

From: [registrar](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Letter of Comment - EB-2020-0246
Date: Wednesday, February 24, 2021 5:58:15 PM

From: Webmaster <Webmaster@oeb.ca>
Sent: Wednesday, February 24, 2021 12:30 PM
To: registrar <registrar@oeb.ca>
Subject: Letter of Comment - [REDACTED]

The Ontario Energy Board

-- Comment date --
2021-02-24

-- Case Number --
EB-2020-0246

-- Name --
Lance Pope

-- Phone --
[REDACTED]

-- Company --

-- Address --
[REDACTED]

-- Comments --

My "Seasonal" residence located on Amherst Island near Kingston Ontario, over the period of Sept1st – Dec 1st 2020, had a delivery cost of \$187.13, (\$62.37/month), for \$69.89 (551 KWHr) of electricity and received a rebate of \$83.84,(33%).The overall final bill was \$210.13, (\$0.38/KWHr combined charges with rebate). In comparison my Mississauga residence, over the period of Dec 31st 2020 – Jan29 2021, incurred delivery costs of \$37.56 for \$47.78 (562 KWHr) of electricity with a rebate of \$18.62,(21%). The overall final bill was \$80.66, (\$0.14/KWHr combined charges with rebate). On a normalized basis the cost of electricity and delivery to my "seasonal" residence is already 270% more per KWHr than my "non-seasonal" residence. Based on the "sample" calculation basis for the same 551 KWHrs of electricity I will incur an additional \$28/month on my bill. This will change my normalized combined charge rate to \$0.53/KWHr. Which would make the combined charges 740% above my "non-seasonal" residence. This is excessive and unjustifiable. The cost of maintaining R2 class power distribution cannot be 700% more than a higher density location. The seasonal residence owner is the only person incurring this degree of excessive costs. All other categories have price reductions. Where is the equitable logic behind this?

-- Attachment --