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**Staff Question-11**

**Ref: Staff Question 4**

The following questions are follow-up questions regarding Account 1588.

1. Please confirm that Wasaga Distribution is referring to the true-up of the RRP settlement for CT 1142. If not confirmed, please explain what true-up is being referred to.
2. In the GA Analysis Workform, Appendix A for 2017 #11d and e indicates that the Q4 true-up was recorded in the 2017 G/L and reflected in the 2017 DVA Continuity Schedule. Similarly, in the GA Analysis Workform, Appendix A for 2018 #11d and e indicates that the Q4 true-up was recorded in the 2018 G/L and reflected in the 2018 DVA Continuity Schedule. If the true-ups have been properly recorded in the appropriate years, then the 2018 transactions of ($228,907) would relate to the full 2018 year. However, in Staff Questions 1 and 4, Wasaga Distribution indicated the true-ups are not reflected in the appropriate year.
	1. Please indicate the year that the 2017 true-up was recorded in the G/L and the DVA Continuity Schedule.
	2. Please indicate the year that the 2018 true-up was recorded in the G/L and the DVA Continuity Schedule.
	3. If the true-ups were recorded in the appropriate years, please explain why the 2018 transactions of ($228,906) is so high in consideration of line losses.
	4. If the true-ups were not recorded in the appropriate years, please explain why there are no principal adjustments to include the true-ups in the appropriate year.
	5. If there should be principal adjustments, please provide a breakdown of all the adjustments needed for 2018 and revise the DVA Continuity Schedule as needed.

**Staff Question-12**

**Ref: Staff Question 6**

In response to Staff Question 6b, Wasaga Distribution indicated that once the IESO invoice is received and billing data is available, actual consumption data is used for the pro-ration of CT 148. This should mean a true-up of CT 148 is not required as actuals are used in the initial pro-ration. However, in response to Staff Question 6c, it states that Wasaga Distribution does true-up to the final GA rate using actual consumption and splits.

1. Please confirm that the true-up in 6c is referring to the CT 1142 RPP settlement true-up and not the true-up of CT 148.
2. If not confirmed, please explain what the CT 148 true-up is truing up to if actual data is used in the initial pro-ration of the charge already.

**Staff Question-13**

**Ref: Staff Question 10**

In response to Staff Question 10a, Wasaga Distribution indicated that the total bill impact for the General Service 50 to 4,999 KW Service Class is less than 10% and referenced Table 7 of the IRM Application. The reference provided in that question was Tab 20 of the updated IRM Model which reflected that updated bill impacts. Given that the bill impact is greater than 10%, please explain whether Wasaga Distribution plans to implement a rate mitigation proposal for the GS 50 to 4,999 KW Service Class. If not, please explain why.