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### 3.1.1 INTRODUCTION

The evidence presented in this exhibit provides information supporting the revenues derived from activities regulated by the Ontario Energy Board (OEB). Actual operating revenues from regulated operations are derived mainly from fixed and variable tariff charges as well as pass through charges and specific service charges. Revenues are collected from seven (7) customer classes:
a) Residential;
b) General Service less than 50 kW ;
c) General Service 50-999 kW;
d) General Service $1,000-4,999 \mathrm{~kW}$;
e) Unmetered Scattered Load (USL);
f) Sentinel Lighting; and
g) Street Lighting.

Wellington North Power Inc. (WNP) does not anticipate any changes to its customer classes (i.e. no addition or removal of a customer class.)

This exhibit also describes WNP's load and customer forecasts. The load forecast methodology and assumptions are described in detail at 3.1.4 Load Forecast Methodology.

The evidence herein is organized per the following topics:

1) Revenue and Load Forecast;
2) Impact and Persistence from Historical CDM Programs;
3) Accuracy of Load Forecast and Variance Analysis; and
4) Other Revenues.

Table 1 - Revenues at Current Rates below shows revenues from current distribution charges for 2020.

Table 1 - Revenues at Current Rates

| 2020 Rates at 2021 Load |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Test Year Projected Revenue from Existing Variable Charges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customer Class Name | Variable Distribution Rate | per | Test Year Volume | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { Variable } \\ \text { Revenue } \end{gathered}$ | Transform. Allowance Rate | Transform. Allowance kW's | Transform Allowance \$'s |  |
| Residential | \$0.0000 | kWh | 26,503,100 | \$0.00 |  |  | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| General Service < 50 kW | \$0.0188 | kWh | 11,455,522 | \$215,363.81 |  |  | \$0.00 | \$215,363.81 |
| General Service 50-999 kW | \$2.7600 | kW | 52,425 | \$144,692.37 | -0.60 | 10,607 | -\$6,364.43 | \$138,327.95 |
| General Service 1000-4999 kW | \$3.1994 | kW | 92,890 | \$297,191.37 |  |  | \$0.00 | \$297,191.37 |
| Unmetered Scattered Load | \$0.0163 | kWh | 6,288 | \$102.49 |  |  | \$0.00 | \$102.49 |
| Sentinel Lighting | \$28.6379 | kW | 55 | \$1,568.03 |  |  | \$0.00 | \$1,568.03 |
| Street Lighting | \$1.8527 | kW | 632 | \$1,171.78 |  |  | \$0.00 | \$1,171.78 |
| Total Variable Revenue |  |  | 38,110,912 | \$660,089.86 |  | 10,607.38 | -\$6,364.43 | \$653,725.43 |

2020 Rates at 2021 Load

|  | Test Year Projected Revenue from Existing Fixed Charges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Customer Class Name | Fixed Rate | Customers (Connections) | Fixed Charge Revenue | Variable Revenue | TOTAL | \% Fixed Revenue | \% Variable Revenue | \% Total Revenue |
| Residential | \$36.39 | 3,355 | \$1,465,096.22 | \$0.00 | \$1,465,096.22 | 100.00\% |  | 55.37\% |
| General Service < 50 kW | \$43.75 | 468 | \$245,712.12 | \$215,363.81 | \$461,075.93 | 53.29\% | 46.71\% | 17.42\% |
| General Service 50-999 kW | \$289.38 | 34 | \$118,205.67 | \$138,327.95 | \$256,533.62 | 46.08\% | 53.92\% | 9.69\% |
| General Service 1000-4999 kW | \$2,365.10 | 5 | \$141,906.00 | \$297,191.37 | \$439,097.37 | 32.32\% | 67.68\% | 16.59\% |
| Unmetered Scattered Load | \$29.71 | 2 | \$831.88 | \$102.49 | \$934.37 | 89.03\% | 10.97\% | 0.04\% |
| Sentinel Lighting | \$7.75 | 23 | \$2,139.00 | \$1,568.03 | \$3,707.03 | 57.70\% | 42.30\% | 0.14\% |
| Street Lighting | \$1.68 | 924 | \$18,627.84 | \$1,171.78 | \$19,799.62 | 94.08\% | 5.92\% | 0.75\% |
| Total Fixed Revenue |  | 4,811 | \$1,992,518.73 | \$653,725.43 | \$2,646,244.16 |  |  |  |

Distribution Revenues are derived from a combination of fixed monthly charges and volumetric charges applied to the utility's proposed Load Forecast:

- Fixed rate revenues are determined by applying the current fixed monthly charge to the number of customers or connections in each of the customer classes in each month.
- Variable rate revenue is based on a volumetric rate applied to meter readings for kWh consumption or kW demand volume.

WNP's 2020 forecasted revenues recovered through its' current OEB-approved distribution rates are projected at $\$ 2,646,244$ (exclusive of all rate riders). The revenues at proposed distribution rates are presented in Exhibit 6 and Exhibit 8.

A completed Appendix 2-IB Load Forecast Analysis has filed as Appendix 3A of this Exhibit 2. (Appendix 2-IB Load Forecast Analysis is also included in worksheet 10 of the RRWF). ${ }^{1}$

A copy of WNP's Load Forecast has been filed as a live Excel spreadsheet format that contains the data used to determine the customers, connections, demand and load forecast. ${ }^{2}$ The file is named "Appendix 3B WNP Wholesale Load Forecast."

WNP does not expect or plan for any changes in the composition of its' customer classes.

[^0]
### 3.1.3 PROPOSED LOAD FORECAST

This section of the application covers:
a) The approach and methodology used to determine the Load Forecast;
b) The economic assumptions and data sources for customer and load forecasts;
c) An explanation of wholesale purchases and subsequent adjustments to the wholesale purchases;
d) The rationale behind each variable used in the regression analysis; and
e) The regression results and how they were used to determine the forecast for the Bridge Year (2020) and Test Year (2021).

The table below illustrates the actual and forecast trends for customer/connection counts, kWh consumption and billed kW demand. The forecast trend has been used by WNP to set its' proposed 2021 distribution rates.

Table 2 - Customer and Volume Trend Table

| Rate Class |  | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | 2011 <br> Actual | $\begin{gathered} 2012 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | 2013 <br> Actual | $2014$ Actual | 2015 <br> Actual | 2016 <br> Actual | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | 2018 <br> Actual | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $2020$ <br> Weather Normal | $2021$ <br> Weather Normal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | Customers | 3,073 | 3,103 | 3,126 | 3,161 | 3,190 | 3,212 | 3,219 | 3,246 | 3,279 | 3,302 | 3,328 | 3,355 |
|  | kWh | 25,348,494 | 25,466,302 | 24,774,725 | 25,587,071 | 25,720,644 | 24,960,131 | 24,523,576 | 23,863,110 | 25,345,905 | 25,253,896 | 25,886,876 | 26,503,100 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { General Service } \\ <50 \mathrm{~kW} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Customers | 479 | 478 | 478 | 474 | 473 | 474 | 469 | 473 | 470 | 470 | 469 | 468 |
|  | kWh | 11,387,103 | 11,698,450 | 11,692,350 | 12,087,562 | 11,853,213 | 12,033,955 | 11,967,606 | 11,410,391 | 11,582,140 | 11,138,172 | 11,302,682 | 11,455,522 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Service <br> 50 to 999 kW | Customers | 40 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 36 | 36 | 35 | 34 | 35 | 35 | 34 |
|  | kWh | 20,855,253 | 21,357,589 | 21,917,337 | 16,562,846 | 15,962,529 | 20,081,441 | 19,893,743 | 19,029,613 | 18,305,429 | 18,739,880 | 18,727,304 | 18,697,353 |
|  | kW | 61,885 | 65,743 | 67,820 | 52,256 | 48,273 | 55,778 | 55,436 | 53,405 | 52,915 | 51,685 | 52,509 | 52,425 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Service 1000 to $4,999 \mathrm{~kW}$ | Customers | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
|  | kWh | 38,077,455 | 39,521,515 | 42,337,529 | 49,310,777 | 50,998,403 | 47,530,355 | 45,496,516 | 45,750,527 | 43,913,956 | 42,766,148 | 42,766,148 | 42,766,148 |
|  | kW | 83,976 | 86,114 | 89,132 | 105,092 | 109,682 | 99,567 | 96,818 | 98,592 | 98,025 | 96,230 | 92,890 | 92,890 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unmetered Scattered Load | Connections | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | kWh | 9,732 | 7,563 | 5,733 | 5,733 | 5,733 | 5,184 | 6,816 | 6,801 | 6,801 | 6,288 | 6,288 | 6,288 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sentinel Lights | Connections | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 27 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
|  | kWh | 31,586 | 27,612 | 26,093 | 26,093 | 25,409 | 24,839 | 22,057 | 19,673 | 19,673 | 19,673 | 19,673 | 19,673 |
|  | kW | 88 | 80 | 72 | 72 | 71 | 70 | 61 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Street Lights | Connections | 900 | 899 | 898 | 900 | 905 | 905 | 907 | 908 | 908 | 908 | 924 | 924 |
|  | kWh | 719,199 | 713,388 | 715,663 | 719,239 | 720,792 | 720,792 | 723,427 | 697,359 | 691,015 | 650,270 | 229,833 | 229,833 |
|  | kW | 1,964 | 1,964 | 1,963 | 1,980 | 1,983 | 1,984 | 1,984 | 1,920 | 1,902 | 1,810 | 632 | 632 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customer / Connections |  | 4,526 | 4,553 | 4,574 | 4,608 | 4,642 | 4,660 | 4,662 | 4,692 | 4,721 | 4,745 | 4,786 | 4,811 |
|  | kWh | 96,428,822 | 98,792,419 | 101,469,430 | 104,299,320 | 105,286,722 | 105,356,697 | 102,633,741 | 100,777,475 | 99,864,919 | 98,574,327 | 98,938,804 | 99,677,917 |
|  | kW | 147,913 | 153,902 | 158,988 | 159,400 | 160,009 | 157,399 | 154,299 | 153,972 | 152,896 | 149,780 | 146,086 | 146,002 |

### 3.1.4 LOAD FORECAST METHODOLOGY AND DETAIL ${ }^{3}$

The load forecast presented in this application uses the same approach as used in WNP's most recent Cost of Service applications (EB-2015-0110 and EB-2011-0249).

WNP's load forecast is prepared in two phases:

- The first phase, a billed energy forecast by customer class for 2021, is developed using a total purchase (Wholesale) basis regression analysis.
- Then, in the second phase, usage associated with the known change in customers for 2021 is determined and added or removed (if applicable) (Adjusted Wholesale).

The methodology proposed in this application predicts wholesale consumption (Predicted) using a multiple regression analysis that relates historical monthly wholesale kWh usage (January 2010 to December 2019) to carefully selected variables. The one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) is used to determine whether there are any statistically significant differences between the means of three or more independent (unrelated) groups. The ANOVA compares the means between the groups you are interested in and determines whether any of those means are statistically significantly different from each other.

WNP did not test the Normalized Average Consumption (NAC) method because NAC is generally seen as an alternative when reliable historical data is not available. ${ }^{4}$

The most significant variables used in weather related regressions are monthly historical heating degree days and cooling degree days. Heating degree-days (HDD) provide a measure of how much (in degrees), and for how long (in days), the outside temperature was below that base temperature. The most readily available heating degree days come with a base temperature of $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cooling degree-day (CDD) figures also come with a base temperature, and provide a

[^1]measure of how much, and for how long, the outside temperature was above that base temperature.

For degree days, daily observations as reported at Environment Canada's weather station at Mount Forest (latitude: $43^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 00.000^{\prime \prime} N$; longitude: $80^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 00.000 " \mathrm{~W}$, elevation 414.50 meters) is used because this is the closest weather-station to WNP with reliable historic weather data.

The regression model also uses other variables which are tested to see their relationship and contribution to the fluctuating wholesale purchases. Each variable is discussed in detail later in this section.

## Explanation of Multiple Regression Analysis

Multiple regression can be applied for forecasting purposes by analyzing how several variables have affected a depended variable historically. From this, the relationship between these variables and the depended variable can be expressed as:

$$
Y=A+B 1 X 1+B 2 X 2+B 3 X 3 \ldots+B n X n+E
$$

Where:
$Y=$ Predicted depended variable value
$A=$ the value of $Y$ when all $X$ s are zero
$X=$ the independent variable
$B=$ the coefficients corresponding to the independent variables
$n=$ the number of independent variables
$E=$ an error term

By forecasting the independent variables, the dependent variable can be predicted. However, to ascertain that the relationship is not coincidental, the utility must first assess the correlation between the dependent and individual independent variables. This can be accomplished by the Person Correlation Coefficient (otherwise known as "R") to each independent variable. This depicts how much of the change in depended variable can be explained by the change in independent variables. Those variables with a high R-squared should then be used for multiple regression. The same correlation coefficient can be applied to multiple independent variables to ascertain how
much of the change in a dependent variable can be explained by changes in all independent variables.

$$
\left.R s q=\mathrm{B}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{nAVG}(\mathrm{Y})^{\wedge} 2\right) / \mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{nAVG}(\mathrm{Y})^{\wedge} 2
$$

Where:
$B^{\prime}, X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}=$ Matrixes of all combinations of $B, X \& Y$ respectively
$\wedge 2=$ Squared
The adjusted R -squared is calculated by "correcting" for the number of independent variables in a multiple regression analysis. The formula: Adj $\operatorname{Rsq}=(1-(1-R S q) *((n-1) /(n-k))$ is often used to compare models involving a different number of coefficients. The statistical significance of the multiple regression can be tested with the F-test which is derived from a normal probability distribution. A critical point along the distribution can be found given a degree of confidence required, the number of variables and the number of observations. If the $F$-statistic is at this point, then the analysis can be deemed statistically significant at the level of confidence.

$$
\text { F-statistic }=(R \text { Squared } / k-1) /(1-R \text { Squared }) /(n-k)
$$

Where:
$\mathrm{K}=$ number of independent variable
$\mathrm{n}=$ number of observations
Independent variables that are highly correlated themselves can lead to high variances in slope estimation (B). This is known as "Multicollinearity." For this reason, independent variables with a high level of multicollinearity to the other independent variables should consider being omitted from the analysis.

The formula behind the monthly weather normalized values is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Predicted kWh Purchases }= \text { (coefficient for the intercept) }+ \\
& \text { (monthly HDD*coefficient for HDD) }+ \\
& \text { (monthly CDD*coefficient for CDD) }+ \\
& \text { (monthly Number of Days*coefficient for monthly Number of Days) }+ \\
& \text { (monthly Regional Employment Stats*coefficient for monthly Regional } \\
& \text { Employment Stats) }+ \\
& \text { (monthly CDM kWh *coefficient for monthly CDM kWh) }+ \\
& \text { (monthly Sensitive Customers kWh volume*coefficient for monthly } \\
& \text { Sensitive Customers kWh volume). }
\end{aligned}
$$

When the regression line is linear $(y=a x+b)$, the regression coefficient is the constant (a) that represents the rate of change of one variable ( y ) as a function of changes in the other ( x ); it is the slope of the regression line. The intercept is the predicted value of the dependent variable when all predictor variables are set to 0 .

### 3.1.5 ECONOMIC OVERVIEW

## Location

Wellington North Power Inc. (WNP) is a local distribution company servicing approximately 3,800 customers in the Town of Mount Forest, Village of Arthur and the Village of Holstein in southwestern Ontario, approx. 120 km northwest of Toronto (as the crow flies). The distributor's service territory is approximately 14 sq . km of medium density urban area and spans across the County of Wellington (Arthur and Mount Forest) and Grey County (Holstein).

## Interesting Facts about our Community:

Until recently, the town of Mount Forest's motto was "High, Healthy, Happy", as on the water tower and welcome sign when approaching the town from the south and referring to its high elevation of 430 meters ( $1,410 \mathrm{ft}$.) above sea level making it one of the highest towns in Southern Ontario.

The village of Arthur is referred to as the "Most Patriotic Village in Canada"; one out of every seven Arthur residents fought in the Second World War.

Holstein is a little village in the Township of Southgate in Grey County. This village is well-known for the Holstein Maplefest, Holstein Rodeo Expo and the famous Holstein Non-Motorized Santa Claus Parade.

## Transportation

Mount Forest and Arthur are situated along Highway 6. Driving south on Highway 6 from Mount Forest for approx. 79 km will lead to the 401 highway, south of Guelph. There are no train services or public bus services available in the area; however in January 2020 a private transportation company started an intercity bus route with a fourteen passenger mini-bus operating between Owen Sound and Guelph with stops at Mount Forest and Arthur, running two buses in each direction per day. In October 2019, Wellington County launched a county wide, demand based,
public transit service available to all residents and visitors. This five-year pilot is funded by the Government of Ontario and offers a safe, affordable option for people to move throughout the County. RIDE WELL ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ is a public transit service that uses a rideshare model of operation and provides an alternative option to owning and using a personal vehicle and for those who cannot access vehicles for regular needs.

## Climate

Mount Forest features a humid continental climate, characterized by warm, sometimes wet summers and cold, snowy winters. At an elevation of 430 meters ( $1,410 \mathrm{ft}$.) above sea level, Mount Forest is one of the highest towns in Southern Ontario being located in the western portion of the Dundalk Highlands. As such, its elevation and location downwind of Lake Huron makes it prone to hefty snow totals from lake effect snow averaging nearly 300 centimeters per year. Summers, with a daily mean average of $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ are often cooler than they otherwise would be due to the town's elevation and overnight lows are considerably cooler than places along the lakeshore. Winter average mean temperatures are between $-9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $-11^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and, as a result, WNP is a winterpeaking LDC.

## Population

Mount Forest and Arthur exist within the Township of Wellington North. Wellington North has a population of 11,500 with an age profile of:

Table 3 - Population Figures

| Age Range | \% of Population |
| ---: | :---: |
| 0 to 14 | $18.6 \%$ |
| 15 to 64 | $60.7 \%$ |
| 65 or older | $20.7 \%$ |

Of interest, the age group " 65 or older" is $4 \%$ higher than the Ontario average. Furthermore, the Town of Mount Forest has a $28.2 \%$ population " 65 or older" which is $11.5 \%$ above the Ontario average.

## Labour Force

Within Wellington North, the industry categories employing the highest percentages of the population are:

Table 4 - Industry Employment

| Industry | \% of Population |
| :---: | :---: |
| Manufacturing | $21.5 \%$ |
| Agriculture | $11.5 \%$ |
| Construction | $10.8 \%$ |
| Retail | $9.5 \%$ |
| Healthcare | $9.2 \%$ |

Of the working population, $23 \%$ commute out of the area of Wellington North.

WNP purchases electricity from Hydro One and embedded generation (FIT and MicroFIT) and IESO as a market participant. The following table summarizes the annual wholesale purchases for the 10 -year period 2010 to 2019 as reported to OEB in RRR annual filing "2.1.5 Supply \& Delivery Information":

Table 5 - Wholesale Purchases (Supply) \& Delivery (kWh) 2010-2019

|  | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 10 Year Avg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kWh Purchases from IESO | 102,608,265 | 105,542,005 | 108,276,715 | 110,093,942 | 112,119,465 | 111,789,934 | 108,699,220 | 106,743,638 | 106,275,600 | 104,522,560 | 107,667,134 |
| Embedded Generation (kWh) | 24 | 83,693 | 135,101 | 220,117 | 301,047 | 390,795 | 413,215 | 379,064 | 391,088 | 392,026 |  |
| Total kWh Purchases (IESO + Generation) | 102,608,289 | 105,625,698 | 108,411,817 | 110,314,059 | 112,420,512 | 112,180,729 | 109,112,435 | 107,122,702 | 106,666,688 | 104,914,586 | 107,937,751 |
| Year-over-Year Change |  | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | -3\% | -2\% | 0\% | -2\% | 0.3\% |
| Total kWh delivered to all customers | 96,062,450 | 99,140,087 | 101,548,388 | 104,299,320 | 105,286,722 | 105,356,697 | 102,633,741 | 100,777,475 | 99,864,919 | 98,574,327 | 101,354,413 |
| Year-over-Year Change |  | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 1\% | 0\% | -3\% | -2\% | -1\% | -1\% | 0.3\% |
| Long-term load transfer arrangements (kWh) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47,422 | 43,351 | 33,435 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Distribution Losses | 6,545,839 | 6,485,611 | 6,863,429 | 6,014,739 | 7,086,368 | 6,780,681 | 6,445,259 | 6,345,227 | 6,801,769 | 6,340,259 | 6,570,918 |
| Year-over-Year Change |  | -1\% | 6\% | -12\% | 18\% | -4\% | -5\% | -2\% | 7\% | -7\% | 0.0\% |

Source: RRR report 2.1.5 Supply \& Delivery
In preparing its' load forecast, WNP used IESO invoices to collect the monthly Wholesale kWh purchases data by:

$$
\text { Wholesale IESO Purchases }(k W h)=\frac{\text { Rural Rate Settlement Charge }(C T 753)}{\text { Rural or Remote Electricity Protection Charge }(\text { RRRP }}
$$

The table below illustrates monthly Wholesale purchases from the IESO using the above method and compares the annual totals to the quantities as filed under RRR 2.1.5 Supply \& Delivery:

Table 6 - Wholesale Purchases from IESO invoices - 2010-2019

| Wholesale Purchases Unadjusted | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 9,555,492 | 9,903,492 | 9,969,646 | 10,229,008 | 10,797,258 | 10,452,785 | 9,895,554 | 9,930,886 | 10,120,900 | 9,946,980 |
| February | 8,513,185 | 9,130,208 | 9,266,808 | 9,383,850 | 9,707,875 | 9,960,700 | 9,365,623 | 8,810,038 | 8,889,933 | 8,808,860 |
| March | 8,793,400 | 9,825,154 | 9,409,615 | 9,829,058 | 10,383,525 | 10,261,985 | 9,368,754 | 9,719,967 | 9,293,433 | 9,361,540 |
| April | 7,779,600 | 8,000,669 | 8,528,631 | 9,207,342 | 9,160,908 | 9,023,638 | 8,794,054 | 8,325,424 | 8,633,467 | 8,484,460 |
| May | 8,100,938 | 7,972,185 | 8,612,100 | 8,890,800 | 8,981,769 | 8,928,854 | 8,456,385 | 8,509,933 | 8,484,267 | 8,296,560 |
| June | 7,984,485 | 7,947,462 | 8,638,909 | 8,539,508 | 8,511,154 | 8,756,485 | 8,563,654 | 8,510,690 | 8,312,400 | 7,886,820 |
| July | 8,350,992 | 8,282,731 | 8,769,527 | 8,808,542 | 8,491,077 | 9,022,485 | 8,313,669 | 8,340,833 | 8,610,467 | 8,759,520 |
| August | 8,692,192 | 8,870,377 | 9,201,500 | 8,890,500 | 8,875,485 | 9,116,285 | 9,613,546 | 8,979,967 | 9,144,333 | 8,748,640 |
| September | 8,099,892 | 8,383,662 | 8,451,018 | 8,525,042 | 8,841,092 | 9,141,308 | 8,887,523 | 8,504,667 | 8,298,633 | 8,164,120 |
| October | 8,501,569 | 8,946,246 | 9,155,036 | 9,300,442 | 9,370,962 | 9,198,408 | 8,931,731 | 8,854,833 | 8,833,467 | 8,548,680 |
| November | 8,832,892 | 8,876,454 | 9,016,264 | 9,558,892 | 9,361,692 | 8,993,831 | 9,077,723 | 9,238,000 | 8,985,167 | 8,807,700 |
| December | 9,403,608 | 9,353,623 | 9,266,691 | 9,797,817 | 9,419,200 | 8,933,085 | 9,430,592 | 9,018,400 | 8,669,133 | 8,708,680 |
| Total kWh Purchases from IESO | 102,608,246 | 105,492,262 | 108,285,745 | 110,960,800 | 111,901,997 | 111,789,846 | 108,698,808 | 106,743,638 | 106,275,600 | 104,522,560 |
| Reported RRR data kWH Purchases from IESO | 102,608,265 | 105,542,005 | 108,276,715 | 110,093,942 | 112,119,465 | 111,789,934 | 108,699,220 | 106,743,638 | 106,275,600 | 104,522,560 |
| Variance - kWh | 19 | 49,743 | $(9,030)$ | $(866,858)$ | 217,467 | 88 | 412 | 0 | (0) | 0 |
| Variance - \% | 0.00\% | 0.05\% | -0.01\% | -0.79\% | 0.19\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |

The variance between the Purchase kWh quantities taken from the IESO invoice to as reported under RRR annual filing are minimal with the exception of 2013. In April 2013, there was an 18hour power outage in Mount Forest due to an ice-storm which resulted in difficulties in obtaining accurate settlement data for this month - estimated primary meter data reported $8,336,827 \mathrm{kWh}$ whereas using the IESO invoice, the quantity was $9,207,342$. As WNP has used the IESO invoice kWh to derive monthly kWh Purchases for its' load forecast, for consistency, WNP elected to also use this method for April 2013.

The chart below plots WNP's Wholesale kWh unadjusted Purchases (i.e. without inclusion of embedded generation kWh or adjustment for weather-normalization) over the 10-year period of 2010 to 2019.

Table 7 - Wholesale Purchases from IESO invoices - 2010-2019


From 2010 to 2014, WNP' load steadily increased; however from 2015 onwards, the utility load is declining. Indeed, WNP's load has increased by 1.9\% when comparing Wholesale Purchases 2019 to 2010 ( $104,522,560 \mathrm{kWh}$ in 2019 compared to $102,608,246 \mathrm{kWh}$ in 2010). Although the Distributor has experienced customer growth of less than 1\% per year, the LDC has observed a decrease in the average monthly kWh consumed per customer. This kWh usage per customer decrease could be attributed to energy-saving initiatives (e.g. replacing bulbs with LED lights) as well as larger customers partaking in energy conservation programs to reduce their electricity peak demand as well as energy costs.

## Embedded Generation

To better represent the trend in wholesale purchases, WNP has adjusted its' Wholesale purchases prior to running the regression analysis. The purpose of the adjustment was to add back all MicroFIT and FIT (Feed-in tariff) generation kWh data to the Wholesale purchases. This adjustment is necessary because the generated kWh volume is consumed within the utility's service territory. By not including generation data, WNP's wholesale purchases would be lower and therefore not reflective of the true electricity demand. Consequently, generation data is "added-back" to the IESO kWh Wholesale purchases to reflect energy demand of WNP's territory.

The table below illustrates the generated total kWh from FIT and MicroFIT installations over the 10-year period of 2010 to 2019:

Table 8 - Embedded Generation kWh - 2010-2019

|  | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 0 | 65 | 2,638 | 4,706 | 2,439 | 7,605 | 5,208 | 5,768 | 7,991 | 9,214 |
| February | 0 | 1,664 | 4,659 | 2,407 | 2,992 | 6,503 | 13,850 | 12,728 | 14,040 | 12,655 |
| March | 0 | 5,312 | 10,173 | 15,410 | 13,924 | 37,781 | 29,901 | 28,699 | 41,770 | 35,598 |
| April | 0 | 7,099 | 13,281 | 23,775 | 25,104 | 41,390 | 44,752 | 43,250 | 37,676 | 35,834 |
| May | 0 | 6,194 | 16,576 | 33,327 | 32,010 | 51,652 | 56,991 | 47,947 | 57,043 | 44,721 |
| June | 0 | 9,229 | 16,383 | 31,146 | 48,617 | 49,235 | 59,808 | 51,759 | 54,834 | 55,082 |
| July | 0 | 22,629 | 16,817 | 24,908 | 52,243 | 56,402 | 57,728 | 51,602 | 59,166 | 61,228 |
| August | 0 | 10,674 | 20,048 | 30,729 | 46,971 | 45,641 | 52,637 | 48,390 | 47,776 | 57,104 |
| September | 0 | 8,059 | 16,364 | 25,519 | 39,980 | 41,373 | 43,823 | 44,462 | 38,626 | 36,923 |
| October | 0 | 6,054 | 8,530 | 17,141 | 20,846 | 26,679 | 27,242 | 29,071 | 21,692 | 29,569 |
| November | 0 | 4,891 | 6,433 | 8,804 | 7,638 | 15,989 | 19,126 | 10,901 | 4,955 | 8,601 |
| December | 24 | 1,825 | 3,199 | 2,247 | 8,282 | 8,323 | 2,148 | 4,527 | 5,517 | 5,499 |
| Total Embedded Generation kWh | 24 | 83,693 | 135,101 | 220,117 | 301,047 | 388,572 | 413,215 | 379,104 | 391,088 | 392,026 |

## Adjusted Wholesale Purchases:

The table below illustrates the sum of IESO Wholesale purchases plus kWh generated from FIT and MicroFIT installations (i.e. adjusted Wholesale purchases) for the period of 2010 to 2019:

Table 9 - Adjusted Wholesale kWh Purchases to include Embedded Generation

| Wholesale Purchases <br> Adjusted to Include <br> Embedded Generation | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 9,555,492 | 9,903,492 | 9,969,646 | 10,229,008 | 10,799,698 | 10,460,389 | 9,900,762 | 9,936,653 | 10,128,891 | 9,956,194 |
| February | 8,513,185 | 9,130,208 | 9,266,808 | 9,383,850 | 9,710,867 | 9,967,203 | 9,379,473 | 8,822,766 | 8,903,973 | 8,821,515 |
| March | 8,793,400 | 9,825,154 | 9,409,615 | 9,829,058 | 10,397,449 | 10,299,766 | 9,398,655 | 9,748,665 | 9,335,203 | 9,397,138 |
| April | 7,779,600 | 8,000,669 | 8,528,631 | 9,207,342 | 9,186,013 | 9,065,028 | 8,838,805 | 8,368,674 | 8,671,143 | 8,520,294 |
| May | 8,100,938 | 7,972,185 | 8,612,100 | 8,890,800 | 9,013,780 | 8,980,505 | 8,513,376 | 8,557,880 | 8,541,310 | 8,341,281 |
| June | 7,984,485 | 7,947,462 | 8,638,909 | 8,539,508 | 8,559,771 | 8,805,720 | 8,623,462 | 8,562,449 | 8,367,234 | 7,941,902 |
| July | 8,350,992 | 8,282,731 | 8,769,527 | 8,808,542 | 8,543,320 | 9,078,886 | 8,371,398 | 8,392,435 | 8,669,633 | 8,820,748 |
| August | 8,692,192 | 8,870,377 | 9,201,500 | 8,890,500 | 8,922,456 | 9,161,926 | 9,666,183 | 9,028,357 | 9,192,109 | 8,805,744 |
| September | 8,099,892 | 8,383,662 | 8,451,018 | 8,525,042 | 8,881,073 | 9,182,681 | 8,931,346 | 8,549,129 | 8,337,260 | 8,201,043 |
| October | 8,501,569 | 8,946,246 | 9,155,036 | 9,300,442 | 9,391,807 | 9,225,087 | 8,958,973 | 8,883,905 | 8,855,159 | 8,578,249 |
| November | 8,832,892 | 8,876,454 | 9,016,264 | 9,558,892 | 9,369,331 | 9,009,820 | 9,096,850 | 9,248,901 | 8,990,122 | 8,816,301 |
| December | 9,403,608 | 9,353,623 | 9,266,691 | 9,800,063 | 9,427,482 | 8,941,407 | 9,432,741 | 9,022,927 | 8,674,651 | 8,714,179 |
| Total Wholesale kWh <br> Purchases from IESO + <br> Embedded Generation | 102,608,246 | 105,492,262 | 108,285,745 | 110,963,047 | 112,203,045 | 112,178,419 | 109,112,022 | 107,122,742 | 106,666,688 | 104,914,586 |

The table below summarizes the annual Wholesale kWh purchases, the annual kWh generation and the resulting adjusted Wholesale kWh purchases:

Table 10 - Adjusted Wholesale kWh by Year

| Year | Wholesale <br> Purchases (kWh) | Generation <br> $(\mathbf{k W h})$ | Adjusted <br> Wholsale <br> Purchases (kWh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | $102,608,222$ | 24 | $C=A+B$ |
| 2011 | $105,408,568$ | 83,693 | $102,608,246$ |
| 2012 | $108,150,644$ | 135,101 | $105,492,262$ |
| 2013 | $110,742,930$ | 220,117 | $108,285,745$ |
| 2014 | $111,901,997$ | 301,047 | $112,963,047$ |
| 2015 | $111,789,846$ | 388,572 | $112,178,419$ |
| 2016 | $108,698,808$ | 413,215 | $109,112,022$ |
| 2017 | $106,743,638$ | 379,104 | $107,122,742$ |
| 2018 | $106,275,600$ | 391,088 | $106,666,688$ |
| 2019 | $104,522,560$ | 392,026 | $104,914,586$ |

## Wholesale Market Participants:

WNP has no (0) Wholesale Market Participant (WMP) customers so there were no wholesale adjustments required.

The graph below illustrates the adjusted Wholesale kWh purchases by month over the 10-year period 2010-2019. This data has been used in WNP's load forecast:

Table 11 - Monthly Adjusted Wholesale Purchases (kWh)


The table below summarizes the annual adjusted Wholesale kWh purchases that WNP has used to create its' Load Forecast for the Bridge Year (2020) and Test Year (2021):

Table 12 - Monthly Adjusted Wholesale Purchases (kWh)

| Year | Adjusted Wholsale <br> Purchases (kWh) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | $102,608,246$ |
| 2011 | $105,492,262$ |
| 2012 | $108,285,745$ |
| 2013 | $110,963,047$ |
| 2014 | $112,203,045$ |
| 2015 | $112,178,419$ |
| 2016 | $109,112,022$ |
| 2017 | $107,122,742$ |
| 2018 | $106,666,688$ |
| 2019 | $104,914,586$ |

### 3.1.7 OVERVIEW OF VARIABLES USED ${ }^{5}$

For WNP, the variation in monthly electricity consumption is influenced by 5 factors:

1) Weather (e.g. heating and cooling), which is by far the most dominant effect on most systems;
2) Number of days per month;
3) Regional Employment - increases or decreases in economic activity leads to changes in employment;
4) Conservation and Demand Management (CDM) - energy saving programs and initiatives have influenced consumers' and businesses' behaviour and usage patterns; and
5) Sensitive Customers - these are the large manufacturing customers (5 accounts) in the General Service 1000-4999 kW customer class who account for over 40\% of WNP's load and in the past, have been "sensitive" to external conditions. For example, these customers altered their shift pattern as a consequence of the 2008/2009 Global Recession which inturn affected WNP's IESO kWh wholesale purchases.

Details relating to each variable used in the regression analysis are presented in the next section.

## Heating and Cooling:

To determine the relationship between observed weather and energy consumption, monthly weather observations describing the extent of heating or cooling required within the month are necessary. Environment Canada publishes monthly observations on heating degree days (HDD) and cooling degree days (CDD) for selected weather stations across Canada. Heating degree-days for a given day are the number of Celsius degrees that the mean temperature is below $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cooling degree-days for a given day are the number of Celsius degrees that the mean

[^2]temperature is above $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For WNP, the monthly HDD and CDD as reported at Environment Canada's weather station at Mount Forest (latitude: $43^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 00.000{ }^{\prime \prime} N$; longitude: $80^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 00.000$ " W, elevation 414.50 meters) were used because this is the closest weather-station to the utility with reliable historic weather data.

WNP has adopted the 10-year average from 2010 to 2019 as the definition of weather normal. The LDC's opinion is that a ten-year average based on the most recent ten calendar years available is a reasonable compromise that likely reflects the "average" weather experienced in recent years. WNP used this definition in its' previous cost of service applications (EB-2015-0110 and EB-20110249) and many other LDCs have also adopted this definition for the purposes of cost-of-service rebasing.

The following table outlines the monthly weather data used in the regression analysis.
Table 13 - HDD and CDD as reported at Utility Location

| Heating Degree Day (HDD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | January | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November | December |
| 2010 | 791.50 | 680.10 | 504.70 | 273.20 | 148.20 | 55.23 | 12.70 | 19.30 | 137.00 | 301.00 | 439.27 | 744.30 |
| 2011 | 866.50 | 720.40 | 660.10 | 379.30 | 168.10 | 64.10 | 3.70 | 13.60 | 106.33 | 276.60 | 399.40 | 609.80 |
| 2012 | 694.60 | 611.40 | 388.70 | 399.00 | 123.80 | 56.40 | 0.40 | 22.50 | 134.70 | 292.20 | 505.72 | 590.90 |
| 2013 | 703.37 | 699.60 | 649.00 | 414.20 | 160.67 | 67.40 | 19.60 | 33.90 | 133.10 | 270.69 | 557.37 | 767.20 |
| 2014 | 899.70 | 820.97 | 767.16 | 423.07 | 185.60 | 36.00 | 59.10 | 40.50 | 117.20 | 292.40 | 548.07 | 623.73 |
| 2015 | 871.20 | 928.10 | 701.50 | 382.85 | 135.30 | 59.20 | 31.30 | 35.00 | 58.00 | 310.50 | 387.10 | 491.90 |
| 2016 | 744.95 | 660.05 | 522.60 | 438.15 | 187.60 | 66.55 | 17.30 | 3.00 | 66.60 | 250.80 | 383.15 | 678.60 |
| 2017 | 683.00 | 559.30 | 649.80 | 306.90 | 228.20 | 57.10 | 9.40 | 47.40 | 92.40 | 206.30 | 506.30 | 775.10 |
| 2018 | 792.90 | 619.60 | 631.60 | 515.70 | 120.00 | 46.50 | 11.00 | 5.70 | 87.90 | 338.70 | 568.90 | 623.70 |
| 2019 | 848.80 | 690.00 | 674.13 | 412.50 | 227.05 | 70.20 | 6.60 | 25.10 | 90.90 | 293.80 | 576.80 | 647.30 |
| 10 - Year Average | 789.65 | 698.95 | 614.93 | 394.49 | 168.45 | 57.87 | 17.11 | 24.60 | 102.41 | 283.30 | 487.21 | 655.25 |


| Cooling Degree Day (HDD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | January | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November | December |
| 2010 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 24.00 | 18.70 | 89.70 | 82.00 | 15.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2011 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.80 | 16.40 | 104.30 | 53.30 | 20.70 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2012 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.40 | 0.00 | 17.40 | 57.10 | 94.00 | 50.70 | 15.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 18.70 | 35.00 | 75.90 | 34.50 | 17.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2014 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.60 | 44.00 | 25.70 | 32.40 | 12.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2015 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 23.40 | 5.70 | 43.40 | 38.10 | 47.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2016 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 22.40 | 27.55 | 83.00 | 91.25 | 25.10 | 1.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2017 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.80 | 33.20 | 37.80 | 26.30 | 38.80 | 1.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2018 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 30.70 | 28.70 | 77.30 | 80.90 | 46.10 | 7.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2019 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 16.40 | 92.50 | 33.30 | 13.20 | 2.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 10 - Year Average | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.10 | 16.08 | 28.28 | 72.36 | 52.28 | 25.18 | 1.32 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

## Days per month:

WNP also tested a "Days per month" variable because this identifies seasonal peaks and accounts for the more / less energy depending on the number of days in the month. Although the variables did not yield particularly significant results, it did slightly improve the R-Square, and therefore WNP opted to keep it as a variable.

## Regional Employment:

In order to measure the change in economic activity, a data series must be chosen which represents, as much as possible, regional economic activity. WNP used the monthly full-time employment levels for the economic region of Kitchener-Waterloo-Barrie in Ontario as reported in Statistics Canada's Monthly Labour Force Survey (CANSIM). This variable was included because, because the Kitchener-Waterloo-Barrie is geographically closer to WNP's service area than other regional centers reported by Statistics Canada.

The table below outlines the regional employment levels for the WNP's economic region which were used in the regression analysis to predict WNP's load forecast.

Table 14 - Regional Employment Levels

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 633.60 | 649.30 | 670.90 | 681.60 | 689.40 | 705.70 | 715.80 | 695.30 | 703.70 | 748.70 |
| Jebruary | 630.50 | 651.20 | 668.70 | 682.60 | 682.30 | 700.10 | 710.90 | 696.50 | 692.60 | 741.30 |
| March | 627.50 | 657.10 | 666.00 | 683.60 | 680.20 | 698.30 | 709.40 | 697.80 | 688.90 | 733.80 |
| April | 631.60 | 666.40 | 667.40 | 685.40 | 679.40 | 697.60 | 707.40 | 705.60 | 695.40 | 734.00 |
| May | 641.50 | 671.50 | 672.10 | 690.30 | 690.00 | 704.90 | 712.40 | 717.20 | 704.20 | 747.10 |
| June | 657.20 | 681.80 | 678.40 | 696.70 | 704.40 | 715.10 | 714.60 | 736.20 | 720.20 | 762.30 |
| July | 669.80 | 691.50 | 682.00 | 702.80 | 715.10 | 716.60 | 712.30 | 747.10 | 739.30 | 764.20 |
| August 672.00 | 694.90 | 678.50 | 701.40 | 718.70 | 713.10 | 707.10 | 752.80 | 747.90 | 760.20 |  |
| September | 665.10 | 688.60 | 671.90 | 698.40 | 719.30 | 710.20 | 702.40 | 744.40 | 745.50 | 756.50 |
| October | 657.20 | 682.20 | 672.80 | 698.40 | 723.50 | 716.90 | 702.30 | 735.00 | 742.10 | 760.70 |
| November | 622.20 | 677.00 | 676.80 | 700.00 | 721.00 | 721.00 | 680.08 | 726.20 | 745.70 | 758.40 |
| December | 653.30 | 676.60 | 682.70 | 695.40 | 714.30 | 718.70 | 678.47 | 716.50 | 751.00 | 756.50 |

## Conservation and Demand Management (CDM):

The CDM variable is an estimate of monthly energy savings achieved from OPA/IESO prescribed CDM-programs since 2006. The CDM values include persistence savings (i.e. kWh savings that continue into future months and years). The addition of the monthly values will equal the sum of the total annual results presented in the table below. And, in the first year of the program, the half-year rule is applied.

The table below shows the CDM results (kWh) and provides the source of the annual program and persistence data by program year.

Table 15 - CDM kWh Variable Data with Half-Year Rule Applied

| Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OPA Annual CDM } \\ & \text { Results } \\ & 2006 \text { to } 2010 \\ & \text { programs (kWh) } \end{aligned}$ | OPA / IESO Annual CDM Results 2011 to 2014 programs (kWh) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IESO Annual CDM } \\ & \text { Results } \\ & 2015 \text { to } 2017 \\ & \text { programs (kWh) } \end{aligned}$ | 2018 and 2019 <br> Programs (kWh) <br> Participation \& Cost Report | $\begin{gathered} 2020 \\ \text { Programs } \end{gathered}$ | Total Annual CDM Results (kWh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | 119,655 |  |  |  |  | 119,655 |
| 2007 | 317,913 |  |  |  |  | 317,913 |
| 2008 | 586,960 |  |  |  |  | 586,960 |
| 2009 | 1,153,337 |  |  |  |  | 1,153,337 |
| 2010 | 1,406,316 |  |  |  |  | 1,406,316 |
| 2011 | 1,426,937 | 76,759 |  |  |  | 1,503,696 |
| 2012 | 1,406,861 | 487,851 |  |  |  | 1,894,712 |
| 2013 | 1,398,269 | 831,113 |  |  |  | 2,229,382 |
| 2014 | 1,353,128 | 1,146,521 |  |  |  | 2,499,649 |
| 2015 | 1,226,988 | 1,300,953 | 396,066 |  |  | 2,924,007 |
| 2016 | 1,162,172 | 1,300,953 | 1,088,425 |  |  | 3,551,550 |
| 2017 | 958,187 | 1,300,953 | 1,812,828 |  |  | 4,071,968 |
| 2018 | 724,907 | 1,300,953 | 2,239,613 | 316,401 |  | 4,581,874 |
| 2019 | 666,840 | 1,300,953 | 2,239,613 | 992,123 |  | 5,199,528 |
| 2020 | 441,871 | 1,300,953 | 2,239,613 | 1,347,598 | 0 | 5,330,034 |
| 2021 | 432,369 | 1,300,953 | 2,210,863 | 1,347,598 | 0 | 5,291,782 |

* kWh Savings are reported net savings plus persistence (without losses)

WNP used the CDM results from the following CDM programs:

- 2006 to 2010 Programs - kWh Net Savings and persistence up to Test Year 2021 derived from OPA Published results.
- 2011 to 2014 Programs- kWh Net Savings and persistence up to Test Year 2021 derived from OPA/IESO Annual Published reports.
- January 2015 to April 2019 under the Conservation First Framework Programs - kWh Net Savings and persistence up to Test Year 2021 derived from IESO Annual Published reports for years 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 and the Participation \& Cost report of April 2019.

The Ministry of Energy, Northern Development and Mines' directive of March 20, 2019, the IESO's Conservation First Framework (CFF) was revoked and all electricity CDM activity from April $1^{\text {st }} 2019$ onwards will be centrally delivered and administered by the IESO. As a result, WNP has reported no CDM programs for 2020. Energy savings for Bridge Year (2020) and Test Year (2021) in the table above are the persistent kWh savings generated from prior years CDM programs.

The table below summarizes the CDM variable data that has been used by WNP.

Table 16 - CDM kWh Variable Data with Half-Year Rule Applied

|  | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 132,356 | 120,822 | 150,638 | 189,298 | 211,077 | 239,322 | 288,175 | 346,871 | 381,012 | 437,188 | 484,257 | 463,519 |
| February | 131,088 | 123,230 | 153,964 | 191,077 | 213,285 | 243,284 | 293,443 | 349,547 | 385,714 | 441,648 | 482,266 | 464,681 |
| March | 129,821 | 125,638 | 157,289 | 192,856 | 215,492 | 247,246 | 298,712 | 352,223 | 390,415 | 446,108 | 480,275 | 465,843 |
| April | 128,553 | 128,046 | 160,615 | 194,636 | 217,700 | 251,208 | 303,981 | 354,900 | 395,117 | 450,568 | 478,284 | 467,005 |
| May | 127,286 | 130,455 | 163,941 | 196,415 | 219,907 | 255,171 | 307,519 | 357,576 | 399,818 | 455,028 | 476,293 | 468,167 |
| June | 126,019 | 132,863 | 167,267 | 198,194 | 222,115 | 259,133 | 312,758 | 360,253 | 404,520 | 459,488 | 474,302 | 469,329 |
| July | 124,751 | 135,271 | 170,592 | 199,973 | 224,322 | 263,095 | 317,997 | 362,929 | 409,221 | 463,948 | 472,312 | 470,491 |
| August | 123,484 | 137,679 | 173,918 | 201,753 | 226,530 | 267,057 | 323,237 | 365,605 | 413,923 | 468,408 | 470,321 | 471,653 |
| September | 122,216 | 140,088 | 177,244 | 203,532 | 228,737 | 271,019 | 328,476 | 368,282 | 418,624 | 472,868 | 468,330 | 472,815 |
| October | 120,949 | 142,496 | 180,856 | 205,311 | 230,945 | 274,981 | 333,715 | 370,958 | 423,326 | 477,328 | 466,339 | 473,977 |
| November | 119,681 | 144,904 | 184,187 | 207,091 | 233,152 | 278,943 | 338,955 | 373,635 | 428,027 | 481,788 | 464,348 | 475,139 |
| December | 118,414 | 147,312 | 187,518 | 208,870 | 235,360 | 282,906 | 344,194 | 376,311 | 432,728 | 486,248 | 462,357 | 476,301 |
| Total CDM kWh | 1,504,617 | 1,608,804 | 2,028,029 | 2,389,006 | 2,678,624 | 3,133,365 | 3,791,162 | 4,339,089 | 4,882,445 | 5,540,617 | 5,679,685 | 5,638,923 |

## Sensitive Customers:

WNP has five customer accounts in its General Service 1,000-4,999 kW customer class, all of which are manufacturers. These customers account for over $40 \%$ of WNP's annual load and, in the past, have been "sensitive" to external conditions. For example, these customers altered their shift pattern as a consequence of the 2008/2009 Global Recession which in-turn affected WNP's IESO kWh wholesale purchases.

In WNP's 2012 Cost of Service rate application (EB-2011-0249), Exhibit 3 / Tab 2 / Schedule 1 described how the utility:
"removed data for three specific accounts from the analysis due to their negative effect on the results of the regression analysis. All three accounts are in the GS> 1000-4999KW customer class and Wellington North Power Inc. used experience and knowledge of these customers' historical loads to predict their usage for the [2011] Bridge and [2012] Test Years".

In this rate application, WNP has included the GS $>1,000-4,999 \mathrm{~kW}$ customers in the regression analysis and created a variable based on their monthly billed kWh (without losses) as per the table below:

Table 17 - Sensitive Customers Billed kWh (with Losses)

| Sensitive Customers | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 3,487,296 | 3,844,683 | 4,250,570 | 4,525,947 | 4,667,490 | 4,513,683 | 4,405,571 | 4,687,093 | 4,526,353 | 4,403,212 |
| February | 3,203,841 | 3,768,297 | 4,141,903 | 4,293,132 | 4,342,401 | 4,307,575 | 4,328,369 | 4,246,253 | 4,091,882 | 3,946,642 |
| March | 3,646,819 | 4,320,214 | 4,592,874 | 4,392,825 | 4,788,789 | 4,884,587 | 4,524,815 | 4,726,111 | 4,458,043 | 4,369,393 |
| April | 3,531,303 | 3,411,270 | 4,218,127 | 4,685,486 | 4,624,196 | 4,732,559 | 4,449,083 | 4,367,736 | 4,217,020 | 4,248,726 |
| May | 3,786,484 | 3,753,616 | 4,557,568 | 4,795,235 | 4,967,135 | 5,023,123 | 4,474,576 | 4,615,335 | 4,625,443 | 4,400,983 |
| June | 3,861,171 | 3,885,860 | 4,499,266 | 4,516,928 | 4,578,201 | 4,909,278 | 4,649,235 | 4,628,754 | 4,420,602 | 4,127,497 |
| July | 3,721,818 | 3,654,592 | 4,141,164 | 4,372,227 | 4,518,533 | 4,834,398 | 3,951,978 | 4,242,129 | 4,194,517 | 4,161,544 |
| August | 4,170,740 | 4,498,565 | 4,856,394 | 4,771,016 | 4,873,161 | 5,059,556 | 5,045,747 | 4,968,790 | 4,725,689 | 4,590,396 |
| September | 3,919,809 | 4,242,245 | 4,216,762 | 4,513,365 | 4,845,023 | 5,022,140 | 4,829,713 | 4,504,351 | 4,238,332 | 4,357,475 |
| October | 3,943,157 | 4,411,474 | 4,599,892 | 4,880,787 | 4,964,577 | 4,871,537 | 4,731,581 | 4,755,960 | 4,538,667 | 4,416,878 |
| November | 3,894,738 | 4,082,024 | 4,092,980 | 4,552,413 | 4,377,795 | 4,473,874 | 4,636,843 | 4,578,001 | 4,247,843 | 4,037,559 |
| December | 3,453,656 | 3,790,571 | 3,797,850 | 4,004,843 | 3,953,464 | 3,903,048 | 4,074,210 | 3,605,479 | 3,518,108 | 3,487,389 |
| Total Billed kWh with Loss | 44,620,831 | 47,663,410 | 51,965,350 | 54,304,204 | 55,500,763 | 56,535,359 | 54,101,720 | 53,925,992 | 51,802,500 | 50,547,692 |

## Note regarding COVID-19 pandemic:

WNP observed that all customer accounts in its General Service 1,000-4,999 kW customer class were affected in April 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Two accounts shut-down plants for two weeks for cleaning, sterilization, and updating the facilitates to meet public health social distancing requirements; after two weeks, the plants re-opened and operated as normal. The three other accounts saw some reduction in load but not complete shut-down in April. As mentioned, in its' load forecast, WNP has not assumptions to account for the impact of COVID-19 in the Bridget Year (2020) or Test Year (2021) and WNP will track any lost revenue from these customers
in the COVID regulatory account if one or more of the customers in this rate class close because of the pandemic.

## Multiple Regression Analysis:

Using a combination of adjusted Wholesale kWh purchases and the variables listed above, a multiple regression analysis was used to develop an equation describing the relationship between monthly actual wholesale kWh and the explanatory variables. WNP also used a correlation function to examine the relationship between the variables included in the analysis. The results of the correlation analysis for each scenario can also be found at worksheet "2a. Power Purchase Model" of Appendix 3B - WNP Wholesale Load Forecast.

To project the adjusted wholesale purchases for the 2020 Bridge Year and 2021 Test Year, the model uses the following mathematical conventions:

Table 18 - Treatment of Variables in Bridge Year \& Test Year

| Variable | Convention Applied to 2020 Bridge and 2021 Test Years |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a) | Heating and Cooling: | Used 10-year monthly average of 2010 to 20019 and applied to both <br> 2020 and 2021. |
| b)Number of days per <br> month: | Used actuals for both 2020 and 2021. <br> c) Employment Factor: | Used the 10-year Trend of 2010 to 2019 data and applied to both <br> 2020 and 2021. |
| d) | CDM | Used 2010 to 2019 results with CDM persistence as per OPA/ IESO <br> verified reports (for years 2006 to 2017) and Participation \& Cost <br> reports (for 2018 and up to April 2019) and applied to both 2020 <br> and 2021. <br> This variable was used because there is no separate CDM <br> adjustment in the resulting load forecast for the Bridget Year (2020) <br> and Test Year (2021). |
| e) | Sensitive customers: | Used a 10-year trend on the actual monthly billed kWh (without <br> losses) values and applied to both 2020 and 2021. |

## Origin of Variables:

| - Heating Degree Days: | Stats Canada (Environment Canada's weather station at <br>  <br> - Cooling Degree Days: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mount Forest.) <br> - Dats Canada (Environment Canada's weather station at <br> Mount Forest.) |  |
|  | Computed by the utility. |


| - Regional Employment | Stats Canada (region: Kitchener-Waterloo-Barrie). <br> OPA/IESO published reports containing kWh net-savings <br> and persistence for future years kWh savings. |
| :--- | :--- |
| - Sensitive Customers | Computed by the utility. |

## Rationale for including and excluding variables:

During the process of testing the regression analysis, many different variables and time periods are tested to arrive at the best R-Squared. The utility's rationale behind selecting or dropping certain variables involves a "no-worst" rationale. In other words, if a variable is justified and does not worsen the R-Squared results, it is generally kept as one of the regression variables. For instance, "number of Peak Hours" was dropped as a variable because it was not statistically significant.

The results of the correlation analysis for each scenario of variables tested can also be found at worksheet "6. Load F-Cast Scenarios" of Appendix 3B - WNP Wholesale Load Forecast.

## 1 3.1.8 REGRESSION RESULTS

2 The table below represents the regression results used to determine WNP's Load Forecast:
Table 19 - Correlation/Regression Results

| Regression Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Multiple R | 0.9751 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R Square | 0.9509 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.9482 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standard Error | 133925.4005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Observations | 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ANOVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | df | SS | MS | $F$ | Significance F |  |  |  |
| Regression | 6 | 39215243543551 | 6535873923925 | 364 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Residual | 113 | 2026769456665 | 17936012891 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 119 | 41242013000216 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Coefficients | Standard Error | t Stat | $P$-value | Lower 95\% | Upper 95\% | Lower 95.0\% | Upper 95.0\% |
| Intercept | -724235.9099 | 653583.7235 | -1.108099672 | 0.270171778 | -2019103.146 | 570631.3263 | -2019103.146 | 570631.3263 |
| Heating Degree Day | 2597.635408 | 65.38325378 | 39.72936888 | 3.10362E-68 | 2468.09939 | 2727.171426 | 2468.09939 | 2727.171426 |
| Cooling Degree Day | 10105.53227 | 678.5559418 | 14.89270324 | 2.04666E-28 | 8761.19057 | 11449.87398 | 8761.19057 | 11449.87398 |
| \# of Days in Month | 124979.1741 | 15464.21395 | 8.081831673 | 7.8144E-13 | 94341.77716 | 155616.5711 | 94341.77716 | 155616.5711 |
| Regional Employment | 1781.927646 | 813.5687331 | 2.190260728 | 0.030559565 | 170.1012505 | 3393.754041 | 170.1012505 | 3393.754041 |
| CDM | -1.366799891 | 0.222257399 | -6.149626047 | $1.2037 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -1.807131885 | -0.926467897 | -1.807131885 | -0.926467897 |
| Sensitive Customers | 0.907035002 | 0.033576398 | 27.01406517 | 3.9975E-51 | 0.840514102 | 0.973555902 | 0.840514102 | 0.973555902 |

The resulting regression equation yields an adjusted R -squared of 0.9482 . When actual annual Wholesale kWh Purchases values are compared to annual Wholesale kWh Predicted values resulting from the regression equation, the mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) is $0.200 \%$.

Once WNP calculated its' preferred Regression Results, the Load Forecast model then uses the coefficients from the regression results to adjust the Wholesale purchases. The table below demonstrates the results of this adjustment and compares the actual Wholesale purchases to the predicted Wholesale purchases.

Table 20 - Wholesale vs. Adjusted Purchases using the Coefficients from the Regression Results

| Year | Wholesale Adjusted | Year over Year Predicted Purchases | Variance (kWh) <br> Predicted less Actual | Variance (Abs) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | $102,608,246$ |  | $102,173,701$ | $-434,545$ | $0.42 \%$ |
| 2011 | $105,492,262$ | $2.8 \%$ | $105,683,889$ | 191,627 | $0.18 \%$ |
| 2012 | $108,285,745$ | $2.6 \%$ | $108,029,546$ | $-256,200$ | $0.24 \%$ |
| 2013 | $110,963,047$ | $2.5 \%$ | $111,196,047$ | 233,001 | $0.21 \%$ |
| 2014 | $112,203,045$ | $1.1 \%$ | $112,379,327$ | 176,283 | $0.16 \%$ |
| 2015 | $112,178,419$ | $0.0 \%$ | $112,108,114$ | $-70,305$ | $0.06 \%$ |
| 2016 | $109,112,022$ | $-2.7 \%$ | $108,980,115$ | $-131,908$ | $0.12 \%$ |
| 2017 | $107,122,742$ | $-1.8 \%$ | $107,482,226$ | 359,484 | $0.34 \%$ |
| 2018 | $106,666,688$ | $-0.4 \%$ | $106,776,885$ | 110,198 | $0.10 \%$ |
| 2019 | $104,914,586$ | $-1.6 \%$ | $104,736,951$ | $-177,636$ | $0.17 \%$ |

The graph below illustrates variances between Actual Wholesale kWh Purchase kWh versus Adjusted Wholesale kWh Purchases indicating the resulting prediction equation to be reasonable:

Table 21 - Actual Wholesale kWh Purchases vs. Forecasted kWh


The table below presents the results of the mean absolute deviation (MAD), the mean square error (MSE), the root mean square (RMSE) and the mean absolute percentage error (MAPE):

Table 22 - MAP-MSE-MAPE Results

| Time | Actual | Forecast | Variance <br> Actual less <br> Forecast | Mean Absolute <br> Deviation <br> (MAD) | Mean Square of <br> Error <br> $($ MSE $)$ | Mean Absolute <br> Percentage Error <br> (MAPE) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t$ | $A_{t}$ | $F_{t}$ | $=A_{t}-F_{t}$ | $=A B S\left(A_{t}-F_{t}\right)$ | $=A B S\left(A_{t}-F_{t)} \wedge 2\right.$ | $=A B S\left(A_{t}-F_{t} / A_{t}\right.$ |
| 1 | $102,608,246$ | $102,173,701$ | 434,545 | 434,545 | $188,829,403,739$ | $0.42 \%$ |
| 2 | $105,492,262$ | $105,683,889$ | $-191,627$ | 191,627 | $36,720,921,795$ | $0.18 \%$ |
| 3 | $108,285,745$ | $108,029,546$ | 256,200 | 256,200 | $65,638,240,635$ | $0.24 \%$ |
| 4 | $110,963,047$ | $111,196,047$ | $-233,001$ | 233,001 | $54,289,296,254$ | $0.21 \%$ |
| 5 | $112,203,045$ | $112,379,327$ | $-176,283$ | 176,283 | $31,075,546,818$ | $0.16 \%$ |
| 6 | $112,178,419$ | $112,108,114$ | 70,305 | 70,305 | $4,942,775,505$ | $0.06 \%$ |
| 7 | $109,112,022$ | $108,980,115$ | 131,908 | 131,908 | $17,399,600,555$ | $0.12 \%$ |
| 8 | $107,122,742$ | $107,482,226$ | $-359,484$ | 359,484 | $129,229,085,307$ | $0.34 \%$ |
| 9 | $106,666,688$ | $106,776,885$ | $-110,198$ | 110,198 | $12,143,579,496$ | $0.10 \%$ |
| 10 | $104,914,586$ | $104,736,951$ | 177,636 | 177,636 | $31,554,387,638$ | $0.17 \%$ |
| Total |  |  |  | $571,822,837,741$ | $2.00 \%$ |  |

The mean absolute deviation (MAD) is the sum of absolute differences between the actual purchases and the forecast purchases divided by the number of observations.

Mean square error (MSE) is probably the most commonly used error metric. It penalizes larger errors because squaring larger numbers has a greater impact than squaring smaller numbers. The MSE is the sum of the squared errors divided by the number of observations.

Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) is the average of absolute errors divided by actual observation values.

## 1 Twenty-Year Weather Normalization

2 The table below displays 20 years of historical Heating Degree Days (HDD) and Cooling Degree
3 Days (CDD) data.

4
Table 23 - Twenty-year HDD \& CDD Data

| Heating Degree Day (HDD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HDD | January | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November | December |
| 2000 | 800.40 | 673.60 | 493.17 | 413.13 | 196.00 | 78.40 | 50.80 | 42.80 | 165.90 | 280.20 | 506.57 | 845.01 |
| 2001 | 754.00 | 679.70 | 681.30 | 369.90 | 172.20 | 79.00 | 51.40 | 17.00 | 165.80 | 298.22 | 387.70 | 568.27 |
| 2002 | 722.56 | 663.30 | 625.77 | 390.73 | 297.70 | 69.20 | 16.68 | 26.90 | 67.37 | 371.10 | 531.60 | 701.30 |
| 2003 | 902.08 | 798.60 | 661.20 | 420.64 | 239.47 | 81.70 | 25.70 | 24.60 | 111.30 | 333.00 | 447.90 | 649.40 |
| 2004 | 913.20 | 701.70 | 575.90 | 377.40 | 221.70 | 104.15 | 30.60 | 71.90 | 83.90 | 290.60 | 445.90 | 729.10 |
| 2005 | 829.30 | 691.00 | 708.10 | 357.60 | 244.50 | 26.90 | 13.60 | 11.80 | 68.20 | 273.60 | 445.50 | 721.80 |
| 2006 | 626.30 | 693.70 | 613.60 | 328.40 | 176.50 | 59.70 | 8.60 | 39.90 | 145.00 | 351.80 | 420.90 | 569.80 |
| 2007 | 729.30 | 793.80 | 593.10 | 424.30 | 170.30 | 55.50 | 34.00 | 26.30 | 83.90 | 189.20 | 525.90 | 696.20 |
| 2008 | 693.80 | 736.00 | 698.00 | 299.10 | 263.10 | 50.30 | 19.40 | 32.23 | 98.80 | 329.80 | 516.60 | 733.60 |
| 2009 | 901.40 | 679.40 | 597.00 | 361.70 | 219.60 | 99.10 | 61.20 | 43.00 | 110.20 | 345.30 | 396.20 | 698.60 |
| 2010 | 791.50 | 680.10 | 504.70 | 273.20 | 148.20 | 55.23 | 12.70 | 19.30 | 137.00 | 301.00 | 439.27 | 744.30 |
| 2011 | 866.50 | 720.40 | 660.10 | 379.30 | 168.10 | 64.10 | 3.70 | 13.60 | 106.33 | 276.60 | 399.40 | 609.80 |
| 2012 | 694.60 | 611.40 | 388.70 | 399.00 | 123.80 | 56.40 | 0.40 | 22.50 | 134.70 | 292.20 | 505.72 | 590.90 |
| 2013 | 703.37 | 699.60 | 649.00 | 414.20 | 160.67 | 67.40 | 19.60 | 33.90 | 133.10 | 270.69 | 557.37 | 767.20 |
| 2014 | 899.70 | 820.97 | 767.16 | 423.07 | 185.60 | 36.00 | 59.10 | 40.50 | 117.20 | 292.40 | 548.07 | 623.73 |
| 2015 | 871.20 | 928.10 | 701.50 | 382.85 | 135.30 | 59.20 | 31.30 | 35.00 | 58.00 | 310.50 | 387.10 | 491.90 |
| 2016 | 744.95 | 660.05 | 522.60 | 438.15 | 187.60 | 66.55 | 17.30 | 3.00 | 66.60 | 250.80 | 383.15 | 678.60 |
| 2017 | 683.00 | 559.30 | 649.80 | 306.90 | 228.20 | 57.10 | 9.40 | 47.40 | 92.40 | 206.30 | 506.30 | 775.10 |
| 2018 | 792.90 | 619.60 | 631.60 | 515.70 | 120.00 | 46.50 | 11.00 | 5.70 | 87.90 | 338.70 | 568.90 | 623.70 |
| 2019 | 848.80 | 690.00 | 674.13 | 412.50 | 227.05 | 70.20 | 6.60 | 25.10 | 90.90 | 293.80 | 576.80 | 647.30 |
| 10 - Year Average | 789.65 | 698.95 | 614.93 | 394.49 | 168.45 | 57.87 | 17.11 | 24.60 | 102.41 | 283.30 | 487.21 | 655.25 |
| 20 - Year Average | 788.44 | 705.02 | 619.82 | 384.39 | 194.28 | 64.13 | 24.15 | 29.12 | 106.23 | 294.79 | 474.84 | 673.28 |
| 20 Year Trend | 787.66 | 695.53 | 634.71 | 403.76 | 164.32 | 53.82 | 13.34 | 23.48 | 87.81 | 277.20 | 503.53 | 642.64 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cooling Degree Day (HDD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CDD | January | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November | December |
| 2000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.90 | 19.80 | 22.60 | 39.62 | 13.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2001 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.50 | 37.60 | 44.80 | 56.50 | 13.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2002 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 3.90 | 43.30 | 91.52 | 44.60 | 43.17 | 3.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2003 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 17.60 | 40.00 | 54.40 | 9.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2004 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.50 | 15.70 | 35.30 | 24.40 | 20.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2005 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.60 | 98.50 | 85.30 | 62.10 | 22.60 | 9.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2006 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 21.20 | 29.30 | 96.50 | 35.30 | 2.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2007 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.10 | 46.30 | 43.40 | 57.20 | 29.40 | 15.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2008 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.40 | 0.30 | 44.80 | 55.10 | 28.40 | 4.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2009 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 15.50 | 10.30 | 48.10 | 7.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2010 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 24.00 | 18.70 | 89.70 | 82.00 | 15.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2011 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.80 | 16.40 | 104.30 | 53.30 | 20.70 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2012 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.40 | 0.00 | 17.40 | 57.10 | 94.00 | 50.70 | 15.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 18.70 | 35.00 | 75.90 | 34.50 | 17.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2014 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.60 | 44.00 | 25.70 | 32.40 | 12.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2015 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 23.40 | 5.70 | 43.40 | 38.10 | 47.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2016 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 22.40 | 27.55 | 83.00 | 91.25 | 25.10 | 1.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2017 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.80 | 33.20 | 37.80 | 26.30 | 38.80 | 1.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2018 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 30.70 | 28.70 | 77.30 | 80.90 | 46.10 | 7.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2019 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 16.40 | 92.50 | 33.30 | 13.20 | 2.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 10 - Year Average | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.10 | 16.08 | 28.28 | 72.36 | 52.28 | 25.18 | 1.32 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 20 - Year Average | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.40 | 11.39 | 32.56 | 62.42 | 48.67 | 20.94 | 2.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 20 Year Trend | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | -0.20 | 17.24 | 26.65 | 73.29 | 51.91 | 27.90 | 2.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

In accordance with the Filing Requirements ${ }^{6}$, WNP has provided a forecast assuming twenty-year normal weather conditions. The effect of using both a 10-year average of HDD and CDD data (2010-2019) versus a trend based on 20-year HDD and CDD data (2000-2019) to weather normalize the adjusted Wholesale kWh purchases is illustrated in the table below:

Table 24 - Weather Normalization Forecast Comparison: $\mathbf{1 0}$ yr Average vs $\mathbf{2 0}$ yr Trend

|  | Weather <br> Normalized kWh <br> (10-Yr Average) | Weather <br> Normalized kWh <br> (20-Yr Trend) | kWh Variance <br> between Predicted <br> Forecasts |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C = A - B | $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Jan-21 | $9,919,724$ | $9,915,035$ | 4,690 | $0.05 \%$ |
| Feb-21 | $9,075,933$ | $9,062,149$ | 13,784 | $0.15 \%$ |
| Mar-21 | $9,621,682$ | $9,643,608$ | $(21,926)$ | $-0.23 \%$ |
| Apr-21 | $8,711,714$ | $8,702,133$ | 9,581 | $0.11 \%$ |
| May-21 | $8,604,969$ | $8,608,655$ | $(3,686)$ | $-0.04 \%$ |
| Jun-21 | $8,229,965$ | $8,192,701$ | 37,264 | $0.45 \%$ |
| Jul-21 | $8,452,620$ | $8,468,640$ | $(16,020)$ | $-0.19 \%$ |
| Aug-21 | $8,791,826$ | $8,813,838$ | $(22,013)$ | $-0.25 \%$ |
| Sep-21 | $8,361,324$ | $8,350,135$ | 11,188 | $0.13 \%$ |
| Oct-21 | $8,851,395$ | $8,846,410$ | 4,985 | $0.06 \%$ |
| Nov-21 | $8,949,595$ | $8,979,531$ | $(29,936)$ | $-0.33 \%$ |
| Dec-21 | $8,978,093$ | $8,968,457$ | 9,636 | $0.11 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 6 , 5 4 8 , 8 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 6 , 5 5 1 , 2 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 , 4 5 4 )}$ | $-0.002 \%$ |

Based upon the above result, there is minimal kWh difference in the weather-normalized Load Forecast when using 20-year trended HDD and CDD data when compared to the 10-year average of HDD and CDD data. Consequently, WNP's Load Forecast for Predicted kWh Purchases maintains using the most recent 10-year average (2010 to 2019) of HDD and CDD data.

[^3]
## Adjustment to the Wholesale kWh Forecast for COVID-19 Pandemic

WNP has made no adjustments to its' adjusted Wholesale kWh purchases to account for the effect of changing electricity usage as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. With the Emergency Order declared by the Province of Ontario on March $17^{\text {th }} 2020$, there have been notable changes resulting in altered behaviour patterns of electricity consumption and demand by residential and business customers. For example, business closures and an increase in the number of people working from home.

WNP is not cognizant of the medium or long-term effects of these behavioral changes and how it may alter the utility's load forecast. Therefore, WNP has not made any adjustments to its' adjusted Wholesale kWh purchases due to COVID-19. Since WNP's load forecast for the Bridge Year (2020) and Test Year depend on "COVID Free" data, WNP is tracking deviations from the load forecast caused by COVID-19 in the regulatory COVID account as announced by the OEB.

## Adjustment to Wholesale Forecast due to LED Streetlight Conversion

In WNP's service area, the utility maintains and is the hydro provider for urban streetlights on behalf of the Townships of Southgate (for the village of Holstein) and Wellington North (for Arthur and Mount Forest).

In 2017, the Township of Southgate awarded a contract to a third-party to convert the streetlights in Holstein to light-emitting diodes (LEDs). All 27 streetlights in Holstein, powered by and billed by WNP were converted to LED in 2017. Because the conversion project was completed in 2017, Wholesale kWh purchased in years 2018 and 2019 would include actual kW demand and kWh consumption from the LED streetlights in Holstein.

Included in WNP's 2015-2020 Conservation First budget, approved by the IESO, was a CDM program to replace all high-pressure sodium (HPS) lights used in the streetlights with lightemitting diodes (LEDs) in Arthur and Mount Forest owned by the Township of Wellington North. Greensaver, under contract to Wellington North Power to deliver saveONenergy ${ }^{\text {™ }}$ programs, worked with the Township of Wellington North to develop the LED retrofit project. The project
was approved in Quarter 1 of 2019 and all streetlights were converted to LED by the end of November 2019. The table below illustrates the kWh (without losses) for 2019 for all streetlights maintained and billed by WNP:

Table 25-2019 Streetlight Data

| Light Type | Arthur Streetlights HPS |  | Mount Forest Streetlights HPS |  | Holstein Streetlights LED |  | Total Streetlights |  | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | kWh <br> (without Loss) | kW | kWh <br> (without Loss) | kW | $\begin{gathered} \text { kWh } \\ \text { (without Loss) } \end{gathered}$ | kW | kWh <br> (without Loss) | kW |  |
| Jan-19 | 30,052.64 | 63.60 | 43,507.26 | 92.00 | 1,361.52 | 2.90 | 74,921.42 | 158.50 | Actual |
| Feb-19 | 25,809.56 | 63.60 | 37,364.60 | 92.00 | 1,169.28 | 2.90 | 64,343.44 | 158.50 | Actual |
| Mar-19 | 25,618.71 | 63.60 | 37,088.09 | 92.00 | 1,160.64 | 2.90 | 63,867.44 | 158.50 | Actual |
| Apr-19 | 21,454.80 | 63.60 | 31,060.20 | 92.00 | 972.00 | 2.90 | 53,487.00 | 158.50 | Actual |
| May-19 | 19,706.70 | 63.60 | 28,529.61 | 92.00 | 892.80 | 2.90 | 49,129.11 | 158.50 | Actual |
| Jun-19 | 16,210.50 | 63.60 | 23,468.10 | 92.00 | 734.40 | 2.90 | 40,413.00 | 158.50 | Actual |
| Jul-19 | 17,243.44 | 63.60 | 24,963.37 | 92.00 | 781.20 | 2.90 | 42,988.01 | 158.50 | Actual |
| Aug-19 | 19,214.11 | 63.60 | 27,816.30 | 92.00 | 870.48 | 2.90 | 47,900.89 | 158.50 | Actual |
| Sep-19 | 20,978.10 | 63.60 | 30,370.20 | 92.00 | 950.40 | 2.90 | 52,298.70 | 158.50 | Actual |
| Oct-19 | 24,633.22 | 63.60 | 35,661.78 | 92.00 | 1,116.00 | 2.90 | 61,411.00 | 158.50 | Actual |
| Nov-19 | 26,700.24 | 63.60 | 38,652.60 | 92.00 | 1,209.60 | 2.90 | 66,562.44 | 158.50 | Actual |
| Dec-19 | 29,560.98 | 63.60 | 42,794.26 | 92.00 | 1,339.20 | 2.90 | 73,694.44 | 158.50 | Actual |
| Total | 277,183.00 | 763.20 | 401,276.37 | 1,104.00 | 12,557.52 | 34.80 | 691,016.89 | 1,902.00 |  |

As a result of the LED streetlight conversion for the streetlights in Arthur and Mount Forest, the monthly kW demand was reduced. For Arthur, the monthly kW reduced from 63.60 kW to 15.60 kW; for Mount Forest it changed from 92.00 kW to 34.23 kW .

WNP uses an unmetered profile to determined kWh (without loses) calculated by:

$$
k W h=k W x \text { number of days in month } x \text { Hours Streetlight On }
$$

The tables below show the monthly kWh, applying the above formula, using the revised kW demand due to the LED conversion:

Table 26 - LED Streetlight Data - Arthur \& Mount Forest

|  | Arthur Streetlights |  |  |  | Mount Forest Streetlights |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | kW | Days | Hours of LED On | kWh without Loss | kW | Days | Hours of LED On | kWh without Loss |
|  | A | B | C | $D=A \times B \times C$ | A | B | C | $D=A \times B \times C$ |
| January | 15.60 | 31 | 15.25 | 7,375.59 | 34.23 | 31 | 15.25 | 16,183.75 |
| February | 15.60 | 28 | 14.50 | 6,334.19 | 34.23 | 28 | 14.50 | 13,898.68 |
| March | 15.60 | 31 | 13.00 | 6,287.39 | 34.23 | 31 | 13.00 | 13,795.98 |
| April | 15.60 | 30 | 11.25 | 5,265.49 | 34.23 | 30 | 11.25 | 11,553.71 |
| May | 15.60 | 31 | 10.00 | 4,836.45 | 34.23 | 31 | 10.00 | 10,612.29 |
| June | 15.60 | 30 | 8.50 | 3,978.37 | 34.23 | 30 | 8.50 | 8,729.47 |
| July | 15.60 | 31 | 8.75 | 4,231.90 | 34.23 | 31 | 8.75 | 9,285.76 |
| August | 15.60 | 31 | 9.75 | 4,715.54 | 34.23 | 31 | 9.75 | 10,346.98 |
| September | 15.60 | 30 | 11.00 | 5,148.48 | 34.23 | 30 | 11.00 | 11,296.96 |
| October | 15.60 | 31 | 12.50 | 6,045.57 | 34.23 | 31 | 12.50 | 13,265.37 |
| November | 15.60 | 30 | 14.00 | 6,552.61 | 34.23 | 30 | 14.00 | 14,377.94 |
| December | 15.60 | 31 | 15.00 | 7,254.68 | 34.23 | 31 | 15.00 | 15,918.44 |
| Total | 187.20 |  |  | 68,026.27 | 410.76 |  |  | 149,265.33 |

Source: For LED hours "on" time, refer to https://www.timeanddate.com/sun/canada/toronto

1 The table below summarizes the streetlight kWh and kW data for 2019 for the areas of Arthur, 2 Mount Forest and Holstein as billed by WNP.

Table 27 - Actual kWh and kW Streetlight Data for 2019

| Light Type | Arthur Streetlights HPS |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mount Forest Streetlights } \\ & \text { HPS } \end{aligned}$ |  | Holstein Streetlights LED |  | Total Streetlights |  | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | kWh <br> (without Loss) | kW | kWh <br> (without Loss) | kW | kWh <br> (without Loss) | kW | kWh <br> (without Loss) | kW |  |
| Jan-19 | 30,052.64 | 63.60 | 43,507.26 | 92.00 | 1,361.52 | 2.90 | 74,921.42 | 158.50 | Actual |
| Feb-19 | 25,809.56 | 63.60 | 37,364.60 | 92.00 | 1,169.28 | 2.90 | 64,343.44 | 158.50 | Actual |
| Mar-19 | 25,618.71 | 63.60 | 37,088.09 | 92.00 | 1,160.64 | 2.90 | 63,867.44 | 158.50 | Actual |
| Apr-19 | 21,454.80 | 63.60 | 31,060.20 | 92.00 | 972.00 | 2.90 | 53,487.00 | 158.50 | Actual |
| May-19 | 19,706.70 | 63.60 | 28,529.61 | 92.00 | 892.80 | 2.90 | 49,129.11 | 158.50 | Actual |
| Jun-19 | 16,210.50 | 63.60 | 23,468.10 | 92.00 | 734.40 | 2.90 | 40,413.00 | 158.50 | Actual |
| Jul-19 | 17,243.44 | 63.60 | 24,963.37 | 92.00 | 781.20 | 2.90 | 42,988.01 | 158.50 | Actual |
| Aug-19 | 19,214.11 | 63.60 | 27,816.30 | 92.00 | 870.48 | 2.90 | 47,900.89 | 158.50 | Actual |
| Sep-19 | 20,978.10 | 63.60 | 30,370.20 | 92.00 | 950.40 | 2.90 | 52,298.70 | 158.50 | Actual |
| Oct-19 | 24,633.22 | 63.60 | 35,661.78 | 92.00 | 1,116.00 | 2.90 | 61,411.00 | 158.50 | Actual |
| Nov-19 | 26,700.24 | 63.60 | 38,652.60 | 92.00 | 1,209.60 | 2.90 | 66,562.44 | 158.50 | Actual |
| Dec-19 | 29,560.98 | 63.60 | 42,794.26 | 92.00 | 1,339.20 | 2.90 | 73,694.44 | 158.50 | Actual |
| Total | 277,183.00 | 763.20 | 401,276.37 | 1,104.00 | 12,557.52 | 34.80 | 691,016.89 | 1,902.00 |  |

The table below summarizes the streetlight data for 2020 for the areas of Arthur, Mount Forest and Holstein, all LED lights, and provides streetlight kWh total.

Table 28 - LED Streetlight Data for 2020

| Light Type | Arthur Streetlights LED |  | Mount Forest Streetlights LED |  | Holstein StreetlightsLED |  | Total Streetlights |  | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | kWh <br> (without Loss) | kW | kWh (without Loss) | kW | kWh <br> (without Loss) | kW | kWh (without Loss) | kW |  |
| Jan-20 | 7,375.59 | 15.60 | 16,183.75 | 34.23 | 1,359.76 | 2.88 | 24,919.10 | 52.71 | Actual |
| Feb-20 | 6,334.19 | 15.60 | 13,898.68 | 34.23 | 1,167.77 | 2.88 | 21,400.64 | 52.71 | Actual |
| Mar-20 | 6,287.39 | 15.60 | 13,795.98 | 34.23 | 1,159.14 | 2.88 | 21,242.50 | 52.71 | Actual |
| Apr-20 | 5,265.49 | 15.60 | 11,553.71 | 34.23 | 970.74 | 2.88 | 17,789.95 | 52.71 | Forecast |
| May-20 | 4,836.45 | 15.60 | 10,612.29 | 34.23 | 891.64 | 2.88 | 16,340.38 | 52.71 | Forecast |
| Jun-20 | 3,978.37 | 15.60 | 8,729.47 | 34.23 | 733.45 | 2.88 | 13,441.29 | 52.71 | Forecast |
| Jul-20 | 4,231.90 | 15.60 | 9,285.76 | 34.23 | 780.19 | 2.88 | 14,297.85 | 52.71 | Forecast |
| Aug-20 | 4,715.54 | 15.60 | 10,346.98 | 34.23 | 869.35 | 2.88 | 15,931.87 | 52.71 | Forecast |
| Sep-20 | 5,148.48 | 15.60 | 11,296.96 | 34.23 | 949.17 | 2.88 | 17,394.61 | 52.71 | Forecast |
| Oct-20 | 6,045.57 | 15.60 | 13,265.37 | 34.23 | 1,114.55 | 2.88 | 20,425.49 | 52.71 | Forecast |
| Nov-20 | 6,552.61 | 15.60 | 14,377.94 | 34.23 | 1,208.03 | 2.88 | 22,138.58 | 52.71 | Forecast |
| Dec-20 | 7,254.68 | 15.60 | 15,918.44 | 34.23 | 1,337.47 | 2.88 | 24,510.58 | 52.71 | Forecast |
| Total | 68,026.27 | 187.20 | 149,265.33 | 410.76 | 12,541.25 | 34.51 | 229,832.85 | 632.47 |  |

WNP started billing the Arthur and Mount Forest streetlight accounts using LED streetlight profile data in February 2020 for January 2020 kWh consumption and kW demand.

2 (2021) WNP made the following adjustment:

Table 29 - Manual Adjustment to Predicted Wholesale Purchases for LED Streetlights

|  | Predicted kWh |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2020 Weather Normal Predicted kWh Purchases | $105,762,198$ |
| Remove: Streetlight usage based on 2019 kWh actuals | $(691,017)$ |
| Add: Streetlight usage based on LED data for 2020 | 229,833 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ Weather Normal Predicted kWh Purchases | $\mathbf{1 0 5 , 3 0 1 , 0 1 4}$ |
|  |  |
| 2021 Weather Normal Predicted kWh Purchases | $106,548,840$ |
| Remove: Streetlight usage based on 2019 kWh actuals | $(691,017)$ |
| Add: Streetlight usage based on LED data for 2020 | 229,833 |
| $=\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ Weather Normal Predicted kWh Purchases | $\mathbf{1 0 6 , 0 8 7 , 6 5 6}$ | For the forecasted adjusted Wholesale kWh purchases for the Bridge Year (2020) and Test Year

### 3.1.9 DETERMINATION OF CUSTOMER FORECAST

WNP has used a simple geometric mean function to determine the forecasted number of customers / connections for 2020 and 2021. The geometric mean is more appropriate to use when dealing with percentages and rates of change. Although the formula is somewhat simplistic, it is reasonably representative of WNP's natural customer growth. The geometric mean results were analyzed by the utility and then further adjusted for known particulars. Historical yearly average number of accounts / connections and projected number of accounts / connections for 2020 and 2021 are presented in the table below.

Table 30-Customer Account / Connection Forecast

|  | Residential | General Service <50kW | $\begin{gathered} \text { General } \\ \text { Service } \\ 50-999 \mathrm{~kW} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { General Service } \\ & \text { 1,000-4,999 kW } \end{aligned}$ | Unmetered Scattered Load | Sentinel | Streetlights |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Accounts | Accounts | Accounts | Accounts | Connections | Connections | Connections |
| 2010 | 3,073 | 479 | 40 | 5 | 1 | 28 | 900 |
| 2011 | 3,103 | 478 | 38 | 5 | 2 | 28 | 899 |
| 2012 | 3,126 | 478 | 38 | 5 | 1 | 28 | 898 |
| 2013 | 3,161 | 474 | 38 | 6 | 2 | 28 | 900 |
| 2014 | 3,190 | 473 | 38 | 6 | 1 | 28 | 905 |
| 2015 | 3,212 | 474 | 36 | 5 | 1 | 27 | 905 |
| 2016 | 3,219 | 469 | 36 | 5 | 2 | 24 | 907 |
| 2017 | 3,246 | 473 | 35 | 5 | 2 | 23 | 908 |
| 2018 | 3,279 | 470 | 34 | 5 | 2 | 23 | 908 |
| 2019 | 3,302 | 470 | 35 | 5 | 2 | 23 | 908 |
| Growth Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 1.010 | 0.997 | 0.966 | 1.000 | 1.357 | 1.000 | 0.999 |
| 2012 | 1.007 | 1.000 | 0.983 | 1.000 | 0.842 | 1.000 | 0.999 |
| 2013 | 1.011 | 0.993 | 1.020 | 1.167 | 1.188 | 1.000 | 1.002 |
| 2014 | 1.009 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 1.000 | 0.737 | 0.997 | 1.006 |
| 2015 | 1.007 | 1.003 | 0.933 | 0.857 | 0.857 | 0.949 | 1.000 |
| 2016 | 1.002 | 0.989 | 0.995 | 1.000 | 1.583 | 0.906 | 1.002 |
| 2017 | 1.008 | 1.007 | 0.977 | 1.000 | 1.263 | 0.962 | 1.001 |
| 2018 | 1.010 | 0.995 | 0.978 | 1.000 | 1.167 | 0.996 | 1.000 |
| 2019 | 1.007 | 1.000 | 1.029 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Geomean | 1.008 | 0.998 | 0.986 | 1.000 | 1.080 | 0.978 | 1.001 |
| Accounts / Connections Forecast based on Geomean: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2020 Forecast - Geomean | 3,328 | 469 | 35 | 5 | 3 | 23 | 909 |
| 2021 Forecast - Geomean | 3,355 | 468 | 34 | 5 | 3 | 22 | 910 |
| Manual Adjustment | No | No | No | No | No | Yes | Yes |
| 2020 Forecast | 3,328 | 469 | 35 | 5 | 3 | 23 | 924 |
| 2021 Forecast | 3,355 | 468 | 34 | 5 | 3 | 23 | 924 |

WNP confirms the historical numbers are the yearly average of accounts / connections represented in the table above. These yearly averages were used to derive the annual growth rate and resulting geomean rate.

## Manual Adjustment

WNP made the following adjustments to the calculated geomean mean:

- Sentinel Lighting - changed the 2021 forecasted connections to be 23 connections based upon the actual number of connections for the 3-year period 2017 to 2019.
- Streetlight connections - changed the 2020 and 2021 forecasted connections to be 924. As noted previously, there was an LED streetlight conversion project in 2019. During this streetlight conversion project, WNP re-counted all the streetlight connections serviced by the utility and confirmed the actual number of streetlights was 924 connections.

No other rate classes required a manual adjustment of the number of accounts or connections forecasted for the Bridge Year (2020) and Test Year (2021).

### 3.1.10 DETERMINATION OF WEATHER NORMALIZED FORECAST

The difference between non-normalized and normalized forecast is assumed to be the amount related to moving the forecast to a weather normal basis. This difference will be assigned to those rate classes that are weather sensitive. WNP used the weather normalization work completed by Hydro One for WNP for its' 2007 Cost Allocation Study as a starting point and has shown its weather sensitivity, by rate class, in the table below.

Table 31 - Weather Sensitive Customers

|  | Residential | General Service <br> $<50 \mathrm{~kW}$ | General Service <br> $50-999 \mathrm{~kW}$ | General Service <br> $1,000-4,999 \mathrm{~kW}$ | Unmetered <br> Scattered <br> Load | Sentinel | Streetlights |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $82.50 \%$ | $65.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |

WNP has applied a weather sensitivity factor of $82.50 \%$, which is the mid-point between the $100 \%$ HONI reported for Residential and GS $<50 \mathrm{~kW}$ rate classes. The LDC has applied a sensitivity factor of $65 \%$ for GS 50-999 kW rate class. None of the other rate classes were assumed to be weather sensitive.

The table below outlines how the weather sensitive rate classes have been adjusted to align the non-normalized forecast with the normalized forecast.

Table 32 - Alignment of Non-Normalized Forecast to Weather-Normalized Forecast

|  | Non-Normalized Weather Billed Energy Forecast (kWh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Residential | General Service <50kW | General Service 50-999 kW | General Service 1,000-4,999 kW | Unmetered Scattered Load | Sentinel | Streetlights |
| 2020 Bridge Year | 25,456,387 | 11,114,723 | 18,481,068 | 42,766,148 | 6,288 | 19,673 | 229,833 |
| 2021 Test Year | 25,660,501 | 11,091,323 | 18,225,830 | 42,766,148 | 6,288 | 19,673 | 229,833 |
|  |  |  | Adjustmen | t for Weather (k | Wh) |  |  |
| 2020 Bridge Year | 430,489 | 187,959 | 246,236 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 Test Year | 842,599 | 364,200 | 471,523 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Weat | her-Normalized | d Billed Energy F | orecast (kWh) |  |  |
| 2020 Bridge Year | 25,886,876 | 11,302,682 | 18,727,304 | 42,766,148 | 6,288 | 19,673 | 229,833 |
| 2021 Test Year | 26,503,100 | 11,455,522 | 18,697,353 | 42,766,148 | 6,288 | 19,673 | 229,833 |

### 3.1.11 LOAD FORECAST BY CLASS7

This section presents the load forecast by customer rate class. This is determined, for each rate class, by multiplying the kWh per customer by the number of accounts or connections to give a forecasted billed kWh (without loss). WNP has elected to use the actuals of 2019 kWh per customer/connection for each rate class.

In the tables below, WNP has included the historical 10-year data to illustrate actual billed kWh (without loss), count of customers (yearly average) and kWh per customer.

Table 33 - Residential Forecast (Weather Sensitive)

|  | Residential |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | kWh Actual Billed (without loss) | Number of Accounts | kWh Per Customer | Forecasted Billed kWh | Adjustment for Weather | Forecasted Billed kWh with Weather Adjusted |
|  | A | B | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}$ | $D=C \times B$ | E | $F=D+E$ |
| 2010 | 25,348,494 | 3,073 | 8,249 |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 25,466,302 | 3,103 | 8,206 |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 24,774,725 | 3,126 | 7,925 |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 25,587,071 | 3,161 | 8,096 |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 25,720,644 | 3,190 | 8,062 |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 24,960,131 | 3,212 | 7,771 |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 24,523,576 | 3,219 | 7,618 |  |  |  |
| 2017 | 23,863,110 | 3,246 | 7,352 |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 25,345,905 | 3,279 | 7,731 |  |  |  |
| 2019 | 25,253,896 | 3,302 | 7,648 |  |  |  |
|  | Forecast 2020 | 3,328 | 7,648 | 25,456,387 | 430,489 | 25,886,876 |
|  | Forecast 2021 | 3,355 | 7,648 | 25,660,501 | 842,599 | 26,503,100 |

In the above table, by dividing the kWh Actual Billed (without Loss) by the number of accounts provides the kWh per customer. WNP has used the 2019 kWh per customer value of $7,648 \mathrm{kWh}$ and multiplied this by the forecasted number of accounts in the Bridge Year (2020) to give a forecasted billed kWh quantity of $25,456,387 \mathrm{kWh}$. Because Residential is a weather-sensitive rate class, there is an adjustment to include weather-sensitive kWh quantity (as described in section 3.1.10). The result of $25,866,876 \mathrm{kWh}$ is the forecasted billed kWh (without loss) with weather-

[^4]adjusted for the Bridge Year (2020). The same process has been applied to derive the quantity of forecasted billed kWh (without loss) with weather-adjusted for the Test Year (2021).

The method above has been applied to all WNP rates classes as illustrated in the following tables, with the exception of the Streetlight rate class.

Table 35 - General Service 50-999 kW Forecast (Weather Sensitive)

|  | General Service 50-999kW |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | kWh Actual Billed (without loss) | Number of Accounts | kWh Per Customer | Forecasted Billed kWh | Adjustment for Weather | Forecasted Billed kWh with Weather Adjusted |
|  | A | B | $C=A / B$ | $D=C \times B$ | E | $F=D+E$ |
| 2010 | 20,855,253 | 40 | 525,763 |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 21,357,589 | 38 | 557,154 |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 21,917,337 | 38 | 581,876 |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 16,562,846 | 38 | 431,137 |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 15,962,529 | 38 | 416,414 |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 20,081,441 | 36 | 561,719 |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 19,893,743 | 36 | 559,075 |  |  |  |
| 2017 | 19,029,613 | 35 | 547,615 |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 18,305,429 | 34 | 538,395 |  |  |  |
| 2019 | 18,739,880 | 35 | 535,425 |  |  |  |
|  | Forecast 2020 | 35 | 535,425 | 18,481,068 | 246,236 | 18,727,304 |
|  | Forecast 2021 | 34 | 535,425 | 18,225,830 | 471,523 | 18,697,353 |

9
10
11

For the rate class of Streetlights, WNP has kWh quantity as derived from the LED street light conversion as discussed in section 3.1.8 under sub-section "Adjustment to Wholesale Forecast due to LED Streetlight Conversion" Below is the a copy of the table from section 3.1.8 summarizing the streetlight data for 2020.

Table 39 - LED Streetlight Data for 2020

| Light Type | Arthur Streetlights LED |  | Mount Forest Streetlights LED |  | Holstein Streetlights LED |  | Total Streetlights |  | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | kWh <br> (without Loss) | kW | kWh <br> (without Loss) | kW | kWh <br> (without Loss) | kW | kWh <br> (without Loss) | kW |  |
| Jan-20 | 7,375.59 | 15.60 | 16,183.75 | 34.23 | 1,359.76 | 2.88 | 24,919.10 | 52.71 | Actual |
| Feb-20 | 6,334.19 | 15.60 | 13,898.68 | 34.23 | 1,167.77 | 2.88 | 21,400.64 | 52.71 | Actual |
| Mar-20 | 6,287.39 | 15.60 | 13,795.98 | 34.23 | 1,159.14 | 2.88 | 21,242.50 | 52.71 | Actual |
| Apr-20 | 5,265.49 | 15.60 | 11,553.71 | 34.23 | 970.74 | 2.88 | 17,789.95 | 52.71 | Forecast |
| May-20 | 4,836.45 | 15.60 | 10,612.29 | 34.23 | 891.64 | 2.88 | 16,340.38 | 52.71 | Forecast |
| Jun-20 | 3,978.37 | 15.60 | 8,729.47 | 34.23 | 733.45 | 2.88 | 13,441.29 | 52.71 | Forecast |
| Jul-20 | 4,231.90 | 15.60 | 9,285.76 | 34.23 | 780.19 | 2.88 | 14,297.85 | 52.71 | Forecast |
| Aug-20 | 4,715.54 | 15.60 | 10,346.98 | 34.23 | 869.35 | 2.88 | 15,931.87 | 52.71 | Forecast |
| Sep-20 | 5,148.48 | 15.60 | 11,296.96 | 34.23 | 949.17 | 2.88 | 17,394.61 | 52.71 | Forecast |
| Oct-20 | 6,045.57 | 15.60 | 13,265.37 | 34.23 | 1,114.55 | 2.88 | 20,425.49 | 52.71 | Forecast |
| Nov-20 | 6,552.61 | 15.60 | 14,377.94 | 34.23 | 1,208.03 | 2.88 | 22,138.58 | 52.71 | Forecast |
| Dec-20 | 7,254.68 | 15.60 | 15,918.44 | 34.23 | 1,337.47 | 2.88 | 24,510.58 | 52.71 | Forecast |
| Total | 68,026.27 | 187.20 | 149,265.33 | 410.76 | 12,541.25 | 34.51 | 229,832.85 | 632.47 |  |

The table below illustrates the forecasted billed kWh (without loss) quantities for the Bridge Year (2020) and Test Year (2021):

Table 40 - Street Lighting Forecast

|  | Street Lights |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | kWh Actual Billed (without loss) | Number of Connections | kWh Per Connection | Forecasted Billed kWh | Adjustment for Weather | Forecasted Billed kWh with Weather Adjusted |
|  | A | B | $C=A / B$ |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 719,199 | 900 | 799 |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 713,388 | 899 | 793 |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 715,663 | 898 | 797 |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 719,239 | 900 | 800 |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 720,792 | 905 | 796 |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 720,792 | 905 | 796 |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 723,427 | 907 | 798 |  |  |  |
| 2017 | 697,359 | 908 | 768 |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 691,015 | 908 | 761 |  |  |  |
| 2019 | 650,270 | 908 | 716 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Foreca | st based on S | treetlight Data |
|  | Forecast 2020 | 924 |  | 229,833 | 0 | 229,833 |
|  | Forecast 2021 | 924 |  | 229,833 | 0 | 229,833 |

## kWh to $\mathbf{k W}^{8}$

WNP has four customer rate classes that are charged for distribution on per a kW basis. These are General Service >50-999 kW, General Service 1,000-4,999 kW, Sentinel Lighting and Street Lighting. As a result, the energy forecast for these classes needs to be converted to a kW basis for rate setting purposes.

The forecast of kW for these rate classes is based on an average analysis of the historical ratio of kW to kWh and applying this ratio to the forecasted kWh to produce the required kW . The table below summarizes the historical annual demand units by applicable rate class:

Table 41 - Historic Annual kW by Class

|  | General Service <br> $50-999 \mathrm{~kW}$ | General Service <br> $1,000-4,999$ <br> kW | Sentinel | Streetlights |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | 61,885 | 83,976 | 88 | 1,964 |
| 2011 | 65,743 | 86,114 | 80 | 1,964 |
| 2012 | 67,820 | 89,132 | 72 | 1,963 |
| 2013 | 52,256 | 105,092 | 72 | 1,980 |
| 2014 | 48,273 | 109,682 | 71 | 1,983 |
| 2015 | 55,778 | 99,567 | 70 | 1,984 |
| 2016 | 55,436 | 96,818 | 61 | 1,984 |
| 2017 | 53,405 | 98,592 | 55 | 1,920 |
| 2018 | 52,915 | 98,025 | 55 | 1,902 |
| 2019 | 51,685 | 96,230 | 55 | 1,810 |

[^5]1 WNP has adopted the average analysis approach to provide a forecast of kW demand for the 2 applicable rate classes as shown in the table below:

3

5 WNP divided the historical (10 years) actual kW demand by the kWh for each rate class to give a 6 kW to kWh ratio as illustrated in the above table. WNP elected to adopt the 5-year average ratio
(years 2015 to 2019 data) to apply to the forecasted kWh for these particular rate classes ${ }^{9}$. The LDC chose the 5-year average because this reflects reduced kW demand due to CDM programs delivered and implemented during this period. The table below illustrates the Bridge Year (2020) and Test Year (2021) kW volumes for the four rate classes as derived from using the 5-year average:

Table 43 - Calculated kW

|  | General Service <br> $50-999$ <br> kW | General Service <br> $1,000-4,999 \mathrm{~kW}$ | Sentinel | Streetlights |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bridge Year (2020) - kWh | $18,727,304$ | $42,766,148$ | 19,673 | 229,833 |
| Test Year (2021) - kWh | $18,697,353$ | $42,766,148$ | 19,673 | 229,833 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 -year average kW : kWh Ratio | 0.00280 | 0.00217 | 0.00278 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Bridge Year (2020) - kW | 52,509 | 92,890 | 55 | 632 |
| Test Year (2021) - kW | 52,425 | 92,890 | 55 | 632 |

Because the Streetlights have undergone LED conversions during 2017 (Holstein) and 2019 (Arthur and Mount Forest), WNP has used the kW as derived from the LED streetlight conversion project rather than using the 5-year historical kW : kWh ratio ${ }^{10}$.

[^6]The table illustrates the historic and projected weather normalized Load Forecast by customer class.
Table 44 - Final Load Forecast (not CDM adjusted)

| Load Forecast |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2010 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $2011$ Actual | $2012$ Actual | $2013$ Actual | $2014$ Actual | $2015$ Actual | $2016$ Actual | $2017$ Actual | 2018 <br> Actual | $2019$ Actual | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 2020 \\ \text { Weather Normal } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2021 \\ \text { Weather Normal } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actual kWh Purchases |  | 102,608,246 | 105,492,262 | 108,285,745 | 110,963,047 | 112,203,045 | 112,178,419 | 109,112,022 | 107,122,742 | 106,666,688 | 104,914,586 |  |  |
| Predicted |  | 102,173,701 | 105,683,889 | 108,029,546 | 111,196,047 | 112,379,327 | 112,108,114 | 108,980,115 | 107,482,226 | 106,776,885 | 104,736,951 | 105,762,198 | 106,548,840 |
|  | \% Difference | -0.4\% | 0.2\% | -0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | -0.1\% | -0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | -0.2\% |  |  |
|  |  | Remove Streetlights usage (2019 Actuals pre-LED conversion) Add-in Street lights LED conversion CDM Purchase Adjustment <br> Predicted kWh Purchases (after CDM \& Streetlight LED conversion) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(691,017)$ | $(691,017)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 229,833 | 229,833 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 105,301,014 | 106,087,656 |
|  | Billed kWh | 96,428,822 | 98,792,419 | 101,469,430 | 104,299,320 | 105,286,722 | 105,356,697 | 102,633,741 | 100,777,475 | 99,864,919 | 98,574,327 | 98,938,804 | 99,677,917 |
|  | Rate Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | Customers | 3,073 | 3,103 | 3,126 | 3,161 | 3,190 | 3,212 | 3,219 | 3,246 | 3,279 | 3,302 | 3,328 | 3,355 |
|  | kWh | 25,348,494 | 25,466,302 | 24,774,725 | 25,587,071 | 25,720,644 | 24,960,131 | 24,523,576 | 23,863,110 | 25,345,905 | 25,253,896 | 25,886,876 | 26,503,100 |
| General Service < 50 kW | Customers | 479 | 478 | 478 | 474 | 473 | 474 | 469 | 473 | 470 | 470 | 469 | 468 |
|  | kWh | 11,387,103 | 11,698,450 | 11,692,350 | 12,087,562 | 11,853,213 | 12,033,955 | 11,967,606 | 11,410,391 | 11,582,140 | 11,138,172 | 11,302,682 | 11,455,522 |
| General Service 50 to 999 kW | Customers | 40 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 36 | 36 | 35 | 34 | 35 | 35 | 34 |
|  | kWh | 20,855,253 | 21,357,589 | 21,917,337 | 16,562,846 | 15,962,529 | 20,081,441 | 19,893,743 | 19,029,613 | 18,305,429 | 18,739,880 | 18,727,304 | 18,697,353 |
|  | kW | 61,885 | 65,743 | 67,820 | 52,256 | 48,273 | 55,778 | 55,436 | 53,405 | 52,915 | 51,685 | 52,509 | 52,425 |
| General Service 1000 to $4,999 \mathrm{~kW}$ | Customers | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
|  | kWh | 38,077,455 | 39,521,515 | 42,337,529 | 49,310,777 | 50,998,403 | 47,530,355 | 45,496,516 | 45,750,527 | 43,913,956 | 42,766,148 | 42,766,148 | 42,766,148 |
|  | kW | 83,976 | 86,114 | 89,132 | 105,092 | 109,682 | 99,567 | 96,818 | 98,592 | 98,025 | 96,230 | 92,890 | 92,890 |
| Unmetered Scattered Load | Connections | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | kWh | 9,732 | 7,563 | 5,733 | 5,733 | 5,733 | 5,184 | 6,816 | 6,801 | 6,801 | 6,288 | 6,288 | 6,288 |
| Sentinel Lights | Connections | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 27 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
|  | kWh | 31,586 | 27,612 | 26,093 | 26,093 | 25,409 | 24,839 | 22,057 | 19,673 | 19,673 | 19,673 | 19,673 | 19,673 |
|  | kW | 88 | 80 | 72 | 72 | 71 | 70 | 61 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 |
| Street Lights | Connections | 900 | 899 | 898 | 900 | 905 | 905 | 907 | 908 | 908 | 908 | 924 | 924 |
|  | kWh | 719,199 | 713,388 | 715,663 | 719,239 | 720,792 | 720,792 | 723,427 | 697,359 | 691,015 | 650,270 | 229,833 | 229,833 |
|  | kW | 1,964 | 1,964 | 1,963 | 1,980 | 1,983 | 1,984 | 1,984 | 1,920 | 1,902 | 1,810 | 632 | 632 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customer / Connections |  | 4,526 | 4,553 | 4,574 | 4,608 | 4,642 | 4,660 | 4,662 | 4,692 | 4,721 | 4,745 | 4,786 | 4,811 |
|  | kWh | 96,428,822 | 98,792,419 | 101,469,430 | 104,299,320 | 105,286,722 | 105,356,697 | 102,633,741 | 100,777,475 | 99,864,919 | 98,574,327 | 98,938,804 | 99,677,917 |
|  | kW | 147,913 | 153,902 | 158,988 | 159,400 | 160,009 | 157,399 | 154,299 | 153,972 | 152,896 | 149,780 | 146,086 | 146,002 |

5 WNP is not cognizant of the medium or long-term effects of the COVID-19 pandemic and how it may alter the utility's load forecast. Therefore,
6 WNP has not made any adjustments to its' adjusted Wholesale kWh purchases or Load Forecast due to COVID-19.
3.2 IMPACT AND PERSISTENCE FROM HISTORICAL CDM PROGRAMS ${ }^{11}$

### 3.2.1 LOAD FORECAST CDM ADJUSTMENT WORK FORM

As discussed in Section 3.1.8 Regression Results, WNP made a manual adjustment to the Load Forecast to account for the LED streetlight conversion project completed at the end of 2019.

Included in WNP's 2015-2020 Conservation First Framework budget, approved by the IESO, was a CDM program to replace all high-pressure sodium (HPS) lights used in the streetlights with lightemitting diodes (LEDs) in Arthur and Mount Forest owned by the Township of Wellington North. Greensaver, under contract to Wellington North Power Inc. to deliver saveONenergy ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ programs, worked with the Township of Wellington North to develop the LED retrofit project. The project was approved in the first quarter of 2019. The customer, the Township of Wellington North, received their CDM incentive payment from the IESO for the program in Quarter 2 of 2020.

In the absence of information from the IESO, below is the third-party measurement and verification ( $\mathrm{M} \& \mathrm{~V}$ ) report concerning the LED streetlight conversion:

[^7]Table 45 - LED Streetlight M\&V Report

## Wellington North - Arthur and Mount Forest Street Lighting Project M\&V Analysis

Base Case
784 High Pressure Sodium Streetlights
of which $39>250 \mathrm{~W}, 747<250 \mathrm{~W}$
Lights operate on average 12 h per day, 365 days per year

|  | Electricity (kWh) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Base Case | 574,047 |
| EE Case | 164,666 |
| Savings | 409,381 |

## EE Case

786 LED street lights (RFM-72W32LED4K-G2)
of which 39 are set at $100 \%$, the rest are set at $50 \%$ and $75 \%$ of lumens
Lights operate on average 12 h per day, 365 days per year


5 WNP has filed a copy of the third-party M \& V report together with the OEB's LRAMVA workform with Exhibit 4.
6 Worksheet "8. Streetlighting" of the LRAMVA workform includes the data and assumptions the LDC has made to support the Streetlight LED 7 conversion project due to the absence of program savings information from the IESO.

WNP started billing the Arthur and Mount Forest streetlight accounts using LED streetlight profile data in February 2020 for January 2020 kWh consumption and kW demand.

The LED streetlight conversion project was completed at the end of 2019. As noted in Section 3.1.8 Regression Results, WNP made a manual adjustment to the Load Forecast to account for the LED streetlight conversion project for kWh and KW forecasted quantities for in Bridge Year (2020) and Test Year (2021).

WNP is not planning for or aware of any new CDM programs that will be initiated in the Test Year (2021). Consequently, no manual CDM adjustment is required to the Load Forecast for the Test Year (2021) as illustrated in the table below. ${ }^{12} 1314$

Table 46 - Weight Factor for Inclusion in CDM Adjustment to 2021 Load Forecast

| Weight Factor for Inclusion in CDM Adjustment to 2021 Load Forecast |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight Factor for each year's CDM program impact on 2021 load forecast <br> Default Value selection rationale. | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018* | 2019** | 2020** | 2021*** |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Distributor can select " 0 ", " 0.5 ", or "1" from drop-down list |
|  | Full year impact of 2015 CDM is assumed to be reflected in the base forecast, as the full year persistence of 2015 CDM programs is in the 2018 historical actual data. No further impact is necessary for the manual adjustment to the load forecast. | Full year impact of 2016 CDM is assumed to be reflected in the base forecast, as the full year persistence of 2016 CDM programs is in the 2018 historical actual data. No further impact is necessary for the manual adjustment to the load forecast. | Full year impact of 2017 CDM is assumed to be reflected in the base forecast, as the full year persistence of 2017 CDM programs is in the 2018 historical actual data. No further impact is necessary for the manual adjustment to the load forecast. | Default is 0 . Full year impact of 2018 CDM is assumed to be reflected in the base forecast. | Default is 0 . Full year impact of 2019 CDM is assumed to be reflected in the base forecast. Adjust based on distributor's circumstance | Default is 0.5 . <br> Adjust based on distributor's circumstance | Default is 1. <br> Adjust based on distributor's circumstance |  |

Source: Chapter 2 Appendices, worksheet APP2-I-LF-CDM

[^8]WNP has set the 2021 Lost Revenue Adjustment Mechanism - Variance Account (LRAMVA) to zero as illustrated below:

Table 47 - 2021 LRAMVA and 2021 CDM Adjustment to Load Forecast


Source: Chapter 2 Appendices, worksheet APP2-I-LF-CDM

### 3.2.2 ALLOCATION OF CDM RESULTS

As there is no adjustment for CDM in WNP's load forecast for the Test Year (2021), no allocation of projected CDM savings for customer rates classes is required.

### 3.2.3 FINAL CDM ADJUSTED LOAD FORECAST

As there is no adjustment for CDM in WNP's load forecast for the Test Year (2021), the final weather normalized load forecast and summary of billing determinants by rates class as presented in section 3.1.12 will be used to develop WNP's proposed rates.
3.3 ACCURACY OF LOAD FORECAST AND VARIANCE ANALYSIS ${ }^{15}$
3.3.1 VARIANCE ANALYSIS OF LOAD FORECAST ${ }^{16}$

Table 48 below shows the yearly change in consumption for the Residential class.

Table 48 - Residential Variance

| Year | Customers * \% Change | kWh ** | \% Change | Usage per <br> Customer | \% Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | 3,073 |  | $25,348,494$ |  | 8,249 |  |
| 2011 | 3,103 | $0.99 \%$ | $25,466,302$ | $0.46 \%$ | 8,206 | $-0.52 \%$ |
| 2012 | 3,126 | $0.74 \%$ | $24,774,725$ | $-2.72 \%$ | 7,925 | $-3.43 \%$ |
| 2013 | 3,161 | $1.09 \%$ | $25,587,071$ | $3.28 \%$ | 8,096 | $2.16 \%$ |
| 2014 | 3,190 | $0.95 \%$ | $25,720,644$ | $0.52 \%$ | 8,062 | $-0.42 \%$ |
| 2015 | 3,212 | $0.67 \%$ | $24,960,131$ | $-2.96 \%$ | 7,771 | $-3.61 \%$ |
| 2016 | 3,219 | $0.23 \%$ | $24,523,576$ | $-1.75 \%$ | 7,618 | $-1.98 \%$ |
| 2017 | 3,246 | $0.82 \%$ | $23,863,110$ | $-2.69 \%$ | 7,352 | $-3.48 \%$ |
| 2018 | 3,279 | $1.01 \%$ | $25,345,905$ | $6.21 \%$ | 7,731 | $5.15 \%$ |
| 2019 | 3,302 | $0.71 \%$ | $25,253,896$ | $-0.36 \%$ | 7,648 | $-1.07 \%$ |
| 10-yr Average | 3,191 | $0.80 \%$ | $25,084,385$ | $0.00 \%$ | 7,866 | $-0.80 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 OEB-Approved | 3,251 |  |  |  | 8,431 |  |

* Number of customers is expressed in year average format
$* * k W h$ is metered without loss

The number of residential customers has steadily increased since 2010 at an average rate of 0.8\% per year. The kWh consumption has seen both increases and decreases over the same period, with 2019 kWhs at approximately the same level as seen 10 years prior in 2010. Over the 10-year period, while the number of Residential customers has increased, usage per customer has decreased which is likely to be attributable to energy conservation measures and newer homes and appliances being more energy efficient.

[^9]Based on timing of the decrease, WNP can assume that the effects of increased conservation measures has contributed to the reduction in overall consumption since 2015. In 2018, the increase in usage can be attributed to above-warmer summer temperatures, especially in September when WNP observed an increase in the running of residential air-conditioners.

The OEB-approved forecast for customer numbers and consumption was above the actual for 2016. This is attributed to slower customer growth in both 2015 and 2016 as well as decline in energy usage per customer, especially in 2015.

The Load Forecast model uses a 10-year average to determine the consumption and customer number forecast for the Bridge Year (2020) and Test Year (2021).As explained in Section 3.1.9 Determination of Customer Forecast, WNP has used a simple 10-year (2010-2019) geometric mean function to determine the forecasted number of customers for the Bridge Year (2020) and Test Year (2021) with the methodology behind the projections being explained in detail in Section 3.3.1.

The table below illustrates the yearly change in customers and consumption for the General Service < 50 kW class:

Table 49 - General Service < 50 kW Variance

| Year | Customers * \% Change | kWh ** | \% Change | Usage per <br> Customer | \% Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | 479 |  | $11,387,103$ |  | 23,773 |  |
| 2011 | 478 | $-0.26 \%$ | $11,698,450$ | $2.73 \%$ | 24,487 | $3.00 \%$ |
| 2012 | 478 | $0.00 \%$ | $11,692,350$ | $-0.05 \%$ | 24,474 | $-0.05 \%$ |
| 2013 | 474 | $-0.73 \%$ | $12,087,562$ | $3.38 \%$ | 25,488 | $4.14 \%$ |
| 2014 | 473 | $-0.25 \%$ | $11,853,213$ | $-1.94 \%$ | 25,055 | $-1.70 \%$ |
| 2015 | 474 | $0.28 \%$ | $12,033,955$ | $1.52 \%$ | 25,366 | $1.24 \%$ |
| 2016 | 469 | $-1.07 \%$ | $11,967,606$ | $-0.55 \%$ | 25,499 | $0.53 \%$ |
| 2017 | 473 | $0.73 \%$ | $11,410,391$ | $-4.66 \%$ | 24,136 | $-5.35 \%$ |
| 2018 | 470 | $-0.55 \%$ | $11,582,140$ | $1.51 \%$ | 24,634 | $2.06 \%$ |
| 2019 | 470 | $-0.04 \%$ | $11,138,172$ | $-3.83 \%$ | 23,698 | $-3.80 \%$ |
| $10-y r$ Average | 474 | $-0.21 \%$ | $11,685,094$ | $-0.21 \%$ | 24,661 | $0.01 \%$ |
| 2016 OEB-Approved | 476 |  |  |  | 26,276 |  |

[^10]The number of customers and consumption in the GS $<50 \mathrm{~kW}$ class have remained relatively steady over the past 10 years. Usage per customer in 2019 is approximately the same as that 10 years ago in 2010. WNP does not anticipate any new GS $<50$ customers in 2020 or 2021.

The OEB-approved forecast for customer numbers and consumption was above the actual for 2016. This is attributed to five $\mathrm{GS}<50 \mathrm{~kW}$ customers closing their businesses in 2016.

The projected consumption for 2021 is $11,455,522 \mathrm{kWh}$ which is on par with the 10 -year average for this customer class.

As explained in Section 3.1.9 Determination of Customer Forecast, WNP has used a simple 10year (2010-2019) geometric mean function to determine the forecasted number of customers for Bridge Year (2020) and Test Year (2021) with the methodology behind the projections being explained in detail in Section 3.3.1.

The table below illustrates the yearly change in customers, kWh consumption and kW demand for the General Service 50-999 kW class:

Table 50 - General Service 50-999 kW Variance

| Year | Customers * \% Change | kWh ** | \% Change | kW | \% Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | 40 |  | $20,855,253$ |  | 61,885 |  |
| 2011 | 38 | $-3.36 \%$ | $21,357,589$ | $2.41 \%$ | 65,743 | $6.23 \%$ |
| 2012 | 38 | $-1.74 \%$ | $21,917,337$ | $2.62 \%$ | 67,820 | $3.16 \%$ |
| 2013 | 38 | $1.99 \%$ | $16,562,846$ | $-24.43 \%$ | 52,256 | $-22.95 \%$ |
| 2014 | 38 | $-0.22 \%$ | $15,962,529$ | $-3.62 \%$ | 48,273 | $-7.62 \%$ |
| 2015 | 36 | $-6.74 \%$ | $20,081,441$ | $25.80 \%$ | 55,778 | $15.55 \%$ |
| 2016 | 36 | $-0.47 \%$ | $19,893,743$ | $-0.93 \%$ | 55,436 | $-0.61 \%$ |
| 2017 | 35 | $-2.34 \%$ | $19,029,613$ | $-4.34 \%$ | 53,405 | $-3.66 \%$ |
| 2018 | 34 | $-2.16 \%$ | $18,305,429$ | $-3.81 \%$ | 52,915 | $-0.92 \%$ |
| 2019 | 35 | $2.94 \%$ | $18,739,880$ | $2.37 \%$ | 51,685 | $-2.32 \%$ |
| 10-yr Average | 37 | $-1.34 \%$ | $19,270,566$ | $-0.44 \%$ | 56,520 | $-1.46 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* Number of customers is expressed in year average format
** $k$ Wh is metered without loss
Similar to the GS $<50 \mathrm{~kW}$, the number of customers in the GS 50-999 kW class have also remained relatively steady over the past 10 years. The region's manufacturing and retail footprint has
struggled over the past decade, reflecting the challenges faced in most parts of rural Ontario with its' relatively narrow economic base and concentration in slow growing or declining industries.

The OEB-approved forecast for customer numbers was above the 2016 actual; however the forecasted consumption and demand was below the 2016 actual. This may be attributable to the 2016 forecast taking into account the sharp decline in usage in years 2013 and 2014.

The projected consumption for 2021 is $18,697,353 \mathrm{kWh}$ and $52,425 \mathrm{~kW}$ which is on par with the 2018 and 2019 actuals. WNP does not anticipate any new customers in 2020 or 2021.

As explained in Section 3.1.9 Determination of Customer Forecast, WNP has used a simple 10year (2010-2019) geometric mean function to determine the forecasted number of customers for Bridge Year (2020) and Test Year (2021) with the methodology behind the projections being explained in detail in Section 3.3.1.

The table below illustrates the yearly change in customers, kWh consumption and kW demand for the General Service 1,000-4,999 kW class:

Table 51 - General Service 1,000-4,999 kW Variance

| Year | Customers * \% Change | kWh ** | \% Change | kW | \% Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | 5 |  | $38,077,455$ |  | 83,976 |  |
| 2011 | 5 | $0.00 \%$ | $39,521,515$ | $3.79 \%$ | 86,114 | $2.55 \%$ |
| 2012 | 5 | $0.00 \%$ | $42,337,529$ | $7.13 \%$ | 89,132 | $3.50 \%$ |
| 2013 | 6 | $16.67 \%$ | $49,310,777$ | $16.47 \%$ | 105,092 | $17.91 \%$ |
| 2014 | 6 | $0.00 \%$ | $50,998,403$ | $3.42 \%$ | 109,682 | $4.37 \%$ |
| 2015 | 5 | $-14.29 \%$ | $47,530,355$ | $-6.80 \%$ | 99,567 | $-9.22 \%$ |
| 2016 | 5 | $0.00 \%$ | $45,496,516$ | $-4.28 \%$ | 96,818 | $-2.76 \%$ |
| 2017 | 5 | $0.00 \%$ | $45,750,527$ | $0.56 \%$ | 98,592 | $1.83 \%$ |
| 2018 | 5 | $0.00 \%$ | $43,913,956$ | $-4.01 \%$ | 98,025 | $-0.58 \%$ |
| 2019 | 5 | $0.00 \%$ | $42,766,148$ | $-2.61 \%$ | 96,230 | $-1.83 \%$ |
| 10-yr Average | 5 | $0.26 \%$ | $44,570,318$ | $1.52 \%$ | 96,323 | $1.75 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 OEB-Approved | 5 |  | $50,613,209$ |  | 108,301 |  |

* Number of customers is expressed in year average format
** kWh is metered without loss
Similar to the GS 50-999 kW, the number of customers in the GS 1,000-4,999 kW class have also remained relatively steady over the past 10 years. Energy usage and demand increased in 2012 onwards when customers increased plant production, indicating signs of recovery from the 2008/2009 Global Recession.

The OEB-approved forecast for customer numbers was as per 2016 actual; however the forecasted consumption and demand was above the 2016 actual. This is attributable to all the customers in this rate class participating intensively in CDM programs in 20015 and 2016 under the 2015-2020 Conservation First Framework program. As a result of these CDM programs consumption and demand has continued to decline from 2015 onwards. Also, all customers in this rate class participate in the IESO's Industrial Conservation Initiative (years 2019-2020 and 2020-2021) actively managing their peak demand. The usage for customers that have participated in the ICl program is already embedded in the load forecast data for years 2017, 2018 and 2019 when customers starting to opt-in to the program; no customers have opted-out of the ICl program.

WNP does not anticipate any new customers in 2020 or 2021. The projected consumption for 2021 is $42,766,148 \mathrm{kWh}$ and $92,890 \mathrm{~kW}$. As explained in Section 3.1.9 Determination of Customer Forecast, WNP has used a simple 10-year (2010-2019) geometric mean function to determine the forecasted customer numbers for Bridge Year (2020) and Test Year (2021) with the methodology behind the projections being explained in detail in Section 3.3.1.

The table below illustrates the yearly change in connections and kWh consumption for the Unmetered Scattered Load class:

Table 52 - Unmetered Scattered Load Variance

| Year | Connections \% Change |  |  | kWh ** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | 1 |  | \% Change |  |
| 2011 | 2 | $35.71 \%$ | 7,563 | $-22.29 \%$ |
| 2012 | 1 | $-15.79 \%$ | 5,733 | $-24.20 \%$ |
| 2013 | 2 | $18.75 \%$ | 5,733 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 2014 | 1 | $-26.32 \%$ | 5,733 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 2015 | 1 | $-14.29 \%$ | 5,184 | $-9.58 \%$ |
| 2016 | 2 | $58.33 \%$ | 6,816 | $31.48 \%$ |
| 2017 | 2 | $26.32 \%$ | 6,801 | $-0.22 \%$ |
| 2018 | 2 | $16.67 \%$ | 6,801 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 2019 | 2 | $0.00 \%$ | 6,288 | $-7.54 \%$ |
| 10-yr Average | 2 | $11.04 \%$ | 6,638 | $-3.59 \%$ |
| 2016 OEB-Approved | 1 |  |  |  |

* Number of connections is expressed in year average format
** $k$ Wh is without loss

Connection count and consumption for the Unmetered Scattered Load class has been consistent since 2010. The Load Forecast model uses a 10-year (2010-2019) average to determine the projections.

WNP does not anticipate any new connections in 2020 or 2021. The projected consumption for 2021 is $6,288 \mathrm{kWh}$ which is consistent to 2019 actual.

As explained in Section 3.1.9 Determination of Customer Forecast, WNP has used a simple 10year (2010-2019) geometric mean function to determine the forecasted customer numbers for Bridge Year (2020) and Test Year (2021) with the methodology behind the projections being explained in detail in Section 3.3.1.

The table below illustrates the yearly change in connections, kWh consumption and kW demand for the Sentinel Lighting class:

Table 53 - Sentinel Lighting Variance

| Year | Connections $\%$ Change | kWh ** | \% Change | kW | \% Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | 28 |  | 31,586 |  | 88 |  |
| 2011 | 28 | $0.00 \%$ | 27,612 | $-12.58 \%$ | 80 | $-8.25 \%$ |
| 2012 | 28 | $0.00 \%$ | 26,093 | $-5.50 \%$ | 72 | $-9.95 \%$ |
| 2013 | 28 | $0.00 \%$ | 26,093 | $0.00 \%$ | 72 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 2014 | 28 | $-0.30 \%$ | 25,409 | $-2.62 \%$ | 71 | $-2.62 \%$ |
| 2015 | 27 | $-5.07 \%$ | 24,839 | $-2.24 \%$ | 70 | $-1.28 \%$ |
| 2016 | 24 | $-9.43 \%$ | 22,057 | $-11.20 \%$ | 61 | $-12.06 \%$ |
| 2017 | 23 | $-3.82 \%$ | 19,673 | $-10.81 \%$ | 55 | $-10.81 \%$ |
| 2018 | 23 | $-0.36 \%$ | 19,673 | $0.00 \%$ | 55 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 2019 | 23 | $0.00 \%$ | 19,673 | $0.00 \%$ | 55 | $-0.01 \%$ |
| 10-yr Average | 26 | $-2.11 \%$ | 24,271 | $-5.00 \%$ | 68 | $-5.00 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 OEB-Approved | 29 |  | 23,128 |  |  |  |

* Number of connections is expressed in year average format ${ }^{* *} k W h$ is without loss

The connection count, consumption and kWh for the Sentinel Lighting class has generally declined over the 10 -year period. The Load Forecast model uses a 10 -year (2010-2019) average to determine the projections.

WNP does not anticipate any new connections in 2020 or 2021 with the Load Forecast using 23 Sentinel Light connections for the Bridge Year and Test Year. The projected consumption and demand for 2021 is $19,673 \mathrm{kWh}$ and 55 kW which is consistent to 2017, 2018 and 2019 actuals.

As explained in Section 3.1.9 Determination of Customer Forecast, WNP has used a simple 10year (2010-2019) geometric mean function to determine the forecasted customer numbers for Bridge Year (2020) and Test Year (2021) with the methodology behind the projections being explained in detail in Section 3.3.1.

The table below illustrates the yearly change in connections, kWh consumption and kW demand for the Streetlight class:

Table 54 - Streetlight Variance

| Year | Connections \% Change | kWh ** | \% Change | kW | \% Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | 900 |  | 719,199 |  | 1,964 |  |
| 2011 | 899 | $-0.09 \%$ | 713,388 | $-0.81 \%$ | 1,964 | $-0.05 \%$ |
| 2012 | 898 | $-0.13 \%$ | 715,663 | $0.32 \%$ | 1,963 | $-0.02 \%$ |
| 2013 | 900 | $0.17 \%$ | 719,239 | $0.50 \%$ | 1,980 | $0.84 \%$ |
| 2014 | 905 | $0.61 \%$ | 720,792 | $0.22 \%$ | 1,983 | $0.18 \%$ |
| 2015 | 905 | $0.00 \%$ | 720,792 | $0.00 \%$ | 1,984 | $0.01 \%$ |
| 2016 | 907 | $0.23 \%$ | 723,427 | $0.37 \%$ | 1,984 | $0.03 \%$ |
| 2017 | 908 | $0.14 \%$ | 697,359 | $-3.60 \%$ | 1,920 | $-3.22 \%$ |
| 2018 | 908 | $-0.04 \%$ | 691,015 | $-0.91 \%$ | 1,902 | $-0.96 \%$ |
| 2019 | 908 | $-0.01 \%$ | 650,270 | $-5.90 \%$ | 1,810 | $-4.83 \%$ |
| $10-$-yr Average | 904 | $0.10 \%$ | 707,114 | $-1.09 \%$ | 1,945 | $-0.89 \%$ |
| 2016 OEB-Approved | 905 |  | 725,392 |  | 1,995 |  |

* Number of connections is expressed in year average format ${ }^{* *} k W h$ is without loss

The connection count, consumption and demand for the Streetlight class has been consistent since 2010.

As discussed in "Section 3.1.8 Regression Results," all streetlights maintained and billed by WNP have been converted to LED lights - 27 connections in 2017 and 897 connections in 2019. Consequently, this resulted in a manual adjustment to the Wholesale Forecast. For its Load Forecast, WNP is proposing to use 229,833 kWh and 633 kW with 924 connections for the Bridge Year (2020) and Test Year (2021) as per the calculations described in "Section 3.1.8 Regression Results."

The table below summarizes the variance between the 2016 Board Approved Load Forecast and the Test Year (2021) Load Forecast ${ }^{17}$.

Table 55-2012 Board Approved VS 2019 Load Forecast


WNP acknowledges that the utility has little control over its' Board Approved Load Forecast as the regulator dictates the manner in which the forecast is determined (i.e. using a multivariate regression analysis based on multi-year historical values.) In other words, the Load Forecasting process is formulaic in nature and hence year-over-year variances are outside of the utility's control.

[^11]The overall consumption decline can be explained by the decline in the number of customers (in the General Service $<50 \mathrm{~kW}$ class) and changes in weather patterns and effects of energy efficiencies (especially the Residential customer class).

The table below presents variances between actuals and 2016 Board Approved. ${ }^{18}$

Table 56 - Yearly Variances from Last Board Approved

|  | Customer Class | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ \text { Board-Approved } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | 2018 <br> Actual | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2020 \\ \text { Forecast } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021 \\ \text { Forecast } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Residential <br> General Service < 50 kW <br> General Service 50-999kW <br> General Service 1,000-4,999kW <br> Unmetered Scattered Load <br> Sentinel Lighting <br> Streetlights | $\begin{gathered} 3,251 \\ 476 \\ 38 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 29 \\ 905 \end{gathered}$ | (32) <br> (6) <br> (2) <br> 0 <br> 1 <br> (5) <br> 2 | (5) <br> (3) <br> (3) <br> 0 <br> 1 <br> (6) <br> 3 | 28 <br> (5) <br> (4) <br> 0 <br> 1 <br> (6) <br> 3 | 51 <br> (6) <br> (3) <br> 0 <br> 1 <br> (6) <br> 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 77 \\ & (7) \\ & (3) \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & (6) \\ & 19 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 104 <br> (8) <br> (4) <br> 0 <br> 1 <br> (6) <br> 19 |
|  | Total |  | (43) | (13) | 17 | 41 | 82 | 107 |
|  | Customer Class | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ \text { Board-Approved } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2020 \\ \text { Forecast } \end{gathered}$ | $2021$ <br> Forecast |
| $\sum_{\underline{z}}^{n}$ | Residential <br> General Service < 50kW <br> General Service 50-999kW <br> General Service 1,000-4,999kW <br> Unmetered Scattered Load <br> Sentinel Lighting <br> Streetlights | $\begin{gathered} \hline 27,408,200 \\ 12,494,682 \\ 14,065,279 \\ 50,613,209 \\ 3,024 \\ 23,128 \\ 725,392 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (2,884,624) \\ (527,076) \\ 5,828,464 \\ (5,116,693) \\ 3,792 \\ (1,071) \\ (1,965) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (3,545,090) \\ (1,084,291) \\ 4,964,334 \\ (4,862,682) \\ 3,777 \\ (3,455) \\ (28,033) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (2,062,295) \\ (912,542) \\ 4,240,150 \\ (6,699,253) \\ 3,777 \\ (3,455) \\ (34,377) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (2,154,304) \\ (1,356,510) \\ 4,674,601 \\ (7,847,061) \\ 3,264 \\ (3,455) \\ (75,122) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $(1,521,324)$ $(1,192,000)$ $4,662,025$ $(7,847,061)$ 3,264 $(3,455)$ $(495,559)$ | $\begin{gathered} (905,100) \\ (1,039,160) \\ 4,632,074 \\ (7,847,061) \\ 3,264 \\ (3,455) \\ (495,559) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Total 105,332,914 |  | $(2,699,173)$ | $(4,555,439)$ | $(5,467,995)$ | $(6,758,587)$ | (6,394,110) | $(5,654,997)$ |
| Customer Class |  | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ \text { Board-Approved } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $2020$ <br> Forecast | $2021$ <br> Forecast |
| $\underset{\text { z }}{ }$ | General Service 50-999kW <br> General Service 1,000-4,999kW <br> Sentinel Lighting <br> Streetlights | $\begin{gathered} \hline 43,362 \\ 108,301 \\ 65 \\ 1,995 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12,074 \\ & (11,483) \end{aligned}$ <br> (4) (11) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 10,043 \\ (9,709) \\ (10) \\ (75) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9,553 \\ (10,276) \\ (10) \\ (93) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8,323 \\ (12,071) \\ (10) \\ (185) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 9,147 \\ (15,411) \\ (10) \\ (1,363) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 9,063 \\ (15,411) \\ (10) \\ (1,363) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Total | 153,723 | 576 | 249 | (827) | $(3,943)$ | $(7,637)$ | $(7,721)$ |

Generally, the above table illustrates:

- Residential customer count was below the Board-Approved number in 2016 and 2017; whilst GS<50 and GS50-999 kW classes did not meet the 2016 Board-approved customer count.
- Regarding kWh consumption, with the exception of GS50-999 kW, all other metered classes did not meet the 2016 Board-approved kWh forecast. As explained in section 3.1.6,

[^12]the assumption is that the effects of energy efficient changes have contributed to the modest decline in consumption.

- Concerning kW demand, again, with the exception of GS50-999 kW, all other classes did not meet the 2016 Board-approved kW forecast. For General Service 1,000-4,999 kW class, this is attributed to participation in CDM programs and more recently, the Industrial Conservation Initiative program.
WNP has completed worksheet "Appendix 2-IB Load Forecast Analysis" and included it in Appendix 3A of this Exhibit.

The tables below illustrate the actual average kWh consumption and kW demand per customer, by customer class, and historical and adjusted forecast average use per customer generated from the load forecast. As can be seen from the results below, the predicted use per customer follows the trend created from its historical usage per customer. ${ }^{19}$

Table 57 - Average kWh per Customer / Connection

|  | Residential | GS<50kW | GS50-999kW | GS1000-4999kW | USL | Sentinel StreetLights |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8,249 | 23,773 | 525,763 | $7,615,491$ | 8,342 | 1,128 | 799 |
| 2011 | 8,206 | 24,487 | 557,154 | $7,904,303$ | 4,777 | 986 | 793 |
| 2012 | 7,925 | 24,474 | 581,876 | $8,467,506$ | 4,300 | 932 | 797 |
| 2013 | 8,096 | 25,488 | 431,137 | $8,453,276$ | 3,621 | 932 | 800 |
| 2014 | 8,062 | 25,055 | 416,414 | $8,742,583$ | 4,914 | 910 | 796 |
| 2015 | 7,771 | 25,366 | 561,719 | $9,506,071$ | 5,184 | 937 | 796 |
| 2016 | 7,618 | 25,499 | 559,075 | $9,099,303$ | 4,305 | 919 | 798 |
| 2017 | 7,352 | 24,136 | 547,615 | $9,150,105$ | 3,401 | 852 | 768 |
| 2018 | 7,731 | 24,634 | 538,395 | $8,782,791$ | 2,915 | 855 | 761 |
| 2019 | 7,648 | 23,698 | 535,425 | $8,553,230$ | 2,695 | 855 | 716 |
| Bridge Year 2020 | 7,648 | 23,698 | 535,425 | $8,553,230$ | 2,695 | 855 | 249 |
| Test Year 2021 | 7,648 | 23,698 | 535,425 | $8,553,230$ | 2,695 | 855 | 249 |

* Streetlight Bridge Year and Test Year consumption based on manual adjustment to account for LED streetlights as discussed in Section 3.1.8 Regression Results

Table 58 - Average kW per Customer/Connection

| GS50-999kW |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | 651,885 | 83,996 | 88 | 1,964 |
| 2011 | 65,743 | 86,114 | 80 | 1,964 |
| 2012 | 67,820 | 89,132 | 72 | 1,963 |
| 2013 | 52,256 | 105,092 | 72 | 1,980 |
| 2014 | 48,273 | 109,682 | 71 | 1,983 |
| 2015 | 55,778 | 99,567 | 70 | 1,984 |
| 2016 | 55,436 | 96,818 | 61 | 1,984 |
| 2017 | 53,405 | 98,592 | 55 | 1,920 |
| 2018 | 52,915 | 98,025 | 55 | 1,902 |
| 2019 | 51,685 | 96,230 | 55 | 1,810 |
| Bridge Year 2020 | 52,509 | 92,890 | 55 | 632 |
| Test Year 2021 | 52,425 | 92,890 | 55 | 632 |

* Streetlight Bridge Year and Test Year consumption based on manual adjustment to account for LED streetlights as discussed in Section 3.1.8 Regression Results

[^13]2 The next section details a variance analysis of the utility's past and projected revenues.

[^14]2 The table below shows year over year of WNP's revenues. A detailed analysis follows.

|  | Year | 2016 Board | 2016 | Variance | 2017 | Variance | 2018 | Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Approved |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | Fixed | \$23.97 | \$23.97 | \$0.00 | \$27.95 | \$3.98 | \$31.81 | \$3.86 |
|  | Variable | \$0.0153 | \$0.0153 | \$0.0000 | \$0.0103 | -\$0.0050 | \$0.0052 | -\$0.0051 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cust/Conn | 3,251 | 3,219 | -32 | 3,246 | 27 | 3,279 | 33 |
|  | kWn | 27,408,200 | 24,523,576 | -2,884,624 | 23,863,110 | -660,466 | 25,359,188 | 1,496,078 |
|  | Revenues | \$1,354,463.10 | \$1,278,073.04 | -\$76,390.06 | \$1,334,275.81 | \$56,202.77 | \$1,395,547.50 | \$61,271.69 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GS<50 | Fixed | \$41.71 | \$41.71 | \$0.00 | \$42.31 | \$0.60 | \$42.63 | \$0.32 |
|  | Variable | \$0.0179 | \$0.0179 | \$0.0000 | \$0.0182 | \$0.0003 | \$0.0183 | \$0.0001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cust/Conn | 476 | 469 | -7 | 473 | 4 | 470 | -3 |
|  | kWn | 12,494,682 | 11,967,606 | -527,076 | 11,410,391 | -557,215 | 11,564,095 | 153,704 |
|  | Revenues | \$461,902.33 | \$444,908.51 | -\$16,993.82 | \$445,996.46 | \$1,087.95 | \$453,860.76 | \$7,864.30 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GS 50-999 | Fixed | \$275.90 | \$275.90 | \$0.00 | \$279.90 | \$4.00 | \$282.00 | \$2.10 |
|  | Variable | \$2.6315 | \$2.6315 | \$0.0000 | \$2.6697 | \$0.0382 | \$2.6897 | \$0.0200 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cust/Conn | 38 | 34 | -4 | 33 | -1 | 34 | 1 |
|  | kWn | 14,065,279 | 19,893,744 | 5,828,465 | 19,029,613 | -864,131 | 18,305,428 | -724,185 |
|  | kW | 43,362 | 55,436 | 12,074 | 53,405 | -2,031 | 51,912 | -1,493 |
|  | Revenues | \$231,351.50 | \$247,714.65 | \$16,363.15 | \$251,129.76 | \$3,415.11 | \$242,505.61 | -\$8,624.15 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GS 1000-4999 | Fixed | \$2,254.94 | \$2,254.94 | \$0.00 | \$2,287.64 | \$32.70 | \$2,304.80 | \$17.16 |
|  | Variable | \$3.0505 | \$3.0505 | \$0.0000 | \$3.0947 | \$0.0442 | \$3.1179 | \$0.0232 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cust/Conn | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
|  | kWh | 50,613,209 | 45,496,516 | -5,116,693 | 45,750,527 | 254,011 | 43,918,718 | -1,831,809 |
|  | kW | 108,301 | 96,818 | -11,483 | 98,592 | 1,774 | 98,050 | -543 |
|  | Revenues | \$465,668.60 | \$429,058.41 | -\$36,610.19 | \$440,647.04 | \$11,588.63 | \$443,135.25 | \$2,488.21 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| USL | Fixed | \$28.33 | \$28.33 | \$0.00 | \$28.74 | \$0.41 | \$28.96 | \$0.22 |
|  | Variable | \$0.0156 | \$0.0156 | \$0.0000 | \$0.0158 | \$0.0002 | \$0.0159 | \$0.0001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cust/Conn | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
|  | kWh | 3,024 | 6,816 | 3,792 | 6,801 | -15 | 6,801 | 0 |
|  | Revenues | \$387.13 | \$626.25 | \$239.12 | \$882.70 | \$256.45 | \$917.58 | \$34.88 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sentinel | Fixed | \$7.38 | \$7.38 | \$0.00 | \$7.49 | \$0.11 | \$7.55 | \$0.06 |
|  | Variable | \$27.3041 | \$27.3041 | \$0.0000 | \$27.7000 | \$0.3959 | \$27.9078 | \$0.2078 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cust/Conn | 29 | 23 | -6 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 0 |
|  | kWn | 23,128 | 22,056 | -1,072 | 19,674 | -2,382 | 19,673 | -1 |
|  | kW | 65 | 61 | -4 | 55 | -6 | 55 | 0 |
|  | Revenues | \$4,343.01 | \$3,379.02 | -\$963.99 | \$3,615.73 | \$236.71 | \$3,644.99 | \$29.26 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Street Lighting | Fixed | \$1.60 | \$1.60 | \$0.00 | \$1.62 | \$0.02 | \$1.63 | \$0.01 |
|  | Variable | \$1.7664 | \$1.7664 | \$0.0000 | \$1.7920 | \$0.0256 | \$1.8054 | \$0.0134 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cust/Conn | 908 | 908 | 0 | 908 | 0 | 908 | 0 |
|  | kWh | 725,392 | 723,427 | -1,965 | 697,359 | -26,068 | 691,015 | -6,344 |
|  | kW | 1,995 | 1,984 | -11 | 1,920 | -64 | 1,902 | -18 |
|  | Revenues | \$20,957.57 | \$48,367.87 | \$27,410.30 | \$23,631.09 | -\$24,736.78 | \$23,741.97 | \$110.88 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | Cust/Conn | 4,708 | 4,660 ${ }^{\prime}$ | -48 | 4,690 |  | 4,721 | 31 |
|  | kWh | 105,332,914 | 102,633,741 | -2,699,173 | 100,777,475 | -1,856,266 | 99,864,918 | -912,557 |
|  | kW | 153,723 | 154,299 | 576 | 153,972 | -327 | 151,919 | -2,053 |
|  | Revenues | \$2,539,073.24 | \$2,452,127.75 | -\$86,945.49 | \$2,500,178.59 | \$48,050.84 | \$2,563,353.66 | \$63,175.07 |


|  | Year | 2019 | Variance | 2020 | Variance | 2021 | Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | Fixed | \$35.83 | \$4.02 | \$36.39 | \$0.56 | \$39.18 | \$2.79 |
|  | Varable | \$0.0000 | -\$0.0052 | \$0.0000 | \$0.0000 | \$0.0000 | \$0.0000 |
|  | Cust/Conn | 3,302 | 23 | 3,328 | 26 | 3,355 | 27 |
|  | kWh | 25,253,896 | -105,292 | 25,886,876 | 632,980 | 26,503,100 | 616,224 |
|  | Revenues | \$1,431,751.25 | \$36,203.75 | \$1,453,271.04 | \$21,519.79 | \$1,577,424.29 | \$124,153.25 |
| GS<50 | Fixed | \$43.08 | \$0.45 | \$43.75 | \$0.67 | \$43.85 | \$0.10 |
|  | Variable | \$0.0185 | \$0.0002 | \$0.0188 | \$0.0003 | \$0.0239 | \$0.0051 |
|  | Cust/Conn | 470 | 0 | 469 | -1 | 468 | -1 |
|  | kWn | 11,138,172 | -425,923 | 11,302,682 | 164,510 | 11,455,522 | 152,840 |
|  | Revenues | \$450,899.74 | -\$2,961.02 | \$458,715.42 | \$7,815.68 | \$520,449.99 | \$61,734.57 |
| GS 50-999 | Fixed | \$284.96 | \$2.96 | \$289.38 | \$4.42 | \$289.38 | \$0.00 |
|  | Variable | \$2.7179 | \$0.0282 | \$2.7600 | \$0.0421 | \$3.4101 | \$0.6501 |
|  | Cust/Conn | 35 | 1 | 34 | -1 | 34 | 0 |
|  | kWn | 18,739,880 | 434,452 | 18,727,304 | -12,576 | 18,697,353 | -29,951 |
|  | kW | 51,685 | -228 | 52,509 | 824 | 52,425 | -84 |
|  | Revenues | \$252,543.91 | \$10,038.30 | \$256,591.88 | \$4,047.97 | \$290,613.41 | \$34,021.53 |
| GS 1000-4999 | Fixed | \$2,329.00 | \$24.20 | \$2,365.10 | \$36.10 | \$2,365.10 | \$0.00 |
|  | Variable | \$3.1506 | \$0.0327 | \$3.1994 | \$0.0488 | \$4.4260 | \$1.2266 |
|  | Cust/Conn | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
|  | kWh | 42,766,148 | -1,152,570 | 42,766,148 | 0 | 42,766,148 | 0 |
|  | kW | 96,231 | -1,819 | 92,890 | -3,341 | 92,890 | 0 |
|  | Revenues | \$447,206.34 | \$4,071.09 | \$439,098.27 | -\$8,108.07 | \$553,038.25 | \$113,939.98 |
| USL | Fixed | \$29.26 | \$0.30 | \$29.71 | \$0.45 | \$26.58 | -\$3.13 |
|  | Variable | \$0.0161 | \$0.0002 | \$0.0163 | \$0.0002 | \$0.0125 | -\$0.0038 |
|  | Cust/Conn | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
|  | kWn | 6,288 | -513 | 6,288 | 0 | 6,288 | 0 |
|  | Revenues | \$929.17 | \$11.59 | \$886.84 | -\$42.33 | \$822.75 | -\$64.09 |
| Sentinel | Fixed | \$7.63 | \$0.08 | \$7.75 | \$0.12 | \$8.78 | \$1.03 |
|  | Variable | \$28.2008 | \$0.2930 | \$28.6379 | \$0.4371 | \$32.4036 | \$3.7657 |
|  | Cust/Conn | 23 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 0 |
|  | kWn | 19,673 | 0 | 55 | -19,618 | 55 | 0 |
|  | kw | 55 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 55 | 0 |
|  | Revenues | \$3,693.76 | \$48.77 | \$3,714.08 | \$20.32 | \$4,197.50 | \$483.42 |
| Street Lighting | Fixed | \$1.65 | \$0.02 | \$1.68 | \$0.03 | \$4.25 | \$2.57 |
|  | Variable | \$1.8244 | \$0.0190 | \$1.8527 | \$0.0283 | \$4.6187 | \$2.7660 |
|  | Cust/Conn | 908 | 0 | 924 | 16 | 924 | 0 |
|  | kWh | 650,270 | -40,745 | 229,833 | -420,437 | 229,833 | 0 |
|  | kW | 1,810 | -92 | 632 | -1,178 | 632 | 0 |
|  | Revenues | \$23,925.67 | \$183.70 | \$19,798.75 | -\$4,126.92 | \$50,045.21 | \$30,246.46 |
| Total | Cust/Conn | 4,745 | 24 | 4,785 | $40^{\prime \prime}$ | 4,811 | 26 |
|  | kWh | 98,574,327 | -1,290,591 | 98,919,186 | 344,859 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | '99,658,299 | 739,113 |
|  | kW | 149,780 | -2,139 | 146,086 | -3,694 | " 146,002 | -84 |
|  | Revenues | \$2,610,949.84 | \$47,596.18' | \$2,632,076.28 | \$21,126.44' | \$2,996,591.40 | \$364,515.12 |

## 2016 Board Approved VS 2016 Actual

The total distribution revenue in 2016 of $\$ 2,452,128$ was $\$ 86,945$ lower than the 2016 Board Approved. The primary reason was that the regression analysis used in Cost of Service applications overestimate the Load Forecast compared to actuals.

## 2016 Actual VS 2017 Actual

The total distribution revenue in 2017 of $\$ 2,500,178$ was $\$ 48,051$ higher than the 2016 Actual. The primary reason was that as Residential fixed rate increased in percentage, the overestimation of the Load Forecast compared to actuals had less effect on revenue.

## 2017 Actual VS 2018 Actual

The total distribution revenue in 2018 of $\$ 2,563,354$ was $\$ 63,175$ higher than the 2017 Actual. The primary reason was that as Residential fixed rate increased in percentage, the overestimation of the Load Forecast compared to actuals had less effect on revenue.

## 2018 Actual VS 2019 Actual

The total distribution revenue in 2019 of $\$ 2,610,950$ was $\$ 47,596$ higher than the 2018 Actual. The primary reason was that as Residential fixed rate increased in percentage, the overestimation of the Load Forecast compared to actuals had less effect on revenue.

## 2019 Actual VS 2020 Predicted

The predicted total distribution revenue in 2020 of $\$ 2,632,076$ is $\$ 21,126$ higher than the 2019 Actual. The primary reason was the IRM percentage increase in rates. This increase will likely not exist to the same extent as this projection since it is based on the load forecast and usage has been lower for March to June 2020 due to COVID-19.

## 2021 Predicted VS 2020 Predicted

The predicted total distribution revenue in 2021 of $\$ 2,996,591$ is $\$ 364,515$ higher than the 2020 Predicted values. The primary reason for this increase is to eliminate the revenue deficiency in this rate application.

2 The table below shows the projected revenues, variable and fixed, derived from proposed 2021 distribution rates using the load forecast for the 3 Test Year (2021):

| 2021 Rates at 2021 Load |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Test Year Projected Revenue from Proposed Variable Charges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customer Class Name | Variable Distribution Rate | per | Test Year Volume | Gross <br> Variable <br> Revenue | Transform. Allowance Rate | Transform. Allowance kW's | Transform. Allowance \$'s | Net <br> Variable <br> Revenue |
| Residential | \$0.0000 | kWh | 26,503,100 | \$0.00 |  |  | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| General Service < 50 kW | \$0.0239 | kWh | 11,455,522 | \$274,176.25 |  |  | \$0.00 | \$274,176.25 |
| General Service 50 to 2999 kW | \$3.4101 | kW | 52,425 | \$178,772.17 | 0.60 | 10,607 | (\$6,364.43) | \$172,407.74 |
| General Service 3000-4999 kW | \$4.4260 | kW | 92,890 | \$411,132.25 |  |  | \$0.00 | \$411,132.25 |
| Unmetered Scattered Load | \$0.0125 | kWh | 6,288 | \$78.51 |  |  | \$0.00 | \$78.51 |
| Sentinel Lighting | \$32.4036 | kW | 55 | \$1,774.22 |  |  | \$0.00 | \$1,774.22 |
| Street Lighting | \$4.6187 | kW | 632 | \$2,921.21 |  |  | \$0.00 | \$2,921.21 |
| Total Variable Revenue |  |  | 38,110,912 | \$868,854.60 |  | 10607 | $(\$ 6,364.43)$ | \$862,490.17 |


|  | Test Year Projected Revenue from Proposed Fixed Charges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Customer Class Name | Fixed Rate | Customers (Connections) | Fixed Charge Revenue | Variable Revenue | TOTAL | \% Fixed Revenue | \% Variable Revenue | \% Total Revenue |
| Residential | \$39.18 | 3,355 | \$1,577,424.29 | \$0.00 | \$1,577,424.29 | 92.88\% |  | 55.37\% |
| General Service < 50 kW | \$43.85 | 468 | \$246,273.74 | \$274,176.25 | \$520,449.99 | 47.21\% | 46.71\% | 17.42\% |
| General Service 50-999 kW | \$289.38 | 34 | \$118,205.67 | \$172,407.74 | \$290,613.41 | 40.67\% | 53.92\% | 9.69\% |
| General Service 1000-4999 kW | \$2,365.10 | 5 | \$141,906.00 | \$411,132.25 | \$553,038.25 | 25.66\% | 67.68\% | 16.59\% |
| Unmetered Scattered Load | \$26.58 | 2 | \$744.24 | \$78.51 | \$822.75 | 101.11\% | 10.97\% | 0.04\% |
| Sentinel Lighting | \$8.78 | 23 | \$2,423.28 | \$1,774.22 | \$4,197.50 | 50.96\% | 42.30\% | 0.14\% |
| Street Lighting | \$4.25 | 924 | \$47,124.00 | \$2,921.21 | \$50,045.21 | 37.22\% | 5.92\% | 0.75\% |
| Total Fixed Revenue |  | 4,811 | \$2,134,101.23 | \$862,490.17 | \$2,996,591.40 |  |  |  |

3.4 OTHER REVENUES

### 3.4.1 OVERVIEW OF OTHER REVENUE

Other Distribution Revenues are revenues that are distribution related but are sourced from means other than distribution rates. For this reason, other revenues are deducted from WNP's proposed revenue requirement. Further details on the derivation of the Revenue Requirement is presented in Exhibit 6.

Other Distribution Revenues includes items such as:

- Specific Service Charges
- Late Payment Charges
- Other Distribution Revenues
- Other Income and Expenses

A detailed breakdown by USoA account is shown in the OEB Appendix 2-H presented on the next page. Year-over-year variance analysis follow at Section 3.4.2 - Other Revenue Variance Analysis.

Table 61 - OEB Appendix 2- $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{2 1}}$

| Reporting Basis |  | MIFRS | MIFRS | MIFRS | MIFRS | MIFRS | MIFRS | MIFRS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
|  | USoA Description | Board Approved |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4235 | 4235-Miscellaneous Service Revenues | -\$58,297 | -\$65,689 | -\$56,991 | -\$49,669 | -\$49,666 | -\$33,643 | -\$34,000 |
| 4225 | 4225-Late Payment Charges | -\$29,000 | -\$32,463 | -\$25,259 | -\$26,043 | -\$26,204 | -\$24,436 | -\$24,500 |
| 4082 | 4082-Retail Services Revenues | -\$5,780 | -\$5,689 | -\$5,619 | -\$5,596 | -\$7,616 | -\$7,725 | -\$7,880 |
| 4084 | 4084-Service Transaction Requests (STR) Revenues | -\$50 | -\$41 | -\$38 | -\$52 | -\$221 | -\$225 | -\$230 |
| 4086 | 4086-SSS Administration Revenue | -\$14,113 | -\$13,399 | -\$13,486 | -\$13,544 | -\$13,649 | -\$13,675 | -\$13,725 |
| 4205 | 4205-Interdepartmental Rents | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 4210 | 4210-Rent from Electric Property | -\$29,800 | -\$30,420 | -\$27,938 | -\$29,624 | -\$29,225 | -\$29,500 | -\$49,296 |
| 4215 | 4215-Other Utility Operating Income | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 4220 | 4220-Other Electric Revenues | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 4240 | 4240-Provision for Rate Refunds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 4245 | 4245-Government Assistance Directly Credited to Income | -\$11,565 | -\$11,710 | -\$11,854 | -\$11,854 | -\$12,503 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 4305 | 4305-Regulatory Debits | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 4310 | 4310-Regulatory Credits | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 4315 | 4315-Revenues from Electric Plant Leased to Others | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 4320 | 4320-Expenses of Electric Plant Leased to Others | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 4324 | 4324-Special Purpose Charge Recovery | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 4325 | 4325-Revenues from Merchandise Jobbing, Etc. | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 4330 | 4330-Costs and Expenses of Merchandising Jobbing, Etc. | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 4335 | 4335-Profits and Losses from Financial Instrument Hedges | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 4340 | 4340-Profits and Losses from Financial Instrument Investments | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 4345 | 4345-Gains from Disposition of Future Use Utility Plant | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 4350 | 4350-Losses from Disposition of Future Use Utility Plant | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

${ }^{21}$ MFR - Completed Appendix 2-H

| 4355-Gain on Disposition of Utility and Other Property | \$0 | \$0 | -\$9,863 | -\$2,498 | -\$10,000 | -\$5,000 | -\$5,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4360-Loss on Disposition of Utility and Other Property | \$28,000 | \$20,210 | \$17,503 | \$30,375 | \$51,692 | \$25,000 | \$25,000 |
| 4365-Gains from Disposition of Allowances for Emission | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 4370-Losses from Disposition of Allowances for Emission | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 4375-Revenues from Non-Utility Operations | -\$140,000 | -\$150,226 | -\$160,999 | -\$181,570 | -\$537,757 | -\$170,000 | -\$170,000 |
| 4380-Expenses of Non-Utility Operations | \$134,000 | \$155,563 | \$142,554 | \$140,574 | \$471,517 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 |
| 4380-Sub-account Generation Facility Expenses | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 4385-Non-Utility Rental Income | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 4390-Miscellaneous Non-Operating Income | -\$500 | -\$170 | -\$470 | -\$2,787 | -\$2,750 | -\$900 | -\$900 |
| 4395-Rate-Payer Benefit Including Interest | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 4398-Foreign Exchange Gains and Losses, Including Amortization | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 4405-Interest and Dividend Income | -\$3,000 | -\$12,122 | -\$11,924 | -\$27,582 | -\$28,105 | -\$19,500 | -\$4,800 |
| 4415-Equity in Earnings of Subsidiary Companies | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Other | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total | -\$130,105 | -\$146,157 | -\$164,384 | -\$179,871 | -\$194,487 | -\$129,604 | -\$135,330 |
| Specific Service Charges | -\$58,297 | -\$65,689 | -\$56,991 | -\$49,669 | -\$49,666 | -\$33,643 | -\$34,000 |
| Late Payment Charges | -\$29,000 | -\$32,463 | -\$25,259 | -\$26,043 | -\$26,204 | -\$24,436 | -\$24,500 |
| Other Distribution/Operating Revenues | -\$61,307 | -\$61,260 | -\$58,935 | -\$60,670 | -\$63,213 | -\$51,125 | -\$71,130 |
| Other Income or Deductions | \$18,500 | \$13,256 | -\$23,198 | -\$43,488 | -\$55,404 | -\$20,400 | -\$5,700 |
| Total | -\$130,105 | -\$146,157 | -\$164,384 | -\$179,871 | -\$194,487 | -\$129,604 | -\$135,330 |

Account 4405 - Interest and Dividend Income

|  | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | 2018 Actual ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2020 \text { Bridge } \\ \text { Year }^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2021 \text { Test } \\ & \text { Year } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reporting Basis | Accrual | Accrual | Accrual | Accrual | Accrual | Accrual |
| Interest and Dividend Income | -3,513.96 | -3,843.47 | -11,788.77 | -9,555.96 | -5,704.62 | -1,000.00 |
| Interest and Dividend Income - Carrying Charges | -8,607.93 | -8,080.29 | -15,793.61 | -18,549.49 | -13,795.38 | -3,800.00 |
| Total | -12,121.89 | -11,923.76 | -27,582.38 | -28,105.45 | -19,500.00 | -4,800.00 |


| Reporting Basis |  | MIFRS | MIFRS | Var Analysis | Var Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2017 | \$ | \% |
|  | USoA Description |  |  |  |  |
| 4235 | 4235-Miscellaneous Service Revenues | -\$65,689 | -\$56,991 | \$8,698 | -13.24\% |
| 4225 | 4225-Late Payment Charges | -\$32,463 | -\$25,259 | \$7,204 | -22.19\% |
| 4082 | 4082-Retail Services Revenues | -\$5,689 | -\$5,619 | \$70 | -1.23\% |
| 4084 | 4084-Service Transaction Requests (STR) Revenues | -\$41 | -\$38 | \$3 | -7.93\% |
| 4086 | 4086-SSS Administration Revenue | -\$13,399 | -\$13,486 | -\$87 | 0.65\% |
| 4210 | 4210-Rent from Electric Property | -\$30,420 | -\$27,938 | \$2,482 | -8.16\% |
| 4245 | 4245-Government Assistance Directly Credited to Income | -\$11,710 | -\$11,854 | -\$144 | 1.23\% |
| 4355 | 4355-Gain on Disposition of Utility and Other Property | \$0 | -\$9,863 | -\$9,863 |  |
| 4360 | 4360-Loss on Disposition of Utility and Other Property | \$20,210 | \$17,503 | -\$2,707 | -13.40\% |
| 4375 | 4375-Sub-account Generation Facility Revenues | -\$150,226 | -\$160,999 | -\$10,773 | 7.17\% |
| 4380 | 4380-Expenses of Non-Utility Operations | \$155,563 | \$142,554 | -\$13,009 | -8.36\% |
| 4390 | 4390-Miscellaneous Non-Operating Income | -\$170 | -\$470 | -\$300 | 176.47\% |
| 4405 | 4405-Interest and Dividend Income | -\$12,122 | -\$11,924 | \$198 | -1.63\% |
|  | Total | -\$146,157 | -\$164,384 | -\$18,228 | 12\% |
|  | Specific Service Charges | -\$65,689 | -\$56,991 | \$8,698 | -13.24\% |
|  | Late Payment Charges | -\$32,463 | -\$25,259 | \$7,204 | -22.19\% |
|  | Other Distribution/Operating Revenues | -\$61,260 | -\$58,935 | \$2,325 | -3.79\% |
|  | Other Income or Deductions | \$13,256 | -\$23,198 | -\$36,454 | 275.01\% |
|  | Total | -\$146,157 | -\$164,384 | -\$18,228 | 12.47\% |

### 3.4.2 OTHER REVENUE VARIANCE ANALYSIS ${ }^{22}$

The tables below present year-over-year variances of other operating revenues:

Table 62 - Variance Analysis of Other Operating Revenues

2016-2017

## 2016 Actual compared to 2017 Actual

The Other Revenues variance reflects an increase of $\$ 18,228$. There was a decrease in the Service and Late Payment charges which was more than offset by a gain on disposition of assets (mostly the value of a fully amortized pickup truck), and an increase in chargeable job activity in NonUtility income.

[^15]Table 63 - Variance Analysis of Other Operating Revenues

2017-2018

| Reporting Basis |  | MIFRS | MIFRS | Var Analysis | Var Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2017 | 2018 | \$ | \% |
|  | USoA Description |  |  |  |  |
| 4235 | 4235-Miscellaneous Service Revenues | -\$56,991 | -\$49,669 | \$7,322 | -12.85\% |
| 4225 | 4225-Late Payment Charges | -\$25,259 | -\$26,043 | -\$784 | 3.11\% |
| 4082 | 4082-Retail Services Revenues | -\$5,619 | -\$5,596 | \$23 | -0.41\% |
| 4084 | 4084-Service Transaction Requests (STR) Revenues | -\$38 | -\$52 | -\$15 | 38.41\% |
| 4086 | 4086-SSS Administration Revenue | -\$13,486 | -\$13,544 | -\$58 | 0.43\% |
| 4210 | 4210-Rent from Electric Property | -\$27,938 | -\$29,624 | -\$1,686 | 6.03\% |
| 4245 | 4245-Government Assistance Directly Credited to Income | -\$11,854 | -\$11,854 | \$0 | 0.00\% |
| 4355 | 4355-Gain on Disposition of Utility and Other Property | -\$9,863 | -\$2,498 | \$7,365 | -74.68\% |
| 4360 | 4360-Loss on Disposition of Utility and Other Property | \$17,503 | \$30,375 | \$12,872 | 73.54\% |
| 4375 | 4375-Sub-account Generation Facility Revenues | -\$160,999 | -\$181,570 | -\$20,572 | 12.78\% |
| 4380 | 4380-Expenses of Non-Utility Operations | \$142,554 | \$140,574 | -\$1,980 | -1.39\% |
| 4390 | 4390-Miscellaneous Non-Operating Income | -\$470 | -\$2,787 | -\$2,317 | 492.91\% |
| 4405 | 4405-Interest and Dividend Income | -\$11,924 | -\$27,582 | -\$15,659 | 131.32\% |
|  | Total | -\$164,384 | -\$179,871 | -\$15,487 | 9\% |
|  | Specific Service Charges | -\$56,991 | -\$49,669 | \$7,322 | -12.85\% |
|  | Late Payment Charges | -\$25,259 | -\$26,043 | -\$784 | 3.11\% |
|  | Other Distribution/Operating Revenues | -\$58,935 | -\$60,670 | -\$1,735 | 2.94\% |
|  | Other Income or Deductions | -\$23,198 | -\$43,488 | -\$20,290 | 87.46\% |
|  | Total | -\$164,384 | -\$179,871 | -\$15,487 | 9.42\% |

## 2017 Actual compared to 2018 Actual

There was a decrease in the Miscellaneous Service charges which is added to the decrease in gain on disposition of assets and an increase in loss on disposition of assets. The increase in NonUtility income is attributable to a one-time CDM bonus from the IESO of just over $\$ 22,000$. There was an increase in Interest due to higher interest rates, higher credit DVA balances and a higher bank account balance from the loans for the MS3 substation rebuild.

Table 64 - Variance Analysis of Other Operating Revenues

|  | Reporting Basis | MIFRS | MIFRS | Var Analysis | Var Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2018 | 2019 | \$ | \% |
|  | USoA Description |  |  |  |  |
| 4235 | 4235-Miscellaneous Service Revenues | -\$49,669 | -\$49,666 | \$3 | -0.01\% |
| 4225 | 4225-Late Payment Charges | -\$26,043 | -\$26,204 | -\$161 | 0.62\% |
| 4082 | 4082-Retail Services Revenues | -\$5,596 | -\$7,616 | -\$2,020 | 36.09\% |
| 4084 | 4084-Service Transaction Requests (STR) Revenues | -\$52 | -\$221 | -\$169 | 322.49\% |
| 4086 | 4086-SSS Administration Revenue | -\$13,544 | -\$13,649 | -\$105 | 0.78\% |
| 4210 | 4210-Rent from Electric Property | -\$29,624 | -\$29,225 | \$401 | -1.35\% |
| 4245 | 4245-Government Assistance Directly Credited to Income | -\$11,854 | -\$12,503 | -\$649 | 5.47\% |
| 4355 | 4355-Gain on Disposition of Utility and Other Property | -\$2,498 | -\$10,000 | -\$7,502 | 300.40\% |
| 4360 | 4360-Loss on Disposition of Utility and Other Property | \$30,375 | \$51,692 | \$21,317 | 70.18\% |
| 4375 | 4375-Sub-account Generation Facility Revenues | -\$181,570 | -\$537,757 | -\$356,187 | 196.17\% |
| 4380 | 4380-Expenses of Non-Utility Operations | \$140,574 | \$471,517 | \$330,943 | 235.42\% |
| 4390 | 4390-Miscellaneous Non-Operating Income | -\$2,787 | -\$2,750 | \$37 | -1.32\% |
| 4405 | 4405-Interest and Dividend Income | -\$27,582 | -\$28,105 | -\$523 | 1.90\% |
|  | Total | -\$179,871 | -\$194,487 | -\$14,616 | 8\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Specific Service Charges | -\$49,669 | -\$49,666 | \$3 | -0.01\% |
|  | Late Payment Charges | -\$26,043 | -\$26,204 | -\$161 | 0.62\% |
|  | Other Distribution/Operating Revenues | -\$60,670 | -\$63,213 | -\$2,5452 | 4.12\% |
|  | Other Income or Deductions | -\$43,488 | \$55,404 | -\$11,916 | 27.40\% |
|  | Total | -\$179,871 | -\$194,487 | -\$14,616 | 8.13\% |

. convert the streetlights in WNP's service territory to LED.

Table 65 - Variance Analysis of Other Operating Revenues
2019-2020

|  | Reporting Basis | MIFRS | MIFRS | Var Analysis | Var Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2019 | 2020 | \$ | \% |
|  | USoA Description |  |  |  |  |
| 4235 | 4235-Miscellaneous Service Revenues | -\$49,666 | -\$33,643 | \$16,023 | -32.26\% |
| 4225 | 4225-Late Payment Charges | -\$26,204 | -\$24,436 | \$1,768 | -6.75\% |
| 4082 | 4082-Retail Services Revenues | -\$7,616 | -\$7,725 | -\$110 | 1.44\% |
| 4084 | 4084-Service Transaction Requests (STR) Revenues | -\$221 | -\$225 | -\$4 | 1.93\% |
| 4086 | 4086-SSS Administration Revenue | -\$13,649 | -\$13,675 | -\$26 | 0.19\% |
| 4210 | 4210-Rent from Electric Property | -\$29,225 | -\$29,500 | -\$275 | 0.94\% |
| 4245 | 4245-Government Assistance Directly Credited to Income | -\$12,503 | \$0 | \$12,503 | -100.00\% |
| 4355 | 4355-Gain on Disposition of Utility and Other Property | -\$10,000 | -\$5,000 | \$5,000 | -50.00\% |
| 4360 | 4360-Loss on Disposition of Utility and Other Property | \$51,692 | \$25,000 | -\$26,692 | -51.64\% |
| 4375 | 4375-Sub-account Generation Facility Revenues | -\$537,757 | -\$170,000 | \$367,757 | -68.39\% |
| 4380 | 4380-Expenses of Non-Utility Operations | \$471,517 | \$150,000 | -\$321,517 | -68.19\% |
| 4390 | 4390-Miscellaneous Non-Operating Income | -\$2,750 | -\$900 | \$1,850 | -67.27\% |
| 4405 | 4405-Interest and Dividend Income | -\$28,105 | -\$19,500 | \$8,605 | -30.62\% |
|  | Total | -\$194,487 | -\$129,604 | \$64,883 | -33\% |
|  | Specific Service Charges | -\$49,666 | -\$33,643 | \$16,023 | -32.26\% |
|  | Late Payment Charges | -\$26,204 | -\$24,436 | \$1,768 | -6.75\% |
|  | Other Distribution/Operating Revenues | -\$63,213 | -\$51,125 | \$12,088 | -19.12\% |
|  | Other Income or Deductions | -\$55,404 | -\$20,400 | \$35,004 | -63.18\% |
|  | Total | -\$194,487 | -\$129,604 | \$64,883 | -33.36\% |

## 2019 Actual compared to 2020 Bridge

There was a large decrease in Miscellaneous Service Revenue due to the introduction of new OEB regulations regarding customer service rules, particularly LDC's not being able to apply a "Notification Charge" for collection activities such as issuance of an account overdue notice or disconnection notice. ${ }^{23}$ Prior to July 1, 2019, WNP was charging $\$ 15.00$ (before HST) for delivery of a disconnection notice as per the LDC's approved Tariff of Rates and Charges "Notification Charge." After July 1, 2019, as per OEB's generic rate order eliminating "Collection Charges", WNP

[^16]ceased applying the charge for delivery of a disconnection notice. The table below illustrates the revenue collected by the utility from applying the Notification Charge for delivery of disconnection notices for the period January 1, 2016 to June 30, 2019:

Table 66 - Variance Analysis of Other Operating Revenues

| Customer Administration | Charge | 2016 |  | 2017 |  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Quantity | Total | Quantity | Total | Quantity | Total | Quantity | Total |
| Notification Charge | \$15.00 | 1,826 | \$27,390 | 1,209 | \$18,135 | 832 | \$12,480 | 165 | \$2,475 |
| Year-over-Year Change |  |  |  | -34\% | -34\% | -31\% | -31\% | -80\% | -80\% |
| 3-year average 2016 to 2018 |  | \$19,335 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Using 2018 as the last full year, WNP estimates the effect on Other Revenue for not being able to apply the Notification Charge for delivery of disconnection notices in 2020 and 2021 is approx. $\$ 12,000$ to $\$ 13,000$ per year. WNP acknowledges that in 2017 and 2018, the number of disconnection notices fell by approx. 30\% per year. This decline is due to the introduction of OEB policy of prohibiting the disconnection of residential customers for non-payment for the period:
a) February 2017 to April $30^{\text {th }} 2017^{24}$ and ;
b) Each subsequent year for the winter period from November $15^{\text {th }}$ to April $30^{\text {th }} 25$ This prohibition includes "... or issue a disconnection notice to a residential customer solely by reason of non-payment."

Account 4245 is accounted for in the Fixed Asset Continuity schedule and thus was removed from this category. The gain and loss on disposition of assets have been adjusted to reflect an average year. The income and expenses for non-utility operations are also adjusted to remove the effects of the exceptional items of the last two years. Interest and Dividend income has also dropped since market interest rates and the OEB's prescribed interest rate have dropped in the second half of the year.

[^17]Table 67 - Variance Analysis of Other Operating Revenues

2020-2021

| Reporting Basis |  | MIFRS | MIFRS | Var Analysis | Var Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2020 | 2021 | \$ | \% |
|  | USoA Description |  |  |  |  |
| 4235 | 4235-Miscellaneous Service Revenues | -\$33,643 | -\$34,000 | -\$357 | 1.06\% |
| 4225 | 4225-Late Payment Charges | -\$24,436 | -\$24,500 | -\$64 | 0.26\% |
| 4082 | 4082-Retail Services Revenues | -\$7,725 | -\$7,880 | -\$155 | 2.00\% |
| 4084 | 4084-Service Transaction Requests (STR) Revenues | -\$225 | -\$230 | -\$5 | 2.00\% |
| 4086 | 4086-SSS Administration Revenue | -\$13,675 | -\$13,725 | -\$50 | 0.37\% |
| 4210 | 4210-Rent from Electric Property | -\$29,500 | -\$49,2960 | -\$19,796 | 67.11\% |
| 4245 | 4245-Government Assistance Directly Credited to Income | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00\% |
| 4355 | 4355-Gain on Disposition of Utility and Other Property | -\$5,000 | -\$5,000 | \$0 | 0.00\% |
| 4360 | 4360-Loss on Disposition of Utility and Other Property | \$25,000 | \$25,000 | \$0 | 0.00\% |
| 4375 | 4375-Sub-account Generation Facility Revenues | -\$170,000 | -\$170,000 | \$0 | 0.00\% |
| 4380 | 4380-Expenses of Non-Utility Operations | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | \$0 | 0.00\% |
| 4390 | 4390-Miscellaneous Non-Operating Income | -\$900 | -\$900 | \$0 | 0.00\% |
| 4405 | 4405-Interest and Dividend Income | -\$19,500 | -\$4,800 | \$14,700 | -75.38\% |
|  | Total | -\$129,604 | -\$135,330 | -\$5,726 | 4\% |
|  | Specific Service Charges | -\$33,643 | -\$34,000 | -\$357 | 1.06\% |
|  | Late Payment Charges | -\$24,436 | -\$24,500 | -\$64 | 0.26\% |
|  | Other Distribution/Operating Revenues | -\$51,125 | -\$71,130 | -\$20,005 | 39.13\% |
|  | Other Income or Deductions | -\$20,400 | -\$5,700 | \$14,700 | -72.06\% |
|  | Total | -\$129,604 | -\$135,330 | -\$5,726 | 4.42\% |

## 2020 Bridge compared to 2021 Test Year

There will be a large increase in 4210 with the pole attachment charges not being allocated to the Deferral Variance Account. This will be partially offset by a decrease in interest due to low interest rates for the entire year and lower DVA and bank balances during the year.

### 3.4.3 PROPOSED SPECIFIC SERVICE CHARGES ${ }^{26}$

WNP is not proposing any changes to the current Specific Service Charges that have been approved by the OEB in previous applications, with the exception of "specific charge for access to power poles - \$/pole/year", known as wireline pole attachment charge.

## Wireline Pole Attachment Charge

WNP uses the provincial-wide wireline pole attachment charge as determined by the OEB and adjusted annually to account for inflation.

The LDC acknowledges that for the wireline pole attachment charge, the OEB will issue a Decision and Rate Order declaring the annual inflation adjusted rate to be effective from January 1, 2021. In its' "Other Operating Revenue" projections for the Test Year 2021, WNP has applied a $2 \%$ inflation rate above the Bridge Year (2020) in the absence of an OEB rate being available at the time of preparing this application.

## MicroFIT Monthly Service Charge

WNP is proposing no change to the MicroFIT Monthly Service Charge of $\$ 15.69$ - a non-provincialwide rate that was approved in the LDC's 2016 Cost of Service rate application (EB-2015-0110).

In its' 2016 Cost of Service rate application, EB-2015-0110, WNP explained in Exhibit 3 the utility incurred third-party settlement cost of $\$ 10.00$ per MicroFIT account per month that specifically related to MicroFIT customers. During interrogatories, the LDC used the OEB's Cost Allocation model, worksheet "O3.6 MicroFIT Charge" to demonstrate the impact of this third-party settlement cost that is specifically related to MicroFIT accounts. ${ }^{27}$ WNP continues to incur this third-party settlement cost of $\$ 10.00$ per MicroFIT account per month.

[^18]WNP does not record specific costs related to MicroFIT meters separately. However, assuming that cost-structure for MicroFIT meters is similar to that of a Residential metered customer, using the data in worksheet "O3.6-MicroFIT Charge" in the Cost Allocation model, then the calculated MicroFIT Monthly Unit Cost for 2021 would be $\$ 16.33$ per account per month as illustrated below:

Table 68 - "O3.6 - MicroFIT Charge" Including MicroFIT Meters to Residential Base

| Description | Residential | Monthly <br> Unit Cost |  | Monthly Unit Cost including MicroFIT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Customer Premises - Operations Labour (5070) | \$ 42,145.04 | \$ | 1.05 | \$ | 1.04 |
| Customer Premises - Materials and Expenses (5075) | \$ 10,536.26 | \$ | 0.26 | \$ | 0.26 |
| Meter Expenses (5065) | \$ 37,413.12 | \$ | 0.93 | \$ | 0.92 |
| Maintenance of Meters (5175) | \$ 21,824.32 | \$ | 0.54 | \$ | 0.54 |
| Meter Reading Expenses (5310) | \$ 54,106.39 | \$ | 1.34 | \$ | 10.00 |
| Customer Billing (5315) | \$ 90,215.25 | \$ | 2.24 | \$ | 2.23 |
| Amortization Expense - General Plant Assigned to Meters | \$ 4,798.11 | \$ | 0.12 | \$ | 0.12 |
| Admin \& General Expenses allocated to O\&M expenses for meters | \$ 47,334.50 | \$ | 1.18 | \$ | 1.17 |
| Allocated PILS (general plant assigned to meters) | \$ | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Interest Expense | \$ 828.51 | \$ | 0.02 | \$ | 0.02 |
| Income Expenses | \$ 1,240.84 | \$ | 0.03 | \$ | 0.03 |
| Total Cost | \$310,442.35 | \$ | 7.71 | \$ | 16.33 |
| Number of Residential Customers (forecast year-average for 2021) | 3,355 |  |  |  |  |
| Number of MicroFIT accounts (as at Dec 31 st 2019) | 22 |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Residential accounts + MicroFIT accounts | 3,377 |  |  |  |  |

In the above, table, WNP has added the 22 MicroFIT connection accounts to the 2021 forecasted number of Residential customer accounts. Dividing the total cost by a revised meter count of 3,377 plus adding the $\$ 10.00$ per month for settlement provider costs (highlighted above) results in a MicroFIT monthly unit cost of $\$ 16.33^{28}$. WNP used this approach in its' 2016 Cost of Service application as evidence to adjust its' MicroFIT Monthly Service from the province-wide rate, which was accepted by all intervening parties.

The calculated monthly unit cost presented in the table above of $\$ 16.33$ is above WNP's current OEB-approved MicroFIT Monthly Service Charge. The Applicant is proposing to maintain the current rate of $\$ 15.69$ for the MicroFIT Monthly Service Charge.

[^19]There are no classes or discrete customer groups that may be materially impacted by changes to other rates and charges. ${ }^{29}$
3.4.4 REVENUE FROM AFFILIATE TRANSACTIONS, SHARED SERVICES, CORPORATE COST ALLOCATION.

WNP has no affiliates and as such does not have any affiliate transactions, shared services and corporate cost allocation that will be affecting its 2021 rates. ${ }^{30}$
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## Appendix 2-IB

Customer, Connections, Load Forecast and Revenues Data and Analysis

This sheet is to be filled in accordance with the instructions documented in section 2.3.2 of Chapter 2 of the Filing Requirements for Distribution Rate Applications, in terms of one set of tables per customer clas

| Color coding for Cells: |  | Data input | Drop-down List |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No data entry required | Blank or cal | ulated value |  |  |  |
| Distribution Svstem (Total) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Calendar Year <br> (for 2021 Cost of Service |  | Consumption (kWh) ${ }^{(3)}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Actual (Weather actual) | Weathernormalized |  | Weathernormalized |
| Historical | 2015 |  | Actual | 112,178,419 | 112,108,114 |  |  |
| Historical | 2016 |  | Actual | 109,112,022 | 108,980,115 | OEB-approved | 112,565,495 |
| Historical | 2017 |  | Actual | 107,122,742 | 107,482,226 |  |  |
| Historical | 2018 |  | Actual | 106,666,688 | 106,776,885 |  |  |
| Historical | 2019 |  | Actual | 104,914,586 | 104,736,951 |  |  |
| Bridge Year | 2020 |  | Forecast |  | 105,301,014 |  |  |
| Test Year | 2021 |  | Forecast |  | 106,087,656 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variance Analysis |  |  |  | Year-over-year |  |  | Versus OEBapproved |
|  |  |  | Year |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2015 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2016 | -2.7\% | -2.8\% |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2017 | -1.8\% | -1.4\% |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2018 | -0.4\% | -0.7\% |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2019 | -1.6\% | -1.9\% |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2020 |  | 0.5\% |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2021 |  | 0.7\% |  | -5.8\% |
|  |  |  | Geometric Mean | -2.2\% | -1.1\% |  | -1.5\% |

## Customer Class Analysis (one for each Customer Class, excluding MicrofiT and Standby)





|  | Calendar Year (for 2021 Cost of Service | Revenues |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Historical | 2015 | Actual | \$ | 425,733 |  |  |
| Historical | 2016 | Actual | \$ | 437,109 | OEB-approved | \$461,091 |
| Historical | 2017 | Actual | \$ | 446,294 |  |  |
| Historical | 2018 | Actual | \$ | 453,431 |  |  |
| Historical | 2019 | Actual | \$ | 446,409 |  |  |
| Bridge Year (Foreca | 2020 | Forecast | \$ | 449,648 |  |  |
| Test Year (Forecast) | 2021 | Forecast | \$ | 520,438 |  |  |


| Variance Analysis | Year | Year-over-year | Test Year <br> Versus OEB- <br> approved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | $2.7 \%$ |  |
|  | 2016 | $2.1 \%$ |  |
|  | 2017 | $1.6 \%$ |  |
|  | 2018 | $-1.5 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ |
|  | 2019 | $15 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |
|  | 2020 | $4.1 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ |
|  | Geometric Mean |  |  |



|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calendar Year } \\ & \text { (for } 2021 \text { Cost } \\ & \text { of Service } \end{aligned}$ | Revenues |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Historical | 2015 | Actual | \$ | 312,596 |  |  |
| Historical | 2016 | Actual | \$ | 280,599 | OEB-approved | \$232,406 |
| Historical | 2017 | Actual | \$ | 251,771 |  |  |
| Historical | 2018 | Actual | \$ | 245,613 |  |  |
| Historical | 2019 | Actual | \$ | 252,352 |  |  |
| Bridge Year (Foreca | 2020 | Forecast | \$ | 254,871 |  |  |
| Test Year (Forecast) | 2021 | Forecast | \$ | 290,475 |  |  |


| Demand (kW) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual (Weather actual) | Weathernormalized |  | Weathernormalized |
| Actual | 55,778 |  |  |  |
| Actual | 55,436 |  | OEB-approved | 43,362 |
| Actual | 53,405 |  |  |  |
| Actual | 52,915 |  |  |  |
| Actual | 51,685 |  |  |  |
| Forecast Forecast |  | $\begin{aligned} & 52,509 \\ & 52,425 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |


| Demand (kW) per Customer |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Actual (Weather <br> actual) | Weather- <br> normalized | Weather- <br> normalized |
| Actual |  |  | OEB-approved |
| Actual |  |  |  |
| Actual |  |  |  |
| Actual |  |  |  |
| Actual |  |  |  |
| Forecast |  |  |  |
| Forecast |  |  |  |




|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}\text { Calendar Year } \\ \text { (for 2021 Cost } \\ \text { of Service }\end{gathered}\right.$ | Revenues |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Historical | 2015 | Actual | \$ | 322,450 |  |  |
| Historical | 2016 | Actual | \$ | 384,795 | OEB-approved | \$465,666 |
| Historical | 2017 | Actual | \$ | 440,467 |  |  |
| Historical | 2018 | Actual | \$ | 443,136 |  |  |
| Historical | 2019 | Actual | \$ | 440,971 |  |  |
| Bridge Year (Foreca | 2020 | Forecast | \$ | 413,514 |  |  |
| Test Year (Forecast) | 2021 | Forecast | \$ | 553,038 |  |  |


| Demand (kW) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual (Weather actual) | Weathernormalized |  | Weathernormalized |
| Actual | 99,567 |  |  |  |
| Actual | 96,818 |  | OEB-approved | 108,301 |
| Actual | 98,592 |  |  |  |
| Actual | 98,025 |  |  |  |
| Actual | 96,230 |  |  |  |
| Forecast Forecast |  | $\begin{aligned} & 92,890 \\ & 92,890 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |


| Demand (kW) per Customer |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Actual (Weather <br> actual) |  |  |
| Weather- <br> normalized | Weather- <br> normalized |  |  |
| Actual |  |  | OEB-approved |
| Actual |  |  | 21,660 |
| Actual |  |  |  |
| Actual |  |  |  |
| Actual |  |  |  |
| Forecast |  |  |  |
| Forecast |  |  |  |


| Variance Analysis | Year | Year-over-year | Test Year <br> Versus OEB- <br> approved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | $19.3 \%$ |  |
|  | 2016 | $14.5 \%$ |  |
|  | 2017 | $0.6 \%$ |  |
|  | 2018 | $-.5 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ |
|  | 2029 | $-6.2 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ |
|  | 2020 | $33.7 \%$ |  |
|  | Geometric Mean | $11.4 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| Year | Year-over-year | Test Year Versus OEB-approved | Year | Year-over-year | Test Year Versus OEbapproved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 |  |  | 2015 |  |  |
| 2016 | -2.8\% |  | 2016 2017 |  |  |
| 2017 2018 | - $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.8\% } \\ & -0.6 \%\end{aligned}$ |  | 2017 |  |  |
| 2019 | -1.8\% |  | 2019 |  |  |
| 2020 |  |  | 2020 |  |  |
| 2021 | 0.0\% | -14.2\% | 2021 |  |  |
| $\underset{\text { Meometric }}{\substack{\text { Mean }}}$ | -1.1\% | -3.8\% | Geometric Mean |  |  |



|  | Calendar Year (for 2021 Cost of Service | Revenues |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Historical | 2015 | Actual | \$ | 356 |  |  |
| Historical | 2016 | Actual | \$ | 583 | OEB-approved | \$279 |
| Historical | 2017 | Actual | \$ | 883 |  |  |
| Historical | 2018 | Actual | \$ | 919 |  |  |
| Historical | 2019 | Actual | \$ | 928 |  |  |
| Bridge Year (Foreca | 2020 | Forecast | \$ | 959 |  |  |
| Test Year (Forecast) | 2021 | Forecast | \$ | 716 |  |  |


| Variance Analysis | Year | Year-over-year | Test Year <br> Versus OEB- <br> approved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | $63.6 \%$ |  |
|  | 2016 | $51.5 \%$ |  |
|  | 2017 | $4.1 \%$ |  |
|  | 2018 | $3.0 \%$ | $156.5 \%$ |
|  | 2020 | $-25.3 \%$ | $26.6 \%$ |
|  | 2021 | $15.0 \%$ |  |
|  | Geometric Mean |  |  |



|  | Calendar Year (for 2021 Cost of Service | Revenues |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Historical | 2015 | Actual | \$ | 3,077 |  |  |
| Historical | 2016 | Actual | \$ | 3,405 | OEB-approved | \$4,367 |
| Historical | 2017 | Actual | \$ | 3,618 |  |  |
| Historical | 2018 | Actual | \$ | 3,657 |  |  |
| Historical | 2019 | Actual | \$ | 3,690 |  |  |
| Bridge Year (Foreca | 2020 | Forecast | \$ | 3,706 |  |  |
| Test Year (Forecast) | 2021 | Forecast | \$ | 4,197 |  |  |


| Demand (kW) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual (Weather actual) | Weathernormalized |  | Weathernormalized |
| Actual | 70 |  |  |  |
| Actual | 61 |  | OEB-approved | 65 |
| Actual | 55 |  |  |  |
| Actual | 55 |  |  |  |
| Actual | 55 |  |  |  |
| Forecast Forecast |  | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |


| Demand (kW) per Customer |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Actual (Weather <br> actual) | Weather- <br> normalized | Weather- <br> normalized |
| Actual |  |  | OEB-approved |
| Actual |  |  |  |
| Actual |  |  |  |
| Actual |  |  |  |
| Actual |  |  |  |
| Forecast |  |  |  |
| Forecast |  |  |  |


| Variance Analysis | Year | Year-over-year | Test Year <br> Versus OEB- <br> approved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | $10.7 \%$ |  |
|  | 2016 | $6.3 \%$ |  |
|  | 2017 | $1.1 \%$ |  |
|  | 2018 | $0.9 \%$ | $-3.9 \%$ |
|  | 2029 | $13.3 \%$ | -3.9 |
|  | 2021 | $6.4 \%$ | $-1.0 \%$ |


| Year | Year-over-year | Test Year Versus OEB-approved | Year | Year-over-year | Test Year Versus OEBapproved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 |  |  | 2015 |  |  |
| 2016 | -12.1\% |  | 2016 |  |  |
| 2017 | -10.8\% |  | 2017 |  |  |
| 2018 | 0.0\% |  | 2018 |  |  |
| 2019 | 0.0\% |  | 2019 |  |  |
| 2020 |  |  | 2020 |  |  |
| 2021 | 0.0\% | -15.8\% | 2021 |  |  |
| $\underset{\text { Mean }}{\substack{\text { Geometric }}}$ | -7.8\% | -4.2 | Geometric Mean |  |  |



|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calendar Year } \\ & \text { (for } 2021 \text { Cost } \\ & \text { of Service } \end{aligned}$ | Revenues |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Historical | 2015 | Actual | \$ | 95,329 |  |  |
| Historical | 2016 | Actual | \$ | 53,713 | OEB-approved | \$20,922 |
| Historical | 2017 | Actual | \$ | 23,647 |  |  |
| Historical | 2018 | Actual | \$ | 23,781 |  |  |
| Historical | 2019 | Actual | \$ | 23,904 |  |  |
| Bridge Year (Foreca | 2020 | Forecast | \$ | 22,220 |  |  |
| Test Year (Forecast) | 2021 | Forecast | \$ | 50,045 |  |  |


| Demand (kW) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual (Weather actual) | Weathernormalized |  | Weathernormalized |
| Actual | 1,984 |  |  |  |
| Actual | 1,984 |  | OEB-approved | 1,995 |
| Actual | 1,920 |  |  |  |
| Actual | 1,902 |  |  |  |
| Actual | 1,810 |  |  |  |
| Forecast |  | $\begin{aligned} & 632 \\ & 632 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |


| Demand (kW) per Customer |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Actual (Weather <br> actual) | Weather- <br> normalized | Weather- <br> normalized |
| Actual |  |  | OEB-approved |
| Actual |  |  |  |
| Actual |  |  |  |
| Actual |  |  |  |
| Actual |  |  |  |
| Forecast |  |  |  |
| Forecast |  |  |  |


| Variance Analysis | Year | Year-over-year | Test Year Versus OEB- approved | Year |  |  | Test Year Versus OEB-approved | Year | Year-over-year | Test Year Versus OEbapproved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  |  | 2015 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \% \\ & -3.2 \% \\ & -1.0 \% \\ & -4.8 \% \end{aligned}$ | 0.0\% |  | 2015 |  |  |
|  | 2016 | -43.7\% |  | 2016 |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |
|  | 2017 | -56.0\% |  | 2017 |  |  |  | 2017 |  |  |
|  | 2018 | 0.6\% |  | 2018 |  |  |  | 2018 |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 0.5\% |  | 2019 |  |  |  | 2019 |  |  |
|  | 2020 | -7.0\% |  | 2020 2021 |  |  |  | 2020 2021 |  |  |
|  | 2021 | 125.2\% | 139.2\% | Geometric |  |  |  | $\stackrel{2021}{\text { Geometric }}$ |  |  |
|  | Geometric Mean | -12.1\% | 24.4\% | (Geometric | -3.0\% |  | -25.0\% | Geomean Meat |  |  |

A copy of WNP's Load Forecast has been filed as a live Excel spreadsheet format that contains the data used to determine the customers, connections, demand and load forecast. ${ }^{31}$ The file is named "Appendix 3B WNP Wholesale Load Forecast."

[^21]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ MFR - Completed Appendix 2-IB; the customer and load forecast for the test year must be entered on RRWF, Tab 10
    ${ }^{2}$ MFR - All data used to determine the customers/connections, demand and load forecasts must be presented and filed in a live Microsoft Excel spreadsheet format

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ MFR - Explanation of weather normalization methodology
    ${ }^{4}$ MFR - NAC Model - rationale for choice, data supporting NAC variables, description of accounting for CDM including license conditions, discussion of weather normalization considerations

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ MFR - Multivariate Regression Model - rationale for choice, regression statistics, explanation of weather normalization methodology, sources of data for endogenous and exogenous variables, any binary variables used to either account for individual data points or to account for seasonal or cyclical trends or for discontinuities in the historical data, explanation of any specific adjustments made; data used in load forecast must be provided in Excel format, including derivation of constructed variables

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ MFR - In addition to the proposed Test Year Load Forecast, the load forecasts based on a 10-year average and 20-year trends in HDD and CDD

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ MFR - year-over-year variances in kWh and kW by rate

[^5]:    ${ }^{8}$ MFR - explanation to support how kWh are converted to kW for applicable demand-billed classes,

[^6]:    ${ }^{9}$ MFR - explanation to support how kWh are converted to kW for applicable demand-billed classes
    ${ }^{10}$ MFR - explanation to support how kWh are converted to kW for applicable demand-billed classes

[^7]:    ${ }^{11}$ MFR - Quantification of any impacts arising from the persistence of historical CDM programs as well as the forecasted impacts arising from new programs in the bridge and test years through the current 6 -year CDM framework.

[^8]:    12 MFR - CDM Adjustment - If a distributor expects impacts from any CFF-related projects not deployed by April 2019 but for which a distributor is contractually obligated to complete, or for other programs delivered by the distributor after April 2019, a distributor may include these amounts as part of a CDM manual adjustment to the 2021 load forecast but must ensure that sufficient supporting evidence is provided for all estimated CDM savings
    13 MFR - If a distributor proposes a CDM adjustment to its 2021 load forecast, it should document the CDM savings to be used as the basis for the 2021 LRAMVA threshold. In addition, the allocation of the CDM savings for the LRAMVA and the load forecast adjustment should be provided by customer class and for both kWh and, as applicable to a customer class, kW. The distributor should document its proposal adequately
    14 MFR - Appendix 2-I - is provided as one approach for calculating the aggregate amounts for the LRAMVA and the corresponding CDM adjustment to the load forecast

[^9]:    ${ }^{15}$ MFR - year-over-year variances in kWh and kW by rate class and for system consumption overall (kWh) with explanations for material changes in the definition of or major changes over time (should be done for both historical actuals against each other and historical weather-normalized actuals over time)
    ${ }^{16}$ MFR - For customer/connection counts - identification as to whether customer/connection count is shown in year-end or average format, year-over-year variances in changes of customer/connection counts with explanation of major changes, explanations of bridge and test year forecasts by rate class, for last rebasing variance analysis between last OEB-approved and actuals with explanations for material differences

[^10]:    * Number of customers is expressed in year average format
    ** $k$ Wh is metered without loss

[^11]:    ${ }^{17}$ MFR - explanations of the bridge and test year forecasts by rate class, variance analysis between the last OEB-approved and the actual and weather-normalized actual results

[^12]:    ${ }^{18}$ MFR - explanations of the bridge and test year forecasts by rate class, variance analysis between the last OEB-approved and the actual and weather-normalized actual results

[^13]:    ${ }^{19}$ MFR - With respect to average consumption, for each rate class, distributors are to provide weather-actual and weathernormalized average annual consumption or demand per customer as applicable for last OEB approved and historical, weather normalized average annual consumption or demand per customer for the bridge and test years, explanation of the net change in average consumption from last OEB-approved and actuals from historical, bridge and test years based on year-over-year variances and any apparent trends in data

[^14]:    ${ }^{20}$ MFR - For revenues - calculation of bridge year forecast of revenues at existing rates, calculation of test year forecasted revenues at existing and proposed rates, year-over-year variances in revenues comparing historical actuals and bridge and test year forecasts

[^15]:    ${ }^{22}$ MFR - Variance analysis - year over year, historical, bridge and test

[^16]:    ${ }^{23}$ OEB Bulletin Notification Charge (August 8, 2019) stated "It is OEB staff's view that using the Notification Charge, or any other approved specific service charge for the purpose of charging for activities related to collection of accounts would be inconsistent with the OEB's decision to eliminate Collection of Account charges. As a result, OEB staff's view is that electricity distributors cannot apply the Notification Charge for collection activities. The views expressed in this Bulletin are those of OEB staff and are not binding on the OEB".

[^17]:    ${ }^{24}$ OEB Decision and Order EB-2017-0318 Amending Electricity Distributor Licences to Prohibit the Disconnection of Residential Customers and Related Matters (February 23, 2017), amendments to section 70 of the Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998 (OEB Act) ${ }^{25}$ OEB Decision and Order EB-2017-0318 Amending Electricity Distributor Licences to Prohibit the Disconnection of Residential Customers and Related Matters (November 2, 2017)

[^18]:    ${ }^{26}$ MFR - Any new proposed specific service charges
    ${ }^{27}$ EB-2015-0110 WellingtonNorth_IR_20160127 Applicant's response to IR 3-VECC-21 - page 130

[^19]:    ${ }^{28}$ MFR - As per OEB letter "Review of Fixed Monthly Charge for microFIT Generator Service classification" (February 24, 2020), any distributor that applies for a distributor-specific charge will be required to support its costs with evidence

[^20]:    ${ }^{29}$ MFR - Distributors must identify any discrete customer groups that may be materially impacted by changes to other rates and charges
    ${ }^{30}$ MFR - Revenue from affiliate transactions, shared services, corporate cost allocation

[^21]:    ${ }^{31}$ MFR - All data used to determine the customers/connections, demand and load forecasts must be presented and filed in a live Microsoft Excel spreadsheet format

