

Asha Patel Technical Manager Regulatory Applications Regulatory Affairs Enbridge Gas Inc. 500 Consumers Road North York, Ontario M2J 1P8 Canada

December 18, 2020

VIA EMAIL and RESS

Ms. Christine E. Long Registrar Ontario Energy Board 2300 Yonge Street, 27th Floor Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Long:

Re: Enbridge Gas Inc. (Enbridge Gas) Ontario Energy Board (OEB) File No: EB-2020-0065 NPS 26 Branchton Relocation Project (Project)

In accordance with section 22.07 of the OEB's *Rules of Practice and Procedure*, Enbridge Gas makes the following submissions objecting to certain aspects of the two intervention requests filed in respect of the Project application:

Pollution Probe Intervention Request

Although Pollution Probe has not demonstrated how it or its constituents are directly impacted by the Project, Enbridge Gas accepts that Pollution Probe is a regular intervenor in OEB proceedings and references issues that are relevant to the Project application. Enbridge Gas is prepared to respond to these issues as part of the proceeding. However, Enbridge Gas objects to Pollution Probe's suggestion that, "An oral hearing portion to cross examining experts (including TSSA) would bring value and clarity given the complexity and diversity of opinion on this issue." This statement refers to the applicability and interpretation of the Canadian Standards Association (CSA) Z662 requirements. The OEB should reject Pollution Probe's suggestion for oral examination as unnecessary.

The Technical Standards and Safety Authority (TSSA) is a member of the Ontario Pipeline Coordinating Committee (OPCC) and participated in review of the Project in its capacity as a reviewer and auditor of all new pipeline projects that are submitted to the OEB for leave to construct to ensure that they meet all applicable standards. The TSSA administers the *Technical Standards and Safety Act* and associated regulations, pursuant to which the TSSA has adopted CSA Z662-15 containing the class location requirements that underlie the need for the Project. As part of the OPCC process, the TSSA has reviewed and accepted as compliant the technical aspects of the Project, as set out in the enclosed TSSA letter.¹ The TSSA has fulfilled its mandate to conduct an initial review of the Project as part of the OPCC. There is no need to complicate or

¹ Enbridge Gas is filing this letter as an update to Exhibit C, Tab 2, Schedule 2.

render redundant the OPCC process by providing for oral cross-examination of the TSSA. To do so would be highly extraordinary and inefficient and may bring into question the efficacy of the OPCC process itself. The TSSA has already provided its written views of the Project, like the other OPCC agencies, and has described how it will continue to fulfill its mandate to regulate the construction and operation of this Project. Pollution Probe also mentions "other experts", but does not offer to engage any experts for the proceeding and Enbridge Gas is also not proposing to engage external experts.

Environmental Defence Intervention Request

Like Pollution Probe, Environmental Defence expresses an interest in the Project arising from its general mandate and objectives as an organization. However, it does not demonstrate how it may be directly impacted by the Project. Nonetheless, the OEB has typically accepted Environmental Defence as an intervenor in gas facility proceedings and Enbridge Gas does not object to answering questions about the need for the Project and any alternatives that were considered. In this regard, Enbridge Gas notes that regulatory compliance and safety are the specific underlying reasons for the Project and not general reinforcement or system expansion. The issues raised by Environmental Defence about declining future gas use and holistic and integrated planning are very similar to the issues that are being adjudicated in the Enbridge Gas Integrated Resource Planning proceeding² and are not appropriate for a Project of this nature for which the primary focus is safety and compliance.

Yours truly,

Asha Patel Technical Manager, Regulatory Applications

Encl.

cc: Michael Brophy, Pollution Probe Kent Elson, Environmental Defence Canada Inc. Amanda Montgomery, Environmental Defence Canada Inc.

² RP-2020-0091.

OPCC Review Summary Branchton Replacement Project

In February 2020, Enbridge Gas Inc. completed an Environmental Report (ER) for the Branchton Replacement Project. The ER was emailed to the Ontario Pipeline Coordinating Committee (OPCC), Indigenous Communities and local agencies as part of the OPCC review process. OPCC comments on the ER were requested to be directed to Zora Crnojacki of the Ontario Energy Board and Mark Knight of Stantec Consulting Ltd. By April 15, 2020.

Comments were received from the Ministry of Heritage, Sport, Tourism and Culture Industries, Ministry of Energy and Northern Development, Fuel Safety and Technical Standards and Safety Authority and the Haudenosaunee Development Institute (HDI).

Please see the summary table of all comments received in the OPCC review as well as the attached email correspondence and list of OPCC members.

OPCC Summary

RECORD	STAKEHOLDER	COMMENT SUMMARY	RESPONSE SUMMARY
1	 Karla Barboza Team Lead, Heritage, Ministry of Heritage, Sport, Tourism and Culture Industries Email dated February 10, 2020 	Acknowledged received the ER, assigned a staff member to provide comments. Requested the Project Information Form Numbers for the archaeological assessments and an electronic copy of the Cultural Heritage Assessment.	 Jackie Corr, Stantec Email dated February 18, 2020 Provided a list of Project Information Form Numbers and a link to the Built Heritage and Cultural Landscape Assessment.
2	 Shannon McCabe Indigenous Energy Policy, Ministry of Energy, Northern Development and Mines Email dated February 11,2020 	Requested confirmation of an Indigenous Consultation Report being sent separately.	 Jackie Corr, Stantec Email dated May 29, 2020 Confirmed that an Indigenous Consultation Report will be sent.

Branchton Replacement Project

3	 Kourosh Manouchehri Fuels Safety, Technical Standards and Safety Authority Email dated February 11, 2020 	Noted an application needs to be filed and submitted to the TSSA as part of Ontario Pipeline Coordinating Committee.	 Enbridge Email dated June 5, 2020 The Application for Review was provided to the TSSA which has completed their review.
4	Todd E. Williams Monitoring Program Coordinator, Haudenosaunee Development Institute (HDI) Email dated February 19, 2020	Requested the Archaeological consultant be notified that HDI would like to have field monitors participate in the upcoming assessment and requested a copy of the GRCA permit when it becomes available.	 Sarah Clarke, Archaeological Research Associates Ltd. Email dated March 26, 2020 Invited the community to participate in the Stage 2 archaeological assessment, including having a liaison monitor the work and/or circulate a draft copy of the report for their review and comment.
5	• Katherine Kirzati Heritage Planner, Ministry of Heritage, Sport, Tourism and Culture Industries Email dated April 7, 2020	Provided comments on the Environmental Report.	 Jackie Corr, Stantec Email dated May 4, 2020 Provided responses to comments received.

From:	Corr, Jacqueline
To:	Barboza, Karla (MHSTCI); Knight, Mark
Cc:	norm.dumouchelle@enbridge.com; Kirzati, Katherine (MHSTCI)
Subject:	RE: Environmental Study Report: Branchton Replacement Project [MHSTCI File 0007319]
Date:	Tuesday, February 18, 2020 1:59:16 PM

Hi Karla,

Please see below a list of Project Information Form Numbers for the archaeological assessments:

ASI: P057-394-2007 (Stage 1) ARA: P007-076-2006 P007-0774-2016 P007-0850-2017 P007-0975-2018

Please find here a link to the Built Heritage and Cultural Landscape Assessment: Archaeological Services Inc. (ASI) 2007b. Built Heritage and Cultural Landscape Assessment South Boundary Transportation Corridor Class Environmental Assessment, Regional Municipality of Waterloo, Ontario. Available online:

https://icreate3.esolutionsgroup.ca/230672_ROW_MUnicipal/en/gettingAround/resources/7128-FinalEAReportC.pdf

Thank you, Jackie

From: Barboza, Karla (MHSTCI) <Karla.Barboza@ontario.ca> Sent: Monday, February 10, 2020 2:58 PM

To: Corr, Jacqueline <Jacqueline.Corr@stantec.com>; Knight, Mark <Mark.Knight@stantec.com> Cc: norm.dumouchelle@enbridge.com; Kirzati, Katherine (MHSTCI) <Katherine.Kirzati@ontario.ca> Subject: FW: Environmental Study Report: Branchton Replacement Project [MHSTCI File 0007319]

Hi Jackie and Mark,

Thanks for sending the Environmental Screening Report for the Branchton Replacement Project to the Ministry of Heritage, Sport, Tourism and Culture Industries. Katherine Kirzati, MHSTCI Heritage Planner, has been assigned to this file (MHSTCI File 0007319) and will provide comments, as appropriate, by April 15. The attached ESR refers to a Stage 1 and 2 archaeological assessments and a Cultural Heritage Assessment for the South Boundary Corridor and Franklin Boulevard Extension. Could you please advise what the Project Information Form Numbers are for the archaeological assessments and send us an electronic copy of the Cultural Heritage Assessment?

Thanks in advance,

Karla

Karla Barboza MCIP, RPP, CAHP | (A) Team Lead, Heritage

Ministry of Heritage, Sport, Tourism and Culture Industries Culture Division | Programs and Services Branch | Heritage Planning Unit T. 416.314.7120 | Email: <u>karla.barboza@ontario.ca</u>

From: Corr, Jacqueline <<u>Jacqueline.Corr@stantec.com</u>>
Sent: February 10, 2020 1:00 PM
To: Hamilton, James (MHSTCI) <<u>James.Hamilton@ontario.ca</u>>
Cc: Knight, Mark <<u>Mark.Knight@stantec.com</u>>; Norm Dumouchelle
<<u>Norm.Dumouchelle@enbridge.com</u>>
Subject: Environmental Study Report: Branchton Replacement Project

CAUTION -- EXTERNAL E-MAIL - Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender.

Good afternoon,

On behalf of Mark Knight, Environmental Planner at Stantec, please see the attached notification letter and Environmental Screening Report (ESR) regarding the Brachton Replacement Project. Please forward any comments you may have regarding the ESR and project to Mark Knight (cc'd). Your comments would be appreciated by April 15, 2020.

Thank you, Jackie

Jackie Corr, HBAsc, MES

Environmental Consultant Assessment and Permitting Team Stantec 300W-675 Cochrane Drive, Markham ON L3R 0B8 Phone: (905) 944-7785 Cell: (437) 235-2984 Jacqueline.Corr@stantec.com



The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

From:	Corr, Jacqueline
То:	McCabe, Shannon (ENDM)
Cc:	Norm Dumouchelle
Subject:	RE: Environmental Study Report: Branchton Replacement Project
Date:	Friday, May 29, 2020 9:23:00 AM

Good morning Shannon,

I apologize for the delay in response. Yes, I can confirm that an Indigenous Consultation Report will be sent separately to the MENDM.

Thank you, Jackie

From: McCabe, Shannon (ENDM) <Shannon.McCabe@ontario.ca>
Sent: Tuesday, February 11, 2020 9:34 AM
To: Corr, Jacqueline <Jacqueline.Corr@stantec.com>
Subject: RE: Environmental Study Report: Branchton Replacement Project

Good morning Jackie,

Thanks for sending the ESR. I wanted to confirm that the Indigenous Consultation Report would be sent separately to the Ministry of Energy, Northern Development and Mines?

Thank you,

Shannon Shannon McCabe Indigenous Energy Policy Ministry of Energy, Northern Development and Mines (647) 924-8139 77 Grenville Street, 6th Floor, Toronto, ON M7A 2C1

From: Corr, Jacqueline <<u>Jacqueline.Corr@stantec.com</u>>
Sent: February 10, 2020 1:59 PM
To: McCabe, Shannon (ENDM) <<u>Shannon.McCabe@ontario.ca</u>>
Cc: Knight, Mark <<u>Mark.Knight@stantec.com</u>>; Norm Dumouchelle
<<u>Norm.Dumouchelle@enbridge.com</u>>

Subject: Environmental Study Report: Branchton Replacement Project

CAUTION -- EXTERNAL E-MAIL - Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender.

Good afternoon,

On behalf of Mark Knight, Environmental Planner at Stantec, please see the attached notification letter and Environmental Screening Report (ESR) regarding the Branchton Replacement Project. Please

forward any comments you may have regarding the ESR and project to Zora Crnojacki and Mark Knight (cc'd). Your comments would be appreciated by April 15, 2020.

Thank you, Jackie

Jackie Corr, HBAsc, MES

Environmental Consultant Assessment and Permitting Team Stantec 300W-675 Cochrane Drive, Markham ON L3R 0B8 Phone: (905) 944-7785 Cell: (437) 235-2984 Jacqueline.Corr@stantec.com



The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

From:	Kourosh Manouchehri
То:	Corr, Jacqueline
Cc:	Knight, Mark; Norm Dumouchelle
Subject:	RE: Environmental Study Report: Branchton Replacement Project
Date:	Tuesday, February 11, 2020 8:05:11 AM
Attachments:	image001.jpg
	image002.png
	image003.png
	image004.png
	image005.png

Hi Jackie,

An application needs to be filled and submitted for the review of this project by TSSA as part of Ontario Pipeline Coordinating Committee. Please fill <u>Application for Review of Pipeline Project</u> and send it to the email address provided on the form.

If you have any question, please contact me.

Regards,



From: Corr, Jacqueline <Jacqueline.Corr@stantec.com>

Sent: February 10, 2020 14:05

To: Kourosh Manouchehri < KManouchehri@tssa.org>

Cc: Knight, Mark <Mark.Knight@stantec.com>; Norm Dumouchelle

<Norm.Dumouchelle@enbridge.com>

Subject: Environmental Study Report: Branchton Replacement Project

Good afternoon,

On behalf of Mark Knight, Environmental Planner at Stantec, please see the attached notification letter and Environmental Screening Report (ESR) regarding the Branchton Replacement Project. Please forward any comments you may have regarding the ESR and project to Mark Knight (cc'd). Your comments would be appreciated by April 15, 2020.

Thank you, Jackie

Jackie Corr, HBAsc, MES

Environmental Consultant Assessment and Permitting Team Stantec 300W-675 Cochrane Drive, Markham ON L3R 0B8 Phone: (905) 944-7785 Cell: (437) 235-2984 Jacqueline.Corr@stantec.com



The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

This electronic message and any attached documents are intended only for the named recipients. This communication from the Technical Standards and Safety Authority may contain information that is privileged, confidential or otherwise protected from disclosure and it must not be disclosed, copied, forwarded or distributed without authorization. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete the original message.



Technical Standards and Safety Authority 345 Ca ingviewOrive Toronto,OntarioM9VV 6N9 Fax: 416.231.4078 CustomerService: 1.877.682.8772 Email:fssubmiss1ons@tssa.org www.tssa .org

Application for Review of Pipeline Project

Technical Standards and Safety Act Fuels Safety Regulations

Please submit completed application and supporting documentat ion by mail. fax. or email (in pdf format).	For Office Use Only
Project Name or Title: Branchton Class Location	
Required Documentation (eligible PDFs are acceptable)	
0 Design and piping specifications related to the project	
${ m D}$ Calculation of High consequence area	
${ m D}$ Project time-line related to design and construction (approximate dates are acceptable)	
Length of pipeline project. ¹ . ⁹ - KM Diameter of Pipe: NPS <u>2_6</u>	
O Pipe Material and its Standard Steel. C S.A Standard 2245 1-18	
O Pipe wall thick ness_9s_m_m	
O Stress level on pipe wall based on the design pressure; S/SMYS_47.76 =•y•	
O Maximum Operating <u>Pressure: 6¹60</u> kPa	
D TSSA Transmission or Distribution license number:	

A. APPLICANT		
Company Name: Enbr idge		Corporation No.:
Street Name / 911 Number/Address, if appicable:	50 Keil Drive Norht	
UniVSu e:	2001 POBox:	
Cfy/To'MI: Chatham	Province: Ontario	Postal Code: N7M 5M1
TelephoneNo.: 519-436-4600 x 500222 4	Fax No.: 519-436-5292	Cell No.:519-350-3169
Email: Tom.Debevc@enbridge.com		
Print Name of Contact Person: Tom Debevc		

B. LOCATION ADDRESS: Starta	nd endlocation of the pipeline project (if applicable)	
	Lat/long 43 335945 degrees, -80 286777	
	to LaUlong: 43.33862 degree , -80.263248	
C. TECHNICALCONTACT	Same as: LAI A U D	
(Company shouldcorrmunicate rega	arding engineering and inspection approval on behalf of the owner.)	
Company Name:		
Street Name/ 911 Number/Address	s.if appicable:	

UniVSutte:	PO Box:		
City/To'MI:		Province:	Postal Code:
Telephone No.:	Fax No.:	Cell N	lo.:
Email:			
Print Name of Contact Person:			

Note: It is illegal to use an appliance. equipment, or work for tts intended purpose unless it is approved. Please note that this approval may be revoked or suspended if the relevant reviewand inspection fees are not paid in full

1	AHICAL	STANOA	1
1	TS	SA	2
1.	C	VORT	/
-	. 14 1	UTH	

Technical Standards and Safety Authority 345 CarlingviewDrive Toronto, Ontario M9W 6N9 Fax: 416.231.4078 CustomerService: 1.877.682.8772 Email:<u>fssubmissions@tssa.org</u> www.tssa.org

Application for Review of Pipeline Project

Technical Standards and Safety Act Fuels Safety Regulations

Location Address:

D. INVOICEE			
(Company responsible for fees invoiced fo	r approval including engineering and inspection fees.)		
Company Name Enbridge			
Street Name/911 Number/Address, if app	licable 50 Keil Drive North		
Unit/Suite:	PO Box: 2001		
City/Town: Chatham	Province: Ontario	Postal Code: N7M 5M1	
Telephone No:	Fax No:	Cell No: 519-350-3169	
Email: Tom.Debevc@enbridge.com		313-330-3103	
Print Name of Contact Person: Tom Del	bevc Signature of Cont	act Person	

FEES FOR ENGINEERING REVIEW AND INSPECTION

Check box to request type of service.

Regular Service: 20-30 working days for engineering and inspection services.
Standard Fee: \$177.41(13% HST included) per hour for engineering review and \$159.33 (13% HST included) per hour for inspection services

Rush Engineering Service Only: 5 to 10 working days. Fee: 2 x Standard fee for engineering review.

Rush Engineering and Inspection Services: 5 to 10 working days for each service, Fee: 2 x Standard fee for engineering review and inspection services.

Legal Disclaimer- The owner agrees to indemnify and hold harmless the Technical Standards and Safety Authority, its employees, agents, successors and assigns from any and all damages, actions, suits, claims or loss arising from the granting of this variance. In the event of claims made against TSSA arising from the granting of this variance, the owner accepts, on demand, to defend such actions on behalf of TSSA and to assume any costs, legal or otherwise, for the defense or settlement of such claims. Failure to comply with any of the terms and conditions of the variance voids the variance.

Deposit Payment Method	
Deposit of \$620,94 (13% HST included) must accompany each application. Invoice will only be issued for the amount billed over and above the deposit.	
IST Registration No.: 891131369	
Last Name	
ignature of Card Holder X_ Lange Mar 4 212	1
ayment Receipts can be requested by calling our Customer Contact Centre at 1.877.682.8772 only after the payment has been processed.	

Updated: 2020-12-18, EB-2020-0065, Exhibit C, Tab 2, Schedule 2, Page 14 of 26



345 Carlingview Drive Toronto, Ontario M9W 6N9 Tel.: 416.734.3300 Fax: 416.231.1626 Toll Free: 1.877.682.8772

www.tssa.org

December 01, 2020

Re: Trafalgar NPS26, Branchton Replacement Project - TSSA file SR# 2860996 - OEB file number: EB-2020-0065

The applicable regulation that applies to Windsor Line Replacement Project is <u>Ontario</u> <u>Regulation 210/01: Oil and Gas Pipeline Systems</u>. The applicable standard for this project is CSA Z662-15 which TSSA adopted under <u>FS-238-18 - Oil and Gas Pipelines CAD Amendment</u> (February 15, 2018). The mentioned Code Adoption Documents (CAD) specifies the standards that are adopted by TSSA and any changes or addition to the requirements of CSA Z662-15.

TSSA reviews and audits all new pipeline projects that are submitted to OEB for leave to construct. The review of the new pipeline projects submitted to OEB consist of reviewing the technical aspect of the project and focused on compliance to the adopted standards and O.Reg.210/01. TSSA has authority to issue order to operator for any non-compliances to the regulation and\or adopted standards.

This project so far has been reviewed on the technical aspects of the project including design, material specification, wall thickness calculation and high consequence area calculation. TSSA did not find any non-compliances to the regulation, code adoption document and adopted standard.

This project might be selected for audit and site visits to ensure compliance with applicable technical and safety standards for construction and operation of this project.

Should you have any questions, please contact me at 416.734.3539 or by e-mail at kmanouchehri@tssa.org. When contacting TSSA regarding this file, please refer to the Service Request number provided above.

Yours truly,

Jugerand Mapli

Kourosh Manouchehri, P.Eng., Fuels Safety Engineer Tel.: (416) 734-3539 Fax: (416) 231-7525

cc. Tom Debevc, P.Eng. Senior Advisor Construction Projects Engineering Construction

From:	Todd Williams
То:	Ken McCorkle
Cc:	Knight, Mark; norm.dumouchelle@enbridge.com; Wayne (HDI) Hill; Karl Hill; HDI Tracy; HDI; Corr, Jacqueline
Subject:	Fwd: Branchton Replacement Project – Environmental Study Report
Date:	Wednesday, February 19, 2020 3:03:43 PM
Attachments:	HDI-Williams let OPCC Indigenous-20200210 fnl mrged.pdf

Afternoon Ken,

From the report, I noted that a Stage 3 archaeology assessment is still required and that a permit from the GRCA may be needed.

Can you notify the Archaeological consultant that HDI would like to have field monitors participate in the upcoming assessment and a copy of the GRCA permit forwarded to HDI, if or when it becomes available.

Thanks Todd

Todd E. Williams, P.Eng

Monitoring Program Coordinator

Haudenosaunee Development Institute (HDI)

Haudenosaunee Confederacy Chiefs Council (HCCC)

Six Nations of the Grand River Territory

O: 519-445-4222

M: 519-761-1794

https://www.haudenosauneeconfederacy.com/

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Corr, Jacqueline" <<u>Jacqueline.Corr@stantec.com</u>> Subject: Branchton Replacement Project – Environmental Study Report Date: February 10, 2020 at 1:06:32 PM EST To: "<u>hdi2@bellnet.ca</u>" <<u>hdi2@bellnet.ca</u>> Cc: "<u>kmccorkle@uniongas.com</u>" <<u>kmccorkle@uniongas.com</u>>, "Knight, Mark" <<u>Mark.Knight@stantec.com</u>>, Norm Dumouchelle <Norm.Dumouchelle@enbridge.com>

Good afternoon,

On behalf of Ken McCorkle, Senior Advisor at Enbridge Gas, please see the attached notification letter and Environmental Screening Report (ESR) regarding the Branchton Replacement Project. Please forward any comments you may have regarding the ESR and project to Ken McCorkle (cc'd). Your comments would be appreciated by April 15, 2020.

Thank you,

Jackie Corr, HBAsc, MES

Environmental Consultant Assessment and Permitting Team Stantec 300W-675 Cochrane Drive, Markham ON L3R 0B8 Phone: (905) 944-7785 Cell: (437) 235-2984 Jacqueline.Corr@stantec.com



The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

From:	Sarah Clarke
То:	tworowarchaeology@gmail.com
Cc:	"Monica Maika"; Paul Racher - ARA; Norm Dumouchelle; Tom Debevc
Subject:	[External] Stage 2 NPS 26 - Invitation to Participate - HCCC
Date:	Thursday, March 26, 2020 10:06:35 AM
Attachments:	image001.png
	2020 0033 StudyArea.kmz
	Prelim PIF Map.png

EXTERNAL: PLEASE PROCEED WITH CAUTION.

This e-mail has originated from outside of the organization. Do not respond, click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender or know the content is safe.

Dear Wayne,

Archeological Research Associates Ltd. (ARA) has been contracted by Enbridge Gas Inc. (Enbridge) to undertake the Stage 2 archaeological assessment for the proposed realignment of a section of the NPS 26 pipeline in the Geographic Township of Dumfries. The subject lands are approximately 0.60 ha (1.48 ac) in size and are located on Lot 5, Concession 9 in the aforementioned township (see attached KMZ). The proponent has asked us to take this time to invite your community to participate in the Stage 2 archaeological assessment. Participation could include having a liaison monitor the archaeological work and/or ARA circulating a draft copy of the report for your review and comment.

The Stage 2 assessment will be conducted in order to document any archaeological resources that may be present in the areas of archaeological potential. Currently the site comprises ploughed agricultural field as well as lands where ploughing is not possible or viable. As such, the proposed field methods are as follows:

· 0.56 ha (1.38 ac) Pedestrian Survey at an Interval of = 5 m

 \cdot 0.04 ha (0.10 ac) Test Pit Survey at an Interval of = 5 m

It has been assumed that the Stage 2 assessment will take 1 day with a crew of 2 people (1 Field Director, 1 Field Technician).

If you would like to participate in the Stage 2 archaeological assessment by providing a community liaison or reviewing the draft report upon completion, **please contact Sarah Clarke** <u>sclarke@arch-research.com</u> or 519-755-9983 to confirm. Given the developing situation with the COVID-19 pandemic, it is possible that there may be a delay in starting fieldwork. At this point, it is anticipated that this fieldwork will be scheduled to occur toward the end of April. If there is any other way that your community would like to participate in the study that has not been suggested we are happy to discuss any options you bring to our attention.

Kind regards, Sarah

Sarah Clarke, Hons. B.A. Team Lead-Research; Team Lead-Archaeology Team Member-Indigenous Engagement and Accomodation

Archaeological Research Associates Ltd 219-900 Guelph Street, Kitchener, ON, N2H 5Z6 P 519.755.9983 | F 519.286.0493 www.arch-research.com @ArchResearch @ARAHeritage

smallified		
	?	

From:	Corr, Jacqueline
To:	"Katherine.Kirzati@ontario.ca"
Cc:	"Zora.Crnojacki@oeb.ca"; "Norm Dumouchelle"
Subject:	RE: Branchton Pipeline Replacement ESR MHSTCI Comments
Date:	Monday, May 4, 2020 10:00:00 AM
Attachments:	MTHSCI Response 20200504 fin.pdf

Good morning Katherine,

Please find attached our responses to your comments on the Environmental Study Report.

Thank you, Jackie

Jackie Corr, HBAsc, MES

Environmental Consultant Assessment and Permitting Team Stantec 300W-675 Cochrane Drive, Markham ON L3R 0B8 Phone: (905) 944-7785 Cell: (437) 235-2984 Jacqueline.Corr@stantec.com

?

The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

From: Kirzati, Katherine (MHSTCI) <<u>Katherine.Kirzati@ontario.ca</u>>
Sent: Tuesday, April 7, 2020 10:07 AM
To: Knight, Mark <<u>Mark.Knight@stantec.com</u>>; <u>Norm.Dumouchelle@enbridge.com</u>
Cc: Crnojacki, Zora <<u>Zora.Crnojacki@oeb.ca</u>>
Subject: Branchton Pipeline Replacement ESR MHSTCI Comments

Good Day Mark:

Attached please find our comments on the Environmental Study Report for the abovenoted project.

Regards, Katherine

Katherine Kirzati Heritage Planner

Ministry of Heritage, Sport, Tourism and Culture IndustriesHeritage Planning UnitPrograms and Services Branch401 Bay St, Suite 1700Toronto, ON M7A 2R9

416.314.7643 katherine.kirzati@ontario.ca

Ministry of Heritage, Sport, Tourism and Culture Industries

Programs and Services Branch 401 Bay Street, Suite 1700 Toronto, ON M7A 0A7 Tel: 416.314.7643

April 7, 2020

Ministère des Industries du Patrimoine, du Sport, du Tourisme et de la Culture

Direction des programmes et des services 401, rue Bay, Bureau 1700 Toronto, ON M7A 0A7 Tél: 416.314.7643



Email Only

Mark Knight Senior Associate, Environmental Planner Stantec Consulting Limited 100-300 Hagey Blvd Waterloo, ON N2L 0A4_ mark.knight@stantec.com

MHSTCI File):	0007319
Your File	:	160961351
Proponent	:	Enbridge Gas Inc.
Subject	:	Application for Leave to Construct
Project	:	Replacement of a Portion of the Branchton Pipeline (Dawn
		Parkway NPS 26)
Location	:	South of the Cambridge-North Dumfries Municipal Boundary
		and North of Morrison Road, Travelling from East of Cheese
		Factory Road to East of Branchton Road, Township of North
	_	Dumfries, Region of Waterloo

Dear Mr. Knight:

Thank you for providing the Ministry of Heritage, Sport, Tourism and Culture Industries (MHSTCI) with the *Branchton Replacement Project Environmental Study Report* (Stantec, February 7, 2020) for the application by Enbridge Gas Inc. to the Ontario Energy Board (OEB) for Leave to Construct the replacement pipeline. MHSTCI's interest in this project relates to its mandate of conserving Ontario's cultural heritage.

Project Summary

Due to the Region of Waterloo expanding the road system in the City of Cambridge from Water Street to Dundas Street, and extending Franklin Boulevard, Enbridge Gas has been ordered to move the existing pipeline outside of the road construction area. Approximately 1.7 km of pipeline, from the existing Galt Gate Station eastward to a tie-in point with the current pipeline, will be replaced by re-routing it south of its current position.

General Remarks

Please note that the OEB issued the <u>Environmental Guidelines for the Location</u>, <u>Construction, and Operation of Hydrocarbon Pipelines and Facilities in Ontario, 7th</u> <u>Edition</u> (Guidelines) to assist applicants how to identify, manage and document environmental impacts. The Guidelines encourage applicants to consult with the Ontario Pipeline Coordinating Committee (of which MHSTCI is a member) and other agencies.

Among the planning activities outlined in Chapter 2 of the Guidelines, an applicant is advised to:

- describe the rationale for study area delineation (including construction staging, land requirements);
- identify existing baseline environmental conditions;
- identify potential environmental impacts expected to occur during construction and operation of the project, including cumulative impacts;
- describe proposed measures to mitigate potential negative impacts.

Comments

Table of Contents

The report does not appear to contain a section that describes the existing conditions, as per the requirement of Chapter 2 of the Guidelines. Please explain why it has not been included or, preferably, please insert a section on the existing conditions.

As per the comments below for Appendix A, please amend the title accordingly.

- 2.0 Environmental Screening and Recommended Mitigation Measures
- 2.2.3 Archaeological Resources

This section notes that Stage 3 archaeological assessment, and potentially a Stage 4, will be required for a portion of the study area. Archaeological concerns have not been addressed until reports have been entered into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports where those reports recommend that:

- 1. the archaeological assessment of the project area is complete and
- 2. all archaeological sites identified by the assessment are either of no further cultural heritage value or interest (as per Section 48(3) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*) or that mitigation of impacts has been accomplished through an avoidance and protection strategy.

2.3.4 Cultural Heritage Resources

This section states that a cultural heritage assessment was conducted in 2007 and the report (*Built Heritage and Cultural Landscape Assessment, South Boundary Transportation Corridor, Class Environmental Assessment, Regional Municipality of Waterloo, Ontario, Archaeological Services Inc., September 2007, revised April 2008) has been used for this project, as the study area for that project encompassed the current study area. The 2007 report was provided to MHSTCI under separate cover.*

Section 2.3.4 notes that the 2007 report found a cultural heritage landscape south of the current study area. However, this cultural heritage resource has not been identified in this ESR. Please provide a municipal address or legal description so that its proximity to the current study area can be ascertained and cross-referenced with the 2007 assessment.

007319 -Branchton Pipeline Replacement

MHSTCI Comments

The current entry indicates that no impacts are anticipated, however it does not describe the potential impacts that may occur, nor does it provide a rationale for not requiring mitigation measures. Please amend this section accordingly.

Appendix A Environmental and Socio-Economic Features

The title to this appendix does not reflect its contents, which is a map of the study area. Please amend the title to "Study Area". This will tie-in with all entries in the body of the report that refer to Appendix A (e.g. Section 1.2 and Section 2.0).

Should you have any questions, please contact the undersigned.

Regards,

Katherine Kirzati Heritage Planner Heritage Planning Unit <u>katherine.kirzati@ontario.ca</u>

c: Norm Dumouchelle, Enbridge Gas Inc. Zora Crnojacki, Project Advisor, OEB

It is the sole responsibility of proponents to ensure that any information and documentation submitted as part of their EA report or file is accurate. MHSTCI makes no representation or warranty as to the completeness, accuracy or quality of the any checklists, reports or supporting documentation submitted as part of the EA process, and in no way shall MHSTCI be liable for any harm, damages, costs, expenses, losses, claims or actions that may result if any checklists, reports or supporting documents are discovered to be inaccurate, incomplete, misleading or fraudulent.

Please notify MHSTCI if archaeological resources are impacted by EA project work. All activities impacting archaeological resources must cease immediately, and a licensed archaeologist is required to carry out an archaeological assessment in accordance with the *Ontario Heritage Act* and the *Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists*.

If human remains are encountered, all activities must cease immediately and the local police as well as the Registrar, Burials of the Ministry of Government and Consumer Services (416-326-8800) must be contacted. In situations where human remains are associated with archaeological resources, MHSTCI should also be notified to ensure that the site is not subject to unlicensed alterations which would be a contravention of the *Ontario Heritage Act*.

3



Stantec Consulting Ltd. 1-70 Southgate Drive, Guelph ON N1G 4P5

May 4, 2020 File: 160961351

Attention: Katherine Kirzati Heritage Planner Ministry of Heritage, Sport, Tourism and Culture Industries 401 Bay St, Suite 1700 Toronto, ON M7A 2R9 Katherin.kirzati@ontario.ca

Dear Ms. Kirzati,

Reference: Branchton Replacement Project Environmental Study Report (MHSTCI File No. 0007319)

Thank you for your comments received by email on April 7, 2020 regarding the Branchton Replacement Project Environmental Study Report. Please find responses to your comments below.

Comment #1

The report does not appear to contain a section that describes the existing conditions, as per the requirement of Chapter 2 of the Guidelines. Please explain why it has not been included or, preferably, please insert a section on the existing conditions.

As per the comments below for Appendix A, please amend the title accordingly.

Response

The existing conditions of the site can be found in Section 2 of the Environmental Study Report.

Comment #2

2.2.3 Archaeological Resources

This section notes that Stage 3 archaeological assessment, and potentially a Stage 4, will be required for a portion of the study area. Archaeological concerns have not been addressed until reports have been entered into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports where those reports recommended that:

- 1. The archaeological assessment of the project area is complete and
- 2. All archaeological sites identified by the assessment are either of no further cultural heritage value or interest (as per Section 48(3)) of the Ontario Heritage Act) or that mitigation of impacts has been accomplished through an avoidance and protection strategy.

Response

Enbridge agrees with the above statement.

Design with community in mind

May 4, 2020 Katherine Kirzati Page 2 of 2

Reference: Branchton Replacement Project Environmental Study Report (MHSTCI File No. 0007319)

Comment #3

2.3.4 Cultural Heritage Resources

This section states that a cultural heritage assessment was conducted in 2007 and the report (Built Heritage and Cultural Landscape Assessment, South Boundary Transportation Corridor, Class Environmental Assessment, Regional Municipality of Waterloo, Ontario, Archaeological Services In., September 2007, revised April 2008) has been used for this project, as the study are for that project encompassed the current study area. The 2007 report was provided to MHSTCI under separate cover.

Section 2.3.1 notes that the 2007 report found a cultural heritage landscape south of the current study area. However, this cultural heritage resources has not been identified in this ESR. Please provide a municipal address or legal description so that its proximity to the current study area can be ascertained and cross-referenced with the 2007 assessment.

The current entry indicates that no impacts are anticipated, however it does not describe the potential impacts that may occur, nor does it provide a rationale for not requiring mitigation measures. Please amend this section accordingly.

Response

The cultural heritage landscape south of the current study area is located on Figure 4a of the 2007 report found under separate cover. As the landscape will not overlap with the project footprint, and as the project is below grade (based on the project description in Section 1.1 of the Environmental Study Report), there will be no impacts on the cultural heritage landscape.

Comment #4

Appendix A Environmental and Socio-Economic Features

The title of this appendix does not reflect its contents, which is a map of the study area. Please amend the title to "Study Area". This will tie-in with all entries in the body of the report that refer to Appendix A (e.g. Section 1.2 and Section 2.0).

Response

Comments regarding titles will be taken into consideration for such future reports.

Thank you for providing comments on the Branchton Replacement Project Environmental Study Report. Should you have any additional questions please do not hesitate to contact me.

Regards,

Stantec Consulting Ltd.

Mark Khight Senior Associate, Environmental Planner Phone: 519-585-7430 Mark.Knight@stantec.com

c. Norm Dumouchelle, Enbridge

cm \\cd1220-f02\workgroup\01609\active\160961351\02_correspondence\comments received\mthsci_response_20200504_fin.docx

First Nam	Last Name	Title	Representing	Address	Town	Provinc	Postal Co	Phone	Email
Zora	Crnojacki		Ontario Energy Board	PO Box 2319, 2300 Yonge Street, 26th Floor	Toronto	ON	M4P 1E4	416-440-8104	Zora.Crnojacki@oeb.ca
Arthur	Churchyard		Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs	1 Stone Road West, 3rd Floor SE	Guelph	ON	N1G 4Y2	519-826-3380	Arthur.Churchuard@ontario.ca
Laura	Hatcher	Team Lead, Heritage	Ministry of Heritage, Sport, Tourism and Culture Industries	401 Bay Street	Toronto	ON	M7A 0A7	416-314-3108	Laura.e.Hatcher@ontario.ca
Tony	Difabio		Ministry of Transportation	301 St. Paul Street, 2nd Floor	St. Catharines	ON	L3R 7R4	905-704-2656	Tony.Difabio@ontario.ca
Kourosh	Manouchehri		Technical Standards and Safety Authority	345 Carlingview Drive	Toronto	ON	M9W 6N9	416-734-3539	kmanouchehri@tssa.org
Sally	Renwick	Team Lead, Environmental Planning, Land Use and Environmental Planning Section	Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry	300 Water Street	Peterborough	ON	K9J 8M5	705-755-5195	sallu.renwick@ontario.ca
Scott	Oliver	Western Municipal Services Office	Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing	659 Exeter Rd, 2nd Floor	London	ON	N6E 1L3	519-873-4033	Scott.Oliver@ontario.ca
Crystal	Lafrance	Air, Pesticides and Environmental Planning Supervisor	Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks	733 Exeter Road	London	ON	N6E 1L3	519-873-5055	crustal.lafrance@ontario.ca
Patrick	Grace		Infrastructure Ontario	1 Dundas Street West, Suite 2000	Toronto	ON	M5G 2L5		patrick.grace@infrastructureontario.ca
Joseph	Vecchiolla		Ministry of Economic Development, Job Creation and Trade	777 Bay Street, 4th Floor, Suite 425	Toronto	ON	M5G 2E5	416-325-1561	joseph.vecchiolla@ontario.ca
Shannon	McCabe	Senior Advisor, Indigenous Energy Policy Unit	Ministry of Energy, Northern Development and Mines	6th Floor, 77 Grenville Street	Toronto	ON	M7A 2C1	416-212-6704	shannonmccabe@ontario.ca
Kathy	McDonald	Manager of Technical Support Section	Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks	12th Floor, 199 Larch Street	Sudbury	ON	P3E 5P6	705-564-3247	kathy.medonald@ontario.ca