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**Staff Question-10**

**Ref: Staff Question 4**

The following questions are regarding the 2017 and 2018 adjustments.

1. Please confirm that the 2017 and 2018 adjustments are also to true-up the cost of power in the RPP settlement true-up, similar to the 2019 adjustment.
2. If yes, please confirm that the 2017 and 2018 adjustments is to Account 1588 only.
3. If part a) is not confirmed, please explain what the adjustment is for.
4. Please provide a calculation showing the 2017 and 2018 adjustments.

**Staff Question-11**

**Ref: Staff Question 7a**

In the second table, there is only one true-up for the month of May.

1. There is no true-up for the month of April included in the July 4th submission. Please confirm that for each calendar month, only one true-up is performed in the subsequent month.
2. If yes, please confirm that Hearst Power has completed all billings and has the actual consumption by the subsequent month (i.e. all billings pertaining to consumption in May is completed before July 4th).
3. If not, please explain why there is only one true-up in the second table.

**Staff Question-12**

**Ref: Staff Question 9**

For the December 2017 true-up of ($22,794), please confirm that this amount was initially recorded in the January 2018 GL then subsequently reversed, and recorded in the December 2017 GL. If not, not confirmed, please explain how the amounts identified as a principal adjustment in the 2017 balance of the 2019 rate application was recorded in the GL.

**Staff Question-13**

**Ref: Staff Question 9**

It appears that the three 2017 adjustments totalling ($55,878) was recorded in the 2017 GL. In the 2019 rate application’s DVA Continuity Schedule for the 2017 balance, the ($55,878) was recorded as a principal adjustment because they were not initially reflected in the 2017 balance in the GL.

1. Please explain whether Hearst Power re-opened its 2017 GL during the 2019 rate application to record these adjustments.
2. If not, please explain how the ($55,878) would have been principal adjustments when they were already recorded in the 2017 GL.