

October 30.
2017

Addendum to Reasons
for Decision, September
29, 2017

I have Mrs. Patterson's
correspondence of October 20, 2017,
and understand the request
for clarification of the term
of the order which restricts
DPI's submission to 12 pages.
Twelve pages is the length
of the McIntosh affidavit.
The exhibits are not to
be included. The reason for
intention — statutory interpretation
will not suffer; the point
as to whether the interpretation
should be based on the ordinary
meaning of the words of the Act
or their technical meaning ~~may~~
may still be made. The
reason for this — the tenacity
of DPI's submission is that
the evidentiary record at
this point is static.

P. Holler J.



October 20, 2017

VIA COURIER

SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

JUDGES' CHAMBERS

80 Dundas Street

12th Floor, Unit K

London ON N6A 6A3

Attention: The Honourable Mr. Justice P.B. Hockin

Dear Justice Hockin

Re: Tribute Resources Inc. v Ontario Energy Board et al.

Court File No.: 1605/16

I write with the consent of all parties to seek clarification of your September 29, 2017 endorsement in our motion for leave to intervene.

Simply put, is it Your Honour's order that the affidavit of James McIntosh be limited to 10 pages inclusive or exclusive of exhibits?

I attach a copy of Your Honour's endorsement for reference.

Yours very truly,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads 'Catherine M. Patterson'.

Catherine M. Patterson

CMP/cr

Encl.

cc. Ms. Karey Lunau, Conway Davis Gryski (fax: 416-214-9915)
Mr. John K. Downing, Miller Thomson LLP (fax: 519-858-8511)
Mr. M Philip Tunley, St. Lawrence Barristers LLP (fax: 647-245-8285)

Ferguson Patterson Professional Corporation

360 Queens Avenue London ON N6B 1X6

P: 519-963-0162 • F: 519-963-0159 • Toll free 1-877-433-8766 • www.fplaw.ca