

**From:** [seasonalrateclass](#)  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Letter of Comment - EB-2020-0246  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 3, 2021 4:04:27 PM

---

From: Webmaster <Webmaster@oeb.ca>  
Sent: Monday, March 1, 2021 2:41 PM  
To: registrar <registrar@oeb.ca>  
Subject: Letter of Comment - [REDACTED]

The Ontario Energy Board

-- Comment date --  
2021-03-01

-- Case Number --  
EB-2020-0246

-- Name --  
Bryan Teeter

-- Phone --  
[REDACTED]

-- Company --

-- Address --  
[REDACTED]

-- Comments --

Based on the letter I received today from Hydro One about this change, I can expect to pay in excess of 53% more for my hydro at my seasonal property.

This is due to the fact I use so little power. I have concerns with this proposal that is being put on us:

1.) I am being penalized for reducing my consumption of energy and have taken every recommended change to reduce power (LED lights, timers, use of clothes line, movement shut off lights etc. Now because my usage is low I am being penalized for saving energy and this is contrary to everything that makes sense. The OEB should be establishing pricing plans to reward and encourage people to use less. Therefore the biggest part of the bill should be usage, not just delivery. On my last bill the usage was \$30.98 but the delivery was \$160.18. The Hydro delivery rates are excessive and need to be addressed.

2.) Based on this change I will be paying more for my hydro at my seasonal home than I pay for at my permanent residence, while at the same time receive better service at my permanent residence and fewer power outages. How does this help rural areas to compete against urban areas in an environment where people are moving away from urban centers?

I am not in favor of this change and I view the delivery rates as being excessive but do address the cost of serving the rural community? Now why penalize the actual reduced usage cottagers but reward the large users?