



PUBLIC INTEREST ADVOCACY CENTRE
LE CENTRE POUR LA DÉFENSE DE L'INTÉRÊT PUBLIC

October 13, 2020

VIA E-MAIL

Christine E. Long
Registrar and Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
Toronto, ON

Dear Ms. Long:

Re: EB-2020-0002 – Alectra Utilities Corporation
Application for electricity distribution rates and other charges effective January 1, 2021
Interrogatories of the Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition (VECC)

Please find attached the interrogatories of VECC in the above-noted proceeding. We have also directed a copy of the same to the Applicant.

Yours truly,

Mark Garner
Consultants for VECC/PIAC

Copy:
Indy Butany-DeSouza, Vice President, Regulatory Affairs
indy.butany@alectrautilities.com

REQUESTOR NAME	VECC
TO:	Alectra Utilities Corporation (Alectra)
DATE:	October 13, 2020
CASE NO:	EB-2020-0002
APPLICATION NAME	2021 Distribution Rates Application

VECC-1

Reference: Exhibit 2, Tab 1, Schedule 1, page 7 /Exhibit 4, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Attachment 3

- a) Please confirm (or correct) that the “Goreway *Road* Widening” refers to municipal work to be done on Goreway Drive?
- b) Please provide the agreement between the City of Brampton and Alectra which sets out the sharing of costs for this project.

VECC-2

Reference: Exhibit 4, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Attachment 4

- a) The System Access capital budget for the Brampton rate zone list two other Brampton Road Authority projects (Dixie Road and Tobram Road). Why was the Goreway Road project selected for ICM recovery rather than one, or all , of these other similar projects?

VECC-3

Reference: Exhibit 2, Tab 1, Schedule 1, page 7 / Exhibit 4, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Attachment 3 / RE: Hydro One CCRA

“As the full ten years of peak data will not be available until after the August 2020 anniversary date, no formal review between Alectra Utilities and HONI can be completed”

- a) Is the full ten years of peak data now available (i.e. as of October 2020)?
- b) Please update the status of the noted review.
- c) Please provide the CCRA agreement between Hydro One and the former utility of Brampton Hydro including any appendices or attachments which describe the true-up mechanism.

VECC-4

Reference: Exhibit 2, Tab 1, Schedule 1, page 10

- a) For the Brampton rate zone why is Alectra seeking to recover a revenue requirement of \$715,963 rather than the lower accelerated CCA amount of \$617,529?

VECC-5

Reference: Exhibit 2, Tab 1, Schedule 1, page 14 / Exhibit 4, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Attachment 5

- a) Please provide the agreement between Alectra and the City of Vaughn which sets out the sharing of costs for the Rutherford Road widening project.

VECC-6

Reference: Exhibit 4 , Tab 1, Schedule 1, page 14 / Exhibit 4, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Attachment 7

- b) In the 2021 capital budget for the PowerStream rate zone are listed two other projects similar to the Rutherford Road widening project (East North and PS South). Why was the Rutherford Road project selected for ICM recovery rather than one, or all, of these other similar projects?

VECC-7

Reference: Exhibit 2, Tab 1, Schedule 1, page 10

- a) For the PowerStream ICM why is Alectra seeking to recover a revenue requirement of \$204,411 rather than the lower accelerated CCA amount of \$162,795?

VECC-8

Reference: Exhibit 3, Tab 1, Schedule 8

- a) With respect to the \$8.1 million accounting error please show for each year 2010 through 2019 what is the year end (error) balance. Please show any interest charges separately.
- b) Please explain in more detail the nature and origin of the error.
- c) What is the proposal (including class allocation) to recover this amount?

End of document