – GE and Stromberg • Relay Type - electromechanical and solid dtate • Year of Manufacture – 1971 Power transformer (27.6 kV-to-4.16 kV) • Manufacturer (T1) – Pioneer • Year of Manufacture (T1) - 1972 High Voltage (27.6 kV) switchgear and Protections • Manufacturer (HV1) – S&C Electric •Type - All Duty switched fuse • Year of Manufacture (HV1) - 1971 (Estimated) Main Driver - System Renewal Mitigate Failure Risks Priority and Reasons for Priority Typical useful life as per Kinectrics report "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" is 35 to 60 years, with a typical useful life of 45 years. In 2024, this transformer will be reaching 52 years of age, which is approaching what is considered as the "maximum", or upper bound for useful life. - A massive failure in 2016 of the HV fused disconnect resulted in bowing of the cabinet, fuse and switch alignment issues - H1, H2, and secondary bushings are leaking. The transformer has 11PPM of PCB. If the transformer were re-gasketed. the oil must be disposed of as hazardous waste and the unit must be refilled with new oil; this would be costly. - Recent Doble testing has indicated possible mechanical damage to the windings. Something has changed with the windings compared to previous testing. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Peak load supplied by this transformer in 2017 was 3.3 MVA A catastrophic failure could result in fire or explosion posing risk to personnel and to residents adjacent to the station. Safety Cyber-Security, Privacy Not applicable The replacement of this end-of-life transformer with a new unit will help modernize the system and facilitate Control Coordination, Interoperability Room operations in managing the system and in monitoring condition and coordination of maintenance activities. Economic Development Not applicable Environmental Benefits A catastrophic failure of the existing transformer could lead to oil leaking into the environment or fire and explosion resulting in contaminants released in to the atmosphere. Replacing this end-of-life transformer would mitigate these risks

| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | An alternative is to do nothing, allowing for random failure-related issues with the end-of-life equipment and replacing with a spare unit, if available, under emergency situations. However, this approach is not recommended because it will have a severe negative impact on numerous customers and on system reliability. Failure of the existing equipment would warrant emergency replacement resulting in non-budgeted funding requirements and could result in lengthy customer interruptions. Replacement of failed equipment is expected to be more costly than proactive replacement. The impact of a catastrophic failure involving fire or explosion would have even greater impact on costs and customer outages. Impact on customers can be minimized with proactive replacement. Also, with an aging transformer population, the likelihood of multiple transformer failures within a period increases and, as a result, there may be no spare readily available, hence significantly increasing customer impact. |
|---|---|--|
| | Alternative #1 | Plan for replacement of the transformer as the age approaches 60 years. In the meantime, monitor the condition of this transformer through annual Asset Condition Assessment and adjust scheduling of replacement as appropriate. If the transformer shows no signs of deteriorating condition in the coming years, postponing replacement may be considered. Not applicable. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | by 2024, this transformer will be 52 years old and approaching what is considered to be the maximum useful life for a power transformer. |
| | | UPDATE THIS SECTION There are 16 in-service transformers of this capacity and voltage rating. By 2021, ten, or 63% of these transformers will be 45 years of age or older with an average age of 45 years. This is considered as the typical useful life for a power transformer. There is only one spare of this capacity and voltage rating and it will be 34 years old in 2021. In terms of the total population of municipal station power transformer, by 2021 twenty-three, or 37% of the sixty-two in-service units will be 45 years of age or older with an average age of nearly 36 years. |
| | | With an aging population, the likelihood of multiple failures and that the spare may be unavailable increases. The result could be extended power outages and operating the system at a higher level of risk. |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | This equipment has a long lead time, but this will be mitigated by placing the equipment order in 2023 for replacement in 2024 |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | From a configuration perspective, this is a like-for-like replacement, however, the proposed replacement equipment would be technologically enhanced as compared with the existing equipment. The new equipment would be designed with improved monitoring capability. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record Number of Customers in Each Customer Class | At the time of the proposed replacement, the age of the transformer would be approaching what is considered to be the maximum, or upper bound for the useful life of a power transformer. At the present time, the Asset Condition Health Index for this transformer remains in the "GOOD" category. Condition will be monitored for signs of deterioration. 747 |
| | Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) | The event of a transformer failure, supply to all customers connected to the station would be interrupted until either load transfers to adjacent stations could be completed or the failed equipment replaced. Ouring peak conditions, it may not be possible to pick up all interrupted load, resulting in rotation load interruptions. Assume near end-of-life transformer failure rate of 0.05. Assume near end-of-life transformer catastrophic failure rate of 0.01. |
| | | Recard take 1 to that a character to a to another bas to station following a transformer finance. (Assume 4 hours average) Replacement time for the transformer is based on the assumption that a spare is available. It is assumed that it will take two weeks to repair or replace a failed transformer. A catastrophic failure could result in collateral damage to other assets and/or the requirement for extensive oil spill remediation. |
| | | • It is assumed that it will take four to six weeks to replace a transformer that has failed catastrophically. (average 5 weeks) |
| | | •Replacement duration could be significantly longer if a spare becomes unavailable. (At least six months) During this period, the system would be operating at an elevated level of risk. |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) Value of Customer Impact | Failure of this equipment would negatively impact the electricity supply to many residential and commercial and industrial customers in the area. |
| | Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any | Condition of the transformer will be monitored and the replacement date will be adjusted as appropriate. |
| | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Failure of the existing equipment would warrant emergency replacement resulting in non-budgeted funding requirements and could result in lengthy customer interruptions. Replacement of failed equipment is expected to be more costly than proactive replacement. Moreover, in the event of a catastrophic failure involving fire and explosion, there could be significant collateral damage to the station and to surrounding properties. |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | This station supplies about 747 customers. In the event of a transformer failure, all of these customers would need to be transferred to other substations. This could be challenging during peak conditions. In the event of a catastrophic failure involving rupture, fire could result, causing significant collateral damage to the station and surrounding property and pose a safety risk to personnel and residents adjacent to the station. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | From a configuration perspective, this is a like-for-like replacement but the replacement equipment is more technologically advanced, requiring reduced maintenance, improved monitoring and improved safety features. |

| 700,000 | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|------|------|------|-----------|-----------|--|
| 600,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 500,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 400,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 300,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 200,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 100,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 0 - | | | | | | | |
| Ũ | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$791,956 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$203,703 | \$588,253 | |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | | | | | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | |



Project Code 151132 MS Transformer & HV Switchgear Replacement - Munden MS35 T1 & HV1 Project Name Major Category System Renewal Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Project Overview 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream North Location Munden MS in Mississauga Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Substation Renewal Alectra Subcategory Stations Replacement Program/P 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Municipal substation assets are integral to the performance of the Alectra - Central distribution system. They are used to step down sub-transmission voltages to lower distribution voltages, specifically from 44 kV to 13.8 KV, 44 kV to 27.6 kV and 27.6 kV to 4.16 kV. The municipal substation equipment (power transformers and metal clad / metal enclosed switchgear units) are considered critical and some of the most significant assets to the sustainability of the organization. As such, Alectra utilizes a replacement strategy to proactively replace their substation assets before they fail or if they are no longer supported by the manufacturer, hence technically obsolescent, approaching end of life or displaying failures. This can help to avoid a major failure which would have a major impact on customer outage frequency and duration, the environment, safety, and Alectra's reputation. The major power equipment installed at Munden MS (27.6 kV-to-4.16 kV) consists of one obsolete conventional low voltage switchgear lineup (LV1), one 5 MVA power transformer, and one high voltage (HV) switched fuse. The equipment details at Munden MS are as follows: Low Voltage LV1 (4.16 kV) metal clad switchgear (not arc resistant) and circuit breakers (5 units) Manufacturer – Federal Pioneer • Circuit Breaker Type –DST2-5 Magnetic Air Year of Manufacture – 1966 Low Voltage protections • Manufacturer – GE • Relay Type - electromechanical Year of Manufacture – 1982 Power transformers (27.6 kV-to-4.16 kV) • Manufacturer (T1) – Federal Pioneer • Year of Manufacture (T1) - 1969 High Voltage (44 kV) switchgear and Protections • Manufacturer (CB1) – S&C Electric • Type - S&C switched fuse • Year of Manufacture (CB1) - 1969 (estimated) Main Driver - System Renewal Mitigate Failure Risks Priority and Reasons for Priority Typical useful life as per Kinectrics report "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" is 35 to 60 years, with a typical useful life of 45 years. In 2025, this transformer will be reaching 56 years of age, which is approaching what is considered as the "maximum", or upper bound for useful life. - The transformer has small seeping around HV and LV bushings. The transformer has 29 PPM of PCB. If re-gasketed, the oil must be disposed of as hazardous waste and the unit refilled with new oil; this would be costly. - Doble indicates insulation is wet and deteriorated. - The transformer does not have a proper foundation but is sitting on large wood timbers and is starting to lean. - The fused disconnect does not have proper foundation. It is also sitting on large wood timbers. - Raccoons have gotten into the fused disconnect several times causing outage(s). Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Peak load supplied by this transformer in 2017 was 2.7 MVA A catastrophic failure could result in fire or explosion posing risk to personnel and to residents adjacent to the station. Safety Cyber-Security, Privacy Not applicable. Coordination, Interoperability The replacement of this end-of-life transformer with a new unit will help modernize the system and facilitate Control Room operations in managing the system and in monitoring condition and coordination of maintenance activities. Economic Development Not applicable **Environmental Benefits** A catastrophic failure of the existing transformer could lead to oil leaking into the environment or fire and explosion resulting in contaminants released in to the atmosphere. Replacing this end-of-life transformer would mitigate these

risks.

| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | An alternative is to do nothing, allowing for random failure-related issues with the end-of-life equipment and replacing with a spare unit, if available, under emergency situations. However, this approach is not recommended because it will have a severe negative impact on numerous customers and on system reliability. Failure of the existing equipment would warrant emergency replacement resulting in non-budgeted funding requirements and could result in lengthy customer interruptions. Replacement of failed equipment is expected to be more costly than proactive replacement. The impact of a catastrophic failure involving fire or explosion would have even greater impact on costs and customer outages. Impact on customers can be minimized with proactive replacement. Also, with an aging transformer population, the likelihood of multiple transformer failures within a period increases and, as a result, there may be no spare readily available, hence significantly increasing customer impact. |
|---|---|--|
| | Alternative #1 | Plan for replacement of the transformer as the age approaches 60 years. In the meantime, monitor the condition of this transformer through annual Asset Condition Assessment and adjust scheduling of replacement as appropriate. If the transformer shows no signs of deteriorating condition in the coming years, postponing replacement may be considered. Not applicable. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | By 2025, this transformer will be 56 years old and approaching what is considered to be the maximum useful life for a power transformer. |
| | | UPDATE THIS SECTION There are 16 in-service transformers of this capacity and voltage rating. By 2021, ten, or 63% of these transformers will be 45 years of age or older with an average age of 45 years. This is considered as the typical useful life for a power transformer. There is only one spare of this capacity and voltage rating and it will be 34 years old in 2021. In terms of the total population of municipal station power transformer, by 2021 twenty-three, or 37% of the sixty-two in-service units will be 45 years of age or older with an average age of nearly 36 years. |
| | | With an aging population, the likelihood of multiple failures and that the spare may be unavailable increases. The result could be extended power outages and operating the system at a higher level of risk. |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | This equipment has a long lead time, but this will be mitigated by placing the equipment order in 2024 for replacement in 2025 |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | From a configuration perspective, this is a like-for-like replacement, however, the proposed replacement equipment would be technologically enhanced as compared with the existing equipment. The new equipment would be designed with improved monitoring capability. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record Number of Customers in Each Customer Class | At the time of the proposed replacement, the age of the transformer would be approaching what is considered to be the maximum, or upper bound for the useful life of a power transformer. At the present time, the Asset Condition Health Index for this transformer remains in the "GOOD" category. Condition will be monitored for signs of deterioration. 935 |
| | Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) | The event of a transformer failure, supply to all customers connected to the station would be interrupted until either load transfers to adjacent stations could be completed or the failed equipment replaced. During peak conditions, it may not be possible to pick up all interrupted load, resulting in rotation load interruptions. Assume near end-of-life transformer failure rate of 0.05. Assume near end-of-life transformer catastrophic failure rate of 0.01. |
| | | If can take 1 to 6 hours to transfer load to another bus or station following a transformer failure. (Assume 4 hours average) Replacement time for the transformer is based on the assumption that a spare is available. If is assumed that it will take two weeks to repair or replace a failed transformer. Catastrophic failure could result in collateral damage to other assets and/or the requirement for extensive oil spill were statistical and the stati |
| | | remediation. • It is assumed that it will take four to six weeks to replace a transformer that has failed catastrophically. (average 5 weaks) |
| | | •Beplacement duration could be significantly longer if a spare becomes unavailable. (At least six months) During this period, the system would be operating at an elevated level of risk. |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) Value of Customer Impact | Failure of this equipment would negatively impact the electricity supply to many residential and commercial and industrial customers in the area. |
| | Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any | Condition of the transformer will be monitored and the replacement date will be adjusted as appropriate. |
| | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Failure of the existing equipment would warrant emergency replacement resulting in non-budgeted funding requirements and could result in lengthy customer interruptions. Replacement of failed equipment is expected to be more costly than proactive replacement. Moreover, in the event of a catastrophic failure involving fire and explosion, there could be significant collateral damage to the station and to surrounding properties. |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | This station supplies about 935 customers. In the event of a transformer failure, all of these customers would need to be transferred to other substations. This could be challenging during peak conditions. In the event of a catastrophic failure involving rupture, fire could result, causing significant collateral damage to the station and surrounding property and pose a safety risk to personnel and residents adjacent to the station. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | From a configuration perspective, this is a like-for-like replacement but the replacement equipment is more technologically advanced, requiring reduced maintenance, improved monitoring and improved safety features. |

| 700,000 - | | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|-----------|-----------|--|
| 600,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 500,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 400,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 300,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 200,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 100,000 - | | | | | | | |
| ٥ | | | | | | | |
| 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$860,640 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$204,239 | \$656,401 | |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | |



Project Code Project Name

OEB Multi-Project Report

151138

Voltage Conversion - MS-2 Church St, Brampton

| Major Category | System Renewal | |
|---|---|---|
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2 Additional Information | Sonvice Territory | Bramatan |
| 2. Additional information | Location | 2b: Frederick St, Main St S & Clarence St 4: Wellington St E, Chapel St, John St, Mary St & Union St 6: West St, Nelson St W, Denison Ave, Park St & Railroad St 7: Queen St W, Mill St N, Elizabeth St N, Nelson St W & Railroad St 8: Mill St N, David St & Thomas St MS 2 44 Church Et W |
| | Units | NIS-2 44 Church St W |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes P&D | No |
| | Technolomy Project or bas Technolomy | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 2. Concered Decident Information (OED) | Contributed Conitel | *Fatored Manually in Facoret |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Overhead Asset Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Voltage Conversion |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Renewal of assets in the area will incorporate conversion of the 4.16kV voltage level to a 27.6kV level. This conversion will allow for the existing substation to be bypassed and allow for it's decommissioning. 4.16kV to 27.6kV Voltage Conversion. Phase 2b, 4, 6, 7, & 8. |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigate Failure Risks |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | This project mainly addresses aging assets at the station and on the feeders by performing a renewal of the assets and converting the voltage to a higher class, thereby avoiding any future costs in upgrading the municipal substation and associated equipment. |
| | | The asset condition assessment indicate that the station transformer is in Poor condition. The priority assets determining the voltage conversion are the substation assets as failure of a critical component can cause a major outage for an extensive timeframe impacting a large number of customers. Furthermore due to system design and construction in the 1950's, feeder redundancy is minimal and loss of a station would result in stranded load and increased cost as generators would be required |
| | | The legacy substation equipment is No longer supported by the manufacturer; Barts are difficult to come by or must be custom made; Difficult or costly to maintain; Eunctional and Operational Obsolesces; (e.g. safety restrictions on operation circuit breakers) Diable to meet current safety standards (e.g., switchgears that are not arc resistance); Diable to meet current performance standards |
| | | Feeder Assets Since there is large population of feeder assets, the condition of feeder assets is diverse. While the overall condition shows the average, as diverse populations masking the impact of deteriorated assets. If the Voltage Conversion projects were not to proceed, significant renewal investments would still be required to renew these deteriorated assets as part of other investments. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | MS-2 5000kVA 643 Customers |
| | Safety Cyber-Security, Privacy Coordination, Interoperability Economic Development | Modern equipment reduces safety risks associated with older aging equipment. Not Applicable Coordination of substation decommissioning must be done with conversion of associated distribution equipment in order to allow for contingency. Not Applicable |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Status Quo / Run to Failure |
| | | Under the status quo option, Alectra Utilities would only replace these legacy assets should they fail reactively. Under this scenario, there would be no opportunity to convert these assets to the standardized voltage levels, as assets would have to be replaced in a like-for-like manner. Replacing assets reactively tends to lead to the highest per-unit cost, and greatest impact to customer outage times. Furthermore, the reliability and safety risks associated with this infrastructure would continue to persist. Alectra Utilities would also be required to continue to maintain, and possibly replace or upgrade the legacy substations that supply these lower voltage levels, as many of the breaker assets have reached functional obsolescence and there are no parts available. |
| | | This is not the recommended alternative. |

| | Alternative #1 | Like-for-like replacement of existing assets with new assets at the same voltage ratings |
|--|---|---|
| | | Under the like-for-like replacement option, existing 4.16 kV infrastructure would be replaced with new 4.16 kV infrastructure respectively. This approach is very similar to the status quo option, with the exception that customer outages can be avoided by replacing assets before they fail. By planning ahead to perform the replacements, the added benefit of like-for-like over the status quo is lower per-unit costs given that multiple assets can be addressed at a time. However, by keeping these system voltages intact, the functional obsolescence issues associated with these assets will continue to persist and eventually significant substation investments will be required. Should a future outage occur, it will likely be longer and create a larger customer impact, due to the lack of contingency options available at these voltage levels. |
| | | This is not the recommended alternative. |
| | Alternative #2 | Full conversion of the lines to new 27.6 kV primary system voltage |
| | | Renewal investments already would need to be undertaken based on the asset health condition for many of the station assets, poles and distribution transformers. Under this alternative, assets will be aligned to modern standards and practices. Unification of voltage levels across large sections of the system further improves the operability and should lead to reliability gains. Converting to higher-voltages will also create opportunities for Alectra Utilities to reconfigure the grid to add new switching points and automation, and to phase-out trouble areas like rear-lot construction. These improvements will allow Alectra Utilities to improve service to customers by conducting isolation, sectionalizing and restoration activities much faster. |
| | | This is the recommended alternative. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | The full conversion option presents the best value long-term by having conversion completed in a planned manner while also avoiding the substation investment costs, as well as benefits to the operability of the system, which ultimately benefits the customers. |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Not Applicable |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | Decommissioning of MS-8 in Brampton is similar to the nature of this project. |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | Transformer has high DGA values, Breakers are in Good condition and new , but recently had an issue with the breaker's control card that required the entire station be taken out of service while the cards were replaced. Aging assets increase the risk of unplanned maintenance and system faults, resulting in customer outages. |
| | | MS-2 is a 1964 Ferranti Packard vintage transformer which currently supplies the it's own feeders and an additional 3 feeders from MS-1. Contingency of the remaining 4.16kV is done merely by MS-12, and a failure in MS-2 will place full contingency dependence on MS-12. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | The 4.16kV asset class represents the oldest vintage in the Brampton area. Testing for the connected feeders from MS-1: 1F1 and 1F2 Feeders indicated worse case results in Hi-Pot testing. Poor results indicated as >0.5mA. 1F1 Blue phase tested 16.0mA, and 1F2 White phase tested 8.5mA. |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | 643 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) | MS-2 currently provides supply for the old MS-1 feeders. 643 total customers are connected to the combined MS-1/MS-2 feeders., however this solution is not desired long-term without conversion to 27.6kV. |
| | | 11 outages 20,372 customer minutes (3 year) 3.67 outages 0.53 hours (per year) |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) | Aging assets increase the risk of unplanned maintenance and system faults, resulting in customer outages. Previous MS removal as part of the voltage conversion program results in increased contingency risk for the remaining 4.16kV substation transformers. Each substation removal results in further contingency risk until the program ultimately completes. |
| | Value of Customer Impact Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Low Not Applicable Halting voltage conversion would result in the loss of any additional benefits such as: •Beduction in OPEX costs (from eliminated station maintenance); •Brcreased reliability from feeder ties at 13.8 kV for both 4 kV customers and customers already on 13.8 kV feeders; •Automation (reduction in outage duration) for legacy 4 kV customers and some 13.8 kV customers; •Beduction in reactive costs triggered by asset failure; and •Beduction in line losses. If Alectra were to renew the deteriorated lower-voltage assets without converting to a higher voltage, it would lose the opportunity to economically transition to higher voltage equipment for a long period. |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | Since there are a large population of feeder assets, the condition of feeder assets tends to be diverse. While the overall condition shows the average, this can be a case of diverse populations masking the impact of deteriorated assets. If the Voltage Conversion projects were not to proceed, significant renewal investments would still be required to renew these deteriorated assets as part of the Overhead Renewal investment. Even if the assets in the worst condition were replaced, the rest of the system would continue to deteriorate and continue to pose reliability risk and eventually need to be replaced. |

Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project

Like-for-like renewal of lower-voltage assets would increase Alectra Utilities' stations capital requirements during the first three years of the DSP period by approximately \$22M.

If Alectra Utilities decided to take an opportunistic approach, where only during rebuilds would conversion take place, in a piece-meal style approach, this would actually introduce more risk to customers. Stations in general are normally backed up by one or more stations in the same geographical area. Similarly feeders themselves are also backed up by other feeders in the surrounding geographical area. Removing any feeder as part of a rebuild could create gaps in the resiliency of the network and increase the risk and exposure to the remaining customers to prolonged outages.



Currency scale is in literal



Project Code Project Name

OEB Multi-Project Report

151139

Voltage Conversion - MS-12 Hansen Rd, Brampton

| iviajor category | System Renewal | |
|---|--|---|
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory Location | Brampton Phase 9: Sophia St, Beech St, McCaul St & Woodward Ave Phase 10: Cumberland Dr, Brisco St, McCulla Ave, Edgemont Dr Phase 11: Centre St, Wilson Ave, Lynch St, John St & Queen St E Phase 12: MS12 & MS1 Loop Church St, Market St, Main St N, Vodden St E, Garfield Cres & Kennedy Rd N MS-12 149 Hansen Rd. N |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | *Entered Manually in Forecast |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Overhead Asset Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Voltage Conversion |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | 4.16kV to 27.6kV Voltage Conversion. Phase 9, 10, 11, & 12. Renewal of assets in the area will incorporate conversion of the 4.16kV voltage level to a 27.6kV level. This conversion will allow for the existing substation to be bypassed and allow for it's decommissioning. This project is part of a continued 4.16kV voltage conversion program in place for the city of Brampton. |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigate Failure Risks |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | This project mainly addresses aging assets at the station and on the feeders by performing a renewal of the assets and converting the voltage to a higher class, thereby avoiding any future costs in upgrading the municipal substation and associated equipment. |
| | | The asset condition assessment indicate that the breakers are in Poor condition. The priority assets determining the voltage conversion are the substation assets as failure of a critical component, such as the breaker lineup, can cause a major outage for an extensive timeframe impacting a large number of customers. Furthermore due to system design and construction in the 1950's, feder redundancy is minimal and loss of a station would result in stranded load and increased cost as generators would be required |
| | | The legacy substation equipment is •No longer supported by the manufacturer; •Barts are difficult to come by or must be custom made; •Difficult or costly to maintain; •Eunctional and Operational Obsolesces; (e.g. safety restrictions on operation circuit breakers) •Onable to meet current safety standards (e.g., switchgears that are not arc resistance); •Dinable to meet current performance standards |
| | | Feeder Assets Since there is large population of feeder assets, the condition of feeder assets is diverse. While the overall condition shows the average, as diverse populations masking the impact of deteriorated assets. If the Voltage Conversion projects were not to proceed, significant renewal investments would still be required to renew these deteriorated assets as part of the Overhead Renewal investment. |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | MS-12 15,000KVA |
| | Safety Other Security Britage | Modern equipment reduces safety risks associated with older aging equipment. |
| | Coordination Interoperability | Not Applicable |
| | coordination, interoperability | order to allow for contingency. |
| | Economic Development | Not Applicable |
| | Environmental Benefits | Conversion to 27.6kV from 4.16kV will result in less line losses on the circuits. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Status Quo / Run to Failure |
| | | Under the status quo option, Alectra Utilities would only replace these legacy assets should they fail reactively. Under this scenario, there would be no opportunity to convert these assets to the standardized voltage levels, as assets would have to be replaced in a like-for-like manner. Replacing assets reactively tends to lead to the highest per-unit cost, and greatest impact to customer outage times. Furthermore, the reliability and safety risks associated with this infrastructure would continue to persist. Alectra Utilities would also be required to continue to maintain, and possibly replace or upgrade the legacy substations that supply these lower voltage levels, as many of the breaker assets have reached functional obsolescence and there are no parts available. |
| | | This is not the recommended alternative. |

| | Alternative #1 | Like-for-like replacement of existing assets with new assets at the same voltage ratings |
|--|--|---|
| | | Under the like-for-like replacement option, existing 4.16 kV infrastructure would be replaced with new 4.16 kV infrastructure respectively. This approach is very similar to the status quo option, with the exception that customer outages can be avoided by replacing assets before they fail. By planning ahead to perform the replacements, the added benefit of like-for-like over the status quo is lower per-unit costs given that multiple assets can be addressed at a time. However, by keeping these system voltages intact, the functional obsolescence issues associated with these assets will continue to persist and eventually significant substation investments will be required. Should a future outage occur, it will likely be longer and create a larger customer impact, due to the lack of contingency options available at these voltage levels. |
| | | This is not the recommended alternative. |
| | Alternative #2 | Full conversion of the lines to new 27.6 kV primary system voltage |
| | | Renewal investments already would need to be undertaken based on the asset health condition for many of the station assets, poles and distribution transformers. Under this alternative, assets will be aligned to modern standards and practices. Unification of voltage levels across large sections of the system further improves the operability and should lead to reliability gains. Converting to higher-voltages will also create opportunities for Alectra Utilities to reconfigure the grid to add new switching points and automation, and to phase-out trouble areas like rear-lot construction. These improvements will allow Alectra Utilities to improve service to customers by conducting isolation, sectionalizing and restoration activities much faster. |
| | | This is the recommended alternative. |
| 6. General Information on the | Justification for Recommended Alternative Risks to Completion and Risk Management | The full conversion option presents the best value long-term by having conversion completed in a planned manner while also avoiding the substation investment costs, as well as benefits to the operability of the system, which ultimately benefits the customers. Not Applicable |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | Comparative Information on Equivalent | Decommissioning of MS-8 in Brampton is similar to the nature of this project. |
| | Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | Breakers are obsolete and in Poor condition. MS12 is a 1970 Westinghouse 7.5 MVA transformer and the last in line of 4 decommissioned transformers. There is no contingency for MS12 and the area should be converted to the 27.6kV where contingency exists. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | The 4.16kV asset class represents the oldest vintage in the Brampton area. Failure of the assets increases as the vintage grows. 521 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk | 521 Customers |
| | evel) Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) | 29 outages 942b7 Customer minutes (3 year) 9.67 outages @ 3 hours (per year) Aging assets increase the risk of unplanned maintenance and system faults, resulting in customer outages. Previous MS removal as part of the voltage conversion program results in increased contingency risk for the remaining 4.16kV substation transformers. Each substation removal results in further contingency risk until the program ultimately completes. |
| | Value of Customer Impact | Low |
| | Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Not Applicable Halting voltage conversion would result in the loss of any additional benefits such as: •Reduction in OPEX costs (from eliminated station maintenance); •Bircreased reliability from feeder ties at 13.8 kV for both 4 kV customers and customers already on 13.8 kV feeders; •Automation (reduction in outage duration) for legacy 4 kV customers and some 13.8 kV customers; •Reduction in reactive costs triggered by asset failure; and •Reduction in line losses. • If Alectra were to renew the deteriorated lower-voltage assets without converting to a higher voltage, it would lose the opportunity to economically transition to higher voltage equipment for a long period. |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | Since there are a large population of feeder assets, the condition of feeder assets tends to be diverse. While the overall condition shows the average, this can be a case of diverse populations masking the impact of deteriorated assets. If the Voltage Conversion projects were not to proceed, significant renewal investments would still be required to renew these deteriorated assets as part of the Overhead Renewal investment. Even if the assets in the worst condition were replaced, the rest of the system would continue to deteriorate and continue to pose reliability risk and eventually need to be replaced. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | Like-for-like renewal of lower-voltage assets would increase Alectra Utilities' stations capital requirements during the first three years of the DSP period by approximately \$22M. If Alectra Utilities decided to take an opportunistic approach, where only during rebuilds would conversion take place, in a piece-meal style approach, this would actually introduce more risk to customers. Stations in general are normally backed up by one or more stations in the same geographical area. Similarly feeders themselves are also backed up by other feeders in the surrounding geographical area. Removing any feeder as part of a rebuild could create gaps in the resiliency of the network and increase the risk and exposure to the remaining customers to prolonged outages. |
| | | |





| utilities | | |
|---|--|--|
| Project Code | 151141 | |
| Project Name | Cable Replacement and Transformers replacement | ent - Proiect - Windiammer, Mississauga |
| Major Category | System Renewal | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2 Additional Information | Sanvico Torritony | Mississum |
| 2. Additional mormation | Service Territory | Mississauga |
| | Location | Subdivision in the winston Churchill Bivd and The College way area. |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | Na |
| | Project will Generate Ongoing IT OW&A Costs | NO |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Inderground Asset Renewal |
| | Alectra Grouping | |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Cable Remediation – Replacement |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Alectra Utilities' service area currently contains a population of underground cables totalling approximately 21 million linear meters of cable, which are continuing to degrade. Alectra Utilities' planned Underground Asset Renewal investments are driven by an increasing decline in reliability on the distribution system. At present, defective equipment accounts for 45% of controllable outages in Alectra Utilities' system. Failing cable and cable accessory failures account for 50% of all equipment-related outages. Alectra Utilities plans to gradually but significantly increase its spending to rejuvenate or replace XLPE cable and related accessories that are either in poor or very poor condition. This investment will replace failing direct-buried Cross-Linked Polyethylene (XLPE) cables and cable accesories with new cable in conduit and will mitigate outage frequencies to customers. |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigate Failure Risks |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | "Cable manufactures introduced the first-generation XLPE cable into the market in the late 1960's. These cables have inherent problems due to the nature of the manufacturing processes, which led to impurities developing over time in the insulating medium. These impurities are responsible for the increase in cable failures that Alectra Utilities and other utilities have been experiencing with cables from this period. |
| | | XLPE cables also fail because of the way they installed. Decades ago, utilities buried cable directly in the ground. Over time, the construction standard shifted to installing cable in protective conduits, but much of the system still consists of "direct-buried" cable. When more modern cable-in-conduit fails, it can typically be entirely removed and replaced with brand-new cable with relative ease. In contrast, direct-buried cables can only be repaired by excavating the cable and splicing in a replacement segment. This approach is fundamentally reactive and introduces further complications, since the installed splice may itself become a future failure point. Nor does it solve the underlying issue, since the older, direct-buried cable remains installed and increasingly likely to fail again. Failing direct-buried cables are causing an increasing number of outages, and when buried cables fail it can take a significant amount of time to restore service. Failing cables are significantly and increasingly impacting the quality of service received by Alectra Utilities' customers. |
| | | Alectra Utilities must increase spending not only to halt the increasing trend, but to reverse it and reduce the number of cable failures to return customers back to historical reliability levels. Without the proposed expenditures, cables will continue to degrade and Alectra Utilities expects reliability to decline further as deteriorated cables begin to fail at greater rates, having been stressed from historical faults." |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety | Total connected transformation totals 3587kVA Not Applicable |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Pertaining to coordination with utilities, regional planning and other 3rd parties, Alectra Utilities constructs all new projects using approved construction standards complying with ESA Regulation 22/04. Alectra Utilities participates in regional planning, both at an infrastructure level with local municipalities and regions, as well as at an electrical infrastructure level with Hydro One and other participants in the Regional Planning Process. Alectra Utilities also attends Public Utility Coordinating Committee (PUCC) meetings which jointly allows for the coordination and planning of investments with other utilities who provide cable tv, internet, phone and natural gas services. |
| | Economic Development | Alectra Utilities ensures all policies and practices don't unnecessarily create barriers to economic development which are primarily focused within our communities. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not applicable |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | The status quo is to do nothing, allowing the end-of-life cable to run to failure, and respond to outages under reactive capital. This would lead to an unacceptable level of outages and customer satisfaction. |
| | Alternative #1 | Perform the replacement in this area. |
| | Alternative #2 | Injection of the cables - these cable segemnts are not technically viable for injection. |

| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | "This project is part of Alectra Utilities annual investment initiative for cable remediation (cable replacement and cable injection) to maintain system reliability. The oldest cables are at end-of-life and are failing. Since cables are the main component of the underground electrical distribution system, when a cable segment fails, system reliability and customer service are negatively affected. For small-scale outages, Alectra Utilities has the capability to replace or repair the faulted cable segments under reactive capital, however, if too many cable failures occur at the same time, Alectra Utilities would not have sufficient resources to manage the large-scale and cascading outages - system integrity will be compromised and reliability will be unacceptable to the customers. To manage the risk of large-scale cable failures, Alectra Utilities must implement proactive cable remediation projects. These projects are a result of continuous assessments, prioritizing, and remediating the worst cable segments by a combination of cable injection and cable replacement. This project addresses cable replacement as the method for remediation (injection is not technically feasible for the segments within this project)." |
|--|--|--|
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Risk: Alectra Utilities considers the following as general risks to project schedule and cost: fluctuation in cost and staff resources (internal and external) to complete high annual volume of work. customer delays or restricted access to work sites inclement weather, either in the form of extreme temperatures or due to restoration activities following major storms delays to material shipment from vendors general unforeseen delays such as striking rock when digging, tree conservation, municipal/regional consent forms Risk Management: Alectra Utilities has a multi-year Master Service Agreement with the cable injection contractor. The unit prices are kept constant during the term of the Master Service Agreement. Regular progress meetings are held to ensure technical and operational issues are resolved promptly: budget performance is monitored; and projects are on track. Alectra Utilities has utilized coordination with third parties to mitigate some of the issues where possible, with municipalities/region/suppliers/customers. Alectra Utilities has implemented a Planning and Scheduling solution to track projects and resources. The Program Delivery department allows Alectra Utilities to manage schedule and cost risks and improve the overall efficiency of implementation. Alectra Utilities is able to reduce controllable cost impacts on the project due to these risk mitigation strategies. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | Similar projects would include - BoughBeeches for \$2MM, Gananoque for \$2.5MM |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | Under this option, the underground cables will continue to experience faults and will lead to power outages, resulting in deteriorating service reliability for the area. It is also possible that the cable may no longer be repairable and useable which poses a significant amount of operational risk and cost to Alectra Utilities. Reactive repair of cables in an emergency situation is very time consuming and costly. Given the history of cables failing in this area, Alectra Utilities has determined the looped supply cables, which provide an alternative supply upon a system fault, are also no longer reliable. Based on recently increasing failure trends in the area, Alectra Utilities anticipates further failures in the near term. In addition, continued failure of this cable may result in the cable and elbows being ultimately inoperable and would require substantial resources to replace segments in an emergency manner. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | Cable in this area is 45 years old (installed in 1971), which exceeds the Kinectrics Report ""Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board"" results for Typical Useful Life of non-tree retardant XLPE of 25 years. |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | 40 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) Value of Customer Impact Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any | This area has seen 32 cable faults since 2005, 9 of those failures in the last 3 years. One cable segement has seen seven (7) cable failures, two (2) other segments have seen three (3) cables failures, five (5) segments have seen 2 failures, and several others with 1 cable fault. This project will address aging assets that are experiencing failures. Furthermore, transformers well beyond their useful life with greater risk of failure will also be replaced. This renewal investment will provide customers in this area with better reliability. Medium Local approvals and weather. |
| | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | O&M Cost for emergency cable failure repair = \$20,000 per failure O&M Cost for 1 cable failure repairs = \$20,000 x 1 = \$20,000.8 |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | Reliability benefits are found in the form of installing duct structure where none exists today, minimizing outage time for future interruptions and reducing capital costs for future asset renewal projects. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | When the direct buried cable is replaced, the new cable will be installed according to new Standards - cable to be put in conduit. The conduit provides additional mechanical protection for the cable. In addition it will also facilitate for future cable replacement (faulted cable can be pulled out and new cable be pulled in, no digging is required). |





| utilities | | |
|---|--|---|
| Project Code | 151143 | |
| Project Name | Cable Replacement and Transformers Replacement | ent -Project - Shelter Bay Rd. Mississauga |
| Major Category | System Renewal | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Mississauga |
| | Location | Area of Winston Churchull Blvd., Aquitane Ave, Shelter Bay Road, and Derry Road West. |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OFB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Rase Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Linderground Asset Renewal |
| | | Cable Remediation -Renlargement |
| 4 Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summany | Alectra Hillities' service area currently contains a nonulation of underground cables totalling approximately 21 million |
| 4. Evaluation criteria (OEB) | roject Summary | linear meters of cable, which are continuing to degrade. Alectra Utilities' planned Underground Asset Renewal |
| | | investments are driven by an increasing decline in reliability on the distribution system. At present, defective |
| | | equipment accounts for 45% of controllable outages in Alectra Utilities' system. Failing cable and cable accessory |
| | | failures account for 50% of all equipment-related outages. Alectra Utilities plans to gradually but significantly increase |
| | | Its spending to rejuvenate or replace XLPE cable and related accessories that are either in poor or very poor condition. |
| | | cable in conduit and will mitigate outage frequencies to customers. |
| | | |
| | Male Datase Contem Descend | A Planta Ball as Did a |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigate Failure Kisks |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | "Cable manufactures introduced the first-generation XLPE cable into the market in the late 1960's. These cables have |
| | | the insulating medium. These impurities are responsible for the increase in cable failures that Alectra Utilities and other |
| | | utilities have been experiencing with cables from this period. |
| | | |
| | | XLPE cables also fail because of the way they installed. Decades ago, utilities buried cable directly in the ground. Over |
| | | "direct-buried" cable. When more modern cable-in-conduit fails, it can typically be entirely removed and replaced with |
| | | brand-new cable with relative ease. In contrast, direct-buried cables can only be repaired by excavating the cable and |
| | | splicing in a replacement segment. This approach is fundamentally reactive and introduces further complications, since |
| | | the installed splice may itself become a future failure point. Nor does it solve the underlying issue, since the older, |
| | | direct-buried cable remains installed and increasingly likely to fail again. Failing direct-buried cables are causing an |
| | | Increasing number of outages, and when buried cables fail it can take a significant amount of time to restore service. |
| | | ranning capies are significantly and increasingly impacting the quality of service received by Alectra Otifices customers. |
| | | Alectra Utilities must increase spending not only to halt the increasing trend, but to reverse it and reduce the number |
| | | of cable failures to return customers back to historical reliability levels. Without the proposed expenditures, cables will |
| | | continue to degrade and Alectra Utilities expects reliability to decline further as deteriorated cables begin to fail at |
| | | greater rates, having been stressed from historical faults." |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Total connected transformation totals 2025kVA |
| | Safety | Not Applicable |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Pertaining to coordination with utilities, regional planning and other 3rd parties, Alectra Utilities constructs all new |
| | | projects using approved construction standards complying with ESA Regulation 22/04. Alectra Utilities participates in |
| | | regional planning, both at an infrastructure level with local municipalities and regions, as well as at an electrical |
| | | infrastructure level with Hydro One and other participants in the Regional Planning Process. Alectra Utilities also |
| | | attenus rubile outlity coordinating committee (POCC) meetings which jointly allows for the coordination and planning of investments with other utilities who provide cable ty internet, phone and natural gas services |
| | | or intestitions with other dulines who provide case or, internet, priorie and natural gas services. |
| | | |
| | Economic Development | Alectra Utilities ensures all policies and practices don't unnecessarily create barriers to economic development which |
| | Environmental Reportite | are primarily focused within our communities. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | Not application |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OFB) | Status QUU | אבבף נווכ נסטוכ זוו נומני מווט זוג מווט טפופננועפ צפננוטוו מא זפמננועפ שטוא. |
| , | | |
| | Alternative #1 | Only replace the cables which have had failures. And inject the other segments. |
| | Alternative #2 | Replace all the transformers not just those over typical useful life |
| | | |

| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | "This project is part of Alectra Utilities annual investment initiative for cable remediation (cable replacement and cable injection) to maintain system reliability. The oldest cables are at end-of-life and are failing. Since cables are the main component of the underground electrical distribution system, when a cable segment fails, system reliability and customer service are negatively affected. For small-scale outages, Alectra Utilities has the capability to replace or repair the faulted cable segments under reactive capital, however, if too many cable failures occur at the same time, Alectra Utilities would not have sufficient resources to manage the large-scale and cascading outages - system integrity will be compromised and reliability will be unacceptable to the customers. To manage the risk of large-scale cable failures, Alectra Utilities must implement proactive cable remediation projects. These projects are a result of continuous assessments, prioritizing, and remediating the worst cable segments by a combination of cable injection and cable replacement. This project addresses cable replacement as the method for remediation (injection is not technically feasible for the segments within this project)." |
|--|---|--|
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Risk: Alectra Utilities considers the following as general risks to project schedule and cost: fluctuation in cost and staff resources (internal and external) to complete high annual volume of work. customer delays or restricted access to work sites inclement weather, either in the form of extreme temperatures or due to restoration activities following major storms delays to material shipment from vendors general unforeseen delays such as striking rock when digging, tree conservation, municipal/regional consent forms Risk Management: Alectra Utilities has a multi-year Master Service Agreement with the cable injection contractor. The unit prices are kept constant during the term of the Master Service Agreement. Regular progress meetings are held to ensure technical and operational issues are resolved promptly: budget performance is monitored; and projects are on track. Alectra Utilities has utilized coordination with third parties to mitigate some of the issues where possible, with municipalities/region/suppliers/customers. Alectra Utilities has implemented a Planning and Scheduling solution to track projects and mesources. The Program Delivery department allows Alectra Utilities to manage schedule and cost risks and improve the overal elfficiency of implementation. Alectra Utilities is able to reduce controllable cost impacts on the project due to these risk mitigation strategies. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | This project is significantly smaller in size to the closest comparators. Appledore \$1.8MM. |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | Under this option, the underground cables will continue to experience faults and will lead to power outages, resulting in deteriorating service reliability for the area. It is also possible that the cable may no longer be repairable and useable which poses a significant amount of operational risk and cost to Alectra Utilities. Reactive repair of cables in an emergency situation is very time consuming and costly. Given the history of cables failing in this area, Alectra Utilities has determined the looped supply cables, which provide an alternative supply upon a system fault, are also no longer reliable. |
| | | Based on recently increasing failure trends in the area, Alectra Utilities anticipates further failures in the near term. In addition, continued failure of this cable may result in the cable and elbows being ultimately inoperable and would require substantial resources to replace segments in an emergency manner. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | The 7 transformers being replaced have an average age of 37 years, average health index of 46%. However, when pulling the elbows based on the age many of the bushing will be prone to failure. Average age of the cable is 37 years, well beyond the life expectancy for Non-TR XLPE. 30 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) | This area has seen 16 cable faults since 2005, 4 of those failures in the last 3 years. One cable segement has seen three (4) cable failures, four (4) other segments have seen two (2) cables failures, and several others with 1 cable fault. |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) Value of Customer Impact | This project will address aging assets that are experiencing failures. Furthermore, transformers well beyond their useful life with greater risk of failure will also be replaced. This renewal investment will provide customers in this area with better reliability. Medium |
| | Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Local approvals and weather This project will have no material impact on planned O&M costs. |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | Reliability benefits are found in the form of installing duct structure where none exists today, minimizing outage time for future interruptions and reducing capital costs for future asset renewal projects. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | When the direct buried cable is replaced, the new cable will be installed according to new Standards - cable to be put in conduit. The conduit provides additional mechanical protection for the cable. In addition it will also facilitate for future cable replacement (faulted cable can be pulled out and new cable be pulled in, no digging is required). |





Project Code Project Name

Major Category

Scenario

OEB Multi-Project Report

151145

Cable Replacement Project - Bough Beeches Blvd.

System Renewal 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted

| Project Overview | | |
|---|---|---|
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Mississauga |
| | Location | Area of BoughBeeches Blvd., the Hydro One Right of Way, Claypine Rise and Beechknoll Ave. |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Underground Asset Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Cable Remediation –Replacement |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Replacing 3 transformers which are well beyond typical useful life (average age of 44 years), and rebuilding the subdivison with all cables in duct. This area has seen 12 cable faults since 2005, 4 of those failures in the last 3 years. Three (3) cable segement has seen three (3) cable failures, and several others with 1 cable fault. Additional redundency will be built in during the rebuild to provide flexiability for outage management in the future. |
| | | |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigate Failure Risks |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | This area has seen 12 cable faults since 2005, 4 of those failures in the last 3 years. Three (3) cable segement has seen three (3) cable failures, and several others with 1 cable fault. |
| | Cofety | Net Applicable |
| | Safety | Not Applicable |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Pertaining to coordination with utilities, regional planning and other 3rd parties, Alectra Utilities constructs all new projects using approved construction standards complying with ESA Regulation 22/04. Alectra Utilities participates in regional planning, both at an infrastructure level with local municipalities and regions, as well as at an electrical infrastructure level with Hydro One and other participants in the Regional Planning Process. Alectra Utilities also attends Public Utility Coordinating Committee (PUCC) meetings which jointly allows for the coordination and planning of investments with other utilities who provide cable tv, internet, phone and natural gas services. |
| | Economic Development | Alectra Utilities ensures all policies and practices don't unnecessarily create barriers to economic development which are primarily focused within our communities. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not applicable |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Keep the cable in place and fix any defective section as reactive work. |
| | Alternative #1 | Only replace the cables which have had failures. And inject the other segments |
| | Alternative #2 | Benlace all the transformers not just those over typical useful life |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | Base on the existing data and past expirence by replacing the whole cable, it would prove to be the best and most economical solution in the long run. Since this subdivision was built at the same time, replacing only certain segments would not prevent cable faults on the non-replaced segments which would still have a high likely hood of failure. Alectra Mississauga has in the past reviewed the possibility of rehabilitating the cable with cable injection technology but has determined that this location was not a candidate due to the higher number of cable faults, large portion of solid type conductors, which cannot be injected, high probability of corroded neutrals, and nucertainty of the large number of splice locations in the area. Upon the investigation of 124 cable faults in 2014-2015, 62.1% of the failed cables were solid conductors, thus, cable injection is not a possibility for these types of cables. Moreover, 95.2% of the failed cables were direct buried (not in ducts) and unjacketed; some of these outages were the result of corroded neutrals. Replacing all the transformers is not economical as some have resently been replaced and others are still in good condition. |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Alectra Utilities considers the following as general risks to project schedule and cost: customer delays or restricted access to work sites inclement weather, either in the form of extreme temperatures or due to restoration activities following major storms delays to material shipment from vendors general unforeseen delays such as striking rock when digging, tree conservation, municipal/regional consent forms Alectra Utilities has utilized coordination with third parties to mitigate some of the issues where possible, with municipalities/region/suppliers/customers. Alectra Utilities has implemented a Planning and Scheduling solution to track projects and resources. The Program Delivery department allows Alectra Utilities to manage schedule and cost risks and improve the overall efficiency of implementation. Alectra Utilities is able to reduce controllable cost impacts on the project due to these risk mitigation strategies. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | This project is significantly smaller in size to the closest comparators. Appledore \$1.8MM. |

| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | h Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | | Under this option, the underground cables will continue to experience faults and will lead to power outages, resulting in deteriorating service reliability for the area. It is also possible that the cable may no longer be repairable and useable which poses a significant amount of operational risk and cost to Alectra Utilities. Reactive repair of cables in an emergency situation is very time consuming and costly. Given the history of cables failing in this area, Alectra Utilities has determined the looped supply cables, which provide an alternative supply upon a system fault, are also no longer reliable. Based on recently increasing failure trends in the area, Alectra Utilities anticipates further failures in the near term. In addition, continued failure of this cable may result in the cable and elbows being ultimately inoperable and would require substantial resources to replace segments in an emergency manner. The 3 transformers being replaced have an average age of 44 years, average health index of 54%. However, when pulling the elbows based on the age many of the bushing will be prone to failure. Average age of the cable is 37 years, | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Number of Potentially | umber of Customers in Each Customer Class otentially Affected by Asset Failure | | 20 | the life expectancy for No | II-IK ALPE. | | |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) | | | This area ha three (3) cat | s seen 12 cable faults since ale failures, and several oth | 2005, 4 of those failures i ners with 1 cable fault. | n the last 3 years. Three (3 | 3) cable segement has seen |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) Value of Customer Impact Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | | This project will address aging assets that are experiencing failures. Furthermore, transformers well beyond their useful life with greater risk of failure will also be replaced. This renewal investment will provide customers in this area with better reliability. Medium Not Applicable This project will have no material impact on planned O&M costs. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | | | Reliability benefits are found in the form of installing duct structure where none exists today, minimizing outage time for future interruptions and reducing capital costs for future asset renewal projects. | | | | |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | | roject | Like for like renewal would address the issue of equipment failure due to end-of-life assets in the area, but there is no system benefit in rebuilding the fedeer as "direct buried". Future replacment would be more costly then the additonal cost to place in duct now. | | | | |
| | 800,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 700.000 | | | - | | | | |
| | 600.000 | | | | | | | |
| | 500,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 300,000 | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | | | | | | | |
| | 5 | 2019 | 20 | 020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: | \$694,362 | 2 \$0 \$694 | | 4,362 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

Currency scale is in literal


| utilities | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| Project Code | 151150 | |
| Project Name | Fleet East Vehicle replacement - SUV | |
| Major Category | General Plant | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream North & South |
| | Location | |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Project Class | - Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Fleet Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Fleet |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: •Manufacturing Standards •Manufactury Standards |
| | | ●Wehicle Operational Conditions ●Wehicle Age ●Wehicle Total Mileage |
| | Main Driver - General Plant | Capital Investment Support |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety | Not Applicable Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy Coordination, Interoperability | Not applicable. Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Alectra's five-year vehicle replacement plan is based on the following criteria guidelines: •Wanufacturing Standards •Wanufacturing Standards •Wehicle Operational Conditions •Wehicle Age •Wehicle Cotal Mileage •Bighway Traffic Act (HTA) •Canadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station (MVIS) requirements •Binfrastructure Health & Safety Association (IHSA) of Ontario, where applicable •Eorporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies |
| | Economic Development Environmental Benefits | Vehicle purchases contribute to the economy by supporting the creation and support of jobs at automakers, car dealers, parts suppliers, and mechanical trade workers. Improvments to engine emmisions with newer models, which could count towards Environmental Benefits. |

| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | | | Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | Alternative | #1 | | of 150,000 km) | | | | | | |
| | | | | Medium Du Replacemer | ty Vehicles:Assessed at 10 ht schedule: at 10 years, (2 | years and every year after 50,000 km). | , and/or high mileage (exc | ess of 250,000 km) | | |
| | | | | Heavy Duty engine hour | Vehicles: Assessed at 12-y rs (excess of 12,000 engine | ear service, and every year hours) | r after, and/or high mileag | e (excess of 500,000 km) High | | |
| | Alternative | #2 n for Recommended Alter | rnative | Not applica Units have r | ble net the replacement guide | elines by 2016 - 2021 | | | | |
| | | | | | | · | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Co | mpletion and Risk Manag | gement | Vehicle ava Employee a Increasing s | ilability & reliability nd public safety ystems outages response 1 | imelines to support custo | mers. | | | |
| | | | | The reliable •Eleet Mana •SCM Opera •Eey manag | , availability and safe fleet agement as the initiative le ations Director reviews and gement stakeholder suppo | operations will also rely o ead and accountability d approves replacement re rt across the organization t | on: ecommendations to define business requirer | nents | | |
| | | | | ■Wehicles may be replaced by different models or types based on changes to operations, corporate initiatives and customer requirements. ■Corporate Commitment to approved Capital and Operating Budgets required ■Any emerging requirements of the organization may change the planned replacement of vehicles such as changes the resources plan and required budget mitigation efforts | | | | | | |
| | Comparativ Historical P | ve Information on Equiva Projects (if any) | alent | RFP process Historical sp | will be followed for best v bend tracked from all regio | value. Ins | | | | |
| | Total Capit Energy Ger | al and OM&A Costs for Re neration portion of Projec | enewable ts (if any) | 0 | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | ning Objectives Met | | Operationa | l Improvements | | | | | |
| | 45,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 35,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 30,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 25,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 15,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5.000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 + | | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | | |
| | | 2019 | 202 | 20 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | ,40,390 | şu \$0 | \$U \$1 | 0 | ېن \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 | \$40,590 \$0 | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | ÷ ~ | , çe | | | <i></i> | <i></i> | | | |



Project Name

OEB Multi-Project Report

151155

Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Pick ups

| wajor Category | General Plant | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory Location | Mississauga |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 2. Concern Drainet Information (OED) | Contributed Control | Castributed Castrol 00/ |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Kate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Fleet Kenewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Fleet |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. |
| | | Manufacturing Standards |
| | | Bhdustry Standards |
| | | Non Industry Standards Nebicle Operational Conditions |
| | | •Wehicle Age |
| | | •Nehicle Total Mileage |
| | | •Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") |
| | | •Danadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) |
| | | •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment |
| | | •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements |
| | | Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable Porporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies |
| | | •Eurporate realth & Salety and Environmental Policies |
| | | A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle on a completely different vehicle configuration. Units 45811 will be a projected 9 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overal age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. |
| | Main Driver - General Plant Priority and Reasons for Priority | Capital Investment Support Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to |
| | | positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to custome response time and employee productivity. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety | N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | N/A |
| | | |

| | Coordinat | ion, Interoperability | | Alectra's fi Manufact Bhdustry S Non-Indu Vehicle O Sehicle A Vehicle To Eighway Canadian All related Motor Ve Bhfrastruc Corporate | ve-year vehicle replacemer uring Standards tandards stry Standards perational Conditions ge tal Mileage fraffic Act (HTA) Motor Vehicle Safety Stan I CSA standards, specificall hicle Inspection Station (M ture Health & Safety Assoc Health & Safety and Envir | dards (CMVSS) y those that relate to aeria VIS) requirements iation (IHSA) of Ontario, w onmental Policies | owing criteria guidelines: Il devices and hydraulic equ there applicable | uipment | | |
|---|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|--|--|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| | Economic | Development | | Vehicle | purchases contribute to th | e economy by supporting | the creation and support | of jobs at automakers, car | | |
| | Environm | ental Benefits | | New vehicl | es reduce emissions, gas co | onsumption | | | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Qu | o | | Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement of kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and re costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to c response time and employee productivity. | | | | | | |
| | Alternativ | e #1 | | N/A | | | | | | |
| | Alternativ | e #2 | | None | | | | | | |
| | Justificatio | on for Recommended Alte | rnative | N/a | | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to C | ompletion and Risk Manag | gement | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | The reliable, availability and safe fleet operations will also rely on: •Ileet Management as the initiative lead and accountability •SCM Operations Director reviews and approves replacement recommendations •Key management stakeholder support across the organization to define business requirements •Wehicles may be replaced by different models or types based on changes to operations, corporate initiatives and customer requirements. •Oproporate Commitment to approved Capital and Operating Budgets required •Any emerging requirements of the organization may change the planned replacement of vehicles such as changes to the resources plan and required budget mitigation efforts | | | | | |
| | Comporat | ive Information on Equips | lont | N/A | | | | | | |
| | Historical | Projects (if any) | ient | N/A | | | | | | |
| | Total Capi Energy Ge | ital and OM&A Costs for R neration portion of Projec | enewable ts (if any) | 0 | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plai | nning Objectives Met | | Operationa | I improvements | | | | | |
| | 70,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 60,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 50.000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 30,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 20 |)20 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | : \$65,000 | \$0 | \$65 | ,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | Ş | 50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | | |



Project Code Project Name

OEB Multi-Project Report

151158

Fleet_Central South_Vehicle Replacement -Vans

| wajor Category | General Plant | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory Location | Mississauga |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Fleet Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Fleet |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: •Manufacturing Standards |
| | | ●Bndustry Standards ●Non Industry Standards |
| | | Behicle Operational Conditions |
| | | • Mehicle Age |
| | | Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") |
| | | •Eanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) |
| | | •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment |
| | | •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements |
| | | Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable Eorporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies |
| | | A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Units, 503-09, 509-09, will be a projected 10-15 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, 1-370, 503-09, 509-09, 520-04, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. |
| | Main Driver - General Plant | Capital Investment Support |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety | N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. |
| | Cyber-Security Privacy | N/A |
| | Cyber-becunty, Filvacy | |

| | Coordination, Interoperability | Alectra's five-year vehicle replacement plan is based on the following criteria guidelines: •Manufacturing Standards •Midustry Standards •Wehicle Operational Conditions •Wehicle Age •Wehicle Total Mileage •Wehicle Total Mileage •Bighway Traffic Act (HTA) •Eanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station (MVIS) requirements •Infrastructure Health & Safety Association (HISA) of Ontario, where applicable •Eorporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies |
|---|---|--|
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Economic Development Environmental Benefits Status Quo | Vehicle purchases contribute to the economy by supporting the creation and support of jobs at automakers, car dealers, parts suppliers, and mechanical trade workers. New vehicles reduce emissions, gas consumption Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. |
| | Alternative #1 | Light Duty Vehicles: Assessed at 7 years and every year after, and/or high mileage (excess of 150,000 km) Replacement schedule: at 7 years, (150,000 km). Medium Duty Vehicles: Assessed at 10 years and every year after, and/or high mileage (excess of 250,000 km) Replacement schedule: at 10 years, (250,000 km). Heavy Duty Vehicles: Assessed at 12-year service, and every year after, and/or high mileage (excess of 500,000 km) High engine hours (excess of 12,000 engine hours) Trailer replacement will follow the same core principles as the vehicle replacement criteria with the following differences: Assessed at 15-year service When assessing trailer conditions, trailers will be refurbished rather than replaced. Where trailers cannot be refurbished due to application change or condition, trailers will be flagged for replacement.Replacement/Refurbishment: 15 years. |
| | Alternative #2 Justification for Recommended Alternative | N/A N/A |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. The reliable, availability and safe fleet operations will also rely on: Eleet Management as the initiative lead and accountability SCM Operations Director reviews and approves replacement recommendations Eley management stakeholder support across the organization to define business requirements Wehicles may be replaced by different models or types based on changes to operations, corporate initiatives and customer requirements. Corporate Commitment to approved Capital and Operating Budgets required Any emerging requirements of the organization may change the planned replacement of vehicles such as changes to the resources plan and required budget mitigation efforts |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | N/A 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Planning Objectives Met | Operational Improvements |

| 60,000 | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|----------|------|------|------|------|--|--|
| 50,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| 40,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| 30,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| 20,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| 10,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$81,000 | \$0 | \$81,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| | | | | | | | | |



Project Code 151160 Project Name Fleet_West_Vehicle Replacement_Pole Trailer_1-405 Major Category General Plant Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Hamilton Location Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital **Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Fleet Renewal Fleet Alectra Subcategory 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Industry Standards Industry Standards Rehicle Operational Conditions • Rehicle Age • ■ehicle Total Mileage • Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") •Eanadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable •Dorporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Units 1-402, 1-403, 1-404, 1-405 will be a projected 30 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. Main Driver - General Plant Capital Investment Support Priority and Reasons for Priority Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable Safety fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. Cyber-Security, Privacy N/A

| | Coordination, Interoperability Economic Development Environmental Benefits Status Quo | | | Alectra's five-year vehicle replacement plan is based on the following criteria guidelines: Manufacturing Standards Non-Industry Standards Non-Industry Standards Nethicle Operational Conditions Nethicle Operational Conditions Nethicle Age Nethicle Total Mileage Nethicle Total Mileage Nethicle Total Mileage Nethicle Total Mileage Nethicle Age Nethicle A | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | | | Vehicle purchases contribute to the economy by supporting the creation and support of jobs at automakers, car dealers, parts suppliers, and mechanical trade workers. New vehicles reduce emissions, gas consumption Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. | | | | | | |
| | Alternativ | e#1 | | Replaceme Light Duty Replaceme Medium D Replaceme Heavy Duty | ent Assessment Criteria - Vehicles: Assessed at 7 year ent schedule: at 7 years, (15/ uty Vehicles:Assessed at 10 ent schedule: at 10 years, (2/ y Vehicles: Assessed at 12-yu | s and every year after, and/or high mileage (excess of 150,000 km) 0,000 km). years and every year after, and/or high mileage (excess of 250,000 km) 50,000 km). | | | | |
| | Alternativ Justificati | re #2 on for Recommended Alte | rnative | engine hou None N/a | ırs (excess of 12,000 engine | hours) | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | | | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. The reliable, availability and safe fleet operations will also rely on: •Eleet Management as the initiative lead and accountability •SCM Operations Director reviews and approves replacement recommendations | | | | | | |
| | | | | •配ehicles r customer r •配orporate •图ny emer the resource | may be replaced by different equirements. 2 Commitment to approved ging requirements of the on ces plan and required budge | models or types based or Capital and Operating Buc ganization may change the et mitigation efforts | n changes to operations, c Igets required e planned replacement of | orporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to | | |
| | Comparat Historical Total Cap Energy Ge | tive Information on Equiva Projects (if any) ital and OM&A Costs for R eneration portion of Projec | alent enewable :ts (if any) | N/ARFP pro Historical s 0 | ocessed will be followed for pend tracked from all regio | best value. ns | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Pla | nning Objectives Met | | Operationa | al improvements | | | | | |
| | 90,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 80,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 70,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 60,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 50,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 30,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 20 |)20 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submittee | : \$79,000 | \$0 | \$ | 60 | \$0 | \$0 | \$79,000 | \$0 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$ | 50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | | |





OEB Multi-Project Report

151166

Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_pick ups General Plant

| Project Name | Fleet_Central North Vehicle Replacement_pick | <u>ups</u> |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| Major Category | General Plant | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory Location Units Project Class Project Includes R&D Technology Project or has Technology Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | Mississauga Regular No No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital Expenditure Type Rates ID Alectra Grouping Alectra Subcategory | Contributed Capital 0% Controllable Rate Base Funded Fleet Renewal Fleet |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement criteria as outlined in the Fleet Replacement Plan. The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: •Manufacturing Standards •Moun Industry Standards •Wehicle Operational Conditions •Wehicle Operational Conditions •Wehicle Age •Wehicle Total Mileage •Wehicle Industry Standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment •Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment •Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements •Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable •Corporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with the same class of vehicle o a completely different vehicle configuration. Uhits 8815, will be a projected 7 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. |
| | Main Driver - General Plant Priority and Reasons for Priority | Capital Investment Support Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to custome response time and employee productivity. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety | N/A Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | N/A |
| | | |

| | Coordinatio | on, Interoperability | | Alectra's fiv Manufact: Industry S Non-Indus Schicle Op Schicle Op Schicle Op Schicle To Bighway T Scanadian All related Motor Vel Scrporate | Jipment | | | | |
|---|---|--|------------------|--|----------------|-----|----------|-----|--|
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Environme Status Quo | ntal Benefits | | Venice parts suppliers, and mechanical trade workers. New vehicles reduce emissions, gas consumption Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement of kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and re costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to c response time and employee productivity. | | | | | |
| | Alternation | #1 | | N/A | | | | | |
| | Alternative | #1 | | None | | | | | |
| | Justification | n for Recommended Alter | native | N/a | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | | | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. The reliable, availability and safe fleet operations will also rely on: Eleet Management as the initiative lead and accountability SCM Operations Director reviews and approves replacement recommendations Eley management stakeholder support across the organization to define business requirements Eventiation of the organization of the organization of the support of the organization of the organization of the organization may change the planned replacement of vehicles such as changes to the resources plan and required budget mitigation efforts | | | | | |
| | Comparativ Historical P Total Capit | ve Information on Equiva rojects (if any) al and OM&A Costs for Re | lent enewable | N/A 0 | | | | | |
| | Energy Ger | eration portion of Projec | ts (if any) | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | ning Objectives Met | | Operationa | l improvements | | | | |
| | 60,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 50,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 30,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 10 000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 10,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 + | 2019 | 20 | 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 | | | | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submittee | 1: \$54,000 | \$0 | \$ | 50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$54,000 | \$0 | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | Ş | 50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | |



Project Code Project Name

OEB Multi-Project Report

151167

Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Pick ups

| Major Category | General Plant | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Mississauga |
| | location | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Fleet Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategony | Elect |
| | Alectia Subcategoly | |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital |
| | | budget is requested. The vehicle conference follows predetormined conference strikeria as outlined in the Floet Replacement Plan |
| | | The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: |
| | | •Manufacturing Standards |
| | | •Industry Standards |
| | | •Non Industry Standards |
| | | • Wehicle Operational Conditions |
| | | •Wehicle Age |
| | | • Wehicle Total Mileage |
| | | Bighway Traffic Act ("HTA") |
| | | • Canadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) |
| | | •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment |
| | | Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements |
| | | = Restrict a $&$ Utility Safety Association ("F&USA Rule Book") where annicable |
| | | Pornorate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies |
| | | |
| | | A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") |
| | | Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. |
| | | due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing |
| | | productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. |
| | | The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and |
| | | disposed per Alectra policy. |
| | | |
| | Main Driver - General Plant | Capital Investment Support |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Vehicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to |
| | | positive employee engagement. |
| | | Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept |
| | | in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been |
| | | replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs |
| | | have increased and the venicles to longer operate at fun capacity, reducing venicle availability, impacting service delivors and most importantly courses and the venicles are used as a service of the public. The capital hudget |
| | | required for the part five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend |
| | | Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer |
| | | response time and employee productivity |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | N/A |
| | Safety | Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable |
| | | fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required |
| | | to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. |
| | | · |
| | | |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | N/A |
| | | |

| | Coordinati | on, Interoperability | ipment | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|---|---|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Economic | Development | Vehicle | ourchases contribute to the | e economy by supporting th | ne creation and support of | of jobs at automakers, car | | | |
| | Environme | ntal Benefits | dealers, pa New vehicl | rts suppliers, and mechanic es reduce emissions, gas co | al trade workers. | | | | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | | Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacem kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles sho been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance ar costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impac delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts response time and employee productivity. | | | | | | | |
| | Alternative | #1 | N/A | | | | | | | |
| | Alternative | #2 | None | | | | | | | |
| | Justificatio | n for Recommended Alter | native N/a | | | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Co | mpletion and Risk Manage | ement Vehicle ava Employee a Increasing | Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Increasing systems outages response timelines to support customers. | | | | | | |
| | | The reliable, availability and safe fleet operations will also rely on: •Eleet Management as the initiative lead and accountability •SCM Operations Director reviews and approves replacement recommendations •Key management stakeholder support across the organization to define business requirements •Kehicles may be replaced by different models or types based on changes to operations, corpora customer requirements. •Corporate Commitment to approved Capital and Operating Budgets required •Any emerging requirements of the organization may change the planned replacement of vehicle the resources plan and required budget mitigation efforts | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Comparati | ve Information on Equival | ent N/A | | | | | | | |
| | Historical F Total Capit | rojects (if any) al and OM&A Costs for Re | newable 0 | | | | | | | |
| | Energy Ger | neration portion of Project | s (if any) | | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | ning Objectives Met | Operationa | l improvements | | | | | | |
| | 180,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 160,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 140,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 120,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 80,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 60,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 40,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 20,000 - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | l: \$162,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$162,000 | | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | | |



Project Name

OEB Multi-Project Report

151168

Fleet_Central South Vehicle Replacement-Step Vans General Plant

| inajor category | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Mississauga |
| | Location | |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3 General Project Information (OFB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Canital 0% |
| S. General Hojeet mornation (GEB) | Expenditure Tupe | |
| | Experiatore Type | Data Data Eurodad |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Fleet Kenewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Fleet |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Every year vehicles are identified for replacement according to Alectra Utilities Fleet Replacement Plan and a capital |
| | | budget is requested. The vehicle replacement follows predetermined replacement stitutions outlined in the Floet Beplacement Plan |
| | | The replacement criteria are based on the following guidelines: |
| | | Manufacturing Standards |
| | | •Endustry Standards |
| | | •Non Industry Standards |
| | | Behicle Operational Conditions |
| | | • Wehicle Age |
| | | Bichucy Troffic Act ("UTA") |
| | | Bigliway Italiic Act (THA) Panadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standards ("CMVSS) |
| | | •All related CSA standards, specifically those that relate to aerial devices and hydraulic equipment |
| | | Motor Vehicle Inspection Station ("MVIS") requirements |
| | | Electrical & Utility Safety Association ("E&USA Rule Book") where applicable |
| | | Ecorporate Health & Safety and Environmental Policies |
| | | A "first pass" screening process is used based on vehicle age at which time, mileage, engine hours, utilization and power take off ("PTO") hours are documented. This provides a baseline to initiate the capital replacement process. During this time, vehicle utilization is also reviewed and discussions will take place with Business Unit ("BU") Managers/Directors on whether a vehicle should be retained, re-allocated or replaced with the same class of vehicle or a completely different vehicle configuration. Unit 312-12, 316-12 322-13 will be a projected 10 years in service when decommissioned. Parts availability is low due to the overall age of this unit and will continue to decline as time progresses. Repairs costs will continue to increase, unit reliability, and lower availability of parts will contribute in the reduction of vehicle availability, decreasing productivity and challenging planning and scheduling. The replacement unit will be ordered turn key, once completed, the old units, will be taken out of service and disposed per Alectra policy. |
| | Main Driver - General Plant | Capital Investment Support |
| | Priority and keasons for Priority | Venicle availability is critical to keeping operational cost in control, increased productivity and a contributor to positive employee engagement. Due to budget mitigation efforts during the last few years, a number of vehicles scheduled for replacement were kept in operation and rescheduled for replacement in future years. As a result, many of Alectra vehicles should have been replaced within the last five years. It is now critical that these vehicles be replaced as maintenance and repairs costs have increased and the vehicles no longer operate at full capacity, reducing vehicle availability, impacting service delivery and most importantly causing potential safety concern for our employees and the public. The capital budget required for the next five years will ensure the replacement of vehicles that have surpass the vehicles life spend. Regular vehicle replacement is necessary to avoid undue vehicle down and associated negative impacts to customer response time and employee productivity. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | N/A |
| | Safety | Vehicles are the workplace for over 60% of Alectra Utilities' workforce. Providing and maintaining a safe and reliable fleet, is key to building a better workplace for Alectra Utilities' employees and providing them with the tools required to provide service to Alectra Utilities customers and meet their expectations. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | N/A |
| | | |

| | Coordinati | on, Interoperability | Alectra's fiv •Manufactt •Brdustry St •Bon-Indus •Wehicle Op •Wehicle Tot •Bighway Tr •Eanadian I •All related •Motor Veh •Brfrastruct •Corporate | e-year vehicle replacement rring Standards andards erational Conditions e affic Act (HTA) Wotor Vehicle Safety Stand CSA standards, specifically icle Inspection Station (MV ure Health & Safety Associc Health & Safety and Enviro | : plan is based on the follo ards (CMVSS) those that relate to aerial (IS) requirements ation (IHSA) of Ontario, wh immental Policies | wing criteria guidelines: devices and hydraulic equ ere applicable | ipment | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Economic | Development | Vehicle p | urchases contribute to the | economy by supporting th | ne creation and support of | of jobs at automakers, car | | |
| | Environme | ntal Benefits | dealers, par New vehicle | ts suppliers, and mechanic s reduce emissions, gas co | al trade workers. nsumption | | | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | , | Due to b kept in open been replac costs have i delivery and Regular response tir | udget mitigation efforts du ation and rescheduled for ed within the last five year noreased and the vehicles n I most importantly causing rehicle replacement is nece ne and employee production | ring the last few years, a n replacement in future yea s. It is now critical that the no longer operate at full cz potential safety concern f essary to avoid undue vehic vity. | umber of vehicles schedu rs. As a result, many of Ala se vehicles be replaced as apacity, reducing vehicle a or our employees and the cle down and associated r | led for replacement were extra vehicles should have a maintenance and repairs valiability, impacting service public. legative impacts to customer | | |
| | Alternative | : #1 | N/A | | | | | | |
| | Alternative | 2 #2 | None | | | | | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Co | n for Recommended Alterr | ative N/a ment Vehicle avai Employee a Increasing s | N/a Vehicle availability & reliability Employee and public safety Jorganizing systems outgage response timelines to support customers | | | | | |
| | | | The reliable •Elect Man: •SCM Opera •Key manag •Wehicles m customer re •Corporate •Any emerg the resource | , availability and safe fleet agement as the initiative le titons Director reviews and ement stakeholder suppor ay be replaced by different quirements. Commitment to approved ing requirements of the or es plan and required budge | operations will also rely or ad and accountability approves replacement rec t across the organization to models or types based on Capital and Operating Bud ganization may change the et mitigation efforts | n: commendations o define business requiren changes to operations, co gets required planned replacement of t | nents prporate initiatives and vehicles such as changes to | | |
| | Comparati | ve Information on Equival | ent n/A | | | | | | |
| | Historical F Total Capit Energy Ger | Projects (if any) al and OM&A Costs for Ren neration portion of Projects | newable 0 s (if any) | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Other Plan | ning Objectives Met | Operational | improvements | | | | | |
| | 800,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 700,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 600,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 500,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 300,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submittee | 1: \$735,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$735,000 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | | |



Project Name

Major Category

OEB Multi-Project Report

151178

Cable Replacement Project - Mason Heights

System Renewal 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted

| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
|---|--|---|
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Mississauga |
| | Location | Area between Dundas st., Paisley blvd. and Stillmeadow Rd. |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Underground Asset Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Cable Remediation –Replacement |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Replacing 8 transformers which are well beyond typical useful life (30 years), and rebuilding the subdivison with all cables in duct. This area has seen 10 cable faults since 2011, 4 of those failures in the last 3 years. One cable segement has seen four (4) cable failures, two (2) other segments have seen two (2) cables failures, and several others with 1 cable fault. |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigate Failure Risks |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | This area has seen 10 cable faults since 2011, 4 of those failures in the last 3 years. One cable segement has seen four (4) cable failures, two (2) other segments have seen two (2) cables failures, and several others with 1 cable fault. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Total connected transformation totals 1125kVA |
| | Cyber-Security Privacy | Not Applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Pertaining to coordination with utilities, regional planning and other 3rd parties, Alectra Utilities constructs all new projects using approved construction standards complying with ESA Regulation 22/04. Alectra Utilities participates in regional planning, both at an infrastructure level with local municipalities and regions, as well as at an electrical infrastructure level with Hydro One and other participants in the Regional Planning Process. Alectra Utilities also attends Public Utility Coordinating Committee (PUCC) meetings which jointly allows for the coordination and planning of investments with other utilities who provide cable tv, internet, phone and natural gas services. |
| | Economic Development | Alectra Utilities ensures all policies and practices don't unnecessarily create barriers to economic development which are primarily focused within our communities. Not anolicable |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Keep the cable in place and fix any defective section as reactive work. |
| | | |
| | Alternative #1 | Only replace the cables which have had failures. And inject the other segments. |
| | Alternative #2 | Replace all cables in the area with new cables in duct, and replace all the transformers not just those over typical useful life and in worst condition. |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | Base on the existing data and past expierence by replacing the whole cable , it would prove to be the best and most economical solution in the long run. Since this subdivision was built at the same time, replacing only certain segments would not prevent cable faults on the non-replaced segments which would still have a high likely hood of failure. Alectra Mississauga has in the past reviewed the possibility of rehabilitating the cable with cable injection technology but has determined that this location was not a candidate due to the higher number of cable faults, large portion of solid type conductors, which cannot be injected, high probability of corroded neutrals, and uncertainty of the large number of splice locations in the area. Upon the investigation of 124 cable faults in 2014-2015, 62.1% of the failed cables were solid conductors, thus, cable injection is not a possibility for these types of cables. Moreover, 95.2% of the failed cables were direct buried (not in ducts) and unjacketed; some of these outages were the result of corroded neutrals. Replacing all the transformers is not economical as some have resently been replaced and others are still in good condition. |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Risk: Alectra Utilities considers the following as general risks to project schedule and cost: fluctuation in cost and staff resources (internal and external) to complete high annual volume of work. customer delays or restricted access to work sites inclement weather, either in the form of extreme temperatures or due to restoration activities following major storms delays to material shipment from vendors general unforeseen delays such as striking rock when digging, tree conservation, municipal/regional consent forms Risk Management: Alectra Utilities has multi-year Master Service Agreement with external contractors. Regular progress meetings are held to ensure technical and operational issues are resolved promptly; budget performance is monitored; and projects are on track. Alectra Utilities has utilized coordination with third parties to mitigate some of the issues where possible, with municipalities/region/suppliers/customers. Alectra Utilities has implemented a Planning and Scheduling solution to track projects and resources. The Program Delivery department allows Alectra Utilities to manage schedule and cost risks and improve the overall efficiency of implementation. Alectra Utilities is able to reduce controllable cost impacts on the project due to these risk mitigation strategies. |
| | | |

| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | | | This project is significantly smaller in size to the closest comparators. Appledore \$1.8MM. | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | ach Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | | Without investment the underground cables will continue to experience faults and will lead to power outages, resulting in deteriorating service reliability for the area. It is also possible that the cable may no longer be repairable and useable which poses a significant amount of operational risk and cost to Alectra Utilities. Reactive repair of cables in an emergency situation is very time consuming and costly. Given the history of cables failing in this area, Alectra Utilities has determined the looped supply cables, which provide an alternative supply upon a system fault, are also no longer reliable. | | | | | |
| | | | | Based on red addition, con require subs | ently increasing failure tre ntinued failure of this cable tantial resources to replac | ends in the area, Alectra Ut e may result in the cable a e segments in an emergen | ilities anticipates further f nd elbows being ultimatel cy manner. | ailures in the near term. In y inoperable and would |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | | The 8 transfe pulling the e well beyond | ormers being replaced hav lbows based on the age m the life expectancy for No | e an average age of 32 yea any of the bushing will be n-TR XLPE. | rs, average health index o prone to failure. Average a | f 65%. However, when age of the cable is 39years, | |
| | Number of Potentially | Customers in Each Custon Affected by Asset Failure | ner Class | 40 | | | | |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) Value of Customer Impact | | This area ha (4) cable fail | s seen 10 cable faults since ures, two (2) other segmer | 2011, 4 of those failures i hts have seen two (2) cable | n the last 3 years. One cat s failures, and several oth | le segement has seen four ers with 1 cable fault. | |
| | | | This project will address aging assets that are experiencing failures. Furthermore, transformers well beyond their useful life with greater risk of failure will also be replaced. This renewal investment will provide customers in this area with better reliability. Medium | | | | | |
| | Factors Affe | ecting Project Timing, if an | у | Not Applicable | | | | |
| | Consequent Implication | ces for O&M System Costs s of Not Implementing | Including | This project will have no material impact on planned O&M costs. | | | | |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | | | Reliability benefits are found in the form of installing duct structure where none exists today, minimizing outage time for future interruptions and reducing capital costs for future asset renewal projects. | | | | |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | | | Like for like i system bene cost to place | renewal would address the fit in rebuilding the fedeer in duct now. | e issue of equipment failure as "direct buried". Future | e due to end-of-life assets replacment would be mo | in the area, but there is no re costly then the additonal |
| ٤ | 800,000 🚽 | | | | | | | |
| - | 700,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 600,000 | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 500,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 400,000 - | | | | | | | |
| : | 300,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 200,000 - | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 100,000 - | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | 2019 | 20 | 120 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: | \$714,574 | \$0 | \$71 | 4,574 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | |



Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any)

Project Code 151179 Cable Replacement Project - Area of Erin Mills Parkway and South Millway Project Name Major Category System Renewal Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Mississauga Location Area of SouthMillway and Erin Mills Parkway Units Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) **Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Underground Asset Renewal Alectra Subcategory Cable Remediation –Replacement 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Replacing 6 transformers which are well beyond typical useful life (30 years), and rebuilding the subdivison with all cables in duct. This area has seen 11 cable faults since 2007, 5 of those failures in the last 3 years. One cable segement has seen three (3) cable failures, another segments has seen two (2) cables failures, and several others with 1 cable fault. Main Driver - System Renewal Mitigate Failure Risks Priority and Reasons for Priority This area has seen 11 cable faults since 2007, 5 of those failures in the last 3 years. One cable segement has seen three (3) cable failures, another segments has seen two (2) cables failures, and several others with 1 cable fault. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Total connected transformation totals 1327.5kVA Safety Not Applicable Cyber-Security, Privacy Not Applicable Coordination, Interoperability Pertaining to coordination with utilities, regional planning and other 3rd parties, Alectra Utilities constructs all new projects using approved construction standards complying with ESA Regulation 22/04. Alectra Utilities participates in regional planning, both at an infrastructure level with local municipalities and regions, as well as at an electrical infrastructure level with Hydro One and other participants in the Regional Planning Process. Alectra Utilities also attends Public Utility Coordinating Committee (PUCC) meetings which jointly allows for the coordination and planning of investments with other utilities who provide cable tv, internet, phone and natural gas services. Economic Development Alectra Utilities ensures all policies and practices don't unnecessarily create barriers to economic development which are primarily focused within our communities Environmental Benefits Not applicable 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Keep the cable in place and fix any defective section as reactive work. Status Quo Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) Only replace the cables which have had failures. And inject the other segments. Alternative #1 Alternative #2 Replace all cables in the area with new cables in duct, and replace all the transformers not just those over typical useful life and in worst condition. Justification for Recommended Alternative Base on the existing data and past expierence by replacing the whole cable , it would prove to be the best and most economical solution in the long run. Since this subdivision was built at the same time, replacing only certain segments would not prevent cable faults on the non-replaced segments which would still have a high likely hood of failure. Alectra Mississauga has in the past reviewed the possibility of rehabilitating the cable with cable injection technology but has determined that this location was not a candidate due to the higher number of cable faults, large portion of solid type conductors, which cannot be injected, high probability of corroded neutrals, and uncertainty of the large number of splice locations in the area. Upon the investigation of 124 cable faults in 2014-2015. 62.1% of the failed cables were solid conductors, thus, cable injection is not a possibility for these types of cables. Moreover, 95.2% of the failed cables were direct buried (not in ducts) and unjacketed; some of these outages were the result of corroded neutrals. Replacing all the transformers is not economical as some have recently been replaced and others are still in good condition. 6. General Information on the Alectra Utilities considers the following as general risks to project schedule and cost: Risks to Completion and Risk Management Project/Activity (OEB) customer delays or restricted access to work sites inclement weather, either in the form of extreme temperatures or due to restoration activities following major storms delays to material shipment from vendors general unforeseen delays such as striking rock when digging, tree conservation, municipal/regional consent forms Alectra Utilities has utilized coordination with third parties to mitigate some of the issues where possible, with municipalities/region/suppliers/customers. Alectra Utilities has implemented a Planning and Scheduling solution to track projects and resources. The Program Delivery department allows Alectra Utilities to manage schedule and cost risks and improve the overall efficiency of implementation. Alectra Utilities is able to reduce controllable cost impacts on the project due to these risk mitigation strategies. Comparative Information on Equivalent This project is significantly smaller in size to the closest comparators. Appledore \$1.8MM. Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable 0

| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description Asset Chara Performance | ription of the Relationship between the t Characteristics and Consequences of Asset prmance Deterioration or Failure: | | | Without investment the underground cables will continue to experience faults and will lead to power outages, resulting in deteriorating service reliability for the area. It is also possible that the cable may no longer be repairable and useable which poses a significant amount of operational risk and cost to Alectra Utilities. Reactive repair of cables in an emergency situation is very time consuming and costly. Given the history of cables failing in this area, Alectra Utilities has determined the looped supply cables, which provide an alternative supply upon a system fault, are also no longer reliable. Based on recently increasing failure trends in the area, Alectra Utilities anticipates further failures in the near term. In addition, continued failure of this cable may result in the cable and elbows being ultimately inoperable and would require substantial resources to replace segments in an emergency manner. The 6 transformers being replaced have an average age of 35 years, average health index of 56%. However, when | | | | |
|--|---|--|-----------|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| | Number of Potentially | Customers in Each Custon Affected by Asset Failure | ner Class | well beyond 40 | the life expectancy for No | n-TR XLPE. | prone to failure. Average | ige of the cable is 45 years, | |
| | Quantitativ duration of level) | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) Value of Customer Impact | | This area ha (3) cable fail | s seen 11 cable faults since ures, another segments h | e 2007, 5 of those failures i as seen two (2) cables failu | n the last 3 years. One cat res, and several others wi | le segement has seen three th 1 cable fault. | |
| | Qualitative satisfaction risk level) Value of Cu | | | This project will address aging assets that are experiencing failures. Furthermore, transformers well beyond their useful life with greater risk of failure will also be replaced. This renewal investment will provide customers in this area with better reliability. Medium | | | | | |
| | Factors Affe | ecting Project Timing, if an | y | Not Applicable | | | | | |
| | Consequen Implication | ces for O&M System Costs is of Not Implementing | Including | This project will have no material impact on planned O&M costs. | | | | | |
| | Reliability a | Reliability and Safety Factors Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | | Reliability benefits are found in the form of installing duct structure where none exists today, minimizing outage time for future interruptions and reducing capital costs for future asset renewal projects. | | | | | |
| | Analysis for | | | Like for like renewal would address the issue of equipment failure due to end-of-life assets in the area, but there is no system benefit in rebuilding the fedeer as "direct buried". Future replacment would be more costly then the additonal cost to place in duct now. | | | | | |
| | 600,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | 500,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 400,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 300,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 200.000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 200,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | 100,000 - | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 - | | | | | | | | |
| | | 2019 | 20 | 020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | : \$534,258 | \$0 | \$53 | 4,258 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Actuals: \$0 | | \$0 | | ŞU | \$0 | ŞÜ | ŞU | \$0 | |

Currency scale is in literal



151200

Project Code Project Name Alectra Single Platform Website ongoing Major Category General Plant Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted Project Overview 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream North & South Location Units 1 Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology Yes Compone Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Rates ID Rate Base Funded Information Technology Systems Alectra Grouping Alectra Subcategory IT Operational **Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0%** Expenditure Type Controllable 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary The project will enable Alectra to continuously leverage cutting-edge web technologies and incorporate additonal functionality that will provide optimum user/customer experience and further facilitate access to self-service options, account, power outage, conservation information and any other new endeavours Alectra undertakes that can captured/profiled online. It will enable Alectra to continue to position itself as an industry leader. Main Driver - General Plant Customer Service Priority and Reasons for Priority Aligns with the company's goal of being customer-focused while utilizing new technologies to be operationally more efficient and forward looking. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) not applicable Safety Cyber-Security, Privacy Additional funding will help to ensure cyber-security and customer privacy is maintained. Coordination, Interoperability The additional funding will help the website align with another project being considered by Alectra Utilities in which an omni-channel approach will be taken to enhance the customer experience, regardless on the channel being used by the customer (i.e. website, phone, email, text, social media, etc.). Economic Development Not applicable Environmental Benefits The ability to offer customers additional and enhanced online services through desktop and mobile versions will reduce the need to print documents internally and thereby minimize the company's paper consumption and environmental footprint. 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Quo Maintaining the status quo will hamstring further development of the website's and its ability to take advantage of new Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) web technologies to better serve customers. Alternative #1 Not applicable Alternative #2 Provide additional funding to ensure further development of the website to better severe customers and enhance their online experience when dealing with the company Justification for Recommended Alternative Alternative 2 is the recommended alternative to further improve customers online experience through the use of new web technologies.. This alternative also allows the company to leverage advanced design web technologies so that the company will be viewed as a leader in this area of serving customers. 6. General Information on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management Discussions will be held with all key stakeholders to ensure the latest in web technologies are being used maintain Project/Activity (OEB) forward thinking and industry leading website. Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable 0 Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Other Planning Objectives Met The continuous pursuit of using advanced web technologies is consistent with the company's approach in other Project/Activity (OEB) operational areas of its business whereby using technology to be more efficient and an enabler in providing additional

service and value to customers. It is also consistent with the company's vision of being "Canada's leading electricity distribution and integrated energy solutions provider, creating a future where people, businesses, and communities will benefit from energy's full potential."

In addition, it will help to facilitate the online presence of other internal stakeholders by providing better access to the website with more enhanced functionality.





Project Code 151456 Project Name Cable Injection Project - (V50) - Hwy 7 - Kipling - Steeles - Hwy 27, Vaughan Major Category System Renewal Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream South (V50) - Hwy 7 - Kipling - Steeles - Hwy 27, Vaughan Location Units 23946 Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) **Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0%** Controllable Expenditure Type Rate Base Funded Rates ID Alectra Grouping Underground Asset Renewal Alectra Subcategory Cable Remediation - Injection 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Alectra Utilities' service area currently contains a population of underground cables totalling approximately 21 million linear meters of cable, which are continuing to degrade. Alectra Utilities' planned Underground Asset Renewal investments are driven by an increasing decline in reliability on the distribution system. At present, defective equipment accounts for 45% of controllable outages in Alectra Utilities' system. Failing cable and cable accessory failures account for 50% of all equipment-related outages. Alectra Utilities plans to gradually but significantly increase its spending to rejuvenate or replace XLPE cable and related accessories that are either in poor or very poor condition. This investment will inject failing direct-buried Cross-Linked Polyethylene (XLPE) cables and will mitigate outage frequencies to customers. Main Driver - System Renewal Mitigate Failure Risks Priority and Reasons for Priority Cable manufactures introduced the first-generation XLPE cable into the market in the late 1960's. These cables have inherent problems due to the nature of the manufacturing processes, which led to impurities developing over time in the insulating medium. These impurities are responsible for the increase in cable failures that Alectra Utilities and other utilities have been experiencing with cables from this period. XLPE cables also fail because of the way they installed. Decades ago, utilities buried cable directly in the ground. Over time, the construction standard shifted to installing cable in protective conduits, but much of the system still consists of "direct-buried" cable. When more modern cable-in-conduit fails, it can typically be entirely removed and replaced with brand-new cable with relative ease. In contrast, direct-buried cables can only be repaired by excavating the cable and splicing in a replacement segment. This approach is fundamentally reactive and introduces further complications, since the installed splice may itself become a future failure point. Nor does it solve the underlying issue, since the older, direct-buried cable remains installed and increasingly likely to fail again. Failing direct-buried cables are causing an increasing number of outages, and when buried cables fail it can take a significant amount of time to restore service. Failing cables are significantly and increasingly impacting the quality of service received by Alectra Utilities' customers. Alectra Utilities must increase spending not only to halt the increasing trend, but to reverse it and reduce the number of cable failures to return customers back to historical reliability levels. Without the proposed expenditures, cables will continue to degrade and Alectra Utilities expects reliability to decline further as deteriorated cables begin to fail at greater rates, having been stressed from historical faults Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not Applicable. Safety Not Applicable Cyber-Security, Privacy Not Applicable. Coordination, Interoperability Pertaining to coordination with utilities, regional planning and other 3rd parties, Alectra Utilities constructs all new projects using approved construction standards complying with ESA Regulation 22/04. Alectra Utilities participates in regional planning, both at an infrastructure level with local municipalities and regions, as well as at an electrical infrastructure level with Hydro One and other participants in the Regional Planning Process. Alectra Utilities also attends Public Utility Coordinating Committee (PUCC) meetings which jointly allows for the coordination and planning of investments with other utilities who provide cable tv, internet, phone and natural gas services. **Economic Development** Alectra Utilities ensure all policies and practices don't unnecessarily create barriers to economic development which are primarily focused within our communities. Environmental Benefits Not Applicable The status quo is to do nothing, allowing the end-of-life cable to run to failure, and respond to outages under 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Quo Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) emergency condition.

Perform the injection in this area.

Alternative #1

| | Alternative #2 | Replace the cable - this will be a higher cost and is not the preferred approach as injections can be performed in this area. |
|--|---|--|
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | This project is part of Alectra Utilities annual investment initiative for cable remediation (cable replacement and cable injection) to maintain system reliability. The oldest cables are at end-of-life and are failing. Since cables are the main component of the underground electrical distribution system, when a cable segment fails, system reliability and customer service are negatively affected. For small-scale outages, Alectra Utilities has the capability to replace or repair the faulted cable segments under reactive capital, however, if too many cable failures occur at the same time, Alectra Utilities would not have sufficient resources to manage the large-scale and cascading outages - system integrity will be compromised and reliability will be unacceptable to the customers. To manage the risk of large-scale cable failures, Alectra Utilities must implement proactive cable remediation projects. These projects are a result of continuous assessments, prioritizing, and remediating the worst cable segments by a combination of cable injection and cable replacement. This project addresses cable injection as the method for remediation (injection is technically feasible for the segments within this project). |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Risk: Alectra Utilities considers the following as general risks to project schedule and cost: fluctuation in cost and staff resources (internal and external) to complete high annual volume of work. customer delays or restricted access to work sites inclement weather, either in the form of extreme temperatures or due to restoration activities following major storms delays to material shipment from vendors general unforeseen delays such as striking rock when digging, tree conservation, municipal/regional consent forms Risk Management: Alectra Utilities has a multi-year Master Service Agreement with the cable injection contractor. The unit prices are kept constant during the term of the Master Service Agreement. Regular progress meetings are held to ensure technical and operational issues are resolved promptly; budget performance is monitored; and projects are on track. Alectra Utilities has utilized coordination with third parties to mitigate some of the issues where possible, with municipalities/region/suppliers/customers. Alectra Utilities has implemented a Planning and Scheduling solution to track projects and resources. The Program Delivery department allows Alectra Utilities to manage schedule and cost risks and improve the overall efficiency of implementation. Alectra Utilities is able to reduce controllable cost impacts on the project due to these risk mitigation strategies. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Similar cable injection projects over the past three years (2016, 2017, and 2018) were \$78/m. This project is forecasted to be \$63/m. The difference is based on the assumption that this project is less complicated (has fewer splices to replace) than projects already completed in prior years. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | In this area, there were 4 cable and splice failures since 2013. If not rehabilitated, this cable will get older and will fail more often to the level that is not tolerable by customers. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | Cable in this area is 32 years old (installed in 1987), which exceeds the Kinectrics Report "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" results for Typical Useful Life of non-tree retardant XLPE of 25 years. |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | 1811 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk | For 1000 m of cable (applicable to the selected cable remediation candidates): |
| | level) | Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 failures per 1000 m of cable per year |
| | | For 2394b m of cable in the whole area: |
| | | According to Alectra East Control Room data, there were 123, 133, 113, 126, 131, 131 and 138 Cable and Splice failures in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 128 failures per year). Annually on average there were 128 Cable and Splice failures affecting 39,280 customers and 5,520,782 CMI |
| | | Impact of 1 failure: 39,280/128 = 307 customers affected and 5,520,782/128 = 43,131 CMI Impact of 5.9 failures: 307 x 5.9 = 1811 customers affected and 43,131 x 5.9 = 254473 CMI |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) | Cable failures have negative impact to system reliability and customer service. Outages cause inconvenience and financial loss to customers (office closing, production stoppage). |
| | Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any | Not Applicable. |
| | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Not Applicable. |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | This project is part of the long-term cable rehabilitation program. The project will help avoid a total of 5.9 potential cable failures and 254473 potential CMI. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | Not Applicable. |





| utilities | | |
|---|--|--|
| Project Code | 151457 | |
| Project Name | Cable Injection Project - (V25) - Major Mackenzi | e - Keele - Rutherford - Jane, Vaughan |
| Major Category | System Renewal | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South |
| | Location | (V25) - Major Mackenzie - Keele - Rutherford - Jane, Vaughan |
| | Units | 20481 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Orgoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | Toject will delicitate ongoing it owide costs | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Underground Asset Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Cable Remediation – Injection |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Alectra Utilities' service area currently contains a population of underground cables totalling approximately 21 million linear meters of cable, which are continuing to degrade. Alectra Utilities' planned Underground Asset Renewal investments are driven by an increasing decline in reliability on the distribution system. At present, defective equipment accounts for 45% of controllable outages in Alectra Utilities' system. Failing cable and cable accessory failures account for 50% of all equipment-related outages. Alectra Utilities plans to gradually but significantly increase its spending to rejuvenate or replace XLPE cable and related accessories that are either in poor or very poor condition. This investment will inject failing direct-buried Cross-Linked Polyethylene (XLPE) cables and will mitigate outage frequencies to customers. |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigate Failure Ricks |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Cable manufactures introduced the first-generation XLPE cable into the market in the late 1960's. These cables have inherent nonliners due to the nature of the manufacturing processes, which led to impurities developing over time in |
| | | the insulating medium. These impurities are responsible for the increase in cable failures that Alectra Utilities and other utilities have been experiencing with cables from this period. |
| | | XLPE cables also fail because of the way they installed. Decades ago, utilities buried cable directly in the ground. Over time, the construction standard shifted to installing cable in protective conduits, but much of the system still consists of "direct-buried" cable. When more modern cable-in-conduit fails, it can typically be entirely removed and replaced with brand-new cable with relative ease. In contrast, direct-buried cables can only be repaired by excavating the cable and splicing in a replacement segment. This approach is fundamentally reactive and introduces further complications, since the installed splice may itself become a future failure point. Nor does it solve the underlying issue, since the older, direct-buried cable remains installed and increasingly likely to fail again. Failing direct-buried cables are causing an increasing number of outages, and when buried cables fail it can take a significant amount of time to restore service. Failing cables are significantly and increasingly impacting the quality of service received by Alectra Utilities' customers. |
| | | of cable failures to return customers back to historical reliability levels. Without the proposed expenditures, cables will continue to degrade and Alectra Utilities expects reliability to decline further as deteriorated cables begin to fail at greater rates, having been stressed from historical faults |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not Applicable. |
| | Safety | Not Applicable. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable. |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Pertaining to coordination with utilities, regional planning and other 3rd parties, Alectra Utilities constructs all new projects using approved construction standards complying with ESA Regulation 22/04. Alectra Utilities participates in regional planning, both at an infrastructure level with local municipalities and regions, as well as at an electrical infrastructure level with Hydro One and other participants in the Regional Planning Process. Alectra Utilities also attends Public Utility Coordinating Committee (PUCC) meetings which jointly allows for the coordination and planning of investments with other utilities who provide cable tv, internet, phone and natural gas services. |
| | Economic Development | Alectra Utilities ensure all policies and practices don't unnecessarily create barriers to economic development which are primarily focused within our communities. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | The status quo is to do nothing, allowing the end-of-life cable to run to failure, and respond to outages under emergency condition. |
| | Alternative #1 | Perform the injection in this area. |

| | Alternative #2 | Replace the cable - this will be a higher cost and is not the preferred approach as injections can be performed in this area. |
|--|---|--|
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | This project is part of Alectra Utilities annual investment initiative for cable remediation (cable replacement and cable injection) to maintain system reliability. The oldest cables are at end-of-life and are failing. Since cables are the main component of the underground electrical distribution system, when a cable segment fails, system reliability and customer service are negatively affected. For small-scale outages, Alectra Utilities has the capability to replace or repair the faulted cable segments under reactive capital, however, if too many cable failures occur at the same time, Alectra Utilities would not have sufficient resources to manage the large-scale and cascading outages - system integrity will be compromised and reliability will be unacceptable to the customers. To manage the risk of large-scale cable failures, Alectra Utilities must implement proactive cable remediation projects. These projects are a result of continuous assessments, prioritizing, and remediating the worst cable segments by a combination of cable injection and cable replacement. This project addresses cable injection as the method for remediation (injection is technically feasible for the segments within this project). |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Risk: Alectra Utilities considers the following as general risks to project schedule and cost: fluctuation in cost and staff resources (internal and external) to complete high annual volume of work. customer delays or restricted access to work sites inclement weather, either in the form of extreme temperatures or due to restoration activities following major storms delays to material shipment from vendors general unforeseen delays such as striking rock when digging, tree conservation, municipal/regional consent forms Risk Management: Alectra Utilities has a multi-year Master Service Agreement with the cable injection contractor. The unit prices are kept constant during the term of the Master Service Agreement. Regular progress meetings are held to ensure technical and operational issues are resolved promptly; budget performance is monitored; and projects are on track. Alectra Utilities has utilized coordination with third parties to mitigate some of the issues where possible, with municipalities/region/suppliers/customers. Alectra Utilities has implemented a Planning and Scheduling solution to track projects and resources. The Program Delivery department allows Alectra Utilities to manage schedule and cost risks and improve the overall efficiency of implementation. Alectra Utilities is able to reduce controllable cost impacts on the project due to these risk mitigation strategies. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Similar cable injection projects over the past three years (2016, 2017, and 2018) were \$78/m. This project is forecasted to be \$63/m. The difference is based on the assumption that this project is less complicated (has fewer splices to replace) than projects already completed in prior years. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | In this area, there were 3 cable and splice failures since 2013. If not rehabilitated, this cable will get older and will fail more often to the level that is not tolerable by customers. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | Cable in this area is 32 years old (installed in 1987), which exceeds the Kinectrics Report "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" results for Typical Useful Life of non-tree retardant XLPE of 25 years. |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | 1566 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk | For 1000 m of cable (applicable to the selected cable remediation candidates): |
| | level) | Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 failures per 1000 m of cable per year |
| | | For 20481 m of cable in the whole area: Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 x 20481 /1000 = 5.1 failure(s) |
| | | According to Alectra East Control Room data, there were 123, 133, 113, 126, 131, 131 and 138 Cable and Splice failures in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 128 failures per year). Annually on average there were 128 Cable and Splice failures affecting 39,280 customers and 5,520,782 CMI |
| | | Impact of 1 failure: 39,280/128 = 307 customers affected and 5,520,782/128 = 43,131 CMI Impact of 5.1 failures: 307 x 5.1 = 1566 customers affected and 43,131 x 5.1 = 219968 CMI |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) | Cable failures have negative impact to system reliability and customer service. Outages cause inconvenience and financial loss to customers (office closing, production stoppage). |
| | Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any | Not Applicable. |
| | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Not Applicable. |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | This project is part of the long-term cable rehabilitation program. The project will help avoid a total of 5.1 potential cable failures and 219968 potential CMI. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | Not Applicable. |





| utilities | | |
|---|--|--|
| Project Code | 151458 | |
| Project Name | Cable Injection Project - (V31) - Langstaff - Westo | on - Rutherford - Jane, Vaughan |
| Major Category | System Renewal | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South |
| | Location Units | (V31) - Langstaff - Weston - Rutherford - Jane, Vaughan 9798 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes P&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Underground Asset Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Cable Remediation – Injection |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OFB) | Project Summary | Alectra Utilities' service area currently contains a population of underground cables totalling approximately 21 million |
| | r roject Summer y | linear meters of cable, which are continuing to degrade. Alectra Utilities' planed Underground Asset Renewal investments are driven by an increasing decline in reliability on the distribution system. At present, defective equipment accounts for 45% of controllable outages in Alectra Utilities' system. Failing cable and cable accessory failures account for 50% of all equipment-related outages. Alectra Utilities in the gradually but significantly increase its spending to rejuvenate or replace XLPE cable and related accessories that are either in poor or very poor condition. This investment will inject failing direct-buried Cross-Linked Polyethylene (XLPE) cables and will mitigate outage frequencies to customers. |
| | | 1 failure in that last 3 years. |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigate Failure Risks |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Cable manufactures introduced the first-generation XLPE cable into the market in the late 1960's. These cables have inherent problems due to the nature of the manufacturing processes, which led to impurities developing over time in the insulating medium. These impurities are responsible for the increase in cable failures that Alectra Utilities and other utilities have been experiencing with cables from this period. |
| | | XLPE cables also fail because of the way they installed. Decades ago, utilities buried cable directly in the ground. Over time, the construction standard shifted to installing cable in protective conduits, but much of the system still consists of "direct-buried" cable. When more modern cable-in-conduit fails, it can typically be entirely removed and replaced with brand-new cable with relative ease. In contrast, direct-buried cables can only be repaired by excavating the cable and splicing in a replacement segment. This approach is fundamentally reactive and introduces further complications, since the installed splice may itself become a future failure point. Nor does it solve the underlying issue, since the older, direct-buried cable remains installed and increasingly likely to fail again. Failing direct-buried cables are causing an increasing number of outages, and when buried cables fail it can take a significant amount of time to restore service. Failing cables are significantly and increasingly impacting the quality of service received by Alectra Utilities' customers. |
| | | Alectra Utilities must increase spending not only to halt the increasing trend, but to reverse it and reduce the number of cable failures to return customers back to historical reliability levels. Without the proposed expenditures, cables will continue to degrade and Alectra Utilities expects reliability to decline further as deteriorated cables begin to fail at greater rates, having been stressed from historical faults |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | 1458kVA |
| | Safety | Not Applicable. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable. |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Pertaining to coordination with utilities, regional planning and other 3rd parties, Alectra Utilities constructs all new projects using approved construction standards complying with ESA Regulation 22/04. Alectra Utilities participates in regional planning, both at an infrastructure level with local municipalities and regions, as well as at an electrical infrastructure level with Hydro One and other participants in the Regional Planning Process. Alectra Utilities also attends Public Utility Coordinating Committee (PUCC) meetings which jointly allows for the coordination and planning of investments with other utilities who provide cable tv, internet, phone and natural gas services. |
| | Economic Development | Alectra Utilities ensure all policies and practices don't unnecessarily create barriers to economic development which are primarily focused within our communities. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | The status quo is to do nothing, allowing the end-of-life cable to run to failure, and respond to outages under emergency condition. |
| | Alternative #1 | Perform the injection in this area. |

| | Alternative #2 | Replace the cable - this will be a higher cost and is not the preferred approach as injections can be performed in this area. |
|--|--|--|
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | This project is part of Alectra Utilities annual investment initiative for cable remediation (cable replacement and cable injection) to maintain system reliability. The oldest cables are at end-of-life and are failing. Since cables are the main component of the underground electrical distribution system, when a cable segment fails, system reliability and customer service are negatively affected. For small-scale outages, Alectra Utilities has the capability to replace or repair the faulted cable segments under reactive capital, however, if too many cable failures occur at the same time, Alectra Utilities would not have sufficient resources to manage the large-scale and cascading outages - system integrity will be compromised and reliability will be unacceptable to the customers. To manage the risk of large-scale cable failures, Alectra Utilities must implement proactive cable segments by a combination of cable injection and cable replacement. This project addresses cable injection as the method for remediation (injection is technically feasible for the segments within this project). |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Risk: Alectra Utilities considers the following as general risks to project schedule and cost: - fluctuation in cost and staff resources (internal and external) to complete high annual volume of work. - customer delays or restricted access to work sites - inclement weather, either in the form of extreme temperatures or due to restoration activities following major storms - delays to material shipment from vendors - general unforeseen delays such as striking rock when digging, tree conservation, municipal/regional consent forms Risk Management: Alectra Utilities has a multi-year Master Service Agreement with the cable injection contractor. The unit prices are kept constant during the term of the Master Service Agreement. Regular progress meetings are held to ensure technical and operational issues are resolved promptly; budget performance is monitored; and projects are on track. Alectra Utilities has utilized coordination with third parties to mitigate some of the issues where possible, with municipalities/region/suppliers/customers. Alectra Utilities has implemented a Planning and Scheduling solution to track projects and resources. The Program Delivery department allows Alectra Utilities to reduce controllable cost impacts on the project due to these risk mitigation strategies. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Similar cable injection projects over the past three years (2016, 2017, and 2018) were \$78/m. This project is forecasted to be \$63/m. The difference is based on the assumption that this project is less complicated (has fewer splices to replace) than projects already completed in prior years. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | In this area, there were 1 cable and splice failures since 2013. If not rehabilitated, this cable will get older and will fail more often to the level that is not tolerable by customers. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | Cable in this area is 31 years old (installed in 1988), which exceeds the Kinectrics Report "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" results for Typical Useful Life of non-tree retardant XLPE of 25 years. |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | 737 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or | For 1000 m of cable (applicable to the selected cable remediation candidates): |
| | level) | Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 failures per 1000 m of cable per year |
| | | For 9798 m of cable in the whole area: |
| | | Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 x 9798 /1000 = 2.4 failure(s) |
| | | According to Alectra East Control Room data, there were 123, 133, 113, 126, 131, 131 and 138 Cable and Splice failures in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 128 failures per year). Annually on average there were 128 Cable and Splice failures affecting 39,280 customers and 5,520,782 CMI |
| | | Impact of 1 failure: 39,280/128 = 307 customers affected and 5,520,782/128 = 43,131 CMI Impact of 2.4 failures: 307 x 2.4 = 737 customers affected and 43,131 x 2.4 = 103514 CMI |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) Value of Customer Impact Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any | Cable failures have negative impact to system reliability and customer service. Outages cause inconvenience and financial loss to customers (office closing, production stoppage). High Not Applicable. |
| | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Not Applicable. |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | The project will help avoid a total of 2.4 potential cable failures and 103514 potential CMI. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | Not Applicable. |

| 700,000 - | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|------|------|------|-----------|------|
| 600,000 - | | | | | | |
| 500,000 - | | | | | | |
| 400,000 - | | | | | | |
| 300,000 - | | | | | | |
| 200,000 - | | | | | | |
| 100,000 - | | | | | | |
| 0 | | | | | | |
| 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$612,477 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$612,477 | \$0 |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | | | | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | |



| utilities | | |
|---|--|--|
| Project Code | 151459 | |
| Project Name | Cable Injection Project - (V24) - Langstaff - Jane - | Rutherford - Keele, Vaughan |
| Major Category | System Renewal | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South |
| | Location | (V24) - Langstaff - Jane - Rutherford - Keele, Vaughan |
| | Units | 20202 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Underground Asset Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Cable Remediation – Injection |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Alectra Utilities' service area currently contains a population of underground cables totalling approximately 21 million |
| | ··-,, | linear meters of cable, which are continuing to degrade. Alectra Utilities' planned Underground Asset Renewal |
| | | investments are driven by an increasing decline in reliability on the distribution system. At present, defective |
| | | equipment accounts for 45% of controllable outages in Alectra Utilities' system. Failing cable and cable accessory |
| | | failures account for 50% of all equipment-related outages. Alectra Utilities plans to gradually but significantly increase its spending to rejuven the related accessories that are either in poor or year condition |
| | | This investment will inject failing direct-buried Cross-Linked Polvethylene (XLPE) cables and will mitigate outage |
| | | frequencies to customers. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigate Failure Risks |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Cable manufactures introduced the first-generation XLPE cable into the market in the late 1960's. These cables have |
| | | inherent problems due to the nature of the manufacturing processes, which led to impurities developing over time in |
| | | the insulating medium. These impurities are responsible for the increase in cable failures that Alectra Utilities and other |
| | | utilities have been experiencing with cables from this period. |
| | | XLPE cables also fail because of the way they installed. Decades ago, utilities buried cable directly in the ground. Over |
| | | time, the construction standard shifted to installing cable in protective conduits, but much of the system still consists of |
| | | "direct-buried" cable. When more modern cable-in-conduit fails, it can typically be entirely removed and replaced with |
| | | brand-new cable with relative ease. In contrast, direct-buried cables can only be repaired by excavating the cable and |
| | | splicing in a replacement segment. This approach is fundamentally reactive and introduces further complications, since the installed splice may itself become a future failure point. Nor does it solve the underlying issue, since the older |
| | | direct-buried cable remains installed and increasingly likely to fail again. Failing direct-buried cables are causing an |
| | | increasing number of outages, and when buried cables fail it can take a significant amount of time to restore service. |
| | | Failing cables are significantly and increasingly impacting the quality of service received by Alectra Utilities' customers. |
| | | Alexan Heilitian must improve an adian ant pull to ball the improving trend last to prove it and advect the sumber |
| | | Alectra Utilities must increase spending not only to halt the increasing trend, but to reverse it and reduce the number of cable failures to return customers back to historical reliability levels. Without the proposed expenditures, cables will |
| | | continue to degrade and Alectra Utilities expects reliability to decline further as deteriorated cables begin to fail at |
| | | greater rates, having been stressed from historical faults |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not Applicable. |
| | 6.6.L | No Assessed |
| | Safety | Not Applicable. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable. |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Pertaining to coordination with utilities, regional planning and other 3rd parties, Alectra Utilities constructs all new |
| | | projects using approved construction standards complying with ESA Regulation 22/04. Alectra Utilities participates in |
| | | infrastructure level with Hydro One and other narticinants in the Regional Planning Process. Alectra Utilities also |
| | | attends Public Utility Coordinating Committee (PUCC) meetings which jointly allows for the coordination and planning |
| | | of investments with other utilities who provide cable tv, internet, phone and natural gas services. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Economic Development | Alectra Utilities ensure all policies and practices don't unnecessarily create barriers to economic development which |
| | | are primarily locused within our communities. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | The status quo is to do nothing, allowing the end-of-life cable to run to failure, and respond to outages under |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | emergency condition. |
| | Alternative #1 | Perform the injection in this area |
| | Automative #1 | renorm the injection in this area. |

| | Alternative #2 | Replace the cable - this will be a higher cost and is not the preferred approach as injections can be performed in this area. |
|--|---|--|
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | This project is part of Alectra Utilities annual investment initiative for cable remediation (cable replacement and cable injection) to maintain system reliability. The oldest cables are at end-of-life and are failing. Since cables are the main component of the underground electrical distribution system, when a cable segment fails, system reliability and customer service are negatively affected. For small-scale outages, Alectra Utilities has the capability to replace or repair the faulted cable segments under reactive capital, however, if too many cable failures occur at the same time, Alectra Utilities would not have sufficient resources to manage the large-scale and cascading outages - system integrity will be compromised and reliability will be unacceptable to the customers. To manage the risk of large-scale cable failures, Alectra Utilities must implement proactive cable segments by a combination of cable injection and cable replacement. This project addresses cable injection as the method for remediation (injection is technically feasible for the segments within this project). |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Risk: Alectra Utilities considers the following as general risks to project schedule and cost: fluctuation in cost and staff resources (internal and external) to complete high annual volume of work. customer delays or restricted access to work sites inclement weather, either in the form of extreme temperatures or due to restoration activities following major storms delays to material shipment from vendors general unforeseen delays such as striking rock when digging, tree conservation, municipal/regional consent forms Risk Management: Alectra Utilities has a multi-year Master Service Agreement with the cable injection contractor. The unit prices are kept constant during the term of the Master Service Agreement. Regular progress meetings are held to ensure technical and operational issues are resolved promptly; budget performance is monitored; and projects are on track. Alectra Utilities has utilized coordination with third parties to mitigate some of the issues where possible, with municipalities/region/suppliers/customers. Alectra Utilities has implemented a Planning and Scheduling solution to track projects and resources. The Program Delivery department allows Alectra Utilities to manage schedule and cost risks and improve the overall efficiency of implementation. Alectra Utilities is able to reduce controllable cost impacts on the project due to these risk mitigation strategies. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Similar cable injection projects over the past three years (2016, 2017, and 2018) were \$78/m. This project is forecasted to be \$63/m. The difference is based on the assumption that this project is less complicated (has fewer splices to replace) than projects already completed in prior years. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | In this area, there were 2 cable and splice failures since 2013. If not rehabilitated, this cable will get older and will fail more often to the level that is not tolerable by customers. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | Cable in this area is 31 years old (installed in 1988), which exceeds the Kinectrics Report "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" results for Typical Useful Life of non-tree retardant XLPE of 25 years. |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | 1535 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk | "For 1000 m of cable (applicable to the selected cable remediation candidates): |
| | level) | Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 failures per 1000 m of cable per year |
| | | For 20202 in the adde in the whole area: |
| | | According to Alectra East Control Room data, there were 123, 133, 113, 126, 131, 131 and 138 Cable and Splice failures in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 128 failures per year). Annually on average there were 128 Cable and Splice failures affecting 39,280 customers and 5,520,782 CMI |
| | | Impact of 1 failure: $39,280/128 = 307$ customers affected and $5,520,782/128 = 43,131$ CMI Impact of 5 failures: $307 \times 5 = 1535$ customers affected and $43,131 \times 5 = 215655$ CMI |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) | Cable failures have negative impact to system reliability and customer service. Outages cause inconvenience and financial loss to customers (office closing, production stoppage). |
| | Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any | nign Not Applicable. |
| | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Not Applicable. |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | This project is part of the long-term cable rehabilitation program. The project will help avoid a total of 5 potential cable failures and 215655 potential CMI. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | Not Applicable. |





Project Code 151460 Cable Injection Project - (V17) - Langstaff - Keele - Rutherford - Dufferin, Vaughan Project Name Major Category System Renewal Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream South Location (V17) - Langstaff - Keele - Rutherford - Dufferin, Vaughar Units 45555 Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Componen Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0% Expenditure Type Controllable Rates ID Rate Base Funded Alectra Grouping Underground Asset Renewal Alectra Subcategory Cable Remediation - Injection 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Alectra Utilities' service area currently contains a population of underground cables totalling approximately 21 million linear meters of cable, which are continuing to degrade. Alectra Utilities' planned Underground Asset Renewal investments are driven by an increasing decline in reliability on the distribution system. At present, defective equipment accounts for 45% of controllable outages in Alectra Utilities' system. Failing cable and cable accessory failures account for 50% of all equipment-related outages. Alectra Utilities plans to gradually but significantly increase its spending to rejuvenate or replace XLPE cable and related accessories that are either in poor or very poor condition. This investment will inject failing direct-buried Cross-Linked Polyethylene (XLPE) cables and will mitigate outage frequencies to customers. Main Driver - System Renewal Mitigate Failure Risks Priority and Reasons for Priority Cable manufactures introduced the first-generation XLPE cable into the market in the late 1960's. These cables have inherent problems due to the nature of the manufacturing processes, which led to impurities developing over time in the insulating medium. These impurities are responsible for the increase in cable failures that Alectra Utilities and other utilities have been experiencing with cables from this period. XLPE cables also fail because of the way they installed. Decades ago, utilities buried cable directly in the ground. Over time, the construction standard shifted to installing cable in protective conduits, but much of the system still consists of "direct-buried" cable. When more modern cable-in-conduit fails, it can typically be entirely removed and replaced with brand-new cable with relative ease. In contrast, direct-buried cables can only be repaired by excavating the cable and splicing in a replacement segment. This approach is fundamentally reactive and introduces further complications, since the installed splice may itself become a future failure point. Nor does it solve the underlying issue, since the older, direct-buried cable remains installed and increasingly likely to fail again. Failing direct-buried cables are causing an increasing number of outages, and when buried cables fail it can take a significant amount of time to restore service. Failing cables are significantly and increasingly impacting the quality of service received by Alectra Utilities' customers. Alectra Utilities must increase spending not only to halt the increasing trend, but to reverse it and reduce the number of cable failures to return customers back to historical reliability levels. Without the proposed expenditures, cables will continue to degrade and Alectra Utilities expects reliability to decline further as deteriorated cables begin to fail at greater rates, having been stressed from historical faults Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not Applicable Safety Not Applicable Cyber-Security, Privacy Not Applicable. Coordination, Interoperability Pertaining to coordination with utilities, regional planning and other 3rd parties, Alectra Utilities constructs all new projects using approved construction standards complying with ESA Regulation 22/04. Alectra Utilities participates in regional planning, both at an infrastructure level with local municipalities and regions, as well as at an electrical infrastructure level with Hydro One and other participants in the Regional Planning Process. Alectra Utilities also attends Public Utility Coordinating Committee (PUCC) meetings which jointly allows for the coordination and planning of investments with other utilities who provide cable tv, internet, phone and natural gas services. Economic Development Alectra Utilities ensure all policies and practices don't unnecessarily create barriers to economic development which are primarily focused within our communities. Environmental Benefits Not Applicable 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Ouo The status quo is to do nothing, allowing the end-of-life cable to run to failure, and respond to outages under Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) emergency condition. Alternative #1 Perform the injection in this area.

| | Alternative #2 | Replace the cable - this will be a higher cost and is not the preferred approach as injections can be performed in this area. |
|--|---|---|
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | This project is part of Alectra Utilities annual investment initiative for cable remediation (cable replacement and cable injection) to maintain system reliability. The oldest cables are at end-of-life and are failing. Since cables are the main component of the underground electrical distribution system, when a cable segment fails, system reliability and customer service are negatively affected. For small-scale outages, Alectra Utilities has the capability to replace or repair the faulted cable segments under reactive capital, however, if too many cable failures occur at the same time, Alectra Utilities would not have sufficient resources to manage the large-scale and cascading outages - system integrity will be compromised and reliability will be unacceptable to the customers. To manage the risk of large-scale cable failures, Alectra Utilities must implement proactive cable remediation projects. These projects are a result of continuous assessments, prioritizing, and remediating the worst cable segments by a combination of cable injection and cable replacement. This project addresses cable injection as the method for remediation (injection is technically feasible for the segments within this project). |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Risk: Alectra Utilities considers the following as general risks to project schedule and cost: fluctuation in cost and staff resources (internal and external) to complete high annual volume of work. customer delays or restricted access to work sites inclement weather, either in the form of extreme temperatures or due to restoration activities following major storms delays to material shipment from vendors general unforeseen delays such as striking rock when digging, tree conservation, municipal/regional consent forms Risk Management: Alectra Utilities has a multi-year Master Service Agreement with the cable injection contractor. The unit prices are kept constant during the term of the Master Service Agreement. Regular progress meetings are held to ensure technical and operational issues are resolved promptly; budget performance is monitored; and projects are on track. Alectra Utilities has and tized coordination with third parties to mitigate some of the issues where possible, with municipalities/region/suppliers/customers. Alectra Utilities has implemented a Planning and Scheduling solution to track projects and resources. The Program Delivery department allows Alectra Utilities to manage schedule and cost risks and improve the overall efficiency of implementation. Alectra Utilities is able to reduce controllable cost impacts on the project due to these risk mitigation strategies. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Similar cable injection projects over the past three years (2016, 2017, and 2018) were \$78/m. This project is forecasted to be \$63/m. The difference is based on the assumption that this project is less complicated (has fewer splices to replace) than projects already completed in prior years. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | In this area, there were 3 cable and splice failures since 2013. If not rehabilitated, this cable will get older and will fail more often to the level that is not tolerable by customers. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | Cable in this area is 31 years old (installed in 1988), which exceeds the Kinectrics Report "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" results for Typical Useful Life of non-tree retardant XLPE of 25 years. |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | 3531 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk | For 1000 m of cable (applicable to the selected cable remediation candidates): |
| | level) | Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 failures per 1000 m of cable per year |
| | | For 45555 m of cable in the whole area: |
| | | According to Alectra East Control Room data, there were 123, 133, 113, 126, 131, 131 and 138 Cable and Splice failures |
| | | in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 128 failures per year). Annually on average there were 128 Cable and Splice failures affecting 39,280 customers and 5,520,782 CMI |
| | | Impact of 1 failure: 39,280/128 = 307 customers affected and 5,520,782/128 = 43,131 CMI Impact of 11.5 failures: 307 x 11.5 = 3531 customers affected and 43,131 x 11.5 = 496007 CMI |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) | Cable failures have negative impact to system reliability and customer service. Outages cause inconvenience and financial loss to customers (office closing, production stoppage). |
| | Value of Customer Impact Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any | High Not Applicable. |
| | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Not Applicable. |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | This project is part of the long-term cable rehabilitation program. The project will help avoid a total of 11.5 potential cable failures and 496007 potential CMI. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | Not Applicable. |




| utilities | | |
|---|---|--|
| Project Code | 151461 | |
| Project Name | Cable Injection Project - (V51) - Langstaff - Kiplin | <u>g - Hwy 7 - Hwy 27, Vaughan</u> |
| Major Category | System Renewal | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South |
| | Location | (V51) - Langstaff - Kipling - Hwy 7 - Hwy 27, Vaughan |
| | Units | 10586 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Underground Asset Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Cable Remediation – Injection |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Alectra Utilities' service area currently contains a population of underground cables totalling approximately 21 million linear meters of cable, which are continuing to degrade. Alectra Utilities' planned Underground Asset Renewal investments are driven by an increasing decline in reliability on the distribution system. At present, defective equipment accounts for 45% of controllable outages in Alectra Utilities' system. Failing cable and cable accessory failures account for 50% of all equipment-related outages. Alectra Utilities' plans to gradually but significantly increase its spending to rejuvenate or replace XLPE cable and related accessories that are either in poor or very poor condition. This investment will inject failing direct-buried Cross-Linked Polyethylene (XLPE) cables and will mitigate outage frequencies to customers. 1 failure in the last 3 years. 5 failures in the last 5 years. |
| | | |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal Priority and Reasons for Priority | Mitigate Failure Risks Cable manufactures introduced the first-generation XLPE cable into the market in the late 1960's. These cables have inherent problems due to the nature of the manufacturing processes, which led to impurities developing over time in the insulating medium. These impurities are responsible for the increase in cable failures that Alectra Utilities and other utilities have been experiencing with cables from this period. |
| | | XLPE cables also fail because of the way they installed. Decades ago, utilities buried cable directly in the ground. Over time, the construction standard shifted to installing cable in protective conduits, but much of the system still consists of "direct-buried" cable. When more modern cable-in-conduit fails, it can typically be entirely removed and replaced with brand-new cable with relative ease. In contrast, direct-buried cables can only be repaired by excavating the cable and splicing in a replacement segment. This approach is fundamentally reactive and introduces further complications, since the installed splice may itself become a future failure point. Nor does it solve the underlying issue, since the older, direct-buried cable remains installed and increasingly likely to fail again. Failing direct-buried cables are causing an increasing number of outages, and when buried cables fail it can take a significant amount of time to restore service. Failing cables are significantly and increasingly impacting the quality of service received by Alectra Utilities' customers. |
| | | Alectra Utilities must increase spending not only to halt the increasing trend, but to reverse it and reduce the number of cable failures to return customers back to historical reliability levels. Without the proposed expenditures, cables will continue to degrade and Alectra Utilities expects reliability to decline further as deteriorated cables begin to fail at greater rates, having been stressed from historical faults |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | 1458kVA |
| | | |
| | Safety | Not Applicable. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable. |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Pertaining to coordination with utilities, regional planning and other 3rd parties, Alectra Utilities constructs all new projects using approved construction standards complying with ESA Regulation 22/04. Alectra Utilities participates in regional planning, both at an infrastructure level with local municipalities and regions, as well as at an electrical infrastructure level with Hydro One and other participants in the Regional Planning Process. Alectra Utilities also attends Public Utility Coordinating Committee (PUCC) meetings which jointly allows for the coordination and planning of investments with other utilities who provide cable tv, internet, phone and natural gas services. |
| | Economic Development | Alectra Utilities ensure all policies and practices don't unnecessarily create barriers to economic development which are primarily focused within our communities. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | The status quo is to do nothing, allowing the end-of-life cable to run to failure, and respond to outages under emergency condition. |
| | Alternative #1 | Perform the injection in this area. |

| | Alternative #2 | Replace the cable - this will be a higher cost and is not the preferred approach as injections can be performed in this area. |
|--|--|--|
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | This project is part of Alectra Utilities annual investment initiative for cable remediation (cable replacement and cable injection) to maintain system reliability. The oldest cables are at end-of-life and are failing. Since cables are the main component of the underground electrical distribution system, when a cable segment fails, system reliability and customer service are negatively affected. For small-scale outages, Alectra Utilities has the capability to replace or repair the faulted cable segments under reactive capital, however, if too many cable failures occur at the same time, Alectra Utilities would not have sufficient resources to manage the large-scale and cascading outages - system integrity will be compromised and reliability will be unacceptable to the customers. To manage the risk of large-scale cable failures, Alectra Utilities must implement proactive cable segments by a combination of cable injection and cable replacement. This project addresses cable injection as the method for remediation (injection is technically feasible for the segments within this project). |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Risk: Alectra Utilities considers the following as general risks to project schedule and cost: fluctuation in cost and staff resources (internal and external) to complete high annual volume of work. customer delays or restricted access to work sites inclement weather, either in the form of extreme temperatures or due to restoration activities following major storms delays to material shipment from vendors general unforeseen delays such as striking rock when digging, tree conservation, municipal/regional consent forms Risk Management: Alectra Utilities has a multi-year Master Service Agreement with the cable injection contractor. The unit prices are kept constant during the term of the Master Service Agreement. Regular progress meetings are held to ensure technical and operational issues are resolved promptly; budget performance is monitored; and projects are on track. Alectra Utilities has utilized coordination with third parties to mitigate some of the issues where possible, with municipalities/region/suppliers/customers. Alectra Utilities has implemented a Planning and Scheduling solution to track projects and resources. The Program Delivery department allows Alectra Utilities to manage schedule and cost risks and improve the overal! efficiency of implementation. Alectra Utilities is able to reduce controllable cost impacts on the project due to these risk mitigation strategies. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Similar cable injection projects over the past three years (2016, 2017, and 2018) were \$78/m. This project is forecasted to be \$63/m. The difference is based on the assumption that this project is less complicated (has fewer splices to replace) than projects already completed in prior years. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | In this area, there were cable and splice failures since 2013. If not rehabilitated, this cable will get older and will fail more often to the level that is not tolerable by customers. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | Cable in this area is 33 years old (installed in 1986), which exceeds the Kinectrics Report "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" results for Typical Useful Life of non-tree retardant XLPE of 25 years. |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | 798 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated rick | For 1000 m of cable (applicable to the selected cable remediation candidates): |
| | level) | Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 failures per 1000 m of cable per year |
| | | For 10585.6063902443 m of cable in the whole area: |
| | | Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 x 10585.6063902443 /1000 = 2.6 failure(s) |
| | | According to Alectra East Control Room data, there were 123, 133, 113, 126, 131, 131 and 138 Cable and Splice failures in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 128 failures per year). Annually on average there were 128 Cable and Splice failures affecting 39,280 customers and 5,520,782 CMI |
| | | Impact of 1 failure: 39,280/128 = 307 customers affected and 5,520,782/128 = 43,131 CMI Impact of 2.6 failures: 307 x 2.6 = 798 customers affected and 43,131 x 2.6 = 112141 CMI |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) Value of Customer Impact Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any | Cable failures have negative impact to system reliability and customer service. Outages cause inconvenience and financial loss to customers (office closing, production stoppage). High Not Applicable. |
| | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Not Applicable. |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | The project will help avoid a total of 2.6 potential cable failures and 112141 potential CMI. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | Not Applicable. |

| 800,000 | , | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|-----------|
| 700,000 - | | | | | | |
| 600,000 - | | | | | | |
| 500,000 - | | | | | | |
| 400,000 - | | | | | | |
| 300,000 - | | | | | | |
| 200,000 - | | | | | | |
| 100,000 - | | | | | | |
| 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$671,684 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$671,684 |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | |



| utilities | | |
|---|---|--|
| Project Code | 151462 | |
| Project Name | Cable Injection Project - (G1) - Hwy 410 - Kenned | ly - Wanless - Main, Brampton |
| Major Category | System Renewal | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South |
| | Location | (G1) - Hwy 410 - Kennedy - Wanless - Main, Brampton |
| | Units | 8809 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Rase Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Inderground Asset Renewal |
| | Alectra Grouping | |
| 4. Evolution Critoria (OED) | Alectra Subcategory | Cable Refriction – injection |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (UEB) | Project summary | Alectra Utilites service area currently contains a population of underground cables totaling approximately 21 million linear meters of cable, which are continuing to degrade. Alectra Utilities' planned Underground Asset Renewal investments are driven by an increasing decline in reliability on the distribution system. At present, defective equipment accounts for 45% of controllable outages in Alectra Utilities' system. Failing cable and cable accessory failures account for 50% of all equipment-related outages. Alectra Utilities plans to gradually but significantly increase its spending to rejuvenate or replace XLPE cable and related accessories that are either in poor or very poor condition. This investment will inject failing direct-buried Cross-Linked Polyethylene (XLPE) cables and will mitigate outage frequencies to customers. 2 cables failures since 2000. |
| | | |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigate Failure Risks |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Cable manufactures introduced the first-generation XLPE cable into the market in the late 1960's. These cables have |
| | | inherent problems due to the nature of the manufacturing processes, which led to impurities developing over time in the insulating medium. These impurities are responsible for the increase in cable failures that Alectra Utilities and other utilities have been experiencing with cables from this period. |
| | | XLPE cables also fail because of the way they installed. Decades ago, utilities buried cable directly in the ground. Over time, the construction standard shifted to installing cable in protective conduits, but much of the system still consists of "direct-buried" cable. When more modern cable-in-conduit fails, it can typically be entirely removed and replaced with brand-new cable with relative ease. In contrast, direct-buried cables can only be repaired by excavating the cable and splicing in a replacement segment. This approach is fundamentally reactive and introduces further complications, since the installed splice may itself become a future failure point. Nor does it solve the underlying issue, since the older, direct-buried cable remains installed and increasingly likely to fail again. Failing direct-buried cables are causing an increasing number of outages, and when buried cables fail it can take a significant amount of time to restore service. Failing cables are significantly and increasingly impacting the quality of service received by Alectra Utilities' customers. Alectra Utilities must increase spending not only to halt the increasing trend, but to reverse it and reduce the number of cable failures to return customers back to historical reliability levels. Without the proposed expenditures, cables will continue to degrade and Alectra Utilities expects reliability to decline further as deteriorated cables begin to fail at greater rates, having been stressed from historical faults |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety | 1458kVA Not Applicable. |
| | Orber Courties Drivery | Nat Applicable |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable. |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Pertaining to coordination with utilities, regional planning and other 3rd parties, Alectra Utilities constructs all new projects using approved construction standards complying with ESA Regulation 22/04. Alectra Utilities participates in regional planning, both at an infrastructure level with local municipalities and regions, as well as at an electrical infrastructure level with Hydro One and other participants in the Regional Planning Process. Alectra Utilities also attends Public Utility Coordinating Committee (PUCC) meetings which jointly allows for the coordination and planning of investments with other utilities who provide cable ty, internet, phone and natural gas services. |
| | Economic Development | Alectra Utilities ensure all policies and practices don't unnecessarily create barriers to economic development which are primarily focused within our communities. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | The status quo is to do nothing, allowing the end-of-life cable to run to failure, and respond to outages under emergency condition. |
| | Alternative #1 | Perform the injection in this area. |

| | Alternative #2 | Replace the cable - this will be a higher cost and is not the preferred approach as injections can be performed in this area. |
|--|--|---|
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | This project is part of Alectra Utilities annual investment initiative for cable remediation (cable replacement and cable injection) to maintain system reliability. The oldest cables are at end-of-life and are failing. Since cables are the main component of the underground electrical distribution system, when a cable segment fails, system reliability and customer service are negatively affected. For small-scale outages, Alectra Utilities has the capability to replace or repair the faulted cable segments under reactive capital, however, if too many cable failures occur at the same time, Alectra Utilities would not have sufficient resources to manage the large-scale and cascading outages - system integrity will be compromised and reliability will be unacceptable to the customers. To manage the risk of large-scale cable failures, Alectra Utilities must implement proactive cable segments by a combination of cable injection and cable replacement. This project addresses cable injection as the method for remediation (injection is technically feasible for the segments within this project). |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Risk: Alectra Utilities considers the following as general risks to project schedule and cost: fluctuation in cost and staff resources (internal and external) to complete high annual volume of work. customer delays or restricted access to work sites inclement weather, either in the form of extreme temperatures or due to restoration activities following major storms delays to material shipment from vendors general unforeseen delays such as striking rock when digging, tree conservation, municipal/regional consent forms Risk Management: Alectra Utilities has a multi-year Master Service Agreement with the cable injection contractor. The unit prices are kept constant during the term of the Master Service Agreement. Regular progress meetings are held to ensure technical and operational issues are resolved promptly; budget performance is monitored; and projects are on track. Alectra Utilities has unlized coordination with third parties to mitigate some of the issues where possible, with municipalities/region/suppliers/customers. Alectra Utilities has implemented a Planning and Scheduling solution to track projects and resources. The Program Delivery department allows Alectra Utilities to manage schedule and cost risks and improve the overall efficiency of implementation. Alectra Utilities is able to reduce controllable cost impacts on the project due to these risk mitigation strategies. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Similar cable injection projects over the past three years (2016, 2017, and 2018) were \$78/m. This project is forecasted to be \$63/m. The difference is based on the assumption that this project is less complicated (has fewer splices to replace) than projects already completed in prior years. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | In this area, there were 2 cable and splice failures since 2000. If not rehabilitated, this cable will get older and will fail more often to the level that is not tolerable by customers. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | Cable in this area is 32 years old (installed in 1987), which exceeds the Kinectrics Report "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" results for Typical Useful Life of non-tree retardant XLPE of 25 years. |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | 675 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk | For 1000 m of cable (applicable to the selected cable remediation candidates): |
| | level) | Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 failures per 1000 m of cable per year |
| | | For 8809 m of cable in the whole area: |
| | | Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 x 8809 /1000 = 2.2 failure(s) |
| | | in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 128 failures per year). Annually on average there were 128 Cable and Splice failures affecting 39,280 customers and 5,520,782 CMI |
| | | Impact of 1 failure: 39,280/128 = 307 customers affected and 5,520,782/128 = 43,131 CMI Impact of 2.2 failures: 307 x 2.2 = 675 customers affected and 43,131 x 2.2 = 94888 CMI |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) Value of Customer Impact Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any | Cable failures have negative impact to system reliability and customer service. Outages cause inconvenience and financial loss to customers (office closing, production stoppage). High Not Applicable. |
| | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Not Applicable. |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | The project will help avoid a total of 2.2 potential cable failures and 94888 potential CMI. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | Not Applicable. |





Project Code 151463 Project Name Cable Injection Project - (F4-G4) - Main - Steeles - Chinguacousy - Queen, Brampton Major Category System Renewal Scenario 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Legacy PowerStream South Location (F4-G4) - Main - Steeles - Chinguacousy - Queen, Brampton Units 17175 Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Componen Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0% Expenditure Type Controllable Rates ID Rate Base Funded Alectra Grouping Underground Asset Renewal Alectra Subcategory Cable Remediation - Injection 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Alectra Utilities' service area currently contains a population of underground cables totalling approximately 21 million linear meters of cable, which are continuing to degrade. Alectra Utilities' planned Underground Asset Renewal investments are driven by an increasing decline in reliability on the distribution system. At present, defective equipment accounts for 45% of controllable outages in Alectra Utilities' system. Failing cable and cable accessory failures account for 50% of all equipment-related outages. Alectra Utilities plans to gradually but significantly increase its spending to rejuvenate or replace XLPE cable and related accessories that are either in poor or very poor condition. This investment will inject failing direct-buried Cross-Linked Polyethylene (XLPE) cables and will mitigate outage frequencies to customers. Main Driver - System Renewal Mitigate Failure Risks Priority and Reasons for Priority Cable manufactures introduced the first-generation XLPE cable into the market in the late 1960's. These cables have inherent problems due to the nature of the manufacturing processes, which led to impurities developing over time in the insulating medium. These impurities are responsible for the increase in cable failures that Alectra Utilities and other utilities have been experiencing with cables from this period. XLPE cables also fail because of the way they installed. Decades ago, utilities buried cable directly in the ground. Over time, the construction standard shifted to installing cable in protective conduits, but much of the system still consists of "direct-buried" cable. When more modern cable-in-conduit fails, it can typically be entirely removed and replaced with brand-new cable with relative ease. In contrast, direct-buried cables can only be repaired by excavating the cable and splicing in a replacement segment. This approach is fundamentally reactive and introduces further complications, since the installed splice may itself become a future failure point. Nor does it solve the underlying issue, since the older, direct-buried cable remains installed and increasingly likely to fail again. Failing direct-buried cables are causing an increasing number of outages, and when buried cables fail it can take a significant amount of time to restore service. Failing cables are significantly and increasingly impacting the quality of service received by Alectra Utilities' customers. Alectra Utilities must increase spending not only to halt the increasing trend, but to reverse it and reduce the number of cable failures to return customers back to historical reliability levels. Without the proposed expenditures, cables will continue to degrade and Alectra Utilities expects reliability to decline further as deteriorated cables begin to fail at greater rates, having been stressed from historical faults Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not Applicable Safety Not Applicable Cyber-Security, Privacy Not Applicable. Coordination, Interoperability Pertaining to coordination with utilities, regional planning and other 3rd parties, Alectra Utilities constructs all new projects using approved construction standards complying with ESA Regulation 22/04. Alectra Utilities participates in regional planning, both at an infrastructure level with local municipalities and regions, as well as at an electrical infrastructure level with Hydro One and other participants in the Regional Planning Process. Alectra Utilities also attends Public Utility Coordinating Committee (PUCC) meetings which jointly allows for the coordination and planning of investments with other utilities who provide cable tv, internet, phone and natural gas services. Economic Development Alectra Utilities ensure all policies and practices don't unnecessarily create barriers to economic development which are primarily focused within our communities. Environmental Benefits Not Applicable 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Ouo The status quo is to do nothing, allowing the end-of-life cable to run to failure, and respond to outages under Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) emergency condition. Alternative #1 Perform the injection in this area.

| | Alternative #2 | Replace the cable - this will be a higher cost and is not the preferred approach as injections can be performed in this area. |
|--|---|--|
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | This project is part of Alectra Utilities annual investment initiative for cable remediation (cable replacement and cable injection) to maintain system reliability. The oldest cables are at end-of-life and are failing. Since cables are the main component of the underground electrical distribution system, when a cable segment fails, system reliability and customer service are negatively affected. For small-scale outages, Alectra Utilities has the capability to replace or repair the faulted cable segments under reactive capital, however, if too many cable failures occur at the same time, Alectra Utilities would not have sufficient resources to manage the large-scale and cascading outages - system integrity will be compromised and reliability will be unacceptable to the customers. To manage the risk of large-scale cable failures, Alectra Utilities must implement proactive cable remediation projects. These projects are a result of continuous assessments, prioritizing, and remediating the worst cable segments by a combination of cable injection and cable replacement. This project addresses cable injection as the method for remediation (injection is technically feasible for the segments within this project). |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Risk: Alectra Utilities considers the following as general risks to project schedule and cost: fluctuation in cost and staff resources (internal and external) to complete high annual volume of work. customer delays or restricted access to work sites inclement weather, either in the form of extreme temperatures or due to restoration activities following major storms delays to material shipment from vendors general unforeseen delays such as striking rock when digging, tree conservation, municipal/regional consent forms Risk Management: Alectra Utilities has a multi-year Master Service Agreement with the cable injection contractor. The unit prices are kept constant during the term of the Master Service Agreement. Regular progress meetings are held to ensure technical and operational issues are resolved promptly; budget performance is monitored; and projects are on track. Alectra Utilities has utilized coordination with third parties to mitigate some of the issues where possible, with municipalities/region/suppliers/customers. Alectra Utilities has implemented a Planning and Scheduling solution to track projects and resources. The Program Delivery department allows Alectra Utilities to manage schedule and cost risks and improve the overall efficiency of implementation. Alectra Utilities is able to reduce controllable cost impacts on the project due to these risk mitigation strategies. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Similar cable injection projects over the past three years (2016, 2017, and 2018) were \$78/m. This project is forecasted to be \$63/m. The difference is based on the assumption that this project is less complicated (has fewer splices to replace) than projects already completed in prior years. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | In this area, there were 86 cable and splice failures since 2000. If not rehabilitated, this cable will get older and will fail more often to the level that is not tolerable by customers. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | Cable in this area is 34 years old (installed in 1985), which exceeds the Kinectrics Report "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" results for Typical Useful Life of non-tree retardant XLPE of 25 years. |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | 1320 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk | For 1000 m of cable (applicable to the selected cable remediation candidates): |
| | level) | Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 failures per 1000 m of cable per year |
| | | For 17175 m of cable in the whole area: |
| | | According to Alectra East Control Room data, there were 123, 133, 113, 126, 131, 131 and 138 Cable and Splice failures in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 128 failures per year). |
| | | Annually on average there were 128 Cable and Splice failures affecting 39,280 customers and 5,520,782 CMI Impact of 1 failure: 39,280/128 = 307 customers affected and 5,520,782/128 = 43,131 CMI Impact of 4.3 failures: 307 x 4.3 = 1320 customers affected and 43,131 x 4.3 = 185463 CMI |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) Value of Customer Impact | Cable failures have negative impact to system reliability and customer service. Outages cause inconvenience and financial loss to customers (office closing, production stoppage). High |
| | Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any | Not Applicable. |
| | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Not Applicable. |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | This project is part of the long-term cable rehabilitation program. The project will help avoid a total of 4.3 potential cable failures and 185463 potential CMI. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | Not Applicable. |





Project Code Project Name Major Category

151464 Cable Injection Project - (F3-G3-H3) - Phase 2, Brampton

System Renewal

| | 2019-2024 - FINAL DOF SUDINILIEU | |
|---|---|---|
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South |
| | Location | (F3-G3-H3), Brampton |
| | Units | 13400 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Inderground Asset Renewal |
| | Alectra Grouping | |
| | Alectra Subcategory | |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Alectra Utilities' service area currently contains a population of underground cables totalling approximately 21 million linear meters of cable, which are continuing to degrade. Alectra Utilities' planned Underground Asset Renewal |
| | | investments are driven by an increasing decline in reliability on the distribution system. At present, defective |
| | | equipment accounts for 45% of controllable outages in Alectra Utilities' system. Failing cable and cable accessory |
| | | failures account for 50% of all equipment-related outages. Alectra Utilities plans to gradually but significantly increase |
| | | its spending to rejuvenate or replace XLPE cable and related accessories that are either in poor or very poor condition. |
| | | This investment will inject failing direct-buried Cross-Linked Polyethylene (XLPE) cables and will mitigate outage |
| | | frequencies to customers. |
| | | This area has had 102 failures since 2000 |
| | | |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigate Failure Risks |
| | Priority and Peasons for Priority | Cable manufactures introduced the first-generation VLPE cable into the market in the late 1960's. These cables have |
| | Phoney and Reasons for Phoney | inherent problems due to the nature of the manufacturing processes, which led to impurities developing over time in |
| | | the insulating medium. These impurities are responsible for the increase in cable failures that Alectra Utilities and other |
| | | utilities have been experiencing with cables from this period. |
| | | |
| | | XLPE cables also fail because of the way they installed. Decades ago, utilities buried cable directly in the ground. Over |
| | | time, the construction standard shifted to installing cable in protective conduits, but much of the system still consists of |
| | | "direct-buried" cable. When more modern cable-in-conduit fails, it can typically be entirely removed and replaced with |
| | | brand-new cable with relative ease. In contrast, direct-buried cables can only be repaired by excavating the cable and |
| | | splicing in a replacement segment. This approach is fundamentally reactive and introduces further complications, since |
| | | direct-huried cable remains installed and increasingly likely to fail again. Failing direct-huried cables are causing an |
| | | increasing number of outages, and when buried cables fail it can take a significant amount of time to restore service. |
| | | Failing cables are significantly and increasingly impacting the quality of service received by Alectra Utilities' customers. |
| | | All des 1000 second frances and the second state franks frances for the difference of the second state state of the second state of |
| | | Alectra Utilities must increase spending not only to hait the increasing trend, but to reverse it and reduce the number |
| | | or cable failures to return customers back to instorical reliability levels. Without the proposed expenditures, cables will continue to degrade and Alectra Utilities expects reliability to decline further as deteriorated cables begin to fail at |
| | | greater rates, having been stressed from historical faults |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | 1458kVA |
| | Safety | Not Applicable. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable. |
| | Coordination. Interoperability | Pertaining to coordination with utilities, regional planning and other 3rd narties. Alectra Utilities constructs all new |
| | coordination, interoperability | projects using approved construction standards complying with ESA Regulation 22/04. Alectra Utilities participates in |
| | | regional planning, both at an infrastructure level with local municipalities and regions, as well as at an electrical |
| | | infrastructure level with Hydro One and other participants in the Regional Planning Process. Alectra Utilities also |
| | | attends Public Utility Coordinating Committee (PUCC) meetings which jointly allows for the coordination and planning |
| | | of investments with other utilities who provide cable tv, internet, phone and natural gas services. |
| | | |
| | E | |
| | Economic Development | Alectra Utilities ensure all policies and practices don't unnecessarily create barriers to economic development which are primarily focused within our communities. |
| | Environmental Penefite | |
| | Environmental Benefits | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | Ine status quo is to do nothing, allowing the end-of-life cable to run to failure, and respond to outages under emergency condition. |
| | Alternative #1 | Perform the injection in this area |
| | Alternative #1 | renomi the injection in this area. |

| | Alternative #2 | Replace the cable - this will be a higher cost and is not the preferred approach as injections can be performed in this area. |
|--|---|--|
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | This project is part of Alectra Utilities annual investment initiative for cable remediation (cable replacement and cable injection) to maintain system reliability. The oldest cables are at end-of-life and are failing. Since cables are the main component of the underground electrical distribution system, when a cable segment fails, system reliability and customer service are negatively affected. For small-scale outages, Alectra Utilities has the capability to replace or repair the faulted cable segments under reactive capital, however, if too many cable failures occur at the same time, Alectra Utilities would not have sufficient resources to manage the large-scale and cascading outages - system integrity will be compromised and reliability will be unacceptable to the customers. To manage the risk of large-scale cable failures, Alectra Utilities must implement proactive cable segments by a combination of cable injection and cable replacement. This project addresses cable injection as the method for remediation (injection is technically feasible for the segments within this project). |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Risk: Alectra Utilities considers the following as general risks to project schedule and cost: fluctuation in cost and staff resources (internal and external) to complete high annual volume of work. customer delays or restricted access to work sites inclement weather, either in the form of extreme temperatures or due to restoration activities following major storms delays to material shipment from vendors general unforeseen delays such as striking rock when digging, tree conservation, municipal/regional consent forms Risk Management: Alectra Utilities has a multi-year Master Service Agreement with the cable injection contractor. The unit prices are kept constant during the term of the Master Service Agreement. Regular progress meetings are held to ensure technical and operational issues are resolved promptly; budget performance is monitored; and projects are on track. Alectra Utilities has utilized coordination with third parties to mitigate some of the issues where possible, with municipalities/region/suppliers/customers. Alectra Utilities has implemented a Planning and Scheduling solution to track projects and resources. The Program Delivery department allows Alectra Utilities to manage schedule and cost risks and improve the overal! efficiency of implementation. Alectra Utilities is able to reduce controllable cost impacts on the project due to these risk mitigation strategies. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Similar cable injection projects over the past three years (2016, 2017, and 2018) were \$78/m. This project is forecasted to be \$63/m. The difference is based on the assumption that this project is less complicated (has fewer splices to replace) than projects already completed in prior years. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | In this area, there were 103 cable and splice failures since 2000. If not rehabilitated, this cable will get older and will fail more often to the level that is not tolerable by customers. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | Cable in this area is 34 years old (installed in 1985), which exceeds the Kinectrics Report "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" results for Typical Useful Life of non-tree retardant XLPE of 25 years. |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | 1013 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) | 103 Failures since 2000 For 1000 m of cable (applicable to the selected cable remediation candidates): Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 failures per 1000 m of cable per year For 13400 m of cable in the whole area: Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 x 13400 /1000 = 3.3 failure(s) According to Alectra East Control Room data, there were 123, 133, 113, 126, 131, 131 and 138 Cable and Splice failures in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 128 failures per year). Annually on average there were 128 Cable and Splice failures affecting 39,280 customers and 5,520,782 CMI Impact of 1 failure: 39,280/128 = 307 customers affected and 5,520,782/128 = 43,131 CMI Impact of 3.3 failures: 307 x 3.3 = 1013 customers affected and 43,131 x 3.3 = 142332 CMI |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) Value of Customer Impact Factors Affecting Project Timing if any | Cable failures have negative impact to system reliability and customer service. Outages cause inconvenience and financial loss to customers (office closing, production stoppage). High Not Applicable. |
| | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Not Applicable. |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | The project will help avoid a total of 3.3 potential cable failures and 142332 potential CMI. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | Not Applicable. |

| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | | | | | |
|--|---|------|------|------|------|------|
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$838,192 | \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$379,681 \$458,511 \$0 | | | | \$0 | |
| 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 50,000 - | | | | | | |
| 100,000 - | | | | | | |
| 150,000 - | | | | | | |
| 200,000 - | | | | | | |
| 250,000 - | | | | | | |
| 300,000 - | | | | | | |
| 350,000 - | | | | | | |
| 400,000 - | | | | | | |
| 450,000 - | | | | | | |
| 500,000 - | | | | | | |



Project Code Project Name

Major Category

OEB Multi-Project Report

151465

Cable Replacement - Mississauga Left Behind Cable

System Renewal 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted

| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
|---|---|--|
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Mississauga |
| | Location | Various locations in Alectra Mississauga |
| | Units | 1 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | NO |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Underground Asset Renewal |
| 4. Evoluation Critoria (OEP) | Alectra Subcategory | Cable Remediation –Replacement |
| - Conductor Cricina (CCS) | Troject Summery | Inear meters of cable, which are continuing to degrade. Alectra Utilities' planed Underground Asset Renewal investments are driven by an increasing decline in reliability on the distribution system. At present, defective equipment accounts for 45% of controllable outages in Alectra Utilities' system. Failing cable and cable accessory failures account for 50% of all equipment-related outages. Alectra Utilities plans to gradually but significantly increase its spending to rejuvenate or replace XLPE cable and related accessories that are either in poor or very poor condition. This investment will replace failing direct-buried Cross-Linked Polyethylene (XLPE) cables and cable accessories with new cable in conduit and will mitigate outage frequencies to customers. |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigate Failure Ricks |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Cable manufactures introduced the first-generation XLPE cable into the market in the late 1960's. These cables have |
| | | inherent problems due to the nature of the manufacturing processes, which led to impurities developing over time in the insulating medium. These impurities are responsible for the increase in cable failures that Alectra Utilities and other utilities have been experiencing with cables from this period. |
| | | XLPE cables also fail because of the way they installed. Decades ago, utilities buried cable directly in the ground. Over time, the construction standard shifted to installing cable in protective conduits, but much of the system still consists of "direct-buried" cable. When more modern cable-in-conduit fails, it can typically be entirely removed and replaced with brand-new cable with relative ease. In contrast, direct-buried cables can only be repaired by excavating the cable and splicing in a replacement segment. This approach is fundamentally reactive and introduces further complications, since the installed splice may itself become a future failure point. Nor does it solve the underlying issue, since the older, direct-buried cable remains installed and increasingly likely to fail again. Failing direct-buried cables are causing an increasing number of outages, and when buried cables fail it can take a significant amount of time to restore service. Failing cables are significantly and increasingly impacting the quality of service received by Alectra Utilities' customers. Alectra Utilities must increase spending not only to halt the increasing trend, but to reverse it and reduce the number of cable failures to return customers back to historical reliability levels. Without the proposed expenditures, cables will continue to degrade and Alectra Utilities expects reliability to decline further as deteriorated cables begin to fail at menters of the buries here the burden further. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Safety Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable |
| | | |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Pertaining to coordination with utilities, regional planning and other 3rd parties, Alectra Utilities constructs all new projects using approved construction standards complying with ESA Regulation 22/04. Alectra Utilities participates in regional planning, both at an infrastructure level with local municipalities and regions, as well as at an electrical infrastructure level with Hydro One and other participants in the Regional Planning Process. Alectra Utilities also attends Public Utility Coordinating Committee (PUCC) meetings which jointly allows for the coordination and planning of investments with other utilities who provide cable tv, internet, phone and natural gas services. |
| | Economic Development | Alectra Utilities ensure all policies and practices don't unnecessarily create barriers to economic development which are primarily focused within our communities. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | The status quo is to do nothing, allowing the end-of-life cable to run to failure, and respond to outages under reactive capital. This would lead to an unacceptable level of outages and customer satisfaction. |
| | Alternative #1 | Perform the replacement in this area. |

| | Alternative #2 | Injection of the cables - these cable segments are not technically viable for injection. |
|--|--|---|
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | This project is part of Alectra Utilities annual investment initiative for cable remediation (cable replacement and cable injection) to maintain system reliability. The oldest cables are at end-of-life and are failing. Since cables are the main component of the underground electrical distribution system, when a cable segment fails, system reliability and customer service are negatively affected. For small-scale outages, Alectra Utilities has the capability to replace or repair the faulted cable segments under reactive capital, however, if too many cable failures occur at the same time, Alectra Utilities would not have sufficient resources to manage the large-scale and cascading outages - system integrity will be compromised and reliability will be unacceptable to the customers. To manage the risk of large-scale cable failures, Alectra Utilities must implement proactive cable remediation projects. These projects are a result of continuous assessments, prioritizing, and remediating the worst cable segments as the method for remediation (injection is not technically feasible for the segments within this project). |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Risk: Alectra Utilities considers the following as general risks to project schedule and cost: fluctuation in cost and staff resources (internal and external) to complete high annual volume of work. customer delays or restricted access to work sites inclement weather, either in the form of extreme temperatures or due to restoration activities following major storms delays to material shipment from vendors general unforeseen delays such as striking rock when digging, tree conservation, municipal/regional consent forms Risk Management: Alectra Utilities has multi-year Master Service Agreement with external contractors. Regular progress meetings are held to ensure technical and operational issues are resolved promptly; budget performance is monitored; and projects are on track. Alectra Utilities has utilized coordination with third parties to mitigate some of the issues where possible, with municipal/fregion/suppliers/customers. Alectra Utilities has implemented a Planning and Scheduling solution to track projects and resources. The Program Delivery department allows Alectra Utilities to manage schedule and cost risks and improve the overall efficiency of implementation. Alectra Utilities is able to reduce controllable cost impacts on the project due to these risk mitigation strategies. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | Cable failures have negative impact to system reliability and customer service. Outages cause inconvenience and financial loss to customers (office closing, production stoppage). 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | In Alectra Central South, there were 40, 38, 24, 30, 28, 32 and 20 primary cable failures in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 30 failures per year). If not rehabilitated, this cable will get older and will fail more often to the level that is not tolerable by customers. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | Cable in this project exceeds the Kinectrics Report "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" results for Typical Useful Life of non-tree retardant XLPE of 25 years. |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | 737 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk | For 1000 m of cable (applicable to the selected cable remediation candidates): |
| | level) | Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 failures per 1000 m of cable per year |
| | | For 9000 m of cable: |
| | | Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 x 9000 /1000 = 2.4 failure(s) |
| | | According to Alectra East Control Room data, there were 123, 133, 113, 126, 131, 131 and 138 Cable and Splice failures in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 128 failures per year). Annually on average there were 128 Cable and Splice failures affecting 39,280 customers and 5,520,782 CMI |
| | | Impact of 1 failure: 39,280/128 = 307 customers affected and 5,520,782/128 = 43,131 CMI Impact of 2.4 failures: 307 x 2.4 = 737 customers affected and 43,131 x 2.4 = 103514 CMI |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) Value of Customer Impact Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any | Cable failures have negative impact to system reliability and customer service. Outages cause inconvenience and financial loss to customers (office closing, production stoppage). High Not Applicable |
| | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Not Applicable |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | This project is part of the long-term cable rehabilitation program. The project will help avoid a total of 2.4 potential cable failures and 103514 potential CMI. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | When direct buried cable is replaced, the new cable installed according to new Standards. Which call for the cable to be put in conduit. The conduit provides additional mechanical protection for the cable. In addition it will also facilitate for future cable replacement (faulted cable can be pulled out and new cable be pulled in, no digging is required). |





| utilities | | |
|---|---|---|
| Project Code | 151466 | |
| Project Name | Cable Replacement Project - (V24) - Langstaff - Ja | ine - Rutherford - Keele, Vaughan |
| Major Category | System Renewal | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South |
| 2. Additional mormation | Location | (V24) - Langstaff - Jane - Rutherford - Keele, Vaughan 2817 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Underground Asset Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Cable Remediation –Replacement |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Alectra Utilities' service area currently contains a population of underground cables totalling approximately 21 million linear meters of cable, which are continuing to degrade. Alectra Utilities' planned Underground Asset Renewal investments are driven by an increasing decline in reliability on the distribution system. At present, defective equipment accounts for 45% of controllable outages in Alectra Utilities' system. Failing cable and cable accessory failures account for 50% of all equipment-related outages. Alectra Utilities plans to gradually but significantly increase its spending to rejuvenate or replace XLPE cable and related accessories that are either in poor or very poor condition. This investment will replace failing direct-buried Cross-Linked Polyethylene (XLPE) cables and cable accesories with new cable in conduit and will mitigate outage frequencies to customers. |
| | | |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal Priority and Reasons for Priority | Mitigate Failure Risks Cable manufactures introduced the first-generation XLPE cable into the market in the late 1960's. These cables have inherent problems due to the nature of the manufacturing processes, which led to impurities developing over time in the insulating medium. These impurities are responsible for the increase in cable failures that Alectra Utilities and other utilities have been experiencing with cables from this period. XLPE cables also fail because of the way they installed. Decades ago, utilities buried cable directly in the ground. Over |
| | | time, the construction standard shifted to installing cable in protective conduits, but much of the system still consists of "direct-buried" cable. When more modern cable-in-conduit fails, it can typically be entirely removed and replaced with brand-new cable with relative ease. In contrast, direct-buried cables can only be repaired by excavating the cable and splicing in a replacement segment. This approach is fundamentally reactive and introduces further complications, since the installed splice may itself become a future failure point. Nor does it solve the underlying issue, since the older, direct-buried cable remains installed and increasingly likely to fail again. Failing direct-buried cables are causing an increasing number of outages, and when buried cables fail it can take a significant amount of time to restore service. Failing cables are significantly and increasingly impacting the quality of service received by Alectra Utilities' customers. |
| | | Alectra Utilities must increase spending not only to halt the increasing trend, but to reverse it and reduce the number of cable failures to return customers back to historical reliability levels. Without the proposed expenditures, cables will continue to degrade and Alectra Utilities expects reliability to decline further as deteriorated cables begin to fail at greater rates, having been stressed from historical faults. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | 1458kVA |
| | Safety | Not Applicable |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not Applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Pertaining to coordination with utilities, regional planning and other 3rd parties, Alectra Utilities constructs all new projects using approved construction standards complying with ESA Regulation 22/04. Alectra Utilities participates in regional planning, both at an infrastructure level with local municipalities and regions, as well as at an electrical infrastructure level with Hydro One and other participants in the Regional Planning Process. Alectra Utilities also attends Public Utility Coordinating Committee (PUCC) meetings which jointly allows for the coordination and planning of investments with other utilities who provide cable tv, internet, phone and natural gas services. |
| | Economic Development | Alectra Utilities ensure all policies and practices don't unnecessarily create barriers to economic development which are primarily focused within our communities. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | The status quo is to do nothing, allowing the end-of-life cable to run to failure, and respond to outages under reactive capital. This would lead to an unacceptable level of outages and customer satisfaction. |
| | Alternative #1 | Perform the replacement in this area. |
| | Alternative #2 | Injection of the cables - these cable segemnts are not technically viable for injection. |

| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | This project is part of Alectra Utilities annual investment initiative for cable remediation (cable replacement and cable injection) to maintain system reliability. The oldest cables are at end-of-life and are failing. Since cables are the main component of the underground electrical distribution system, when a cable segment fails, system reliability and customer service are negatively affected. For small-scale outgaes, Alectra Utilities has the capability to replace or repair the faulted cable segments under reactive capital, however, if too many cable failures occur at the same time, Alectra Utilities would not have sufficient resources to manage the large-scale and cascading outgaes - system integrity will be compromised and reliability will be unacceptable to the customers. To manage the risk of large-scale cable failures, Alectra Utilities must implement proactive cable segments by a combination of cable injection and cable replacement. This project addresses cable replacement as the method for remediation (injection is not technically feasible for the segments within this project). |
|--|---|---|
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Risk: Alectra Utilities considers the following as general risks to project schedule and cost: - fluctuation in cost and staff resources (internal and external) to complete high annual volume of work. - customer delays or restricted access to work sites - inclement weather, either in the form of extreme temperatures or due to restoration activities following major storms - delays to material shipment from vendors - general unforeseen delays such as striking rock when digging, tree conservation, municipal/regional consent forms Risk Management: Alectra Utilities has multi-year Master Service Agreement with external contractors. Regular progress meetings are held to ensure technical and operational issues are resolved promptly; budget performance is monitored; and projects are on track. Alectra Utilities has utilized coordination with third parties to mitigate some of the issues where possible, with municipalities/region/suppliers/customers. Alectra Utilities has implemented a Planning and Scheduling solution to track projects and resources. The Program Delivery department allows Alectra Utilities to manage schedule and cost risks and improve the overall efficiency of implementation. Alectra Utilities is able to reduce controllable cost impacts on the project due to these risk mitigation strategies. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Similar cable replacement projects over the past 3 years (2016, 2017, and 2018) were \$389/m. This project is forecasted to be \$350/m. The difference is based on the assumption that this project is less complicated (less obstruction, long clearance from other utilities) than the projects already completed in prior years. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | In Alectra East, there were 123, 133, 113, 126, 131, 131 and 138 Cable and Splice failures in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 128 failures per year). If not rehabilitated, this cable will get older and will fail more often to the level that is not tolerable by customers. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | Cable in this area is 31 years old (installed in 1988), which exceeds the Kinectrics Report "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" results for Typical Useful Life of non-tree retardant XLPE of 25 years. |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | 215 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or | For 1000 m of cable (applicable to the selected cable remediation candidates): |
| | level) | Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 failures per 1000 m of cable per year |
| | | For 2817 m of cable in the whole area: |
| | | Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 x 2817 /1000 = 0.7 failure(s) |
| | | According to Alectra East Control Room data, there were 123, 133, 113, 126, 131, 131 and 138 Cable and Splice failures in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 128 failures per year). Annually on average there were 128 Cable and Splice failures affecting 39,280 customers and 5,520,782 CMI |
| | | Impact of 1 failure: $39,280/128 = 307$ customers affected and $5,520,782/128 = 43,131$ CMI Impact of 0.7 failures: $307 \times 0.7 = 215$ customers affected and $43,131 \times 0.7 = 30192$ CMI |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) Value of Customer Impact | Cable failures have negative impact to system reliability and customer service. Outages cause inconvenience and financial loss to customers (office closing, production stoppage). High |
| | Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any | Local approvals and weather. |
| | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Not Applicable |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | The project will help avoid a total of 0.7 potential cable failures and 30192 potential CMI. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | When direct buried cable is replaced, the new cable installed according to new Standards. Which call for the cable to be put in conduit. The conduit provides additional mechanical protection for the cable. In addition it will also facilitate for future cable replacement (faulted cable can be pulled out and new cable be pulled in, no digging is required). |

| 1,200,000 - | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|-----------|
| 1,000,000 - | | | | | | |
| 800,000 - | | | | | | |
| 600,000 - | | | | | | |
| 400,000 - | | | | | | |
| 200,000 - | | | | | | |
| 0 - | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$985,813 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$985,813 |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| urrency scale is in literal | | | | | | |



| utilities | | |
|---|---|--|
| Project Code | 151467 | |
| Project Name | Cable Replacement Project - (V17) - Langstaff - K | eele - Rutherford - Dufferin, Vaughan |
| Major Category | System Renewal | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2 Additional Information | Service Territory | Laracy PowerStream South |
| 2. Additional mormation | | (1/17) Legatoff Kasla Dutharford Dufferin Venahan |
| | Location | (VI/) - Langstan - Keele - Rutherford - Dufferin, Vaughan |
| | Units | 6918 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 2. Commission (CED) | Constant and Constant | |
| 3. General Project mormation (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Underground Asset Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Cable Remediation –Replacement |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Alectra Utilities' service area currently contains a population of underground cables totalling approximately 21 million |
| . , | | linear meters of cable, which are continuing to degrade. Alectra Utilities' planned Underground Asset Renewal |
| | | investments are driven by an increasing decline in reliability on the distribution system. At present, defective |
| | | equipment accounts for 45% of controllable outages in Alectra Utilities' system. Failing cable and cable accessory |
| | | failures account for 50% of all equipment-related outages. Alectra Utilities plans to gradually but significantly increase |
| | | its spending to rejuvenate or replace XLPE cable and related accessories that are either in poor or very poor condition. |
| | | This investment will replace failing direct-buried Cross-Linked Polyethylene (XLPE) cables and cable accesories with new |
| | | cable in conduit and will mitigate outage frequencies to customers. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigate Failure Risks |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Cable manufactures introduced the first-generation XLPE cable into the market in the late 1960's. These cables have |
| | | inherent problems due to the nature of the manufacturing processes, which led to impurities developing over time in |
| | | the insulating medium. These impurities are responsible for the increase in cable failures that Alectra Utilities and other |
| | | utilities have been experiencing with cables from this period. |
| | | |
| | | XLPE cables also fail because of the way they installed. Decades ago, utilities buried cable directly in the ground. Over |
| | | time, the construction standard shifted to installing cable in protective conduits, but much of the system still consists of |
| | | "direct-buried" cable. When more modern cable-in-conduit fails, it can typically be entirely removed and replaced with |
| | | brand-new cable with relative ease. In contrast, direct-buried cables can only be repaired by excavating the cable and |
| | | splicing in a replacement segment. This approach is fundamentally reactive and introduces further complications, since |
| | | the installed splice may itself become a future failure point. Nor does it solve the underlying issue, since the older, |
| | | direct-ouried cable remains installed and increasingly likely to fail again. Failing direct-ouried cables are causing an |
| | | increasing number of outages, and when buried cables fail it can take a significant amount of time to restore service. |
| | | raning cables are significantly and increasingly impacting the quality of service received by Alectra Ouncies Customers. |
| | | Alectra Utilities must increase spending not only to halt the increasing trend but to reverse it and reduce the number |
| | | of cable failures to return customers back to historical reliability levels. Without the proposed expenditures cables will |
| | | continue to degrade and Alectra Utilities expects reliability to decline further as deteriorated cables been to fail at |
| | | greater rates, having been stressed from historical faults. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not Applicable |
| | Safety | Not Applicable |
| | Cuber Security Drivery | Net Applicable |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Pertaining to coordination with utilities, regional planning and other 3rd parties, Alectra Utilities constructs all new |
| | | projects using approved construction standards complying with ESA Regulation 22/04. Alectra Utilities participates in |
| | | regional planning, both at an initiastructure level with local municipalities and regions, as well as at an electrical infrastructure level with Hydro One and other participanties in the Perioral Planning Process. Alerta Lifetia sico |
| | | attends Public Utility Coordinating Committee (PLICC) meetings which jointly allows for the coordinating committee (PLICC) meetings which jointly allows for the coordination and planning |
| | | of investments with other utilities who provide cable tv, internet, phone and natural gas services. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Economic Development | Alectra Utilities ensure all policies and practices don't unnecessarily create barriers to economic development which |
| | | are primarily focused within our communities. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | The status quo is to do nothing, allowing the end-of-life cable to run to failure, and respond to outages under reactive |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | capital. This would lead to an unacceptable level of outages and customer satisfaction. |
| | | |
| | Alternative #1 | Perform the replacement in this area. |
| | | |
| | Alternative #2 | Injection of the cables - these cable segemnts are not technically viable for injection. |
| | | |

| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | This project is part of Alectra Utilities annual investment initiative for cable remediation (cable replacement and cable injection) to maintain system reliability. The oldest cables are at end-of-life and are failing. Since cables are the main component of the underground electrical distribution system, when a cable segment fails, system reliability and customer service are negatively affected. For small-scale outages, Alectra Utilities has the capability to replace or repair the faulted cable segments under reactive capital, however, if too many cable failures occur at the same time, Alectra Utilities would not have sufficient resources to manage the large-scale and cascading outages - system integrity will be compromised and reliability will be unacceptable to the customers. To manage the risk of large-scale cable failures,Alectra Utilities must implement proactive cable segments by a combination of cable injection and cable replacement. This project addresses cable replacement as the method for remediation (injection is not technically feasible for the segments within this project). |
|--|---|--|
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Risk: Alectra Utilities considers the following as general risks to project schedule and cost: - fluctuation in cost and staff resources (internal and external) to complete high annual volume of work. - customer delays or restricted access to work sites - inclement weather, either in the form of extreme temperatures or due to restoration activities following major storms - delays to material shipment from vendors - general unforeseen delays such as striking rock when digging, tree conservation, municipal/regional consent forms Risk Management: Alectra Utilities has multi-year Master Service Agreement with external contractors. Regular progress meetings are held to ensure technical and operational issues are resolved promptly; budget performance is monitored; and projects are on track. Alectra Utilities has utilized coordination with third parties to mitigate some of the issues where possible, with municipalities/region/suppliers/customers. Alectra Utilities has implemented a Planning and Scheduling solution to track projects and resources. The Program Delivery department allows Alectra Utilities to manage schedule and cost risks and improve the overall efficiency of implementation. Alectra Utilities is able to reduce controllable cost impacts on the project due to these risk mitigation strategies. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Similar cable replacement projects over the past 3 years (2016, 2017, and 2018) were \$389/m. This project is forecasted to be \$350/m. The difference is based on the assumption that this project is less complicated (less obstruction, long clearance from other utilities) than the projects already completed in prior years. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | In Alectra East, there were 123, 133, 113, 126, 131, 131 and 138 Cable and Splice failures in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 128 failures per year). If not rehabilitated, this cable will get older and will fail more often to the level that is not tolerable by customers. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | Cable in this area is 31 years old (installed in 1988), which exceeds the Kinectrics Report "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" results for Typical Useful Life of non-tree retardant XLPE of 25 years. |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | 522 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and according durations) | For 1000 m of cable (applicable to the selected cable remediation candidates): |
| | level) | Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 failures per 1000 m of cable per year |
| | | For 6918 m of cable in the whole area: |
| | | Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 x 6918 /1000 = 1.7 failure(s) |
| | | According to Alectra East Control Room data, there were 123, 133, 113, 126, 131, 131 and 138 Cable and Splice failures in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 128 failures per year). Annually on average there were 128 Cable and Splice failures affecting 39,280 customers and 5,520,782 CMI |
| | | Impact of 1 failure: 39,280/128 = 307 customers affected and 5,520,782/128 = 43,131 CMI Impact of 1.7 failures: 307 x 1.7 = 522 customers affected and 43,131 x 1.7 = 73323 CMI |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) | Cable failures have negative impact to system reliability and customer service. Outages cause inconvenience and financial loss to customers (office closing, production stoppage). |
| | Value of Customer Impact Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any | High Local approvals and weather. |
| | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Not Applicable |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | This project is part of the long-term cable rehabilitation program. The project will help avoid a total of 1.7 potential cable failures and 73323 potential CMI. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | When direct buried cable is replaced, the new cable installed according to new Standards. Which call for the cable to be put in conduit. The conduit provides additional mechanical protection for the cable. In addition it will also facilitate for future cable replacement (faulted cable can be pulled out and new cable be pulled in, no digging is required). |

| 3,000,000 | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|-------------|
| 2,500,000 - | | | | | | |
| 2,000,000 - | | | | | | |
| 1,500,000 - | | | | | | |
| 1,000,000 - | | | | | | |
| 500,000 - | | | | | | |
| 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$2,421,259 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,421,259 |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | |



| utilities | | |
|---|--|---|
| Project Code | 151468 | |
| Project Name | Cable Replacement Project - (V51) - Langstaff - Ki | pling - Hwy 7 - Hwy 27, Vaughan |
| Major Category | System Renewal | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Legacy PowerStream South |
| | Location | (V51) - Langstaff - Kipling - Hwy 7 - Hwy 27, Vaughan |
| | Units | 2822 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Tashnalamy Braiast or bas Tashnalamy | No |
| | Component | NU |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | , | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Underground Asset Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Cable Remediation –Replacement |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OFB) | Project Summary | Alectra Utilities' service area currently contains a population of underground cables totalling approximately 21 million |
| | | linear meters of cable, which are continuing to degrade. Alectra Utilities' planned Underground Asset Renewal investments are driven by an increasing decline in reliability on the distribution system. At present, defective equipment accounts for 45% of controllable outages in Alectra Utilities' system. Failing cable and cable accessory failures account for 50% of all equipment-related outages. Alectra Utilities plans to gradually but significantly increase its spending to rejuvenate or replace XLPE cable and related accessories that are either in poor or very poor condition. This investment will replace failing direct-buried Cross-Linked Polyethylene (XLPE) cables and cable accesories with new cable in conduit and will mitigate outage frequencies to customers. |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigate Failure Risks |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Cable manufactures introduced the first-generation XLPE cable into the market in the late 1960's. These cables have inherent problems due to the nature of the manufacturing processes, which led to impurities developing over time in the insulating medium. These impurities are responsible for the increase in cable failures that Alectra Utilities and other utilities have been experiencing with cables from this period. |
| | | XLPE cables also fail because of the way they installed. Decades ago, utilities buried cable directly in the ground. Over time, the construction standard shifted to installing cable in protective conduits, but much of the system still consists of "direct-buried" cable. When more modern cable-in-conduit fails, it can typically be entirely removed and replaced with brand-new cable with relative ease. In contrast, direct-buried cables can only be repaired by excavating the cable and splicing in a replacement segment. This approach is fundamentally reactive and introduces further complications, since the installed splice may itself become a future failure point. Nor does it solve the underlying issue, since the older, direct-buried cable remains installed and increasingly likely to fail again. Failing direct-buried cables are causing an increasing number of outages, and when buried cables fail it can take a significant amount of time to restore service. Failing cables are significantly and increasingly impacting the quality of service received by Alectra Utilities' customers. Alectra Utilities must increase spending not only to halt the increasing frend, but to reverse it and reduce the number of cable failures to reture nucleomers hack to historical reliability levels. Without the proposed expenditures, cables will |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | continue to degrade and Alectra Utilities expects reliability to decline further as deteriorated cables begin to fail at greater rates, having been stressed from historical faults. |
| | Safety | Not Applicable |
| | Cyber-Security Privacy | Not Applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Pertaining to coordination with utilities, regional planning and other 3rd parties, Alectra Utilities constructs all new projects using approved construction standards complying with ESA Regulation 22/04. Alectra Utilities participates in regional planning, both at an infrastructure level with local municipalities and regions, as well as at an electrical infrastructure level with Hydro One and other participants in the Regional Planning Process. Alectra Utilities also attends Public Utility Coordinating Committee (PUCC) meetings which jointly allows for the coordination and planning of investments with other utilities who provide cable tv, internet, phone and natural gas services. |
| | Economic Development | Alectra Utilities ensure all policies and practices don't unnecessarily create barriers to economic development which are primarily focused within our communities. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | The status quo is to do nothing, allowing the end-of-life cable to run to failure, and respond to outages under reactive capital. This would lead to an unacceptable level of outages and customer satisfaction. |
| | Alternative #1 | Perform the replacement in this area. |
| | Alternative #2 | Injection of the cables - these cable segemnts are not technically viable for injection. |

| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | This project is part of Alectra Utilities annual investment initiative for cable remediation (cable replacement and cable injection) to maintain system reliability. The oldest cables are at end-of-life and are failing. Since cables are the main component of the underground electrical distribution system, when a cable segment fails, system reliability and customer service are negatively affected. For small-scale outages, Alectra Utilities has the capability to replace or repair the faulted cable segments under reactive capital, however, if too many cable failures occur at the same time, Alectra Utilities would not have sufficient resources to manage the large-scale and cascading outages - system integrity will be compromised and reliability will be unacceptable to the customers. To manage the risk of large-scale cable failures,Alectra Utilities must implement proactive cable segments by a combination of cable injection and cable replacement. This project addresses cable replacement as the method for remediation (injection is not technically feasible for the segments within this project). |
|--|---|---|
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Risk: Alectra Utilities considers the following as general risks to project schedule and cost: - fluctuation in cost and staff resources (internal and external) to complete high annual volume of work. - customer delays or restricted access to work sites - inclement weather, either in the form of extreme temperatures or due to restoration activities following major storms - delays to material shipment from vendors - general unforeseen delays such as striking rock when digging, tree conservation, municipal/regional consent forms Risk Management: Alectra Utilities has multi-year Master Service Agreement with external contractors. Regular progress meetings are held to ensure technical and operational issues are resolved promptly; budget performance is monitored; and projects are on track. Alectra Utilities has utilized coordination with third parties to mitigate some of the issues where possible, with municipallites/region/suppliers/customers. Alectra Utilities has implemented a Planning and Scheduling solution to track projects and resources. The Program Delivery department allows Alectra Utilities to manage schedule and cost risks and improve the overall efficiency of implementation. Alectra Utilities is able to reduce controllable cost impacts on the project due to these risk mitigation strategies. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Similar cable replacement projects over the past 3 years (2016, 2017, and 2018) were \$389/m. This project is forecasted to be \$350/m. The difference is based on the assumption that this project is less complicated (less obstruction, long clearance from other utilities) than the projects already completed in prior years. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | In Alectra East, there were 123, 133, 113, 126, 131, 131 and 138 Cable and Splice failures in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 128 failures per year). If not rehabilitated, this cable will get older and will fail more often to the level that is not tolerable by customers. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | Cable in this area is 31 years old (installed in 1988), which exceeds the Kinectrics Report "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" results for Typical Useful Life of non-tree retardant XLPE of 25 years. |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | 215 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or | For 1000 m of cable (applicable to the selected cable remediation candidates): |
| | level) | Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 failures per 1000 m of cable per year |
| | | For 2822 m of cable in the whole area: |
| | | Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 x 2822 /1000 = 0.7 failure(s) |
| | | According to Alectra East Control Room data, there were 123, 133, 113, 126, 131, 131 and 138 Cable and Splice failures in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 128 failures per year). Annually on average there were 128 Cable and Splice failures affecting 39,280 customers and 5,520,782 CMI |
| | | Impact of 1 failure: 39,280/128 = 307 customers affected and 5,520,782/128 = 43,131 CMI Impact of 0.7 failures: 307 x 0.7 = 215 customers affected and 43,131 x 0.7 = 30192 CMI |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) Value of Customer Impact | Cable failures have negative impact to system reliability and customer service. Outages cause inconvenience and financial loss to customers (office closing, production stoppage). High |
| | Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any | Local approvals and weather. |
| | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Not Applicable |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | The project will help avoid a total of 0.7 potential cable failures and 30192 potential CMI. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | When direct buried cable is replaced, the new cable installed according to new Standards. Which call for the cable to be put in conduit. The conduit provides additional mechanical protection for the cable. In addition it will also facilitate for future cable replacement (faulted cable can be pulled out and new cable be pulled in, no digging is required). |

| 1,200,000 - | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|-----------|
| 1,000,000 - | | | | | | |
| 800,000 - | | | | | | |
| 600,000 - | | | | | | |
| 400,000 - | | | | | | |
| 200,000 - | | | | | | |
| 0 - | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$987,837 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$987,837 |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | |



| utilities | | |
|---|--|---|
| Project Code | 151469 | |
| Project Name | Cable Replacement Project - (F4-G4) - Main - Ste | eles - Chinguacousy - Queen, Brampton |
| Major Category | System Renewal | |
| Scenario | 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Brampton |
| | Location | (F4-G4) - Main - Steeles - Chinguacousy - Queen, Brampton |
| | Units | 2801 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Underground Asset Renewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Cable Remediation –Replacement |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Alectra Utilities' service area currently contains a population of underground cables totalling approximately 21 million |
| | | linear meters of cable, which are continuing to degrade. Alectra Utilities' planned Underground Asset Renewal investments are driven by an increasing decline in reliability on the distribution system. At present, defective |
| | | equipment accounts for 45% of controllable outages in Alectra Utilities' system. Failing cable and cable accessory |
| | | failures account for 50% of all equipment-related outages. Alectra Utilities plans to gradually but significantly increase |
| | | Its spending to rejuvenate or replace XLPE cable and related accessories that are either in poor or very poor condition. |
| | | cable in conduit and will mitigate outage frequencies to customers. |
| | | This area has seen 86 failures since 2000 |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Mitigate Failure Risks |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Cable manufactures introduced the first-generation XLPE cable into the market in the late 1960's. These cables have |
| | | inherent problems due to the nature of the manufacturing processes, which led to impurities developing over time in |
| | | the insulating medium. These impurities are responsible for the increase in cable failures that Alectra Utilities and other |
| | | utilities have been experiencing with cables from this period. |
| | | XLPE cables also fail because of the way they installed. Decades ago, utilities buried cable directly in the ground. Over |
| | | time, the construction standard shifted to installing cable in protective conduits, but much of the system still consists of |
| | | "direct-buried" cable. When more modern cable-in-conduit fails, it can typically be entirely removed and replaced with |
| | | splicing in a replacement segment. This approach is fundamentally reactive and introduces further complications, since |
| | | the installed splice may itself become a future failure point. Nor does it solve the underlying issue, since the older, |
| | | direct-buried cable remains installed and increasingly likely to fail again. Failing direct-buried cables are causing an |
| | | increasing number of outages, and when buried cables fail it can take a significant amount of time to restore service. |
| | | Failing cables are significantly and increasingly impacting the quality of service received by Alectra Utilities' customers. |
| | | Alectra Utilities must increase spending not only to halt the increasing trend, but to reverse it and reduce the number |
| | | of cable failures to return customers back to historical reliability levels. Without the proposed expenditures, cables will |
| | | continue to degrade and Alectra Utilities expects reliability to decline further as deteriorated cables begin to fail at |
| | | greater rates, having been stressed from historical faults. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | 1458kVA |
| | Safety | Not Applicable |
| | Cyber-Security Privacy | Not Anglicable |
| | Coordination Interconcrability | Not Applicable |
| | Coordination, interoperability | projects using approved construction standards complying with FSA Regulation 22/04. Alectra Utilities participates in |
| | | regional planning, both at an infrastructure level with local municipalities and regions, as well as at an electrical |
| | | infrastructure level with Hydro One and other participants in the Regional Planning Process. Alectra Utilities also |
| | | attends Public Utility Coordinating Committee (PUCC) meetings which jointly allows for the coordination and planning |
| | | of investments with other utilities who provide cable tv, internet, phone and natural gas services. |
| | Economic Development | Alectra Utilities ensure all policies and practices don't unnecessarily create barriers to economic development which |
| | | are primarily focused within our communities. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not Applicable |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | The status quo is to do nothing, allowing the end-of-life cable to run to failure, and respond to outages under reactive |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | capital. This would lead to an unacceptable level of outages and customer satisfaction. |
| | Alternative #1 | Perform the replacement in this area. |
| | Alternative #2 | |
| | Alternative #2 | injection of the capies - these capie segments are not technically viable for injection. |

| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | This project is part of Alectra Utilities annual investment initiative for cable remediation (cable replacement and cable injection) to maintain system reliability. The oldest cables are at end-of-life and are failing. Since cables are the main component of the underground electrical distribution system, when a cable segment fails, system reliability and customer service are negatively affected. For small-scale outages, Alectra Utilities has the capability to replace or repair the faulted cable segments under reactive capital, however, if too many cable failures occur at the same time, Alectra Utilities would not have sufficient resources to manage the large-scale and cascading outages - system integrity will be compromised and reliability will be unacceptable to the customers. To manage the risk of large-scale cable failures,Alectra Utilities must implement proactive cable segments by a combination of cable injection and cable replacement. This project addresses cable replacement as the method for remediation (injection is not technically feasible for the segments within this project). |
|--|--|---|
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Risk: Alectra Utilities considers the following as general risks to project schedule and cost: fluctuation in cost and staff resources (internal and external) to complete high annual volume of work. customer delays or restricted access to work sites inclement weather, either in the form of extreme temperatures or due to restoration activities following major storms delays to material shipment from vendors general unforeseen delays such as striking rock when digging, tree conservation, municipal/regional consent forms Risk Management: Alectra Utilities has multi-year Master Service Agreement with external contractors. Regular progress meetings are held to ensure technical and operational issues are resolved promptly; budget performance is monitored; and projects are on track. Alectra Utilities has utilized coordination with third parties to mitigate some of the issues where possible, with municipalities/region/suppliers/customers. Alectra Utilities has implemented a Planning and Scheduling solution to track projects and resources. The Program Delivery department allows Alectra Utilities to manage schedule and cost risks and improve the overall efficiency of implementation. Alectra Utilities is able to reduce controllable cost impacts on the project due to these risk mitigation strategies. |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent Historical Projects (if any) | Alectra Brampton has budgeted and completed similar cable replacement projects in 2016, 2017 and 2018. |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | 0 |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Performance Deterioration or Failure: | In Alectra Central North, there were 40, 38, 24, 30, 28, 32 and 20 primary cable failures in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 30 failures per year). If not rehabilitated, this cable will get older and will fail more often to the level that is not tolerable by customers. |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Performance Record | Cable in this project exceeds the Kinectrics Report "Asset Amortization Study for the Ontario Energy Board" results for Typical Useful Life of non-tree retardant XLPE of 25 years. |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | 215 |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk | This area has seen 86 failures since 2000: |
| | level) | For 1000 m of cable (applicable to the selected cable remediation candidates): Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 failures per 1000 m of cable per year For 2801 m of cable in the whole area: |
| | | Frequency of Failure is: 0.25 x 2801 /1000 = 0.7 failure(s) According to Alectra East Control Room data, there were 123, 133, 113, 126, 131, 131 and 138 Cable and Splice failures in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively (7-year average is 128 failures per year). Annually on average there were 128 Cable and Splice failures affecting 39,280 customers and 5,520,782 CMI |
| | | Impact of 1 failure: 39,280/128 = 307 customers affected and 5,520,782/128 = 43,131 CMI Impact of 0.7 failures: 307 x 0.7 = 215 customers affected and 43,131 x 0.7 = 30192 CMI |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) Value of Customer Impact Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any | Cable failures have negative impact to system reliability and customer service. Outages cause inconvenience and financial loss to customers (office closing, production stoppage). High Not Applicable |
| | Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Implications of Not Implementing | Not Applicable |
| | Reliability and Safety Factors | This project is part of the long-term cable rehabilitation program. The project will help avoid a total of 0.7 potential cable failures and 30192 potential CMI. |
| | Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project | When direct buried cable is replaced, the new cable installed according to new Standards. Which call for the cable to be put in conduit. The conduit provides additional mechanical protection for the cable. In addition it will also facilitate for future cable replacement (faulted cable can be pulled out and new cable be pulled in, no digging is required). |

| 1,200,000 - | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|-----------|
| 1,000,000 - | | | | | | |
| 800,000 - | | | | | | |
| 600,000 - | | | | | | |
| 400,000 - | | | | | | |
| 200,000 - | | | | | | |
| 0 - | | | 1 | T | 1 | |
| - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 2019-2024 - FINAL DSP Submitted: \$980,396 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$980,396 |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| urrency scale is in literal | | | | | | |



| utilities | | |
|---|--|---|
| Project Code | 151085 | |
| Project Name | Rear Lot Conversions | |
| Major Category | System Renewal | |
| Scenario | Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory Location Units | Guelph |
| | Project Class | No Burden |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology Component Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 2 Conoral Project Information (OEP) | Rates ID | Pata Para Fundad |
| 3. General Project mornation (GEB) | Alectra Grouping | Rear Lot Conversion |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Rear Lot Conversion |
| | Contributed Canital | Contributed Canital 0% |
| | | Controllable |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | This project is to convert existing rear lot primary distribution to a front lot supply. Rear lot primary poses a problem for both reliability and safety. Due to the reduced access to the distribution assets, restoration of power to customers is significantly impacted by not having access to powered equipment, while also presenting risks to the workers. Many of the Rear Lot Supply distribution systems were built in 1950s, 1960s, and 1970s and are mostly located in inner-city of Guelph directly affecting more than 4000 customers. The rear lot equipment is older than typical useful life and the asset condition is deteriorating, therefore to improve reliability and safety, the rear lot conversion is required. |
| | Main Driver System Renowal | Mitianto Eniluzo Dicke |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Alectra Guelph has pockets of customers being supplied by rear lot construction. The electrical system is ageing and deteriorating and poses many safety, customer service and operations concerns that must be addressed. If not addressed, the system will deteriorate further and failures and safety hazards will increase to a level that is not manageable and not tolerable by the customers. |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | XX customers and XXX kVA |
| | Safety | Rear lot construction is difficult to replace and many of the poles are not to current/correct specifications and cannot be climbed. This makes repairs difficult and takes much longer than for standard construction. These assets also pose a risk to the public as the poles or transformer could fall into the customers backyards. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not applicalbe |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable |
| | Environmental Benefits | No oil spills from the transformers in customer's backyard. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | Status Quo | By leaving the assets to deteriorate in the rear lot, the customers would see an increasing risk to both prolonged outages as well as exposure to safety-related incidents inherent in having primary distribution assets in backyards. |
| | Alternative #1 | Remediate the existing rear lot plant with other design options . The other design options considered are described below. |
| | | Rear Lot Overhead Option: |
| | | Under this Option, the existing rear lot plant is replaced with new overhead plant in the rear lot. When the replacement project is implemented, the following design parameters should be considered: •Bhstall critical components such as fuse, switch, and transformer as close to the accessible street as possible |
| | | This Option is not acceptable because it does not resolve the major operations and customer reliability concerns related to the distribution assets located at rear lot at this location. Partial Underground Option This scenario involves the replacement of existing rear lot infrastructure with a new hybrid solution, where primary. |
| | | This scenario involves the replacement of existing rear lot infrastructure with a new hybrid solution, where primary voltage infrastructure, including transformers, switches and lines would be installed as per an underground configuration within the front right-of-way, following standard Alectra Utilities installation practices. Under this approach, secondary infrastructure, including wood poles and secondary conductor, would remain in the rear lot in overhead configuration. This approach would not fully address the reliability and safety concerns associated with rear lot distribution, as secondary connections will remain in the rear lot. However, future outage impacts will be reduced and contained to only those customers connected to the associated transformer. Lower voltage classes will also be converted up to the standardized 27.6kV voltage standard as per this investment option. Under this option, reliability and safety issues would continue to persist due some infrastructure remaining overhead. The cost of partial underground renewal is higher than the renewal of the rear lot overhead and further more does not result in mitigating the risks associated with the existing system. This partial underground approach has been adopted where feasible. |
| | | |

| | Alternative #2 | | Replace with Full Underg This investment scenario a secondary plant – with ne within the rear lot corrido that is installed within the will run from the front lot Under this approach, exis suited to withstand major secured and weather-hare This approach would com the operational constrain utility, as tree trimming an | round Infrastructure considers the full replacement w front lot underground infra r will be removed and replace front lot corridor as per curre underground transformers to ting under-classed legacy woo weather events. Through this dened against future outage e pletely mitigate the reliability ts associated with the existing tivities can be eliminated. | of existing rear lot infrastructure. All existing primary a d with new underground prim ent standard design practices. U the individual meter bases in o d poles will be replaced with h investment scenario, these hij vents. and safety issues associated w infrastructure. This approach a | ure – including primary and and secondary distribution assets ary and secondary infrastructure Jnderground secondary cables order to supply the customers. higher-class poles that are better gh impact assets will be better ith rear lot distribution, as well as also introduces efficiencies for the |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Justification for Recommended All | ernative | It is recommended to con and partially underground This approach would miti operational constraints as | vert the area to partial underg I plant is installed in front lot. gate the reliability and safety i sociated with the existing infr | ground. Under this Option, the issues associated with rear lot o astructure. For these reasons, | existing rear lot plant is removed distribution, as well as the Alectra Utilities selected this |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion and Risk Man | agement | approach. Customer expectation for more aesthetically-pleasir an important step in mitig | what the new distribution wi ng but more expensive alterna sating this risk and ensure the | II look like is a risk, especially if tive by going fully underground public and the utility are aligne | the customer is pushing for a d. Customer consultation will be ed in addressing this renewal. |
| | Comparative Information on Equi Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Energy Generation portion of Proj | valent Renewable ects (if any) | 0 | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship be Asset Characteristics and Consequ Performance Deterioration or Fail | tween the ences of Asset ure: | | | | |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life (Performance Record | Cycle and | It is extremely difficult to prolonged outages to cust occur. | gain access to the backyard to comers. This is especially more | maintain, repair, and restore paificult in the event of ice sto | oower. As a result there are rm or where sever flooding may |
| | | | Many of the Rear Lot Sup older than typical useful li Amortization Study for th and 45 years respectively. | ply distribution systems were fe and the asset condition is c e Ontario Energy Board", typic Many of the installations are | built in 1950s, 1960s, and 1970 leteriorating. According to the cal useful life of overhead trans not in compliance to today's st |)s . The rear lot equipment is Kinectrics Report "Asset formers and wood poles are 40 tandards. |
| | Number of Customers in Each Cust Potentially Affected by Asset Failu | tomer Class re | 84 | | | |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (fr duration of interruptions and asso level) Qualitative Customer Impacts (cus satisfaction, customer migration a risk level) | equency or ciated risk tomer nd associated | Rear lot supply failures ha and financial loss to custo customers because live el | ve negative impact to system mers (office closing, productio ectrical components are in pro | reliability and customer service on stoppage). Rear lot system a xximity of customer's backyard | e. Outages cause inconvenience iso poses safety hazards to the and proper clearance may be proved by the proper clearance may be |
| | Value of Customer Impact Factors Affecting Project Timing, if Consequences for O&M System Co | any osts Including | High Not applicable Not applicable | s instanations (examples, tree | s, garden, swinning pool, stor | age sneu, ueck, nouse extension). |
| | Implications of Not Implementing Reliability and Safety Factors Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewa | l Project | Due to rear lot location th Not applicable. | ese assets are not easily acces | ssible. | |
| 140,000 | | | | | | |
| 120,000 | | | | | | |
| 100,000 | | | | | | |
| 80,000 | | | | | | |
| 60,000 | | | | | | |
| 40,000 | | | | | | |
| 20,000 | | | | | | |
| 0 | 2019 2020 | | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| Submitted: \$703,543 \$1 | 11,111 \$113,50 | 0 | \$115,940 | \$118,433 | \$120,979 | \$123,580 |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | |





Project Code

Project Name Major Category

OEB Multi-Project Report

151209

Station LED Lighting Upgrades - South West System Renewal

Scenario Submitted Project Overview 2. Additional Information Service Territory Guelph Location Units 1 Project Class Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Componer Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Rates ID Rate Base Funded Alectra Grouping Substation Renewal Station Building Improvement Alectra Subcategory **Contributed Capital Contributed Capital 0%** Expenditure Type Controllable 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Replace incandescent, fluorescent and high-pressure sodium with LED lighting. Indoor and outdoor fixtures for various stations. LED lights last longer and are more energy-efficient. Main Driver - System Renewal Obsolescence Priority and Reasons for Priority Replacement of inefficient lighting fixtures and lamps at Guelph stations will result in longer lasting lighting. Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Not Applicable Safety LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. Cyber-Security, Privacy Not Applicable Coordination, Interoperability Not Applicable Economic Development Not Applicable Environmental Benefits Not Applicable 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Quo Continue using obsolete lighting that requires a higher rate of replacement and results in higher energy consumption. Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) Continue to stock multiple light bulbs for replacement in inventory. Alternative #1 Replace with LED light bulbs. LED lights are longer lasting and more energy efficient. Alternative #2 Not Applicable Justification for Recommended Alternative Lighting at stations in important for safety and security. LED lighting provides lower power consumption, longer life which results in less likelihood of outages between inspections cycles, less maintenance and enable standardization of replacement stock. Some lamps are difficult to replace and require special lifts which need to be rented. 6 General Information on the **Risks to Completion and Risk Management** Not Applicable Project/Activity (OEB) Comparative Information on Equivalent Not Applicable Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable 0 Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Description of the Relationship between the Not Applicable Asset Characteristics and Consequences of Asset Project/Activity (OEB) Performance Deterioration or Failure: Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and Not Applicable Performance Record Number of Customers in Each Customer Class 0 Potentially Affected by Asset Failure Not Applicable Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or duration of interruptions and associated risk level) Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer Not Applicable satisfaction, customer migration and associated risk level) Value of Customer Impact Low Factors Affecting Project Timing, if any Not Applicable Consequences for O&M System Costs Including Not implementing this will result in lighting consuming higher energy for the same function. Implications of Not Implementing **Reliability and Safety Factors** Not Applicable Analysis for "Like for Like" Renewal Project Not Applicable

| 2,500 | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| 2,000 - | | | | | | |
| 1,500 - | | | | | | |
| 1,000 - | | | | | | |
| 500 - | | | | | | |
| 0 - | 2010 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2022 | 2024 |
| Submitted: \$2,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,000 |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |



Project Code Project Name Major Category

OEB Multi-Project Report

151212

Driveway Paving Multi-year initiative - South West System Renewal

| Scenario | Submitted | |
|--|--|---|
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Guelph |
| | Location | |
| | Units | 3 |
| | Project Class | Regular |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 2. Concered Designst Information (OED) | Patas ID | Date Date Funded |
| S. General Project Information (DEB) | Rates ID | Nate Base Fullided |
| | Alectra Grouping | Substation Kenewal |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Station Building Improvement |
| | Contributed Capital | Contributed Capital 0% |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Paving station driveway to facilitate year-round access. |
| | | filling depressions on a continuous basis. Depressions allow for crawl spaces which result in a cafety and security rick |
| | | Paving will facilitate snow removal and allow for access year-round to perform maintenance and respond to outages |
| | | This will also eliminate trip hazards. |
| | | |
| | Main Driver - System Renewal | Safety |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Existing driveways in the Operational Area that are covered in gravel and require ongoing maintenance for smoothing |
| | | and filling depressions as well as gaps that may allow for crawl space under fencing, which presents a safety and |
| | | security risk are going to be paved. Paving will racilitate show prowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency renair activities year-round. Impeded access due to near driveway conditions could result |
| | | in longer outage durations. Paving the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping bazards and allowing for |
| | | improved snow removal. |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | Not Applicable |
| | Safety | Paving will eliminate crawl spaces under the fence thus improving safety and security at the station. Paving will |
| | | activities show proving and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair activities |
| | | year-tound. Moreover, paved driveways emminate the risk of the hazards. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not applicable |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not applicable |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not applicable |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Ouo | Leave as is and continue to add gravel and level as required. |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | Usually post winter season and rainy Spring season. |
| | | |
| | | Crawl spaces will not be eliminated and tripping hazards will continue to be a risk. |
| | Alternative #1 | Pave the driveway to eliminate the crawl spaces and eliminate tripping hazards and not impede outage restoration. |
| | | |
| | Alternative #2 | Not applicable |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | This is required to provide a smooth hard surface during all weather seasons. Gravel driveways require smoothing and |
| | | filling overtime as plowing and vehicles often move the gravel around and allow for crawl space under station |
| | | compound rences. |
| | | Paving will facilitate snow plowing and will enable ready access to the station for maintenance and emergency repair |
| | | activities year-round. Impeded access due to poor driveway conditions could result in longer outage durations. Paving |
| | | the driveway also improves safety by eliminating tripping hazards and allowing for improved snow removal. |
| | | |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Not applicable |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | | Alex Asservable |
| | Historical Projects (if any) | Not Applicable |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable | 0 |
| | Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | |
| | | |
| Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Description of the Relationship between the | Not Applicable |
| | Performance Deterioration or Failure: | |
| | enormance betenordalon of ranare. | |
| | Condition of Asset vs. Typical Life Cycle and | Not Applicable |
| | Performance Record | |
| | Number of Customers in Each Customer Class | 0 |
| | Potentially Affected by Asset Failure | |
| | Quantitative Customer Impacts (frequency or | Not Applicable |
| | duration of interruptions and associated risk | |
| | level) | |
| | Qualitative Customer Impacts (customer | Not Applicable |
| | risk level) | |
| | | |





Project Code 151233 New Construction - Campbell TS 36M63 Feeder PHASE 1 & 2, Guelph Project Name Major Category System Service Scenario Submitted **Project Overview** 2. Additional Information Service Territory Guelph Location Units Project Class No Burden Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Compone Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Rates ID Rate Base Funded Alectra Grouping Capacity (Lines) Alectra Subcategory Line Capacity Prois & Add Circ Contributed Capital *Entered Manually in Forecast Controllable Expenditure Type 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary There is a requirement to provide additional capacity support to the NW area of the City of Guelph. This project identifies a new 13.8kV feeder required from Campbell TS to bring additional load support to NW section of the city as the existing 13.8kV feeders in the area are unable to accommodate the additional load growth. The new feeder will also have load transferred to it from the existing 13.8kV feeders in the area, to alleviate the capacity constraints in the vicinity. Investments in this project will provide capacity to new and existing customers and increase the security and reliability of supply to customers. New civil infrastructure is needed from HONI owned Campbell TS to the first manhole on south side of Campbell Rd/Dawson Rd (MH390) with HONI civil work involved at the station and requiring an easement on adjacent property for new GHESI duct structure with new cable splice manhole. From MH390, existing civil infrastructure with spare ducts can be utilized up to Lewis Rd/Massey Rd across on the Hanlon Expressway. The feeder would be from Campbell TS, ZE Bus, Feeder 36M63 which is being redirected from NE to NW section of the city. A new pole line will be required on the south side of Massey Rd from Lewis to Imperial Rd to bring this express feeder up to Imperial Rd to provide support to existing loads connected on 36M22, 36M23, 36M34 and 36M42. A new padswitch and two SCADAmate switches are required to create the appropriate inter-ties at Imperial Rd. In Phase 2 of the project in 2022, a new pole line is required between Imperial Rd and Elmira Rd to accommodate load growth and support existing loads connected. The Imperial Rd N pole line between Massey Rd and Speedvale Ave W needs to be rebuilt with 556ASC overhead conductor to meet existing construction standards and to increase the feeder current rating to 600A. Main Driver - System Service Support Capacity Delivery Priority and Reasons for Priority The new feeder circuit will be used to transfer load out of Campbell JQ yard and also accommodate possible feeder outages required for a MTO project at Woodlawn Rd and Hanlon Pkwy. Campbell TS J and Q busses have hard limits of 46 MVA and 50 MVA instead of the standard Campbell TS 63 MVA LTR ratings. This requires GHESI to implement a control action that prevents HONI from planning any bulk system or equipment outages between the months of May to September for the following equipment : 230 kV circuits D6V/D7V, Campbell B, Campbell Y, Campbell J, Campbell Q, Campbell Z and Campbell E busses. New industrial connections from customer Linamar connecting to the JQ Bus pair require additional feeder capacity to transfer load out of the Campbell JQ yard and even with the HONI control action in place continued load growth in the Northwest Business Park will exceed the Campbell TS bus ratings in the near future (2-3 years). Planned or emergency bus outages at Campbell TS result in a loss of N-1 switching capability for the majority of customers supplied from Campbell TS. Alectra has already installed scada switches to enable System Control Operators the ability to remotely transfer feeders 36M33, 36M44 and 36M52 (20 MVA) out of Campbell TS to Cedar TS to accommodate planned or emergency Campbell TS Bus outages. Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) Linamar Camtac # 2 (Campbell J Bus 36M31) 4000 KVA energized in fourth quarter 2017, Linamar Quadrad # 2 (Campbell Q Bus 36M43) 1500 KVA to 4000 KVA upgrade in 3rd quarter 2018, Linamar Linergy # 2 (Campbell Q Bus 36M42) 4000 KVA energized 4th quarter 2018. Linamar corporation has either added or upgraded the transformer at a minimum of one manufacturing plant to 4000 or 5000 KVA every year for the past 5 years. Normal loading for a Linamar plant is 40 to 60 percent of connected transformation. Alectra is also required to provide 6 MVA of emergency standby for PolyCon (Campbell Q Bus 36M41). Safety Not applicable. Cyber-Security, Privacy Not applicable Coordination, Interoperability Pertaining to coordination with utilities, regional planning and other 3rd parties, Alectra constructs all new projects using approved construction standards complying with ESA Regulation 22/04. Alectra Guelph participates in regional planning, both at an infrastructure level with local municipalities and regions, as well as at an electrical infrastructure level with Hydro One and other participants in the Regional Planning Process. Alectra also attends Public Utility Coordinating Committee (PUCC) meetings which jointly allows for the coordination and planning of investments with other utilities who provide cable tv, internet, phone and natural gas services.
| | Economic Develo | pment | By improving capacity in th due to Linamar plants - can attracting new load growth | ne North West section of the ci n be more adequately supplied n. | ty, future development and in with existing infrastructure, n | tensification that is likely occur nitigating cost barriers to | | |
|---|---|------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Environmental Be | nefits | Not applicable. | | | | | |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | itative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Quo and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | | Status quo is to leave the circuits as they are and not build anything. This is not a viable option as the existing Campbell TS feeders are already near their planning limit and cannot accommodate future load growth and load relief under contingency scenarios. | | | | |
| | Alternative #1 | | Build a new circuit out of 3 | 6M63 ZE Bus as described in th | ne project summary. | | | |
| | Alternative #2 | | There is no viable alternate existing pole lines that can and Dawson Road, as they those roads to accommode would put on the system. | e solution, as 36M63 needs to accommodate an additional c v already have overhead pole li ate an additional circuit is not f | be an express circuit until the ircuit to be strung on Campbe nes on both sides of the road. reasible due to outages require | Hanlon crossing. There is no II Road Re-building the pole lines on ed and the constraints that it | | |
| | Justification for R | ecommended Alternative | Alternative #1 is the recom area and there is no viable easement costs at 171 Dav | imended alternative as it provi alternative. However, the proj vson Rd and Alectra 2018 labor | des feeder capacity relief to ex ect cost includes estimated H0 ur/contractor pricing. | xisting adjacent feeders in the DNI Civil work costs and | | |
| 6. General Information on the Project/Activity (OEB) | Risks to Completion | on and Risk Management | Timing of the project due t underground duct structur | to the unknown construction so re at Campbell TS. | chedule for MTO Hwy 7 work a | and HONI timelines to install | | |
| | | | Linamar is currently considering taking three of their plants off-grid, thus reducing 10-12MW of load in NW section of City of Guelph. | | | | | |
| | | | Some additional factors mi | ght increase the overall project | t cost. | | | |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent | | There are no recent comparable projects. | | | | | |
| Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | | 0 Not applicable. | | | | | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable Not a Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements which affect Project, if applicable Not a | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Not applicable. | | | | | |
| | Description of Inc | orporation of Advanced | Not applicable. | | | | | |
| | Technology, if app | olicable | Alactra Utilitias requires to | propara the distributions syste | am to addross the system same | acity pood driven by | | |
| coordination benefits | | | Allocated outlides requires to prepare the distributions system to address the system capacity need driven by intensification. This project will also help reliability and security of supply to the North West Section of the city, especially during the expansion of Hwy 7 by MTO at Woodlawn Rd. | | | | | |
| 1,400,000 | | | | | | | | |
| 1.200.000 | | | | | | | | |
| 1 000 000 | | | | | | | | |
| 000,000 | | | | | | | | |
| 800,000 | | | | | | | | |
| 600,000 | | | | | | | | |
| 400,000 | | | | | | | | |
| 200,000 | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | | |
| Submitted: \$2,339,703 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,152,813 | \$1,186,890 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Currency scale is in literal | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |



Project Code Project Name

Major Category

Connario

OEB Multi-Project Report

151240

Southgate Dr to Maltby Rd O/H Extension System Service

System set

| | Sabinited | |
|---|---|--|
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Guelph |
| | location | |
| | | |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | No Burden |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| | | |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | |
| | Alectra Grouping | |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ |
| | Contributed Capital | *Entered Manually in Forecast |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | The road extension by City of Guelph and notential developments on Southgate Drive requires two 13.8kV circuit |
| in Evaluation enterna (ocb) | i rojece barrinary | expansion in order to provide capacity and contingency |
| | | expansion in order to provide capacity and contingency. |
| | | Developments, consist of various industrial and commercial sustamore (including large scale warehouse) with an |
| | | additional E MAIA to SMAIA required capacity |
| | | adultional 5 MVA to 6 MVA required capacity. |
| | | Extending OH circuits will provide consists and contingency for the loads connected south of Clair Boad on Southeate |
| | | extending OF circuits will provide capacity and contingency for the loads connected south of clair Road on southgate |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Drive. |
| | Wall Driver - System Service | Support Capacity Derivery |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Development of various industrial and commercial plants will result in required capacity. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | The proposed plants call for large scale warehouses with 5-8MVA of connected transformation. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Safety | Not applicable. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not applicable. |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not applicable. |
| | | |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable. |
| | | |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not applicable. |
| E. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Qua | Compart to priviling radial 12 PhV/line |
| S. Qualitative and Qualitative Analysis of | Status Quo | Connect to existing reading radia 13.60% line. |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | The existing request will provide the required capacity for the development, nowever no contingency is available. |
| | | This is not the recommended entire " |
| | | This is not the recommended option. |
| | | |
| | Alternative #1 | "Extending OH circuits and connecting is to the nole line on Malthy Rd will provide canacity and contingency for the |
| | Alternative #1 | Lade connected on Southeaste Drisouth of Clair Rd |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Alternative #2 | |
| | hatfleathe feabraic adail Alternation | |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | "Extending OH circuits and connecting is to the pole line on Maitby Rd will provide capacity and contingency for the |
| | | loads connected on Southgate Dr south of Clair Rd. |
| | | |
| C. Concern Information on the | Disks to Completion and Disk Management | Net Applicable |
| 6. General mormation on the | Risks to completion and Risk Management | Not Applicable. |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | Comparative Information, on Equivalent | |
| | Ustarial Designs (Factor) | |
| | Tatal Capital and OM8 A Casta far Densus bla | |
| | For a Constant of the section of Desired (if sec) | 0 |
| | Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | |
| 7. Catagoni, Cassifia Deguiarmento for Fosh | Department of Depiret Fundamental in | Net-serviceble |
| 7. Category-specific Requirements for Each | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in | Not applicable. |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | terms of Cost impact, where practicable | |
| | Designed Electricity Infrastructure Descriptions | Net-seelisekis |
| | which effect Decises if confirming | Not applicable. |
| | which affect Project, it applicable | |
| | Description of Incorporation of Advanced | Natapplicable |
| | Technology if applicable | нот аррпсаре. |
| | Identify any reliability officiency sofety of | |
| | coordination benefits | |
| | coordination benefits | |
| | | |

| 500,000 400,000 300,000 200,000 | | |
|--|------|-----------|
| 400,000 300,000 200,000 | | |
| 300,000 | | |
| 200,000 - | | |
| | | |
| 100,000 | | |
| 0 2019 2020 2021 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| Submitted: \$557,812 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | \$0 | \$557,812 |
| Actuals: \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |



OEB Multi-Project Report

| utilities | | |
|--|--|---|
| Project Code | 151241 | |
| Project Name | Arlen MTS - New Feeder | |
| Major Category | System Service | |
| Scenario | Submitted | |
| Project Overview | | |
| 2. Additional Information | Service Territory | Guelph |
| | Location | |
| | Units | |
| | Project Class | No Burden |
| | Project Includes R&D | No |
| | Technology Project or has Technology | No |
| | Component | |
| | Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs | No |
| 3. General Project Information (OEB) | Rates ID | Rate Base Funded |
| | Alectra Grouping | Capacity (Lines) |
| | Alectra Subcategory | Line Capacity Projs & Add Circ |
| | Contributed Capital | *Entered Manually in Forecast |
| | Expenditure Type | Controllable |
| 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) | Project Summary | Development of various residential, commercial and industrial areas, roughly 1,300 acres of land, will result in required |
| . , | | capacity at south Guelph. City of Guelph is proposed development of about 50,000 sq.m commercial floor area at |
| | | Gordon and Clair in south Guelph. Multiple high and mid rise residential buildings totaling over 500 units plus |
| | | townhouses are proposed to be constructed. This will translate into additional 4 MW residential/commercial capacity |
| | | in hear term and more capacity requirements in mid-long term. |
| | | New distribution feeder will provide capacity and contingency for the growing residential and commercial loads in |
| | | south Guelph. |
| | | |
| | Main Driver - System Service | Support Capacity Delivery |
| | Priority and Reasons for Priority | Development of various industrial and commercial plants will result in required capacity. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) | |
| | Safety | Not applicable. |
| | Cyber-Security, Privacy | Not applicable. |
| | Coordination, Interoperability | Not applicable. |
| | Feenenie Development | Makanalisahla |
| | Economic Development | Not applicable. |
| | Environmental Benefits | Not applicable. |
| 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of | Status Quo | |
| Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) | | |
| | Alternative #1 | "Extending OH circuits and connecting is to the pole line on Malthy Dd will provide conscisu and contingency for the |
| | Alternative #1 | loads connected on Southgate Dr south of Clair Rd. |
| | | " |
| | | |
| | Alternative #2 | |
| | Justification for Recommended Alternative | |
| 6. General Information on the | Risks to Completion and Risk Management | Not Applicable. |
| Project/Activity (OEB) | | |
| | Comparative Information on Equivalent | |
| | Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable | 0 |
| | Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) | |
| | | |
| 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Project/Activity (OEB) | Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in terms of Cost Impact, where practicable | пот аррисарие. |
| rigeer/activity (orb) | terms of cost impact, where practicable | |
| | Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements | Not applicable. |
| | which affect Project, if applicable | |
| | Description of Incorporation of Advanced | Not applicable. |
| | Technology, if applicable | |
| | Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or | |
| | coordination benefits | |

| Actuals: \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|-----------|------|
| Submitted: \$450,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$450,000 | \$0 |
| 0 - | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| 50,000 - | | | | | | |
| 100,000 - | | | | | | |
| 150,000 - | | | | | | |
| 200,000 - | | | | | | |
| 250,000 - | | | | | | |
| 300,000 - | | | | | | |
| 350,000 - | | | | | | |
| 400,000 - | | | | | | |
| 450,000 - | | | | | | |
| 500,000 - | | | | | | |



OEB Multi-Project Report

Project Code 151245 Project Name **Capacitor Bank Installations** Major Category System Service Scenario Submitted Project Overview 2. Additional Information Service Territory Guelph Location various locations in Guelph Units **Project Class** Regular Project Includes R&D No Technology Project or has Technology No Componer Project Will Generate Ongoing IT OM&A Costs No 3. General Project Information (OEB) Rates ID Rate Base Funded Alectra Grouping System Control, Comm & Performance Power Quality Alectra Subcategory **Contributed Capital** Contributed Capital 0% Controllable Expenditure Type 4. Evaluation Criteria (OEB) Project Summary Not available Main Driver - System Service Reliability Priority and Reasons for Priority Not available Customer Attachment / Load (KVA) not applicable Safety Not available Cyber-Security, Privacy Not available Coordination, Interoperability Not available Economic Development Not available

Not available Environmental Benefits 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Status Quo Do not install the capacitor banks. This result sin poor power factor and higher distribution system losses. Project and Project Alternatives (OEB) Alternative #1 Install capacitors banks at strategic locations in the distribution system. Alternative #2 Not available Justification for Recommended Alternative Installing capacitor banks in the distribution system increases power factors, capacity, and reduces line losses. 6. General Information on the Risks to Completion and Risk Management Not available Project/Activity (OEB) Comparative Information on Equivalent not available Historical Projects (if any) Total Capital and OM&A Costs for Renewable 0 Energy Generation portion of Projects (if any) 7. Category-Specific Requirements for Each Benefits to Customers of Project Expressed in Not available Project/Activity (OEB) terms of Cost Impact, where practicable Regional Electricity Infrastructure Requirements Not available which affect Project, if applicable Description of Incorporation of Advanced Not available Technology, if applicable Identify any reliability, efficiency, safety or



Currency scale is in literal