**Hydro 2000**

**Follow-up Questions #3**

**Follow-up #3 – Staff Question #1**

**Ref: Follow-up #2 – Staff Question 1**

In follow-up #2- Staff Question 1, Hydro 2000 indicated that 2015 and 2016 balances were not recalculated using the Illustrative Model.

1. Please confirm the account balances in the table below are the balances in accordance to the Inspection.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Account 1588** | **Account 1589** |
|  | Principal 204,494 | 49,433 |
|  | Interest 3,193 | 1,184 |
|  | 207,687 | 50,617 |

Yes those balances are in accordance to the inspection.

1. In the DVA Continuity Schedule in this proceeding, the 2016 balances that form the balances requested for disposition is table below.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Account 1588** | **Account 1589** |
| **Principal** | 65,187 | 113,142 |
| **Interest** | 296 | 3,255 |
| **Total** | 65,482 | 116,397 |

* 1. Please confirm that these are the balances recalculated by the second general manager as noted in Follow-up #1 regarding Staff Question #4.

Yes these balances are the balances calculated by the second manager.

* 1. Please explain why these are the balances reflected in DVA Continuity Schedule and not the balances per the Inspection as shown in the table presented under part a above.

Hydro 2000 was told to put the differences in the adjustment columns in 2016 and to reverse it in the adjustment column in 2017. Revenues and expenses related to energy were equal for each year. The differences have to stay in the variances.

* 1. Please revise the DVA Continuity Schedule as necessary.

See attached revised DVA Continuity Schedule.