



Alison Evans
Advisor Regulatory Applications
Regulatory Affairs

tel 416 495 5499
egiregulatoryproceedings@enbridge.com

Enbridge Gas Inc.
500 Consumers Road
North York, Ontario M2J 1P8
Canada

VIA Email, RESS and Courier

January 27, 2020

Ms. Christine E. Long
Registrar and Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
2300 Yonge Street, Suite 2700
Toronto, Ontario, M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Long:

**Re: Enbridge Gas Inc. (“Enbridge Gas”)
Ontario Energy Board (“OEB”) File No.: EB-2017-0354
Dow-Moore Storage Pool Well Drilling Project (“Project”)**

In accordance with the OEB’s letter dated January 9, 2020, enclosed please find the additional information as requested by the OEB in the above noted letter.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Yours truly,

(Original Signed)

Alison Evans
Advisor Rates
Regulatory Application

Question 1

On page 7 of the 3-month post construction report, Enbridge Gas states that it conducted a laboratory analysis for Soybean Cyst Nematode (SCN). However, the 3-month post construction report does not provide the findings of the laboratory assessment. Please confirm that the test results were negative for SCN. If not, please explain what actions Enbridge Gas took to address this issue.

Response:

When working in agricultural areas where soybean crops have been included in the recent crop rotation, EGI may elect to complete laboratory analysis of soil for Soybean Cyst Nematode (SCN). EGI completes this sampling as a proactive approach to minimize the potential for offsite transfer of SCN with soil. Laboratory analysis for SCN completed as part of this project identified both positive and negative results. Consistent with the recommendations in the environmental screening report, SCN mitigation measures implemented at this site included: reuse of all soil onsite (no offsite soil placement), cleaning loose soil from equipment prior to entering or leaving the work site and maintaining same equipment on site until excavating activities were complete.

Question 2

On page 7 of the 3-month post construction report, Enbridge Gas states that it solidified the residual drilling fluid using a bonding agent and disposed of it offsite according to Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks and Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry Regulations. Please comment on whether the solidified residual drilling fluid met the definition of “hazardous waste” and why or why not. Please reconfirm that the solidified drilling fluid was disposed of accordingly.

Response:

The solidified residual drilling fluid did not meet the criteria for it to be defined as hazardous waste. All residual drilling fluid and cuttings were solidified and disposed of in compliance with MECP and MNRF regulations, which included transport and disposal through an MECP licensed waste hauler and receiving facility, as required.