Hydro One Networks Inc.

7<sup>th</sup> Floor, South Tower 483 Bay Street Toronto, Ontario M5G 2P5 www.HydroOne.com

Tel: (416) 345-5680 Cell: (416) 568-5534

frank.dandrea@HydroOne.com



#### Frank D'Andrea

Vice President, Reliability Standards and Chief Regulatory Officer

#### BY RESS, EMAIL AND COURIER

March 4, 2020

Ms. Christine E. Long **Board Secretary** Ontario Energy Board Suite 2700, 2300 Yonge Street P.O. Box 2319 Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Long,

## EB-2017-0049 - Hydro One Networks' 2018-2022 Distribution Rate Application – **Distribution Productivity Report**

In its Decision and Order EB-2017-0049, dated March 7, 2019 in respect of Hydro One Networks' ("Hydro One") application for electricity distribution rates for 2018-2022, the Ontario Energy Board ("OEB") directed that Hydro One:

"file a report, within twelve months of this Decision and Order, showing the status of the productivity initiatives listed in I-25-Staff-123, including actual savings, with a discussion of any deviation from plan. The report, is to be filed on a standalone basis and will not be adjudicated. Hydro One is expected to update the report to file with its next rebasing application."

Further to this direction, enclosed please find the requested productivity report.

This filing has been submitted electronically using the OEB's Regulatory Electronic Submission System and two (2) hard copies will be sent via courier.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY FRANK D'ANDREA

Frank D'Andrea

Filed: 2020-03-04 EB-2017-0049 Productivity Report Page 1 of 7

**IN THE MATTER OF** the *Ontario Energy Board Act*, 1998, S.O. 1998, c. 15, (Schedule B);

**AND IN THE MATTER OF** an Application by Hydro One Networks Inc.'s 2018-2022 Distribution Custom IR Application and Evidence.

# DISTRIBUTION PRODUCTIVITY REPORT

Filed: 2020-03-04 EB-2017-0049 Productivity Report Page 2 of 7

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

2 On March 31, 2017, Hydro One Networks Inc. ("Hydro One") filed a Custom Incentive

Rate application (EB-2017-0049) (the "Application") seeking approval of its distribution

4 rates from January 1, 2018 to December 31, 2022. The Ontario Energy Board (the

5 "OEB") released its decision on March 7, 2019 (the "Decision") approving Hydro One's

Application. Among other things, the OEB directed Hydro One to file a report showing

the status of the productivity initiatives listed under OEB staff IR 123 within 12 months

8 of the Decision.<sup>1</sup>

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

6

7

1

#### 2 PRODUCTIVITY STATUS REPORT

Hydro One is providing the following Productivity Status Report which reflects the productivity initiatives as outlined in response to OEB staff IR 123 as well as the actual savings achieved in 2018 and 2019. The actual savings for 2018 and 2019 include additional initiatives that have materialized since Hydro One filed the Application. The additional initiatives are related to the Customer Contact Centre, Corporate Costs, and Pad Mount Transformers. Moreover, the reporting of the Move to Mobile initiative has been disaggregated between field efficiencies and back office FTE savings.

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hydro One measures Productivity savings on an aggregated level with certain initiatives impacting the Distribution Business, the Transmission Business or both the Transmission and Distribution businesses (i.e. common initiatives). Consistent with the productivity savings which were forecasted for 2018 to 2022 and provided in response to OEB Staff IR 123, the table below is specific to initiatives which were identified as those that benefit the Distribution business. The actuals for 2018 and 2019 are directly aligned to the aggregated corporate results that Hydro One reports on its Corporate Scorecards.

<sup>-</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Decision, p. 57, which states that "Hydro One to file, within twelve months of this Decision and Order, a report showing the status of the productivity initiatives listed in I-25-Staff-123, including actual savings, with a discussion of any deviation from plan."

#### **2018 RESULTS**

- In 2018, Hydro One achieved \$74.5 million in productivity savings as compared to \$69.9
- million of productivity savings which were previously forecasted in the Application. The
- 4 variances between actual productivity savings achieved and forecasted productivity
- savings are discussed in the following three categories: capital, OM&A and common
- 6 costs.

7

11

13

1

- 8 Capital: In 2018, Hydro One achieved \$33.5 million in capital related productivity
- savings as compared to the \$36.4 million previously forecasted in the Application. The
- main drivers for the lower productivity savings achieved are as follows:
  - Hydro One achieved lower than planned savings in the Move to Mobile initiative
- due to higher than planned unit costs relative to the baseline; and
  - Procurement savings in Distribution were below plan largely due to lower
- external spend on IT projects relative to forecast, affecting savings from
- negotiated rate reductions which are volume driven.

16 17

19

21

- The reductions in productivity savings were partially offset by increases in productivity
- savings achieved in the following areas:
  - Hydro One worked to find incremental opportunities and accelerated the Fleet
- 20 Rationalization initiative (Telematics); and
  - Hydro One introduced a new productivity initiative for utilization of lower cost
- Pad-Mounted transformers under the Operations Category.

23

28

29

- OM&A: In 2018, Hydro One achieved \$34.9 million in OM&A related productivity
- savings as compared to the \$29.4 million previously forecasted in the Application. The
- OM&A productivity savings initiatives were materially in line with forecasted levels.
- 27 Higher achieved productivity savings were mostly due to the following initiatives:
  - Accelerated savings in the Cable Locate Outsourcing initiative;
  - Accelerated saving in the In-Sourcing of the IT contract initiative; and

Filed: 2020-03-04 EB-2017-0049 Productivity Report Page 4 of 7

• Savings realized due to Customer Call Centre Insourcing which is a new initiative.

Common: In 2018, Hydro One achieved \$6 million in common related productivity savings as compared to the \$4 million previously forecasted in the Application. The increase in productivity savings was due to accelerated savings opportunities achieved via Early Pay discounts under the Procurement category.

### **2019 RESULTS**

In 2019, Hydro One achieved \$97.0 million in productivity savings as compared to \$72.0 million of productivity savings which were previously forecasted in the Application. The variances between actual productivity savings achieved and forecasted productivity savings are discussed in the following three categories: capital, OM&A and common costs.

- Capital: In 2019, Hydro One achieved \$34.9 million in capital related productivity savings as compared to the \$34.2 million previously forecasted in the Application. The main drivers for the higher productivity savings achieved are as follows:
  - Continued acceleration of Fleet Rationalization savings initiative (Telematics);
  - Incremental Procurement savings; and
  - Incremental savings in the utilization of lower cost Pad-Mounted transformers which falls under the Operations Category.

These additional savings were partially offset by decreases in productivity savings mostly in the Move to Mobile initiative due to higher unit costs.

OM&A: In 2019, Hydro One achieved \$39.1 million in OM&A related productivity savings as compared to the \$33.7 million previously forecasted in the Application. Higher achieved productivity savings were mostly due to the following initiatives:

Filed: 2020-03-04 EB-2017-0049 Productivity Report Page 5 of 7

- Productivity savings realized due to Customer Call Centre Insourcing which is a
   new initiative; and
  - Accelerated savings in the Cable Locate Outsourcing initiative.

These increases in productivity savings were partially offset by decreases in productivity

- savings realized in the following areas:
  - Lower Move to Mobile initiative savings due to higher unit cost; and
  - Lower ISD savings related to the Application maintenance contract reductions.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

7

8

3

Common: In 2019, Hydro One achieved \$23.0 million in common related productivity savings as compared to the \$4.2 million previously forecasted in the Application. The increase in productivity savings was due to Hydro One's Corporate Costing initiative which significantly reduced vacancies and limited contract spending to critical functions. This was discussed in detail within the EB-2019-0082 Transmission 2020-2022 Custom IR application.

16

Below is an updated chart as it appeared in OEB staff IR 123, reflecting the forecasted and actual numbers for 2018 and 2019.

Filed: 2020-03-04 EB-2017-0049 Productivity Report Page 6 of 7

	Category in Rate Filing	Initiative Summary	Measurement and Expected Benefit		18 As iled	_	)18 uals	2019 File		2019 Actua		2020 As Filed		21 As iled	2022 As Filed
			Measures Labour Hours per Unit - Historical Baseline vs Actual												1
	1		Plan allocation to expected unit cost savings in New Connections, Joint Use line Relocations, Pole Replacement, Field Meter Service, Component	1									1		1
	Move to Mobile	Field Force	Replacement	\$	10.3	\$	2.7	\$ 1	0.5	\$ (4	.2)	\$ 10.7	\$	10.7	\$ 10.7
			Back Office FTE Reductions from field automation - Historical FTE	Ė							Í		Ė		
			vs Actual. Target dollars historically alloced under Field Force.												İ
		FTE Reduction (Back Office)	Disaggregated for Actuals	\$	-	\$	1.3	\$		\$ 0	.7	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
			Lower Cost per Unit - Historical Baseline vs Actual												İ
			Savings are estimated at a category level based on historical spend, expected and achieved negotiated savings, and updated per business												İ
pita	Procurement	Procurement	plan assumptions (Capital program spend)	\$	12.7	\$	7.2	\$ 1	3.2	\$ 17	.7	\$ 17.0	\$	16.7	\$ 18.6
င်			Infrastructure Rationalization/Contract Reductions												
	Information Technology	ISD Savings	Expected capital allocation of negotiated reductions	\$	-	\$	-	\$	0.3	\$ -		\$ 0.3	\$	0.3	\$ 0.3
			Cost Reduction based on Historical spend												İ
		Stations Efficiencies	Expected Capital allocation based on historical spend for OT reductions and Stations efficiencies	ς.	0.0	\$		Ś	0.0	ς -		\$ 0.01	,	0.01	\$ 0.01
	Operations	Stations Efficiencies	Cost Reduction - Actual Cost of Padmount transformer vs Average	Ş	0.0	\$	-	\$	0.0	\$ -	-	\$ 0.01	Ş	0.01	\$ 0.01
		Padmount Transformers	historical actual cost of alternative	Ś	_	s	2.0	Ś		\$ 1	.5	\$ -	Ś	_	Ś-
			Fleet Rationalization - Unit Based Capital Plan Reduction	Ť		7		-					Ť		
			Estimated by utilizing Telematics data on fleet utilization and then												İ
	Telematics	Telematics	measures the expected unit based reduction in the capital plan	\$	13.4	\$	20.3	\$ 1	0.1	\$ 19	.3	\$ 9.8	\$	9.6	\$ 9.3
			Lower Cost per Customer	١.									١.		1 .
	Customer	eBilling	Expected customers enrolled in eBilling x Unit Savings	\$	1.8	\$	1.8	\$	2.6	\$ 3	.5	\$ 3.2	\$	4.1	\$ 4.8
		Call academia according	Lower Cost for Call Centre - Prior Cost (as filed) when Outsourced vs Current Actual Cost	,		Ś	2.2	Ś		, n		Ś -	,		Ś-
		Call center insourcing	Current Actual Cost	\$	-	\$	2.2	\$		\$ 9	.1	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
			Infrastructure Rationalization/Contract Reductions												İ
			Expected savings from server/database decommissioning and negotiated												İ
		ISD Savings	infrastructure and application maintenance contract reductions	\$	7.4	\$	9.1	\$	8.3	\$ 5	.4	\$ 11.5	\$	11.5	\$ 11.5
	Information Technology		(Old Rate - New Rate) * Expected ME Hours												
		Contract Rates - Minor	Negotiated savings x Expected need for minor enhancement hours in	١.		١.							١.		١.
		Enhancement	business plan	\$	0.9	\$	1.5	\$	1.0	\$ 0	.7	\$ 0.9	\$	0.9	\$ 0.9
		T-1	Lower Cost per Contract	,	0.0	_	0.6	Ś		, o	_	\$ 0.7	s	0.7	\$ 0.7
		Telecom Services Contracts	Reflects negotiated reduction in contract price FTE Reduction	Ş	0.6	\$	0.6	\$	0.7	\$ U	.6	\$ 0.7	Ş	0.7	\$ 0.7
		FTE Reduction (Back Office)	Reflects expected reduction in 29 back office support staff by 2020	\$	2.7	\$	0.5	\$	2.8	\$ 0	.3	\$ 2.9	\$	2.9	\$ 2.9
	Move to Mobile	The neddedon (back office)	Measures Back Office FTE Reductions - Target dollars historically	Ť		Ť	0.5	Ÿ		7 0	.5	ψ <u>2.5</u>	Ť		Ų 2.13
		Field Force	alloced under Field Force. Disaggregated for Actuals	\$	-	\$	1.3	\$	.	\$ (1	.9)	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
			(Historical Cost - New Cost) * # of Units	Ė									Ė		
OM&A		Cable Locate Outsourcing	Reflects negotiated savings for planned units being outsourced	\$	7.6	\$	11.4	\$	7.8	\$ 14	.6	\$ 7.9	\$	8.1	\$ 8.2
2			Lower Labour Hours per Unit												İ
		Fault Indicator Deployment	Estimate based on expected time savings for responding to a line fault.	١.									١.		
			Tracked using historical data compared to actual response time  Lower Cost per KM	Ş	0.8	\$	-	\$	0.8	\$ -	-	\$ 0.8	\$	0.8	\$ 0.8
	Operations		Estimated based on reductions in cost due to staff policy for inclement												İ
		Forestry Initiatives	weather and expected overall unit volume reduction in trouble calls	Ś	2.8	\$	1.5	\$	4.1	\$ 2	.2	\$ 5.9	\$	6.9	\$ 7.9
		,	Cost Reduction based on Historical spend	Ť		1							Ť		¥
			Expected OM&A allocation based on historical spend for OT reductions												İ
		Stations Efficiencies	and Stations efficiencies	\$	0.3	\$	0.4	\$	0.4	\$ 0	.1	\$ 0.4	\$	0.4	\$ 0.4
			FTE Reduction												İ
		Engineering Work Team Migration	A reduction in support staff that was utilizing the legacy software	\$	1.3	\$	1.3	\$	1.3	\$ 1	.3	\$ 1.3	\$	1.3	\$ 1.3
			Lower Cost per Unit for Meter Reads												İ
		Flexible Bill Window	Expected savings from a unit reduction in demand for manual meter reads and lower unit cost due to gained scheduling efficiencies	٥	1.5	ė	1.5	ė	1.5	ė 1	.6	\$ 1.5	\$	1.5	\$ 1.5
		Prexible Bill William	IT Software Cost Reduction & RFP Rationalization	٦	1.5	ې	1.3	ې	1.3	<u>ب</u>	.0	ر.1 د	7	1.3	Ş 1.J
	Procurement	Procurement	Reflects expected and negotiated savings	Ś	0.9	Ś	1.7	Ś	1.7	\$ 1	.5	\$ 2.6	Ś	2.6	\$ 2.6
			Lower Liters of Fuel per KM	Ť		7		-					Ť		7
			Reflects results of pilot program with expected reduction in Liters of fuel												İ
	Telematics	Telematics	per KM driven	\$	0.8	\$	0.1	\$	0.8	\$ 0	.1	\$ 1.4	\$	1.3	\$ 2.2
			Spend Reduction	1											1
بر	Administrativa	Corporate Common Head Count	Identified headcount, consulting and Administrative reductions in	٦		_	4.2			A	2	A 40	1	1.0	
S	Administrative	Reductions	Corporate Common. 2018 Plan vs Actual	<u> ۶</u>	1.7	\$	1.3	>	1.9	\$ 19	.2	\$ 1.9	\$	1.9	\$ 1.9
	Procurement	Procurement	Realized reduction in contracted spend in Corporate Common	5	2.3	4	4.8	\$	2.3	\$ 2	.9	\$ 2.3	Ś	2.3	\$ 2.3
_	p rocarement	rocarement		ب ا	2.3	٠	4.0	٧	د.ي	د ډ	.5	2.3 ب	ڊ ا	2.3	2.3 ب
	Capital			\$	36.4	\$	33.5	\$ 3	4.2	\$ 34	q	\$ 37.8	\$	37.3	\$ 39.0
ţ.	OM&A			\$	29.4		34.9		3.7		.1			42.9	\$ 45.5
Ē	Corporate Common			Ś	4.0	Š	6.0		4.2	\$ 23		\$ 4.2	\$	4.2	\$ 4.2
	Total			Ś	69.9	Ś	74.5	_	2.0	_	.0	_	_	84.4	\$ 88.7
				~	٠,,,	Ÿ		,		y 31		- 52.5	Ý	J F	y 00.7

- In summary, Hydro One achieved an additional \$4.5 million in productivity savings in 1 2018 and an additional \$25.0 million in productivity savings in 2019 relative to the 2 forecast filed in the Application. Hydro One is committed to adhering to the robust 3 governance process which has been established for defining and monitoring savings 4
- across the organization. Hydro One will continue to identify and develop new savings 5

Filed: 2020-03-04 EB-2017-0049 Productivity Report Page 7 of 7

- opportunities in both the Distribution and Transmission business for the benefit of
- ratepayers and stakeholders in 2020 and beyond. Ratepayers have directly benefited from
- the incremental OM&A savings as the associated cost reductions have contributed
- 4 towards Hydro One's Earnings Sharing Mechanism, resulting in a refund to customers.