

Algoma Power Inc. (Algoma Power)
EB-2020-0006
Supplemental Questions
October 20, 2020

*Responses to interrogatories, including supporting documentation, must not include personal information unless filed in accordance with rule 9A of the OEB's *Rules of Practice and Procedure*.

Staff Follow-Up Question -1
Ref: Staff Question #3

In response to staff question #3, Algoma Power identified differences in RPP settlement approach between Algoma Power's Pre-2019 1588/1589 Settlement Process and the 2019 accounting guidance for accounts 1588 and 1589. Algoma Power also quantified a summary of adjustments to accounts 1588 and 1589 due to the differences in approach. Of the three differences noted, the first difference should not result in an impact to account balances but only a difference in how entries are recorded. The second and third differences impact the RPP settlement and would impact the balance in Account 1588. Algoma Power has also quantified an impact to Account 1589.

- a) Please explain whether the impact to Account 1589 is due to a reallocation of charge type 148 as the GA component in the RPP settlement was different.
- b) If not, please explain the reason for the impact to Account 1589.

Staff Follow-Up Question -2
Ref: Staff Question #6

Regarding staff question 6, it states that the microFit true-up related to the calculation of CT 148. Please explain whether this resulted in charge type 2148 in the IESO invoice. If not, please further clarify how this is different in nature than charge type 2148.