

Ms. Christine Long  
Board Secretary  
Ontario Energy Board  
P.O. Box 2319, 27th Floor  
2300 Yonge Street  
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

May 5, 2020

**Re: EB-2019-0159 2021 Dawn Parkway Expansion Project  
Pollution Probe Letter**

Dear Ms. Long:

Pollution Probe is in receipt of the letter from Enbridge dated May 4, 2020 requesting an adjournment for up to 6 months for the above-noted proceeding.

The recommendation from several parties that it would be beneficial for Enbridge to provide the Interrogatory responses due May 4th to stakeholders is supported by Pollution Probe. Furthermore, it is recommended that the Board include a step in the process (perhaps a short technical conference early June) for Enbridge to consult with interested stakeholders on options after stakeholders have had a opportunity to review Enbridge's Interrogatory responses. That would also provide a chance for input based on the significant work that parties and their experts have been engaged in.

One of the challenges Enbridge identified with assessing alternate options to the proposed pipeline was the amount of time it takes to work through those alternatives. The request from Enbridge makes now the perfect time to work with stakeholders in this proceeding on potential cost-effective and less disruptive alternatives. Provision of the Interrogatory responses would assist in that process and avoid repetition.

The Board also confirmed that IRP options specific to this project are within scope for this proceeding and included the following as Issues #3 on the OEB approved Issues List<sup>1</sup>.

- What are the alternatives to the proposed Project that would not involve building a new pipeline? Are any of these alternatives preferable to the proposed Project?

---

<sup>1</sup> EB-2019-0159 - Procedural Order No. 2 and Decision on Issues List, dated March 6, 2020, Appendix A

Pollution Probe, its expert and other stakeholders consulted believe that this project is an excellent candidate for demonstrating more cost-effective alternatives and we support the recommendations to proceed made by Environmental Defence in their letter dated May 5, 2020. No change in scope is required and there is no better opportunity to advance these issues than to apply them real-time to this project.

Pollution Probe would be pleased to discuss the matter further should the OEB or any other party have questions.

Respectfully submitted on behalf of Pollution Probe.



Michael Brophy, P.Eng., M.Eng., MBA  
Michael Brophy Consulting Inc.  
Consultant to Pollution Probe  
Phone: 647-330-1217  
Email: [Michael.brophy@rogers.com](mailto:Michael.brophy@rogers.com)

cc: Adam Stiers, Enbridge (email via [EGIRegulatoryProceedings@enbridge.com](mailto:EGIRegulatoryProceedings@enbridge.com))  
Guri Pannu Senior Legal Counsel, Enbridge Regulatory (via email)  
Charles Keizer and Myriam Seers, Torys (email via [ckeizer@torys.com](mailto:ckeizer@torys.com))  
All Parties (via email)  
Richard Carlson, Pollution Probe (via e-mail)