

HELEN M. McTAGUE, Q.C. (1893-1986)

ROGER A. SKINNER JOSEPHINE STARK BRIAN L. CHILLMAN GARTH M. KIDD STEVEN J. SPRIGGS

ALEXANDER R. SZALKAI, Q.C. TOM SERAFIMOVSKI DAVID M. AMYOT DAVID M. SUNDIN SAMUEL M. ATKIN MICHAEL A. WILLS ROBERT R. TOMEK M. CLAIRE BEBBINGTON ZACHARY A. KNOX

MICHAEL & COUGHLIN JEFFREY W. MACKINNON NANCY JAMMU-TAYLOR DARWIN E. HARASYM

COUNSEL: PAUL L. MULLINS \* Certified Specialist in Workplace Safety & Insurance Law by the Law Society of Ontario

455 Pelissier Street, Windsor, Ontario, N9A 6Z9 Canada Windsor: 519-255-4300

Facsimile: 519-255-4384 www.mctaguelaw.com iano, N9A 6Z9 Canada 5-4300 Direct

Direct Dial: 519-255-4344 Email: dsundin@mctaguelaw.com

September 15, 2020

## Enbridge

Mark Kitchen Director, Regulatory Affairs Enbridge Gas Inc. 500 Consumers Road Toronto, Ontario M2J 1P8 (E) EGIRegulatoryProceedings@enbridge.com

Scott Stoll

Aird & Berlis LLP Brookfield Place 181 Bay Street, Suite 1800, Box 754 Toronto, Ontario M5J 2T9 (E) sstoll@airdberlis.com

#### **Energy Probe Research Foundation**

Tom Ladanyi TL Energy Regulatory Consultants Inc. 41 Divadale Drive Toronto, Ontario M4G 2N7 (E) tom.ladanyi@rogers.com

#### Environmental Defence Canada Inc.

Jack Gibbons Ontario Clean Air Alliance 160 John Street, Suite 300 Toronto, Ontario M5V 2E5 (E) jack@cleanairalliance.org

## VIA EMAIL AND RESS

Guri Pannu Senior Legal Counsel Enbridge Gas Inc. 500 Consumers Road Toronto, Ontario M2J 1P8 (E) Guri Pannu@enbridge.com

Kent Elson Elson Advocacy 1062 College Street Lower Suite Toronto, Ontario M6H 1A9 (E) kent@elsonadvocacy.ca Federation of Rental Housing Providers of Ontario

Dwayne Quinn DR Quinn & Associates Ltd. 130 Muscovey Drive Elmira, Ontario N3B 3B7 (E) drquinn@rogers.com

#### Ontario Energy Board Staff

Judith Fernandes Project Advisor, Natural Gas Applications Ontario Energy Board 2300 Yonge Street, 27<sup>th</sup> Floor Toronto, Ontario M4P 1E4 (E) Judith.Fernandes@oeb.ca

## Pollution Probe Michael Brophy Pollution Probe 28 Mcnaughton Road Toronto, Ontario M4G 3H4 (E) michael.brophy@rogers.com

Michael Millar Counsel Ontario Energy Board 2300 Yonge Street, 27<sup>th</sup> Floor Toronto, Ontario M4P 1E4 (E) Michael.Millar@oeb.ca

Dear Sirs and Ms. Fernandes,

## RE: MATTER: COUNTY OF ESSEX ats ENBRIDGE GAS INC. OEB FILE: EB-2020-0160 OUR FILE: 77571

In accordance with the Decision and Order of the Ontario Energy Board, dated September 9, 2020, please find enclosed the Answer of the Corporation of the County of Essex to Information Requested in the Decision and Order, dated September 9, 2020. This is being served on you pursuant to the Decision and Order, dated September 9, 2020 and the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the Board.

As always, should any of you need to discuss this matter further for any reason, please do not hesitate to contact my office.

Yours truly, McTAGUE LAW FIRM LLP DAVID M. SUNDIN

DMS/dm Encl. c.c. OEB via email: Christine E. Long – Registrar and Board Secretary – boardsec@oeb.ca

Filed: 2020-09-15 Section 101 EB-2020-0160 Page 1 of 2

# ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF the *Energy Board Act, 1998*, S.O. 1998, c. 15 (Schedule B);

AND IN THE MATTER OF an application by Enbridge Gas Inc. pursuant to Condition 4 from the Ontario Energy Board's Decision and Order, and Section 101 of the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998* for authority to construct a work upon, under or over a highway, utility line or ditch in the County of Essex for the purposes of a natural gas pipeline in respect of which the Ontario Energy Board granted leave to construct in EB-2019-0172 to Enbridge Gas Inc.;

# ANSWER OF THE CORPORATION OF THE COUNTY OF ESSEX TO INFORMATION REQUESTED IN THE DECISION AND ORDER, DATED SEPTEMBER 9, 2020

# OEB DECISION AND ORDER – Question # 1 Reference: County of Essex Evidence, Tab 1, Summary of Position of the Corporation of the County of Essex, para. 7, page 3

- **Preamble:** It is stated that in an effort to accommodate the request of Enbridge, the County was prepared to use the right-of-way for the entire length of the pipeline on the condition that Enbridge would **remove any abandoned portion of the existing pipeline** and that if the new pipeline was installed within six metres of the existing paved portion of the road, the new pipeline would have a minimum depth of cover of 1.5 metres.
- Question a): Please confirm that in this statement the wording "remove any abandoned portion of the existing pipeline" refers only to the abandoned portion of the existing pipeline currently within the right-of-way and not to any abandoned portion of the existing pipeline that lies on private property.
  - **Response:** The County confirms that the wording "*remove any abandoned portion of the existing pipeline*" refers only to the portion Enbridge intends to abandon within the right-ofway of County Road 46. It is the County's understanding that Enbridge has already agreed to remove the pipeline from the private easements, but that is an issue between Enbridge and the private property owners. The status of the pipeline within private easements is not generally a concern for the County,

Filed: 2020-09-15 Section 101 EB-2020-0160 Page 1 of 2

and is not at this time, unless and until an environmental concern arises.

Dated: September 15, 2020

JOSEPHINE STARK LSO # 24691J DAVID M. SUNDIN LSO # 60296N McTAGUE LAW FIRM LLP Barristers & Solicitors 455 Pelissier Street Windsor, Ontario N9A 6Z9 (T) 519-255-4356 (F) 519-255-4384 (E) dsundin@mctaguelaw.com

LAWYERS FOR THE INTERVENOR, THE CORPORATION OF THE COUNTY OF ESSEX