



2020 IRM Application
Response to OEB Staff Follow Up Questions
EB-2019-0070

Table of Contents

Staff Question-11	1
Staff Question-12	3
Staff Question-13	4

Staff Question-11

Ref: Staff Question 4

The following questions are follow-up questions regarding Account 1588.

- a) Please confirm that Wasaga Distribution is referring to the true-up of the RRP settlement for CT 1142. If not confirmed, please explain what true-up is being referred to.
- b) In the GA Analysis Workform, Appendix A for 2017 #11d and e indicates that the Q4 true-up was recorded in the 2017 G/L and reflected in the 2017 DVA Continuity Schedule. Similarly, in the GA Analysis Workform, Appendix A for 2018 #11d and e indicates that the Q4 true-up was recorded in the 2018 G/L and reflected in the 2018 DVA Continuity Schedule. If the true-ups have been properly recorded in the appropriate years, then the 2018 transactions of (\$228,907) would relate to the full 2018 year. However, in Staff Questions 1 and 4, Wasaga Distribution indicated the true-ups are not reflected in the appropriate year.
 - i. Please indicate the year that the 2017 true-up was recorded in the G/L and the DVA Continuity Schedule.
 - ii. Please indicate the year that the 2018 true-up was recorded in the G/L and the DVA Continuity Schedule.
 - iii. If the true-ups were recorded in the appropriate years, please explain why the 2018 transactions of (\$228,906) is so high in consideration of line losses.
 - iv. If the true-ups were not recorded in the appropriate years, please explain why there are no principal adjustments to include the true-ups in the appropriate year.
 - v. If there should be principal adjustments, please provide a breakdown of all the adjustments needed for 2018 and revise the DVA Continuity Schedule as needed.

Wasaga Distribution Response:

- a) Wasaga Distribution can confirm that the response to Staff Question 4 was referring to the true-up of the RPP settlement for CT 1142.
- b) With Respect to the GA Analysis Workform and the DVA Continuity Schedules. WDI true-up to the Actual Final GA and Final GA rate with actual consumption purchased (split among Non-RPP and RPP Customers) and records that amount in the appropriate accounts and in the appropriate month.
 - i. With respect to CT 1142 – true-ups are recorded in the month following once final GA rate is known and is submitted to the IESO. However, an entry to adjust the actual GA for Non-RPP customers is booked to the actual month (1589) and is correctly applied to the correct period.

- ii. Same as above.
- iii. Please refer to response to Staff Question 4.
- iv. Wasaga Distribution was being consistent with past practices.
- v. Wasaga Distribution has revised the DVA Continuity Schedule to true-up CT1142 \$194,077.86 for the month of December 2018.

Staff Question-12

Ref: Staff Question 6

In response to Staff Question 6b, Wasaga Distribution indicated that once the IESO invoice is received and billing data is available, actual consumption data is used for the pro-ration of CT 148. This should mean a true-up of CT 148 is not required as actuals are used in the initial pro-ration. However, in response to Staff Question 6c, it states that Wasaga Distribution does true-up to the final GA rate using actual consumption and splits.

- a) Please confirm that the true-up in 6c is referring to the CT 1142 RPP settlement true-up and not the true-up of CT 148.
- b) If not confirmed, please explain what the CT 148 true-up is truing up to if actual data is used in the initial pro-ration of the charge already.

Wasaga Distribution Response:

With Respect to the GA Analysis Workform (1589) and the DVA Continuity Schedules. WDI trues-up to the Actual Final GA and Final GA rate with actual consumption purchased (Non-RPP) and records that amount in the appropriate accounts and in the appropriate month. Non-RPP GA is reported as actual in the appropriate month.

Staff Question-13

Ref: Tab 3, Rate Generator Model
2018 GA Analysis Workform

Ref: Staff Question 10

In response to Staff Question 10a, Wasaga Distribution indicated that the total bill impact for the General Service 50 to 4,999 KW Service Class is less than 10% and referenced Table 7 of the IRM Application. The reference provided in that question was Tab 20 of the updated IRM Model which reflected that updated bill impacts. Given that the bill impact is greater than 10%, please explain whether Wasaga Distribution plans to implement a rate mitigation proposal for the GS 50 to 4,999 KW Service Class. If not, please explain why.

Wasaga Distribution Response:

Wasaga Distribution has submitted a revised Rate Generator Model to correct an oversight discovered on Tab 20 and the bill impact calculations.

Table 2 from Tab 20 is provided below.

Table 2

RATE CLASSES / CATEGORIES (eg: Residential TOU, Residential Retailer)	Units	Sub Total						Total	
		A		B		C		Total Bill	
		\$	%	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%
RESIDENTIAL SERVICE CLASSIFICATION - RPP	kWh	\$ 0.69	3.0%	\$ 1.66	5.1%	\$ 3.12	7.6%	\$ 2.53	2.2%
GENERAL SERVICE LESS THAN 50 KW SERVICE CLASSIFICATION - RPP	kWh	\$ 1.91	4.1%	\$ 4.51	6.4%	\$ 7.97	8.8%	\$ 6.47	2.2%
GENERAL SERVICE 50 to 4 999 KW SERVICE CLASSIFICATION - Non-RPP (Other)	kWh	\$ 128.78	7.9%	\$ 401.33	-105.7%	\$ 590.03	79.9%	\$ 666.73	2.7%
UNMETERED SCATTERED LOAD SERVICE CLASSIFICATION - RPP	kWh	\$ 0.14	2.1%	\$ 0.47	4.8%	\$ 0.90	7.4%	\$ 0.73	2.0%
STREET LIGHTING SERVICE CLASSIFICATION - Non-RPP (Other)	kWh	\$ 87.65	1.7%	\$ 204.55	4.4%	\$ 264.65	5.3%	\$ 299.05	2.4%
RESIDENTIAL SERVICE CLASSIFICATION - RPP	kWh	\$ 0.52	2.2%	\$ 0.76	2.9%	\$ 1.12	4.0%	\$ 0.91	2.1%
RESIDENTIAL SERVICE CLASSIFICATION - Non-RPP (Retailer)	kWh	\$ 0.52	2.2%	\$ 1.04	4.5%	\$ 1.40	5.6%	\$ 1.14	3.0%
RESIDENTIAL SERVICE CLASSIFICATION - Non-RPP (Retailer)	kWh	\$ 0.69	3.0%	\$ 2.79	13.1%	\$ 4.24	14.3%	\$ 3.45	3.7%

The revised total bill impact for General Service 50 – 4999KW Service Class is less than 10%. Therefore, Wasaga Distribution is not addressing rate mitigation.