UNDERTAKING J9.4

## Reference:

Table B-1 of Exhibit M1, as revised on October 25, 2019.
Exhibit L1/Tab 1/Schedule 13, Table HON-13.

## Undertaking:

To reconcile the two tables and the values at Table B. 1 and at HON-13; to confirm the X factor that is assumed in Table B-1.

## Response:

Table B-1 provides C factor calculations under three scenarios, all of which assume an X factor of $0 \%$ : (1) a $0 \% S$ factor, (2) an $S$ factor of $0.15 \%$ (e.g., the $S$ factor approved for Hydro One Distribution), and (3) an $S$ factor with an equivalent markdown to the materiality threshold applied to the OEB's capital modules (e.g., $\mathrm{S}=0.31 \%$ ).

Table HON-13 provides C factor and revenue cap index calculations in four scenarios where both the S factor and X factor were allowed to change. One scenario is the Hydro One proposal for a $0 \% \mathrm{X}$ factor and no S factor. This is equivalent to scenario (1) in Table B-1. A second is an X factor of $0 \%$ and an S factor of $0.31 \%$, equivalent to the markdown found in the materiality threshold and deadzone applied to the OEB's capital modules. This is the same as scenario (3) in Table B-1. There are some small differences between these tables due to rounding for these scenarios. In instances where the values differ between Table B-1 and Table HON-13 for the same scenario due to rounding, PEG recommends that the values from Table B-1 be relied upon.

The third scenario is PEG's proposed X factor of $0.05 \%$ and an S factor that provides an equivalent markdown to the materiality threshold and deadzone applied to the OEB's capital modules (e.g., $S=0.26 \%$ ). The fourth scenario is an $X$ factor of $0.3 \%$ and an $S$ factor that provides an equivalent markdown to the materiality threshold and deadzone applied to the OEB's capital modules (e.g., $\mathrm{S}=0.01 \%$ ). These latter two scenarios were not included as part of Table B-1.

