

From: [seasonalrateclass](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: CM: Letter of Comment - EB-2020-0246
Date: Wednesday, March 10, 2021 3:44:14 PM

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, March 2, 2021 1:10 PM
To: registrar <registrar@oeb.ca>
Subject: Letter of Comment - EB-2020-0246 [REDACTED]

Subject: Letter of Comment
The Ontario Energy Board
Comment Date: March 2nd, 2021
Case Number: EB-2020-0246
Name: Marian & Nelson Boles
Phone: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

We have looked over the notice Hydro One has sent out to seasonal properties.
The category of **Seasonal Property is to be eliminated**.
It is advising this proposed increases might become effective **January 1, 2022** or later.
On page 2 **Changes to Total bill** due to the elimination of the seasonal category is outlined
Right now our invoices are based on time of day consumption, low, mid and peak rates over a **quarterly period**.

This chart is based on **monthly consumption** and on the notice that was sent out a monthly average is shown based on total usage over 12 months.

The new rates cannot be based on a quarterly read and subsequently averaged out for Hydro One's benefit to ensure a large monthly billing even in off –season times.

Meters would have to be read and billed monthly.

When there is zero usage over 6 months of non use there should then be no charges.

Will there be a continued "delivery charge" as well?

This notice does not clarify that.

Hydro Ones examples of potential billing:

50 kwh per month basic cost on **column B \$54.06** from column C **add: \$6.28** plus from column E **add: \$53.79** and the bill would be **\$114.13 a month**

350 kwh per month basic cost on **column B \$100.20** from column C **subtract: \$1.02** plus from column E **add: \$54.31** and the bill would be **\$154.62 a month**

1000 kwh per month basic cost on column B **200.17** from column C **subtract: \$16.86** s plus from column E **add: 55.44** bill would be **\$238.75 a month**

This is completely unacceptable to anyone who owns a seasonal residence and it will be financially out of reach for many owners.

We ask that Hydro One re-evaluate this proposal.

Regards

Marian & Nelson Boles