# THIS IS EXHIBIT "F" REFERRED TO IN THE AFFIDAVIT OF JANE MUSTAC SWORN BEFORE ME THIS 24<sup>TH</sup> DAY

OF JULY, 2020.

A Commissioner, etc.

Filed: 2020-07-24, Section 101, EB-2020-0160, Tab 2, Exhibit F, Page 2 of 4

From: Krystal Kalbol < KKalbol @county of essex.ca>

Sent time: 09-18-2019 04:44:31 PM

To: Mark Murray < MMurray@uniongas.com>

Ce: Chantelle Rodger < Chantelle.Rodger@enbridge.com>; Rob Marson < RMarson@uniongas.com>; Kristoffer Balallo < KBalallo@countyofessex.ca>

Subject: RE: Follow-up: May 15 Enbridge Gas presentation to County of Essex Council

Mark,

As per our discussion this afternoon, the County has received permits for a portion of the Windsor Line project and feel it is premature at this time to issue permits for the proposed works.

The County is not satisfied with the below response based on the discussion from Council (see below resolution) and will require further details:

Related to item 1) - Please provide the location of said growth indicated within the board filing, in addition we requested Appendix 2 identified in the OEB documents detailing growth.

Related to item 2) – Please provide more detailed justification on why Enbridge does not plan on utilizing the existing easement along CR 46 (where the existing pipeline is currently located) and why additional easements, where required, would not be obtained to accommodate the remaining portions.

https://coe-pub.escribemeetings.com/Meeting.aspx?ld=dfddb3e8-65b7-44fc-bcdb-4dea845ad47e&Agenda=PostMinutes&lang=English

Let me know if you have any further questions or concerns related to the above.

Thanks,

From: Steven Jelich [mailto:SJelich@uniongas.com]

Sent: August 7, 2019 6:55 AM

To: Krystal Kalbol < KKalbol@countyofessex.ca>

**Cc:** Jane Mustac <JMustac@countyofessex.ca>; Mark Murray <MMurray@uniongas.com>; Brian Chauvin

<br/>

James Whittaker < jWhittaker@uniongas.com>; Rob Marson < RMarson@uniongas.com>

Subject: RE: Follow-up: May 15 Enbridge Gas presentation to County of Essex Council

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

# Good morning Krystal

I've had a chance to circle with our internal team – appreciate your patience. We have provided further clarification regarding the capacity available with the Windsor Line replacement and additional information regarding routing of the pipeline.

Both of these questions have been well thought out and addressed within the evidence as part of Enbridge's 'Leave to Construct' (LTC) application with the Ontario Energy Board (OEB) which we plan to file later this week. While the actual evidence to answer both of these questions is extensive please accept the following as a summary.

- 1) The capacity of the NPS 6 replacement and the existing NPS 10 is a 'like for like' comparison. The smaller diameter pipeline has the same capacity as the existing line because of the increased operating pressure. Enbridge completed a twenty year growth forecast plan for the area serviced by this pipeline and has determined that the NPS 6 is sufficient for the forecast over the twenty year period with additional pipeline capacity still available. In our forecast we considered growth from area developers, local knowledge, historical attachments and Enbridge Sales forecast. The new Windsor hospital has been included in the forecast as well.
- 2) The Windsor Line replacement requires a LTC approval from the OEB. To submit a LTC application, one of the requirements Enbridge needs to follow is the OEB *Environmental Guidelines for the Location, Construction and Operation of Hydrocarbon*

# Filed: 2020-07-24, Section 101, EB-2020-0160, Tab 2, Exhibit F, Page 3 of 4

Pipelines and Facilities in Ontario, 7<sup>th</sup> Edition (2016). This work results in an environmental report that is submitted as part of the LTC. Enbridge hired Stantec Consulting Ltd as a third party to complete this Report. A key element of the Report is to assess several potential routes for the pipeline and to determine the least environmentally impactful route. It was through this process that the current routing was selected and this is what has been presented to the County during Enbridge's consultations.

As you can well imagine, these questions involve many inputs and the team would be happy to meet and discuss them further should you have additional questions or clarifications. In order to simplify and ensure accuracy I would ask that further discussion be directed to the Project Team through Rob Marson who is the Project Manager.

Regards,

Steven

### Steven Jelich, MBA

**Director, Southwest Region Operations** 

ENBRIDGE GAS INC.

TEL: 519-667-4109 | CELL: 226-448-6289 | <u>steven.ielich@enbridge.com</u>

109 Commissioners Rd W, London, ON N6A 4P1

enbridge.com

Safety.Integrity.Respect.

From: Krystal Kalbol [mailto:KKalbol@countyofessex.ca]

**Sent:** August-01-19 4:15 PM

To: Steven Jelich

**Cc:** Jane Mustac; Mark Murray; Brian Chauvin; Brian Lennie; Chantelle Rodger; James Whittaker **Subject:** [External] RE: Follow-up: May 15 Enbridge Gas presentation to County of Essex Council

### **EXTERNAL: PLEASE PROCEED WITH CAUTION.**

This e-mail has originated from outside of the organization. Do not respond, click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender or know the content is safe.

Steven,

The County has reviewed the attached provided letter and still has concerns pertaining to the below:

- 1) <u>The size of the pipeline</u> Understanding there is no loss of capacity to service the existing, can you please confirm what additional capacity was considered for growth in the area and provide details related to the modelled growth and supply confirming the 20 year is met based on the growth plan.
- 2) The alignment The letter did not address why Enbridge now requires the pipeline to be within the County's road allowance, impacting the County's corridor when there is an easement already in place for the majority of the route along CR 46. Please provide clarification and justification on why the new pipeline cannot be installed within the existing easement.

Regards,



# Krystal Kalbol

Manager, Transportation Planning & Development County of Essex 360 Fairview Ave. W. Suite 315 | Essex, ON | N8M 1Y6

P: 519-776-6441 ext. 1316 F: 519-776-4455

TTY: 1-877-624-4832

This e-mail and any attachments may contain personal information or information that is otherwise confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, disclosure or copying of any part of it is prohibited. If this e-mail is received in error, please immediately reply and delete or destroy any copies of it.

From: Tami Showers [mailto:TLShowers@uniongas.com] On Behalf Of Steven Jelich

Sent: July 3, 2019 3:51 PM

To: Krystal Kalbol < KKalbol@countyofessex.ca>

**Cc:** Mark Murray < <u>MMurray@uniongas.com</u>>; Brian Chauvin < <u>bgchauvin@uniongas.com</u>>; Brian Lennie < <u>BLennie@uniongas.com</u>>; Chantelle Rodger < <u>Chantelle.Rodger@enbridge.com</u>>; James Whittaker

<jWhittaker@uniongas.com>

Subject: Follow-up: May 15 Enbridge Gas presentation to County of Essex Council

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Krystal

In response to your recent discussions with Enbridge Gas staff, attached please find the requested letter that addresses the comments made by County Council at the May 15 meeting.

In light of this letter, can you please advise as to how we can move this forward to an acceptable resolution so project pre-work can proceed?

Thanks

# Steven Jelich, MBA

**Director, Southwest Region Operations** 

# ENBRIDGE GAS INC.

TEL: 519-667-4109 | CELL: 226-448-6289 | steven.jelich@enbridge.com 109 Commissioners Rd W, London, ON N6A 4P1

# enbridge.com

Safety.Integrity.Respect.

ts

This email communication and any files transmitted with it may contain confidential and or proprietary information and is provided for the use of the intended recipient only. Any review, retransmission or dissemination of this information by anyone other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you receive this email in error, please contact the sender and delete this communication and any copies immediately. Thank you.

This email communication and any files transmitted with it may contain confidential and or proprietary information and is provided for the use of the intended recipient only. Any review, retransmission or dissemination of this information by anyone other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you receive this email in error, please contact the sender and delete this communication and any copies immediately. Thank you.