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**Staff Follow-up Question-1**

**Ref: Entegrus\_STT\_1588 Review excel**

Entegrus provided a month by month analytical review using a revised format for Account 1588.

1. The cells B22 to B36 under the column label “Purchase kWh”: what the cells represent?
2. The cells K22 to K36 under the column labelled as “GA Transfer $ (Inc'ing Non-RPP Losses)”:
3. what does the cells represent?
4. What does “including Non-RPP losses” represent?

**Staff Follow-up Question-2**

**Ref: Response to OEB Staff Question #25 e)**

Entegrus confirmed, in its response to OEB staff question 25 e), that the use of inter-period reclassifications is to balance the adjusted principle balance for years of 2015-2017 for Account 1589. It also stated that “the $52,135 pertains to miscellaneous reconciling items related to all four years but for presentation purposes has been assigned to the 2018 year”.

1. Please provide the revised GA analysis workforms for the years of 2015 to 2018 without the plug-in “inter-period reclassifications” adjustment item and breaking the $52,135 adjustment to the proper periods.
2. Please provide the explanation if the unresolved differences are greater than the thresholds in each year.

**Staff Follow-up Question-3**

**Ref: Response to OEB Staff Question #25 g)**

Regarding the metering error for one new GS> 50 customer, Entegus stated that it issued a billing adjustment to refund the customer retroactive to March 2017. It stated that the $42,461 represents the difference between the Class A GA cost charged by the IESO at Entegrus –St. Thomas’ original PDF and the amount that should have been charged for the same kWh at the Class B actual rate allocated between Account 1588 and Account 1589. Entegrus further stated that “When Entegrus reissued the adjusted July 2018 and August 2018 bills it used the recalculated customer specific Class A PDF.”

1. Please provide the supporting calculation for the amount of $42,461.
2. Please confirm the following
3. Based on the revised actual billed usage for the base period May 2017 to April 2018, the GS>50 customer is still qualified for the Class A customer.
4. If so, please provide the $ impact of this mistake on other class A customers and class B customers in July and August 2018 and the impact to the accounts 1588 and 1589.
5. Please provide Entegrus’ proposal to deal with the impact of this mistake in July and August 2018.